

MATH 113 HOMEWORK 4 SOLUTIONS

Solutions by Guanyang Wang, with edits by Tom Church.

Exercises from the book.

**Exercise 3.E.13** Suppose  $U$  is a subspace of  $V$  and  $v_1 + U, \dots, v_m + U$  is a basis of  $V/U$  and  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  is a basis of  $U$ . Prove that  $v_1, \dots, v_m, u_1, \dots, u_n$  is a basis of  $V$ .

*Proof.* First we prove that  $v_1, \dots, v_m, u_1, \dots, u_n$  is a linearly independent list in  $V$ .

Suppose that  $a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbb{F}$  are scalars such that

$$a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m + b_1u_1 + \dots + b_nu_n = 0$$

Thus  $a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m = -(b_1u_1 + \dots + b_nu_n) \in U$ , which implies that

$$a_1(v_1 + U) + \dots + a_m(v_m + U) = 0 + U$$

Because  $v_1 + U, \dots, v_m + U$  is a basis of  $V/U$ , this implies  $a_1 = \dots = a_m = 0$ . Therefore we have

$$b_1u_1 + \dots + b_nu_n = 0$$

Since  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  is a basis of  $V$ , this implies  $b_1 = \dots = b_n = 0$ . Thus  $a_1 = \dots = a_m = b_1 = \dots = b_n = 0$ , which implies that  $v_1, \dots, v_m, u_1, \dots, u_n$  is a linearly independent list in  $V$ .

Then we prove that  $v_1, \dots, v_m, u_1, \dots, u_n$  is a basis of  $V$ . Now suppose  $v \in V$ . Because the list  $v_1 + U, \dots, v_m + U$  spans  $V/U$ , there exist  $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{F}$  such that

$$v + U = c_1(v_1 + U) + \dots + c_m(v_m + U)$$

Thus

$$v - c_1v_1 - \dots - c_mv_m \in U$$

Because the list  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  spans  $V/U$ , there exist  $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathbb{F}$  such that

$$v - c_1v_1 - \dots - c_mv_m = d_1u_1 + \dots + d_nu_n.$$

Hence

$$v = c_1v_1 + \dots + c_mv_m + d_1u_1 + \dots + d_nu_n.$$

Then the list  $v_1, \dots, v_m, u_1, \dots, u_n$  spans  $V$  and hence is a basis of  $V$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Exercise 3.F.7** Suppose  $m$  is a positive integer. Show that the dual basis of the basis  $1, x, \dots, x^m$  of  $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{R})$  is  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m$ , where  $\varphi_j(p) = \frac{p^{(j)}(0)}{j!}$ . Here  $p^{(j)}$  denotes the  $j^{\text{th}}$  derivative of  $p$ , with the understanding that the  $0^{\text{th}}$  derivative of  $p$  is  $p$ .

*Proof.* From Proposition 3.98 we know that the dual basis is a basis of dual space. By definition of dual basis (3.96), we just need to check if

$$(0.1) \quad \varphi_j(x^k) = \begin{cases} 1 & (j = k) \\ 0 & (j \neq k) \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\varphi_j(x^k) = \frac{(x^k)^{(j)}(0)}{j!}$ , hence if  $j = k$ ,  $\varphi_j(x^k) = 1$ , if  $j \neq k$ ,  $\varphi_j(x^k) = 0$ . Therefore we know that  $\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_m$  is the dual basis of  $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{R})$ .

□

**Exercise 3.F.8** Suppose  $m$  is a positive integer.

- (a) Show that  $1, x - 5, \dots, (x - 5)^m$  is a basis of  $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{R})$ .  
 (b) What is the dual basis of the basis in part(a)?

*Proof.* (a) Define  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m \in (\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{R}))'$  by

$$\varphi_j(p) = \frac{p^{(j)}(5)}{j!}.$$

So suppose  $a_0, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}$  and

$$a_0 + a_1(x - 5) + \dots + a_m(x - 5)^m = 0.$$

Then for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, m$ , we have

$$a_j = \varphi_j(a_0 + a_1(x - 5) + \dots + a_m(x - 5)^m) = \varphi_j(0) = 0$$

Thus  $a_0 = a_1 = \dots = a_m = 0$ . Hence  $1, x - 5, \dots, (x - 5)^m$  is a linearly independent list in  $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{R})$  of length  $m + 1$ , which equals the dimension of  $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{R})$ . Thus  $1, x - 5, \dots, (x - 5)^m$  is a basis of  $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{R})$  (by 2.39).

(b) Let  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m \in (\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{R}))'$  be defined as in part (a). Then we have

$$(0.2) \quad \varphi_j((x - 5)^k) = \begin{cases} 1 & (j = k) \\ 0 & (j \neq k) \end{cases}$$

From Proposition 3.98 we know that  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m$  is the dual basis of the basis in part (a). □

**Exercise 3.F.15** Suppose  $W$  is finite-dimensional and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ . Prove that  $T' = 0$  if and only if  $T = 0$ .

*Proof.* First suppose  $T = 0$ . For any  $\varphi \in W'$ , then  $T'(\varphi) = \varphi \circ T = 0$ , and thus  $T' = 0$ .

To prove the other direction, now suppose  $T' = 0$ . Thus

$$0 = T'(\varphi) = \varphi \circ T$$

for every  $\varphi \in W'$ .

If  $T \neq 0$ , we can find some  $v \in V$  such that  $Tv = w \neq 0$ . We can extend  $Tv$  to a basis  $Tv, w_2, \dots, w_n$  of  $W$ . Now Proposition 3.5 implies that there exists a  $\tilde{\varphi}$  such that  $\tilde{\varphi}(Tv) = 1$  (and  $\tilde{\varphi}(w_j)$  equals whatever we want for  $j = 2, 3, \dots, n$ ). Therefore  $(T'(\tilde{\varphi}))(v) = \tilde{\varphi}(Tv) = 1$ . Which contradicts the fact that  $0 = T'(\varphi) = \varphi \circ T$  for every  $\varphi \in W'$ . So we must have  $T = 0$ , as desired. □

**Exercise 5.A.12** Define  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{R}))$  by

$$(Tp)(x) = xp'(x)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Find all eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $T$ .

*Answer.* A typical element  $p$  of  $\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{R})$  is given by expression

$$p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^3 + a_4x^4,$$

where  $a_0, \dots, a_4 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

With that expression, the eigenvalue-eigenvector equation  $Tp = \lambda p$ , which in this case is  $xp'(x) = \lambda p(x)$ , becomes

$$a_1x + 2a_2x^2 + 3a_3x^3 + 4a_4x^4 = \lambda(a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^3 + a_4x^4)$$

Comparing coefficients in the equation above, we see that the eigenvalue-eigenvector equation is equivalent to the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lambda a_0 \\ a_1 &= \lambda a_1 \\ 2a_2 &= \lambda a_2 \\ 3a_3 &= \lambda a_3 \\ 4a_4 &= \lambda a_4. \end{aligned}$$

From the equations above, we can see that if  $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and  $a_j \neq 0$ , then we have  $\lambda = j$  and  $a_k = 0$  for any  $k \neq j$ . Thus the eigenvalue of  $T$  are  $0, 1, 2, 3, 4$  and the corresponding eigenvectors are of the form  $c, cx, cx^2, cx^3, cx^4$ , where  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $c \neq 0$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 5.A.15** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Suppose  $S \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is invertible

(a) Prove that  $T$  and  $S^{-1}TS$  have the same eigenvalues.

(b) What is the relationship between the eigenvectors of  $T$  and the eigenvectors of  $S^{-1}TS$ ?

*Answer.* Suppose  $v \in V$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ . Then we have

$$Tv = \lambda v \iff (S^{-1}TS)(S^{-1}v) = \lambda S^{-1}v$$

This is because if  $Tv = \lambda v$ , then  $(S^{-1}TS)(S^{-1}v) = S^{-1}Tv = \lambda S^{-1}v$ , on the other hand, if  $(S^{-1}TS)(S^{-1}v) = \lambda S^{-1}v$ , then  $\lambda v = \lambda S(S^{-1}v) = S((S^{-1}TS)(S^{-1}v)) = Tv$ .

Thus we see that  $T$  and  $S^{-1}TS$  have the same eigenvalues, and furthermore,  $v$  is an eigenvector of  $T$  if and only if  $S^{-1}v$  is an eigenvector of  $S^{-1}TS$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 5.A.18** Show that the operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^\infty)$  defined by

$$T(z_1, z_2, \dots) = (0, z_1, z_2, \dots)$$

has no eigenvalues.

*Answer.* The eigenvalue-eigenvector equation  $Tz = \lambda z$  for this operator is

$$(0, z_1, z_2, \dots) = (\lambda z_1, \lambda z_2, \lambda z_3, \dots)$$

which is equivalent to

$$0 = \lambda z_1, z_1 = \lambda z_2, z_2 = \lambda z_3, \dots$$

The first equation implies  $z_1 = 0$  or  $\lambda = 0$ . If  $\lambda = 0$ , then the rest of the equations implies  $0 = z_1 = z_2 = \dots$ , which eliminates  $0$  as the possible eigenvalue. If  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then  $z_1 = 0$ , then the rest of the equations also implies  $z_2 = z_3 = \dots = 0 = z_1$ ,

which eliminates all nonzero complex numbers  $\lambda$  as possible eigenvalues. Thus we conclude that  $T$  has no eigenvalues.  $\square$

**Exercise 5.A.20** Find all eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the backward shift operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}^\infty)$  defined by

$$T(z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots) = (z_2, z_3, \dots)$$

*Answer.* We will show that all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$  are eigenvalues of  $T$ , and the set of eigenvectors of  $T$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is the set  $V_\lambda = \{(z, \lambda z, \lambda^2 z, \dots) \mid z \in \mathbb{F}\}$ .

First we show that if  $v$  is an eigenvector of  $T$ , then  $v \in V_\lambda$  for some  $\lambda$ . That is, we show that  $v = (z, \lambda z, \lambda^2 z, \dots)$  for some  $z$  and some  $\lambda$ . Suppose  $v = (z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots)$  is an eigenvector for  $T$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Then the eigenvalue equation  $T(v) = \lambda v$  takes the form

$$(\lambda z_1, \lambda z_2, \lambda z_3, \dots) = (z_2, z_3, z_4, \dots)$$

Since two vectors in  $\mathbb{F}^\infty$  are equal if and only if their terms are all equal, this yields an infinite sequence of equations:

$$z_2 = \lambda z_1, \quad z_3 = \lambda z_2, \dots, \quad z_n = \lambda z_{n-1}, \dots$$

From this, we can repeatedly substitute  $z_n = \lambda z_{n-1} = \lambda^2 z_{n-2} = \dots$ , so in fact (by a simple induction)

$$z_n = \lambda^{n-1} z_1$$

So every eigenvector  $v$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is of the form  $v = (z_1, \lambda z_1, \lambda^2 z_1, \dots)$ . Furthermore, for any  $z \in \mathbb{F}$ , if we set  $z_1 = z$ ,  $z_2 = \lambda z$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $z_n = \lambda^n z$ , the vector

$$v = (z, \lambda z, \lambda^2 z, \dots)$$

satisfies the equations above and is an eigenvector of  $T$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Therefore, the eigenspace  $V_\lambda$  of  $T$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is the set of vectors

$$V_\lambda = \{(z, \lambda z, \lambda^2 z, \dots) \mid z \in \mathbb{F}\}.$$

Finally, we show that every single  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$  occurs as an eigenvalue of  $T$ . Given  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ , consider the vector  $v = (1, \lambda, \lambda^2, \dots)$ . Applying  $T$  to  $v$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(v) &= (1, \lambda, \lambda^2, \dots) = (\lambda, \lambda^2, \lambda^3, \dots) \\ &= \lambda(1, \lambda, \lambda^2, \dots) \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $T(v) = \lambda v$  for this vector. We have thus shown that all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$  are eigenvalues for  $T$ , and the eigenspace for  $\lambda$  is  $V_\lambda = \{(z, \lambda z, \lambda^2 z, \dots) \mid z \in \mathbb{F}\}$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 5.A.22** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  and there exist nonzero vectors  $v$  and  $w$  in  $V$  such that

$$Tv = 3w \text{ and } Tw = 3v.$$

Prove that 3 or  $-3$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$ .

*Proof.* The equations above imply that

$$T(v+w) = 3(v+w) \text{ and } T(v-w) = -3(v-w).$$

The vectors  $v+w$  and  $v-w$  cannot both be 0 (because otherwise we would have  $v=w=0$ ). Thus the equations above imply that 3 or  $-3$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 5.A.30** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  and  $4, -5$  and  $\sqrt{7}$  are the eigenvalues of  $T$ . Prove that there exists  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$  such that  $Tx - 9x = (4, -5, \sqrt{7})$

*Proof.* Since  $T$  has at most 3 distinct eigenvalues (by 5.13), the hypothesis imply that 9 is not an eigenvalue of  $T$ . Thus  $T - 9I$  is surjective. In particular, there exists  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$  such that  $(T - 9I)x = Tx - 9x = (4, -5, \sqrt{7})$ . (The entries of this particular vector are a red herring: we could just as easily find a  $y \in \mathbb{R}^3$  such that  $Ty - 9y = (86, 75, 309)$  by the same argument.)  $\square$

**Exercise 5.A.32** Suppose  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  is a list of distinct real numbers. Prove that the list  $e^{\lambda_1 x}, \dots, e^{\lambda_n x}$  is linearly independent in the vector space of real-valued functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $V = \text{span}(e^{\lambda_1 x}, \dots, e^{\lambda_n x})$ , and define  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  by  $Tf = f'$ . This linear map does map  $V$  into  $V$  because

$$T(e^{\lambda_j x}) = \lambda_j e^{\lambda_j x}.$$

This equation above also shows that for each  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , the vector  $e^{\lambda_j x}$  is an eigenvector of  $T$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$ . Thus Proposition 5.10 implies that  $e^{\lambda_1 x}, \dots, e^{\lambda_n x}$  is linearly independent.  $\square$

**Exercise 5.B.1** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  and there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $T^n = 0$ .

(a) Prove that  $I - T$  is invertible and that

$$(I - T)^{-1} = I + T + \dots + T^{n-1}$$

(b) Explain how you would guess the formula above.

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} (I - T)(I + T + \dots + T^{n-1}) &= I + T + \dots + T^{n-1} - T - T^2 - \dots - T^{n-1} - T^n \\ &= I - T^n = I \end{aligned}$$

since  $T^n = 0$ .

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (I + T + \dots + T^{n-1})(I - T) &= I + T + \dots + T^{n-1} - T - T^2 - \dots - T^{n-1} - T^n \\ &= I - T^n = I \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $(I - T)$  is invertible and  $(I - T)^{-1} = I + T + \dots + T^{n-1}$ .

(b) If  $r \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $|r| < 1$ , then we might be familiar with the usual formula for the sum of a geometric series:

$$(1 - r)^{-1} = 1 + r + r^2 + \dots + r^n + r^{n+1} + \dots$$

If we guess that in the formula above, we can replace 1 with  $I$  and  $r$  with  $T$ , then we would have

$$(I - T)^{-1} = I + T + \dots + T^{n-1}$$

where the sum becomes finite because  $0 = T^n = T^{n+1} = \dots$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 5.B.2** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  and  $(T - 2I)(T - 3I)(T - 4I) = 0$ . Suppose  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$ . Prove that  $\lambda = 2$  or  $\lambda = 3$  or  $\lambda = 4$ .

*Proof.* Let  $v \in V$  be an eigenvector of  $T$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Then

$$0 = (T - 2I)(T - 3I)(T - 4I)v = (\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 3)(\lambda - 4)v.$$

Since  $v \neq 0$ , the equation above implies that

$$(\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 3)(\lambda - 4) = 0$$

Thus  $\lambda = 2$  or  $\lambda = 3$  or  $\lambda = 4$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Question 1.** Suppose  $U$  is a subspace of  $V$  such that  $\dim V/U = 1$ . Prove that there exists a linear functional  $f \in V'$  such that

$$\text{null } f = U$$

*Proof.* Since  $V/U$  is a 1-dimensional linear space, we can construct an arbitrary nonzero linear map  $g \in (V/U)'$ . Definition 3.88 says we have a quotient map  $\pi: V \rightarrow V/U$  which sends  $v \in V$  to  $v + U \in V/U$ . Now let  $f = g \circ \pi$ . We claim that  $\text{null } f = U$

On the one hand, for any  $u \in U$ ,  $\pi(u) = 0 + U = 0$  in  $V/U$ , so we have  $f(u) = g(\pi(u)) = g(0) = 0$ , therefore  $\text{null } f \supset U$ .

On the other hand, since  $g \neq 0$ , we can find  $v + U \in V/U$  such that  $g(v + U) \neq 0$ , so  $f(v) = g(\pi(v)) = g(v + U) \neq 0$ . Since  $\dim V/U = 1$ ,  $v + U$  is the basis of  $V/U$ . Therefore for any  $w \notin U$ , we can find a non-zero  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$  and such that  $\pi(w) = w + U = \lambda(v + U) = \lambda v + U$ . So we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(w) &= g(\pi(w)) \\ &= g(w + U) \\ &= g(\lambda(v + U)) \\ &= \lambda g(v + U) \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

because  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $g(v + U) \neq 0$ . So  $\text{null } f \subset U$ .

Hence we have proved that  $\text{null } f = U$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Question 2.** Let  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  denote the vector space (over  $\mathbb{R}$ ) of infinitely-differentiable real-valued functions  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

a) Let  $U$  denote the subspace of  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  consisting of functions which vanish at 42 and at  $\pi$ :

$$U = \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \mid f(42) = 0, f(\pi) = 0\}$$

Prove that the quotient vector space  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})/U$  is finite dimensional. What is its dimension?

b) Let  $W$  denote the subspace of  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  consisting of functions which “vanish to second order at 0”:

$$W = \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \mid f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 0, f''(0) = 0\}$$

Prove that the quotient vector space  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})/W$  is finite dimensional, and find a basis for  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})/W$ .

*Proof.* a) Define the linear transformation  $T: C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  by  $T(f) = (f(42), f(\pi))$ .<sup>1</sup> The kernel of  $T$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \ker T &= \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \mid T(f) = 0\} \\ &= \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \mid f(2) = 0, f(7) = 0\} \\ &= U. \end{aligned}$$

The Quotient Isomorphism Theorem (Thm 3.91(d)) thus tells us that  $\bar{T}: C^\infty(\mathbb{R})/U \rightarrow \text{Image } T$  is an isomorphism, so we need to understand Image  $T$ .

Choose two functions  $f, g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  that satisfy  $T(f) = (1, 0)$  and  $T(g) = (0, 1)$ , such as:<sup>2</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \frac{x - \pi}{42 - \pi} \\ g(x) &= \frac{42 - x}{42 - \pi} \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} f(42) &= 1 & f(\pi) &= 0 \\ g(42) &= 0 & g(\pi) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

we have  $T(f) = (1, 0)$  and  $T(g) = (0, 1)$ . This shows that  $(1, 0) \in \text{Image } T$  and  $(0, 1) \in \text{Image } T$ . Since these are the standard basis vectors  $e_1 = (1, 0)$  and  $e_2 = (0, 1)$ , they span  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and so  $\text{Image } T = \mathbb{R}^2$ .

Since  $\text{Image } T = \mathbb{R}^2$ , the Quotient Isomorphism Theorem (Thm 3.91(d)) states that  $\bar{T}: C^\infty(\mathbb{R})/U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is an isomorphism. Since  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})/U$  and  $\mathbb{R}^2$  are isomorphic, they have the same dimension: therefore  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})/U$  has dimension 2.

b) Define the linear transformation  $S: C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  by<sup>3</sup>

$$S(f) = (f(0), f'(0), f''(0)).$$

The kernel of  $S$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \ker S &= \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \mid S(f) = 0\} \\ &= \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \mid f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 0, f''(0) = 0\} \\ &= W. \end{aligned}$$

The Quotient Isomorphism Theorem (Thm 3.91(d)) thus tells us that  $\bar{S}: C^\infty(\mathbb{R})/W \rightarrow \text{Image } S$  is an isomorphism, so we need to understand Image  $S$ . Consider the following functions in  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= 1 \\ f_2 &= x - 1 \end{aligned}$$

<sup>1</sup>For example, if  $f(x) = x$  then  $T(f) = (42, \pi)$ ; if  $g(x) = e^x$  then  $T(g) = (e^{42}, e^\pi)$ , if  $h(x) = \sin x$  then  $T(h) = (\sin 42, \sin \pi)$ , etc.

<sup>2</sup>Many other choices are possible.

<sup>3</sup>For example, if  $f(x) = x^2$  then  $S(f) = (0, 0, 2)$ ; if  $g(x) = e^x$  then  $S(g) = (1, 1, 1)$ ; if  $h(x) = \sin x$  then  $S(h) = (0, 1, 0)$ , etc.

$$f_3 = x^2 - 2x + 1$$

These three functions are infinitely differentiable, so they are in  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . Their only important properties are that

$$\begin{array}{lll} f_1(0) = 1 & f_1'(0) = 0 & f_1''(0) = 0 \\ f_2(0) = 0 & f_2'(0) = 1 & f_2''(0) = 0 \\ f_3(0) = 0 & f_3'(0) = 0 & f_3''(0) = 1 \end{array}$$

This implies that

$$S(f_1) = e_1, \quad S(f_2) = e_2, \quad S(f_3) = e_3.$$

Therefore  $e_1, e_2,$  and  $e_3$  are all in  $\text{Image}S$ . Since  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , this shows that  $\text{Image}S = \mathbb{R}^3$ .

Since  $\text{Image}S = \mathbb{R}^3$ , the Quotient Isomorphism Theorem (Thm 3.91(d)) states that  $\bar{S}: C^\infty(\mathbb{R})/W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  is an isomorphism. Since  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})/W$  and  $\mathbb{R}^3$  are isomorphic, they have the same dimension: therefore  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})/W$  has dimension 3.

Consider the elements  $v_1 = f_1 + W$ ,  $v_2 = f_2 + W$ , and  $v_3 = f_3 + W$  in the quotient space  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})/W$ . We will show they are linearly independent. Assume that  $av_1 + bv_2 + cv_3 = 0$  in  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})/W$ . The above formula shows that

$$\bar{S}(v_1) = \bar{S}(f_1 + W) = e_1, \quad \bar{S}(v_2) = \bar{S}(f_2 + W) = e_2, \quad \bar{S}(v_3) = \bar{S}(f_3 + W) = e_3.$$

Since  $\bar{S}$  is linear,  $\bar{S}(av_1 + bv_2 + cv_3) = ae_1 + be_2 + ce_3$ . But  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  are linearly independent, so we conclude that  $a = b = c = 0$ . This shows that  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  are linearly independent in the quotient space  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})/W$ . Since this vector space has dimension 3, this implies that  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  is a basis for  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})/W$ .  $\square$

**Question 3.** Let  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  be the vector space (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ) of complex-valued functions  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  that are infinitely differentiable. Let  $V$  be the space of functions  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  satisfying the equation  $f'' = -f$ :

$$V = \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) \mid f'' = -f\}$$

- Assume without proof that  $\dim V \leq 2$ . Prove that the functions  $\sin x$  and  $\cos x$  both lie in  $V$ , and moreover that  $(\sin x, \cos x)$  form a basis for  $V$ .
- Let  $D$  be the operator on  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  defined by  $D(f) = f'$ . Prove that  $V$  is an invariant subspace for  $D$ .
- Now consider  $D \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  as an operator on  $V$ . Find a basis for  $V$  consisting of eigenvectors for  $D$ . What are their eigenvalues?

*Proof.* • Consider the functions  $\sin x$  and  $\cos x$ . Then  $\sin''(x) = (\cos'(x)) = -\sin(x)$  and  $\cos''(x) = (-\sin(x))' = -\cos(x)$ . Thus  $\sin(x), \cos(x) \in V$ .

To show that  $(\sin x, \cos x)$  form a basis for  $V$ , first we show that they are linearly independent. So suppose there are numbers  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  s.t.  $a \sin(x) + b \cos(x) = 0$ . Then, plugging in  $x = 0$ , we get  $b = 0$  since  $\sin(0) = 0$  and  $\cos(0) = 1$ . Plugging in  $x = \pi/2$ , we get  $a = 0$  since  $\sin(\pi/2) = 1$  and  $\cos(\pi/2) = 0$ . Thus  $\sin(x)$  and  $\cos(x)$  are linearly independent.

Since  $\sin(x)$  and  $\cos(x)$  are linearly independent, the dimension of  $V$  must be at least 2. Since we were given that  $\dim V$  is at most 2, we conclude that  $\dim V = 2$ . Thus  $\sin(x)$  and  $\cos(x)$  form a basis for  $V$ .

- Let  $D$  be the operator on  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  defined by  $D(f) = f'$ . To show that  $V$  is invariant under  $D$ , we must show that if  $f \in V$  then  $Df \in V$ . So suppose that  $f \in V$ , and set  $g = D(f)$ . Then  $f'' = -f$ . Differentiating both sides



of this equation, we get that  $f''' = -f'$ , or in other words  $g'' = -g$ . Thus  $g = D(f)$  lies in  $V$ . Therefore,  $V$  is invariant under  $D$ .

- Now consider  $D \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  as an operator on  $V$ . Find a basis for  $V$  consisting of eigenvectors for  $D$ . What are their eigenvalues?

The properties  $(\sin x)' = \cos x$  and  $(\cos x)' = -\sin x$  mean that

$$D(a \sin(x) + b \cos(x)) = -b \sin(x) + a \cos(x).$$

We have seen a similar linear transformation in class, namely

$$T: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \quad T(x, y) = (-y, x).$$

However that operator has *no* eigenvalues because it is on a real vector space, and its minimal polynomial  $p(x) = x^2 + 1$  has no real roots. In contrast, here we are working over the *complex numbers*, so we might imagine that the eigenvalues would be the complex roots of  $p(x) = x^2 + 1$ , namely  $i$  and  $-i$ .

The eigenvalue equation  $D(a \sin(x) + b \cos(x)) = i(a \sin(x) + b \cos(x))$  can be solved to find

$$f = \cos(x) + i \sin(x)$$

and similarly  $D(a \sin(x) + b \cos(x)) = -i(a \sin(x) + b \cos(x))$  can be solved to find

$$g = \cos(x) - i \sin(x).$$

Then we can check that

$$D(f) = -\sin(x) + i \cos(x) = if$$

and

$$D(g) = -\sin(x) - i \cos(x) = -ig.$$

Thus  $f$  and  $g$  are eigenvectors for  $D$  with eigenvalues  $i$  and  $-i$ . Since they have distinct eigenvalues, Theorem 5.6 in the book implies that they are linearly independent. Since  $\dim V \leq 2$ , any spanning list of length 2 forms a basis for  $V$ .

**Remark by TC:** you have probably learned what the eigenvectors of  $D$  as an operator on  $\mathbb{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  are in a previous class. For the eigenvalue  $a$ , the eigenvalue equation  $D(f) = af$  becomes the differential equation  $f' = af$ , and you may already know that the solutions to this equation are (constant multiples of)

$$f(x) = e^{ax},$$

since the chain rule implies that

$$(e^{ax})' = a \cdot e^{ax}.$$

But the functions  $f$  and  $g$  you found above are eigenvectors with eigenvalues  $a = i$  and  $a = -i$ , so they must be of the form  $Ce^{ix}$  and  $Ce^{-ix}$ ! We can find the constants by plugging in 0, since  $Ce^{i \cdot 0} = C$ . By plugging in  $f(0) = \cos(0) + i \sin 0 = 1 + i \cdot 0 = 1$  and  $g(0) = \cos(0) - i \sin 0 = 1 - i \cdot 0 = 1$  we see that the constants are 1 for both  $f$  and  $g$ . Therefore you have proved the famous formula of Euler:

$$e^{ix} = \cos x + i \cdot \sin x \quad e^{-ix} = \cos x - i \cdot \sin x.$$

In particular, if we evaluate the first eigenfunction at  $\pi$  we get

$$e^{i\pi} = \cos \pi + i \cdot \sin \pi = -1 + i \cdot 0,$$

or in other words

$$e^{i\pi} = -1.$$

□