

SUN #14 MARCH 1992 COPYRIGHT 1992

FRIEDMAN CONTINUES TO ENDORSE "CRASHED-SAUCER WITNESS" ANDERSON DESPITE HIS UNWILLINGNESS TO ALLOW INDEPENDENT CHECK OF A KEY CLAIM:

Gerald Anderson, whose claims will form the cornerstone of the new crashed-saucer book by Stanton T. Friedman and Don Berliner (slated for publication in July), has declined to allow an independent examination of hard evidence that could demonstrate beyond all doubt whether one of Anderson's claims is true.

Anderson's claims partially confirm but partially contradict claims made in the first crashed-saucer book, "The Roswell Incident," published in 1980 by Charles Berlitz (who popularized the "Bermuda Triangle") and William L. Moore. Their book focused primarily on the claim that a flying saucer had crashed in early July, 1947, on the ranch of "Mac" Brazel, roughly 80 miles northwest of Roswell. The book briefly discussed a second-hand report from Mr./Mrs. L.W. Malthais that some 30 years earlier their friend Grady L. (Barney) Barnett--now deceased--told them he had stumbled on a crashed saucer and several ET bodies roughly 180 miles west of the Brazel ranch near the Plains of San Agustin. According to the Malthais, Barnett said that shortly after he arrived at the scene, he was joined by an archeological research team from the University of Pennsylvania.

At the 1985 MUFON Conference, in St. Louis, Moore reported on his efforts to confirm the Barnett/Plains of San Agustin crashed-saucer tale. He said he had confirmed the presence of Univ. of Pennsylvania archaeological teams in the area in 1947, but added that "exhaustive efforts to identify the students in question have been essentially fruitless." Moore added that "the Barnett investigation seems to have reached an unsurmountable impasse from which nothing in the way of new evidence seems likely to emerge in the near future."

Moore underestimated the power of TV "pseudo-documentaries." On Sept. 20, 1989, the Roswell/San Agustin crashed saucer tales were featured on the "Unsolved Mysteries" network TV show, seen by an estimated 28 million persons. The show was televised again on Jan. 24, 1990, and viewed by an even larger audience--which included Gerald Anderson, of Springfield, Mo. Anderson promptly called an 800 number used to poll the audience and informed the operator that there were major errors in the TV account. This led to Anderson making contact with Friedman and with Kevin Randle who was then working with Don Schmitt on their new book, "UFO Crash at Roswell."

According to Anderson, he and four other members of his family (now all deceased)--not Barnett--first discovered the crashed-saucer on the Plains of San Agustin. Further, Anderson claimed that one of the four ETs was alive. Anderson said that a University of Pennysylvania archaeology professor and five students arrived and Anderson claimed the professor tried-unsuccessfully--to communicate in several different languages with the live ET. Regressive hypnosis administered by John S. Carpenter, MUFON state section director for southwest Missouri, was used to enhance Anderson's recollections, enabling him to remember that the professor's name was Dr. Buskirk. With the aid of "Identikit" techniques employed by police to obtain a physical likeness of a criminal's face, Anderson was able to develop a sketch of Dr. Buskirk's appearance.

<u>Pro-UFOlogist Thomas J. Carey</u>, who lives near the University of Philadelphia, volunteered to assist Friedman in trying to locate Dr. Buskirk, as he describes in a most interesting account published in the Nov./Dec. 1991 issue of <u>International UFO Reporter (IUR)</u>. Thanks to a lot of hard work, and a book published by Dr. Winfred Buskirk, Carey managed to locate him in Albuquerque.

Buskirk flatly denied Anderson's tale. But he closely resembles the Identikit sketch which Anderson helped prepare, which might seem to confirm Anderson's story. Carey learned that Dr. Buskirk joined the faculty of the Albuquerque high school in 1950 and remained there until he retired in 1969. He taught American history and anthropology. By a curious coincidence, in the late 1950s, Gerald Anderson was a student at the same high school where Dr. Buskirk was teaching. When Kevin Randle, who was suspicious of Anderson's tale, tried to obtain a transcript of Anderson's high school records to see if he had taken an anthropology course under Buskirk, Anderson cautioned school authorities not to release the information.

However, Anderson himself obtained a transcript and provided what he purported to be a photocopy to John Carpenter--one of his staunchest supporters. In response to my query, Carpenter indicated that the photocopy he received from Anderson indicated that he had taken a course in sociology, not anthropology which Buskirk taught. But Anderson conceded that there was possible ambiguity in trying to read the photocopy made from microfilm.

On Oct. 7, I wrote Carpenter pointing out that "much hinges on the question of whether Gerald Anderson took a course in anthropology under Buskirk in 1957 or whether it was a course in sociology from another teacher." I then asked if Anderson would be willing "to authorize the current Principal of the Albuquerque High School to carefully examine the original microfilm transcript and issue a public statement as to whether Anderson did, or did not, take a course in anthropology in 1957." When Carpenter failed to respond, I wrote him again on Nov. 27 but my second query also went unanswered.

On Jan. 5, 1992--nearly three months after I first wrote Carpenter--I wrote again to ask if he had passed along my Oct. 7 suggestion to Anderson and, if so, what was Anderson's response. Carpenter replied saying that my suggestion also had been made by Stan Friedman, Mark Rodeghier [of the Hynek Center for UFO Studies], and Fred Whiting [of the Fund for UFO Research] "even before you suggested this." ("Great minds run in similar channels.")

What was Anderson's reaction to this suggestion? He would think it over before deciding. Carpenter explained: "Gerald doesn't care what anybody thinks at the present time." I responded on Jan. 13, and asked Carpenter: "If Anderson sought your advice, would you suggest that he ought to agree to the proposal to prove his veracity?" Carpenter replied: "He did, and I did."

WHY IS ANDERSON SO RELUCTANT TO LET AN INDEPENDENT AUTHORITY EXAMINE HIS HIGH SCHOOL TRANSCRIPT TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT HE TOOK A COURSE UNDER DR. BUSKIRK? AND WHY DOES FRIEDMAN CONTINUE TO ENDORSE THE VERACITY OF ANDERSON'S CRASHED SAUCER TALE?

FRIEDMAN/BERLINER BOOK REPORTEDLY WILL INCLUDE MJ-12 PAPERS:

The MJ-12 "Top Secret/Eyes Only" papers released by Moore, Friedman and Jaime Shandera in the spring of 1987, which have been denounced as counterfeit by many leading pro-UFOlogists, reportedly will be featured and endorsed in the new Friedman/Berliner book--even though the papers flatly contradict Anderson's tale. The MJ-12 papers include what purports to be a Nov. 18, 1952, briefing for President-elect Eisenhower, which cites recovery of four badly decomposed ET bodies from vicinity of Brazel's ranch, not the Plains of San Agustin. Further, there is no mention of the live ET described by Anderson. Friedman offers a simple explanation for this significant discrepancy [which has come to be known as Friedman's First Law]: "ABSENCE OF EVIDENCE IS NOT EVIDENCE OF ABSENCE." [Friedman's Second Law: The difference between intelligence and stupidity is that there is a limit to intelligence.]

USAF CONCLUDES: MJ-12 "NOT U.S. GOVERNMENT DOCUMENT."

The copy of the Operation Majestic-12 [MJ-12] briefing document in the files of the Dept. of the Air Force public affairs office in the Pentagon no longer bears the "TOP SECRET/EYES ONLY" classification markings it had when released by Moore, Shandera and Friedman. Instead, the document is marked: "NOT A U.S. GOVERNMENT DOCUMENT."

According to Maj. Richard M. Cole, "the document was so marked after inquiries to the Defense Dept., the White House, the National Security Council, and other Federal Agencies could not establish the authenticity of the document or the existence of MJ-12." According to Cole, the chief of the research division in the USAF's Historical Research Center at Maxwell AFB, Ala., believes the "document is a forgery."

BELGIAN PRO-UFOLOGIST "DEFLATES" BELGIUM'S 1989-90 UFO-FLAP:

Wim van Utrecht, director of Belgium's Group for the Study of Strange Aerial Phenomena, provides valuable insights into the widely publicized Belgian UFO-flap of 1989-90 which was featured last fall on NBC's "Unsolved Mysteries" TV show. Van Utrecht's revealing paper was first published in the November | December 1991 issue of Orbiter, a bi-monthly newsletter published by pro-UFOlogist Jim Melesciuc, and more recently in the Feb. 1992 issue of the MUFON UFO Journal.

Mark Rodeghier, President and Scientific Director of the Hynek Center for UFO Studies (CUFOS) explained why he was impressed by the Belgian UFO flap in an editorial published in the Jan. / Feb. 1991 issue of International UFO Reporter (IUR): "First, many of the sightings were mass events, witnessed by hundreds of people at widespread locations. This happened a number of times during the wave, which was most intense from late November 1989 to May 1990. Second, the same UFO was seen repeatedly, a triangular-shaped object that often flew low and slow over Belgium, making observation of it that much easier. Third, because of this behavior, at least 20 videotapes were taken during the wave, many with remarkable detail. And most significantly, the Belgian government, through the air force, took a very serious attitude toward the reports and entered into an agreement with SOBEPS, the leading Belgian UFO group, to investigate the sightings." (Emphasis added.)

Van Utrecht reports that because of the widespread publicity given the initial Belgian UFO reports, "it did not take long before almost any light in the sky which could not be readily identified was labeled a UFO. People who had never made a habit of looking skywards were now surprised by the variety of luminous phenomena that could be seen in the course of a single night... Twenty years ago, a similar situation had occurred when the publicity following the initial creation of SOBEPS set fire to a local outburst of UFO reports."

Last October 17, SOBEPS released a 500+ page report on the Belgian UFO-flap, with an introduction written by Dr. Jean-Pierre Petit, a scientist employed by France's National Center for Space Studies (CNRS). Other contributors included physics professor Auguste Meessen and Maj. Gen. Wilfried de Brouwer of the Belgian Air Force. Van Utrecht said the authors "almost unanimously concluded that, in view of the evidence, the extraterrestrial hypothesis seemed the most likely solution." But the credibility of the SOBEPS report--especially the papers by Meessen and Petit--was attacked in an article in the Oct. 26 edition of the newspaper La Wollonie based on a statement by 10 respected Belgian scientists.

For American and non-Belgian UFOlogists not familiar with Meessen and Petit, van Utrecht said that Meessen's previously published UFO papers were "almost entirely based on unverified witness accounts published in dubious UFO magazines." As for Petit, van Utrecht said that with publication of his latest UFO book, "Petit has lost his last grain of credibility. In this book, 'In

Search of Extraterrestrials Who Are Among Us--The Mystery of the Ummites,' Petit reveals that his scientific work at CNRS was in reality dictated to him by the inhabitants of Ummo, a planet far beyond our own solar system."

Because of the long duration of the Belgian UFO flap and numerous eyewitness reports, one might expect there would be numerous good photos. But according to van Utrecht, there was "only one good slide, a copy of which is printed on the cover of the SOBEPS report. On page 418 we are told that an in-depth investigation of this slide has yet to take place; but the absence of background details in the picture, together with the fact that the young photographer threw away a second photograph which he had taken during the sighting, create doubts about the authenticity of the evidence," according to van Utrecht. (Emphasis added.)

He noted that in addition to reports of triangular-shaped UFOs, others were reported as being rectangular, trapezoid, diamond and boomerang-shaped, adding that "position and color of lights [reported] are rarely identical." Van Utrecht concludes that "some kind of flying machine did indeed manifest itself over our country on several occasions between November 1989 and June 1991." He speculates that the craft might have been a balloon or giant glider, possibly self-propelled, or possibly an experimental airplane such as the USAF's delta-shaped F-117A stealth fighter-bomber. However, the U.S. categorically denied flying the F-117A over Belgium.

[A representative of a U.S. company which supplies systems used by the Belgian Air Force has informed SUN that some BAF officials now suspect that the "triangular shaped UFO" which triggered the flap was a home-made "Ultra-Light Aircraft" (a small glider-like craft powered by a small engine) outfitted with special lights on the wing-tips and tail to generate UFO reports. SUN's source, who insisted on anonymity, said that top BAF officials were embarrassed over a lower-level decision to dispatch two F-16 interceptors on the night of March 30, 1990, to investigate a UFO sighted on a ground-based radar at Otan. Although the ground-based radar UFO was moving very slowly-roughly 30 mph.-the F-16 radar showed the UFO was moving at roughly 1,000 mph., suggesting a malfunction in the F-16 radar's computer. This was BAF's last UFO-intercept mission.]

MUFON UFO Journal editor Dennis Stacy probably will be criticized by some MUFON members for publishing van Utrecht's paper. Petit and for Meessen can be expected to accuse van Utrecht of "sour grapes" because SOBEPS (a competitor to van Utrecht's group) achieved world-wide fame as a result of the Belgian flap.

Petit, who was scheduled to speak at MUFON's annual conference in Chicago last summer, did not show up. In his prepared paper, published in the conference proceedings, Petit sharply criticized the French government's UFO-investigation effort, called <u>GEPAN</u>. In Petit's paper he said: "We know now that GEPAN was nothing more than a debunking operation."

According to The New Republic (Feb. 10 issue), "The most diverting part of the new book Secret Life: Firsthand Accounts of UFO Abductions by David M. Jacobs (forthcoming from Simon and Schuster) is the foreword by Pulitzer Prize-winning author and Harvard psychiatry professor John E. Mack. Mack, once known for his touchy-feely approach to assuaging nuclear anxiety....warns of 'a huge, strange interspecies or interbeing breeding program that has invaded our physical reality and is affecting the lives of hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people and perhaps in some way the consciousness of the entire planet.' Although such a scenario is at variance with 'prevailing notions of reality,' Mack implies that our ignorance may be due in part to media distortion. Oliver Stone, call your office." (For benefit of non-U.S. readers, Oliver Stone produced recent movie "JFK" which claims that assassination of President Kennedy was carried out by group of CIA, military and industrial conspirators.)

Skeptics UFO Newsletter -5- March 1992

FUFOR HOPES TO CONVINCE CONGRESS, NEWS MEDIA OF CRASHED-SAUCER COVERUP WITH NEW TWO-HOUR VIDEO:

Fund for UFO Research is finishing up its latest video production, "Recollections of Roswell: Part II," containing interviews with what FUFOR describes as "more than 30 witnesses to the recovery of both alien craft and bodies in New Mexico in July 1947." FUFOR says its objective is "to bring the Roswell case to the attention of the American people." (At least 60 million persons already have viewed a dramatic presentation of the alleged incident on the "Unsolved Mysteries" TV show.)

FUFOR, which admits that its earlier video and lobbying efforts failed to generate a Congressional investigation [See <u>SUN</u> #13, p. 8] already has spent \$50,000 to support research into the alleged crashed-saucer(s) in New Mexico incident, and recently received an additional \$7,000 in contributions to support its crashed-saucer efforts.

FUFOR prefers to spend most of its resources on "ancient history" rather than try to get close-up photos of UFO which shows up frequently in the vicinity of Gulf Breeze, Fla. [See SUN #12, p. 1] During the past year, there have been more than 120 appearances of the Gulf-Breeze UFO [GUFO], according to FUFOR chairman Bruce Maccabee, who has strongly rejected the possibility that GUFOs might be balloon-borne road flares or balloons outfitted with battery operated mini-Xmas tree lamps.

<u>SUN</u> wonders why FUFOR does not use its funds to hire a small aircraft or helicopter pilot to stand by nightly at the nearby Pensacola airport. Pilot could be alerted by radio when the GUFO appears and could take off and be vectored by radio to the sometimes-hovering or slow-moving GUFO for close-up inspection and photos. <u>If the GUFO is really an ET craft and not a balloon-borne road flare</u>, this could provide hard evidence which Congress would find far more impressive.

SHORT SHRIFT:

- * Mark Rodeghier, who heads the Hynek Center for UFO Studies (CUFOS), has challenged the report in <u>SUN</u> #13 (p. 3) that while MUFON's membership has more than doubled to over 3,000 in the last couple years, CUFOS "which formerly had nearly as many members/subscribers as MUFON, reportedly has droppped below 1,000..." According to Rodeghier, "Our subscriptions are above 1,000, though much closer to that figure than to 2,000. Moreover, the number of subscribers has been stable for about five or six years."
- * Number of subscribers to <u>Skeptics UFO Newsletter (SUN)</u>, now entering its third year of publication, has doubled during the past year and is approaching 250. <u>SUN</u> goes to overseas subscribers in Australia, England, Germany, Italy and Japan, as well as Canada.
- Linda Moulton Howe, who will be the keynote speaker at this year's MUFON conference in Albuquerque, N.M. (July 10-12), is famed in the UFO Movement for her claims that UFOs are responsible for killing and mutilating livestock. She first achieved fame in 1963 for her beauty and charm when she was selected as Miss Idaho, and was a runner-up in the Miss America contest.
- Don Ecker, Research Director for UFO magazine, will host a new weekly one-hour radio talk-show devoted to UFOs, which makes its debut Sunday, March 8, at 9 p.m. Pacific Standard Time. Show will be carried by the Cable Radio Network and will be available directly for those with their own satellite dish, according to Ecker.

HOPKINS CHALLENGES DRUFFEL/CIFARELLI "MISSING-FETUS" CASE EXPLANATION:

<u>Budd Hopkins</u>, chief promoter of "UFO-abduction" tales who claims ETs impregnate young Earthling females and then return to remove their unborn fetuses, has challenged the prosaic explanation emerging from a rigorous investigation into a "missing fetus" case conducted by long-time pro-UFOlogist Ann Druffel and Georgeanne Cifarelli, published in the Nov. 1991 issue of the <u>MUFON UFO Journal</u>. [See <u>SUN</u> #13, p. 1.]

In a Letter-to-the-Editor published in the Feb. 1992 issue of the same journal, Hopkins puts down the hard evidence turned up by the Druffel/Cifarelli discussions with the subject's doctors, preferring to rely on claims by the woman and her husband that they saw strange "entities in their bedrooms." Even if Druffel/Cifarelli have found a prosaic explanation for this case, Hopkins claims that "many other missing fetus cases remain truly inexplicable."

<u>Druffel</u> responded to Hopkins, whom she refers to as "my friend and colleague." As for Hopkins' claim that "many other missing fetus cases remain truly inexplicable," Druffel said that while Hopkins accepts such reports as fact, "there is no case in the literature where a true 'missing fetus' has been verified...Only when such claims are proven, can they be accepted as fact." (Emphasis added.)

After reading <u>SUN's</u> summary of the "missing fetus" investigation, Druffel wrote to clarify our statement that the subject had joined "one of **Hopkins**' self-help for abductees groups" in Los Angeles. Druffel said this self-help group was one that she, Dr. Richard Neal and others had formed in the hope it might help people "who feel they have experienced traumatic abduction by unidentified entities." But "the group, as well as others started in this area, fell apart because of internal dissension," Druffel wrote. This "dissension [was] caused by 'contactees' who report benign experiences with 'ETs' and who attempt to proselytize witnesses who feel they have experienced non-benign interference."

In Druffel's letter to <u>SUN</u> she concludes: "I truly don't know what the 'abduction syndrome' is all about, though I hypothesize it is caused by some type of intradimensional intelligence which is, at best, mischievous and, at worst, malevolent."

CROP CIRCLES MYSTERY EXPERTS IN DISARRAY:

Latest upsetting developments in the Crop Circles Mystery are reported in the Winter 1991-92 issue of respected British publication--The Cerealogist: "At the Cerealogist's First Annual Conference over the weekend of the 7 and 8 September, the atmosphere was upbeat and triumphalist. Yet amid the euphoria several speakers introduced a sombre note, warning about a 'campaign of disinformation' which would inevitably be launched to counteract the sensational effects of the 1991 pictogram formations. The very next morning the tabloid 'newspaper' Today published the story of the circle hoaxers, Doug & Dave. Despite its dubious origin...the story was broadcast all over the world...

"The Doug & Dave story, as shown on television everywhere, featured the cruel but enjoyable spectacle of a high-flying expert brought to earth and made foolish. The victim in this case was poor old Pat Delgado. With Colin Andrews, Terence Meaden and Busty Taylor, he is one of the founding fathers of cerealogy--those who have studied crop circles since the early 1980s. These experts have previously claimed to be infallible in distinguishing real circles from fakes. So it came as a shock to their trusting followers when Meaden and Taylor fell into the same trap as Delgado, and publicly insisted that a recently manufactured group of circles was the real thing...

"Pat Delgado fell into an ambush of his making. Led on by the <u>Today</u> team, he identified a Doug-&-Dave insectogram as absolutely genuine...when the <u>Today</u> reporter brought Doug & Dave to his house to demonstrate how they had tricked him, he totally collapsed...It took some time, but eventually his partner, Colin Andrews, put him together again. He withdrew his admissions and now he is just as he was before--one of the staunchest and most knowledgeable of the veteran crop circle researchers.

"Next it was the turn of Terence Meaden and Busty Taylor. A group of young scientists from Southampton, calling themselves the Wessex Sceptics, paid a Wiltshire farmer 100 [British] pounds and crushed out a formation of circles in one of his wheatfields. Their primary target was Terence Meaden, and they created just the very sort of rough effect which would best illustrate Meaden's theories of whirlwinds and vortices [being responsible for crop circles.] Meaden walked into the trap and identified the rough circles as undoubtedly genuine and undoubtedly caused by his hypothetical 'plasma vortex' agency.

"Busty Taylor dowsed the Sceptics circles. His rods duly twitched, he shook his head in the sagacious manner affected by dowsers and proclaimed: 'There's an awful lot going on here...an awful lot.'...The result of all this has been to throw the world of cerealogy into a state of total confusion. All of its previous certainties have been demolished...the common-sense belief that the crop circle phenomenon is nothing but an elaborate hoax, is now established as the official doctrine." (Emphasis added.)

Crop Circles were featured at last summer's MUFON conference in Chlcago and generated keen interest among attendees. One speaker was Colin Andrews. Two other speakers were British dowsers Busty Taylor and Richard Andrews, who described mysterious emanations which (allegedly) caused dowsing rods to react when they stood near a crop circle. MUFON UFO Journal editor Dennis Stacy has devoted considerable journal space to the subject.

ATTENTION MUFON, CUFOS:

"Most ambitious investigation of UFO phenomenon ever conducted by a privately funded organization" has been announced by MPI Home Video, of Oak Forest, Ill. According to MPI's press release, "working with thousands of academics, private investigators, scientists, psychotherapists, retired intelligence officials, and field eyewitnesses, MPI HOME VIDEO will provide the necessary resources to uncover and evaluate the most compelling evidence regarding UFOs. The results of their investigations will be made known through a quarterly series of thematic video programs entitled CONTACT UFO." (MPI hopes its UFO movies will be available in your neighborhood video shop.)

First MPI video, slated to be shipped in late May, is titled "CONTACT UFO: ALIEN ABDUCTIONS," and its suggested retail price is \$19.98. Those featured will include: Mark Rodeghier, CUFOS president; Budd Hopkins; Dr. John Mack of Harvard Medical School [See p. 4]; Betty Hill ("abductee"); Ann Druffel; MUFON's Walter Webb; Michael Grosso; Eddie Bullard and Michael Swords, both active in CUFOS.

Although most UFOlogists concede that the number of new UFO sightings is near an all-time low (except over Gulf Breeze), Matthew White, who is producing the video series, claims "We are right now engaged in a new flap of UFO sightings." He further claims "we now have the tools to evaluate what we see--indeed, much of the evidence that's being gathered would hold up as evidence in a court of law." According to White, "the world's best minds and a few curious governments are providing the impetus to get the process moving, and it looks like we're going to, finally, get some answers. By the year 2000, who knows? We may just know what's out there."

THE CLOUDY CRYSTAL BALL:

May/June 1957: (Flying Saucer Review) "All this lends credence to the possibility that authorities may shortly announce that saucers exist and that they consider them hostile."

Aug. 15, 1974: (The National Tattler) Quoting APRO's James Lorenzen: "A program has been undertaken that will over the next few months make it obvious that the government has reversed its position...My information is that the government will release all its [UFO] information within the next three years."

Dec. 9, 1974: (National Examiner) "Insiders at the nation's capital feel that the government is almost ready to release some of the information it has reportedly withheld from the public for 25 years concerning extraterrestrial life...The super coverup--concerning UFOs--makes the White House's Watergate mess look like a high school affair."

April 1974: ("Beyond Earth: Man's Contact with UFOs" by Ralph and Judy Blum): "We predict that by 1975 the government will release definite proof that extraterrestrials are watching us."

Oct. 27, 1975: (Midnight) Quoting Robert Barry, head of The 20th Century UFO Bureau: "The government will tell us what's been going on, in a series of television documentaries over a period of months....The entire story is slated to be disclosed by the 200th anniversary of Independence on July 4, 1976."

Sept. 14, 1976: (National Enquirer) "Jeane Dixon, the world's most phenomenal seer, says a UFO carrying super-advanced humans from a hidden planet will land on Earth within a year-and will help us overcome all disease, starvation and war."

April 18, 1977: (U.S. News & World Report) "Before the year is out, the Government--perhaps the President--is expected to make what are described as 'unsettling disciosures' about UFOs--unidentified flying objects."

May 9, 1977: (U.S. News & World Report) Philip J. Klass Letter-to-the-Editor: "Your April 18 Washington Whispers page said that 'before the year is out, the Government--perhaps the President--is expected to make what are described as "unsettling disclosures" about UFOs--unidentified flying objects.' I offer odds of 100:1 this will not come true...The truth is that the Government has no 'unsettling disclosures' to make on UFOs, unless President Carter is about to reveal that he really hails from Mars and not from Georgia." [NOTE: My letter and 100:1 odds prompted no takers--even from the reporter who had written the prediction.]

July 1983: (MUFON UFO JOURNAL) Walter Andrus: "I am predicting that the forthcoming book titled "Clear Intent," authored by Larry Fawcett and Barry J. Greenwood...will force the Pentagon and our government intelligence agencies to reveal why they have conducted a 'Cosmic Watergate' or coverup with respect to their involvement with UFOs."

March 1992: SUN predicts that such Government action could not, and will not, ever occur.

NOTE: Opinions expressed in <u>SUN</u> are those of its Editor, unless otherwise noted, and do not necessarily represent the views of any other organization with which he is affiliated. Thanks to Dr. Gary Posner for his sharp proof-reader's eye.

SKEPTICS UFO NEWSLETTER (SUN) IS PUBLISHED BIMONTHLY. SUBSCRIPTION RATE (SIX ISSUES) IS \$15.00 FOR U.S./CANADA. OVERSEAS RATE IS \$20.00/YEAR FOR AIRMAIL SERVICE. (Please make checks payable to Philip J. Klass.)