

Public understanding and perceptions of data practices: a review of existing research | REFERENCES

Helen Kennedy, Susan Oman, Mark Taylor, Jo Bates, Robin Steedman



This document contains the references which support the following document:

Helen Kennedy, Susan Oman, Mark Taylor, Jo Bates & Robin Steedman (2020) *Public understanding and perceptions of data practices: a review of existing research – A Summary*. Living With Data, University of Sheffield. <http://livingwithdata.org/current-research/publications/>

Contents

1. Academic literature.....	2
2. Grey Literature.....	6
3. Other literature referenced (reviews and syntheses, international reports and non-empirical references).....	8
4. References from Introduction and Review methodology sections	9

1. Academic literature

1. Ajana, B (2017) *Self-tracking: Empirical and philosophical investigations*, Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-65379-2>
2. Alvarado, O & Waern, A (2018) 'Towards algorithmic experience: initial efforts for social media contexts', *CHI '18: Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, April 2018. Paper No.: 286. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3173860>
3. Bergstrom, A (2015) 'Online privacy concerns: a broad approach to understanding the concerns of different groups for different uses', *Computers In Human Behavior*, 53:419-426. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.07.025>
4. Bolin, Göran & Jonas Andersson Schwarz (2015) 'Heuristics of the algorithm. Big Data, user interpretation and institutional translation', *Big Data & Society*, 2(2): 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951715608406>
5. Bowyer, A, Montague, K, Wheeler, S, McGovern, S, Lingam, R & Balaam, M (2018) 'Understanding the family perspective on the storage, sharing and handling of family civic data', *CHI '18: Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, April 2018. Paper No.: 136. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3173710>
6. Brown, A, Chouldechova, A, Putnam-Hornstein, E, Tobin, A & Vaithianathan, R (2019) 'Toward algorithmic accountability in public services: a qualitative study of affected community perspectives on algorithmic decision-making in child welfare services', *CHI '19: Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, May 2019. Paper No.: 41. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3290605.3300271>
7. Bucher, T (2017) 'The algorithmic imaginary: exploring the ordinary affects of Facebook algorithms', *Information, Communication & Society*, 20: 30-44. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2016.1154086>
8. Colbjørnsen, T (2018) 'My algorithm: user perceptions of algorithmic recommendations in cultural contexts', in Andrea L Guzman (ed) *Human-machine communication: rethinking communication, technology, and ourselves*. Peter Lang.
9. Couldry, N, Fotopoulou, A & Dickens, L (2016) 'Real social analytics: a contribution towards a phenomenology of a digital world', *The British Journal of Sociology* 67(1): 118-137. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-4446.12183>
10. Dencik, L & Cable, J (2017) 'Digital Citizenship and Surveillance: The advent of surveillance realism: public opinion and activist responses to the Snowden leaks', *International Journal of Communication*, 11(2017):763-781. <https://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/5524/1939>
11. Dencik, L (2019) 'Situating practices in datafication — from above and below', in H Stephansen and E Treré (eds) *Citizen media and practice*.
12. Dolin, C, Weinschel, B, Shan, S, Hahn, C, Choi, E, Mazurek, M & Blase, U (2018) 'Unpacking perceptions of data-driven inferences underlying online targeting and personalization', *CHI '18: Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, April 2018. Paper No.: 493. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3174067>
13. Draper, N A, & Turow, J (2019) 'The corporate cultivation of digital resignation', *New Media & Society*, 21(8):1824–1839. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444819833331>
14. Elhai, J, Levine, J & Hall, B (2017) 'Anxiety about electronic data hacking: predictors and relations with digital privacy protection behavior', *Internet Research*, 27(3):631-649. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IntR-03-2016-0070>
15. Ellis, D (2019) 'Techno-securitisation of everyday life and cultures of surveillance-apatheia', *Science as Culture*, 29(1), 11-29. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09505431.2018.1561660>
16. Eslami, M, Rickman, A, Vaccaro, K, Aleyasen, A, Vuong, A, Karahalios, K, Hamilton, K & Sandvig, C (2015) 'I always assumed that I wasn't really that close to [her]: reasoning about

- invisible algorithms in news feeds', *CHI '15: Proceedings of the 2015 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, April 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2702123.2702556>
17. Eslami, M, Sneha, R, Kumaran, K, Sandvig, C & Karahalios, K (2018) 'Communicating algorithmic process in online behavioral advertising', *CHI '18: Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, April 2018 Paper No.: 432. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3174006>
 18. Eubanks, V (2018) *Automating inequality: how high-tech tools profile, punish and police the poor*. St Martin's Press.
 19. Fiesler, C & Hallinan, B (2018) 'We are the product: public reactions to online data sharing and privacy controversies in the media', *CHI '18: Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, April 2018 Paper No.: 53. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3173627>
 20. Fiore-Gartland, B & Neff, G (2015) 'Communication, mediation and the expectations of data: data valences across health and wellness communities', *International Journal of Communication*, 9. <http://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/2830>.
 21. Gangadharan, S P (2017) 'The downside of digital inclusion: expectations and experiences of privacy and surveillance among marginal internet users,' *New Media and Society*, 19(4):597-615. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444815614053>
 22. Gangadharan, S P (2021) 'Digital exclusion: a politics of refusal', in H Landemore, R Reich & L Bernholz (eds) *Digital Technology and Democratic Theory*. University of Chicago Press. <http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/103076/>
 23. Gangadharan, S P & Niklas, J (2019) 'Decentering technology in discourse on discrimination', *Information, Communication and Society*, 22(7), 882–899. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2019.1593484>
 24. Guberek, T, McDonald, A, Simioni, S, Mhaidli, A, Toyama, K, Schaub, F (2018) 'Keeping a Low Profile?: Technology, Risk and Privacy among Undocumented Immigrants', *CHI '18: Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, April 2018 Paper No.: 114. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3173688>
 25. Harrison, G, Hanson, J, Jacinto, C, Ramirez, J & Blase, U (2020) 'An empirical study on the perceived fairness of realistic, imperfect machine learning models', *ACM FAT* conference 2020*. <https://dl.acm.org/doi/abs/10.1145/3351095.3372831>
 26. Horne, C & Przepiorka, W (2019) 'Technology use and norm change in online privacy: experimental evidence from vignette studies', *Information, Communication & Society*, (0):1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2019.1684542>
 27. Kennedy, H, Elgesem, D, & Miguel, C (2015) 'On fairness: user perspectives on social media data mining', *Convergence*, 23(3): 270–288. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354856515592507>
 28. Kennedy, H & Hill, R (2017) 'The feeling of numbers: emotions in everyday engagements with data and their visualisation', *Sociology*, 52(4): 830-848. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0038038516674675>
 29. Kennedy, H, Steedman, R & Jones, R (2020a) 'Approaching public perceptions of datafication through the lens of inequality: a case study in public service media', *Information, Communication and Society*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2020.1736122>
 30. Kizilcec, R F (2016) 'How much information? effects of transparency on trust in an algorithmic interface', *CHI '16: Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, May 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2858036.2858402>
 31. Lee, M K (2018) 'Understanding perception of algorithmic decisions: fairness, trust, and emotion in response to algorithmic management', *Big Data & Society*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951718756684>

32. Lomborg, S & Kapsch, P (2019) 'Decoding algorithms', *Media, Culture & Society*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0163443719855301>
33. Lomborg, S, Thylstrup, N & Schwartz, J (2018) 'The temporal flows of self-tracking: checking in, moving on, staying hooked', *New Media & Society*, 20(12): 4590-4607 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444818778542>
34. Lupton, D (2017) "'It just gives me a bit of peace of mind': Australian women's use of digital media for pregnancy and early motherhood", *Societies* 7(3)25. <https://doi.org/10.3390/soc7030025>
35. Lupton, D (2019) 'Data mattering and self-tracking: what can personal data do?', *Continuum*, 34(1):1-13. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10304312.2019.1691149>
36. Lupton, D & Michael, M (2017) "'Depends on who's got the data'": public understandings of personal digital dataveillance', *Surveillance and Society*, 15:254-268. <https://doi.org/10.24908/ss.v15i2.6332>
37. Machuletz, D, Laube, S, Böhme, R (2018) 'Webcam covering as planned behavior', *CHI '18: Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, April 2018*, Paper No.: 180. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3173753>
38. Magalhães, J C (2018) 'Do algorithms shape character? considering algorithmic ethical subjectivation', *Social Media + Society*, 4(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305118768301>
39. Marwick, A, Fontaine, C & Boyd, D (2017) "'Nobody sees it, nobody gets mad'": social media, privacy and personal responsibility among low-SES youth' *Social Media + Society*, 3(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305117710455>
40. Molina, M D, Gambino, A & Sundar, S S (2019) 'Online privacy in public places: how do location, terms and conditions and VPN influence disclosure?', *CHI '19: Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, May 2019*. Paper No.: LBW2616. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3290607.3312932>
41. Pink, S & Fors, V (2017) 'Being in a mediated world: self-tracking and the mind-body-environment', *Cultural Geographies*. 24(3)375-388. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1474474016684127>
42. Pink, S, Lanzeni, D & Horst, H (2018) 'Data anxieties: finding trust in everyday digital mess', *Big Data & Society* 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951718756685>
43. Pink, S, Sumartojo, S, Lupton, D & La Bond, C H (2017) 'Mundane data: the routines, contingencies and accomplishments of digital living', *Big Data & Society*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951717700924>
44. Popham, J Lavoie, J & Coomber, N (2020) 'Constructing a public narrative of regulations for big data and analytics: results from a community-driven discussion', *Social Science Computer Review*, 38(1)75-90. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0894439318788619>
45. Potoglou, D, Dunkerley, F, Patil, S & Robinson, N (2017) 'Public preferences for internet surveillance, data retention and privacy enhancing services: evidence from a pan-European study', *Computers in Human Behavior*, 75: 811-825. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2017.06.007>
46. Pridmore, J & Mols, A (2020) 'Personal choices and situated data: Privacy negotiations and the acceptance of household Intelligent Personal Assistants', *Big Data & Society*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951719891748>
47. Pybus, J, Coté, M & Blanke, T (2015) 'Hacking the social life of big data: a data literacy framework', *Big Data & Society*, 2(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951715616649>
48. Rader, E & Gray, R (2015) 'Understanding User Beliefs About Algorithmic Curation in the Facebook News Feed', In *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '15)*: 173–182. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2702123.2702174>

49. Rendina, H J & Mustanski, B (2018) 'Privacy, trust, and data sharing in web-based and mobile research: participant perspectives in a large nationwide sample of men who have sex with men in the united states', *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 20(7).
<https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.9019>
50. Ruckenstein, M & Pantzar, M (2015) 'Datafied Life: techno-anthropology as a site for exploration and experimentation', *Techné: Research in Philosophy and Technology*, 19(2):191-210. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.2553.7762>
51. Ruckenstein, M S (2017) 'Keeping data alive: talking DTC genetic testing', *Information, Communication and Society*, 20(7):1024-1039.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2016.1203975>
52. Ruckenstein, M, & Granroth, J (2019) 'Algorithms, advertising and the intimacy of surveillance', *Journal of Cultural Economy*, 13(1):12-24.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/17530350.2019.1574866>
53. Sannon, S, Bazarova, N N & Cosley, D (2018) 'Privacy lies: understanding how, when, and why people lie to protect their privacy in multiple online contexts', *CHI '18: Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, April 2018 Paper No.: 52 Pages 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3173626>
54. Steedman, R, Kennedy, H & Jones, R (2020) 'Complex ecologies of trust in data practices and data-driven systems', *Information, Communication and Society*.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2020.1748090>
55. Sumartojo, S, Pink, S, Lupton, D & La Bond C H (2016) 'The affective intensities of datafied space', *Emotion, Space and Society*, 21:33-40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emospa.2016.10.004>
56. Weinberger, M, Zhitomirsky-Geffet, M & Bouhnik, D (2017) 'Sex differences in attitudes towards online privacy and anonymity among Israeli students with different technical backgrounds', *Information Research: An International Electronic Journal*, 22(4).
<https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1164311>
57. Weiner, K, Will, C, Henwood, F, Williams, R (2020) 'Everyday curation? Attending to data, records and record keeping in the practices of self-monitoring', *Big Data & Society* 7(1).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951720918275>
58. Williams, M L, Burnap, P & Sloan, L (2017) 'Towards an ethical framework for publishing twitter data in social research: taking into account users' views, online context and algorithmic estimation', *Sociology*, 51(11):1149-1168.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0038038517708140>
59. Wilmott, C (2016) 'Small moments in spatial big data: calculability, authority and interoperability in everyday mobile mapping', *Big Data & Society*, 3(2).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951716661364>
60. Woodruff, A & Fox, S E, Rousso-Schindler, S & Warshaw, J (2018) 'A qualitative exploration of perceptions of algorithmic fairness', *CHI '18: Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, April 2018 Paper No.: 656.
<https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3174230>
61. Yamashita, N, Kuzuoka, H, Kudo, T, Hirata, K, Aramaki, E & Hattori, K (2018) 'How information sharing about care recipients by family caregivers impacts family communication', *CHI '18: Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, April 2018, Paper No.: 222. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3173796>

2. Grey Literature

1. Ada Lovelace Institute (2019) *Beyond face value - public attitudes to facial recognition technology*. https://www.adalovelaceinstitute.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/Public-attitudes-to-facial-recognition-technology_v.FINAL_.pdf
2. Robinson, G & Dolk, H (2015) *Research update: public attitudes to data sharing in Northern Ireland*. Administrative Research Data Centre, Northern Ireland
<https://www.ark.ac.uk/publications/updates/update108.pdf>
3. Big Brother Watch & ComRes (2015) *UK public research – online privacy*.
<https://www.bigbrotherwatch.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/03/Big-Brother-Watch-Polling-Results.pdf>
4. Cabinet Office & Government Digital Service (2016) *Better use of data in government*.
[https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/535063/better use of data in government response final.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/535063/better_use_of_data_in_government_response_final.pdf)
5. Carnegie Trust - Scott, Kaela (2018) *Data for public benefit: balancing the risks and benefits of data sharing*.
https://www.involve.org.uk/sites/default/files/field/attachemnt/Data%20for%20Public%20Benefit%20Report_0.pdf
6. Carnegie Trust - Carolyn Black, Lucy Setterfield & Rachel Warren (2019) *Online data privacy from attitudes to action: an evidence review*.
https://d1ssu070pg2v9i.cloudfront.net/pex/carnegie_uk_trust/2018/08/03110116/Online-Data-Privacy-from-Attitudes-to-Action-CUKT.pdf
7. Citizens Advice - Illuminas (2016) *Consumer expectations for personal data management in the digital world*.
<https://www.citizensadvice.org.uk/Global/CitizensAdvice/Consumer%20publications/Personal%20data%20consumer%20expectations%20research.docx.pdf>
8. Digital Catapult (2015) *Trust in personal data: A UK review*. Digital Catapult
9. Dencik, L, Hintz, A, Redden, J & Warne, H (2018) *Data Scores as Governance: Investigating uses of citizen scoring in public services*, Cardiff University.
<https://datajustice.files.wordpress.com/2018/12/data-scores-as-governance-project-report2.pdf>
10. The Direct Marketing Association (2018) *Data privacy: what the consumer really thinks*.
https://dma.org.uk/uploads/misc/5a857c4fdf846-data-privacy---what-the-consumer-really-thinks-final_5a857c4fdf799.pdf
11. Doteveryone (2018) *People, power, and technology: the 2018 digital understanding report*.
http://understanding.doteveryone.org.uk/files/Doteveryone_PeoplePowerTechDigitalUnderstanding2018.pdf
12. Doteveryone (2018) *People, power and technology: the 2018 digital attitudes report*.
<https://www.doteveryone.org.uk/report/digital-attitudes/>
13. Doteveryone – Catherine Miller (2019) *Engaging the public with responsible technology: four principles and three requirements*. <https://doteveryone.org.uk/download/3225/>
14. Doteveryone - Joe Massey, Jacob Ohrvik-Stott & Catherine Miller (2019) *Better redress: building accountability for the digital age: an evidence review from Doteveryone*
<https://www.doteveryone.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/Better-redress-evidence-review.pdf>
15. Edelman (2018) *Edelman Trust Barometer 2018, UK Findings*.
<https://www.edelman.co.uk/magazine/posts/edelman-trust-barometer-2018>
16. The European Commission (2019) *Special Eurobarometer 487a. Summary - The General Data Protection Regulation*

<https://ec.europa.eu/comfrontoffice/publicopinionmobile/index.cfm/Survey/getSurveyDetail/surveyKy/2222>

17. Demos - Harry Evans, Steve Ginnis & Jamie Bartlett (2015) *#Socialethics a guide to embedding ethics in social media research*. <https://www.ipsos.com/ipsos-mori/en-uk/ipsos-mori-and-demoscasm-call-better-ethical-standards-social-media-research>
18. HEPI (2019) *Students or data subjects?* <https://www.hepi.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/Students-or-data-subjects-Report-122-Web-FINAL.pdf>
19. Hinz, A & Brand, J (nd) *Data policies: regulatory approaches for data-driven platforms in the UK and EU*. <https://datajustice.files.wordpress.com/2020/01/data-policies-research-report-revised.pdf>
20. Hopkins Van Mil: Creating Connections Ltd (2015) *Big data: public views on the use of private sector data for social research - a findings report for the Economic and Social Research Council*. <https://esrc.ukri.org/files/public-engagement/public-dialogues/public-dialogues-on-the-re-use-of-private-sector-data-for-social-research-report/>
21. Information Commissioner's Office – Harris Interactive (2019) *Information rights strategic plan: trust and confidence*. <https://ico.org.uk/media/about-the-ico/documents/2615515/ico-trust-and-confidence-report-20190626.pdf>
22. Information Commissioner's Office (2019) *Information Commissioner's annual report and financial statements 2018-19*. <https://ico.org.uk/media/about-the-ico/documents/2615262/annual-report-201819.pdf>
23. Ipsos MORI (2018) *The state of the state 2017-2018: austerity, government spending, social care and data* <https://www.ipsos.com/sites/default/files/ct/publication/documents/2017-10/the-state-of-the-state-2017-2018.pdf>
24. Kennedy, H, Hartman, T, Steedman, R & Jones, R (2020b) *UK public unhappy with the ways their data is managed*. <https://livingwithdata.org/project/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/Views-on-Data-Management-Full-Report.pdf>.
25. Oman, S (2019a) *Improving data practices to monitor inequality and introduce social mobility measures: a working paper*. The University of Sheffield. https://www.sheffield.ac.uk/polopoly_fs/1.867756!/file/MetricsWorkingPaper.pdf
26. Oman, S (2019b) *Measuring social mobility in the creative and cultural industries – the importance of working in partnership to improve data practices and address inequality*. The University of Sheffield. https://www.sheffield.ac.uk/polopoly_fs/1.867754!/file/MetricsPolicyBriefing.pdf
27. ODI / Open Data Institute (2018) *Who do we trust with personal data?* <https://theodi.org/article/who-do-we-trust-with-personal-data-odi-commissioned-survey-reveals-most-and-least-trusted-sectors-across-europe/>
28. Ofcom (2019) *Adult's media use and attitudes report: 2019*. https://www.ofcom.org.uk/data/assets/pdf_file/0021/149124/adults-media-use-and-attitudes-report.pdf
29. OII / Oxford Internet Institute (2015) *Internet use, behaviour and attitudes in Great Britain 2003-2015*. <http://axis.oii.ox.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/01/OxIS-Brochure.pdf>
30. OII / Oxford Internet Institute (2019) *Perceived threats to privacy online: the Internet in Britain*. <https://axis.oii.ox.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/sites/43/2019/09/OxIS-report-2019-final-digital-PDFA.pdf>
31. PEGA (2019) *GDPR: Show me the data survey reveals EU consumers poised to act on legislation*. <https://www.pega.com/system/files/resources/2019-07/GDPR-Show-Me-The-Data-eBook.pdf>
32. RSA / The Royal Society for the Encouragement of Arts, Manufactures and Commerce Royal Society of Arts - The Forum for Ethical AI (2019) *Democratising decisions about technology: a*

toolkit. <https://www.thersa.org/discover/publications-and-articles/reports/democratising-decisions-technology-toolkit>

33. RSA / The Royal Society for the Encouragement of Arts, Manufactures and Commerce Royal Society of Arts (2018) *Artificial intelligence: real public engagement*.
<https://www.thersa.org/discover/publications-and-articles/reports/artificial-intelligence-real-public-engagement>
34. RSA / The Royal Society for the Encouragement of Arts, Manufactures and Commerce Royal Society of Arts - Renate Samson, Kayshani Gibbon & Anna Scott (2019) *About data about us*.
<https://www.thersa.org/discover/publications-and-articles/reports/data-about-us>
35. Sopra Steria (2017) *The citizen view of the digital transformation of government*.
<https://www.soprasteria.co.uk/docs/librariesprovider41/White-Papers/sopra-steria-ipsos-digitaltransformation-of-govt.pdf?sfvrsn=0>
36. Turow, J, Hennessy, M, Draper, N, Akanbi, O & Virgilio, D (2018) *Divided we feel: partisan politics drive American's emotions regarding surveillance of low-income populations*.
https://repository.upenn.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1563&context=asc_papers
37. Turow, J, Hennessy, M & Draper, N (2015) *The trade off fallacy*.
https://www.asc.upenn.edu/sites/default/files/TradeoffFallacy_1.pdf

3. Other literature referenced (reviews and syntheses, international reports and non-empirical references)

1. The British Academy & The Royal Society - Franck Fourniol & Fiona McLaughlin (2017) *Data governance: public engagement review*.
<https://www.thebritishacademy.ac.uk/sites/default/files/Data-Governance-public-engagement-review.pdf>
2. Coleman, S (2013) *How Voters Feel*, Cambridge University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139035354>
3. Dencik, L (2019) 'Situating practices in datafication — from above and below', in H Stephansen and E Treré (eds) *Citizen media and practice*. Routledge.
4. European Union (2016) *General data protection regulation*, Off J Eur Union 49: L119.
<https://gdpr-info.eu>
5. Petty, T, Saba, M, Lewis, T, Gangadharan, S P & Eubanks, V (2018) *Reclaiming our data: interim report*. https://www.odproject.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/ODB.InterimReport.FINAL_7.16.2018.pdf
6. Pew Research Center - Brooke Auxier, Lee Rainie, Monica Anderson, Andrew Perrin, Madhu Kumar & Erica Turner *Americans and Privacy: Concerned, Confused and Feeling Lack of Control Over Their Personal Information*.
<https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2019/11/15/americans-and-privacy-concerned-confused-and-feeling-lack-of-control-over-their-personal-information/>
7. Redden, J (2018) 'The harm that data do'. *Scientific American*, 319(5).
<https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/the-harm-that-data-do/>
8. RSS / Royal Statistical Society (2019) *The Data Manifesto*
<https://www.rss.org.uk/Images/PDF/influencing-change/2019/Data%20Manifesto2019.pdf>
9. Understanding Patient Data (2018) *Public attitudes to patient data use: a summary of existing research*. http://understandingpatientdata.org.uk/sites/default/files/2019-01/Public%20attitudes%20key%20themes_0.pdf

4. References from Introduction and Review methodology sections

1. Bakir, V, Cable, J, Dencik, L, Hintz, A & McStay, A (2015) *Public Feeling on Privacy, Security and Surveillance*, DATA-PSST and DCSS Project Report. <https://orca.cf.ac.uk/87335/1/Public-Feeling-on-Privacy-Security-Surveillance-DATAPSST-DCSS-Nov2015.pdf>
2. Bramer, W M, Rethlefsen, M L, Kleijnen, J *et al.* (2017) 'Optimal database combinations for literature searches in systematic reviews: a prospective exploratory study'. *Syst Rev* 6(245). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-017-0644-y>
3. Harzing, A (2013) 'Document categories in the ISI Web of Knowledge: Misunderstanding the Social Sciences?', *Scientometrics* 94:23–34 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-012-0738-1>
4. Harzing, A (2016) *Sacrifice a little accuracy for a lot more comprehensive coverage* <https://harzing.com/publications/white-papers/gsbook-prologue>
5. Martín-Martín, A, Orduna-Malea, E, Thelwall, M & Delgado-López-Cózar, E (2019) 'Google Scholar, Web of Science and Scopus: which is best for me?' *LSE Impact Blog*, <https://blogs.lse.ac.uk/impactofsocialsciences/2019/12/03/google-scholar-web-of-science-and-scopus-which-is-best-for-me/>
6. Mayer-Schoenberger, V & Cukier, K (2013) *Big Data: a revolution that will transform how we live, work and think*, John Murray Publishing.

Preferred citation: Helen Kennedy, Susan Oman, Mark Taylor, Jo Bates & Robin Steedman (2020) *Public understanding and perceptions of data practices: a review of existing research*. *Living With Data*, University of Sheffield. <http://livingwithdata.org/current-research/publications/>

Living With Data is a programme of research which aims to understand the new roles of data in society and how they affect the lives of ordinary people. <https://livingwithdata.org/>

Living With Data is funded by The Nuffield Foundation: Grant number OSP/43959.

The Nuffield Foundation is an independent charitable trust with a mission to advance social well-being. It funds research that informs social policy, primarily in Education, Welfare, and Justice. It also funds student programmes that provide opportunities for young people to develop skills in quantitative and scientific methods. The Nuffield Foundation is the founder and co-funder of the Nuffield Council on Bioethics and the Ada Lovelace Institute. The Foundation has funded this project, but the views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily the Foundation. www.nuffieldfoundation.org



The
University
Of
Sheffield.