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## Chapter 0

## Set, Relations and Functions

### 0.1 Logic

In this section we will provide an informal discussion of logic. A statement is a sentence which is either true or false, for example
(1) $1+1=2$
(2) $\sqrt{2}$ is a rational number.
(3) $\pi$ is a real number.
(4) Exactly 1323 bald eagles were born in 2000 BC,
all are statements. Statement (1) and (3) are true. Statement (2) is false. Statement (4) is probably false, but verification might be impossible. It nevertheless is a statement.

Let $P$ and $Q$ be statements.
" $P$ and $Q$ " is the statement that $P$ is true and $Q$ is true. We illustrate the statement $P$ and $Q$ in the following truth table

| $P$ | $Q$ | $P$ and $Q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $T$ | $T$ |
| $T$ | $F$ | $F$ |
| $F$ | $T$ | $F$ |
| $F$ | $F$ | $F$ |

" $P$ or $Q$ " is the statement that at least one of $P$ and $Q$ is true:

| $P$ | $Q$ | $P$ or $Q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $T$ | $T$ |
| $T$ | $F$ | $T$ |
| $F$ | $T$ | $T$ |
| $F$ | $F$ | $F$ |

So " $P$ or $Q$ " is false exactly when both P and Q are false.
"not- $P$ " (pronounced 'not $P$ ' or 'negation of $P$ ') is the statement that $P$ is false:

| $P$ | not $-P$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $F$ |
| $F$ | $T$ |

So not- $P$ is true if $P$ is false. And not $-P$ is false if $P$ is true.
" $P \Longrightarrow Q$ " (pronounced " $P$ implies $Q$ ") is the statement " If $P$ is true, then $Q$ is true":

| $P$ | $Q$ | $P \Longrightarrow Q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $T$ | $T$ |
| $T$ | $F$ | $F$ |
| $F$ | $T$ | $T$ |
| $F$ | $F$ | $T$ |

Note here that if $P$ is true, then " $P \Longrightarrow Q$ " is true if and only if $Q$ is true. But if $P$ is false, then $" P \Longrightarrow Q$ " is true, regardless whether $Q$ is true or false. Consider the statement " $Q$ or not $-P$ ":

| $P$ | $Q$ | not $-P$ | $Q$ or not $-P$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $T$ |
| $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $F$ |
| $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ |
| $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ |

(*)
$" Q$ or not $-P "$ is true if and only $\quad " P \Longrightarrow Q "$ is true.
This shows that one express the logical operator " $\Longrightarrow$ " in terms of the operators " not-" and "or". " $P \Longleftrightarrow Q$ " (pronounced " $P$ is equivalent to $Q$ ") is the statement that $P$ is true if and only if $Q$ is true.:

| $P$ | $Q$ | $P \Longleftrightarrow Q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $T$ | $T$ |
| $T$ | $F$ | $F$ |
| $F$ | $T$ | $F$ |
| $F$ | $F$ | $T$ |

So $P \Longleftrightarrow Q$ is true if either both $P$ and $Q$ are true, or both $P$ and $Q$ are false. Hence
$(* *) \quad " P \Longleftrightarrow Q "$ is true if and only $\quad "(P$ and $Q)$ or (not $-P$ and not $-Q) "$ is true.
To show that $P$ and $Q$ are equivalent often shows that $P$ implies $Q$ and that $Q$ implies $P$. Indeed the truth table

| $P$ | $Q$ | $P \Longrightarrow Q$ | $Q \Longrightarrow P$ | $(P \Longrightarrow Q)$ and $(Q \Longrightarrow P)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ |
| $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ |
| $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $F$ |
| $F$ | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ |

shows that

$$
(* * *) \quad " P \Longleftrightarrow Q " \text { is true } \quad \text { if and only } \quad "(P \Longrightarrow Q) \text { and }(Q \Longrightarrow P) " \text { is true. }
$$

Often, rather than showing that a statement is true, one shows that the negation of the statement is false (This is called a proof by contradiction). To do this it is important to be able to determine the negation of statement. The negation of not $-P$ is $P$ :

| $P$ | not $-P$ | not $-($ not $-P)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $F$ | $T$ |
| $F$ | $T$ | $F$ |

The negation of " $P$ and $Q$ " is " not $-P$ or not $-Q$ ":

| $P$ | $Q$ | $P$ and $Q$ | not $-(P$ and $Q)$ | not $-P$ | not $-Q$ | not $-P$ or not $-Q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $F$ | $F$ |
| $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ |
| $F$ | $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $T$ |
| $F$ | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $T$ |

The negation of " $P$ or $Q$ " is "not $-P$ and not $-Q$ ":

| $P$ | $Q$ | $P$ or $Q$ | not $-(P$ or $Q)$ | not $-P$ | not $-Q$ | not $-P$ and not $-Q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $F$ | $F$ |
| $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ |
| $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ | $F$ |
| $F$ | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $T$ |

The statement "not $-Q \Longrightarrow$ not $-P$ " is called the contrapositive of the statement " $P \Longrightarrow Q$ ". It's actually is equivalent to the statement " $P \Longleftrightarrow Q$ ":

| $P$ | $Q$ | $P \Longrightarrow Q$ | not $-Q$ | not $-P$ | not $-Q \Longrightarrow$ not $-P$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ |
| $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ | $F$ |
| $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ |
| $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ |

The statement " not $-P \Longleftrightarrow$ not $-Q$ " is called the contrapositive of the statement " $P \Longleftrightarrow Q$ ". It is equivalent to the statement " $P \Longleftrightarrow Q$ ":

| $P$ | $Q$ | $P \Longleftrightarrow Q$ | not $-P$ | not $-Q$ | not $-P \Longleftrightarrow$ not $-Q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ |
| $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ |
| $F$ | $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ | $F$ |
| $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ |

The the statement " $Q \Longrightarrow P$ " is called the converse of the statement " $P \Longrightarrow Q$ ". In general the converse is not equivalent to the original statement. For example the statement if $x=0$ then $x$ is an even integer is true. But the converse (if $x$ is an even integer, then $x=0$ ) is not true.
Theorem 0.1.1 (Principal of Substitution). Let $\Phi(x)$ be formula involving a variable $x$. For an object $d$ let $\Phi(d)$ be the formula obtained from $\Phi(x)$ by replacing all occurrences of $x$ by $d$. If $a$ and $b$ are objects with $a=b$, then $\Phi(a)=\Phi(b)$.

Proof. This should be self evident. For an actual proof and the definition of an formula consult your favorite logic book.

Example 0.1.2. Let $\Phi(x)=x^{2}+3 x+4$.
If $a=2$, then

$$
a^{2}+3 a+4=2^{2}+3 \cdot 2+4
$$

Notation 0.1.3. Let $P(x)$ be a statement involving the variable $x$.
(a) "for all $x: P(x)$ " is the statement that for objects a the statements $P(a)$ is true. Instead of "for all $x$ : $P(x)$ " we will also use " $\forall x: P(x)$ ", " $P(x)$ is true for all $x$ ", " $P(x)$ holds for all $x$ " or similar phrases.
(b) 'there exists $x: P(x)$ " is the statement there exists an object a such that the statements $P(a)$ is true. Instead of "there exists $x: P(x)$ " we will use " $\exists x: P(x)$ ", " $P(x)$ is true for some $x$ ", "There exists $x$ with $P(x)$ " or similar phrases.

Example 0.1.4. "for all $x: x+x=2 x$ " is a true statement.
"for all $x: x^{2}=2$ " is a false statement.
"there exists $: x: x^{2}=2$ " is a true statement.
" $\exists x: x^{2}=2$ and $x$ is an integer" is false statement
Notation 0.1.5. Let $P(x)$ be a statement involving the variable $x$.
(a) "There exists at most one $x: P(x)$ " is the statement

$$
P(x) \text { and } P(y) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad x=y
$$

(b) "There exists a unique $x: P(x)$ " is the statement

$$
\text { there exists } x: \quad P(y) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad y=x
$$

Example 0.1.6. "There exists at most one $x \in \mathbb{R}: x^{2}=1$ " is false since $1^{1}=1$ and $(-1)^{1}=1$, but $1 \neq-1$.
"There exist a unique $x \in \mathbb{R}: x^{3}=-1$ " is true since $x=-1$ is the only elements in $\mathbb{R}$ with $x^{3}=1$.
"There exists at most one $x \in \mathbb{R}: x^{2}=-1$ " is true, since there does not exist any element $x \in \mathbb{R}$ with $x^{2}=-1$.
"There exists a unique $x \in \mathbb{R}: x^{2}=-1$ " is false, since there does not exist any element $x \in \mathbb{R}$ with $x^{2}=-1$.

Lemma 0.1.7. Let $P(x)$ be statement involving the variable $x$. Then

$$
\text { there exists } x: P(x) \quad \text { and } \quad \text { There exists at most one } x: P(x)
$$

if and only if

$$
\text { There exists a unique } x: P(x)
$$

Proof. $\Longrightarrow$ : Suppose first that

$$
\text { there exists } x: P(x) \quad \text { and } \quad \text { There exists at most one } x: P(x)
$$

Then there exists a object $a$ such that $P(a)$-holds. Also by definition of "There exists at most one":

$$
P(x) \text { and } P(y) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad x=y
$$

Since $P(a)$ holds,

$$
P(y) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad P(a) \text { and } P(y) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad a=y
$$

If $a=y$, then since $P(a)$ holds, also $P(y)$ holds. Thus $a=y \Longrightarrow P(y)$ and so

$$
P(y) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad a=y
$$

Hence "there exists $x: P(y) \Longleftrightarrow x=y$ " holds. Hence the definition of "There exists a unique" gives
There exists a unique $x: P(x)$.
$\Longleftarrow$ : Suppose next that

$$
\text { There exists a unique } x: P(x)
$$

Then by definition of "There exists a unique":

$$
\text { there exists } x: P(y) \Longleftrightarrow x=y
$$

and so there exists a object $a$ such that " $P(y) \Longleftrightarrow a=y$ ". Since $a=a$ is true, we conclude that $P(a)$ is true. Thus
there exists $x: P(x)$.
holds. If $P(x)$ and $P(y)$ holds, then since $P(y) \Longleftrightarrow a=y, x=a$ and $y=a$. So $x=y$. We proved that

$$
P(x) \text { and } P(y) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad x=y
$$

and so the definition of "There exists at most one" gives

There exists at most one $x: P(x)$.
From $*$ and $\underset{* *}{ }$ we have
there exists $x: P(x) \quad$ and $\quad$ There exists at most one $x: P(x)$.

### 0.2 Sets

First of all any set is a collection of objects.
For example

$$
\mathbb{Z}:=\{\ldots,-4,-3,-2,-1,-0,1,2,3,4, \ldots\}
$$

is the set of integers. If $S$ is a set and $x$ an object we write $x \in S$ if $x$ is a member of $S$ and $x \notin S$ if $x$ is not a member of $S$. In particular,

For all $x$ exactly one of $\quad x \in S \quad$ and $\quad x \notin S$ holds.
Not all collections of objects are sets. Suppose for example that the collection $\mathcal{B}$ of all sets is a set. Then $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{B}$. This is rather strange, but by itself not a contradiction. So lets make this example a little bit more complicated. We call a set $S$ is nice, if $S \notin S$. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the collection of all nice sets and suppose $\mathcal{D}$ is a set.

Is $\mathcal{D}$ a nice?
Suppose that $\mathcal{D}$ is a nice. Since $\mathcal{D}$ is the collection of all nice sets, $\mathcal{D}$ is a member of $\mathcal{D}$. Thus $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{D}$, but then by the definition of nice, $\mathcal{D}$ is not nice.

Suppose that $\mathcal{D}$ is not nice. Then by definition of nice, $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{D}$. Since $\mathcal{D}$ is the collection of nice sets, this means that $\mathcal{D}$ is nice.

We proved that $\mathcal{D}$ is nice if and only if $\mathcal{D}$ is not nice. This of course is absurd. So $\mathcal{D}$ cannot be a set.

Theorem 0.2.1. Let $A$ and $B$ be sets. Then

$$
(A=B) \Longleftrightarrow(\text { for all } x:(x \in A) \Longleftrightarrow(x \in B))
$$

Proof. Naively this just says that two sets are equal if and only if they have the same members. In actuality this turns out to be one of the axioms of set theory.
Definition 0.2.2. Let $A$ and $B$ be sets. We say that $A$ is subset of $B$ and write $A \subseteq B$ if

$$
\text { for all } x:(x \in A) \Longrightarrow(x \in B)
$$

In other words, $A$ is a subset of $B$ if all the members of $A$ are also members of $B$.
Theorem 0.2.3. Let $A$ and $B$ sets. Then $A=B$ if and only if $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq A$.
Proof.

$$
\begin{array}{cc} 
& A=B \\
\Longleftrightarrow & \\
\Longleftrightarrow \in A \Longleftrightarrow x \in B & -0.2 .1 \\
\Longleftrightarrow(x \in A \Longrightarrow x \in B) \text { and }(x \in B \Longrightarrow x \in A) & - \text { Rule of Logic: A.1.1 LR 19) }:(P \Longleftrightarrow Q) \\
\Longleftrightarrow & \Longleftrightarrow((P \Longrightarrow Q) \text { and }(Q \Longrightarrow P)) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \\
A \subseteq B \text { and } B \subseteq A & \text {-definition of subset }
\end{array}
$$

Theorem 0.2.4. Let $x$ be an object. Then there exists a set, denote by $\{x\}$ such that

$$
t \in\{x\} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad t=x
$$

Proof. This is an axiom of Set Theory.
Theorem 0.2.5. Let $S$ be a set and let $P(x)$ be a statement involving the variable $x$. Then there exists a set, denoted by $\{s \in S \mid P(s)\}$ such that

$$
t \in\{s \in S \mid P(s)\} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad t \in S \text { and } P(t)
$$

Proof. This follows from the so called replacement axiom in set theory.
Note that an object $t$ is a member of $\{s \in S \mid P(s)\}$ if and only if $t$ is a member of $S$ and the statement $P(t)$ is true.

## Example 0.2.6.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x^{2}=1\right\}=\{1,-1\} \\
\{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x>0\} \quad \text { is the set of positive integers. }
\end{gathered}
$$

Notation 0.2.7. Let $S$ be a set and $P(x)$ a statement involving the variable $x$.
(a) "for all $x \in S: P(x)$ " is the statement

$$
\text { for all } x: \quad x \in S \Longrightarrow P(x)
$$

(b) "there exists $x \in S: P(x)$ " is the statement

$$
\text { there exists } x: \quad x \in S \text { and } P(x)
$$

Example 0.2.8. (1) "for all $x \in \mathbb{R}: x^{2} \geq 0$ " is a true statement.
(2) "there exists $x \in \mathbb{Q}: x^{2}=2$ " is a false statement.

Theorem 0.2.9. Let $S$ be a set and let $\Phi(x)$ be a formula involving the variable $x$ such that $\Phi(s)$ is defined for all $s$ in $S$. Then there exists a set, denoted by $\{\Phi(s) \mid s \in S\}$ such that

$$
t \in\{\Phi(s) \mid s \in S\} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \text { there exists } s \in S: t=\Phi(s)
$$

Proof. This also follows from the replacement axiom in set theory.
Note that the members of $\{\Phi(s) \mid s \in S\}$ are all the objects of the form $\Phi(s)$, where $s$ is a member of $S$.
Example 0.2.10.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{2 x \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}\} \quad \text { is the set of even integers }\} \\
& \left\{x^{3} \mid x \in\{-1,2,5\}\right\}=\{-1,8,125\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We now combine the two previous theorems into one:
Theorem 0.2.11. Let $S$ be a set, let $P(x)$ be a statement involving the variable $x$ and $\Phi(x)$ a formula such that $\Phi(s)$ is defined for all $s$ in $S$ for which $P(s)$ is true. Then there exists a set, denoted by $\{\Phi(s) \mid s \in S$ and $P(s)\}$ such that

$$
t \in\{\Phi(s) \mid s \in S \text { and } P(s)\} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \text { there exists } s \in S:(P(s) \text { and } t=\Phi(s))
$$

Proof. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\Phi(s) \mid s \in S \text { and } P(s)\}=\{\Phi(s)) \mid s \in\{r \in S \mid P(r)\}\} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
t \in\{\Phi(s) \mid s \in S \text { and } P(s)\} & \\
\Longleftrightarrow & t \in\{\Phi(s) \mid s \in\{r \in S \mid \Phi(r)\}
\end{array} \quad \begin{gathered}
\text { By }(*) \\
\Longleftrightarrow \quad \text { there exists } s \in\{r \in S \mid P(r)\} \text { with } t=\Phi(s) \\
\Longleftrightarrow
\end{gathered} \quad \text { there exists } s \text { with }(s \in\{r \in S \mid P(r)\} \text { and } t=\Phi(s)) \quad \text { definition of 'there exists } s \in^{\prime} \text { see 0.2.7 }
$$

$$
(P \text { and }(Q \text { and } R)) \Longleftrightarrow((P \text { and } Q) \text { and } R)
$$

$$
\Longleftrightarrow \quad \text { there exists } s \in S \text { with }(P(s) \text { and } t=\Phi(s)) \quad \text { definition of 'there exists } s \in \text { ' see 0.2.7 }
$$

Note that the members of $\{\Phi(s) \mid s \in S$ and $P(s)\}$ are all the objects of the form $\Phi(s)$, where $s$ is a member of $S$ for which $P(s)$ is true.
Example 0.2.12.

$$
\left\{2 n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z} \text { and } n^{2}=1\right\}=\{2,-2\}
$$

$$
\{-x \mid x \in \mathbb{R} \text { and } x>0\} \quad \text { is the set of negative real numbers }
$$

Theorem 0.2.13. Let $A$ and $B$ be sets.
(a) There exists a set, denoted by $A \cup B$ and called ' $A$ union $B$ ', such that

$$
x \in A \cup B \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad x \in A \text { or } x \in B
$$

(b) There exists a set, denoted by $A \cap B$ and called ' $A$ intersect $B$ ', such that

$$
x \in A \cap B \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad x \in A \text { and } x \in B
$$

(c) There exists a set, denoted by $A \backslash B$ and called ' $A$ removed $B$ ', such that

$$
x \in A \backslash B \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad x \in A \text { and } x \notin B
$$

(d) There exists a set, denoted by $\emptyset$ and called empty set, such that

$$
\text { for all } x: \quad x \notin \emptyset
$$

(e) Let $a$ and $b$ be objects, then there exists a set, denoted by $\{a, b\}$, that

$$
x \in\{a, b\} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad x=a \text { or } x=b
$$

Proof. (a) This is another axiom of set theory.
(b) Applying 0.2 .5 with $P(x)$ being the statement " $x \in B$ " we can define

$$
A \cap B:=\{x \in A \mid x \in B\}
$$

(c) Applying 0.2 .5 with $P(x)$ being the statement " $x \notin B$ " we can define

$$
A \backslash B:=\{x \in A \mid x \notin B\}
$$

(d) One of the axioms of set theory implies the existence of a set $A$. Then we can define

$$
\emptyset:=A \backslash A
$$

(e) Define $\{a, b\}:=\{a\} \cup\{b\}$. Then

$$
\begin{array}{cl} 
& x \in\{a, b\} \\
\Longleftrightarrow & x \in\{a\} \cup\{b\} \\
\Longleftrightarrow & \text { - definition of }\{a, b\} \\
\Longleftrightarrow & x \in\{a\} \text { or } x \in\{b\} \\
\Longleftrightarrow & x=a \text { or } x=b
\end{array}
$$

## Exercises 0.2:

\#1. Let $A$ be a set. Prove that $\emptyset \subseteq A$.
\#2. Let $A$ and $B$ be sets. Prove that $A \cap B=B \cap A$.

### 0.3 Relations and Functions

Definition 0.3.1. Let $a, b$ and $c$ be objects.
(a) $(a, b):=\{\{a\},\{a, b\}\} .(a, b)$ is called the (ordered) pair formed by a and $b$. a is called the first coordinate of $(a, b)$ and $b$ the second coordinate of $(a, b)$.
(b) $(a, b, c):=((a, b), c) .(a, b, c)$ is called the (ordered) triple formed by $a, b$ and $c$.

Theorem 0.3.2. Let $a, b, c, d, e$ and $f$ be objects.
$(\mathrm{a})((a, b)=(c, d)) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad(a=c$ and $b=d)$.
(b) $((a, b, c)=(d, e, f)) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad(a=d$ and $b=e$ and $c=f)$

Proof. (a): See Exercise $0.3 \# 1$.
(b)

$$
\begin{array}{cl} 
& (a, b, c)=(d, e, f) \\
\Longleftrightarrow & ((a, b), c)=((d, e), f) \\
\Longleftrightarrow & \text { - definition of triple } \\
\Longleftrightarrow & (a, b)=(d, e) \text { and }(c, f) \\
& \text { - Part a) of this theorem } \\
\Longleftrightarrow & a=d \text { and } b=e \text { and } e=f
\end{array} \text { - Part a) of this theorem }
$$

Theorem 0.3.3. Let $A$ and $B$ be sets. Then there exists a set, denoted by $A \times B$, such that

$$
x \in A \times B \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \text { there exist } a \in A \text { and } b \in B \text { with } x=(a, b)
$$

Proof. This can be deduced from the axioms of set theory.
Example 0.3.4. Let $A=\{1,2\}$ and $B=\{2,3,5\}$. Then

$$
A \times B=\{(1,2),(1,3),(1,5),(2,2),(2,3),(2,5)\}
$$

Definition 0.3.5. Let $A$ and $B$ be sets.
(a) $A$ relation $R$ from $A$ and $B$ is a triple $(A, B, T)$, such that $T$ is a subset of $A \times B$. Let a and be objects. We say that $a$ is in $R$-relation to $b$ and write $a R b$ if $(a, b) \in T$. So aRb is a statement and

$$
a R b \text { if and only if }(a, b) \in T
$$

(b) Let $R=(A, B, T)$ be a relation.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{Dom} R & :=A \\
\operatorname{CoDom} R & :=B \\
\operatorname{Im} R & :=\{b \in B \mid \text { there exists } a \in A \text { with } a R b\} \\
\operatorname{CoIm} R & :=\{a \in A \mid \text { there exists } b \in B \text { with } a R b\}
\end{array}
$$

(c) A relation on $A$ is a relation from $A$ and $A$.

Example 0.3.6. (1) Using our formal definition of a relation, the familiar relation $\leq$ on the real numbers, would be the triple

$$
(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R},\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \mid a \leq b\})
$$

(2) Let $A=\{1,2,3\}, B=\{a, b, c\}, T=\{(1, a),(1, c),(2, b),(3, b)\}$. Then the relation $\sim:=(A, B, T)$ can be visualized by the following diagram:


Also $1 \sim 1$ is a true statement, $1 \sim b$ is a false statement, $2 \sim a$ is false statement, and $2 \sim b$ is a true statement.

Definition 0.3.7. (a) A function from $A$ to $B$ is a relation $F$ from $A$ to $B$ such that for all $a \in A$ there exists a unique $b$ in $B$ with $a F b$. We denote this unique $b$ by $F(a)$ (or by $F a$ ). So

$$
\text { for all } a \in A \text { and } b \in B: \quad b=F(a) \Longleftrightarrow a F b
$$

$F(a)$ is called the image of a under $F$. If $b=F(a)$ we will say that $F$ maps a to $b$.
(b) We write " $F: A \rightarrow B$ is function" for " $A$ and $B$ are sets and $F$ is a function from $A$ and $B$ ".
(c) Let $F: A \rightarrow B$ be a function and $C$ a subset of $A$. Then $F[C]:=\{F(c) \mid c \in C\}$.

Example 0.3.8. (a) $F=\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R},\left\{\left(x, x^{2}\right) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\right\}\right)$ is a function with $F(x)=x^{2}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
(b) $F=\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R},\left\{\left(x^{2}, x^{3}\right) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\right\}\right)$ is not a function, since $1 F 1$ and $(-1) F 1$.
(c) $F=\left(\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R},\left\{\left(x^{3}, x^{2}\right) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\right\}\right)\right.$ is a function with $F(x)=x^{\frac{2}{3}}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
(d) Let $A=\{1,2,3\}, B=\{4,5,6\},, T=\{(1,4),(2,5),(2,6)\}$ and $R=(A, B, T)$ :


Then $R$ is not a function from $A$ to $B$. Indeed, there does not exist an element $b$ in $R$ with $1 R b$. Also there exists two elements $b$ in $B$ with $2 R b$ namely $b=5$ and $b=6$.
(e) Let $A=\{1,2,3\}, B=\{4,5,6\},, S=\{(1,4),(2,5),(3,5)\}$ and $F=(A, B, T)$ :


Then $F$ is the function from $A$ to $B$ with $F(1)=4, F(2)=5$ and $F(3)=5$.
Notation 0.3.9. $A$ and $B$ be sets and suppose that $\Phi(x)$ is a formula involving a variable $x$ such that for all $x$ in $A$

$$
\Phi(a) \text { is defined } \quad \text { and } \quad \Phi(a) \in B
$$

Put $T=\{(a, \Phi(a)) \mid a \in A\}$ and $F=(A, B, T)$. Then $F$ is a function from $A$ to $B$. We denote this function by

$$
F: A \rightarrow B, \quad a \rightarrow \Phi(a)
$$

So $F$ is a function from $A$ to $B$ and $F(a)=\Phi(a)$ for all $a \in A$.
Example 0.3.10. (1) $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, r \rightarrow r^{2}$ denotes the function from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ with $F(r)=r^{2}$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$.
(2) $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x \rightarrow \frac{1}{x}$ is not a function, since $\frac{1}{0}$ is not defined.
(3) $F: \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x \rightarrow \frac{1}{x}$ is a function.

Theorem 0.3.11. Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $g: C \rightarrow D$ be functions. Then $f=g$ if and only if $A=C, B=D$ and $f(a)=g(a)$ for all $a \in A$.

Proof. By definition of a function, $f=(A, B, R)$ and $g=(C, D, S)$ where $R \subseteq A \times B$ and $S \subseteq C \times D$. By 0.3 .2 b) :
(*) $\quad f=g$ if and only of $A=C, B=D$ and $R=S$.
$\Longrightarrow$ : If $f=g$, then the Principal of Substitution implies, $f(a)=g(a)$ for all $a \in A$. Also by $*$, $A=C$ and $B=D$.
$\Longleftarrow$ : Suppose now that $A=C, B=D$ and $f(a)=g(a)$ for all $a \in A$. By ** it suffices to show that $R=S$.

Let $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.

$$
\begin{array}{lcl} 
& (a, b) \in R \\
\Longleftrightarrow & a f b & \text {-definition of } a f b \\
\Longleftrightarrow & b=f(a) & \text {-the definition of } f(a) \\
\Longleftrightarrow \quad b=g(a) & \text {-since } f(a)=g(a) \\
\Longleftrightarrow & a g b & \text {-definition of } g(a) \\
\Longleftrightarrow & (a, b) \in S & \text {-definition of } a g b
\end{array}
$$

Since $A=C$ and $B=D$, both $R$ and $S$ are subsets of $A \times B$. Hence each element of $R$ and $S$ is of the form $(a, b), a \in A, b \in B$. It follows that $x \in R$ if and only if $x \in S$ and so $R=S$ by 0.2.1.

Definition 0.3.12. Let $R$ be a relation from $A$ and $B$,
(a) $R$ is called 1-1 (or injective) if for all $b \in B$ there exists at most one $a$ in $A$ with $a R b$.
(b) $R$ is called onto (or surjective) if for all $b \in B$ there exists at least one $a \in A$ with $a R b$.
(c) $R$ is called a 1-1 correspondence (or bijective) if for all $a \in A$ there exists a unique $b \in B$ with aRb and for all $d \in B$ there exists a unique $c \in A$ with $c R d$

Example 0.3.13. (1) The relation

is 1-1 and onto, but its is neither a function nor a 1-1 correspondence.
(2) The relation

is a 1-1 function, but is neither onto nor a 1-1 correspondence.

Lemma 0.3.14. (a) Let $f$ be a relation from $A$ and $B$. Then $f$ is a $1-1$ correspondence if and only if $f$ is a 1-1 and onto function.
(b) Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a function. Then $f$ is 1-1 if and only

$$
\text { For all } a, c \in A: \quad f(a)=f(c) \Longrightarrow a=c
$$

(c) A relation $f$ from $A$ and $B$ is onto if and only if $\operatorname{Im} f=B$.

Proof. (a)
$f$ is a 1-1 correspondence
for all $a \in A$ there exists a unique $b \in B$ with $a f b$, and
$\Longleftrightarrow \quad$ for all $d \in B$ there exists a unique $c \in A$ with $c f d$

- Definition of 1-1 correspondence
$f$ is a function, and
$\Longleftrightarrow$ for all $d \in B$ there exists a unique $c \in A$ with $c f d$
- Definition of a function
$f$ is a function, and
$\Longleftrightarrow$ for all $d \in B$ there exists at most one $c \in A$ with $c f d$, and -0.1.7 for all $d \in B$ there exists at least one $c \in A$ with $c f d$
$\Longleftrightarrow f$ is a 1-1 and onto function - Definition of 1-1 and onto
(b)
$f$ is 1-1
$\Longleftrightarrow$ for all $b \in B: \quad$ there exists at most one $a \in A$ with $a f b \quad$ - definition of 1-1
$\Longleftrightarrow$ for all $b \in B: \quad$ there exists at most one $a \in A$ with $b=f(a)$ - definition of $f(a)$
$\Longleftrightarrow$ for all $b \in B, a, c \in A: \quad b=f(a)$ and $b=f(c) \Longrightarrow a=c \quad$ - definition of "exists at most one"
$\Longleftrightarrow$ for all $a, c \in A: \quad f(a)=f(c) \Longrightarrow a=c$
(c) By definition of $\operatorname{Im} f$ :

$$
\operatorname{Im} f=\{b \in B \mid \text { there exists } a \in A: a f b\}
$$

Hence by 0.2 .5

$$
\begin{equation*}
b \in \operatorname{Im} f \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad b \in B \text { and there exists } a \in A: a f b \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $b \in \operatorname{Im} f$ implies $b \in B$ and so $\operatorname{Im} f \subseteq B$. Thus
$(* *) \quad B=\operatorname{Im} f$ if and only if $B \subseteq \operatorname{Im} f$.
We have

$$
\begin{array}{lll} 
& B=\operatorname{Im} f & \\
\Longleftrightarrow & B \subseteq \operatorname{Im} f & \\
\Longleftrightarrow & b \in B \Longrightarrow b \in \operatorname{Im} f & \\
\Longleftrightarrow & \text { for all } b \in B: b \in \operatorname{Im} f & \text { - Definition of subset } \\
\Longleftrightarrow & \text { for all } b \in B:(b \in B \text { and there exists } a \in A: a f b) & -* \\
\Longleftrightarrow & \text { for all } b \in B: \text { there exists } a \in A: a f b & \\
\Longleftrightarrow & f \text { is onto } &
\end{array}
$$

Definition 0.3.15. (a) Let $A$ be a set. The identity function $\mathrm{id}_{A}$ on $A$ is the function

$$
\operatorname{id}_{A}: A \rightarrow A, a \rightarrow a
$$

So $\operatorname{id}_{A}(a)=a$ for all $a \in A$.
(b) Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $g: B \rightarrow C$ be function. Then $g \circ f$ is the function

$$
g \circ f: A \rightarrow C, a \rightarrow g(f(a)
$$

So $(g \circ f)(a)=g(f(a))$ for all $a \in A$.

## Exercises 0.3:

\#1. Let $a, b, c, d$ be objects. Prove that

$$
((a, b)=(c, d)) \Longleftrightarrow((a=c) \text { and }(b=d))
$$

\#2. Give an example of an 1-1 and onto relation which is not a function.
$\#$ 3. Let $F=(A, B, R)$ be a relation. Put

$$
S=\{(b, a) \in B \times A \mid(a, b) \in R\} \text { and } G=(B, A, S)
$$

Note that $G$ a relation from $B$ and $A$. Also, if $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, then $b G a$ if and only if $a F b$.
Show that $F$ is a function if and only if $G$ is $1-1$ and onto.
\#4. Let $A$ and $B$ be sets. Let $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ be subsets of $A$ and $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ subsets of $B$ such that $A=$ $A_{1} \cup A_{2}, A_{1} \cap A_{2}=\emptyset, B=B_{1} \cup B_{2}$ and $B_{1} \cap B_{2}=\emptyset$. Let $\pi_{1}: A_{1} \rightarrow B_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}: A_{2} \rightarrow B_{2}$ be bijections. (Recall that a bijection is a 1-1 and onto function.) Define

$$
\pi: A \rightarrow B, a \rightarrow \begin{cases}\pi_{1}(a) & \text { if } a \in A_{1} \\ \pi_{2}(a) & \text { if } a \in A_{2}\end{cases}
$$

Show that $\pi$ is a bijection.
\#5. Prove that the given function is injective
(a) $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, f(x)=2 x$.
(b) $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow R, f(x)=x^{3}$.
(c) $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}, f(x)=\frac{x}{7}$.
(d) $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(x)=-3 x+5$.
\#6. Prove that the given function is surjective.
(a) $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(x)=x^{3}$.
(b) $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, f(x)=x-4$.
(c) $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(x)=-3 x+5$.
(d) $f: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}, f(a, b)=\frac{a}{b}$ when $b \neq 0$ and $f(a, b)=0$ when $b=0$.
\#7. (a) Let $f: B \rightarrow C$ and $g: C \rightarrow D$ be functions such that $g \circ f$ is injective. Prove that $f$ is injective.
(b) Give an example of the situation in part (a) in which $g$ is not injective.

### 0.4 The Natural Numbers and Induction

A natural number is a non-negative integer. $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the set of all natural numbers. So

$$
\mathbb{N}=\{0,1,2,3 \ldots\}
$$

We do assume that familiarity with the basic properties of the natural numbers, like addition, multiplication and the order relation ' $\leq$ '.

A quick remark how to construct the natural numbers:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
0 & =\emptyset & & \\
1 & =\{0\} & & =0 \cup\{0\} \\
2 & =\{0,1\} & & =1 \cup\{1\} \\
3 & =\{0,1,2\} & & =2 \cup\{2\} \\
4 & =\{0,1,2,3\} & & =3 \cup\{3\} \\
& \quad \vdots & & \\
n+1 & =\{0,1,2,3, \ldots, n\} & =n \cup\{n\}
\end{array}
$$

Definition 0.4.1. Let $S$ is a subset of $\mathbb{N}$. Then $s$ is called a minimal element of $S$ if $s \in S$ and $s \leq t$ for all $t \in S$.

The following property of the natural numbers is part of our assumed properties of the integers and natural numbers. (see Appendix C)

Well-Ordering Axiom: Let $S$ be a non-empty subset of $\mathbb{N}$. Then $S$ has a minimal element
Using the Well-Ordering Axiom we now provide an important tool to prove statements which hold for all natural numbers:

Theorem 0.4.2 (Principal Of Mathematical Induction). Suppose that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ a statement $P(n)$ is given and that:
(i) $P(0)$ is true.
(ii) If $P(k)$ is true for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then also $P(k+1)$ is true.

Then $P(n)$ is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that $P\left(n_{0}\right)$ is false for some $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$. Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
S:=\{s \in \mathbb{N} \mid P(s) \text { is false }\} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $n_{0} \in S$ and so $S$ is not empty. So by the Well-Ordering Axiom C.4.2, $S$ has a minimal element $m$. So by definition of a minimal element

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \in S \text { and } m \leq s \text { for all } s \in S \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (i) $P(0)$ is true and so $0 \notin S$ and $m \neq 0$. Thus $k:=m-1$ is a non-negative integer and $k<m$. If $k \in S$, then $* *$ gives $m \leq k$, a contradiction. Thus $k \notin S$. By definition of $S$ this means that $P(k)$ is true. So by (ii), $P(k+1)$ is true. But $k+1=(m-1)+1=m$ and so $P(m)$ is true. But $m \in S$ and so $P(m)$ is false. This contradiction show that $P(n)$ is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 0.4.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $S$ be a set with exactly $n$ elements. Then $S$ has exactly $2^{n}$ subsets.
Proof. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $P(n)$ be the statement
$P(n)$ : If $S$ is a set with exactly $n$ elements, then $S$ has exactly $2^{n}$ subsets.
If $n=0$, then $S=\emptyset$. So $S$ has exactly one subset, namely $\emptyset$. Since $2^{0}=1$ we see that $P(0)$ holds.
Now suppose that $P(k)$ holds and let $S$ be a set with $k+1$ elements. Fix $s \in S$ and put $T=S \backslash\{s\}$. Then $T$ is a set with $k$ elements.

Let $A \subseteq S$. Then either $s \in A$ or $s \notin A$ but not both.
Suppose that $s \notin A$. Then $A \subseteq T$. By the induction assumption, $T$ has $2^{k}$ subsets and so there are $2^{k}$ subsets of $A$ with $s \notin A$.

Suppose that $s \in A$. Then $A=\{s\} \cup B$ for a unique subset $B$ of $T$, namely $B=A \backslash\{s\}$. By the induction assumption there are $2^{k}$ choices for $B$ and so there exists $2^{k}$ subsets of $S$ with $s \in A$.

Since the number of subsets of $A$ is the number of subsets of $A$ not containing $s$ plus the number of subsets of $A$ containing $s$ we conclude that $A$ has $2^{k}+2^{k}=2^{k+1}$ subsets. Thus $P(k+1)$ holds.

We proved that $P(0)$ holds and that $P(k)$ implies $P(k+1)$ and so by the principal of induction, $P(n)$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 0.4.4 (Principal Of Complete Induction). Suppose that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ a statement $P(n)$ is given and that
(i) If $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $P(i)$ is true for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i<k$, then $P(k)$ is true.

Then $P(n)$ is true for all $n$.
Proof. Let $Q(n)$ be the statement that $P(i)$ is true for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i<n$. Since there does not exits $i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i<0$ we have
(*) $\quad Q(0)$ is true.
Suppose now that $Q(k)$ is true, that is $P(i)$ is a true for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i<k$. Then by (ii), also $P(k)$ is true. Hence $P(i)$ is for all $i$ in $\mathbb{N}$ with $i<k+1$. Thus $Q(k+1)$ is true. We proved
(**) If $Q(k)$ is true for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then also $Q(k+1)$ is true.
By (*) and $\sqrt[* *]{ }$ ) the assumptions of the Principal of Mathematical Induction are fulfilled. Hence $Q(n)$ is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $Q(n+1)$ is true and since $n<n+1, P(n)$ is true.

One last version of the induction principal:
Theorem 0.4.5. Suppose $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \geq r$, a statement $P(n)$ is given. Also assume that one of the following statements holds:
(1) $P(r)$ is true, and if $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $k \geq r$ and $P(k)$ is true, then $P(k+1)$ is true.
(2) If $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $k \geq r$ and $P(i)$ holds for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $r \leq i<k$, then $P(k)$ holds.

Then $P(n)$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \geq r$.
Proof. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $Q(n)$ be the statement $P(n+r)$. If (1) holds we can apply 0.4 .2 to $Q(n)$ and if (2) holds we can apply 0.4 .4 to $Q(n)$. In both cases we conclude that $Q(n)$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So $P(n+r)$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $P(n)$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \geq r$.

## Exercises 0.4:

\#1. Prove that the sum of the first $n$ positive integers is $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$.
Hint: Let $P(k)$ be the statement:

$$
1+2+\ldots+k=\frac{k(k+1)}{2}
$$

\#2. Let $r$ be a real number, $r \neq 1$. Prove that for every integer $n \geq 1$,

$$
1+r+r^{2}+\ldots r^{n-1}=\frac{r^{n}-1}{r-1}
$$

\#3. Prove that for every positive integer $n$ there exists an integer $k$ with $2^{2 n+1}+1=2 k$
\#4. Let $B$ be a set of $n$ elements.
(a) If $n \geq 2$, prove that the number of two-elements subsets of $B$ is $n(n-1) / 2$.
(b) If $n \geq 3$, prove that the number of three-element subsets of $B$ is $n(n-1)(n-2) / 3$ !.
\#5. What is wrong with the following proof that all roses have the same color:

For a positive integer $n$ let $P(n)$ be the statement:
Let $A$ be a set containing $n$ roses. Then all roses in $A$ have the same color.
If $n=1$, then $A$ only contains on rose and so certainly all roses in $A$ have the same color. Thus $P(1)$ is true.

Suppose now that $P(k)$ is true, that is whenever $B$ is a set of $k$ roses then all roses in $B$ have the same color. We need to show that $P(k+1)$ is true. So let $A$ be any set of $k+1$-roses. Let $x$ and $y$ be distinct roses in $A$. Consider the set $X=A \backslash\{x\}$ (that is the set of roses in $A$ different from $x$ ). Then $X$ is set of $k$ roses. By the induction assumption $P(k)$ is true and so all roses in $X$ have the same color. Similarly let $Y=A \backslash\{y\}$, then all roses in $Y$ have the same color. Now let $z$ be a rose in $A$ distinct from $x$ and $y$. Since $z$ is distinct from $x, z \in X$; and since $z$ is distinct from $y, z \in Y$. We will show that all roses in $A$ have the same color as $z$. Indeed let $a$ be any rose in $A$. If $a \neq x$, then both $a$ and $z$ are in $X$ and so $a$ has the same color as $z$. If $a=x$ then both $a$ and $z$ are in $Y$ and so again a and $z$ have the same color. We proved that all roses in A have the same color as $z$. Thus $P(k+1)$ is true.

We proved that $P(1)$ is true and that $P(k)$ implies $P(k+1)$. Hence by the Principal of Mathematical Induction, $P(n)$ is true for all $n$. Thus in any finite set of roses all the roses have the same color. So all roses have the same color.
\#6. Let $x$ be a real number greater than -1 . Prove that for every positive integer $n,(1+x)^{n} \geq 1+n x$.

### 0.5 Equivalence Relations

Definition 0.5.1. Let $\sim$ be a relation on a set $A$ (that is a relation from $A$ and $A$ ). Then
(a) $\sim$ is called reflexive if $a \sim a$ for all $a \in A$.
(b) $\sim$ is called symmetric if $b \sim a$ for all $a, b \in A$ with $a \sim b$, that is if

$$
a \sim b \quad \Longrightarrow \quad b \sim a
$$

(c) $\sim$ is called transitive if $a \sim c$ for all $a, b, c \in A$ with $a \sim b$ and $b \sim c$, that is if

$$
(a \sim b \quad \text { and } \quad b \sim c) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad a \sim c
$$

(d) $\sim$ is called an equivalence relation if $\sim$ is reflexive,symmetric and transitive.

Example 0.5.2. (1) Consider the relation " $\leq "$ on the real numbers:
$a \leq a$ for all real numbers $a$ and so $" \leq "$ is reflexive.
$1 \leq 2$ but $2 \not \leq 1$ and so " $\leq "$ is not symmetric.
If $a \leq b$ and $b \leq c$, then $a \leq c$ and so $" \leq "$ is transitive.
Since " $\leq "$ is not symmetric, $" \leq "$ is not an equivalence relation.
(2) Consider the relation $"="$ on any set $A$.
$a=a$ and so $"="$ is reflexive.
If $a=b$, then $b=a$ and so $"="$ is symmetric.
If $a=b$ and $b=c$, then $a=c$ and so $"="$ is transitive.
$"="$ is reflexive, symmetric and transitive and so an equivalence relation.
(3) Consider the relation $" \neq "$ on any set $A$.
$a \neq a$ and so if $A \neq \emptyset, " \neq "$ is not reflexive.
Suppose $A$ has at least two distinct elements $a, b$. Then

$$
a \neq b \quad \text { and } \quad b \neq a \quad \text { but } \quad \text { not }-(a \neq a)
$$

So " $\neq "$ is not transitive.
Definition 0.5.3. (a) Let $a, b$ be integers, then we say that $a$ divides $b$ and write $a \mid b$ if there exists an integer $k$ with $b=a k$.
(b) Let $n$ be an integers. Then the relation $‘\left(\equiv(\bmod n)^{\prime}\right.$ on $\mathbb{Z}$ is defined by

$$
a \equiv b \quad(\bmod n) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad n \mid a-b
$$

If $a \equiv b(\bmod n)$ we say that $a$ is congruent to $b$ modulo $n$.
Example 0.5.4. (1) $2 \mid 6$, since $6=2 \cdot 3$. But $7 \nmid 31$,
(2) $6 \equiv 4(\bmod 2)$ is true since 2 divides $6-4$.

But $3 \equiv 8(\bmod 2)$ is false since 2 does not divide $3-8$. Thus $3 \not \equiv 8(\bmod 2)$.
If $a$ and $b$ are integers, then $a \equiv b(\bmod 2)$ if and only if $b-a$ is even and so if and only if either both $a$ and $b$ are even, or both $a$ and $b$ are odd.
Hence $a \not \equiv b(\bmod 2)$ if and only if one of $a$ and $b$ is even and the other is odd.
(3) Let $a, b$ be integers. Then

$$
\begin{array}{lc} 
& a \equiv b \quad(\bmod 0) \\
\Longleftrightarrow & 0 \mid a-b \\
\Longleftrightarrow & a-b=0 \cdot k \quad \text { for some } k \in \mathbb{Z} \\
\Longleftrightarrow & a-b=0 \\
\Longleftrightarrow & a=b
\end{array}
$$

So congruent modulo 0 is the equality relation.
(4) Since $m=m \cdot 1,1$ divides all integers. Thus $1 \mid b-a$ for all integers $a$ and $b$ and so

$$
a \equiv b \quad(\bmod 1) \text { for all } a, b \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Lemma 0.5.5. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the relation $" \equiv(\bmod n)$ " is an equivalence relation on $\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. We have to show that $" \equiv(\bmod n)$ " is reflexive, symmetric and transitive. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Reflexive: Since $a-a=0=0 \cdot n$ we see that $n \mid a-a$ and so $a \equiv a(\bmod n)$. Thus " $\equiv(\bmod n) "$ is reflexive.

Symmetric: Suppose that $a \equiv b(\bmod n)$. Then $n \mid(a-b)$ and so $a-b=n k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $b-a=-(a-b)=-(n k)=n(-k)$. So $n \mid b-a$ and $b \equiv a(\bmod n)$. Thus " $\equiv(\bmod n) "$ is symmetric.

Transitive: Suppose that $a \equiv b(\bmod n)$ and $b \equiv c(\bmod n)$. Then $n \mid a-b$ and $n \mid b-c$ and so there exist $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a-b=n k$ and $b-c=n l$. Thus

$$
a-c=(a-b)+(b-c)=n k+n l=n(k+l) .
$$

Hence $n \mid a-c$ and $a \equiv c(\bmod n)$. Thus $" \equiv(\bmod n) "$ is transitive.
Definition 0.5.6. Let $\sim$ be an equivalence relation on the set $A$ and let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(a) For $a \in A$ we define $[a]_{\sim}:=\{b \in A \mid a \sim b\}$. We often just write $[a]$ for $[a]_{\sim} .[a]_{\sim}$ is called the equivalence class of a with respect to $\sim$.
(b) $A / \sim:=\left\{[a]_{\sim} \mid a \in A\right\}$. So $A / \sim$ is the set of equivalence classes with respect to $\sim$.
(c) Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $[a]_{n}$ is the equivalence class a with respect to $\fallingdotseq(\bmod n)^{\prime} .[a]_{n}$ is called the congruence class of a modulo $n$.
(d) $\mathbb{Z}_{n}:=\mathbb{Z} /^{\prime} a \equiv b(\bmod n)^{\prime}$. So $\mathbb{Z}_{n}=\left\{[a]_{n} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ is the set of congruence classes modulo $n$.

Example 0.5.7. (1) Consider the relation ${ }^{\prime} \equiv(\bmod 2)$ ':

$$
[1]_{2}=\{b \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 1 \equiv b \quad(\bmod 2)\}=\{b \in \mathbb{Z} \mid b \text { is odd }\}
$$

and so $[1]_{2}$ is the set of odd integers.

$$
[0]_{2}=\{b \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 0 \equiv b \quad(\bmod 2)\}=\{b \in \mathbb{Z} \mid b \text { is even }\}
$$

and so $[0]_{2}$ is the set of odd integers.
In general:

$$
[a]_{2}=\{b \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a \equiv b \quad(\bmod 2)\}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\{b \in \mathbb{Z} \mid b \text { is even }\} & \text { if } a \text { is even } \\
\{b \in \mathbb{Z} \mid b \text { is odd }\} & \text { if } a \text { is odd }
\end{array} .\right.
$$

So

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{2}=\{\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \mathrm{n} \text { is even }\},\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \mathrm{n} \text { is odd }\}\}=\left\{[0]_{2},[1]_{2}\right\} .
$$

(2) Consider the relation ${ }^{\prime} \equiv(\bmod 5)^{\prime}$ : We have

$$
0 \equiv b(\bmod 5) \Longleftrightarrow 5|b-0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad 5| b \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad b=5 k \text { for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

so

$$
[0]_{5}=\{b \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 0 \equiv b \quad(\bmod 5)\}=\{5 k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}=\{0,5,10,15,20, \ldots,-5,-10,-15,-20, \ldots\}
$$

Also
$1 \equiv b(\bmod 5) \Longleftrightarrow 5 \mid b-1 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad b-1=5 k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad b=5 k+1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and so

$$
[1]_{5}=\{b \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 1 \equiv b \quad(\bmod 5)\}=\{5 k+1 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}=\{1,6,11,16,21, \ldots,-4,-9,-14,-19, \ldots\}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[2]_{5}=\{b \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 2 \equiv b \quad(\bmod 5)\}=\{5 k+2 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}=\{2,7,12,17,22, \ldots,-3,-8,-13,-18, \ldots\}} \\
& {[3]_{5}=\{b \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 3 \equiv b \quad(\bmod 5)\}=\{5 k+3 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}=\{3,8,13,18,23, \ldots,-2,-7,-12,-17, \ldots\}} \\
& {[4]_{5}=\{b \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 4 \equiv b \quad(\bmod 5)\}=\{5 k+4 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}=\{4,9,14,19,24, \ldots,-1,-6,-11,-16, \ldots\}} \\
& {[5]_{5}=\{b \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 5 \equiv b \quad(\bmod 5)\}=\{5 k+5 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}=\{5,10,15,20,25, \ldots, 0,-5,-10,-15, \ldots\}=[0]_{5}} \\
& {[6]_{5}=\{b \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 6 \equiv b \quad(\bmod 5)\}=\{5 k+6 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}=\{6,11,16,21,26, \ldots, 1,-4,-9,-14, \ldots\}=[1]_{5}}
\end{aligned}
$$

So it seems that

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{5}=\left\{[0]_{5},[1]_{5},[2]_{5},[3]_{5},[4]_{5}\right\}
$$

Later (see 2.1.2 be will give a rigorous proof for this.
(3) Consider the relation ${ }^{\prime} \equiv(\bmod 0) . \operatorname{By} 0.5 .4 a \equiv b(\bmod 0)$ if and only if $a=b$.

So

$$
[a]_{0}=\{a\}
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{0}=\{\{a\} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}\}
$$

(4) By $0.5 .4 a \equiv b(\bmod 1)$ for all $a, b$. Thus

So

$$
[a]_{0}=\mathbb{Z}
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{1}=\{\mathbb{Z}\}
$$

Theorem 0.5.8. Let $\sim$ be an equivalence relation on the set $A$ and $a, b \in A$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(a) $a \sim b$.
(c) $[a] \cap[b] \neq \emptyset$.
(e) $a \in[b]$
(b) $b \in[a]$.
(d) $[a]=[b]$.
(f) $b \sim a$.

Proof. (a) $\Longrightarrow$ b): Suppose that $a \sim b$. Since $[a]=\{b \in A \mid a \sim b\}$ we conclude that $b \in[a]$.
(b) $\Longrightarrow$ (c): Suppose that $b \in[a]$. Since $\sim$ is reflexive, $b \sim b$ and so $b \in[b]$. Thus $b \in[a] \cap[b]$ and $[a] \cap[b] \neq \emptyset$.
(c) $\Longrightarrow$ (d): $\quad$ Suppose $[a] \cap[b] \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists $c \in[a] \cap[b]$.

We will first show that $[a] \subseteq[b]$. So let $d \in[a]$. Then $a \sim d$. Since $c \in[a], a \sim c$ and since $\sim$ is symmetric, $c \sim a$. Since $a \sim d$ and $\sim$ is transitive, $c \sim d$. Since $c \in[b], b \sim c$. Since $c \sim d$ and $\sim$ is transitive, $b \sim d$ and so $d \in[b]$. Thus $[a] \subseteq[b]$.

A similar argument shows that $[b] \subseteq[a]$. We proved that $[a] \subseteq[b]$ and $[b] \subseteq[a]$ and so $[a]=[b]$ by 0.2 .3
(d) $\Longrightarrow$ (e): Since $a$ is reflexive, $a \sim a$ and so $a \in[a]$. As $[a]=[b]$ we get $a \in[b]$.
$(\mathrm{e}) \Longrightarrow(\mathrm{f}): \quad$ From $a \in[b]$ and the definition of $[b], b \sim a$.
$(f) \Longrightarrow$ a): $\quad$ Since $\sim$ is symmetric, $b \sim a$ implies $a \sim b$.

## Exercises 0.5:

\#1. Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a function and define a relation $\sim$ on $A$ by

$$
u \sim v \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad f(u)=f(v)
$$

Prove that $\sim$ is an equivalence relation.
\#2. Let $A=\{1,2,3\}$. Use the definition of a relation (see 0.3.5b) to exhibit a relation on $A$ with the stated properties.
(a) Reflexive, not symmetric, not transitive.
(b) Symmetric, not reflexive, not transitive.
(c) Transitive, not reflexive, not symmetric.
(d) Reflexive and symmetric, not transitive.
(e) Reflexive and transitive, not symmetric.
(f) Symmetric and transitive, not reflexive.
$\# 3$. Let $\sim$ be the relation on the set $\mathbb{R}^{*}$ of non-zero real numbers defined by

$$
a \sim b \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q}
$$

Prove that $\sim$ is an equivalence relation.
\#4. Let $\sim$ be a symmetric and transitive relation on a set $A$. What is wrong with the following 'proof' that $\sim$ is reflexive.:
$a \sim b$ implies $b \sim a$ by symmetry; then $a \sim b$ and $b \sim a$ imply that $a \sim a$ by transitivity.

## Chapter 1

## Arithmetic in $\mathbb{Z}$

### 1.1 The Division Algorithm

Theorem 1.1.1 (The Division Algorithm). Let $a$ and $b$ be integers with $b>0$. Then there exist unique integers $q$ and $r$ such that

$$
a=b q+r \quad \text { and } \quad 0 \leq r<b
$$

Proof. We will first show that $q$ and $r$ exist. Put

$$
S:=\{a-b x \mid x \in \mathbb{Z} \text { and } a-b x \geq 0\}
$$

We would like to apply the well-ordering Axiom to $S$, so we need to verify that $S$ is not empty. That is we need to find $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a-b x \geq 0$.

If $a \geq 0$, then $a-b 0=a>0$ and we can choose $x=0$.
So suppose $a<0$. Let's try $x=a$. Then $a-b x=a-b a=(1-b) a$. Since $b>0$ and $b$ is an integer, $b \geq 1$ and so $1-b \leq 0$. Since $a \leq 0$, this implies $(1-b) a \geq 0$ and so $a-b x \geq 0$. So we can indeed choose $x=a$.

We have proved that $S$ is non-empty. Note that every element of $S$ is a natural number and so $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. Hence by the Well-ordering Axiom C.4.2 $S$ has minimal element $r$. So

$$
r \in S \quad \text { and } \quad r \leq s \text { for all } s \in S
$$

Since $r \in S$, the definition of $S$ implies that there exists $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $r=a-b q$. Then $a=b q+r$ and it remains to show $0 \leq r<b$. Since $r \in S, r \geq 0$. Suppose for a contradiction that $r \geq b$. Then $r-b \geq 0$. Note that

$$
r-b=(a-b q)-b=a-b(q+1)
$$

and $q+1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $r-b \in S$. Since $b>0$ we have $r-b<r$, but this is a contradiction since $r$ is a minimal element of $S$.

This shows the existence of $q$ and $r$. To show the uniqueness let $q, r, \tilde{q}$ and $\tilde{r}$ be integers with

$$
(a=b q+r \text { and } 0 \leq r<b) \quad \text { and } \quad(a=b \tilde{q}+\tilde{r} \text { and } 0 \leq \tilde{r}<b)
$$

We need to show that $q=\tilde{q}$ and $r=\tilde{r}$.
From $a=b q+r$ and $a=b \tilde{q}+\tilde{r}$ we have

$$
b q+r=b \tilde{q}+\tilde{r}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(q-\tilde{q})=\tilde{r}-r \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying the equation $0 \leq r<b$ with -1 gives $0 \geq-r>-b$ and so

$$
-b<-r \leq 0
$$

Adding the inequality

$$
0 \leq \tilde{r}<b
$$

yields

$$
-b<\tilde{r}-r<b
$$

Using $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ we conclude

$$
-b<-b(q-\tilde{q})<b
$$

Since $b>0$ we can divide by $b$ and get

$$
-1<q-\tilde{q}<1
$$

The only integer strictly between -1 and 1 is 0 . Hence $q-\tilde{q}=0$ and so $q=\tilde{q}$. Hence (*) gives $\tilde{r}-r=b(q-\tilde{q})=b 0=0$ and so also $\tilde{r}=r$.

Corollary 1.1.2 (Division Algorithm). Let $a$ and $c$ be integers with $c \neq 0$. Then there exist unique integers $q$ and $r$ such that

$$
a=c q+r \text { and } 0 \leq r<|c| .
$$

Proof. See Exercise 1.1. \#1
Definition 1.1.3. Let $a$ and $b$ be integers with $b \neq 0$. Let $q, r$ be the unique integers with $a=b q+r$ and $0 \leq r<|b|$. Then $r$ is called the remainder of $a$ when divided by $b$ and $q$ is called the integral quotient of $a$ when divided by $b$.

Example 1.1.4. (1) $42=8 \cdot 5+2$ and $0 \leq 2<8$. So the remainder of 42 when divided by 8 is 2 .
(2) $-42=8 \cdot-6+6$ and $0 \leq 6<8$. So the remainder of -42 when divided by 8 is 6 .

## Exercises 1.1:

\#1. Let $a$ and $c$ be integers with $c \neq 0$. Proof that there exist unique integers $q$ and $r$ such that

$$
a=c q+r \text { and } 0 \leq r<|c| .
$$

\#2. Prove that the square of an integer is either of the form $3 k$ or the form $3 k+1$ for some integer $k$.
\#3. Use the Division Algorithm to prove that every odd integer is of the form $4 k+1$ or $4 k+3$ for some integer $k$.
\#4. (a) Divide $5^{2}, 7^{2}, 11^{2}, 15^{2}$ and $27^{2}$ by 8 and note the remainder in each case.
(b) Make a conjecture about the remainder when the square of an odd number is divided by 8 .
(c) Prove your conjecture.
\#5. Prove that the cube of any integer has be exactly one of these forms: $9 k, 9 k+1$ or $9 k+8$ for some integer $k$.

### 1.2 Divisibility

Lemma 1.2.1. Let $a$ and $b$ be integers.
(a)

$$
b|a \Longleftrightarrow b|-a \Longleftrightarrow-b|a \Longleftrightarrow-b|-a
$$

(b) a and -a have the same divisors.
(c) If $b \mid a$ and $a \neq 0$, then $1 \leq|b| \leq|a|$.
(d) If $a \neq 0$, then a has only finitely many divisors.

Proof. (a) We will first show

$$
\begin{equation*}
b|a \quad \Longrightarrow \quad b|-a \text {. } \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this suppose that $b$ divides $a$. Then by definition of "divide" there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a=k b$. Thus $-a=-(k b)=(-k) b$. Since $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ also $-k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus the definition of "divide" shows that $b$ divides $-a$. So (*) holds.

$$
\begin{equation*}
b|-a \quad \Longrightarrow \quad-b| a \text {. } \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $b$ divides $-a$. Then by definition of "divide" there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $-a=k b$. Thus $a=-(-a)=-(k b)=k(-b)$. Thus the definition of "divide" shows that $-b$ divides $a$. So ** holds.
$(* * *) \quad-b|a \quad \Longrightarrow \quad-b|-a$
By (*), since $-b|a,-b|-a$.
$(+)$

$$
-b|-a \quad \Longrightarrow \quad b| a
$$

By $* *$, since $-b|-a,-(-b)| a$ and so $b \mid a$.
We proved

$$
b|a \quad \Longrightarrow \quad b|-a \quad \Longrightarrow \quad-b|a \quad \Longrightarrow \quad-b|-a \quad \Longrightarrow \quad b \mid a
$$

and so (a) holds.
(b) By (a) $b \mid a$ if and only if $b \mid-a$. So $b$ is a divisor of $a$ if and only if $b$ is a divisor of $-a$.
(c) Suppose $a \neq 0$ and that $b \mid a$. Then $a=k b$ for some $k$ in $\mathbb{Z}$. Since $0 b=0$ and $a \neq 0$ we have $k \neq 0$ and since $k$ is an integer $|k| \geq 1$. Since $|b| \geq 0$ this gives $|k||b| \geq 1|b|=|b|$. Hence

$$
b \leq|b| \leq|k||b|=|k b|=|a|
$$

Also since $a=k b$ and $a \neq 0, b \neq 0$ and so $|b| \geq 1$. Thus (c) is proved.
(d) Suppose $a \neq 0$ and let $b$ be divisor of $a$. By (c), $|b| \leq|a|$ and so $-|a| \leq b \leq|a|$. Thus $b$ is one of $-|a|,-|a|+1,-|a|+2, \ldots,-1,0,1, \ldots,|a|-1,|a|$ and so $a$ has at most $2|a|+1$ divisors.

Definition 1.2.2. Let $a, b$ and $d$ be integers.
(a) $d$ is called $a$ common divisor of $a$ and $b$ provided that $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$.
(b) $d$ is called a greatest common divisor of $a$ and $b$ provided that
(i) $d$ is a common divisor of $a$ and $b$; and
(ii) if $c$ is a common divisor of $a$ and $b$ then $c \leq d$.

Example 1.2.3. (1) The largest integer dividing both 24 and 42 is 6 . So 6 is the greatest common divisor of 24 and 42 .
(2) All integers divide 0 and 0 . So there does not exits a greatest common divisor of 0 and 0 .

Lemma 1.2.4. Let $a$ and $b$ be integers, not both 0 . Then $a$ and $b$ have a unique greatest common divisor. We denote the unique greatest common divisor of $a$ and $b b y \operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$.

Proof. We may assume that $a \neq 0$. Then by 1.2 .1 d), $a$ has only finitely many divisors. Thus $a$ and $b$ have only finitely many common divisors. Let $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}$ be the common divisors of $a$ and $b$ such that

$$
c_{1}<c_{2}<c_{3}<\ldots<c_{n}
$$

Then $c_{n}$ is the unique greatest common divisor.

Lemma 1.2.5. Let $a, b, c, u$ and $v$ be integers and suppose that $c$ is a common divisor of $a$ and $b$. Then $c$ divides $a u+b v$. In particular, $c$ divides $a+b, a u,-a u, a+b v, a u-b v$ and $a-b v$.

Proof. Since $c$ is a common divisor of $a$ and $b$ we have $c \mid a$ and $c \mid b$. So by definition of 'divide' there exist $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a=k c$ and $b=l c$. Thus

$$
a u+b v=(k c) u+(l c v)=(k u+l v) c
$$

Since $k, l, u$ and $v$ are integers, also $k u+l v$ is an integer. So the definition of 'divide' shows that $c \mid a u+b v$. Choosing special values for $u$ and $v$ proves the second statement:

| $u$ | $v$ | $a u+b v$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | $a+b$ |
| $u$ | 0 | $a u$ |
| $-u$ | 0 | $-a u$ |
| 1 | $v$ | $a+b v$ |
| $u$ | $-v$ | $a u-b v$ |
| 1 | $-v$ | $a-b v$ |

Lemma 1.2.6. Let $a, b, q$ and $r$ be integers with $a \neq 0$ or $b \neq 0$ and $a=b q+r$. Then $b \neq 0$ or $r \neq 0$, and $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=\operatorname{gcd}(b, r)$.

Proof. If $b=0$ and $r=0$ then also $a=b q+r=0 q+0$, a contradiction to the hypothesis that $a \neq 0$ or $b \neq 0$.
In particular, both $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$ and $\operatorname{gcd}((, b), r)$ exists. Put $d=\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$ and $e=\operatorname{gcd}(b, r)$. Then $d$ divides $a$ and $b$ and so by $1.2 .5 d$ divides $r=a-b q$. Hence $d$ is a common divisor of $b$ and $r$. Thus $d \leq e$ by the definition of $g c d$.

Since $e=\operatorname{gcd}(b, r), e$ divides $b$ and $r$. So by 1.2.5 $e$ divides $a=b q+r$. Thus $e$ is a common divisor of $a$ and $b$ and so $e \leq d$. We have proved $d \leq e$ and $e \leq d$ and so $e=d$.

Theorem 1.2.7 (Euclidean Algorithm). Let $a$ and $b$ be integers not both 0 and let $E_{-1}$ and $E_{0}$ be the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{-1} & : a=a 1+b 0 \\
E_{0} & : b=a 0+b 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose inductively we already defined equation $E_{k},-1 \leq k \leq i$ of the form

$$
E_{k}: r_{k}=a x_{k}+b y_{k}
$$

Suppose $r_{i} \neq 0$ and let $t_{i+1}, q_{i+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ with

$$
r_{i-1}=r_{i} q_{i+1}+t_{i+1} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|t_{i+1}\right|<\left|r_{i}\right| .
$$

(Note here that such $t_{i+1}, q_{i+1}$ exist by the division algorithm 1.1.2)
Let $E_{i+1}$ be the equation of the form $r_{i+1}=a x_{i+1}+b y_{i+1}$ obtained by subtracting $q_{i+1}$-times equation $E_{i}$ from $E_{i-1}$. Then there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $r_{m-1} \neq 0$ and $r_{m}=0$. Put $d=\left|r_{m-1}\right|$. Then
(a) $r_{k}, x_{k}, y_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $-1 \leq k \leq m$.
(b) $d$ is the greatest common divisor of $a$ and $b$.
(c) $r_{m-1}=a x_{m-1}+b y_{m-1}$ and $d=a x+b y$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $k \geq-1$, let $P(k)$ be the statement that $r_{k}, x_{k}$ and $y_{k}$ are integers and if $k \geq 1$, then $\left|r_{k}\right|<\left|r_{k-1}\right|$.

By the definition of $E_{0}$ and $E_{1}$ we have $r_{-1}=a, x_{-1}=1, y_{-1}=0, r_{0}=b, x_{0}=0$ and $y_{0}-1$. Thus $P(-1)$ and $P(0)$ hold. Suppose now that $i \in \mathbb{N}$, that $P(k)$ holds for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $-1 \leq k \leq i$ and that $r_{i} \neq 0$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{i-1}: r_{i-1}=a x_{i-1}+b y_{i-1} \\
& E_{i} \quad: \quad r_{i}=a x_{i}+b y_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

and subtracting $q_{i+1}$ times $E_{i}$ from $E_{i-1}$ we obtain

$$
E_{i+1}: r_{i-1}-r_{i} q_{i+1}=a\left(x_{i-1}-x_{i} q_{i+1}\right)+b\left(y_{i-1}-x_{i} q_{i+1}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{i+1} & =r_{i-1}-r_{i} q_{i+1} \\
x_{i+1} & =x_{i-1}-x_{i} q_{i+1} \\
y_{i+1} & =y_{i-1}-x_{i} q_{i+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

By choice, $q_{i+1}$ is an integer. By the induction assumption, $x_{i}, x_{i-1}, y_{i-1}$ and $y_{i}$ are integers. Hence also $r_{i+1}, x_{i+1}$ and $y_{i+1}$ are integers. By choice of $q_{i+1}$ and $t_{i+1}$

$$
r_{i-1}=r_{i} q_{i+1}+t_{i+1} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|t_{i+1}\right|<\left|r_{i}\right|
$$

So

$$
t_{i+1}=r_{i} q_{i+1}-r_{i-1}=r_{i+1} \text { and } \quad\left|r_{i+1}\right|<\left|r_{i}\right|
$$

Hence $P(i+1)$ holds. So by the principal of complete induction, $P(n)$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \geq-1$ (for which $E_{n}$ is defined).

In particular, (a) holds and

$$
\left|r_{0}\right|>\left|r_{1}\right|>\left|r_{2}\right|>\left|r_{3}\right|>\ldots>\left|r_{i}\right|>\ldots
$$

Since the $r_{i}$ 's are integers, we conclude that there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $r_{m-1} \neq 0$ and $r_{m}=0$.
From $r_{i-1}=r_{i} q_{i+1}+t_{i+1}=r_{i} q_{i+1}+r_{i+1}$ and 1.2.6 we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(r_{i-1}, r_{i}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(r_{i}, r_{i+1}\right)$ and so

$$
\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(r_{-1}, r_{0}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(r_{0}, r_{1}\right)=\ldots=\operatorname{gcd}\left(r_{m-1}, r_{m}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(r_{m-1}, 0\right)=\left|r_{m-1}\right|=d
$$

So (b) holds.
The first statement in (c) is the equation $E_{m-1}$. If $r_{m-1}>0$, then $d=r_{m-1}=a x_{m-1}+b y_{m-1}$ and if $r_{m-1}<0$, then $d=-r_{m-1}=a\left(-x_{m-1}\right)+b\left(-y_{m-1}\right)$ and so (C) holds.
Example 1.2.8. Let $a=1492$ and $b=1066$. Then

| $E_{-1}$ : | 1492 | $=$ | 1492 |  | 1 | + | 1066 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E_{0}$ : | 1066 | $=$ | 1492 |  | 0 | $+$ | 1066 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| $E_{1}$ : | 426 | $=$ | 1492 |  | 1 | + | 1066 |  | -1 | $\mid E_{-1}$ | - | $E_{0}$ |
| $E_{2}$ : | 214 | $=$ | 1492 |  | -2 | $+$ | 1066 |  | 3 | $\mid E_{0}$ | - | $2 E_{1}$ |
| $E_{3}$ : | 212 | $=$ | 1492 |  | 3 | $+$ | 1066 |  | -4 | $E_{1}$ | - | $E_{2}$ |
| $E_{4}$ : | 2 | $=$ | 1492 |  | -5 | $+$ | 1066 |  | 7 | $\mid E_{2}$ | - | $E_{3}$ |
| $E_{5}$ : | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{1} E_{3}$ | - | $106 E_{4}$ |

So $\operatorname{gcd}(1492,1066)=2$ and $2=1492 \cdot-5+1066 \cdot 7$.
Theorem 1.2.9. Let $a$ and $b$ be integers not both zero and $d:=\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$. Then $d$ is the smallest positive integer of the form $a u+b v$ with $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. By the Euclidean Algorithm $1.2 .7 d$ is of the form $a u+b v$ with $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now let $e$ be any positive integer of the form $e=a u+b v$ for some $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $d=\operatorname{gcd}(a, b), d$ divides $a$ and $b$. Thus by 1.2.5, $d$ divides $a u+b v=e$. Hence 1.2.1 (c) shows that $d \leq|d| \leq|e|=e$. Thus $d$ is the smallest possitive integer of the form $a u+b v$ with $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Corollary 1.2.10. Let $a$ and $b$ be integers not both 0 and $d$ a positive integer. Then $d$ is the greatest common divisor of $a$ and $b$ if and only if
(I) $d$ is a common divisor of $a$ and $b$; and
(II) if $c$ is a common divisor of $a$ and $b$, then $c \mid d$.

Proof. $\Longrightarrow$ : Suppose first that $d=\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$. Then (I) holds by the definition of gcd. By $1.2 .7 d=a x+b y$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. So if $c$ is a common divisor of $a$ and $b$, then 1.2 .5 shows that $c \mid d$. Thus (II) holds.
$\Longleftarrow$ : Suppose next that (II) and (II) holds. Then $d$ is a common divisor of $a$ and $b$ by (II). Also if $c$ is a common divisor of $a$ and $b$, then by (II), $c \mid d$. Thus by $1.2 .1, c \leq|d|=d$. Hence by definition, $d$ is a greatest common divisor of $a$ and $b$.

Theorem 1.2.11. Let $a, b$ integers not both 0 with $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$. Let $c$ be an integer with $a \mid b c$. Then $a \mid c$.
Proof. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1,1.2 .7$ shows that $1=a x+b y$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence

$$
c=1 c=(a x+b y) c=a(x c)+(b c) y .
$$

Note that $a$ divides $a$ and $b c$, and that $x c$ and $y$ are integers. So by 1.2.5, $a$ also divides $a(x c)+(c b) y$. Thus $a \mid c$.

## Exercises 1.2:

\#1. If $a \mid b$ and $b \mid c$, prove that $a \mid c$.
\#2. If $a \mid c$ and $b \mid c$, must $a b$ divide $c$ ? What if $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$ ?
\#3. Let $a$ and $b$ be integers, not both zero. Show that $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$ if and only if there exist integers $u$ and $v$ with $u a+v b=1$.
\#4. Let $a$ and $b$ be integers, not both zero. Let $d=\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$ and let $e$ be a positive common divisor of $a$ and b.
(a) Show that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\frac{a}{e}, \frac{b}{e}\right)=\frac{d}{e}$.
(b) Show that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\frac{a}{d}, \frac{b}{d}\right)=1$.
\#5. Prove or disprove each of the following statements.
(a) If $2 \nmid a$, then $4 \mid\left(a^{2}-1\right)$.
(b) If $2 \nmid a$, then $8 \mid\left(a^{2}-1\right)$.
\#6. Let $n$ be a positive integers and $a$ and $b$ integers with $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$. Use induction to show that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(a, b^{n}\right)=1$.
\#7. Let $a, b, c$ be integers with $a, b$ not both zero. Prove that the equation $a x+b y=c$ has integer solutions if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b) \mid c$.
\#8. Prove that $\operatorname{gcd}(n, n+1)=1$ for any integer $n$.
\#9. Prove or disprove each of the following statements.
(a) If $2 \nmid a$, then $24 \mid\left(a^{2}-1\right)$.
(b) If $2 \nmid a$ and $3 \nmid a$, then $24 \mid\left(a^{2}-1\right)$.
\#10. Let $n$ be an integer. Then $\operatorname{gcd}\left(n+1, n^{2}-n+1\right)=1$ or 3 .
\#11. Let $a, b, c$ be integers with $a \mid b c$. Show that there exist integers $\tilde{b}, \tilde{c}$ with $\tilde{b}|b, \tilde{c}| c$ and $a=\tilde{b} \tilde{c}$.

### 1.3 Integral Primes

Definition 1.3.1. An integer $p$ is called a prime if $p \notin\{0, \pm 1\}$ and the only divisors of $p$ are $\pm 1$ and $\pm p$.
Lemma 1.3.2. (a) Let $p$ be an integer. Then $p$ is a prime if and only if $-p$ is prime.
(b) Let $p$ be a prime and $a$ an integer. Then either $(p \mid a$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(a, p)=|p|)$ or $(p \nmid$ a and $\operatorname{gcd}(a, p)=1)$.
(c) Let $p$ and $q$ be primes with $p \mid q$. Then $p=q$ or $p=-q$.

Proof. (a) Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \notin\{0, \pm 1\} \quad \text { if and only if } \quad-p \notin\{0, \pm 1\} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

By 1.2 .1
(**) $\quad p$ and $-p$ have the same divisor.
Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pm p= \pm(-p) \tag{***}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the following statements are equivalent:

$$
p \text { is a prime }
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lcc}
\Longleftrightarrow & p \notin\{0, \pm 1\} \text { and the only divisors of } p \text { are } \pm 1 \text { and } \pm p & -\quad \text { Definition of a prime. } \\
\Longleftrightarrow \quad-p \notin\{0, \pm 1\} \text { and the only divisors of }-p \text { are } \pm 1 \text { and } \pm(-p) & -\quad *,+* *) \text { and } * * * *
\end{array}
$$

$$
\Longleftrightarrow \quad-p \text { is a prime. } \quad-\quad \text { Definition of a prime. }
$$

So (a) holds.
(b): Let $d=\operatorname{gcd}(a, p)$. Then $d \mid p$ and since $d$ is prime, $d \in\{ \pm 1, \pm p\}$. Since $d$ is positive we conclude
$(+)$

$$
d=1 \quad \text { or } \quad d=|p|
$$

Case 1: Suppose $p \mid a$. Then $|p|$ is a common divisor of $a$ and $p$ and so $d \geq|p|$ and $d \neq 1$. Thus by + $d=|p|$ and so (b) holds in this case.

Case 2: Suppose $p \nmid a$. Then also $|p| \nmid a$ and $\operatorname{sog} \operatorname{gcd}(a, b) \neq|p|$. Hence by $+\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$ and (b) also holds in this case.
(c): Suppose $p$ and $q$ are primes with $p \mid q$. Since $q$ is a prime we get $p \in\{ \pm 1, \pm q\}$. Since $p$ is prime, $p \notin\{ \pm 1\}$ and so $p \in\{ \pm q\}$.

Theorem 1.3.3. Let $p$ be an integer with $p \notin\{0, \pm 1\}$. Then the following two statements are equivalent:
(a) $p$ is a prime.
(b) If $a$ and $b$ are integers with $p \mid b c$, then $p \mid a$ or $p \mid b$.

Proof. Suppose $p$ is prime and $p \mid a b$ for some integers $a$ and $b$. If $p \nmid a$, then by $1.3 .2 \operatorname{gcd}(p, a)=1$. Since $p \mid a b, 1.2 .11$ implies $p \mid b$. So $p \mid a$ or $p \mid b$.

For the converse, see Exercise 1.3\#2.
Corollary 1.3.4. Let $p$ be a prime integer, $n$ a positive integer and $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots a_{n}$ integers with $p \mid a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n}$. Then $p \mid a_{i}$ for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on $n$. If $n=1$, then $p \mid a_{1}$ and so Corollary holds with $i=1$. Suppose now that the Corollary holds for $n=k$ and let $a_{1}, a_{2} \ldots a_{k+1}$ be integers with $p \mid a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{k} a_{k+1}$. Put $a=a_{1} \ldots a_{k}$ and $b=a_{k+1}$. Then $p \mid a b$ and so by 1.3.3, $p \mid a$ or $p \mid b$. If $p \mid a$, then $p \mid a_{1} \ldots a_{k}$ and so by the induction assumption, $p \mid a_{i}$ for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $1 \leq i \leq k$. If $p \mid b$, then $p \mid a_{k+1}$. In either case $p \mid a_{i}$ for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $1 \leq i \leq k+1$. Thus the Corollary holds for $n=k+1$.

The Principal of Induction now shows that the Corollary holds for all positive integers $n$.
Lemma 1.3.5. Let $n$ be an integer with $n>1$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(a) $n$ is not a prime.
(b) There exists $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a \mid n$ and $1<a<n$.
(c) There exist $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n=a b, 1<a<n$ and $1<b<n$.
(d) There exist $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n=a b, a>1$ and $b>1$.
(e) There exist $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n=a b, a<n$ and $b<n$.

Proof. We will first prove
(*) Let $a$ and $b$ be positive integers with $n=a b$, then

$$
(1<a \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad b<n) \quad \text { and } \quad(1<b \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad a<n)
$$

Since $a$ is positive, we have $1<a$ if and only if $\frac{1}{a}<1$, if and only if $\frac{n}{a}<n$ and if and only if $b<n$. By symmetry, $1<b$ if and only of $a<n$.
(a) $\Longrightarrow$ b): Suppose that $n$ is not a prime. Since $n>1, n \notin\{0, \pm 1\}$ and the definition of a prime shows that there exists a divisor $m$ of $n$ with $m \notin\{ \pm 1, \pm n\}$. Put $a=|m|$. Then also $a$ is a divisor of $n, a$ is positive and $a \neq 1$ and $a \neq n$. Since $a$ divides $n, 1.2 .1$ implies $1 \leq|a| \leq|n|$. As $a$ and $n$ are positive this gives $1 \leq a \leq n$. Together with $a \neq 1$ and $a \neq n$ we get $1<a<n$.
(b) $\Longrightarrow$ (c): Suppose $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a \mid n$ and $1<a<n$. Then by definition of divide, $n=a b$ for some $b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $n$ and $a$ are positive also $b$ is positive. By $(*)$, since $1<a$ we have $b<n$ and since $a<n$ we have $1<b$. So (c) holds.
(c) $\Longrightarrow$ (d): If (c) holds, then (d) holds for the same $a$ and $b$.
$(\mathrm{d}) \Longrightarrow$ (e): Suppose there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n=a b, a>1$ and $b>1$. Then *) gives $a<n$ and $b<n$. So (e) holds.
(e) $\Longrightarrow$ (a): Suppose now that $n=a b$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a<n$ and $b<n$. Then $a$ is a divisor of $n$ and $a \neq n$. Since $b<n$, (*) gives $a>1$ and so $a \neq 1$, Since $a$ and $n$ are positive also $a \neq-1$ and $a \neq-n$. So $a$ is a divisor of $n$ other than $\pm 1, \pm n$ and the definition of a prime shows that $n$ is not a prime.

Theorem 1.3.6. Let $n$ be integer with $n>1$. Then there exist a positive integer $k$ and positive primes $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{k}$ with

$$
n=p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{k}
$$

Proof. The proof is by complete induction on $n$. So let $m$ be an integer with $m>1$ and suppose that the theorem is true for all integers $n$ with $1<n<m$.

Case 1. Suppose $m$ is a prime.
Put $k=1$ and $p_{1}=m$. Then $m=p_{1}$ and theorem holds for $n-m$ in this case.
Case 2. Suppose $m$ is not a prime prime.
Then by 1.3 .5 there exist integers $a$ and $b$ with $n=a b, 1<a<n$ and $1<b<n$. By the induction assumption there exist positive integer $i$ and $j$ and primes $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{i}, q_{1} \ldots q_{j}$ with

$$
a=p_{1} \ldots p_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad b=q_{1} \ldots q_{j}
$$

Thus

$$
m=a b=p_{1} \ldots p_{i} q_{1} \ldots q_{j}
$$

Put $k:=i+j$ and for $1 \leq l \leq j$ define $p_{i+l}:=q_{l}$. Then

$$
m=p_{1} \ldots p_{i} p_{i+1} \ldots p_{i+j}=p_{1} \ldots p_{k}
$$

So again the theorem for $n=m$.
By the Principal of Complete Induction, the theorem now holds for all integers $n$ with $n \geq 2$.
Theorem 1.3.7 (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic,FTA). Let $n$ be an integer with $n>1$. Then $n$ is a product of positive primes. Moreover, if

$$
n=p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{k} \quad \text { and } \quad n=q_{1} q_{2} \ldots q_{l}
$$

where $k, l$ are positive integers and $p_{1}, \ldots p_{k}, q_{1}, \ldots q_{l}$ are positive primes. Then $k=l$ and (possibly after reordering the $q_{i}^{\prime} s$ )

$$
p_{1}=q_{1}, \quad p_{2}=q_{2}, \quad \ldots, \quad p_{k}=q_{k}
$$

In more precise terms: There exists a bijection $\pi:\{1,2 \ldots, k\} \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, l\}$ with $p_{i}=q_{\pi(i)}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Proof. By $1.3 .6 n$ is a product of positive primes. The proof of the second statement is by complete induction on $n$. So let $m$ be an integer with $m>1$ and suppose that the FTA holds for all integers $n$ with $1<n<m$. Suppose also that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{k} \quad \text { and } \quad m=q_{1} q_{2} \ldots q_{l} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k, l$ are positive integers and $p_{1}, \ldots p_{k}, q_{1}, \ldots q_{l}$ are positive primes.
Since $p_{i}$ and $q_{j}$ are primes, $p_{i} \neq 1$ and $q_{j} \neq 1$. Since $p_{i}$ and $q_{j}$ are positive we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i}>1 \text { for all } 1 \leq i \leq k \quad \text { and } \quad q_{j}>1 \text { for all } 1 \leq j \leq l \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 1. Suppose that $m$ is a prime.
Assume for a contradiction, that $k>1$. Then by (*) $m=p_{1}\left(p_{2} \ldots p_{k}\right)$ and by (**), $p_{1}>1$ and $p_{2} \ldots p_{k}>1$. Thus by 1.3 .5 shows that $m$ is not a prime, contrary to the assumption. Thus $k=1$ and by symmetry also $l=1$. Also $p_{1}=m=q_{1}$ and the FTA holds for $n=m$.

Case 2. Suppose that $m$ is not a prime.
Then $p_{1} \neq m \neq q_{1}$ and so $k \geq 2$ and $l \geq 2$.
Since $m=\left(p_{1} \ldots p_{k-1}\right) p_{k}$ we see that $p_{k}$ divides $m$. So $p_{k}$ divides $q_{1} \ldots q_{l}$ and thus by $1.3 .4, p_{k} \mid q_{j}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq l$. Since $p_{k}$ and $q_{j}$ are primes, 1.3 .2 gives $p_{k}=q_{j}$ or $p_{k}=-q_{j}$. Since $p_{k}$ and $q_{j}$ are positive, $p_{k}=q_{j}$. Reordering the $q_{j}$ 's we may assume that $j=l$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{k}=q_{l} \tag{***}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $u:=\frac{m}{p_{k}}=\frac{m}{q_{l}}$. Then by **

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{k-1} \quad \text { and } \quad u=q_{1} q_{2} \ldots q_{l-1} \tag{+}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\| * p_{k}>1$ and so $u=\frac{m}{p_{k}}<m$. Also $p_{1}>1$ so $u=p_{1} \ldots p_{k-1}>1$. Hence $1<u<m$ and so by the induction assumption the FTA holds for $n=u$. Thus + implies $k-1=l-1$ and, possibly after reordering $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k-1}$,

$$
p_{1}=q_{1}, \quad p_{2}=q_{k}, \quad \ldots, \quad p_{k-1}=q_{k-1}
$$

$k-1=l-1$ gives $k=l$ and so by *** $p_{k}=q_{l}=q_{k}$. So the FTA holds for $n=m$.
The Principal of Complete Induction now shows that the FTA holds for any integer $n$ with $n>1$.

## Exercises 1.3:

\#1. Let $p$ be an integer other than $0, \pm 1$. Prove that $p$ is a prime if and only if it has this property: Whenever $r$ and $s$ are integers such that $p=r s$, then $r= \pm 1$ or $s= \pm 1$.
\#2. Let $p$ be an integer other than $0, \pm 1$ with this property
$\left(^{*}\right) \quad$ Whenever $b$ and $c$ are integers with $p \mid b c$, then $p \mid b$ or $p \mid c$. Prove that $p$ is a prime.
\#3. (a) List all the positive divisors of $3^{s} 5^{t}$ where $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $s, t>0$.
(b) If $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ are positive, how many positive divisors does $2^{r} 3^{s} 5^{t}$ have?
\#4. Prove that $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$ if and only if there is no prime $p$ such that $p \mid a$ and $p \mid b$.
\#5. Prove or disprove each of the following statements:
(a) If $p$ is a prime and $p \mid a^{2}+b^{2}$ and $p \mid c^{2}+d^{2}$, then $p \mid\left(a^{2}-c^{2}\right)$
(b) If $p$ is a prime and $p \mid a^{2}+b^{2}$ and $p \mid c^{2}+d^{2}$, then $p \mid\left(a^{2}+c^{2}\right)$
(c) If $p$ is a prime and $p \mid a$ and $p \mid a^{2}+b^{2}$, then $p \mid b$
\#6. Let $a$ and $b$ be integers. Then $a \mid b$ if and only if $a^{3}=b^{3}$.
\#7. Prove or disprove: Let $n$ be a positive integer, then there exists $p, a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $n=p+a^{2}$ and either $p=1$ or $p$ is a prime.

## Chapter 2

## Congruence in $\mathbb{Z}$ and Modular Arithmetic

### 2.1 Congruence and Congruence Classes

Let $a, b$ and $n$ be integers. Recall that the relation ' $\equiv(\bmod n)^{\prime}$ on $\mathbb{Z}$ is defined by

$$
a \equiv b \quad(\bmod n) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad n \mid a-b
$$

By $0.5 .5 ' \equiv(\bmod n)^{\prime}$ ' is an equivalence relation on $Z$. Recall also that $[a]_{n}$ is the equivalence class of ' $\equiv$ $(\bmod n)^{\prime}$ with respect to $a$. So

$$
[a]_{n}=\{b \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a \equiv b \quad(\bmod n)\} .
$$

Theorem 2.1.1. Let $a, b, n$ be integers with $n \neq 0$. Then the following statements are equivalent
(a) $a=b+n k$ for some integer $k$.
(h) $a \in[b]_{n}$.
(b) $a-b=n k$ for some integer $k$.
(i) $b \equiv a(\bmod n)$.
(c) $n \mid a-b$.
(j) $n \mid b-a$.
(d) $a \equiv b(\bmod n)$.
(k) $b-a=n l$ for some integer $l$.
(e) $b \in[a]_{n}$.
(l) $b=a+n l$ for some integer $l$.
(f) $[a]_{n} \cap[b]_{n} \neq \emptyset$.
(g) $[a]_{n}=[b]_{n}$.
(m) $a$ and $b$ have the same remainder when divided by $n$.

Proof. (a) $\Longleftrightarrow(\mathrm{b}): \quad$ Add $b$ to both sides of $(\mathrm{b})$.
$(\mathrm{b}) \Longleftrightarrow(\mathrm{c}): \quad$ Follows from the definition of 'divide'.
(c) $\Longleftrightarrow d$ : Follows from the definition of $' \equiv(\bmod n)^{\prime}$.

By 0.5.5 $\equiv(\bmod n)^{\prime}$ is an equivalence relation. So Theorem 0.5 .8 implies that (d)-(ii) are equivalent. Since we already proved that (a)-(d) are equivalent we conclude that (a) to (i) are equivalent.

Note that (g) is symmetric in $a$ and $b$. Since (a)-(c) are equivalent to (g), we can interchange $a$ and $b$ in (a)-(c) and conclude that (j) to (11) are equivalent to (g). Thus (a)- (1) are equivalent.

By the division algorithm there exists integers $q_{1}, r_{1}, q_{2}, r_{2}$ with

$$
a=n q_{1}+r_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad 0 \leq r_{1}<|n|
$$

and

$$
b=n q_{2}+r_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad 0 \leq r_{2}<|n|
$$

So $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ are remainders of $a$ and $b$, respectively when divided by $n$.
$(\mathrm{m}) \Longrightarrow(\mathrm{b}): \quad$ Suppose $(\mathrm{m})$ holds. Then $r_{1}=r_{2}$ and

$$
b-a=\left(n q_{2}+r_{2}\right)-\left(n q_{1}+r_{1}\right)=n\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right)+\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right)=n\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right)
$$

Since $q_{2}-q_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ we see that (b) holds with $k=q_{2}-q_{1}$.
(a) $\Longrightarrow$ ( $)$ : Suppose (a) holds. Then $a=b+n k$ for some integer $k$. Then

$$
a=\left(n q_{2}+r_{2}\right)+n k=n\left(q_{2}+k\right)+r_{2} .
$$

Since $q_{2}+k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq r_{2}<|n|$, we conclude that $r_{2}$ is the remainder of $a$ when divided by $n$. So $r_{1}=r_{2}$ and $(\mathrm{m}$ holds.

Corollary 2.1.2. Let $n$ be positive integer.
(a) Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then there exists a unique $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq r<n$ and $[a]_{n}=[r]_{n}$, namely $r$ is the remainder of a when divided by $n$.
(b) There are exactly $n$ distinct congruence classes modulo $n$, namely

$$
[0],[1],[2], \ldots,[n-1] .
$$

(c) $\left|\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right|=n$, that is $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ has exactly $n$ elements.

Proof. (a) Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $r$ be the remainder of $a$ when divided by $n$ and let $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq s<n$. Since $s=0 n+s$ and $0 \leq s<n, s$ is the remainder of $s$ when divided by $n$. By 2.1.1 $[a]_{n}=[s]_{n}$ if and only $a$ and $s$ have the same remainder when divided by $n$, and so if and only if $r=s$.
(b) By definition each congruence class modulo $n$ is of the form $[a]_{n}$, with $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. By (a), $[a]_{n}$ is equal to exactly one of

$$
[0],[1],[2], \ldots,[n-1] .
$$

So (b) holds.
(c) Since $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ is the set of congruence classes modulo $n$, (c) follows from (b).

Example 2.1.3. Determine $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$.

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{5}=\left\{[0]_{5},[1]_{5},[2]_{5},[3]_{5},[4]_{5}\right\}=\left\{[0]_{5},[1]_{5},[2]_{5},[-2]_{5},[-1]_{5}\right\}
$$

## Exercises 2.1:

\#1. (a) Let $k$ be an integer with $k \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. Compute the remainder of $6 k+5$ when divided by 4 .
(b) Let $r$ and $s$ be integer with $r \equiv 3(\bmod 10)$ and $s \equiv-7(\bmod 10)$. Compute the remainder of $2 r+3 s$ when divided by 10 .
\#2. If $a, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $m, n>0$, prove that $\left[a^{m}\right]_{2}=\left[a^{n}\right]_{2}$
$\# 3$. If $p \geq 5$ and $p$ is a prime, prove that $[p]=[1]$ or $[p]=[5]$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$.
\#4. Find all solutions of each congruence:
(a) $2 x \equiv 3(\bmod 5)$
(b) $3 x \equiv 1(\bmod 7)$
(c) $6 x \equiv 9(\bmod 15)$
(d) $6 x \equiv 10(\bmod 15)$
\#5. If $a \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$, prove that there are no integers $c$ and $d$ with $a=c^{2}-d^{2}$.
\#6. If $[a]=[1]$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$, prove that $\operatorname{gcd}(a, n)=1$. Show by example that the converse is not true.
\#7. (a) Show that $10^{n} \equiv 1(\bmod 9)$ for every positive integer $n$.
(b) Prove that every positive integer is congruent to the sum of its digits mod 9 . [for example, $38 \equiv 11$ $(\bmod 9)]$.

### 2.2 Modular Arithmetic

Theorem 2.2.1. Let $a, \tilde{a}, b, \tilde{b}$ and $n$ be integers with $n \neq 0$. Suppose that

$$
[a]_{n}=[\tilde{a}]_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad[b]_{n}=[\tilde{b}]_{n} .
$$

or that

$$
a \equiv \tilde{a} \quad(\bmod n) \quad \text { and } \quad b \equiv \tilde{b} \quad(\bmod n)
$$

Then

$$
[a+b]_{n}=[\tilde{a}+\tilde{b}]_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad[a b]_{n}=[\tilde{a} \tilde{b}]_{n}
$$

and

$$
a+b \equiv \tilde{a}+\tilde{b} \quad(\bmod n) \quad \text { and } \quad a b \equiv \tilde{a} \tilde{b} \quad(\bmod n)
$$

Proof. Since

$$
[a]_{n}=[\tilde{a}]_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad[b]_{n}=[\tilde{b}]_{n}
$$

or

$$
a \equiv \tilde{a} \quad(\bmod n) \quad \text { and } \quad b \equiv \tilde{b} \quad(\bmod n)
$$

we conclude from 2.1.1 that

$$
\tilde{a}=a+k n \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{b}=b+l n
$$

for some $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence

$$
\tilde{a}+\tilde{b}=(a+k n)+(b+l n)=(a+b)+(k+l) n
$$

Since $k+l \in \mathbb{Z}, 2.1 .1$ gives

$$
[a+b]_{n}=[\tilde{a}+\tilde{b}]_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad a+b \equiv \tilde{a}+\tilde{b} \quad(\bmod n)
$$

Also

$$
\tilde{a} \cdot \tilde{b}=(a+k n)(b+l n)=a b+(a k+k b+k l n) n
$$

and, since $a k+k b+k l n \in \mathbb{Z}, 2.1 .1$ implies

$$
[a b]_{n}=[\tilde{a} \tilde{b}]_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad a b \equiv \tilde{a} \tilde{b} \quad(\bmod n)
$$

In view of 2.2.1 the following definition is well-defined.
Definition 2.2.2. Let $a, b$ and $n$ be integers with $n \neq 0$. Then

$$
[a]_{n} \oplus[b]_{n}=[a+b]_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad[a]_{n} \odot[b]_{n}=[a b]_{n}
$$

The function

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{n},(A, B) \rightarrow A \oplus B
$$

is called the addition on $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$, and the function

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{n},(A, B) \rightarrow A \odot B
$$

is called the multiplication on $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$.
Example 2.2.3. (1) Compute $[3]_{8} \odot[7]_{8}$.

$$
[3]_{8} \odot[7]_{8}=[3 \cdot 7]_{8}=[21]_{8}=[8 \cdot 2+5]_{8}=[5]_{8}
$$

Note that $[3]_{8}=[11]_{8}$ and $[7]_{8}=[-1]_{8}$. So we could also have used the following computation:

$$
[11]_{8} \odot[-1]_{8}=[11 \cdot-1]_{8}=[-11]_{8}=[5]_{8}
$$

Theorem 2.2.1 ensures that we will always get the same answer, not matter what representative we pick for the congruence class.
(2) Compute $[123]_{212} \oplus[157]_{212}$.

$$
[123]_{212} \oplus[157]_{212}=[123+157]_{212}=[280]_{212}=[68]_{212}
$$

Note that $[123]_{212}=[-89]_{212}$ and $[157]_{212}=[-55]_{212}$. Also

$$
[-89]_{212} \oplus[-55]_{212}=[-89-55]_{212}=[-144]_{212}=[68]_{212}
$$

(3) Warning: Congruence classes can not be used as exponents:

We have

$$
\left[2^{4}\right]_{3}=[16]_{3}=[1]_{3} \quad \text { and } \quad\left[2^{1}\right]_{3}=[2]_{3}
$$

So

$$
\left[2^{4}\right]_{3} \neq\left[2^{1}\right]_{3} \quad \text { even though } \quad[4]_{3}=[1]_{3}
$$

Theorem 2.2.4. Let $n$ be a non-zero integer and $A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$. Then
(1) $A \oplus B \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$
[closure for addition].
(2) $A \oplus(B \oplus C)=(A \oplus B) \oplus C$.
[associative addition]
(3) $A \oplus B=B \oplus A$.
[commutative addition]
(4) $A \oplus[0]_{n}=A=[0]_{n} \oplus A$.
[additive identity]
(5) There exists $X \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ with $A \oplus X=[0]_{n}$.
[additive inverse]
(6) $A \odot B \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$.
[closure for multiplication]
(7) $A \odot(B \odot C)=(A \odot B) \odot C$. [associative multiplication]
(8) $A \odot(B \oplus C)=(A \odot B) \oplus(A \odot C)$ and $(A \oplus B) \odot C=(A \odot C) \oplus(B \odot C) . \quad$ [distributive laws]
(9) $A \odot B=B \odot A$.
[commutative multiplication]
(10) $[1]_{n} \odot A=A=A \odot[1]_{n}$
[multiplicative identity]
Proof. If $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ we will just write $[d]$ for $[d]_{n}$. By definition of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ there exists integers $a, b$ and $c$ with $A=[a]$, $B=[b]$ and $C=[c]$.
(1) We have $A \oplus B=[a] \oplus[b]=[a+b]$. Since $a+b \in \mathbb{Z}$ we conclude that $A \oplus B \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$.
(2) Using the definition of $\oplus$ and the fact that addition in $\mathbb{Z}$ is associative we compute

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
A \oplus(B \oplus C) & =[a] \oplus([b] \oplus[c])
\end{array}=[a] \oplus[b+c]=[a+(b+c)]=[(a+b)+c]\right)=[(a+b] \oplus[c]=([a] \oplus[b]) \oplus[c]=(A \oplus B) \oplus C .
$$

(3) Using the definition of $\oplus$ and the fact that addition in $\mathbb{Z}$ is commutative we compute

$$
A \oplus B=[a] \oplus[b]=[a+b]=[b+a]=[b] \oplus[a]=B \oplus A
$$

(4) Using the definition of $\oplus$ and the fact that 0 is an additive identity in $\mathbb{Z}$ we compute

$$
A \oplus[0]=[a] \oplus[0]=[a+0]=[a]=A
$$

and

$$
[0] \oplus A=[0] \oplus[a]=[0+a]=[a]=A
$$

(5) Put $X=[-a]$. Then $X \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$. Using the definition of $\oplus$ and the fact that $-a$ is an additive inverse for $a$ in $\mathbb{Z}$ we compute

$$
A \oplus X=[a] \oplus[-a]=[a+(-a)]=[0]
$$

(6) Similarly to (1) we have $A \odot B=[a] \odot[b]=[a b]$ and so $A \odot B \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$.
(7) Similarly to 2 we can use the definition of $\odot$ and the fact that addition in $\mathbb{Z}$ is associative to compute

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
A \odot(B \odot C) & =[a] \odot([b] \odot[c]) \\
& =[a] \odot[b c]
\end{array}\right)=[a(b c)]=[(a b) c]\right)=[a b] \odot[c]=([a] \odot[b]) \odot[c]=(A \odot B) \odot C . \quad l
$$

(8) Using the definition of $\oplus$ and $\odot$ and the distributive law in $\mathbb{Z}$ we compute

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rlllll}
A \odot(B \oplus C) & = & {[a] \odot([b] \oplus[c])} & = & {[a] \odot[b+c]} & =
\end{array}\right][a(b+c)]\right)
$$

and similarly

$$
\begin{array}{rlcccc}
(A \oplus B) \odot C & = & ([a] \oplus[b]) \odot[c] & = & {[a+b] \odot[c]} & = \\
& = & {[a c+b c]} & = & {[a c] \oplus[b c]} & = \\
& = & (A \odot C] \odot[c]) \oplus([b] \odot[c])
\end{array}
$$

(9) Similarly to (3) we can use the definition of $\odot$ and the fact that multiplication in $\mathbb{Z}$ is commutative to compute

$$
A \odot B=[a] \odot[b]=[a b]=[b a]=[b] \odot[a]=B \odot A
$$

(10) Similarly to (4) we can use the definition of $\odot$ and the fact that 1 is a multiplicative identity in $\mathbb{Z}$ to compute

$$
A \odot[1]=[a] \odot[1]=[a 1]=[a]=A,
$$

and

$$
[1] \odot A=[1] \odot[a]=[1 a]=[a]=A
$$

Notation 2.2.5. Let $a, b, n$ be integers with $n \neq 0$. We will often just write a for $[a]_{n}, a+b$ for $[a]_{n} \oplus[b]_{n}$ and $a b($ or $a \cdot b)$ for $[a]_{n} \odot[b]_{n}$. This notation is only to be used if it clear from the context that the symbols represent congruence classes modulo n. Exponents are always integers and never congruences class.

Example 2.2.6. (1) Compute $4+5$ and $4 \cdot 5$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{7}$.

$$
4+5=9=2 \quad \text { and } \quad 4 \cdot 5=20=6
$$

(2) Determine the addition and multiplication table of $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$.

| + | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |


| $\cdot$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 12 |
| 4 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 16 |

and after computing remainders when divided by 5 :

| $+$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | and | . | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 |  | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| 3 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 |  | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 4 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

Definition 2.2.7. Let $n$ be a non-zero integer, $A \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $A^{k}$ is inductively defined by

$$
A^{0}=[1]_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad A^{k+1}=A^{k} \odot A
$$

So

$$
A^{k}=\underbrace{(((A \odot A) \odot A) \ldots \odot A) \odot A}_{k-\text { times }}
$$

Lemma 2.2.8. Let $n$ be a non-zero integer and $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$.
(a) Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $[a]_{n}^{k}=\left[a^{k}\right]_{n}$.
(b) Let $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$. Then $(A \odot B)^{k}=A^{k} \odot B^{k}, A^{k+l}=A^{k} \odot A^{l}$ and $A^{k l}=\left(A^{k}\right)^{l}$.

Proof. (a) The proof is by induction on $k$. For $k=0,[a]^{0}=[1]=\left[a^{0}\right]$ and so aa holds for $k=0$. Suppose (a) holds for $k$, then

$$
[a]^{k+1}=[a]^{k} \odot[a]=\left[a^{k}\right] \odot[a]=\left[a^{k} a\right]=\left[a^{k+1}\right],
$$

and so (a) holds for $k+1$. So by the Principal of induction, it holds for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
(b) Choose $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $A=[a]$ and $B=[b]$. Using (a) and the fact that (b) holds for integers in place of congruence classes we compute:

$$
\begin{gathered}
(A \odot B)^{k}=([a] \odot[b])^{k}=[a b]^{k}=\left[(a b)^{k}\right]=\left[a^{k} b^{k}\right]=\left[a^{k}\right] \odot\left[b^{k}\right]=[a]^{k} \odot\left[b^{k}\right]=A^{k} \odot B^{k}, \\
A^{k+l}=[a]^{k+l}=\left[a^{k+l}\right]=\left[a^{k} a^{l}\right]=\left[a^{k}\right] \odot\left[a^{l}\right]=[a]^{k} \odot[a]^{l}=A^{k} \odot A^{l},
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
A^{k l}=[a]^{k l}=\left[a^{k l}\right]=\left[\left(a^{k}\right)^{l}\right]=\left[a^{k}\right]^{l}=\left([a]^{k}\right)^{l}=\left(A^{k}\right)^{l}
$$

Remark 2.2.9. Consider the expression

$$
2^{5}+3 \cdot 7 \quad \text { in } \quad \mathbb{Z}_{n}
$$

It is not clear which element of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ this represents, indeed it could be any of the following for elements:

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[2^{5}+3 \cdot 7\right]_{n}} \\
{\left[2^{5}\right]_{n} \oplus[3 \cdot 7]_{n}} \\
{\left[2^{5}\right]_{n} \oplus\left([3]_{n} \odot[7]_{n}\right)} \\
{[2]_{n}^{5} \oplus[3 \cdot 7]_{n}} \\
{[2]_{n}^{5} \oplus\left([3]_{n} \odot[7]_{n}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

But thanks to Theorem 2.2.1 and Theorem 2.2.8 all these elements are actually equal. So our simplified notation is not ambiguous. In other words, our use of the simplified notation is only justified by Theorem 2.2.1 and Theorem 2.2.8.
Example 2.2.10. (1) Compute $\left[13^{34567}\right]_{12}$.

$$
\left[13^{34567}\right]_{12}=[13]_{12}^{34567}=[1]_{12}^{34567}=\left[1^{34567}\right]_{12}=[1]_{12}
$$

In simplified notation this becomes: In $\mathbb{Z}_{12}, 13=1$ and so

$$
13^{34567}=1^{34567}=1
$$

Why is the calculation shorter? In simplified notation the expression

$$
\left[13^{34567}\right]_{12} \quad \text { and } \quad[13]_{12}^{34567}
$$

are both written as

$$
13^{34567}
$$

So the step

$$
\left[13^{34567}\right]_{12}=[13]_{12}^{34567}
$$

is invisibly performed by the simplified notation. Similarly, the step

$$
[1]_{12}^{34567}=\left[1^{34567}\right]_{12}
$$

disappears through our use of the simplified notation.
(2) Compute $[7]_{50}^{198}$.

In $\mathbb{Z}_{50}$ :

$$
7^{198}=\left(7^{2}\right)^{99}=49^{99}=(-1)^{99}=-1=49
$$

(3) Determine the remainder of $53 \cdot 7^{100}+47 \cdot 7^{71}+4 \cdot 7^{3}$ when divided by 50 .

In $\mathbb{Z}_{50}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
53 \cdot 7^{100}+47 \cdot 7^{71}+4 \cdot 7^{3} & =3 \cdot\left(7^{2}\right)^{50}-3 \cdot\left(7^{2}\right)^{35} \cdot 7+4 \cdot 7^{2} \cdot 7 \\
& =3 \cdot(-1)^{50}-3 \cdot(-1)^{35} \cdot 7+4 \cdot-1 \cdot 7 \\
& =3+21-28=3-7=-4=46
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\left[53 \cdot 7^{100}+47 \cdot 7^{73}+4 \cdot 7^{3}\right]_{50}=[46]_{50}$. Since $0 \leq 46<50,2.1 .1$ shows that the remainder in question is 46 .

Example 2.2.11. Find all solutions of $x^{3}+2 x+3=0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$.
All computation below are in $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$.
By Corollary 2.1.2 $\mathbb{Z}_{5}=\{0,1,2,3,4\}$. Since $3=-2$ and $4=-1, \mathbb{Z}_{5}=\{0,1,2,-2,-1\}$. We compute

| $x$ | $x^{3}$ | $+$ | $2 x$ | + | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | $+$ | 0 | + | 3 | $=$ |  |  | 3 |
| 1 | 1 | $+$ | 2 | + | 3 | $=$ | 6 | $=$ | 1 |
| 2 | 8 | $+$ | 4 | + | 3 | $=$ | 15 | $=$ | 0 |
| -2 | -8 | - | 4 | $+$ | 3 | $=$ | -9 | $=$ | 1 |
| -1 | -1 | - | 2 | + | 3 |  |  | $=$ | 0 |

So the solution of $x^{3}+2 x+3=0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ are $x=2$ and $x=-1=4$.

## Exercises 2.2:

\#1. Let $n$ be a non-zero integer and $A \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$. Show that $A \odot[0]_{n}=[0]_{n}$.
\#2. (a) Solve the equation $x^{2}+x=0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$.
(b) Solve the equation $x^{2}+x=0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$.
(c) If $p$ is a prime, prove that the only solutions of $x^{2}+x=0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ are [0] and $[p-1]$.
\#3. Solve the equations:
(a) $x^{2}=1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$
(b) $x^{4}=1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$
(c) $x^{2}+3 x+2=0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$
(d) $x^{2}+1=0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$
\#4. (a) Find an element $a$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{7}$ such that every non-zero element of $\mathbb{Z}_{7}$ is a power of $a$.
(b) Do part (a) in $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$
(c) Can you do part (a) in $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ ?
\#5. (a) Solve the equation $x^{2}+x=0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$.
(b) Solve the equation $x^{2}+x=0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$.
(c) If $p$ is a prime, prove that the only solutions of $x^{2}+x=0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ are [ 0$]$ and $[p-1]$.

### 2.3 Cogruence classes modulo primes

Lemma 2.3.1. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \neq 0$. Then $n \mid m$ if and only if $[m]_{n}=[0]_{n}$.
Proof. $n \mid m$ if and only if $n \mid m-0$ and so by 2.1.1 if and only $[m]_{n}=[0]_{n}$.

Theorem 2.3.2. Let $n$ be an integer with $|n|>1$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(a) $n$ is a prime.
(b) For any $A \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ with $A \neq[0]_{n}$ there exists $X \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ with $A X=[1]_{n}$.
(c) Whenever $A$ and $B$ are elements in $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ with $A B=[0]_{n}$, then $A=[0]_{n}$ or $B=[0]_{n}$.

Proof. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. We will write $[m]$ for $[m]_{n}$.
(a) $\Longrightarrow(\mathrm{b}): \quad$ Suppose $n$ is a prime and let $A \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ with $A \neq[0]$. Then $A=[a]$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $[a] \neq[0]$, 2.3.1 implies $n \nmid a$. Since $n$ is prime, $1.3 .2 \operatorname{shows} \operatorname{gcd}(a, n)=1$ and so by the Euclidean Algorithm 1.2.7 there exist $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a u+p v=1$. Hence2.1.1 a implies $[a u]=[1]$. By the definition of multiplication in $\mathbb{Z}_{n},[a][u]=[a u]$ and so $[a][u]=[1]$. Put $X=[u]$. Then $X \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ and $A X=[1]$.
(b) $\Longrightarrow$ (c): Suppose (b) holds and let $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ with $A B=[0]$. Assume that $A \neq[0]$. Then by (b) there exists $X \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ with $A X=[1]$. We compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[0]=X[0] \quad \text {-See Exercise 2.2\#1 }} \\
& =X(A B)-\text { Since } A B=[0] \\
& =(X A) B-\text { associative multiplication, 2.2.4 } 7 \text { ) } \\
& =(A X) B-\text { commutative multiplication, 2.2.4 } 9 \\
& =\quad[1] B \quad-\text { Since } A X=[1] \\
& =B \quad-\text { Since [1] is a multiplicative identity, 2.2.4 10. }
\end{aligned}
$$

We have proven that $A \neq[0]$ implies $B=[0]$. So $A=[0]$ or $B=[0]$ and (c) holds.
(c) $\Longrightarrow$ (a): We will use Theorem 1.3.3, namely $n$ is a nrime if and only if $n \mid b$ or $n \mid c$ whenever $b$ and $c$ are integers with $n \mid b c$.

So suppose (c) holds and let $b$ and $c$ be integers with $n \mid b c$. Then $[b c]=[0]$ by 2.3 .1 and thus $[b][c]=[b c]=$ $[0]$. (b) implies $[b]=[0]$ or $[c]=[0]$. Hence by 2.3 .1$] \mid b$ or $b \mid c$. Thus by 1.3.3, $n$ is a prime.

Example 2.3.3. Use multiplication tables to verify Theorem 2.3 .2 for $n=4$ and $n=5$.
Note first that Condition $2.3 .2, \mathrm{~b}$ in Theorem 2.3 .2 says that every row of the multiplication table of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ other than Row 0 (that is the row corresponding to 0 ) contains 1.

Condition 2.3 .2 b in Theorem 2.3 .2 says that 0 only appears in Row 0 and in Column 0 of the multiplication table.

The multiplication table for $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ are :

Row 2 of the table for $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ does not contain a 1 . Also the entry in Row 2, Column 2 is 0 . Moreover 4 is not a prime. So for $p=4$ none of the three statements in Theorem 2.3.2 holds.

Each row, other than Row 0 of the table for $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ contains a 1. Also 0 only appears in Row 0 and in Column 0 . Moreover, 5 is a prime. So for $p=5$ all of the three statements in Theorem 2.3 .2 hold.

Corollary 2.3.4 (Multiplicative Cancellation Law). Let $p$ be a prime and $A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ with $A \neq[0]_{p}$. Then $A B=A C$ if and only if $B=C$.

Proof. $\Longleftarrow: ~ I f ~ B=C$ then $A B=A C$ by the principal of substitution.
$\Longrightarrow$ : Now suppose that $A B=A C$. By 2.3 .2 there exists $X \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ with $A X=[1]_{p}$. We compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A B=A C \\
& \Longrightarrow \quad X(A B)=X(A C) \quad \text { - Principal Of Substitution } \\
& \Longrightarrow \quad(X A) B=(X A) C \quad-\text { associative multiplication 2.2.4.7 ,twice } \\
& \Longrightarrow \quad(A X) B=(A X) C \quad \text { - commutative multiplication 2.2.4 77, twice } \\
& \Longrightarrow \quad[1]_{p} B=[1]_{p} C \quad \text { - Since } A X=[1]_{p} \\
& \Longrightarrow \quad B=C \quad-\text { Since }[1]_{p} \text { is a multiplicative identity 2.2.4 } 10
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 2.3.5. Verify that the Cancellation Law holds in $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$, but does not hold in $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$.
Let $A, D \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ with $A \neq[0]_{p}$. The Cancellation law says if $B, C \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ with $D=A B$ and $D=A C$, then $B=C$. So there exists at most one $C \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ with $A C=D$. In terms of the multiplication table this means that no entry appears more than once in Row $A$ of the multiplication table.

Note that 2 appears twice in Row 2 of the multiplication table of $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$, namely in Column 1 and Column 3. Indeed $2 \cdot 1=2=2=6=2 \cdot 3$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ but $1 \neq 3$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$. So the Cancellation Law does not hold for $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$.

Except for Row 0, each of row of the multiplication table of $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ contains each of the congruence classes $0,1,2,3$ and 4 exactly once. So the Cancellation law holds in $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$.

Corollary 2.3.6. Let $p$ be a prime and $A$ and $B$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ with $A \neq[0]_{p}$.
(a) There exists a unique $X \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ with $A X=[1]_{p}$.
(b) There exists a unique $Y \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ with $A Y=B$, namely $Y=X B$.

Proof. By 2.3 .2 there exists $X \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ with $A X=[1]_{p}$. Thus $A X \neq[0]_{p}$. Since $A[0]_{p}=[0]_{p}$ by exercise $2.2 \# 1$ we conclude $X \neq[0]_{p}$. Let $Y \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
A Y=B \\
\Longleftrightarrow \quad X(A Y)=X B \quad-\text { Multiplicative Cancellation Law 2.3.4 } \\
\Longleftrightarrow \quad(X A) Y=X B \quad-\text { associative multiplication 2.2.4 } 7 \\
\Longleftrightarrow \quad(A X) Y=X B \quad-\text { commutative multiplication 2.2.4 } 9 \\
\Longleftrightarrow \quad[1]_{p} Y=A B \quad-\text { Since } A X=[1]_{p} \\
\Longleftrightarrow \quad Y=A B \quad-\text { Since } 1 \text { is a multiplicative identity } 2.2 .4
\end{gathered}
$$

So $Y=X B$ is the unique element in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ with $A X=Y$. Thus (b) holds.
The case $B=[1]_{p}$ shows that $X[1]_{p}=X$ is the unique element in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ with $A X=[1]_{p}$. So (a) holds.
Example 2.3.7. (a) Solve the equation $2 x=1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$.
(b) Solve the equation $2 x=1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$.
(c) Solve the equation $2 x=4$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$.
(a): In $\mathbb{Z}_{5}: 2 \cdot 3=1$. So 3 is a solution on $2 x=1$. By 2.3 .6 a), $2 x=1$ has a unique solution and so 3 is the unique solution of $2 x=1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$.
(b) and (c): By 2.1.2 $\mathbb{Z}_{6}=\{0,1,2,3,4,5\}$. We compute

| $x$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 x$ | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 |
| $2 x$ | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 4 |

So $2 x=1$ has no solution in $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$, but $2 x=4$ has two solutions, namely $x=2$ and $x=5$. The second solution is explained by the facts that $2 \cdot 3=6=0$ and so

$$
2 \cdot 5=2 \cdot(2+3)=2 \cdot 2+2 \cdot 3=2 \cdot 2+0=2 \cdot 2
$$

## Exercises 2.3:

\#1. How many solutions does the equation $6 x=4$ have in
(a) $\mathbb{Z}_{7}$
(b) $\mathbb{Z}_{8}$
(c) $\mathbb{Z}_{9}$
(d) $\mathbb{Z}_{10}$
\#2. Let $a, b$ and $n$ be integers with $n \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(a, n)=1$. Let $u$ and $v$ be integers with $a u+n v=1$. Put $A=[a]_{n}$ and $B=[b]_{n}$.
(a) Show that $[a]_{n} \odot[u]_{n}=[1]_{n}$.
(b) Show that there exists a unique $X$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ with $A \odot X=B$, namely $X=[u b]_{n}$.
(c) Show that there exists $Y \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ with $B \odot Y=[1]_{n}$ if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}(b, n)=1$.
\#3. Let $a, b, n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \neq 0$ and $m \neq 0$. Prove each of the following statements:
(a) $[a]_{n}=[b]_{n}$ if and only if $[m a]_{m n}=[m b]_{m n}$.
(b) $[a]_{n}=[b]_{n}$ if and only if there exists $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq r<|m|$ and $[a]_{n m}=[b+r n]_{n m}$.
(c) Suppose that $[a]_{n}=[b]_{n}, m \mid a$ and $m \mid n$. Then $m \mid b$.

Remark 2.3.8. Let $n$ be a non-zero integer and $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$. The preceding two exercises give rise to a method to solve the equation $A \odot X=B$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ :
(Step 1) Choose $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $A=[a]_{n}$ and $B=[b]_{n}$. Also let $X=[x]_{n}$ with $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. So the equation $A \odot X=B$ becomes $[a x]_{n}=[b]_{n}$.
(Step 2) Use the Euclidean Algorithm to compute $d=\operatorname{gcd}(a, n)$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a u+n v=d$.
(Step 3) If $d \nmid b$, then $A \odot X=B$ does not have a solution. Indeed, if $X=[x]_{n}$ were a solution, then $[a x]_{n}=[b]_{n}$. Note that $d \mid a$ and $d \mid n$. So also $d \mid a x$ and thus by Exercise 3(c) $d \mid b$, a contradiction.
(Step 4) Suppose now that $d \mid b$. Put $\tilde{a}=\frac{a}{d}, \tilde{b}=\frac{b}{d}$ and $\tilde{n}=\frac{n}{d}$. Then $a=\tilde{a} d, a x=\tilde{a} x d, b=\tilde{b} d$ and $n=\tilde{n} d$. Thus by Exercise 3(a) $[\tilde{a} x]_{\tilde{n}}=[\tilde{b}]_{\tilde{n}}$ if and only if $[a x]_{n}=[b]_{n}$.
(Step 5) Dividing $u a+v b=d_{\tilde{b}}$ by d gives $u \tilde{a}+v \tilde{b}=1$. So by Exercise 2(b), $[\tilde{a} x]_{\tilde{n}}=[\tilde{b}]_{\tilde{n}}$ has a unique solution in $\mathbb{Z}_{\tilde{n}}$, namely $[x]_{\tilde{n}}=[u \tilde{b}]_{\tilde{n}}$.
(Step 6) By Exercise 3(b), $[x]_{\tilde{n}}=[u \tilde{b}]_{\tilde{n}}$ if and only if $[x]_{n}=[u \tilde{b}+r \tilde{n}]_{n}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq r<d$. So $X$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ is a solution of $A \odot X=B$ if and only if $X=[u \tilde{b}+r \tilde{n}]_{n}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq r<d$. In other words, the solutions of $A \odot X=B$ are

$$
[u \tilde{b}]_{n} \quad, \quad[u \tilde{b}+\tilde{n}]_{n} \quad, \quad[u \tilde{b}+2 \tilde{n}]_{n} \quad, \quad \ldots \quad, \quad[u \tilde{b}+(d-2) \tilde{n}]_{n} \quad, \quad[u \tilde{b}+(d-1) \tilde{n}]_{n}
$$

\#4. Solve the following equations:
(a) $12 x=2$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{19}$.
(d) $7 x=2$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{24}$.
(g) $25 x=10$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{65}$.
(b) $31 x=1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{50}$.
(e) $34 x=1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{97}$.
(h) $21 x=17$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{33}$.
(c) $27 x=2$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{40}$.
(f) $15 x=9$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{18}$.

## Chapter 3

## Rings

### 3.1 Definitions and Examples

Definition 3.1.1. A ring is a triple $(R,+, \cdot)$ such that
(i) $R$ is a set;
(ii) + is a function (called ring addition) and $R \times R$ is a subset of the domain of + . For $(a, b) \in R \times R$, $a+b$ denotes the image of $(a, b)$ under + ;
(iii) • is a function (called ring multiplication) and $R \times R$ is a subset of the domain of $\cdot$. For $(a, b) \in R \times R$, $a \cdot b$ (and also $a b$ ) denotes the image of $(a, b)$ under $\cdot$;
and such that the following eight axioms hold:
(Ax 1) $a+b \in r \quad$ for all $a, b \in R$; $\quad$ [closure of addition]
$($ Ax 2) $a+(b+c)=(a+b)+c \quad$ for all $a, b, c \in R$; [associative addition]
(Ax 3) $a+b=b+a \quad$ for all $a, b \in R$.
[commutative addition]
(Ax 4) there exists an element in $R$, denoted by $0_{R}$ and called 'zero $R$ ',
[additive identity] such that $a+0_{R}=a=0_{R}+a \quad$ for all $a \in R$;
(Ax 5) for each $a \in R$ there exists an element in $R$, denoted by $-a$
[additive inverses] and called 'negative $a$ ', such that $a+(-a)=0_{R}$;
(Ax 6$) a b \in R \quad$ for all $a, b \in R$; [closure for multiplication]
$(\operatorname{Ax} 7) a(b c)=(a b) c \quad$ for all $a, b, c \in R$; [associative multiplication]
$(\operatorname{Ax} 8) a(b+c)=a b+a c$ and $(a+b) c=a c+b c \quad$ for all $a, b, c \in R$.
[distributive laws]
In the following we will usually just "Let $R$ be a ring" for "Let $(R,+, \cdot)$ be a ring."
Definition 3.1.2. Let $R$ be a ring. Then $R$ is called commutative if
$(\operatorname{Ax} 9) a b=b a$ for all $a, b \in R$.
[commutative multiplication]

Definition 3.1.3. Let $R$ be a ring. We say that $R$ is a ring with identity if there exists an element, denoted by $1_{R}$ and called 'one $R$ ', such that
$(\operatorname{Ax} 10) 1_{R} \cdot a=a=a \cdot 1_{R} \quad$ for all $a \in R$.
[multiplicative identity]
Example 3.1.4. (a) $(\mathbb{Z},+, \cdot)$ is a commutative ring with identity.
(b) $(\mathbb{Q},+, \cdot)$ is a commutative ring with identity.
(c) $(\mathbb{R},+, \cdot)$ is a commutative ring with identity.
(d) $(\mathbb{C},+, \cdot)$ is a commutative ring with identity.
(e) Let $n$ be a non-zero integer. Then $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}, \oplus, \odot\right)$ is a commutative ring with identity.
(f) $(2 \mathbb{Z},+, \cdot)$ is a commutative ring without a multiplicative identity.
(g) Let $n$ be integer with $n>1$. Then set $\mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ of $n \times n$ matrices with coefficients in $\mathbb{R}$ together with the usual addition and multiplication of matrices is a non-commutative ring with identity.

Example 3.1.5. Let $R=\{0,1\}$ and $a, b \in R$. Define an addition and multiplication on $R$ by

| + | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | $a$ |$\quad$ and $\quad$| $\cdot$ |
| :---: |$\quad$| 0 | 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 | 0 |
|  | 0 | $b$ |

For which values of $a$ and $b$ is $(R,+, \cdot)$ a ring?
Since 1 needs to have an additive inverse, $R$ will not be a ring if $a=1$.
Suppose now that $a=0$.
If $b=1$, then $(R,+, \cdot)$ is $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, \oplus, \odot\right)$ with the regular addition and multiplication and so $R$ is ring.
If $b=0$, then $x y=0$ for all $x, y \in R$. It follows that Axioms 6-8 holds. Axiom 1-4 holds since the addition is the same as in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. So $R$ is a ring.

In both cases $R$ is commutative. If $b=1$, then 1 is an identity. If $b=0, R$ does not have an identity.
Example 3.1.6. Let $R=\{0,1\}$ Define an addition and multiplication on $R$ by

| $\boxplus$ | 0 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 |$\quad$ and $\quad$| $\bullet$ |
| :---: |
| 0 |$\quad 0$| 1 |
| :--- |
| 0 | | 1 |
| :--- |
| 1 | 1

Is $(R, \boxplus, \square)$ a ring?
Note that 1 an additive identity, so $0_{R}=1$. Also $1_{R}$ is an multiplicative identity. So $1_{R}=0$. Using the symbols $0_{R}$ and $1_{R}$ we can write the addition and multiplication table as follows:

| $\boxplus$ | $0_{R}$ | $1_{R}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $0_{R}$ | $0_{R}$ | $1_{R}$ |
| $1_{R}$ | $1_{R}$ | $0_{R}$ |$\quad$| $\bullet$ | and |
| :--- | :--- |$\quad$| $\bullet$ | $0_{R}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1_{R}$ |  |

Indeed, most entries in the tables are determined by the fact that $0_{R}$ and $1_{R}$ are the additive and multiplicative identity, respectively. Also $1_{R} \boxplus 1_{R}=0 \boxplus 0=1=0_{R}$ and $0_{R} \boxtimes 0_{R}=1 \boxtimes 1=1=0_{R}$.

Observe now that new tables are the same as for $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. So $(R, \boxplus, ~ \boxtimes)$ is a ring.
Theorem 3.1.7. Let $R$ and $S$ be rings. Recall from 0.3 .3 that $R \times S=\{(r, s) \mid r \in R, s \in S\}$. Define an addition and multiplication on $R \times S$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
(r, s)+\left(r^{\prime}, s^{\prime}\right) & =\left(r+r^{\prime}, s+s^{\prime}\right) \\
(r, s)\left(r^{\prime}, s^{\prime}\right) & =\left(r r^{\prime}, s s^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $r, r^{\prime} \in R$ and $s, s^{\prime} \in S$. Then
(a) $R \times S$ is a ring;
(b) $0_{R \times S}=\left(0_{R}, 0_{S}\right)$;
(c) $-(r, s)=(-r,-s)$ for all $r \in R, s \in S$;
(d) if $R$ and $S$ are both commutative, then so is $R \times S$;
(e) if both $R$ and $S$ have an identity, then $R \times S$ has an identity and $1_{R \times S}=\left(1_{R}, 1_{S}\right)$.

Proof. See Exercise 3.1\#2.
Example 3.1.8. Determined the addition table of the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3}$.
Recall from 2.1.2 b that $\mathbb{Z}_{2}=\{0,1\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{3}=\{0,1,2\}$. So

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3}=\{(0,0),(0,1),(0,2),(1,0),(1,1),(1,2) .\}
$$

and

| + | $(0,0)$ | $(0,1)$ | $(0,2)$ | $(1,0)$ | $(1,1)$ | $(1,2)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(0,0)$ | $(0,0)$ | $(0,1)$ | $(0,2)$ | $(1,0)$ | $(1,1)$ | $(1,2)$ |
| $(0,1)$ | $(0,1)$ | $(0,2)$ | $(0,0)$ | $(1,1)$ | $(1,2)$ | $(1,0)$ |
| $(0,2)$ | $(0,2)$ | $(0,0)$ | $(0,1)$ | $(1,2)$ | $(1,0)$ | $(1,1)$ |
| $(1,0)$ | $(1,0)$ | $(1,1)$ | $(1,2)$ | $(0,0)$ | $(0,1)$ | $(0,2)$ |
| $(1,1)$ | $(1,1)$ | $(1,2)$ | $(1,0)$ | $(0,1)$ | $(0,2)$ | $(0,0)$ |
| $(1,2)$ | $(1,2)$ | $(1,0)$ | $(1,1)$ | $(0,2)$ | $(0,0)$ | $(0,1)$ |

## Exercises 3.1:

\#1. Let $E=\{0, e, b, c\}$ with addition and multiplication defined by the following tables. Assume associativity and distributivity and show that $R$ is a ring with identity. Is $R$ commutative?

| + | 0 | $e$ | $b$ | $c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | $e$ | $b$ | $c$ |
| $e$ | $e$ | 0 | $c$ | $b$ |
| $b$ | $b$ | $c$ | 0 | $e$ |
| $c$ | $c$ | $b$ | $e$ | 0 |


| $\cdot$ | 0 | $e$ | $b$ | $c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $e$ | 0 | $e$ | $b$ | $c$ |
| $b$ | 0 | $b$ | $b$ | 0 |
| $c$ | 0 | $c$ | 0 | $c$ |

\#2. Prove Theorem 3.1.7.

### 3.2 Elementary Properties of Rings

Lemma 3.2.1. Let $R$ be ring and $a, b \in R$. Then $(a+b)+(-b)=a$.
Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a+b)+(-b) & =a+(b+(-b)) & -\mathrm{Ax} 2 \\
& =a+0_{R} & -\mathrm{Ax} \mathrm{5} \\
& =a & -\mathrm{Ax} 4
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.2.2 (Additive Cancellation Law). Let $R$ be ring and $a, b, c \in R$. Then

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
a & =b \\
& & \\
\Longleftrightarrow \quad c+a & =c+b \\
\Longleftrightarrow \quad a+c & =b+c
\end{array}
$$

Proof. "First Statement $\Longrightarrow$ Second Statement': Suppose that $a=b$. Then $c+a=c+b$ by the Principal of Substitution 0.1.1.
"Second Statement $\Longrightarrow$ Third Statement': Suppose that $c+a=c+b$. Then Ax 3 applied to each side of the equation gives $a+c=b+c$.
"Third Statement $\Longrightarrow$ First Statement': Suppose that $a+c=b+c$. Adding $-c$ to both sides of the equation gives $(a+c)+(-c)=(b+c)+(-c)$. Applying 3.2.1 to both sides gives $a=b$.

Definition 3.2.3. Let $R$ be a ring and $c \in R$. Then $c$ is called an additive identity of $R$ if

$$
a+c=a \quad \text { and } \quad c+a=a
$$

for all $a \in R$.
Corollary 3.2.4 (Additive Identity Law). Let $R$ be a ring and $a, c \in R$. Then the following three statements are equivalent:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a & =0_{R} \\
\Longleftrightarrow \quad c+a & =c \\
\Longleftrightarrow \quad a+c & =c
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, $0_{R}$ is the unique additive identity of $R$.

Proof. Put $b=0_{R}$. Then by Ax 4 $c+b=c$ and $b+c=c$. Thus by the Principal of Substitution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=0_{R} \Longleftrightarrow a=b \\
& c+a=c \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad c+a=c+b \\
& a+c=c \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad a+c=b+c
\end{aligned}
$$

So the Corollary follows from the Cancellation Law 3.2.2.
Definition 3.2.5. Let $R$ be a ring and $c \in R$. An additive inverse of $c$ is an element $a$ in $R$ with $c+a=0_{R}$.
Corollary 3.2.6 (Additive Inverse Law). Let $R$ be a ring and $a, c \in R$. Then

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
a & =-c \\
\Longleftrightarrow \quad c+a & =0_{R} \\
\Longleftrightarrow \quad a+c & =0_{R}
\end{array}
$$

In particular, $-c$ is the unique additive inverse of $c$.
Proof. Put $b=-c$. By Ax 5, $c+b=0_{R}$ and so by Ax 3, $b+c=0_{R}$. Thus by the Principal of Substitution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a & =-c
\end{aligned} \Longleftrightarrow \begin{gathered}
a \\
c+a
\end{gathered}=0_{R} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad c+a=c+b+c h a+c=b+c
$$

So the Corollary follows from the Cancellation Law 3.2.2.
Definition 3.2.7. Let $(R,+, \cdot)$ be a ring and $S$ a subset of $R$. Then $(S,+, \cdot)$ is called a subring of $(R,+, \cdot)$ provided that $(S,+, \cdot)$ is a ring.

Theorem 3.2.8 (Subring Theorem). Suppose that $R$ is a ring and $S$ a subset of $R$. Then $S$ is a subring of $R$ if and only if the following four conditions hold:
(I) $0_{R} \in S$.
(II) $S$ is closed under addition (that is : if $a, b \in S$, then $a+b \in S$ );
(III) $S$ is closed under multiplication (that is: if $a, b \in S$, then $a b \in S$ );
(IV) $S$ is closed under negatives (that is: if $a \in S$, then $-a \in S$ )

Proof. $\Longrightarrow$ : Suppose first that $S$ is a subring of $R$.
By Ax 4 for $S$ there exists $0_{S} \in S$ with $0_{S}+a=a$ for all $a \in S$. In particular, $0_{S}+0_{S}=0_{S}$. So by 3.2.4

$$
\begin{equation*}
0_{S}=0_{R} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $0_{S} \in S$, (I) holds.
By Ax 1 for $S, a+b \in S$ for all $a, b \in S$. So (II holds.
By Ax 6 for $S, a b \in S$ for all $a, b \in S$. So III holds.

Let $s \in S$. Then by Ax 5 for $S$, there exists $t \in S$ with $s+t=0_{S}$. By ** $0_{S}=0_{R}$ and so $s+t=0_{R}$. Thus by 3.2.6 $t=-s$. Since $t \in S$ this gives $-s \in S$ and IV holds.
$\Longleftarrow$ : Suppose now that (I)- (IV) holds.
Since $S$ is a subset of $R, S$ is a set Hence Condition (i) in the definition of a ring holds for $S$.
Since $S$ is a subset of $R, S \times S$ is a subset $R \times R$. By Conditions (ii) and (iii) in the definition of a ring, $R \times R$ is a subset of the domains of + and $\cdot$. Hence also $S \times S$ is a subset of the domains of + and $\cdot$. Thus Conditions (ii) and (iii) in the definition of a ring hold for $S$.

By (II) $a+b \in S$ for all $a, b \in S$ and so Ax 1 holds for $S$.
By $\operatorname{Ax} 2(a+b)+c=a+(b+c)$ for all $a, b, c \in R$. Since $S \subseteq R$ we conclude that $(a+b)+c=a+(b+c)$ for all $a, b, c \in S$. Thus Ax 2 holds for $S$.

Similarly since Ax 3 for all elements in $R$ it also holds for all elements of $S$.
Put $0_{S}=0_{R}$. Then (I) implies $0_{S} \in S$. By Ax 4 for $R, O_{R}+a=a=a+0_{R}$ for all $a \in R$. Thus $0_{S}+a=a=a+0_{S}$ for all $a \in S$ and so Ax 4 holds for $S$.

Let $s \in S$. Then $s+(-s)=0_{R}$ and since $0_{S}=0_{R}, s+(-s)=0_{S}$. By (VV) $-s \in S$ and so Ax 5 holds for $S$.

By (III) $a b \in S$ for all $a, b \in S$ and so Ax 6 holds for $S$.
Since Ax 7 and Ax 8 hold for all elements of $R$ they also holds for all elements of $S$. Thus Ax 7 and Ax 8 holds for $S$.

So Ax 1 Ax 8 hold for $S$ and $S$ is a ring. Hence, by definition, $S$ is a subring of $R$.
Example 3.2.9. (1) Show that $\mathbb{Z}$ is a subring of $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}$ is a subring of $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}$ is a subring of $\mathbb{C}$.
By example 3.1.4 $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{R}$ are rings. So by definition of a subring, $\mathbb{Z}$ is a subring of $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}$ is a subring of $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}$ is a subring of $\mathbb{C}$.
(2) Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and put $n \mathbb{Z}:=\{n k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Show that $n \mathbb{Z}$ is subring of $\mathbb{Z}$.

We will verify the four conditions of the Subring Theorem for $S=n \mathbb{Z}$.
Observe first that since $n \mathbb{Z}=\{n k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$,
$(*) \quad a \in n \mathbb{Z} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad$ there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a=n k$.

Let $a, b \in n \mathbb{Z}$. Then by (*)

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=n k \quad \text { and } \quad b=n l \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(I): $0=n 0$ and so $0 \in n \mathbb{Z}$ by *)
(II): $a+b \stackrel{* *}{=} n k+n l=n(k+l)$. Since $k+l \in \mathbb{Z}, *$ shows $a+b \in \mathbb{Z}$. So $n \mathbb{Z}$ is closed under addition. (III): $a b \stackrel{* *}{\rightleftharpoons}\left(n k(n l)=n(k n l)\right.$. Since $n k l \in \mathbb{Z},{ }_{*}$ shows $a b \in \mathbb{Z}$. So $n \mathbb{Z}$ is closed under multiplication. $(\mathrm{IV})-a \stackrel{\boxed{* *}}{=}-(n k)=n(-k)$. Since $-k \in \mathbb{Z}, \sqrt{*}$ shows $-a \in \mathbb{Z}$. So $n \mathbb{Z}$ is closed under negatives.
(3) Show that $\left\{[0]_{4},[2]_{4}\right\}$ is a subring of $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$.

We compute in $\mathbb{Z}_{4}: 0_{\mathbb{Z}_{4}}=0 \in\{0,2\}$ and so Condition (I) of the Subring Theorem holds. We compute :

| + | 0 | 2 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 2 | 2 | 0 |$\quad$| 0 | 0 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 |  |$\quad$ and $\quad$| $x$ |
| :---: | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $-x$ | 0 |
| 2 |  |

So $\{0,2\}$ is closed under addition, multiplication and negatives. Thus $\{0,2\}$ is a subring of $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ by Subring Theorem.

Definition 3.2.10. Let $R$ be a ring and $a, b \in R$. Then $a-b:=a+(-b)$.
Proposition 3.2.11. Let $R$ be a ring and $a, b, c \in R$. Then
(a) $-0_{R}=0_{R}$
(g) $-(a+b)=(-a)+(-b)=(-a)-b$.
(b) $a-0_{R}=a$.
(h) $-(a-b)=(-a)+b=b-a$.
(c) $a \cdot 0_{R}=0_{R}=0_{R} \cdot a$.
(i) $(-a) \cdot(-b)=a b$.
(d) $a \cdot(-b)=-(a b)=(-a) \cdot b$.
(j) $a \cdot(b-c)=a b-a c$ and $(a-b) \cdot c=a c-b c$.
(e) $-(-a)=a$.
If $R$ has an identity $1_{R}$,
(f) $a-b=0_{R}$ if and only if $a=b$.
(k) $\left(-1_{R}\right) \cdot a=-a=a \cdot\left(-1_{R}\right)$.

Proof. (a) By Ax 4 $0_{R}+0_{R}=0_{R}$ and so by the Additive Inverse Law 3.2.6 $0_{R}=-0_{R}$.
(b) $a-0_{R} \stackrel{\text { Def: }}{=}-a+\left(-0_{R}\right) \stackrel{\text { (a) }}{=} a+0_{R} \stackrel{\text { Ax4 }}{=} a$.
(c) We compute
and so by the Additive Identity Law $3.2 .4 a \cdot 0_{R}=0_{R}$. Similarly $0_{R} \cdot a=0_{R}$.
(d) We have

$$
a b+a \cdot(-b) \stackrel{\text { सx } 8}{\stackrel{\text { Ax }}{=}} a \cdot(b+(-b)) \stackrel{\text { Def }-b}{=} a \cdot 0_{R} \stackrel{\text { ct }}{=} 0_{R} \text {. }
$$

So by the Additive Inverse Law $3.2 .6-(a b)=a \cdot(-b)$.
(e) By Ax 5, $a+(-a)=0_{R}$ and so by the Additive Inverse Law 3.2.6, $a=-(-a)$.
(f)

$$
\begin{array}{cl} 
& a-b=0_{R} \\
\Longleftrightarrow & a+(-b)=0_{R} \\
& \text { - definition of - } \\
\Longleftrightarrow & a=-(-b) \\
\Longleftrightarrow & - \text { Additive Inverse Law 3.2.6 } \\
\Longleftrightarrow & a=b
\end{array} \quad-\text { ę }
$$

(g)

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
(a+b)+((-a)+(-b)) & \stackrel{\mathrm{Ax}_{3} 3}{=} & (b+a)+((-a)+(-b) & \stackrel{\mathrm{Ax} 2}{=} & ((b+a)+(-a))+(-b) \\
& \stackrel{3.2 .1}{=} & b+(-b) & \stackrel{\mathrm{Ax} 5}{=} & 0_{R} .
\end{array}
$$

and so by the Additive Inverse Law $3.2 .6-(a+b)=(-a)+(-b)$. By definition of " $-",(-a)+(-b)=$ $(-a)-b$.
(h)

$$
\begin{array}{lcccc}
-(a-b) & \stackrel{\text { Def }}{=}-(a+(-b)) & \stackrel{\text { (G)}}{=} & (-a)+(-(-b)) & \text { 国 } \\
& \stackrel{\text { Ax }}{=} & b+(-a)+b \\
= & \text { Def }- & b-a
\end{array} .
$$

(i) $(-a) \cdot(-b) \stackrel{\text { d }}{=} a \cdot(-(-b)) \stackrel{\text { E }}{=} a \cdot b$.
(j) $a \cdot(b-c) \stackrel{\text { Def }}{=} a \cdot(b+(-c)) \stackrel{\text { Ax } 8}{=} a \cdot b+a \cdot(-c) \stackrel{\text { d }}{=} a b+(-(a c)) \stackrel{\text { Def }}{=} a b-a c$.

Similarly $(a-b) \cdot c=a b-a c$.
(k) Suppose now that $R$ has an additive identity. Then

$$
a+\left(\left(-1_{R}\right) \cdot a\right) \stackrel{(\mathrm{Ax}}{=}{ }^{10)} 1_{R} \cdot a+\left(-1_{R}\right) \cdot a \stackrel{\text { Ax } 8}{=}\left(1_{R}+\left(-1_{R}\right)\right) \cdot a \stackrel{\text { Ax } 5}{=} 0_{R} \cdot a \stackrel{\text { C) }}{ } 0_{R}
$$

Hence by the Additive Inverse Law $3.2 .6-a=\left(-1_{R}\right) \cdot a$. Similarly, $-a=a \cdot\left(-1_{R}\right)$.
Lemma 3.2.12. Let $R$ be ring and $a, b, c \in R$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
c & =b-a \\
\Longleftrightarrow \quad c+a & =b \\
\Longleftrightarrow \quad a+c & =b
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{array}{lclll} 
& a+c & = & b \\
\Longleftrightarrow & c+a & = & b & -\operatorname{Ax~3} \\
\Longleftrightarrow & (c+a)+(-a) & = & b+(-a) & - \text { Additive Cancellation Law 3.2.2 } \\
\Longleftrightarrow & c & & b-a & -3.2 .1 \text { and Definition of } b-a
\end{array}
$$

Definition 3.2.13. Let $R$ be a ring with identity.
(a) Let $u \in R$. Then $u$ is called $a$ unit in $R$ if there exists an element in $R$, denoted by $u^{-1}$ and called 'u-inverse', with

$$
u u^{-1}=1_{R}=u^{-1} u
$$

(b) Let $u, v \in R$. Then $v$ is called an (multiplicative) inverse of $u$ if $u v=1_{R}=v u$.
(c) Let $e \in R$. Then $e$ is called an (multiplicative) identity of $R$, if $e a=a=$ ae for all $a \in R$.

Example 3.2.14. Find the units in $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$.

Units in $\mathbb{Z}$ : Let $u$ be a unit in $\mathbb{Z}$. Then $u v=1$ for some $v \in \mathbb{Z}$. So $u \mid 1$ and so by $1.2 .11 \leq|u| \leq 1$. Hence $|u|=1$ and $\pm 1$ are the only units in $\mathbb{Z}$.

Units in $\mathbb{Q}$ : If $u$ is a non-zero rational number, then also $\frac{1}{u}$ is rational. So all non-zero elements in $\mathbb{Q}$ are units.

Units in $\mathbb{Z}_{6}: \quad$ By $2.1 .2 \mathbb{Z}_{6}=\{0,1,2,3,4,5\}$ and so $\mathbb{Z}_{6}=\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2,3\}$. We compute

| $\cdot$ | 0 | $\pm 1$ | $\pm 2$ | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\pm 1$ | 0 | $\pm 1$ | $\pm 2$ | 3 |
| $\pm 2$ | 0 | $\pm 2$ | $\pm 2$ | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |

So $\pm 1$ (that is 1 and 5 ) are the only units in $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$.
Lemma 3.2.15. (a) Let $R$ be a ring and $e$ and $e^{\prime} \in R$. Suppose that

$$
(*) \quad e a=a \quad \text { and } \quad(* *) \quad a e^{\prime}=a
$$

for all $a \in R$. Then $e=e^{\prime}$ and $e$ is a multiplicative identity in $R$. In particular, a ring has at most one multiplicative identity.
(b) Let $R$ be a ring with identity and $x, y, u \in R$ with

$$
(+) \quad x u=1_{R} \quad \text { and } \quad(++) \quad u y=1_{R}
$$

Then $x=y, u$ is a unit in $R$ and $x$ is an inverse of $u$.
Proof. (a)

$$
e \stackrel{(*)}{=} e e^{\prime} \stackrel{(* *)}{=} e^{\prime}
$$

(b)

$$
y \stackrel{(\mathrm{Ax} 10)}{=} 1_{R} y \stackrel{(+)}{=}(x u) y \stackrel{\mathrm{Ax} 7}{=} x(u y) \stackrel{(++)}{=} x 1_{R} \stackrel{(\mathrm{Ax} 10)}{=} x
$$

Theorem 3.2.16 (Multiplicative Inverse Law). Let $R$ be a ring with identity and $u, v \in R$. Suppose $u$ is a unit. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
v & =u^{-1} \\
\Longleftrightarrow \quad v u & =1_{R} \\
\Longleftrightarrow \quad u v & =1_{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, $u^{-1}$ is the unique multiplicative inverse of $u$.
Proof. Recall first that by definition of unit:

$$
\text { (*) } \quad u u^{-1}=1_{R} \quad \text { and } \quad(* *) \quad u^{-1} u=1_{R}
$$

First Statement $\Longrightarrow$ Second Statement': Suppose $v=u^{-1}$. Then $v u=u^{-1} u \stackrel{(* *)}{=} 1_{R}$.
'Second Statement $\Longrightarrow$ Third Statement': Suppose that $v u=1_{R}$. By $\left(^{*}\right) u u^{-1}=1_{R}$. Thus by 3.2.15 b) applied with $x=v$ and $y=u^{-1}, v=u^{-1}$ and so $u v=u u^{-1} \stackrel{(*)}{=} 1_{R}$.
'Third Statement $\Longrightarrow$ First Statement': Suppose that $u v=1_{R}$. By ${ }^{(* *)} u^{-1} u=1_{R}$. Thus 3.2.15 applied with $x=u^{-1}$ and $y=v$ gives $u^{-1}=v$.

Lemma 3.2.17. Let $R$ be a ring with identity and $a$ and $b$ units in $R$.
(a) $a^{-1}$ is a unit and $\left(a^{-1}\right)^{-1}=a$.
(b) $a b$ is $a$ unit and $(a b)^{-1}=b^{-1} a^{-1}$.

Proof. (a) By definition of $a^{-1}$, $a a^{-1}=1_{R}=a^{-1} a$. Hence also $a^{-1} a=1_{R}=a a^{-1}$. Thus $a^{-1}$ is a unit and by the Multiplicative Inverse Law 3.2.16, $a=\left(a^{-1}\right)^{-1}$.
(b) See Exercise 3.2 \#7.

Definition 3.2.18. A ring $R$ is called an integral domain provided that $R$ is commutative, $R$ has an identity, $1_{R} \neq 0_{R}$ and
(Ax 11) whenever $a, b \in R$ with $a b=0_{R}$, then $a=0_{R}$ or $b=0_{R}$.
Theorem 3.2.19 (Multiplicative Cancellation Law for Integral Domains). Let $R$ be an integral domain and $a, b, c \in R$ with $a \neq 0_{R}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
a b & =a c \\
\Longleftrightarrow \quad b & =c \\
\Longleftrightarrow \quad b a & =c a
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. 'First Statement $\Longrightarrow$ Second Statement:' Suppose $a b=a c$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(b-c) & =a b-a c & & 3.2 .11 \mathrm{j} \\
& =a b-a b & & \text { Principal of Substitution, } a b=a c \\
& =0_{R} & & 3.2 .11 \mathrm{f}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $R$ is an integral domain, (Ax 11) holds. So $a(b-c)=0_{R}$ implies $a=0_{R}$ or $b-c=0_{R}$. By assumption Since $a \neq 0_{R}$ and so $b-c=0_{R}$. Thus by 3.2.11 $f$, $b=c$.
'Second Statement $\Longrightarrow$ Third Statement:' If $b=c$ then $a b=a c$ by the Principal of Substitution.
'Third Statement $\Longrightarrow$ First Statement:' Since integral domains are commutative, $b a=c a$ implies $a b=$ $a c$.

Definition 3.2.20. $A$ ring $R$ is called a field provided that $R$ is commutative, $R$ has an identity, $1_{R} \neq 0_{R}$ and
(Ax 12) each $a \in R$ with $a \neq 0_{R}$ is a unit in $R$.
Example 3.2.21. Which of the following rings are fields? Which are integral domains?
(a) $\mathbb{Z}$.
(c) $\mathbb{R}$.
(e) $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$.
(g) $\mathrm{M}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$.
(b) $\mathbb{Q}$.
(d) $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$.
(f) $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$.
(h) $\mathbb{Z}_{p}, p$ a prime.

All of the rings have a non-zero identity. All but $\mathrm{M}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ are commutative. If $a, b$ are non zero real numbers then $a b \neq 0$. So ( Ax 11 ) holds for $\mathbb{R}$ and so also for $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Q}$. Thus $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{R}$ are integral domains.
(a) 2 does not have an inverse in $\mathbb{Z}$. . So $\mathbb{Z}$ is an integral domain, but not a field.
(b) The inverse of a non-zero rational numbers is rational. So $\mathbb{Q}$ is a integral domain and a field.
(c) The inverse of a non-zero real numbers is real. So $\mathbb{R}$ is a integral domain and a field.
(d) $\pm 1$ are the only non-zero elements in $\mathbb{Z}_{3} \cdot 1 \cdot 1=1$ and $-1 \cdot-1=1$. So $\pm 1$ are units $\pm 1 \cdot \pm 1= \pm 1 \neq 0$ and so $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ is an integral domain.
(e), (f): Let $a \in\{2,3\}$. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $[2]_{2 a}=[n]_{2 a}=[m]_{2 a}$. then $m=2 n+2 a k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and so $m$ is even. Thus $[2]_{2 a}[n]_{2 a} \neq[1]_{2 a}$ and $[2]_{2 a}$ is not a unit in $\mathbb{Z}_{2 a}$. Hence $\mathbb{Z}_{2 a}$ is not a field. Since $2 \cdot a=2 a=0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{2 a}$ but neither 2 nor $a$ are 0 in $\mathbb{Z}_{2 a}, \mathbb{Z}_{2 a}$ is not an integral domain.
(g) $\mathrm{M}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ is not commutative. Also $\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$ is not a unit and $\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$. So $\mathrm{M}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ fails all conditions of a field and integral domain, except for $1_{R} \neq 0_{R}$.
(h) By 2.3.6 each non-zero element in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ has an inverse. So $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is a field. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $[a]_{p}[b]_{p}=[0]_{p}$. Then by $2.3 .2[a]_{p}=[0]_{p}$ or $[b]_{p}=[0]_{p}$. Thus $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is an integral domain.

Proposition 3.2.22. Every field is an integral domain.
Proof. Let $F$ be a field. Then by definition, $F$ is an commutative ring with identity and $1_{F} \neq 0_{F}$. So it remains the verify Ax 11 in 3.2.18. For this let $a, b \in F$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
a b=0_{F} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $a \neq 0_{F}$. Then by the definition of a field, $a$ is a unit. Thus $a$ has multiplicative inverse $a^{-1}$. So we compute

$$
0_{F} \stackrel{3.2 .11 / \mathrm{C}}{=} a^{-1} \cdot 0_{F} \stackrel{(*)}{=} a^{-1} \cdot(a \cdot b) \stackrel{\text { Ax } 7}{=}\left(a^{-1} \cdot a\right) \cdot b \stackrel{\text { Def: } a^{-1}}{=} 1_{F} \cdot b \stackrel{(\mathrm{Ax} 10)}{=} b
$$

So $b=0_{F}$.
We have proven that if $a \neq 0_{F}$, then $b=0_{F}$. So $a=0_{F}$ or $b=0_{F}$. Hence Ax 11 holds and $F$ is an integral domain.

Theorem 3.2.23. Every finite integral domains is a field.
Proof. Let $R$ be a finite integral domain. Then $R$ is a commutative ring with identity and $1_{R} \neq 0_{R}$. So it remains to show that every $a \in R$ with $a \neq 0_{R}$ is a unit. Set $S:=\{a r \mid r \in R\}$. Define

$$
f: R \rightarrow S, r \rightarrow a r
$$

Let $b, c \in R$ with $f(b)=f(c)$. Then $a b=a c$ and by the Cancellation Law 3.2.19 $b=c$. Thus $f$ is 1-1. By definition of $S$, $f$ is also onto and so $|R|=|S|$. Since $S \subseteq R$ and $R$ is finite we conclude $R=S$. In particular, $1_{R} \in S$ and so there exists $b \in R$ with $1_{R}=a b$. Since $R$ is commutative we also have $b a=1_{R}$ and so $a$ is a unit.

Definition 3.2.24. Let $R$ be a ring and $a \in R$.
(a) Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. Then $a^{n}$ is inductively defined by $a^{1}=a$ and $a^{n+1}=a^{n} a$.
(b) If $R$ has an identity, then $a^{0}=1_{R}$.
(c) If $R$ has an identity and $a$ is a unit, then $a^{-n}=\left(a^{-1}\right)^{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$.

## Exercises 3.2:

\#1. Let $R$ be a ring and $a \in R$. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a^{n}$ and $a^{m}$ are defined. (So $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, or $R$ has an identity and $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, or $R$ has identity, $a$ is a unit and $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$.) Show that
(a) $a^{n} a^{m}=a^{n+m}$.
(b) $a^{n m}=\left(a^{n}\right)^{m}$.
\#2. Prove or disprove:
(a) If $R$ and $S$ are integral domains, then $R \times S$ is an integral domain.
(b) If $R$ and $S$ are fields, then $R \times S$ is a field.
\#3. Which of the following six sets are subrings of $\mathrm{M}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ ? Which ones have an identity?
(a) All matrices of the form $\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & r \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$ with $r \in \mathbb{Q}$.
(b) All matrices of the form $\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ 0 & c\end{array}\right]$ with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(c) All matrices of the form $\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & a \\ b & b\end{array}\right]$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.
(d) All matrices of the form $\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & 0 \\ a & 0\end{array}\right]$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.
(e) All matrices of the form $\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & 0 \\ 0 & a\end{array}\right]$ with $a \in \mathbb{R}$.
(f) All matrices of the form $\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$ with $a \in \mathbb{R}$.
\#4. Let $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ denote the set $\{a+b i \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Show that $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a subring of $\mathbb{C}$.
\#5. An element $e$ of a ring is said to be an idempotent if $e^{2}=e$.
(a) Find four idempotents in $M(\mathbb{R})$.
(b) Find all idempotents in $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$.
(c) Prove that the only idempotents in an integral domain $R$ are $0_{R}$ and $1_{R}$.
\#6. Let $R$ be a ring and $b$ a fixed element of $R$. Let $T=\{r b \mid r \in R\}$. Prove that $T$ is a subring of $R$.
\#7. (a) If $a$ and $b$ are units in a ring with identity, prove that $a b$ is a unit with inverse $b^{-1} a^{-1}$.
(b) Give an example to show that if $a$ and $b$ are units, then $a^{-1} b^{-1}$ does not need to be the multiplicative inverse of $a b$.
\#8. Let $R$ be a ring with identity. If $a b$ and $a$ are units in $R$, prove that $b$ is a unit.
\#9. Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity $1_{R} \neq 0_{R}$. Prove that $R$ is an integral domain if and only if cancellation holds in $R$, (that is whenever $a, b, c \in R$ with $a \neq 0_{R}$ and $a b=a c$ then $b=c$.)

### 3.3 Isomorphism and Homomorphism

Definition 3.3.1. Let $(R,+, \cdot)$ and $(S, \oplus, \odot)$ be rings and let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a function.
(a) $f$ is called a homomorphism from $(R,+, \cdot)$ to $(S, \oplus, \odot)$ if

$$
f(a+b)=f(a) \oplus f(b)
$$

and

$$
f(a \cdot b)=f(a) \odot f(b) \quad[f \text { respects multiplication }]
$$

for all $a, b \in R$.
(b) $f$ is called an isomorphism from $(R,+, \cdot)$ to $(S, \oplus, \odot)$, if $f$ is a homomorphism from $(R,+, \cdot)$ to $(S, \oplus, \odot)$ and $f$ is 1-1 and onto
(c) $(R,+, \cdot)$ is called isomorphic to $(S, \oplus, \odot)$, if there exists an isomorphism from $(R,+, \cdot)$ to $(S, \oplus, \odot)$.

Example 3.3.2. (1) Consider $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, a \rightarrow a$.
Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$
f(a+b)=a+b=f(a)+f(b) \quad \text { and } \quad f(a b)=a b=f(a) f(b)
$$

and so $f$ is homomorphism. $f$ is $1-1$, but not onto and so (1) holds.
(2) Consider $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, a \rightarrow-a$.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$
g(a+b)=-(a+b)=-a+(-b)=g(a)+g(b)
$$

and so $g$ respects addition.

$$
g(a b)=-(a b) \quad \text { and } \quad g(a) g(b)=(-a)(-b)=a b
$$

For $a=b=1$ we conclude that $g(1 \cdot 1)=-1 \neq 1=g(1) g(1)$. So $g$ does not respect multiplication, and $g$ is not a homomorphism. But note that $g$ is $1-1$ and onto.
(3) Let $R$ and $S$ be rings and consider $h: R \rightarrow S, r \rightarrow 0_{S}$.

Let $a, b \in R$. Then

$$
g(a+b)=0_{S}=0_{S}+0_{S}=g(a)+g(b) \quad \text { and } \quad g(a b)=0_{S}=0_{S} 0_{S}=g(a) g(b)
$$

So $g$ is a homomorphism. $g$ is 1-1 if and only if $R=\left\{0_{R}\right\}$ and $g$ is onto if and only if $S=\left\{0_{S}\right\}$. So $g$ is an isomorphism if and only if $R=\left\{0_{R}\right\}$ and $S=\left\{0_{S}\right\}$. is a homomorphism.
(4) Let $R$ be a ring. Consider $\operatorname{id}_{R}: R \rightarrow R, r \rightarrow r$

Let $a, b \in R$. Then

$$
\operatorname{id}_{R}(a+b)=a+b=\operatorname{id}_{R}(a)+\operatorname{id}_{R}(b) \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{id}_{R}(a b)=a b=\operatorname{id}_{R}(a) \operatorname{id}_{R}(b)
$$

and so $\operatorname{id}_{R}$ is a homomorphism. Since $\mathrm{id}_{R}$ is 1-1 and onto, $\mathrm{id}_{R}$ is an isomorphism.
(5) Let $n$ be a non-zero integer. Consider $h: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{n}, a \rightarrow[a]_{n}$.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. By definition of addition and multiplication in $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$

$$
h(a+b)=[a+b]_{n}=[a]_{n} \oplus[b]_{n}=h(a) \oplus h(b) \quad \text { and } \quad h(a b)=[a b]_{n}=[a]_{n} \odot[b]_{n}=h(a) \odot h(b)
$$

So $h$ is homomorphism. Since

$$
h(n)=[n]_{n}=[0]_{n}=h(0)
$$

and $n \neq 0, h$ is not 1-1. So $h$ is not isomorphism.
Let $A \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$. By definition of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}, A=[a]_{n}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence $h(a)=A$ and $h$ is onto.
Example 3.3.3. Consider the function

$$
f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{2}(\mathbb{R}), r+s i \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{cc}
r & s \\
-s & r
\end{array}\right]
$$

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $a=r+s i$ and $b=\tilde{r}+\tilde{s}$ for some $r, s, \tilde{r}, \tilde{s} \in \mathbb{R}$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(a+b) & =f((r+s i)+(\tilde{r}+\tilde{s} i)) \\
& =f((r+\tilde{r})+(s+\tilde{s}) i) \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
r+\tilde{r} & s+\tilde{s} \\
-(s+\tilde{s}) & r+\tilde{r}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
r & s \\
-s & r
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\tilde{r} & \tilde{s} \\
-\tilde{s} & \tilde{r}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =f(r+s i)+f(\tilde{r}+\tilde{s} i) \\
& =f(a)+f(b)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(a b) & =f((r+s i)(\tilde{r}+\tilde{s} i)) \\
& =f((r \tilde{r}-s \tilde{s})+(r \tilde{s}+s \tilde{r}) i) \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
r \tilde{r}-s \tilde{s} & r \tilde{s}+s \tilde{r} \\
-(r \tilde{s}+s \tilde{r}) & r \tilde{r}-s \tilde{s}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
r & s \\
-s & r
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\tilde{r} & \tilde{s} \\
-\tilde{s} & \tilde{r}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\quad f(r+s i) f(\tilde{r}+\tilde{s} i) \\
& =\quad f(a) f(b) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $f$ is a homomorphism. If $f(a)=f(b)$, then

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
r & s \\
-s & r
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\tilde{r} & \tilde{s} \\
-\tilde{s} & \tilde{r}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and so $r=\tilde{r}$ and $s=\tilde{s}$. Hence $a=r+s i=\tilde{r}+\tilde{s} i=b$ and so $f$ is 1-1. Note that $\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$ is not of the form $\left[\begin{array}{cc}r & s \\ -s & r\end{array}\right]$ and so $f$ is not onto.

Notation 3.3.4. (a) ' $f: R \rightarrow S$ is a ring homomorphism' stands for ' $(R,+, \cdot)$ and $(S, \oplus, \odot)$ are rings and $f$ is a ring homomorphism from $(R,+, \cdot)$ to $(S, \oplus, \odot)$.'
(b) Usually we will use the symbols + and $\cdot$ also for the addition and multiplication on $S$ and so the conditions for a homomorphism become

$$
f(a+b)=f(a)+f(b) \quad \text { and } \quad f(a b)=f(a) f(b)
$$

Remark 3.3.5. Let $R=\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{n}\right\}$ be a ring with $n$ elements. Suppose that the addition and multiplication table is given by

|  | + | $r_{1}$ | $\ldots$ | $r_{j}$ | $\ldots$ | $r_{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $r_{1}$ | $a_{11}$ | $\ldots$ | $a_{1 j}$ | $\ldots$ | $a_{1 n}$ |
|  | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
|  | $r_{i}$ | $a_{i 1}$ | $\ldots$ | $a_{i j}$ | $\ldots$ | $a_{i n}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |  |
|  | $r_{n}$ | $a_{n 1}$ | $\ldots$ | $a_{n j}$ | $\ldots$ | $a_{n n}$ |

and $\quad M$ :

| $\cdot$ | $r_{1}$ | $\ldots$ | $r_{j}$ | $\ldots$ | $r_{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $r_{1}$ | $b_{11}$ | $\ldots$ | $b_{1 j}$ | $\ldots$ | $b_{1 n}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $r_{i}$ | $b_{i 1}$ | $\ldots$ | $b_{i j}$ | $\ldots$ | $b_{i n}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $r_{n}$ | $b_{n 1}$ | $\ldots$ | $b_{n j}$ | $\ldots$ | $b_{n n}$ |

So $r_{i}+r_{j}=a_{i j}$ and $r_{i} r_{j}=b_{i j}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

Let $S$ be a ring and $f: R \rightarrow S$ a function. For $r \in R$ put $r^{\prime}=f(r)$. Consider the tables $A^{\prime}$ and $M^{\prime}$ obtain from the tables $A$ and $M$ by replacing all entries by its image under $f$ :
(a) $f$ is a homomorphism if and only if $A^{\prime}$ and $M^{\prime}$ are the tables for the addition and multiplication of the elements $r_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, r_{n}^{\prime}$ in $S$, that is $r_{i}^{\prime}+r_{j}^{\prime}=a_{i j}^{\prime}$ and $r_{i}^{\prime} r_{j}^{\prime}=b_{i j}^{\prime}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.
(b) $f$ is 1-1 if and only if $r_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, r_{n}^{\prime}$ are pairwise distinct.
(c) $f$ is onto if and only if $S=\left\{r_{1}^{\prime}, r_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, r_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$.
(d) $f$ is an isomorphism if and only if $A^{\prime}$ is an addition table for $S$ and $M^{\prime}$ is a multiplication table for $S$.

Proof. (a) $f$ is a homomorphism if and only if

$$
f(a+b)=a+b \quad \text { and } \quad f(a b)=f(a) f(b)
$$

for all $a, b \in R$. Since $R=\left\{r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right\}$, this holds if and only if

$$
f\left(r_{i}+r_{j}\right)=f\left(r_{i}\right)+f\left(r_{j}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad f\left(r_{i} r_{j}\right)=f\left(r_{i}\right) f\left(r_{j}\right)
$$

for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Since $r_{i}+r_{j}=a_{i j}$ and $r_{i} r_{j}=b_{i j}$ this holds if and only if

$$
f\left(a_{i j}\right)=f\left(r_{i}\right)+f\left(r_{j}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad f\left(b_{i j}\right)=f\left(r_{i}\right) f\left(r_{j}\right)
$$

Since $f(r)=r^{\prime}$, this is equivalent to

$$
a_{i j}^{\prime}=r_{i}^{\prime}+r_{j}^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad b_{i j}^{\prime}=r_{i}^{\prime} r_{j}^{\prime}
$$

(b) $f$ is 1-1 if and only if for all $a, b \in R, f(a)=f(b)$ implies $a=b$ and so if and only if $a \neq b$ implies $f(a) \neq f(b)$. Since for each $a \in R$ there exists a unique $1 \leq i \leq n$ with $a=r_{i}, f$ is 1-1 if and only for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j$ implies $f\left(r_{i}\right) \neq f\left(r_{j}\right)$, that is $i \neq j$ implies $r_{i}^{\prime} \neq r_{j}^{\prime}$.
(c) $f$ is onto if and only if $\operatorname{Im} f=S$. Since $R=\left\{r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right\}, \operatorname{Im} f=\left\{f\left(r_{1}\right), \ldots, f\left(r_{n}\right)\right\}=\left\{r_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, r_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$. So $f$ is onto if and only if $S=\left\{r_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, r_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$.
(d) Follows from (a)- (c).

Example 3.3.6. Let $R$ be the ring from example 3.1.6. Then the map

$$
f: R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2}, 0 \rightarrow[1]_{2}, 1 \rightarrow[0]_{2}
$$

is an isomorphism.

The tables for $R$ are


Replacing 0 by $[1]_{2}$ and 1 by $[0]_{2}$ we obtain

|  | $[1]_{2}$ | $[0]_{2}$ |  |  | $[1]_{2}$ | $[0]_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $[1]_{2}$ | $[0]_{2}$ | $[1]_{2}$ | and | $[1]_{2}$ | $[1]_{2}$ | $[0]_{2}$ |
| $[0]_{2}$ | $[1]_{2}$ | $[0]_{2}$ |  |  | $[0]_{2}$ | $[0]_{2}$ |
|  | $[0]_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Note that these are addition and multiplication tables for $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and so by 3.3.5 $f$ is an isomorphism.
Lemma 3.3.7. Let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of rings. Then
(a) $f\left(0_{R}\right)=0_{S}$.
(b) $f(-a)=-f(a)$ for all $a \in R$.
(c) $f(a-b)=f(a)-f(b)$ for all $a, b \in R$.

Suppose in addition that $R$ has an identity and $f$ is onto, then
(d) $S$ is a ring with identity and $f\left(1_{R}\right)=1_{S}$.
(e) If $u$ is a unit in $R$, then $f(u)$ is a unit in $S$ and $f\left(u^{-1}\right)=f(u)^{-1}$.

Proof. (a) We have

$$
f\left(0_{R}\right)+f\left(0_{R}\right) \stackrel{\mathrm{f} \text { hom }}{=} f\left(0_{R}+0_{R}\right) \stackrel{\mathrm{Ax}^{=}}{=} f\left(0_{R}\right)
$$

So by the Additive Identity Law 3.2.4, $f\left(0_{R}\right)=0_{S}$.
(b) We compute

$$
f(a)+f(-a) \stackrel{\mathrm{f} \text { hom }}{=} f(a+(-a)) \stackrel{\text { Ax} 5}{=} f\left(0_{R}\right) \stackrel{\text { ą }}{=} 0_{S}
$$

and so by the Additive Inverse Law $3.2 .6 f(-a)=-f(a)$.
(C)

$$
f(a-b) \stackrel{\text { Def }-}{=} f(a+(-b)) \stackrel{\mathrm{f} \text { hom }}{=} f(a)+f(-b) \stackrel{\text { b }}{=} f(a)+(-f(b)) \stackrel{\text { def }-}{=} f(a)-f(b)
$$

(d) We will first show that $f\left(1_{R}\right)$ is an identity in $S$. For this let $s \in S$. Then since $f$ is onto, $s=f(r)$ for some $r \in R$. Thus

$$
s \cdot f\left(1_{R}\right)=f(r) f\left(1_{R}\right) \stackrel{\mathrm{f} \text { hom }}{=} f\left(r 1_{R}\right) \stackrel{(\mathrm{Ax} 10)}{=} f(r)=s
$$

and similarly $f\left(1_{R}\right) \cdot s=s$. So $f\left(1_{R}\right)$ is an identity in $S$. By 3.2.15 a ring has at most one identity and so $f\left(1_{R}\right)=1_{S}$.
(e) Let $u$ be a unit in $R$. We will first show that $f\left(u^{-1}\right)$ is an inverse of $f(u)$ :

$$
f(u) f\left(u^{-1}\right) \stackrel{\mathrm{f} \text { hom }}{=} f\left(u u^{-1}\right) \stackrel{\text { def inv }}{=} f\left(1_{R}\right) \stackrel{\text { d }}{=} 1_{S} .
$$

Similarly $f\left(u^{-1}\right) f(u)=1_{S}$. Thus $f\left(u^{-1}\right)$ is an inverse of $f(u)$ and so $f(u)$ is a unit. By 3.2.16 $(u)^{-1}$ is the unique inverse of $f(u)$ and so $f\left(u^{-1}\right)=f(u)^{-1}$.

Example 3.3.8. Find all onto homomorphisms from $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3}$.
Let $f: \mathbb{Z}_{6} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ be an onto homomorphism. For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ let

$$
[a]:=[a]_{6}, \quad f[a]:=f\left([a]_{6}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad[a, b]:=\left([a]_{2},[b]_{3}\right) .
$$

Since $f$ is an onto homomorphism, we get from 3.3.7 d that $f\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{Z}_{6}}\right)=1_{\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3}}$. Since [1] is the identity in $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ and $[1,1]$ is the identity in $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ this gives $f[1]=[1,1]$. Similarly, by 3.3.7 a), $f\left(0_{\mathbb{Z}_{6}}\right)=0_{Z_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3}}$ and thus $f[0]=[0,0]$. We compute

$$
\begin{gathered}
f[0]=[0,0] \\
f[1]=[1,1] \\
f[2]=f[1+1]=f[1]+f[1]=[1,1]+[1,1]=[2,2]=[0,2] \\
f[3]=f[2+1]=f[2]+f[1]=[2,2]+[1,1]=[3,3]=[1,0] \\
f[4]=f[3+1]=f[3]+f[1]=[3,3]+[1,1]=[4,4]=[0,1] \\
f[5]=f[4+1]=f[4]+f[1]=[4,4]+[1,1]=[5,5]=[1,2]
\end{gathered}
$$

By $2.1 .2 \mathbb{Z}_{6}=\{[0],[1],[2],[3],[4],[5]\}, \mathbb{Z}_{2}=\left\{[0]_{2},[1]_{2}\right\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{3}=\left\{[0]_{3},[1]_{3},[2]_{3}\right\}$. Hence $f$ is unique and

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3}=\left\{(x, y) \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right\}=\{[0,0],[0,1],[0,2],[1,0],[1,1],[1,2]\}
$$

and we conclude that $f$ is 1-1 and onto. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f[r]=[r, r] \text { for all } 0 \leq r<5 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will show that the function $f: \mathbb{Z}_{6} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times Z_{3}$ defined by $\left(^{*}\right)$ is a homomorphism. For this we first show that $f[m]=[m, m]$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Indeed, by the Division Algorithm, $m=6 q+r$ with $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq r<6$. Then by 2.1.1 $[m]_{6}=[r]_{6}$ and since $m=2(3 q)+r=3(2 q)+r,[m]_{2}=[r]_{2}$ and $[m]_{3}=[r]_{3}$. So $[m]=[r]$, $[m, m]=[r, r]$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f[m]=f[r]=[r, r]=[m, m] \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note also that by the definition of addition and multiplication in the direct product $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ :

$$
(* * *) \quad[n+m, n+m]=[n, m]+[n, m] \quad \text { and } \quad[n m, n m]=[n, m][n, m]
$$

Thus

$$
f[n+m] \stackrel{(* *)}{=}[n+m, n+m] \stackrel{(* * *)}{=}[n, m]+[n, m] \stackrel{(* *)}{=} f[n]+f[m]
$$

and

$$
f[n m] \stackrel{(* *)}{=}[n m, n m] \stackrel{(* * *)}{=}[n, m][n, m] \stackrel{(* *)}{=} f[n] f[m]
$$

So $f$ is a homomorphism of rings. Since $f$ is $1-1$ and onto, $f$ is an isomorphism and so $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3}$.

Example 3.3.9. Show that $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ are not isomorphic.
Put $R:=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Since $x+x=[0]_{2}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ we also have

$$
(x, y)+(x, y)=(x+x, y+y)=\left([0]_{2},[0]_{2}\right)=0_{R}
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
r+r=0_{R} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $r \in R$. Let $S$ be any ring isomorphic to $R$. We claim that $s+s=0_{S}$ for all $s \in S$. Indeed, let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be an isomorphism and let $s \in S$. Since $f$ is onto, there exists $r \in R$ with $f(r)=s$. Thus

$$
s+s=f(r)+f(r) \stackrel{\mathrm{f} \text { hom }}{=} f(r+r) \stackrel{(*)}{=} f\left(0_{R}\right) \stackrel{3.3 .7 \mathrm{a}}{=} 0_{S}
$$

Since $[1]_{4}+[1]_{4}=[2]_{4} \neq[0]_{4}$ we conclude that $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.
Corollary 3.3.10. Let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of rings. Then $\operatorname{Im} f$ is a subring of $S$. (Recall here that $\operatorname{Im} f=\{f(r) \mid r \in R\})$.

Proof. It suffices to verify the four conditions in the Subring Theorem 3.2.8. Observe first that for $s \in S$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s \in \operatorname{Im} f \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad s=f(r) \text { for some } r \in R \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x, y \in \operatorname{Im} f$. Then by *
$(* *)$

$$
x=f(a) \quad \text { and } \quad y=f(b) \quad \text { for some } a, b \in R
$$

(I) By 3.3.7 ab $f\left(0_{R}\right)=0_{S}$ and so $0_{S} \in \operatorname{Im} f$ by *)
(II) $x+y \stackrel{\boxed{* *}}{=} f(a)+f(b) \stackrel{\mathrm{f} \text { hom }}{=} f(a+b)$. By Ax 1$] a+b \in R$. So $x+y \in \operatorname{Im} f$ by $*$.
(III) $x y \stackrel{* *}{=} f(a) f(b) \stackrel{\text { f hom }}{=} f(a b)$. By Ax $6 a b \in R$. So $x y \in \operatorname{Im} f$ by $\left(^{*}\right)$.
(IV) $-x \stackrel{* *}{=}-f(a) \stackrel{\text { 3.3.7 }}{=} f(-a)$. By Ax $5-a \in R$. So $-x \in \operatorname{Im} f$ by (*).

Definition 3.3.11. Let $R$ be a ring. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a \in R$ define $n a \in R$ as follows:
(i) $0 a=0_{R}$.
(ii) If $n \geq 0$ and $n a$ already has been defined, define $(n+1) a=n a+a$.
(iii) If $n<0$ define $n a=-((-n) a)$.

## Exercises 3.3:

\#1. Let $R$ be ring, $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a, b \in R$. Show that
(a) $1 a=a$.
(c) $(n+m) a=n a+m a$.
(e) $n(a+b)=n a+n b$.
(b) $(-1) a=-a$.
(d) $(n m) a=n(m a)$.
(f) $n(a b)=(n a) b=a(n b)$
\#2. Let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism. Show that $f(n a)=n f(a)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a \in R$.
$\# 3$. Let $R$ be a ring. Show that:
(a) If $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R$ is a homomorphism, then $f(1)^{2}=f(1)$.
(b) Let $a \in R$ with $a^{2}=a$. Then there exists a unique homomorphism $g: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R$ with $g(1)=a$.
\#4. Let $S=\left\{\left.\left[\begin{array}{cc}a & b \\ b & a+b\end{array}\right] \right\rvert\, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right\}$. Given that $S$ is a subring of $\mathrm{M}_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$. Show that $S$ is isomorphic to the ring $R$ from Exercise 3.1\#1.
\#5. (a) Give an example of a ring $R$ and a function $f: R \rightarrow R$ such that $f(a+b)=f(a)+f(b)$ for all $a, b \in R$, but $f(a b) \neq f(a)(f(b)$ for some $a, b \in R$.
(b) Give an example of a ring $R$ and a function $f: R \rightarrow R$ such that $f(a b)=f(a) f(b)$ for all $a, b \in R$, but $f(a+b) \neq f(a)+(f(b)$ for some $a, b \in R$.
\#6. Let $L$ be the ring of all matrices in $\mathrm{M}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ of the form $\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & 0 \\ b & c\end{array}\right]$ with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$. Show that the function $f: L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $f\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & 0 \\ b & c\end{array}\right]\right)=a$ is a surjective homomorphism but is not an isomorphism.
\#7. Let $n$ and $m$ be positive integers with $n \equiv 1(\bmod m)$. Define $f: \mathbb{Z}_{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{n m},[x]_{m} \rightarrow[x n]_{n m}$. Show that
(a) $f$ is well-defined. (That is if $x, y$ are integers with $[x]_{m}=[y]_{m}$, then $[x n]_{n m}=[y n]_{n m}$ )
(b) $f$ is a homomorphism.
(c) $f$ is $1-1$.
(d) If $n>1$, then $f$ is not onto.
\#8. Let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism. Let $B$ be a subring of $S$ and define

$$
A=\{r \in R \mid f(r) \in B\}
$$

Show that $A$ is a subring of $R$.

### 3.4 Associates in commutative rings

Definition 3.4.1. Let $R$ be a commutative ring and $a, b \in R$. Then we say that $a$ divides $b$ in $R$ and write $a \mid b$ if there exists $c \in R$ with $b=a c$

Lemma 3.4.2. Let $R$ be a commutative ring and $r \in R$. Then $0_{R} \mid r$ if and only of $r=0_{R}$.

Proof. By 3.2.11 c, $0_{R}=0_{R} \cdot 0_{R}$ and so $0_{R} \mid 0_{R}$.
Suppose now that $r \in R$ with $0_{R} \mid r$. Then there exists $s \in R$ with $r=0_{R} s$ and so by 3.2.11/C), $r=0_{R}$.
Lemma 3.4.3. Let $R$ be a commutative ring and $a, b, c \in R$.
(a) $\mid$ is transitive, that is if $a \mid b$ and $b \mid c$, then $a \mid c$.
(b) $a|b \Longleftrightarrow a|(-b) \Longleftrightarrow(-a)|(-b) \Longleftrightarrow(-a)| b$.
(c) If $a \mid b$ and $a \mid c$, then $a \mid(b+c)$ and $a \mid(b-c)$.
(d) If $a \mid b$ and $a \mid c$, then $a \mid(b u+c v)$ and $a \mid(b u-c v)$ for all $u, v \in R$

Proof. (a) Let $a, b, c \in R$ such that $a \mid b$ and $b \mid c$. Then by definition of divide there exist $r$ and $s$ in $R$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=a r \quad \text { and } \quad c=b s \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
c \stackrel{*}{=} b s \stackrel{*}{=}(a r) s \stackrel{\operatorname{Ax}_{2}^{2}}{=} a(r s)
$$

Since $R$ is closed under multiplication, $r s \in R$ and so $a \mid c$ by definition of divide.
(b) We will first show

$$
\begin{equation*}
a|b \quad \Longrightarrow \quad a|(-b) \text { and }(-a) \mid b \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $a$ divides $b$. Then by definition of "divide" there exists $r \in R$ with $b=a r$. Thus

$$
-b=-(a r) \stackrel{3.2 .11 \mathrm{~d}}{-} a(-r) \quad \text { and } \quad b=a r \frac{\sqrt{3.2 .11 \mathrm{i}}}{-}(-a)(-r)
$$

By Ax 5 $-r \in R$ and so $a \mid(-b)$ and $(-a) \mid b$ by definition of "divide". So ** holds.
Suppose $a \mid b$. Then by $* * * a \mid(-b)$.
Suppose that $a \mid(-b)$, then by (**) applied with $-b$ in place of $b,(-a) \mid(-b)$.
Suppose that $(-a) \mid(-b)$. Then by ** $^{\text {. }}$ applied with $-a$ and $-b$ in place of $a$ and $b,(-a) \mid-(-b)$. By $3.2 .11|\mathrm{e}|,-(-b)=b$ and so $-a \mid b$.

Suppose that $(-a) \mid b$. Then by (**) applied with $-a$ in place of $a,-(-a) \mid b$. By $3.2 .11, \mathrm{e},-(-a)=a$ and so $a \mid b$.
(c) Suppose that $a \mid b$ and $a \mid c$. Then by definition of divide there exist $r$ and $s$ in $R$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=a r \quad \text { and } \quad c=a s \tag{***}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
b+c \stackrel{\sqrt{* * *}}{=} a r+a s \stackrel{\text { Ax } 8}{=} a(r+s) \quad \text { and } \quad b-c \stackrel{* * *}{\sim} a r-a s \stackrel{\sqrt{3.2 .11 \mathrm{j}}}{-} a(r-s)
$$

By Ax 1 and Ax 5 $R$ is closed under addition and subtraction. Thus $r+s \in R$ and $r-s \in R$ and so $a \mid b+c$ and $a \mid b-c$.
(c) Suppose that $a \mid b$ and $a \mid c$ and let $u, v \in R$. By definition, $b \mid b u$ and $c \mid c v$ and so by (a) $a \mid b u$ and $a \mid c v$. Thus by (c), $a \mid(b u+c v)$ and $a \mid(b u-c v)$.

Definition 3.4.4. Let $R$ be an commutative ring with identity and let $a, b \in R$. We say that $a$ is associated to $b$, or that $b$ is an associate of $a$ and write $a \sim b$ if there exists $a$ unit $u$ in $R$ with $a u=b$.

Lemma 3.4.5. Let $n$ be a non-zero integer and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\operatorname{gcd}(a, n)=1$ if and only if $[a]_{n}$ is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$.
Proof. Recall first from $2.2 .4,10$ that $[1]_{n}$ is the identity in $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$.
$\Longrightarrow$ : Suppose that $\operatorname{gcd}(a, n)=1$. Then by the Euclidean algorithm 1.2 .7 there exist $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a u+n v=1$. By 2.1.1 $[a u]_{n}=[1]_{n}$. By definition of multiplication in $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ this show $[a]_{n}[u]_{n}=[1]_{n}$. Since $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ is commutative we get this also gives $[u]_{n}[a]_{n}=[1]_{n}$ and so $[a]_{n}$ is a unit.
$\Longleftarrow: ~ S u p p o s e ~ n e x t ~ t h a t ~[a]_{n}$ is a unit. Then the definition of a unit shows that there exists $U$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ with $[a]_{n} U=[1]_{n}$. Then $U=[u]_{n}$ for some $u \in \mathbb{Z}$ and so

$$
[a u]_{n}=[a]_{n}[u]_{n}=[a]_{n} U=[1]_{n}
$$

Thus by 2.1.1 $a u+n v=1$ for some $v \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since 1 is the smallest positive integer, we conclude that 1 is also the smallest positive integer of the from $a u+n v, u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus by $1.2 .9 \operatorname{gcd}(a, n)=1$.

Example 3.4.6. (a) Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Find all associates of $n$ in $\mathbb{Z}$.
(b) Find all associates of $0,1,2$ and 5 in $\mathbb{Z}_{10}$.
(a) By 3.2.14 the units in $\mathbb{Z}$ are $\pm 1$. So the associates of $n$ are $n \cdot \pm 1$, that is $\pm n$.
(b) By 2.1.2 $\mathbb{Z}_{10}=\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9\}$ and so $\mathbb{Z}_{10}=\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 4,5\}$.

We compute

| $n$ | 0 | $\pm 1$ | $\pm 2$ | $\pm 3$ | $\pm 4$ | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{gcd}(n, 10)$ | 10 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 |

and so by $2.3 \# 2$ the units in $\mathbb{Z}_{10}$ are $\pm 1$ and $\pm 3$.
So the associates of $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{10}$ are $a \cdot \pm 1$ and $a \cdot \pm 3$, that is $\pm a$ and $\pm 3 a$. We compute

| $a$ | associates of $a$ | associates of $a$, simplified |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\pm 0, \pm 3 \cdot 0$ | 0 |
| 1 | $\pm 1, \pm 3 \cdot 1$ | $\pm 1, \pm 3$ |
| 2 | $\pm 2, \pm 3 \cdot 2$ | $\pm 2, \pm 4$ |
| 5 | $\pm 5, \pm 3 \cdot 5$ | 5 |

Lemma 3.4.7. Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity. Then the relation $\sim$ ('is associated to') is an equivalence relation on $R$.

Proof. Reflexive: Let $a \in R$. By (Ax 10), $1_{R}=1_{R} 1_{R}$ and $a 1_{R}=a$. Hence $1_{R}$ is a unit and $a \sim a$. So $\sim$ is reflexive.

Symmetric: Let $a, b \in R$ with $a \sim b$. Then there exists a unit $u \in R$ with $a u=b$. Since $u$ is a unit, $u$ has an inverse $u^{-1}$. Hence multiplying $a u=b$ with $u^{-1}$ gives

$$
b u^{-1}=(a u) u^{-1} \stackrel{\mathrm{Ax} 2}{=} a\left(u u^{-1}\right) \stackrel{\operatorname{def} u^{-1}}{=} a 1_{R} \stackrel{(\mathrm{Ax}}{=}{ }^{10)} a
$$

By 3.2.17 $u^{-1}$ is a unit in $R$ and so $b \sim a$. Thus $\sim$ is symmetric.

Transitive: Let $a, b, c \in R$ with $a \sim b$ and $b \sim c$. Then $a u=b$ and $b v=c$ for some units $u$ and $v \in R$. Substituting the first equation in the second gives $(a u) v=c$ and so by Ax 2, $a(u v)=c$. By 3.2.17 $u v$ is a unit in $R$ and so $a \sim c$. Thus $\sim$ is transitive.

Since $\sim$ is reflexive, symmetric and transitive, $\sim$ is an equivalence relation.
Example 3.4.8. Determine the equivalence classes of $\sim$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{10}$.
Note that for $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{10},[a]_{\sim}=\left\{b \in \mathbb{Z}_{10} \mid a \sim b\right\}$ is the set of associates of $a$. So by Example 3.4.6

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[0]_{\sim} } & =\{0\} \\
{[1]_{\sim} } & =\{ \pm 1, \pm 3\} \\
{[2]_{\sim} } & =\{ \pm 2, \pm 4\} \\
{[5]_{\sim} } & =\{5\}
\end{aligned}
$$

By 2.1.2 $\mathbb{Z}_{10}=\{0,1, \ldots, 9\}=\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 4,5\}$. So for each $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{10}$ there exists $y \in\{0,1,2,5\}$ with $x \in[y]_{\sim}$. Thus by $0.5 .8[x]_{\sim}=[y]_{\sim}$. So $[0]_{\sim},[1]_{\sim},[2]_{\sim},[5]_{\sim}$ are all the equivalence classes of $\sim$.
Lemma 3.4.9. Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity and $a, b \in R$ with $a \sim b$. Then $a \mid b$ and $b \mid a$.
Proof. Since $a \sim b, a u=b$ for some unit $u \in R$. So $a \mid b$.
By 3.4.7 the relation $\sim$ is symmetric and so $a \sim b$ implies $b \sim a$. Thus, by the result of the previous paragraph applied with $a$ and $b$ interchanged, $b \mid a$.

Lemma 3.4.10. Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity and $r \in R$. Then the following four statements are equivalent:
(a) $1_{R} \sim r$.
(b) $r \mid 1_{R}$
(c) There exists $s$ in $R$ with $r s=1_{R}$.
(d) $r$ is a unit.

Proof. (a) $\Longrightarrow$ b): $\quad$ Since $1_{R} \sim r, 3.4 .9$ gives $r \mid 1_{R}$.
$(\mathrm{b}) \Longrightarrow(\mathrm{c}): \quad$ Follows from the definition of 'divide'.
$(\bar{c}) \Longrightarrow(\mathrm{d})$ : $\quad$ Since $R$ is commutative $r s=1_{R}$ implies $s r=1_{R}$. So $r$ is a unit.
(d) $\Longrightarrow$ (a): $\quad$ By $(\operatorname{Ax} 10), 1_{R} r=r$. Since $r$ is a unit this gives $1_{R} \sim r$ by definition of $\sim$.

Lemma 3.4.11. Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity and a, b, $c, d \in R$.
(a) If $a \sim b$ and $c \sim d$, then $a \mid c$ if and only if $b \mid d$.
(b) If $c \sim d$, then $a \mid c$ if and only if $a \mid d$.
(c) If $a \sim b$, then $a \mid c$ if and only if $b \mid c$.

Proof. (a) Suppose that $a \sim b$ and $c \sim d$.
$\Longrightarrow$ : Suppose that $a \mid c$. Since $a \sim b, 3.4 .9$ gives $b \mid a$. Since $a \mid c$ and $\mid$ is transitive 3.4 .3 a) we have $b \mid c$. Since $c \sim d, 3.4 .9$ gives $c \mid d$. Hence by transitivity of $|, b| d$.
$\Longleftarrow: ~ S i n c e \sim$ is symmetric, $b \sim a$ and $d \sim c$. So previous paragraph applied with $a$ and $b$ interchanged and $c$ and $d$ interchanges show that $b \mid d$ implies $a \mid c$.
(b) Since $\sim$ is reflexive, $a \sim a$. Hence (b) follows from (a) applied with $b=a$.
(c) Since $\sim$ is reflexive, $c \sim c$. Hence (c) follows from (a) applied with $c=d$.

Definition 3.4.12. Let $R$ be a commutative ring. The relation $\approx$ on $R$ is defined by $a \approx b$ if and only if $a \mid b$ and $b \mid a$.

## Exercises 3.4:

\#1. Let $R=\mathbb{Z}_{12}$.
(a) Find all units in $R$.
(b) Determine the equivalence classes of the relation $\sim$ on $R$.
\#2. Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity. Prove that:
(a) $\approx$ is an equivalence relation on $R$.
(b) Let $a, b, c, d \in R$ with $a \approx b$ and $c \approx d$. Then $a \mid c$ if and only if $b \mid d$.
\#3. Let $n$ be a positive integer and $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Put $d=\operatorname{gcd}(a, n)$ and $e=\operatorname{gcd}(b, n)$. Prove that:
(a) $[a]_{n} \mid[d]_{n}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$.
(b) $[a]_{n} \approx[d]_{n}$.
(c) Let $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $r \mid n$ in $\mathbb{Z}$. Then $[r]_{n} \mid[s]_{n}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ if and only if $r \mid s$ in $\mathbb{Z}$.
(d) $[d]_{n} \mid[e]_{n}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ if and only if $d \mid e$ in $\mathbb{Z}$.
(e) $[a]_{n} \mid[b]_{n}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ if and only if $d \mid e$ in $\mathbb{Z}$.
(f) $[d]_{n} \approx[e]_{n}$ if and only if $d=e$.
(g) $[a]_{n} \approx[b]_{n}$ if and only if $d=e$.
\#4. Let $R$ be an integral domain and $a, b, c \in R$ such that $a \neq 0_{F}$ and $b a \mid c a$. Then $b \mid c$.

### 3.5 The General Associative Commutative and Distributive Laws in Rings

Definition 3.5.1. Let $R$ be a ring, $n$ a positive integer and $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots a_{n} \in R$.
(a) For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $1 \leq k \leq n$ define $\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}$ inductively by
(i) $\sum_{i=1}^{1} a_{i}=a_{1} ;$ and
(ii) $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_{i}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}\right)+a_{k+1}$.
so $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}=\left(\left(\ldots\left(\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right)+a_{3}\right)+\ldots+a_{n-2}\right)+a_{n-1}\right)+a_{n}$.
(b) Inductively, we say that $z$ is a sum of $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ in $R$ provided that one of the following holds:
(1) $n=1$ and $z=a_{1}$.
(2) $n>1$ and there exist an integer $k$ with $1 \leq k<n$ and $x, y \in R$ such that $x$ is a sum of $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$ in $R, y$ is a sum of $\left(a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ in $R$ and $z=x+y$.
(c) $\prod_{i=1}^{k} a_{n}$ is defined similarly as in (a), just replace ' $\sum$ ' by $\prod^{\prime}$ ' and ' + ' by '.'.
(d) A product of $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ in $R$ is defined similarly as in (b), just replace 'sum' by 'product' and ' + ' by ‘',

We will also write $a_{1}+a_{2}+\ldots+a_{n}$ for $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{n}$ and $a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n}$ for $\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}$,
Example 3.5.2. Let $R$ be a ring and $a, b, c, d \in R$. Find all sums of $(a, b, c, d)$.
$a$ is the only sum of $(a)$.
$a+b$ is the only sum of $(a, b)$.
$a+(b+c)$ and $(a+b)+c$ are the sums of $(a, b, c)$.
$a+(b+(c+d)), a+((b+c)+d),(a+b)+(c+d),(a+(b+c))+d$ and $((a+b)+c)+d$ are the sums of $(a, b, c, d)$.
Theorem 3.5.3 (General Associative Law). Let $R$ be a ring and $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ elements of $R$. Then any sum of $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ in $R$ is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}$ and any product of $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ is equal to $\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}$
Proof. See D.1.3
Theorem 3.5.4 (General Commutative Law). Let $R$ be a ring, $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n} \in R$ and

$$
f:\{1,2, \ldots, n\} \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, n\}
$$

a 1-1 and onto function.
(a) $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{f(i)}$.
(b) If $R$ is commutative, then $\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}=\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{f(i)}$.

Proof. See D.2.2
Theorem 3.5.5 (General Distributive Law). Let $R$ be a ring and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m} \in R$. Then

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\right) \cdot\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{j}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{i} b_{j}\right)
$$

Proof. See D.3.2.
Example 3.5.6. Let $R$ be a ring and $a, b, c, d, e$ in $R$. Then by the General Associative Law

$$
a+b+c+d=(a+(b+c))+d=(a+b)+(c+d)=a+((b+c)+d)
$$

by the General Commutative Law:

$$
a+b+c+d+e=d+c+a+b+e=b+a+c+d+e
$$

and by General Distributive Law:

$$
(a+b+c)(d+e)=(a d+a e)+(b d+b e)+(c d+c e)
$$

## Chapter 4

## Polynomial Rings

### 4.1 Addition and Multiplication

Definition 4.1.1. Let $R$ and $P$ be a rings with identity and $x \in P$. Then $P$ is called a polynomial ring with coefficients in $R$ and indeterminate $x$ provided that
(i) $R$ is subring of $P$.
(ii) $a x=x a$ for all $a \in R$.
(iii) For each $f \in P$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n} \in R$ such that

$$
f=\sum_{i=0}^{n} f_{i} x^{n} .
$$

(iv) Whenever $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \leq m$ and $f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}, g_{0}, \ldots, g_{m} \in R$ with

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n} f_{i} x^{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{m} g_{i} x^{i}
$$

then $f_{i}=g_{i}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n$ and $g_{i}=0_{R}$ for all $n<i \leq m$.
Theorem 4.1.2. Let $P$ be a ring with identity, $R$ a subring of $P, x \in P$ and $f, g \in P$. Suppose that
(i) $r x=x r$ for all $r \in R$;
(ii) there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n} \in R$ with $f=\sum_{i=0}^{n} f_{i} x^{i}$; and
(iii) there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g_{0}, \ldots, g_{m} \in R$ with $g=\sum_{i=0}^{m} g_{i} x^{i}$.

Put $f_{i}=0_{R}$ for $i>n$ and $g_{i}=0_{R}$ for $i>m$. Then
(a) $f+g=\sum_{i=0}^{\max (n, m)}\left(f_{i}+g_{i}\right) x^{i}$.
(b) $f g=\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} f_{i} g_{j} x^{i+j}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{n+m}\left(\sum_{i=\max (0, k-m)}^{\min (n, k)} f_{i} g_{k-i}\right) x^{k}=\sum_{k=0}^{n+m}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k} f_{i} g_{k-i}\right) x^{k}$.

Proof. (a) Put $p=\max (n, m)$. Then $f_{i}=0_{R}=g_{i}$ for all $i>p$ and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\sum_{i=0}^{p} f_{i} x^{i} \quad \text { and } \quad g=\sum_{i=0}^{p} g_{i} x^{i} . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
f+g & =\left(\sum_{i=0}^{p} f_{i} x^{i}\right)+\left(\sum_{i=0}^{p} g_{i} x^{i}\right) & & -(*) \\
& =\quad \sum_{i=0}^{p}\left(f_{i} x^{i}+g_{i} x^{i}\right) & & - \text { General Commutativity Law 3.5.4 } \\
& =\quad \sum_{i=0}^{p}\left(f_{i}+g_{i}\right) x^{i} & & - \text { Ax } 8
\end{aligned}
$$

So (a) holds.
(b) We will first show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a x^{n}=x^{n} a \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed for $n=0$ we have

$$
a x^{0} \stackrel{\text { Def } x^{0}}{=} a \cdot 1_{P} \stackrel{(\mathrm{Ax} 10)}{=} a \stackrel{(\mathrm{Ax} 10)}{=} 1_{P} \cdot a \stackrel{\mathrm{Def}}{=} x^{0} x^{0} a
$$

So $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ holds for $n=0$. Suppose $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ is true for $n=k$. Then

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
a x^{k+1} & \text { Def of } \\
= & x^{k+1} & a\left(x^{k} x\right) & \stackrel{\text { Ax } 7}{=}\left(a x^{k}\right) x & \stackrel{(* *)}{\stackrel{\text { for }}{=} \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{k}}\left(x^{k} a\right) x & \stackrel{\text { Ax } 7}{=} \\
& x^{k}(a x) \\
& x^{k}(x a) & \stackrel{\text { Ax } 7}{=} & \left(x^{k} x\right) a & \stackrel{\text { Def of }}{=} x^{k+1} & x^{k+1} a
\end{array}
$$

So $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ holds for $n=k+1$ and so by the Principal of Mathematical Induction, $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
We now can compute $f g$.

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
f g & =\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} f_{i} x^{i}\right) \cdot\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} g_{j} x^{j}\right) & & - \text { (iii) and (iii) } \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} f_{i} x^{i} g_{j} x^{j}\right) & & - \text { General Distributive Law 3.5.5 } \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} f_{i} g_{j} x^{i} x^{j}\right) & & -(* *) \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} f_{i} g_{j} x^{i+j}\right) & -x^{i} x^{j}=x^{i+j} \text { by Exercise 3.2\#1 } \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{n+m}\left(\sum_{i=\max (0, k-m)}^{\min (k, n)} f_{i} g_{k-i} x^{k}\right) & - \text { Substitution } k=i+j \text { and so } j=k-i, \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{n+m}\left(\sum_{i=\max (0, k-m)}^{\min (k, n)} f_{i} g_{k-i}\right) x^{k} \quad-\text { General Distributive Law 3.5.5 }
\end{array}
$$

If $0 \leq i<k-m$, then $k-i>m$ and $g_{k-i}=0_{R}$. Also $f_{i}=0_{R}$ for $n<i \leq k$. Thus by 3.2.11, c), $f_{i} g_{k-i}=0_{R}$ for $0 \leq i<k-m$ and for $n<i \leq k$. So also the last equality in (b) holds.

Example 4.1.3. (1) Suppose that $R=\mathbb{Z}_{2}, f=1+x+x^{3}$ and $g=1+x^{2}+x^{3}+x^{5}$. Compute $f+g$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
f+g & =\left(1+x+x^{3}\right)+\left(1+x^{2}+x^{3}+x^{5}\right) \\
& =(1+1)+(1+0) x+(0+1) x^{2}+(1+1) x^{3}+(0+0) x^{4}+(0+1) x^{5} \\
& =0+1 x+1 x^{2}+0 x^{3}+0 x^{4}+x^{5} \\
& =x+x^{2}+x^{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) Suppose that $R=\mathbb{Z}_{6}, f=1+x+x^{2}$ and $g=1+x+2 x^{2}+3 x^{3}$. Compute $f g$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
f g & =\left(1+x+2 x^{2}\right)\left(1+x+2 x^{2}+3 x^{3}\right) \\
& =(1 \cdot 1)+(1 \cdot 1+1 \cdot 1) x+(1 \cdot 2+1 \cdot 1+2 \cdot 2) x^{2}+(1 \cdot 3+1 \cdot 2+2 \cdot 1) x^{3}+(1 \cdot 3+2 \cdot 2) x^{4}+(2 \cdot 3) x^{5} \\
& =1+2 x+x^{2}+x^{3}+x^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 4.1.4. Let $R$ be a ring with identity.
(a) $R[x]$ denotes the polynomial ring with coefficients in $R$ and indeterminate $x$ constructed in F.3.1.
(b) Let $f \in R[x]$ and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots a_{n} \in R$ with $f=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} x^{i}$. Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$. If $i \leq n$ define $f_{i}=a_{i}$. If $i>n$ define $f_{i}=0_{R}$. Then $f_{i}$ is called the coefficient of $x^{i}$ in $f$.(Observe that this is well defined by 4.1.1)
(c) $\mathbb{N}^{*}:=\mathbb{N} \cup\{-\infty\}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ we define $n+(-\infty)=-\infty$ and $-\infty+n=-\infty$. We extend the relation $' \leq^{\prime}$ on $\mathbb{N}$ to $\mathbb{N}^{*}$ by declaring that $-\infty \leq n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$.
(d) For $f \in R[x]$, $\operatorname{deg} f$ is the minimal element of $\mathbb{N}^{*}$ with $f_{i}=0_{R}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i>\operatorname{deg} f$. So $\operatorname{deg} 0_{R}=-\infty$ and if $f=\sum_{i=0}^{n} f_{i} x^{i}$ with $f_{n} \neq 0$, then $\operatorname{deg} f=n$.
(e) If $\operatorname{deg} f \in \mathbb{N}$ then $\operatorname{lead}(f)$ is the coefficient of $x^{\operatorname{deg} f}$ in $f$. If $\operatorname{deg} f=-\infty$, then $\operatorname{lead}(f)=0_{R}$.

Lemma 4.1.5. Let $R$ be ring with identity and $f \in R[x]$.
(a) $f=0_{R}$ if and only if $\operatorname{deg} f=-\infty$ and if and only if $\operatorname{lead}(f)=0_{R}$.
(b) $\operatorname{deg} f=0$ if and only if $f \in R$ and $f \neq 0_{R}$.
(c) $f \in R$ if and only if $\operatorname{deg} f \leq 0$ and if and only if $f=\operatorname{lead}(f)$.
(d) $f=\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{deg} f} f_{i} x^{i}$. (Here an empty sum is defined to be $0_{R}$ )

Proof. This follows straightforward from the definition of $\operatorname{deg} f$ and $\operatorname{lead} f$ and we leave the details to the reader.

Theorem 4.1.6. Let $R$ be a ring with identity.
(a) $1_{R}=1_{R[x]}$.
(b) If $R$ is commutative, then also $R[x]$ is commutative.

Proof. (a) Let $f \in R[x]$ and put $n=\operatorname{deg} f$. Note that by (Ax 10) $1_{R}=1_{R} 1_{R[x]}=1_{R} x^{0}$. Also by (Ax 10) for $R f_{i} 1_{R}=f_{i}$ and so by 4.1.2

$$
f \cdot 1_{R}=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} f_{i} x^{i}\right) \cdot\left(1_{R} x^{0}\right)=\sum_{i=0}\left(f_{i} 1_{R}\right) x^{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{n} f_{i} x^{i}=f
$$

Similarly, $1_{R} \cdot f=f$ and so $1_{R}$ is an identity in $R[x]$.
(b) Since $R$ is commutative, $f_{i} g_{j}=f_{j} g_{i}$ for all relevant $i, j$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
f g & =\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} f_{i} x^{i}\right)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} g_{j} x^{j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\substack{k=0 \\
n+m}}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k} f_{k} g_{k-i}\right) x^{k} \quad-\text { Theorem4.1.2 } \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{k+m}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k} g_{k-i} f_{i}\right) x^{k} \quad-\text { R commutative } \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{n+m}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k} g_{j} f_{k-i}\right) x^{k} \quad-\text { Substitution: } j=k-i \text { and so } i=k-j \\
& =\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} g_{j} x^{j}\right)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} f_{i} x^{i}\right)-\text { Theorem4.1.2 } \\
& =g f
\end{aligned}
$$

We proved that $f g=g f$ for all $f, g \in R[x]$ and so $R[x]$ is commutative.
Lemma 4.1.7. Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity and $f, g \in R[x]$. Then
(a) $\operatorname{deg}(f+g) \leq \max (\operatorname{deg} f, \operatorname{deg} g)$.
(b) Exactly one of the following holds.
(1) $\operatorname{deg}(f g)=\operatorname{deg} f+\operatorname{deg} g$ and $\operatorname{lead}(f g)=\operatorname{lead}(f) \operatorname{lead}(g)$.
(2) $\operatorname{deg}(f g)<\operatorname{deg} f+\operatorname{deg} g, \operatorname{lead}(f) \operatorname{lead}(g)=0_{R}, f \neq 0_{R}$ and $g \neq 0_{R}$.

Proof. (a) By 4.1.2, a, $f+g=\sum_{i=0}^{\max (n, m)}\left(f_{i}+g_{i}\right) x^{i}$ and so $(f+g)_{k}=0_{R}$ for $k>\max (\operatorname{deg} f, \operatorname{deg} g)$. Thus (a) holds.
(b) Suppose first that $f=0_{R}$. Then $f g=0_{R} g=0_{R}$. Hence $\operatorname{deg} f=-\infty, \operatorname{deg}(f g)=-\infty, \operatorname{lead} f=0_{R}$ and $\operatorname{lead}(f g)=0_{R}$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{deg}(f g)=-\infty=-\infty+\operatorname{deg} g=\operatorname{deg} f+\operatorname{deg} g \text { and } \operatorname{lead}(f g)=0_{R}=0_{R} \cdot \operatorname{lead}(g)=\operatorname{lead}(f) \operatorname{lead}(g)
$$

So (b:1 holds in this case. Similarly, b:1 holds if $g=0_{R}$.
So suppose $f \neq 0_{R} \neq g$ and put $n=\operatorname{deg} f$ and $m=\operatorname{deg} g$. By 4.1.2, b),

$$
f g=\sum_{k=0}^{n+m}\left(\sum_{i=\min (0, k-m)}^{\max (k, n)} f_{i} g_{k-i}\right) x^{k}
$$

Thus $(f g)_{k}=0_{R}$ for $k>n+m$ and so $\operatorname{deg} f g \leq n+m$. Moreover, for $k=n+m$ we have $\max (0, k-m)=n$ and $\min (n, k)=n$. So $(f g)_{n+m}=f_{n} g_{m}=\operatorname{lead}(f) \operatorname{lead}(g)$.

If lead $(f) \operatorname{lead}(g) \neq 0_{R}$, then b:1 holds and if lead $(f) \operatorname{lead}(g)=0_{R}$, b:2 holds.
Theorem 4.1.8. Let $R$ be field or an integral domain. Then
(a) $\operatorname{deg}(f g)=\operatorname{deg} f+\operatorname{deg} g$ and $\operatorname{lead}(f g)=\operatorname{lead}(f) \operatorname{lead}(g)$ for all $f, g \in R[x]$.
(b) $\operatorname{deg}(r f)=\operatorname{deg} f$ and $\operatorname{lead}(r f)=r \operatorname{lead}(f)$ for all $r \in R$ and $f \in R[x]$ with $r \neq 0_{R}$.
(c) $R[x]$ is an integral domain.

Proof. By Theorem 3.2 .22 any field is an integral domain. So in any case $R$ is an integral domain. We will first show that
(*) If $f, g \in R$ with lead $(f) \operatorname{lead}(g)=0_{R}$ then $f=0_{R}$ or $g=0_{R}$.
Indeed since $R$ is an integral domain, lead $(f) \operatorname{lead}(g)=0_{R} \operatorname{implies} \operatorname{lead}(f)=0$ or $\operatorname{lead}(g)=0_{R}$. 4.1.5 now implies $f=0_{R}$ or $g=0_{R}$.
(a) By 4.1.7 b
(1) $\operatorname{deg}(f g)=\operatorname{deg} f+\operatorname{deg} g$ and $\operatorname{lead}(f g)=\operatorname{lead}(f) \operatorname{lead}(g)$, or
(2) $\operatorname{deg}(f g)<\operatorname{deg} f+\operatorname{deg} g, \operatorname{lead}(f) \operatorname{lead}(g)=0_{R}, f \neq 0_{R}$ and $g \neq 0_{R}$.

In the first case (a) holds. The second case contradicts $\left(^{*}\right.$ ) and so does not occur.
(b) By 4.1.5 $\operatorname{deg} r=0$ and lead $r=r$. So (b) follows from (a).
(C) By 4.1.6, $R[x]$ is a commutative ring with identity $1_{R}$. Note that $1_{R[x]}=1_{R} \neq 0_{R}=0_{R[x]}$. Let $f g \in R[x]$ with $f g=0_{R}$. Then by (a) lead $(f) \operatorname{lead}(g)=\operatorname{lead}(f g)=\operatorname{lead}\left(0_{R}\right)=0_{R}$ and by $\left(^{*}\right), f=0_{R}$ or $g=0_{R}$. Hence $R[x]$ is an integral domain.

Theorem 4.1.9 (Division Algorithm). Let $F$ be a field and $f, g \in F[x]$ with $g \neq 0_{F}$. Then there exist uniquely determined $q, r \in F[x]$ with

$$
f=g q+r \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{deg} r<\operatorname{deg} g
$$

Proof. Fix $g \in F[x]$ with $g \neq 0_{F}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $P(n)$ be the statement:
$P(n):$ If $f \in F[x]$ with $\operatorname{deg} f \leq n$ then there exists $q, r \in F[x]$ with $f=g q+r$ and $\operatorname{deg} r<\operatorname{deg} g$.
Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $P(n)$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n<k$. We will show that $P(k)$ holds. So let $f \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ with $\operatorname{deg} f \leq k$. Put $m=\operatorname{deg} g$. If $k<m$, then $P(k)$ holds for $f$ with $q=0_{R}$ and $r=f$. So we may assume that $k \geq m$. Since $g_{m} \neq 0_{F}$ and $F$ is a field, $g_{m}$ is a unit in $F$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{f}:=f-g \cdot g_{m}^{-1} f_{k} x^{k-m} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $g$ has degree $m$ and $g_{m}^{-1} f_{k} x^{k-m}$ has degree $k-m$ 4.1.8 shows that $g \cdot f_{k} g_{m}^{-1} x^{k-m}$ has degree $m+(k-m)=k$. Since $f$ has degree at most $\underset{\sim}{k}$ we conclude that $f$ has degree at most $k$. The coefficient of $x^{k}$ in $\tilde{f}$ is $f_{k}-g_{m} f_{k} g_{m}^{-1}=f_{k}-f_{k}=0_{F}$. Thus $\tilde{f}$ has degree less than $k$ and so $\operatorname{deg} \tilde{f} \leq k-1$. By the induction assumption, $P(k-1)$-holds and so that there exist $\tilde{q}$ and $\tilde{r} \in F[x]$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{f}=g \tilde{q}+\tilde{r} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{deg} \tilde{r}<\operatorname{deg} g \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We compute

$$
\begin{align*}
f & =\quad \tilde{f}+g \cdot f_{k} g_{m}^{-1} x^{k-m}  \tag{1}\\
& =(g \tilde{q}+\tilde{r})+g \cdot f_{k} g_{m}^{-1} x^{k-m}-(1)  \tag{3}\\
& =\left(g \tilde{q}+g \cdot f_{k} g_{m}^{-1} x^{k-m}\right)+\tilde{r}  \tag{2}\\
& =g \cdot\left(\tilde{q}+f_{k} g_{m}^{-1} x^{k-m}\right)+\tilde{r}
\end{align*}
$$

Put $q=\tilde{q}+f_{k} g_{m}^{-1} x^{k-m}$ and $r=\tilde{r}$. Then by (3), $f=q g+r$ and by (2), $\operatorname{deg} r<\operatorname{deg} g$. Thus $P(k)$ is proved.
By the Principal of Complete Induction 0.4 .4 we conclude that $P(n)$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This shows the existence of $q$ and $r$.

To show uniqueness suppose that for $i=1,2$ we have $q_{i}, r_{i} \in F[x]$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=g q_{i}+r_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{deg} r_{i}<\operatorname{deg} g \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
g q_{1}+r_{1}=g q_{2}+r_{2}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
g \cdot\left(q_{1}-q_{2}\right)=r_{1}-r_{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $q_{1}-q_{2} \neq 0_{F}$ Then $\operatorname{deg}\left(q_{1}-q_{2}\right) \geq 0$ and so

$$
\operatorname{deg} g \leq \operatorname{deg} g+\operatorname{deg}\left(q_{1}-q_{2}\right) \stackrel{4.1 .8}{=} \operatorname{ar} \operatorname{deg}\left(g \cdot\left(q_{1}-q_{2}\right)\right) \stackrel{(5)}{=} \operatorname{deg}\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right) \stackrel{(4)}{<} \operatorname{deg} g
$$

This contradiction shows $q_{1}-q_{2}=0_{F}$ and by (5) also $r_{1}-r_{2}=0_{F}$. Hence by 3.2.11 £) $q_{1}=q_{2}$ and $r_{1}=r_{2}$.

Definition 4.1.10. Let $F$ be field and $f, g \in F[x]$ with $g \neq 0_{F}$. Let $q, r \in F[x]$ be the unique polynomials with

$$
f=g q+r \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{deg} r<\operatorname{deg} g
$$

Then $r$ is called the remainder of $f$ when divided by $g$.
Example 4.1.11. Consider the polynomials $f=x^{4}+x^{3}-x+1$ and $g=x^{2}-x+1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{3}[x]$. Compute the remainder of $f$ when divided by $g$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{c}
-x^{3}+x^{2}-x \\
x^{2} \quad+1
\end{array} \\
& \frac{x^{2}-x+1}{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

So the remainder of $x^{4}+x^{3}-x+1$ when divided by $x^{2}-x+1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{3}[x]$ is $x$.

## Exercises 4.1:

\#1. Perform the indicated operation and simplify your answer:
(a) $\left(3 x^{4}+2 x^{3}-4 x^{2}+x+4\right)+\left(4 x^{3}+x^{2}+4 x+3\right)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{5}[x]$.
(b) $(x+1)^{3}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{3}[x]$.
(c) $(x-1)^{5}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{5}[x]$.
(d) $\left(x^{2}-3 x+2\right)\left(2 x^{3}-4 x+1\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{7}[x]$.
\#2. Find polynomials $q(x)$ and $r(x)$ such that $f(x)=g(x) q(x)+r(x)$ and $\operatorname{deg} r(x)<\operatorname{deg} g(x)$.
(a) $f(x)=3 x^{4}-2 x^{3}+6 x^{2}-x+2$ and $g(x)=x^{2}+x+1$ in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.
(b) $f(x)=x^{4}-7 x+1$ and $g(x)=2 x^{2}+1$ in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.
(c) $f(x)=2 x^{4}+x^{2}-x+1$ and $g(x)=2 x-1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{5}[x]$.
(d) $f(x)=4 x^{4}+2 x^{3}+6 x^{2}+4 x+5$ and $g(x)=3 x^{2}+2$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{7}[x]$.
\#3. Let $R$ be a commutative ring. If $a_{n} \neq 0_{R}$ and $a_{0}+a_{1} x+\ldots+a_{n} x^{n}$ is a zero-divisor in $R[x]$, then $a_{n}$ is a zero divisor in $R$.
\#4. (a) Let $R$ be an integral domain and $f, g \in R[x]$. Assume that the leading coefficent of $g$ is a unit in $R$. Verify that the Division algorithm holds for $f$ as divident and $g$ as divisor.
(b) Give an example in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ to show that part (a) may be false if the leading coefficent of $g(x)$ is not a unit.[Hint: Exercise 4.1.5(b).]

### 4.2 Divisibility in $F[x]$

In a general commutative ring it may or may not be easy to decide whether a given element divides another. But for polynomial over a field it is easy, thanks to the division algorithm:

Lemma 4.2.1. Let $F$ be a field and $f, g \in F[x]$ with $g \neq 0_{F}$. Then $g$ divides $f$ in $F[x]$ if and only if the remainder of $f$ when divided by $g$ is $0_{F}$.
Proof. $\Longrightarrow$ : Suppose that $g \mid f$. Then by Definition 3.4.1 $f=g q$ for some $q \in F[x]$. Thus $f=g q+0_{F}$. Since $\operatorname{deg} 0_{F}=-\infty<\operatorname{deg} g$, Definition 4.1.10 shows that $0_{F}$ is the remainder of $f$ when divided by $g$.
$\Longleftarrow$ : Suppose that the remainder of $f$ when divided by $g$ is $0_{F}$. Then by Definition 1.1.3 $f=g q+0_{F}$ for some $q \in F[x]$. Thus $f=g q$ and so Definition 3.4.1 shows that $g \mid f$.

Lemma 4.2.2. Let $R$ be a field or an integral domain and $f, g \in R[x]$. If $g \neq 0_{R}$ and $f \mid g$, then $\operatorname{deg} f \leq \operatorname{deg} g$.
Proof. Since $f \mid g, g=f h$ for some $h \in R[x]$. If $h=0_{R}$, then by 3.2 .11 ch, $g=f h=f 0_{R}=0_{R}$, contrary to the assumption. Thus $h \neq 0_{R}$ and so $\operatorname{deg} h \geq 0$. Thus by 4.1.8 a),

$$
\operatorname{deg} g=\operatorname{deg} f h=\operatorname{deg} f+\operatorname{deg} h \geq \operatorname{deg} f
$$

Lemma 4.2.3. Let $F$ be a field and $f \in F[x]$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(a) $\operatorname{deg} f=0$.
(c) $f \mid 1_{F}$.
(e) $f$ is a unit.
(b) $f \in F$ and $f \neq 0_{F}$.
(d) $f \sim 1_{F}$.

Proof. (a) $\Longrightarrow$ (b): $\quad$ See 4.1.5 (b)
(b) $\Longrightarrow$ (c): Suppose that $f \in F$ and $f \neq 0_{F}$. Since $F$ is a field, $f$ has an inverse $f^{-1} \in F$. Then $f^{-1} \in F[x]$ and $f f^{-1}=1_{F}$. Thus $f \mid 1_{F}$ by definition of 'divide' and (c) holds.
(c) $\Longrightarrow$ (d): and (d) $\Longrightarrow$ (e): $\quad$ See 3.4.10.
(e) $\Longrightarrow$ (a): Since $f$ is a unit, $1_{F}=f g$ for some $g \in F[x]$. So by 4.1.8 ab $\operatorname{deg} f+\operatorname{deg} g=\operatorname{deg}(f g)=$ $\operatorname{deg}\left(1_{F}\right)=0$ and so also $\operatorname{deg} f=\operatorname{deg} g=0$.
Lemma 4.2.4. Let $F$ be a field and $f, g \in F[x]$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(a) $f \sim g$.
(c) $\operatorname{deg} f=\operatorname{deg} g$ and $f \mid g$.
(b) $f \mid g$ and $g \mid f$.
(d) $g \sim f$.

Proof. (a) $\Longrightarrow$ (b): $\quad$ See 3.4.11.
(b) $\Longrightarrow$ (c): Suppose that $f \mid g$ and $g \mid f$. Assume first that $g=0_{F}$, then since $g \mid f$, we get from 3.4.2 that $f=0_{F}$ and so (c) holds in this case.

Assume next that $g \neq 0_{F}$. Since $f \mid g, 4.2 .2$ implies $\operatorname{deg} f \leq \operatorname{deg} g$. Since $g \neq 0_{F}$ and $f \mid g$, we conclude from the contrapositive of 3.4 .2 that $f \neq 0_{F}$. As $g \mid f 4.2 .2$ implies $\operatorname{deg} g \leq \operatorname{deg} f$. Thus $\operatorname{deg} g=\operatorname{deg} f$ and (C) holds.
(C) $\Longrightarrow$ (d): Suppose that $\operatorname{deg} f=\operatorname{deg} g$ and $f \mid g$. If $f=0_{F}$, then $\operatorname{deg} g=\operatorname{deg} f=-\infty$ and so $g=0_{F}$ and $f \sim g$. Thus we may assume $f \neq 0_{F}$. Since $f \mid g, g=f h$ for some $h \in F[x]$. Thus by 4.1.8 a , $\operatorname{deg} g=\operatorname{deg} f+\operatorname{deg} h$. Since $f \neq 0_{F}$ we have $\operatorname{deg} g=\operatorname{deg} f \neq-\infty$ and so $\operatorname{deg} h=0$. Thus by 4.2.3, $h$ is a unit. So $g \sim f$ by definition of $\sim$.
(d) $\Longrightarrow$ (a): This holds since $\sim$ is symmetric by 3.4.7.

Definition 4.2.5. Let $F$ be a field and $f \in F[x]$.
(a) $f$ is called monic if $\operatorname{lead}(f)=1_{F}$.
(b) If $f \neq 0_{F}$ then $\check{f}:=\operatorname{lead}(f)^{-1} f$ is called the monic polynomial associated to $f$. If $f=0_{F}$ put $\check{f}=0_{F}$.

Lemma 4.2.6. Let $F$ be a field and $f, g \in F[x]$.
(a) $f \sim \check{f}$.
(b) If $f$ and $g$ are monic and $f \sim g$, then $f=g$.
(c) If $f \neq 0_{F}$, then $\check{f}$ is the unique monic polynomial associated to $\check{f} \sim f$.
(d) $\operatorname{deg} \check{f}=\operatorname{deg} f$.
(e) $f \sim g$ if and only if $\check{f}=\check{g}$.

Proof. (b) By definition of $f \sim g, f u=g$ for some unit $u$ in $F[x]$. By 4.2.3, $0_{F} \neq u \in \mathbb{F}$. Hence

$$
1_{F} \stackrel{g \text { monic }}{=} \operatorname{lead}(g) \stackrel{f u=g}{=} \operatorname{lead}(f u) \stackrel{4.1 .8]}{=} \operatorname{lead}(f) u \stackrel{f}{=} \stackrel{\text { monic }}{=} 1_{F} u \stackrel{(\operatorname{Ax} 10)}{=} u
$$

and so $u=1_{F}$ and $g=f u=f 1_{F}=f$.
(c) $\operatorname{By}$ 4.1.8 b), $\operatorname{lead}(\check{f})=\operatorname{lead}\left(\operatorname{lead}(f)^{-1} f\right)=\operatorname{lead}(f)^{-1} \operatorname{lead}(f)=1_{F}$. So $\check{f}$ is monic. Since lead $(f)^{-1}$ is a unit, $f \sim f$. Suppose $g$ is a monic polynomial with $g \sim f$. By 3.4.7 $\sim$ is an equivalence relation and so transitive. Since $g \sim f$ and $f \sim \check{f}$ we get $g \sim \check{f}$. Thus by b, $g=f$.
(e) If $f=0_{F}$, then also $\check{f}=0_{F}$ and d holds. If $f \neq 0_{F}$, then by (c), $f \sim \check{f}$ and so by $4.2 .4 \operatorname{deg} f=\operatorname{deg} \check{f}$.
(e) Suppose that $f=0_{F}$. Then $f \sim g$ if and only if $g=0_{F}$ and so if and only if $\check{g}=0_{F}$ and if and only if $\check{f}=\check{g}$. So (e) holds in this case.

So we may assume $f \neq 0_{F}$ and (similarly), $g \neq 0_{F}$. Then by (c), $\check{f}$ and $\check{g}$ are monic and $g \sim \check{g}$. Since $\sim$ is an equivalence relation, we conclude that $f \sim g$ if and only if $f \sim \check{g}$. Since $\check{g}$ is monic, the latter holds by (C) if and only if $\check{f}=\check{g}$.
Definition 4.2.7. Let $F$ be a field and $f, g \in F[x]$.
(a) $h \in F[x]$ is called a common divisor of $f$ and $g$ provided that $h \mid f$ and $h \mid g$.
(b) Let $d \in F[x]$. Then $d$ is called a greatest common divisor of $f$ and $g$ provided that
(i) $d$ is a common divisor of $f$ and $g$.
(ii) If $c$ is a common divisor of $f$ and $g$, then $\operatorname{deg} c \leq \operatorname{deg} d$.

Theorem 4.2.8. Let $F$ be a field and $f, g \in F[x]$ not both zero.
(a) There exists $d \in F[x]$ such that $\operatorname{deg} d$ is minimal with respect to
(i) $d \neq 0_{F}$, and
(ii) $d=f u+g v$ for some $u, v \in F[x]$.
(b) If $e$ is a common divisor of $f$ and $g$ in $F[x]$ then $e \mid d$.
(c) $d$ is a greatest common divisor of $f$ and $g$.

Proof. (a): Put $S=\{f u+g v \mid u, v \in F[x]\}$ and $S^{*}=S \backslash\left\{0_{F}\right\}$. Note that $f=f 1_{F}+g 0_{F} \in S$ and $g=f 0_{F}+g 1_{F} \in F$. Since $f \neq 0_{F}$ or $g \neq 0_{F}$ we conclude that $S^{*}$ is not empty. By the Well Ordering Axiom C.4.2 $\left\{\operatorname{deg} h \mid h \in S^{*}\right\}$ has a minimal element $m$. Let $d \in S^{*}$ with $\operatorname{deg} d=m$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \in S^{*} \text { and } \operatorname{deg} d \leq \operatorname{deg} h \text { for all } h \in S^{*} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $d \in S^{*}, d \in S$ and so there exist $u, v \in F[x]$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=f u+g v \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

So (a) holds.
(b): Let $e \in F[x]$ with $e \mid f$ and $e \mid g$. Then 3.4.3.d. gives $e \mid f u+g v$ and so by (2), e|d.
(c): We will first show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \mid f \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Division Algorithm 4.1.9 there exists $q$ and $r \in F[x]$ with $f=d q+r$ and $\operatorname{deg} r<\operatorname{deg} d$. Thus $r=f-d q$ and so by (2)

$$
r=f-(f u+g v) \cdot q=f \cdot\left(1_{F}-u q\right)+g \cdot(v q)
$$

Hence $r \in S$. Since $\operatorname{deg} r<\operatorname{deg} d,(1)$ implies $r \notin S^{*}$. Since all non-zero elements of $S$ are contained in $S^{*}$ this means $r=0_{F}$. So $d \mid f$ by 4.2.1.

Similarly to (3) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \mid g \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $e$ be a common divisor of $f$ and $g$. Then by (b), $e \mid d$ and so by 4.2.2 $\operatorname{deg} e \leq \operatorname{deg} d$. By (3) and (4), $d$ is a common divisor of $f$ and $g$ and so (C) holds.

Corollary 4.2.9. Let $F$ be a field and $f, g \in F[x]$ not both zero. Let $d$ be as in 4.2.8.
(a) Let $e \in F[x]$. Then $e$ is a greatest common divisor of $f$ and $g$ if and only if $e \sim d$.
(b) If $e$ and $\tilde{e}$ are greatest common divisors of $f$ and $g$ then $e \sim \tilde{e}$.
(c) Let e be a greatest common divisor of $f$ and $g$. Then $\operatorname{deg} e=\operatorname{deg} d$ and there exist $s$ and $t$ in $F[x]$ with $e=f s+g t$.
(d) $\check{d}$ is the unique monic greatest common divisor of $f$ and $g$.

Proof. (a) Suppose first that $e$ is a greatest common divisor of $f$ and $g$, Then 4.2.8 a), $e \mid d$. Since both $e$ and $d$ are greatest common divisor, $\operatorname{deg} e \leq \operatorname{deg} d$ and $\operatorname{deg} d \leq \operatorname{deg} e$. Hence $\operatorname{deg} d=\operatorname{deg} e$ and by 4.2.4 $e \sim d$.

Suppose next that $e \sim d$. Since $d \mid f$ and $d \mid g, 3.4 .11$ implies that $e \sim f$ and $e \sim g$. So $e$ is a common divisor of $f$ and $g$. Since $e \sim d, 4.2 .4$ gives $\operatorname{deg} d=\operatorname{deg} e$. So if $h$ is a common divisor of $f$ and $g$, then $\operatorname{deg} e=\operatorname{deg} d \geq \operatorname{deg} h$ and so $e$ is a greatest common divisor of $f$ and $g$.
(b) Let $e$ and $\tilde{e}$ be greatest common divisors of $f$ and $g$. Then by (a) $e \sim d$ and $\tilde{e} \sim d$. By 3.4.7 $\sim$ is an equivalence relation and so $e \sim \tilde{e}$.
(c) By (a) $e \sim d$ and so $\operatorname{deg} d=\operatorname{deg} e$ by 4.2.4. Moreover, $e=d z$ for some unit $z$ in $F[x]$. By 4.2.8, $d=f u+g v$ for some $u, v \in F[x]$ and so $e=d u=(f u+g v) z=f \cdot(u z)+g \cdot(v z)$. So (c) holds with $s=u z$ and $t=v z$.
(d) Let $e$ be a monic polynomial. By (a), $e$ is a greatest common divisor of $f$ and $g$ if and only if $e \sim d$. By 4.2.6 this holds if and only if $e=\check{d}$.

Definition 4.2.10. Let $F$ be a field and $f, g \in F[x]$.
(a) If $f$ and $g$ are not both $0_{F}$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(f, g)$ denotes the unique monic greatest common divisor of $f$ and $g$.
(b) $f$ and $g$ are called relatively prime if $f$ and $g$ are not both $0_{F}$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(f, g)=1_{F}$.

Corollary 4.2.11. Let $F$ be a field and $f, g \in F[x]$. Then $f$ and $g$ are relatively prime if and only if there exist $u, v \in F[x]$ with $f u+g v=1_{F}$.

Proof. $\Longrightarrow$ : Suppose that $f$ and $g$ are relatively prime. Then $f$ and $g$ are not both $0_{F}$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(f, g)=1_{F}$. So by 4.2.9 c there exist $u, v \in F[x]$ with $f u+g v=1_{F}$.
$\Longleftarrow$ : Suppose that there exist $u, v \in F[x]$ with $f u+g v=1_{F}$. Since $1_{F} \neq 0_{F}$ this implies that $f$ and $g$ are not both $0_{F}$. Also $\operatorname{deg} 1_{F}=0 \leq \operatorname{deg} h$ for any non-zero $h \in F[x]$. So by 4.2.8 $1_{F}$ is a greatest common divisor of $f$ and $g$. Since $1_{F}$ is monic, $1_{F}=\operatorname{gcd}(f, g)$.

Proposition 4.2.12. Let $F$ be a field and $f, g, h \in F[x]$. Suppose that $f$ and $g$ are relatively prime and $f \mid g h$. Then $f \mid h$.

Proof. Since $f$ and $g$ are relatively 4.2.11 shows that there exist $u, v \in F[x]$ with $f u+g v=1_{F}$. Multiplication with $h$ gives $(f u) h+(g v) h=h$ and so (using the General Commutative Law)

$$
f \cdot(u h)+(g h) \cdot v=h
$$

Since $f$ divides $f$ and $g h, 3.4 .3$ now implies that $f \mid h$.
Lemma 4.2.13. Let $F$ be a field and $f, g, h \in F[x]$ such that $f$ and $g$ are not both $0_{F}$. Let $d$ be a greatest common divisor of $f$ and $g$. Then $h$ is a common divisor of $f$ and $g$ if and only if $h$ is a divisor of $d$.

Proof. Suppose first that $h$ is a common divisor of $f$ and $g$. By 4.2.9 c), $d=f u+g v$ for some $u, v \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ and thus by 3.4.3 $h \mid d$.

Suppose next that $h \mid d$. By definition of 'greatest common divisor', $d \mid f$ and $d \mid g$. Since 'divide' is transitive by 3.4.3 a we get $h \mid f$ and $h \mid g$. So $h$ is a common divisor of $f$ and $g$.

Lemma 4.2.14. Let $F$ be a field and $\underset{\sim}{f}, g, \tilde{f}, \tilde{g}$ in $F[x]$. Suppose $f$ and $g$ are not both $0_{F}$ and also $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{g}$ are not both $0_{F}$. Then $\operatorname{gcd}(f, g)=\operatorname{gcd}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})$ if and only if the common divisors of $f$ and $g$ are the same as the common divisors of $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{g}$.
Proof. $\Longrightarrow: \quad$ Suppose $\operatorname{gcd}(f, g)=\operatorname{gcd}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})$ and let $h \in \mathbb{F}[x]$.
$h$ is a common divisor of $f$ and $g$
$\begin{array}{lcc}\Longleftrightarrow & h \text { divides } \operatorname{gcd}(f, g) & -4.2 .13 \\ \Longleftrightarrow & h \text { divides } \operatorname{gcd}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}) & - \text { Since } \operatorname{gcd}(f, g)=\operatorname{gcd}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}) \\ \Longleftrightarrow & h \text { is a common divisor of } \tilde{f} \text { and } \tilde{g} & -4.2 .13\end{array}$
$\Longleftarrow$ : Let $S$ be the set of common divisors of $f$ and $g$ and suppose that $S$ is also the set of common divisors of $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{g}$. By definition $\operatorname{gcd}(f, g)$ is the unique monic polynomial in $S$ of maximal degree. Since $S$ is also the set of common divisors of $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{g}, \operatorname{gcd}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})$ is the unique monic polynomial in $S$ of maximal degree. Thus $\operatorname{gcd}(f, g)=\operatorname{gcd}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})$

Lemma 4.2.15. Let $F$ be a field and $f, g, \tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \in F[x]$. Suppose that $f$ and $g$ are not both $0_{F}$, and that $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{g}$ are not both $0_{F}$. Then
(a) If $f \sim \tilde{f}$ and $g \sim \tilde{g}$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(f, g)=\operatorname{gcd}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})$.
(b) $\operatorname{gcd}(f, g)=\operatorname{gcd}(\check{f}, \check{g})=\operatorname{gcd}(f, \check{g})=\operatorname{gcd}(\check{f}, g)$.

Proof. (a) Since $f \sim \tilde{f}, f$ and $\tilde{f}$ have the same divisor (see 3.4.11b). Similarly, $g$ and $\tilde{g}$ have the same divisors. Hence the common divisors of $f$ and $g$ are the same as the common divisor of $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{g}$. So 4.2.14 shows that $\operatorname{gcd}(f, g)=\operatorname{gcd}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})$.
(b) By 4.2.6 (e) $f \sim \check{f}$ and $g \sim \check{g}$. Since $\sim$ is reflexive, $f \sim f$ and $g \sim g$. So (b) follows from three applications of (a).

Lemma 4.2.16. Let $F$ be a field and $f, g, q, r \in F[x]$ with $f=g q+r$ and $g \neq 0_{F}$. Then $\operatorname{gcd}(f, g)=\operatorname{gcd}(g, r)$.

Proof. By 4.2.14 it suffices to show that the common divisors of $f$ and $g$ are the same as the common divisors of $g$ and $r$.

So suppose $e \in F[x]$ with $e \mid g$ and $e \mid r$. Then 3.4.3d implies that $e \mid g q+r 1_{F}$ and so $e \mid f$. Hence $e$ is also a common divisor of $g$ and $f$.

Similarly if $e \in F[x]$ with $e \mid f$ and $e \mid g$, then 3.4.3d implies that $e \mid f \cdot 1_{R}+g \cdot(-q)$ and so $e \mid r$. Hence $e$ is also a common divisor of $g$ and $r$.
Theorem 4.2.17 (Euclidean Algorithm). Let $F$ be a field and $f, g \in F[x]$ with $g \neq 0_{F}$ and let $E_{-1}$ and $E_{0}$ be the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{-1} & : f=f \cdot 1+g \cdot 0_{F} \\
E_{0} & : \check{g}=f \cdot 0_{F}+g \cdot \operatorname{lead}(g)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose inductively we defined equations $E_{k},-1 \leq k \leq i$ of the form

$$
E_{k}: r_{k}=f \cdot x_{k}+g \cdot y_{k}
$$

where $r_{k}, x_{k}, y_{k} \in F[x]$ and $r_{i}$ is monic. According to the division algorithm) let $t_{i+1}, q_{i+1} \in F[x]$ with

$$
r_{i-1}=r_{i} q_{i+1}+t_{i+1} \text { and } \operatorname{deg} t_{i+1}<\operatorname{deg} r_{i}
$$

If $t_{i+1} \neq 0_{F}$, put $u_{i+1}=\operatorname{lead}\left(t_{i+1}\right)^{-1}$. Let $E_{i+1}$ be equation of the form $r_{i+1}=f \cdot x_{i+1}+g \cdot y_{i+1}$ obtained by first subtracting $q_{i+1}$-times equation $E_{i}$ from $E_{i-1}$ and then multiplying the resulting equation by $u_{i+1}$. Continue the algorithm with $i+1$ in place of $i$.

If $t_{i+1}=0_{F}$, define $d=r_{i}, u=x_{i}$ and $v=y_{i}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{gcd}(f, g)=d=f u+g v
$$

and the algorithm stops.
Proof. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$ let $P(i)$ be the following statement:
(1) For $-1 \leq k \leq i$ an equation $E_{k}$ of the form $r_{k}=f \cdot x_{k}+g \cdot y_{k}$ with $r_{k}, x_{k}$ and $y_{k} \in F[x]$ has been defined;
(2) for $-1 \leq k \leq i$ the equation $E_{k}$ is true;
(3) $r_{i}$ is monic;
(4) for all $1 \leq k \leq i, \operatorname{deg} r_{k}<r_{k-1}$; and
(5) $\operatorname{gcd}(f, g)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(r_{i-1}, r_{i}\right)$.

Put $r_{-1}=f, x_{-1}=1_{F}, y_{-1}=0_{F}, r_{0}=\check{g}, x_{0}=0_{F}$ and $y_{0}=\operatorname{lead}(g)^{-1}$. Then for $k=-1$ and $k=0, E_{k}$ is the equation $r_{k}=f \cdot x_{k}+g \cdot y_{k}$ and so (1) holds for $i=0$. Also $E_{-1}$ and $E_{0}$ are true, so (2) holds for $i=0$. $r_{0}=\check{g}$ is monic and so (3) holds for $i=0$. There is no integer $k$ with $1 \leq k \leq 0$ and thus (4) holds for $i=0$. Also by 4.2.15 b

$$
\operatorname{gcd}(f, g)=\operatorname{gcd}(f, \check{g})=\operatorname{gcd}\left(r_{-1}, r_{0}\right)
$$

Thus $P(0)$ holds. Suppose now that $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and that $P(i)$ holds. Then the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{i-1}: r_{i-1}=f \cdot x_{i-1}+g \cdot y_{i-1} \quad \text { and } \\
& E_{i}: r_{i}=f \cdot x_{i}+g \cdot y_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

are defined and true. Also $r_{k}, x_{k}$ and $y_{k}$ are in $F[x]$ for $k=i-1$ and $i$,
Since $r_{i}$ is monic, $r_{i} \neq 0_{F}$ and so by the Division algorithm there exist unique $q_{i+1}$ and $t_{i+1}$ in $F[x]$ with
(*)

$$
r_{i-1}=r_{i} q_{i}+t_{i+1} \text { and } \operatorname{deg} t_{i+1}<\operatorname{deg} r_{i}
$$

Thus by 4.2.16 $\operatorname{gcd}\left(r_{i-1}, r_{i}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(r_{i}, t_{i+1}\right)$. By (5) in $P(i), \operatorname{gcd}(f, g)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(r_{i-1}, r_{i}\right)$ and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{gcd}(f, g)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(r_{i}, t_{i+1}\right) \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the case that $t_{i+1} \neq 0_{F}$. Subtracting $q_{i+1}$ times $E_{i}$ from $E_{i-1}$ we obtain the true equation

$$
r_{i-1}-r_{i} q_{i+1}=f \cdot\left(x_{i-1}-x_{i} q_{i+1}\right)+g \cdot\left(y_{i-1}-y_{i} q_{i+1}\right)
$$

Put $u_{i+1}=\left(\operatorname{lead} t_{i+1}\right)^{-1}$. Multiplying the preceding equation with $u_{i+1}$ gives the true equation

$$
E_{i+1}:\left(r_{i-1}-r_{i} q_{i+1}\right) u_{i+1}=f \cdot\left(x_{i-1}-x_{i} q_{i+1}\right) u_{i+1}+g \cdot\left(y_{i-1}-y_{i} q_{i+1}\right) u_{i+1}
$$

Putting $r_{i+1}=\left(r_{i-1}-r_{i} q_{i+1}\right) u_{i+1}, x_{i+1}=\left(x_{i-1}-x_{i} q_{i+1}\right) u_{i+1}$ and $y_{i+1}=\left(y_{i-1}-y_{i} q_{i+1}\right) u_{i+1}$ we see that $E_{i+1}$ is the equation $r_{i+1}=f \cdot x_{i+1}+g \cdot y_{i+1}$ and $r_{i+1}, x_{i+1}$ and $y_{i+1}$ are in $F[x]$. So (1) and (2) hold for $i+1$ in place of $i$.

By $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ we have $t_{i+1}=r_{i-1}-r_{i} q_{i+1}$ and so

$$
r_{i+1}=\left(r_{i-1}-r_{i} q_{i+1}\right) u_{i+1}=t_{i+1} u_{i+1}=t_{i+1} \operatorname{lead}\left(t_{i+1}\right)^{-1}=\check{t}_{i+1}
$$

Hence

$$
(* * *) \quad r_{i+1}=\check{t}_{i+1}
$$

Thus $r_{i+1}$ is monic and (3) holds. Moreover,

$$
\operatorname{deg} r_{i+1} \stackrel{\left(*^{* *}\right)}{=} \operatorname{deg} \check{t}_{i+1}=\operatorname{deg} t_{i+1} \stackrel{(*)}{<} \operatorname{deg} r_{i}
$$

and (4) of $P(i+1)$ holds.
Also

$$
\operatorname{gcd}(f, g) \stackrel{(* *)}{=} \operatorname{gcd}\left(r_{i}, t_{i+1}\right) \stackrel{4.2 .15}{=} \operatorname{gcd}\left(r_{i}, \check{t}_{i+1}\right) \stackrel{(* * *)}{=} \operatorname{gcd}\left(r_{i}, r_{i+1}\right)
$$

and so (5) in $P(i+1)$ holds. We proved that $P(i)$ implies $P(i+1)$ and so by the principal of induction, $P(i)$ holds for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, which are reached before the algorithm stops. Note here that Condition (4) ensures that the algorithm stops in finitely many steps.

Suppose next that $t_{i+1}=0_{F}$. Then by $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$

$$
\operatorname{gcd}(f, g)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(r_{i}, t_{i+1}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(r_{i}, 0_{F}\right)=r_{i}
$$

Note that last equality holds since $r_{i}$ is monic polynomial dividing $r_{i}$ and $0_{F}$ and that by 4.2 .2 any common divisor of $r_{i}$ and $0_{F}$ has degree at most $\operatorname{deg} r_{i}$. So $r_{i}$ is monic common divisor of $r_{i}$ and $0_{F}$ of maximal degree, that is $\operatorname{gcd}\left(r_{i}, 0_{F}\right)=r_{i}$.

By $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{i})$ the equation

$$
E_{i}: \quad r_{i}=f \cdot x_{i}+g \cdot y_{i}
$$

is true. So putting $d=r_{i}, u=x_{i}$ and $v=y_{i}$ we have

$$
\operatorname{gcd}(f, g)=d=f u+g v
$$

Example 4.2.18. Let $f=3 x^{4}+4 x^{3}+2 x^{2}+x+1$ and $g=2 x^{3}+x^{2}+2 x+3$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{5}[x]$. Find $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}[x]$ with $f u+g v=\operatorname{gcd}(f, g)$.

In the following if $a$ in integer, we just write $a$ for $[a]_{5}$. We have

$$
\operatorname{lead}(g)^{-1}=2^{-1}=2^{-1} \cdot 1=2^{-1} \cdot 6=3
$$

and so $r_{0}=\check{g}=3 g=6 x^{3}+3 x^{2}+6 x+9=x^{3}+3 x^{2}+x+4$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{-1}: 3 x^{4}+x^{3}+2 x^{2}+x+1=f \cdot 1+g \cdot 0 \\
& E_{0}: \quad x^{3}+3 x^{2}+x+4=f \cdot 0+g \cdot 3 \\
& \begin{array}{c}
3 x \\
x ^ { 3 } + 3 x ^ { 2 } + x + 4 \longdiv { 3 x ^ { 4 } + 4 x ^ { 3 } + 2 x ^ { 2 } + 2 x + 1 }
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{r}
3 x^{4}+9 x^{3}+3 x^{2}+2 x \\
-x^{2}-x+1
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Subtracting $3 x$ times $E_{0}$ from $E_{-1}$ we get

$$
-x^{2}-x+1=f \cdot 1+g \cdot-9 x \quad \mid \quad E_{-1}-E_{0} \cdot 3 x
$$

and multiplying with $(-1)^{-1}=-1$ gives

$$
\begin{array}{r}
E_{1}: x^{2}+x-1
\end{array} \begin{array}{r}
x \cdot-1+g \cdot 4 x \\
\begin{array}{r}
x+2 \\
x^{2}+x-1 \\
\begin{array}{l}
x^{3}+3 x^{2}+x+4 \\
x^{3}+x^{2}- \\
2 x^{2}+2 x+4 \\
2 x^{2}+2 x-2
\end{array} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

Subtracting $x+2$ times $E_{1}$ from $E_{0}$ gives

$$
1=f \cdot(0-(-1)(x+2))+g \cdot(3-(4 x)(x+2))
$$

and so

$$
E_{2}: 1=f \cdot(x+2)+g \cdot\left(x^{2}+2 x+3\right)
$$

Since $x+2$ is monic, this equation is $E_{2}$. The remainder of any polynomial when divided by 1 is zero, so the algorithm stops here. Hence

$$
\operatorname{gcd}(f, g)=1=f \cdot(x+2)+g \cdot\left(x^{2}+2 x+3\right)
$$

## Exercises 4.2:

\#1. Let $F$ be a field and $a, b \in F$ with $a \neq b$. Show that $x+a$ and $x+b$ are relatively prime in $F[x]$.
\#2. Use the Euclidean Algorithm to find the gcd of the given polynomials in the given polynomial ring.
(a) $x^{4}-x^{3}-x^{2}+1$ and $x^{3}-1$ in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.
(b) $x^{5}+x^{4}+2 x^{3}-x^{2}-x-2$ and $x^{4}+2 x^{3}+5 x^{2}+4 x+4$ in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.
(c) $x^{4}+3 x^{2}+2 x+4$ and $x^{2}-1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{5}[x]$.
(d) $4 x^{4}+2 x^{3}+6 x^{2}+4 x+5$ and $3 x^{3}+5 x^{2}+6 x$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{7}[x]$.
(e) $x^{3}-i x^{2}+4 x-4 i$ and $x^{2}+1$ in $\mathbb{C}[x]$.
(f) $x^{4}+x+1$ and $x^{2}+x+1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}[x]$.
\#3. Let $F$ be a field and $f \in F[x]$ such that $f \mid g$ for every non-constant polynomial $g \in F[x]$. Show that $f$ is a constant polynomial.
\#4. Let $F$ be a field and $f, g, h \in F[x]$ with $f$ and $g$ relatively prime. If $f \mid h$ and $g \mid h$, prove that $f g \mid h$.
\#5. Let $F$ be a field and $f, g, h \in F[x]$. Suppose that $g \neq 0_{F}$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(f, g)=1_{F}$. Show that $\operatorname{gcd}(f h, g)=$ $\operatorname{gcd}(h, g)$.
\#6. Let $F$ be a field and $f, g \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ such that $h$ is non-zero and one of $f$ and $g$ is non-zero. Let $d=\operatorname{gcd}(f, g)$ and let $\hat{f}, \hat{g} \in F[x]$ with $f=\hat{f} d$ and $g=\hat{g} d$. Then $\operatorname{gcd}(\hat{f}, \hat{g})=1_{F}$.
\#7. Let $F$ be a field and $f, g, h \in F[x]$ with $f \mid g h$. Show that there exist $\tilde{g}, \tilde{h} \in F[x]$ with $\tilde{g}|g, \tilde{h}| h$ and $f=\tilde{g} \tilde{h}$.

### 4.3 Irreducible Polynomials

Definition 4.3.1. Let $F$ be a field and $f \in F[x]$.
(a) $f$ is called constant if $f \in F$, that is if $\operatorname{deg} f \leq 0$.
(b) Then $f$ is called irreducible provided that
(i) $f$ is not constant, and
(ii) if $g \in F[x]$ with $g \mid f$, then

$$
g \sim 1_{F} \quad \text { or } \quad g \sim f
$$

(c) $f$ is called reducible provided that
(i) $f \neq 0_{F}$, and
(ii) there exists $g \in F[x]$ with

$$
g \mid f, \quad g \nsim 1_{F}, \quad \text { and } \quad g \nsim f .
$$

Proposition 4.3.2. Let $F$ be a field and $0_{F} \neq f \in F[x]$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(a) $f$ is reducible.
(b) $f$ is divisible by a non-constant polynomial of lower degree.
(c) $f$ is the product of two polynomials of lower degree.
(d) $f$ is the product of two non-constant polynomials of lower degree.
(e) $f$ is the product of two non-constant polynomials.
(f) $f$ is not constant and $f$ is not irreducible.

Proof. (a) $\Longrightarrow$ b): Suppose $f$ is reducible. Then by Definition 4.3.1 there exist $g \in F[x]$ with $g \mid f, g \nsim 1_{F}$ and $g \nsim f$. Since $g \mid f$ and $f \neq 0_{F}$ we have $g \neq 0_{F}$ (see 3.4.2). Since $g \nsim 1_{F}, 4.2 .3$ now shows that $g \notin F$. Since $g \nsim f$ and $g \mid f$, 4.2.4 implies $\operatorname{deg} f \neq \operatorname{deg} g$. Also by 4.2.2 since $g \mid f$ we have $\operatorname{deg} g \leq \operatorname{deg} f$ and so $\operatorname{deg} g<\operatorname{deg} f$. Thus $g$ is a non-constant polynomials of lower degree than $f$. Thus (b) holds.
(b) $\Longrightarrow$ (c): Let $g$ be a non-constant polynomial of lower degree than $f$ with $g \mid f$. Then $\operatorname{deg} g>0$, $\operatorname{deg} g<\operatorname{deg} f$ and $f=g h$ for some $h \in F[x]$. Since $f \neq 0_{F}$ we conclude $h \neq 0_{F}$. By 4.1.8 a $\operatorname{deg} f=$ $\operatorname{deg} g+\operatorname{deg} h$ and since $\operatorname{deg} g>0, \operatorname{deg} h<\operatorname{deg} f$. Thus (C) holds.
(c) $\Longrightarrow$ (d): $\quad$ Suppose $f=g h$ with $\operatorname{deg} g<\operatorname{deg} f$ and $\operatorname{deg} h<\operatorname{deg} f$. By 4.1.8 $\operatorname{deg} f=\operatorname{deg} g+\operatorname{deg} h$. Since $\operatorname{deg} g<\operatorname{deg} f$ we conclude that $\operatorname{deg} h>0$. So $h$ is not constant. Similarly $g$ is not constant. Thus (d) holds.
(d) $\Longrightarrow$ (e): Obvious.
(e) $\Longrightarrow(\sqrt{\mathrm{f}}): \quad$ Let $f=g h$ with neither $g$ nor $h$ constant. Then $g \mid f$. Since $g$ is not constant, Lemma 4.2.3 gives $g \nsim 1_{F}$. Since $\operatorname{deg} h>0$ and $\operatorname{deg} f=\operatorname{deg} g+\operatorname{deg} h$ (4.1.8 a) we have $\operatorname{deg} f>\operatorname{deg} g$. Since $g$ is not constant, $\operatorname{deg} g>0$ and so also $\operatorname{deg} f>0$ and $f$ is not constant. Also $\operatorname{deg} f \neq \operatorname{deg} g$ and 4.2.4 gives $g \nsim f$. Thus by Definition 4.3.1 $f$ is not irreducible. So ( $\mathbb{f})$ holds.
$(\mathbb{f}) \Longrightarrow$ (a): Suppose $f \notin F$ and $f$ is not irreducible. Then by Definition 4.3.1 there exists $g \in F[x]$ with $g \mid f, g \nsim 1_{F}$ and $g \nsim f$. So by Definition 4.3.1, $f$ is reducible and (a) holds.

Remark 4.3.3. Let $F$ be a field.
(a) A non-constant polynomial in $F[x]$ is reducible if and only if its is not irreducible.
(b) A constant polynomial in $F[x]$ is neither reducible nor irreducible.

Proof. (a): This follows from 4.3.2, a), (f).
(b): By definition irreducible polynomials are not constant and by 4.3.2 reducible polynomials are not constant.

Lemma 4.3.4. Let $F$ be a field and $p \in F[x]$ with $p \notin F$. Then the following statement are equivalent:
(a) $p$ is irreducible.
(b) Whenever $g, h \in F[x]$ with $p \mid g h$, then $p \mid g$ or $p \mid h$.
(c) Whenever $g, h \in F[x]$ with $p=g h$, then $g$ or $h$ is constant.

Proof. (a) $\Longrightarrow$ b): Suppose $p$ is irreducible and let $g, h \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ with $p \mid g h$. Put $d=\operatorname{gcd}(p, g)$. By definition of 'gcd', $d \mid p$ and since $p$ is irreducible, $d \sim 1_{F}$ or $d \sim p$. We treat these two cases separately.

Suppose that $d \sim 1_{F}$. Since both $d$ and $1_{F}$ are monic we conclude from 4.2.6 that $d=1_{F}$. So $p$ and $g$ are relatively prime and, since $p \mid g h, 4.2 .12$ implies $p \mid h$.

If $d \sim p$, then since $d|g, 3.4 .11| c \mid$ gives $p \mid g$.
$(\mathrm{b}) \Longrightarrow(\mathrm{c}): \quad$ Suppose $(\mathrm{b})$ holds and let $g, h \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ with $p \mid g h$. Since 'divide' is reflexive, $p \mid p$ and so $p=g h$ implies $p \mid g h$. From (b) we conclude $p \mid g$ or $p \mid h$. Since the situation is symmetric in $g$ and $h$ we may assume $p \mid g$. Since $p \neq 0_{F}$ and $p=g h, g \neq 0_{F}$. From $p \mid g$ and 4.2 .2 we have $\operatorname{deg} p \leq \operatorname{deg} g$. On the other hand by 4.1.8 a), $\operatorname{deg} p=\operatorname{deg} g h=\operatorname{deg} g+\operatorname{deg} h$. Thus $\operatorname{deg} g=\operatorname{deg} p$ and $\operatorname{deg} h=0$. So $h \in F$.
$(\mathrm{c}) \Longrightarrow(\mathrm{a}): \quad$ Suppose (c) hold. Then $p$ is not a product of two constant polynomials in $F[x]$. So 4.3.2 b) does not holds. Hence also 4.3.2, f ) does not hold, that is the statement ' $p \notin F$ and $p$ is not irreducible' is false. Since $p \notin F$, this means that $p$ is irreducible.

Lemma 4.3.5. Let $F$ be a field and $p$ an irreducible polynomial in $F[x]$. If $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in F[x]$ and $p \mid a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n}$, then $p \mid a_{i}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$.
Proof. By induction on $n$. For $n=1$ the statement is obviously true. So suppose the statment is true for $n=k$ and that $p \mid a_{1} \ldots a_{k} a_{k+1}$. By 4.3.4, $p \mid a_{1} \ldots a_{k}$ or $p \mid a_{k+1}$. In the first case the induction assumption implies that $p \mid a_{i}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k$. So in any case $p \mid a_{i}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k+1$. Thus the Lemma holds for $k+1$ and so by the Principal of Mathematical Induction 0.4.2 the Lemma holds for all positive integer $n$.

Lemma 4.3.6. Let $F$ be a field and $p, q$ irreducible polynomials in $F[x]$. Then $p \mid q$ if and only if $p \sim q$.
Proof. If $p \sim q$, then $p \mid q$, by 3.4.9. So suppose that $p \mid q$. Since $q$ is irreducible, $p \sim 1_{F}$ or $p \sim q$. Since $p$ is irreducible, $p \notin F$ and so by 4.2.3, $p \nsim 1_{F}$. Thus $p \sim q$.

Lemma 4.3.7. Let $F$ be a field and $f, g \in F[x]$ with $f \sim g$. Then $f$ is irreducible if and only if $g$ is irreducible.
Proof. $\Longrightarrow$ : Suppose $f$ is irreducible. Then $f \notin F$ and so $\operatorname{deg} f \geq 1$. Since $f \sim g, 4.2 .4$ implies $\operatorname{deg} g=$ $\operatorname{deg} f \geq 1$. Hence $g \notin F$. Let $h \in F[x]$ with $h \mid g$. Since $f \sim g, 3.4 .11$ implies $h \mid f$. Since $f$ is irreducible we conclude $h \sim 1_{F}$ or $h \sim f$. In the latter case, since $\sim$ is transitive 3.4.7) $h \sim g$. Hence $h \sim 1_{F}$ or $h \sim g$ and so $g$ is irreducible.
$\Longleftarrow$ : Suppose $g$ is irreducible. Since $\sim$ is symmetric by 3.4.7, we have $g \sim f$. So we can apply the ' $\Longrightarrow$ '-case with $f$ and $g$ interchanged to conclude that $f$ is irreducible.

Theorem 4.3.8 (Unique Factorization Theorem). Let $F$ be a field and $f \in F[x]$ with $f \notin F$. Then
(a) $f$ is the product of irreducible polynomials in $F[x]$.
(b) If $n, m$ are positive integers and $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}$ and $q_{1}, \ldots q_{m}$ are irreducible polynomials in $F[x]$ with

$$
f=p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad f=q_{1} q_{2} \ldots q_{m}
$$

then $n=m$ and possibly after reordering the $q_{i}$ 's,

$$
p_{1} \sim p_{1}, \quad p_{2} \sim q_{2}, \quad \ldots, \quad p_{n} \sim q_{n}
$$

In more precise terms: there exists a bijection $\pi:\{1, \ldots n\} \rightarrow\{1, \ldots m\}$ such that

$$
p_{1} \sim q_{\pi(1)}, \quad p_{2} \sim q_{\pi(2)}, \quad \ldots, \quad p_{n} \sim q_{\pi(n)}
$$

Proof. (a) The proof is by complete induction on $\operatorname{deg} f$. So suppose that every non-constant polynomial of lower degree than $f$ is a product of irreducible polynomials.

Suppose that $f$ is irreducible. Then $f$ is the product of one irreducible polynomial (namely itself).
Suppose $f$ is not irreducible. Since $f \notin F, 4.3 .2$ shows that $f=g h$ where $g$ and $h$ are non-constant polynomials of lower degree than $f$. By the induction assumption both $g$ and $h$ are products of irreducible polynomials. Hence also $f=g h$ is the product of irreducible polynomials.
(b) The proof of (a) is by complete induction on $n$. So let $k$ be a positive integer and suppose that (b) holds whenever $n<k$. Suppose also that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{k} \quad \text { and } \quad f=q_{1} q_{2} \ldots q_{m} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ is a positive integer and $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}, q_{1}, \ldots q_{m}$ are irreducible polynomials in $F[x]$.
Suppose first that $f$ is irreducible. Then by $4.3 .2 f$ is not the product of two non-constant polynomials in $\mathbb{F}[x]$. Hence $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ implies $k=m=1$. Thus $p_{1}=f=q_{1}$. Since since is reflexive we get $p_{1} \sim q_{1}$ and so (b) holds for $n=k$ in this case.

Suppose next that $f$ is not irreducible. Then $p_{1} \neq f \neq q_{1}$ and so $k \geq 2$ and $m \geq 2$.
Since $f=\left(p_{1} \ldots p_{k-1}\right) p_{k}$ we see that $p_{k}$ divides $f$. So by $\left(^{*}\right) p_{k}$ divides $q_{1} \ldots q_{m}$. Hence by 4.3.5, $p_{k} \mid q_{j}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq m$. By 4.3.6, $p_{k} \sim q_{j}$. Reordering the $q_{i}$ 's we may assume that $p_{k} \sim q_{m}$. Then $p_{k}=u q_{m}$ for some unit $u \in F[x]$. Thus

$$
\left(\left(u p_{1}\right) p_{2} \ldots p_{k-1}\right) q_{m}=\left(p_{1} \ldots p_{k-1}\right)\left(u q_{m}\right)=p_{1} \ldots p_{k}=f=\left(q_{1} \ldots q_{m-1}\right) q_{m}
$$

By 4.1.8 C] $F[x]$ is an integral domain. Since $q_{m} \neq 0_{F}$, the Cancellation Law 3.2.19 gives

$$
\left(u p_{1}\right) p_{2} \ldots p_{k-1}=q_{1} \ldots q_{m-1}
$$

Since $u$ is a unit, $u p_{1} \sim p_{1}$. Thus since $p_{1}$ is irreducible also $u p_{1}$ is irreducible by 4.3.7. By the induction assumption $k-1=m-1$ and we may reorder the $q_{i}$ 's such that

$$
u p_{1} \sim q_{1}, \quad p_{2} \sim q_{2}, \quad \ldots \quad p_{k-1} \sim q_{k-1} .
$$

In particular, $k=m$. Also since $p_{1} \sim u p_{1}$ and $\sim$ is transitive, $p_{1} \sim q_{1}$. Thus

$$
p_{1} \sim q_{1}, \quad p_{2} \sim q_{2} \quad \ldots \quad p_{k-1} \sim q_{k-1}
$$

Thus (b) also $n=k$. By the principal of complete induction, (b) holds for all positive integers $n$.

## Exercises 4.3:

\#1. Find all irreducible polynomials of
(a) degree two in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}[x]$.
(b) degree three in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}[x]$.
(c) degree two in $\mathbb{Z}_{3}[x]$.
\#2. (a) Show that $x^{2}+2$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}_{5}[x]$.
(b) Factor $x^{4}-4$ as a product of irreducibles in $\mathbb{Z}_{5}[x]$.
\#3. Let $F$ be a field. Prove that every non-constant polynomial $f$ in $F[x]$ can be written in the form $f=c p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{n}$ with $c \in F$ and each $p_{i}$ monic irreducible in $F[x]$. Show further that if $f=d q_{1} \ldots q_{m}$ with $d \in F$ and each $q_{i}$ monic and irreducible in $F[x]$, then $m=n, c=d$ and after reordering and relabeling, if necessary, $p_{i}=q_{i}$ for each $i$.
\#4. Let $F$ be a field and $p \in F[x]$ with $p \notin F$. Show that the following two statements are equivalent:
(a) $p$ is irreducible
(b) If $g \in F[x]$ then $p \mid g$ or $\operatorname{gcd}(p, g)=1_{F}$.
\#5. Let $F$ be a field and let $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots p_{n}$ be irreducible monic polynomials in $F[x]$ such that $p_{i} \neq p_{j}$ for all $1 \leq i<j \leq n$. Let $f, g \in F[x]$ and suppose that $f=p_{1}^{k_{1}} p_{2}^{k_{2}} \ldots p_{n}^{k_{n}}$ and $g=p_{1}^{l_{1}} p_{2}^{l_{2}} \ldots p_{n}^{l_{n}}$ for some $k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{n}, l_{1}, l_{2} \ldots, l_{n} \in \mathbb{N}$.
(a) Show that $f \mid g$ in $F[x]$ if and only if $k_{i} \leq l_{i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.
(b) For $1 \leq i \leq n$ define $m_{i}=\min \left(k_{i}, l_{i}\right)$. Show that $\operatorname{gcd}(f, g)=p_{1}^{m_{1}} p_{2}^{m_{2}} \ldots p_{n}^{m_{n}}$.

### 4.4 Polynomial function

Theorem 4.4.1. Let $R$ and $S$ be commutative rings with identities, $\alpha: R \rightarrow S$ a homomorphism of rings with $\alpha\left(1_{R}\right)=1_{S}$ and let $s \in S$.
(a) There exists a unique ring homomorphism $\alpha_{s}: R[x] \rightarrow S$ such that $\alpha_{s}(x)=s$ and $\alpha_{s}(r)=\alpha(r)$ for all $r \in R$.
(b) For all $f=\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{deg} f} f_{i} x^{i}$ in $R[x], \alpha_{s}(f)=\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{deg} f} \alpha\left(f_{i}\right) s^{i}$.

Proof. Suppose first that $\beta: R[x] \rightarrow S$ is a ring homomorphism with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(x)=s \quad \text { and } \quad \beta(r)=\alpha(r) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $r \in R$. Let $f \in R[x]$.
Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta(f) & \left.=\beta\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{deg} f} f_{i} x^{i}\right) \quad-4.1 .5 \mathrm{~d}\right] \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{deg} f} \beta\left(f_{i} x^{i}\right) \quad-\beta \text { is a homomorphism } \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{deg} f} \beta\left(f_{i}\right) \beta(x)^{i} \quad-\beta \text { is a homomorphism } \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{deg} f} \alpha\left(f_{i}\right) s^{i} . \quad-\left(^{*}\right) \tag{*}
\end{align*}
$$

This proves b and the uniqueness of $\alpha_{s}$.

It remains to prove the existence. We use (b) to define $\alpha_{s}$. That is we define

$$
\alpha_{s}: R[x] \rightarrow S, \quad f \rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{deg} f} \alpha\left(f_{i}\right) s^{i}
$$

It follows that

$$
\alpha_{s}(x)=\alpha_{s}\left(1_{R} x\right)=\alpha\left(1_{R}\right) s=1_{S} s=s
$$

and if $r \in R$, then

$$
\alpha_{s}(r)=\alpha_{s}\left(r x^{0}\right)=\alpha(r) s^{0}=\alpha(r) 1_{S}=\alpha(r)
$$

Let $f, g \in R[x]$. Put $n=\max (\operatorname{deg} f, \operatorname{deg} g)$ and $m=\operatorname{deg} f+\operatorname{deg} g$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{s}(f+g)=\quad \alpha_{s}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(f_{i}+g_{i}\right) x^{i}\right) \quad-4.1 .2 \text { a. applied with } P=R[x] \\
& =\quad \sum_{i=0}^{n} \alpha\left(f_{i}+g_{i}\right) s^{i} \quad-\text { definition of } \alpha_{s} \\
& =\quad \sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(\alpha\left(f_{i}\right)+\alpha\left(g_{i}\right)\right) s^{i} \quad-\text { Since } \alpha \text { is a homomorphism } \\
& =\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{deg} f} \alpha\left(f_{i}\right) s^{i}\right)+\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{deg} g} \alpha\left(g_{i}\right) s^{i}\right) \quad-4.1 .2 \mathrm{a} \text { applied with } R=S, P=S, x=s \\
& =\quad \alpha_{s}(f)+\alpha_{s}(g) \quad-\text { definition of } \alpha_{s} \text {, twice } \\
& \alpha_{s}(f g)=\alpha_{s}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{m}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k} f_{i} g_{k-i}\right) x^{k}\right) \quad-4.1 .2 \text { ap applied with } P=R[x] \\
& =\quad \sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k} f_{i} g_{k-i}\right) s^{k} \quad-\text { definition of } \alpha_{s} \\
& =\quad \sum_{k=0}^{m}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k} \alpha\left(f_{i}\right) \alpha\left(g_{k-i}\right)\right) s^{k} \quad-\text { Since } \alpha \text { is a homomorphism } \\
& =\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{deg} f} \alpha\left(f_{i}\right) s^{i}\right) \cdot\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\operatorname{deg} g} \alpha\left(g_{j}\right) s^{j}\right) \quad-4.1 .2 \text { a applied with } R=S, P=S, x=s \\
& =\quad \alpha_{s}(f) \cdot \alpha_{s}(g) \quad-\text { definition of } \alpha_{s} \text {, twice }
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\alpha_{s}$ is a homomorphism and the Theorem is proved.
Example 4.4.2. Compute $\alpha_{s}$ in the following cases:
(1) $R$ is a commutative ring with identity, $S=R, \alpha=\operatorname{id}_{R}$ and $s \in R$.
(2) $R$ is a commutative ring with identity, $S=R[x], \alpha(r)=r$ and $s=x$.
(3) $R=\mathbb{Z}, n$ is an integer, $S=\mathbb{Z}_{n}[x], \alpha(r)=[r]_{n}$ and $s=x$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 11 \alpha_{s}(f)=\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{deg} f} \alpha\left(f_{i}\right) s^{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{deg} f} f_{i} s^{i} . \\
& 2 \alpha_{s}(f)=\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{deg} f} \alpha\left(f_{i}\right) s^{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{deg} f} f_{i} x^{i}=f \\
& \text { So } \alpha_{s} \text { is identity function on } R[x]
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) Note first that by Example $3.3 .2 \alpha: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{n}[x], r \rightarrow[r]_{n}$ is a homomorphism. Also

$$
\alpha_{s}(f)=\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{deg} f} \alpha\left(f_{i}\right) s^{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{deg} f}\left[f_{i}\right]_{n} x^{i}
$$

So $\alpha_{s}(f)$ is obtain from $f$ by viewing each coefficient as congruence class modulo $n$ rather than an integer.
Definition 4.4.3. Let $I$ be a set and $R$ a ring.
(a) $\operatorname{Fun}(I, R)$ is the set of all functions from $I$ to $R$.
(b) For $\alpha, \beta \in \operatorname{Fun}(I, R)$ define $\alpha+\beta$ in $\operatorname{Fun}(I, R)$ by

$$
(\alpha+\beta)(i)=\alpha(i)+\beta(i)
$$

for all $i \in I$.
(c) For $\alpha, \beta \in \operatorname{Fun}(I, R)$ define $\alpha \beta$ in $\operatorname{Fun}(I, R)$ by

$$
(\alpha \beta)(i)=\alpha(i) \beta(i)
$$

for all $i \in I$.
(d) For $r \in R$ define $r^{*} \in \operatorname{Fun}(I, R)$ by

$$
r^{*}(i)=r
$$

for all $i \in I$.
(e) $\operatorname{Fun}(R)=\operatorname{Fun}(R, R)$.

Lemma 4.4.4. Let $I$ be a set and $R$ a ring.
(a) $\operatorname{Fun}(I, R)$ together with the above addition and multiplication is a ring.
(b) $0_{R}^{*}$ is the additive identity in $\operatorname{Fun}(I, R)$.
(c) If $R$ has a multiplicative identity $1_{R}$, then $1_{R}^{*}$ is a multiplicative identity in $\operatorname{Fun}(I, R)$.
(d) $(-\alpha)(i)=-\alpha(i)$ for all $\alpha \in \operatorname{Fun}(I, R), i \in I$.
(e) The function $\tau: R \rightarrow \operatorname{Fun}(I, R), r \rightarrow r^{*}$ is a homomorphism. If $I \neq \emptyset$, than $\tau$ is 1-1.

Proof. Note that $\operatorname{Fun}(I, R)=X_{i \in I} R$ and so (a)-d follows from F.1.2
(e) Let $a, b \in R$ and $i \in I$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (a+b)^{*}(i)=a+b \quad-\text { definition of }(a+b)^{*} \\
& =a^{*}(i)+b^{*}(i) \quad-\text { definition of } a^{*} \text { and } b^{*} \\
& =\left(a^{*}+b^{*}\right)(i)-\text { definition of addition of functions }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $(a+b)^{*}=a^{*}+b^{*}$ by 0.3.11 and so $\tau(a+b)=\tau(a)+\tau(b)$ by definition of $\tau$.
Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (a b)^{*}(i)=a b \quad-\text { definition of }(a b)^{*} \\
& =a^{*}(i) b^{*}(i)-\text { definition of } a^{*} \text { and } b^{*} \\
& =\left(a^{*} b^{*}\right)(i)-\text { definition of multiplication of function }
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $(a b)^{*}=a^{*} b^{*}$ by 0.3 .11 and so $\tau(a b)=\tau(a) \tau(b)$ by definition of $\tau$.
Thus $\tau$ is a homomorphism.
Suppose that $I \neq \emptyset$ and $\tau(a)=\tau(b)$. Then $a^{*}=b^{*}$ and there exists $i \in I$. So $a=a^{*}(i)=b^{*}(i)=b$ and $\tau$ is $1-1$.
Notation 4.4.5. Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity and $f \in R[x]$. For $f=\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{deg} f} f_{i} x^{i} \in F[x]$ define the function

$$
f^{*}: R \rightarrow R
$$

by

$$
f^{*}(r)=\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{deg} f} f_{i} r^{i}
$$

for all $r \in R$.
$f^{*}$ is called the polynomial function induced by $f$.
Let id : $R \rightarrow R, r \rightarrow r$ be the identity function on $R$ and for $r \in R$ let $\mathrm{id}_{r}: R[x] \rightarrow R$ be the homomorphism from 4.4.1. Then by Example 4.4.2(1)

$$
f^{*}(r)=\operatorname{id}_{r}(f)
$$

for all $f \in F[x]$ and $r \in R$.
Note that if $f \in R[x]$ is constant polynomial then the definitions of $f^{*} \in \operatorname{Fun}(R)$ in 4.4.5 and in 4.4.3 coincide.

The following example shows that it is very important to distinguish between a polynomial $f$ and its induced polynomial function $f^{*}$.
Example 4.4.6. Determine the functions induced by the polynomials of degree at most two in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}[x]$.

| $f$ | 0 | 1 | $x$ | $x+1$ | $x^{2}$ | $x^{2}+1$ | $x^{2}+x$ | $x^{2}+x+1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f^{*}(0)$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| $f^{*}(1)$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

We conclude that $x^{*}=\left(x^{2}\right)^{*}$. So two distinct polynomials can lead to the same polynomial function. Also $\left(x^{2}+x\right)^{*}$ is the zero function but $x^{2}+x$ is not the zero polynomial.

Theorem 4.4.7. Let $R$ be commutative ring with identity.
(a) $f^{*} \in \operatorname{Fun}(R)$ for all $f \in R[x]$.
(b) $(f+g)^{*}(r)=f^{*}(r)+g^{*}(r)$ and $(f g)^{*}(r)=f^{*}(r) g^{*}(r)$ for all $f, g \in R[x]$ and $r \in R$.
(c) $(f+g)^{*}=f^{*}+g^{*}$ and $f^{*} g^{*}=f^{*} g^{*}$ for all $f, g \in R[x]$.
(d) The function $R[x] \rightarrow \operatorname{Fun}(R), f \rightarrow f^{*}$ is a ring homomorphism.

Proof. (a) By definition $f^{*}$ is a function from $R$ to $R$. Hence $f^{*} \in \operatorname{Fun}(R)$.
(b)

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
(f+g)^{*}(r) & =\quad \operatorname{id}_{r}(f+g) & - \text { Definition of }(f+g)^{*} \\
& =\operatorname{id}_{r}(f)+\mathrm{id}_{r}(g) & -\mathrm{id}_{r} \text { is a homomorphism } \\
& =f^{*}(r)+g^{*}(r) & - \text { Definition of } f^{*} \text { and } g^{*}
\end{array}
$$

and similarly

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
(f g)^{*}(r) & =\operatorname{id}_{r}(f g) & & - \text { Definition of }(f g)^{*} \\
& =\operatorname{id}_{r}(f) \operatorname{id}_{r}(g) & -\operatorname{id}_{r} \text { is a homomorphism } \\
& =f^{*}(r) g^{*}(r) & - \text { Definition of } f^{*} \text { and } g^{*}
\end{array}
$$

(c) Let $r \in R$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(f+g)^{*}(r) & =f^{*}(r)+g^{*}(r)-\mathrm{b} \\
& =\left(f^{*}+g^{*}\right)(r) \quad-\text { Definition of addition in Fun }(R)
\end{aligned}
$$

So $(f+g)^{*}=f^{*}+g^{*}$. Similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
(f g)^{*}(r) & =f^{*}(r) g^{*}(r)-\sqrt{\mathrm{b}} \\
& =\left(f^{*} g^{*}\right)(r) \quad-\text { Definition of multiplication in Fun }(R)
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $(f g)^{*}=f^{*} g^{*}$.
(d) Follows from (c).

Lemma 4.4.8. Let $F$ be a field, $f \in F[x]$ and $a \in F$. Then the remainder of $f$ when divided by $x-a$ is $f^{*}(a)$.

Proof. Let $r$ be the remainder of $f$ when divided by $x-a$. So $r \in F[x], \operatorname{deg} r<\operatorname{deg}(x-a)$ and there exists $q \in F[x]$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=q \cdot(x-a)+r \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{deg}(x-a)=1$ we have $\operatorname{deg} r \leq 0$ and so $r \in F$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{*}(t)=r \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

forall $t \in R$.

Definition 4.4.9. Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity and $f \in R[x]$. Then $a \in R$ is called a root of $f$ if $f^{*}(a)=0_{R}$.

Theorem 4.4.10 (Factor Theorem). Let $F$ a field, $f \in F[x]$ and $a \in F$. Then $a$ is a root of $f$ if and only if $x-a \mid f$.

Proof. Let $t$ be the remainder of $f$ when divided by $x-a$. Then

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& x-a \mid f \\
\Longleftrightarrow & t=0_{F} \\
\Longleftrightarrow & -4.2 .1 \\
\Longleftrightarrow & f^{*}(a)=0_{F} \\
\Longleftrightarrow & -4.4 .8 \\
\Longleftrightarrow & a \text { is a root of } f
\end{array}-\text { Definition of root }
$$

Lemma 4.4.11. Let $R$ be commutative ring with identity and $f \in R[x]$.
(a) Let $g \in R[x]$ with $g \mid f$. Then any root of $g$ in $R$ is also a root of $f$ in $R$.
(b) Let $a \in R$ and $g, h \in R[x]$ with $f=g h$. Suppose that $R$ is field or an integral domain. Then $a$ is $a$ root of $f$ if and only if $a$ is a root of $g$ or $a$ is a root of $h$.

Proof. For the proof of (a), note that if $g \mid f$, then there exists $h \in R[x]$ with $f=g h$. Let $a \in R$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{*}(a)=(g h)^{*}(a) \stackrel{4.4 .7 \mathrm{c}}{-} g^{*}(a) h^{*}(a) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $a$ is a root of $g$ then $g^{*}(a)=0_{R}$ and so also $g^{*}(a) h^{*}(a)=0_{R}$. Hence by $\left(^{*}\right) f^{*}(a)=0_{R}$ and $a$ is a root of $f$. So (a) holds.

If $R$ is field then $R$ is an integral domain by 3.2 .22 . The same of course holds when $R$ is an integral domain and so (Ax 11) holds. Hence

$$
\begin{array}{lll} 
& a \text { is a root of } f & \\
\Longleftrightarrow & f^{*}(a)=0_{R} & - \text { definition of root } \\
\Longleftrightarrow & g^{*}(a) h^{*}(a)=0_{R} & (\operatorname{Ax} 11) \\
\Longleftrightarrow & g^{*}(a)=0_{R} \quad \text { or } \quad h^{*}(a)=0_{R} & \\
\Longleftrightarrow a \text { is a root of } g \quad \text { or } \quad a \text { is a root of } h & \text {-definition of root, twice }
\end{array}
$$

Example 4.4.12. (1) Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity and $a \in R$. Find the root of $x-a$ in $R$. Let $b \in R$. The $(x-a)^{*}(b)=b-a$. So $b$ is a root of $x-a$ if and only if $b-a=0_{R}$ and if and only if $b=a$.
(2) Find the roots of $x^{2}-1$ in $\mathbb{Z}$.
$x^{2}-1=(x-1)(x+1)$. Since $\mathbb{Z}$ is an integral domain, 4.4.11 show that the roots of $x^{2}-1$ are the roots of $x-1$ together with the roots of $x+1$. So by 1 the root of $x^{2}-1$ are 1 and -1 .
(3) Find the roots of $x^{2}-1$ in in $\mathbb{Z}_{8}$.

Since $\mathbb{Z}_{8}$ is not an integral domain, the argument in (2) does not work. We compute in $\mathbb{Z}_{8}$

$$
0^{2}-1=-1,( \pm 1)^{2}-1=1-1=0,( \pm 2)^{2}-1=4-1=3,( \pm 3)^{2}=9-1=8=0,4^{2}-1=15=-1
$$

So the roots of $x^{2}-1$ are $\pm 1$ and $\pm 3$. Note here that $(3-1)(3+1)=2 \cdot 4=8=0$. So the extra root 3 comes from the fact that $2 \cdot 4=0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{8}$ but neither 2 nor 4 are zero.

Theorem 4.4.13 (Root Theorem). Let $F$ be a field and $f \in F[x]$ a non-zero polynomial.
Then there exist a non-negative integer $m$, elements $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m} \in F$ and $q \in F[x]$ such that
(a) $m \leq \operatorname{deg} f$.
(b) $f=q \cdot\left(x-a_{1}\right) \cdot\left(x-a_{2}\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot\left(x-a_{m}\right)$.
(c) $q$ has no roots in $F$.
(d) $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$ is the set of roots of $f$ in $F$.

In particular, the number of roots of $f$ is at most $\operatorname{deg} f$.
Proof. The proof is by complete induction on $\operatorname{deg} f$. So let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that theorem holds for polynomials of degree less than $k$. Let $f$ be a polynomial of degree $k$.

Suppose that $f$ has no roots. Then the theorem holds with $q=f$ and $m=0$.
Suppose next that $f$ has a root $a$. Then by the Factor Theorem 4.4.10, $x-a \mid f$ and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=g \cdot(x-a) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $g \in F[x]$. By 4.1.8 $\operatorname{deg} f=\operatorname{deg} g+\operatorname{deg}(x-a)=\operatorname{deg} g+1$ and so $\operatorname{deg} g=k-1$. Hence by the induction assumption there exist a non-negative integer $n$, elements $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in F$ and $q \in F[x]$ such that
(i) $n \leq \operatorname{deg} g$.
(ii) $g=q \cdot\left(x-a_{1}\right) \cdot\left(x-a_{2}\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot\left(x-a_{n}\right)$
(iii) $q$ has no roots in $F$.
(iv) $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ is the set of roots of $g$.

Put $m=n+1$ and $a_{m}=a$. Then $m=n+1 \stackrel{(\mathrm{i})}{\leq} \operatorname{deg} g+1=(k-1)+1=k=\operatorname{deg} f$ and so (a) holds. From $f=g \cdot(x-a)=g \cdot\left(x-a_{m}\right)$ and (iii) we conclude that (b) holds. By (iiii), (c) holds.

Let $b \in F$. Since $f=g \cdot\left(x-a_{m}\right), 4.4 .11$ shows that $b$ is a root of $f$ if and only if $b$ is a root of $g$ or $g$ is a root of $x-a_{m}$. Using (iv) we conclude that $b$ root of $f$ if and only if $b \in\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots a_{n}\right\}$ or $b-a_{m}=0_{F}$ and so if and only if $b \in\left\{a_{1}, a_{2} \ldots, a_{n}, a_{m}\right\}=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$. Thus also (d) holds.

We have seem in example 4.4.12 3 ) that $x^{2}-1$ has four roots in $\mathbb{Z}_{8}$, namely $\pm 1$ and $\pm 3$. So in rings without (Ax 11) a polynomial can have more roots than its degree.

Lemma 4.4.14. Let $F$ be a field and $f \in F[x]$ with $\operatorname{deg} f \geq 2$. If $f$ is irreducible, then $f$ has no roots.
Proof. See Lemma 1 on the Solutions of Homework 10

Lemma 4.4.15. Let $F$ be a field and $f \in F[x]$ with $\operatorname{deg} f=2$ or 3 . Then $f$ is irreducible if and only if $f$ has no roots.

Proof. See Corollary 2 on the Solutions of Homework 10.

## Exercises 4.4:

\#1. Let $F$ be a field and $f \in F[x]$ with $\operatorname{deg} f \geq 2$. If $f$ is irreducible, then $f$ has no roots.
\#2. Let $F$ be a field and $f \in F[x]$ with $\operatorname{deg} f=2$ or 3 . Then $f$ is irreducible if and only if $f$ has no roots.
\#3. Let $F$ be an infinite field. Then the map $F[x] \rightarrow \operatorname{Fun}(F), f \rightarrow f^{*}$ is 1-1 homomorphism. In particular, if $f$ and $g$ in $F[x]$ induced the same function from $F$ to $F$, then $f=g$.
\#4. Show that $x-1_{F}$ divides $a_{n} x^{n}+\ldots a_{1} x+a_{0}$ in $F[x]$ if and only if $a_{0}+a_{1}+\ldots+a_{n}=0$.
\#5. (a) Show that $x^{7}-x$ induces the zero function on $\mathbb{Z}_{7}$.
(b) Use (a) and Theorem 4.4.13 to write $x^{7}-x$ is a product of irreducible monic polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}_{7}$.
\#6. Let $R$ be an integral domain and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ Let $f, g \in R[x]$. Put $n=\operatorname{deg} f$. If $f=0_{R}$ define $f^{\bullet}=0_{R}$ and $m_{f}=0$. If $f \neq 0_{R}$ define

$$
f^{\bullet}=\sum_{i=0}^{n} f_{n-i} x^{i}
$$

and let $m_{f} \in \mathbb{N}$ be minimal with $f_{m_{f}} \neq 0_{F}$. Prove that
(a) $\operatorname{deg} f=m_{f}+\operatorname{deg} f^{\bullet}$.
(b) $f=x^{f_{m}} \cdot\left(f^{\bullet}\right)^{\bullet}$
(c) $(f g)^{\bullet}=f^{\bullet} g^{\bullet}$.
(d) Let $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that $f_{0} \neq 0_{R}$. Then $f$ is the product of polynomials of degree $k$ and $l$ in $R[x]$ if and only if $f^{\bullet}$ is the product of polynomials of degree $k$ and $l$ in $R[x]$.
(e) Suppose in addition that $R$ is a field and let $a \in R$. Show that $a$ is a root of $f \bullet$ if and only if $a \neq 0_{R}$ and $a$ is a root of $f$.
\#7. Let $p$ be a prime. Let $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}[x]$ and let $f^{*}, g^{*}: \mathbb{Z}_{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ be the corresponding polynomial functions. Show that:
(a) If $\operatorname{deg} f<p$ and $f^{*}$ is the zero function, then $f=0_{F}$.
(b) If $\operatorname{deg} f<p, \operatorname{deg} g<p$ and $f \neq g$, then $f^{*} \neq g^{*}$.
(c) There are exactly $p^{p}$ polynomials of degree less than $p$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[x]$.
(d) There exist at least $p^{p}$ polynomial functions from $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$.
(e) There are exactly $p^{p}$ functions from $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$.
(f) All functions from $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ are polynomial functions.

### 4.5 Irreducibility in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$

Theorem 4.5.1 (Rational Root Test). Let $f=\sum_{i=0}^{n} f_{i} x^{i} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ with $f_{n} \neq 0$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ be a root of $f$ and suppose $\alpha=\frac{r}{s}$ where $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $s \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(r, s)=1$. Then $r \mid f_{0}$ and $s \mid f_{n}$ in $\mathbb{Z}$.
Proof. Since $\alpha$ is a root of $f, f^{*}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)=f^{*}(\alpha)=0$. So

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n} f_{i}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{i}=0
$$

Multiplication with $s^{n}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{n} f_{i} r^{i} s^{n-i}=0 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $i \geq 1$, then $r \mid r r^{i-1}=r^{i}$ and so $r^{i} \equiv 0(\bmod r)$. Thus $\left(^{*}\right)$ implies

$$
f_{0} s^{n} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod r)
$$

and so $r \mid f_{0} s^{n}$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(r, s)=1$, Exercise \#6 gives $\operatorname{gcd}\left(r, s^{n}\right)=1.1 .2 .11$ now implies that $r \mid f_{0}$.
Similarly, if $i<n$, then $s \mid s s^{n-i-1}=s^{n-i}$ and so $s^{n-i} \equiv 0(\bmod s)$. Thus $\left(^{*}\right)$ implies

$$
f_{n} r^{n} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod s)
$$

and so $s \mid a_{n} r^{n}$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(r, s)=1$, gives $\operatorname{gcd}\left(s, r^{n}\right)=1$ and then $s \mid f_{n}$.
Definition 4.5.2. Let $p$ be a fixed prime and $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Put

$$
\bar{f}=\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{deg} f}\left[f_{i}\right]_{p} x^{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}[x]
$$

Then $\bar{f}$ is called the reduction of $f$ modulo $p$.
Lemma 4.5.3. Let $p$ be a fixed prime and $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.
(a) The function

$$
\delta_{p}: \mathbb{Z}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p}[x], f \rightarrow \bar{f}
$$

is a homomorphism of rings.
(b) $\overline{f+g}=\bar{f}+\bar{g}$ and $\overline{f g}=\bar{f} \bar{g}$.
(c) $\operatorname{deg} \bar{f} \leq \operatorname{deg} f$.
(d) If $f \neq 0$, then $\operatorname{deg} f=\operatorname{deg} \bar{f}$ if and only if $p \nmid \operatorname{lead}(f)$.

Proof. (a) Consider the map $\alpha: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p}[x], n \rightarrow[n]_{p}$. By Example 4.4.2

$$
\alpha_{x}(f)=\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{deg} f}\left[f_{i}\right]_{p} x^{i}=\bar{f}=\delta_{p}(f)
$$

Thus $\delta_{p}=\alpha_{x}$ and since $\alpha_{x}$ is a homomorphism, (a) holds.
(b) This follows from (a).
(c) Follows immediately from the definition of $\bar{f}$.
(c) Let $n=\operatorname{deg} f$. Then $\bar{f}=\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left[f_{i}\right]_{p} x^{i}$ and so $\operatorname{deg} \bar{f}=n$ if and only of $\left[f_{n}\right]_{p} \neq 0[0]_{p}$ and if and only if $p \nmid f_{n}$. Since lead $f=f_{n}$ this gives (c).

Lemma 4.5.4. Let $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and let $p$ a prime. If $p$ divides all coefficients of $f g$, then $p$ divides all coefficients of $f$ or $p$ divides all coefficients of $g$.

Proof. Let $h=\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i} x^{i} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Then $p$ divides all the coefficients of $h$ if and only if $\left[h_{i}\right]_{p}=[0]_{p}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n$ and so if and only if $\bar{h}=[0]_{p}$.

Since $p$ divides all coefficients of $f g, \overline{f g}=[0]_{p}$ and so by 4.5.3 $\bar{f} \bar{g}=[0]_{p}$. By 3.2.21 h $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is field so $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[x]$ is integral domain by 4.1.8. Thus $\bar{f}=[0]_{p}$ or $\bar{g}=[0]_{p}$. Hence either $p$ divides all coefficients of $f$ or $p$ divides all coefficients of $g$.

Definition 4.5.5. Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and put $n=\operatorname{deg} f$.
(a) If $f \neq 0$, define $\operatorname{ct}(f)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)$. If $f=0$ define $\operatorname{ct}(f)=0$. $\operatorname{ct}(f)$ is called the content of $f$.
(b) $f$ is called primitive if $\operatorname{ct}(f)=1$.

Example 4.5.6. Let $f=12+8 x+20 x^{2}$. Compute $\operatorname{ct}(f)$ and $\operatorname{ct}(f)^{-1} f$.

$$
\operatorname{ct}(f)=\operatorname{gcd}(12,8,20)=4
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{ct}(f)^{-1} f=\frac{1}{4}\left(12+8 x+20 x^{2}\right)=3+2 x+5 x^{2}
$$

Note that the latter polynomial is primitive.
Lemma 4.5.7. Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.
(a) Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\operatorname{ct}(a f)=|a| \operatorname{ct}(f)$.
(b) Suppose $f \neq 0$ and put $g=\operatorname{ct}(f)^{-1} f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$. Then $g \in \mathbb{Z}[x], f=\operatorname{ct}(f) g$, $\operatorname{deg} f=\operatorname{deg} g$ and $g$ is primitive.

Proof. (a) If $a=0$ or $f=0$, then $\operatorname{ct}(a f)=\operatorname{ct}(0)=0=|a| \operatorname{ct}(f)$. So suppose that $a \neq 0$ and $f \neq 0$. Put $n=\operatorname{deg} f$. By Exercise $1.2 .4 \operatorname{gcd}\left(a f_{0}, a f_{1}\right)=|a| \operatorname{gcd}\left(f_{0}, f_{1}\right)$. An easy induction argument shows

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(a f_{0}, a f_{1}, \ldots a f_{n}\right)=|a| \operatorname{gcd}\left(f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)
$$

Thus $\operatorname{ct}(a f)=|a| \operatorname{ct}(f)$.
(b) Since $\operatorname{ct}(f) \mid f_{i}, \operatorname{ct}(f)^{-1} f_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq \operatorname{deg} f$. Thus $g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Note that $\operatorname{ct}(f) g=f$ and so by (a) and since $\operatorname{ct}(f) \geq 0$.

$$
\operatorname{ct}(f)=|\operatorname{ct}(f)| \operatorname{ct}(g)=\operatorname{ct}(f) \operatorname{ct}(g)
$$

Since $f \neq 0, \operatorname{ct} f \neq 0$ and thus $\operatorname{ct} g=1$. Hence $g$ is primitive.
Lemma 4.5.8. Let $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.
(a) If $f$ and $g$ are primitive, then also $f g$ is primitive.
(b) $\operatorname{ct}(f g)=\operatorname{ct}(f) \operatorname{ct}(g)$.

Proof. (a) Since $\operatorname{ct}(f)=1=\operatorname{ct}(g)$ we have $f \neq 0$ and $g \neq 0$. By $4.1 .8 \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is an integral domain and so $f g \neq 0$. Suppose for a contradiction that $\operatorname{ct}(f g) \neq 1$. Then $1.3 .6 \operatorname{ct}(f g)$ is a product of primes and so there exists a prime $p$ with $p \mid \operatorname{ct}(f g)$. Hence $p$ divides all coefficient of $f g$ and so by 4.5.4, $p$ divides all coefficients of $f$ or $p$ divides all coefficients of $g$. Hence $\operatorname{ct}(f) \geq p$ or $\operatorname{ct}(g) \geq p$, a contradiction.
(b) Suppose first that $f=0$ or $g=0$. Then $f g=0$. Also $\operatorname{ct}(f)=0$ or $\operatorname{ct}(g)=0$ and so $\operatorname{ct}(f g)=0=$ $\operatorname{ct}(f) \mathrm{ct}(g)$.

Suppose that $f \neq 0$ and $g \neq 0$. Put $d=\operatorname{ct}(f), e=\operatorname{ct}(g), \tilde{f}=\frac{1}{d} f$ and $\tilde{g}=\frac{1}{e} g$. Then $f=d \tilde{f}, g=e \tilde{g}$ and by 4.5.7 b, $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{g}$ are primitive polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. By a) $\tilde{f} \tilde{g}$ is primitive. It follows that $\operatorname{ct}(\tilde{f} \tilde{g})=1$ and so using 4.5.7 a),

$$
\operatorname{ct}(f g)=\operatorname{ct}(d e \tilde{f} \tilde{g})=d e \cdot \operatorname{ct}(\tilde{f} \tilde{g})=d e=\operatorname{ct}(f) \operatorname{ct}(g)
$$

Theorem 4.5.9. Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $f$ is the product of polynomials of degree $n$ and $m$ in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ if and only if $f$ is the product of polynomials of degree $n$ and $m$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

Proof. The backwards direction is obvious. So suppose $f=g h$ where $g, h \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ with $\operatorname{deg} g=n$ and $\operatorname{deg} h=m$. Note that there exists a positive integer $a$ such that $a g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ (for example choose $a$ to be the product the denominators of the non-zero coefficients of $f$ ). Similarly choose $b \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$with $b h \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Put $\tilde{g}=a g$ and $\tilde{h}=b h$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a b f=a b g h=(a g)(b h)=\tilde{g} \tilde{h} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so

$$
a b \cdot \operatorname{ct}(f) \stackrel{4.5 .7 \mathrm{a}}{-} \operatorname{ct}(a b f) \stackrel{(1)}{=} \operatorname{ct}(\tilde{g} \tilde{h}) \stackrel{4.5 .8}{=} \operatorname{ct}(\tilde{g}) \operatorname{ct}(\tilde{h})
$$

It follows that $a b \mid \operatorname{ct}(\tilde{g}) \operatorname{ct}(\tilde{h})$ in $\mathbb{Z}$ and hence (see Exercise 4 on Homework 9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
a b=\hat{a} \hat{b} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$ are integers with $\hat{a} \mid \operatorname{ct}(\tilde{g})$ and $\hat{b} \mid \operatorname{ct}(\tilde{h})$ in $\mathbb{Z}$. Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{g}=\hat{a}^{-1} \tilde{g} \quad \text { and } \quad \hat{h}=\hat{b}^{-1} \tilde{h} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By 4.5.7 b , $\operatorname{ct}(\tilde{g})^{-1} \tilde{g} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Since $\hat{a} \mid \operatorname{ct}(\tilde{g})$ in $\mathbb{Z}, \hat{a}^{-1} \operatorname{ct}(g) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus

$$
\hat{g}=\hat{a}^{-1} \tilde{g}=\hat{a}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{ct}(\tilde{g}) \operatorname{ct}(\tilde{g})^{-1}\right) \tilde{g}=\left(\hat{a}^{-1} \operatorname{ct}(\tilde{g})\right)\left(\operatorname{ct}(\tilde{g})^{-1} \tilde{g}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]
$$

Similarly $\hat{h} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Observe also that

$$
\operatorname{deg} \hat{g}=\operatorname{deg} \tilde{g}=\operatorname{deg} g=n \text { and } \operatorname{deg} \hat{h}=\operatorname{deg} \tilde{h}=\operatorname{deg} h=m
$$

We compute

$$
a b f \stackrel{(1)}{=} \tilde{g} \tilde{h} \stackrel{(3)}{=}(\hat{a} \hat{g})(\hat{b} \hat{h})=(\hat{a} \hat{b}) \hat{g} \hat{h} \stackrel{(2)}{=}(a b) \hat{g} \hat{h}
$$

By 4.1.8 $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is an integral domain. Since $a b \neq 0$, the Cancellation Law 3.2.19 implies $f=\hat{g} \hat{h}$ and so $f$ is the product of polynomials of degree $n$ and $m$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

Corollary 4.5.10. Let $f$ be a non-constant polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ and suppose that $f$ is not irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.
(a) There exist non-constant polynomials $f$ and $g$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ of smaller degree than $f$ with $f=g h$.
(b) Suppose in addition that $p$ is a prime with $p \nmid \operatorname{lead}(f)$. Then $\operatorname{deg} \bar{f}=\operatorname{deg} f$ and $\bar{g}$ and $\bar{h}$ are non-constant polynomial of smaller degree than $\bar{f}$ with $\bar{f}=\bar{g} \bar{h}$.

Proof. (a) Since $f$ is not constant and not irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ we conclude from4.3.2 that $f=g h$ where $g$ and $h$ are non-constant polynomials in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ of smaller degree as $f$. By 4.5.9 we can choose such $g, h \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.
(b) Since $p \nmid \operatorname{lead}(f)$ and $\operatorname{lead} f=\operatorname{lead}(g h)=\operatorname{lead}(g) \operatorname{lead}(h)$ we get $p \nmid \operatorname{lead}(g)$ and $p \nmid \operatorname{lead}(h)$. Thus by 4.5.3 ch) $\operatorname{deg} \bar{f}=\operatorname{deg} f, \operatorname{deg} \bar{g}=\operatorname{deg} g$ and $\operatorname{deg} \bar{h}=\operatorname{deg} h$. So $\bar{g}$ and $\bar{h}$ are non-constant polynomials of smaller degree than $\bar{f}$. By 4.5.3, $\bar{f}=\overline{g h}=\bar{g} \bar{h}$. So b holds.

Theorem 4.5.11 (Eisenstein Criterion). Let $f=\sum_{i=0}^{n} f_{i} x^{i} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be a non-constant polynomial. Suppose there exists a prime $p$ such that
(i) $p \mid f_{i}$ for each $0 \leq i<n$;
(ii) $p \nmid f_{n}$; and
(iii) $p^{2} \nmid f_{0}$.

Then $f$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.
Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that $f$ is not irreducible. Then by 4.5.10 $f=g h$ and $\bar{f}=\bar{g} \bar{h}$ where $g, h \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and none of $\bar{f}, \bar{g}, \bar{h}$ are constant. Since $p \mid f_{i}$ for all $0 \leq i<n$, we have $\left[f_{i}\right]_{p}=[0]_{p}$ for $0 \leq i<n$ and so $\bar{f}=\left[f_{n}\right]_{p} x^{n}$. Since $\bar{f}=\bar{g} \bar{h}$ we have $\bar{g} \mid \bar{f}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[x]$ and so by Exercise 3 on Homework $9, \bar{g}=a x^{i}$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Since $\bar{g}$ is not constant, $i \geq 1$ and so $\left[g_{0}\right]_{p}=\bar{g}_{0}=[0]_{p}$. Thus $p \mid g_{0}$ and similarly $p \mid h_{0}$. Since $f_{0}=h_{0} g_{0}$, this implies $p^{2} \mid f_{0}$, a contradiction to (ii).

Example 4.5.12. Show that $f=x^{4}+121 x^{3}+55 x^{2}+66 x+11$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.
We choose $p=11$. 11 divides $121,55,66$ and 11. 11 does not divide 1 and $11^{2}$ does not divide 11 . So $f$ is irreducible by Eisenstein's Criterion.

Theorem 4.5.13. Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $p$ a prime integer with $p \nmid \operatorname{lead}(f)$. If the reduction $\bar{F}$ of $f$ modulo $p$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[x]$, then $f$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.

Proof. Suppose $f$ is not irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$. Then 4.5.10 bhows that $\bar{f}$ is the product of two non-constant polynomials. So by 4.3.2 $\bar{f}$ is not irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[x]$, a contradiction.

Example 4.5.14. Show that $7 x^{3}+11 x^{2}+4 x+19$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.
We choose $p=2$. Then $\bar{f}=x^{3}+x^{2}+1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}[x]$. By Exercise $6(\mathrm{~b})$ on Homework $8, \bar{f}$ is irreducible and so $f$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ by 4.5.13.

## Exercises 4.5:

\#1. Use Eisenstein's Criterion to show that each polynomial is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.
(a) $x^{5}-4 x+22$
(b) $10-15 x+25 x^{2}-7 x^{4}$.
(c) $5 x^{11}-6 x^{4}+12 x^{3}+36 x-6$.
\#2. Show that each polynomial $f$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ by finding a prime $p$ such that the reduction of $f$ modulo $p$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[x]$.
(a) $7 x^{3}+6 x^{2}+4 x+6$.
(b) $9 x^{4}+4 x^{3}-3 x+7$.
\#3. If a monic polynomial with integer coefficients factors in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ as a product of a polynomials of degree $m$ and $n$, prove that it can be factored as a product of monic polynomials of degree $m$ and $n$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.
$\# 4$. Let $f$ be a non-constant polynomial of degree $n$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ and let $p$ be a prime. Suppose that
(i) $p \mid f_{i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.
(ii) $p \nmid f_{0}$.
(iii) $p^{2} \nmid f_{n}$.

## Chapter 5

## Congruence Classes in $\mathbf{F}[\mathrm{x}]$

### 5.1 The Congruence Relation

Definition 5.1.1. Let $F$ be a field and $p \in F[x]$. Then the relation $\equiv(\bmod p)$ on $F[x]$ is defined by

$$
f \equiv g \quad(\bmod p) \quad \text { if } \quad p \mid f-g \text { in } F[x]
$$

If $f \equiv g(\bmod p)$ we say that $f$ and $g$ are congruent modulo $p$.
Example 5.1.2. Let $f=x^{3}+x^{2}+1, g=x^{2}+x$ and $p=x^{2}+x+1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}[x]$. Is $f \equiv g(\bmod p)$ ?
$f$ and $g$ are congruent modulo $p$ if and only if $p$ divides $f-g$ and so by $3.4 .2 f$ if and only if the remainder of $f-g$ when divided by $p$ is $0_{F}$. So we can use the division algorithm to check whether $f$ and $g$ are congruent modulo $p$.

We have $f-g=x^{3}+x+1$ and


So the remainder of $f-g$ when divided by $p$ is not zero and therefore

$$
x^{3}+x^{2}+1 \not \equiv x^{2}+x \quad\left(\bmod x^{2}+x+1\right)
$$

in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}[x]$.
Theorem 5.1.3. Let $F$ be a field and $p \in F[x]$. Then the relation $\fallingdotseq(\bmod p){ }^{\prime}$ is an equivalence relation on $F[x]$.

Proof. We need to verify that ' $\equiv(\bmod p)^{\prime}$ ' is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.
Reflexive: Let $f \in F[x]$. Then $f-f=0_{F}=p \cdot 0_{F}$. So $p \mid f-f$ and $f \equiv f(\bmod p)$.

Symmetric: Let $f, g \in F[x]$ with $f \equiv g(\bmod p)$. Then $p \mid f-g$. Since $g-f=-(f-g), 3.4 .3$ b) implies that $p \mid g-f$. Thus $g \equiv f(\bmod p)$.

Transitive: Let $f, g, h \in F[x]$ with $f \equiv g(\bmod p)$ and $g \equiv h(\bmod p)$. By definition of $\equiv(\bmod p)$ we have $p \mid f-g$ and $p \mid g-h$. Observe that $f-h=(f-g)+(g-h)$ and so by 3.4.3.c), $p \mid f-h$. Thus $f \equiv h$ $(\bmod p)$.

Notation 5.1.4. Let $F$ be a field and $f, p \in F[x]$.
(a) $[f]_{p}$ denotes the equivalence class of $\fallingdotseq(\bmod p)$ 'containing $f$. So

$$
[f]_{p}=\{g \in F[x] \mid f \equiv g \quad(\bmod p)\}
$$

$[f]_{p}$ is called the congruence class of $f$ modulo $p$.
(b) $F[x] /(p)$ is the set of congruence classes modulo $p$ in $F[x]$. So

$$
F[x] /(p)=\left\{[f]_{p} \mid f \in F[x]\right\}
$$

Theorem 5.1.5. Let $F$ be a field and $f, g, p \in F[x]$ with $p \neq 0_{F}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(a) $f=g+p k$ for some $k \in F[x]$.
(h) $f \in[g]_{p}$.
(b) $f-g=p k$ for some $k \in F[x]$.
(i) $g \equiv f(\bmod p)$.
(c) $p \mid f-g$.
(j) $p \mid g-f$.
(d) $f \equiv g(\bmod p)$.
(k) $g-f=p l$ for some $l \in F[x]$.
(e) $g \in[f]_{p}$.
(l) $g=f+p l$ for some $l \in F[x]$.
(f) $[f]_{p} \cap[g]_{p} \neq \emptyset$.
$(\mathrm{g})[f]_{p}=[g]_{p}$.
(m) $f$ and $g$ have the same remainder when divided by $p$.

Proof. (a) $\Longleftrightarrow(\mathrm{b})$ : $\quad$ This holds by 3.2 .12 .
$(\mathrm{b}) \Longleftrightarrow(\mathrm{c}): \quad$ Follows from the definition of 'divide'.
$(\mathrm{c}) \Longleftrightarrow(\mathrm{d})$ : $\quad$ Follows from the definition of ${ }^{\prime} \equiv(\bmod p)^{\prime}$.
Since ' $\equiv(\bmod p)^{\prime}$ ' is an equivalence relation, Theorem 0.5 .8 implies that statements $(\mathrm{d})$ - (i) are equivalent. In particular $(\mathrm{g})$ is equivalent to each of (a)-(c). Since the statement $(\mathrm{g})$ is symmetric in $f$ and $g$ we conclude that $(\mathrm{g})$ is also equivalent to each of (j)-(l). Hence statements (a)-(1) are equivalent.

Let $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ be the remainders of $f$ and $g$ when divided by $p$. Then there exists $q_{1}, q_{2} \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ with

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
f=p q_{1}+r_{1} & \text { and } & \operatorname{deg} r_{1}<\operatorname{deg} p \\
g=p q_{2}+r_{2} & \text { and } & \operatorname{deg} r_{2}<\operatorname{deg} p
\end{array}
$$

$(\mathrm{m}) \Longrightarrow(\mathrm{b}): \quad$ Suppose $(\mathrm{m})$ holds. Then $r_{1}=r_{2}$ and

$$
g-f=\left(p q_{2}+r_{2}\right)-\left(p q_{1}+r_{1}\right)=p \cdot\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right)+\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right)=p \cdot\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right)
$$

So (b) holds with $k=q_{2}-q_{1}$.
(a) $\Longrightarrow \mathrm{m})$ : Suppose $f=g+p k$ for some $k \in F[x]$. Then $f=\left(p q_{2}+r_{2}\right)+p k=p\left(q_{2}+k\right)+r_{2}$. Note that $q_{2}+k \in F[x], r_{2} \in F[x]$ and $\operatorname{deg} r_{2}<\operatorname{deg} p$. So $r_{2}$ is the remainder of $f$ when divided by $p$ and $m$ holds.

Theorem 5.1.6. Let $F$ be a field and $f, p \in F$ with $p \neq 0_{F}$. Then there exists a unique $r \in F[x]$ with $\operatorname{deg} r<\operatorname{deg} p$ and $[f]_{p}=[r]_{p}$, namely $r$ is the remainder of $f$ when divided by $p$.

Proof. Let $r$ be the remainder of $f$ when divided by $p$ and let $s \in F[x]$ with $\operatorname{deg} s<\operatorname{deg} p$. Since $s=0_{F} p+s$ and $\operatorname{deg} s<\operatorname{deg} p, s$ is the remainder of $s$ when divided by $p$. By 2.1.1. $[f]_{p}=[s]_{p}$ if and only $f$ and $s$ have the same remainder when divided by $n$, and so if and only if $r=s$.

Lemma 5.1.7. Let $F$ be a field and $p \in F[x]$ with $p \neq 0_{F}$. Then

$$
F[x] /(p)=\left\{[r]_{p} \mid r \in F[x], \operatorname{deg} r<\operatorname{deg} p\right\}
$$

Proof. By definition $F[x] /(p)=\left\{[f]_{p} \mid f \in F[x]\right\}$. So the lemma follows from follows from 5.1.6
Example 5.1.8. Determine
(a) $\mathbb{Z}_{3}[x] /\left(x^{2}+1\right)$, and
(b) $\mathbb{Q}[x] /\left(x^{3}-x+1\right)$.
(a) Put $p=x^{2}+1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{3}[x]$. Then $\operatorname{deg} p=2$. Since $\mathbb{Z}_{2}=\{0,1,2\}$, the polynomials of degree less than 2 in $\mathbb{Z}_{3}[x]$ are

$$
0,1,2, x, x+1, x+2,2 x, 2 x+1,2 x+2
$$

Thus

$$
Z_{3}[x] /\left(x^{2}+1\right)=\left\{[0]_{p},[1]_{p},[2]_{p},[x]_{p},[x+1]_{p},[x+2]_{p},[2 x]_{p},[2 x+1]_{p},[2 x+2]_{p}\right\}
$$

(b) A polynomial of degree less than 3 can be written as $a+b x+c x^{2}$, where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}$. Thus

$$
\mathbb{Q}[x] /\left(x^{3}-x+1\right)=\left\{\left[a+b x+c x^{2}\right]_{x^{3}-x+1} \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}\right\} .
$$

## Exercises 5.1:

\#1. Let $f, g, p \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$. Determine whether $f \equiv g(\bmod p)$.
(a) $f=x^{5}-2 x^{4}+4 x^{3}-3 x+1$,
$g=3 x^{4}+2 x^{3}-5 x^{2}+2$,
$p=x^{2}+1 ;$
(b) $f=x^{4}+2 x^{3}-3 x^{2}+x-5$, $g=x^{4}+x^{3}-5 x^{2}+12 x-25, \quad p=x^{2}+1 ;$
(c) $f=3 x^{5}+4 x^{4}+5 x^{3}-6 x^{2}+5 x-7, \quad g=2 x^{5}+6 x^{4}+x^{3}+2 x^{2}+2 x-5, \quad p=x^{3}-x^{2}+x-1$.
\#2. Show that, under congruence modulo $x^{3}+2 x+1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{3}[x]$ there are exactly 27 congruence classes.
\#3. Prove or disprove: Let $F$ be a field and $f, g, k, p \in F[x]$. If $p$ is nonzero, $p$ is relatively prime to $k$ and $f k \equiv g k(\bmod p)$, then $f \equiv g(\bmod p)$.
\#4. Prove or disprove: Let $F$ be a field and $f, g, p \in F[x]$. If $p$ is irreducible and $f g \equiv 0_{F}(\bmod p)$, then $f \equiv 0_{F}(\bmod p)$ or $g \equiv 0_{F}(\bmod p)$.

### 5.2 Congruence Class Arithmetic

Theorem 5.2.1. Let $F$ be a field and $f, g, \tilde{f}, \tilde{g}, p$ in $F[x]$ with $p \neq 0_{F}$. If

$$
[f]_{p}=[\tilde{f}]_{p} \quad \text { and }[g]_{p}=[\tilde{g}]_{p}
$$

then

$$
[f+g]_{p}=[\tilde{f}+\tilde{g}]_{p} \quad \text { and } \quad[f g]_{p}=[\tilde{f} \tilde{g}]_{p}
$$

Proof. Since $[f]_{p}=[\tilde{f}]_{p}$ and $[g]_{p}=[\tilde{g}]_{p}$ we conclude from 5.1.5 that $\tilde{f}=f+p k$ and $\tilde{g}=g+p l$ for some $k, l \in F[x]$. Hence

$$
\tilde{f}+\tilde{g}=(f+p k)+(g+p l)=(f+g)+p \cdot(k+l)
$$

Since $k+l \in F[x], 5.1 .5$ gives

$$
[f+g]_{p}=[\tilde{f}+\tilde{g}]_{p}
$$

Also

$$
\tilde{f} \cdot \tilde{g}=(f+p k)(g+p l)=f g+p \cdot(k g+f l+k p l)
$$

and since $k g+f l+k p l \in F[x], 5.1 .5$ implies

$$
[f g]_{p}=[\tilde{f} \tilde{g}]_{p}
$$

Definition 5.2.2. Let $F$ be a field and $p \in F[x]$. We define an addition and multiplication on $F[x] /(p)$ by

$$
[f]_{p}+[g]_{p}=[f+g]_{p} \quad \text { and } \quad[f]_{p} \cdot[g]_{p}=[f \cdot g]_{p}
$$

for all $f, g \in F[x]$. By 5.2.1 this is well defined.
Example 5.2.3. Compute the addition and multiplication table for $\mathbb{Z}_{2}[x] /\left(x^{2}+x\right)$.

| + | $[0]$ | $[1]$ | $[x]$ | $[x+1]$ |  | $[0]$ | $[1]$ | $[x]$ | $[x+1]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $[0]$ | $[0]$ | $[1]$ | $[x]$ | $[x+1]$ |  | $[0]$ | $[0]$ | $[0]$ | $[0]$ |
|  | $[0]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $[1]$ | $[1]$ | $[0]$ | $[x+1]$ | $[x]$ |  | $[1]$ | $[0]$ | $[1]$ | $[x]$ |
| $[x]$ | $[x]$ | $[x+1]$ | $[0]$ | $[1]$ | $[x]$ | $[0]$ | $[x]$ | $[x]$ | $[0]$ |
| $[x+1]$ | $[x+1]$ | $[x]$ | $[1]$ | $[0]$ | $[x+1]$ | $[0]$ | $[x+1]$ | $[0]$ | $[x+1]$ |

Note here that

$$
[x][x+1]=[x(x+1)]=\left[x^{2}+x\right]=[0]
$$

and

$$
[x+1][x+1]=[(x+1)(x+1)]=\left[x^{2}+1\right]=\left[\left(x^{2}+1\right)-\left(x^{2}+x\right)\right]=[x+1]
$$

Observe from the above tables that $\mathbb{Z}_{2}[x] /\left(x^{2}+x\right)$ contains the subring $\{[0],[1]\}$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. The next theorem shows that a similar statement holds in general.

Theorem 5.2.4. Let $F$ be a field and $p \in F[x]$.
(a) The map $\sigma: F[x] \rightarrow F[x] /(p), f \rightarrow[f]_{p}$ is an onto homomorphism.
(b) $F[x] /(p)$ is a commutative ring with identity $\left[1_{F}\right]_{p}$.
(c) Put $\hat{F}=\left\{[a]_{p} \mid a \in F\right\}$. Then $\hat{F}$ is a subring of $F[x] /(p)$.
(d) Define $\tau: F \rightarrow \hat{F}, a \rightarrow[a]_{p}$ (so $\tau=\left.\sigma\right|_{F \times \hat{F}}$ ). If $p \notin F$, then $\tau$ is an isomorphism and $\hat{F}$ is a subring of $F[x] /(p)$ isomorphic to $F$.

Proof. (a) Let $f, g \in F[x]$. Then

$$
\sigma(f+g)=[f+g]_{p}=[f]_{p}+[g]_{p}=\sigma(f)+\sigma(g)
$$

and

$$
\sigma(f g)=[f g]_{p}=[f]_{p}[g]_{p}=\sigma(f) \sigma(g)
$$

So $\sigma$ is a homomorphism. If $a \in F[x] /(p)$, then $a=[f]_{p}$ for some $a \in f \in F[x]$. So $\sigma(f)=a$ and $\sigma$ is onto.
(b) This is proved similar to 2.2.4. For the details see E.0.3.
(द), $\hat{F}=\left\{[a]_{p} \mid a \in F\right\}=\{\sigma(a) \mid a \in F\}$. Since $F$ is a subring of $F[x]$ and $\sigma$ is a homomorphism we conclude from Exercise 6 on the Review for Exam 2 that $\hat{F}$ is a subring of $F[x] /(p)$.
(d) We need to show that $\tau$ is a $1-1$ and onto homomorphism. Since $\tau(a)=\sigma(a)$ for all $a \in F$, a) implies that $\tau$ is a homomorphism. Let $d \in \hat{F}$. Then $d=[a]_{p}$ for some $a \in F$ and so $d=\tau(a)$. Thus $\tau$ is onto. Let $a, b \in F$ with $\tau(a)=\tau(b)$. Then $[a]_{p}=[b]_{p}$. Since $p \notin F, \operatorname{deg} p \geq 1$ and since $a, b \in F$, $\operatorname{deg} a \leq 0$ and $\operatorname{deg} b \leq 0$. Thus $\operatorname{deg} a<\operatorname{deg} p$ and $\operatorname{deg} b<\operatorname{deg} p$. Since $[a]_{p}=[b]_{p}$ we conclude from 5.1.6 that $a=b$. So $\tau$ is 1-1 and (d) holds.

The preceding theorem shows that $F[x] /(p)$ contains a subring isomorphic to $F$. This suggest that there exists a ring isomorphic to $F[x] /(p)$ containg $F$ has a subring. The next theorem shows that this is indeed true.

Theorem 5.2.5. Let $F$ be a field and $p \in F[x]$ with $p \notin F$. Then there exist a ring $R$ and $\alpha \in R$ such that
(a) $F$ is a subring of $R$,
(b) there exists an isomorphism $\Phi: R \rightarrow F[x] /(p)$ with $\Phi(\alpha)=[x]_{p}$ and $\Phi(a)=[a]_{p}$ for all $a \in F$.
(c) $R$ is a commutative ring with identity $1_{R}=1_{F}$.

Proof. Let $S=F[x] /(p) \backslash \hat{F}$ and $R=S \cup F$. ( So for $a \in F$ we removed $[a]_{p}$ from $F[x] /(p)$ and replaced it by a.) Define $\Phi: R \rightarrow F[x] /(p)$ by

$$
\Phi(r)=[r]_{p} \text { if } r \in F \text { and } \Phi(r)=r \text { if } r \in S
$$

Then its is easy to check that $\Phi$ is a bijection. Next we define an addition $\oplus$ and a multiplication $\odot$ on $R$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \oplus s=\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(r)+\Phi(s)) \quad \text { and } \quad r \odot s:=\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(r) \Phi(s)) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that $\Phi\left(\Phi^{-1}(u)\right)=u$ for all $u \in F[x] /(p)$. So applying $\Phi$ to both sides of (1) gives

$$
\Phi(r \oplus s)=\Phi(r)+\Phi(s) \quad \text { and } \quad \Phi(r \odot s)=\Phi(r) \Phi(s)
$$

for all $r, s \in R$. E.0.3 implies that $R$ is ring and $\Phi$ is an isomorphism. Put $\alpha=[x]_{p}$. Then $\alpha \in S$ and so $\alpha \in R$. Moreover $\Phi(\alpha)=\Phi\left([x]_{p}\right)=[x]_{p}$. Let $a \in F$. Then $a \in R$ and $\Phi(a)=[a]_{p}$. Thus (b) holds.

For $a, b \in F$ we have

$$
a \oplus b=\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(a)+\Phi(b))=\Phi^{-1}\left([a]_{p}+[b]_{p}\right)=\Phi^{-1}\left([a+b]_{p}\right)=a+b \in F
$$

and

$$
a \odot b=\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(a) \Phi(b))=\Phi^{-1}\left([a]_{p}[b]_{p}\right)=\Phi^{-1}\left([a b]_{p}\right)=a b \in F
$$

So $F$ is a subring of $R$. Thus also (a) is proved.
By 5.2.4 $F[x] /(p)$ is a commutative ring with identity $\left[1_{F}\right]_{p}$. Since $\Phi$ is an isomorphism we conclude that $R$ is a commutative ring with identity $1_{F}$. So (c) holds.

Notation 5.2.6. (a) Let $F$ be a field and $p \in F[x]$ with $p \notin F$. Let $R$ and $\alpha$ be as in 5.2.5. We denote the ring $R$ by $F_{p}\left[\alpha\right.$. (If $F=\mathbb{Z}_{q}$, we will use the notation $\mathbb{Z}_{q, p}[\alpha]$ )
(b) Let $R$ and $S$ be commutative rings with identities. Suppose that $S$ is a subring of $R$ with $1_{S}=1_{R}$. Then we view $S[x]$ as a subring of $R[x]$, that is we identify the polynomial $\sum_{i=0}^{n} f_{i} x^{i}$ in $S[x]$ with the polynomial $\sum_{i=0}^{n} f_{i} x^{i}$ in $R[x]$. Also if $f \in S[x]$ and $r \in R$ we write $f^{*}(r)$ for $\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{deg} f} f_{i} r^{i}$.

Theorem 5.2.7. Let $F$ be a field and $p \in F[x]$ with $p \notin F$ and let $\alpha$ and $\Phi$ be as in 5.2.5.
(a) For all $f \in F[x], \Phi\left(f^{*}(\alpha)\right)=[f]_{p}$.
(b) Let $f, g \in F[x]$. Then $f^{*}(\alpha)=g^{*}(\alpha)$ if and only if $[f]_{p}=[g]_{p}$.
(c) For each $\beta \in F_{p}[\alpha]$ there exists a unique $f \in F[x]$ with $\operatorname{deg} f<\operatorname{deg} p$ and $f^{*}(\alpha)=\beta$.
(d) Let $n=\operatorname{deg} p$. Then for each $\beta \in F_{p}[\alpha]$ there exist unique $b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n-1} \in F$ with

$$
\beta=b_{0}+b_{1} \alpha+\ldots+b_{n-1} \alpha^{n-1}
$$

(e) Let $f \in F[x]$, then $f^{*}(\alpha)=0_{F}$ if and only if $p \mid f$ in $F[x]$.
(f) $\alpha$ is a root of $p$ in $F_{p}[\alpha]$.

Proof. (a)

$$
\Phi\left(f^{*}(\alpha)\right)=\Phi\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{deg} f} f_{i} \alpha^{i}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{deg} f} \Phi\left(f_{i}\right) \Phi(\alpha)^{i} \stackrel{\boxed{5.2 .5}}{=} \sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{deg} f}\left[f_{i}\right]_{p}[x]_{p}^{i}=\left[\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{deg} f} f_{i} x^{i}\right]_{p}=[f]_{p}
$$

(b)

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
f^{*}(\alpha) & =g^{*}(\alpha) \\
\Longleftrightarrow & \Phi\left(f^{*}(\alpha)\right) & =\Phi\left(g^{*}(\alpha)\right)  \tag{1-1}\\
\Longleftrightarrow & {[f]_{p}} & =[g]_{p}
\end{array}
$$

(c) Let $f \in F[x]$. Then

$$
\begin{array}{lll} 
& f^{*}(\alpha)=\beta \\
\Longleftrightarrow & \Phi\left(f^{*}(\alpha)\right)=\Phi(\beta) & (\Phi \text { is } 1-1) \\
\Longleftrightarrow & {[f]_{p}=\Phi(\beta)} & \text { a }
\end{array}
$$

Since $\Phi(\beta) \in F[x] /(p), 5.1 .6$ shows that there exists unique $f \in F[x]$ with $\operatorname{deg} f<\operatorname{deg} p$ and $[f]_{p}=\Phi(\beta)$. Thus (C) holds.
(d) Let $b_{0}, \ldots b_{n-1} \in \mathbb{F}$ and put $f=b_{0}+b_{1}+\ldots b_{n-1} x^{n-1}$. Then $f$ is a polynomial with $\operatorname{deg} f<\operatorname{deg} p$ and $b_{0}, \ldots, b_{n-1}$ are uniquely determined by $f$. Also

$$
f^{*}(\alpha)=b_{0}+b_{1} \alpha+\ldots b_{n-1} \alpha^{n-1}
$$

and so (d) follows from (c).
(e)

$$
\begin{array}{ccc} 
& f^{*}(\alpha)=0_{F} & \\
\Longleftrightarrow & f^{*}(\alpha)=0_{F}^{*}(\alpha) & \text {-- defintition of } 0_{F}^{*} \\
\Longleftrightarrow & {[f]_{p}=\left[0_{F}\right]} & \text { b } \\
\Longleftrightarrow & p \mid f-0_{F} & -5.1 .5 \\
\Longleftrightarrow & p \mid f & -3.2 .11 \text { b }
\end{array}
$$

(f) Since $p \mid p$ this follows from (e).

Example 5.2.8. Let $p=x^{2}+x \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}[x]$. Determine the addition and multiplication table of $\mathbb{Z}_{2, p}[\alpha]$.

| + | 0 | 1 | $\alpha$ | $\alpha+1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | $\alpha$ | $\alpha+1$ |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | $\alpha+1$ | $\alpha$ |
| $\alpha$ | $\alpha$ | $\alpha+1$ | 0 | 1 |
| $\alpha+1$ | $\alpha+1$ | $\alpha$ | 1 | 0 |


| $\cdot$ | 0 | 1 | $\alpha$ | $\alpha+1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | $\alpha$ | $\alpha+1$ |
| $\alpha$ | 0 | $\alpha$ | $\alpha$ | 0 |
| $\alpha+1$ | 0 | $\alpha+1$ | 0 | $\alpha+1$ |

This can be read of from Example 5.2.3. But it also can be computed from the preceeding theorem: By 5.2 .7 d $)$ any elements of $F[\alpha]$ as $b_{0}+b_{1} \alpha$ with $b_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. By $2.1 .2 \mathbb{Z}_{2}=\{0,1\}$ and so $\mathbb{Z}_{2, p}[\alpha]=\{0+0 \alpha, 0+$ $1 \alpha, 1+0 \alpha, 1+1 \alpha\}=\{0,1, \alpha, \alpha+1\}$. By 5.2.7|f) $p^{*}(\alpha)=0$. So $\alpha^{2}+\alpha=0$ and

$$
\alpha^{2}=-\alpha=(-1) \alpha=1 \alpha=\alpha .
$$

This allows us to compute the multiplication table, for example

$$
(\alpha+1)(\alpha+1)=\alpha^{2}+\alpha+\alpha+1=3 \alpha+1=\alpha+1 .
$$

and

$$
\alpha(\alpha+1)=\alpha^{2}+\alpha=0
$$

## Exercises 5.2:

\#1. Write out the addition and multiplication table of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}[x] /\left(x^{3}+x+1\right)$. Is $\mathbb{Z}_{2}[x] /\left(x^{3}+x+1\right)$ a field?
\#2. Each element of $\mathbb{Q}[x] /\left(x^{2}-3\right)$ is can be uniquely written in the form $[a x+b]$ (Why?). Determine the rules of addition and multiplication of congruence classes. (In other words, if the product of $[a x+b][c x+d]$ is the class $[r x+c]$ describe how to find $r$ and $s$ from $a, b, c, d$, and similarly for addition.)
\#3. In each part explain why $t \in F[x] /(p)$ is a unit and find its inverse.
(a) $t=[2 x-3] \in \mathbb{Q}[x] /\left(x^{2}-2\right)$
(b) $t=\left[x^{2}+x+1\right] \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}[x] /\left(x^{2}+1\right)$
(c) $t=\left[x^{2}+x+1\right] \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}[x] /\left(x^{3}+x+1\right)$

## $5.3 F_{p}[\alpha]$ when $p$ is irreducible

In this section we determine when $F_{p}[\alpha]$ is a field.
Lemma 5.3.1. Let $F$ be a field, $p \in F[x]$ with $p \notin F$ and $f \in F[x]$.
(a) $f^{*}(\alpha)$ is a unit in $F_{p}[\alpha]$ if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}(f, p)=1_{F}$.
(b) If $1_{F}=f g+p h$ for some $g, h \in \mathbb{F}[x]$, then $g^{*}(\alpha)$ is an inverse of $f^{*}(\alpha)$.

Proof. (a) We have

$$
\begin{array}{ccl} 
& f^{*}(\alpha) \text { is a unit in } F_{p}[\alpha] & \\
\Longleftrightarrow & f^{*}(\alpha) \beta=1_{F} \text { for some } \beta \in F_{p}[\alpha] & -3.4 .10 \\
\Longleftrightarrow & f^{*}(\alpha) g^{*}(\alpha)=1_{F} \text { for some } g \in F[x] & -5.2 .7] \mathrm{c}) \\
\Longleftrightarrow & (f g)^{*}(\alpha)=1_{F}^{*}(\alpha) \text { for some } g \in F[x] & -4.4 .7 \\
\Longleftrightarrow & {[f g]_{p}=\left[1_{F}\right]_{p} \text { for some } g \in F[x]} & -5.2 .7(\mathrm{~b} \\
\Longleftrightarrow & 1_{F}=f g+p h \text { for some } g, h \in F[x] & -5.1 .5(\mathrm{a})(\mathrm{i}) \\
\Longleftrightarrow & \operatorname{gcd}(f, p)=1_{F} & -4.2 .11
\end{array}
$$

(b) From the above list of equivalent statement, $1_{F}=f g+p h$ implies $f^{*}(\alpha) g^{*}(\alpha)=1_{F}$ and so since $F_{p}[\alpha]$ is commutative $g^{*}(\alpha)$ is an inverse of $f^{*}(\alpha)$.
Proposition 5.3.2. Let $F$ be a field and $p \in F[x]$ with $p \notin F$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(a) $p$ is irreducible in $F[x]$.
(b) $F_{p}[\alpha]$ is a field.
(c) $F_{p}[\alpha]$ is an integral domain.

Proof. (a) $\Longrightarrow$ b): By 5.2.5 (c) $F_{p}[\alpha]$ is a commutative ring with identity $1_{F}$. Suppose $p$ is irreducible and let $\beta \in \vec{F}_{p}[\alpha]$ with $\beta \neq 0_{F}$. By 5.2.7 c $), \beta=f^{*}(\alpha)$ for some $f \in F[x]$. Then $f^{*}(\alpha) \neq 0_{F}$ and 5.2.7 ee, gives $p \nmid f$. Since $p$ is irreducible, Exercise $4.3 \mid \# 4$ shows that $\operatorname{gcd}(f, p)=1_{F}$. Hence so by Lemma 5.3.1 $\beta=f^{*}(\alpha)$
is a unit in $F_{\phi}[a]$. Also since $F$ is a field, $1_{F} \neq 0_{F}$ and since (by 5.2.5 c) $1_{F}=1_{F_{p}[\alpha]}$ and $0_{F}=0_{F_{p}[\alpha]}$, all the conditions of a field (see Definition 3.2.20 hold for $F_{p}[\alpha]$.
(b) $\Longrightarrow$ (c): If $F_{p}[\alpha]$. is a field, then by Corollary $3.2 .22 F_{p}[\alpha]$ is an integral domain.
(c) $\Longrightarrow$ (a): Suppose $F_{p}[\alpha]$ is an integral domain and (for a contradiction) that $p$ is not irreducible. Since $p \notin F, 4.3 .2$ shows that $p=g h$ where $g$ and $h$ are non constant polynomials of degree less than $\operatorname{deg} p$. Since $g \neq 0_{F}$ and both $g$ and $0_{F}$ have degree less than $p, 5.2 .7$ C shows that $g^{*}(\alpha) \neq 0_{F}^{*}(\alpha)=0_{F}$. Similarly, $h^{*}(\alpha) \neq 0_{F}$. But

$$
g^{*}(\alpha) h^{*}(\alpha)=(g h)^{*}(\alpha)=p^{*}(\alpha)=0_{F}
$$

a contradiction since ( Ax 11 ) holds in integral domains (see 3.2.18).
Corollary 5.3.3. Let $F$ be a field, $p$ an irreducible polynomial in $F[x]$. Then $F_{p}[\alpha]$ is a field containing $F$ as subring, and $\alpha$ is a root of $p$ in $F_{p}[\alpha]$.

Proof. By 5.2.5 $F$ is a subring of $F_{p}[\alpha]$. Since $p$ is irreducible, 5.3.2 implies that $F_{p}(\alpha)$ is field. By 5.2.7 $\alpha$ is a root of $p$ in $F_{p}(\alpha)$.

Example 5.3.4. Show that $\mathbb{R}_{x^{2}+1}[\alpha]$ is a field and determine the addition and multiplication.
Since $b^{2}+1 \geq 1$ for all $b \in \mathbb{R}, x^{2}+1$ has no root in $\mathbb{R}$. So by Exercise $4.4 \# 2, x^{2}+1$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{R}[x]$. Thus by 5.3.3, $\mathbb{R}_{x^{2}+1}[\alpha]$ is a field and $\alpha$ is a root of $x^{2}+1$ in $\mathbb{R}_{x^{2}+1}[\alpha]$. Hence $\alpha^{2}+1=0$ and $\alpha^{2}=-1$. By 5.2 .7 , every element of $K$ can be uniquely written as $a+b \alpha$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. We have

$$
(a+b \alpha)+(c+d \alpha)=(a+c)+(b+d) \alpha
$$

and

$$
(a+b \alpha)(c+d \alpha)=a c+(b c+a d) \alpha+b d \alpha^{2}=a c+(b c+a d) \alpha+b d(-1)=(a c-b d)+(a d+b c) \alpha
$$

We remark that is now straight forward to check that

$$
\phi: \mathbb{R}_{x^{2}+1}[\alpha] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad a+b \alpha \mapsto a+b i
$$

is an isomorphism between $\mathbb{R}_{x^{2}+1}[\alpha]$ and the complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$.
Corollary 5.3.5. Let $F$ be a field and $f \in F[x]$.
(a) Suppose $f \notin F$. Then there exists a field $K$ with $F$ as a subring such that $f$ has a root in $K$.
(b) There exist a field $L$ with $F$ as a subring, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and elements $c, a_{1}, a_{2} \ldots, a_{n}$ in $L$ such that

$$
f=c \cdot\left(x-a_{1}\right) \cdot\left(x-a_{2}\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot\left(x-a_{n}\right)
$$

Proof. (a) By 4.3.8 a), $f$ is a product of irreducible polynomials. In particular, there exists an irreducible polynomial $p$ in $F[x]$ dividing $f$. By 5.3.3 $K=F_{p}[\alpha]$ is a field containing $F$ and $\alpha$ is a root of $p$ in $K$. Since $p \mid f, 4.4 .11$ shows that $\alpha$ is a root of $f$ in $K$.
(b) We will prove (b) by induction on $\operatorname{deg} f$. If $\operatorname{deg} f \leq 0$, then $f \in F$. So (b) holds with $n=0, c=f$ and $L=F$. Suppose that $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and (b) holds for any field $F$ and any polynomial of degree $k$ in $F[x]$. Let $f$ be a polynomial of degree $k+1$ in $F[x]$. Then $\operatorname{deg} f \geq 1$ and so by (a) there exists a field $K$ with $F$ as a subring
and a root $\alpha$ of $f$ in $K$. By the Factor Theorem 4.4.10 $f=g \cdot(x-\alpha)$ for some $g \in K[x]$. Thus $\operatorname{deg} g=k$ and so by the induction assumption, there exists a field $L$ with $K$ as a subring and elements $c, a_{1}, \ldots a_{k}$ in $L$ with

$$
g=c \cdot\left(x-a_{1}\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot\left(x-a_{k}\right) .
$$

Put $a_{k+1}=\alpha$. Then

$$
f=g \cdot(x-\alpha)=c \cdot\left(x-a_{1}\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot\left(x-a_{k}\right) \cdot\left(x-\alpha_{k+1}\right) .
$$

Since $F$ is a subring of $K$ and $K$ is subring of $L, F$ is subring of $L$. So holds for polynomials of degree $k+1$. By the Principal of Mathematical Induction 0.4 .2 b holds for polynomials of arbitrary degree.

## Exercises 5.3:

\#1. Determine which of the following congruence-class rings is a field.
(a) $\mathbb{Z}_{3}[x] /\left(x^{3}+2 x^{2}+x+1\right)$.
(b) $\mathbb{Z}_{5}[x] /\left(2 x^{3}-4 x^{2}+2 x+1\right)$.
(c) $\mathbb{Z}_{2}[x] /\left(x^{4}+x^{2}+1\right)$.
\#2. (a) Verify that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}):=\{r+s \sqrt{3} \mid r, s \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ is a subfield of $\mathbb{R}$.
(b) Show that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}[x] /\left(x^{2}-3\right)$.
\#3. (a) Show that $\mathbb{Z}_{2}[x] /\left(x^{3}+x+1\right)$ is a field.
(b) Show that $x^{3}+x+1$ has three distinct roots in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}[x] /\left(x^{3}+x+1\right)$.

## Chapter 6

## Ideals and Quotients

### 6.1 Ideals

Definition 6.1.1. Let $I$ be a subset of the ring $R$.
(a) We say that $I$ absorbs $R$ if

$$
r a \in I \quad \text { and } \quad \text { ar } \in I \quad \text { for all } a \in I, r \in R
$$

(b) We say that $I$ is an ideal of $R$ if $I$ is a subring of $R$ and $I$ absorbs $R$.

Theorem 6.1.2 (Ideal Theorem). Let $I$ be a subset of the ring $R$. Then $I$ is an ideal in $R$ if and only if the following four conditions holds:
(i) $0_{R} \in I$.
(ii) $a+b \in I$ for all $a, b \in I$.
(iii) $r a \in I$ and $a r \in I$ for all $a \in I$ and $r \in R$.
(iv) $-a \in I$ for all $a \in I$.

Proof. $\Longrightarrow$ : Suppose first that $I$ is ideal in $R$. By Definition 6.1.1 $S$ absorbs $R$ and $S$ is a subring. Thus (iii) hold and by 3.2 .8 also (ii), (i) and (ive hold.
$\Longleftarrow$ : Suppose that (ii)-(iv) hold. (iii) implies $a b \in I$ for all $a, b \in I$. So by $3.2 .8 I$ is a subring of $R$. By (iii), $I$ absorbs $R$ and so $I$ is an ideal in $R$.

Example 6.1.3. (1) $\left\{3 n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}\right\}$is an ideal in $\mathbb{Z}$.
(2) Let $F$ be a field and $a \in F$. Then $\left\{f \in F[x] \mid f^{*}(a)=0_{F}\right\}$ is an ideal in $F[x]$.
(3) Let $R$ be a ring, $I$ an ideal in $R$. Then $\left\{f \in R[x] \mid f_{i} \in I\right.$ for all $\left.i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is an ideal in $R$.
(4) Let $R$ be a ring, $I$ an ideal in $R$ and $n$ a positive integer. Then $\mathrm{M}_{n}(I)$ is an ideal in $\mathrm{M}_{n}(R)$.
(5) Let $R$ and $S$ be rings. Then $R \times\left\{0_{S}\right\}$ is an ideal in $R \times S$.

Definition 6.1.4. Let $R$ be a ring.
(a) Let $a \in R$. Then $a R=\{a r \mid a \in R\}$.
(b) Let $I_{1}, I_{2}, \ldots I_{n}$ be ideal in $R$. Then

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} I_{k}:=I_{1}+I_{2}+\ldots+I_{n}:=\left\{\sum_{k=1} i_{k} \mid i_{k} \in I_{k}, 1 \leq k \leq n\right\}
$$

Lemma 6.1.5. Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity and $a \in R$. Then $a R$ is the smallest ideal in $R$ containing $a$. (That is: $a \in a R, a R$ is an ideal in $R$ and $a R \subseteq I$, whenever $I$ is an ideal in $R$ with $a R \subseteq I$.)

Proof. We first show that $a R$ is an ideal containing $a$. Since $a=a \cdot 1_{R}, a \in a R$. Let $b, c \in a R$ and $r \in R$. Then $b=a s$ and $c=a t$ for some $s, t \in R$. Thus $b+c=a s+a t=a(s+t) \in R a, r x=x r=(a s) r=a(s r) \in a R$ and $0_{R}=a 0_{R} \in a R$ and $-x=-(a s)=a(-s) \in a R$. So by 6.1.2 $a R$ is an ideal in $R$.

Now let $I$ be any ideal of $I$ containing $a$. Since $I$ absorbs $R$, ar $\in I$ for all $r \in R$ and so $a R \subseteq I$.
Lemma 6.1.6. (a) Let $I_{1}, I_{2}, \ldots I_{n}$ be ideals in the ring $R$. Then $I_{1}+I_{2}+\ldots+I_{n}$ is the smallest ideal in $R$ containing $I_{1}, I_{2}, \ldots, I_{n-1}$ and $I_{n}$.
(b) Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in R$. Then $a_{1} R+a_{2} R+\ldots+a_{n} R$ is the smallest ideal of $R$ containing $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$.

Proof. (a) For $n=1$ this is obvious. For $n=2$ this follows from Exercise 7 on Homework 11. The general case follows by induction on $n$ (and we leave the details to the reader)
(b) By 6.1.5, $a_{i} R$ is an ideal containing $a_{i}$. So by (b) $a_{1} R+a_{2} R+\ldots+a_{n} R$ is an ideal containing $a_{1} R, \ldots a_{n} R$ and so also contains $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$.

Let $I$ be an ideal containing $a_{1}, \ldots a_{n}$. Then by 6.1.5, $a_{i} R \subseteq I$ and thus by (a), $a_{1} R+\ldots+a_{n} R \subseteq I$.
Definition 6.1.7. Let $I$ be an ideal in the ring $R$. The relation $‘ \equiv(\bmod I)$ 'on $R$ is defined by

$$
a \equiv b \quad(\bmod I) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad a-b \in I
$$

for all $a, b \in R$.
Remark 6.1.8. Let $F$ be a field and $f, g, p \in F[x]$ with $p \neq 0_{F}$. Then

$$
f \equiv g \quad(\bmod p) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad f \equiv g \quad(\bmod p F[x])
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{array}{ccl} 
& f \equiv g \quad(\bmod p) & \\
\Longleftrightarrow & f-g=p k \text { for some } k \in F[x] & -5.1 .5 \\
\Longleftrightarrow & f-g \in p F[x] & - \text { Definition of } p F[x] \\
\Longleftrightarrow & f \equiv g \quad(\bmod p F[x]) & -6.1 .11
\end{array}
$$

Proposition 6.1.9. Let $I$ be an ideal in $R$. Then $\equiv(\bmod I)^{\prime}$ is an equivalence relation on $R$.

Proof. We need to show that $' \equiv(\bmod I)$ ' is reflexive, symmetric and transitive. Let $a, b, c \in R$.
Reflexive $a-a=0_{R} \in I$ and so $a \equiv a(\bmod I)$.
Symmetric If $a \equiv b(\bmod I)$ then $a-b \in I$. Thus $b-a=-(a-b) \in I$ and so $b \equiv a(\bmod I)$.
Transitive If $a \equiv b(\bmod I)$ and $b \equiv c(\bmod I)$, then $a-b \in I, b-c \in I$. Thus $a-c=(a-b)+(b-c) \in I$ and so $a \equiv c(\bmod I)$.

Definition 6.1.10. Let $R$ be a ring and $I$ an ideal in $R$.
(a) Let $a \in I$. Then $a+I$ denotes the the equivalence class of $‘(\bmod I)^{\prime}$ containing $a$. So

$$
a+I=\{b \in R \mid a \equiv b \quad(\bmod I)\}=\{b \in R \mid a-b \in I\}
$$

$a+I$ is called the coset of $I$ in $R$ containing $a$.
(b) $R / I$ is the set of cosets of $I$ in $R / I$. So

$$
R / I=\{a+I \mid a \in R\}
$$

and $R / I$ is the set of equivalence classes of $\fallingdotseq(\bmod I)$,
Theorem 6.1.11. Let $R$ be ring and $I$ an ideal in $R$. Let $a, b \in R$. Then the following statements are equivalent
(a) $a=b+i$ for some $i \in I$.
(g) $a+I=b+I$.
(b) $a-b=i$ for some $i \in I$
(h) $a \in b+I$.
(c) $a-b \in I$.
(i) $b \equiv a(\bmod I)$.
(d) $a \equiv b(\bmod I)$.
(j) $b-a \in I$.
(e) $b \in a+I$.
(k) $b-a=j$ for some $j \in I$.
(f) $(a+I) \cap(b+I) \neq \emptyset$.
(l) $b=a+j$ for some $j \in I$.

Proof. (a) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (b): This holds by 3.2 .12 .
(b) $\Longleftrightarrow(\mathrm{c}):$ Obvious.
$(\mathrm{c}) \Longleftrightarrow(\mathrm{d}): \quad$ Follows from the definition of ${ }^{\prime} \equiv(\bmod I)^{\prime}$.
Theorem 0.5 .8 implies that (d)-(i) are equivalent. In particular, (g) is equivalent to (a)-(c). Since (g) is symmetric in $a$ and $b$ we conclude that (g) is also equivalent to (j)-(l).

Corollary 6.1.12. Let $I$ be an ideal in the ring $R$.
(a) Let $a \in R$. Then $a+I=\{a+i \mid i \in I\}$.
(b) $0_{R}+I=I$ and so $I$ is a coset of $I$ in $R$.
(c) Any two cosets of I are either disjoint or equal.

Proof. (a) Let $b \in R$. By 6.1.11, (a), we have $b \in a+I$ if and only if $b=a+i$ for some $i \in I$ and so if and only if $b \in\{a+i \mid i \in I\}$.
(b) By (a) $0_{R}+I=\left\{0_{r}+i \mid i \in I\right\}=\{i \mid i \in I\}=I$.
(c) By 6.1.11 f), (g) $a+I=b+I$ if and only if $(a+I) \cap(b+I) \neq \emptyset$. Since either $(a+I) \cap(b+I) \neq \emptyset$ or $(a+I) \cap(b+I)=\emptyset$ we conclude that either $a+I=b+I$ or $(a+I) \cap(b+I)=\emptyset$. So two cosets of $I$ in $R$ are either disjoint or equal.

## Exercises 6.1:

\#1. Let $I_{1}, I_{2}, \ldots I_{n}$ be ideals in the ring $R$. Show that $I_{1}+I_{2}+\ldots+I_{n}$ is the smallest ideal in $R$ containing $I_{1}, I_{2}, \ldots, I_{n}$ and $I_{n}$.
\#2. Is the set $J=\left\{\left.\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & r\end{array}\right] \right\rvert\, r \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$ an ideal in the $\operatorname{ring} \mathrm{M}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ of $2 \times 2$ matrices over $\mathbb{R}$ ?
\#3. If $I$ is an ideal in the ring $R$ and $J$ is an ideal in the ring $S$, prove that $I \times J$ is an ideal in the ring $R \times S$.
\#4. Let $F$ be a field and $I$ an ideal in $F[x]$. Show that $I$ is a principal ideal. Hint: If $I \neq\left\{0_{F}\right\}$ choose $d \in I$ with $d \neq 0_{F}$ and $\operatorname{deg}(d)$ minimal. Show that $I=F[x] d$.
\#5. Let $\Phi: R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of rings and let $J$ be an ideal in $S$. Put $I=\{a \in R \mid \Phi(a) \in J\}$. Show that $I$ is an ideal in $R$.

### 6.2 Quotient Rings

Proposition 6.2.1. Let $I$ be an ideal in $R$ and $a, b, \tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in R$ with

$$
a+I=\tilde{a}+I \quad \text { and } \quad b+I=\tilde{b}+I
$$

Then

$$
(a+b)+I=(\tilde{a}+\tilde{b})+I \quad \text { and } \quad a b+I=\tilde{a} \tilde{b}+I
$$

Proof. Since $a+I=\tilde{a}+I 6.1 .11$ implies that $\tilde{a}=a+i$ for some $i \in I$. Similarly $\tilde{b}=b+j$ for some $j \in I$.
Thus

$$
\tilde{a}+\tilde{b}=(a+i)+(b+j)=(a+b)+(i+j)
$$

Since $i, j \in I$ and $I$ is closed under addition, $i+j \in I$ and so by 6.1.11 $(a+b)+I=(\tilde{a}+\tilde{b})+I$.
Also

$$
\tilde{a} \tilde{b}=(a+i)(b+j)=a b+(a j+i b+i j)
$$

Since $i, j \in I$ and $I$ absorbs $R$ we conclude that $a j, i b$ and $i j$ all are in $I$. Since $I$ is closed under addition, $a j+i b+i j \in I$ and so $a b+I=\tilde{a} \tilde{b}+I$ by 6.1.11.

Definition 6.2.2. Let $I$ be an ideal in the ring $R$. Then we define an addition + and multiplication $\cdot$ on $R$ by

$$
(a+I)+(b+I)=(a+b)+I \quad \text { and } \quad(a+I) \cdot(b+I)=a b+I
$$

for all $a, b \in R$.
Note that by the preceding proposition the addition and multiplication on $R / I$ are well defined.

Remark 6.2.3. Let $F$ be a field and $p \in F[x]$ with $p \neq 0_{R}$. Then $F[x] /(p)=F[x] / p F[x]$.
Proof. This follows from Remark 6.1 .8
Theorem 6.2.4. Let $R$ be ring and $I$ an ideal in $R$
(a) The function $\pi: R \rightarrow R / I, a \rightarrow a+I$ is an onto homomorphism.
(b) $(R / I,+, \cdot)$ is a ring.
(c) $0_{R / I}=0_{R}+I=I$.
(d) If $R$ is commutative, then $R / I$ is commutative.
(e) If $R$ has an identity, then $R / I$ has an identity and $1_{R / I}=1_{R}+I$.

Proof. (a) Let $a, b \in R$. Then

$$
\pi(a+b) \stackrel{\text { Def }}{=} \pi(a+b)+I \stackrel{\text { Def }}{=}+(a+I)+(b+I) \stackrel{\text { Def } \pi}{=} \pi(a)+\pi(b)
$$

and

$$
\pi(a b) \stackrel{\text { Def }}{=} \pi a b+I \stackrel{\text { Def }}{=}(a+I)(b+I) \stackrel{\text { Def }}{=} \pi \pi(a) \pi(b)
$$

So $\pi$ is a homomorphism. If $u \in R / I$, then by definition of $R / I$, Then $u=r+I$ for some $r \in R$ and so $\pi(r)=r+I=u$. Hence $\pi$ is onto.
(b), (c) and (d) follow from (a) and E.0.3. (e) follows from (a) and 3.3.7 d).

Lemma 6.2.5. Let $R$ be a ring and $I$ an ideal in $R$. Let $r \in R$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(a) $r \in I$.
(b) $r+I=I$.
(c) $r+I=0_{R / I}$.

Proof. By 6.1.11 $r \in 0_{R}+I$ if and only of $r+I=0_{R}+I$. Since $0_{R}+I=I$ (a) and (b) are equivalent. Since $0_{R / I}=I$, b) and (a) are equivalent.

Definition 6.2.6. (a) Let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of rings. Then

$$
\operatorname{ker} f=\left\{a \in R \mid f(a)=0_{R}\right\}
$$

ker $f$ is called the kernel of $f$.
(b) Let $I$ be an ideal in the ring $R$. The function

$$
\pi: \quad R \rightarrow R / I, \quad r \rightarrow r+I
$$

is called the natural homomorphism from $R$ to $R / I$.
Lemma 6.2.7. Let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be homomorphism of rings. Then $\operatorname{ker} f$ is an ideal in $R$.

Proof. We will verify the four conditions of the Ideal Theorem 6.1.2. So let $a, b \in \operatorname{ker} f$ and $r \in R$. By definition of $\operatorname{ker} f$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(a)=0_{S} \quad \text { and } \quad f(b)=0_{S} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

(i) $\quad f(a+b) \stackrel{\mathrm{f} \text { hom }}{=} f(a)+f(b) \stackrel{(*)}{=} 0_{S}+0_{S} \stackrel{\mathrm{Ax}^{=}}{=} 0_{S}$ and so $a+b \in \operatorname{ker} f$ by definition of ker $f$.
(ii) $f(r a) \stackrel{\text { f hom }}{=} f(r) f(a) \stackrel{(*)}{=} f(r) 0_{S} \stackrel{3.2 .11 \mathbb{C}}{=} 0_{S}$ and so $r a \in \operatorname{ker} f$ by definition of ker $f$. Similarly, $a r \in \operatorname{ker} f$.
(iii) $\quad f\left(0_{R}\right) \xrightarrow{3.3 .7} \mathrm{a} 0_{S}$ and so $0_{R} \in \operatorname{ker} f$ by definition of $\operatorname{ker} f$.
(iv) $f(-a) \stackrel{3.3 .7}{=}-f(a) \stackrel{(*)}{=}-0_{S} \stackrel{3.2 .11}{=} 0_{S}$ and so $-a \in \operatorname{ker} f$ by definition of ker $f$.

Example 6.2.8. Define

$$
\Phi: \mathbb{R}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, f \rightarrow f^{*}(i)
$$

Verify that $\Phi$ is a homomorphism and compute $\operatorname{ker} \Phi$.
Define $\rho: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, r \rightarrow r$. Then $\rho$ is a homomorphism and $\Phi$ is the function $\rho_{i}$ from Lemma 4.4.1. So $\Phi$ is a homomorphism. We have

$$
\operatorname{ker} \Phi=\{f \in \mathbb{R}[x] \mid \Phi(f)=0\}=\left\{f \in \mathbb{R}[x] \mid f^{*}(i)=0\right\}
$$

Let $f \in F[x]$. We claim that $i$ is a root of $f$ if and only if $x^{2}+1$ divides $f$ in $\mathbb{R}[x]$. According to the Division algorithm, $f=\left(x^{2}+1\right) \cdot q+r$, where $q, r \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ with $\operatorname{deg}(r)<\operatorname{deg}\left(x^{2}+1\right)=2$. Then $r=a+b x$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and so

$$
f^{*}(i)=\left(\left(x^{2}+1\right) \cdot q+r\right)^{*}(i)=\left(i^{2}+1\right) \cdot q^{*}(i)+r^{*}(i)=0 \cdot q^{*}(i)+(a+b i)=a+b i
$$

It follows that $f^{*}(i)=0$ if and only if $a=b=0$ and so if and only if $r=0$ and if and only if $x^{2}+1$ divides $f$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{ker} \Phi=\left(x^{2}+1\right) \mathbb{R}[x]
$$

Lemma 6.2.9. Let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism.
(a) Let $a, b \in R$. Then $f(a)=f(b)$ if and only if $a+\operatorname{ker} f=b+\operatorname{ker} f$.
(b) $f$ is 1-1 if and only if $\operatorname{ker} f=\left\{0_{R}\right\}$.

Proof. (a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(a)=f(b) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \quad f(a)-f(b)=0_{S} \quad-3.2 .11 \text { f } \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \quad f(a-b) \quad=\quad 0_{S} \quad-3.3 .7 \text { c } \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \quad a-b \in \operatorname{ker} f \quad \quad \text { - Definition of } \operatorname{ker} f \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \quad a+\operatorname{ker} f \quad=b+\operatorname{ker} f \quad-6.1 .11
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) $\Longrightarrow$ : Suppose $f$ is $1-1$ and let $a \in \operatorname{ker} f$. Then $f(a)=0_{S}=f\left(0_{R}\right)$ and since $f$ is $1-1, a=0_{R}$. Thus ker $f=\left\{0_{R}\right\}$.
$\Longleftarrow: ~ S u p p o s e \operatorname{ker} f=\left\{0_{R}\right\}$ and let $a, b \in R$ with $f(a)=f(b)$. By (a) $a+\operatorname{ker} f=b+\operatorname{ker} f$. We have

$$
a+\operatorname{ker} f=a+\left\{0_{R}\right\} \stackrel{6.1 .12 a}{-}\left\{a+0_{R}\right\}=\{a\}
$$

and similarly $b+\operatorname{ker} f=\{b\}$. So $\{a\}=\{b\}$ and $a=b$. Thus $f$ is 1-1.
Lemma 6.2.10. Let $R$ be a ring, $I$ an ideal in $R$ and $\pi: R \rightarrow R / I, a \rightarrow a+I$ the natural homomorphism from $R$ to $I$. Then $\operatorname{ker} \pi=I$.
Proof. Let $r \in R$. Then $r \in \operatorname{ker} f$ if and only if $\pi(r)=0_{R / I}$ and if and only if $r+I=0_{R / I}$. By 6.2.5 this holds if and only if $r \in I$. So $\operatorname{ker} \pi=I$.

Theorem 6.2.11 (First Isomorphism Theorem). Let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism. The function

$$
\bar{f}: R / \operatorname{ker} f \rightarrow \operatorname{Im} f,(a+\operatorname{ker} f) \rightarrow f(a)
$$

is a well-defined ring isomorphism. In particular $R / \operatorname{ker} f$ and $\operatorname{Im} f$ are isomorphic rings
Proof. By 6.2.9 $f(a)=f(b)$ if and only if $a+\operatorname{ker} f=b+\operatorname{ker} f$. Hence $\bar{f}$ is well defined and 1-1. If $s \in \operatorname{Im} f$, then $s=f(a)$ for some $a \in R$ and so $\bar{f}(a+\operatorname{ker} f)=f(a)=s$. Hence $\bar{f}$ is onto. It remains to verify that $\bar{f}$ is a homomorphism. We compute

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\bar{f}((a+\operatorname{ker} f)+(b+\operatorname{ker} f)) & \stackrel{\operatorname{Def}}{=}+\bar{f}((a+b)+\operatorname{ker} f) & \stackrel{\operatorname{Def} \bar{f}}{=} & f(a+b) \\
& f \stackrel{\text { hom }}{=} & f(a)+f(b) & \stackrel{\text { Def } \bar{f}}{=} & \bar{f}(a+\operatorname{ker} f)+\bar{f}(b+\operatorname{ker} f)
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\bar{f}((a+\operatorname{ker} f) \cdot(b+\operatorname{ker} f)) & \stackrel{\text { Def }}{=} & \bar{f}(a b+\operatorname{ker} f) & \stackrel{\operatorname{Def} \bar{f}}{=} & f(a b) \\
& \stackrel{\text { hom }}{=} & f(a) \cdot f(b) & \stackrel{\text { Def }}{=} \bar{f} & \bar{f}(a+\operatorname{ker} f) \cdot \bar{f}(b+\operatorname{ker} f)
\end{array}
$$

and so $\bar{f}$ is a homomorphism.
Example 6.2.12. Show that $\mathbb{Q}[x] /\left(x^{2}-3\right) Q[x]$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{3}]=\{a+b \sqrt{3} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$.
Define

$$
\Phi: \mathbb{Q}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f \rightarrow f^{*}(\sqrt{3})
$$

By 4.4.1, $\Phi$ is a homomorphism. We will determine the kernel and image of $\Phi$. Let $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$. By the Division Algorithm, $f=\left(x^{2}-3\right) \cdot q+r$ for some $q, r \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ with $\operatorname{deg} r<2$. Then $r=a+b x$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$. Thus

$$
\Phi(f)=f^{*}(\sqrt{3})=\left(\sqrt{3}^{2}-3\right) \cdot q^{*}(\sqrt{3})+(a+b \sqrt{3})=a+b \sqrt{3}
$$

Thus

$$
\operatorname{Im} \Phi=\{a+b \sqrt{3} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}=\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{3}] .
$$

Note that $f \in \operatorname{ker} \Phi$ if and only if $a+b \sqrt{3}=0$.
Suppose $a+b \sqrt{3}=0$ and $b \neq 0$. Then $\sqrt{3}=-\frac{a}{b}$ and so $-\frac{a}{b}$ is a root of $x^{2}-3$ in $\mathbb{Q}$, a contradiction since $x^{2}-3$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ by Eisenstein's Criterion applied with $p=3$.

So $a+b \sqrt{3}=0$ if and only of $a=0$ and $b=0$. Hence $f \in \operatorname{ker} \Phi$ if and only if $r=0$ and if and only if $f=\left(x^{2}-3\right) \cdot q$ for some $q \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$. Thus $\operatorname{ker} \Phi=\left(x^{2}-3\right) \mathbb{Q}[x]$. The First Isomorphism Theorem shows that

$$
Q[x] /\left(x^{2}-3\right) \mathbb{Q}[x] \text { is isomorphic to } \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{3}]
$$

## Appendix A

## Logic

## A. 1 Rules of Logic

In the following we collect a few statements which are always true.
Lemma A.1.1. Let $P, Q$ and $R$ be statements, let $T$ be a true statement and $F$ a false statement. Then each of the following statements holds.
$(\mathrm{LR} 1) F \Longrightarrow P$.
$(\mathrm{LR} 2) P \Longrightarrow T$.
(LR 3) not $-(\operatorname{not}-P) \Longleftrightarrow P$.
(LR 4) $($ not $-P \Longrightarrow F) \Longrightarrow P$.
(LR 5) $P$ or $T$.
(LR 6) not- $(P$ and $F)$.
(LR 7) $(P$ and $T) \Longleftrightarrow P$.
(LR 8) $(P$ or $F) \Longleftrightarrow P$.
(LR 9) $(P$ and $P) \Longleftrightarrow P$.
(LR 10) $(P$ or $P) \Longleftrightarrow P$.
(LR 11) $P$ or not $-P$.
(LR 12) not - $P$ and not $-P$ ).
(LR 13) $(P$ and $Q) \Longleftrightarrow(Q$ and $P)$.
(LR 14) $(P$ or $Q) \Longleftrightarrow(Q$ or $P)$.
(LR 15) $(P \Longleftrightarrow Q) \Longleftrightarrow((P$ and $Q)$ or (not $-P$ and not $-Q))$
$($ LR 16$)(P \Longrightarrow Q) \Longleftrightarrow($ not $-P$ or $Q)$.
(LR 17) not $-(P \Longrightarrow Q) \Longleftrightarrow(P$ and not $-Q)$.
$($ LR 18) $(P$ and $(P \Longrightarrow Q)) \Longrightarrow Q$.
$($ LR 19) $((P \Longrightarrow Q)$ and $(Q \Longrightarrow P)) \Longleftrightarrow(P \Longleftrightarrow Q)$.
$($ LR 20$)(P \Longrightarrow Q) \Longleftrightarrow($ not $-Q \Longrightarrow \operatorname{not}-P)$
(LR 21) $(P \Longleftrightarrow Q) \Longleftrightarrow($ not $-P \Longleftrightarrow$ not $-Q)$.
(LR 22) not $-(P$ and $Q) \Longleftrightarrow($ not $-P$ or not $-Q)$
(LR 23) not $-(P$ or $Q) \Longleftrightarrow($ not $-P$ and not $-Q)$
$(\operatorname{LR} 24) \quad((P$ and $Q)$ and $R) \Longleftrightarrow(P$ and $(Q$ and $R))$.
(LR 25$)((P$ or $Q)$ or $R) \Longleftrightarrow(P$ or $(Q$ or $R))$.
(LR 26) $((P$ and $Q)$ or $R) \Longleftrightarrow((P$ or $R)$ and $(Q$ or $R))$.
$($ LR 27) $(P$ or $Q)$ and $R) \Longleftrightarrow((P$ and $R)$ or $(Q$ and $R))$.
$($ LR 28) $((P \Longrightarrow Q)$ and $(Q \Longrightarrow R)) \Longrightarrow(P \Longrightarrow R)$
(LR 29) $((P \Longleftrightarrow Q)$ and $(Q \Longleftrightarrow R)) \Longrightarrow(P \Longleftrightarrow R)$
Proof. If any of these statements are not evident to you, you should use a truth table to verify it.

## Appendix B

## Relations, Functions and Partitions

## B. 1 The inverse of a function

Definition B.1.1. Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $g: B \rightarrow A$ be functions.
(a) $g$ is called a left inverse of $f$ if $g \circ f=\mathrm{id}_{A}$.
(b) $g$ is called a right inverse of $g$ if $f \circ g=\operatorname{id}_{B}$.
(c) $g$ is a called an inverse of $f$ if $g \circ f=\mathrm{id}_{A}$ and $f \circ g=\mathrm{id}_{B}$.

Lemma B.1.2. Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $h: B \rightarrow A$ be functions. Then the following statements are equivalent.
(a) $g$ is a left inverse of $f$.
(b) $f$ is a right inverse of $g$.
(c) $g(f(a))=a$ for all $a \in A$.
(d) For all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ :

$$
f(a)=b \quad \Longrightarrow \quad a=g(b)
$$

Proof. (a) $\Longrightarrow(\mathrm{b}): \quad$ Suppose that $g$ is a left inverse of $f$. Then $g \circ f=\operatorname{id}_{A}$ and so $f$ is a right inverse of $g$. (b) $\Longrightarrow$ (c): Suppose that $f$ is a right inverse of $g$. Then by definition of 'right inverse'

$$
\begin{equation*}
g \circ f=\operatorname{id}_{A} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $a \in A$. Then

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
g(f(a)) & =(g \circ f)(a) & - \text { definition of composition } \\
& =\operatorname{id}_{A}(a) & -(1) \\
& =a & - \text { definition of } \operatorname{id}_{A}
\end{array}
$$

(c) $\Longrightarrow$ d : Suppose that $g(f(a))=a$ for all $a \in A$. Let $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ with $f(a)=b$. Then by the principal of substitution $g(f(a))=g(b)$, and since $g(f(a))=a$, we get $a=g(b)$.
(d) $\Longrightarrow$ a): $\quad$ Suppose that for all $a \in A, b \in B$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(a)=b \Longrightarrow a=g(b) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $a \in A$ and put

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=f(a) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by (2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=g(b) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
(g \circ f)(a) & =g(f(a)) \quad-\text { definition of composition } \\
& =g(b) \\
& =\quad a \\
& =\operatorname{id}_{A}(a) \quad-\text { definition of } \operatorname{id}_{A}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus by $0.3 .11 g \circ f=\operatorname{id}_{A}$. Hence $g$ is a left inverse of $f$.
Lemma B.1.3. Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $h: B \rightarrow A$ be functions. Then the following statements are equivalent.
(a) $g$ is an inverse of $f$.
(b) $f$ is a inverse of $g$.
(c) $g(f a)=a$ for all $a \in A$ and $f(g b)=b$ for all $b \in A$.
(d) For all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ :

$$
f a=b \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad a=g b
$$

Proof. Note that $g$ is an inverse of $f$ if and only if $g$ is a left and a right inverse of $f$. Thus the lemma follows from B.1.2

Theorem B.1.4. Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a function and suppose $A \neq \emptyset$.
(a) $f$ is 1-1 if and only if $f$ has a right inverse.
(b) $f$ is onto if and only if $f$ has left inverse.
(c) $f$ is a 1-1 correspondence if and only $f$ has inverse.

Proof. $\Longrightarrow: \quad$ Since $A$ is not empty we can fix an element $a_{0} \in A$. Let $b \in B$. If $b \in \operatorname{Im} f$ choose $a_{b} \in A$ with $f a_{b}=b$. If $b \notin \operatorname{Im} f$, put $a_{b}=a_{0}$. Define

$$
g: B \rightarrow A, \quad b \rightarrow a_{b}
$$

(a) Suppose $f$ is 1-1. Let $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ with $b=f a$. Then $b \in \operatorname{Im} f$ and $f a_{b}=b=f a$. Since $f$ is 1-1, we conclude that $a_{b}=b$ and so $g a=a_{b}=b$. Thus by B.1.2, $g$ is right inverse of $f$.
(b) Suppose $f$ is onto. Let $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ with $g b=a$. Then $a=a_{b}$. Since $f$ is onto, $B=\operatorname{Im} f$ and so $a \in \operatorname{Im} f$ and $f\left(a_{b}\right)=b$. Hence $f a=b$ and so by B.1.2 (with the roles of $f$ and $f$ interchanged), $g$ is left inverse of $f$.
(c) Suppose $f$ is a $1-1$ correspondence. Then $f$ is $1-1$ and onto and so by the proof of (a) and (b), $g$ is left and right inverse of $f$. So $g$ is an inverse of $f$.
$\Longleftarrow:$
(a) Suppose $g$ is a left inverse of $f$ and let $a, c \in A$ with $f a=f c$. Then by the principal of substitution, $g(f a)=g(f c)$. By B.1.2 $g(f a)=a$ and $g(f b)=b$. So $a=b$ and $f-$-s 1-1.
(b) Suppose $g$ is a right inverse of $f$ and let $b \in B$. Then by B.1.2, $f(g b)=b$ and so $f$ is onto.
(c) Suppose $f$ has an inverse. Then $f$ has a left and a right inverse and so by (a) and (b), $f$ is 1-1 and onto. So $f$ is a 1-1 correspondence.

## B. 2 Partitions

Definition B.2.1. Let $A$ be a set and $\Delta$ set of non-empty subsets of $A$.
(a) $\Delta$ is called $a$ partition of $A$ if for each $a \in A$ there exists a unique $D \in \Delta$ with $a \in D$.
(b) $\sim_{\Delta}=(A, A,\{(a, b) \in A \times A \mid\{a, b\} \subseteq D$ for some $D \in \Delta\})$.

Example B.2.2. The relation corresponding to a partition $\Delta=\{\{1,3\},\{2\}\}$ of $A=\{1,2,3\}$
$\{1,3\}$ is the only member of $\Delta$ containing $1,\{2\}$ is the only member of $\Delta$ containing 2 and $\{1,3\}$ is the only member of $\Delta$ containing 3 . So $\Delta$ is a partition of $A$.

Note that $\{1,2\}$ is not contained in an element of $\Delta$ and so $1 \varkappa_{\Delta} 2 .\{1,3\}$ is contained in $\{1,3\}$ and so $1 \sim_{\Delta}$. Altogether the relation $\sim_{\Delta}$ can be described by the following table

| $\sim_{\Delta}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $x$ | - | $x$ |
| 2 | - | $x$ | - |
| 3 | $x$ | - | $x$ |

where we placed an $x$ in row $a$ and column $b$ of the table iff $a \sim_{\Delta} b$.
We now computed the classes of $\sim_{\Delta}$. We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[1]=\left\{b \in A \mid 1 \sim_{\Delta} b\right\}=\{1,3\}} \\
{[2]=\left\{b \in A \mid 2 \sim_{\Delta} b\right\}=\{2\}}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
[3]=\left\{b \in A \mid 3 \sim_{\Delta} b\right\}=\{1,3\}
$$

Thus $A / \sim_{\Delta}=\{\{1,3\},\{2\}\}=\Delta$.
So the set of classes of relation $\sim_{\Delta}$ is just the original partition $\Delta$. The next theorem shows that this is true for any partition.
Proposition B.2.3. Let $A$ be set.
(a) If $\sim$ is an equivalence relation, then $A / \sim$ is a partition of $A$ and $\sim=\sim_{A / \sim}$.
(b) If $\Delta$ is partition of $A$, then $\sim_{\Delta}$ is an equivalence relation and $\Delta=A / \sim_{\Delta}$.

Proof. (a) Let $a \in A$. Since $\sim$ is reflexive we have $a \sim a$ and so $a \in[a]$ by definition of $[a]$. Let $D \in A / \sim$ with $a \in D$. Then $D=[b]$ for some $b \in A$ and so $a \in[b]$. 0.5 .8 implies $[a]=[b]=D$. So $[a]$ is the unique member of $A / \sim$ containing $a$. Thus $A / \sim$ is a partition of $A$. Put $\approx=\sim_{A / \sim}$. Then $a \approx b$ if and only if $\{a, b\} \subseteq D$ for some $D \in A / \sim$. We need to show that $a \approx b$ if and only if $a \sim b$.

So let $a, b \in A$ with $a \approx b$. Then $\{a, b\} \subseteq D$ for some $D \in A / \sim$. By the previous paragraph, $[a]$ is the only member of $A / \sim$ containing $a$. Thus $D=[a]$ and similarly $D=[b]$. Thus $[a]=[b]$ and 0.5.8 implies $a \sim b$.

Now let $a, b \in A$ with $a \sim b$. Then both $a$ and $b$ are contained in [b] and so $a \approx b$.
We proved that $a \approx b$ if and only if $a \sim b$ and so (a) is proved.
(b) Let $a \in A$. Since $\Delta$ is a partition, there exists $D \in \Delta$ with $a \in \Delta$. Thus $\{a, a\} \subseteq D$ and hence $a \sim_{\Delta} a$. So $\sim_{\Delta}$ is reflexive. If $a \sim_{\Delta} b$ then $\{a, \beta\} \subseteq D$ for some $D \in \Delta$. Then also $\{b, a\} \subseteq D$ and hence $b \sim_{\Delta}$. There $\sim$ is symmetric. Now suppose that $a, b, c \in A$ with $a \sim_{\Delta} b$ and $b \sim_{\Delta} c$. Then there exists $D, E \in \Delta$ with $a, b \in D$ and $b, c \in E$. Since $b$ is contained in a unique member of $\Delta, D=E$ and so $a \sim_{\Delta} c$. Thus $\sim_{\Delta}$ is an equivalence relation.

It remains to show that $\Delta=A / \sim_{\Delta}$. For $a \in A$ let $[a]=[a]_{\sim \Delta}$. We will prove:
(*) Let $D \in \Delta$ and $a \in D$. Then $D=[a]$.
Let $b \in D$. Then $\{a, b\} \in D$ and so $a \sim_{\Delta} b$ by definition of $\sim_{\Delta}$. Thus $b \in[a]$ by definition of [a]. It follows that $D \subseteq[a]$.

Let $b \in[a]$. Then $a \sim_{\Delta} b$ by definition of $[a]$ and thus $\{a, b\} \in E$ for some $E \in \Delta$. Since $\Delta$ is a partition, $a$ is contained in a unique member of $\Delta$ and so $E=D$. Thus $b \in D$ and so $[a] \subseteq D$. We proved $D \subseteq[a]$ and $[a] \subseteq D$ and so $*$ holds.

Let $D \in \Delta$. Since $\Delta$ is a partition of $A, D$ is non-empty subset of $A$. So we can pick $a \in D$ and (*) implies $D=[a]$. Thus $D \in A / \sim_{\Delta}$ and so $\Delta \subseteq A / \sim_{\Delta}$

Let $E \in A / \sim_{\Delta}$. Then $E=[a]$ for some $a \in A$. Since $\Delta$ is a partition, $a \in D$ for some $D \in \Delta$. ** gives $D=[a]=E$ and so $E \in \Delta$. This shows $A / \sim_{\Delta} \subseteq \Delta$.

Together with $\Delta \subseteq A / \sim_{\Delta}$ this gives $\Delta=A / \sim_{\Delta}$ and (b) is proved.

## Appendix C

## Real numbers, integers and natural numbers

In this part of the appendix we list properties of the real numbers, integers and natural numbers we assume to be true.

## C. 1 Definition of the real numbers

Definition C.1.1. The real numbers are a quadtruple $(\mathbb{R},+, \cdot, \leq)$ such that
$(\mathbb{R}$ i) $\mathbb{R}$ is a set (whose elements are called real numbers)
$(\mathbb{R}$ ii) + is a function (called addition), $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ is a subset of the domain of + and

$$
a+b \in \mathbb{R}
$$

(Closure of addition)
for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, where $a \oplus b$ denotes the image of $(a, b)$ under + ;
$(\mathbb{R}$ iii) • is a function (called multiplication), $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ is a subset of the domain of $\cdot$ and

$$
a \cdot b \in \mathbb{R}
$$

(Closure of multiplication)
for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ where $a \cdot b$ denotes the image of $(a, b)$ under $\cdot$. We will also use the notion ab for $a \cdot b$.
$(\mathbb{R}$ iv) $\leq$ is a relation from $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R} ;$
and such that the following statements hold:
$(\mathbb{R}$ Ax 1) $a+b=b+a$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.
(Commutativity of Addition)
$(\mathbb{R}$ Ax 2) $a+(b+c)=(a+b)+c$ for all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R} ;$
(Associativity of Addition)
$(\mathbb{R} \operatorname{Ax} 3$ ) There exists an element in $\mathbb{R}$, denoted by 0 (and called zero), such that $a+0=a$ and $0+a=a$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R} ;$
(Existence of Additive Identity)
$(\mathbb{R} \operatorname{Ax} 4)$ For each $a \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists an element in $\mathbb{R}$, denoted by $-a$ (and called negative $a$ ) such that $a+(-a)=0$ and $(-a)+a=0$;
(Existence of Additive Inverse)
$(\mathbb{R}$ Ax 5) $a(b+c)=a b+a c$ for all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$.
(Right Distributivity)
$(\mathbb{R}$ Ax 6) $(a+b) c=a c+b c$ for all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$
(Left Distributivity)
$(\mathbb{R} \operatorname{Ax} 7)(a b) c=a(b c)$ for all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$
( $\mathbb{R}$ Ax 8) There exists an element in $\mathbb{R}$, denoted by 1 (and called one), such that $1 a=a$ for all $a \in R$. (Multiplicative Identity)
( $\mathbb{R}$ Ax 9) For each $a \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a \neq 0$ there exists an element in $\mathbb{R}$, denoted by $\frac{1}{a}$ (and called ' $a$ inverse') such that $a a^{-1}=1$ and $a^{-1} a=1 ;$
(Existence of Multiplicative Inverse)
( $\mathbb{R}$ Ax 10 ) For all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
(a \leq b \text { and } b \leq a) \Longleftrightarrow(a=b)
$$

$(\mathbb{R} \mathrm{Ax} 11)$ For all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
(a \leq b \text { and } b \leq c) \Longrightarrow(a \leq c)
$$

$(\mathbb{R} \mathrm{Ax} 12)$ For all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
(a \leq b \text { and } 0 \leq c) \Longrightarrow(a c \leq b c)
$$

( $\mathbb{R} \mathrm{Ax} 13$ ) For all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
(a \leq b) \Longrightarrow(a+c \leq b+c)
$$

$(\mathbb{R} \operatorname{Ax} 14)$ Each bounded, non-empty subset of $\mathbb{R}$ has a least upper bound. That is, if $S$ is a non-empty subset of $\mathbb{R}$ and there exists $u \in \mathbb{R}$ with $s \leq u$ for all $s \in S$, then there exists $m \in R$ such that for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
(s \leq r \text { for all } s \in S) \Longleftrightarrow(m \leq r)
$$

$(\mathbb{R}$ Ax 15) For all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $b \neq 0$ and $0 \leq b$ there exists a positive integer $n$ such that $a \leq n b$. (Here na is inductively defined by $1 a=a$ and $(n+1) a=n a+a)$.

Definition C.1.2. The relations $<, \geq$ and $>$ on $\mathbb{R}$ are defined as follows: Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, then
(a) $a<b$ if $a \leq b$ and $a \neq b$.
(b) $a \geq b$ if $b \leq a$.
(c) $a>b$ if $b \leq a$ and $a \neq b$

## C. 2 Algebraic properties of the integers

Lemma C.2.1. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then
(1) $a+b \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(2) $a+(b+c)=(a+b)+c$.
(3) $a+b=b+a$.
(4) $a+0=a=0+a$.
(5) There exists $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a+x=0$.
(6) $a b \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(7) $a(b c)=(a b) c$.
(8) $a(b+c)=a b+a c$ and $(a+b) c=a c+b c$.
(9) $a b=b a$.
(10) $a 1=a=1 a$.
(11) If $a b=0$ then $a=0$ or $b=0$.

## C. 3 Properties of the order on the integers

Lemma C.3.1. Let $a, b, c$ be integers.
(a) Exactly one of $a<b, a=b$ and $b<a$ holds.
(b) If $a<b$ and $b<c$, then $a<c$.
(c) If $c>0$, then $a<b$ if and only if $a c<b c$.
(d) If $c<0$, then $a<b$ if and only if $b c<a c$.
(e) If $a<b$, then $a+c<b+c$.
(f) 1 is the smallest positive integer.

## C. 4 Properties of the natural numbers

Lemma C.4.1. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$. Then
(a) $a+b \in \mathbb{N}$.
(b) $a b \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem C.4.2 (Well-Ordering Axiom). Let $S$ be a non-empty subset of $\mathbb{N}$. Then $S$ has a minimal element

## Appendix D

## The Associative, Commutative and Distributive Laws

## D. 1 The General Associative Law

Definition D.1.1. Let $G$ be a set.
(a) $A$ binary operation on $G$ is a function + such that $G \times G$ is a subset of the domain of + and $+(a, b) \in G$ for all $a, b \in G$.
(b) If + is a binary operation on $G$ and $a, b \in G$, then we write $a+b$ for $+(a, b)$.
(c) A binary operation + on $G$ is called associative if $a+(b+c)=(a+b)+c$ for all $a, b, c \in G$.

Definition D.1.2. Let $G$ be a set and $+: G \times G \rightarrow G,(a, b) \rightarrow a+b$ a function. Let $n$ be a positive integer and $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots a_{n} \in G$. Define $\sum_{i=1}^{1} a_{i}=a_{1}$ and inductively for $n>1$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{i}\right)+a_{n} \\
\text { so } \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}=\left(\left(\ldots\left(\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right)+a_{3}\right)+\ldots+a_{n-2}\right)+a_{n-1}\right)+a_{n}
\end{gathered}
$$

Inductively, we say that $z$ is a sum of $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ provided that one of the following holds:
(1) $n=1$ and $z=a_{1}$.
(2) $n>1$ and there exists an integer $k$ with $1 \leq k<n$ and $x, y \in G$ such that $x$ is a sum of $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right), y$ is a sum of $\left(a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ and $z=x+y$.

For example $a$ is the only sum of $(a), a+b$ is the only sum of $(a, b), a+(b+c)$ and $(a+b)+c$ are the sums of $(a, b, c)$, and $a+(b+(c+d)), a+((b+c)+d),(a+b)+(c+d),(a+(b+c))+d$ and $((a+b)+c)+d$ are the sums of $(a, b, c, d)$.

Theorem D.1.3 (General Associative Law). Let + be an associative binary operation on the set $G$. Then any sum of $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}$.

Proof. The proof is by complete induction. For a positive integer $n$ let $P(n)$ be the statement:
If $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots a_{n}$ are elements of $G$ and $z$ is a sum of $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$, then $z=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}$.
Suppose now that $n$ is a positive integer with $n$ and $P(k)$ is true all integer $1 \leq k<n$. Let $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots a_{n}$ be elements of $G$ and $z$ is a sum of $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$. We need to show that $z=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}$.

Assume that $n=1$. By definition $a_{1}$ is the only sum of $\left(a_{1}\right)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{1} a_{1}=a_{1}$. So $z=a_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}$
Assume next that $n>1$. We will first show that
$\left(^{*}\right)$ If $u$ is any sum of $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right)$, then $u+a_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}$.
Indeed by the induction assumption, $P(n-1)$ is true and so $u=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{i}$. Thus $u+a_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{i}+a_{n}$ and the definition of $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}$ implies $u+a_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}$. So $\left(^{*}\right)$ is true.

By the definition of 'sum' there exists $1 \leq k<n$, a sum $x$ of $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$ and a sum $y$ of $\left(a_{k+1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ such that $z=x+y$.

Case 1: $k=n-1$.
In this case $x$ is a sum of $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right)$ and $y$ a sum of $\left(a_{n}\right)$. So $y=a_{n}$ and by $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ applied with $x=u$ we have $z=x+y=x+a_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}$.

Case 2: $1 \leq k<n-1$.
Observe that $n-k \leq n-1<n$ and so by the induction assumption $P(n-k)$ holds. Since $y$ is a sum of $a_{k+1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ ) we conclude that $y=\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} a_{k+i}$. Since $k<n-1,1<n-k$ and so by definition of $\Sigma$, $y=\sum_{i=1}^{n-k-1} a_{k+i}+a_{n}$. Since + is associative we compute

$$
z=x+y=x+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} a_{k+i}+a_{n}\right)=\left(x+\sum_{i=1}^{n-k-1} a_{k+i}\right)+a_{n}
$$

Put $u=x+\sum_{i=1}^{n-k-1} a_{k+i}$. Then $z=u+a_{n}$. Also $x$ is a sum of $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n-k-1} a_{k+i}$ is a sum of $\left(a_{k}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right)$. So by definition of a sum, $u$ is a sum of $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right)$. Thus by $\left({ }^{* *}\right), z=u+a_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}$.

We proved that in both cases $z=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}$. Thus $P(n)$ holds. By the principal of complete induction, $P(n)$ holds for all positive integers $n$.

## D. 2 The general commutative law

Definition D.2.1. A binary operation + on a set $G$ is called commutative if $a+b=b+a$ for all $a, b \in G$.
Theorem D.2.2 (General Commutative Law I). Let + be an associative and commutative binary operation on a set $G$. Let $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n} \in G$ and $f:[1 \ldots n] \rightarrow[1 \ldots n]$ a bijection. Then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{f(i)}
$$

Proof. Obsere that the theorem clearly holds for $n=1$. Suppose inductively its true for $n-1$.
Since $f$ is onto there exists a unique integer $k$ with $f(k)=n$.
Define $g:\{1, \ldots n-1\} \rightarrow\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ by $g(i)=f(i)$ if $i<k$ and $g(i)=f(i+1)$ if $i \geq k$. We claim that $g$ is a bijection. For this let $1 \leq l \leq n-1$ be an integer. Then $l=f(m)$ for some $1 \leq m \leq n$. Since $l \neq n$ and
$f$ is $1-1, m \neq k$. If $m<k$, then $g(m)=f(m)=l$ and if $m>k$, then $g(m-1)=f(m)=l$. Thus $g$ is onto and by G.1.7 $g$ is also $1-1$. By assumption the theorem is true for $n-1$ and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{g(i)} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the general associative law (GAL, Theorem D.1.3) we have

$$
\begin{array}{cl} 
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{f(i)} \\
(\mathrm{GAL}) & =\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_{f(i)}\right)+\left(a_{f(k)}+\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} a_{f(i)}\right) \\
(n=f(k)) & =\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_{f(i)}\right)+\left(a_{n}+\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} a_{f(i)}\right) \\
\left({ }^{6}+^{\prime}\right. \text { commutative )} & =\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_{f(i)}\right)+\left(\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} a_{f(i)}+a_{n}\right) \\
\left({ }^{\prime}+^{\prime} \text { associative }\right) & =\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_{f(i)}\right)+\left(\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} a_{f(i)}\right)\right)+a_{n} \\
\text { (Substitution } j=i+1) & =\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_{f(i)}\right)+\left(\sum_{j=k}^{n-1} a_{f(j+1)}\right)\right)+a_{n} \\
(\text { definition of } g) & =\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_{g(i)}\right)+\left(\sum_{j=k}^{n-1} a_{g(j)}\right)\right)+a_{n} \\
(\mathrm{GAL}) & =\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{g(i)}\right)+a_{n} \\
(*) & =\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{i}\right)+a_{n}  \tag{*}\\
\text { (definition of } \left.\sum\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}
\end{array}
$$

So the Theorem holds for $n$ and thus by the Principal of Mathematical induction for all positive integers.
Corollary D.2.3. Let + be an associative and commutative binary operation on a set $G$. $I$ a non-empty finite set and for $i \in I$ let $b_{i} \in G$. Let $g, h:\{1, \ldots, n\} \rightarrow I$ be bijections, then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{g(i)}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{h(i)}
$$

Proof. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, define $a_{i}=b_{g(i)}$. Let $f=g^{-1} \circ h$. Then $f$ is a bijection. Moreover, $g \circ f=h$ and $a_{f(i)}=b_{g(f(i))}=b_{h(i))}$. Thus

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{h(i)}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{f(i)}^{\stackrel{D .2 .2}{=}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{g(i)}
$$

Definition D.2.4. Let + be an associative and commutative binary operation on a set $G$. $I$ a finite set and for $i \in I$ let $b_{i} \in G$. Then $\sum_{i \in I} a_{i}:=\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{f(i)}$, where $n=|I|$ and $f:=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ is bijection. (Observe here that by D.2.3 this does not depend on the choice of $f$.)

Theorem D.2.5 (General Commutative Law II). Let + be an associative and commutative binary operation on a set $G$. I a finite set, $\left(I_{j}, \mid j \in J\right)$ a partition of $I$ and for $i \in I$ let $a_{i} \in G$. Then

$$
\sum_{i \in I} a_{i}=\sum_{j \in J}\left(\sum_{i \in I_{J}} a_{i}\right)
$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on $|J|$. If $|J|=1$, the result is clearly true. Suppose next that $|J|=2$ and say $J=\left\{j_{1}, j_{2}\right\}$. Let $f_{i}:\left\{1, \ldots, n_{i}\right\} \rightarrow I_{j_{i}}$ be a bijection and define $f:\left\{1 \ldots, n_{1}+n_{2}\right\} \rightarrow I$ by $f(i)=f_{1}(i)$ if $1 \leq i \leq n_{1}$ and $f(i)=f_{2}\left(i-n_{1}\right)$ if $n_{1}+1 \leq i \leq n_{1}+n_{2}$. Then clearly $f$ is a onto and so by G.1.7,b, $f$ is $1-1$. We compute

$$
\begin{array}{rcc}
\sum_{i \in I} a_{i} & = & \sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}+n_{2}} a_{f(i)} \\
& \stackrel{\text { GAL }}{=} & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} a_{f(i)}\right)+\left(\sum_{i=n_{1}+1}^{n_{1}+n_{2}} a_{f(i)}\right) \\
& = & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} a_{f_{1}(i)}\right)+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_{2}} a_{f_{2}(i)}\right) \\
& = & \left(\sum_{i \in I_{j_{1}}} a_{i}\right)+\left(\sum_{i \in I_{j_{2}}} a_{i}\right) \\
& = & \sum_{j \in J}\left(\sum_{i \in I_{j}} a_{i}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Thus the theorem holds if $|J|=2$. Suppose now that the theorem is true whenever $|J|=k$. We need to show it is also true if $|J|=k+1$. Let $j \in J$ and put $Y=I \backslash J_{j}$. Then $\left(I_{k} \mid j \neq k \in J\right)$ is a partition of $Y$ and $\left(I_{j}, Y\right)$ is partition of $I$. By the induction assumption, $\sum_{i \in Y} a_{i}=\sum_{j \neq k \in J}\left(\sum_{i \in I_{k}} a_{i}\right)$ and so by the $|J|=2$-case

$$
\begin{array}{rlc}
\sum_{i \in I} a_{i} & = & \left(\sum_{i \in I_{j}} a_{i}\right)+\left(\sum_{i \in Y} a_{i}\right) \\
& = & \left(\sum_{i \in I_{j}} a_{i}\right)+\left(\sum_{j \neq k \in J}\left(\sum_{i \in I_{k}} a_{i}\right)\right) \\
& = & \sum_{j \in J}\left(\sum_{i \in I_{J}} a_{i}\right)
\end{array}
$$

The theorem now follows from the Principal of Mathematical Induction.

## D. 3 The General Distributive Law

Definition D.3.1. Let $(+, \cdot)$ be a pair of binary operation on the set $G$. We say that
(a) $(+, \cdot)$ is left-distributive if $a(b+c)=(a b)+(a c)$ for all $a, b, c \in G$.
(b) $(+, \cdot)$ is right-distributive if $(b+c) a=(b a)+(c a)$ for all $a, b, c \in G$.
(c) $(+, \cdot)$ is distributive if its is right- and left-distributive.

Theorem D.3.2 (General Distributive Law). Let $(+, \cdot)$ be a pair of binary operations on the set $G$.
(a) Suppose $(+, \cdot)$ is left-distributive and let $a, b_{1}, \ldots b_{m} \in G$. Then

$$
a \cdot\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{j}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} a b_{j}
$$

(b) Suppose $(+, \cdot)$ is right-distributive and let $a_{1}, \ldots a_{n}, b \in G$. Then

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right) \cdot b=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} b
$$

(c) Suppose $(+, \cdot)$ is distributive and let $a_{1}, \ldots a_{n}, b_{1}, \ldots b_{m} \in G$. Then

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\right) \cdot\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{j}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{i} b_{j}\right)
$$

Proof. (a) Clearly (a) is true for $m=1$. Suppose now (a) is true for $k$ and let $a, b_{1}, \ldots b_{k+1} \in G$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a \cdot\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} b_{i}\right) \\
\left(\text { definition of } \sum\right)= & a \cdot\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}\right)+b_{k+1}\right) \\
(\text { left-distributive })= & a \cdot\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}\right)+a \cdot b_{k+1} \\
\text { (induction assumption) }= & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} a b_{i}\right)+a b_{k+1} \\
\left(\text { definition of } \sum\right)= & \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a b_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (a) holds for $k+1$ and so by induction for all positive integers $n$.
The proof of (b) is virtually the same as the proof of (a) and we leave the details to the reader. (c)

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right) \cdot\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}\right) \stackrel{(b)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(a_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{j}\right) \stackrel{(a)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{i} b_{j}\right)
$$

## Appendix E

## Verifying Ring Axioms

Proposition E.0.3. Let $(R,+, \cdot)$ be ring and $(S, \oplus, \odot)$ a set with binary operations $\oplus$ and $\odot$. Suppose there exists an onto homomorphism $\Phi: R \rightarrow S$ (that is an onto function $\Phi: R \rightarrow S$ with $\Phi(a+b)=\Phi(a) \oplus \Phi(b)$ and $\Phi(a b)=\Phi(a) \odot \Phi(b)$ for all $a, b \in R$. Then
(a) $(S, \oplus, \odot)$ is a ring and $\Phi$ is ring homomorphism.
(b) If $R$ is commutative, so is $S$.

Proof. (a) Clearly if $S$ is a ring, then $\Phi$ is a ring homomorphism. So we only need to verify the eight ring axioms. For this let $a, b, c \in S$. Since $\Phi$ is onto ther exist $x, y, z \in R$ with $\Phi(x)=a, \Phi(y)=b$ and $\Phi(z)=c$.

Ax 1 By assumption $\oplus$ is binary operation. So Ax 1 holds for $S$.
Ax 2

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
a \oplus(b \oplus c) & =\Phi(x) \oplus(\Phi(y) \oplus \Phi(z))
\end{array}\right)=\Phi(x) \oplus \Phi(y+z)=\Phi(x+(y+z))
$$

Ax $3 \quad a \oplus b=\Phi(x) \oplus \Phi(y)=\Phi(x+y)=\Phi(y+x)=\Phi(y) \oplus \Phi(x)=b \oplus a$
Ax 4 Put $0_{S}=\Phi\left(0_{R}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a \oplus 0_{S}=\Phi(x) \oplus \Phi\left(0_{R}\right)=\Phi\left(x+0_{R}\right)=\Phi(x)=a \\
& 0_{S}+a=\Phi\left(0_{R}\right) \oplus \Phi(x)=\Phi\left(0_{R}+x\right)=\Phi(x)=a
\end{aligned}
$$

Ax 5 Put $d=\Phi(-x)$. Then

$$
a \oplus d=\Phi(x) \oplus \Phi(-x)=\Phi(x+(-x))=\Phi\left(0_{R}\right)=0_{S}
$$

Ax 6 By assumption $\odot$ is binary operation . So Ax 6 holds for $S$.
Ax 7

$$
\begin{aligned}
a \odot(b \odot c) & =\Phi(x) \odot(\Phi(y) \odot \Phi(z)) \\
=\Phi((x y) z)) & =\quad \Phi(x) \odot \Phi(y z)
\end{aligned}=\Phi(x(y z)), ~=\Phi(x y) \odot \Phi(z)=(\Phi(x) \odot \Phi(y)) \odot \Phi(z)=(a \odot b) \odot c
$$

## Ax 8

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rlll}
a \odot(b \oplus c) & =\Phi(x) \odot(\Phi(y) \oplus \Phi(z)) & = & \Phi(x) \odot \Phi(y+z)
\end{array}\right)=\Phi(x(y+z))
$$

Similarly $(a \oplus b) \odot c=(a \odot c) \oplus(b \odot c)$.
(b) Suppose $R$ is commutative then
3.1.2 $a \odot b=\Phi(x) \odot \Phi(y)=\Phi(x y)=\Phi(y x)=\Phi(y) \odot \Phi(x)=b \odot a$

## Appendix F

## Constructing rings from given rings

## F. 1 Direct products of rings

Definition F.1.1. Let $\left(R_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ be a family of rings (that is $I$ is a set and for each $i \in I, R_{i}$ is a ring).
(a) $\chi_{i \in I} R_{i}$ is the set of all functions $r: I \rightarrow \bigcup_{i \in I} R_{i}, i \rightarrow r_{i}$ such that $r_{i} \in R_{i}$ for all $i \in I$.
(b) $\chi_{i \in I} R_{i}$ is called the direct product of $\left(R_{i}\right)_{\in I}$.
(c) We denote $r \in X_{i \in I} R_{i}$ by $\left(r_{i}\right)_{i \in I},\left(r_{i}\right)_{i}$ or $\left(r_{i}\right)$.
(d) For $r=\left(r_{i}\right)$ and $s=\left(s_{i}\right)$ in $R$ define $r+s=\left(r_{i}+s_{i}\right)$ and $r s=\left(r_{i} s_{i}\right)$.

Lemma F.1.2. Let $\left(R_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ be a family of rings.
(a) $R:=X_{i \in I} R_{i}$ is a ring.
(b) $0_{R}=\left(0_{R_{i}}\right)_{i \in I}$.
(c) $-\left(r_{i}\right)=\left(-r_{i}\right)$.
(d) If each $R_{i}$ is a ring with identity, then also $X_{i \in I} R_{i}$ is a ring with identity and $1_{R}=\left(1_{R_{i}}\right)$.
(e) If each $R_{i}$ is commutative, then $X_{i \in I} R_{i}$ is commutative.

Proof. Left as an exercise.

## F. 2 Matrix rings

Definition F.2.1. Let $R$ be a ring and $m, n$ positive integers.
(a) An $m \times n$-matrix with coefficients in $R$ is a function

$$
A:\{1, \ldots, m\} \times\{1, \ldots, n\} \rightarrow R, \quad(i, j) \mapsto a_{i j}
$$

(b) We denote an $m \times n$-matrix $A$ by $\left[a_{i j}\right]_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}},\left[a_{i j}\right]_{i j},\left[a_{i j}\right]$ or

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \ldots & a_{1 n} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \ldots & a_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \vdots & \vdots \\
a_{m 1} & a_{m 2} & \ldots & a_{m n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

(c) Let $A=\left[a_{i j}\right]$ and $B=\left[b_{i j}\right]$ be $m \times n$ matrices with coefficients in $R$. Then $A+B$ is the $m \times n$-matrix $A+B:=\left[a_{i j}+b_{i j}\right]$.
(d) Let $A=\left[a_{i j}\right]_{i j}$ be an $m \times n$-matrix and $B=\left[b_{j k}\right]_{j k}$ an $n \times p$ matrix with coefficients in $R$. Then $A B$ is the $m \times p$ matrix $A B=\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} b_{j k}\right]_{i k}$.
(e) $\mathrm{M}_{m n}(R)$ denotes the set of all $m \times n$ matrices with coefficients in $R . \mathrm{M}_{n}(R)=\mathrm{M}_{n n}(R)$.

It might be useful to write out the above definitions of $A+B$ and $A B$ in longhand notation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \ldots & a_{1 n} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \ldots & a_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \vdots & \vdots \\
a_{m 1} & a_{m 2} & \ldots & a_{m n}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
b_{11} & b_{12} & \ldots & b_{1 n} \\
b_{21} & b_{22} & \ldots & b_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \vdots & \vdots \\
b_{m 1} & b_{m 2} & \ldots & b_{m n}
\end{array}\right]} \\
=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11}+b_{11} & a_{12}+b_{12} & \ldots & a_{1 n}+b_{1 n} \\
a_{21}+b_{21} & a_{22}+b_{22} & \ldots & a_{2 n}+b_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \vdots & \vdots \\
a_{m 1}+b_{m 2} & a_{m 2}+b_{m 2} & \ldots & a_{m n}+b_{m n}
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \ldots & a_{1 n} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \ldots & a_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \vdots & \vdots \\
a_{m 1} & a_{m 2} & \ldots & a_{m n}
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
b_{11} & b_{12} & \ldots & b_{1 p} \\
b_{21} & b_{22} & \ldots & b_{2 p} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \vdots & \vdots \\
b_{n 1} & b_{n 2} & \ldots & b_{m p}
\end{array}\right]=} \\
\\
{\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} b_{11}+a_{12} b_{21}+\ldots+a_{1 n} b_{n 1} & a_{11} b_{12}+a_{12} b_{22}+\ldots+a_{1 n} b_{n 2} & \ldots & a_{11} b_{1 p}+a_{12} b_{2 p}+\ldots+a_{1 n} b_{n p} \\
a_{21} b_{11}+a_{22} b_{21}+\ldots+a_{2 n} b_{n 1} & a_{21} b_{12}+a_{22} b_{22}+\ldots+a_{2 n} b_{n 2} & \ldots & a_{21} b_{1 p}+a_{22} b_{2 p}+\ldots+a_{2 n} b_{n p} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
a_{m 1} b_{11}+a_{m 2} b_{21}+\ldots+a_{m n} b_{n 1} & a_{m 1} b_{12}+a_{m 2} b_{22}+\ldots+a_{m n} b_{n 2} & \ldots & a_{m 1} b_{1 p}+a_{m 2} b_{2 p}+\ldots+a_{m n} b_{n p}
\end{array}\right]}
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma F.2.2. Let $n$ be an integer and $R$ an ring. Then
(a) $\left(\mathrm{M}_{n}(R),+, \cdot\right)$ is a ring.
(b) $0_{\mathrm{M}_{n}(R)}=\left(0_{R}\right)_{i j}$.
(c) $-\left[a_{i j}\right]=\left[-a_{i j}\right]$ for any $\left[a_{i j}\right] \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(R)$.
(d) If $R$ has an identity, then $\mathrm{M}_{n}(R)$ has an identity and $1_{\mathrm{M}_{n}(R)}=\left(\delta_{i j}\right)$, where

$$
\delta_{i j}= \begin{cases}1_{R} & \text { if } i=j \\ 0_{R} & \text { if } i \neq j\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Put $J=\{1, \ldots, n\} \times\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and observe that $\left(\mathrm{M}_{n}(R),+\right)=\left(\mathrm{X}_{j \in J} R,+\right)$. So F.1.2 implies that Ax 1 Ax 5, (b) and (c) hold.

Clearly Ax 6 holds. To verify Ax 7 let $A=\left[a_{i j}\right], B=\left[b_{j k}\right]$ and $C=\left[c_{k l}\right]$ be in $\mathrm{M}_{n}(R)$. Put $D=A B$ and $E=B C$. Then

$$
(A B) C=D C=\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} d_{i k} c_{k l}\right]_{i l}=\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} b_{j k}\right) c_{k l}\right]_{i l}=\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{i j} b_{j k} c_{k l}\right]_{i l}
$$

and

$$
A(B C)=A E=\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} e_{j l}\right]_{i l}=\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{j k} c_{k l}\right)\right]_{i l}=\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{i j} b_{j k} c_{k l}\right]_{i l}
$$

Thus $A(B C)=(A B) C$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(A+B) C=\left[a_{i j}+b_{i j}\right]_{i j} \cdot\left[c_{j k}\right]_{i k}=\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(a_{i j}+b_{i j}\right) c_{j k}\right]_{i k} \\
&=\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} c_{j k}\right]_{i k}+\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{i j} c_{j k}\right]_{i k}=A C+B C
\end{aligned}
$$

So $(A+B) C=A C+B C$ and similarly $A(B+C)=A B+A C$. Thus $\mathrm{M}_{n}(R)$ is a ring.
Suppose now that $R$ has an identity $1_{R}$. Put $I=\left[\delta_{i j}\right]_{i j}$, where

$$
\delta_{i j}= \begin{cases}1_{R} & \text { if } i=j \\ 0_{R} & \text { if } i=j\end{cases}
$$

If $i \neq j$, then $\delta_{i j} a_{j k}=0_{R} a_{j k}=0_{R}$ and if $i=j$ then $\delta_{i j} a_{j k}=1_{F} a_{i k}=a_{i k}$. Thus

$$
I A=\left[\sum_{j=1} \delta_{i j} a_{j k}\right]_{i k}=\left[a_{i k}\right]_{i k}=A
$$

and similarly $A I=A$. Thus $A$ is an identity in $R$ and so (d) holds.

## F. 3 Polynomial Rings

In this section we show that if $R$ is ring with identity then existence of a polynomial ring with coefficients in $R$.

Theorem F.3.1. Let $R$ be a ring. Let $P$ be the set of all functions $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow R$ such that there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(i)=0_{R} \text { for all } i>m \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define an addition and multiplication on $P$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f+g)(i)=f(i)+g(i) \quad \text { and } \quad(f g)(i)=\sum_{k=0}^{i} f(i) g(k-i) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(a) $P$ is a ring.
(b) For $r \in R$ define $r^{\circ} \in P$ by

$$
r^{\circ}(i):= \begin{cases}r & \text { if } i=0  \tag{3}\\ 0_{R} & \text { if } i \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

Then the map $R \rightarrow P, r \rightarrow r^{\circ}$ is a 1-1 homomorphism.
(c) Suppose $R$ has an identity and define $x \in P$ by

$$
x(i):= \begin{cases}1_{R} & \text { if } i=1 \\ 0_{R} & \text { if } i \neq 1\end{cases}
$$

Then (after identifying $r \in R$ with $r^{\circ}$ in $P$ ), $P$ is a polynomial ring with coefficients in $R$ and indeterminate $x$.

Proof. Let $f, g \in P$. Let $\operatorname{deg} f$ be the minimal $m \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ for which (1) holds. Observe that (2) defines functions $f+g$ and $f g$ from $\mathbb{N}$ to $R$. So to show that $f+g$ and $f g$ are in $P$ we need to verify that (1) holds for $f+g$ and $f g$ as well. Let $m=\max \operatorname{deg} f, \operatorname{deg} g$ and $n=\operatorname{deg} f+\operatorname{deg} g$. Then for $i>m, f(i)=0_{R}$ and $g(i)=0_{R}$ and so also $(f+g)(i)=0_{R}$. Also if $i>n$ and $0 \leq k \leq i$, then either $k<\operatorname{deg} f$ or $i-k>\operatorname{deg} g$. In either case $f(k) g(i-k)=0_{R}$ and so $(f g)(i)=0_{R}$. So we indeed have $f+g \in P$ and $f g \in P$. Thus axiom Ax 1 and Ax 6 hold. We now verify the remaining axioms one by one. Observe that $f$ and $g$ in $P$ are equal if and only if $f(i)=g(i)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $f, g, h \in P$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Ax 2

$$
\begin{aligned}
((f+g)+h)(i) & =(f+g)(i)+h(i) \\
& =(f(i)+g(i))+h(i)
\end{aligned}=f(i)+(g(i)+h(i)), ~(f+(g+h))(i)
$$

$$
\text { Ax 3 } \quad(f+g)(i)=f(i)+g(i)=g(i)+f(i)=(g+f)(i)
$$

Ax 4 Define $0_{P} \in P$ by $0_{P}(i)=0_{R}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(f+0_{P}\right)(i) & =f(i)+0_{P}(i)
\end{aligned}=f(i)+0_{R}=f(i), ~=0_{P}(i)+f(i)=0_{R}+f(i)=f(i)
$$

Ax 5 Define $-f \in P$ by $(-f)(i)=-f(i)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$
(f+(-f))(i)=f(i)+(-f)(i)=f(i)+(-f(i))=0_{R}=0_{P}(i)
$$

Ax 7 Any triple of non-negative integers $(k, l, p)$ with $k+l+p=i$ be uniquely written as $(k, j-k, i-j)$ where $0 \leq j \leq i$ and $0 \leq k \leq j-k)$ and uniquely as $(k, l, i-k-l)$ where $0 \leq i \leq k$ and $0 \leq l \leq i-k$. This is used in the fourth equality sign in the following computation:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
((f g) h)(i) & = & \sum_{j=0}^{i}(f g)(j) \cdot h(i-j) & \sum_{j=0}^{i}\left(\left(\sum_{k=0}^{j} f(k) g(j-k)\right) h(i-j)\right) \\
& \left.=\sum_{j=0}^{i}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{j} f(k) g(j-k)\right) h(i-j)\right) & \left.=\sum_{k=0}^{i}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{i-k} f(k) g(l) h(i-k-l)\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{i}\left(f(k)\left(\sum_{l=0}^{i-k} g(l) h(i-k-l)\right)\right) & = & \sum_{k=0}^{i} f(k) \cdot(g h)(i-k) \\
& = & (f(g h))(i)
\end{array}
$$

Ax 8

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(f \cdot(g+h))(i)=\sum_{j=0}^{i} f(j) \cdot(g+h)(i-j) \\
&=\sum_{j=0}^{i} f(j) \cdot(g(i-j)+h(i-j)) \\
&=\begin{array}{c}
i \\
i=0 \\
i
\end{array}(f g) g(i-j)+f(j) h(i-j)+(f h)(i) \\
&=\sum_{j=0}^{i} f(j) g(i-j)+\sum_{j=0}^{i} f(j) h(i-j) \\
&((f+g) \cdot h)(i)=\sum_{j=0}^{i}(f+g)(j) \cdot h(i-j) \\
&=\sum_{j=0}^{i} f(j) h(i-j)+g(j) h(i-j) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{i} f(j) h(i-j)+\sum_{j=0}^{i} g(j) h(i-j) \\
&=\quad(f h)(i)+(g h)(i)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since Ax 1 through Ax 8 hold we conclude that $P$ is a ring and a is proved. Let $r, s \in R$ and $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$. We compute

$$
(r+s)^{\circ}(i)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
r+s & \text { if } i=0  \tag{4}\\
0_{R} & \text { if } i \neq 0
\end{array}=r^{\circ}(i)+s^{\circ}(i)=\left(r^{\circ}+s^{\circ}\right)(i)\right.
$$

and

$$
\left(r^{\circ} s\right)(i)=\sum_{k=0}^{i} r^{\circ}(k) s(i-k)
$$

Note that $r^{\circ}(k)=0_{R}$ unless $k=0$ and $s^{\circ}(i-k)=0_{R}$ unless and $i-k=0$. Hence $r^{\circ}(k) s(i-k)=0_{R}$ unless $k=0$ and $i-k=0$ (and so also $i=0$ ). Thus $\left(r^{\circ} s\right)(i)=0$ if $i \neq 0$ and $\left(r^{\circ} s\right)(0)=r^{\circ}(0) s^{\circ}(0)=r s$. This

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{\circ} s^{\circ}=(r s)^{\circ} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $\rho: R \rightarrow P, r \rightarrow r^{\circ}$. If $r, s \in R$ with $r^{\circ}=s^{\circ}$, then $r=r^{\circ}(1)=s^{\circ}(1)=s$ and so $\rho$ is 1-1. By (4) and (5), $\rho$ is a homomorphism and so $\sqrt{\mathrm{b}}$ is proved.

Assume from now on that $R$ has an identity.
For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\delta_{k} \in P$ be defined by

$$
\delta_{k}(i):= \begin{cases}1_{R} & \text { if } i=k  \tag{6}\\ 0_{R} & \text { if } i \neq k\end{cases}
$$

Let $f \in P$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r^{\circ} f\right)(i)=\sum_{k=0}^{i} r^{\circ}(k) f(i-k)=r \cdot f(i)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} 0_{R} f(i-k)=r \cdot f(i) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f r^{\circ}\right)(i)=f(i) \cdot r \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $1_{R}^{\circ}$ is an identity in $P$. Since $\delta_{0}=1_{R}^{\circ}$ we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{0}=1_{R}^{\circ}=1_{P} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $f=\delta_{k}$ we conclude that

$$
\left(r^{\circ} \delta_{k}\right)(i)=\left(\delta_{k} r^{\circ}\right)(i)= \begin{cases}r & \text { if } i=k  \tag{10}\\ 0_{R} & \text { if } i \neq k\end{cases}
$$

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_{0}, \ldots a_{m} \in R$. Then (10) implies

$$
\left(\sum_{k=0}^{m} a_{k}^{\circ} \delta\right)(i)= \begin{cases}a_{i} & \text { if } i \leq m  \tag{11}\\ 0_{R} & \text { if } i>m\end{cases}
$$

We conclude that if $f \in P$ and $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots a_{m} \in R$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\sum_{k=0}^{m} a_{k}^{\circ} \delta_{k} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad m \geq \operatorname{deg} f \text { and } a_{k}=f(k) \text { for all } 0 \leq k \leq m \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We compute

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\delta_{k} \delta_{l}\right)(i)=\sum_{j=0}^{i} \delta_{k}(j) \delta_{l}(i-j) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\delta_{k}(j) \delta_{l}(i-j)$ is $0_{R}$ unless $j=k$ and $l=i-j$, that is unless $j=k$ and $i=l+k$, in which case it is $1_{R}$, we conclude

$$
\left(\delta_{k} \delta_{l}\right)(i)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1_{R} & \text { if } i=k+l  \tag{14}\\
0_{R} & \text { if } i \neq k+l
\end{array}=\delta_{k+l}(i)\right.
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{k} \delta_{l}=\delta_{k+l} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $x=\delta_{1}$. We conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{k}=\delta_{k} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (10)

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{\circ} x=x r^{\circ} \quad \text { for all } r \in R \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will now verify the four conditions (i)-(iv) in the definition of a polynomial. By (b) we we can identify $r$ with $r^{\circ}$ in $R$. Then $R$ becomes a subring of $P$. By (9), $1_{R}^{\circ}=1_{P}$. So (i) holds. By (17), (ii) holds. (iii) and (iv) follow from (12) and (16).

Lemma F.3.2. Let $R$ and $P$ be rings and $x \in P$. Suppose that Conditions (iv)- in 4.1.1 hold under the convention that $f_{0} x^{0}:=f_{0}$ for all $f_{0} \in R$. Then $R$ and $P$ have identities and $1_{R}=1_{P}$.

Proof. Since $x \in P$,4.1.1 iii) shows that $x=\sum_{i=0}^{m} e_{i} x^{i}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $e_{0}, e_{1}, \ldots e_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $r \in R$. Then

$$
r x=r \sum_{i=0}^{n} e_{i} x^{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(r e_{i}\right) x^{i} .
$$

So 4.1.1 iv shows that $r e_{1}=r$. Since $r x=x r$ by 4.1.1(iii) a similar argument gives $e_{1} r=e$ and so $e_{1}$ is an identity in $R$ and $e_{1}=1_{R}$. Now let $f \in P$. Then $f=\sum_{i=0}^{n} f_{i} x^{i}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n} \in R$. Thus

$$
f \cdot 1_{R}=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} f_{i} x^{i}\right) \cdot 1_{R}=\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(f_{i} 1_{R}\right) x^{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{n} f_{i} x^{i}=f
$$

Similarly, $1_{R} \cdot f=f$ and so $1_{R}$ is an identity in $P$.

## Appendix G

## Cardinalities

## G. 1 Cardinalities of Finite Sets

Notation G.1.1. For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ set $[a \ldots b]:=\{c \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a \leq c \leq b\}$.
Lemma G.1.2. Let $A \subsetneq[1 \ldots n]$. Then there exists a bijection $\alpha:[1 \ldots n] \rightarrow[1 \ldots n]$ with $\alpha(A) \subseteq[1 \ldots n-1]$.
Proof. Since $A \neq[1 \ldots n]$ there exists $m \in[1 \ldots n]$ with $m \notin A$. Define $\alpha:[1 \ldots n] \rightarrow[1 \ldots n]$ by $\alpha(n)=m$, $\alpha(m)=n$ and $\alpha(i)=i$ for all $i \in[1 \ldots n]$ with $n \neq i \neq m$. It is easy to verify that $\alpha$ is bijection. Since $\alpha(m)=n$ and $m \notin A, \alpha(a) \neq n$ for all $a \in A$. So $n \notin \alpha(A)$ and so $\alpha(A) \subseteq[1 \ldots n]-1$.

Lemma G.1.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\beta:[1 \ldots n] \rightarrow[1 \ldots n]$ be a function. If $\beta$ is $1-1$, then $\beta$ is onto.
Proof. The proof is by induction on $n$. If $n=1$, then $\beta(1)=1$ and so $\beta$ is onto. Let $A=\beta([1 \ldots n-1])$. Since $\beta(n) \notin A, A \neq[1 \ldots n]$. Thus by G.1.2 there exists a bijection $\alpha:[1 \ldots n]$ with $\alpha(A) \subseteq[1 \ldots n-1]$. Thus $\alpha \beta([1 \ldots n-1]) \subseteq[1 \ldots n-1]$. By induction $\alpha \beta([1 \ldots n-1]=[1 \ldots n-1]$. Since $\alpha \beta$ is $1-1$ we conclude that $\alpha \beta(n)=n$. Thus $\alpha \beta$ is onto and $\alpha \beta$ is a bijection. Since $\alpha$ is also a bijection this implies that $\beta$ is a bijection.

Definition G.1.4. $A$ set $A$ is finite if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a bijection $\alpha: A \rightarrow[1 \ldots n]$.
Lemma G.1.5. Let $A$ be a finite set. Then there exists a unique $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for which there exists a bijection $\alpha: A \rightarrow[1 \ldots n]$.

Proof. By definition of a finite set G.1.4 there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a bijection $\alpha: A \rightarrow[1 \ldots n]$. Suppose that also $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta: A \rightarrow[1 \ldots m]$ is a bijection. We need to show that $n=m$ and may assume that $n \leq m$. Let $\gamma:[1 \ldots n] \rightarrow[1 \ldots m], i \rightarrow i$ and $\delta:=\gamma \circ \alpha \circ \beta^{-1}$. Then $\gamma$ is a $1-1$ function from [1...m] to [1...m] and so by G.1.3. $\delta$ is onto. Thus also $\gamma$ is onto. Since $\gamma([1 \ldots n])=[1 \ldots n]$ we conclude that $[1 \ldots n]=[1 \ldots m]$ and so also $n=m$.

Definition G.1.6. Let $A$ be a finite set. Then the unique $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for which there exists a bijection $\alpha: A \rightarrow$ $[1 \ldots n]$ is called the cardinality or size of $A$ and is denoted by $|A|$.

Theorem G.1.7. Let $A$ and $B$ be finite sets.
(a) If $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$ is $1-1$ then $|A| \leq|B|$, with equality if and only if $\alpha$ is onto.
(b) If $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$ is onto then $|A| \geq|B|$, with equality if and only if $\alpha$ is 1-1.
(c) If $A \subseteq B$ then $|A| \leq|B|$, with equality if and only if $|A|=|B|$.

Proof. (a) If $\alpha$ is onto then $\alpha$ is a bijection and so $|A|=|B|$. So it suffices to show that if $|A| \geq|B|$, then $\alpha$ is onto. Put $n=|A|$ and $m=|B|$ and let $\beta: A \rightarrow[1 \ldots n]$ and $\gamma: B \rightarrow[1 \ldots m]$ be bijection. Assume $n \geq m$ and let $\delta:[1 \ldots m] \rightarrow[1 \ldots n]$ be the inclusion map. Then $\delta \gamma \alpha \beta^{-1}$ is a $1-1$ function form $[1 \ldots n]$ to $[1 \ldots n]$ and so by G.1.3 its onto. Hence $\delta$ is onto, $n=m$ and $\delta$ is bijection. Since also $\gamma$ is bijection, this forces $\alpha \beta^{-1}$ to be onto and so also $\alpha$ is onto.
(b) Since $\alpha$ is onto there exists $\beta: B \rightarrow A$ with $\alpha \beta=\operatorname{id}_{B}$. Then $\beta$ is $1-1$ and so by (a), $|B| \leq|A|$ and $\beta$ is a bijection if and only if $|A|=|B|$. Since $\alpha$ is a bijection if and only if $\beta$ is, (b) is proved.
(c) Follows from (a) applied to the inclusion map $A \rightarrow B$.

Proposition G.1.8. Let $A$ and be $B$ be finite sets. Then
(a) If $A \cap B=\emptyset$, then $|A \cup B|=|A|+|B|$.
(b) $|A \times B|=|A| \cdot|B|$.

Proof. (a) Put $n=|A|, m=|B|$ and let $\beta: A \rightarrow[1 \ldots n]$ and $\gamma: B \rightarrow[1 \ldots m]$ be bijections. Define $\gamma: A \cup B \rightarrow[1 \ldots n+m]$ by

$$
\gamma(c)= \begin{cases}\alpha(c) & \text { if } c \in A \\ \beta(c)+n & \text { if } c \in B\end{cases}
$$

Then it is readily verified that $\gamma$ is a bijection and so $|A \cup B|=n+m=|A|+|B|$.
(b) The proof is by induction on $|B|$. If $|B|=0$, then $B=\emptyset$ and so also $A \times B=\emptyset$. If $|B|=1$, then $B=\{b\}$ for some $b \in B$ and so the map $A \rightarrow A \times B, a \rightarrow(a, b)$ is a bijection. Thus $|A \times B|=|A|=|A| \cdot|B|$. Suppose now that (b) holds for any set $B$ of size $k$. Let $C$ be a set of size $k+1$. Pick $c \in C$ and put $B=C \backslash\{c\}$. Then $C=B \cup\{c\}$ and so (a) implies $|B|=k$. So by induction $|A \times B|=|A| \cdot k$. Also $|A \times\{c\}=|A|$ and so by (a)

$$
|A \times C|=|A \times B|+|A \times\{c\}|=|A| \cdot k+|A|=|A| \cdot(k+1)=|A||C|
$$

(b) now follows from the principal of mathematical induction 0.4.2.
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