Lecture 2 Protein secondary structure prediction

Computational Aspects of Molecular Structure Teresa Przytycka, PhD

Assumptions in secondary structure prediction

- Goal: classify each residuum as alpha, beta or coil.
- Assumption: Secondary structure of a residuum is determined by the amino acid at the given position and amino acids at the neighboring.
- Chameleon sequence: A sequence that assumes different secondary structure depending on the fold context. The longest known chameleon sequence has 11 res.

Chow-Fasman algorithm

Chow, P.Y. and Fasman, G.D. Biochemistry (1974) 13,222.

- Statistical approach based on calculation of statistical propensities of each residuum to form an α -helix or β -strand
- Low accuracy (~50%) (accuracy of current methods >75%).

Chow-Fasman statistics

R – amino acid, S- secondary structure type f(R,S) – number of occurrences of R in S N_s – total number of amino acids in conformation S N – total number of amino acids $P(R,S) = (f(R,S)/f(R))/(N_s/N)$

P(R,S) – propensity of amino acid R to be in structure S

Hypothetical example

- Assume a data set with total nr of residues 100 000.
- One third of them are in helix conformation
- "Ala" is observed 8 000 times
- 5 000 of Ala is in helix conformation f(ALA) = 8000; f(ALA, Helix) = 5000; Ns/N = 1/3 Helix propensity for ALA: (5000/8000)/(1/3) = 15/8

<u>The Chow – Fasman propensities</u>

Name Alanine Arginine Aspartic Acid Asparagine Cysteine Glutamic Acid Glutamine Asparagine Cysteine Glutamic Acid Glutamine Acid Seleucine Leucine Leucine Lysine Methionine Phenylalanine Proline Serine	$\begin{array}{ccccc} P(\alpha) & P(1,42) & O(1,42) & O(1,42)$	 (β) P(turr .83 0.66 93 0.95 54 1.46 .89 1.56 .19 1.19 17 0.74 10 0.98 75 1.56 87 0.95 60 0.47 .30 0.59 74 1.01 .05 0.60 .38 0.60 .55 1.52 75 1.43 .96 	 Chow-Fasman algorithm idea Look for starches of continuous residues with helix propensity at least one declare such regions a helixes Similarly look for sufficiently long beta regions Resolve conflicts (if any) Details – at hoc method
Isoleucine	1.08 1.	60 0.47	sufficiently long beta
Leucine	1.41 1.	.30 0.59	regions
Lysine	1.14 0.	74 1.01	3. Resolve conflicts (if any)
Methionine	1.45 1.0	0.60	
Phenylalanine	1.13 1.	.38 0.60	Detaile at here we athend
Proline	0.57 0.	55 1.52	Details – at noc method
Serine	0.77 0.	75 1.43	
Threonine	0.83 1.	19 0.96	
Tryptophan	1.08 1.	37 0.96	
Tyrosine	0.69 1.	.47 1.14	
Valine	1.06 1.	70 0.50	

What can be improved in this <u>approach?</u>

- We just looked at one residuum ignoring the neighbors.
- Other possibility -looking at triples strand

20³ possibilities



Approximate all these frequencies: Compute the propensity if A being helix strand given the knowledge of its neighbors helix

Α	A	A
А	А	Ι
Ι	Α	Ι

Thus the idea is:

Compute $P[A_{j} \text{ in } \alpha | A_{j-1} A_{j} A_{j+1}]$ $P[A_{j} \text{ in } \beta | A_{j-1} A_{j} A_{j+1}]$ $P[A_{j} \text{ in } L | A_{j-1} A_{j} A_{j+1}]$

How about taking a larger window (>3)?

This is the idea behind the method by Garinier Osguthorpe Robson (GOR)

<u>GOR</u>

- <u>Consider window of 17 positions</u> and see how the conformation of the central residuum depends on this residuum and its 18 neighbors (8 in each direction).
- Ideally one would consider all possible combinations of these neighbors. This is impossible: would require collecting statistics for 20^17 sequences.
- <u>Instead</u> assume the central residuum depends on its neighbors but the neighbors are independent on each other
 <u>Implementation</u> :Statistical information derived from proteins of known structure is stored in three (17X20)
 - matrices, one each for α , β , coil

16 tables of pairs per each strand/helix/loop plus Chow-Fasman type table

(ignore white positions and collect statistics how yellow position (central res.) depends on gray positions for all possible selection of gray neighbors.

The final prediction

For every neighbor x of distance 8 or less compute the propensity of a given residuum to be in a secondary structure of a given type (helix, strand, loop) under assumption that x is a particular amino acid.

Sum contribution from all neighbors.

Machine learning approach

- Machine learning a program that learns "by examples"
- The designer makes assumptions about the learning process like what information will be used and how.
- Examples:
 - Neural Networks,
 - Hidden Markov Model,
 - Support Vector Machines,
 - Decision Trees, and other.

Neural network method

Artificial neuron:



Components of an artificial neuron

- Weighting factor A neuron receives many simultaneous inputs. Each input has it's own relative weight (*w*)
- Summation function Processing in the usually artificial neuron consists of computing weighted sum.
- Transfer function the result of the summing function is transferred via transfer function. Transfer function usually compares the weighted sum against some threshold value and may transfer no signal is the value is below the threshold.

perceptrons

classify object into two categories given its description in a form of a vector (x1,...,x2)



 $\sum_{x_i w_i = x w} \rightarrow \alpha \quad \begin{cases} Yes: output \ 1 = category 1 \\ No: output \ -1 = category 2 \end{cases}$

Given is a training set a set of input vectors for which we know the target category.

Find the set of weights that will yield correct Yes/No answer using classifier above

Such set of weights for any such two categories does not always exits. *If it exists can we find it?* Else can we find the the set of weights that minimize misclassification?

If such classifying set of weights exists can we find it?

Yes. The training method:

Assume that the preceptor is to return 1 if true -1 if false. Until perceptron correctly classify all vectors in the training stet repeat:

If preceptron is incorrect on the given input change the weights as follows:

 $\overrightarrow{w} = \overrightarrow{w} - \overrightarrow{x}$ (if the result should be -1 and the answer was 1) w = w + x if otherwise

Theorem: If all examples x have unit length and if there exists a set of weights which correctly classify the training set then this procedure converges to this set of weights,

Why this works

- Assume the answer for vector x is positive and should be negative.
- We change the vector of weights as $\vec{w} \cdot \vec{x}$.
- The result of summation is now:

 $(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{w} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}$

Thus the value of classifier for x will be smaller and it is more likely to be correct in the next iteration. *If such classifying set of weights does not exists can we find a set of weight that minimize error?*

- Idea: (We will not discuss details. Recommended reading: Mona Singh's lecture notes:)
- Define a function that describes error a multivariable function with weights as variables
- Use a method (like gradient descend) that finds a local minimum of the error function (unfortunately this is not a global minimum)

Neural network

•A network of artificial neurons where output of one neuron in the network provides input to another.

•Feed forward network:

- The neurons are divided into layers
- The neurons in the first layer send their output to the second layer but they do not receive any input back from the second layer
- There may be several hidden layers.



Computing within NN

- Each unit (except for input) computes weighted sums with weights selected during the training process.
- Training note that changing output in one units results in a different input to another unit. Training method – backpropagation "pushing the error towards input nodes"

PHD – neural network algorithm for

secondary structure prediction Rost and Sander

- First step multiple alignment (say for the sequence family recovered by BLAST)
- PHD uses two levels of Neural Networks
- Level 1: Sequence to structure network: feed forward NN with 3 layers – input, hidden, output; responsible for scoring chances of residuum to be in any of the three secondary structures based on the residuum and its sequence neighbors (like GOR but using MSA(!) and Neural Nets)
- Level 2: Structure to structure network: arithmetic averaging over independently trained networks.

Level 1: <u>sequence to structure</u>

Take window of 13 adjacent residues is (6 before and 6 after the residuum for which we predict secondary structure at the given step). In the output layer, for each residuum we have scores for helix, strand, loop



Level 2: <u>Structure to structure</u>

The role for the second level is to include dependence on the conformation predicted for a residuum and conformation of its neighbors



How good are the methods?

Single sequence, single	e residuum methods	
Chou & Fasman	50%	
Single sequence, multi	ple residues methods	
GOR IV	65%	
Multiple sequence met	hods	
NNSSP	71%	
PHD	71%	
Taking a weighted con	sensus of many methods modera	ate
improvement.		