Nonlinear Equations

## How can we solve these equations?

- Spring force:
$F=k x$

What is the displacement when $F=2 \mathrm{~N}$ ?


## How can we solve these equations?

- Drag force:
$F=0.5 C_{d} \rho A v^{2}=\mu_{d} v^{2}$
What is the velocity when $F=20 \mathrm{~N}$ ?


$$
f(v)=\mu_{d} v^{2}-F=0
$$

Find the root (zero) of the nonlinear equation $f(v)$


## Nonlinear Equations in 1D

Goal: Solve $f(x)=0$ for $f: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$
Often called Root Finding

## Bisection method



## Bisection method



## Convergence

An iterative method converges with rate $r$ if:
$\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|e_{k+1}\right\|}{\left\|e_{k}\right\|^{r}}=C, \quad 0<C<\infty \quad r=1$ : linear convergence

Linear convergence gains a constant number of accurate digits each step (and $C<1$ matters!)

For example: Power Iteration

## Convergence

An iterative method converges with rate $r$ if:
$\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|e_{k+1}\right\|}{\left\|e_{k}\right\|^{r}}=C, \quad 0<C<\infty$
$r=1$ : linear convergence
$r>1$ : superlinear convergence
$r=2$ : quadratic convergence
Linear convergence gains a constant number of accurate digits each step (and $C<1$ matters!)

Quadratic convergence doubles the number of accurate digits in each step (however it only starts making sense once $\left\|e_{k}\right\|$ is small (and $C$ does not matter much)

## Convergence

- The bisection method does not estimate $x_{k}$, the approximation of the desired root $\boldsymbol{x}$. It instead finds an interval smaller than a given tolerance that contains the root.


## Example:

Consider the nonlinear equation

$$
f(x)=0.5 x^{2}-2
$$

and solving $f(x)=0$ using the Bisection Method. For each of the initial intervals below, how many iterations are required to ensure the root is accurate within $2^{-4}$ ?
A) $[-10,-1.8]$
B) $[-3,-2.1]$
C) $[-4,1.9]$

## Bisection method



## Algorithm:

1. Take two points, $a$ and $b$, on each side of the root such that $f(a)$ and $f(b)$ have opposite signs.
2. Calculate the midpoint $m=\frac{a+b}{2}$
3. Evaluate $f(m)$ and use $m$ to replace either $a$ or $b$, keeping the signs of the endpoints opposite.

## Bisection Method - summary

$\square$ The function must be continuous with a root in the interval $[a, b]$
$\square$ Requires only one function evaluations for each iteration!

- The first iteration requires two function evaluations.
$\square$ Given the initial internal $[a, b]$, the length of the interval after $k$ iterations is $\frac{b-a}{2^{k}}$
$\square$ Has linear convergence


## Newton's method

- Recall we want to solve $f(x)=0$ for $f: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$
- The Taylor expansion:

$$
f\left(x_{k}+h\right) \approx f\left(x_{k}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right) h
$$

gives a linear approximation for the nonlinear function $f$ near $x_{k}$.

## Newton's method



## Example

Consider solving the nonlinear equation

$$
5=2.0 e^{x}+x^{2}
$$

What is the result of applying one iteration of Newton's method for solving nonlinear equations with initial starting guess $x_{0}=0$, i.e. what is $x_{1}$ ?
A) -2
B) 0.75
C) -1.5
D) 1.5
E) 3.0

## Newton's Method - summary

Must be started with initial guess close enough to root (convergence is only local). Otherwise it may not converge at all.
$\square$ Requires function and first derivative evaluation at each iteration (think about two function evaluations)
$\square$ Typically has quadratic convergence

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|e_{k+1}\right\|}{\left\|e_{k}\right\|^{2}}=C, \quad 0<C<\infty
$$

$\square$ What can we do when the derivative evaluation is too costly (or difficult to evaluate)?

## Secant method

Also derived from Taylor expansion, but instead of using $f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)$, it approximates the tangent with the secant line:

$$
x_{k+1}=x_{k}-f\left(x_{k}\right) / f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)
$$



## Secant Method - summary

Still local convergence
$\square$ Requires only one function evaluation per iteration (only the first iteration requires two function evaluations)
$\square$ Needs two starting guesses
$\square$ Has slower convergence than Newton's Method - superlinear convergence

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|e_{k+1}\right\|}{\left\|e_{k}\right\|^{r}}=C, \quad 1<r<2
$$

## 1D methods for root finding:

| Method | Update | Convergence | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bisection | Check signs of $f(a)$ and $f(b)$ $t_{k}=\frac{\|b-a\|}{2^{k}}$ | Linear ( $r=1$ and $\mathrm{c}=0.5$ ) | One function evaluation per iteration, no need to compute derivatives |
| Secant | $\begin{gathered} x_{k+1}=x_{k}+h \\ h=-f\left(x_{k}\right) / d f a \\ d f a=\frac{f\left(x_{k}\right)-f\left(x_{k-1}\right)}{\left(x_{k}-x_{k-1}\right)} \end{gathered}$ | Superlinear ( $r=1.618$ ), local convergence properties, convergence depends on the initial guess | One function evaluation per iteration (two evaluations for the initial guesses only), no need to compute derivatives |
| Newton | $\begin{gathered} x_{k+1}=x_{k}+h \\ h=-f\left(x_{k}\right) / f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Quadratic ( $r=2$ ), local convergence properties, convergence depends on the initial guess | Two function evaluations per iteration, requires first order derivatives |

## Nonlinear system of equations



## Robotic arms


(d) Jointed-arm

https:/ / www.youtube.com/watch?v=NRgNDlVtmz0 (Robotic arm 1)
https: / / www.youtube.com/watch?v=9DqRkLQ5Sv8 (Robotic arm 2)
https:/ / www.youtube.com/watch?v=DZ ocmY8xEI (Blender)

## Inverse Kinematics

## Nonlinear system of equations

Goal: Solve $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x})=\mathbf{0}$ for $\boldsymbol{f}: \mathcal{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^{n}$

## Newton's method

Approximate the nonlinear function $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x})$ by a linear function using Taylor expansion:

## Newton's method

## Algorithm:

## Convergence:

- Typically has quadratic convergence
- Drawback: Still only locally convergent


## Cost:

- Main cost associated with computing the Jacobian matrix and solving the Newton step.


## Example

Consider solving the nonlinear system of equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
2=2 y+x \\
4=x^{2}+4 y^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

What is the result of applying one iteration of Newton's method with the following initial guess?

$$
x_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Newton's method

$$
\boldsymbol{x}_{0}=\text { initial guess }
$$

For $k=1,2, \ldots$

Evaluate $\mathbf{J}=\boldsymbol{J}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{k}\right)$
Evaluate $\boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right)$
Factorization of Jacobian (for example $\mathbf{L} \mathbf{U}=\boldsymbol{J}$ )
Solve using factorized J (for example $\mathbf{L U} \boldsymbol{s}_{k}=-\boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{k}\right)$
Update $\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1}=\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{k}}+\boldsymbol{s}_{k}$

## Newton's method - summary

$\square$ Typically quadratic convergence (local convergence)
$\square$ Computing the Jacobian matrix requires the equivalent of $n^{2}$ function evaluations for a dense problem (where every function of $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x})$ depends on every component of $\boldsymbol{X}$ ).
$\square$ Computation of the Jacobian may be cheaper if the matrix is sparse.
$\square$ The cost of calculating the step $\boldsymbol{S}$ is $O\left(n^{3}\right)$ for a dense Jacobian matrix (Factorization + Solve)
$\square$ If the same Jacobian matrix $\boldsymbol{J}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right)$ is reused for several consecutive iterations, the convergence rate will suffer accordingly (trade-off between cost per iteration and number of iterations needed for convergence)

## Inverse Kinematics

