STATE OF OKLAHOMA Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Program

Monitoring Handbook And Checklist Template

Oklahoma Department of Commerce Community Development Services

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	#
INTRODUCTION	3
Applicability	4
OBJECTIVE	4
MANAGING THE MONITORING PROCESS	5
CONDUCTING THE MONITORING	10
MONITORING CONCLUSIONS	12
SANCTIONS	13
Closing Findings	15
BUILDING THE ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD	16
DUPLICATION OF BENEFITS POLICY	18

MONITORING CHECKLIST TEMPLATE

ATTACHED

Compliance Monitoring Handbook

Introduction

As part of the State's ongoing responsibilities for the administration of U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) federally funded programs, the Oklahoma Department of Commerce (ODOC) will conduct comprehensive monitoring reviews for all programs and activities that fall under the Federal Register Notice, Vol. 78, No. 241, December 16, 2013. The Federal Register Notice provides the regulatory framework established by HUDs State of Oklahoma's Disaster Recovery Program. The State of Oklahoma and its sub recipients that receive CDBG-DR funds are required to comply with all HUD's rules and regulations concerning program performance and any rules and regulations unique to the Disaster Recovery legislation.

Oklahoma's Department of Commerce monitoring and compliance handbook and checklist provides a guide for areas of programmatic review and responsibilities relating to compliance, which will enable the funding sub-recipients and the general public to understand the program, its objectives and methods to ensure success of the program. ODOC understands the importance and need for a compliance program that insures that all participants in the CDBG-DR program are adequately and responsibly carrying out their various ethical, legal, and fiduciary responsibilities in the administration of its programs and activities.

The State of Oklahoma as recipient of CDBG-DR funds is responsible for ensuring that the funds are used in accordance with all applicable program requirements. ODOC understands that the use of sub-recipients does not relieve the State of compliance responsibilities. The policies and procedures are consistent with those used by HUD to monitor state-administered and entitlement programs and are modified as appropriate to monitor specifics of the Disaster Recovery program. Reimbursement of expenditures will be disallowed if local governments cannot properly document the use of funds that do not address disaster-related needs or are clearly not for the greatest need. In such case, the local government receiving the funding would be required to refund the amount of the grant disallowed.

Applicability

The ODOC will be contracting out with Sub recipients to carry out project delivery activities. Due to the limited number of contracts, the ODOC staff and a contracted monitoring service will monitor all CDBG-DR contracts by performing daily desk monitoring and on-site monitoring review visits. This compliance manual applies to all federal and state requirements including but not limited to:

- 1. Citizen Participation
- 2. National Objectives
- 3. Eligible Activity
- 4. Procurement
- 5. Financial Management
- 6. Labor Standards
- 7. Acquisition and Relocation
- 8. Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity
- 9. Property Management/Lead Based Paint
- 10. Duplication of Benefits
- 11. Environmental Reviews

Objectives

HUD describes monitoring as integral management control techniques and a Government Accounting Office ("GAO") standard. It is an on-going process that assesses the quality of a program over a period of time. Accordingly, the monitoring process shall provide ODOC information about sub-recipients that will be critical for making informed judgments about CDBG-DR program effectiveness and management efficiency. Monitoring is helpful in identifying occurrences of fraud, waste and abuse. ODOC will use monitoring to accomplish the following objectives:

- Provide that all CDBG-DR activities and projects are carried out efficiently, effectively, and in compliance with applicable laws and regulations.
- Assist sub recipients to improve their performance, develop or increase capacity, and augment management and technical skills.
- Ensure that sub recipients with project delivery responsibilities will be monitored through regular reviews and recommend appropriate compliance/management steps are taken to reduce compliance problems and fraud, waste and abuse.
- Ensure compliance roles and responsibilities are clearly established across ODOC and the sub recipient and that care is given to delegating authority to the sub recipient.
- Ensure that sub recipients have written policies and procedures and internal control systems capable of ensuring compliance.
- Individual's responsible DRGR compliance and ethics programs have adequate resources authority and competencies to carry out their responsibilities.

- Ensure that sub recipients maintain an effective mechanism to report any wrongdoing, including mechanisms to allow for anonymous reporting, and protect against retaliation.
- Enable the State to submit appropriate and documented quarterly reports in HUDs Disaster Recovery Grant Reporting (DRGR) System.
- Enable the State to submit annually financial summary reports in HUD's DRGR system.

Managing the Monitoring Process

Monitoring services will be performed by a Contractor to be selected by the State through a Request for Proposal process administered by the Oklahoma Office of Management and Enterprises Services (OMES). The Contractor, under the direction and oversight of ODOC Community Development staff will conduct on-site compliance monitoring of the State's sub-recipient contracts under the State's CDBG-DR Program.

The Oklahoma Department of Commerce (ODOC) is solely responsible for any and all management decisions, management functions, and management responsibilities. No other entity will be assigned nor will they assume management functions.

Upon awarding the monitoring services contract, the Community Development Monitoring Team will provide up to 3 days of technical assistance and training to the Contractor. Additional T/TA will be provided upon request by Contractor. The Contractor will be given the spreadsheet of each CDBG-DR grantees contract to be monitored and will be given access to view each grantee in the OKGrants System. ODOC and the Contractor will develop a monitoring schedule based on the anticipated closing date of the grantee projects. ODOC will require that 100% of contracts will be monitored regardless of how they scored on their individual Risk Assessment.

Upon completion of the TA/Training the Contractor will be required to meet with the ODOC monitoring staff at the end of the first full week of monitoring to discuss any issues that might need clarification and to provide a general update to the ODOC monitoring staff.

The Contractor will be required to provide to ODOC monitoring staff a weekly progress report which shall be due by the end of business each Friday. The Contractor will be required to meet with ODOC monitoring staff at a minimum of one time each month for an overall progress of the monitoring duties.

The Contractor shall submit to ODOC monitoring staff completed monitoring tools and supporting documentation with recommendations, no later than one week after each DR grantee monitoring has ended. ODOC monitoring staff will review the completed monitoring tool, documentation and recommendations and send a Monitoring Letter and Report to the

DR Grantee within two weeks of reviewing previously mentioned information. The monitoring of the DR Grantees will not be considered closed until all monitoring findings have been addressed by the DR Grantee and submitted to ODOC monitoring staff for their approval.

The program is managed by ODOC's Community Development Services Division. Staff positions and responsibilities are as follows for oversight management of the Contractor:

Director of Community Development

- Signs all contracts with CDBG-DR sub-grantees
- Signs all agreements with CDBG-DR Contractors/Consultants
- Signs all CDBG-DR monitoring reports

Deputy Director of Community Development

- Supervises both the Director of Program Planning and Director of Program Monitoring
- Reviews all CDBG-DR monitoring letters
- Alternate Member of the RFP review team for selection of CDBG-DR Monitoring

Director of Program Planning

- Supervises CDBG/CDBG-DR Program Planners
- Assists with Request For Proposal (RFP) design for selection of qualified CDBG-DR Contractors to provide monitoring services
- Member of the RFP review team for selection of CDBG-DR Monitoring Contractor

Senior Planner CDBG/CDBG-DR Program

- Main author of the CDBG-DR Action Plan
- Assists with Request For Proposal design for selection of qualified CDBG-DR Contractors to provide monitoring services
- Member of the RFP review team for selection of CDBG-DR Monitoring Contractor
- Responsible for State Consolidated Plan/Annual Action Plan

<u>Monitoring Team</u>

Director of Program Monitoring

- Supervises CDBG/CDBG-DR Program Monitors
- Assigns and oversees responsibilities of Monitoring Team
- Oversees and assists the CDBG/CDBG-DR Program Monitors in the preparation and updates to the CDBG/CDBG-DR Project Management Guides
- Member of the RFP review team for selection of CDBG-DR Monitoring Contractor
- Assists the Senior Monitor and Contractor with the development of a CDBG-DR monitoring plan and workflow schedule

• Receives and reviews all monitoring reports, back-up documentation, and recommendations from the Contractor and Senior Monitor as oversight review for program compliance before approval in the OK-Grant system. Assists and serves as CDBG-DR back-up to Senior Monitor with all duties as needed including on-site monitoring to resolve any issues, concerns/findings by the Contractor

CDBG/CDBG-DR Program Representative and Senior Monitor

- Performs daily grant management and compliance of CDBG-DR sub-recipients program activities and desk monitoring of submittals to the OK-Grants system
- Performs DRGR Quarterly Reporting for CDBG-DR Activities
- Communicates and assists all CDBG-DR sub-recipients and/or grant administrators in all aspects of program requirements by providing technical assistance with the program implementation, policies and procedures
- Member of the RFP review team for selection of CDBG-DR Monitoring Contractor
- Point of Contact for CDBG-DR Monitoring Contractor
- Conduct onboarding with the Contractor, Contractor's staff, to discuss and provide an overview of the State's CDBG-DR Program and the status of all CDBG-DR sub-recipient contracts, risk assessments, and project activities
- Provide Contractor with access and training of the OK-Grants system
- Assist the Contractor with the development of a monitoring plan *and* workflow schedule
- Schedule and conduct meetings with the Contractor and Management Team on a biweekly basis to review progress of CDBG-DR monitoring efforts, workflow and discuss/resolve any monitoring issues raised by the Monitoring Contractor and/or staff
- Receives and reviews all monitoring reports, back-up documentation, and recommendations from the Contractor for oversight review for program compliance before submission to management review and approval in the OK-Grant system.

CDBG/CDBG-DR Program Representative/Monitor/Reporting Officer

- Performs daily grant management and compliance of CDBG sub-recipient contracts
- Provides assistance in the CDBG-DR program with Desk Monitoring reviews of subrecipient documentation submittals in OK-Grants
- Prepares the Performance Evaluation Report (PER)
- Prepares the Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER)
- Prepares and submits the Labor Standards Enforcement Report and MBE Report
- Conducts Grant Administrator Training Workshops
- Provides technical assistance to sub-recipients with CDBG program implementation, policies and procedures
- Alternate Member of the RFP review team for selection of CDBG-DR Monitoring Contractor

CDBG/CDBG-DR Program Representative/Monitor/Reporting Officer

- Assists the CDBG-DR program with Desk Monitoring reviews of sub-recipient documentation submittals in OK-Grants
- Compliance officer for the SAM.gov and DUN's for all sub-recipient contracts
- FFATA Reporting
- Assisted with finalizing the Request for Proposal (RFP) designed for the selection of qualified CDBG-DR Contractors to provide program monitoring services
- Member of the RFP review team for selection of CDBG-DR Monitoring Contractor
- Oversees and assists the preparation and updates to the CDBG/CDBG-DR Project Management Guides
- Assists with finalizing the monitoring plan and workflow schedule with the CDBG-DR Contractor and Senior Monitor
- Reviews all CDBG-DR monitoring reports submitted by the Senior Monitor from the Contractor

The following ODOC Management Personnel also provide the Community Development Division with oversight and assistance to CDBG/CDBG-DR programs:

ODOC Deputy Director/General Counsel

- Reviews all CDBG/CDBG-DR sub-recipient contracts for compliance
- Reviews all service contracts for compliance
- Assists the CDBG/CDBG-DR Monitoring Team with resolving issues to monitoring findings
- Supervises ODOC Procurement staff

ODOC Chief Financial Officer

- Reviews all CDBG/CDBG-DR financial transactions submitted to Staff Accountants for accuracy and compliance
- Assists the Community Development Team in any financial monitoring issues or concerns

ODOC Audit Manager

• Reviews all audits submitted by CDBG/CDBG-DR sub-recipients and provides any concerns to the Monitoring Team for Risk Assessment

Procurement

- Assists the Community Development Division with CDBG/CDBG-DR program with procurement of service contracts for compliance with State procurement policies and procedures
- Serves as ODOC Liaison to Oklahoma Office of Management and Enterprise Services

ODOC staff shall perform "desk monitoring" on a daily basis by review of submittals in the OK-Grants system for all sub-recipients. "On-site monitoring" is a structured review conducted by the Contractor at locations where project activities are being carried out and project records are being maintained. An on-site monitoring can be conducted during the course of a project as necessary but definitely a final visit will be conducted. The monitoring review considers all available evidence of conforming to the approved Action Plan and all other federal and state requirements. The attached Checklist Template is utilized to ensure that all requirements are addressed.

After Technical Assistance and Training provided by ODOC, the Contractor will be thoroughly familiar with the Oklahoma Disaster Recovery program and knowledgeable of the entities to be monitored. Preparation for monitoring is critical and includes:

- 1. Understanding governing statutes, regulations and official guidance;
- 2. Reviewing and analyzing participant reports, available data, Field Office files, audits and financial information, previous monitoring reports and issues; and
- 3. Obtaining other relevant information from previous monitoring reports and issues

This preparatory work may result in revisions to the individual monitoring strategy, either with respect to areas to be covered, estimated time-frames, and or staff resources needed/participant staff to be consulted.

ODOC will be in constant communication with the Contractor and the sub recipients. These sub recipients will be provided guidance and the monitoring checklist regarding technical areas which will be carefully reviewed. These include:

- National Objectives
- Environmental Review
- Financial Management
- Procurement
- Fair Housing/Equal Opportunity
- Public Improvements
- Labor Standards
- Housing Rehabilitation
- Acquisitions/Buyouts/Relocation
- Duplication of Benefits
- Section 3
- Housing New Construction

Conducting the Monitoring

All monitoring by ODOC will consist of the following elements:

A. Notification to the Sub-recipient.

After the monitoring strategy has been developed, ODOC will communicate with the subrecipient to establish a date. Once a date has been set, a formal written letter to the subrecipient will be sent. Unless there are extenuating circumstances, the letter will be sent at least two weeks prior to the monitoring. The letter will discuss the monitoring schedule identify the areas to be reviewed, and the names and titles of the ODOC staff conducting the monitoring. It will also request that the necessary participant staff be available during the monitoring. For on-site monitoring, the letter will confirm the need for any required services (e.g., conference rooms, telephones, and computers).

B. <u>Entrance Conference</u>. The purpose of the entrance conference is to:

- 1. Explain how the monitoring will be conducted;
- 2. Identify and confirm key program participant staff that will assist during the monitoring;
- 3. Set- up or confirm meeting or interview times (including any clients who may be interviewed) and, if applicable, schedule physical inspections;
- 4. Verify the programs/activities to be reviewed and how access to files and work areas will be granted (some programs files can be sensitive; some work areas can be hazardous).

C. <u>The Assessment Process</u>.

Monitoring entails interviews and file reviews to verify and document compliance and performance (and can include physical inspections, if monitoring is conducted on-site). ODOC will utilize checklists designed to capture all appropriate information and guide the review of the monitoring. The Checklist can be found in Attachment 1 of this document.

1. <u>Evaluate!</u>

The monitoring checklist is designed to assess and document compliance with program requirements based upon:

a. File reviews to determine the accuracy of the information, using both automated and manual data and reports submitted to ODOC by the sub-recipient; and

b. Interviews with sub-recipient staff, contractors, and clients to clarify and determine the accuracy of the information, assess level of satisfaction with the provision of services or the "end products," and document performance.

Specific responses to the Monitoring Checklist questions are required. Although this approach can take more time up-front, it yields higher quality reviews that provide a better picture of the sub-recipient grant program for the Contractor, ODOC DR Monitoring staff, HUDs Local Office, and others who have a need to review performance. The responses to each question provide important documentation for ODOCs administrative record.

ODOC, as well as the Contractor, will use a common sense approach and engage in a thorough evaluation of data and other information to draw defensible and supportable conclusions. ODOC understands that the main objective of monitoring is to <u>assist</u> program participants in carrying out their program responsibilities. "Is the program purpose being accomplished? Are the program beneficiaries being served as intended?" Are program requirements being met?

2. <u>Communicate!</u>

Throughout the monitoring, ODOC will maintain an on-going dialogue with the program participant as well as the monitoring Contractor. This communication will keep the participant informed as to how the monitoring is progressing, enables discussions of any problem areas encountered, and provides the participant an opportunity to make "on-the-spot" adjustments or corrections or present additional information to help the Monitoring Contractor. It also minimizes the potential for surprises to the participant when the exit conference is held as well as when the monitoring results are formally communicated in writing.

3. Document!

The responses to the questions in the Monitoring Checklist form the basis for monitoring conclusions and are supplemented by program participant records copied or reviewed during the monitoring. All Checklist questions will be clearly answered (both the "Yes/No/N/A" box and the "Findings/Comments" text box). For example, an N/A response could indicate either that the question did not apply or the reviewer was unable to answer it (due to time constraints, unexpected problems in other areas, etc.). The "Finding/Comments" section needs to succinctly but explicitly explain this.

D. Exit Conference.

At the end of the monitoring review, the Contractor will conduct an exit conference with the appropriate participant officials or staff to discuss preliminary conclusions. In part, this serves to confirm the accuracy and completeness of the information used to form the basis for the monitoring conclusions. It may also highlight areas of disagreement between ODOC and the participant. The Contractor is responsible for using the Checklist not only to prepare for the exit conference by clearly and concisely summarizing the conclusions, but also to document the issues discussed at the exit conference, the date and time of the meeting, and the names and titles of the attendees. To the extent that a program participant signifies disagreement, the basis for any objections should be noted. These summarizations are used by ODOC to develop the monitoring letter.

MONITORING CONCLUSIONS

- A. <u>Decision Categories</u>. As a result of monitoring, ODOC will reach one or more conclusions that:
- 1. Performance was adequate or exemplary;
- 2. There were significant achievements;
- 3. There were concerns that need to be brought to the attention of the program participant;
- 4. Technical assistance was provided or is needed; and/or
- 5. There were findings that require corrective actions.

All conclusions – positive or negative - must be supportable, defensible, and adequately documented.

- B. <u>Findings and Concerns</u>. Where deficiencies are identified, the following procedures apply:
- 1. <u>Findings</u>. Where an identified deficiency results in a finding, the finding <u>must</u> include the **condition**, **criteria**, **cause**, **effect**, and **required corrective** action.
 - a. The **condition** describes what was wrong or what the problem was.
 - b. The **criteria** cite the regulatory or statutory requirements that were not met.
 - c. The **cause** explains why the condition occurred.
 - d. The **effect** describes what happened because of the condition.
 - e. The **corrective action** identifies the action(s) needed to resolve the problem and, unless inapplicable or there are extenuating circumstances, should include the time frame by which the participant is to respond to the finding.
- 2. <u>Concerns</u>. Monitoring concerns brought to the program participant's attention should include the **condition**, **cause**, and **effect**. The ODOC DR monitoring staff will suggest or recommend actions that the program participant can take to

address a concern, based on sound management principles or other guidelines. However, corrective actions are not *required* for concerns.

SANCTIONS

A. <u>The Process</u>

Identify monitoring deficiencies that rise to the level of a "finding" require corrective action. Responsibility rests both with the ODOC monitoring staff and the entity being monitored. The ODOC monitoring staff must validate that there is sufficient documented information and/or evidence to support a finding of noncompliance. The entity being monitored has a responsibility to determine, or assist the ODOC monitoring staff in determining the reason why a requirement was violated or provide evidence of compliance.

A key ingredient of effective monitoring is the ability to identify the root cause(s) of any identified deficiencies, whether the problem is an isolated occurrence or systemic. Such knowledge leads to the development of optimal corrective actions. Keep in mind that there may be any number of acceptable solutions to resolve a deficiency. Ideally, the program participant should agree with ODOCs assessment of the cause and offer a workable solution. In some cases, the ODOC monitoring staff may need to determine appropriate action if compliance is not possible, i.e., do we want money recovered, a grant reduced, limited or terminated? Contemplation of those or other serious corrective actions triggers the need for ODOC to contact the local HUD Office. Additionally, suspected instances of fraud or misconduct should be referred to the HUD Office of the Inspector General for further investigation as appropriate.

B. Attached Monitoring Checklist Template

The questions contained within the Monitoring Checklist Template provide a standardized format structure of the financial and programmatic monitoring compliance areas. The Checklist provides a tool, which allow for fair and consistent monitoring procedures. Use of the Checklist will assure completeness and thoroughness of the monitoring. The Checklist also provides the monitor the information needed to prepare the monitoring letter upon return to the ODOC home offices. The checklist also provides the documentation and information necessary to justify corrective action and further monitoring if necessary. Any findings or problems noted during monitoring will be first noted on the monitoring checklist either next to the questions or noted on the note page at the back of the checklist. These problems or findings will be discussed with appropriate grantee personnel during the Exit Conference.

Understanding the cause serves to outline the action or actions needed to resolve the violation(s). To assist the ODOC monitoring staff in developing corrective actions for findings of noncompliance, ODOCs and HUDs discretion for resolving deficiencies lies within these parameters. An important and fundamental principle of the monitoring process is that ODOC is *required to make findings* when there is evidence that a statute, regulation or requirement has been violated but it retains *discretion in identifying appropriate corrective action(s)* to resolve deficiencies. An equally fundamental principle is that program participants have due process rights to contest findings.

C. <u>Monitoring Letter</u>

Within 30 days after completion of monitoring, ODOC will send written correspondence to the sub-recipient describing the results – in sufficient detail to clearly describe the areas that were covered and the basis for the conclusions. Each monitoring letter is to include:

- A. the program, project or entity monitored;
- B. the dates of the monitoring;
- C. the name(s) and title(s) of the ODOC staff and/or the Contractor who performed the monitoring review.
- D. A listing of the program/project/activity areas reviewed (which, in most cases, will repeat the areas outlined in the notification letter to the participant);

- E. if applicable, a brief explanation of the reasons why an area specified in the notification letter was not monitored (e.g., time constraints, unanticipated problems arising in another area);
- F. monitoring conclusions;
- G. if applicable clearly labeled findings and concerns;
- H. if there are findings, an opportunity for the sub-recipient to demonstrate, within a time prescribed by ODOC, that the participant has, in fact, complied with the requirements;
- I. response time frames, if needed;
- J. an offer of technical assistance, if needed or a description of technical assistance provided during the monitoring.

Because ODOC works in partnership with the entities it funds, generally, the tone of the monitoring letter will be positive, in recognition of our common goal to responsibly and effectively implement Oklahoma Disaster program. ODOCs monitoring letter will <u>not</u> include general statements that the program participant "complied with all applicable rules and regulations." Such broad general statements can negate ODOCs ability to apply sanctions, if deemed necessary at a later date. Monitoring conclusions, therefore, should be qualified, i.e., "based upon the materials reviewed and the staff interviews, the activity/area was found to be in compliance with (specify requirements)."

CLOSING FINDINGS

- A. <u>General</u>. Follow-up by ODOC monitoring staff and the Contractor serves two purposes:
 - 1. It provides an opportunity to evaluate the effectiveness of monitoring efforts in maintaining or improving participant performance; and
 - 2. It enables to determine that required corrective actions are implemented.

GAO considers the monitoring process to be completed only after an identified deficiency has been corrected, the corrective action produces improvements and it is determined that management action is not needed (see GAO/AIMD-00-21.3.1, *Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government*, "Monitoring").

B. <u>Follow-Up</u>. All follow-up actions will be documented and communicated to program participants. Target dates are assigned when corrective actions are required and relayed to the participant in the monitoring letter.

- 1. In the event that a program participant fails to meet a target date and has not alerted ODOC as to the reason for not meeting the date (and, if appropriate and agreed-upon, established a new date) the ODOC monitoring staff and/or the Contractor will follow-up either by telephone or email, with a reminder. Either form of contact will be documented.
- 2. If the program participant has not responded within 30 days after the date of the ODOC Monitor's reminder, a letter will be sent to the program participant requesting the status of the corrective action(s) and warning the participant of the possible consequences (under the applicable program requirements) of a failure to comply. Where the program participant is unresponsive or uncooperative, the ODOC will contact the HUD Local Office for guidance on carrying out progressive sanctions.
- 3. When the program participant notifies ODOC that the corrective actions have been implemented, the appropriate Monitoring Staff will review the submitted information within 30 working days. Regardless of whether the response is acceptable (and/or sufficient to close a monitoring finding) or inadequate, a letter will be sent to the program participant within 45 calendar days of receipt of its submission. The correspondence will either inform the participant that a finding has been closed; acknowledge any interim actions that have been taken and reaffirm an existing date; or state that additional information/action is needed and establish a new target date to resolve the deficiency. When determining whether it is reasonable or appropriate to establish new target dates, ODOC will consider the program participant's good faith efforts as well as any extenuating circumstances beyond the participant's control that impact timely and effective resolution.

BUILDING THE ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

A. The Administrative Record will include all documents considered, either directly or indirectly, by ODOC in reaching a final decision on an issue. Documents can include contracts, forms, agreements, internal memoranda and notes, correspondence, email, electronic submissions, and any other document considered by the decision-maker or his staff in reaching the decision. It can be used by ODOC to take enforcement actions (e.g., to reduce or terminate a participant's grant) or to defend ODOCs decision if sued. Once the final decision is made, the Administrative Record cannot be supplemented with subsequent documents.

ODOC will ensure that it has a sufficient administrative record that supports its decisions so that ODOC can defend itself against appeals of the decision. In HUD-CPD programs that provide the participant an opportunity for a hearing before an administrative law judge before ODOC can reduce or terminate the grant, ODOC must have the evidence to support the determination that the program participant failed to substantially comply with the program requirement. The administrative record provides the primary evidence.

B. All basic documents will be readily available. ODOC will write correspondence with the realization that it can be used effectively either for or against ODOC in litigation. Therefore, any written correspondence "stands on its own," whether you initiate it or are replying to a submission from the participant (or outside of the ODOC). It should be understandable to a third party reading it for the first time months or years later.

Correspondence containing administrative decisions requires special attention. When ODOC makes a finding, a request for corrective action is being conveyed, or ODOC is saying "no" to a request, the letter conveying the decision or action needs will show an understanding of the nature of the issue and explain our reasons.

Adverse actions must cite the authority, e.g., the applicable regulation, OMB Circular, or statutory provision. ODOC will avoid characterizations or personal opinions in written correspondence, whether letters, emails, or internal memorandums. ODOC will answer all correspondence within a reasonable amount of time after received. Demands or requests that we make of our program participants must be reasonable and it must be possible to complete required actions within the time allotted. All attachments will be retained to incoming or outgoing correspondence. All dates, signatures, and concurrences will be clearly legible. These actions will help protect ODOC against allegations of arbitrary and capricious conduct.

C. Telephone calls will be returned promptly. Notes will be taken of such calls, including the date of the call, the names of the people who participated in the call, and the substance of the conversations. For non-documentary materials, such as pictures, videotapes, recordings of interviews, etc., identify each item as to date, place, and names or narrators (if applicable). Errors to Avoid. To the extent that compliance issues arise with a program participant that results in litigation, indefensible or incomplete administrative records can hurt ODOCs ability to prove our case. Some of these problems are fixable; some are not. However, any problems either have to be corrected before ODOC can go to court or a judgment made by the Local HUD Office that a problem is fatal to any enforcement effort. ODOC will be cognizant of the problems that are difficult to fix such as:

- Letters from ODOC that deny a request but do not explain the basis for the denial or cite the wrong authority;
- Letters from ODOC containing unreasonable requests, either in time or action;
- Unfulfilled promises by ODOC;
- Letters that demonstrate lack of understanding of what a participant was asking for or proposing;
- Actions taken by ODOC that do not follow our own procedures including inconsistencies in making findings;

- Letters that do not stand on their own (i.e., are not understandable to a third party reading them for the first time months or years later);
- Missing or illegible documents; and/or
- Letters that clear findings without stipulations or verification of compliance.

D. Potential Consequences. All ODOC files will be disclosed in litigation if the program participant requests it. Therefore, ODOC will create any kind of document, particularly internal memos, avoid conclusions, predictions, or inferences - they can harm the Department in litigation. Note that email messages are retained in back-up systems for up to three years after you delete them and, in most cases, must be disclosed in litigation. Voice mail messages are generally retained for up to three calendar days. All monitoring conclusions must be supported.

DUPLICATION OF BENEFITS POLICY

Section 312 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Assistance and Emergency Relief Act (42 U.S.C. 5155) prohibits any person, business concern, or other entity from receiving Financial assistance with respect to any part of a loss resulting from a major disaster as to which he or she has received financial assistance under any other program or from insurance or any other source. In accordance with the Stafford Act, Disaster Recovery funds issued through the Department of Housing and Urban Developments Community Development Block Grant (CDBG-DR) program may not be used for any costs for which other disaster recovery assistance was previously provided for the same purpose.

A duplication of benefit occurs when a beneficiary receives assistance from multiple sources such as FEMA, NFIP, private insurance companies, non-profits, City State, etc., for cumulative amount that exceeds the total need for a particular recovery purpose. The amount of the duplication is the amount of assistance provided in excess of need. The State of Oklahoma (Oklahoma Department of Commerce) Duplication of Benefit (DOB) Policy adheres to the guidelines published in the Federal Register/Vol. 76, No. 221/Wednesday, November 16, 2011.

There are various programs identified in the State CDBG Disaster Recovery Program-Action Plan that is subject to the Duplication of Benefit Policy. The Sub-grantee must calculate the amount of funds previously received or made available to assist with disaster needs. The sub grantee, during the intake/application process, persons, business concerns, and other entities will be required to disclose all sources of disaster recovery assistance received, and the sub-grantee will verify the amount received.

The sub-grantee at a minimum will:

- Identify the total need of assistance
- Identify the total of all available assistance
- Identify the assistance determined to be not available for the same purpose/activity
- Perform calculation determining the total funds available from other sources.
- Perform calculation determining the maximum eligible award
- Require all applicants to sign a subrogation agreement to repay any assistance later received for the same purpose
- Recapture funds if necessary. If additional need is established, subsequent funds would not be considered a duplication. If additional need is not demonstrated, disaster recovery funds must be recaptured to the extent they are in excess of the need and duplicate other assistance received by the beneficiary for the same purpose.

The Oklahoma Department of Commerce will contract out Disaster Recovery Funds with Units of Local Government (sub-grantees) for the implementation of all project delivery and management activities. In performance of these activities the administrative function of the ODOC will be ensuring that all CDBG-DR requirements are met although the work is performed by the Sub-grantee. The Sub-grantee will perform the required analysis and determine any duplication of benefits (DOB). The Sub-grantee will work with all State, Federal, and private agencies, including FEMA, to obtain information about any assistance received from those agencies and their programs for each applicant. Project Manager Staff at ODOC will monitor the DOB process to ensure that Sub-grantees have conducted and complied with DOB analysis. STATE OF OKLAHOMA Community Development Block Grant

Disaster Recovery Program Monitoring Checklist

Subgrantee Name: Contract #: Monitoring Date:

> Oklahoma Department of Commerce Community Development Services

Contents

Entran	ce Conference	
1.	National Objective	3
2.	Environmental Review	7
3.	Financial Management	9
4.	Procurement	21
5.	Fair Housing/Equal Opportunity	
6.	Public Improvements	45
7.	Labor Standards	
8.	Housing Rehabilitation	60
9.	Acquisitions/Buyouts/Relocation	
10.	Duplication of Benefits	90
10	D.1 Individual Project Duplication of Benefits Worksheet	95
11.	Section 3	96
12.	Housing New Construction	105
Exit	Conference	111
Find	ling/Concern Discussed:	111

Entrance Conference

Under the CDBG regulations (24 CFR 570.501(b), the State, Oklahoma Department of Commerce, is responsible for ensuring that CDBG CDBG-DR funds are used in accordance with all program requirements. The State is also responsible for determining the adequacy of performance under sub-recipient agreement and for taking appropriate action when performance problems arise.

Under 24 CFR Part 85 "Uniform Administrative Requirement for Grants to States", Subpart J, the State is responsible for managing the day-to-day operation of grant and subgrant supported activities. The State must Monitor subgrant supported activities to assure compliance with applicable Federal requirements and that performance goals are being achieved. Grantee Monitoring must cover each program, function, or activity.

These Regulations make clear that the State's primary mission is to:

- Make sure subrecipients comply with all regulations governing their administrative, financial, and programmatic operations; and
- Make sure subrecipients achieve their performance objectives on schedule and within budget.

How Monitoring will be conducted:

ODOC Staff assigned to sub-recipient monitoring:

Set-up or confirm meetings or interview times:

Discuss/verify activities to be reviewed and work area to be provided:

Attendees:

1. National Objective

SUBRECIPIENT INFORMATION

Contract Number Subrecipient Name	
Type of Organization Name of Program	
Grant Manager Date On-site	

AREA-WIDE BENEFIT

SERVICE AREA:			
Do the Subrecipient's records describe the boundaries of the service area? <u>24 CFR 570.483(b)(1)</u> and <u>24 CFR 570.506(b)(2)</u>	 Yes	No	N/A
Do you agree with the basis/approach the Subrecipient used in determining the service area of this activity? <u>24 CFR 570. 483(b)(1)</u>	 Yes	No	N/A
Does the size of the service area appear reasonable given the nature and scope of the activity? <u>24 CFR 570.483(b)(1))</u>	 Yes	No	N/A
Is the service area "primarily residential?" <u>24 CFR 570.483(b)(1)</u>	 Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

LOW- AND MODERATE-INCOME COMPOSITION OF THE SERVICE AREA:	%
What do the Subrecipient's records show as the percent of low- and moderate-income residents in this service area?	
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	

NATIONAL OBJECTIVE

Page 2

AREA-WIDE BENEFIT Continued

3.					
	LOW-	AND MODERATE-INCOME COMPOSITION OF THE SERVICE AREA:			
	a.	Is the percent of low- and moderate-income persons at least			
		51%? 24 CFR 570.483(b)(1)(i), (ii), and (vii)			
	b.	Does the Subrecipient's documentation show that the	Yes	No	N/A
		correct census data were used and the calculations correctly			
		computed? <u>24 CFR 570. 483(b)(1)(i),)(i) and (ii)</u>	Yes	No	N/A
	с.	If the answer to "b" is "no," was the Subrecipient authorized			
		to use a survey to qualify the activity? (If the answer is	Yes	No	N/A
		"yes," proceed to Data and Surveys section of this Checklist,			
		<u>24 CFR 570.483(b)(1)(i)</u>			
	Descr	ibe Basis for Conclusion:			

NATIONAL OBJECTIVE

Page 3

AREA-WIDE BENEFIT Continued

DATA AND SURVEYS:			
If the Subrecipient used a survey rather than the HUD-supplied Census data to determine if a service area qualifies, answer the following:			
a. The period for which the income survey of residents of the service area was determined	 Yes	No	N/A
b. The year used for HUD income limits:			
c. Did the survey show the service area was at least 51 percent low- and moderate-income?	Yes Yes	No No	N/A
d. If "no" to "c", did the Subrecipient survey one or more whole block groups?	 Yes	No	N/A
e. If "yes" to "d", did the Subrecipient survey all block groups and re-rank them to determine if there was a change in the exception percentage? (If "no," use of the survey is not acceptable.)	Yes	No	□ N/A
f. If "no" to "d", did the survey show that the percentage of low- and moderate-income residents was at least equal to or greater than the Subrecipient's exception percent, but less than 51% low- and moderate-income? (If "no", the activity does not meet the National Objective.)	Yes	No	N/A
If a survey was used to determine the percent of low- and moderate-income residents in the service area, did ODOC review the survey instrument and methodology and conclude "that the results meet the standards of statistical reliability that are comparable to that of the decennial census for areas of similar size?"	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	L		

NATIONAL OBJECTIVE

Page 4

AREA-WIDE BENEFIT Continued

5.				
	Does the activity appear to benefit the residents located within the service area, where at least 51% are low- and moderate-income persons?	Yes	No	N/A
	a. If the activity is a facility or service, are fees charged?			
	b. If "yes", how much is charged to use the facility or service?	Yes	No	N/A
	 c. If fees are charged, do they appear excessive so as to preclude low- and moderate-income persons from using the facility or 	Yes	No	N/A
	service? 24 CFR 570.200(b)(2)			
		Yes	No	N/A

6.

Based upon an on-site inspection of the service area/activity location, is there substantial evidence that the activity fails to benefit low- and moderate-income persons in the identified area?	Yes	No	□ N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	<u> </u>		

Area Wide Benefit Conclusion:

Does the activity meet the national objective criteria for serving a low- and moderate-income area?	U Yes	No	□ N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

2. Environmental Review

SUBRECIPIENT INFORMATION

Contract Number	
Subrecipient Name	
Type of Organization	
Name of Program	
Grant Manager	
Date On-site	

1.

Is there a copy of the State's Environmental Release of Funds on file?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

What level of Environmental determination was made for the activity?			
Exempt?	U Yes	No	N/A
Categorically Excluded?			
Environmental Assessment-Finding of No Significant Impact?	Yes	No	N/A
nvironmental Assessment-Finding of No Significant Impact?			
	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	L		

ENVIONMENTAL REVIEW

Page 2

If not exempt complete questions 3-6.

3.

Have there been any changes in the project's description since the initial environmental review was completed?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

4.

If the answer to above is "yes", were the changes significant enough to change the original level of environmental determination?	☐ Yes	No	□ N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

5.

If mitigating measures were required for projects/activities during the time period reviewed, were the measures included in the ERRs as part of the actions pertaining to the environmental review?	Yes	No	□ N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

For the records reviewed, do the Responsible Entities (RE) records show that no grant funds were obligated or spent [other than for activities under <u>24 CFR 58.22(f)</u> , <u>24 CFR 58.34</u> , or <u>24 CFR 58.35(b)</u>] prior to receipt of the Form HUD-7015.16, "Authority to Use Grant Funds" or equivalent?	Yes	No	□ N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

3. Financial Management

SUBRECIPIENT INFORMATION

Contract Number Subrecipient Name	
•	
Type of Organization	
Name of Program	
Grant Manager	
Date On-site	

BUDGET CONTROL

1.

Does the Subrecipient record amount budgeted for eligible activities as specified in <u>24 CFR 570</u> , Subpart C?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Does the Subrecipient record an encumbrance/obligation when contracts are executed, purchase orders issued, etc.?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page	2
	_

3.

Does the Subrecipient identify expenditures in its accounting records according to eligible activity classifications specified in the statute, regulations, or grant agreement that clearly identify the use of program funds for eligible activities?

s	No	N/A

Describe Basis for Conclusion:

ACCOUNTING RECORDS

FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

4.

Has the Subrecipient, if applicable, maintained a properly segregated account of CDBG-DR funds from other funds which document revenues and expenditures associated with the project or have an accounting system sufficient to account for commingling of funds?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Did the record review indicate any instances of ineligible expenditures?	U Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 3

CASH MANAGEMENT

6.

If the Subrecipient requests funds in advance, does the participant minimize the time elapsed between the transfer of funds from the U.S. Treasury and disbursement by the participant?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

7.

If the Subrecipient advances grant funds to Subrecipients, does the participant have procedures to minimize the time elapsed between the transfer of funds to, and disbursement by, the Subrecipients?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

If grant advances are deposited into an interest-bearing account, what provisions have been made for return of interest income to the State or HUD?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 4

ALLOWABLE COSTS

Recipient Administration and Program Delivery Charges

9.

Are charges to the CDBG-DR program for salaries and wages, whether treated as direct or indirect costs, based on payrolls documented in accordance with the generally accepted practice of the governmental unit (or legal entity) and approved by a responsible official(s) of the governmental unit (or legal entity)?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

For Governmental Recipients:

For employees working solely on the CDBG-DR program, are charges for their salaries and wages supported by periodic certifications that the employees worked solely on that program for the period	Yes	No	N/A
covered by the certification?			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Were the certifications prepared at least semi-annually and signed by the employee or a supervisory official having first-hand knowledge of the work performed by the employee?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 5

For non-Governmental Subgrantee or Subrecipients or Governmental personnel not working full time on CDBG-DR:

12.

Do the personnel time records account for all the employees' time and activities and not just the CDBG-DR time charged?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Indirect Costs

13.

Are indirect costs charged to the program? If yes, what method is being used:	Yes	No	N/A
Ten Percent de Minimis			
Cost Allocation Plan			
Indirect Cost Rate			
Direct Allocation Method			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Are indirect costs billed in accordance with an approved method?	 Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 6

Internal Controls

15.

Review Subgrantee's, and if applicable, the Subrecipient's system for pay authorizations, processing invoices for approval and payment to include who approves payment requests, who prepares checks, and who signs checks. Is the process adequate?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

16.

Does the Subrecipient have an organization chart that sets forth the actual lines of responsibility?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

17.

Are duties for key employees of the Subrecipient defined?			
	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Has the Subrecipient obtained fidelity bond coverage for responsible officials?	U Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 7

19.

Does the Subrecipient's chart of accounts include a complete listing of the account numbers used to support the control needed to ensure that resources used do not exceed resources authorized?	Yes	No	□ N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	1		

20.

Do the Subrecipient's approval controls provide reasonable assurance that appropriate individuals approve recorded transactions in accordance with management's general or specific criteria?

Describe Basis for Conclusion:

21.

Do the Subrecipient's controls over the design and use of documents and records provide reasonable assurance that transactions and events are properly documented, recorded, and auditable?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

22.

Does the Subrecipient's segregation of duties controls effectively reduce the opportunity for someone to perpetrate or conceal errors or irregularities in the normal course of duties?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

 \square

N/A

Page 8

23.

Is it clear that all personnel are responsible for communicating upward the Subrecipient's operating problems and noncompliance with laws and regulations?	 Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

24.

Do the Subrecipient's internal control procedures support its ability to prepare financial statements that are fairly presented in conformity with generally accepted or other relevant and appropriate accounting principles and regulatory requirements?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	1		

Source Documentation

25.

Does the Subgrantee, and if applicable, the Subrecipient's file contain appropriate supporting documentation for CDBG-DR draw down requests?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	1		

Does the Subrecipient maintain adequate source documentation?	Yes	No	
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

Page 9

27.

To determine compliance, select a sample of expenditures and determine whether they are supported by invoices, contracts, or purchase orders, etc.	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

28. Provide four (4) examples of expenditures reviewed for this contract:

• Payee:		
Date of Invoice		
Amount:		
Invoice #:		
PO #		
ODOC RFF #1:		
Check #:	dated	check cleared on
Davia av		
• Payee:		
Date of Invoice		
Amount:		
Invoice #:		
PO #		
ODOC RFF #2:		
		check cleared on
• Payee:		
Date of Invoice		
Amount:		
Invoice #:		
PO #		
ODOC RFF #3:		
		check cleared on

FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

Page 10

• Payee:			
Amount:			
Invoice #:			
PO #			
ODOC RFF #4:			
Check #:	dated	check cleared on	

FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

Page 11

OMB Circular A-133: Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations 29.

Is the Subrecipient, and if applicable, subawards subject to the			
Single Audit Act? (\$750,000 Threshold per year)	Yes	No	N/A

Describe Basis for Conclusion:

30.

If an audit was required, were there any deficiencies/findings noted in the most recent audit completed? If yes, describe deficiency:	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

31.

Does the Subrecipient's audit report include an opinion on whether the financial statements are presented fairly in all material respects in conformity with generally accepted account principals (GAAP) and whether the schedule of expenditures is presented fairly in all material respects?	Yes	No	□ N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Do the Subrecipient's financial statements reflect its financial position, results of operations or changes in net assets and, where appropriate, cash flows for the fiscal year?	Yes	No	□ N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT Page 12

Financial Review Notes:CDBG-DR Monitor:Financial Institution:Interest Bearing?What %?Interest Bearing?What %?Review Bank Statements Date and Beginning Interest:Review Bank Statements Date and Ending Interest:(Any interest earned from the CDBG-DR funds must be returned to ODOC)Beginning Balance of CDBG Account: (Date & amount)Ending Balance of CDBG Account: (Date & amount)Single Audit Required? (\$750,000 yr. threshold)Program Income?

4. Procurement

SUBRECIPIENT INFORMATION

Contract Number	
Subrecipient Name	
Type of Organization	
Name of Program	
Grant Manager	
Date On-site	

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION

1	
	٠

Can the Subrecipient document a system of contract administration for determining the adequacy of contractors' performance?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Does the Subrecipient have a written code of conduct governing employees, officers or agents engaged in the award and administration of contracts supported by grant funds?	Yes	No	□ N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 2

С	
С	•

Does the Subrecipient use prequalified lists?			
If yes, are such lists current?	Yes	No	N/A
Developed through an open solicitation process without overly	Yes	No	N/A
restrictive criteria and include an adequate number of qualified sources?			
	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

4.

Has the Subrecipient made subawards?			
	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

5.

If subawards made, how does the Subrecipient show that its Subrecipients are required to follow applicable procurement policies and procedures in the administration of their contracts and purchase orders?

Describe	Basis	for	Conclusion:	

For the procurement transactions selected for review, is the documentation showing compliance with	ere	Yes	No	N/A
2 CFR § 200.318(i)? (a-c below)?				
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	I			

Page 3

- a. What kind of contract(s) is being utilized?
 - i. Fixed Price:

Name of Contractor: _____

Type of purchase: _____

ii. Cost Reimbursement:

Name of Contractor: _____

Type of purchase: _____

iii. Time and Materials/Labor Hours

Prior approval from ODOC after it was determined that no other contract is suitable? Name of Contractor: _____

Price/Cost

Type of purchase: _____

b. Basis of contractor selection or rejection? Lowest Qualifications Qualifications

Name of Contractor: _____

Name of Contractor: _____

Name of Contractor: _____

c. Basis for the cost or price of the contract?

Lump Sum Unit Price

Payment Upon Progress Reimbursable

and Cost

Completion	Payments	Costs
compretion	raymenes	00505

Name of Contractor:		
Name of Contractor:		
Name of Contractor:		

Page 4

CONTRACTOR AWARDS

Is the Subrecipient ensuring that its awards are not made to an party excluded, disqualified "or otherwise ineligible (e.g., suspension, debarment, or limited denial of participation) for Federal procurement and non-procurement programs per 24 CFR 570.609? <u>SAM.gov</u>	רא רע Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Is there any evidence to indicate that the Subrecipient awarded noncompetitive contracts to consultants that are on retainer contracts or any other arbitrary actions? 2 CFR § 200.319 Competition (a) 4-7?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 5 9.

	e Subrecipient take any of the following steps to use small, ity-owned and women-owned businesses?	Yes No N/A
a.	including such businesses on solicitation lists whenever they are potential sources?	Yes No N/A
b.	ensuring that such businesses, when identified, are solicited whenever they are potential sources?	Yes No N/A
c.	dividing procurement requirements, when economically feasible, into smaller tasks or quantities to permit maximum participation by such businesses?	Yes No N/A
d.	requiring prime contractors when subcontracts are let, to take affirmative steps to select small, minority-owned and women-owned businesses in grant-funded contracts?	Yes No N/A
Descr	ibe Basis for Conclusion:	

10. If the Subrecipient is not taking the steps identified in the question above, list the actions the Subrecipient is taking to meet <u>2 CFR 200.321</u> requirements that affirmative steps be taken to assure use of small, minority-owned and womenowned businesses when possible?

Were any contracts based on a cost-plus-a-percentage-of-cost method? <u>2 CFR § 200.323(d)</u>	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 6

12.

Was a cost or price analysis performed in connection with every procurement action, including contract modifications?	U Yes	No	□ N/A
<u>2 CFR § 200.323(a)</u>			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

13.

Is profit negotiated as a separate element of price where price competition is lacking or a cost analysis is performed?	☐ Yes	No	□ N/A
2 CFR § 200.323(b)			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

METHODS OF PROCUREMENT UTILIZED

What purchases did the Subrecipient conduct with grant funds?

Types of Purchases

Appropriate Methods

Construction Supplies Equipment Professional Services Other Services

Sealed Bid Small Purchase, Sealed Bid Small Purchase, Seal Bid, Competitive Proposals Competitive Proposals

Small Purchase, Competitive Proposals, Sealed Bid

Page 7

Small Purchases 2 CFR § 200.320 (b)

14.

Can the Subrecipient document receipt of an adequate number of price or rate quotations from qualified sources for procurements of \$50,000 or less?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe types of purchases and price or rate quotes received. Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
Describe Basis for Conclusion.			

Sealed Bids 2 CFR § 200.320 (c)

Summarize the Subrecipient's formal seal bid process:	
Following the State Competitive Bidding Act.	

a.	Does the Subrecipient receive at least two or more responsible bids for each procurement transaction? <u>2 CFR § 200.320 (c)</u> (1)(ii)	Yes	No	N/A
De	escribe Basis for Conclusion:			

b. If the answer to "a" above is "no," is this a systemic failure (i.e., the Subrecipient's system" failed to work properly) or does it appear to be isolated failures in some cases?		Yes	No	N/A
De	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 8

16.

Do the procurement transactions lend themselves to firm, fixed price contracts and can selection of known suppliers, be made principally on the basis of price? <u>2 CFR § 200.320 (c) (1)(iii)</u>	Yes	No	□ N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	<u> </u>		

17.

Was the Invitation for Bids publicly advertised and were bids			
solicited from an adequate number providing them sufficient time before the date set for opening the bids? <u>2 CFR § 200.320 (c) (1)(i)</u>	Yes	No	N/A
Oklahoma State Competetive Bidding Act <u>O.S. Title 61</u> Must publish 2 consectutive weekly issues of local paper for construction over 50,000.00 to be opened on 21 st day from 1 st advertisement or after. Under 50,000 publish 1 time for 10 days.			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

18.

Do the IFBs, including specifications and pertinent attachments, clearly define the items or services? <u>2 CFR § 200.320 (c) (2)(ii)</u>	Yes	No	□ N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Were all bids opened publicly at the time and place stated in the IFB? <u>2 CFR § 200.320 (c) (2)(iii)</u>	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 9

20.

Were the contracts awarded to the lowest responsive and		
responsible bidders? <u>2 CFR § 200.320 (c) (2)(iv)</u>	No	N/A

Describe Basis for Conclusion:

Competitive Proposals 2 CFR § 200.320 (d)

21.

Is this procurement method used generally when conditions are not appropriate for the use of sealed bids? $2 \text{ CFR § } 200.320 \text{ (d)}$	 Yes	No	□ N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

22.

Do the Requests for Proposals (RFPs) clearly and accurately state the technical requirements for the goods or services to be procured? <u>2 CFR § 200.319(c)(1)</u>	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Are the proposals solicited from an adequate number of qualified sources, consistent with the nature and requirements of the procurement? <u>2 CFR § 200.320(d)(2)</u>	Yes	No	□ N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 10 24.

Does the Subrecipient publicize the RFPs and honor reasonable requests by parties to compete to the maximum extent practicable? 2 CFR § 200.320(d)(1)	 Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	1		

25.

Do the RFPs identify all significant evaluation factors, including price or cost where required, and their relative importance?	Yes	No	□ N/A
2 CFR § 200.320(d)(1)			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Does the Subrecipient:			
a. Conduct technical evaluations of submitted proposals?	Yes	No	N/A
2 CFR § 200.320(d)(3)			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
b. Determine responsible bidders from such evaluations?			
<u>2 CFR § 200.320(d)(4)</u>	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

c. As necessary, conduct negotiations, written or oral, for final contract award?	 Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 11

d.	Make awards to the most responsive and responsible bidders whose proposals will be most advantageous to the Subrecipient after price and other factors are considered? $2 \text{ CFR } \$ 200.320$ (d) (4)	Yes	No	□ N/A
De	escribe Basis for Conclusion:			

27.

Yes	No	N/A
<u>.</u>		
_	Yes	Yes No

For procurement of architectural and engineering professional services, does the Subrecipient maintain a list of qualified bidders who can respond to its RFPs? <u>2 CFR § 200.319(d)</u>	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 12

Non-Competitive Proposals 2 CFR § 200.320 (f)

29.

If noncompetitive proposals were used, can the Subrecipient show that other methods of procurement (small purchases, sealed bids, formal advertising, or competitive proposals) were infeasible	Yes	No	N/A
because:			
a. the item was only available from a single source,	 Yes	No	N/A
b. a public exigency or emergency is of such urgency to not permit a delay resulting "from competitive solicitation,	 Yes	No	N/A
c. after solicitation of a number of sources, competition is determined inadequate, or	 Yes	No	N/A
d. ODOC granted approval?	U Yes	No	□ N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	-		

CONTRACTOR AND PAYMENTS

30.

Are purchase orders and contracts signed by an authorized program official?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

31.				
	Are items delivered and paid for consistent with the items			
	contained in the corresponding purchase order and/or contract?	Yes	No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 13

32.

If contracts have been awarded for construction or facility			
improvements under the grant program(s) for contracts or			
subcontracts valued at or <u>below</u> \$100,000, does the Subrecipient			
follow its own requirements relating to:			
a. for construction bid guarantees?			
	Yes	No	N/A
b. performance bonds?			
c. payment bonds?	Yes	No	N/A
	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

For construction contracts or subcontracts valued <u>above</u> \$100,000, does the Subrecipient meet the minimum Federal requirements for:			
a. bid guarantees of at least 5%? <u>2 CFR 200.325 (a)</u>			
	Yes	No	N/A
b. performance bonds? <u>2 CFR 200.325(b)</u>			
c. payment bonds 2 CFR 200.325(c)	Yes	No	N/A
	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	<u> </u>		

Page 14

34. Are the contract provisions listed in <u>2 CFR 200.326</u> appropriately included in the grantassisted contracts?

_

Administrative, contractual, or legal remedies	
Termination for cause and for convenience	
Equal Employment Opportunity	
Davis-Bacon Act	
Copeland "Anti-Kickback" Act	
Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act	
Clean Air Act Federal Water Pollution Control Act	
Energy efficiency	
Debarment and Suspension	
Byrd Anti-Lobbying Amendment	
Procurement of recovered materials	
Rights to Inventions Made Under a Contract	
	L

Describe Basis for Conclusion:

35.Subrecipient Procurement Policy 2 CFR 200.317

Name of Subrecipient:

a. Does it contain a written code of ethics and/or conflict of interest provisions?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 15

b. Does it provide for a system administration?	n or requirements for contract			
		Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion	•			
c. Does it contain a set of prir	ciples for open and free			
competition?				
		Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion				

d.	Does it describe the different types of contracts comparable to the federal classifications [fixed price, cost reimbursement or time and materials]?	☐ Yes	No	N/A
De	scribe Basis for Conclusion:	1		

e. Does it describe methods of procurement comparable to the			
federal methods [at least: Small purchase, Sealed bid,			
Competitive proposals]?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	_		

f. Does it have a provision for conducting cost/price analysis?			
	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 16

g.	Does it have a provision for conducting or developing independent cost estimates before receipt of bids or proposals?			
		Yes	No	N/A
	<u>24 CFR 85.36(f)(1)</u> or <u>2 CFR 200.323(a)</u>			
De	scribe Basis for Conclusion:			

h. Does it have a provision for negotiating profit?			
	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

i. Does it set forth bonding and insurance requirements?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

j. Does it have a provision for Small, Minority, and Women owned enterprises contract opportunities?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

k. Does it have a provision for the mandated contract provisions?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

5. Fair Housing/Equal Opportunity

SUBRECIPIENT INFORMATION

Contract Number	
Subrecipient Name	
Type of Organization	
Name of Program	
Grant Manager	
Date On-site	

AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING (For Local Government recipients)

1.

What actions to affirmatively further fair housing have been taken?

<u>TITLE VI, SECTION 109 DATA</u> (For all grantees, Subgrantees and Subrecipients and any entity that collects applicant and beneficiary data from program applications)

Did the Subrecipient maintain summary data by activity on	
beneficiaries of, individuals participating in, and/or applicants for	
the program, broken out by:	
a. race and ethnicity; and	Yes No
b. gender characteristics?	Yes No
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	

Page 2

TITLE VI, SECTION 109 DATA Continued

For race and ethnicity, is the Subrecipient including all the HUD required classes?	Yes No
required classes:	
American Indian or Alaska Native	
Asian	
Black or African American	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	
White	
American Indian or Alaska Native and White	
Asian and White	
Dia ale ar African American and Mikita	
Black or African American and White	
American Indian or Alaska Native and Black or African American	
Other multiple race combinations greater than one percent	
Balance of individuals reporting more than one race	
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	

Page 3

DISPLACEMENT AND RELOCATION

4.

Did the Subrecipient conduct displacement and/or relocation			
activities?	Yes	No	
lf yes,			
Were there records maintained on households displaced by	1		
CDBG-DR-funded activities, which included?			
a. race and ethnicity;	Yes	No	N/A
b. gender and single heads of households; and			
b. gender and single neads of nouseholds, and	Yes	No	N/A
c. addresses and census tracts of the housing units to which			
each displaced household relocated?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
		• • •	

<u>SECTION 504</u> (Since 1973 All federal grant recipients had to comply with Section 504 in the operation of their federal program; For state and local governments the three policy items [below] are also now mandatory provisions of Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act [1991])

5.				
	For Subrecipients with 15 or more employees, is there a formal,			
	written grievance procedure for resolution of complaints alleging	Yes	No	N/A
	discrimination based on disability?			
	24 CFR 8.53(b)			
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:	1		

Page 4

SECTION 504 Continued

6.

For Subrecipients with 15 or more employees, is there a designated coordinator of the Section 504 responsibilities?	Yes	No	N/A
24 CFR 8.53(a)			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

7.

For Subrecipients with 15 or more employees, has it taken appropriate initial and continuing steps to notify participants,			
beneficiaries, applicants, and employees, including those with	Yes	No	N/A
impaired vision or hearing, that it does not discriminate on the			
basis of handicap in violation of this part.			
24 CFR 8.54			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

<u>ACCESSIBILITY OF NON-HOUSING FACILITIES</u> (As applicable to the grant program, grantees, Subgrantees and Subrecipients)

8	•

Were CDBG-DR funds used to design and construct new non-housing facilities?			
	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 5

9.

If yes to #8, are the new non-housing facilities being designed and constructed to be readily accessible to, and usable by, persons with disabilities in conformance with accessibility requirements?			
<u>24 CFR 8.21 (a)</u>	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	1		

10.

Were CDBG-DR funds used to make alterations to existing non- housing facilities?			
	Yes	No	N/A
If yes, did such alterations make these facilities usable by, and accessible to, persons with disabilities?			
<u>24 CFR 8.21 (c)</u>	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

HOUSING (As applicable to the program for all grantees, Subgrantees and Subrecipients)

existing housing?	Yes	No	l
Are programs or activities readily accessible to, and usable by, persons with disabilities? (NOTE: A lack of records beyond 3 years is not a basis for a finding.)	Yes	No	

Page 6

<u>COMMUNICATIONS</u> (Available upon request and as needed to provide access to the program application process and benefits to the program)

2.				
com	the Subrecipient taken steps to ensure effective nunication with applicants, beneficiaries, and members of the ic who have hearing, vision, or speech impairments using:	2		
i	a. Qualified sign language and oral interpreters?	Yes	No	N/A
	o. Readers?			
(. Use of tapes?			
(I. Braille materials?			
	e. TTD?			
1	. Other (describe below)?			
24 C	F <u>R 8.6</u>			
Desc	ribe Basis for Conclusion:			
L				

13.

If the answer to all the items above is "no", describe the method(s) used by the Subrecipient to facilitate effective communication:

Page 7

14.

(As applicable to the program)

Has the Subrecipient adopted and implemented procedures to ensure that interested persons (including those with impaired vision or hearing) can obtain information concerning the existence and location of accessible services, activities and facilities?	Yes	No	N/A
Is there documentation to show steps that the Subrecipient has undertaken to attract persons with disabilities, such as?			
making buildings more accessible to persons with physical disabilities?	U Yes	No	N/A
home visits to assist applicants for program benefits in filling out applications?	U Yes	No	N/A
supplying sign language interpreters for public meetings on issues relating to the participant's programs?	U Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	I		

<u>RECORD KEEPING</u> (As applicable to the program)

Does the Subrecipient maintain data for compliance purposes showing the extent to which persons with disabilities are beneficiaries of the program(s) being reviewed?	Yes	No	□ N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 8

16.

(If they were done within 3 years, see Question 11 above)

Are copies of the Section 504 Self-Evaluation Form and Transition Plan available for review?		No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

17.

(As applicable to the program)

Is a copy of the "Reasonable Accommodation Policy" available for review?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

<u>SUBRECIPIENTS</u> (As applicable to the program)

Did the Subrecipient make any sub-awards to Subrecipients?			
	Yes	s No	
Is there documentation that the Subrecipients monitored Subrecipients to ensure that Section 504, ADA, and Fair Housing Act requirements affecting persons with disabilities are met?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

6. Public Improvements

SUBRECIPIENT INFORMATION

Contract Number	
Subrecipient Name	
Type of Organization	
Name of Program	
Grant Manager	
Date On-site	

ELIGIBILITY

Does each activity meet the requirements of Section 105(a)	(2) of the	
Housing and Community Development Act of 1974?		
Does the project involve? [mark any or all]		
Acquisition?	Yes	Г N
New Construction?	Yes	Г N
Reconstruction?	Yes	Г N
Rehabilitation?	Yes	Г N

Briefly describe the project:		

Page 2

3.

Briefly describe the intended use?

4.

Is the activity being carried out by a Unit of General Local Government?			
Government:	Yes	No	
If yes, is or will the building or facilities assisted with CDBG-DR			
funds be used for the general conduct of government? 24 CFR 570.207(a)(1)	Yes	No	N/A
If yes, did the State receive a waiver for buildings for the general conduct of government?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Is the activity being carried out by a non-profit entity? 24 CFR 570.201 (c)	 Yes	No	
Is the title currently or in the future to be held by the non-profit?	 Yes	No	N/A
Will the facilities be operated so as to be open for use by the general public during all normal hours of operation?	 Yes	No	N/A
If yes, is the program/Subrecipient claiming <i>Limited Clientele</i> as its National Objective? <u>24 CFR § 570.483 (b)(2)(i)(A)</u>	 Yes	No	□ N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 3

6.

Are [or will] fees being charged for the use of the facilities?			
24 CFR 570.200(b)(2)	Yes	No	
Are the [proposed] fees reasonable?			
or will the [proposed] fees have the effect of precluding low and moderate income persons from using the facilities?	Yes	No D No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	1		

COVERED PROJECT

7.

Is the infrastructure project labeled a <i>Covered infrastructure project</i> as defined by the <i>Federal Register</i> notices published	U Yes	No	
If the answer is yes, has it been approved by HUD?	U Yes	No	□ N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

If the project is not identified as a "covered project," does the		
documentation in the Subrecipient's project file support a		
determination that the project falls outside the "covered project"		
definition in the <i>Federal Register</i> notices published November 18,		
2013 and June 3, 2014?		
	Yes	No
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

Page 4

9.

If the project is determined to be a "covered project":			
a. Was a comprehensive risk analysis applied to select, prioritize, implement, and maintain infrastructure projects?	 Yes	No	N/A
b. Were resilience performance standards for the infrastructure project financed with CDBG-DR funds Implemented?	Yes	No	□ N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

COVERED PROJECT Continued

1	Λ	
1	υ	•

If the project is determined to be a "covered project":			
a. Was a process outlined in the amended or approved Action Plan for the design and selection of green infrastructure projects and/or how selected projects will incorporate green infrastructure components incorporated?	Yes	No	N/A
b. Was a transparent and inclusive decision process for the selection of <i>Covered infrastructure projects</i> as described in the Action Plan used?			
	Yes	No	N/A
c. Have plans to monitor and evaluate the efficacy and sustainability of <i>Covered infrastructure projects</i> been developed and/or implemented?			
	Yes	No	N/A
2013 Federal Register notice (78 FR 60104) and Federal Register			
notice (79 FR 31964)			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 5

FEDERAL MATCH

11.

If the policies and procedures allow CDBG-DR funds to be used as the non-federal match for a project funded by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, is the amount of CDBG-DR restricted to \$250,000 or less? [42 USC § 5305]?		No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

BUSINESS ASSISTANCE PROJECTS

12.

Was assistance provided to private utilities?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Was assistance provided to a small business in the liquid fuel supply			
chain?	Yes	No	N/A
If yes, is there an award agreement that requires the business to			
adopt measures to mitigate the impact of disasters of the liquid fuel supply chain?	Yes	No	N/A
Federal Register Notice published November 18, 2013 (78 FR 69108)			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	<u></u>		

Page 6 14.

Does the Subrecipient have a copy of the contract for architectural/engineering services which specifies those services to be provided?	Yes No

15.

State law requires that the documents be reviewed and approved		
by an engineer/architect registered in the State of Oklahoma. Has	Yes N	o
this been done?		

SOLICITATION

1	6	•	

Does the bid package contain the following requisite CDBG-DR			
documents:			
Invitation/Advertisement for Bid?	U Yes	No	□ N/A
Instructions to Bidders and Bid Proposal Forms?			
Correct Wage Decision?	Yes	No No	N/A
Equal Employment Requirements?	Yes		
Section 3 Requirements?	Yes	No	N/A
General Conditions of the Contract to include the Federal Labor Standards Provisions (HUD Form 4010)?	Yes	No	N/A
Supplemental General Conditions for the Contract?	Yes	No	□ N/A
Bonding and Insurance Requirements?	Yes	No	N/A
Contractor and sub-contractor eligibility verification requirements?	Yes	No	N/A

Page 7

17.

Is there evidence a copy of the bid package was sent to all bidders?	U Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	1		

18.

If applicable, evidence that bid amendments were sent to each bidder?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

19.

Does the file contain a log of bids received by time, date of receipt, and offer?	Yes No
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	

20.

. What's the bid opening date? _____

Are there minutes of the bid-opening?			
	Yes	No	N/A
Is there evidence of Bid Bond?			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	Yes	No	N/A

Page 8

22.

Was the eligibility determination provided before the construction contract was awarded to the successful bidder?	Yes	No
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

23.

	Is the successful bidder the lowest bidder?			
		Yes	No	N/A
	f no, was a "Statement of Justification" sent to the low bidder			
	explaining?	Yes	No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
24.				

Is the date of construction contract award within 90 days of the bid opening?	 Yes	No
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

If no, is there documentation that a new wage decision and lock-in was provided by ODOC?	Yes	No	N/A
If no, contact the Davis-Bacon Specialist to determine whether there modification to the wage decision that may have resulted in an unde wages and fringe benefits paid to workers.			: of

PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS

Page 9

CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT

Does the construction contract contain the following requisite CDBG-DR documents?	
Invitation/Advertisement for Bid?	
	Yes No
Instructions to Bidders and Bid Proposal Forms?	
	Yes No
Correct Wage Decision?	
	Yes No
Equal Employment Opportunity Requirements?	
	Yes No
Section 3 Requirements?	
General Conditions of the Contract to include the Federal Labor	Yes No
Standards Provisions (HUD Form 4010)?	
	Yes No
Supplemental General Conditions of the Contract?	
	Yes No
Contractor's Certifications	
	Yes No
Contractor's Bid Proposal?	
	Yes No
Bond and Insurance Requirements?	
Contractor and sub-contractor aligibility varification	Yes No
Contractor and sub-contractor eligibility verification requirements? (SAM.gov Check?)	
	Yes No

PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS

Page 10

CONSTRUCTION PRE-CONSTRUCTION CONFERENCE

Did a review of the pre-construction conference minutes			
adequately detail information regarding:	 Yes	No	N/A
Federal Labor Standards Provisions (Exhibit VIII-J)?	 Yes	No	N/A
Additional job classifications requests?	 Yes	No	N/A
Weekly payroll submission, payroll signatures, and statements of compliance?	Yes	No	N/A
Posting the wage decision?			
Apprentices and trainees?	Yes	No	N/A
Overtime pay provisions?	□ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
Payroll deductions?	Yes	No	N/A
Employee interviews?	Yes	No	N/A
Prevailing wage rates or wages?			
Restitution for underpayment of wages?	Yes	No	N/A
Section 3 planning requirements?	Yes	No	N/A
Contractor and sub-contractor eligibility verification requirements?	 Yes	No	□ N/A
	 Yes	No	□ N/A

PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS

Page 11

CONSTRUCTION CONSTRUCTION FILE

28.

Review the construction file. Have they adequately kept records of	Yes	No	N/A
Building Permits?			
	Yes	No	N/A
Copies of construction inspections?			
	Yes	No	N/A
Certificate of Occupancy (CO)?			
Construction contract?	Yes	No	N/A
Contract amendments (if applicable)?	Yes	No	N/A
Work order changes (if applicable)?	Yes	No	N/A
Deed of Easement (if applicable)?	Yes	No	N/A
Copies of payment and performance bond?			
	Yes	No	N/A
Copies of contractor/sub-contractor certification for EEO?			
	Yes	No	N/A
Copies of contractor/sub-contractor certification for Section 3			
Copies of contractors/sub-contractor Affidavit of Prime Bidder?			
	Yes	No	N/A
Notice to Proceed date?			
	Yes	No	N/A
Architect's Certification (Architectural Barrier's Act) or appropriate HUD waivers?			
	Yes	No	N/A

7. Labor Standards

SUBRECIPIENT INFORMATION

Contract Number	
Subrecipient Name	
Type of Organization	
Name of Program	
Grant Manager	
Date On-site	

PAYROLLS

1.			
	Is a Davis-Bacon wage decision assigned to each covered contract?		
	Sec. 3142. Rate of wages for laborers and mechanics	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

2.

Is the assigned wage decision and HUD-4010, "Federal Labor Standards Provisions," incorporated into each bid specification and/or contract? <u>Fair Labor Standards Act</u>	Yes No
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	

Does the file contain each weekly payroll report from the contractor and sub-contractors, beginning from the construction start through the construction end date or present date (first to current/last)?	Yes No	N/A
Sec. 3142 C (1) Rate of wages for laborers and mechanics		
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

LABOR STANDARDS

Page 2 4.

U.S. DOL Form WH-347 "Statement of Compliance":	
Are the payrolls signed by an appropriate principal of the firm?	Yes No
Do they include a signed "Statement of Compliance" from the	Yes No
contractor?	Yes No
Have questions 4a and 4b been answered in the "Statements of Compliance"?	
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	

5.

Have the appropriate wages and fringe benefits been paid? Sec. 3141 2 (b)			
If no, explain deficiency and what steps have been taken to correct:	Yes	No	N/A
Explanation:			

6.

	Are corrected payrolls on file with the Subrecipient?	Yes	No	N/A
7.				

Was time and half paid for all work over 40 hours? No workers over
40 hrs. week.Image: Constant of the second sec

Has an underpayment of over \$1,000.00 occurred?	
	Yes No
	Yes No N/A
Was an Enforcement Report filed with DOL?	

LABOR STANDARDS

Page 3

9.

Are there apprentices or trainees on the payroll report? Fair Labor Standards Act § 214	Yes No
If yes, does the Subrecipient have a copy of the apprentice certification with apprentice's registration number or the Trainee Program Certification for each trainee or apprentice on the payroll report?	Yes No N/A

10.

Are there additional job classifications on the payroll report that do not appear on the wage determination?	Yes	No	N/A
If the answer is yes, is there evidence the Subrecipient requested additional job classifications through ODOC?	 Yes	No	N/A
If yes, does the Subrecipient's file contain copies of the approved of additional job classification request wage rates from ODOC?	 Yes	No	N/A

11.

Does the Subrecipient's file contain evidence that payrolls were reviewed by the Subrecipient in a timely manner to ensure early identification of problems and that correct wages were being paid?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion: The City receives the weekly payrolls on a monthly basis usually su pay estimates. Both are reviewed before payment is made along v interviews.			

12.

Is there a signed Final Statement of Wage Compliance on file?		
	Yes	No

INTERVIEWS

13.

Were job-site interviews conducted?

Chapter 15 HUD Guide Book 1504

Yes

No

LABOR STANDARDS

Page 4

14.

Does the Subrecipient have copies of each Record of Employee		
Interview Form documenting interviews?	 	N/A

Describe Basis for Conclusion:

15.

Did interviews record work performed by worker and observed by the interviewer?		N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

16.

Were interviews compared with payrolls?			
	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

17.

Were at least 10% of each job classification interviewed?			
	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Is the ratio of trade skill workers to laborers acceptable?	Yes	No	□ N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

8. Housing Rehabilitation

SUBRECIPIENT INFORMATION

Contract Number	
Subrecipient Name	
Type of Organization	
Name of Program	
Grant Manager	
Date On-site	

1.

Is the Subrecipient charging pre-award ('reimbursement') costs?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

2.

Do the policies and procedures require that all reimbursement			
activities are consistent with HUD guidance for charging pre-award ('reimbursement') costs? CPD Notice 14-017	Yes	No	N/A
(Tellibursement) costs: CPD Notice 14-017			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Do the policies limit reimbursement activities to expenses incurred before the date on which the person or entity applied for CDBG-DR assistance?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 2

4.

Do the policies limit reimbursement activities to expenses incurr within one year after the date of the disaster, as applicable?	red Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

5.

Do the policies ensure compliance with other federal cross-cutting requirements including:			
	Yes	No	N/A
Lead-Based Paint?			
	Yes	No	N/A
Civil Rights?			
	Yes	No	N/A
Uniform Relocation Act?			
	Yes	No	N/A
and Davis-Bacon, as described in the guidance?			
	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

If CDBG-DR funds were used for rehabilitation of real property			\square
located within the Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA), are those	Yes	No	N/A
assisted properties in compliance with the flood insurance purchase	Tes	NO	N/A
and community participation requirements at Sections 102(a) and			
202(a) of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, as amended,			
and at <u>24 CFR 570.605</u> and <u>24 CFR 570.509(c)(4)(iv)?</u>			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 3

LOCAL HOUSING CODES

7.

Have local housing codes been adopted in conjunction with the			
Subrecipient's rehabilitation standards?	Yes	No	N/A
If the answer to question above is "yes," how does the Subrecipient			
determine that the work items meet local codes upon completion?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	<u> </u>		

8.

Describe the process for preparing the work specifications and cost estimates, including which employee's positions have the responsibility to prepare the cost estimates and approve them.	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

9.

Is there a written procedure in place for changes in the scope of work and/or specifications?	 Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Is there a procedure for determining if contract costs are			
reasonable and, if so, who or which employee positions have the responsibility for making the determination and approving the	Yes	No	N/A
costs?			
If the answer to "c" above is "no," what actions are being taken to ensure that costs are reasonable per OMB Circular A-87?			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 4

LOAN SERVICING

11.

Is the Subrecipient providing assistance in the form of a loan?			
If no, skip to question 20	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

12.

Does the Subrecipient have written loan-financing procedures in place?	 Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

13.

If there are written procedures, do they set forth the conditions of assistance, such as the interest rate charged, the loan term, and the maximum borrowing amount?	Yes	No	 N/А
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

If there are procedures, do they describe a process for handling delinquencies?	 Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	1		

Page 5

15.

If loans are involved, what is the process for servicing them? (For example, who collects repayments when due and posts them to account records?)	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	<u> </u>		

16.

If the program provided a loan to an owner, is there a copy of the promissory note and loan agreement on file?	 Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

17.

If the loans are secured, are the security documents recorded?			
	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

If a bank is involved in loan servicing, has the Subrecipient signed a written agreement with the bank, specifying the services to be provided by the bank, the fees, and the consequences for failure to perform?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	1		

Page 6

19.

If applicable, has the lender performed any administrative services for the program?	U Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

CONTRACTOR SELECTION

20.

Is there a written contractor selection procedure in place?			
	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

21.

If the answer to question above is "yes," describe the contractor selection process, including whether the Subrecipient selects the contractor, or, if the owner selects the contractor, what guidance,	Yes	No	N/A
if any, is provided by the Subrecipient?			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Is there an adequate pool of contractors who perform rehabilitation work as overseen by the Subrecipient or its designee?	U Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 7

23.

Is there a written procedure for resolving contract disputes?			
	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

24.

Whether the Subrecipient has written procedures in place or not, describe the Subrecipient's process for resolving contract disputes.

Describe Basis for Conclusion:

LUMP SUM DRAWDOWNS

25.

Does the Subrecipient draw down funds in a lump sum as permitted by the regulation at $24 \text{ CFR } 570.513$?	U Yes	No	N/A
If no, skip to "Escrow" question 37.			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

If the answer to question above is "yes," what is the date of the execution of the agreement and the amount of the initial deposit?	U Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 8

27.

If the Subrecipient has drawn down funds in a lump sum, does the	\Box
participant have a written lump sum agreement?	No

24 CFR 570.513(b)(2)

Describe Basis for Conclusion:

28.

If the answer to question above is "yes," what is the date of the execution of the agreement and the amount of the initial deposit?

Describe Basis for Conclusion:

29.

•			
Does the lump sum agreement contain the required elements?			
the obligations and responsibilities of the parties?	Yes	No	□ N/A
The terms and conditions on which CDBG-DR funds are to be			
deposited and used or returned?	Yes	No	N/A
The rate of interest?	Yes	No	N/A
24 CFR 570.513(b)(2)			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

N/A

Page 9

30.

Does the agreement describe the benefits to be provided by the private financial institution in support of the Subrecipient's rehabilitation program, including provisions of interest payments, and at least one of the three regulatory requirements; and are such benefits being provided?

24 CFR 570.513(b)(2)

31.

Was a copy of the executed agreement provided to the HUD Field Office (as well as any modifications made to the agreement during its term, if applicable)? 24 CFR 570.513(b)(2)	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

32.

Was HUD notified of the amount of CDBG-DR funds distributed to the private financial institution before the funds were used for the intended purpose?	Yes	No	N/A
24 CFR 570.513(e)			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	<u> </u>		

No

N/A

Page 10

33.

Are the funds being used in accordance with the written agreement for eligible rehabilitation of privately-owned properties?	Yes	No	□ N/A
24 CFR 570.513(b)(1)			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

34.

Did use of the deposited funds commence within 45 days of the			
deposit?	Yes	No	N/A
24 CFR 570.513(b)(4)	163	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

35.

Were funds substantially disbursed within 180 days of receipt of the deposit (e.g., 25% of the fund, deposit plus interest earned)?	 Yes	No	N/A
24 CFR 570.513(b)(4)			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Does the Subrecipient review the level of program activity annually	?		
24 CFR 570.513(b)(5)	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 11

ESCROW

37.

Has the Subrecipient established escrow accounts for use in funding the rehabilitation of residential properties? (If the answer is "no," stop here.)	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	1		

38.

If the answer to question above is "yes," are the use of the funds limited to loans and grants of primarily residential properties containing no more than four dwelling units (and accessory space, if applicable)?	Yes	No	N/A
<u>24 CFR 570.511(a)(1)</u>			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	1		

Are the escrow accounts used and funds deposited into an escrow account, only when specifically provided for in an executed contract between a property owner and contractor? 24 CFR 570.511(a)(2)	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 12

40.

Is the amount of funds deposited limited to an amount expected to be disbursed within 10 working days from date of deposit?	☐ Yes	No	□ N/A
24 CFR 570.511(a)(4)			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

41.

Are escrow funds deposited into an interest-bearing account?			
24 CFR 570.511(a)(3)	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	<u> </u>		

If the answer to question above is "yes," is the interest earned on			
the account remitted to HUD at least quarterly (less any service charges), unless the interest is attributable to the investment of	Yes	No	N/A
program income (in which case, this should be described in the			
"basis for conclusion" below)?			
24 CFR 570.511(b)			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

9. Acquisitions/Buyouts/Relocation

SUBRECIPIENT INFORMATION

Contract Number	
Subrecipient Name	
Type of Organization	
Name of Program	
Grant Manager	
Date On-site	

Acquisitions/Buyouts/Relocation

<u>ELIGIBILITY</u>

1.

Are the activities funded under this program eligible under the Housing and Community Development Act?	Yes No
List the Citation for Eligibility:	

As required by the appropriation law, are reviewed activities related to the impact of the applicable disaster(s)?	Yes No
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	

Describe Basis for Conclusion:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT

4.

Is there a Residential Anti-displacement and Relocation file?			
If Yes, does it contain the following information?	Yes Yes	No	N/A
a. Resolution adopting the Plan			
b. Residential Anti-displacement/Relocation Certification	Yes	No	N/A
c. If applicable, regulations, information booklets, relocation claim forms?	Yes	No	N/A
d. Does the Plan identify a person who is responsible for displacement and relocation compliance?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Pasis for Conclusions	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

5.

Does the Subrecipient have policies and procedures for the program being implemented?	U Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Were environmental reviews completed for each property acquired?			
	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	1		

Page 3

PROPERTY BUYOUTS

7.

Did the Subrecipient conduct property acquisitions for the purpose of mitigating flooding hazards?	Yes	No	N/A
If no, skip to question			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	<u> </u>		

8.

Are the acquisitions of property by the Subrecipient located in a floodway, floodplain or a designated Disaster Risk Reduction Area and is intended to reduce risk from future flooding?	Yes No
[Federal Register /Vol. 78, No. 43 or Vol. 80, No. 222]	
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	

Are the properties dedicated and maintained (restricted deed) in perpetuity for a use that is compatible with open space, recreational, or wetlands management practices?	Yes	No	□ N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 4

10.

Did the Subrecipient uniformly apply an appropriate valuation method (including the use of pre-flood value or post-flood value as a basis for property value) in using CDBG-DR funds for buyouts?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	L		

Describe Basis for Conclusior	n:			
	ocal floodplain manager approves in mmencement of the construction of	Yes	No	N/A
c. a flood control struct	ure?	☐ Yes	No	N/A
b. a rest room?		U Yes	No	N/A
	is open on all sides and functionally ed open space (e.g., a park, oor recreation area)?	Yes	No	□ N/A
•	structures being erected on property hich a structure was removed under program, other than?			

Page 5

12.

Is there a prohibition on applying for additional disaster assistance for any purpose that will be made by the Subrecipient to any Federal entity in perpetuity with respect to any property acquired, accepted, or from which a structure was removed under the acquisition or relocation program?

No

N/A

Describe Basis for Conclusion:

BUYOUT ACQUISITION AND NON-BUYOUT VOLUNTARY ACQUISITION

13.

Did the Subrecipient require the purchase price for any acquisitions with CDBG-DR funds to be based on fair market value in accordance			
with applicable cost principles?	Yes	No	N/A
[Federal Register notices published March 5, 2013 and May 29,			
2013; applicable to grants under Public Laws 112-55 and 113-2] Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
Describe Dasis for Conclusion.			

14.

Does the file contain a written notification to the seller that the property would not be taken through eminent domain condemnation if negotiations failed?	Yes	No	□ N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

A Notice of Determination of Exemption is required to evidence the transaction as voluntary. Is there a signed Notice of Determination of Exemption in the's file?	11 1	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 6

16.

Is there a copy of the signed owner's acknowledgement of a voluntary acquisition and does it contain the fair market value of the property on file?	Yes	No	N/A
If there is not a voluntary acquisition acknowledgement the acquisition will be considered not voluntary.			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

17.

Were any tenants or businesses occupying the property at time of acquisition or at the time of the flood?	U Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

18.

If yes, is there evidence occupants were advised of their rights under the URA?	U Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

If the owner waived his rights under URA, is there a signed voluntary acquisition notice) signed by the owner on file?	U Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

20.

Are th	ne following documents on file:			
a.	Identification of property and property owner(s)?			
u.		Yes	No	N/A
b.	The purchase contract and documents conveying the			
	property?	Yes	No	N/A
c.	The Settlement Statement and evidence the owner received			
	net proceeds?	Yes	No	N/A
Descr	ibe Basis for Conclusion:			

21.

Was notification of fair market value provided prior to the signing of a purchase offer?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	<u>.</u>		

22.

Is there adequate documentation in the file to support the basis for determining the fair market value?		No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

APPLICABLE TO PROPERTY DONATIONS ONLY 23.

Was an appraisal conducted?			
	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 8

24.

If no, is there a signed waiver of appraisal statement by the property owner(s)?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

25.

Does the file contain a copy of the "Notice of Determination of Exemption"?	U Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Acquisition/Involuntary

26.

What is the date of submission of the application for Federal financial assistance, or the date of site control, if later?

Describe	Basis	for	Conclusion:	

Date: _____

Pursua	nt to	[49	CFR	24.2(a)(15)]	, what	is the	date o	f "initiat	ion of ne	egotiatio	ons"?
Date:												
Date.												

28.

What activities are being monitored:		
a. Acquisition (including Down-payment Assistance)?	Yes	No
b. Conversion?	Yes	No
c. Demolition?	Yes	No
d. Rehabilitation?	Yes	No

29.

Will the activity(ies) trigger		
a. URA requirements?		
b. Section 104(d) requirements?		
NOTE: The 104(d) requirements were waived if the Subrecipient	Yes	No
has defined in their Plan demonstrable hardship.		
Describe Basis for Conclusion		

Does the project file contain a Relocation Plan, if applicable?			
	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

31.

Does the project file contain an occupant roster, current at the time of the flood, including all of the following information? (If no current roster is available, indicate below the reason, the date of the latest roster, or whether something other than a roster was used.)

a. Resident Name?			
	Yes	No	Ν/Δ
b. Household Size (if applicable)?			
	Yes	No	N/A
c. Household Income (if applicable)?			
	Ves	No	N/A
d. Unit Size?			
	Yes	No	N/A
e. Rent/Utility Cost?			
e. Rent/ Utility Cost:			
	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

32.

Does the project file contain an occupant list from the time of the			
flood?	Ves	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Does a comparison of the occupant lists from the time of flood suggest displacement may have occurred?	U Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 11

34.

Does the project file contain an explanation of the reasons any persons		
vacated between the time of the flood and initiation of negotiations? (Include the names of persons who moved out without receiving notices	Yes	No
or assistance below.)		
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	<u> </u>	

35.

Does the project file contain a copy of a Move-In Notice for all new		
occupants that moved into the project since application?	Yes	No
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

36.

If applicable, does the project file contain an occupant list current	
at the time of the Initiation of Negotiations?	
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	

Does the project file contain information (i.e., advisory services log, intake form) about the advisory services that will be/have been offered?	Yes	No
[49 CFR 24.9(a); 49 CFR 24.205(c)]		
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

38.

Were all advisory services offered in compliance with the requirements		
of [<u>49 CFR 24.205(c)]?</u>	Yes	No
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

Does the project file contain copies of the following notices:			
a. General Information Notice and When a Public Agency Acquires Your Property?] [
[49 CFR 24.203(a)]	Ye	s 1	No
b. Notice of Eligibility?			
[49 CFR 24.203(b)]	Vec	No	N/A
c. Notice of Non-displacement?			
d. 90-day Notice?			
-			
[49 CFR 24.203(c)]	Yes	No	N/A
e. 30-day Notice?			
	Yes	No	N/A
f. Notice of Temporary Relocation?			
	Yes	No	N/A
g. Notice of Interest?			
[49 CFR 24.102(b)]	Yes	No	N/A
h. Notice of Intent to Acquire?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	<u>.</u>		

Page 13

40.

Does the project file contain evidence that notices were hand delivered or served registered or certified mail, return receipt requested?	Yes	No	N/A
[49 CFR 24.5]			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	<u> </u>		

41.

Was the property appraised?			
	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

42.

Was the property appraised by a qualified appraiser prior to negotiations?	U Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Was a review appraisal conducted?			
Date of the review:	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 14

44.

If the land or property was occupied, was the owner(s) or appointed designee(s) invited to attend the appraisal?	U Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

45.

Was a written offer describing the basis for determining just compensation provided to the owner prior to any bargaining?	U Yes	No	N/A
a. Date of offer:			
b. Property purchase price:			
c. Date of closing:			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

a. Does the project file contain signed copies of replacement housing payment claim forms?	U Yes	No	N/A
b. Were the amounts paid supported by documentation (i.e., rent receipts, lease, utility bills for old, comparable or actual replacement unit)?) Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 15

47.

a. Does the project file contain signed copies of moving cost claim forms?	Yes	No	N/A
b. Were the amounts paid supported by documentation (i.e., receipts, estimates, etc.)?	U Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

48.

Does the project file contain documentation to support that the follow replacement housing units are decent, safe and sanitary:	ving typ	pes of
a. Comparable replacement unit(s)?		
[49 CFR 24.2(a)(6)(i) and 24.2(a)(8)]		
	Yes	No
b. Actual replacement?		
[49 CFR 24.401(a)(2) or 24.402(a)(2)]		
	Yes	No
c. Temporary unit?	Yes	No
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

Did the Subrecipient correctly calculate replacement housing payments for the project being reviewed?	U Yes	No	□ N/A
[49 CFR Part 24, subpart E]			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	<u>, </u>		

Page 16

50.

Did the Subrecipient correctly calculate the moving and related expense reimbursements for the project being reviewed?	U Yes	No	□ N/A
[49 CFR Part 24, subpart D]			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

51.

Certification of Legal Residence: [<u>49 CFR 24.208]</u>		
 a. Did all persons receiving assistance sign a certification of legal residency? [49 CFR 24.208(a)] 	Yes	No
b. Did persons who did not provide a certification of legal residency or who have been determined to be not lawfully present in the United States, and who received assistance, claim an exceptiona and extremely unusual hardship exemption?		No
(If so, identify below the documentation supporting hardship claim and indicate whether payments were made with HUD funds.) Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

Did the Subrecipient promptly review any appeals filed by aggrieved persons in compliance with the requirements of the URA and [49 CFR Part 24]? [49 CFR 24.10(a)]	Yes	No
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

53.

Did th	Did the Subrecipient comply with the requirement that:		
a.	No waiver of relocation assistance be proposed or requested? [49 CFR 24.207(f)]	Yes No	
b.	Additional or alternative assistance be provided under the Last Resort housing provisions of the URA when comparable replacement dwellings are not available within the monetary limits for owners or tenants set for in 49 CFR 24.401(b) and 24.402(a)? [Also <u>49 CFR 24.404(a)]</u>	Yes No N/A	
с.	No part of a relocation payment to a displaced person be withheld to satisfy an obligation to any other creditor?	Yes No	
	[49 CFR 24.403(a)(6)]		
d.	No displaced person be denied eligibility for a replacement housing payment solely because he/she does not meet the occupancy requirements at [49 CFR 24.401(a)] and [24.402(a)] for a reason beyond his/her control?	Yes No	
	[Also <u>49 CFR 24.403(d)]</u>		
e.	Temporary relocation did not extend beyond one year before the person is either returned to his/her previous unit or location or offered permanent relocation assistance?	Yes No N/A	
	[49 CFR 24.2(a)(9)(ii)(D)]		
Descr	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

ONE-FOR-ONE REPLACEMENT/DEMOLITION

Note: HUD waived the one-for-one replacement for affordable units that were substantially damaged. HUD did not waive the requirements for affordable units that were NOT substantially damaged.

ACQUISITIONS/BUYOUTS/RELOCATION

Page 18

	ubrecipient demolish units that were not substantially by the flood?	└ Yes	No	N/A
newspap	e Subrecipient must make public by publication in a er of general circulation the following items and submit to rtment of Local Affairs:			
a.	description of the proposed activity?]	
b.	location on a map and number of dwelling units by size that are affected?	Yes	s]	No
c.	time schedule for commencement and completion of demolition or conversion?	Yes	s T	No
d.	location on a map of replacement dwelling units by size?	Yes	s	No
e.	source of funding and time schedule for replacement (replacement housing must be initially made available for]	
	occupancy at any time during the period beginning one year before the Subrecipient's submission of this information and ending three years after the	Yes	s]	No
	commencement of demolition or conversion)?	Yes	5	No
f.	basis of ensuring that replacement units will remain low/moderate for at least 10 years from initial]	
	occupancy?	Yes	s	No
g.	if any proposed replacement units are smaller than previous units, information demonstrating that it is consistent with the housing needs of lower-income]	
	households in the jurisdiction?	Yes	s	No
Describe	Basis for Conclusion:			

10. Duplication of Benefits

SUBRECIPIENT INFORMATION

Contract Number Subrecipient Name Type of Organization	
Name of Program Grant Manager	
Date On-site	
1.	coduros roquiro all othor sourcos of disastor

assistance for the same purpose to be identified and considered to prevent a duplication of benefit (DOB)?	Yes	No	∟ N/A
<u>Federal Register / Vol. 76, No. 221</u>			
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	<u> </u>		

Page 2

2.

e applicants for assistance required to disclose the following otential sources of disaster assistance:	
a. Insurance?	Yes No
b. Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)?	Yes No
c. Small Business Administration?	Yes No
c. Small business Administration:	
	Yes No
d. National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)?	
	Yes No
e. Other federal, state, or local funding?	
f. Other nonprofit, private sector, or charitable funding?	Yes No
escribe Basis for Conclusion:	

Policies and Procedures

into a signed a	s and procedures require all beneficiaries to enter agreement (e.g., subrogation agreement) to repay any er received for the same purpose as the CDBG-DR rery funds?	Yes	No
Describe Basi	s for Conclusion:		

Page 3

4.

Do the policies and procedures address recapture of CDBG-DR funds (e.g., in case of an overpayment, duplication of benefit)?	Yes	No
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

5.

Do the policies and procedures require the grantee to comply with HUD's guidance when assisting applicants that declined SBA assistance to ensure expenditures are for "necessary costs" of recovery, as required by Public Law 113-2 (and other supplemental appropriations, as applicable)?	Yes No
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	

Does th	nese policies and procedures include:			
a.	Identification of the circumstances under which applicants declined assistance?	☐ Yes	No	N/A
b.	Establishment of why CDBG-DR assistance is appropriate when assisting applicants that declined SBA assistance?	☐ Yes	No	N/A
c.	Determination of the amount of CDBG-DR assistance that is necessary and reasonable to assist applicants in achieving recovery?	☐ Yes	No	N/A
Descril	be Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 4

7.

Do the policies and procedures exclude non-duplicative assistance			
from the final benefit calculation for the following instances:			
a. Provided for a different purpose?	Yes	No	N/A
b. Used for a different, eligible purpose?	Yes	No	N/A
c. Assistance not available to the applicant?	Yes	No	N/A
d. Assistance is a private loan not guaranteed by SBA?			
	Yes	No	N/A
e. Any other asset or line of credit available to the applicant?			
Federal Register / Vol. 76, No. 221	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	•		

Activity Files

a.	Are all sources of assistance that were provided to applicant for the same purpose determined to be a DOB?	Yes	No	N/A	
De	Describe Basis for Conclusion:				

b.	How the DOB determination impacted the applicant's CDBG-DR award? If a DOB was found, was there a reduction in the award amount?	U Yes	No	N/A
De	scribe Basis for Conclusion:			

Page 5

	That each applicant has entered into a signed agreement (e.g., subrogation agreement) to repay subsequent duplicative assistance?	Yes	No	N/A
De	scribe Basis for Conclusion:	•		

	If a DOB occurred after assistance was awarded, were funds recaptured in accordance with the agreement and the grantee's policies and procedures?	U Yes	No	N/A
Des	scribe Basis for Conclusion:			

10.1 Individual Project Duplication of Benefits Worksheet

Page 6

DOB Worksheet for checking Subrecipient's CDBG-DR Award and DOB determination.

Federal Register /Vol. 76 No. 221 / November 16, 2011

Make additional copies for each file sampled

1. Identify Applicant's Tota rehabilitation cost estimate	l Need Prior to Any Assistanc	e (e.g.,	\$
2. Identify All Potentially D	uplicative Assistance:		
	-	Potential	Actual DOB
	Interim Housing (e.g., rent)	\$	\$
a. FEMA Housing Grant	Permanent Housing (e.g., repair/rehabilitation)	\$	\$
b. SBA Loan		\$	\$
c. Insurance (Structu	re, not Contents)	\$	\$
d. National Flood Ins	surance Program (NFIP)	\$	\$
e. Other federal, sta	ate, or local funding	\$	\$
f. Other nonprofit, p funding	rivate sector, or charitable	\$	\$
	Totals	\$	\$
(3) Total of all assistance for resulting in the maximum p unmet need (Actual DOB To	otential award amount, or		\$
(4) Maximum Eligible Awar			\$
(5) Program Cap (if applical	ble)	\$	
(6) Final Award (lesser of It	ems 4 and 5)		\$

11. Section 3

SUBRECIPIENT INFORMATION

Contract Number Subrecipient Name	
Type of Organization	
Name of Program	
Grant Manager	
Date Onsite	

<u>APPLICABILITY</u>

Does the project involve?	
Housing rehabilitation (including reduction and abatement of lead- based paint hazards? or	Yes No
Housing construction?	
Other public construction?	Yes No
<u>24 CFR 135.3(a)(2)</u>	Yes No
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	

SECTION 3 Page 2

THRESHOLDS

2.

Is the award to the Subrecipient greater than \$200,000?			
24 CFR 135.3(a)(3)(ii)(A)			
If No Section 3 does not apply			
If yes, does the value of work for any contractor or subcontractor exceed \$100,000? 24 CFR 135.3(a)(3)(ii)(A)	Yes	No	N/A
If no, Section 3 does not apply	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	<u> </u>		

CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS

3.

Does the bid package contain the Section 3 Requirements?	Yes	No
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

Does the construction contract contain the Section 3 Requirements?		
	Yes	No
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

Page 3

5.

Does the construction file include copies of contractor/sub contractor certifications for Section 3?)-	Yes
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

No

SECTION 3 POLICIES AND DOCUMENTATION 6.

	r the time period reviewed, did the Subrecipient's records clude written procedures governing:			
a.	How Section 3 residents are to be notified about employment and training opportunities generated by Subrecipient or its contractors as a result of the expenditure of covered financial assistance? <u>24 CFR 135.32 (a)</u>	 Yes	No	N/A
b.	How Section 3 business concerns are to be notified about contracting (or subcontracting) opportunities generated by the Subrecipient or its contractors involving covered financial assistance?	Yes	No	N/A
c.	How potential contractors for covered projects or Subrecipients of covered funds are to be notified about their requirements pursuant to Section 3? <u>24 CFR 135.32(b)</u> and <u>24 CFR 135.32(f)</u>	Yes	No	□ N/A
d.	How covered contractors and Subrecipients are to be monitored for compliance with the requirements of Section 3? <u>24 CFR 135.32(d)</u> and <u>24 CFR 135.32(f)</u>	Yes	No	N/A
e.	Steps taken by the Subrecipient to facilitate meeting the minimum numerical goals for employment and contracting opportunities? <u>24 CFR 135.32(c)</u>	Yes	No	□ N/A
f.	Did the Subrecipient provide evidence and/or documentation of the procedures described above?	U Yes	No	N/A
De	scribe Basis for Conclusion:	-		

Page 4

USE OF SECTION 3 RESIDENTS AS TRAINEES

7.				
	For the time period reviewed, did the Subrecipient's records indicate:			
	a. The total number of training positions generated by the Subrecipient or its contractors?	Yes	No	N/A
	b. The number of training positions generated by the Subrecipient or its contractors identified above that was provided to Section 3 residents?			
	c. Description of how the Subrecipient or its contractors determined the eligibility for Section 3 residents?	Yes	No D No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

USE OF SECTION 3 RESIDENTS AS EMPLOYEES

8.

F

	e time period reviewed, did the Subrecipient's records e information about:			
a.	The total number of permanent full-time positions generated by the Subrecipient or its contractors as a result of the expenditure of covered funding? <u>24 CFR 135.30(b)(3)(iii)</u>	U Yes	□ No	N/A
b.	The number of permanent full-time positions generated by the Subrecipient or its contractors identified above that was filled by Section 3 residents? 24 CFR 135.30(b)(3)(iii)	Yes	No	N/A
c.	Description of how the Subrecipient or its contractors determined eligibility of Section 3 residents? <u>24 CFR</u> <u>135.34(b)</u>	Yes	No	N/A

Page 5

9.

For the time period reviewed, did the Subrecipient's records include information about: a. Whether the minimum numerical goal for employment was met by the Subrecipient or its contractors [30% of the aggregate number of new hires was Section 3 residents]? No N/A Yes 24 CFR 135.30(b)(3)(iii) b. If the minimum numerical goal for employment was not met, did the Subrecipient provide an explanation of why it was not Yes No N/A feasible to meet the goal? 24 CFR 135.30(d)(2)

CONTRACT AWARDS TO SECTION 3 BUSINESS CONCERNS

	e time period reviewed, did the Subrecipient's records le information about:			
a.	The total dollar amount of covered construction contracts generated as a result of the expenditure of covered financial assistance? $24 \text{ CFR } 135.30(c)(1)$	U Yes	No	N/A
b.	The total dollar amount of covered construction contracts (or subcontracts) listed above that were awarded to Section 3 business concerns? <u>24 CFR 135.30(c)(1)</u>	U Yes	No	N/A
c.	Description of how the Subrecipient or its contractors determined the eligibility of Section 3 business concerns? 24 CFR 135.36(b)	U Yes	No	N/A

Page 6

CONTRACT AWARDS TO SECTION 3 BUSINESS CONCERNS Continued

11. For the time period reviewed, did the Subrecipient's records include information about: a. Whether the minimum numerical goal for contracting was met by the Subrecipient or its contractors [10% of the total dollar amount of covered construction contracts were Yes No N/A awarded to Section 3 business concerns]? 24 CFR 135.30(c)(1) b. If the minimum numerical goal for construction contracts was not met, did the Subrecipient provide an explanation of Yes No N/A why it was not feasible to meet the goal? 24 CFR 135.30(d)

NON-CONSTRUCTION BUSINESSES

	time period reviewed, did the Subrecipient's records information about:			
	The total dollar amount of covered non-construction contracts generated as a result of the expenditure of covered financial assistance? <u>24 CFR 135.30(c)(2)</u>	Yes	No	□ N/A
b.	The total dollar amount of covered non-construction contracts (or subcontracts) listed above that were awarded to Section 3 business concerns? <u>24 CFR 135.30(c)(2)</u>	Yes	No	□ N/A
с.	Description of how the Subrecipient or its contractors determined the eligibility of Section 3 business concerns? 24 CFR 135.36(b)	Yes	No	□ N/A

Page 7

NON-CONSTRUCTION BUSINESSES Continued

13.					
	For the	time period reviewed, did the Subrecipient's records			
	include	information about:			
	a.	Whether the minimum numerical goal for contracting was met by the Subrecipient or its contractors [3% of the total dollar amount of covered non-construction contracts were awarded to Section 3 business concerns]? 24 CFR 135.30(c)(2)	Yes	No	□ N/A
	b.	If the minimum numerical goal for non-construction contracts was not met, did the Subrecipient provide an explanation of why it was not feasible to meet the goal? 24 CFR 135.30(d)	Yes	No	□ N/A

Page 8

PROCUREMENT PROCEDURES

14. For the time period reviewed, did the Subrecipient's records include information about: a. Notification of covered contractors regarding their responsibilities pursuant to the requirements of Section 3? Yes No N/A 24 CFR 135.30(c)(2) b. Monitoring covered contractors for compliance with Section Yes No N/A 3? 24 CFR 135.30(c)(2 c. The imposition of penalties upon contractors for noncompliance, including refraining from entering into contracts with any contractor that has violated the Yes No N/A requirements of Section 3? 24 CFR 135.36(b) d. Whether covered solicitations (RFPs, RFQs, IFBs, etc.) contain the Section 3 clause found at 24 CFR 135.38 or Yes No N/A otherwise indicates the applicability of Section 3 to the covered project? 24 CFR 135.30(c)(2) e. If the minimum numerical goal for non-construction contracts was not met, did the Subrecipient provide an No N/A Yes explanation of why it was not feasible to meet the goal? 24 CFR 135.30(d)

Page 9

REPORTING AND RECORDKEEPING

15. For the time period reviewed, did the Subrecipient's records include information about: a. Has a Section 3 Report been completed and submitted to ODOC? 24 CFR Part 135.90 Yes No N/A b. For the time period reviewed, did the Subrecipient's records include documentation of the actions taken to comply with the Section 3 regulations? (Such documentation may include the results of the actions taken and any impediments Yes No N/A encountered during the implementation of the program(s) covered by Section 3.) 24 CFR 135.32(e)

12. Housing New Construction

SUBRECIPIENT INFORMATION

Contract Number Subrecipient Name	
Type of Organization	
Name of Program	
Grant Manager	
Date On-site	

1.

Does the program include:			
a. Single family units?	 Yes	No	N/A
b. Multifamily units?			
	Yes	No	N/A
c.or both?			
	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Are the units:			
a. Rental?	Yes	No	N/A
b. Owner-occupied?			
c. or both?	Yes	No	N/A
	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

NEW HOUSING CONSTRUCTION

Page 2

3.

What type(s) of entity(ies) are carrying out the program:			
a. Local Government?	U Yes	No	N/A
b. Non-profit organization?			
c. For-profit developer?	Yes	No No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	<u> </u>		

4.

Was the Subrecipient able to document that the disaster affected the quality, quantity, and/or affordability of the housing stock, causing that housing stock to be unable to meet post-disaster needs and population demands?	Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	L		

5.

Do the policies and procedures of the Subrecipient require that activities with costs reimbursable by, or for which funds are made available by, the Federal Emergency Management Agency or the Army Corps of Engineers <u>not</u> be funded with CDBG-DR funds?	Yes	No
Describe Basis for Conclusion:	L	

N/A

NEW HOUSING CONSTRUCTION Page 3

6.

oes the Subrecipient's program require compliance with green uilding standards?		No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Does the Subrecipient's program include multi-family projects				
containing five or more units?	Yes	No		
If yes, does it meet the following accessibility requirements?				
a. A minimum of 5% of total dwelling units (but not less than one unit) are accessible for individuals with mobility impairments?	Yes	No	N/A	
b. An additional 2% of dwelling units (but not less than one) are accessible for persons with hearing or vision impairments?	 Yes	No	N/A	
c. Are all units made adaptable on the ground level or can be reached by an elevator?	 Yes	No	N/A	
[Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and 24 CFR 8.22, Fair Housing Act]				
Describe Basis for Conclusion:				

NEW HOUSING CONSTRUCTION

Page 4

8.

Do the program activities take place in an area delineated as a			
special flood hazard area (SFHA) according to FEMA's most current flood advisory maps?	Yes	5 No	
If "yes", and the activity constitutes financial assistance for acquisition or construction purposes, does the program require owners of an assisted building or mobile home within a SFHA to obtain and maintain flood insurance?	Yes	No	N/A
Does the program require activities within a SFHA to be designed or modified to minimize harm to or within floodplains in accordance with Executive Order 11988 and 24 CFR part 55?	Yes	No	N/A
Does the program require new housing within a SFHA to be elevated one foot higher than the latest FEMA-issued base flood elevation?	U Yes	No	N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Has the Subrecipient documented how the activities relate to the				
impact of the applicable disaster(s)?	Yes No			
Fed Reg Vol. 78 No, 43				
Describe Basis for Conclusion:				

Did the Subrecipient establish that program funds did not supplant funds made available by the Federal Emergency Management Agency or the Army Corps of Engineers?	Yes	No	□ N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

NEW HOUSING CONSTRUCTION Page 5

National Objective

11.

	tivity is classified under the low- and moderate-income using national objective, do reviewed program files nt:			
a.	For single-family units, households have incomes at or below 80% of the area median income?			
b.	For multi-family units, if the structure contains two dwelling units, is at least one unit occupied by a LMI household?	Yes	No D No	N/A
c.	For multi-family units, if the structure contains more than two dwelling units, are at least 51% of the units occupied by LMI households?	Yes	No	□ N/A
d.	For rental units, has a period of affordability been established for assisted properties?	 Yes	No	N/A
Describe	e Basis for Conclusion:			

12.

For **Urgent Need** national objective use the <u>National Objective</u> checklist for Urgent Need and attach to this checklist.

NEW HOUSING CONSTRUCTION

Page 6

13.

Do reviewed activity files document that activities met or will meet an acceptable national objective (i.e., one allowed by the policies and procedures)?	Yes	No
[<u>24 CFR 570.483</u> or <u>570.490</u> or <u>570.506</u> , or applicable <i>Federal Register</i> notice]		
Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

If the answer to any of the above is "yes," is the Subrecipient taking corrective action (e.g., seeking recapture of funds resulting from an overpayment)?	Yes	No	□ N/A
Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

Exit Conference

The Monitoring Team and subrecipient staff meet to present the tentative conclusions from the monitoring.

Four objectives:

- To present preliminary results of the monitoring visit;
- To provide an opportunity for the subrecipient to correct any misconceptions or misunderstandings;
- To secure additional information from subrecipient staff to clarify or support their position; and
- For any deficiency that the subrecipient agrees with, to provide an opportunity for subrecipient staff to report on steps they are already taking to correct the matter. Within 30 days the monitoring team will issue a monitoring letter to subrecipient including any concerns or findings with corrective actions and deadlines for response.

Finding/Concern Discussed: