Monte Carlo Tree Search

CompSci 590.2 Ron Parr Duke University

A different view of how to plan

- So far, we have (mostly) assumed that we can compute a value function or policy in one big computation and use them for execution
- Exception: TD Gammon
 - Computes value function
 - Combines value function with search before each move
- What if we emphasized search more?



Straw man

- Build a complete search tree out to depth d
- Alternate between action nodes and chance nodes
- Choose d so that $\gamma^{d}R_{max}$ is small
- Solve for policy in this tree recursive from leaves to root

• Problem:

- b = branching factor = (#of actions x #possible next states)
- b^d nodes



- Kearns et al. introduced trajectory trees
- Instead of considering all next states, sample next states
- Still branch on all actions
- Generate multiple trees instead one fat tree
- Evaluate potential policies against trees value of policy is average value across trees
- Replaces dependence on #of next states with:
 - Dependence on VC dimension of policy space (linear), $1/\epsilon^2$, $\log(1/\delta)$
 - # of trees needed to get good average evaluation of policies



Trajectory tree limitations

- Main problem remains exponential dependence on d
- Each tree can still be very big
- Even if the number of trees isn't as bad as you might expect, still very expensive to do in practice

A different approach: Bandits

- Bandit problem:
 - Multiple slot machines with unknown expected payoffs
 - Need strategy for playing arms so that learn which slot machine is best without too much opportunity cost of learning
- Regret: Difference between what you got and what you could have gotten if you played optimally
- Goal: Algorithms with bounded regret









Staleness

• K&S show that for sufficiently large C, we will converge to the correct values and and action at the root

• Intuition:

- Eventually, the leaf values will start converging to the correct values
- If C is big enough, then we'll get enough samples for parents of these nodes to converge, overwhelming errors from earlier iterations
- Apply this idea inductively

How to pick C

- Not much practical guidance here
- In practice, this will need to be very large
- Why?
 - Leaf values still matter
 - May need exponential number of steps to find leaf values with high rewards
 - No inherent way around this

• In practice:

- Make C big enough so that you burn all the time you have
- Works better than it should in many cases

Memory

- What if you can't afford to maintain value estimates for every node you encounter?
- Note: On modern computers, you can run out of memory very quickly!
- When you hit a node you don't want to store the value for:
 - "Rollout"
 - Forward simulate to the end of the horizon using the current or random policy, and use this value
 - Does this make sense?

Go

- Ancient game that involves placing black/white stones on a lattice
- 9x9, 13x13, 19x19 (standard) versions
- Surround other players stones to capture and remove from board
- Objective: Maximize number of stones of your color on the board

Why Go is hard

- ~200 moves per turn vs. ~37 in chess
- ~300 turns per game vs. ~57 in chess
- 10¹⁷⁰ possible positions vs. 10⁴⁷ in chess
- Evaluation is subtle number of pieces on the board at any time is not in itself very predictive of outcome
- Very difficult to learn/invent a good evaluation function

MCTS for Go

- Classical approaches to Go did not do very well nowhere close to master level play
- MCTS was a big improvement
- Tricks:
 - Parallelization
 - When/how to do rollouts
 - What policy to use for rollouts
 - Sharing information across subtrees
 - Using databases of expert moves when possible





Rollouts: Chess vs. Go speculation

- Go positions are hard to evaluate, but perhaps at a certain point, the good ones and bad ones have **wide paths** towards certain outcomes that are hard to miss with sampling
- Chess tends to have very narrow paths, so that even towards the end of the game, getting towards a particular outcome can be like threading a needle – hard to find with sampling