







3

Have you discovered the missing element?



www.acs.org/2joinACS

Find the many benefits of ACS membership!



www.acs.org/2joinACS



How has ACS Webinars[®] benefited you?



"ACS Webinars benefits me by giving me ideas on how to expand my work on pathological crystallization. I normally work with the crystal growth of the biomineral and the recent webinar gave me ideas to test when inhibiting/regulating the crystallization of my samples."

Fan of the Week



Be a featured fan on an upcoming webinar! Write to us @ acswebinars@acs.org







Learn from the best and brightest minds in chemistry! Hundreds of webinars presented by subject matter experts in the chemical enterprise.

Recordings are available to current ACS members one week after the Live broadcast date.

Broadcasts of ACS Webinars[®] continue to be available to the general public LIVE every Thursday at 2pm ET!

Upcoming ACS Webinars[®] www.acs.org/acswebinars





Thursday, November 5, 2015 "From Truth Serum to Anesthesia: The Discovery and Uses of Sodium Thiopental"

Michael Matson, Reservoir Engineer, Kinder Morgan CO² Dave Harwell, Assistant Manager of Industry Member Programs, The American **Chemical Society**



Thursday, November 12, 2015 "Chemistry of Addiction"

Anthony Rappé, Professor of Chemistry, Colorado State University Darren Griffen, Professor of Genetics, University of Kent

Contact ACS Webinars ® at acswebinars@acs.org

AAPS **AAPS Preformulation 101** Lecture 1: Preformulation and Biopharmaceutical Lecture 6: Biopharmaceutic Considerations Considerations in Drug Product Design and Development Lecture 7: Chemical Stability Assessment in Lecture 2: Drug Substance Physical Form Selection Preformulation Lecture 3: Drug Substance Physical Form Lecture 8: Excipient Compatibility Studies Characterization Lecture 4: Solubility: General Principles and Practical Lecture 9: Impact of Material Properties on Considerations Formulation Development Lecture 5: Dissolution and its Role in Solid Oral Lecture 10: Prototype Formulations Dosage Form Development Screening and Characterization **NEW eCourse Available NOW!**

Visit www.aaps.org/PF101 for more information.

<section-header>Join the ACS Division of
Medicinal Chemistry Today!ConstructionImage: Construction of
Medicinal
Chemistry Chemistry
Chemistry
Chemistry
Chemistry
Chemistry review
colume (over 600 pages, \$160 retail price)Image: Abstracts of MEDI programming at national meetings
Chemistry and fellowshipsImage: Abstracts of MEDI programming at national meetingsImage: Abstract of Berline programming at national meetings

Find out more about the ACS MEDI Division! www.acsmedchem.org

2015 Drug Design & #ACSWebinars Co-produced by ACS Division of Medicinal Chemistry **Delivery Symposium** American Association of Pharmaceutical Scientists (AAPS) Module 1: Improving Drug Design Efficiency and Efficacy Jan 29 Designing Better Drug Candidates Strategies to Improve Solubility of Drug Candidates Feb 26 Module 2: Activity/Potency Screening for Drug Lead & Candidate Optimization Mar 19 Fragment-Based Drug Design Strategies April 30 **Screening Strategies May 28** PAINS (Pan-Assay Interference Compounds) Positron Emission Tomography (PET) Labeling in Drug June 25 **Discovery & Development** July 30 X-Ray Crystallography in Drug Discovery Module 3: Enabling Drug Discovery Aug 27 Choices and Trends in Solid Dosage Form Section Delivery Options to Support Dose Escalation in Preclinical Sept 24 Toxicology and Pharmacodynamic Activity Studies Module 4: Pharmacokinetics **Oct 29** Pharmacokinetic Considerations in Drug Design and Development Nov 19 Prodrugs in Drug Discovery

12

13

<image><section-header><section-header><text>



The 2015 Drug Design and Delivery Symposium is co-produced by the ACS Medicinal Chemistry Division and the AAPS

"Pharmacokinetic Considerations in Drug Design and Development"



Objectives

- > What are the key pharmacokinetic parameters?
- > How are these parameters inter-related?
- How do we interpret preclinical pharmacokinetic parameters for achieving desirable clinical exposure?



Importance of Pharmacokinetics on Clinical Drug Attrition



Attrition of drugs due to poor pharmacokinetic properties has significantly decreased.



What role can DMPK scientists play?

- Work with medicinal chemists to optimize SAR
- Work with biologists to understand target biology
- Try to achieve a balance of potency, selectivity and ADME properties
- All of the above



Basic Concepts in Pharmacokinetics

> Primary pharmacokinetic parameters: Clearance and Volume of distribution

> Secondary pharmacokinetic parameters: Half-life, Bioavailability

Important Pharmacokinetic Parameters

- Clearance (CL)
- > Volume of distribution (V_d)
- Half-life (t_{1/2})
- > Bioavailability (F%)
- Protein binding (f_u)



Clearance Concepts

• Clearance describes how efficiently or rapidly a drug is eliminated from the body

Metabolism: liver, intestine, lung, kidney, etc.

Excretion: urine, bile, saliva, milk, etc.

• Clearance is defined as:

Elimination

$$CL (mL/min) = \frac{Rate \text{ of Elimination } (\mu g/min)}{Blood \text{ or Plasma Conc } (\mu g/mL)}$$

In Practice:

- ✓ CL = Dose_{iv} / AUC_{iv} (Need to dose IV to estimate CL of compound)
- ✓ After PO dose CL is apparent clearance (CL/F) $CL/F = Dose_{po} / AUC_{po}$, Where F = oral bioavailability





- · t_{1/2} changes in inverse proportion to CL
 - Decrease in CL results in a proportional increase in t_{1/2} and vice versa
- \cdot CL is the only parameter that affects both $t_{\mbox{\tiny 1/2}}$ and AUC
 - 5-fold reduction in CL resulted in 5-fold increase in t_{1/2} and AUC



Organ Clearance and Extraction Ratio

Clearance - Organ CL



Now.

Rate of Entry = Rate of Leaving + Rate of Elimination

Rate of Elimination = Rate of Entry - Rate of Leaving = Q * Ca - Q * Cv

$$\therefore CL = \frac{Rate of Elimination}{C_a} = Q \bullet \frac{(C_a - C_v)}{C_a}$$

 $CL = Q \bullet Extraction Ratio$

Extraction ratio is commonly used to triage compounds in discovery

Example: Calculating Extraction Ratio in Preclinical Species

Blood Flow to the Liver in Various Species						
Blood flow	Mouse (0.02 kg)	Rat (0.25 kg)	Monkey (5 kg)	Dog (10 kg)	Human (70 kg)	
mL/min	1.8	13.8	218	309	1450	
mL/min/kg	90	65	44	31	21	

Calculating ER

- If NCE has systemic blood clearance of 25 mL/min/kg in Cyno
- Hepatic Extraction ratio in Cyno = CL/Q_{liver} = 25 / 44 = 0.56 •
- This is considered intermediate clearance
- Useful criterion to triage molecules in discovery •
- Prefer compounds with ER < 0.3
- Classification
 - Low CL (ER <0.3), Intermediate CL (ER: 0.3 0.7) and High CL (ER > 0.7)



Assessing the Contribution of Individual Pathways to Total Clearance

For a drug that undergoes hepatic and renal CL

CL_{total} = CL_{hepatic} + CL_{renal}

- <u>Estimating individual pathways</u>
- a. <u>Renal CL</u>: Measure fraction of drug excreted unchanged in urine (fe)
 fe = Amount of drug in urine/Dose
 CL_R = f_e * CL (Difficult to optimize in discovery setting)

b. <u>Hepatic CL</u> = CL_{total} - CL_{renal}

- ✓ In vitro microsomal/hepatocyte turnover can be correlated to in vivo clearance establishing IVIVC
- ✓ Following IVIVC, liver microsomes can used to optimize in vivo CL
- Microsomal turnover can be further extended to establish common metabolic pathways in preclinical species and human

Example: Interpretation of Interspecies Differences in Clearance

Compound A

Mouse CL:	19 mL/min.kg	Bioavailablity: 78%
Rat CL:	11 mL/min.kg	Bioavailability: >100%
Dog CL:	9.5 mL/min.kg	Bioavailability: 62%
Monkey CL:	40 mL/min/kg	Bioavailability: 8%

- In vivo CL could be predicted from in vitro CL in liver microsomes
 - Based on human in vitro CL, in vivo CL predicted to be 7.8 mL/min/kg
 - Allometric scaling predicted human CL of 16.4 mL/min/kg
- Compound advanced to development based on understanding of differences in metabolic CL and pathways



Important Pharmacokinetic Parameters

- > Clearance (CL)
- > Volume of distribution (V_d)
- > Half-life (t_{1/2})
- > Bioavailability (F%)
- Protein binding (f_u)



The apparent volume of distribution (Vd) measures how well a drug is distributed outside the vascular space and is defined as:

$$V_d$$
 (mL)= $\frac{Amount in Body (\mu g)}{Blood or PlasmaConc (\mu g/mL)}$

- > Why is Vd an apparent volume?
 - Because Vd is a term that relates blood or plasma concentration of a drug to its amount in the body
 - · It rarely reflects true physiologic volume, such as plasma or total body water





Why is Volume of Distribution "Apparent" ?

Volume of Distribution in Relation to Physiologic Volumes

Physiologic volumes

Total body water = Intracellular fluid + Extracellular fluid Extracellular fluid = Plasma+ Interstitial fluid

	Plasma	Extracellular Fluid	Total body Water
70-kg Human	3 L	18 L	42 L
	(0.04 L/kg)	(0.3 L/kg)	(0.6 L/kg)

- Vd scales very well with body weight similar volumes for preclinical animal species
- · Preclinical studies are valuable in estimating Vd in humans



Effects of Changes in Volume of Distribution on PK Profile



- > t_{1/2} changes in direct proportion to Vd
 - Increase in Vd results in a proportional increase in t_{1/2} and vice versa
- > Change in Vd does not lead to change in AUC

Relationship of Vd with Protein Binding

V_{dss} can be related to true physiologic volume

$$V_{d,ss} = V_p + V_{tw} \bullet \frac{f_{u,p}}{f_{u,t}}$$

Where,

 $\begin{array}{l} V_p \text{ - plasma volume} \\ V_{tw}\text{- volume of tissue water outside plasma} \\ f_{u,p}\text{- unbound fraction in plasma} \\ f_{u,t}\text{- unbound fraction in tissues} \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \succ \ \uparrow f_{u,p} \Rightarrow \uparrow V_{dss} \\ \succ \ \downarrow f_{u,t} \ \Rightarrow \uparrow V_{dss} \end{array}$



33

Classification of Volume of Distribution

> When Vdss < 0.3 L/kg, a drug is considered to have a small volume of distribution

Indicates the drug could be highly protein bound in plasma and/or does not distribute to tissues

- · When is this desirable? For vascular or extracellular targets
- > When Vdss > 0.7 L/kg, a drug is said to have a relatively large volume of distribution

Indicates that the drug is distributed outside the vascular space

- May be well distributed in the body <u>OR</u>
- May not distribute throughout the body but only concentrates in certain tissues
- · When is this desirable? For intracellular targets



Which of the following is a TRUE statement about Vdss?

A) A small volume of distribution indicates the drug is not highly protein bound in plasma.

B) A large volume of distribution is desirable for vascular or extracellular targets.

C) A small volume of distribution is desirable for intracellular targets.

D) A large volume of distribution indicates the drug is inside the vascular space.

E) A large vol. of distribution indicates the drug is outside the vascular space.

Important Pharmacokinetic Parameters

- > Clearance (CL)
- > Volume of distribution (V_d)
- > Half-life (t_{1/2})
- > Bioavailability (F%)
- Protein binding (f_u)

35

Half-life - Definition

- > Defined as the time taken for the concentration of drug in blood or plasma to decline to half of its original value
- $\succ~t_{1/2}$ is a hybrid pharmacokinetic parameter and is determined by the V_d and CL
- t_{1/2} can be predicted from the predicted CL and V_{ss} values in preclinical species

$$t_{1/2} \text{ (min)} = \frac{0.693 \bullet V_{d} \text{ (mL/kg)}}{CL \text{ (mL/min/kg)}} \qquad \bullet \qquad \uparrow V_{d} \Rightarrow \uparrow t_{1/2}$$
$$\bullet \qquad \downarrow \text{ CL} \Rightarrow \uparrow t_{1/2}$$

If V_d is restricted to extracellular volume, CL needs to be dramatically reduced in order to have a decent t_{1/2}

e.g. V_d =0.3 L/kg, CL=1 mL/min/kg will lead to $t_{1/2}$ of 3.5 h

Why is Half-life Useful?

- <u>t_{1/2} ~10-20 h</u> enables once-a-day dosing
- Compounds with short t_{1/2} (~2-3 h) will require frequent daily dosing (poor compliance)
- Extremely long t_{1/2} (>50 100 h) is problematic
- Also useful for calculating extent of accumulation following multiple dosing
- Half-life enables estimation of "coverage" over a dosing interval



Important Pharmacokinetic Parameters

- Clearance (CL)
- > Volume of distribution (V_d)
- > Half-life (t1/2)
- > Bioavailability (F%)
- Protein binding (f_u)



39

Bioavailability - Definition and Estimation

- Oral bioavailability (F_{po}) measures the extent of absorption into the systemic circulation
- > Absolute bioavailability is defined as:



 Relative Bioavailability: Compares the AUC of two dosage forms (tablet vs. solution)





Determinants of Oral Bioavailability



Determinants of Oral Bioavailability

Estimation of Gut vs. Liver First Pass



- Dose via different routes and measure systemic concentration
- Remember

$$F = \frac{AUC_{DosePO}}{AUC_{DoseIV}}$$



Important Pharmacokinetic Parameters

- > Clearance (CL)
- > Volume of distribution (V_d)
- > Half-life (t1/2)
- > Bioavailability (F%)
- Protein binding (f_u)

Protein Binding

- Free-drug hypothesis- Only non protein-bound drug can exert therapeutic effect
- Only unbound drug can pass through most cell membranes; hence unbound drug concentration is more closely related to activity of drug than is total concentration
- \succ f_u = C_u/C
- > Representative proteins to which drugs bind in plasma:
 - ✓ albumin (35-50 g/L)
 - ✓ a1-acid glycoprotein (0.4-1 g/L)
 - ✓ lipoproteins (variable)



Example: Plasma protein binding of BMS development candidates



- > Difficult to measure accurately
- Small changes in protein binding may become significant

Protein Binding and V_d

V_{dss} can be related to true physiologic volume

$$V_{d,ss} = V_p + V_{tw} \bullet \frac{f_{u,p}}{f_{u,t}}$$

Where,

 $\begin{array}{l} V_p \text{ - plasma volume} \\ V_{tw}\text{- volume of tissue water outside plasma} \\ f_{u,p}\text{- unbound fraction in plasma} \\ f_{u,t}\text{- unbound fraction in tissues} \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \succ \ \uparrow f_{u,p} \Rightarrow \uparrow V_{dss} \\ \succ \ \downarrow f_{u,t} \ \Rightarrow \uparrow V_{dss} \end{array}$

46

Protein Binding and Hepatic Clearance

$$CL = \frac{Q_H f_{u,B} CL_{\text{int}}}{Q_H + f_{u,B} CL_{\text{int}}}$$

Where, Q_H = hepatic blood flow; CL_{int} = intrinsic clearance

For drugs with low extraction ratio: $CL = f_{\underline{u},\underline{B}}CL_{int}$ $\uparrow f_{u,B} \Rightarrow \uparrow CL; \downarrow C_{ss}$; assuming no change in Cl_{int}

For drugs with high extraction ratio: $CL = Q_H$; CL independent of plasma protein binding

Hepatic clearance is a function of plasma protein binding for low ER drugs



For low extraction ratio drugs

$$t_{1/2} = 0.693 \times \left[\frac{V_B}{f_{u,B}CL_{\text{int}}} + \frac{V_T}{f_{u,T}CL_{\text{int}}}\right]$$

 $\uparrow f_{u,B} \Rightarrow \downarrow t_{1/2};$ depending on relative magnitude of

 $J_{u,B}CL_{int}$

$$rac{V_{\scriptscriptstyle B}}{f_{\scriptscriptstyle u,B}CL_{\scriptscriptstyle
m int}}$$
 compared to $rac{V_{\scriptscriptstyle T}}{f_{\scriptscriptstyle u,T}CL_{\scriptscriptstyle
m int}}$

When V is large, half-life is independent of fuB

For high extraction ratio drugs

$$t_{1/2} = 0.693 \times [\frac{V_B + V_T \frac{f_{u,B}}{f_{u,T}}}{Q}]$$
 Changes in half-life as a function of protein binding depend on the magnitude of V and CL



Does Change in Plasma Protein Binding Change Efficacy?

Efficacy is determined by unbound drug concentrations at the active site

Common misconception:

- When plasma protein binding is reduced, increased unbound concentrations will cause increase in drug effect and potential toxicity
- > Focus on drugs administered orally and cleared by liver

$$AUC_{oral} = \frac{F_a \times F_G \times F_H \times Dose}{CL} \qquad F_H = 1 - ER = 1 - \frac{f_{u,B}CL_{int}}{\mathcal{Q}_u + f_{u,B}CL_{int}} \text{ and } CL = \frac{\mathcal{Q}_H \times f_{u,B}CL_{int}}{\mathcal{Q}_H + f_{u,B}CL_{int}}$$
$$AUC_{oral} = \frac{F_a \times F_G \times Dose}{f_{u,B}CL_{int}} \qquad \Longrightarrow \quad AUC_{u,oral} = \frac{f_{u,B} \times F_a \times F_G \times Dose}{f_{u,B}CL_{int}}$$

Plasma protein binding has no effect on unbound AUC and hence in vivo efficacy

Going beyond AUC: Effect of fu on PK Profile for low CL compounds



With decreased protein binding

•No change in unbound AUC and C_{trough};

decreased unbound Ctrough for compounds with small Vss



Summary: Lessons Learned

- CL and V_d are primary PK parameters for drug design optimization
- Small structural changes can have large effects on PK
- Protein binding affects many PK parameters but does not alter unbound AUC (neither efficacy nor toxicity) for drugs administered orally and eliminated by liver





Does change in plasma protein binding change efficacy?

A) Yes, when plasma protein binding is reduced, increased unbound concentrations will cause increase in drug effect.

B) No, change in plasma protein binding has no effect on unbound AUC and hence in vivo efficacy.

C) Yes, when plasma protein binding is reduced, increased unbound concentrations will cause increase potential toxicity.

D) No, efficacy is determined by fraction unbound at the active site.





www.acs.org/content/acs/en/events/upcoming-acs-webinars/drug-design-2015.html

Upcoming ACS Webinars



55



Thursday, November 5, 2015 **"From Truth Serum to Anesthesia:** The Discovery and Uses of Sodium Thiopental"

Michael Matson, Reservoir Engineer, Kinder Morgan CO ² Dave Harwell, Assistant Manager of Industry Member Programs, The American Chemical Society



Thursday, November 12, 2015 "Chemistry of Addiction"

Anthony Rappé, Professor of Chemistry, Colorado State University Darren Griffen, Professor of Genetics, University of Kent

Contact ACS Webinars ® at acswebinars@acs.org

<image><image><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><image>

The 2015 Drug Design and Delivery Symposium is co-produced by the ACS Medicinal Chemistry Division and the AAPS

<section-header><section-header><section-header><image><image><section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><section-header>



Visit www.aaps.org/PF101 for more information.

aaps

<section-header>

Be a featured fan on an upcoming webinar! Write to us @ acswebinars@acs.org







Benefits of ACS Membership



Chemical & Engineering News (C&EN) The preeminent weekly news source.



NEW! Free Access to ACS Presentations on Demand[®] ACS Member only access to over 1,000 presentation recordings from recent ACS meetings and select events.



NEW! ACS Career Navigator Your source for leadership development, professional education, career services, and much more.

www.acs.org/2joinACS





61

ACS Webinars[®] does not endorse any products or services. The views expressed in this presentation are those of the presenter and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the American Chemical Society.



Contact ACS Webinars ® at acswebinars@acs.org

2015 Drug Design &
Delivery Symposium

8

ACS Division of Medicinal Chemistry American Association of Pharmaceutical Scientists (AAPS) Module 1: Improving Drug Design Efficiency and Efficacy Designing Better Drug Candidates Jan 29 Strategies to Improve Solubility of Drug Candidates Feb 26 Module 2: Activity/Potency Screening for Drug Lead & Candidate Optimization Mar 19 Fragment-Based Drug Design Strategies Screening Strategies April 30 May 28 PAINS (Pan-Assay Interference Compounds) Positron Emission Tomography (PET) Labeling in Drug June 25 Discovery & Development July 30 X-Ray Crystallography in Drug Discovery Module 3: Enabling Drug Discovery Aug 27 Choices and Trends in Solid Dosage Form Section Delivery Options to Support Dose Escalation in Preclinical Sept 24 Toxicology and Pharmacodynamic Activity Studies Oct 29 Pharmacokinetic Considerations in Drug Design and Development Nov 19 Prodrugs in Drug Discovery

63

(1) #ACSWebinars

Co-produced by