Introduction to Shell Structures

Assoc. Prof. Adrian Dogariu







• Metalic shells







• Natural shells







• Natural shells







- Definition:
 - A shell is a thin structure composed of curved sheets of material, so that the curvature plays an important role in the structural behavior, realizing a spatial form
- Motivation:
 - A shell is the most efficient way of using the material, and can be very useful in case o storage of fluids and solids (uniform loads)











Introduction to Design of Shell Structures Difficulties

- The curved form may lead to different failure modes and often unexpected behavior occurs
- The analytical formulas are very complex and complicated in comparison with all the other structural forms
- Shell structures are very attractive light weight structures which are especially suited to building as well as industrial applications.





Introduction to Design of Shell Structures Range of application

- The shell structure is typically found
 - in nature
 - as well as in classical architecture.
- There are two principal uses of shells in civil engineering:
 - industrial structures:
 - silos, tanks, cooling towers, reactor vessels etc.
 - aesthetic and architectural special structures





Main documents

- Eurocode on strength and stability of Steel Shell
 Structures EN1993 Part 1.6 (2007)
- Generic normative standard on shells for chimneys, towers, masts, silos, tanks, pipelines
- Buckling of Steel Shells European Design

Recommendations 5th Edition (ECCS – 2008)









• Built structural shells







Built structural shells





Reinforced concrete Steel Aluminium alloys Plastics Glass Timber







Introduction to Design of Shell Structures Structural typologies





Circular cylinder/cone





Introduction to Design of Shell Structures Structural typologies

Shells are the most difficult form of structure to analyse and the form with

the most complex behaviour. As a result, all but the simplest conditions

must be analysed using computers.







Introduction to Design of Shell Structures Examples – Steel reticulated dome





US pavilion Expo 67 Montreal Architect: Buckminster Fuller & Shoji Sadao

The 250ft diameter by 200ft high dome roughly presents a three-quarter sphere, while geodesic domes before 1967 were hemispherical. The dome consists of steel pipes and 1,900 acrylic panels. To keep the indoor temperature acceptable, the design included mobile triangular panels that would move over the inner surface following the sun. Although brilliant on paper, this feature was too advanced for its time and never worked.







Introduction to Design of Shell Structures Examples – Aluminium alloy reticulated dome

- Spruce Goose dome, Long Beach, USA Architect: R. Duell and Associates Engineer/builder: Temcor
 - A Aluminum cover plate with silicone seal
 - **B** Aluminum gusset plates, bolted to struts
 - **C** Aluminum batten secure silicone gaskets
 - **D** Triangular aluminum panels
 - **E** Wide-flange aluminum struts
 - **F** Stainless steel bolts











Introduction to Design of Shell Structures Examples – Timber-steel free form grid shell

Multi-hall, Mainz, Germany Architect: Mutschler, Frei Otto, consultant Engineer: Ove Arup

The multi-purpose dome for the 1975 garden show spans max. 60m with 50x50mm twin wood slats of 50cm squares that deformed into rhomboids.

- **1 Form-finding model**
- 2 Interior
- 3 Mesh detail (steel bands resist shear)









Introduction to Design of Shell Structures Examples – Timber-steel free form grid shell





Architect: Thomas Herzog Engineer: Julius Natterer

Wood grid shell with PTFE membrane The theme pavilion advanced the philosophy:

- Wood is the only renewable material
- Requires the least energy for production
- Use of wood maintains healthy forests







Introduction to Design of Shell Structures Shell Analysis



- Continuous (or reticulated) shells
 - Linear behaviour
 - Non-linear behaviour
 - Elastic
 - Elastic-plastic





Introduction to Design of Shell Structures Shell Design

- Resistance
- Stability
 - Highly sensitive to imperfections
 - Buckling is a process by which a structure cannot withstand loads with its original shape, so that it changes this shape in order to find a new equilibrium configuration. This is an undesired process (from the point of view of the engineer), and occurs for a well–defined value of the load.
 - The consequences of buckling are basically geometric:
 - The are large displacements in the structure
 - There may also be consequences for the material, in the sense that deflections may induce plasticity in the walls of the structure

Local buckling of a tank





Global buckling of a wind turbine tower





Introduction to Design of Shell Structures Steel Shell Design: Codification







Introduction to Design of Shell Structures Behavioural phenomenology of shells

 Behavior of a given structure (slender!) can be controlled by design if the three characteristic ranges of load-deformation curve are correctly defined







Introduction to Design of Shell Structures Behavioural phenomenology of shells

• Instability phenomenon e.g. bifurcation instability of cylinders







Introduction to Design of Shell Structures Behavioural phenomenology of shells

- Instability phenomenon Jump of Equilibrium or Snap Through Instability
 - Affects shallow arches and shells, reticulated shells



EREN Exhibition hall, Bucharest, 1963









Critical and post-critical behaviour of elastic structures







Introduction to Design of Shell Structures Favourable and unfavourable effects of spatiality

Curvature effect in axial compression



increase in critical load

increase in sensitivity to geometrical imperfections





Introduction to Design of Shell Structures Favourable and unfavourable effects of spatiality

Curvature effect in axi-symetrical compression



increase in critical load

increase in sensitivity to geometrical imperfections





Coupled instabilities for plate and shell elements







Introduction to Design of Shell Structures Coupled instabilities for plate and shell elements

• Erosion of Theoretical Critical Buckling Load







Introduction to Design of Shell Structures Instability phenomena: Influence of imperfections

• Agreement of theoretical and experimental values







Introduction to Design of Shell Structures Instability phenomena: basic types and models

Dynamic propagation of instability or progressive instability

first

Domino effect (double layer grids)

P

a)

compresion

tension

Instability propagation (single layer reticulated shells)







- Pre-Critical, Critical and Post-Critical Analysis
- Generic classification of structures in terms of characteristic instability types and sensitivity to imperfections
 - Linear, nonlinear, elastic, plastic models
 - Linear buckling analysis (eigen-buckling) LBA
 - Geometrical nonlinear imperfection analysis GNIA
 - Geometrical material nonlinear imperfection analysis GMNIA
- Pre-critical solver methods (Newton Raphson) or
- Post-critical solver methods (Arc-length); Designed load checking or load-deformation curve





• Design flowchart for the Design of Shells according to EN 1993-1-6







- Methods of Analysis Global Frame Analysis
 - Finite Elements Methods for Analysis and Design



Load-displacement curves found using different analyses of the same structure (Rotter, 2011)





- Basic modes for behaviour
 - a) Membrane
 - b) Bending shell
 - c) Shell like a member









- Basic Equations
 - Simplified Linear Shell Theory
 - The Love-Kirchhoff assumptions (simplified model)
 - The shell thickness is negligibly small in comparison with the least radius of curvature of the shell middle surface (shell is thin)
 - Strains and displacements that arise within the shell are small (products of deformations quantities that occur in the derivation of the theory may be neglected, ensuring that the system is described by a set of geometrically linear equations)
 - Straight lines that are normal to the middle surface prior to deformation remain straight and normal to the middle surface during deformation and experience no change in length (analogue to hypothesis for beams plane sections before bending remain plane after bending)
 - The direct stress actin in the direction normal to the shell middle surface is negligible (not valid in the vicinity of concentrated transverse loads)





Model of an axi-symmetrical Loaded Shell



Model of an axisymetrically loaded shell



Geometrical parameter of the spherical shell





- General Rotation Shell
 - Membrane Theory: Equilibrium Equations for Unsymmetrical Actions



$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \left(N_{\varphi} r_{0} \right) + \frac{\partial N_{\theta \varphi}}{\partial \theta} r_{1} - N_{\theta} r_{1} \cos \varphi + Y r_{1} r_{0} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \left(r_{0} N_{\varphi \theta} \right) + \frac{\partial N_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} r_{1} + N_{\theta \varphi} r_{1} \cos \varphi + X r_{0} r_{1} = 0$$

$$\frac{N_{\varphi}}{r_{1}} + \frac{N_{\theta}}{r_{2}} + z = 0$$




- Cylindrical Shells
 - Bending Theory Axisymmetric Loading



$$\begin{cases} \frac{dN_x}{dx} a \cdot dx \cdot d\varphi = 0\\ \frac{dQ_x}{dx} a \cdot dx \cdot d\varphi + N_{\varphi} dx \cdot d\varphi + Z \cdot a \cdot dx \cdot d\varphi = 0\\ \frac{dM_x}{dx} a \cdot dx \cdot d\varphi - Q_x \cdot a \cdot dx \cdot d\varphi = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$D\frac{d^4w}{dx^4} + \frac{Eh}{a^2}w = Z ; \qquad D = \frac{Eh^3}{12(1-v^3)}$$





• Shells







• Basic Equations

| Aspect | Equation | Unknown |
|--|----------|---------|
| 1. Equilibrium equations (static) | 5 | 8 |
| 2. Deformability compatibility (geometric) | 9 | 12 |
| 3. Physical aspect | 6 | |
| TOTAL | 20 | 20 |





- Buckling of Cylindrical Shells in Compression
 - General Case





Equilibrium equations for elastic buckling:

$$a\frac{\partial N_{x}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial N_{yz}}{\partial \theta} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial N_{y}}{\partial \theta} + a\frac{\partial N_{x}}{\partial x} + aN_{x}\frac{\partial^{2}v}{\partial x^{2}} + \frac{\partial M_{xy}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial M_{x}}{a\partial \theta} = 0$$

$$aN_{x}\frac{\partial^{2}w}{\partial x^{2}} + N_{y} + a\frac{\partial^{2}M_{x}}{\partial x^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2}M_{yx}}{\partial x\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial^{2}M_{y}}{a\partial \theta^{2}} - \frac{\partial^{2}M_{xy}}{\partial x\partial \theta} = 0$$

with solutions:

$$u = A \sin n\theta \cos \frac{m\pi x}{l}$$
$$v = B \cos n\theta \sin \frac{m\pi x}{l}$$
$$w = C \sin n\theta \sin \frac{m\pi x}{l}$$

v = 0 $n = 0 \implies u, w = f(x)$

axial – symetrical buckling





- Cylindrical Shells
 - Membrane Theory Application for Wind Action



$$N_{\varphi} = \frac{q\eta^2}{r_0} \cos\theta$$

$$N_{\varphi\theta} = -2q\eta\sin\theta$$

$$N_{\theta} = -qr_0\cos\theta$$



r=a



correspond to the same buckling load

- Simplified Design Formulae (Cylindrical shells)
 - Two possible approaches
 - **Overall column buckling if I/r ratio is large**
 - Shell buckling which involves the cross section deformation and can be, in general, either:
 - Axisymmetric, when the displacement are constant around circumferential section
 - Asymmetric (chessboard shape), when waves are formed in both axial circumferential directions It can be shown theoretically that both modes







- Simplified Design Formulae
 - Axial-symmetric buckling of cylindrical shell in compression



$$D\frac{d^{4}v}{dx^{4}} + N_{x}\frac{d^{2}w}{dx^{2}} + Eh\frac{w}{a^{2}} = 0$$

$$D = \frac{Eh^{3}}{12(1-\mu^{2})}$$
Radial displacement:

$$w = -A\sin\frac{m\pi x}{l}$$
Elastic critical axial stress ($\sigma_{cr} = \frac{N_{cr}}{h}$
 $\sigma_{cr} = \frac{Eh}{a\sqrt{3(1-v^{2})}}$; $\frac{m\pi}{l} = 4\sqrt{\frac{Eh}{a^{2}L}}$
For v=0.3 $\Rightarrow -\frac{l}{l} \approx 1.72\sqrt{ah}$

- In case of axial-symmetrical buckling, the critical shear does not depend of cylinder length!
- If one of the cylinder ends is free (w≠0), σ_{cr} drops to 38% compared to simple supported case.
- Cylinder is highly sensitive to tangential displacements at the boundaries. If v ≠ 0, critical stress drops to 50%!





- Simplified Design Formulae
 - Axial-symmetric buckling of cylindrical shell in compression



Post-elastic critical buckling

$$\sigma_{cr} = \frac{h\sqrt{EE_t}}{a\sqrt{3(1-v^2)}}$$
$$\frac{l}{m} \approx 1.72\sqrt{ah}\sqrt{\frac{E_t}{E}}$$





- Simplified Design Formulae
 - Axial-symmetric buckling of cylindrical shell in compression
 - Post-critical buckling: stable and unstable components

$$\sigma_{cr} = \frac{N_{cr}}{h} = D\left(\frac{m^2\pi^2}{hl^2} + \frac{E}{a^2D}\frac{l^2}{m^2\pi^2}\right)$$
$$N_{cr} = D\left(\frac{m\pi^2}{l}\right)^2 + \frac{Eh}{a^2}\left(\frac{h}{m\pi}\right)^2$$

Stable component (inextensional bending deformation – x direction) Unstable component (extensionally circumferential deformation – y direction







- Simplified Design Formulae
 - Axial-symmetric buckling of cylindrical shell in compression
 - Post-critical buckling equation



 The effect of circumferential extensional deformations increases the value of critical load, but change the type of instability from stable to unstable!





- Simplified Design Formulae
 - Axial-symmetric buckling of cylindrical shell in compression
 - Examples: medium length cylinder
 - (i,j) i = no. of longitudinal half-length waves;
 - j = no. of circumferential half-length waves







- Simplified Design Formulae
 - Axial-symmetric buckling of cylindrical shell in compression
 - Examples: long cylinder









- Simplified Design Formulae
 - Axial-symmetric buckling of cylindrical shell in compression
 - Examples: short cylinder







- Simplified Design Formulae
 - Axial-symmetric buckling of cylindrical shell in compression
 - Principle of ECCS approach (ECCS Recommendations 1998)
 - Real cylinders are highly sensitive to imperfections
 - "Knock-down" factor α is introduced to account for imperfections and for plastic effects

$$\sigma_d = \alpha \sigma_{cr}$$

- α depends on:
 - Shell geometry
 - Loading conditions
 - Initial imperfections
 - Material properties







- Simplified Design Formulae
 - Axial-symmetric buckling of cylindrical shell in compression
 - Principle of ECCS approach
 - For unstiffened cylinders, is similar to the one for column in axial compression

$$\lambda \ge \sqrt{2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha \sigma_{cr} \le 0.5 f_y$$
$$\frac{\sigma_n}{f_y} = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right) \frac{1}{\gamma_{M1}}$$

$$\lambda \leq \sqrt{2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha \sigma_{cr} \geq 0.5 f_y$$
$$\frac{\sigma_n}{f_y} = 1 - 0.4123 \lambda^{1.2}$$







- Simplified Design Formulae
 - Buckling of cylindrical shells under external pressure
 - Membrane (hoop) stress in practical range

$$\sigma_{y} = \frac{pa}{h}; \qquad \sigma_{x} = 0$$

$$\sigma_{y} = \frac{pa}{h}; \qquad \sigma_{x} = 0.5\sigma_{\theta}$$

• Von Misses formula for critical pressure, σ_{cr}

$$\sigma_{cr} = \frac{Eh}{a} \left[\frac{1}{\left(n^2 - 1\right)\lambda_3^2} + \frac{h^2}{12a^2} \left(n^2 - 1 + \frac{2n^2 - 1 - \nu}{\lambda_3}\right) \right]$$

$$\lambda_{1} = \frac{\pi a}{l}; \quad \lambda_{3} = 1 + \left(\frac{n}{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{2}$$

$$p_{cr} = \frac{Eh}{a} \left(\frac{1}{n^{2}\lambda_{3}^{2}} + \frac{h^{2}}{12a^{2}}n^{2}\lambda_{3}^{2}\right) \left[1 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{-1}$$

$$n \Rightarrow \min p_{cr}$$



Simplified formulae for long cylinders

$$p_{cr} = \frac{Eh^3(n^2 - 1)}{12a^3(1 - \nu^2)}$$





- Simplified Design Formulae
 - Buckling of cylindrical shells under external pressure
 - Principle of ECCS approach

$$0 \le \lambda \le 1 \quad \frac{p_u}{p_y} = \frac{1}{1 + \lambda^2}$$

$$\lambda > 1 \quad \frac{p_u}{p_y} = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^2}; \quad \alpha = 0.5$$

$$\lambda = \sqrt{\left(\frac{p_y}{p_{cr}}\right)}$$

$$P_{cr} = E\left(\frac{h}{a}\right)\beta_{\min}$$

for $l/a \ge 0.5 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \beta_{\min} = \frac{0.855}{\left(1 - \nu^2\right)} \frac{a}{l} \left(\frac{h}{a}\right)^{1.5}$







- Simplified Design Formulae
 - Buckling of cylindrical shells under external pressure
 - Principle of ECCS approach
 - Wind action is more complex than simply an external pressure
 - It is needed to check the cylinder stability separately for:
 - Wind radial pressure
 - Wind axial effects
 - Wind tangent effects
 - Interaction of the three
 - Approximately, wind critical pressure can be taken as 1.6 times critical external pressure (Maderspach, Gaunt, Sword)
 - ECCS Design Recommendations (No. 125/2008) offers also a solution





- Simplified Design Formulae
 - Buckling of cylindrical shells under compression and external pressure







- Simplified Design Formulae
 - Buckling of cylindrical shells in bending
 - (Flügge) →

$$\left(\sigma_{cr,x}\right)^{M} \approx 1.33 \left(\sigma_{cr,x}\right)^{N_{x}}$$

• Long cylinders (Brazier) →

$$M_{cr} = \frac{0.99}{\left(1 - v^2\right)} Eh^2 a$$







1->

Introduction to Design of Shell Structures Methods of Analysis

- Simplified Design Formulae
 - Buckling of cylindrical shells in torsion
 - (Swerin and Flügge) → long cylinders

$$\tau_{cr} = \frac{E}{3\sqrt{2}\left(1 - v^2\right)^{3/4}} \left(\frac{h}{a}\right)^{3/4}$$

• (Donnel) → short and medium long cylindrical shells

r

• Fixed end

$$\tau_{cr} = \frac{E}{\left(1 - \nu^2\right)} \left(\frac{h}{l}\right)^2 \left\{ 4.6 + \left[7.8 + 1.67\left(\sqrt{1 - \nu^2} \frac{l}{2ah}\right)^{3/2}\right]^{1/2} \right\}$$

2

• Simple Supported End

$$\tau_{cr} = \frac{E}{\left(1 - \nu^2\right)} \left(\frac{h}{l}\right)^2 \left\{ 2.8 + \left[2.6 + 1.4\left(\sqrt{1 - \nu^2} \frac{l^2}{2ah}\right)^{3/2}\right]^{1/2} \right\}$$





- Simplified Design Formulae
 - Cylindrical shells under interactive buckling
- Bending + torsion

$$\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{cr}} + \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_{cr}}\right)^2 = 1$$

Bending + external pressure

$$\frac{M}{M_{cr}} + \frac{p}{p_{cr}} = 1 \quad or \quad \left(\frac{\sigma_x}{\sigma_{x,cr}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{p}{0.9p_{cr}}\right)^2 = 1$$

• Compression + torsion

$$\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{x,cr}} + \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_{cr}}\right)^2 = 1$$

• External pressure + torsion

$$\frac{p}{p_{cr}} + \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_{cr}}\right)^2 = 1$$

 Axial compression + external pressure + torsion

$$\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{x,cr}} + \frac{p}{p_{cr}} + \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_{cr}}\right)^2 = 1$$

• Axial compression + bending + torsion

$$\frac{\sigma_x}{\sigma_{x,cr}^N} + \frac{\sigma_x}{\sigma_{x,cr}^M} + \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_{cr}}\right)^2 = 1$$





- Basis of design and modelling
 - Shells shall be designed in acc. with EN1990 and, in particular, to satisfy the following requirements:
 - Overall equilibrium
 - Equilibrium between actions and internal forces and moments
 - Limitation of cracks due to cyclic plastification
 - Limitation of cracks due to fatigue
 - Types of analysis:
 - Global analysis
 - Membrane theory analysis
 - Linear elastic shell analysis
 - Linear elastic bifurcation analysis
 - Geometrically nonlinear elastic analysis
 - Materially nonlinear analysis
 - Geometrically and materially nonlinear analysis
 - Geometrically nonlinear elastic analysis with imperfections included
 - Geometrically and materially nonlinear analysis with imperfections





Design of Steel Structures: Strength and Stability of Shells

- Basis of design and modelling
 - Shells shall be designed in acc. with EN1990 and, in particular, to satisfy the following requirements:
 - Overall equilibrium
 - Equilibrium between actions and internal forces and moments
 - Limitation of cracks due to cyclic plastification
 - Limitation of cracks due to fatigue
 - Types of analysis:
 - Global analysis <
 - Membrane theory analysis
 - Linear elastic shell analysis
 - Linear elastic bifurcation analysis
 - Geometrically nonlinear elastic analysis
 - Materially nonlinear analysis
 - Geometrically and materially nonlinear analysis
 - Geometrically nonlinear elastic analysis with imperfections included
 - Geometrically and materially nonlinear analysis with imperfections

approximate treatments of certain parts of the structure





Design of Steel Structures: Strength and Stability of Shells

- Basis of design and modelling
 - Shells shall be designed in acc. with EN1990 and, in particular, to satisfy the following requirements:
 - Overall equilibrium
 - Equilibrium between actions and internal forces and moments
 - Limitation of cracks due to cyclic plastification
 - Limitation of cracks due to fatigue
 - Types of analysis:
 - Global analysis
 - Membrane theory analysis
 - Linear elastic shell analysis
 - Linear elastic bifurcation analysis
 - Geometrically nonlinear elastic ana
 - Materially nonlinear analysis
 - Geometrically and materially nonli

Conditions of use:

- the boundary conditions are appropriate for transfer of the stresses in the shell into support
- reactions without causing bending effects;
- the shell geometry varies smoothly in shape (without discontinuities);
- the loads have a smooth distribution (without locally concentrated or point loads).
- Geometrically nonlinear elastic ananysis with imperiections included
- Geometrically and materially nonlinear analysis with imperfections





Design of Steel Structures: Strength and Stability of Shells

- Basis of design and modelling
 - Shells shall be designed in acc. with EN1990 and, in particular, to satisfy the following requirements:
 - Overall equilibrium
 - Equilibrium between actions and internal forces and moments
 - Limitation of cracks due to cyclic plastification
 - Limitation of cracks due to fatigue
 - Types of analysis:
 - Global analysis
 - Membrane theory analysis
 - Linear elastic shell analysis
 - Linear elastic bifurcation analysis
 - Geometrically nonlinear elastic analysis
 - Materially nonlinear analysis
 - Geometrically and materially nonlinear analysis
 - Geometrically nonlinear elastic analysis with imperfections included
 - Geometrically and materially nonlinear analysis with imperfections

-linear elastic material law

- linear small deflection theory (undeformed
- geometry)





Design of Steel Structures: Strength and Stability of Shells

- Basis of design and modelling
 - Shells shall be designed in acc. with EN1990 and, in particular, to satisfy the following requirements:
 - Overall equilibrium
 - Equilibrium between actions and internal forces and moments
 - Limitation of cracks due to cyclic plastification
 - Limitation of cracks due to fatigue
 - Types of analysis:
 - Global analysis
 - Membrane theory analysis
 - Linear elastic shell analysis
 - Linear elastic bifurcation analysis
 - Geometrically nonlinear elastic analys
 - Materially nonlinear analysis
 - Geometrically and materially nonlinea
 - Geometrically nonlinear elastic analysis with imperfections included
 - Geometrically and materially nonlinear analysis with imperfections

LBA

- linear elastic material law
- linear small deflection theory
- imperfections of all kinds are ignored
- the basis of the critical buckling resistance evaluation





Design of Steel Structures: Strength and Stability of Shells

- Basis of design and modelling
 - Shells shall be designed in acc. with EN1990 and, in particular, to satisfy the following requirements:
 - Overall equilibrium
 - Equilibrium between actions and internal forces and moments
 - Limitation of cracks due to cyclic plastification
 - Limitation of cracks due to fatigue
 - Types of analysis:
 - Global analysis
 - Membrane theory analysis
 - Linear elastic shell analysis
 - Linear elastic bifurcation analysis
 - Geometrically nonlinear elastic analysis
 - Materially nonlinear analysis
 - Geometrically and materially nonlinear analysis
 - Geometrically nonlinear elastic analysis with imperfections included
 - Geometrically and materially nonlinear analysis with imperfections

GNA

-change in the geometry of the structure
- the elastic buckling load of the perfect structure





Design of Steel Structures: Strength and Stability of Shells

- Basis of design and modelling
 - Shells shall be designed in acc. with EN1990 and, in particular, to satisfy the following requirements:
 - Overall equilibrium
 - Equilibrium between actions and internal forces and moments
 - Limitation of cracks due to cyclic plastification
 - Limitation of cracks due to fatigue
 - Types of analysis:
 - Global analysis
 - Membrane theory analysis
 - Linear elastic shell analysis
 - Linear elastic bifurcation analysis
 - Geometrically nonlinear elastic
 - Materially nonlinear analysis
 - Geometrically and materially nonlinea
 - Geometrically nonlinear elastic analysis with imperfections included
 - Geometrically and materially nonlinear analysis with imperfections

MNA

- gives the plastic limit load and the plastic strain increment $\Delta\epsilon$





Design of Steel Structures: Strength and Stability of Shells

- Basis of design and modelling
 - Shells shall be designed in acc. with EN1990 and, in particular, to satisfy the following requirements:
 - Overall equilibrium
 - Equilibrium between actions and internal forces and moments
 - Limitation of cracks due to cyclic plastification
 - Limitation of cracks due to fatigue
 - Types of analysis:
 - Global analysis
 - Membrane theory analysis
 - Linear elastic shell analysis
 - Linear elastic bifurcation analysis
 - Geometrically nonlinear elastic analy
 - Materially nonlinear analysis
 - Geometrically and materially n
 - Geometrically nonlinear elastic analy
 - Geometrically and materially nonlinear analysis with imperfections

GMNA

- gives the geometrically nonlinear plastic limit
- load and the plastic strain increment





Design of Steel Structures: Strength and Stability of Shells

- **Basis of design and modelling**
 - Shells shall be designed in acc. with EN1990 and, in particular, to satisfy the following requirements:
 - **Overall equilibrium**
 - Equilibrium between actions and internal forces and moments
 - Limitation of cracks due to cyclic plastification ٠
 - Limitation of cracks due to fatigue
 - **Types of analysis:**
 - **Global analysis**
 - Membrane theory analysis
 - Linear elastic shell analysis
 - Linear elastic bifurcation analysis
 - **Geometrically nonlinear elastic analysis**
 - **Materially nonlinear analysis** ٠
 - Geometrically and materially nonline where compression or shear stresses
 - Geometrically nonlinear elastic dominate in the shell
 - Geometrically and materially nonline elastic buckling loads of the "real" imperfect ٠

GNIA

- structure





Design of Steel Structures: Strength and Stability of Shells

- Basis of design and modelling
 - Shells shall be designed in acc. with EN1990 and, in particular, to satisfy the following requirements:
 - Overall equilibrium
 - Equilibrium between actions and internal forces and moments
 - Limitation of cracks due to cyclic plastification
 - Limitation of cracks due to fatigue
 - Types of analysis:
 - Global analysis
 - Membrane theory analysis
 - Linear elastic shell analysis
 - Linear elastic bifurcation analysis
 - Geometrically nonlinear elastic analysis
 - Materially nonlinear analysis
 - Geometrically and materially nonline GI
 - Geometrically nonlinear elastic analy
 - Geometrically and materially name

GMNIA

 gives the elasto-plastic buckling loads for the "real" imperfect structure

- elastic buckling loads of the "real" imperfect structure





Design of Stool Structures: Strength and Stability of Shalls

| Type of analysis | Shell theory | Material law | Shell geometry |
|---|----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Membrane theory of shells | membrane equilibrium | not applicable | perfect |
| Linear elastic shell analysis (LA) | linear bending and stretching | linear | perfect |
| Linear elastic bifurcation analysis (LBA) | linear bending and stretching | linear | perfect |
| Geometrically non-linear elastic analysis (GNA) | non-linear | linear | perfect |
| Materially non-linear analysis (MNA) | linear | non-linear | perfect |
| Geometrically and materially non-linear analysis (GMNA) | non-linear | non-linear | perfect |
| Geometrically non-linear elastic analysis with imperfections (GNIA) | non-linear | linear | imperfect |
| Geometrically and materially non-linear analysis with imperfections (GMNIA) | non-linear | non-linear | imperfect |

fect





- Materials and geometry
 - The rules in EN 1993-1-6 are not limited to steel shell structures
 - The standard is valid for isotropic shells and shell segments made from any materials that may be represented as ideal elastic-plastic
 - For materials with no well defined yield point, 0.2% proof stress can be taken
 - The material properties apply to temperatures not exceeding 150 °C (otherwise see EN 13084-7, 2005)
 - Where materials has a significant different stress strain curve, there are alternative ways of representation of the material behaviour
 - Bauschinger effect
 - For austenitic steels (and aluminium alloys) at higher plastic strains, Rasmussen (2003) curve is more appropriate than Ramberg-Osgood





- Materials and geometry
 - The rules in EN 1993-1-6 are not limited to steel shell structures









- Geometrical tolerances and imperfections
 - Relevant tolerances due to the requirements of serviceability:
 - out-of-roundness (deviation from circularity)
 - eccentricities (deviations from a continuous middle surface in the direction normal to the shell along junctions of plates)
 - local dimples (local normal deviations from the nominal middle surface)
 - Other forms of geometric imperfections:
 - deviations from nominal thickness
 - lack of evenness of supports
 - Material imperfections:
 - residual stresses caused by rolling, pressing, welding, straightening etc.
 - inhomogeneities and anisotropies
 - Wear and corrosion
 - Non-uniformities of loading
 - Residual stresses






Design of Steel Structures: Strength and Stability of Shells

- Ultimate limit states in steel shells
 - LS1: Plastic limit
 - Identifies the strength of the structure when stability plays no significant role.
 - Covers two conditions:
 - tensile rupture or compressive yield through the full thickness
 - development of a plastic collapse mechanism involving bending
 - The plastic limit load is also relevant to a buckling strength assessment

 R_{pl} - the plastic limit load R_{cr} - the elastic critical load

• The plastic limit load does not represent the real strength (even for stocky structures): strain hardening of material, stabilizing or destabilizing effects due to change in geometry





Design of Steel Structures: Strength and Stability of Shells

- Ultimate limit states in steel shells
 - LS1: Plastic limit
 - Types of analysis:
 - MNA: often underestimates the strength very considerably



- Membrane theory calculations:
 - If the stress state is entirely axisymmetric, it gives a close approximation to the true condition at plastic collapse

$$n_{eq} = \sqrt{n_1^2 - n_1 n_2 + n_2^2} = \sqrt{n_x^2 - n_x n_\theta + n_\theta^2 + 3x_{e\theta}^2}$$

 if the stresses are significantly unsymmetrical, this criterion often provides a very conservative estimate of the plastic limit load





- Ultimate limit states in steel shells
 - LS1: Plastic limit
 - Types of analysis:
 - Linear elastic shell bending theory: This is commonly more conservative than membrane theory calculation (is based on the first yield on the surface)
 - Geometrically nonlinear calculation (GMNA): problems arise over whether the structure displays geometric hardening or geometric softening
 - The plastic limit load should be seen only as the ideal value of the plastic reference resistance





- Ultimate limit states in steel shells
 - LS2: Cyclic plasticity:
 - Repeated cycles of loading and unloading, eventually leading to local cracking by exhaustion of the energy absorption capacity of the material
 - Low cycle fatigue failure may be assumed to be prevented if the procedures set out in the standard are adopted
 - Methods of analysis:
 - expressions in Annex C
 - elastic analysis (LA or GNA)
 - MNA or GMNA and find plastic strains
 - LS4: Fatigue:
 - Repeated cycles of increasing and decreasing stress lead to the development of a fatigue crack
 - Methods of analysis:
 - expressions in Annex C (using stress concentration factors)
 - elastic analysis (LA or GNA), using stress concentration factors





- Ultimate limit states in steel shells
 - LS3: Buckling:
 - Caused by loss of stability under compressive membrane or shear membrane stresses in the shell wall, leading to inability to sustain any increase in the stress resultants, possibly causing catastrophic failure
 - Three approaches used in the assessment of buckling resistance:
 - GMNIA analysis
 - MNA/LBA analysis
 - Buckling stresses
 - The strength under LS3 depends strongly on the quality of construction
 - For this purpose, three fabrication quality classes are set out





Design of Steel Structures: Strength and Stability of Shells

- Design concepts for the limit states design of shells
 - The limit state verification should be carried out using one of the following:
 - Stress design:
 - primary
 - secondary
 - Local

In general, **primary** stress states control LS1, whereas **secondary** stress states affect LS2 and LS3 and **local** stresses govern LS4.

- Direct design by application of standard expressions:
 - the limit states may be represented by standard expressions that have been derived from either membrane theory, plastic mechanism theory or linear elastic analysis
 - The membrane theory (Annex A) primary stresses needed for assessing LS1 and LS3.
 - The plastic design (Annex B) plastic limit loads for assessing LS1
 - The linear elastic analysis (Annex C) stresses of the primary plus secondary stress type for assessing LS2 and LS4. An LS3 assessment may be based on the membrane part of these expressions.
- Design by global numerical analysis





- Stress resultants and stresses in shells
 - Stresses:
 - There are eight stress resultants in the shell
 - However, the shear stresses τ_{xn} , $\tau_{\theta n}$ due to the transverse shear forces q_{xn} , $q_{\theta n}$ are insignificant and they may usually be neglected in design
 - For most design purposes, the evaluation of the limit states may be made using only the six stress resultants in the shell wall n_x , n_{θ} , $n_{x\theta}$, m_x , m_{θ} , $m_{x\theta}$
 - Where the structure is <u>axisymmetric</u> and subject only to <u>axisymmetric loading</u> and support, only n_x , n_θ , m_x and m_θ need be used
 - Modelling:
 - Represention by its middle surface
 - Nominal radius of curvature, imperfections neglected (excepting LS3)
 - Eccentricities and steps if they induce significant effects
 - Eccentricity at junctions between shell segments
 - Stringers, corrugations, holes, depending on the conditions
 - Boundary conditions

| Boundary condition code | Simple term | Description | Normal displacements | Vertical displacements | Meridional rotation | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|---|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|----|
| BC1r | Clamped | radially restrained meridionally restrained rotation restrained | w = 0 | u = 0 | βφ = 0 | |
| BC1f | | radially restrained meridionally restrained rotation free | w = 0 | u = 0 | βφ ≠ 0 | |
| BC2r | | radially restrained meridionally free rotation restrained | w = 0 | u ≠ 0 | βφ = 0 | |
| BC2f | Pinned | radially restrained meridionally free rotation free | w = 0 | u ≠ 0 | βφ ≠ 0 | re |
| BC3 | Free edge | radially free meridionally free rotation free | w ≠ 0 | u ≠ 0 | βφ ≠ 0 | nd |

U

NOTE: The circumferential displacement v is closely linked to the displacement w normal to the surface so separate boundary conditions are not identified in paragraph (3) for these two parameters.







- Plastic limit state (LS1)
 - The plastic reference resistance R_{pl}
 - Where it is not possible to undertake a materially non-linear analysis (MNA), the plastic reference resistance R_{pl} may be conservatively estimated from linear shell analysis (LA) conducted using the design values of the applied combination of actions using the following procedure.







Design of Steel Structures: Strength and Stability of Shells

- Buckling limit state (LS3)
 - To find out the design buckling resistance
 - Defined as a load factor R applied to the design values of the combination of actions for the relevant load case
 - Different approaches have been proposed, difficult to generalise
 - In EN 1993-1-6, a considerable effort to produce general procedures applicable to all geometries, all loading conditions and all material conditions

no stiffening ring -

Buckling-relevant boundary conditions







c) tank with anchors





a) tank without anchors





Design of Steel Structures: Strength and Stability of Shells

Buckling-relevant geometrical tolerances

| | Diameter range | $d \leq 0$, | 50m | 0,50m < d < d | < 1,25m | $1,25m \le d$ | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|--------------|---------|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| Fabrication tolerance | Description | | | Value o | f U _{r,max} | | |
| quality class | | | | | | | |
| Class A | Excellent | 0,0 | 14 | 0,007 + 0,009 | 3(1,25-d) | 0,007 | |
| Class B | High | 0,02 | 20 | 0,010 + 0,013 | 3(1,25-d) | 0,010 | |
| Class C | Normal | 0,03 | 30 | 0,015 + 0,020 | 0(1,25-d) | 0,015 | |
| Fabrication toler class | ance quality | Descriptio | on | M acc | aximum per vidental ecce | mitted ntricity |] |
| Class A | | Excellent | | | $e_a \le 2 mr$ | n | Τ |
| Class B | | High | | | $e_a \le 3 \text{ mm}$ | n | |
| Class C | | Normal | | | $e_{\rm a} \le 4 { m mr}$ | n | |
| Fabrication tole | rance quality class | | De | scription | Valu | ue of $U_{e,\max}$ | |
| Class A | | | Ex | cellent | | 0,14 | |
| Class B | | | Hi | gh | | 0,20 | |
| Class C | | | No | rmal | | 0,30 | |
| Fabrication toler | ance quality class | | Descrip | otion | Value | of U _{0.max} | |
| Class A | | | Excelle | nt | 0, | ,006 | |
| Class B | | | High | | 0, | 010 | |
| Class C | | | Normal | | 0, | 016 | |





b) unsymmetrical



a) unintended eccentricity when there is no change of plate thickness



b) intended offset at a c) change of plate thickness without unintended eccentricity

t_{min}

max











d) Second measurement on circumferential circle





b) First measurement on a circumferential circle





- Stress design
 - <u>Design values of stresses</u> σ_{x,Ed}, σ_{θ,Ed} and τ_{xθ,Ed}: taken as the key values of compressive and shear membrane stresses obtained from linear shell analysis (LA).
 - Design resistance (buckling strength):

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Rk}} &= \chi_{\mathbf{X}} f_{\mathbf{y},\mathbf{k}}, \quad \sigma_{\theta,\mathbf{Rk}} = \chi_{\theta} f_{\mathbf{y},\mathbf{k}}, \quad \tau_{\mathbf{X}\theta,\mathbf{Rk}} = \chi_{\mathbf{t}} f_{\mathbf{y},\mathbf{k}} / \sqrt{3} \\ \chi &= 1 & \text{when} & \overline{\lambda} \leq \overline{\lambda}_{0} & \overline{\lambda}_{p} = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{1-\beta}} \\ \chi &= 1 - \beta \left(\frac{\overline{\lambda} - \overline{\lambda}_{0}}{\overline{\lambda}_{p} - \overline{\lambda}_{0}}\right)^{\eta} & \text{when} & \overline{\lambda}_{0} < \overline{\lambda} < \overline{\lambda}_{p} & \mathbf{ho}_{0} - \mathbf{squash} \text{ limit relative slenderness} \\ \chi &= \frac{\alpha}{\overline{\lambda}^{2}} & \text{when} & \overline{\lambda}_{p} \leq \overline{\lambda} & \frac{\overline{\lambda}_{x}}{\overline{\lambda}_{p}} = \sqrt{f_{\mathbf{y},\mathbf{k}} / \sigma_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{Rer}}} \\ \overline{\lambda}_{\theta} &= \sqrt{f_{\mathbf{y},\mathbf{k}} / \sigma_{\theta,\mathbf{Rer}}} \\ \overline{\lambda}_{\tau} &= \sqrt{(f_{\mathbf{y},\mathbf{k}} / \sqrt{3}) / \tau_{\mathbf{x}\theta,\mathbf{Rer}}} \\ \overline{\sigma}_{\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Rd}} &= \sigma_{\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Rk}} / \gamma_{\mathbf{M}1}, \quad \sigma_{\theta,\mathbf{Rd}} = \sigma_{\theta,\mathbf{Rk}} / \gamma_{\mathbf{M}1}, \quad \tau_{\mathbf{X}\theta,\mathbf{Rd}} = \tau_{\mathbf{X}\theta,\mathbf{Rk}} / \gamma_{\mathbf{M}1} \end{aligned}$$





Design of Steel Structures: Strength and Stability of Shells

- Stress limitation (buckling strength verification)
 - The influence of bending stresses may be neglected provided they arise as a result of boundary compatibility effects.
 - In the case of bending stresses from local loads or from thermal gradients, special consideration should be given.
 - Following checks for the key values of single membrane stress components should be carried out:

$$\sigma_{x,\text{Ed}} \leq \sigma_{x,\text{Rd}}, \, \sigma_{\theta,\text{Ed}} \leq \sigma_{\theta,\text{Rd}}, \, \tau_{x\theta,\text{Ed}} \leq \tau_{x\theta,\text{Rd}}$$

 For more than one buckling-relevant membrane stress components, interaction check for the combined membrane stress state should be carried out:

$$\left(\frac{\sigma_{\mathbf{x},\mathrm{Ed}}}{\sigma_{\mathbf{x},\mathrm{Rd}}}\right)^{k_{x}} + \left(\frac{\sigma_{\theta,\mathrm{Ed}}}{\sigma_{\theta,\mathrm{Rd}}}\right)^{k_{\theta}} - k_{i}\left(\frac{\sigma_{\mathbf{x},\mathrm{Ed}}}{\sigma_{\mathbf{x},\mathrm{Rd}}}\right)\left(\frac{\sigma_{\theta,\mathrm{Ed}}}{\sigma_{\theta,\mathrm{Rd}}}\right) + \left(\frac{\tau_{\mathbf{x},\theta,\mathrm{Ed}}}{\tau_{\mathbf{x},\theta,\mathrm{Rd}}}\right)^{k_{\tau}} \leq 1$$





- Buckling design
 - EN 1993-1-6 specifies three approaches that are approved for use in the assessment of buckling resistance:
 - Design by means of a global numerical MNA/LBA analysis
 - Design by means of a global numerical GMNIA analysis
 - Design by means of buckling stresses





- Buckling design by global numerical MNA/LBA analysis
 - It is recommended for many applications
 - It has the same basis as the traditional stress design buckling approach
 - All relevant combinations of actions causing compressive membrane stresses or shear membrane stresses in the shell wall shall be taken into account
 - It involves the following steps, see left hand side figure







- Buckling design by global numerical MNA/LBA analysis
 - It is recommended for many applications
 - It has the same basis as the traditional stress design buckling approach







- Design by global numerical GMNIA analysis
 - Developed to exploit the full power of modern numerical analysis
 - Application is more complex than for frame or plated structures.
 - Several sequence of analysis:
 - LA followed by a LBA to evaluate elastic critical buckling resistance
 - GMNA to identify the elastic-plastic buckling resistance of the perfect structure
 - **GMNIA with different imperfection modes (the lowest value is selected)**
 - Check the precision of the GMNIA by comparison with test or other relevant data
 - Methodology
 - Action combinations causing compressive membrane stresses or shear membrane stresses
 - R_k should be found from the imperfect elastic-plastic critical buckling resistance R_{GMNIA}, adjusted by the calibration factor k_{GMNIA}.
 - The design buckling resistance R_d should then be found using the partial factor $\gamma_{\text{M1}}.$





Design of Steel Structures: Strength and Stability of Shells



C1: The maximum load factor on the load-deformation-curve (limit load); C2: The bifurcation load factor, where this occurs during the loading path before reaching the limit point of the load-deformation-curve C3: The largest tolerable deformation, where this occurs during the loading path before reaching the bifurcation load or the limit load

C4: The load factor at which the equivalent stress at the most highly stressed point on the shell surface reaches the design value of the yield stress

- R_k should be found from the imperfect elastic-plastic critical buckling resistance R_{GMNIA}, adjusted by the calibration factor k_{GMNIA}.
- The design backler $R_k = k_{GMNIA} R_{GMNIA}$ sessment of R_{GMNIA} may be obtained factor γ_{M1} . $R_d = R_k / \gamma_{M1}$ using a GNIA $F_d \leq R_d F_d$ or $R_d \geq 1$





Design of Steel Structures: Strength and Stability of Shells

- **GMNIA** analysis
 - **Allowances for imperfections:**
 - geometric imperfections: pre-deformations, out of-roundness, irregularities at and near welds, thickness deviation. etc.

 - The designer should not forget equivalent geometric imperfections must in a constraint of all other types of imperfections (see above) mperfections in the ar to the middle surface of the

effections with the most unfavorable effect should be the most unfavorable effect on the buckling resistance RGMNIA of the shell); if practicable, they must reflect the constructional detailing and the boundary conditions





- **GMNIA** analysis
 - The analysis should be carried out for a sufficient number of different imperfection patterns, and the worst case (lowest value of R_{GMNIA}) should be identified.
 - The eigen-mode-affine pattern should be used (the critical buckling mode associated with the elastic critical buckling resistance R_{cr} based on an LBA analysis of the perfect shell)
 - The amplitude of the imperfection form dependent on the fabrication tolerance quality class

| Fabrication tolerance | Description | Recommended | Recommended |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| quality class | | value of Un1 | value of Un2 |
| Class A | Excellent | 0,010 | 0,010 |
| Class B | High | 0,016 | 0,016 |
| Class C | Normal | 0,025 | 0,025 |





Design of Steel Structures: Strength and Stability of Shells

- Imperfections
 - The maximum deviation of the geometry of the equivalent imperfection from the perfect shape $\Delta_{w0,eff} = \max (\Delta_{w0,eff,1}; \Delta_{w0,eff,2})$, where:

$$\Delta w_{0,eff,1} = l_g U_{n1} \qquad l_{gx} = 4\sqrt{rt}$$

$$\Delta w_{0,eff,2} = n_i t U_{n2} \qquad l_{g,\theta} = 2, 3(l^2 rt)^{0.25} \le r$$

 I_g relevant gauge lengths t local shell wall thickness n_i multiplier to achieve an appropriate tolerance level U_{n1} , U_{n2} dimple imperfection

- n_i = 25
- is a multiplier to achieve
 an appropriate tolerance level
 is the local shell wall thickness
 is all relevant gauge lengths
 (see Dimple tolerances)







- GMNIA validation
 - For each calculated value of the buckling resistance RGMNIA, the ratio of the imperfect to perfect resistance (R_{GMNIA} / R_{GMNA}) should be determined and compared with values of α found using the procedures of 8.5 and Annex D.
 - The reliability of the numerically determined critical buckling resistance R_{GMNIA} should be checked by one of the following methods:
 - by using the same program to calculate values R_{GMNIA}, check for other shell buckling cases for which characteristic buckling resistance values R_{k,known,check} are known;
 - by comparison of calculated values (R_{GMNIA,check}) against test results (R_{test,known,check}).

$$k_{GMNIA} = rac{R_{k,know,check}}{R_{GMNIA,check}}$$

 $k_{GMNIA} = rac{R_{test,know,check}}{R_{GMNIA,check}}$





- GMNIA validation
 - Where a known characteristic value based on existing established theory is used to determine k_{GMNIA} , and the calculated value of k_{GMNIA} lies outside the range 0,8 < k_{GMNIA} < 1,2, this procedure should not be used.
 - The characteristic buckling resistance should be obtained from:

$$R_k = k_{GMNIA} R_{GMNIA}$$

- R_{GMNIA} is the calculated imperfect elastic-plastic critical buckling resistance;
- **k**_{GMNIA} is the calibration factor.





Design of Steel Structures: Strength and Stability of Shells

ANNEX A - Membrane theory stresses in shells



Design of Steel Structures: Strength and Stability of Shells

• ANNEX B - Additional expressions for plastic collapse resistances

P_{nR} - plastic resistance (force per unit circumference)

Design of Steel Structures: Strength and Stability of Shells

• ANNEX C - Expressions for linear elastic membrane and bending stresses

$$\sigma_{\text{MTx}} = \frac{P_x}{t}$$

$$\sigma_{\text{MTx}} = \frac{P_x}{t}$$

Design of Steel Structures: Strength and Stability of Shells

- ANNEX D Expressions for buckling stress design
 - Unstiffened cylindrical shells of constant wall thickness

- Critical meridional buckling stresses
- Meridional buckling parameters
- **Circumferential (hoop) compression**
 - Critical circumferential buckling stresses
 - Circumferential buckling parameters

Shear

- Critical shear buckling stresses
- Shear buckling parameters
- Combinations of meridional (axial) compression, circumferential (hoop) compression and shear

Cylinder geometry, membrane stress resistances and stress resultant resistances

• Principles, simplified and advanced models, concentration of stresses, stiffening

- Simplified FEM model
 - Global analysis
 - A simplified bar model using 1D beam finite elements, with or without the account of global imperfection, using an elastic material law.
 - Aim of analysis find-out the internal forces needed for:
 - The global check of the tower capacity;
 - Refined local analysis of the relevant tower segments (between two consecutive flange that assure the appropriate boundary condition, radial restrained)
 - Local analysis of a tower segment
 - The 3D shell model should be build using 2D finite elements (plane), that can be: either <u>Homogeneous</u> shell, either <u>Membranes</u>

- Simplified FEM model
 - Local analysis of a tower segment
 - The 3D shell model should be build using 2D finite elements (plane), that can be: either <u>Homogeneous</u> shell, either <u>Membranes</u>
 - The geometry can be perfect / ideal or taking into account of the prescribed relevant geometrical imperfection:
 - out-of-roundness (deviation from circularity),
 - eccentricities (deviations from a continuous middle surface in the direction normal to the shell along junctions of plates),
 - local dimples (local normal deviations from the nominal middle surface).

b) unsymmetrical

- Advanced FEM Model
 - Modelling the entire structure with 2D finite elements with a special attention of details (connection flanges, welds connection, etc);
 - Material behavior:
 - Elastic
 - Plastic
 - Geometry:
 - Ideal
 - Imperfect
 - Finite elements types:
 - Homogenous shell

- Geometry and state of stress
 - Homogeneous shell with bending stiffness, sections consist of a shell thickness, material name, section Poisson's ratio.
 - Membranes represent thin surfaces in space that offer strength in the plane of the surface but have no bending stiffness. Membrane sections consist of a material name, membrane thickness, section Poisson's ratio.

🔲 Edit Material

- Material behavior law
 - Elastic
 - Elastic Plastic
 - Linear
 - Multi-linear

| scriptio | n: | | |
|-----------------------|---|--|--------|
| | | | |
| nacerna | Benaviors | | |
| lastic | | | |
| iusue. | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| <u>G</u> enera | Mechanical | Inermal Other | |
| lastic | | | |
| | | | |
| lardeni | ng: Isotropic | ~ | ▼ Subc |
| Use | strain-rate-deper | ndent data | |
| | | | |
| Use | temperature-dep | endent data | |
| Use Jumber | temperature-dep of field variables | endent data :: 0 🔿 | |
| Use Jumber Data | temperature-dep of field variables | endent data | |
| Use Jumber Data | temperature-dep of field variables Yield | Plastic | 1 |
| Use Jumber Data | temperature-dep of field variables Yield Stress | endent data . 0 Plastic Strain | 1 |
| Use Jumber Data | temperature-dep of field variables Yield Stress 355 | Plastic Strain 0 | |
| Use Jumber Data | temperature-dep of field variables Yield Stress 355 | Plastic Strain 0 | |
| Use Jumber Data | temperature-dep of field variables Yield Stress 355 | Plastic Strain 0 |] |
| Use Jumber Data | temperature-dep of field variables Yield Stress 355 | Plastic Strain 0 | |
| Use Jumber Data | temperature-dep of field variables Yield Stress 355 | Pendent data |] |
| Use Jumber Data | temperature-dep of field variables Yield Stress 355 | Plastic Strain 0 | |
| Use Jumber Data | temperature-dep of field variables Yield Stress 355 | Pendent data II 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |] |
| Use Jumber Data | temperature-dep of field variables Yield Stress 355 | Perdent data Plastic Strain 0 |] |
| Use Umber Data | temperature-dep of field variables Yield Stress 355 | endent data 0 * Plastic Strain 0 |] |

- Continuous
 - Powell,
 - Ramberg-Osgood

- Load cases
 - The load cases shall be determined from the combination of operational modes or other design situations, such as specific assembly, erection or maintenance conditions, with the external conditions.
 - All relevant load cases with a reasonable probability of occurrence shall be considered, together with the behavior of the control and protection system.
 - The design load cases used (IEC 61400-1:2005) to verify the structural integrity of a wind turbine shall be calculated by combining:
 - normal design situations and appropriate normal or extreme external conditions;
 - fault design situations and appropriate external conditions;
 - transportation, installation and maintenance design situations and appropriate external conditions.

- Load cases and design situations
 - Load cases and assumptions for global analysis
 - IEC 61400-1:2005
 - Dead loads Self-weight: tower head; tower body, installation etc.
 - Wind action (EN1991-1-4)
 - Seismic loads
 - Temperature
 - Ice
 - Design situations
 - Power production
 - Power production plus occurrence of fault or loss of electrical network connection
 - Start up
 - Normal shut down
 - Emergency shut down
 - Parked (standstill or idling)
 - Parked plus fault conditions
 - Transport, assembly, maintenance and repair




- Wind action using different load situation:
 - Global analysis to find-out the internal forces
 - Load of wind on tower (hub)
 - Simplified distribution (a2)
 - Surface distribution (a1)
 - Load from turbine's machinery
 - Concentrated force and moment
 - Equivalent load for buckling verification
 - Axisymmetric pressure distribution

a2





b) equivalent axisymmetric pressure distribution





- Solver technique
 - Pre-critical analysis and point results (Newton-Raphson)
 - Post-critical analysis with deformation—to-failure (displacement control, arc-length, modal analysis)
 - The Static Riks step (based on arc-length solver) is able to find solution during unstable loading response, when Static General step (based on N-R solver) stops at limit load.







 Results: Stability of steel shell structure General Report – Herbert Schmidt (JCSR 55(2000) 159-181)

70

Eigenvalue results axial compression different discretization levels



n_{el} = 4080

b)

r/t = 133, l/r=4 Material

Geometry

 $E = 210 \text{ kN/mm}^2$, v = 0.3

Boundary Conditions S3: $w = w_{xx} = N_x = v = 0$

Elements 4-noded



Eigenvalue results axial compression different boundary conditions

Eigenvalue results pure bending different discretization levels



a) 51 b) 52



Material E = 210 kN/mm², v = 0.3

Boundary Conditions S3: $w = w_{xx} = N_x = v = 0$

Elements 4-noded



Geometry and material as in Fig. 3

Number of elements n_{el} = 4080









- Stress concentrations
 - Modelling stress concentration (door opening)
 - Around door opening appear elevated values of the membrane stresses. This stresses that develop within a band of width of 2 Vrt adjacent to a restrained edge need not be consider in buckling calculations







Finite Element Application and Case Study

Steigung 1:4 ab Schweißnahfübergang

chamfer of 1:4 from welding toe

- Study Case
 - General geometrical data
 - Total height: 96.15 m
 - Shape: cylinder (conic last segment) stepwise variable wall thickness
 - Base diameter: 4300 mm (t=39 mm)
 - Top diameter: 2955 mm (t=12 mm)
 - Divided in 5 segments of ~ 20m length
 - Detailing
 - Flange bolted connection (M48 M27) between segments and welded connection between shells of different thickness









1350

A1

50

A2

125.0

1250

keine Quernähte in diesen Bereiche no-cross wise welds in this areas

4 FNV 1993: Kerbfallklasse 100 🔀

NENV 1993: detail category 100

200j

랅

0001

1030)

1180

A2

A2-A2

Introduction to Design of Shell Structures Finite Element Application and Case Study

- **Study Case**
 - **Door opening details**
 - **Ventilation opening** ۲









Nahl vorbeneilung 7 welding preparation



abfasen unter 45° auf beiden Seiten des Bleches

chamfer under 45° at both sides of the sheet





Steife mittig anordnen align stiffener centered





- Study Case
 - Numerical models
 - Simplified bar model
 - Refined local segment model
 - Relevant segments
 - Door opening segment
 - Entire model
 - Verification procedures
 - Analytical determination of moment capacity (LA) $\rightarrow M_{Rd}$
 - Characteristic buckling resistance (LBA + MNA)
 - The plastic reference resistance $\rightarrow R_{pl}$
 - The elastic critical buckling resistance $\rightarrow R_{cr}$
 - The overall buckling reduction factor $\longrightarrow R_k = \chi_{ov} R_{pl}$
 - Characteristic buckling resistance (GMNIA)
 - Calibration factor $\longrightarrow R_k = k_{GMNIA} R_{GMNIA}$





- Study Case
 - Expressions for buckling stress design
 - Unstiffened cylindrical shells
 - Critical meridional buckling stresses

$$\omega = \frac{l}{r} \sqrt{\frac{r}{t}} = \frac{l}{\sqrt{rt}} = \frac{20000}{\sqrt{2150 \cdot 13,14}} = 119$$

$$\sigma_{x,Rcr} = 0,605 \cdot E \cdot c_x \cdot \frac{t}{r} = 0,605 \cdot 2,1 \cdot 10^5 \cdot 0,943 \cdot \frac{12}{2150} = 669 \frac{N}{mm^2}$$

$$\frac{r}{t} = \frac{2150}{13,14} = 164 \Longrightarrow c_x = c_{x,N} = 1 + \frac{0,2}{c_{xb}} \left(1 - 2\omega \frac{t}{r}\right)$$

$$c_{x,N} = 1 + \frac{0,2}{1} \left(1 - 2 \cdot 119 \frac{12}{2150}\right) = 0,9434 \ge 0,6$$





Ν

Introduction to Design of Shell Structures

- **Study Case**
 - **Expressions for buckling stress design (hand calculation)**
 - **Unstiffened cylindrical shells**
 - **Meridional buckling parameters** •

$$\alpha_{x} = \frac{0,62}{1+1,91 \left(\frac{\Delta w_{k}}{t}\right)^{1.44}} = \frac{0,62}{1+1,91 \left(\frac{10,51}{13,14}\right)^{1.44}} = 0,25999$$

$$\Delta w_{k} = \frac{1}{Q} \sqrt{\frac{r}{t}} \cdot t = \frac{1}{16} \sqrt{\frac{2150}{13,14}} \cdot 13,14 = 10,51$$

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\lambda}_{x0} = 0,20 \\ \beta = 0,60 \\ \eta = 1,0 \end{cases}$$

$$\chi = 1-0,60 \cdot \left(\frac{0,728-0,2}{0,806-0,2}\right) = 0,477 \Rightarrow \sigma_{x,Rk} = 0,477 \cdot 355 = 169 \frac{N}{mm^{2}}$$





- Study Case
 - Expressions for buckling stress design (hand calculation)
 - Unstiffened cylindrical shells
 - Meridional stresses

$$\sigma^{N}_{x,Ed} = -\frac{F_{x}}{2\pi rt} = \frac{1770 \cdot 10^{3}}{2\pi \cdot 2150 \cdot 12} = 10,92 \frac{N}{mm^{2}}$$
$$\sigma^{M}_{x,Ed} = \pm \frac{M}{\pi r^{2}t} = \frac{17702 \cdot 10^{6}}{\pi \cdot 2150^{2} \cdot 12} = 102 \frac{N}{mm^{2}}$$



$$\sigma_{\rm X} = -\frac{F_{\rm X}}{2\pi r t} \qquad \qquad \sigma_{\rm X} = \pm \frac{{\rm M}}{\pi r^2 t}$$





- Study Case
 - Expressions for buckling stress design (hand calculation)
 - Unstiffened cylindrical shells
 - Critical circumferential buckling stresses

$$\omega = \frac{l}{r} \sqrt{\frac{r}{t}} = \frac{l}{\sqrt{rt}} = \frac{20000}{\sqrt{2150 \cdot 13,14}} = 119$$

$$\sigma_{\theta,Rcr} = 0.92 \cdot E \cdot \frac{c_{\theta}}{\omega} \cdot \frac{t}{r} = 0.92 \cdot 2.1 \cdot 10^5 \cdot \frac{1}{119} \cdot \frac{12}{2150} = 9.06 \frac{N}{mm^2}$$

$$1.63 \cdot \frac{r}{t} = 267.32$$





- Finite Element Application and Case Study
- Study Case
 - Expressions for buckling stress design (hand calculation)
 - Unstiffened cylindrical shells
 - Circumferential buckling parameters

$$\alpha_{\theta} = 0,5$$
 (Class C)

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\lambda}_{\theta 0} = 0, 40 \\ \beta = 0, 60 \quad \longrightarrow \overline{\lambda}_p = 1, 12 \qquad \overline{\lambda}_{\theta} = 6, 26 \ge 1, 12 \\ \eta = 1, 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\chi = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^2} = \frac{0.5}{6.26^2} = 0,0128 \Longrightarrow \sigma_{\theta,Rk} = 0,0128 \cdot 355 = 4,53 \frac{N}{mm^2}$$

$$\sigma_{\theta,Ed} = p_n \frac{r}{t} = 1,5 \cdot 1,102 \cdot 10^{-3} \frac{2150}{12} = 0,296 \frac{N}{mm^2}$$







 U_{n1}

Introduction to Design of Shell Structures

- Study Case Complete Model
 - Imperfection amplitude

$$l_{gx} = 4\sqrt{rt} = 4 \cdot \sqrt{4300 \cdot 12} = 908,63 \, mm$$

 $\Delta w_{0,eff,1} = l_g$

| Fabrication tolerance quality class | Description | Value of U _{n1} | Geometric tolerance normal to the shell surface |
|--|-------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Class A | Excellent | 0,010 | 9,086 |
| Class B | High | 0,016 | 14,538 |
| Class C | Normal | 0,025 | 22,7158 |





Mises

(Avg: 75%)

SNEG, (fraction = -1.0)

- Study Case Complete Model
 - LA / MNA at reference load results
 - Local plastic zones around connecting flanges
 - LBA results R_{cr} = 5,877







| Bottom section | Axial | Shear | Bending |
|-------------------|-------|-------|---------|
| | force | force | moment |
| | [kN] | [kN] | [kNm] |
| LA | 4323 | 1260 | 80088 |
| MNA | 4323 | 1260 | 80088 |





- Study Case Complete Model
 - MNA R_{pl} = 3,07
 - **GMNA**[']R_{pl} = 1,77
 - GMNIA R_{pl} = 1,49





| Reactions | Axial | Shear | Bending |
|-----------|-------|-------|---------|
| at | force | force | moment |
| | [kN] | [kN] | [kNm] |
| R = 1 | 4323 | 1260 | 80088 |





- Study Case Complete Model
 - Description of the complete shell model
 - LBA Linear elastic bifurcation analysis
 - The elastic critical buckling load factor R_{cr} = 5,8772
 - Imperfection shape
 - MNA Material nonlinear analysis
 - The plastic reference load factor R_{pl} = 3,07
 - The overall relative slenderness λ_{ov} for the complete shell

$$\overline{\lambda_{ov}} = \sqrt{\frac{3,07}{5,877}} = 0,522$$

- The overall buckling reduction factor
- Annex D EN1993-1-6 gives values for:
 - α_{ov} is the overall elastic imperfection factor
 - β_{ov} is the plastic range factor = 0,60
 - η_{ov} is the interaction exponent = 1,0





- Study Case Refined door opening segment
- Numerical model



LBA results *R_{cr}* = 12.248

U, Magnitude

+1.178e+00

8.838e-01

-7.856e-01

-3.928e-01

.874e-01

5.892e-01 4.910e-01

.964e-01

820e-02

1.080e+00 9.820e-01



| Section | Axial force [kN] | Shear force [kN] | Bending moment [kNm] |
|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Upper section | 4413 | 1231 | 73988 |
| Bottom section | 4413 | 1231 | 88514 |







Study Case – Refined door opening segment



GMNA Results

S. Mises

(Avg: 75%)









Finite Element Application and Case Study

• Study Case – Refined door opening segment

Numerical model



| Section | Axial force | Shear force | Bending moment |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|
| | [kN] | [kN] | [kNm] |
| Upper section | 1586 | 409 | 13055 |
| Bottom section | 2015 | 666 | 23897 |

• Transformation of typical wind pressure load distribution

$$k_{w} = 0,46 \left(1+0,1\sqrt{\frac{c_{\theta}}{\omega} \frac{r}{t}} \right) = 0,46 \left(1+0,1\sqrt{\frac{1}{119} \cdot \frac{2150}{13,14}} \right) = 0,514$$

$$\omega = \frac{l}{r}\sqrt{\frac{r}{t}} = \frac{l}{\sqrt{rt}} = \frac{20000}{\sqrt{2150 \cdot 13,14}} = 119$$

$$q_{eq} = k_{w} q_{max} = 0,541 \cdot 1430 \cdot 1,5 = 1102 \frac{N}{m^{2}}$$





Finite Element Application and Case Study

- Study Case Refined door opening segment
 - LBA Results

U, Magnitude +1.000e+00 +9.170e-01 +8.336e-01

- GMNIA results
 - Imperfection afine first buckling mode
 - Amplitude of imperfection 23mm and 17mm corresponding to normal and high tolerance

