

# (3) CENIER FOR FAMILY \& DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH Making Graphs with Excel 

 Summer 2014 Workshop Series
## $w+1 y-$

 CHARTS?Picture Superiority Effect Information is better remembered in tests of recall and item recognition when presented as pictures rather than words

> Fruit


Why is is 80 difficult forSOCIOLOGISTS?


- Analytical
- Logical
- Precise
- Repetitive
- Organized
- Details
- Scientific
- Detached
- Literal
- Sequential
- Creative
- Imaginative
- General
- Intuitive
- Conceptual
- Big picture
- Heuristic
- Empathetic
- Figurative
- Irregular

I Propose we Marry the Two The pun is intended!


Organization of Presentation

- Structure of an Excel Chart
- Different Types of Excel Charts
- Basic Principles of Chart Design
- Graphing Interaction Effects
- Creating a Chart with a Double Axis


# What makes upTHE STRUCTURE OF AN EXCEL CHART? 

## Le4's Dissect...



## What areTHE DIFFERENT TYPES OF CHARTS?

Alis tograms

## A vertical bar chart that depicts the distribution of a set of data

His4ograms, example


Pie Charts

Generally used to show percentage or proportional data classified into nominal or ordinal categories

## Pie Char4s, examples

## Simple Pie

Top Reasons for Fathers Leaving the Workforce in 2008

## Pie-of-Pie

## Percent of births by informal

 marital status of mother, 2005-$$
2010
$$



# Pie Char4s, examples 

## Simple Pie

College experiences of young adults (by age 25)

## Doughnut

Percent of young adults who enroll in a 4-year program by degree earned by age 25


Source: National Longitudinal Survey of Youth 1997, Rounds 1-13: 1997-2009 weighted. U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, NCFMR analyses of valid cases.

## Bar Char4, example

Prevalence of Pre-union First Birth across Demographic Characteristics


Prevalence of Pre-union First Birth by Race/Ethnicity: Whites

Hispanics


Blacks


Source: National Longitudinal Survey of Youth 1997 (NLSY97), Rounds 1-13: 1997-2009 (weighted). U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, NCFMR analyses of valid cases.

# Column \& Bar Char4s 

Useful for showing data changes over a period of time or for illustrating comparisons among items

## Column Char4s, examples

## Simple

Fathers Living with All of Their Children
Race, Ethnicity \& Nativity


## Side-by-Side

Percentage of Same-Sex Couple Households with Minor Children by Sex of Couple and Race/Ethnicity of Household

Head


Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 1Year Estimates, 2012

## Column Char4s, examples

Percent Change in Share of Aggregate Income from 1970-2009


Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, Annual Social and Economic Supplements

## Column Char4s, examples

## Public Assistance Participation among U.S. Children in Poverty

by Family Structure, 2010
$\square S N A P \square T A N F$


## Column Char4s, examples

Changes in the Shares of Births to Single and Cohabiting Mothers Under Age 40
$\square$ Single $\square$ Cohabiting $\rightarrow$ Total Non-Marital


Sources: 1980-1984 data, Bumpass \& Lu (2000) using NSFH, 1987/1988; 1990-1994 \& 1997-2001 data, Kennedy \& Bumpass (2008) using NSFG 1995 \& NSFG 2002; 2005-2009, NCFMR analyses using NSFG 2006-2010.

Line Char4s

## Ideal for showing trends over time

## Line Char4s, examples

## Share of Married Mothers Experiencing a Premarital Birth, by Race and Marriage Cohort



## Line Char4s, examples

Young Adults Living in a Parent's Household and Economic Recession Years by Sex and Ages, 1940-2010


Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Decennial Census, 1940-2000 (IPUMS); U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 1-year estimates 2010 (IPUMS)

## Line Char4s, examples

Annual HMI Spending and Marriage \& Divorce Rates, 2000-2010
$\rightarrow$ Marriage Rate $\rightarrow$-Divorce Rate $\rightarrow-\mathrm{HMI}$ Spending


Sources: CDC/NCHS, National Vital Statistics System, 2000; Glass \& Levchak, 2010, NCFMR County-Level Marriage \& Divorce Data, 2000; U.S. Census Bureau, Decennial Census, 2000; U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 1-Year Estimates, 2008 - 2010; HMI Spending data - Hawkins et al., 2013.

## Line Char4s, examples

## Crossover in median age at first marriage and first birth: Rising proportion of births to unmarried women, 1980-Present



Sources:

1. U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, March and Annual Social and Economic Supplements, 2012 and earlier.
2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Stats. http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/vitalstats.htm. [March 2013].
3. Martin JA, Hamilton BE, Ventura SJ, et al. Births: Final data for 2009. National vital statistics reports; vol 60 no 1. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics. 2011
4. Hamilton BE, Martin JA, Ventura SJ. Births: Preliminary data for 2010. National vital statistics reports web release; vol 60 no 2. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics. 2011.

Scawter Plows

## Commonly used to show the relationship between two variables e.g. correlation

## Sca4ter Plo4s, example

State Math Scores and Students' TV Viewing Habits


Source: National Center for Educational Statistics, 1994

Show percentage or proportional data classified into nominal or ordinal categories over time

## Area Char4s, example

Marital Status of U.S. Population Aged 15 and Older, 1970-2012


Source: 1970-2000 data, U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, March and Annual Social and Economic Supplements.
2008 and 2012 data, U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, (IPUMS)

What are some-

## BASIC PRINCIPLES OF CHART DESIGN?

1. Simplify

- Minimize ink-to-data ratio
- Remove unneeded chart elements
- Gridlines
- Chart borders
- Axis titles
- Legends
- Markers \& data labels
- Decimal points (in axis \& data labels)
- Trend lines
- NO 3D charts!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
- Sort data in a meaningful way


# Example of a 3D Chart: 

Fathers Living with All of Their Children

Race, Ethnicity \& Nativity

2. Color vs. Black $\ddagger$ Whise

- When in doubt $\rightarrow$ black \& white
- Color can help tell a story
- Color = branding (e.g. CFDR, NCFMR, BGSU)
- Use a cohesive and consistent color palette
- Be mindful of how audience will view
- Excel vs. Word vs. PDF
- Color vs. B\&W print copy

3. Do NOT Use Distorted Charts

- Do NOT misrepresent your data!
- Use appropriate and consistent axis and scales

4. Present Rela4ed Char4s Simultaneously

- One-after-another or side-by-side if possible
- Emphasizes importance of appropriate axis and scales

5. Know Your Qudience

- Academics vs. lay folks
- Undergraduate students vs. graduate students
- Graduate students vs. professors
- PAA presentation vs. job talk


## 6. TMC $=$ TMI

- Too many charts (TMC) is as bad as too much information (TMI) $\rightarrow$ Do NOT overload your audience!


## Let's apply some principles: Which is easier 40 understand?




Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2008-2011; HMI spending data-Hawkins et al., 2013.

## 7. Do you need a char4?

## $\$ 1177^{\frac{1}{2}}$

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2008-2011; HMI spending data- Hawkins et al., 2013.

How do I-

## CHART INTERACTION EFFECTS?

Logistic Regression Predicting Ever Marrying

- An interaction between a categorical and continuous predictor (DeMaris 2004, p 143):
$E(Y)=\beta_{0}+\delta_{1}$ Black $+\beta_{1}$ Parity $+\gamma_{1}$ Black*Parity
- The subpop consists of only White and Black women
- Black is a dummy variable
- Parity indicates number of live births, range 0-15
- Analyses is weighted


## Logistic Regression Predicting Ever Marrying, con4. <br> - Stata Output for Full Model:

. svy, subpop(blkwht): logistic evermar black PARITY PARITYblk, coef (running logistic on estimation sample)

Survey: Logistic regression

| Number of strata | $=$ | 56 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Number of PSUs | $=$ | 152 |


| Number of obs | $=$ | 12279 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Population size | $=$ | 61754741 |
| Subpop. no. of obs | $=$ | 8568 |
| Subpop. size | $=$ | 45835139 |
| Design df | $=$ | 96 |
| F( 3, 94) | $=$ | 186.25 |
| Prob > F | $=$ | 0.0000 |


| evermar | Linearized |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Coef. | Std. Err. | t | $P>\|t\|$ | [95\% Con | Interval] |
| black | -. 4698438 | . 1172022 | -4.01 | 0.000 | -. 7024885 | -. 2371992 |
| PARITY | 1.458909 | . 0707637 | 20.62 | 0.000 | 1.318444 | 1.599374 |
| PARITYblk | -. 9253343 | . 0978554 | -9.46 | 0.000 | -1.119576 | -. 7310928 |
| _cons | -. 8652098 | . 0616793 | -14.03 | 0.000 | -. 9876423 | -. 7427772 |

Logistic Regression Predicting Ever Marrying, con4.

- Table of Results

|  | Model 1 (Zero-Order) |  | Model 2 |  | Model 3 <br> (Full) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Coef. | SE | Coef. | SE | Coef. | SE |
| Black | -0.854 | 0.325*** | -1.589 | 0.113*** | -0.470 | 0.117*** |
| Parity | 1.040 | 0.054*** | 1.150 | 0.053*** | 1.459 | 0.071*** |
| Black X Parity |  |  |  |  | -0.925 | 0.098*** |
| Constant |  |  | -0.679 | $0.06{ }^{* * *}$ | -0.865 | 0.062*** |

Logistic Regression Predicting Ever Marrying, con 4.

- Equation for Full Model

$$
E(Y)=\beta_{0}+\delta_{1} \text { Black }+\beta_{1} \text { Parity }+\Upsilon_{1} \text { Black*Parity }
$$

- Equation for Black Women

$$
E(Y)=\beta_{0}+\delta_{1}+\beta_{1} \text { Parity }+\gamma_{1} * \text { Parity }
$$

- Equation for White Women

$$
E(Y)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \text { Parity }
$$

- Now, Plug and Play in Excel!


## Logisuic Regression Predicuing Ever

 Marrying, cont.


Formatted

Effect of Parity on Ever Marrying for Black and White Women


