

Mathematical models for cell migration: a nonlocal perspective

Chen, L., Painter, K., Surulescu, C., & Zhigun, A. (2020). Mathematical models for cell migration: a nonlocal perspective. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2019.0379

Published in:

Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:

Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal

Publisher rights
Copyright 2019 The Royal Society. This work is made available online in accordance with the publisher's policies. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

Mathematical models for cell migration: a nonlocal perspective

Li Chen, Kevin Painter, Christina Surulescu, and Anna Zhigun^d

Abstract

We provide a review of recent advancements in nonlocal continuous models for migration, mainly from the perspective of its involvement in embryonal development and cancer invasion. Particular emphasis is placed on spatial nonlocality occurring in advection terms, used to characterise a cell's motility bias according to its interactions with other cellular and acellular components in its vicinity (e.g., cell-cell and cell-tissue adhesions, nonlocal chemotaxis), but we also shortly address spatially nonlocal source terms. Following a brief introduction and motivation, we give a systematic classification of available PDE models with respect to the type of featured nonlocalities and review some of the mathematical challenges arising from such models, with a focus on analytical aspects.

Keywords: cell-cell and cell-tissue adhesion; nonlocal and local chemotaxis; haptotaxis; classes of nonlocal models; integro-differential equations; mathematical challenges.

1 Introduction

Collective movement arises when individuals correlate their motion with others, generating migration at a population level. Paradigms include flocks, swarms and crowds [107], but it also occurs for bacteria [5], embryonic populations, immune and invading cancer cells [45, 83]. Scales span enormous ranges, from a few cells clustered over a few microns to millions or billions of organisms distributed over kilometres, e.g. large-scale fish schools [80] and locust swarms [100]. An adoption of theoretical approaches has helped understand these phenomena. Agent-based modelling (ABMs) is a popular approach, with its individual-level representation facilitating data fitting. For cell populations, ABM approaches range greatly in sophistication, including single or multi-site cellular automata [30, 52], descriptions of cells as overlapping spheres [33], deformable ellipsoids [97] or dynamic boundaries [102]. For organisms, collective movement models are often founded on point-based individuals moving with velocities determined by their interactions with neighbours (see the review in [13]).

Despite their many advantages, problems persist with ABMs that motivate complementary approaches. First, a lack of standard analytical methods leads to heavy reliance on computation which, inevitably, becomes burdensome as population size increases. Second, how should one compare the results emerging following different approaches applied to the same problem, e.g. between a lattice- and off-lattice model used to describe cell sorting behaviour? Precise quantitative matching is clearly unrealistic, so when can one state that two methods generate equivalent behaviour? Third, different implementations of the same method can also generate quantitatively distinct results when applied to the same problem [101]. This typically escalates with the sophistication/detail of the ABM, with variations arising from, say, ambiguously stated assumptions or distinctions in the numerical implementation. Overall, these issues highlight the general challenge of appropriately "benchmarking" ABMs, and we refer to [92] for a more detailed consideration.

While it would be disingenuous to state that continuous models are free from such issues, in principal their solutions are reproducible: well-posed problems generate unique solutions for a given set of initial conditions. Further, with their roots in classical theory, well-developed analytical methods exist that provide generic insights: the analysis necessary to demonstrate the self-organising capacity of Turing's counterintuitive reaction and diffusion theory of morphogenesis [112] is not restricted to precise reactions, parameters, etc. Phenomenological derivations start with a mass conservation equation, where movement is modelled via stipulating an appropriate flux. Coupled to reaction/birth/death processes, governing equations are stated for the key variables (cells, organisms, chemicals, etc.), each represented by continuous density distributions. Models derived in this way typically fall into the class of reaction-diffusion-advection (RDA) equations,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot (D(\cdot)\nabla u) - \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{a}(\cdot)u) + F(u, \cdot)$$
(1.1)

where u(x,t) denotes the population density at position x at time t. Diffusion describes a non-oriented dispersal process, for example due to simple random meandering by individuals and is characterised by diffusion coefficient

^aMathematisches Institut, Universität Mannheim, A5 6, 68131 Mannheim, Germany, chen@math.uni-mannheim.de

^bDepartment of Mathematics & Maxwell Institute, Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh, Scotland, EH14 4AS, K.Painter@hw.ac.uk ^cFelix-Klein-Zentrum für Mathematik, Technische Universität Kaiserslautern, Paul-Ehrlich-Str. 31, 67663 Kaiserslautern, Germany, surulescu@mathematik.uni-kl.de

^dSchool of Mathematics and Physics, Queen's University Belfast, University Road, Belfast BT7 1NN, Northern Ireland, UK, A.Zhigun@qub.ac.uk

 $D(\cdot)$. Advection could be passive (e.g. environmental flow) or due to active navigation by individuals, and is described by an advective velocity $\mathbf{a}(\cdot)$. Reaction describes the population birth/death, etc. A vast number of models fall in the above class, including numerous landmark works: textbooks such as [89, 91] offer scope of this framework. Models of type (1.1) can also be derived as the continuous limiting equation of a biased random walk description for biological particle movement (e.g. see [28]).

Models in the RDA framework typically have a local nature, i.e. terms that depend pointwise. For example, in the well-known Keller-Segel model [65] for chemotaxis, the advection term describes population drift along a local chemoattractant gradient: specifically, $\mathbf{a} = \chi \nabla v$, for some chemoattractant v and function χ . Effectively, cells (or animals) are assumed to detect and migrate in the direction of a local gradient. This is often logical, viewed at a macroscopic level: cells such as leukocytes orient according to the concentration difference of attractant across its body axis, but at the scale of a tissue this can be regarded as a pointwise calculation.

Local assumptions may not, however, always hold or be convenient. Population densities may be high: classical diffusive fluxes (e.g. Fickian) assume diluteness, and at high densities the impact of long range effects may be important [89]. Moreover, many particles sense the environment over extended regions: filopodia/cytonemes permit cells to detect signals multiple cell diameters away [69]; sensory organs grant organisms with highly nonlocal perception fields (e.g. [41, 60, 74, 99]). Approximating information originating over large regions to, say, a local gradient, could clearly be overly-reductive. Dispersal distances may also be nonlocal, for example seeds can be transported significant distances from source while various studies have implicated "Lévy-type" behaviour in migration paths, where short range movements are interspersed with occasional long transits (e.g. [54]). Local formulations can also create analytical problems, exemplified in the "blow-up" phenomena in certain formulations of chemotaxis models (e.g. see [9]). Here, the coupling between a population's pointwise production of its own attractant and movement up the local gradient leads to runaway aggregation and singularity formulation. Such phenomena are powerful indicators of the inherent self-organisation, yet formation of infinite cell densities is, ultimately, unrealistic.

These considerations and others have led to a range of spatially-nonlocal RDA models, and their modelling and mathematical properties have attracted significant interest. This brief survey focuses on some aspects of modelling through such a framework. Nonlocality is, of course, a broad concept and can be included in various ways, for example into any or all of the diffusion, advection or reaction terms. We primarily focus on the use of nonlocal advection models that feature spatial integral operators inside advection terms. These have typically been developed to replace the gradient-type terms often used to describe taxis-type movement and, in particular, have come into vogue as a method of modelling collective movement processes in cells and organisms.

2 Applications in development and cancer

Nonlocal advection models have received considerable attention for their capacity to include cell-cell (and cell-matrix) adhesion into models for tissue dynamics. Adhesion occurs when juxtaposing membranes link certain transmembrane adhesion proteins, fastening cells together and forming clusters [2]. Moreover, cell-cell adhesion confers self-organisation, with famous studies revealing how mixed cell types can self-rearrange into distinct configurations, implying a capacity to "recognise" others of same type [110]. The Differential Adhesion Hypothesis (DAH) of Steinberg [106] suggested that distinct adhesion can provide this "tissue-affinity", with the ratio of self-to cross-adhesion strengths determining the configuration; various experiments corroborate this theory (e.g. [105]). Models of adhesion should ideally exhibit clustering/sorting, and many ABMs indeed reproduce these phenomena (e.g. see [92]). The discrete cell representation is optimal: adhesion easily enters as an attracting force over a range of cell-cell separations, coalescing cells until their compression generates a counteracting repulsion. Incorporating adhesion into continuous models, however, can prove challenging. Attempts starting from an initial discrete random walk process have certainly generated continuous models, yet these can be ill-posed (backward diffusion) or seemingly incapable of displaying more complicated behaviour such as sorting (e.g. [4, 61, 62]).

Phenomenological approaches founded on nonlocal concepts appear to be more successful. Such models capture cell-neighbour interactions through the proposed movement of cells according to the density of others in their vicinity. An early model of this type was proposed in [103], although subsequent analysis focused on a localised form derived under expansion. The nonlocal model for adhesion proposed in [6] was explored regarding its ability to recapitulate the sorting behaviour predicted by the DAH and its relative success has led to various extensions: [49] performed a more comprehensive analysis; [27, 88] replaced the overly-reductive linear diffusion terms with nonlinear forms, generating the sharp cell boundaries often observed experimentally; [95] extended to more general cell-cell contact phenomena, for example allowing repulsive interactions as found in Eph-Ephrin interactions [108]; the model of [67] has extended to allow dynamic adhesion regulation.

Typical applications lie in morphogenesis and cancer. The former has witnessed nonlocal advection models used to describe somitogenesis [7], mesenchymal condensation in early limb development [16, 50], neuronal positioning in early brain development [82, 111] and zebrafish gastrulation [67]. Notably, many of these studies integrate modelling with experimental data. The formulation of nonlocal advection models for cancer invasion has addressed the question of how cell-cell and cell-matrix adhesion interact with other mechanisms to facilitate cancer invasion,

e.g. [3, 19, 31, 39, 48, 66, 96]. As one example, the study of [31] recapitulates various observed tumour infiltrative patterns, as well as the characteristic morphologies of ductal carcinomas and fibroadenomas. Other cellular applications of nonlocal advection models include the interactions between liver hepatocyte and stellate cells for *in vitro* culture systems [53]. Nonlocal models of cell migration and spread including adhesion, have also been extended to account for further structure, such as cellular age and the level of bound receptors, see [31, 32, 36, 39]. Including variables characterising subcellular dynamics opens the way for multiscality.

Nonlocal advection models have also been applied extensively to problems of animal movement, particularly animal swarming/flocking behaviour. The pioneering model of [85] featured a nonlocal advection based on a convolution, modelling the attracting and repelling interactions between neighbouring swarm members. This model has sparked various extensions and significant analysis, for example see [15, 40, 42, 43, 71, 109]. In the context of swarming, hyperbolic approaches have been developed in which nonlocal interactions are included in the turning behaviour of swarm members, allowing extensions to orientation alignment (see the review in [38]). Nonlocal advection models have also been used to incorporate perceptual range into the model [41, 60], i.e. animal movement according to information drawn from potentially large regions of their environment.

3 Classes of nonlocal models for cell migration

We can extend (1.1) to a general RDA equation of the form (3.1), describing the evolution of a subpopulation density u_i as a part of an ensemble $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ components representing cell densities, densities of a surrounding fibrous environment (e.g., natural or artificial tissue), concentrations of nutrients and chemical signals, etc.:

$$\partial_t u_i = \nabla \cdot (a_{i0}(u)\nabla u_i) - \nabla \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} a_{ij}(u)\nabla b_{ij}(u)\right) + a_{im}(u). \tag{3.1}$$

Here $\nabla = \nabla_x$ is the spatial gradient, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and the coefficients have the following meaning: $a_{i0}(u)$ is the diffusion coefficient (normally nonnegative), $a_{ij}(u)$ and $b_{ij}(u)$ for $j \in \{1, \ldots, m-1\}$ describe tactic sensitivities and signal functions, respectively, and, finally, $a_{im}(u)$ is the reaction-interaction term. As previously remarked, nonlocality can be introduced in multiple ways into such PDEs. Often, it takes the form of an integral operator w.r.t. time t and/or position x in a spatial set $O \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, but other independent variables (e.g., orientation/speed or age/phenotype/individual state, etc.) can also be involved. A typical spatial nonlocal operator can be described as follows:

$$\mathcal{I}v(x) := \int_O J(x,y)v(y)\,dy,$$

where J is some kernel defined in $O \times O$. If, for instance, $O = \mathbb{R}^d$ and J = J(x - y), then the so-called convolution notation is used:

$$\mathcal{I}v = J \star v.$$

It can be seen e.g., as the combined ability (over the whole spatial region O) of some extracellular trait (mediated by a density distribution function J) and some quantity v (density/volume fraction/etc.), to determine the cell density at a specific location x.

Nonlocalities of orders zero, one, or two can be distinguished according to whether a coefficient function, a first-, or a second-order differential operator is replaced by a nonlocal one. For example, a zero-order nonlocality is present if an a_{ij} is made dependent upon $\mathcal{I}u$. Moreover, nonlocality can be introduced into the reaction, taxis, or diffusion terms, leading to another possible classification. In the subsequent text we address these and other possibilities in more detail.

3.1 Spatial nonlocality in advection terms

There are (at least) four ways to include a nonlocality into the advective flux, see Table 1. Hereafter B_r and S_r denote the open d-dimensional ball and the (d-1)-dimensional sphere, respectively, which are centred at the origin and have radius r, termed the sensing radius. The operator \int denotes the usual averaging over the set upon which the integration takes place. For the precise mathematical formulations consult the references in Table 1. Constructions in lines 1 and 2 in the Table can be viewed as zero order nonlocalities. The former describes, e.g., the situation of long-range interactions of individuals having density v_1 with their environment containing a signal of concentration v_2 (think of cells extending protrusions towards sites with higher concentrations of some chemoattractant, i.e. directing themselves towards the gradient of such concentrations). If the chemical signal itself is assumed to move much faster than the cells -which is often the case-, then v_2 can actually be expressed as a function of v_1 , possibly in a nonlocal way, too, thus leading to a flux of the form $(J_1 \star v_1)\nabla(J_2 \star v_1)$, as e.g., in

Integral operator	Examples	References
is placed before ∇	$(J\star v_1)\nabla v_2$	[71]
is placed inside ∇	$\nabla(J\star v)$	[86]
replaces ∇	$\mathcal{A}_r v(x) = \frac{1}{r} \int_{B_r} v(x+\xi) \frac{\xi}{ \xi } F_r(\xi) d\xi$	adhesion velocity [1, 6]
	$\mathring{\nabla}_r v(x) = \frac{n}{r} \int_{S_r} v(x+\xi) \xi d_{\mathcal{S}_r}$	nonlocal chemotaxis [56, 93]
is applied to ∇	$\mathcal{T}_r \nabla v(x) = \frac{1}{r} \int_0^1 \int_{B_r} (\nabla v(x+s\xi) \cdot \xi) \frac{\xi}{ \xi } F_r(\xi) d\xi ds$	[70]
	$S_r \nabla v(x) = \frac{n}{r} \int_0^1 \int_{S_r} (\nabla v(x+s\xi) \cdot \xi) \xi d_{S_r} ds$	[70]

Table 1: Nonlocal modifications of the gradient operator applied to a function v (or $v = (v_1, v_2)$).

[71]. This corresponds to direct, long-range intraspecific interactions. Line 2 in Table 1 refers e.g., to the case of individuals (cells, ants,...) moving in a collective way, thereby perceiving and correspondingly adapting to regions with large crowd density. Concerning the remaining lines of Table 1, an operator $\mathcal{M} \in \{\mathcal{A}_r, \mathring{\nabla}_r, \mathcal{T}_r \nabla, \mathcal{S}_r \nabla\}$ can be used to include a nonlocality of first order. A basic model example of the latter case is given by a system of two equations

$$\partial_t u_1 = \nabla \cdot (a_{10}(u)\nabla u_1 - a_{11}(u)\mathcal{M}(b_{11}(u))) + a_{12}(u), \tag{3.2a}$$

$$\partial_t u_2 = a_{20} \Delta u_2 + a_{21}(u), \tag{3.2b}$$

equipped with suitable initial and boundary conditions. It can describe growth and motility of a single cell population of density u_1 biased by intra- and interspecies interactions and/or a signal concentration u_2 . The latter is either a diffusing chemoattractant/-repellent if $a_{20} > 0$, or, if $a_{20} = 0$, an insoluble cue - usually a non-diffusing polymeric matrix such as tissue fibers. Further components can be included into the system, e.g., other cell populations and other soluble/insoluble signals.

A nonlocal chemotaxis model was introduced in [93] and further studied in [26, 55, 56, 78]. Such settings can be derived from position- or velocity jump processes under adequate assumptions, e.g., constant r for shrinking spatial mesh size or nonlocal sensing introducing a bias of higher order w.r.t. r. This leads to the operator $\mathring{\nabla}_r$ in the advection term. Cell-cell and/or cell-tissue interactions are usually characterised by a so-called adhesion operator \mathcal{A}_r involving a suitable function F_r . The latter represents the distance-dependent magnitude of the interaction force. We refer to [6, 26, 78] and references therein for formal deductions of such models. Other versions characterising the nonlocal space-time dynamics of one or several interacting species (cell populations, soluble and insoluble signals) have also been addressed [6, 48, 96, 104].

Very recently, a model class was introduced [70], which uses $\mathcal{T}_r \nabla$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_r \nabla$) rather than \mathcal{A}_r (resp. $\mathring{\nabla}_r$). There, it was pointed out that on the one hand

$$\mathcal{A}_r u = \mathcal{T}_r(\nabla u), \qquad \mathring{\nabla}_r u = \mathcal{S}_r(\nabla u) \qquad \text{in } \Omega_r := \{x \in \Omega : \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega) > r\},$$

whereas, on the other hand, e.g. for $\Omega = (-1, 1)$ and $u \equiv 1$ in Ω

$$\mathcal{T}_r(u') \equiv 0 \equiv u', \qquad \int_{-1}^1 |\mathcal{A}_r u| \, dx = 1 \qquad \text{for } r \in (0, 1).$$
 (3.3)

In [70] Ω_r was termed domain of restricted sensing since there cells a priori cannot directly perceive signals from outside the domain of interest Ω . For $r \to 0$ it tends to cover the whole of Ω . In contrast, a cell inside the r-thick boundary layer $\Omega \backslash \Omega_r$ can potentially reach beyond $\partial \Omega$. Of course, if r is larger than the diameter of Ω , then each cell can do that. However, if the population is kept in a Petri dish or it is confined within comparatively hard barriers, e.g. bone material, then the cell flux through the boundary $\partial \Omega$ vanishes. This leads to cell densities such as u from above. As (3.3) shows, in such cases the outputs under operators \mathcal{A}_r and $\mathcal{T}_r \nabla$ are equal in Ω_r , but may disagree substantially inside $\Omega \backslash \Omega_r$ even for very small r. In case of impenetrable boundaries and r close to zero, the study in [70] supports the idea that cells actively adjust their movement after suitably sampling signal gradients rather than densities. We refer to that reference for a detailed discussion.

Other continuous models have been obtained by starting from a particle description, e.g. accounting for long range attraction and short range repulsion between individuals in a population alongside Brownian dispersal. In the limit of sufficiently large populations these lead to nonlinear PDEs for one-component models [22, 86] containing, for instance, a degenerate diffusion $a_{10}(u) = u$ as well as an operator \mathcal{J} in the advection. Further models in this category have been proposed in [23, 24]. Models accounting for cell interactions with attraction or repulsion have also been studied in [27, 88]. A related approach [84] employs an off-lattice ABM and derives a continuum approximation able to account for correlations between moving cells. A mean-field approximation of the evolution equations obtained for one- and two-cell density functions starting from Langevin equations for cell movement leads

Thereby $J \star v$ can be seen to represent the average density felt by the individual.

to a PDE akin to the more common adhesion models from above. Models with similar mathematical structure are also used to describe crowd dynamics, flocking, or swarming, often referred to as self-organisation models, see [12, 37, 87] and references therein.

3.2 Further types of spatial or other nonlocality

Replacing the usual Laplace operator in a diffusion term with a fractional Laplacian (see e.g. [46]) is another way of including spatial nonlocality within motility terms and exemplifies a second order nonlocality. Such models account for dispersal of individuals performing Lévy flights rather than Brownian motion, cf. Section 1. Systems describing competition between locally and nonlocally dispersing populations were developed and studied in [63, 81].

Nonlocalities introduced into reaction-interaction terms can still affect cell motion, albeit indirectly. Indeed, cell proliferation and decay (alongside intra- and interspecific interactions) lead to local changes in densities, which flows into the density-dependent coefficients. From a modelling perspective, this accounts for population pressure, competition for resources, cooperation in signal transmission, differentiation, and/or tissue degradation, etc. But even when motion coefficients do not depend on the population density, local vs. nonlocal source terms may lead to different overall evolution, see the discussion below. In a broader framework, classical reaction terms in population dynamics have been introduced in [44, 68] and they are local. For the emergence and evolution of a single biological species the typical choice is

$$a(u) = \mu u^{\alpha} (1 - u) - \gamma u. \tag{3.4}$$

For $\alpha = 1$, growth is proportional to the population density and limited by competition for available resources. The case $\alpha > 1$ accounts for advantages of clustering together or organizing in groups. This applies to cells [114], but also to sexual reproduction (case $\alpha = 2$) or swarming of animals.

Individuals, of course, typically perceive information related to occupancy, biochemical cues, etc. within a neighborhood centred on their current position. Thus, local terms like (3.4) have been recently replaced by nonlocal ones. The best known example of the resulting equation is

$$\partial_t u = \Delta u + \mu u^\alpha \left(1 - J \star u^\beta \right) - \gamma u, \tag{3.5}$$

where J is a kernel as above, and $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \gamma$ are constants. Here the nonlocality is of order zero. Similar reaction terms have been used, e.g., to describe natural selection of tumour cells leading to the emergence of therapyresistant clones [75, 76]. Further examples of nonlocal source terms, not necessarily connected to biological applications, are of the form a(u) = f(u) + I(g(u)), where $I(\zeta) := \int_{\mathcal{O}} \zeta(y,t) dy$, see e.g. [21, 29, 98]. In a biological context such terms can account for both local and nonlocal interactions between cells and their surroundings. We refer to [64, 113] for a rich variety of nonlocal reaction models in engineering and biology.

Several model classes have also been developed featuring integral terms that describe nonlocality with respect to one or several other variables, including age, phenotype, internal cell state, velocity, etc. They include the large class of structured population models [79], as well as kinetic transport equations (KTE) (and in particular the so-called kinetic theory of active particles framework, see [10, 11] and references therein). Under appropriate conditions, models with spatial nonlocality can be (formally) derived from KTEs, see e.g., [72, 93].

4 Local vs. nonlocal models: Mathematical aspects

This section briefly discusses relevant qualitative results. We focus on analysis pertaining to just two model classes: equations featuring nonlocal reaction and local diffusion, e.g. (3.5), and settings that involve a first order nonlocality to model a process such as adhesion or nonlocal chemotaxis (cf. Subsection 3.1). Our motivation for this focus is as follows. On the one hand, the most straightforward way of accounting for nonlocality is via a zeroth order in the source terms.² Thus, understanding and overcoming challenges met when analysing such equations is essential for developing a general mathematical theory applicable to nonlocal problems. Consequently, the basic representative of the class, equation (3.5), has received significant attention by analysts. While models involving first-order nonlocalities have received considerably less study, they are particularly relevant for applications, particularly in the context of collective motion phenomena (cf. Section 2).

4.1 Analysis of models with spatial nonlocalities in reaction terms

The analysis of reaction-diffusion equations featuring nonlocalities in source terms is highly challenging, in large part down to classical techniques that rely on comparison principles being no longer valid. A general theory seems presently out of reach, since the analysis heavily depends on the exact form of involved nonlocality, where key

²In this review we use the standard designations 'reaction' and 'source' for all terms containing zero order derivatives.

features of the corresponding settings are revealed, for example see [21, 29, 98]. If one includes a parameter where, as it is formally sent to zero, the nonlocal equation becomes local, then one can expect that results for the local equation can be suitably generalised to the nonlocal setting. As for the corresponding local case, studies of general nonlocal models such as (3.5) include results on global well-posedness, blow-up, and stationary solutions. Specific solutions, such as stationary, radially symmetric, travelling wave solutions, or monotone wave fronts have also received attention due to their relevance in applications.

To exemplify, consider the relatively well understood nonlocal Fisher-KPP equation (3.5) for the case $\gamma = 0$. For $J \equiv 1$, which corresponds to the situation of blind competition, and with general $\alpha, \beta \geqslant 1$, a global bounded solution has been shown to exist both for bounded and unbounded domains [17, 18]. When the kernel J is replaced by the Dirac delta function, (3.5) reduces to a classical, local reaction-diffusion equation. There, results on global wellposedness, asymptotic stability of nontrivial stationary solutions, as well as other solution behaviours such as hair trigger effect³, extinction and quenching, have been intensively investigated, see for example [8, 44, 77]. If instead J>0 in a ball of positive radius, then the nonlocality can have a profound impact. For instance, the constant solution $u \equiv 1$ can lose the stability of the corresponding local case with a periodic-in-space stationary solution bifurcating from it [20, 47, 51]. This phenomenon has been observed in the study of travelling wave solutions, and numerically tested for the time dependent version in [72]. On the other hand, if J has an everywhere-positive Fourier transform or if it approximates the Dirac delta function, then there are travelling waves connecting u=0and u = 1 for $\alpha = 1$ (see [14]), and [72] shows that for $1 \le \alpha < 1 + \frac{2\beta}{N}$ the hair trigger effect appears, while for large μ values u=1 can indeed become unstable and Turing patterns occur [90]. Similar results have been obtained for the bistable case [73]. As observed in [72], the concrete solution behaviour, in particular w.r.t. pattern formation, depends strongly on the shape of the interaction kernel. Even for (3.5) the integral kernel must be fixed to study in detail long-time behaviour. For systems of PDEs with nonlocalities in the reaction terms the situation is even more complicated and, to our knowledge, there has been no breakthrough in the study of behaviour in this context.

4.2 Analysis of models with spatial nonlocalities in advection terms

The rigorous analysis of local RDA systems has enjoyed great popularity over recent decades. The Keller-Segel systems are among the best studied [9, 59, 116], a model class corresponding to $\mathcal{M} = \nabla$ in (3.2). In contrast, only a few studies consider problems including one of the four nonlocal operators introduced in Table 1 that lead to first order nonlocalities. At a general level, combining local diffusion with nonlocal advection appears to preclude the existence of an energy functional satisfying a precise dissipation identity, as known for various formulations of local Keller-Segel model and providing a key for their analysis. Owing to this drawback, only settings where the nonlocal advection is effectively dominated by diffusion have been investigated so far. This is generally the case when the operators A_r or ∇_r are involved, since they replace a differential operator by an integral one, leading to an increase (rather than a decrease) in regularity. In the absence of other effects this allows wellposedness to be established. Moreover, it turns out that the uniform boundedness of solutions can be guaranteed under quite general assumptions, including even cases where the corresponding local system exhibits finite time blow-up. Even situations in which $a_{10} - a_{11} \partial_{u_1} b_{11}$ is somewhere negative can be covered. In the corresponding local setting this implies negative self-diffusion and, generally, non-existence of solutions. A detailed analysis of a nonlocal chemotaxis system was carried out in [56]. Several studies, in particular [1, 25, 34, 35, 39, 104], address equations or systems featuring the adhesion operator A_r or its extension to a possibly unbounded sensing region [57]. Some works exploit specific solutions which are particularly relevant for applications, including steady states and their stability, existence of travelling waves, etc., see [115] and [26, 34, 35, 56, 94] for models with ∇_r and \mathcal{A}_r , respectively.

Overall, operators $\mathring{\nabla}_r$ and \mathcal{A}_r form a powerful alternative to the local gradient, particularly as they allow modelling a broader range of aggregative mechanisms without fear of potential blow-up. Moreover, as formal Taylor expansions performed in [58] and [48] respectively indicate, $\mathring{\nabla}_r$ and \mathcal{A}_r approach the local gradient ∇u for some fixed smooth u and vanishing r. In [56] the question was therefore raised concerning convergence of solutions to a family of nonlocal chemotaxis systems as $r \to 0$. This corresponds to the sensing region of a cell almost shrinking to its respective position, i.e. the sensing is effectively local. However, as the example from Subsection 4.1 indicates, blow-up may appear in the gradient limit on the boundary of the spatial domain. Using $\mathcal{T}_r \nabla$ or $\mathcal{S}_r \nabla$ instead excludes this undesired effect. These operators are, however, computed based on the gradient and they are closer to it both quantitatively and qualitatively. Consequently, the domination of diffusion over advection demands much stronger conditions on coefficients a_{ij} and b_{ij} . Suitable conditions have been found and existence and rigorous convergence (of a subsequence) of solutions proved in [70].

The issue of connecting spatially local and nonlocal models acting on the same (macroscopic) scale has also been addressed, e.g., in [71] (upon performing an adequate scaling) and, as mentioned above, in [48, 58] upon Taylor approximations (for small r) of functions inside the nonlocal operators. Those deductions are, however, formal, whereas [70] provides a rigorous approach.

³meaning that an initially very small cell density can evolve in the long time into a cell mass completely filling the space, i.e. at maximum density

5 Outlook

Several challenges arise in connection with nonlocal models, some of which we already mentioned. Here we focus on models for cell migration, but most mathematical issues also apply to systems of this type characterising other real-world phenomena.

From the modelling viewpoint, the settings can be extended to account for various aspects of cell migration and growth. For instance, tumor heterogeneity can be w.r.t. cell phenotypes, motility, treatment response, etc.; each of these are influenced by the composition of the tumor microenviroment, which in turn is dynamically modified by the cells, according to their population behavior. This results in ODE-PDE systems with intricate couplings and nonlinearities, even if only spatial nonlocality is considered. Including several populations of cells structured by further variables, as addressed at the end of Subsection 3.2, leads to multiscale descriptions, involving hyperbolic and/or parabolic PDEs with various nonlocalities. The latter can also occur in a pure macroscopic framework with only spatial nonlocality. When the cell densities evolve in a bounded domain one has to provide adequate boundary conditions. Depending on the complexity of the system accounting for interactions of cells between themselves and their surroundings, deriving them together with the population level dynamics is often nontrivial and calls for a careful modelling starting on lower scales and performing appropriate upscalings. Connections between local and nonlocal settings retain their relevance also in this context. From the numerical viewpoint, nonlocal models present significant challenges: integrating across a nonlocal region carries a substantial extra burden over classical local RDA models, compounded as one moves into higher (e.g. three) dimensions. Numerically efficient techniques can be developed (e.g. see [48, 49]), although they typically rely on, e.g. convenient boundary conditions or static sensing regions. Continued development of efficient methodologies is therefore a must for further, more intricate applications.

From an analytical viewpoint, it is desirable to support initially formal deductions by performing a rigorous limit procedure wherever it is possible. Notwithstanding, qualitative properties, such as the well-posedness, the long-time behaviour including the possibility of a blow-up, the limit behaviour w.r.t. to some vanishing parameter, etc., need to be addressed for the resulting models. Overall, these key aspects have remained open for many cell migration models, and that includes even local, single-scale ones. Introducing a nonlocality into a well-understood local model can lead to additional challenges since it breaks the original structure, see the discussions in Section 4.

References

- [1] M. Adioui, O. Arino, and N. El Saadi. "A nonlocal model of phytoplankton aggregation". In: *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* 6.4 (2005), pp. 593–607. DOI: 10.1016/j.nonrwa.2004.12.007.
- [2] B. Alberts, A. Johnson, J. Lewis, D. Morgan, M. Raff, K. Roberts, and P. Walter. Molecular Biology of the Cell. 6th. Gardland Science, 2015.
- [3] V. Andasari, A. Gerisch, G. Lolas, A. P. South, and M. A. Chaplain. "Mathematical modeling of cancer cell invasion of tissue: biological insight from mathematical analysis and computational simulation". In: *J. Math. Biol.* 63.1 (2011), pp. 141–171.
- [4] K. Anguige and C. Schmeiser. "A one-dimensional model of cell diffusion and aggregation, incorporating volume filling and cell-to-cell adhesion". In: *J. Math. Biol.* (2008).
- [5] G. Ariel, A. Shklarsh, O. Kalisman, C. Ingham, and E. Ben-Jacob. "From organized internal traffic to collective navigation of bacterial swarms". In: New J. Phys. 15.12 (2013), p. 125019.
- [6] N. J. Armstrong, K. J. Painter, and J. A. Sherratt. "A continuum approach to modelling cell-cell adhesion". In: J. Theoret. Biol. 243.1 (2006), pp. 98–113. DOI: 10.1016/j.jtbi.2006.05.030.
- [7] N. J. Armstrong, K. J. Painter, and J. A. Sherratt. "Adding adhesion to a chemical signaling model for somite formation". In: *Bull. Math. Biol.* 71.1 (2009), pp. 1–24.
- [8] D. Aronson and H. Weinberger. "Multidimensional nonlinear diffusion arising in population genetics". In: Adv. Math. 30.1 (1978), pp. 33 –76. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8708(78)90130-5.
- [9] N. Bellomo, A. Bellouquid, Y. Tao, and M. Winkler. "Toward a mathematical theory of Keller-Segel models of pattern formation in biological tissues". In: *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* 25.9 (2015), pp. 1663–1763.
 DOI: 10.1142/S021820251550044X.
- [10] N. Bellomo and F. Brezzi. "Towards a multiscale vision of active particles". In: Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. 29.4 (2019), pp. 581–588. DOI: 10.1142/S0218202519020019.
- [11] N. Bellomo, A. Bellouquid, L. Gibelli, and N. Outada. A quest towards a mathematical theory of living systems. Modeling and Simulation in Science, Engineering and Technology. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2017, pp. xiii+181. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-57436-3.
- [12] N. Bellomo and C. Dogbé. "On the Modeling of Traffic and Crowds: A Survey of Models, Speculations, and Perspectives". In: SIAM Rev. 53.3 (2011), pp. 409–463.

- [13] A. M. Berdahl, A. B. Kao, A. Flack, P. A. H. Westley, E. A. Codling, I. D. Couzin, A. I. Dell, and D. Biro. "Collective animal navigation and migratory culture: from theoretical models to empirical evidence". In: *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. B* 373.1746 (2018), p. 20170009.
- [14] H. Berestycki, G. Nadin, B. Perthame, and L. Ryzhik. "The non-local Fisher-KPP equation: travelling waves and steady states". In: *Nonlinearity* 22.12 (2009), pp. 2813–2844. DOI: 10.1088/0951-7715/22/12/002.
- [15] A. J. Bernoff and C. M. Topaz. "Nonlocal aggregation models: A primer of swarm equilibria". In: SIAM Rev. 55.4 (2013), pp. 709–747.
- [16] R. Bhat, T. Glimm, M. Linde-Medina, C. Cui, and S. A. Newman. "Synchronization of Hes1 oscillations coordinates and refines condensation formation and patterning of the avian limb skeleton". In: Mech. Devel. 156 (2019), pp. 41–54.
- [17] S. Bian and L. Chen. "A nonlocal reaction diffusion equation and its relation with Fujita exponent". In: J. Math. Anal. Appl. 444.2 (2016), pp. 1479–1489. DOI: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2016.07.014.
- [18] S. Bian, L. Chen, and E. A. Latos. "Global existence and asymptotic behavior of solutions to a nonlocal Fisher-KPP type problem". In: *Nonlinear Anal.* 149 (2017), pp. 165–176. DOI: 10.1016/j.na.2016.10.017.
- [19] V. Bitsouni, M. A. J. Chaplain, and R. Eftimie. "Mathematical modelling of cancer invasion: the multiple roles of TGF-β pathway on tumour proliferation and cell adhesion". In: *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* 27.10 (2017), pp. 1929–1962.
- [20] N. F. Britton. "Spatial structures and periodic travelling waves in an integro-differential reaction-diffusion population model". In: SIAM J. Appl. Math. 50.6 (1990), pp. 1663–1688. DOI: 10.1137/0150099.
- [21] C. Budd, B. Dold, and A. Stuart. "Blowup in a partial differential equation with conserved first integral". In: SIAM J. Appl. Math. 53.3 (1993), pp. 718–742. DOI: 10.1137/0153036.
- [22] M. Burger, V. Capasso, and D. Morale. "On an aggregation model with long and short range interactions". In: Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. 8.3 (2007), pp. 939 –958. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nonrwa.2006.04.002.
- [23] M. Burger and M. Di Francesco. "Large time behavior of nonlocal aggregation models with nonlinear diffusion". English. In: *Netw. Heterog. Media* 3.4 (Dec. 2008), pp. 749–785. DOI: 10.3934/nhm.2008.3.749.
- [24] M. Burger, J. Haškovec, and M.-T. Wolfram. "Individual based and mean-field modeling of direct aggregation". In: *Physica D* 260 (2013). Emergent Behaviour in Multi-particle Systems with Non-local Interactions, pp. 145–158. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physd.2012.11.003.
- [25] A. Buttenschön and T. Hillen. Nonlocal Adhesion Models for Microorganisms on Bounded Domains. preprint. arXiv: 1903.06635.
- [26] A. Buttenschön, T. Hillen, A. Gerisch, and K. J. Painter. "A *space-jump* derivation for non-local models of cell-cell adhesion and non-local chemotaxis". In: *J. Math. Biol.* 76.1-2 (2018), pp. 429–456. DOI: 10.1007/s00285-017-1144-3.
- [27] J. A. Carrillo, H. Murakawa, M. Sato, H. Togashi, and O. Trush. "A population dynamics model of cell-cell adhesion incorporating population pressure and density saturation". In: J. Theor. Biol. 474 (2019), pp. 14– 24.
- [28] E. A. Codling, M. J. Plank, and S. Benhamou. "Random walk models in biology". In: *J. Roy. Soc. Interface* 5 (2008), pp. 813–834.
- [29] W. Deng, Y. Li, and C. Xie. "Semilinear reaction-diffusion systems with nonlocal sources". In: *Math. Comput. Modelling* 37.9-10 (2003), pp. 937–943. DOI: 10.1016/S0895-7177(03)00109-2.
- [30] A. Deutsch and S. Dormann. Cellular automaton modeling of biological pattern formation. Springer, 2005.
- [31] P. Domschke, D. Trucu, A. Gerisch, and M. A. J. Chaplain. "Mathematical modelling of cancer invasion: implications of cell adhesion variability for tumour infiltrative growth patterns". In: *J. Theoret. Biol.* 361 (2014), pp. 41–60. DOI: 10.1016/j.jtbi.2014.07.010.
- [32] P. Domschke, D. Trucu, A. Gerisch, and M. A. J. Chaplain. "Structured models of cell migration incorporating molecular binding processes". In: J. Math. Biol. 75.6-7 (2017), pp. 1517–1561. DOI: 10.1007/s00285-017-1120-y.
- [33] D. Drasdo and S. Höhme. "A single-cell-based model of tumor growth in vitro: monolayers and spheroids". In: *Phys. Biol.* 2.3 (2005), p. 133.
- [34] J. Dyson, S. Gourley, and G. Webb. "A non-local evolution equation model of cell-cell adhesion in higher dimensional space". In: J. Biol. Dyn. 7 (2013), pp. 68–87.
- [35] J. Dyson, S. A. Gourley, R. Villella-Bressan, and G. F. Webb. "Existence and asymptotic properties of solutions of a nonlocal evolution equation modeling cell-cell adhesion". In: SIAM J. Math. Anal. 42.4 (2010), pp. 1784–1804. DOI: 10.1137/090765663.

- [36] J. Dyson and G. F. Webb. "A cell population model structured by cell age incorporating cell-cell adhesion". In: Mathematical oncology 2013. Model. Simul. Sci. Eng. Technol. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2014, pp. 109–149.
- [37] R. Eftimie. Hyperbolic and Kinetic Models for Self-organised Biological Aggregations. A Modelling and Pattern Formation Approach. Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [38] R. Eftimie. "Hyperbolic and kinetic models for self-organized biological aggregations and movement: a brief review". In: *J. Math. Biol.* 65.1 (2012), pp. 35–75.
- [39] C. Engwer, C. Stinner, and C. Surulescu. "On a structured multiscale model for acid-mediated tumor invasion: the effects of adhesion and proliferation". In: Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. 27.7 (2017), pp. 1355–1390. DOI: 10.1142/S0218202517400188.
- [40] J. H. Evers, R. C. Fetecau, and T. Kolokolnikov. "Equilibria for an aggregation model with two species". In: SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst. 16.4 (2017), pp. 2287–2338.
- [41] W. F. Fagan, E. Gurarie, S. Bewick, A. Howard, R. S. Cantrell, and C. Cosner. "Perceptual ranges, information gathering, and foraging success in dynamic landscapes". In: Amer. Nat. 189 (2017), pp. 474–489.
- [42] R. C. Fetecau, Y. Huang, and T. Kolokolnikov. "Swarm dynamics and equilibria for a nonlocal aggregation model". In: *Nonlinearity* 24.10 (2011), p. 2681.
- [43] R. C. Fetecau and Y. Huang. "Equilibria of biological aggregations with nonlocal repulsive–attractive interactions". In: *Physica D* 260 (2013), pp. 49–64.
- [44] R. A. Fisher. "The wave of advance of advantageous genes". In: Ann. Eugen. 7.4 (1937), pp. 355–369.
- [45] P. Friedl, J. Locker, E. Sahai, and J. E. Segall. "Classifying collective cancer cell invasion". In: *Nat. Cell Biol.* 14.8 (2012), p. 777.
- [46] A. Friedman. "PDE problems arising in mathematical biology". In: Netw. Heterog. Media 7.4 (2012), pp. 691–703. DOI: 10.3934/nhm.2012.7.691.
- [47] S. Genieys, V. Volpert, and P. Auger. "Pattern and waves for a model in population dynamics with nonlocal consumption of resources". In: *Math. Model. Nat. Phenom.* 1.1 (2006), pp. 65–82. DOI: 10.1051/mmnp: 2006004.
- [48] A. Gerisch and M. A. J. Chaplain. "Mathematical modelling of cancer cell invasion of tissue: local and non-local models and the effect of adhesion". In: *J. Theoret. Biol.* 250.4 (2008), pp. 684–704. DOI: 10.1016/j.jtbi.2007.10.026.
- [49] A. Gerisch and K. J. Painter. "Mathematical modelling of cell adhesion and its applications to developmental biology and cancer invasion". In: Cell mechanics: from single scale-based models to multiscale modeling 2 (2010), pp. 319–350.
- [50] T. Glimm, R. Bhat, and S. A. Newman. "Modeling the morphodynamic galectin patterning network of the developing avian limb skeleton". In: *J. Theor. Biol.* 346 (2014), pp. 86–108.
- [51] S. A. Gourley. "Travelling front solutions of a nonlocal Fisher equation". In: *J. Math. Biol.* 41.3 (2000), pp. 272–284. DOI: 10.1007/s002850000047.
- [52] F. Graner and J. A. Glazier. "Simulation of biological cell sorting using a two-dimensional extended Potts model". In: Phys. Rev. Lett. 69.13 (1992), p. 2013.
- [53] J. E. F. Green, S. L. Waters, J. P. Whiteley, L. Edelstein-Keshet, K. M. Shakesheff, and H. M. Byrne. "Non-local models for the formation of hepatocyte-stellate cell aggregates". In: *J. Theor. Biol.* 267.1 (2010), pp. 106–120.
- [54] T. H. Harris, E. J. Banigan, D. A. Christian, C. Konradt, E. D. T. Wojno, K. Norose, E. H. Wilson, B. John, W. Weninger, A. D. Luster, et al. "Generalized Lévy walks and the role of chemokines in migration of effector CD8+ T cells". In: *Nature* 486.7404 (2012), p. 545.
- [55] T. Hillen and K. J. Painter. "A user's guide to PDE models for chemotaxis". In: *J. Math. Biol.* 58.1-2 (2009), pp. 183–217. DOI: 10.1007/s00285-008-0201-3.
- [56] T. Hillen, K. Painter, and C. Schmeiser. "Global existence for chemotaxis with finite sampling radius". In: Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B 7.1 (2007), pp. 125–144. DOI: 10.3934/dcdsb.2007.7.125.
- [57] T. Hillen, K. Painter, and M. Winkler. "Global solvability and explicit bounds for non-local adhesion models". In: Eur. J. Appl. Math. 29.04 (2018), pp. 1–40. DOI: 10.1017/s0956792517000328.
- [58] T. Hillen. "A classification of spikes and plateaus". In: SIAM Rev. 49.1 (2007), pp. 35–51. DOI: 10.1137/ 050632427.
- [59] D. Horstmann. "From 1970 until present: the Keller-Segel model in chemotaxis and its consequences. I". In: Jahresber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein. 105.3 (2003), pp. 103–165.

- [60] S. T. Johnston and K. J. Painter. "The impact of short-and long-range perception on population movements". In: J. Theor. Biol. 460 (2019), pp. 227–242.
- [61] S. T. Johnston, M. J. Simpson, and R. E. Baker. "Mean-field descriptions of collective migration with strong adhesion". In: *Physical Review E* 85.5 (2012), p. 051922.
- [62] S. T. Johnston, M. J. Simpson, and M. J. Plank. "Lattice-free descriptions of collective motion with crowding and adhesion". In: *Physical Review E* 88.6 (2013), p. 062720.
- [63] C.-Y. Kao, Y. Lou, and W. Shen. "Random dispersal vs. non-local dispersal". In: Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 26.2 (2010), pp. 551–596. DOI: 10.3934/dcds.2010.26.551.
- [64] N. I. Kavallaris and T. Suzuki. Non-local partial differential equations for engineering and biology. Vol. 31. Mathematics for Industry (Tokyo). Mathematical modeling and analysis. Springer, Cham, 2018, pp. xix+300. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-67944-0.
- [65] E. Keller and L. Segel. "Initiation of slime mold aggregation viewed as an instability". In: J. Theor. Biol. 26 (1970), pp. 399–415.
- [66] Y. Kim, S. Lawler, M. O. Nowicki, E. A. Chiocca, and A. Friedman. "A mathematical model for pattern formation of glioma cells outside the tumor spheroid core". In: *J. Theor. Biol.* 260.3 (2009), pp. 359–371.
- [67] J. M. Ko and D. Lobo. "Continuous dynamic modeling of regulated cell adhesion". In: bioRxiv (2019). DOI: 10.1101/582429.
- [68] A. Kolmogorov, I. Petrovsky, and N. Piskunov. "Investigation of the equation of diffusion combined with increasing of the substance and its application to a biology problem". In: *Bull. Moscow State Univ. Ser A: Math and Mech* 1 (1937), pp. 1–25.
- [69] T. B. Kornberg and S. Roy. "Cytonemes as specialized signaling filopodia". In: Development 141 (2014), pp. 729–736.
- [70] M. Krasnianski, C. Surulescu, and A. Zhigun. Nonlocal and local models for taxis in cell migration: a rigorous limit procedure. 2019. arXiv: 1908.10287.
- [71] C. Lee, M. Hoopes, J. Diehl, W. Gilliland, G. Huxel, E. Leaver, K. McCann, J. Umbanhovar, and A. Mogilner. "Non-local Concepts and Models in Biology". In: *J. Theor. Biol.* 210.2 (2001), pp. 201 –219. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1006/jtbi.2000.2287.
- [72] J. Li, L. Chen, and C. Surulescu. Global existence, asymptotic behavior, and pattern formation driven by the parametrization of a nonlocal Fisher-KPP problem. arXiv:1909.07934.
- [73] J. Li, E. Latos, and L. Chen. "Wavefronts for a nonlinear nonlocal bistable reaction-diffusion equation in population dynamics". In: *J. Differential Equations* 263.10 (2017), pp. 6427–6455. DOI: 10.1016/j.jde. 2017.07.019.
- [74] S. L. Lima and P. A. Zollner. "Towards a behavioral ecology of ecological landscapes". In: *Trends Ecol. & Evol.* 11 (1996), pp. 131–135.
- [75] A. Lorz, T. Lorenzi, M. E. Hochberg, J. Clairambault, and B. Perthame. "Populational adaptive evolution, chemotherapeutic resistance and multiple anti-cancer therapies". In: ESAIM Math. Model. Numer. Anal. 47.2 (2013), pp. 377–399. DOI: 10.1051/m2an/2012031.
- [76] A. Lorz, S. Mirrahimi, and B. Perthame. "Dirac mass dynamics in multidimensional nonlocal parabolic equations". In: *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 36.6 (2011), pp. 1071–1098. DOI: 10.1080/03605302. 2010.538784.
- [77] Y. Lou, T. Nagylaki, and W.-M. Ni. "An introduction to migration-selection PDE models". In: Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. A 33.10 (2013), pp. 4349–4373. DOI: 10.3934/dcds.2013.33.4349.
- [78] N. Loy and L. Preziosi. "Kinetic models with non-local sensing determining cell polarization and speed according to independent cues". In: *Journal of Mathematical Biology* (2019). DOI: 10.1007/s00285-019-01411-x.
- [79] P. Magal and S. Ruan. Structured Population Models in Biology and Epidemiology. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2008.
- [80] N. C. Makris, P. Ratilal, S. Jagannathan, Z. Gong, M. Andrews, I. Bertsatos, O. R. Godø, R. W. Nero, and J. M. Jech. "Critical population density triggers rapid formation of vast oceanic fish shoals". In: Science 323.5922 (2009), pp. 1734–1737.
- [81] A. Massaccesi and E. Valdinoci. "Is a nonlocal diffusion strategy convenient for biological populations in competition?" In: J. Math. Biol. 74.1-2 (2017), pp. 113–147. DOI: 10.1007/s00285-016-1019-z.
- [82] Y. Matsunaga, M. Noda, H. Murakawa, K. Hayashi, A. Nagasaka, S. Inoue, T. Miyata, T. Miura, K.-i. Kubo, and K. Nakajima. "Reelin transiently promotes N-cadherin-dependent neuronal adhesion during mouse cortical development". In: Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 114.8 (2017), pp. 2048–2053.

- [83] R. Mayor and S. Etienne-Manneville. "The front and rear of collective cell migration". In: *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 17.2 (2016), p. 97.
- [84] A. M. Middleton, C. Fleck, and R. Grima. "A continuum approximation to an off-lattice individual-cell based model of cell migration and adhesion." In: J. Theor. Biol. 359 (2014), pp. 220–232.
- [85] A. Mogilner and L. Edelstein-Keshet. "A non-local model for a swarm". In: J. Math. Biol. 38.6 (1999), pp. 534–570.
- [86] D. Morale, V. Capasso, and K. Ölschläger. "An interacting particle system modelling aggregation behavior: from individuals to populations". In: J. Math. Biol. 50 (2005), pp. 49–66.
- [87] A. Muntean and F. Toschi. "Collective Dynamics from Bacteria to Crowds: An Excursion Through Modeling, Analysis and Simulation". In: CISM International Centre for Mechanical Sciences (2014).
- [88] H. Murakawa and H. Togashi. "Continuous models for cell-cell adhesion". In: J. Theor. Biol. 374 (2015), pp. 1–12.
- [89] J. D. Murray. Mathematical Biology II: Spatial Models and Biomedical Applications. 3rd ed. Springer, 2003.
- [90] G. Nadin, B. Perthame, and M. Tang. "Can a traveling wave connect two unstable states? The case of the nonlocal Fisher equation". In: C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 349.9-10 (2011), pp. 553-557. DOI: 10.1016/j.crma.2011.03.008.
- [91] A. Okubo and S. A. Levin. *Diffusion and ecological problems: modern perspectives*. Vol. 14. Springer Science & Business Media, 2001.
- [92] J. M. Osborne, A. G. Fletcher, J. M. Pitt-Francis, P. K. Maini, and D. J. Gavaghan. "Comparing individual-based approaches to modelling the self-organization of multicellular tissues". In: *PLoS Comp. Biol.* 13.2 (2017), e1005387.
- [93] H. Othmer and T. Hillen. "The diffusion limit of transport equations II: chemotaxis equations". In: SIAM J. Appl. Math. 62 (2002), pp. 1122–1250.
- [94] C. Ou and Y. Zhang. "Traveling wavefronts of nonlocal reaction-diffusion models for adhesion in cell aggregation and cancer invasion". In: Can. Appl. Math. Q. 21.1 (2013), pp. 21–61.
- [95] K. J. Painter, J. M. Bloomfield, J. A. Sherratt, and A. Gerisch. "A nonlocal model for contact attraction and repulsion in heterogeneous cell populations". In: Bull. Math. Biol. 77.6 (2015), pp. 1132–1165.
- [96] K. J. Painter, N. J. Armstrong, and J. A. Sherratt. "The impact of adhesion on cellular invasion processes in cancer and development". In: J. Theoret. Biol. 264.3 (2010), pp. 1057–1067. DOI: 10.1016/j.jtbi. 2010.03.033.
- [97] E. Palsson and H. G. Othmer. "A model for individual and collective cell movement in Dictyostelium discoideum". In: Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97.19 (2000), pp. 10448–10453.
- [98] C. V. Pao. "Blowing-up of solution for a nonlocal reaction-diffusion problem in combustion theory". In: J. Math. Anal. Appl. 166.2 (1992), pp. 591–600. DOI: 10.1016/0022-247X(92)90318-8.
- [99] R. Payne and D. Webb. "Orientation by means of long range acoustic signaling in baleen whales". In: Ann. New York Acad. Sci. 188 (1971), pp. 110–141.
- [100] R. C. Rainey. "Radar observations of locust swarms". In: Science 157.3784 (1967), pp. 98–99.
- [101] K. A. Rejniak and R. H. Dillon. "A single cell-based model of the ductal tumour microarchitecture". In: Comp. Math. Meth. Medicine 8.1 (2007), pp. 51–69.
- [102] G. Schaller and M. Meyer-Hermann. "Multicellular tumor spheroid in an off-lattice Voronoi-Delaunay cell model". In: Phys. Rev. E 71.5 (2005), p. 051910.
- [103] T. Sekimura, M. Zhu, J. Cook, P. K. Maini, and J. D. Murray. "Pattern formation of scale cells in lepidoptera by differential origin-dependent cell adhesion". In: *Bull. Math. Biol.* 61.5 (1999), pp. 807–828.
- [104] J. Sherratt, S. Gourley, N. Armstrong, and K. Painter. "Boundedness of solutions of a non-local reaction-diffusion model for adhesion in cell aggregation and cancer invasion". In: *Eur. J. Appl. Math.* 20.1 (2009), pp. 123–144. DOI: 10.1017/S0956792508007742.
- [105] M. S. Steinberg. "Differential adhesion in morphogenesis: a modern view". In: Curr. Opin. Gen. & Devel. 17.4 (2007), pp. 281–286.
- [106] M. S. Steinberg, "Reconstruction of tissues by dissociated cells". In: Science 141.3579 (1963), pp. 401–408.
- [107] D. J. T. Sumpter. Collective animal behavior. Princeton University Press, 2010.
- [108] H. B. Taylor, A. Khuong, Z. Wu, Q. Xu, R. Morley, L. Gregory, A. Poliakov, W. R. Taylor, and D. G. Wilkinson. "Cell segregation and border sharpening by Eph receptor-ephrin-mediated heterotypic repulsion". In: J. Roy. Soc. Interface 14.132 (2017), p. 20170338.

- [109] C. M. Topaz, A. L. Bertozzi, and M. A. Lewis. "A nonlocal continuum model for biological aggregation". In: *Bull. Math. Biol.* 68.7 (2006), p. 1601.
- [110] P. L. Townes and J. Holtfreter. "Directed movements and selective adhesion of embryonic amphibian cells". In: *J. Exper. Zool.* 128.1 (1955), pp. 53–120.
- [111] O. Trush, C. Liu, X. Han, Y. Nakai, R. Takayama, H. Murakawa, J. A. Carrillo, H. Takechi, S. Hakeda-Suzuki, T. Suzuki, et al. "N-cadherin orchestrates self-organization of neurons within a columnar unit in the drosophila medulla". In: *J. Neurosci.* 39.30 (2019), pp. 5861–5880.
- [112] A. M. Turing. "The chemical basis of morphogenesis". In: *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. B* 237 (1952), pp. 37–72.
- [113] V. Volpert. *Elliptic partial differential equations. Vol. 2.* Vol. 104. Monographs in Mathematics. Reaction-diffusion equations. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2014, pp. xviii+784. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-0348-0813-2.
- [114] X. Wang, A. Enomoto, N. Asai, T. Kato, and M. Takahashi. "Collective invasion of cancer: Perspectives from pathology and development". In: *Pathol. Int.* 66.4 (2016), pp. 183–192. DOI: 10.1111/pin.12391.
- [115] T. Xiang. "A study on the positive nonconstant steady states of nonlocal chemotaxis systems". In: Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B 18.9 (2013), pp. 2457–2485. DOI: 10.3934/dcdsb.2013.18.2457.
- [116] A. Zhigun. "Generalised global supersolutions with mass control for systems with taxis". In: SIAM J. Math. Anal. 51.3 (2019), pp. 2425–2443. DOI: 10.1137/18M1217826.