# Quantum computing for dummies

Carlos Cotrini

ETH Zürich

ccarlos@inf.ethz.ch

September 14, 2019

From Wikipedia: "Quantum computing is the use of quantum-mechanical phenomena such as superposition and entanglement to perform computation."

It can, in some cases, be much more faster than classical computing.

#### The problem

Given a bit array f of length  $2^n$ , known to be balanced or constant, decide if it is balanced.

#### The problem

Given a bit array f of length  $2^n$ , known to be balanced or constant, decide if it is balanced.

A classical computer takes time linear in the length of the bit array to solve this problem.

#### The problem

Given a bit array f of length  $2^n$ , known to be balanced or constant, decide if it is balanced.

A classical computer takes time linear in the length of the bit array to solve this problem.

A quantum computer takes **logarithmic** time (by the Deutsch-Jozsa algorithm).

A quantum computer can find an element in an array of length N in  $O(\sqrt{N})$ -time (by Grover's algorithm).

#### Background

- Qubits and qubit arrays
- Quantum gates

2 The Deutsch-Jozsa algorithm

#### 3 Grover's algorithm

- Background in linear algebra
- Grover's algorithm

3

-

If it is a classical cat, then it is either dead or alive.

Image: Image:

If it is a classical cat, then it is either dead or alive.

If it is a quantum cat, then it is both dead and alive. A quantum phenomenon called *superposition*.

If it is a classical cat, then it is either dead or alive.

If it is a quantum cat, then it is both dead and alive. A quantum phenomenon called *superposition*.

A bit is a value that is either 0 or 1.

If it is a classical cat, then it is either dead or alive.

If it is a quantum cat, then it is both dead and alive. A quantum phenomenon called *superposition*.

A bit is a value that is either 0 or 1.

A quantum bit is a value that is both 0 and 1 (superposition).

What is a qubit?

\* ロ > \* 個 > \* 注 > \* 注 >

What is a qubit?

$$(a_0, a_1) \in \mathbb{C}^2$$
 with  $|a_0|^2 + |a_1|^2 = 1$ .

\* ロ > \* 個 > \* 注 > \* 注 >

What is a qubit?

$$(a_0, a_1) \in \mathbb{C}^2$$
 with  $|a_0|^2 + |a_1|^2 = 1$ .

•  $|a_0|^2$  = probability that we get a 0, when we measure the qubit.

What is a qubit?

$$(a_0, a_1) \in \mathbb{C}^2$$
 with  $|a_0|^2 + |a_1|^2 = 1$ .

•  $|a_0|^2$  = probability that we get a 0, when we measure the qubit.

•  $|a_1|^2$  = probability that we get a 1, when we measure the qubit.

What is a qubit?

$$(a_0, a_1) \in \mathbb{C}^2$$
 with  $|a_0|^2 + |a_1|^2 = 1$ .

|a<sub>0</sub>|<sup>2</sup> = probability that we get a 0, when we measure the qubit.
|a<sub>1</sub>|<sup>2</sup> = probability that we get a 1, when we measure the qubit.

Qubits	<i>P</i> (0)	P(1)
$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$

What is a qubit?

$$(a_0, a_1) \in \mathbb{C}^2$$
 with  $|a_0|^2 + |a_1|^2 = 1$ .

|a<sub>0</sub>|<sup>2</sup> = probability that we get a 0, when we measure the qubit.
|a<sub>1</sub>|<sup>2</sup> = probability that we get a 1, when we measure the qubit.

Qubits	<i>P</i> (0)	P(1)
$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$		

What is a qubit?

$$(a_0, a_1) \in \mathbb{C}^2$$
 with  $|a_0|^2 + |a_1|^2 = 1$ .

|a<sub>0</sub>|<sup>2</sup> = probability that we get a 0, when we measure the qubit.
|a<sub>1</sub>|<sup>2</sup> = probability that we get a 1, when we measure the qubit.

Qubits	P(0)	P(1)
$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
	•	

What is a qubit?

$$(a_0, a_1) \in \mathbb{C}^2$$
 with  $|a_0|^2 + |a_1|^2 = 1$ .

|a<sub>0</sub>|<sup>2</sup> = probability that we get a 0, when we measure the qubit.
|a<sub>1</sub>|<sup>2</sup> = probability that we get a 1, when we measure the qubit.

Qubits	P(0)	P(1)
$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
(0,1)		

What is a qubit?

$$(a_0, a_1) \in \mathbb{C}^2$$
 with  $|a_0|^2 + |a_1|^2 = 1$ .

|a<sub>0</sub>|<sup>2</sup> = probability that we get a 0, when we measure the qubit.
|a<sub>1</sub>|<sup>2</sup> = probability that we get a 1, when we measure the qubit.

Qubits	P(0)	P(1)
$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
(0,1)	0	1

What is a qubit?

$$(a_0, a_1) \in \mathbb{C}^2$$
 with  $|a_0|^2 + |a_1|^2 = 1$ .

|a<sub>0</sub>|<sup>2</sup> = probability that we get a 0, when we measure the qubit.
|a<sub>1</sub>|<sup>2</sup> = probability that we get a 1, when we measure the qubit.

Qubits	P(0)	P(1)
$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
(0,1)	0	1
(-1,0)		

What is a qubit?

$$(a_0, a_1) \in \mathbb{C}^2$$
 with  $|a_0|^2 + |a_1|^2 = 1$ .

|a<sub>0</sub>|<sup>2</sup> = probability that we get a 0, when we measure the qubit.
|a<sub>1</sub>|<sup>2</sup> = probability that we get a 1, when we measure the qubit.

Qubits	<i>P</i> (0)	P(1)
$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
(0,1)	0	1
(-1, 0)	1	0

# $\begin{array}{cccc} \mathsf{Value} & \mathsf{State} & \mathsf{Probability} \\ 0 & \mapsto & a_0 & \mapsto & \left|a_0\right|^2 \\ 1 & \mapsto & a_1 & \mapsto & \left|a_1\right|^2 \end{array}$

Image: A matrix

A qubit represents a single storage unit where **both** a 0 and a 1 are stored at the same time (in superposition). It is not that a qubit is storing two values in "physically" different spaces. The 0 and the 1 are in the same "physical" space.

Carlos Cotrini (ETH Zürich)

I ≡ ►

- ∢ ≣ →

A bit array is one sequence of n bits.

- ( A 🖓

э

A bit array is one sequence of n bits.

A qubit array is **<u>all</u>** sequences of *n* bits (superposition).

A bit array is one sequence of n bits.

A qubit array is <u>all</u> sequences of *n* bits (superposition).

When you observe the array, you see a bit array x of n bits with probability  $|a_x|^2$ .

A bit array is one sequence of n bits.

A qubit array is <u>all</u> sequences of n bits (superposition).

When you observe the array, you see a bit array x of n bits with probability  $|a_x|^2$ .

So a qubit array is defined by one complex number  $a_x$  for each possible bit array in  $\{0, 1\}^n$ .

Carlos Cotrini (ETH Zürich)

I ≡ ►

What is a qubit array of length n?

- < A

What is a qubit array of length n?

$$(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_{N-1})\in\mathbb{C}^N,$$
 with  $N=2^n$  and such that  $\sum_x |a_x|^2=1.$ 

- < A

What is a qubit array of length n?

$$(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_{N-1})\in\mathbb{C}^N,$$
 with  $N=2^n$  and such that  $\sum_x |a_x|^2=1.$ 

 $|a_x|^2$  is the probability, that after observing the qubit array, we get x.
What is a qubit array of length n?

$$(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_{N-1})\in\mathbb{C}^N,$$
 with  $N=2^n$  and such that  $\sum_x |a_x|^2=1.$ 

 $|a_x|^2$  is the probability, that after observing the qubit array, we get x.

Qubit array	P(00)	<i>P</i> (01)	P(10)	P(11)

What is a qubit array of length n?

$$(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_{N-1})\in\mathbb{C}^N,$$
 with  $N=2^n$  and such that  $\sum_x |a_x|^2=1.$ 

 $|a_x|^2$  is the probability, that after observing the qubit array, we get x.

Qubit array
 
$$P(00)$$
 $P(01)$ 
 $P(10)$ 
 $P(11)$ 
 $(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$ 
 $(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$ 
 $(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$ 

What is a qubit array of length n?

$$(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_{N-1})\in\mathbb{C}^N,$$
 with  $N=2^n$  and such that  $\sum_x |a_x|^2=1.$ 

 $|a_x|^2$  is the probability, that after observing the qubit array, we get x.

Qubit array
$$P(00)$$
 $P(01)$  $P(10)$  $P(11)$  $(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$  $0$  $\frac{1}{2}$  $0$  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

What is a qubit array of length n?

$$(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_{N-1})\in\mathbb{C}^N,$$
 with  $N=2^n$  and such that  $\sum_x |a_x|^2=1.$ 

 $|a_x|^2$  is the probability, that after observing the qubit array, we get x.

What is a qubit array of length n?

$$(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_{N-1})\in\mathbb{C}^N,$$
 with  $N=2^n$  and such that  $\sum_x |a_x|^2=1.$ 

 $|a_x|^2$  is the probability, that after observing the qubit array, we get x.

Qubit array	P(00)	<i>P</i> (01)	P(10)	P(11)
$(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$
$(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$

What is a qubit array of length n?

$$(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_{N-1})\in\mathbb{C}^N,$$
 with  $N=2^n$  and such that  $\sum_x |a_x|^2=1.$ 

 $|a_x|^2$  is the probability, that after observing the qubit array, we get x.

Qubit array	P(00)	<i>P</i> (01)	P(10)	P(11)
$(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$
$(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$
(1, 0, 0, 0)				

What is a qubit array of length n?

$$(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_{N-1})\in\mathbb{C}^N,$$
 with  $N=2^n$  and such that  $\sum_x |a_x|^2=1.$ 

 $|a_x|^2$  is the probability, that after observing the qubit array, we get x.

Qubit array	P(00)	<i>P</i> (01)	P(10)	P(11)
$(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$
$(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$
(1, 0, 0, 0)	1	0	0	0

More examples:

•  $(1/2, 0, 0, 1/2, 0, 0, 0, 1/\sqrt{2})$  is a qubit array that, when observed, yields 000 with prob 1/4, 011 with prob 1/4, and 111 with probability 1/2.

More examples:

- $(1/2, 0, 0, 1/2, 0, 0, 0, 1/\sqrt{2})$  is a qubit array that, when observed, yields 000 with prob 1/4, 011 with prob 1/4, and 111 with probability 1/2.
- $(1/\sqrt{8}, 1/\sqrt{8}, 1/\sqrt{8}, 1/\sqrt{8}, 1/\sqrt{8}, 1/\sqrt{8}, 1/\sqrt{8}, 1/\sqrt{8})$  is a qubit array that, when observed, yields any bit array of length 3 with equal probability.

000	$\mapsto$	<i>a</i> 000	$\mapsto$	$a_0$	$\mapsto$	$ a_{0} ^{2}$
001	$\mapsto$	<i>a</i> <sub>001</sub>	$\mapsto$	$a_1$	$\mapsto$	$ a_1 ^2$
010	$\mapsto$	a <sub>010</sub>	$\mapsto$	a <sub>2</sub>	$\mapsto$	$ a_2 ^2$
011	$\mapsto$	a <sub>011</sub>	$\mapsto$	a <sub>3</sub>	$\mapsto$	$ a_{3} ^{2}$
100	$\mapsto$	a <sub>100</sub>	$\mapsto$	<b>a</b> 4	$\mapsto$	$ a_4 ^2$
101	$\mapsto$	a <sub>101</sub>	$\mapsto$	$a_5$	$\mapsto$	$ a_{5} ^{2}$
110	$\mapsto$	a <sub>110</sub>	$\mapsto$	$a_6$	$\mapsto$	$ a_{6} ^{2}$
111	$\mapsto$	a <sub>111</sub>	$\mapsto$	a <sub>7</sub>	$\mapsto$	$ a_7 ^2$

I ≡ ►

æ

A qubit array of length n is a storage unit with n bits of capacity. A qubit array is not a data structure containing all bit arrays in  $2^n$  "physically" different locations. All  $2^n$  bit arrays are in the "physical" storage unit with n bits of capacity, coexisting in superposition.

Examples:

•  $|10\rangle = (0, 0, 1, 0).$ 

- $|10\rangle = (0, 0, 1, 0).$
- $|011\rangle = (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0).$

- $|10\rangle = (0, 0, 1, 0).$
- $|011\rangle = (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0).$
- $|0000\rangle = (1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0).$

Examples:

- $|10\rangle = (0, 0, 1, 0).$
- $|011\rangle = (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0).$
- $|0000\rangle = (1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0).$

#### Definition

$$\mathcal{B}_n := \{ |x\rangle \mid x \in \{0,1\}^n \}.$$

Examples:

- $|10\rangle = (0, 0, 1, 0).$
- $|011\rangle = (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0).$

#### Definition

 $\mathcal{B}_n := \left\{ |x\rangle \mid x \in \{0,1\}^n \right\}.$ 

 $\mathsf{Example:} \ \mathcal{B}_2 = \{ \left| 00 \right\rangle, \left| 01 \right\rangle, \left| 10 \right\rangle, \left| 11 \right\rangle \}.$ 

Let  $|\psi
angle = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-1})$ , then

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{x\in\{0,1\}^n} a_x |x\rangle.$$

글 > - + 글 >

< □ > < ---->

Let 
$$|\psi
angle=(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_{N-1})$$
, then $|\psi
angle=\sum_{x\in\{0,1\}^n}a_x\,|x
angle\,.$ 

 $\sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} a_x |x\rangle$  is  $|\psi\rangle$ 's algebraic representation.

Let 
$$|\psi
angle=(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_{N-1})$$
, then $|\psi
angle=\sum_{x\in\{0,1\}^n}a_x\,|x
angle$ .

 $\sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} a_x |x\rangle$  is  $|\psi\rangle$ 's algebraic representation.

 $(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_N)$  is  $|\psi\rangle$ 's vector representation.

3

Let 
$$|\psi
angle=(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_{N-1})$$
, then $|\psi
angle=\sum_{x\in\{0,1\}^n}a_x\,|x
angle$ .

$$\sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} a_x |x\rangle$$
 is  $|\psi\rangle$ 's algebraic representation.

 $(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_N)$  is  $|\psi\rangle$ 's vector representation.

Examples:

æ

Image: Image:

Let 
$$|\psi
angle=(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_{N-1})$$
, then $|\psi
angle=\sum_{x\in\{0,1\}^n}a_x\,|x
angle$ .

$$\sum_{x\in\{0,1\}^n} a_x \ket{x}$$
 is  $\ket{\psi}$ 's algebraic representation.

 $(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_N)$  is  $|\psi\rangle$ 's vector representation.

Examples:

• 
$$\left(rac{1}{\sqrt{2}},-rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}
ight)=rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1,0)-rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0,1)=rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\ket{0}-rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\ket{1}.$$

æ

Image: Image:

Let 
$$|\psi
angle=(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_{N-1})$$
, then $|\psi
angle=\sum_{x\in\{0,1\}^n}a_x\,|x
angle$ .

$$\sum_{x\in\{0,1\}^n} a_x \ket{x}$$
 is  $\ket{\psi}$ 's algebraic representation.

 $(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_N)$  is  $|\psi\rangle$ 's vector representation.

Examples:

• 
$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1,0) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0,1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle$$
.  
•  $\left(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0,1,0,0) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0,0,1,0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|01\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|10\rangle$ .

æ

Image: Image:

Let 
$$|\psi
angle=(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_{N-1})$$
, then $|\psi
angle=\sum_{x\in\{0,1\}^n}a_x\,|x
angle$ 

$$\sum_{x\in\{0,1\}^n} a_x \ket{x}$$
 is  $\ket{\psi}$ 's algebraic representation.

 $(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_N)$  is  $|\psi\rangle$ 's vector representation.

Examples:

• 
$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1,0) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0,1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle$$
.  
•  $\left(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0,1,0,0) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0,0,1,0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|01\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|10\rangle$ .  
•  $(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,-1) = -(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1) = -|111\rangle$ .

•

A measurement operator receives as input a qubit array  $\sum_{x} a_x |x\rangle$  and outputs x with probability  $|a_x|^2$ .

A measurement operator receives as input a qubit array  $\sum_{x} a_{x} |x\rangle$  and outputs x with probability  $|a_{x}|^{2}$ .

• Measuring 
$$-\frac{1}{2} \ket{0} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \ket{1}$$
 yields 1 with probability  $\frac{3}{4}$ .

A measurement operator receives as input a qubit array  $\sum_{x} a_{x} |x\rangle$  and outputs x with probability  $|a_{x}|^{2}$ .

- Measuring  $-\frac{1}{2}|0
  angle-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}|1
  angle$  yields 1 with probability  $\frac{3}{4}$ .
- Measuring  $|1\rangle$  yields 1 with probability 1.

A measurement operator receives as input a qubit array  $\sum_{x} a_{x} |x\rangle$  and outputs x with probability  $|a_{x}|^{2}$ .

Examples:

• Measuring 
$$-\frac{1}{2} \ket{0} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \ket{1}$$
 yields 1 with probability  $\frac{3}{4}$ .

• Measuring  $|1\rangle$  yields 1 with probability 1.

#### Observation

After measuring a qubit array, all its uncertainty is lost. Measuring again gives the same result.

- < A

For now, the most important thing to know about unitary transformations is that they are *linear*:

$$G\left(\sum_{x}a_{x}\left|x\right\rangle\right)=\sum_{x}a_{x}G\left|x\right\rangle.$$

For now, the most important thing to know about unitary transformations is that they are *linear*:

$$G\left(\sum_{x}a_{x}|x\rangle\right)=\sum_{x}a_{x}G|x\rangle.$$

$$G\left(rac{1}{2}\ket{00}-rac{1}{2}\ket{10}-rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\ket{11}
ight)=rac{1}{2}G\ket{00}-rac{1}{2}G\ket{10}-rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}G\ket{11}.$$

For now, the most important thing to know about unitary transformations is that they are *linear*:

$$G\left(\sum_{x}a_{x}|x\rangle\right)=\sum_{x}a_{x}G|x\rangle.$$

Example:

$$G\left(rac{1}{2}\ket{00}-rac{1}{2}\ket{10}-rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\ket{11}
ight)=rac{1}{2}G\ket{00}-rac{1}{2}G\ket{10}-rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}G\ket{11}.$$

To compute  $G |\psi\rangle$ , you only need to know how G works on  $\mathcal{B}_n$ .

- Hadamard gate.
- Emulation gate.
- Reflection gate.

э

## Quantum gate example: Hadamard gate

For  $|x\rangle\in \mathcal{B}_n$ ,

$$\left. H\left| x
ight
angle :=\sum_{y\in \{0,1\}^{n}}rac{\left( -1
ight) ^{x^{ op} y}}{\sqrt{2^{n}}}\left| y
ight
angle ,$$

where  $x^{\top}y := \sum_{i \leq n} x[i]y[i]$  is the classical inner product.

## Quantum gate example: Hadamard gate

For  $|x\rangle\in \mathcal{B}_n$ ,

$$H\left|x
ight
angle:=\sum_{y\in\{0,1\}^{n}}rac{\left(-1
ight)^{x^{ op}y}}{\sqrt{2^{n}}}\left|y
ight
angle,$$

where  $x^{\top}y := \sum_{i \leq n} x[i]y[i]$  is the classical inner product.

$$H \left| 0 \right\rangle =$$
For  $|x\rangle \in \mathcal{B}_n$ ,

$$H\left|x
ight
angle:=\sum_{y\in\{0,1\}^{n}}rac{\left(-1
ight)^{x^{ op}y}}{\sqrt{2^{n}}}\left|y
ight
angle,$$

where  $x^{\top}y := \sum_{i \leq n} x[i]y[i]$  is the classical inner product.

$$H \left| 0 
ight
angle = rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left| 0 
ight
angle + rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left| 1 
ight
angle .$$

For  $|x
angle\in\mathcal{B}_n$ ,

$$H\left|x
ight
angle:=\sum_{y\in\{0,1\}^{n}}rac{\left(-1
ight)^{x^{ op}y}}{\sqrt{2^{n}}}\left|y
ight
angle,$$

where  $x^{\top}y := \sum_{i \leq n} x[i]y[i]$  is the classical inner product.

Example:

$$egin{aligned} H \ket{0} &= rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \ket{0} + rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \ket{1}. \end{aligned}$$

 $H\left| 01 
ight
angle =$ 

For  $|x
angle\in\mathcal{B}_n$ ,

$$H|x\rangle := \sum_{y\in\{0,1\}^n} \frac{(-1)^{x^\top y}}{\sqrt{2^n}} |y\rangle,$$

where  $x^{\top}y := \sum_{i \leq n} x[i]y[i]$  is the classical inner product.

$$egin{aligned} H \ket{0} &= rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \ket{0} + rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \ket{1}. \ H \ket{01} &= rac{1}{2} \ket{00} \end{aligned}$$

For  $|x\rangle\in \mathcal{B}_n$ ,

$$H|x\rangle := \sum_{y\in\{0,1\}^n} \frac{(-1)^{x^\top y}}{\sqrt{2^n}} |y\rangle,$$

where  $x^{\top}y := \sum_{i \leq n} x[i]y[i]$  is the classical inner product.

$$egin{aligned} H \left| 0 
ight
angle &= rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left| 0 
ight
angle + rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left| 1 
ight
angle \ H \left| 01 
ight
angle &= rac{1}{2} \left| 00 
ight
angle \, - rac{1}{2} \left| 01 
ight
angle \end{aligned}$$

For  $|x\rangle \in \mathcal{B}_n$ ,

$$H|x\rangle := \sum_{y\in\{0,1\}^n} \frac{(-1)^{x^\top y}}{\sqrt{2^n}} |y\rangle,$$

where  $x^{\top}y := \sum_{i \leq n} x[i]y[i]$  is the classical inner product.

$$egin{aligned} H \ket{0} &= rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \ket{0} + rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \ket{1} \, . \ H \ket{01} &= rac{1}{2} \ket{00} \, - rac{1}{2} \ket{01} \, + rac{1}{2} \ket{10} \, . \end{aligned}$$

For  $|x\rangle \in \mathcal{B}_n$ ,

$$H|x\rangle := \sum_{y\in\{0,1\}^n} \frac{(-1)^{x^\top y}}{\sqrt{2^n}} |y\rangle,$$

where  $x^{\top}y := \sum_{i \leq n} x[i]y[i]$  is the classical inner product.

$$egin{aligned} H \left| 0 
ight
angle &= rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left| 0 
ight
angle + rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left| 1 
ight
angle . \ H \left| 01 
ight
angle &= rac{1}{2} \left| 00 
ight
angle &- rac{1}{2} \left| 01 
ight
angle + rac{1}{2} \left| 10 
ight
angle - rac{1}{2} \left| 11 
ight
angle . \end{aligned}$$

$$H \ket{x} := \sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^n} \frac{(-1)^{x^{ op} y}}{\sqrt{2^n}} \ket{y},$$

In particular,

 $H |00 \dots 0\rangle =$ 

$$H \ket{x} := \sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^n} \frac{(-1)^{x^\top y}}{\sqrt{2^n}} \ket{y},$$

In particular,

$$H|00...0\rangle = \sum_{y\in\{0,1\}^n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} |y\rangle =: |?\rangle.$$

Carlos Cotrini (ETH Zürich)

In general, for any qubit array  $|\psi
angle = \sum_{x} a_{x} |x
angle$ ,

$$H \ket{\psi} = H \left( \sum_{x} a_{x} \ket{x} \right)$$

In general, for any qubit array  $|\psi
angle = \sum_{x} a_{x} |x
angle$ ,

$$H |\psi\rangle = H\left(\sum_{x} a_{x} |x\rangle\right)$$
$$= \sum_{x} a_{x} H |x\rangle$$
$$= \sum_{x,y} \frac{a_{x} (-1)^{x^{\top} y}}{\sqrt{2^{n}}} |y\rangle$$
$$= \sum_{y} \left(\sum_{x} \frac{a_{x} (-1)^{x^{\top} y}}{\sqrt{2^{n}}}\right) |y\rangle$$

Applying the Hadamard gate takes *constant* time! It is not that the gate computes  $H |x\rangle$ , for each x! Remember that all bit arrays x are in **superposition**!

Carlos Cotrini (ETH Zürich)

# If $f: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ is a Boolean circuit, then

 $U_f |x\rangle := (-1)^{f(x)} |x\rangle.$ 

If  $f: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$  is a Boolean circuit, then

$$U_f |x\rangle := (-1)^{f(x)} |x\rangle.$$

In general, for any qubit array  $|\psi
angle$ ,

$$U_f \ket{\psi} = U_f \left( \sum_{x} a_x \ket{x} \right) = \sum_{x} a_x (-1)^{f(x)} \ket{x}.$$

If  $f: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$  is a Boolean circuit, then

$$U_f |x\rangle := (-1)^{f(x)} |x\rangle.$$

In general, for any qubit array  $|\psi
angle$ ,

$$U_f \ket{\psi} = U_f \left( \sum_x a_x \ket{x} \right) = \sum_x a_x (-1)^{f(x)} \ket{x}.$$

If computing f(x) takes O(K)-time, then computing  $U_f |\psi\rangle$  also takes O(K)-time.

$$F |x\rangle egin{cases} |00\dots0
angle & ext{if } x = 00\dots0 ext{ and } \ -|x
angle & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

# $f: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ . Suppose that computing f(x) takes $O(\mathcal{K}(n))$ -time.

	Memory	Time	Energy
Classical	$O(2^{n})$	$O(2^nK(n))$	$O(2^n K(n))$

	Memory	Time	Energy
Classical	$O(2^{n})$	$O(2^n K(n))$	$O(2^n K(n))$
Parallel $(2^n \text{ cores})$	$O(2^{n})$	O(K(n))	$O(2^n K(n))$

	Memory	Time	Energy
Classical	$O(2^{n})$	$O(2^n K(n))$	$O(2^n K(n))$
Parallel (2 <sup>n</sup> cores)	$O(2^{n})$	O(K(n))	$O(2^n K(n))$
Quantum	O(n)	O(K(n))	O(K(n))

	Memory	Time	Energy
Classical	$O(2^{n})$	$O(2^n K(n))$	$O(2^n K(n))$
Parallel (2 <sup>n</sup> cores)	$O(2^{n})$	O(K(n))	$O(2^n K(n))$
Quantum	O(n)	O(K(n))	O(K(n))

\* Constants may vary substantially.

# Quantum computing for dummies

Carlos Cotrini

ETH Zürich

ccarlos@inf.ethz.ch

September 14, 2019

And now... a problem that can be solved in linear time by a classical computer, but in constant time by a quantum computer!

A bit array of length  $2^n$  is *balanced* if exactly half of its entries are zero. A bit array is *constant* if all its entries are zero.

And now... a problem that can be solved in linear time by a classical computer, but in constant time by a quantum computer!

A bit array of length  $2^n$  is *balanced* if exactly half of its entries are zero. A bit array is *constant* if all its entries are zero.

#### The problem

Given a bit array f of length  $2^n$ , known to be balanced or constant, decide if it is balanced.

And now... a problem that can be solved in linear time by a classical computer, but in constant time by a quantum computer!

A bit array of length  $2^n$  is *balanced* if exactly half of its entries are zero. A bit array is *constant* if all its entries are zero.

#### The problem

Given a bit array f of length  $2^n$ , known to be balanced or constant, decide if it is balanced.



And now... a problem that can be solved in linear time by a classical computer, but in constant time by a quantum computer!

A bit array of length  $2^n$  is *balanced* if exactly half of its entries are zero. A bit array is *constant* if all its entries are zero.

#### The problem

Given a bit array f of length  $2^n$ , known to be balanced or constant, decide if it is balanced.



Executing this circuit requires only just one call to  $U_{f}$ .

$$|\mathbf{0}\rangle \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow U_f \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

Observe that  $|\bm{0}\rangle=|00...0\rangle.$  Recall that

$$H\left|x
ight
angle:=\sum_{y\in\{0,1\}^n}rac{(-1)^{x^ op y}}{\sqrt{2^n}}\left|y
ight
angle.\qquad U_f\left|x
ight
angle:=(-1)^{f(x)}\left|x
ight
angle.$$

We now show why this circuit decides if f is balanced or constant.

Show that

$$|\psi'\rangle = HU_f H |00...0\rangle = \sum_{z \in \{0,1\}^n} \left( \sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^n} \frac{(-1)^{z^\top y + f(y)}}{\sqrt{2^n}} \right) |z\rangle.$$

After we measure |ψ'⟩, what is the probability that we get 00...0 if f is balanced? What is the probability of getting 00...0 if f is constant?

Carlos Cotrini (ETH Zürich)



- Linear transformations.
- Matrix representations.
- Unitary transformations.
- Orover's algorithm.

э

Given a function  $f : \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ , find an element  $x \in \{0,1\}^n$  such that f(x) = 1. We call such an element *a solution of f*.

Given a function  $f : \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ , find an element  $x \in \{0,1\}^n$  such that f(x) = 1. We call such an element *a solution of f*.

A classical computer solves this in  $O(2^n)$ -time.

Given a function  $f : \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ , find an element  $x \in \{0,1\}^n$  such that f(x) = 1. We call such an element *a solution of f*.

A classical computer solves this in  $O(2^n)$ -time. A quantum computer can solve this in  $O(\sqrt{2^n})$ -time!

Given a function  $f : \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ , find an element  $x \in \{0,1\}^n$  such that f(x) = 1. We call such an element *a solution of f*.

A classical computer solves this in  $O(2^n)$ -time. A quantum computer can solve this in  $O(\sqrt{2^n})$ -time! We assume that there are  $M \ll N = 2^n$  solutions of f.

# A linear transformation is a function $T : \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}^N$ such that $T(a_1 |\psi_1\rangle + a_2 |\psi_2\rangle) = a_1 T |\psi_1\rangle + a_2 T |\psi_2\rangle.$

A linear transformation is a function  $T : \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}^N$  such that

$$T(a_1 |\psi_1\rangle + a_2 |\psi_2\rangle) = a_1 T |\psi_1\rangle + a_2 T |\psi_2\rangle.$$

Every linear transformation T is identified with a unique matrix  $\llbracket T \rrbracket \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$  such that  $T |\psi\rangle = \llbracket T \rrbracket |\psi\rangle$ . We identify T with  $\llbracket T \rrbracket$ .

# How to compute the matrix representation of a linear transformation T?

#### • List all basic qubit arrays: $|00...0\rangle$ , $|00...1\rangle$ , ..., $|11...1\rangle$ .

# How to compute the matrix representation of a linear transformation T?

- List all basic qubit arrays:  $|00...0\rangle$ ,  $|00...1\rangle$ , ...,  $|11...1\rangle$ .
- **2** Apply T to each of them:  $T |00...0\rangle$ ,  $T |00...1\rangle$ , ...,  $T |11...1\rangle$ .

# How to compute the matrix representation of a linear transformation T?

- List all basic qubit arrays:  $|00...0\rangle$ ,  $|00...1\rangle$ , ...,  $|11...1\rangle$ .
- **2** Apply T to each of them:  $T |00...0\rangle$ ,  $T |00...1\rangle$ , ...,  $T |11...1\rangle$ .
- Write these qubit arrays as column vectors.
# How to compute the matrix representation of a linear transformation T?

- **1** List all basic qubit arrays:  $|00...0\rangle$ ,  $|00...1\rangle$ , ...,  $|11...1\rangle$ .
- **2** Apply T to each of them:  $T |00...0\rangle$ ,  $T |00...1\rangle$ , ...,  $T |11...1\rangle$ .
- Solution Write these qubit arrays as column vectors.
- $\llbracket T \rrbracket$  is the matrix whose columns are the vectors above.

Let T be such that  $T |0\rangle = -|1\rangle$  and  $T |1\rangle = |0\rangle$ . If we apply the steps above we get:

**1** List all basic qubit arrays:  $|0\rangle$ ,  $|1\rangle$ .

Image: Image:

3

Let T be such that  $T |0\rangle = -|1\rangle$  and  $T |1\rangle = |0\rangle$ . If we apply the steps above we get:

- **1** List all basic qubit arrays:  $|0\rangle$ ,  $|1\rangle$ .
- 2 If we apply T to these arrays we get:  $-|1\rangle$ ,  $|0\rangle$ .

Let T be such that  $T |0\rangle = -|1\rangle$  and  $T |1\rangle = |0\rangle$ . If we apply the steps above we get:

- List all basic qubit arrays:  $|0\rangle$ ,  $|1\rangle$ .
- 2 If we apply T to these arrays we get:  $-|1\rangle$ ,  $|0\rangle$ .
- Writing them as column vectors yields: (

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence,

$$T = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Recall that  $T |0\rangle = -|1\rangle$  and  $T |1\rangle = |0\rangle$ .

< A</li>

э

Recall that  $T |0\rangle = -|1\rangle$  and  $T |1\rangle = |0\rangle$ . Let  $|\psi\rangle = a_0 |0\rangle + a_1 |1\rangle = (a_0, a_1)$ . Let's verify that

 $T |\psi\rangle = \llbracket T \rrbracket |\psi\rangle.$ 

Recall that  $T |0\rangle = -|1\rangle$  and  $T |1\rangle = |0\rangle$ . Let  $|\psi\rangle = a_0 |0\rangle + a_1 |1\rangle = (a_0, a_1)$ . Let's verify that

 $T |\psi\rangle = \llbracket T \rrbracket |\psi\rangle.$ 

• 
$$T |\psi\rangle = a_0 T |0\rangle + a_1 T |1\rangle = -a_0 |1\rangle + a_1 |0\rangle = (a_1, -a_0).$$

Recall that  $T |0\rangle = -|1\rangle$  and  $T |1\rangle = |0\rangle$ . Let  $|\psi\rangle = a_0 |0\rangle + a_1 |1\rangle = (a_0, a_1)$ . Let's verify that

 $T |\psi\rangle = \llbracket T \rrbracket |\psi\rangle.$ 

• 
$$T |\psi\rangle = a_0 T |0\rangle + a_1 T |1\rangle = -a_0 |1\rangle + a_1 |0\rangle = (a_1, -a_0).$$

•  $\llbracket T \rrbracket |\psi\rangle$  can be visualized as follows:

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_0 \\
a_1
\end{array}\right)$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right)$$

Recall that  $T |0\rangle = -|1\rangle$  and  $T |1\rangle = |0\rangle$ . Let  $|\psi\rangle = a_0 |0\rangle + a_1 |1\rangle = (a_0, a_1)$ . Let's verify that

 $T |\psi\rangle = \llbracket T \rrbracket |\psi\rangle.$ 

• 
$$T |\psi\rangle = a_0 T |0\rangle + a_1 T |1\rangle = -a_0 |1\rangle + a_1 |0\rangle = (a_1, -a_0).$$

•  $\llbracket T \rrbracket | \psi \rangle$  can be visualized as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ -a_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Recall that  $T |0\rangle = -|1\rangle$  and  $T |1\rangle = |0\rangle$ . Let  $|\psi\rangle = a_0 |0\rangle + a_1 |1\rangle = (a_0, a_1)$ . Let's verify that

 $T |\psi\rangle = \llbracket T \rrbracket |\psi\rangle.$ 

• 
$$T |\psi\rangle = a_0 T |0\rangle + a_1 T |1\rangle = -a_0 |1\rangle + a_1 |0\rangle = (a_1, -a_0).$$

•  $\llbracket T \rrbracket |\psi\rangle$  can be visualized as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ -a_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence,  $[\![T]\!] |\psi\rangle = (a_1, -a_0).$ 

Recall that  $F : \mathbb{C}^4 \to \mathbb{C}^4$  is the quantum gate such that

$$F \left| x \right
angle egin{cases} \left| 00 
ight
angle & ext{if } \left| x 
ight
angle = \left| 00 
ight
angle \\ - \left| x 
ight
angle & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Recall that  $F : \mathbb{C}^4 \to \mathbb{C}^4$  is the quantum gate such that

$$egin{array}{ll} {\sf F} \ket{x} iggl\{ egin{array}{ll} \ket{00} & {
m if} \ket{x} = \ket{00} \ - \ket{x} & {
m otherwise.} \end{array}$$

F's matrix representation is

Recall that  $F: \mathbb{C}^4 \to \mathbb{C}^4$  is the quantum gate such that

$$egin{array}{ll} {\sf F} \ket{x} egin{cases} \ket{00} & {
m if} \ket{x} = \ket{00} \ - \ket{x} & {
m otherwise.} \end{array}$$

F's matrix representation is

 $\textcircled{0} ||00\rangle, ||01\rangle, ||10\rangle, ||11\rangle.$ 

Recall that  $F: \mathbb{C}^4 \to \mathbb{C}^4$  is the quantum gate such that

$${\sf F} \ket{x} egin{cases} \ket{00} & {
m if} \ket{x} = \ket{00} \ - \ket{x} & {
m otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

F's matrix representation is

Recall that  $F: \mathbb{C}^4 \to \mathbb{C}^4$  is the quantum gate such that

$${\sf F} \ket{x} egin{cases} \ket{00} & {
m if} \ket{x} = \ket{00} \ - \ket{x} & {
m otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

F's matrix representation is

Recall that  $F: \mathbb{C}^4 \to \mathbb{C}^4$  is the quantum gate such that

$$egin{array}{ll} {\sf F} \ket{x} iggl\{ egin{array}{ll} \ket{00} & {
m if} \ket{x} = \ket{00} \ - \ket{x} & {
m otherwise.} \end{array}$$

F's matrix representation is

**1** 
$$|00\rangle$$
,  $|01\rangle$ ,  $|10\rangle$ ,  $|11\rangle$ .
**2**  $|00\rangle$ ,  $-|01\rangle$ ,  $-|10\rangle$ ,  $-|11\rangle$ .
**3**  $\begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 0\\-1\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\-1\\0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\-1\\0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\-1\\0 \end{pmatrix}$ .
**3** F's matrix representation is then

$$egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \ \end{pmatrix}$$

Carlos Cotrini (ETH Zürich)

In consequence,  $F \ket{\psi}$  for any qubit array  $(a_{00}, a_{01}, a_{10}, a_{11})$  is

In consequence, F  $|\psi
angle$  for any qubit array ( $a_{00}, a_{01}, a_{10}, a_{11}$ ) is

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}a_{00}\\a_{01}\\a_{10}\\a_{11}\end{array}\right)$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrrrr} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{array}\right)$$

In consequence, F  $|\psi
angle$  for any qubit array ( $a_{00}, a_{01}, a_{10}, a_{11}$ ) is

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}
a_{00}\\
a_{01}\\
a_{10}\\
a_{11}
\end{array}\right)$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrrrr} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{array}\right) \quad \left(\begin{array}{r} a_{00} \\ -a_{01} \\ -a_{10} \\ -a_{11} \end{array}\right)$$

In consequence,  ${\it F} \ket{\psi}$  for any qubit array  $(a_{00}, a_{01}, a_{10}, a_{11})$  is

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} a_{00} \\ a_{01} \\ a_{10} \\ a_{11} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{00} \\ -a_{01} \\ -a_{10} \\ -a_{11} \end{pmatrix}$$

That is,  $F |\psi\rangle = (a_{00}, -a_{01}, -a_{10}, -a_{11}).$ 

#### If $T_1$ and $T_2$ are linear transformations, then

$$T_1(T_2|x\rangle) = \llbracket T_1 \rrbracket \llbracket T_2 \rrbracket |x\rangle.$$

Let *H* be the Hadamard gate for  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Recall that  $H|0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle$ and that  $H|1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle$ .

Image: Image:

3

Let *H* be the Hadamard gate for  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Recall that  $H|0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle$ and that  $H|1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle$ . Hence,

$$H = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{array}\right).$$

3

(B)

Image: Image:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$



$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{array}\right) \qquad \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right)$$

This means that HH = I. That is,  $HH |\psi\rangle = |\psi\rangle$ .

# Unitary transformations

A quantum gate G is a unitary transformation. A linear transformation  $G : \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}^N$  is unitary if  $G^{\dagger}G = I$ .

A quantum gate G is a unitary transformation. A linear transformation  $G : \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}^N$  is unitary if  $G^{\dagger}G = I$ .

If you are not familiar with complex algebra, then you can think of  $G^{\dagger}$  as  $G^{\top}$ , G's transpose.

A quantum gate G is a unitary transformation. A linear transformation  $G : \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}^N$  is unitary if  $G^{\dagger}G = I$ .

If you are not familiar with complex algebra, then you can think of  $G^{\dagger}$  as  $G^{\top}$ , G's transpose.

Recall that the transpose of a matrix  $G \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N}$  is the matrix  $G^{\top} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times M}$  obtained by "mirroring" G through its diagonal. More precisely, for  $i \leq M, j \leq N$ , we have that  $(G^{\top})_{ij} = G_{ji}$ .

A quantum gate G is a unitary transformation. A linear transformation  $G : \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}^N$  is unitary if  $G^{\dagger}G = I$ .

If you are not familiar with complex algebra, then you can think of  $G^{\dagger}$  as  $G^{\top}$ , G's transpose.

Recall that the transpose of a matrix  $G \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N}$  is the matrix  $G^{\top} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times M}$  obtained by "mirroring" G through its diagonal. More precisely, for  $i \leq M, j \leq N$ , we have that  $(G^{\top})_{ij} = G_{ji}$ .

For example,

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{array}\right)^{\top} = \left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 5 \\ 3 & 6 \end{array}\right).$$

#### The problem

Given a function  $f : \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ , find an element  $x \in \{0,1\}^n$  such that f(x) = 1. We call such an element *a solution of f*.

#### The problem

Given a function  $f : \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ , find an element  $x \in \{0,1\}^n$  such that f(x) = 1. We call such an element *a solution of f*.

We assume that there are  $M \ll N = 2^n$  solutions of f.



3





 $|\sigma\rangle$  and  $|\sigma^{\perp}\rangle$  are normal and orthogonal.


 $|\sigma\rangle$  and  $\left|\sigma^{\perp}\right\rangle$  are normal and orthogonal.

 $|?\rangle$  lies in the span of these two vectors.









### Quantum circuit for Grover's rotation



Figure: Quantum circuit for Grover's rotation.

**()** The Grover rotation is implemented as  $G \equiv U_f \rightarrow H \rightarrow F \rightarrow H$ .

- Show that *F*'s matrix representation is  $2|00...0\rangle|00...0\rangle^{\top} I$ .
- **2** Show that  $H^{\top} = H$ .
- **③** Show that the matrix representation of *HFH* is  $2|?\rangle|?\rangle^{\top} I$ .
- Show that U<sub>f</sub> is a reflection through the qubit array |σ<sup>⊥</sup>⟩. Recall that a linear transformation is a reflection through a vector v if its matrix representation is 2vv<sup>⊤</sup> − I.
- Conclude that G performs a rotation. It can be shown that this rotation is done by an angle of  $\theta = 2 \arcsin \sqrt{M/N}$  towards  $|\sigma\rangle$ .
- Write down the circuit implementing Grover's algorithm and argue why it computes a solution of f with high probability.