Presenting a live 90-minute webinar with interactive Q&A

Insurance Bad Faith Trial Strategies: Case Evaluation, Jury Themes and Bifurcation

Developing Winning Trial Tactics for Plaintiffs/Policyholders and Insurers

TUESDAY, JULY 19, 2016

1pm Eastern | 12pm Central | 11am Mountain | 10am Pacific

Today's faculty features:

Scott Glovsky, Founder, Law Offices of Scott Glovsky, Pasadena, Calif.

Todd S. Schenk, Partner, Tressler, Chicago

The audio portion of the conference may be accessed via the telephone or by using your computer's speakers. Please refer to the instructions emailed to registrants for additional information. If you have any questions, please contact **Customer Service at 1-800-926-7926 ext. 10**.

Tips for Optimal Quality

Sound Quality

If you are listening via your computer speakers, please note that the quality of your sound will vary depending on the speed and quality of your internet connection.

If the sound quality is not satisfactory, you may listen via the phone: dial **1-866-927-5568** and enter your PIN when prompted. Otherwise, please **send us a chat** or e-mail **sound@straffordpub.com** immediately so we can address the problem.

If you dialed in and have any difficulties during the call, press *0 for assistance.

Viewing Quality

To maximize your screen, press the F11 key on your keyboard. To exit full screen, press the F11 key again.

Continuing Education Credits

In order for us to process your continuing education credit, you must confirm your participation in this webinar by completing and submitting the Attendance Affirmation/Evaluation after the webinar.

A link to the Attendance Affirmation/Evaluation will be in the thank you email that you will receive immediately following the program.

For additional information about continuing education, call us at 1-800-926-7926 ext. 35.

Program Materials

If you have not printed the conference materials for this program, please complete the following steps:

- Click on the ^ symbol next to "Conference Materials" in the middle of the lefthand column on your screen.
- Click on the tab labeled "Handouts" that appears, and there you will see a PDF of the slides for today's program.
- Double click on the PDF and a separate page will open.
- Print the slides by clicking on the printer icon.

Bad Faith Trial Strategies: The Plaintiffs' Perspective

Presented by: Scott Glovsky **The Law Offices of Scott C. Glovsky**

Case Selection:

- Provable Liability
- Damages (physical, economic, and/or emotional)
- Class or Individual Action
- Federal or State Court
- Type of Plan (Self Insured, Medicaid/Medicare, ect.)
- ERISA
- Arbitration

Pre-filing options:

- Internal appeal/grievance
- External appeal
- Independent Medical Review (IMR)
- Administrative exhaustion(Medicaid/Medicare)

Discovery

- Pattern and Practice evidence
- Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co. (1982) 31 Cal. 3d 785, 791 (in a bad faith case "a plaintiff may establish a claim by showing either that the acts that harmed him were knowingly committed or were engaged in with such frequency as to indicate a general business practice")

Trial

• Developing the trial story

Further resources:

- Fighting Health Insurance Denials: A Primer for Lawyers Paperback – January 15, 2016 by Scott Glovsky
- Trial Lawyer Talk Bi-monthly Podcast available on iTunes

Thank You

Scott Glovsky The Law Offices of Scott C. Glovsky sglovsky@scottglovskylaw.com

Bad Faith Trial Strategy

Presented by:



Todd S. Schenk Tressler LLP

Strafford webinar

July 19, 2016

Insurance Bad Faith Trial Strategies: Case Evaluation, Jury Themes and Bifurcation

Do I Take My Bad Faith Case To Trial?

There are the obvious considerations...

- > Strength and weaknesses of the case
- > Trial judge
- > Jury pool
- Sympathy of the plaintiff





Do I Take My Bad Faith Case To Trial?

But there are also less obvious, but very important considerations...

- > Do we have time and resources to put together an effective trial presentation?
- > Will my client allow my witnesses the time needed to prepare for and attend trial?
- > How will my witnesses perform?
- What are likely in limine and evidentiary rulings, and how will they impact what jury hears?



Goals for Insurance Company Witnesses?

- <u>Goal</u>: demonstrate that claim was handled in a fair and reasonable way – the way the jurors would want their claim handled
 - > Focus on **handling** (timely, reasonable, respectful)
 - Not just the result (amount paid)
- **<u>Goal</u>**: demonstrate that the insurance company is not a faceless, heartless bureaucracy
 - it is an organization of everyday people, doing their best to help others



What Must My Witnesses Do To Achieve These Goals?

Establish Credibility: an insurer cannot win unless it has absolute credibility with the jury

- > depositions, pleadings, trial testimony
- > *opening statements

<u>Build Rapport</u>: the insurance company's representatives are likeable and honest

Tell The Story: why the insurer did what it did, why was that a reasonable thing to do.



How Do You Prepare Insurance Company Witnesses?

- » Use the right witnesses
- » Spend the time
 - > Study the claim file together
 - Mock examination and cross
 - Credibility is dependent upon accuracy
- » Jury consultants can be very helpful



How Do You Prepare Insurance Company Witnesses?

Teach the witness:

- > Admit mistakes: own them, apologize, move on
 - Emphasize any offers to make amends and steps taken to avoid similar mistakes in the future
- > Do not be rude or argumentative
 - Be the nicest person in the courtroom
- > Always answer the question, if you understand it
 - Then add explanation, if needed
- Trial testimony should be different and less defensive than giving a deposition
 - You are trying to tell a story, not just give information



Other Ways to Humanize the Company

» Insurer must have a representative at trial

- > Be present for voir dire and entire trial
- > Stay off the phone while court is in session
- > Pay attention, take notes, engage
- > Be careful about behavior in halls, elevator, etc.

» Personalize your company representatives

- > Children, vacations, hobbies, etc.
- > They may be more likeable than the plaintiff



What Do Jurors Think About Insurance Companies?

General Attitude

- Jurors hold a slight (not overwhelming) negative bias against insurance companies
- This is based upon general perceptions and attitudes, not personal experience – emotional
- » General bias not predictive of behavior

Specific Attitude

- Some jurors hold a bias against insurance companies due to personal experience, as well as emotion
- Specific bias is predictive of behavior, and difficult to overcome

Voir Dire

» Sort general from specific attitudes

- Research shows about 50% of people feel they or someone close to them has been treated unfairly by an insurance company
- Likely to have specific attitudes adverse to an insurance company
- If you can identify panelists with specific attitude, consider challenges for cause.
- » Use voir dire to start eroding general attitudes and personalizing your client



Do I Want To Bifurcate My Trial?

- » Coverage claim is tried first and, depending on outcome, bad faith claim is tried
 - In many states, there is no bad faith in the absence of coverage
- » Equitable Life Assur. Soc. of U.S. v. Berry, 212 Cal.App.3d 832 (1989)
 - Judicial efficiency is promoted by trying the coverage issues before the bad faith issues because coverage trial is shorter and may render bad faith trial unnecessary.



Benefits of Bifurcation

- » Keeps "bad faith evidence" out of the coverage trial
 - > Some such evidence may be privileged
 - Procopio v. GEICO, 433 N.J. Super. 377 (App. Div. 2013)
 - > Some such evidence may involve other claim files
- » Keeps jury focused on the merits
- » Avoids unfair prejudice
 - > Limiting instructions aren't sufficient





Drawbacks of Bifurcation

- » As the insurer, you want to show a careful and thorough investigation was conducted
 - > You want to tell "the whole story"
 - But ability to put in the entire claim file may be limited if trial is bifurcated – there is a gray area
 - > Or, you put in the claim file, and open the door
- » As the insurer, you want to show that the policyholder was not cooperating
 - But this argument may be precluded from the coverage trial



Practical Considerations for Bifurcation

Jury

- » You will generally use the same jury for both trials, since bad faith case builds upon coverage case
- » Jury renders verdict on coverage trial, and then court and parties determine what kind of bad faith trial (if any) is needed

Evidence

- Parties need to meet and confer on what evidence is admissible for coverage trial
- Exhibit numbering can get a bit confusing
- Witnesses called up multiple times

» An insurance policy is a contract

- > Both sides have rights
- > Both sides have duties
- Neither side is required to go beyond the duties imposed, and neither side has more rights than what are expressly provided
- The insurance company prices the policy based upon the well-defined scope of the policy
 - Otherwise, it couldn't stay in business



» Good claims handling is good customer service and, thus, good for the bottom line

- > We look FOR coverage, not to DENY coverage
- > While still being faithful to the insurance policy

Insurance company is made up of everyday people, working hard and doing their best to fairly adjust claims

- Adjuster made judgment call, and jury should not fault a reasonable and honest, though arguably incorrect, decision
- > Jury owes adjuster same degree of fairness it owes to the insured



- Insurance company acted honestly and reasonably, and was always up-front
 - > Reasonable basis for position, legally and factually
 - > Insurer was always forthcoming with the insured
- Insurer went above and beyond contract
 - > Paying for losses that probably were not covered
 - > Giving multiple extensions to the insured
- Insurer offered to compromise disputed claim



» Delay

- > Passage of time is inherent in the claim adjusting process
- > Unavoidable circumstances
- > The policyholder caused some or all of the delay
- Despite obstacles, insurer tried to expedite the claim adjustment as much as possible

» Mistakes

- > It was an honest mistake, with no dishonest motive
- > Own them, apologize, and move on
- > People make mistakes an insurance company is people
- > We learned from mistake, and have implemented changes



Punitive Damages

- » The goal is to avoid juror anger, even if there is juror sympathy
- » Punitive damages almost always come from anger, not from sympathy
 - Jurors aren't usually angry with an insurer who was honest and reasonable
 - Or even one they think was unreasonable, but gave an honest effort and was forthcoming
- » Effort and conduct matter!





30

Dealing with Punitive Damages

» Company does lots of good

- Pays claims in times of tragedy or disaster
- Supports charitable causes
- Provides many local people with good jobs
- > Mission Statement; Code of Ethics
- » A large award could have negative consequences to the company and its employees





Themes to Avoid

» We were too busy

 Even in the wake of a catastrophe, jurors expect the company to ramp up and provide a reasonable level of service to its policyholders



» The insured was being dishonest too

- Jurors forgive some dishonesty by the insured, and still expect the insurer to be honest
- They think inflation of a claim is common and generally accepted part of adjustment process



Questions? Thank You!



Todd S. Schenk Tressler LLP

tschenk@tresslerllp.com 312.627.4151



Bad Faith Trial Strategy