# Investigation on Multi-Beam Hybrid WDM for Free Space Optical Communication System

S. Robinson<sup>\*</sup>, R. Pavithra

Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering,

Mount Zion College of Engineering and Technology, Pudukkottai-622507, Tamil Nadu, India

mail2robinson@gmail.com

(Received 31<sup>th</sup> May, 2016; Accepted 21<sup>th</sup> June, 2016; Published: 23<sup>th</sup> June, 2016)

Abstract- Free Space Optical (FSO) communication is being realized as an effective solution for future accessing networks, offering light passed through air. In this paper, multi-beam Hybrid Wavelength Division Multiplexing (HWDM) is designed for FSO and its parameters such as bit error rate and receiver sensitivity are analyzed with respect to link distance. For investigation, four CWDM (1510nm, 1530nm, 1570nm and 1570nm) channel and eight DWDM channels (1537.4nm, 1538.2nm, 1539nm, 1539.8nm, 1540.6nm, 1541.4nm, 1542.2nm and 1543nm) are considered whose corresponding channel spacing is 20nm and 0.8nm, respectively. In addition, the impact of BER and receiver sensitivity are analyzed while increasing the number of beams between transmitter and receiver, and the EDFA amplifier is incorporated at the receiver end in order to enhance the receiver signal strength. The maximum signal traveling distance by implementing EDFA at the proposed design is investigated. The system is designed to handle the quality of transmission for 12 user, each at the data rate of 2.5Gbps.

### Key Words: Free space optical communication, Hybrid Wavelength Division Multiplexing, multi-beam, bit error rate, erbium doped fiber amplifier, link distance.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Now a days Free Space Optical communication (FSO) is one of the major topics in the world of wireless and optical communication and it is the line of sight technology. Highly narrow beam is used in spite of the high data rate, which uses highly narrow beam propagating in free space to transmit data between two or more points. FSO technology is as same as the fiber optics communication [1]. However, FSO has the advantages such as low cost, security not necessary, license free, attractive solution for high data rate and voice transmission [2]. The quality and data rate of FSO are depends on weather conditions, and atmospheric attenuation namely on rain, fog and snow [3].

Wavelength Division Multiplexing is employed in FSO to transmit various wireless service signals independently at the same time [4]. There are two types of WDM, such as Dense Wavelength Division Multiplexing (DWDM) and Coarse Wavelength Division Multiplexing (CWDM). DWDM channels are with the channel spacing of 1.6nm/0.8nm/0.4nm and CWDM channels are with channel spacing of 20nm [5]. In CWDM system the wavelength range is 1260nm-1625nm and for DWDM is 1470nm-1625nm. The crosstalk of the DWDM channels is higher than CWDM systems as DWDM channel spacing is narrower. The combination of CWDM and DWDM Copyright © IJPOT, All Rights Reserved signals are transmitted through free space in hybrid WDM-FSO system [6].

In the literature, so far there is no much attempt is made in hybrid WDM-FSO. However there are some attempts is made to for hybrid WDM using single beam [7-13] and multi-beam concept where they have considered only DWDM channels with the channel spacing of 0.8 nm over the wavelength range of around 850 nm and 1550nm. Also, the authors have not considered CWDM channels [14-18]. In this work, the FSO system is designed by considering eight DWDM channels and four CWDM channels.

In this paper multi-beam hybrid WDM-FSO system is designed and the network parameters such as BER and Receiver sensitivity are analyzed with respect to link distance. Initially, the maximum link distance at very clear condition is estimated while increasing the numbers of beams between transmitter and receiver. Further, the impact of transmission distance is investigated by positioning Erbium Doped Fiber Amplifier (EDFA) at the receiver end.

The remaining part of the paper is organized as follows: The design of multi-beam hybrid WDM-FSO system is discussed in section 2. The effect of link distance, BER, receiver sensitivity is analyzed by increasing number of beams between transmitter and receiver, which is reported in section 3. Finally, section 4 concludes the paper.

# 2. MULTI-BEAM HYBRID WDM-FSO SYSTEM MODEL

The proposed multi-beam hybrid WDM-FSO system is illustrated in Fig. 1, which is divided into three parts namely, transmitter, receiver and FSO link or atmospheric conditions. The transmitter section consists of CW laser, Mach-Zehnder modulator, Pseudo-Random bit sequence (PRBS) generator, NRZ pulse generator and 12:1 demultiplexer. Four CWDM channel spaced by 20nm and a set of 8 channels spaced by 0.8nm is given to the 12:1 demultiplexer and it is transferred to the destination through free space. The output beam of 12:1 demultiplexer is transferred using six laser beams. In a receiver section all the six beams are collected and separated into single beam profile using demultiplexer. APD photodiode is used to convert optical signal into electrical signal, followed by low pass Bessel filter to filter the unwanted signal.

The wavelength for designed DWDM channels are 1537.4nm, 1538.2nm, 1539nm, 1539.8nm, 1540.6nm, 1541.4nm, 1542.2nm, 1543nm and for CWDM channels are 1510nm, 1530nm, 1550nm, 1570nm. The simulation parameter of the proposed system is listed in Table 1.

11.5dBm. The data rate 2.5Gbps is considered to get the above

| Tuble 1.5 million parameters of Hybrid (1200 130 system |                                      |               |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| S. No.  | PARAMETERS                           | VALUES        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1   | Data rates                           | 2.5Gbps       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2   | Launch power                         | 20dBm         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3   | Channel spacing: CWDM/DWDM           | 20nm/0.8nm    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4   | Laser line width: CWDM/DWDM          | 10MHZ/2500MHZ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5   | Transmitter's & receiver's apertures | 30cm          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6   | Dark current                         | 10NA          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7   | Extinction ratio                     | 30dB          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8   | WDM bandwidth: CWDM/DWDM             | 10GHZ/20GHZ   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1.Simulation parameters of Hybrid WDM FSO system



Fig.1: Design of multi-beam hybrid WDM-FSO model using six beams.

#### 3. SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this section, the arrived simulation results for Hybrid WDM at very clear condition using six beam for the channels centered at 1537.4nm (DWDM) and 1550nm(CWDM), and impact of link distance while increasing the number of beams are discussed. The parameters for FSO system such as Bit Error Rate (BER), receiver sensitivity, Q factor and link distance are estimated for proposed multi-beam hybrid WDM-FSO system.

The effect of BER with respect to link distance of the proposed multi-beam hybrid WDM–FSO system are analyzed at for DWDM and CWDM channels by increasing the number of beams. In this present work, the maximum link distance is estimated by considering very clear condition. The average link distance for 12 beams with the minimum BER  $(10^{-9})$  for DWDM channels and CWDM channels are depicted in Fig.2 (a) and Fig. 2(b), respectively. From the simulation, it is noticed that the maximum travelling distance for DWDM channel centered at 1537.4nm is 629km and for CWDM channel at 1550nm is about 316km.It is noticed that the link distance for CWDM channels are reduced than DWDM Channels.

In order to analyze the performance of all the HWDM channels (DWDM and CWDM), authors considered six beams between the transmitter and receiver. Fig. 3(a) and Fig. 3(b) represent BER vs receiver sensitivity while varying distance for DWDM and CWDM channels, respectively. The minimum receiver power required to attain the desired BER  $(10^{-9})$  for DWDM channels are about -15.6dBm and CWDM channels -

Copyright © IJPOT, All Rights Reserved

mentioned results. 10<sup>0</sup> - 1 BEAM 10 -2 BEAM + 3 REAM 10 - 4 BEAM **Bit Error Rate** 5 BEAM . · 6 BEAM 10 + 7 BEAM + 8 REAM 10-2 • 9 BEAM - 10 BEAM 11 BEAM 10 12 BEAM 10 10 505 515 500 510 **Distance in Km** (a) 10 -1 BEAM - 2 BEAM 10 3 BEAM 4 BEAM Rate + 5 BEAM 10 + 6 BEAM Error -7 BEAM 10 8 BEAM Bit • 9 BEAM - 10 BEAM 10 11 BEAM + 12 BEAM 10 450 455 460 465 470 475 480 485 490 495 500 **Distance in Km** (b)

Fig.2 (a): BER vs Distance for DWDM (b) BER vs Distance for CWDM system at very clear condition



(b) Fig.3 (a): BER vs Received power for DWDM (b) BER vs Received power for CWDM system at very clear condition

| Table2. Maximum link Range for all combination of 12 beam under very clear condition for each channel without using amplifier |                           |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |                           |     |       |          |  |
|---|---------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|---------------------------|-----|-------|----------|--|
| Beams   | Travelling distance in Km |     |     |     |     |     |     |      | Travelling distance in Km |     |       |          |  |
|   | CH3                       | CH4 | CH5 | CH6 | CH7 | CH8 | CH9 | CH10 | CH1                       | CH2 | CH 11 | CH<br>12 |  |
| 1   | 495                       | 491 | 493 | 489 | 492 | 503 | 507 | 496  | 475                       | 474 | 479   | 491      |  |
| 2   | 531                       | 528 | 531 | 526 | 528 | 540 | 544 | 532  | 511                       | 510 | 515   | 527      |  |
| 3   | 553                       | 550 | 552 | 547 | 550 | 562 | 566 | 554  | 533                       | 532 | 537   | 549      |  |
| 4   | 569                       | 565 | 567 | 563 | 565 | 578 | 582 | 570  | 548                       | 547 | 552   | 565      |  |
| 5   | 581                       | 577 | 580 | 575 | 578 | 589 | 594 | 582  | 560                       | 559 | 564   | 577      |  |
| 6   | 591                       | 587 | 589 | 585 | 587 | 599 | 605 | 592  | 570                       | 569 | 574   | 587      |  |
| 7   | 599                       | 596 | 598 | 594 | 596 | 608 | 612 | 600  | 579                       | 578 | 583   | 596      |  |
| 8   | 606                       | 603 | 605 | 600 | 603 | 615 | 619 | 607  | 586                       | 585 | 590   | 602      |  |
| 9   | 613                       | 609 | 612 | 607 | 610 | 622 | 626 | 614  | 592                       | 591 | 596   | 609      |  |
| 10  | 619                       | 615 | 617 | 613 | 615 | 627 | 632 | 620  | 598                       | 597 | 602   | 615      |  |
| 11  | 624                       | 620 | 623 | 618 | 621 | 633 | 637 | 625  | 603                       | 602 | 607   | 620      |  |
| 12  | 629                       | 625 | 627 | 623 | 625 | 637 | 642 | 630  | 608                       | 607 | 612   | 625      |  |

Table.3 Maximum link Range for all combination of 12 beams under very clear condition for each channel with amplifier.

| Beams | Travelling distance in Km<br>DWDM channels |     |     |     |     |     |     |      | Travelling distance in Km<br>CWDM channels |     |       |          |  |
|-------|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|--|-----|-------|----------|--|
|       | CH3  | CH4 | CH5 | CH6 | CH7 | CH8 | CH9 | CH10 | CH1  | CH2 | CH 11 | CH<br>12 |  |
| 1     | 616  | 626 | 629 | 640 | 640 | 647 | 628 | 649  | 614  | 590 | 577   | 597      |  |
| 2     | 654  | 665 | 667 | 678 | 678 | 685 | 666 | 687  | 652  | 629 | 615   | 635      |  |
| 3     | 677  | 687 | 696 | 701 | 701 | 708 | 689 | 710  | 675  | 651 | 637   | 658      |  |
| 4     | 693  | 702 | 706 | 717 | 717 | 724 | 706 | 726  | 691  | 667 | 653   | 674      |  |
| 5     | 706  | 716 | 719 | 730 | 730 | 737 | 718 | 740  | 703  | 680 | 666   | 686      |  |
| 6     | 716  | 726 | 729 | 740 | 740 | 747 | 728 | 749  | 714  | 690 | 676   | 697      |  |
| 7     | 725  | 735 | 737 | 749 | 749 | 756 | 737 | 758  | 722  | 698 | 684   | 705      |  |
| 8     | 732  | 742 | 745 | 756 | 756 | 763 | 744 | 765  | 730  | 706 | 692   | 713      |  |
| 9     | 739  | 749 | 752 | 763 | 763 | 770 | 751 | 772  | 737  | 712 | 698   | 719      |  |
| 10    | 745  | 755 | 758 | 769 | 769 | 776 | 757 | 778  | 743  | 718 | 704   | 725      |  |
| 11    | 750  | 760 | 763 | 775 | 775 | 781 | 762 | 784  | 748  | 724 | 710   | 731      |  |
| 12    | 755  | 765 | 768 | 779 | 779 | 786 | 767 | 788  | 753  | 729 | 715   | 736      |  |

The maximum travelling distance of HWDM channels while increasing the number of beams between transmitter and receiver is listed in the table. Author's considered very clear atmospheric condition in order to estimate the maximum travelling distance. The attenuation for very clear condition is 0.065dB/km which is reported in [3]. At 12 beams, the maximum link distance for DWDM and CWDM channels are about 630km and 625km, respectively. It is also investigated the link distance is not constant for all the DWDM and CWDM channels because of its nature of wavelength. The wavelength having its own attenuation while travelling in the free space hence the distance is not constant.

From the table 2, it is observed that the transmission distance is keeping on increasing while increasing the number of beams. If the beams are increased the signal strength is increased, hence, the transmission distance is enhanced by considering more number of beams. Besides the link distance for DWDM channels are greater than CWDM because of higher line width in CWDM channels. As the line width is higher the signal is easily attenuated. The link distance is increased by 23% while adding a new beam with respect to the conventional one.



Fig.4 (a): BER vs Distance for DWDM (1537.4nm) system at very clear condition with amplifier.

Copyright © IJPOT, All Rights Reserved





Fig.5: (a) BER vs Received power for DWDM (b) BER vs Received power for CWDM system at very clear condition with amplifier

(b)

The link distance can be further increased by increasing the number of beams, however the cost of the system will be increased linearly. In an alternate way, the optical amplifier will be incorporate at the receiver end which in turn the received signal strength will be increased. In this present work EDFA is considered. The maximum travelling distance at BER of 10<sup>-9</sup> is estimated for DWDM and CWDM channels which are depicted in Fig 4(a) and Fig 4(b), respectively. The average link distance for DWDM channels after incorporating EDFA is 773 km and for CWDM channels it is about 733 km. The BER vs receiver sensitivity is estimated by implementing EDFA for DWDM and CWDM channels as shown in Fig 5(a) and 5(b), respectively. The maximum link distance while increasing the number of beams by considering the CWDM/DWDM channels are reported in Table 3. Copyright © IJPOT, All Rights Reserved

From the result it is noticed that after insertion of amplifier, the link distance is increased significantly. The maximum link distance for CWDM system is limited to the channel width and nature of wavelength. In addition link distance is increased while increasing the number of beams. When the number of beams is increased the distance travelled by data is improved by 3% when using amplifier.

## 4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, Hybrid WDM-FSO multi beam system is proposed, designed and the network parameters namely BER, Receiver sensitivity are analyzed by varying the number of beams between source and destination. The FSO network becomes an excellent option for problem areas where the FSO lacks. The Hybrid WDM-FSO multi beam network can be a right candidate to solve the last mile problems and the rapid increases in capacity without any new infrastructure. From our result, It is concluded that the proposed Hybrid WDM FSO system performs better than the conventional WDM-FSO with acceptable BER over FSO for the transmission of 2.5Gbps data rate. By considering 12 beams in the free space channel, the attained link distance at very clear condition is about 628km, however, the link distance is further enhanced upto 760km since the EDFA is implemented at the receiver side. From this simulation, it is investigated that the maximum signal travelling distance for DWDM system is higher than the CWDM system as the line width of CWDM is higher. Further, the link distance is keeping on increasing while increasing the number of beam between the transmitter and receiver. The Hybrid WDM system is newly implemented in FSO system which gives significant improvement in results therefore this attempt could be employed for future FSO networks.

### REFERENCES

- [1] M. A. Khalighi and M. Uysal, "Survey on Free Space Optical Communication: A Communication Theory Perspective", *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol.14, no. 4, pp. 2231-2258, 2014.
- [2] J. Singh and N. Kumar, "Performance Analysis of Different Modulation Format on Free Space Optical Communication System", *Optik*, vol. 124, no. 20, pp. 4651-4654, 2013.
- [3] S. Jasmine, S. Robinson and K. Malaisamy, "Investigation on Free Space Optical Communication for Various Atmospheric Conditions", Second International Conference on Electronics and Communication Systems (ICECS), pp. 1030-1034, 2015.
- [4] M. Matsumoto, "Next Generation Free-space Optical System by System Design Optimization and Performance Enhancement", Proceedings of Progress in Electromagnetic Research Symposium, Kuala Lumpur, pp. 501-506, 2012
- [5] ITU-T Recommendation G 694.2, Spectral grids for WDM applications: CWDM wavelength grid, 2003, Available at https://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC-G.694.2/en
- [6] B. Patnaik and P. K. Sahu, "Novel QPSK Modulation for DWDM Free Space Optical Communication System", *Wireless Advanced*, pp. 170-175, 2012.

- [7] S. Hitam, S. N. Suhaimi, A. S. M. Noor, S. B. A. Anas, and R. K. Z. Sahbudin, "Performance Analysis on 16-Channels Wavelength Division Multiplexing in Free Space Optical Communication Under Tropical Regions Environment", *Journal on Computer Science*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 145-148, 2012.
- [8] H. A. Fadhil et al., "Optimization of Free Space Optics Parameters: An Optimum Solution for Bad Weather Conditions", Optik, vol. 124, no. 19, pp. 3969-3973, 2013.
- [9] A. O. Aladeloba, M. S. Woolfson and A. J. Phillips, "WDM FSO Network with Turbulence-Accentuated Interchannel Crosstalk", *IEEE J. of Optical Communications and Networking*, vol.5, no. 6, pp. 641-651, 2013.
- [10] E. Ciaramell et al., "1.28 terabit/s (32x40 Gbit/s) WDM Transmission System for Free Space Optical Communications", *IEEE J. on Sel. Areas in Communications*, vol. 27, no. 9, pp. 1639-1645, 2009.
- [11] I. Khalil, A. Biswas, R. B. Rakib, Md. A. Sayeed and Md. S. M. Sher, "WDM Transmission for Free Space Optics under Different Atmospheric Conditions", *Trends in Opto-Electro & Optical Communications*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 1-4, 2014.
- [12] M. Sheng and Xiu-Xiu Xie, "Average Bit Error Rate Analysis for Free-Space Optical Communications Over Weak Turbulence with Pointing Errors", *Optical Engineering*, vol. 51, no. 10, pp.105009-14, 2012.

- [13] D. W. Young et al., "Demonstration of High Data Rate Wavelength Division Multiplexed Transmission Over a 150 Km Free Space Optical Link", Proceedings of International conference on Military Communication, pp. 1-6, 2007.
- [14] N. H. M. Noor, A. W. Naji and W. Al-Khateeb, "Performance Analysis of a Free Space Optics Link with Multiple Transmitters/Receivers", *IIUM Engineering Journal*, vol. 13 no. 1, pp. 49-58, 2012.
- [15] S. A. Al-Gailani, A. B. Mohammad and R. Q. Shaddad, "Enhancement of free space optical link in heavy rain attenuation using multiple beam concept", *Optik*, vol. 124, no. 21, pp. 4798-4801, 2013.
- [16] S. A. Al-Gailani, A. B. Mohamed, R. Q. Shaddad, U. U. Sheikh and M. A. Elmagzoub, "Hybrid WDM/Multibeam Free Space Optics for multi Gigabit Access Network", *Photonic Network Communication*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 138-145, 2014.
- [17] F. D. Kashani, M. R. H. Rad, M. R. Mahzoun, and B. Ghafary, "Beam Propagation Analysis of a Multi Beam FSO System with Partially Flat-Topped Laser Beam in Turbulent Atmosphere", *Optik*, vol. 123, no. 10, pp. 879-886, 2012.
- [18] S. Robinson, S. Jasmine and R. Pavithra, "Investigation on Hybrid WDM (CWDM+DWDM) Free Space Optical Communication System", *ICTACT Journal on Communication Technology*, vol. 06, no. 4, pp. 1187-1192, 2015.