## NUMERICAL METHODS

The limitations of analytical methods have led the engineers and scientists to evolve numerical methods. Numerical methods are techniques by which mathematical problems are formulated so that they can be solved with arithmetic and logical operations. Because digital computers excel at performing such operations, numerical methods are sometimes referred to as computer mathematics. With the advantage of high speed digital computers and increasing demand for numerical answers to various problems, numerical techniques have become indispensible tool in the hands of engineers.

## Why should we study nume rical methods?

There are several reasons to study numerical methods:

- Numerical methods greatly expand the types of problems you can address. They are capable of handling large systems of equations, nonlinearities, and complicated geometries that are not uncommon in engineering and science and that are often impossible to solve analytically with standard calculus. As such, they greatly enhance your problem-solving skills.
- Numerical methods allow you to use canned software with insight. During your career, you will invariably have occasion to use commercially available prepackaged computer programs that involve numerical methods. The intelligent use of these programs is greatly enhanced by an understanding of the basic theory underlying the methods. In the absence of such understanding, you will be left to treat such packages as black boxes with little critical insight into their inner workings or the validity of the results they produce.
- Many problems cannot be approached using canned programs. If you are conversant with numerical methods, and are adept at computer programming, you can design your own programs to solve problems without having to buy or commission expensive software.
- Numerical methods are an efficient vehicle for learning to use computers. Because numerical methods are expressly designed for computer implementation, they are ideal for illustrating the computers powers and limitations. When you successfully implement numerical methods on a computer, and then apply them to solve otherwise intractable problems, you will be provided with a dramatic demonstration of how computers can serve your professional development. At the same time, you will also learn to acknowledge and control the errors of approximation that are part and parcel of largescale numerical calculations.
- Numerical methods provide a vehicle for you to reinforce your understanding of mathematics. Because one function of numerical methods is to reduce higher mathematics to basic arithmetic operations, they get at the .nuts and bolts. of some otherwise obscure topics. Enhanced understanding and insight can result from this alternative perspective.


## What is the nature of the nume rical methods?

Numerical methods are often, of a repetitive nature. These consist in repeated execution of the same process where at each step the result of the preceding is used. This is known as iteration process and is repeated till the result is obtained to a desired degree of accuracy.

Mathematical Model: A mathematical model can be defined as a formulation or equation that expresses the essential features of a physical system or process in mathematical terms. In a very general sense, it can be represented as a functional relationship of the form

Dependent variable $=f$ (independent variables, parameters, forcing functions) where the dependent variable is a characteristic that typically reflects the behavior or state of the system; the independent variables are usually dimensions, such as time and space, along which the systems behavior is being determined; the parameters are reflective of the systems properties or composition; and the forcing functions are external influences acting upon it.

## A simple mathematical model: (Bungee-Jumper problem)(free falling body)

Let $m$ be the mass of the bungee jumper and $v(t)$ be the velocity at time $t$ after jumping. From the Newton second law of motion (time rate of change of momentum of a body is equal to the resulting force acting on it).

$$
\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{ma},
$$

where F is the net force acting on the jumper, a is its acceleration $\left(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right)$. The net force is composed of two opposite forces: the downward pull of gravity $F_{D}$ and the upward force of air resistance $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{U}}$ i.e.

$$
\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{U}}
$$

Again from the Newton second law of motion the downward force $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}}=\mathrm{mg}$ due to gravity, where g is the acceleration due to gravity $\left(9.81 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right)$.


Air resistance can be formulated in a variety of ways. From fluid mechanics a good first approximation would be to assume that it is proportional to the square of the velocity,

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{U}}=-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{v}^{2},
$$

Where $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}$ is proportionality constant called the drag coefficient $(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m})$. Thus, the greater the fall velocity, the greater the upward force due to air resistance. The parameter $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}$ accounts for properties of the falling object, such as shape or surface roughness, that affect air resistance. For the present case, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}$ might be a function of the type of clothing or the orientation used by the jumper during free fall. Therefore,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{mg}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{v}^{2} \\
\mathrm{ma}=\mathrm{mg}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{v}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{m} \frac{\mathrm{dv}}{\mathrm{dt}} & =\mathrm{mg}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{v}^{2} \\
\frac{\mathrm{dv}}{\mathrm{dt}} & =\mathrm{g}-\frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}}{\mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{v}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Is a model that relates the acceleration of a falling object to the forces acting on it. It is a differential equation. If the jumper is initially at rest i.e. $v=0$ at $t=0$, then the solution of the initial value problem is

$$
\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{t})=\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{gm}}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}}} \tanh \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{gC}}{\mathrm{~m}}} \mathrm{t}\right) .
$$

Errors : Engineers and scientists constantly find themselves having to accomplish objectives based on uncertain information. Although perfection is a laudable goal, it is rarely if ever attained. For example, despite the fact that the model developed from Newton's second law is an excellent approximation, it would never in practice exactly predict the jumpers fall. A variety of factors such as winds and slight variations in air resistance would result in deviations from the prediction. If these deviations are systematically high or low, then we might need to develop a new model. However, if they are randomly distributed and tightly grouped around the prediction, then the deviations might be considered negligible and the model deemed adequate. Numerical approximations also introduce similar discrepancies into the analysis.

The errors associated with both calculations and measurements can be characterized with regard to their accuracy and precision. Accuracy refers to how closely a computed or measured value agrees with the true value. Precision refers to how closely individual computed or measured values agree with each other.

Numerical errors arise from the use of approximations to represent exact mathematical operations and quantities. For such errors, the relationship between the exact, or true, result and the approximation can be formulated as

$$
\text { True value }=\text { approximation }+ \text { error } .
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { True error }=\text { true value }- \text { approximation. } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For numerical methods, the true value will only be known when we deal with functions that can be solved analytically. Such will typically be the case when we investigate the theoretical behavior of a particular technique for simple systems. However, in real-world applications, we will obviously not know the true answer a priori. For these situations, an alternative is to normalize the error using the best available estimate of the true value that is, to the approximation itself, as in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\text { approximat e error }}{\text { approximat ion }} 100 \% \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscript ' $a$ ' signifies that the error is normalized to an approximate value. Note also that for real-world applications, $\mathrm{Eq}(1)$ cannot be used to calculate the error term in the numerator of Eq. (2). One of the challenges of numerical methods is to determine error estimates in the absence of knowledge regarding the true value. For example, certain numerical methods use iteration to compute answers. In such cases, a present approximation is made on the basis of a
previous approximation. This process is performed repeatedly, or iteratively, to successively compute (hopefully) better and better approximations. For such cases, the error is often estimated as the difference between the previous and present approximations. Thus, percent relative error is determined according to

$$
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\text { present approximat ion }- \text { previous approximat ions }}{\text { present approximat ion }} 100 \% .
$$

## Solution of algebraic and transcendental equations:

A problem of great importance in applied mathematics and engineering is that of determining the solution (root) of an equation

$$
f(x)=0,
$$

Where the function f may be given explicitly, for example

$$
f(x)=a_{0} x^{n}+a_{1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{n-1} x+a_{n}, a_{0} \neq 0
$$

a polynomial of degree ' $n$ ' in ' $x$ ', or $f(x)$ may be known only implicitly as a transcendental function. A number ' $c$ ' is a solution of $f(x)=0$ if $f(c)=0$. Such a solution is a root of $f(x)=0$.

## Roots in Engineering and Science:

Although they arise in other problem contexts, roots of equations frequently occur in the area of design. Table-1 lists a number of fundamental principles that are routinely used in design work. Mathematical equations or models derived from these principles are employed to predict dependent variables as a function of independent variables, forcing functions, and parameters. Note that in each case, the dependent variables reflect the state or performance of the system, whereas the parameters represent its properties or composition.

Table - 1 (Fundamental principles used in design problems)

| Fundamental <br> Principle | Dependent <br> variable | Independent <br> variable | Parameters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Heat balance | Temperature | Time and <br> position | Thermal properties of material, <br> system geometry. |
| Mass balance | Concentration or <br> quantity of mass | Time and <br> position | Chemical behavior of material, mass <br> transfer, system geometry. |
| Force balance | Magnitude and <br> direction of force | Time and <br> position | Strength of material, structural <br> properties, system geometry. |
| Energy balance | Changes in <br> kinetic and <br> potentialenergy | Time and <br> position | Thermal properties, mass of material, <br> system geometry. |
| Newton's laws of <br> motion | Acceleration, <br> velocity or <br> location | Time and <br> position | Mass of material, system geometry, <br> dissipative parameters |
| Kirchhoff's laws | Currents and <br> voltages | Time | Electrical properties (resistance, <br> capacitance, inductance) |

Medical studies have established that a bungee jumpers chances of sustaining a significant vertebrae injury increase significantly if the free-fall velocity exceeds $36 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ after 4 s of free fall. Suppose, we want to determine the mass at which this criterion is exceeded given a drag coefficient of $0.25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}$.

We know that the analytical solution can be used to predict fall velocity as a function of time:

$$
\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{t})=\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{gm}}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}}} \tanh \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{gC}_{\mathrm{d}}}{\mathrm{~m}}} \mathrm{t}\right) .
$$

An alternative way of looking at the problem involves subtracting $v(t)$ from both sides to give a new function:

$$
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{~m})=\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{gm}}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}}} \tanh \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{gC}}{\mathrm{~m}}} \mathrm{t}\right)-\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{t}) .
$$



Now we can see that the answer to the problem is the value of $m$ that makes the function equal to zero. Hence, we call this a roots problem.

## Bisection method:

It is a simplest method of bracketing the roots of a function and requires an initial interval which is guaranteed to contain a root -- if $a$ and $b$ are the endpoints of the interval then $f(a)$ must differ in sign from $f(b)$. This ensures that the function crosses zero at least once in the interval. If a valid initial interval is used then these algorithm cannot fail, provided the function is well behaved.

On each iteration, the interval is bisected and the value of the function at the midpoint is calculated. The sign of this value is used to determine which half of the interval does not contain a root. That half is discarded to give a new, smaller interval containing the root. This method can be continued indefinitely until the interval is sufficiently small. At any time, the current estimate of the root is taken as the midpoint of the interval.


Bisection method has linear convergence. Linear convergence means that successive significant figures are won linearly with computational effort.

When an interval contains more than one root, the bisection method can find one of them. When an interval contains a singularity, the bisection method converges to that singularity.

## Working Procedure

1. Given algebraic or transcendental equation put in the form of $f(x)=0$.
2. Choose ' $a$ ' and ' $b$ ' such that $f(a)$ and $f(b)$ are opposite sign or $f(a) f(b)<0$.
3. The first approximate root of the equation in $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$ is $x_{1}=\frac{a+b}{2}$.
4. If $f\left(x_{1}\right)=0$, then $x_{1}$ is the root of the given equation. Otherwise, bisect the interval $(a, b)$ at mid point $x_{1}$. Now, the root lies in $\left(a, x_{1}\right)$ or $\left(x_{1}, b\right)$ according to $f\left(x_{1}\right)$ positive or negative.
5. Again bisect the interval as before and continue the process until the root is found in desired accuracy.

Example\#1 Find a root of the equation $x^{3}-4 x-9=0$, using bisection method in four stages.
Sol. Let $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{x}^{3}-4 \mathrm{x}-9$, then the equation become $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(2)=(2)^{3}-4(2)-9=-9<0 \\
& f(3)=(3)^{3}-4(3)-9=6>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f(2)$ and $f(3)$ are opposite sign, the root lies between 2 and 3 .
Therefore, the first approximate root $x_{1}=\frac{2+3}{2}=\frac{5}{2}=2.5$.

$$
f\left(x_{1}\right)=f(2.5)=(2.5)^{3}-4(2.5)-9=-3.375<0 .
$$

Since $f(2.5)$ and $f(3)$ are opposite sign, the root lies between 2.5 and 3 .
The second approximate root $x_{2}=\frac{2.5+3}{2}=2.75$.

$$
f\left(x_{2}\right)=f(2.75)=(2.75)^{3}-4(2.75)-9=0.7969>0 .
$$

Since $f\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $f\left(x_{2}\right)$ are opposite sign, the root lies between 2.5 and 2.75.
The third approximate root $x_{3}=\frac{2.5+2.75}{2}=2.625$.

$$
f\left(x_{3}\right)=f(2.625)=(2.625)^{3}-4(2.625)-9=-1.4121<0 .
$$

Since $f\left(x_{3}\right)$ and $f\left(x_{2}\right)$ are opposite sign, the root lies between 2.625 and 2.75.
The fourth approximate root $x_{4}=\frac{2.625+2.75}{2}=2.6875$.
Hence the approximate root of the given equation in fourth stage by bisection method is 2.6875 .

Example\#2 By using the bisection method, find an approximate root of the equation $\sin x=1 / x$, that lies between $x=1$ and $x=1.5$ (measured in radians). Carry out computations upto the $7^{\text {th }}$ stage.
Sol. Let $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{x} \sin \mathrm{x}-1$, then the equation become $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=0$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(1)=1 \sin (1)-1=-0.15849<0 \\
f(1.5)=1.5 \sin (1.5)-1=0.49625>0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $f(1)$ and $f(1.5)$ are opposite sign, the root lies between 1 and 1.5.

Therefore, the first approximate root $x_{1}=\frac{1+1.5}{2}=\frac{2.5}{2}=1.25$. Then

$$
\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{f}(1.25)=1.25 \sin (1.25)-1=0.18627>0 .
$$

Therefore, root lies between 1 and $\mathrm{x}_{1}=1.25$.
Thus, the second approximate to the root is $x_{2}=\frac{1+1.25}{2}=\frac{2.25}{2}=1.125$. Then

$$
f\left(x_{2}\right)=f(1.125)=1.125 \sin (1.125)-1=0.01509>0 .
$$

Therefore, root lies between 1 and $x_{2}=1.125$.
Thus, the third approximate to the root is $x_{3}=\frac{1+1.125}{2}=\frac{2.125}{2}=1.0625$. Then

$$
\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{f}(1.0625)=1.0625 \sin (1.0625)-1=-0.0718<0 .
$$

Therefore, root lies between $\mathrm{x}_{3}=1.0625$ and $\mathrm{x}_{2}=1.125$.
Thus, the fourth approximate to the root is $x_{4}=\frac{1.0625+1.125}{2}=1.09375$. Then

$$
f\left(x_{4}\right)=f(1.09375)=1.09375 \sin (1.09375)-1=-0.02836<0 .
$$

Therefore, root lies between $\mathrm{x}_{4}=1.09375$ and $\mathrm{x}_{2}=1.125$.
Thus, the fifth approximate to the root is $x_{4}=\frac{1.09375+1.125}{2}=1.10937$. Then

$$
f\left(x_{5}\right)=f(1.10937)=1.10937 \sin (1.10937)-1=-0.00664<0 .
$$

Therefore, root lies between $\mathrm{x}_{5}=1.10937$ and $\mathrm{x}_{2}=1.125$.
Thus, the sixth approximate to the root is $x_{6}=\frac{1.10937+1.125}{2}=1.11719$. Then

$$
f\left(x_{6}\right)=f(1.11719)=1.11719 \sin (1.11719)-1=0.00421>0 .
$$

Therefore, root lies between $\mathrm{x}_{5}=1.10937$ and $\mathrm{x}_{6}=1.11719$.
Thus, the seventh approximate to the root is $x_{7}=\frac{1.10937+1.11719}{2}=1.11328$.
Hence the desired approximate to the root is 1.11328 .
Example\#3. Use bisection to determine the drag coefficient needed so that an $80-\mathrm{kg}$ bungee jumper has a velocity of $36 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ after 4 s of free fall. The acceleration of gravity is $9.81 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} 2$. Start with initial guesses of $x_{1}=0.1$ and $x_{\mathrm{u}}=0.2$ and iterate until the approximate relative error falls below $2 \%$.
Sol. We know that the velocity of the bungee jumper at any time $t$ is

$$
\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{t})=\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{gm}}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}}} \tanh \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{gC}_{\mathrm{d}}}{\mathrm{~m}}} \mathrm{t}\right) .
$$

Here mass of the jumper $\mathrm{m}=80 \mathrm{~kg}$, velocity of the jumper at $\mathrm{t}=4 \mathrm{sec}, \mathrm{v}(4)=36 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ and $\mathrm{g}=$ $9.81 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$. Substituting the values, we have

$$
36=\sqrt{\frac{(9.81) 80}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}}} \tanh \left(\sqrt{\frac{9.81 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}}{80}}(4)\right) \text {. }
$$

Rewriting the equation

$$
\sqrt{\frac{781.8}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}}} \tanh \sqrt{0.11375 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}}-36=0 .
$$

Let $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{781.8}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}}} \tanh \sqrt{0.11375 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}}-36$. Now, we have to find the root of the equation $f\left(C_{d}\right)=0$ using bisection method.

Iteration Method: Consider an equation $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=0$ which can take in the form $\mathrm{x}=\varphi(\mathrm{x})$, where $\varphi(\mathrm{x})$ satisfies the following conditions;
(i) For two real numbers a and $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{a} \leq \mathrm{x} \leq \mathrm{b}$ implies $\mathrm{a} \leq \varphi$ ( x$) \leq \mathrm{b}$ and
(ii) For all $x_{1}, x_{2}$ lying in the interval $(a, b)$, we have $\left|\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)-\varphi\left(x_{2}\right)\right| \leq m\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|$, where $m$ is a constant such that $0 \leq m \leq 1$.
Then the equation $x=\varphi(x)$ has a unique root in the interval $(a, b)$. To find the approximate root of this equation, we use the following iterative formula;
The nth approximate root is $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}=\varphi\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right), \mathrm{n}=1,2,3, \ldots$. We start with an initial approximation $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ and continue iterations until the two successive approximations are identical.

The following theorem states the sufficient condition for the convergence of the iterative formula $x_{n}=\varphi\left(x_{n-1}\right)$.

Theorem\#1. Let $\mathrm{I}=(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$ an interval containing a root of the equation $\mathrm{x}=\varphi(\mathrm{x})$. If $\left|\varphi^{\prime}(\mathrm{x})\right|<1$, for all $x$ in $I$, then for any value of $x_{0}$ in $I$, the iteration $x_{n}=\varphi\left(x_{n-1}\right)$ is convergent for all $n \geq 1$.

Example\#1. Determine the approximate root of the equation $x^{3}-2 x-5=0$ near to $x=2$, using fixed point iterative method.
Sol. Given equation $x^{3}-2 x-5=0$ can be written as $x^{3}=2 x+5$ and $x=(2 x+5)^{1 / 3}$. This is of the form $x=\varphi(x)$, where $\varphi(x)=(2 x+5)^{1 / 3}$. Also $\phi^{\prime}(x)=\frac{2}{3(2 x+5)^{2 / 3}}$ and $\left|\varphi^{\prime}(x)\right|<1$, for $2<x<3$.
Hence the iteration $x_{n}=\varphi\left(x_{n-1}\right), n=1,2,3, \ldots$ near $x=2$ is convergent. Let us take the initial approximation $\mathrm{x}_{0}=2$.
The first approximation to the root is $\mathrm{x}_{1}=\varphi\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)=\varphi(2)=[2(2)+5]^{1 / 3}=9^{1 / 3}=2.08008$.
The second approximation to the root is $x_{2}=\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)=\varphi(2.08008)=[2(2.08008)+5]^{1 / 3}=2.09235$.
The third approximation to the root is $x_{3}=\varphi\left(x_{2}\right)=\varphi(2.09235)=[2(2.09235)+5]^{1 / 3}=2.09422$.
The fourth approximation to the root is $x_{4}=\varphi\left(x_{3}\right)=\varphi(2.09422)=[2(2.09422)+5]^{1 / 3}=2.09450$.
The fifth approximation to the root is $x_{5}=\varphi\left(x_{4}\right)=\varphi(2.09450)=[2(2.09450)+5]^{1 / 3}=2.09454$. The sixth approximation to the root is $x_{6}=\varphi\left(x_{5}\right)=\varphi(2.09454)=[2(2.09454)+5]^{1 / 3}=2.09455$. Since $x_{5}$ and $x_{6}$ are identical up to 4 decimal places, we take $x_{6}=2.09455$ as the required root of the given equation.

Example\#2. Determine the approximate root of the equation $\tan ^{-1} x-x+1=0$, using fixed point iterative method.

Sol. The given equation can be written as $x=\varphi(x)$, where $\varphi(x)=1+\tan ^{-1} x$. Clearly, $\phi^{\prime}(x)=\frac{1}{1+x^{2}}$ and $\left|\varphi^{\prime}(x)\right|<1$ for $0<x<1$. Hence the iteration $x_{n}=\varphi\left(x_{n-1}\right), n=1,2,3, \ldots$ is convergent. Let us take the initial approximation $x_{0}=1$.
The first approximation to the root is $x_{1}=\varphi\left(x_{0}\right)=\varphi(1)=1+\tan ^{-1}(1)=1.7854$.
The first approximation to the root is $x_{2}=\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)=\varphi(1.7854)=1+\tan ^{-1}(1.7854)=2.0602$.
Similarly the successive iterations are $\mathrm{x}_{3}=2.1189, \mathrm{x}_{4}=2.1318, \mathrm{x}_{5}=2.1322, \mathrm{x}_{6}=2.13231$, $\mathrm{x}_{7}=2.13132$.
Since $x_{6}$ and $x_{7}$ are identical up to 4 decimal places, we take $x_{7}=2.13132$ as the required root of the given equation.

## Newton-Raphson Method:

Newton-Raphson method, is a root-finding algorithm that uses the first few terms of the Taylor series of a function $f(x)$ in the vicinity of a suspected root.

Newton algorithm begins with an initial guess for the location of the root. On each iteration, a line tangent to the function $f$ is drawn at that position. The point where this line crosses the $x$-axis becomes the new guess. Newton method converges quadratically for single roots, and linearly for multiple roots.

$$
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{h}) \approx \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{hf}^{\prime}(\mathrm{x})+\frac{\mathrm{h}^{2}}{2!} \mathrm{f}^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{x})+\cdots
$$



Let $x_{0}$ be initial approximate root of thequation $f(x)=0$, then the first approximate root is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}_{1}=\mathrm{x}_{0}-\frac{\mathrm{f}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)}{} \\
& \text { The } \mathrm{n}^{\text {th }} \text { approximate root is } \\
& \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}-\frac{\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)}{\mathrm{f}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)}, \mathrm{n}=1,2,3, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Example\#1. Determine the approximate root of the equation $x^{3}-3 x-5=0$, using NewtonRaphson method.
Sol. Let $f(x)=x^{3}-3 x-5$, then the given equation become $f(x)=0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(1)=1^{3}-3(1)-5=1-3-5=-7<0 \\
& f(2)=2^{3}-3(2)-5=8-6-5=3>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f(1)$ and $f(2)$ are opposite signs, therefore the root lies between 1 and 2 . Let us take initial approximation $x_{0}=2$. Since $f(x)=x^{3}-3 x-5$, we have $f^{\prime}(x)=3 x^{2}-3=3\left(x^{2}-1\right)$. Newton-Raphson iterative formula is

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{n+1} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}=x_{n}-\frac{x_{n}^{3}-3 x_{n}-5}{3\left(x_{n}^{2}-1\right)} \\
& =\frac{2 x_{n}^{3}+5}{3\left(x_{n}^{2}-1\right)}, n=0,1,2, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

The first approximate to the root

$$
x_{1}=\frac{2 x_{0}^{3}+5}{3\left(x_{0}^{2}-1\right)}=\frac{2\left(2^{3}\right)+5}{3\left(2^{2}-1\right)}=\frac{21}{9}=2.3333 .
$$

The second approximate to the root

$$
x_{2}=\frac{2 x_{1}^{3}+5}{3\left(x_{1}^{2}-1\right)}=\frac{2(2.3333)^{3}+5}{3\left[(2.3333)^{2}-1\right]}=2.2806 .
$$

The third approximate to the root

$$
x_{3}=\frac{2 x_{2}^{3}+5}{3\left(x_{2}^{2}-1\right)}=\frac{2(2.2806)^{3}+5}{3\left[(2.2806)^{2}-1\right]}=2.2790 .
$$

The fourth approximate to the root

$$
x_{4}=\frac{2 x_{3}^{3}+5}{3\left(x_{3}^{2}-1\right)}=\frac{2(2.2790)^{3}+5}{3\left[(2.2790)^{2}-1\right]}=2.2790 .
$$

Since $x_{3}$ and $x_{4}$ are identical up to 4 decimal places, we take $x_{4}=2.2790$ as the required root of the given equation.

Example\#2. Apply Newton-Raphson method to find an approximate root correct to five decimal places, of the equation $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{x}}-3 \mathrm{x}=0$ that lies between 0 and 1 .
Sol. Let $f(x)=e^{x}-3 x$, then $f(x)=e^{x}-3$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{f}(0)=\mathrm{e}^{0}-3(0)=1-0=1>0 \\
& \mathrm{f}(1)=\mathrm{e}^{1}-3(1)=\mathrm{e}-3=-0.2817<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the root lies between 0 and 1 . Let us take initial approximation $x_{0}=0.5$.
Newton-Raphson iterative formula is

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{n+1} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}=x_{n}-\frac{e^{x_{n}}-3 x_{n}}{e^{x_{n}}-3} \\
& =\frac{\left(x_{n}-1\right) e^{x_{n}}}{e^{x_{n}}-3}, n=0,1,2, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

The first approximate to the root

$$
x_{1}=\frac{\left(x_{0}-1\right) e^{x_{0}}}{e^{x_{0}}-3}=\frac{(0.5-1) e^{0.5}}{e^{0.5}-3}=0.61006
$$

The second approximate to the root

$$
x_{2}=\frac{\left(x_{1}-1\right) e^{x_{1}}}{e^{x_{1}}-3}=\frac{(0.61006-1) e^{0.61006}}{e^{0.61006}-3}=0.618996 .
$$

The third approximate to the root

$$
x_{3}=\frac{\left(x_{2}-1\right) e^{x_{2}}}{e^{x_{2}}-3}=\frac{(0.618996-1) e^{0.618996}}{e^{0.618996}-3}=0.619061 .
$$

The fourth approximate to the root

$$
x_{4}=\frac{\left(x_{3}-1\right) e^{x_{3}}}{e^{x_{3}}-3}=\frac{(0.619061-1) e^{0.619061}}{e^{0.619061}-3}=0.619061 .
$$

Since $x_{3}$ and $x_{4}$ are identical up to 5 decimal places, we take $x_{4}=0.619061$ as the required root of the given equation.

Example\#3. Determine the mass of the bungee jumper with a drag coefficient of $0.25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}$ to have a velocity of $36 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ after 4 seconds of free fall, use Newton-Raphson method near to 142 kg .
Sol. We know that the velocity of the bungee jumper at any time $t$ is

$$
\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{t})=\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{gm}}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}}} \tanh \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{gC}}{\mathrm{~m}}} \mathrm{t}\right) .
$$

Here velocity of the jumper at $t=4 \mathrm{sec}$ is $v(4)=36 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, drag coefficient $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}=0.25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}$ and $\mathrm{g}=9.81 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$. Substituting the values, we have

$$
36=\sqrt{\frac{9.81 \mathrm{~m}}{0.25}} \tanh \left(\sqrt{\frac{9.81(0.25)}{\mathrm{m}}}(4)\right) .
$$

Rewriting the equation

$$
\sqrt{39.24 \mathrm{~m}} \tanh \left(\sqrt{\frac{39.24}{\mathrm{~m}}}\right)-36=0
$$

Let $f(\mathrm{~m})=\sqrt{39.24 \mathrm{~m}} \tanh \left(\sqrt{\frac{39.24}{m}}\right)-36$. Now, we have to find the root of the equation $f(\mathrm{~m})=$ 0 using Newton-Raphson method.

Some Useful Deduction: Derive the following
(1) Iterative formula to find $1 / N$ is $x_{n+1}=x_{n}\left(2-N x_{n}\right)$
(2) Iterative formula to find $\sqrt{ } N$ is $x_{n+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{n}+\frac{N}{x_{n}}\right)$.
(3) Iterative formula to find $1 / \sqrt{ } N$ is $x_{n+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{n}+\frac{1}{N x_{n}}\right)$.
(4) Iterative formula to find ${ }^{k} \sqrt{ }$ 站 $x_{n+1}=\frac{1}{k}\left((k-1) x_{n}+\frac{N}{x_{n}^{k}}\right)$.

Proof. (1) Let $x=1 / N$, then $1 / x-N=0$. Taking $f(x)=1 / x-N, f^{\prime}(x)=-x^{-2}$. By Newton's formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{n+1}= & x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}=x_{n}-\frac{\left(1 / x_{n}-N\right)}{-x_{n}^{-2}} \\
& =x_{n}+\left(\frac{1}{x_{n}}-N\right) x_{n}^{2}=x_{n}+x_{n}-N x_{n}^{2} \\
& =x_{n}\left(2-N x_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) Let $x=\sqrt{N}$, then $x^{2}-N=0$. Taking $f(x)=x^{2}-N, f^{\prime}(x)=2 x$. By Newton's formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{n+1}= & x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}=x_{n}-\frac{x_{n}^{2}-N}{2 x_{n}} \\
& =x_{n}-\frac{1}{2} x_{n}+\frac{N}{2 x_{n}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{n}+\frac{N}{x_{n}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) Let $x=\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$, then $x^{2}-\frac{1}{N}=0$. Taking $f(x)=x^{2}-\frac{1}{N}, f^{\prime}(x)=2 x$. By Newton's formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{n+1} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}=x_{n}-\frac{x_{n}^{2}-1 / N}{2 x_{n}} \\
& =x_{n}-\frac{1}{2} x_{n}+\frac{1}{2 N x_{n}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{n}+\frac{1}{N x_{n}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(4) Let $x=\sqrt[k]{N}$, then $x^{k}-N=0$. Taking $f(x)=x^{k}-N, f^{\prime}(x)=k x^{k-1}$. By Newton's formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{n+1} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}=x_{n}-\frac{x_{n}^{k}-N}{k x_{n}^{k-1}} \\
& =x_{n}-\frac{1}{k} x_{n}+\frac{N}{k x_{n}^{k-1}}=\frac{1}{k}\left((k-1) x_{n}+\frac{N}{x_{n}^{k-1}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(1) Develop a recurrence formula for finding $1 / \mathrm{N}$, using Newton-Raphson method and hence compute $1 / 31$ correct to four decimal places.
Ans: 0.0323
(2) Develop a recurrence formula for finding $\sqrt{ } \mathrm{N}$, using Newton-Raphson method and hence compute $\sqrt{ } 28$ correct to four decimal places.
Ans : 5.2915
(3) Develop a recurrence formula for finding $1 / \sqrt{ } N,(N>0)$ using Newto $n$-Raphson method and hence compute $1 / \sqrt{ } 14$ correct to four decimal places.
Ans : 0.2673
(4) Develop an algorithm using Newton-Raphson method, to find the cube root of $\mathrm{N}>0$, and hence find ${ }^{3} \sqrt{24}$ correct to four decimal places.
Ans :2.8845

## System of Linear Algebraic Equations:

Systems of equations arise in all branches of engineering and science. These equations may be algebraic, transcendental (i.e., involving trigonometric, logarithmic, exponential, etc., functions), ordinary differential equations, or partial differential equations. The equations may be linear or nonlinear. This section is devoted to the solution of systems of linear algebraic equations of the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{11} x_{1}+a_{12} x_{2}+\ldots+a_{1 n} x_{n}=b_{1} \\
& a_{21} x_{1}+a_{22} x_{2}+\ldots+a_{2 n} x_{n}=b_{2} \\
& \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . \\
& a_{n 1} x_{1}+a_{n 2} x_{2}+\ldots+a_{n n} x_{n}=b_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Linear Algebraic Equations in Engineering and Science:

Many of the fundamental equations of engineering and science are based on conservation laws. Some familiar quantities that conform to such laws are mass, energy, and momentum. In mathematical terms, these principles lead to balance or continuity equations that relates system behavior as represented by the levels or response of the quantity being modeled to the properties or characteristics of the system and the external stimuli or forcing functions acting on the system.

Suppose that three jumpers are connected by bungee cords. They are held in place vertically so that each cord is fully extended but unstretched. We can define three distances, $x_{1}$, $x_{2}$, and $x_{3}$, as measured downward from each of their unstretched positions. After they are released, gravity takes hold and the jumpers will eventually come to the equilibrium positions.

Suppose that you are asked to compute the displacement of each of the jumpers. If we assume that each cord behaves as a linear spring and follows Hooke's law(states that the restoring force of a spring is directly proportional to a small displacement. In equation form, we write $\mathrm{F}=-\mathrm{kx}$, where x is the size of the displacement) can be developed for each jumper.

Using Newton's second law, a steady state force balance can be written for each jumper;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{1} g+\mathrm{k}_{2}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}-\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)-\mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{1}=0 \\
& \mathrm{~m}_{2} \mathrm{~g}+\mathrm{k}_{3}\left(\mathrm{x}_{3}-\mathrm{x}_{2}\right)-\mathrm{k}_{2}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}-\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)=0 \\
& \mathrm{~m}_{3} \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{k}_{3}\left(\mathrm{x}_{3}-\mathrm{x}_{2}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $m_{i}$ is the mass of the jumper $i(k g), k_{j}$ is the spring constant for cord $j(N / m), x_{i}$ is the displacement of jumper i measured downward from the equilibrium position(m) and g gravitational acceleration $\left(9.81 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right) x_{1}-k_{2} x_{2} & =m_{1} g \\
-k_{2} x_{1}+\left(k_{2}+k_{3}\right) x_{2}-k_{3} x_{3} & =m_{2} g \\
-k_{3} x_{2}+k_{3} x_{3} & =m_{3} g .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the problem reduces to solving a system of three simultaneous equations for the three unknown displacements. Because we have used a linear law for the cords, these equations are linear algebraic equations.

## Applications in Electrical Circuits:

One of the most important applications of linear algebra to electronics is to analyze electronic circuits that cannot be described using the rules for resistors in series or parallel such as the one shown in below. The goal is to calculate the current flowing in each branch of the circuit or to calculate the voltage at each node of the circuit.


Knowing the branch currents, the nodal voltages can easily be calculated, and knowing the nodal voltages, the branch currents can easily be calculated. Loop analysis finds the currents directly and nodal analysis finds the voltages directly. Which method is simpler depends on the given circuit. Nodal analysis is important because its answers can be directly compared with voltage measurements taken in a circuit, whereas currents are not so easily measured in a circuit.

The steps involved in finding current in the circuit:

1. Count the number of loop currents required. Call this number n .
2. Choose ' $n$ ' independent loop currents, call them $\mathrm{I}_{1}, \mathrm{I}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and draw them on the circuit diagram.
3. Write down Kirchoff's Voltage Law for each loop. The result, after simplification, is a system of $n$ linear equations in the $n$ unknown loop currents in this form:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{R}_{11} \mathrm{I}_{1}+\mathrm{R}_{12} \mathrm{I}_{2}+\ldots+\mathrm{R}_{1 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{V}_{1} \\
\mathrm{R}_{21} \mathrm{I}_{1}+\mathrm{R}_{22} \mathrm{I}_{2}+\ldots+\mathrm{R}_{2 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{V}_{2} \\
\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n} 1} \mathrm{I}_{1}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n} 2} \mathrm{I}_{2}+\ldots+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{nn}} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\mathrm{R}_{11}, \mathrm{R}_{12}, \ldots, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{nn}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{1}, \mathrm{~V}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are constants.
4. Solve the system of equations for the ' $n$ ' loop currents $I_{1}, I_{2}, \ldots, I_{n}$.

Solutions of linear simultaneous equations: Simultaneous linear equations occur in various engineering problems. These equations can be solved by Cramer's rule and matrix method. But these methods become tedious for large systems. However, there exist other numerical methods of solutions which are well suited for computing machines. We now discuss some iterative methods of solutions.

Iterative methods are started an approximate to the true solution and obtain better and better approximations from a computation cycle repeated as often as may be necessary for achieving a desired accuracy. Simple iterative methods can be devised for systems in which the coefficient of the leading diagonal are large compared to others. We now discuss two such methods.
(1) Jacobi's ite rative method: Consider the linear system with three equations and three unknowns;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{11} x+a_{12} y+a_{13} z=b_{1} \\
& a_{21} x+a_{22} y+a_{23} z=b_{2} \\
& a_{31} x+a_{32} y+a_{33} z=b_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}$ are absolutely large as compared to other coefficients, then the equations can be written in the following form

$$
\begin{gathered}
x=\left(b_{1}-a_{12} y-a_{13} z\right) / a_{11} \\
y=\left(b_{2}-a_{21} x-a_{23} z\right) / a_{22} \\
z=\left(b_{3} .-a_{31} x-a_{32} y\right) / a_{33}
\end{gathered}
$$

The Jacobi' iterative formula is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=\left(\mathrm{b}_{1}-\mathrm{a}_{12} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{a}_{13} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) / \mathrm{a}_{11} \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=\left(\mathrm{b}_{2}-\mathrm{a}_{21} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}-a_{23} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) / a_{22} \\
& \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=\left(\mathrm{b}_{3}-\mathrm{a}_{31} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{a}_{32} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) / a_{33}, \mathrm{n}=0,1,2, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us start with the initial approximations $x_{0}=y_{0}=z_{0}=0$ for the values of $x, y, z$.
Substituting in iterative formula, we get first approximations. This process is repeated till the difference between two consecutive approximations is negligible.
Example\#1. Determine the solution of the following system of linear equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
20 x+y-2 z=17 \\
3 x+20 y-z=-18 \\
2 x-3 y+20 z=25
\end{gathered}
$$

using Jacobi method.
Sol. The diagonal coefficients are larger than the other coefficients, the equations expressed in the following form;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=\frac{1}{20}(17-y+2 z) \\
& y=\frac{1}{20}(-18-3 x+z) \\
& z=\frac{1}{20}(25-2 x+3 y)
\end{aligned}
$$

The Jacobi iterative formula is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=\frac{1}{20}\left(17-\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}+2 \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=\frac{1}{20}\left(-18-3 \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=\frac{1}{20}\left(25-2 \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}+3 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right), \mathrm{n}=0,1,2, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the initial approximation $\mathrm{x}_{0}=\mathrm{y}_{0}=\mathrm{z}_{0}=0$. Therefore, the first approximate to the solution is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{x}_{1}=\frac{1}{20}\left(17-\mathrm{y}_{0}+2 \mathrm{z}_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{20}(17-0+0)=\frac{17}{20}=0.85 \\
& \mathrm{y}_{1}=\frac{1}{20}\left(-18-3 \mathrm{x}_{0}+\mathrm{z}_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{20}(-18-0+0)=-\frac{18}{20}=-0.9 \\
& \mathrm{z}_{1}=\frac{1}{20}\left(25-2 \mathrm{x}_{0}+3 \mathrm{y}_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{20}(25-0+0)=\frac{25}{20}=1.25 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The second approximate to the solution is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{2}=\frac{1}{20}\left(17-y_{1}+2 z_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{20}[17-(-0.9)+2(1.25)]=1.02 \\
& y_{2}=\frac{1}{20}\left(-18-3 x_{1}+z_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{20}[-18-3(0.85)+1.25)=-0.965 \\
& z_{2}=\frac{1}{20}\left(25-2 x_{1}+3 y_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{20}[25-2(0.85)+3(-0.9)]=1.1515 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The third approximate to the solution is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{3}=\frac{1}{20}\left(17-y_{2}+2 z_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{20}[17-(-0.965)+2(1.1515)]=1.0134 \\
& y_{3}=\frac{1}{20}\left(-18-3 x_{2}+z_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{20}[-18-3(1.02)+1.1515)=-0.9954 \\
& z_{3}=\frac{1}{20}\left(25-2 x_{2}+3 y_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{20}[25-2(1.02)+3(-0.965)]=1.003 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The fourth approximate to the solution is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{x}_{4}=\frac{1}{20}\left(17-\mathrm{y}_{3}+2 \mathrm{z}_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{20}[17-(-0.9954)+2(1.003)]=1.0009 \\
& \mathrm{y}_{4}=\frac{1}{20}\left(-18-3 \mathrm{x}_{3}+\mathrm{z}_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{20}[-18-3(1.0134)+1.003)=-1.0018 \\
& \mathrm{z}_{4}=\frac{1}{20}\left(25-2 \mathrm{x}_{3}+3 \mathrm{y}_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{20}[25-2(1.0134)+3(-0.9954)]=0.9993 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The fifth approximate to the solution is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{x}_{5}=\frac{1}{20}\left(17-\mathrm{y}_{4}+2 \mathrm{z}_{4}\right)=\frac{1}{20}[17-(-1.0018)+2(0.9993)]=1.0000 \\
& \mathrm{y}_{5}=\frac{1}{20}\left(-18-3 \mathrm{x}_{4}+\mathrm{z}_{4}\right)=\frac{1}{20}[-18-3(1.0009)+0.9993)=-1.0002 \\
& \mathrm{z}_{5}=\frac{1}{20}\left(25-2 \mathrm{x}_{4}+3 \mathrm{y}_{4}\right)=\frac{1}{20}[25-2(1.0009)+3(-1.0018)]=0.9996
\end{aligned}
$$

The fifth approximate to the solution is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{x}_{6}=\frac{1}{20}\left(17-\mathrm{y}_{5}+2 \mathrm{z}_{5}\right)=\frac{1}{20}[17-(-1.0002)+2(0.9996)]=1.0000 \\
& \mathrm{y}_{6}=\frac{1}{20}\left(-18-3 \mathrm{x}_{5}+\mathrm{z}_{5}\right)=\frac{1}{20}[-18-3(1.0000)+0.9996)=-1.0000 \\
& \mathrm{z}_{6}=\frac{1}{20}\left(25-2 \mathrm{x}_{5}+3 \mathrm{y}_{5}\right)=\frac{1}{20}[25-2(1.0000)+3(-1.0002)]=1.0000 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The difference between fifth and sixth approximations is negligible. Therefore the solution of the given system of equations are $\mathrm{x}=1, \mathrm{y}=-1, \mathrm{z}=1$.

Example\#2. Determine the current flowing in each branch of the following circuit using Jacobi method.


Sol. The number of loops in the given circuit is 3 , therefore we have to determine 3 loop currents. Let $\mathrm{I}_{1}, \mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{I}_{3}$ be the current in the three loops and taking the direction of the current towards right.


Applying Kirchoff" s Voltage Law for each loop, we get the following system of equations;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 . \mathrm{I}_{1}+25\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}-\mathrm{I}_{2}\right)+50\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}-\mathrm{I}_{3}\right)=10 \\
& 25\left(\mathrm{I}_{2}-\mathrm{I}_{1}\right)+30 \mathrm{I}_{2}+1\left(\mathrm{I}_{2}-\mathrm{I}_{3}\right)=0 \\
& 50\left(\mathrm{I}_{3}-\mathrm{I}_{1}\right)+1\left(\mathrm{I}_{3}-\mathrm{I}_{2}\right)+55 \mathrm{I}_{3}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Simplifying equations, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
76 \mathrm{I}_{1}-25 \mathrm{I}_{2}-50 \mathrm{I}_{3} & =10 \\
-25 \mathrm{I}_{1}+56 \mathrm{I}_{2}-\mathrm{I}_{3} & =0 \\
-50 \mathrm{I}_{1}-\mathrm{I}_{2}+106 \mathrm{I}_{3} & =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Solving equations, we get $\mathrm{I}_{1}=0.245, \mathrm{I}_{2}=0.111, \mathrm{I}_{3}=0.117$.
(2) Gauss-Seidel iterative method: This is a modification of the Jacobi's iterative method. In this method the most recent approximation of the unknowns are used while proceeding to the next step. Solution procedure is same as in Jacobi method. Here we use the following Gauss-Seidel iterative formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{n+1}=\left(b_{1}-a_{12} y_{n}-a_{13} z_{n}\right) / a_{11} \\
& y_{n+1}=\left(b_{2}-a_{21} x_{n+1}-a_{23} z_{n}\right) / a_{22} \\
& z_{n+1}=\left(b_{3}-a_{31} x_{n+1}-a_{32} y_{n+1}\right) / a_{33}, n=0,1,2, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

for the given system of three equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{11} x+a_{12} y+a_{13} z=b_{1} \\
& a_{21} x+a_{22} y+a_{23} z=b_{2} \\
& a_{31} x+a_{32} y+a_{33} z=b_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example\#1. Determine the solution of the following system of linear equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
20 x+y-2 z=17 \\
3 x+20 y-z=-18 \\
2 x-3 y+20 z=25
\end{gathered}
$$

using Gauss-Seidel iterative method.
Sol. The diagonal coefficients are larger than the other coefficients, the equations expressed in the following form;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{x}=\frac{1}{20}(17-\mathrm{y}+2 \mathrm{z}) \\
& \mathrm{y}=\frac{1}{20}(-18-3 \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{z}) \\
& \mathrm{z}=\frac{1}{20}(25-2 \mathrm{x}+3 \mathrm{y}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The Gauss-Seidel iterative formula is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=\frac{1}{20}\left(17-\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}+2 \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=\frac{1}{20}\left(-18-3 \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=\frac{1}{20}\left(25-2 \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+3 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right), \mathrm{n}=0,1,2, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the initial approximation $\mathrm{x}_{0}=\mathrm{y}_{0}=\mathrm{z}_{0}=0$. Therefore, the first approximate to the solution is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{x}_{1}=\frac{1}{20}\left(17-\mathrm{y}_{0}+2 \mathrm{z}_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{20}(17-0+0)=\frac{17}{20}=0.85 \\
& \mathrm{y}_{1}=\frac{1}{20}\left(-18-3 \mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{z}_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{20}[-18-3(0.85)+0]=-1.0275 \\
& \mathrm{z}_{1}=\frac{1}{20}\left(25-2 \mathrm{x}_{1}+3 \mathrm{y}_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{20}[25-2(0.85)+3(-1.0275)]=1.0109 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The second approximate to the solution is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{x}_{2}=\frac{1}{20}\left(17-\mathrm{y}_{1}+2 \mathrm{z}_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{20}[17-(-1.0275)+2(1.0109)]=1.0025 \\
& \mathrm{y}_{2}=\frac{1}{20}\left(-18-3 \mathrm{x}_{2}+\mathrm{z}_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{20}[-18-3(1.0025)+1.0109]=-0.9998 \\
& \mathrm{z}_{2}=\frac{1}{20}\left(25-2 \mathrm{x}_{2}+3 \mathrm{y}_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{20}[25-2(1.0025)+3(-0.9998)]=0.9998
\end{aligned}
$$

The third approximate to the solution is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{x}_{3}=\frac{1}{20}\left(17-\mathrm{y}_{2}+2 \mathrm{z}_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{20}[17-(-0.9998)+2(0.9998)]=1.0000 \\
& \mathrm{y}_{3}=\frac{1}{20}\left(-18-3 \mathrm{x}_{3}+\mathrm{z}_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{20}[-18-3(1.0000)+0.9998]=-1.0000 \\
& \mathrm{z}_{3}=\frac{1}{20}\left(25-2 \mathrm{x}_{3}+3 \mathrm{y}_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{20}[25-2(1.0000)+3(-1.0000)]=1.0000 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The difference between second and third approximations is negligible. Therefore, the solution of the given system of equations are $\mathrm{x}=1, \mathrm{y}=-1, \mathrm{z}=1$.
Example\#3. Suppose that three jumpers are connected by bungee cords. The parameters mass, spring constant and cord lengths are given in the following table;

| Jumper | Mass (kg) | Spring constant (N/m) | Un-stretched cord length (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Top (1) | 60 | 50 | 20 |
| Middle (2) | 70 | 100 | 20 |
| Bottom (3) | 80 | 50 | 20 |

Determine the displacement of each bungee jumper after they released to jump and find their positions relative to the platform.
Sol Let $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ be the displacement of jumpers (1), (2), (3) respectively and is measured downward from the equilibrium position. Since the system is in equilibrium, using the steady state force balance for each jumper, we have the following system of equations;

$$
\begin{gathered}
(50+100) x-100 y=60 \times 9.81 \\
-100 x+(100+50) y-50 z=70 \times 9.81
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
-50 y+50 z=80 \times 9.81
$$



Un-stretched

After simplification, we have

$$
150 x-100 y=588.6
$$

$$
-100 x+150 y-50 z=686.7
$$

$$
-50 y+50 z=784.8
$$

Solving these equations using Jacobi or Gauss-Seidel method, we get $\mathrm{x}=41.2020, \mathrm{y}=55.9170$ and $\mathrm{z}=71.6130$. Because jumpers were connected by 20 meters cords, their initial positions are $x_{0}=20, y_{0}=40, z_{0}=60$. Thus, their final positions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}_{0}=41.2020+20=61.2020 \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{y}+\mathrm{y}_{0}=55.9170+40=95.9170 \\
& \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{z}+\mathrm{z}_{0}=71.6130+60=131.6130 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example\#4. A firm can produce three types of cloths A, B and C. Three kinds of wool are required for it, say red, green and blue wool. One unit of type 'A' cloth needs 2 yards of red wool, 8 yards of green and one yard of blue wool; one unit length of type ' $B$ ' cloth needs one yard of red, 3 yards of green and 5 yards of blue wool; one unit length of type ' $C$ ' cloth needs 6 yards red, 2 yards of green and one yard of blue wool. The firm has only a stock of 9 yards red, 13 yards green and 7 yards of blue wool. If total stock is used, then determine the number of units of cloth A, B and C.
Sol. Let $x$, $y$ and $z$ be the number of units of cloth types ' $A$ ', ' $B$ ' and ' $C$ ' produced by the firm.
Write the given data in the following form

|  | Types of the cloth |  |  | stock |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | available |
| Red | 2 | 1 | 6 | 9 |
| Green | 8 | 3 | 2 | 13 |
| Blue | 1 | 5 | 1 | 7 |

The total quantity of red wool required to prepare $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ and z yards of cloths $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C is $2 x+y+6 z$
Since the stock of red wool available is 9 and we used whole. Therefore

$$
2 x+y+6 z=9
$$

Similarly total quantity of green and blue wool required

$$
\begin{gathered}
8 x+3 y+2 z=13 \\
x+5 y+z=7
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence the problem of firm formulated as to find x , y and z which satisfies the following equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
2 x+y+6 z=9 \\
8 x+3 y+2 z=13 \\
x+5 y+z=7
\end{gathered}
$$

Solving these equations using Jacobi or Gauss-Seidel method, we get $x=y=z=1$.

## Problems

1. Apply bisection to find the root of the following equations correct to three decimal places.
(i) $x^{3}-2 x-5=0$
(ii) $x^{4}-x-10=0$
(iii) $x \log _{10} x=1.2$ which lies between 2 and 3
(iv) $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{x}}-\mathrm{x}=2$ lying between 2 and 3
(v) $x-\cos x=0$.
2. Apply Newton-Raphson and iterative method, to find real root of the following equations
(i) $x^{4}-12 x+7=0$
(ii) $x \sin x+\cos x=0$, near $x=\pi$.
(iv) $\tan x=1.5 x$ which is near $x=1.5$
(v) $\cos x=x e^{x}$
(vi) $\log x-\cos x=0$
3. The upward velocity of a rocket can be computed by the following formula:

$$
v=u \ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{~m}_{0}}{\mathrm{~m}_{0}-q t}\right)-g t
$$

where $v=$ upward velocity, $\mathrm{u}=$ the velocity at which fuel is expelled relative to the rocket, $\mathrm{m}_{0}=$ the initial mass of the rocket at time $\mathrm{t}=0, \mathrm{q}=$ the fuel consumption rate, and $\mathrm{g}=$ the downward acceleration of gravity (assumed constant $=9.81 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ ). If $\mathrm{u}=2000 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{m}_{0}=150,000 \mathrm{~kg}$, and $\mathrm{q}=2700 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{s}$, compute the time at which $\mathrm{v}=750 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, using bisection method carryout computation up to $5^{\text {th }}$ stage. (Hint: time $t$ is somewhere between 10 and 50 seconds).
4. Determine an approximate root of the equation $x \log _{10} x=1.2$, that lies between $x=2.5$ and $x=3$ using bisection method. Carry out computations up to $5^{\text {th }}$ stage.
5. Apply Newton's iterative method, find the real root of $10^{x}+x-4=0$ correct to five decimal places.
6. Develop a recurrence formula to find the $4^{\text {th }}$ root of a positive number $N$, using NewtonRaphson method and hence compute $\sqrt[4]{52}$ correct to four decimal places.
7. Your designing a spherical tank to hold water for small village in a developing country. The volume of liquid it can hold can be computed as $\mathrm{V}=\pi \mathrm{h}^{2}\left(\frac{3 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{h}}{3}\right)$, where $\mathrm{V}=\operatorname{volume}\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$, $\mathrm{h}=$ depth of water in $\operatorname{tank}(\mathrm{m})$ and $\mathrm{R}=$ the tank radius $(\mathrm{m})$. If $\mathrm{R}=3 \mathrm{~m}$, what depth must the tank be filled to so that it hold $30 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ? Use three iterations of the most efficient numerical method possible to determine your answer. Determine the approximate relative error after each iteration.
8. Determine an approximate solution of the following system of simultaneous linear equations, using Jacobi iterative method starting with ( $0.5,1.5,2.5$ );

$$
\begin{array}{r}
x+2 y+5 z=20 \\
5 x+2 y+z=12 \\
x+4 y+2 z=15 .
\end{array}
$$

9. Determine an approximate solution of the following system of simultaneous linear equations, using Gauss-Seidel iterative method;

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2 x+17 y+4 z=35 \\
x+3 y+10 z=24 \\
28 x+4 y-z=32 .
\end{array}
$$

10. Find a root of the equation $x^{3}-4 x-9$ using the bisection method in four stages.
11.By using bisection method, find an approximate root of the equation $\operatorname{Sin} x=1 / x$, that lies between $x=1$ and $x=1.5$ (measured in radians), carry out computation up to $7^{\text {th }}$ stage.
11. Using the bisection method, find a real root of the equation $\operatorname{xlog}_{10} x=1.2$ lying between 2 and 3.
12. Using the bisection method, find a real root of the equation $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{x}}-\mathrm{x}=2$ lying between 1 and 1.4.
14.Using Newton's iterative method, find the real root of $x \log _{10} x=1.2$, correct to five decimal places.
13. Find by Newton's method, a root of the equation $\mathrm{x}^{3}-3 \mathrm{x}+1=0$, correct to 3 decimal places.
14. Find by Newton's method, a root of the equation $\log _{10} x=3.375$.
17.Develop an iterative formula to find $1 / \mathrm{N}$, using Newton-Raphson method and hence compute $1 / 31$ to the 3 rd decimal place.
15. Develop an iterative formula to find $\sqrt[K]{N}$, using Newton-Raphson method and hence compute $\sqrt[5]{30}$ to the 3rd decimal place.
16. Develop an algorithm using Newton-Raphson method to find the $4^{\text {th }}$ root of $\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{ve}$ number N and hence find $\sqrt[4]{32}$.
17. Solve by Jacob's iteration method, the equations $20 x+y-2 z=17 ; 3 x+20 y-z=-18 ; 2 x-$ $3 y+20 z=25$
21.Solve the equations $54 x+y+z=110 ; 2 x+15 y+6 z=72 ;-x+6 y+27 z=85$ by Gauss-Seidel method.
18. Apply Gauss-Seidal iteration method to solve the equations $10 x_{1}-2 x_{2}-x_{3}-x_{4}=3$;
$-2 x_{1}+10 x_{2}-x_{3}-x_{4}=15 ;-x_{1}-x_{2}+10 x_{3}-2 x_{4}=27$ and $-x_{1}-x_{2}-2 x_{3}+10 x_{4}=-9$.
23.A civil engineer involved in construction requires 4800,5800 and 5700 m 3 of sand, fine gravel, and coarse gravel respectively for a building project. There are three pits from which these materials can be obtained. The composition of these pits is;

|  | Sand | Fine gravel | Coarse gravel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pit 1 | 55 | 30 | 15 |
| Pit 2 | 25 | 45 | 30 |
| Pit 3 | 25 | 20 | 55 |

How many cubic meters must be hauled from each pit in order to meet the engineer's need?
24.The following system of equations is designed to determine concentrations (the c's in $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) in a series of coupled reactors as a function of the amount of mass input to each reactor(the right-hand sides in $\mathrm{g} /$ day);

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 15 c_{1}-3 c_{2}-c_{3}=3800 \\
& -3 c_{1}+18 c_{2}-6 c_{3}=1200 \\
& -4 c_{1}-c_{2}+12 c_{3}=2350
\end{aligned}
$$

Determine the concentrations using Gauss-Seidel method, the approximate relative error fall below $5 \%$.
25.Three masses are suspended vertically by a series of identical springs where mass 1 is at top and mass 3 is at bottom. If $\mathrm{g}=9.81 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}, \mathrm{~m}_{1}=2 \mathrm{~kg}, \mathrm{~m}_{2}=3 \mathrm{~kg}, \mathrm{~m}_{3}=2.5 \mathrm{~kg}$ and spring constants (k's) $=10 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$, solve for the displacements.
26. Given that $y_{0}=3, y_{1}=12, y_{2}=81, y_{3}=200, y_{4}=100 y_{5}=8$ then without forming the difference table find $\Delta^{5} y_{0}$ and verify your answer with the forward difference table.
27.Express $3 x^{4}-4 x^{3}+6 x^{2}+2 x=1$ as a factorial polynomial and find differences of all orders.
28. Determine the current flowing in each branch of the following circuit.

29. Water is flowing in trapezoidal channel at a rate of $Q=20 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$. The critical depth y for such a channel must satisfy the equation $0=1-\frac{\mathrm{Q}^{2}}{\mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{3}} \mathrm{~B}$. where $\mathrm{g}=9.81 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}, \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ cross-sectional of the area $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{B}=$ the width of the channel at the surface ( m ). For this case, the width and the cross sectional area can be related to depth $y$ by
$B=3+y$ and $A_{c}=3 y+\frac{y^{2}}{2}$. Solve for the critical depth using bisection method with initial guesses of $x_{l}=0.5$ and $x_{u}=2.5$ and iterate until the approximate error falls below $1 \%$.
30.The trajectory of a ball thrown by a right fielder is $y$ defined by the ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ) coordinates as displayed in the figure. The trajectory can be modeled as

$$
y=\left(\tan \theta_{0}\right) x-\frac{g x^{2}}{2 v_{0}{ }^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta_{0}}+y_{0} . \text { Find the }
$$

approximate initial angle $\theta_{0}$ using Newton-Raphson method, if $v_{0}=20 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, the distance to the catcher is 35 m . Note that the throw leaves the right fielders

31.A civil engineer involved in construction requires $4800,5800,5700 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of sand, fine gravel, coarse gravel respectively for a building project. There are 3 pits from which these materials can be obtained. The composition of these pits is

|  | Sand \% | Fine gravel \% | Coarse gravel \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pit 1 | 55 | 30 | 15 |
| Pit2 | 25 | 45 | 30 |
| Pit3 | 25 | 20 | 55 |

How many cubic meters must be hauled from each pit in order to meet the engineer's needs using Jacobi's iterative method starting with (24, 91, 46).
32.Apply Gauss-Seidel method to solve the following system of equations to a tolerance of $\varepsilon$ 's $=5 \%$ starting with $(5,5,1)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 x_{1}-6 x_{2}-x_{3} & =-38 \\
-3 x_{1}-x_{2}+7 x_{3} & =-34 \\
-8 x_{1}+7 x_{2}-2 x_{3} & =-20 .
\end{aligned}
$$

