

# Leveraging Real World Evidence to Get Better, Faster, Cheaper Medical Devices for Physicians and Patients

Major initiatives:

- Medical Device Epidemiology Network (MDEpiNet)
- Registry Assessment of Peripheral Interventional Devices (RAPID)
- SFA-Popliteal EvidencE Development (SPEED)

October 12, 2018 - 1:00 pm U.S. Eastern Time Renee Mitchell, MT(ASCP), CLS(NCA), Regulatory Affairs, Boston Scientific Terrie Reed, MSIE, Senior Advisor for UDI Adoption, US Food & Drug Administration Roseann White, MA, Director of Innovative Clinical Trial Statistics, Duke Clinical Research Institute

# Outline

- Background
- MDEpiNet
- RAPID project
- SPEED overview
- SPEED analysis
- Unique Device Identifier
- Vision for the future





# Paradigm shift in Healthcare





# Purpose

### Webinar

Educate viewers about MDEpiNet, RAPID, and SPEED

## MDEpiNet RAPID

- Better, Faster, Cheaper devices to patients' bedsides
- FDA, clinician, and manufacturer partners benefit from the use of real world evidence
- Multiple partners = Greater diversity = Better data and results
- Medical device manufacturers can leverage real world data in RAPID Phase III



# Medical Devices: "The Opportunity"

- Capture "real world evidence" in order to evaluate pre- and post-market safety and effectiveness of medical treatment
- Develop analytical methodologies for device evaluation
- Generate guiding principles and clear data governance
- Build infrastructure to share best practices amongst diverse stakeholders and merge data sources for better interoperability
- Demonstrate more effective capture and reuse of UDI across supply chain, clinical, and analytical systems





# <u>Medical Device Epidemiology Network</u> (MDEpiNet)



# **MDEpiNet Initiative**

- A public-private partnership, started in 2010, with stakeholders from FDA, private industry, academia, and professional organizations
- **Purpose:** Bring together leadership, expertise, and resources to support a national medical device evaluation system
- Mission: Advance national and international infrastructure for patient-centered regulatory science, surveillance and quantitative methodology
- **Goal:** Optimize evidence generation, appraisal, and synthesis for medical device Total Product Lifecycle (TPLC) evaluation



# Value of Device Lifecycle and Evaluation





# Benefits of MDEpiNet for Patients, Clinicians, Industry, Regulatory Agencies

Better product

- Better devices, faster to bedside for patients
- Improved pre-/post-market balance

Increased information

- Information on device risk/benefit
- Comparative effectiveness, cost-effectiveness
- Historical data (modeling; performance goals and criteria)
- Best practice guidelines
- Increased data sets for greater accuracy

Greater efficiency

- Interoperable collection and exchange of electronic health data
- Reduced regulatory burden
- Leveraging existing data for device evaluation





# <u>Registry Assessment of Peripheral</u> <u>Interventional Devices (RAPID)</u>





# **Registry Assessment of Peripheral Interventional Devices (RAPID)**

- The MDEpiNet RAPID project is designed to advance the foundational elements of the approach for the evaluation of medical devices used to treat and manage peripheral artery disease.
- RAPID is an archetype of the total product lifecycle ecosystem.
- It is one of a series of projects initiated to advance and demonstrate the interoperable flow of data across electronic health information systems.
- Is fundamental to the basis of the development of the National Evaluation System for Health Technology (NEST).
- A demonstration project of MDIC/NESTcc, a public-private partnership.





# **RAPID Leadership Team**

#### **Principal Investigators**

- Jack Cronenwett, MD, Society of Vascular Surgery, Vascular Quality Initiative
- Pablo Morales, MD, United States Food and Drug Administration
- Robert Thatcher, MBA, 4C Medical Technologies
- Key Advisors
- Mitch Krucoff, MD, Duke Clinical Research Institute
- Danica Marinac-Dabic, MD, Ph.D., MMSC, United States Food and Drug Administration
- **Project Management and Informatics Support**
- Duke Clinical Research Institute
- Weill Cornell Department of Healthcare Policy and Research







# **RAPID Partners**

#### **Medical Societies / Registries**

- American College of Cardiology (ACC)
- International Consortium of Vascular Registries (ICVR)
- National Cardiovascular Data Registry (NCDR) – Peripheral Vascular Intervention (PVI)
- National Interventional Radiology Quality Registry (NIRQR)
- Society of Interventional Radiology (SIR)
- Society for Vascular Surgery (SVS)
- Vascular Quality Initiative (VQI) Peripheral Vascular Intervention (PVI)



# **RAPID Partners**

#### **Medical Societies / Registries**

- American College of Cardiology (ACC)
- International Consortium of Vascular Registries (ICVR)
- National Cardiovascular Data Registry (NCDR) – Peripheral Vascular Intervention (PVI)
- National Interventional Radiology Quality Registry (NIRQR)
- Society of Interventional Radiology (SIR)
- Society for Vascular Surgery (SVS)
- Vascular Quality Initiative (VQI) Peripheral Vascular Intervention (PVI)

#### **Government Agencies**

- Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ)
- Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS)
- Department of Defense (DOD) Healthcare Resources
- FDA (Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH) and Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)
- Japan's Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency (PMDA)
- National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI)
- National Library of Medicine (NLM)
- Office of the National Coordinator (ONC)



# **RAPID** Partners (cont.)

# **Companies / Organizations**

- 4C Medical Technologies, Inc.
- Aorta Medical, Inc.
- Boston Biomedical Association
- Cerner
- Cognitive Medical Systems
- Deloitte Healthcare
- Device Events
- Epic
- First Databank, Inc.

- Global Healthcare Exchange
- Global Medical Device Nomenclature (GMDN)
- Healthjump, Inc.
- MDIC/NESTcc
- MedStreaming/M2S
- INC Research
- IQVIA (formerly Quintiles)
- PCPI
- Pharm3r
- Ultamed Corp



# **RAPID Funders**





# **RAPID Goals: Phase I**

- **Phase I:** Identify minimal set of core data elements for registry assessment of lower extremity arterial devices, including methods to identify specific devices being used.
- Phase II: Demonstrate the value of integrating standardized core data elements, establish a methodology to use RWE to support clinical and regulatory decision-making, and increase data interoperability.
- Phase III: Use a coordinated registries network (CRN) for studies supporting a regulatory decision, including patient-level data from multiple sources.



# **RAPID Phase I: Delivered**

- Phase I: Identify minimal set of core data elements for registry assessment of lower extremity arterial devices, including methods to identify specific devices being used – Completed!
- Meta-data of the 100 core data elements include:
  - Data element label (e.g. Modified Rutherford Category; wound grade)
  - Data element definition
  - Value set
  - Definitions of the elements of the value set
  - Reference source

Download RAPID Phase I Core Data Elements at: http://mdepinet.org/wp-content/uploads/RAPID-Core-Data-Elements 20JUL2016FinalforPhasel Rev1.xlsx



# **RAPID Phase I: Delivered (cont.)**

#### **Core Data Elements**

 100 "key core data elements," including UDI, covering patient characteristics, clinical descriptors, device descriptors, lesion descriptors, etc., as published in Journal of Vascular Surgery

#### **Use Cases for Core Data Elements**

 Infrastructure facilitates interoperability between registries, EHRs, and other data sources

#### **Workflow Diagrams**

 Point of care, total product lifecycle and registry-based clinical studies/trials

#### GUDID (Global Unique Device Identifier Database) Project Summary

• Key learnings about use of GUDID data



# **Publications and Guidance**

#### SPEED: A New Initiative in **Real-World PAD Evidence** Evaluation

An overview of the FDA's new multistakeholder project to support real-world evidence evaluation for devices aimed at treating peripheral artery disease.

BY MISTI MALONE, PHD

REGULATORY UPDATE

#### Endovascular Today Sept., 2018

#### SPECIAL COMMUNICATIONS

Registry Assessment of Peripheral Interventional Devices (RAPID): Registry assessment of peripheral interventional devices core data elements

W. Schuyler Jones, MD,\* Mitchell W. Krucoff, MD,\* Pablo Morales, MD,<sup>b</sup> Rebecca W. Wilgus, RN, MSN,\* Anne H. Heath, BA<sup>a</sup> Mary F. Williams, BS,<sup>a</sup> James E. Tcheng, MD,<sup>a</sup> J. Danica Marinac-Dabic, MD, PhD,<sup>b</sup> Misti L. Malone, PhD,<sup>b</sup> Terrie L. Reed, MS,<sup>b</sup> Rie Fukaya, MMedSc.<sup>c</sup> Robert A. Lookstein, MD,<sup>d</sup> Nobuhiro Handa, MD<sup>c</sup> Herbert D. Aronow, MD, MPH<sup>e</sup> Daniel J. Bertges, MD<sup>1</sup> Michael R. Jaff, DO<sup>0</sup> Thomas T. Tsai, MD, MSc.<sup>h</sup> Joshua A. Smale, BS.<sup>1</sup> Margo J. Zaugg, BSN.<sup>1</sup> Robert J. Thatcher, MBA.<sup>k</sup> and Jack L. Cronenwett, MD.<sup>1</sup> Durham, NC. Silver Spring, Md. Tokyo, Japan. New York, NY: Providence, RI: Burlington, Vt: Newton, Mass: Denver, Colo, Tempe, Ariz: Santa Clara, Calif. Minneapolis, Minn: and Lebanon, NH

#### Journal of Vascular Surgery Feb., 2018



W. Schuyfer Jones, MD; Mitchell W. Krucoff, MD; Pablo Morales, MD; Rebecca W. Wajgas, RN, MSN, Anne H. Heath, BA(: Mary F. Williams, BS; James E. Tchetag, MD; J. Danisa Marinac-Dabie, MD; PhD; Msi L. Madone, PhD; Terric L. Reed, MS; Rie Fakaya, MMdSc; Robert Lookstein, MD; Nobuhiro Handa, MD; Herbert D. Anonov, MD, MPH; Danisl J. Berges, MD, Michael R. Jali, DO; Tomas T. Tasi, MD, MSC; Johna A. Smale, BS; Margo J. Zaugg, BSN; Robert J. Tauker, MBA; Jack L. Consenvett, MD, Durham, NC; Silver Spring, Md; Tolyo, Japan; New York, NY; Providence, RE. Builington, VI; Newton, Mass; Denver, Colo; Temper, Ariz, Stanta Care, Call; Minnergolo Minn; Lehanon, NH;

Circulation Journal (Japan) Jan., 2018

REGULATORY UPDATE

**Current Considerations** on Real-World Evidence Use in FDA Regulatory **Submissions** 

Examples and decision making from the Center for Devices and Radiological Health's Peripheral Interventional Devices Branch

#### BY ELENI WHATLEY AND MISTI MALONE

Endovascular Today Oct., 2017



**Contains Nonbinding Recommendation** 

Use of Real-World Evidence to **Support Regulatory Decision-Making** for Medical Devices

**Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff** 

Document issued on August 31, 2017.

The draft of this document was issued on July 27, 2016

FDA Guidance Aug., 2017

#### **Registry Assessment** of Peripheral Interventional Devices (RAPID)

Developing a minimum core dataset for total product life cycle device evaluation across multiple data sources: a step toward establishing a National Evaluation System for Health Technology for peripheral intervention devices

BY JOSE PABLO MORALES, MD: JACK CRONENWETT, MD: AND ROBERT THATCHER, MBA: ON BEHALF OF THE RAPID PROJECT COLLABORATORS

> Endovascular Today Aug., 2016

# **RAPID Goals: Phase II**

- Phase I: Identify minimal set of core data elements for registry assessment of lower extremity arterial devices, including methods to identify specific devices being used.
- Phase II: Demonstrate the value of integrating standardized core data elements, establish a methodology to use RWE to support clinical and regulatory decision-making, and increase data interoperability.
- Phase III: Use a coordinated registries network (CRN) for studies supporting a regulatory decision, including patient-level data from multiple sources.



# **Phase II Stakeholder Working Groups**

- Informatics, Interoperability & Global Unique Identifier (GUDID)
- 2. Governance, Access, Data Sharing
- 3. Protocol Development
  - a. Statistics
  - b. Industry
  - c. Clinician
- 4. Educational Outreach









# SFA-Popliteal EvidencE Development (SPEED)



http://aicdheart.com/patient\_education/heart\_HTML\_scaleable/heart/fempop.htm http://www.yoursurgery.com/ProcedureDetails.cfm?BR=5&Proc=33

# <u>SFA-Popliteal EvidencE Development (SPEED)</u>

- RAPID Phase II
- Why SPEED?
  - Multiple devices currently in use, with new drug-coated and other technologies in pipeline
  - Expansion of current labeling for appropriate use
  - Provide additional real world evidence for clinical and regulatory decision making
  - Modernize objective performance criteria (OPC) for SFA-POP devices
- Goals of SPEED:
  - Device-specific data for companies that wish to expand indications for use of current devices
    - Line-by-line data to allow propensity matching to establish noninferiority of new device compared with contemporary treatment of similar patients and lesions
  - Contemporary OPC for percutaneous/peripheral vascular intervention (PVI) treatment
    - Dynamic OPCs depending on patient, lesion, and treatment type characteristics



# What is Vascular Quality Initiative (VQI)?

• VQI Mission: Improve the care of vascular patients.



458 Centers, 46 States + Canada



# What is Vascular Quality Initiative (VQI)?

- VQI Mission: Improve the care of vascular patients.
- Registry sponsored by the Society for Vascular Surgery
- VQI includes over 450 sites and 450,000 patients



458 Centers, 46 States + Canada



# What is Vascular Quality Initiative (VQI)?

- VQI Mission: Improve the care of vascular patients.
- Registry sponsored by the Society for Vascular Surgery
- VQI includes over 450 sites and 450,000 patients
- Incorporated RAPID core data elements into its Peripheral Vascular Intervention (PVI) data
- Data source for RAPID/SPEED



458 Centers, 46 States + Canada



# **Benefits of Real World Evidence**

### Better

- Enhance safe, effective, and patient-centric outcomes
- Inform users and patients of real world performance
- Improve relevance over traditional post-market studies

## Faster

- Expand indications for new patient populations
- Reduce time to patient access

## Cheaper

- Alleviate burden on clinical research enterprise (pre- and postmarket)
- Lower cost of clinical evidence generation



# **Global Benefits**

Harmonization of various registries

 Incorporate RAPID / SPEED common data elements in all registries

Elimination of small clinical trials with no statistical significance

- Leverage existing patient data to gain regulatory approval
- Reduce time to market







# **SPEED Analysis**



# **Process**





# **Process**







# **Analysis Team**

From Devices and Radiologic Health

Office of Surveillance and Biometrics Dept. of Epidemiology

- Yu-Ching Cheng, Epidemiologist, RAPID Project Lead
- Li Wang, Staff Fellow, Advisor
- Jiping Chen, Supervisory Epidemiologist

**Additional Support** 

- Tiyani Sun, Research Data Analyst, Weill Cornell Medicine
- Roseann White, Project Facilitator, DCRI



# Advisors

#### Industry

- Aimin Feng, CR Bard
- Joe Griffin, Intact Vascular
- Terry Lao, Boston Scientific
- Aaron Lottes, Cook Medical
- Justin Recknor, W L Gore
- Alan Saunders, Cook Medical
- Scott Snyder, Cook Medical
- Robert Thatcher, 4C Medical
- Ying Wan, CR Bard
- Jin Wang, Abbott
- Hugo Xi, Abbott

#### **Clinical Practice**

- Philip Goodney, Center for the Evaluation of Surgical Care at Dartmouth
- Jens Jorgensen, Maine Medical Center

#### **Professional Organizations**

- Rebecca Baker, ACC
- Jim Wadzinski, SVS PSO

#### Academia

- Haley Abing, Harvard
- Jack Cronenwett, Cornell University
- James Tcheng, DCRI
- Roseann White, DCRI

# PMDA (Japanese Regulatory Agency)

- Mami Ho, PMDA
- Ono-Mao, PMDA
- Handa-Nobuhiro, PMDA

#### **FDA**

- Joshua Chetta, FDA/CDRH/IDE
- Nelson Lu,
   FDA/CDRH/OSB/DBS
- Misti Malone, FDA/CDRH/ODE/DCD/PIDB
- Danica Marinac-Dabic, FDA/CDRH/OSB/DEPI
- Pablo Morales, FDA/CDRH/ODE/DCD/VSDB
- Subok Park, FDA/CDRH/OSB/DEPI
- Audrey Zhao,
   FDA/CDRH/OSB/DBS



# **Objective Performance Criteria (OPC)**

 Determine the minimum acceptable success rate for demonstrating device effectiveness, based on the trial population, e.g. provide an OPC calculator





# **Objective Performance Criteria (OPC)**

- Determine the minimum acceptable success rate for demonstrating device effectiveness, based on the trial population, e.g. provide an OPC calculator
- Determined after there is a large accumulation of performance data for the type of device





# **Objective Performance Criteria (OPC)**

- Determine the minimum acceptable success rate for demonstrating device effectiveness, based on the trial population, e.g. provide an OPC calculator
- Determined after there is a large accumulation of performance data for the type of device
- Detailed Statistical Analysis Plan (SAP) developed





# **Analysis Populations**

- For each vessel-based subgroup, an objective performance criteria (OPC) will be developed for the following sets of procedures:
  - All patients with any of the following: PTA, Stent, or Atherectomy
  - Percutaneous Transluminal Angioplasty(PTA) only
  - Stent with or without PTA
  - Atherectomy with or without PTA
  - Stent + Atherectomy



# **Dataset for SPEED Analysis**



# **Endpoints of interest**

- Patient level
  - Mortality, any cause
  - Amputation free survival (AFS)
  - Open surgery
- Limb level
  - Major Amputation
- Lesion level
  - Target lesion revascularization (TLR)
  - Target lesion occlusion
  - Target Vessel Revascularization





# **Initial Results at MDEpiNet Annual Meeting**

# **RAPID Goals: Phase III**

- Phase I: Identify minimal set of core data elements for registry assessment of lower extremity arterial devices, including methods to identify specific devices being used
- Phase II: Demonstrate the value of integrating standardized core data elements, establish a methodology to use RWE to support clinical and regulatory decision-making, and increase data interoperability.
- Phase III: Use a coordinated registries network (CRN) for studies supporting a regulatory decision, including patientlevel data from multiple sources.























# How important is it to identify an Implant?

#### **Company Announcement**

View Product Photos

When a company announces a recall, market withdrawal, or safety alert, the FDA posts the company's announcement as a public service. FDA does not endorse either the product or the company.

#### Pepperidge Farm® Announces Voluntary Recall of Four Varieties of Goldfish® Crackers

f share 🤍 TWEET in LINKEDIN 🔍 PINIT 🖾 EMAIL 🖨 PRINT

Contact	Consumers	Media
	Customer Service	Bethridge Toovell
	<b>\$</b> 800-679-1791	$\square$
		Bethridge_Toovell@PepperidgeFarm.com
		<b>\$</b> 203-846-7136

Follow FDA

y Follow @US\_FDA &

 Recent Recalled Product Photos on FDA's Flickr Photostream 🚱

IF Follow FDA &
✓ Follow @FDArecalls &

Pepperidge Farm has been notified by one of its ingredient suppliers that whey powder in a seasoning that is applied to four varieties of crackers has been the subject of a recall by the whey powder manufacturer due to the potential presence of *Salmonella*. Pepperidge Farm initiated an investigation and, out of an abundance of



# **Consumers can make decisions within days**

#### **Company Announcement**

When a company announces a recall, market withdraw announcement as a public service. FDA does not endo

# Pepperidge Farm® Anno of Four Varieties of Gold f share Y TWEET in LINCEDIN Image: PINIT Email Image: Pinit

For Immediate Release

Contact

Customer Service \$800-679-1791

Pepperidge Farm has been

powder in a seasoning that

subject of a recall by the wh

Salmonella. Pepperidge Fa

July 23, 2018

Consumers

#### From: Walmart.com Recalls < WMRecalls1@walmart.com>

Date: Wed, Jul 25, 2018 at 12:19 AM Subject: Pepperidge Farm Recalls Varieties of Goldfish Crackers

ar Valued Walmart Customer:

Pepperidge Farm has been notified by one of its ingredient suppliers that whey powder in a seasoning that is applied to some varieties of crackers has been the subject of a recall by the whey powder manufacturer due to the potential presence of *Salmonella*. Pepperidge Farm initiated an investigation and, out of an abundance of caution, is voluntarily recalling select varieties of Goldfish crackers.

Pepperidge Farm has asked us to recall the products listed below with the corresponding Best by Dates. Our records reflect that you may have purchased one or more of the items

Description	UPC	Sell By Dates	Product Images
Pepperidge Farm® Goldfish® Flavor Blasted® Ktra Cheddar Crackers, 6.6 oz. Bag		WO 11/25/18 WO 12/2/18	
		WO 12/9/18	
	1410008548	WO 12/16/18 WO 1/20/19	
		RU 12/30/18	

#### Announcement

View Product Photos

Your prompt action to this notice is strongly encouraged. If the affected product is still in your possession, we request that you do not consume it, discard it, and go to any Walmart store for a full refund. At Walmart, we adhere to strict quality assurance controls and work with our suppliers to ensure that we provide you with quality products. The supplier is cooperating fully with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to resolve the issue.

Pepperidge Farm recommends consumers with any questions or concerns about this recall visit www.pepperidgefarm.com/GoldfishUpdate or contact 1-800-679-1791.

Consumers with additional questions may also contact the Walmart Customer Care Center through the contact form at: <a href="http://help.walmart.com/app/grocery">http://help.walmart.com/app/grocery</a>.

We apologize for any inconvenience and look forward to meeting your needs in the future.



# UDI in RAPID: Improve decision making with better UDI data

### **GUDID/Informatics Workgroup Phase III**

### 1. Objective

- a) To improve UDI capture/utilization and broaden its impact
- b) Demonstration Project
  - → Use findings and partnerships built in Phase I and Phase II to form a partner-based quality improvement study of UDI workflow
  - → Develop process to capture RWE from selected data partners for worldwide regulatory support of device TPLC

#### 2. Methodology

- Clarifying structured data values to be assigned by manufacturers to improve quality of clinically relevant size and device categorization values in GUDID;
- Assessing existing workflows at NESTcc data partners who are committed and show high level of UDI adoption maturity;



# UDI in RAPID: Improve decision making with better UDI data (cont.)

#### Methodology (cont'd)

- Assisting implementation of core RAPID phase I data attributes (including UDI) into EHR or other point of care systems;
- Exploring mechanism for transfer of UDI and other data into a PAD registry; and
- Evaluating impact on data partner workflows and reductions in data capture, data transfer, and feedback to improve value of UDI to multistakeholders across the PAD lifecycle.
- **Expected NEST impact**: facilitate development of UDI workflow processes in NEST partners that could be leveraged by other healthcare systems, as well as evidence generation processes that could be utilized across the medical device industry





# **Vision for the Future**



# The Goal: Better, Faster, Cheaper Devices to Patients' Bedside







# The Goal: Better, Faster, Cheaper Devices to Patients' Bedside

- FDA, clinician, and manufacturer partners benefit
- More partners = Greater diversity = Better data and results
- Medical device manufacturers can leverage real world data and clinical trial evidence in RAPID Phase III







# **Going Forward**

- **Device manufacturers: Utilize** real world evidence in evaluating and releasing new devices and expanding indications.
- **Clinicians: Contribute** to the generation of real world evidence.
- **Regulatory bodies: Increase use** of real world evidence and patient level data for device approval.





# Organizations that provided images in this presentation

- Boston Scientific Corporation
- Cardiovascular Systems, Inc.
- Cook Medical
- CRBard, Inc. / Becton Dickinson (BD)
- Duke Clinical Research Institute
- Epicardio
- Intact Vascular, Inc.
- Medtronic
- Society for Vascular Surgery



# **Contact Information and Web Sites**

- Join us by emailing: <u>MDEpiNet@dm.duke.edu</u> / <u>sarah.palmer@duke.edu</u>
- Web sites:
  - MDEpiNet: <u>http://mdepinet.org</u>
  - RAPID Project: <a href="http://mdepinet.org/rapid/">http://mdepinet.org/rapid/</a>
  - NESTcc Demonstration Projects: <u>https://nestcc.org/demonstration-projects/</u>
  - FDA:

https://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/ScienceandResearch/Epid emiologyMedicalDevices/MedicalDeviceEpidemiologyNetworkM DEpiNet/default.htm



# **RAPID Publications and Guidance**

#### Endovascular Today, Sept. 2018

<u>https://evtoday.com/2018/09/speed-a-new-initiative-in-real-world-pad-evidence-evaluation/</u>

#### Journal of Vascular Surgery, Feb. 2018

<u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29389426</u>

#### Circulation Journal (Japan), 2018

• https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/circj/82/2/82\_CJ-17-1156/\_article/-char/en

#### Endovascular Today, Oct. 2017

• <u>https://evtoday.com/2017/10/current-considerations-on-real-world-evidence-use-in-fda-regulatory-submissions/</u>

#### FDA Guidance, Aug. 2017

 <u>https://www.fda.gov/downloads/medicaldevices/deviceregulationandguidance/guidancedocuments</u> /<u>ucm513027.pdf</u>

#### Endovascular Today, Aug. 2016

<u>http://evtoday.com/2016/08/registry-assessment-of-peripheral-interventional-devices-rapid/</u>

