

Mark Scheme (Results)

October 2016

Pearson Edexcel IAL in Accounting (WAC11)
Paper 01 The Accounting System and Costing

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

October 2016
Publications Code WAC11_01_1610_MS
All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2016

General Marking Guidance

- All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.
- Mark schemes should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.
- Examiners should mark according to the mark scheme not according to their perception of where the grade boundaries may lie.
- There is no ceiling on achievement. All marks on the mark scheme should be used appropriately.
- All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded. Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.
- Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
- Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.

Question	Answer			Mark
Number				
1(a)	AO1 (7), AO2 (7)			
	A01: Seven marks for recording	the given e	xpense in	
	the trial balance.			
	A02: Seven marks for adjustme	nt.		
	_			
	Weston Air	ways		
	Statement of Profit or Loss and O			
	for the year ended 30	September 20	16	
		£	£	
	Revenue	1 500	000 (1) AO 1	
	Less	000 000	(4) 100	
	Salaries-Aircrew (275 000 + 13 000)	288 000	(1) AO2	
	Administration	82 000	(1) AO1	
	Landing charge expenses	90 000	(1) AO1	
	Fuel (140 000 + 20 000) Ground services	160 000	(1) AO2	
	Aircraft maintenance	210 000 315 000	(1) AO1 (1) AO1	
	Marketing (70 000 – 10 000)	60 000	(1) AO1 (1) AO2	
	Administration expenses	145 000	(1) AO2 (1) AO1	
	Rent	50 000	(1) AO1	
	Depreciation – Aircraft	48 000	(1) AO2	
	Computers and fixtures		(1) AO2	
	Bank loan interest 9 000 (1) + 3 000(AO2	
		-	8 000)	
	Profit for the year		32 000	
		-		
				(14)

Question Number	Answer	Mark			
1(b)	AO1 (7), AO2 (7) AO1: Seven marks for recording the given entry. AO2: Seven marks for adjustment.				
	Statement of Financial Position at 30 September 2016				
	Non-current assets Cost Accumulated Carrying Depreciation value £ £ £				
	Aircraft 600 000 (548 000) 52 000 (1) AO2 Computers and fixtures 58 000 (26 000) 32 000 (1) AO2 658 000 (574 000) 84 000				
	Current assets Trade receivables Other receivables 47 000 (1) AO1 10 000 (1) AO2				
	Cash and bank 486 000 (1) AO1 Total assets (1) AO1 627 000				
	Capital 300 000 (1) AO1 Profit for the year 32 000 (1 of) AO1 332 000				
	Non-current liabilities (1) AO1 6% Bank Loan (repayable 30 June 2020) 200 000 (1) AO2				
	Current liabilities Trade payables 59 000 (1) AO1 Other payables (13 000 (1) AO2+20 000 (1) AO2 3 000 (1) AO2) 36 000				
	Total capital and liabilities 95 000 627 000	(14)			

Question Number	Answer	Mark
1(c)	AO1 (2), AO2 (9), AO3 (4) AO1: Two marks for transferring balance and calculating new balance. AO2: Nine marks for calculations. AO3: Four marks for two stage calculations.	
		(15)

Effect on Profit or Loss

Proposa	l 1 - Aircraft		fect on Profi ed		sal 2 - Aircra	aft are hired.	
1100000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	uro puronas	l £	1.1000			£
Profit for the year ended 30 September 2016 (from part (a))		32 000	Profit for the year ended 30 September 2016 (from part (a))		32 000 (1 of) AO1		
	Increase to profit £	Decrease to profit £			Increase to profit	Decrease to profit £	
Revenue	282 000 (2)	L		Revenue	282 000	<u> </u>	
Fuel	48 000 (1)			Fuel	48 000 (1 of)		
Aircraft maintenance Ground services	126 000 (1)	42 000		Aircraft maintenance Ground services	126 000 (1 of)	42 000	
expenses Depreciation		(1) 96 000 (2)		expenses Depreciation	48 000 (1 of)	(1 of)	
Additional bank loan interest		120 000 (1)		Additional bank loan interest	Nil	Nil	
Hire of aircraft	Nil	Nil		Hire of aircraft		250 000 (1)	
Sub totals	456 000	258 000	198000	Sub totals	504 000	292 000	212 000
Projected profit or 30 September 201		ear ended	230 000	Projected profit or loss for the year ended 30 September 2017		244 000 (1 of) AO1	
Workings Revenue 1 500 000 x 10% = + 150 000 (1) AO3 1 650 000 x 8% = + 132 000 (1) AO3 + 282 000 Fuel 160 000 x 70% = - 48 000 (1) AO2				Workings Aircraft hire 125 000 x 2 = 250	0 000 (1) AO	2	
Aircraft maintenance 315 000 x 40 % = - 126 000 (1) AO2							
Ground services expenses 210 000 x 20% = + 42 000 (1) AO2							
Depreciation 1 800 000 x 8% =144 000 (1) AO3 -48 000 (1 of) AO3 = + 96 000							
Additional loan interest 1 500 000 x 8% = + 120 000 (1) AO2							

Question Number	Indicative content		
1(d)	AO1 (1), AO2 (1), AO3 (5), AO4 (5) Potential arguments to lease		
	 No requirement for major loan and the risk attached to borrowing such a large sum. Inability to repay loan if there is a down turn in the market No interest charge to impact upon profit. Removal of risk of interest rate rises Lower or no repair costs to aircraft. These costs may be factored into the annual lease charge and borne by the lessor Cash flow 'smoothed' as annual cost of lease matched to annual revenue Cash inflow from sale of existing planes. Although relatively small this will be a valuable source of cash More projected profit (own figure) using this model. 		
	 Long term commitment to lease for 12 years. Weston Airways will be required to commit to a long term contract which may be difficult to vary from at a later date. Perhaps more flexible to buy Aircraft would be owned by Weston Airways. This would enable them to modify the aircraft as required without seeking the permission of the lessor Hire total costs are higher than purchasing. The debate is whether to incur a major loan at the start or to make regular annual payments for the lease. The total cost of purchase including loan repayment and interest is less than the total lease charge over 12 years Cash flow more difficult to manage as there is considerable expenditure at the start of the project. The lease option smooths the cash flow over the life of the project. 		
	 Conclusion Candidates may argue for lease or purchase. Candidate's decision should be supported by key arguments in arriving at that decision. 	(12)	

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely incorrect response.
Level 1	1-3	Isolated elements of knowledge and understanding recall based. Weak or no relevant application to the scenario set. Generic assertions may be present.
Level 2	4 - 6	Elements of knowledge and understanding, which are applied to the scenario. Chains of reasoning are present, but may be incomplete or invalid. A generic or superficial assessment is present.
Level 3	7 - 9	Accurate and thorough understanding, supported throughout by relevant application to the scenario. Some analytical perspectives are present, with developed chains of reasoning, showing causes and/or effects. An attempt at an assessment is presented, using financial and non-financial information, in an appropriate format and communicates reasoned explanations
Level 4	10 - 12	Accurate and thorough knowledge and understanding, supported throughout by relevant and effective application to the scenario. A coherent and logical chain of reasoning, showing causes and effects. Assessment is balanced, wide ranging and well contextualised using financial and non-financial information and makes informed recommendations and decisions.

Question Number	Answer			Mark	
2(a)	AO1 (14), AO2 (1) AO1: Fourteen marks for recording the given entries in the trial balance. AO2: One mark for determining the balancing figure.				
	Trial	Balance at 30 Sep	otember 2016		
		Dr	Cr		
		£	£		
	Revenue		62 300 (1) <mark>AO1</mark>		
	Returns inwards	1 150	(1) <mark>AO1</mark>		
	Inventory	5 350	(1) <mark>AO1</mark>		
	Purchases	25 100	(1) <mark>AO</mark> 1		
	Trade receivables	6 750	(1) <mark>AO</mark> 1		
	Trade payables		8 200 (1) <mark>AO1</mark>		
	Non-current assets (d	cost) 25 000	(1)AO1		
	Provision for deprecia	ntion	7 500 (1) <mark>AO</mark> 1		
	General expenses	9 300	(1) <mark>AO</mark> 1		
	Bad debts	450	(1) <mark>AO1</mark>		
	Rent receivable		1 400 (1) <mark>AO1</mark>		
	Bank overdraft		600 (1) <mark>AO1</mark>		
	Drawings	6 460	(1)AO1		
	Suspense	<u>440</u>	(1) <mark>AO2</mark>		
		80 000	<u>80 000</u> (1 of) AO1		
	Both totals				
				(15)	

Question Number	Answer					Mark
2(b)	AO1 (5), AO2 (8) AO1: Five marks for recording the journalised entry in the suspense account. AO2: Eight marks for determining the correction entry.					
	(b) (i)					
			Jou			
			Dr £	Cr £		
	(1) Purcha Susper		360	(1) AC 360 (1) AC		
	(2) Bank Suspen	se	400	(1) A(400 (1) A		
	(3) Genera Suspen	l expenses se	130	(1) A 130 (1) A		
	(4) Suspen Drawing		450	(1) A 450 (1) A		
	(b) (ii)				(8)	
			spense A	ccount	0	
	Balance Drawings	£ 440 (1)AO 450 (1)AO	1 Ba	nk 4 eneral expenses <u>1</u>	£ 360 (1) AO1 -00 (1) AO1 30 (1) AO1 90	
					(5)	
	Accept own fig	gures from (a) and (b)	(i)		(13)

Question	Answer	Mark				
Number						
2(c)	AO1 (3), AO2 (6) AO1: Three marks for naming errors not revealed by the trial balance. AO2: Six marks for explaining how the three errors occur.					
	Three from the following:					
	Error of original entry (1) AO1 + (2) AO2 for explanation Error of omission (1) AO1+ (2) AO2 for explanation Error of commission (1) AO1 + (2) AO2 for explanation Error of principle (1) AO1 + (2) AO2 for explanation Error of reversal (1) AO1 + (2) AO2 for explanation					
	Compensating error (1) AO1 + (2) AO2 for explanation	(9)				

Question Number	Answer	Mark		
2(d)	AO1 (2), AO2 (4) AO1: Two marks for placing the opening balance on the debit and bringing the closing balance down on the debit. AO2: Four marks for correctly selecting and placing in the control account.			
	Trade Receivables Control Account			
	£ £			
	Balance b/d 5 630 (1) AO1 Returns inwards 1 150 (1) AO2 Revenue 62 300 (1) AO2 Bank: Receipts 59 580 (1) AO2 Bad debts 450 (1) AO2 Balance c/d 6 750 67 930			
	67 930 Balance b/d 6 750 (1of) AO1 No aliens			
		(6)		

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
2(e)	AO1 (1), AO2 (1), AO3 (5), AO4 (5)	
	 Potential arguments for the policy of recording bad debts when they occur It is simple to apply entries need only be made when the bad debts occur. There are therefore fewer entries as there is no maintenance of an allowance for doubtful debts account Actual bad debts known will be known with precision and recorded when they occur No estimates or judgements will need to be made of potential debts. The recording of bad debts will be based upon actual figures. 	
	 Potential arguments against the policy of recording bad debts when they occur Accrual principle/prudence principle not applied. There is a requirement when preparing accounts to match expenditure with income. It would therefore be prudent to allow for doubtful debts which we know will occur, but the extent of which is uncertain Profit for the year could be overstated because we are making the assumption that all debts will be realised through payment. This is probably unrealistic to assume that all credit debts will be paid Business could be destabilised by a significant bad debt in the future. A significant actual bad debt could destabilise the business, although the impact could still be great, advanced action could be taken if there was a process of reviewing and projecting potential non payment Cannot predict future bad debts with accuracy. Events will largely be out of our control for when a bad debt occurs. 	
	 Conclusion Candidates may argue for or against an allowance for doubtful debts. Candidate's decision should be supported by key arguments in arriving at that decision. 	
	Arguments NOT accepted Time consuming Need to employ an accountant	(12)

Level	Mark	Descriptor		
	0	A completely incorrect response.		
Level 1	1-3	Isolated elements of knowledge and understanding recall based. Weak or no relevant application to the scenario set. Generic assertions may be present.		
Level 2	4 - 6	Elements of knowledge and understanding, which are applied to the scenario. Chains of reasoning are present, but may be incomplete or invalid. A generic or superficial assessment is present.		
Level 3	7 - 9	Accurate and thorough understanding, supported throughout by relevant application to the scenario. Some analytical perspectives are present, with developed chains of reasoning, showing causes and/or effects. An attempt at an assessment is presented, using financial and non-financial information, in an appropriate format and communicates reasoned explanations		
Level 4	10 - 12	Accurate and thorough knowledge and understanding, supported throughout by relevant and effective application to the scenario. A coherent and logical chain of reasoning, showing causes and effects. Assessment is balanced, wide ranging and well contextualised using financial and non-financial information and makes informed recommendations and decisions.		

Question	Answer	Mark
Number 3(a)	AO2 (8) A02: Eight marks for carrying out the calculation.	
	Gross profit as a percentage of revenue	
	$\frac{60\ 000}{150\ 000} (1) \frac{AO2}{x\ 100} = 40\% (1) \frac{AO2}{x}$	
	Percentage return on capital employed	
	(2000) + 4 000 = 2% (1) AO2 60 000 + 40 000 (1) AO2	
	Current ratio	
	63 000 + 27 000 =3:1 (1) AO2 25 000 + 5 000 (1) AO2	
	Liquid (acid test) ratio	
	27 000 (1) AO2 =0.9:1 (1) AO2 25 000 + 5 000	
		(8)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
3(b)	AO2 (4), AO3 (4) AO2: Four marks for giving a qualitative statement of how the ratio calculated compares to the industry average. AO3: Four marks analysing a possible reason for that variance.	
	Gross profit as a percentage of revenue Is better than the industry average. (1) AO2 This may be due to higher prices or lower purchasing costs. (1) AO3	
	Percentage return on capital employed This is worse than the industry average. (1) AO2 This may be due to higher expenses or high bank loans. (1) AO3	
	Current ratio	
	This is much higher than the industry average, but there are idle funds. (1) AO2	
	This may be due to an excessive inventory holding. (1) AO3	
	Liquid (acid test) ratio	
	This is at the industry average and close to the 1:1 benchmark. (1) AO2	
	This is at the appropriate level because the current liabilities can be met by the liquid assets of the business. (1) AO3	
		(8)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
3(c)	AO1 (1) AO1: One mark for identifying each possible non-financial factor.	
	Skill of the workforce Quality/design of the product Reputation/ image of the business Location of premises Branding Relationships with suppliers	
	4 points x (1) AO1	(4)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
3(d)	AO1 (4) AO1: Two marks recalling the definition of goodwill and carrying out the deduction of the two figures.	
	(i) Goodwill is the price paid (1) AO1 in excess of the net book value of tangible assets in the business purchased.(1) AO1	
	(ii) Good will is £85 000 - £60 000 (1) AO1 = £25 000(1) AO1	
		(4)

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
3(e)	 AO2 (1), AO3 (2), AO4 (3) Potential arguments in favour of purchase Gross margin is higher than the industry average, so business profitable. Every Day Wear is able to either sustain charging higher prices in the market or obtaining highly competitive prices from suppliers Acid test liquidity is good with the business performing at the benchmark level and able to pay its short term debts. Compared to the Sector, Every Day wear is very slightly above level of the Sector. 	
	 Potential arguments against the purchase ROCE is low, this could be due to either high expenses including bank interest or a higher capital employed because the business has a £40 000 bank loan The business has excessive inventory for which there is the risk that it may become outdated. Every Day Wear is providing clothing upon which fashion has an impact. The longer inventory is held the greater the risk of it becoming outdated The goodwill purchase may be high for a business with such low ROCE. 	
	 Conclusion Candidates may argue for or against the purchase of Every Day Wear. Candidate's decision should be supported by key arguments in arriving at that decision. 	(6)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely incorrect response.
Level 1	1-2	Isolated elements of knowledge and understanding which are recall based. Generic assertions may be present. Weak or no relevant application to the scenario set.
Level 2	3-4	Elements of knowledge and understanding, which are applied to the scenario. Some analysis is present, with developed chains of reasoning, showing causes and/or effects applied to the scenario, although these may be incomplete or invalid. An attempt at an evaluation is presented, using financial and perhaps non-financial information, with a decision.
Level 3	5-6	Accurate and thorough knowledge and understanding. Application to the scenario is relevant and effective. A coherent and logical chain of reasoning, showing causes and effects is present. Evaluation is balanced and wide ranging, using financial and perhaps non-financial information and an appropriate decision is made.

Question Number	Answer		Mark		
4(a)	AO1 (4) AO1: Four marks for explaining two differences.				
	Receipts and payments				
	Cash book of a club	Income statement of a club			
	Cash/bank payment summary	Includes non-cash items e.g depreciation			
	Balance carried forward to next year's account	New account each year, no balance carried forward			
	(1) Mark for each point whi account x 4	,			
			(4)		

Question Number	Answer	Mark			
4(b)(i)	AO2 (3), AO3 (2) AO2: Three marks for applying correct figures to the account. AO3: Two marks for calculating the bad debts and income and expenditure transfer.				
	Annual Subscriptions Account				
	£ £ £ 2015				
	1 Sept Balance b/d 900 1 Sept Balance b/d 350 (1) AO2 31 Aug Income and				
	expenditure 4 140 2015/16 Bank 4 250 (1) AO2				
	(1) AO3				
	2016 2016				
	31 Aug Bad debts 270 (1) AO3				
	31 Aug Balance c/d <u>530</u> 31 Aug Balance c/d <u>700</u>				
	<u>5 570</u>				
	1 Sept Balance c/d 700 1 Sept Balance c/d 530 (1) AO2	(5)			

Question Number	Answer		Mark	
4(b)(ii)	AO2 (4), AO3 (1) AO2: Four marks for applying correct figures to the account. AO3: One mark for calculating the transfer.			
	Life I	Membership Account		
		£ £		
	2015	2015		
		1 Sept Balance b/d 30 400 (1) AO2		
		2015/16 Bank 1 600 (1) AO2		
	2016	2016		
	31 Aug Income and			
	Expenditure	3 200 (1) AO3		
	31 Aug Balance c/d			
		32 000 32 000		
		1 Sept Balance b/d 28 800 (1 of)		
	AO2		(5)	

Question Number	Answer				Mark
4(c)	AO2 (2) AO2: Two marks f assets and liabilit		ifying and totalling e e club.	the	
	Assets Subscriptions in arrears Clubhouse (at book value) Bank	£ 700 55 000 1 950 <u>57 650</u> (1)	Liabilities Subscriptions in advance Life membership 5% Bank loan Trade payables Accrued expenses AO2	£ 530 28 800 6 000 825 235 36 390(10f) AO2	
	Accumulated Fund at 31	August 2016	£21 260		(2)

Question Number	Answer			Mark
4(d)	AO1 (4), AO2 (3), AO3 (1) AO1: Four marks for correctly posting figure. AO2: Three marks for correctly posting figures and labelling. AO3: One mark for identifying two non-current liabilities and totalling the section.			
	Sandy Statement of Financi	Bay Social Club al Position at 31	August 2016	
	Assets Non-current assets	£	£	
	Clubhouse (at book value) Current assets		55 000 (1) AO1	
	Subscriptions in arrears Bank	700 (1) 1 950	AO2	
	Liabilities	<u>1 730</u>	<u>2 650</u> <u>57 650</u> (1) AO2	
	Accumulated fund		21 260 (1) AO1	
	Non-current liabilities 5% Bank loan (repayable 30 June	, , , , ,	AO1	
	Life membership subscriptions	<u>28 800</u>	34 800 (1) AO3	
	Current liabilities Subscriptions in advance Trade payables	530 (1) 825) AO2	
	Other payables	<u>235</u> (1	<u>1 590</u>	
			<u>57 650</u>	(8)

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
4(e)	AO2 (1), AO3 (2), AO4 (3)	
	 Potential arguments for life membership Positive initial cash flow. As members take up the option of life membership, sums in excess of the normal annual subscription will be received in cash and raising the cash balance Ties members to the club long term. If members have subscribed to a life membership they will be more inclined to retain contact with the club long term May attract new members because of the discount offered. Viewed in cost per annum the subscription will seem cheaper than an individual annual subscription The cash inflow could be used to pay back the loan Probably reduces bad debts. Certainly there will be fewer subscriptions in arrears. Time will be saved in 'chasing' debts. 	
	 Potential arguments against using life membership Does the club need the advanced cash payment when it has a surplus and cash in the bank? There is no pressing need to bring in cash to the club Creates a long term liability to provide the service. The club will be committing itself to provide the long term provision of services for an up front payment Probably delivered at a substantial discount. The charge for the life time membership has not been stated but this will probably have to be offered at a substantial discount to attract members to subscribe. This will have a long term, ongoing, detrimental impact on future surpluses. 	
	Candidates may argue for or against life membership. Candidate's decision should be supported by key arguments in arriving at that decision.	(6)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely incorrect response.
Level 1	1-2	Isolated elements of knowledge and understanding which are recall based. Generic assertions may be present. Weak or no relevant application to the scenario set.
Level 2	3-4	Elements of knowledge and understanding, which are applied to the scenario. Some analysis is present, with developed chains of reasoning, showing causes and/or effects applied to the scenario, although these may be incomplete or invalid. An attempt at an evaluation is presented, using financial and perhaps non-financial information, with a decision.
Level 3	5-6	Accurate and thorough knowledge and understanding. Application to the scenario is relevant and effective. A coherent and logical chain of reasoning, showing causes and effects is present. Evaluation is balanced and wide ranging, using financial and perhaps non-financial information and an appropriate decision is made.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
5(a)	AO1 (4) AO1: Four marks for explaining the two terms.	
	A variable cost varies directly (1) with activity levels (1) Fixed costs do not change (1) with the level of activity (1)	(4)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
5(b)	AO1(2) AO1: Two marks for carrying out the calculation.	
	Variable costs – Call charges (1) Fixed cost – Depreciation of phone or network rental (1)	(2)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
	AO2(12), AO3(4) AO2: Twelve marks for carrying out the calculation. AO3: Four marks for carrying out multiple calculations correctly.	

Company	Sales Manager		Sales Manager Purchasing Manage		
National Telecom	Fixed costs	£	Fixed costs	£	
	Depreciation	10 (1) AO2	Depreciation	10	
	Rental	<u>50</u>	Rental	<u>50</u>	
		60 (1) AO3		60	
	Semi-var/Vari		Variable		
	Call	10	Call costs	<u>6</u> (1) AO2	
		<u>10</u>	Total costs	66 (1 of) AO	2
		<u>20</u> (1) AO3			
	Total cost	80 (1 of) AO2			
One2One Link	Fixed costs	£	Fixed costs	£	
	Depreciation	13	Depreciation	13	
	Rental	<u>10</u>	Rental	<u>10</u>	
		23 (1) AO3		23	
	Variable		Variable		
	Call	<u>90 (1) AO2</u>	Call	<u>45</u> (1)	
		<u>90</u>	AO2		
	Total cost	113 (1 of) AO2		<u>45</u>	
			Total cost	68 (1 of) AO	2
Speed Call	Fixed costs	£	Fixed costs	£	
	Depreciation	6	Depreciation	6	
	Rental	<u>45</u>	Rental	<u>45</u>	
		51 (1) AO3		51	
	Variable		Variable		
	Call	48 (1) AO2 48	Call	24 (1) AO2 24	
	Total cost	99 (1 of) AO2	Total cost	75 (1 of) AO	2

Question Number	Answer	Mark
5(d)	AO1(2) AO1: Two marks for identification. • Sales Manager National Telecom (1of) • Purchasing Manager National Telecom (1of)	
		(2)

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
5(e)	 AO2 (1), AO3 (2), AO4 (3) Potential arguments for apportionment Overhead is divided on the most reasonable basis. The sales and purchasing managers will be responsible for the provision of services to a number of departments. There is a requirement to apportion this cost and the most reasonable basis for apportionment must be determined A fair share of overheads is attached to each department. What amounts to a 'fair share' will be determined by the method of apportionment chosen The apportionment will be based upon the cost driver for that overhead. The factor which links the expenditure to the volume of use must be determined. 	
	 Potential arguments against apportionment The division of the cost is an estimate of use and not a precise calculation Apportionment can be an accurate calculation because there is a strong link between the cost and the 'driver'. However, the link can be arbitrary if the link between the cost and the 'driver' is not strong Requires considerable work to determine the basis of apportionment. Detailed consideration of the driving factors and collection of data to enable the apportionment to be completed will be required Conclusion Candidates may argue for or against apportioning the mobile phone costs. Candidate's decision should be supported by key arguments in arriving at that decision. 	
		(6)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely incorrect response.
Level 1	1-2	Isolated elements of knowledge and understanding which are recall based. Generic assertions may be present. Weak or no relevant application to the scenario set.
Level 2	3-4	Elements of knowledge and understanding, which are applied to the scenario. Some analysis is present, with developed chains of reasoning, showing causes and/or effects applied to the scenario, although these may be incomplete or invalid. An attempt at an evaluation is presented, using financial and perhaps non-financial information, with a decision.
Level 3	5-6	Accurate and thorough knowledge and understanding. Application to the scenario is relevant and effective. A coherent and logical chain of reasoning, showing causes and effects is present. Evaluation is balanced and wide ranging, using financial and perhaps non-financial information and an appropriate decision is made.

Question	Answer	Mark
Number 6(a)	AO1 (4)	
	AO1: Four marks for correct explanations.	
	Capital expenditure is the acquisition of or enhancement of non- current assets (1) which will provide a benefit to the business for more than one year. (1)	
	Revenue expenditure is day to day expenditure (1) the benefit of which will only be in the current year. (1)	(4)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
6(b)	AO1 (4) AO1: Four marks for correct explanation. A proportion of the non-current asset value (depreciation) (1) will be charged to the Statement of Profits or Losses and Other Comprehensive Income. (1) The non-current asset will be recorded in the Statement of Financial Position at original cost (1) less accumulated depreciation (1) to give the carrying value which will be totalled with the other assets.	(4)
Question Number	Answer	Mark
6(c)	AO3 (2) AO3: Two marks for analysing the scenario and determining the concept. (i) Prudence (1) (ii) Consistency (1)	
		(2)

Question	Answer	Mark
Number		
6(d)(i)	AO2 (5), AO3 (1) AO2: five marks for correct calculation. AO3: One mark for analysing the difference.	
		(6)

Straight line	Reducing balance
£	£
Cost 25 000	Cost 25 000
30 September 2014 <u>2 500</u> (1) AO2	30 September 2014 5 000 (1) AO2
	Carrying value 20 000
30 September 2015 <u>2 500</u> (1) AO2	30 September 2015 <u>4 000</u> (1) AO2
Carrying value 20 000	Carrying value 16 000
	Difference
	Reducing balance 5 000 + 4 000 = 9 000
	Straight line 2 500 + 2 500 = <u>5 000</u>
	Difference 4 000 (2/1 of) AO3
	OR carrying value
	Reducing balance 16 000
	Straight line 20 000
	Difference <u>4 000 (2/1 of) AO3</u>

Question Number	Answer	Mark
6(d) (ii)	AO2 (2) AO2: Two marks for correct calculation.	
	30 September 2016 16 000 (1 of) x 20% = 3 200 (1) AO2	(2)

Question Number	Answer					
6(e)	AO2 (5), AO3 (1) AO2: Five marks for correctly posting figures and labelling. AO3: One mark for correctly posting the annual depreciation and difference.					
	Computers - Provision for Depreciation Account £					
	2014					
	30 Sept Balance c/d <u>2 500</u> 30 Sept Income Statement <u>2 500</u> (1) AO2 <u>2 500</u>					
	2015 2015					
	30 Sept Balance c/d 5 000 1 Oct Balance b/d 2 500 (1) AO2 30 Sept Income statement 2 500 (1) AO2 5 000					
	2016 2016					
	30 Sept Balance c/d 12 200 I Oct Balance b/d 5 000 (1 of) AO2 30 Sept Income Statement 7 200 (1 of) AO3 12 200 (3 200 +4 000) 12 200 1 Oct Balance b/d 12 200 (1 of) AO2					
	250 250 250 12 250 (1 51) 102	(6)				

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
6(f)	AO2 (1), AO3 (2), AO4 (3)	
	 Potential arguments for keeping straight line Simple to calculate, easier to use. The calculation is a straight percentage of cost Consistent to retain straight line method. Applying the consistency concept it would be better to retain the current method Equal usage in each year. The straight line concept is valid on the grounds that the usage of the non-current asset will probably be the same each year. Therefore an equal amount of depreciation should be charged against income Time and skill required to restate previous year's figures. Re-calibrating the overhead calculations will take time and accounting skills. 	
	 Potential arguments against keeping straight line Computers depreciate by more than 10% per annum. Computers tend to lose considerable value in the early years, a 10% charge will understate the depreciation incurred Carrying value will be higher than market value for many years. The non-current asset will therefore be overvalue in the financial position statement Applying the concept of prudence, the change in method is advised. The change would ensure that depreciation charged would be appropriate to the value of the non-current asset. 	
	 Conclusion Candidates may argue for or against retaining straight line. Candidate's decision should be supported by key arguments in arriving at that decision. 	(6)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely incorrect response.
Level 1	1-2	Isolated elements of knowledge and understanding which are recall based. Generic assertions may be present. Weak or no relevant application to the scenario set.
Level 2	3-4	Elements of knowledge and understanding, which are applied to the scenario. Some analysis is present, with developed chains of reasoning, showing causes and/or effects applied to the scenario, although these may be incomplete or invalid. An attempt at an evaluation is presented, using financial and perhaps non-financial information, with a decision.
Level 3	5-6	Accurate and thorough knowledge and understanding. Application to the scenario is relevant and effective. A coherent and logical chain of reasoning, showing causes and effects is present. Evaluation is balanced and wide ranging, using financial and perhaps non-financial information and an appropriate decision is made.