

Center for family & demographic research Making Graphs with Excel

Summer 2014 Workshop Series

WHY-CHARTS?

Picture Superforfty Effect Information is better remembered in tests of recall and item recognition when presented as pictures rather than words

Fruit <



Why is if so difficult forsociologistsp

How do you process ?

- Analytical
- Logical
- Precise
- Repetitive
- Organized
- Details
- Scientific
- Detached
- Literal
- Sequential



- Creative
- Imaginative
- General
- Intuitive
- Conceptual
- Big picture
- Heuristic
- **Empathetic**
- Figurative
- Irregular

I Propose we Marry the Two The pun is intended!



Organization of Presentation

- Structure of an Excel Chart
- Different Types of Excel Charts
- Basic Principles of Chart Design
- Graphing Interaction Effects
- Creating a Chart with a Double Axis

What makes up-THE STRUCTURE OF AN EXCEL CHART?

Ley's Dissecy...



Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2008-2011; HMI spending data– Hawkins et al., 2013.

What are-THE DIFFERENT TYPES OF CHARTS?

H1s40grams

A vertical bar chart that depicts the distribution of a set of data

Histograms, example



Scores: 1,1,2,2,2,2,2,3,3,3,3,4,4,5

Pre Charys

Generally used to show percentage or proportional data classified into nominal or ordinal categories

Pre Char4s, examples

Simple Pie

Other

46%

Top Reasons for Fathers Leaving the Workforce in 2008

> Childcare 15%

Layoff 19% School/

Training

20%

Pie-of-Pie

Percent of births by informal marital status of mother, 2005-2010



Source: Survey of Income and Program Participation, 2008 March Supplement

Source: NSFG 2006-2010

Pre Char4s, examples

Simple Pie

College experiences of young adults (by age 25)

Doughnut

Percent of young adults who enroll in a 4-year program by degree earned by age 25



Source: National Longitudinal Survey of Youth 1997, Rounds 1-13: 1997-2009 weighted. U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, NCFMR analyses of valid cases.

Bar Chart, example



Source: National Longitudinal Survey of Youth 1997 (NLSY97), Rounds 1-13: 1997-2009 (weighted). U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, NCFMR analyses of valid cases.

Column & Bar Charys

Useful for showing data changes over a period of time or for illustrating comparisons among items

Column Char4s, examples

Simple

Fathers Living with All of Their Children Race, Ethnicity & Nativity



Source: NSFG 2006-2010

Side-by-Side

Percentage of Same-Sex Couple Households with Minor Children by Sex of Couple and Race/Ethnicity of Household Head



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 1-Year Estimates, 2012

Column Char4s, examples

Percent Change in Share of Aggregate Income from 1970-2009



Column Char4s, examples

Public Assistance Participation among U.S. Children in Poverty by Family Structure, 2010



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 1-Year Estimates, 2010

Column Char4s, examples

Changes in the Shares of Births to Single and Cohabiting Mothers Under Age 40



Sources: 1980-1984 data, Bumpass & Lu (2000) using NSFH, 1987/1988; 1990-1994 & 1997-2001 data, Kennedy & Bumpass (2008) using NSFG 1995 & NSFG 2002; 2005-2009, NCFMR analyses using NSFG 2006-2010.

Line Charys

Ideal for showing trends over time

Line Char4s, examples

Share of Married Mothers Experiencing a Premarital Birth, by Race and Marriage Cohort



Source: The Integrated Fertility Survey Series (IFSS) is a project of the Population Studies Center and the Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research at the University of Michigan, with funding from the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD, grant 5R01 HD053533; Pamela J. Smock, PI).

Line Char4s, examples

Young Adults Living in a Parent's Household and Economic Recession Years by Sex and Ages, 1940-2010



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Decennial Census, 1940-2000 (IPUMS); U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 1-year estimates 2010 (IPUMS)

Line Char4s, examples

Annual HMI Spending and Marriage & Divorce Rates, 2000 - 2010



Sources: CDC/NCHS, National Vital Statistics System, 2000; Glass & Levchak, 2010, NCFMR County-Level Marriage & Divorce Data, 2000; U.S. Census Bureau, Decennial Census, 2000; U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 1-Year Estimates, 2008 – 2010; HMI Spending data – Hawkins et al., 2013.

Line Char4s, examples

Crossover in median age at first marriage and first birth: Rising proportion of births to unmarried women, 1980-Present



Sources:

- 1. U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, March and Annual Social and Economic Supplements, 2012 and earlier.
- 2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Stats. http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/vitalstats.htm. [March 2013].
- 3. Martin JA, Hamilton BE, Ventura SJ, et al. Births: Final data for 2009. National vital statistics reports; vol 60 no 1. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics. 2011.
- 4. Hamilton BE, Martin JA, Ventura SJ. Births: Preliminary data for 2010. National vital statistics reports web release; vol 60 no 2. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics. 2011.

Scatter Plots

Commonly used to show the relationship between two variables e.g. correlation

Scatter Plots, example

State Math Scores and Students' TV Viewing Habits



Source: National Center for Educational Statistics, 1994

area Charys

Show percentage or proportional data classified into nominal or ordinal categories over time

area Char4s, example

Marital Status of U.S. Population Aged 15 and Older, 1970-2012



Source: 1970-2000 data, U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, March and Annual Social and Economic Supplements. 2008 and 2012 data, U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, (IPUMS)

What are some-BASIC PRINCIPLES OF CHART DESIGN?

1. Simplif

- Minimize ink-to-data ratio
- Remove unneeded chart elements
 - Gridlines
 - Chart borders
 - Axis titles
 - Legends
 - Markers & data labels
 - Decimal points (in axis & data labels)
 - Trend lines
- Sort data in a meaningful way

Example of a 3D Chart:

Fathers Living with All of Their Children

Race, Ethnicity & Nativity



2. Color vs. Black & White

- When in doubt \rightarrow black & white
- Color *can* help tell a story
- Color = branding (e.g. CFDR, NCFMR, BGSU)
 - Use a cohesive and consistent color palette
 - Be mindful of how audience will view
 - Excel vs. Word vs. PDF
 - Color vs. B&W print copy

3. Do NOT Use Distorted Charts

- **Do NOT misrepresent your data!**
- Use appropriate and consistent axis and scales

4. Present Related Charts Simultaneously

- One-after-another or side-by-side if possible
- Emphasizes importance of appropriate axis and scales

5. Know your audience

- Academics vs. lay folks
- Undergraduate students vs. graduate students
- Graduate students vs. professors
- PAA presentation vs. job talk

6. TMC = TMI

 Too many charts (TMC) is as bad as too much information (TMI) → Do NOT overload your audience!

Let's apply some principles: Which is easier 40 understand 3



Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2008-2011; HMI spending data– Hawkins et al., 2013.

7. Do you need a char43

S117: U.S. increased from 2000 – 2010

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2008-2011; HMI spending data– Hawkins et al., 2013.

How do I-Chart interaction EFFECTS?

Logistic Regression Predicting Ever Marrying

• An interaction between a categorical and continuous predictor (DeMaris 2004, p 143):

 $E(Y) = \beta_0 + \delta_1 Black + \beta_1 Parity + \Upsilon_1 Black*Parity$

- The subpop consists of only White and Black women
- Black is a dummy variable
- Parity indicates number of live births, range 0-15
- Analyses is weighted

Logistic Regression Predicting Ever Marrying, cont. • Stata Output for Full Model:

. svy, subpop(blkwht): logistic evermar black PARITY PARITYblk, coef (running logistic on estimation sample)

Survey: Logistic regression

Number	of	strata	a =	56		Number c	of obs	=	12279
Number	of	PSUs	=	152		Population size =		61754741	
						Subpop.	no. of ol	bs =	8568
						Subpop.	size	=	45835139
						Design d	lf	=	96
						F(3,	94)	=	186.25
						Prob > F	1	=	0.0000
er	vern	nar	Coef.	Linearized Std. Err.		P> t	[95% Co	onf.	Interval]
PAR	bla PARI ITYk _CC	ack TY olk ons	4698438 1.458909 9253343 8652098	.1172022 .0707637 .0978554 .0616793	-4.01 20.62 -9.46 -14.03	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	702488 1.31844 -1.1195 987642	 85 44 76 23	2371992 1.599374 7310928 7427772

Logistic Regression Predicting Ever Marrying, cont.

• Table of Results

Logistic Regression Predicting Ever Marrying

	Mo (Zero	Model 1 (Zero-Order)		Model 2		Model 3 (Full)	
	Coef.	SE	Coef.	SE	Coef.	SE	
Black	-0.854	0.325***	-1.589	0.113 ***	-0.470	0.117***	
Parity	1.040	0.054***	1.150	0.053***	1.459	0.071***	
Black X Parity					-0.925	0.098***	
Constant			-0.679	0.06 ***	-0.865	0.062***	

Logistic Regression Predicting Ever Marrying, cont.

• Equation for Full Model

 $E(Y) = \beta_0 + \delta_1 Black + \beta_1 Parity + \Upsilon_1 Black*Parity$

- Equation for Black Women $E(Y) = \beta_0 + \delta_1 + \beta_1 Parity + \Upsilon_1^* Parity$
- Equation for White Women $E(Y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1$ Parity
- Now, Plug and Play in Excel!

Logistic Regression Predicting Ever Marrying, cont.

Unformatted



Formatted



