





There have been some attempts to develop scales of pitch.



Stevens used magnitude estimation to establish the mel scale. The pitch of 1000 Hz is 1000 mels. A sound that sounds half as high would have a pitch of 500 mels, while a sound that sounds twice as high would have a pitch of 2000 mels. Notice that the frequency that is twice as high as 1000 Hz is 3120 Hz and the one that is 3 times as high is 9000 Hz. The relationship between frequency and pitch is not simple.



The idea of the mel scale is frequently criticized because it ignores one aspect of pitch altogether. Pitch height is the quality of pitch that continues to get higher as the frequency is increased. Pitch chroma is the cyclic quality of pitch: sounds that are separated by an octave have a similar pitch quality. We say that octaves are equivalent in that sense.



For that reason some people prefer to describe pitch in terms of musical scales. The unit of the scale is the octave, where an octave is a doubling of frequency. Each octave is broken into 1200 logarithmically equal steps called cents. This table shows the values of notes in the Western musical scale in cents and in frequency. Notice that the exact pitch of the notes is not generally agreed upon; there are different versions of the scale. That means that different people "hear" the steps in scale as being correctly spaced at different frequencies.



In psychoacoustics, pitch scales have received less attention than other aspects of pitch perception. Pure-tone frequency discrimination is one task that has been used to assess the accuracy of people's pitch perception. On each trial, the listener receives a warning that the trial is starting, then hears two "intervals". In one interval the frequency of the tone is F; in the other it is  $F + \Delta F$ , randomly chosen. The listener chooses the interval that contained the higher tone, and the difference between the two tones in frequency,  $\Delta F$ , can be manipulated to find a threshold.

## Terms for frequency discrimination threshold

- ΔF
- frequency DL, DLF, FDL
- $\Delta$ F/F, Weber Fraction
- jnd for frequency

There are various terms for the frequency discrimination threshold.

#### Frequency discrimination

- Does Weber's Law apply?
- Do the results of frequency discrimination experiments suggest that people use the place code or the temporal code (phase locking) to figure out what the frequency of a tone is?

Two major questions about frequency discrimination have been of interest .



Each curve shows the jnd for frequency as a function of frequency, each curve at a different sensation level. Once the level of the tone reaches about 40 dB SL, level isn't very important. At frequencies below about 2000 Hz, the jnd is quite small, a Hz or two. At higher frequencies, the jnd increases with increasing frequency.



Does Weber's Law hold? In the midrange of frequency, the Weber fraction,  $\Delta F/F$  is pretty much constant. At higher and lower frequencies it is worse.



One explanation for the difference between low-ish frequencies and high frequencies is the code that is used to represent frequencies in these frequency ranges. While phase-locking could be used to represent a low frequency, only the place code is available to code high frequencies (over 5000 Hz, and phase locking starts to deteriorate above 1000 Hz or so).

| Representation of time waveform |  |
|---------------------------------|--|
| of a tone                       |  |
| Pure Tone Signal:               |  |
|                                 |  |
| Neural Firing Patterns:         |  |
| Neuron<br>a<br>Neuron<br>b      |  |
|                                 |  |
| d                               |  |
| Composite<br>Response           |  |

Remember that the representation of the time waveform of sound depends on combining responses across nerve fibers to determine the interval between peaks in the waveform. Moore suggested that one way to tell whether different codes are used for low and high frequencies would be to see what would happen if you varied the duration of the tone.



Consider that as the duration of the tone gets shorter and shorter, the information available to the auditory system to calculate that interval gets degraded severely.



As far as the place code is concerned, you might expect more activity as the duration of the tone is increased, but the task is always to figure out which one of those auditory nerve fibers is responding. So duration may not have such a dramatic effect on the place code.

#### Prediction

Shortening the duration of the tone should have a bigger effect on frequency discrimination if frequency is being coded temporally.



Moore's results are consistent with this prediction. Each of these curves represents the Weber fraction as a function of frequency for a different tone duration. As the duration gets shorter, the jnd gets worse. But this effect is more pronounced at low frequencies, where the temporal code is thought to be used. These and other findings suggest that a temporal code (phaselocking) is used to code low frequency tones, but that the place code is used to code high frequency tones

But notice that we do better, relatively speaking, with the temporal code. People use whatever works best.





Many sound sources (e.g., voices, musical instruments) produce complex sounds with harmonically related components.



The pitch that you hear when the fundamental is missing goes by various names.



One theory was that the ear is producing distortion products at  $f_{i+1}$  -  $f_i$  which would be the frequency of the fundamental and that we are hearing that distortion.



Another theory was that the pitch of complex is just the frequency difference between the harmonics.

# Two classes of theories of complex pitch

- Template (pattern) theories
  - Place code
- Temporal theories
  - Temporal code (phase locking)



The idea behind template or pattern recognition theories is that when we hear periodic sounds, the fundamental is in there, and some harmonics are there, although not always the same ones. But over many experiences with sound you learn a pattern, these harmonics go with that fundamental. So when you hear a sound you compare what you hear with what you have heard in the past and pick the fundamental pitch that matches the best. Even when the fundamental isn't there the harmonics match best with the pattern or template for the fundamental.



Temporal theories point out that when you combine the harmonics of a given fundamental, even when the fundamental isn't there, the combined time waveform repeats at the rate of the fundamental frequency. As we know, auditory nerve fibers will be phase-locked to the high positive peaks in the time waveform-- which are at the period of the fundamental. These theories say that that is the information you use to assign a pitch to the complex.



To understand the results of studies that test these theories you have to understand the difference between resolved and unresolved harmonics. Resolved harmonics fall into different auditory filters. A different set of harmonics will create a different activity pattern across auditory filters.



Unresolved harmonics pass through the same auditory filter. Different sets of harmonics could create the same pattern of activity across auditory filters. So if you hear different virtual pitches when the harmonics are unresolved, then you can't be using a template or pattern to do that because the pattern is the same. You could be using temporal information because the combined waveform of the harmonics repeats at the rate of the fundamental frequency.

Remember that auditory filters are wider (in terms of linear Hz) at high frequencies. So generally unresolved harmonics will occur at high frequencies. So this would be a case where we areusing phase-locking to low-frequency modulations of a high-frequency carrier to identify sound.

Also notice that this situation is the opposite of the frequency dependence observed for pure-tone frequency discrimination, where the place code was the only code for a high frequency. Now we are using phase locking in highfrequency nerve fibers to identify the pitch.

#### Template v. temporal theories: Evidence

- Existence region of virtual pitch: Occurs even when all harmonics are unresolved (albeit weaker), but also when all are resolved.
- Dominance region: Resolved harmonics are more important in determining pitch

Studies that show that resolved harmonics produce stronger impressions of pitch than unresolved harmonics support template theories. But because virtual pitch does occur when all harmonics are unresolved, it is clear that temporal information is also being used.



A sinusoidally amplitude modulated noise does not create a spectral "pattern"; it elicits about the same activity over the whole basilar membrane. But we know that auditory nerve fibers will phase-lock to the amplitude modulation. But SAM noise has a pitch that corresponds to the rate of amplitude modulation that is strong enough that people can identify melodies played with SAM noise.

### Is pitch peripheral?

- Both the place code and the temporal code in the auditory nerve response are used in pitch perception.
- But pitch perception must involve neural, central processes too
  - Where are the templates stored and compared?
  - How are place and temporal information combined?

Pitch perception must involve central processing.

#### Conclusions

- Both spectral (place) and temporal (phaselocking) information appear to be important in pitch perception.
- The situations in which spectral and temporal information are useful in determining pitch differ.
- There is no consensus on the appropriate scale of pitch.

#### Text sources

- Gelfand, S.A. (1998) Hearing: An introduction to psychological and physiological acoustics. New York: Marcel Dekker.
- Moore, B.C.J. (1997) An introduction to the psychology of hearing. (4th Edition) San Diego: Academic Press.
- Yost, W.A. (1994) Fundamentals of hearing: an introduction. San Diego: Academic Press.