





R-Codes practice notes

September 2021

The Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage acknowledges the traditional owners and custodians of this land. We pay our respect to Elders past and present, their descendants who are with us today, and those who will follow in their footsteps.

Disclaimer

This document has been produced by the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage on behalf of the Western Australian Planning Commission. Any representation, statement, opinion or advice expressed or implied in this publication is made in good faith and on the basis that the Government, its employees and agents are not liable for any damage or loss whatsoever which may occur as a result of action taken or not taken, as the case may be, in respect of any representation, statement, opinion or advice referred to herein. Professional advice should be obtained before applying the information contained in this document to particular circumstances.

© State of Western Australia

Published by the Western Australian Planning Commission Gordon Stephenson House 140 William Street Perth WA 6000

Locked Bag 2506 Perth WA 6001

Published September 2021

website: www.dplh.wa.gov.au email: info@dplh.wa.gov.au

tel: 08 6551 8002 fax: 08 6551 9001

National Relay Service: 13 36 77

This document is available in alternative formats on application to the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage Communications Branch.

Introduction

The Residential Design Codes (R-Codes) are a State Planning Policy prepared by the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC) under section 26 of the Planning and Development Act 2005. The R-Codes outline standards for residential development in Western Australia; and, with the exception of some lot/site or precinct-specific circumstances, they form the basis for the design and assessment of most residential development in Western Australia.

SPP7.3 Residential Design Codes Volume 2 - Apartments (R-Codes Vol. 2)

On the 24 May 2019, R-Codes Vol. 2 became operational, superseding Part 6 of R-Codes Vol. 1. It applies to the development of multiple dwellings in areas coded R40 and above and the multiple dwelling component of mixed use development.

SPP7.3 Residential Design Codes Volume 1 (R-Codes Vol. 1)

The R-Codes Vol. 1 are structured into individual elements that address specific matters regarding the design and assessment of residential development such as height, setbacks and open space. Each design element or 'clause' of the R-Codes Vol. 1 contains 'deemed-to-comply' standards, which set out specific development controls. A proposal that satisfies all 'deemed-to-comply' standards of the

R-Codes Vol. 1 is considered to be compliant and generally does not require development approval, provided the R-Codes Vol. 1 'deemed-to-comply' standards are not altered by different development standards within a local planning scheme, a local planning policy or a local development plan.

In instances where a proposal does not satisfy 'deemed-to-comply' standards, the assessing authority will undertake an assessment against the corresponding 'design principles' to determine whether the proposal is appropriate. If a decision-maker determines the proposal satisfies the 'design principles' then the application will, in most cases, be approved but may be subject to consultation with an adjoining property owner/s and approval conditions. If a proposal is not considered to satisfy the 'design principles' the decision-maker may request it be modified or refuse the proposal.

Right of review

Where a decision-maker refuses an application or imposes conditions considered unreasonable by the applicant, a right of review exists and an application may be lodged with the State Administrative Tribunal (SAT), under the provisions of the *Planning and Development Act 2005*. Further information about the SAT review process and its application procedures and fees is available online at www.sat.justice.wa.gov.au/

Practice Notes

These Practice Notes address the most common technical matters that arise in the interpretation and implementation of the R-Codes. They aim to provide clarity and certainty to applicants, assessors and decision-makers through consistent application of the R-Codes. They also address general operational matters regarding the status and application of the R-Codes as an important component of the Western Australian planning policy framework. Words **bolded** in these Practice Notes are defined in the R-Codes.

The Practice Notes do not form part of the R-Codes and may be updated from time to time as a supplement to the R-Codes Explanatory guidelines.



Query/matter	Response/interpretation
How are the R-Codes given effect?	The R-Codes are a State Planning Policy prepared by the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC) under Part 3 of the <i>Planning and Development Act 2005</i> . The R-Codes and any subsequent amendments are introduced into local planning schemes under the <i>Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015</i> (refer Schedule 1, part 4, clause 25).
Who is responsible for determining and implementing	The R-Codes are standards for the control of residential development, which are included by reference into ('read into') local planning schemes . The determination of proposals under the R-Codes (and hence the implementation of the R-Codes) generally lies with the relevant local government (or local government officers delegated to act on their behalf). In some cases however, the WAPC, DevelopmentWA or a Development Assessment Panel (DAP) may be the decision-maker.
the R-Codes?	The R-Codes Vol. 1 also relate to subdivision of land. There is an inextricable link between lot size (Table 1), frontage requirements and other requirements of the R-Codes Vol. 1 and WAPC subdivision policy. Responsibility for subdivision approval lies solely with the WAPC, although local government has a significant advisory role in the process. R-Codes Vol. 1 matters must be considered by proponents and assessors when preparing and assessing development proposals intended or with future potential to be subdivided (for example, applications for grouped or aged/dependent persons' dwellings).

R-Codes clause	Query/matter	Response/interpretation	Diagram/illustration (where relevant)
R-Codes Vol. 1 1.5 Explanatory Guidelines	What is the role of the Explanatory Guidelines and the Practice Notes and how do they	stakeholders to give context to R-Codes Vol. 1	atory Guidelines in consultation with decision-makers and standards and guidance, and assist in the interpretation y Guidelines should be considered in the determination of r fetter discretion.
	differ?	While both the R-Codes Explanatory Guidelines and Practice Notes are supplementary to the R-Codes Vol. 1, the Explanatory Guidelines provide a comprehensive guide for the assessment of residential development against all R-Codes Vol. 1 'deemed-to-comply' and 'design principles'. In comparison, the Practice Notes seek to address specific technical queries and matters regarding the interpretation of certain 'deemed-to-comply' standards of the R-Codes Vol. 1 that are regularly the subject of application uncertainty and consequential assessment and determination inconsistency. Clarification of these queries/matters through the Practice Notes aims to improve understanding and application consistency for applicants, assessors and decision-makers.	
R-Codes Vol. 1 2.2 Single house approvals	Does the erection or extension of a single house require development approval?	In accordance with Schedule 2, clause 61 of the <i>Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes)</i> Regulations 2015, the erection or extension of a single house, ancillary dwelling, outbuilding, external fixtures, boundary wall or fence, patio, pergola, verandah, deck, garage, carport or swimming pool that satisfies the 'deemed-to-comply' provisions of the R-Codes Vol. 1 does not require development approval, unless the development is located in a place that is a heritage-protected place.	
		If a proposal for a single house does not satisfy a 'deemed-to-comply' requirement/s of the R-Codes Vol. 1, the decision-maker will require an application for development approval and payment of a fee to process that application.	
		submitting a certified application for a building permit. A permit authority must not grant a building permit where development approval is required but has not been obtained. If development approval not required, the local government would need to establish that the development proposal satisfication deemed-to-comply' requirements of the R-Codes Vol. 1 prior to issuing a building permit.	
	How is compliance with the R-Codes Vol. 1 determined for development proposals subject to a certified application for a building permit?		
R-Codes Vol. 1 2.5 Exercise of	How do decision- makers exercise judgement to		a'. Judgement and discretion are exercised by the decision- pplying a combination of relevant facts, circumstances and -making.
judgement	determine if approval should be granted to a proposal which does not meet R-Codes Vol. 1 'deemed-to-comply'	R-Codes Explanatory Guidelines, local plannin applied in conjuction with broad planning and	o be exercised is outlined in the R-Codes Vol. 1, the ig schemes , local planning policies and should be administrative law principles. Schedule 2, clause 67 of the ered in determining a development application.
	standards?	Local planning policies can also provide clar principles' by clearly outlining the parameters decision-maker.	rification/guidance for the R-Codes Vol. 1 'design where discretion would be favourably exercised by the
		on making good planning decisions are availa	ce Notes: Making Good Planning Decisions guidelines ble to assist Development Assessment Panels and are ers to help in the assessment and determination of

R-Codes clause	Query/matter	Response/interpretation	Diagram/illustration (where relevant)
R-Codes Vol. 1 3.1 Applications for planning approval	Which application form do I need for development approval?	If the proposal requires development approval under the local planning scheme, an application for development approval is required to be submitted on a form consistent with Schedule 2, clause 86 of the <i>Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015</i> ('LPS Regulations'). Application forms for development approval can usually be downloaded from the relevant local government website or collected from the local government offices. Queries about obtaining the necessary development application form and application fee should be directed to the relevant local government where the application is to be lodged.	
	What application fee needs to be paid when I lodge an application for development approval?	The application fee schedule can be accesse	n the <i>Planning and Development Regulations 2009.</i> d online at <u>www.dplh.wa.gov.au</u> . ssment Panel (DAP) is required, a <u>DAP application fee</u>
			ne relevant decision-maker to determine the correct oment application. Most local governments list application
R-Codes Vol. 1 3.2 Information requirements	Do all the information requirements in the R-Codes Vol. 1 'application information matrix' need to be provided based on the application type?	The matrix clarifies the information required for certain types of development proposals. Only the information noted for the application type is required, although additional information set out in R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 3.3 may also be required if relevant. The matrix provided within the R-Codes supplements Schedule 2, clause 63 of the Regulations which sets out general information requirements when lodging a development application. The applicant is responsible for ensuring all information is accurate before an application is submitted. The provision and accuracy of information in support of a proposal are critical so as not to delay determination. Applications and associated fees should not be accepted by the decision-maker unless all required information is provided. It is recommended the applicant liaise with the decision-maker prior to submitting an application to confirm the information that needs to be submitted.	
R-Codes Vol. 1 4.2 Consultation procedure	Does the decision- maker have to wait until the end of the consultation period before a decision can be made in relation to a proposed development?	Yes. Schedule 2, clause 68, of the LPS Regulations does not allow the decision-maker to determ application for development approval until the end of the prescribed period for making submission. The local government must wait until the end of the consultation period to determine an applicat — even if all notified owners and occupiers of adjoining properties have provided comment on the proposal. Where a proposal does not meet 'deemed-to-comply' requirements and the decision-maker metals.	
Where the applicant undertakes notification under R-Codes Vol.1 clause 4.2.3, how can the decision-maker verify and be satisfied that notification has been properly undertaken?		has been notified. The decision-maker may a notified all owners and occupiers of adjoining copy of the notification/information provided to Some decision-makers however, may not accapplicant has been unsuccessful in obtaining to undertake the neighbour consultation.	cept registered post receipts and, in the event that the the neighbours comments, the decision-maker may elect onfirmation from the decision-maker that registered

R-Codes clause	Query/matter	Response/interpretation	Diagram/illustration (where relevant)
R-Codes Vol. 1 5.1.1 Site area	Can a decision- maker approve a development proposal with a minimum and average site area per dwelling less than the area required under R-Codes Vol. 1 Table 1?	average site area per dwelling requirements s in the R-Codes Vol. 1 or relevant local plannin certain site area adjustments depending upor open areas) and R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 1.4 al development type (aged or dependent person subdivision approval and existing lots with are In the case of a local government determining	ne decision-maker shall not reduce the minimum or set out in R-Codes Vol. 1 <i>Table 1</i> , except as provided for a scheme. R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 5.1.1, C1.3 allows for a site location (corner sites and battle-axe sites adjoining lows for certain site area reductions depending upon as' or single bedroom dwellings), new lots granted WAPC has less than that required in R-Codes Vol. 1 Table 1. The development application that involves the assessment as the minimum and average lot sizes are only permitted and the dependent of the december of the company of the size of the december of the company of the size of the december of the company of the size of the december of the size of the size of the december of the size
		Only the WAPC has discretion – through the s	subdivision process – to vary lot sizes under the 'design d' WAPC <i>Development Control Policy 2.2 Residential</i>
If a minimum site area reduction has been obtained under 'deemed to-comply' R-Codes Vol. 1 clauses Yes, but only the WAF circumstances where principle and relevant its discretion in appro- minimum and averag		circumstances where the further proposed re- principle and relevant WAPC residential subdi- its discretion in approving reduced lot sizes fo	tion through the subdivision process and only in duction can meet the criteria set out under the design vision policies. The extent to which the WAPC will exercise or single houses and grouped dwellings below the s, is limited. Further guidance can be found in the WAPC's <i>Subdivision</i> .
	Are development concessions for single bedroom dwellings and aged and dependent persons' dwellings under R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 5.1.1, C1.4i applied to subdivision?		rision condition(s) and Certificate of Title notification and for single bedroom dwellings or aged and dependent
	Does R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 5.1.1, C1.4ii allow the WAPC to approve any lot area, regardless of the minimum site areas in R-Codes Vol. 1 Table 1?	areas less than those set out in R-Codes Vol. 1 Table 1, which have previously been granted approval (including lots yet to be developed). R-Codes Vol. 1 design principle clause 5.1.1, P1.3 provides discretion to the WAPC, in constitution of a purpose granted (with strote) let of the local government, to approve the greating of a purpose strate or strote (with strote) let of the local government.	
R-Codes Vol. 1 5.1.2 Street setback	Can common property be used in averaging?	Yes. Common property can be used in averaging the primary street setback of a dwelling where averaging is permitted. The access leg for a battle-axe lot cannot be used for averaging the primary street setback of the front dwelling because the access leg is not in common ownership – it is exclusively owned and forms part of the lot of the rear dwelling.	CONTEXT CONTEXT A separation

R-Codes clause	Query/matter	Response/interpretation	Diagram/illustration (where relevant)
	Are the setbacks for dwellings that adjoin a communal street, right-of-way or shared vehicle access way measured from the property boundary or the edge of the driveway?	All required setbacks are to be measured from the common property boundary, not the edge of the driveway. This includes front setbacks for dwellings that front a communal street.	CONTEXT dwelling driveway primary street DIAGRAM - 2
	How is the setback assessed for a dwelling that fronts a right-of-way? And how is the setback assessed for a garage or carport that fronts a right-of-way?	Where a single house or grouped dwelling in area coded R15 or higher fronts a right-of-way and the right-of-way is the primary street: • the dwelling is to be setback a minimum of 2.5 metres; • with the porch, verandah, balcony or equivalent setback a minimum of 1.5 metres; and • the garage that directly faces a right-of-way being setback: — a minimum of 4.5 metres (Diagram 3A); or — a minimum of 0.5 metres behind the dwelling alignment, excluding any porch, verandah or balcony. If a carport fronting a right-of-way is proposed, the setback may be reduced by half that of the garage i.e. a minimum of 2.25m garage setback (refer R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 5.1.2, C2.1). For a single house or grouped dwelling (including garage or carport) in an area coded R12.5 or below fronting a right-of-way, there is no reduced setback allowance from the right-of-way and so the standard setback rules at C31.i, C2.1ii and C2.1iii apply. Where a dwelling fronts the primary street and has a rear lot boundary that abuts a right-of-way (i.e. the right-of-way is not the primary or secondary street boundary), the garage or carport setback may be reduced to nil, provided there is at least 6 metres in front of the garage or carport to allow for manoeuvring (Diagram 3B). This applies regardless of the density coding.	right of way - primary street for dwelling 2 dwelling 1 primary street for dwelling 1 DIAGRAM - 3A DIAGRAM - 3B

R-Codes clause	Query/matter	Response/interpretation	Diagram/illustration (where relevant)
R-Codes Vol. 1 5.1.3 Lot boundary setback	How is the setback for verandahs and patios assessed? Are they a continuation of the wall of the dwelling or are they measured separately?	For the purpose of measuring setback, the length of a patio, verandah or similar is assessed as a continuation of the wall of the dwelling where the patio, verandah or similar is 10 metres or longer in length (Diagram 4A). Where there is a distance of 4 metres or greater separating the patio, verandah or similar (that is 10 metres or longer in length) from the dwelling, the setbacks shall be assessed independently. Where a patio, verandah or similar is 10m or less in length and no more than 2.7m in height, it is not to be treated as a continuation of the dwelling wall and the setback from the boundary can be nil Diagram 4B). Setbacks for patios, verandahs or similar are to be assessed as walls with no major openings, unless the floor level is elevated 0.5 metres or greater above natural ground level and in that case, the setback shall be assessed as a wall with a major opening. The above principles for assessing setbacks to patios, verandahs or similar applies to both new dwellings as well as additions.	patio patio patio Less than 10m Total wall length primary street DIAGRAM - 4A DIAGRAM - 4B
	On a lot with an angled boundary, can a portion of the wall be setback less than the required minimum if a majority of the wall length and any major openings are setback the minimum distance?	No. In order to satisfy the 'deemed-to-comply' standards of R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 5.1.3, the entire length of a wall must be setback the minimum required distance from the boundary, regardless of angled or irregular shaped lots.	CONTEXT dwelling DIAGRAM - 5
	Can walls be built to a common property and/ or strata boundary? If so, can these walls have windows in	standards subject to compliance with the bou All walls on or less than 600mm from any site are assessed as a boundary wall in accordance	
	them?	openings are required to be setback in accord	m a common property/strata boundary and/or contain major dance with R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 5.1.3, C3.1.
			ined as major openings are subject to Building Code of not be capable of opening so that any part of the window dows).

R-Codes clause	Query/matter	Response/interpretation	Diagram/illustration (where relevant)
	How are minor projections such as chimneys and eaves referenced at R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 5.1.3, C3.1iv measured? Is it from the boundary or from the wall?	Minor projections may extend up to 0.75 metres into the setback area as measured from the wall from which they protrude. For example, a wall required to be setback 1.5 metres from a boundary may have eaves which extend 0.75 metres from the wall into the setback area, resulting in a 0.75 metres setback from the eaves to the boundary.	dwelling dwe
	In R-Codes Vol. 1 Table 1, are the side and rear boundaries set out in column 7 under 'Other/rear' interchangeable?	consecutively. That is, the 'other' side setbac and the 'rear' boundary setback is 6 metres.	Ider 'Other/rear', '*/6' means the provisions should be read k is to be determined from R-Codes Vol. 1 Tables 2a or 2b, These could only be interchanged by assessment under tback value is prescribed, it applies to both side and rear
	In relation to a gable or skillion wall, is there a different measure of wall height used for determining lot boundary setbacks under R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 5.1.3, the height for the purpose of calculating building height, the taken from where the base of the wall meets the NGL and is to be taken to the highevertically above that point. The issue of height in relation to gable ends and skillions is for other walls in the calculation of lot boundary setbacks because of the increased poparticularly overshadowing of adjoining properties. It is important to note that measuring the height of a gable or skillion wall for the purpose of calculating building height, the taken from where the base of the wall meets the NGL and is to be taken to the highevertically above that point. The issue of height in relation to gable ends of the wall to the highest point of the gable or skillions is for other walls in the calculation of lot boundary setbacks because of the increased poparticularly overshadowing of adjoining properties. It is important to note that measuring the height of a gable or skillion wall for the purpose of calculating building height, the taken from where the base of the wall neets the NGL and is to be taken to the higher to the vall to the highest point of the gable or skillions is for other walls in the calculation of lot boundary setbacks because of the increased poparticularly overshadowing of adjoining properties. It is important to note that measuring the height of a gable or skillion wall for the purpose of calculating building height, the taken from where the base of the wall to the wall to the highest point of the gable or skillion in Figure 3g. To measure wall height for the pull to the highest point of the wall to the highest point of the wall to the highest point of the pull to the highest point of the wall to the wall to the highest point of the wall to the wall t		e wall is to be measured from the natural ground level to the highest point of the gable or skillion as illustrated surpose of calculating building height, the distance is to be the NGL and is to be taken to the highest point of the wall it in relation to gable ends and skillions is more critical than ary setbacks because of the increased potential for impact, rities. Sometimes of the purpose of determining the dight, and the two are separate and distinct design
	Are boundary walls permitted anywhere behind the front setback line, regardless of their impact on the adjoining property?	maximum dimensions specified in R-Codes V limited to a maximum of two site boundaries. limits under R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 5.4.2 (par	height and the maximum length not exceeding the ol. 1 clause 5.1.3, C3.2 and the boundary walls being Boundary walls must also not exceed the solar access ticularly for north/south facing boundary walls). Additional its may still be considered under the 'design principles'.
	How do the boundary wall length and height limits in R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 5.1.3 apply to buildings set back from the boundary less than the standard setback distance (i.e. between the standard setback and the boundary)?	than 600mm between the site boundary and from a boundary will be assessed as a bound	nd includes walls either on the site boundary or closer the wall. Any wall or part of a wall set back 600mm or less lary wall as per R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 5.1.3, C3.2. site boundary will be assessed in accordance with R-Codes
	Where the side of the building includes a portion of wall that is a boundary wall, does the setback calculation for the remainder of the building, under clause 5.1.3 C3.1i, factor in the length of the boundary wall?	same boundary) should be included within the (refer to Figure 4b of the R-Codes Vol. 1). The inclusion of the boundary wall within the	Iding from the boundary, the boundary wall (if on the e total length of the building to that boundary e calculation of the total building length ensures that the of both the building and the boundary wall to the adjoining

R-Codes clause	Query/matter	Response/interpretation	Diagram/illustration (where relevant)
R-Codes Vol. 1 5.1.4 Open space	Can the site area measurement shown at R-Codes Vol. 1 Figure 1a (truncation) be used when calculating open space?	No. The truncation cannot be included in the accordance with R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 5.1.4	site area for the purpose of calculating open space in .
	Is the area under eaves included when calculating open space?	Yes. The definition of open space provided at R-Codes Vol. 1 Appendix 1 states that areas beneath eaves constitute open space provided it is unenclosed .	primary street primary street primary street DIAGRAM - 7
	What is the situation under the R-Codes Vol. 1 when a patio is later proposed to be enclosed?	could not be considered as open space. The	patio would have to comply with the relevant R-Code as well as the relevant requirements of the building code
R-Codes Vol. 1 5.1.6 Building Height	How do you measure the building height of a building with multiple roof types?	The building height requirements of Table 3 are to be separately applied to each individual part of the roof that reflect the relevant roof type (Diagram 8). For example, where a building in Category B has a combination of a gable and a hipped roof, the gable portion of the roof should not exceed 8m while the hipped portion should not exceed 10m.	Gable roof Hipped or pitched roof Hip with gable roof A - Max. total building height for hipped or pitched roof B - Max. total building height for gable roof DIAGRAM - 8
R-Codes Vol. 1 5.2.1 Setback of garages and carport	What is the 'deemed- to-comply' setback for a garage or a carport (with a boundary wall) on the boundary?	Notwithstanding the minimum setback requirement for a garage or a carport under R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 5.2.1, boundary walls are assessed as a separate design element regardless of the use associated with the wall . In accordance with R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 5.1.3, C3.2, boundary walls are only 'deemed-to-comply' when behind the primary street setback .	R25 & below R30 - R40 R50 - R60 dwelling primary street * Garages with a boundary wall may come forward of the 4.5m setback requirement of clause 5.2.1, C1.1 where the garage adjoins a dwelling and the garage is at least 0.5m behind the dwelling alignment, and the 'averaging' primary setback requirements of clause 5.1.2 C2.1iii are met. DIAGRAM - 9

R-Codes clause	Query/matter	Response/interpretation	Diagram/illustration (where relevant)
R-Codes Vol. 1 5.2.1 Carports	Can a carport be enclosed on any sides?	dwelling or incorporates a boundary wall on Whilst the definition of 'carport' references th	e defined term of 'unenclosed' (which allows for permanent pecific to 'carport' and that limits carports to having one
R-Codes Vol. 1 5.2.2 Garage width	When measuring garage width, is the width determined based on just the garage opening or does it include pillars and supporting structures?	For the purpose of calculating the width of a garage in accordance with clause 5.2.2, the entire frontage of the garage , including piers and supporting structures is to be assessed as the garage width. For example, a garage with an opening (door) of 5.2 metres and with 0.4 metres piers either side would be assessed as having a garage width of 6.0 metres.	garage width as viewed from the street including supporting structures DIAGRAM - 10
	Is there any dispensation given to garage width where a lot is less than 12 metres wide, given the width of a double garage is 6 metres?	dwelling is proposed with an upper floor or be the garage and its supporting structures, and the primary street. In these instances, a gar 60% of the lot frontage.	der than 50% of the lot frontage is where a two-storey balcony above and extending more than half of the width of d where the entrance to the dwelling is clearly visible from rage and its supporting structures may extend across up to the must either be 50% or less of the frontage to satisfy the s Vol. 1 clause 5.2.2.
R-Codes Vol. 1 5.2.3 Street surveillance	Does the letterbox need to be on the same frontage as the entrance to the dwelling?	Yes, a letterbox is required to be located on the same frontage as the entrance to the dwelling , defined as the primary street . It is important for letterboxes to be located on the primary street is what is used to assign street numbering. If a letterbox is located on an alternate street frontag street name would not correlate with the registered property address, resulting in issues with power generally, property identity.	
	Does the entry point to the dwelling need to face the street to avoid property confusion?	includes a clearly defined pathway and other Whilst not mandatory for the entry point (incluvisible from the street to allow for ease of nav	just refer to the actual entry (front) door itself; it also design features that identify the entrance to the dwelling . uding the entry (front) door) to face the street, it must be rigation and surveillance. An entry point that is screened or he 'deemed-to-comply' standards of R-Codes Vol. 1 clause ident, visitor and public safety.
			tages, the primary street is generally determined by the eaning by default, the entry point would face the primary

R-Codes clause	Query/matter	Response/interpretation	Diagram/illustration (where relevant)
	For a battle-axe lot or a grouped dwelling with access from a common driveway, is a major opening required to face the street as well as the approach to the dwelling?	Yes. A dwelling must be designed so that at least one major opening faces both the street and the approach to the dwelling. It need not be the same major opening but in many cases, a major opening that faces the street would also provide surveillance of the approach to the dwelling (Diagram 11A). Where grouped dwellings have sole access and frontage to a common property driveway, the term 'street' as defined by the R Codes Vol. 1, includes a communal street. The dwelling therefore, need not have a major opening facing a public street, only the communal street (Diagram 11B).	DIAGRAM - 11A DIAGRAM - 11B

R-Codes clause	Query/matter	Response/interpretation	Diagram/illustration (where relevant)
R-Codes Vol. 1 5.2.4 Street walls and fences	What constitutes 'visually permeable' for the purpose of assessing fencing material above 1.2 metres in height?	Street fences above 1.2 metres in height are required to be visually permeable in order to satisfy the 'deemed-to-comply' standards of R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 5.2.4. This type of fencing is commonly referred to as 'open style' and allows for surveillance from the dwelling to the street (and vice versa) and assists to reduce unsightly and bulky solid front fences which attract graffiti and vandalism, and reduce property safety. In accordance with the definition in R-Codes Vol. 1 Appendix 1, a visually permeable front fence, as viewed directly from the street, has either: • continuous vertical or horizontal gaps of 50 millimetres or greater width occupying not less than one third of the total surface area • continuous vertical or horizontal gaps less than 50 millimetres in width, occupying at least one half of the total surface area in aggregate • a surface offering equal or lesser obstruction to view Further, pillars that do not exceed 1.8 metres in height and with horizontal dimensions not greater than 400 millimetres by 400 millimetres are accepted as part of the deemed-to-comply fence profile where they are separated by a section of visually permeable fence.	gaps 50mm or greater Or gaps less than 50mm (as defined) DIAGRAM - 12
	Is there any maximum overall height for visually permeable fencing along the primary street frontage?	property boundary or where two streets inters metres in height regardless of whether it is vis Outside of this circumstance, the fence pillars permeable infill components of the fence are Front fencing standards may be varied by way which may alter the overall height of the visual maximum 1.2 metre height standard for the spillars.	s are not to exceed 1.8 metres in height, however visually not subject to a height restriction. y of local planning policy, prepared by the decision-maker, ally permeable section of fencing and/or may reduce the solid section or the 1.8 metre height standard for the local laws or other local planning policies or local
R-Codes Vol. 1 5.2.5 Sight lines	Would a visually permeable structure above 0.75 metres in height and within 1.5 metres of vehicle access points satisfy the 'deemed-to-comply' standards of R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 5.2.5?	above 0.75 metres in height whether visually	ly' standards of R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 5.2.5, no structures permeable or not are permitted within 1.5 metres of a a public street and where the two streets intersect.

R-Codes clause	Query/matter	Response/interpretation	Diagram/illustration (where relevant)
R-Codes Vol. 1 5.2.6 Appearance of retained dwelling	Are there any limits to the upgrading of an existing dwelling, under R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 5.2.6, C6, when retained as part of a grouped dwelling development?	externally to an equivalent maintenance stand any required works should be limited to maint example, re-cladding/re-roofing of the existing	appearance of the retained dwelling being upgraded dard of the new or rest of the development. This suggests tenance rather than any additional development (for g dwelling to match the new or rest of the development). e by the decision-maker to amend or replace the 'deemed-lause 5.2.6 C6 to clearly outline upgrading
	How is R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 5.2.6, C6 applied where subdivision precedes development?	located within the parent lot of the developm There is no scope to apply a condition requiring	velling that is proposed to be a grouped dwelling is ent. ng upgrading of an existing grouped dwelling once the has been separated from that of the development site/
		house, clause 5.2.6 C6 would technically not	ses for an existing dwelling to be retained as a single apply. However, the WAPC may impose a condition of ad dwelling comply with the requirements of the R-Codes paces to satisfy 5.3.3 C3.1.
R-Codes Vol. 1 5.3.1 Outdoor living areas	uncovered outdoor living area requirement determined based on the physical area of a proposed outdoor living area or only the minimum required outdoor living area as defined at R-Codes Vol. 1 Table 1? uncovered outdoor living area as defined at R-Codes Vol. 1 Table 1? outdoor living area as defined at R-Codes that ha would that are	Only two-thirds of the minimum required outdoor living area defined at R-Codes Vol. 1 Table 1 is required to be uncovered to satisfy the 'deemed-to-comply' standards of R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 5.3.1. If an outdoor living area is proposed that exceeds the minimum requirement, then a proponent does not need to provide additional uncovered space. For example, a dwelling on an R20 coded lot must provide an outdoor living area that has 20m² of uncovered space. If an applicant proposes an outdoor living area that has a total area of 50m², the dwelling would still only need to provide 20m² of that area as uncovered space to satisfy the 'deemed-to-comply' standards of R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 5.3.1.	example: R20 CORTIXI example: R20 Official contents Offic
	What is 'permanent roof cover' and what constitutes being 'without permanent roof cover'?	Any solid roof material designed to provide constant shade or shelter constitutes 'permaner and includes common materials such as tiles, various forms of metal, perspex or plastic roomage. As a general rule, any roof material that is fixed, permanent and impermeable to water is constant.	
	Can an outdoor living area, required under R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 5.3.1, C1.1, be situated in the front setback area?	To satisfy the 'deemed-to-comply' requirement living area must be behind the primary strest boundary at the prescribed minimum processed to the street boundary at the prescribed minimum processed to the street boundary at the prescribed minimum processed to the street boundary at the street bounda	nts of R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 5.3.1, C1.1, an outdoor et setback . This line is to be drawn parallel to the primary orimary street setback distance at R-Codes Vol. 1 s', an application could be made to locate the required e street setback area , particularly where it faces north.
R-Codes Vol.1 5.3.2 Landscaping	Can the tree planting area requirement at clause 5.3.2 C2.2 be located within the outdoor living area?	Yes, although it must be located within the uncovered portion and free of impervious surfaces.	

R-Codes clause	Query/matter	Response/interpretation	Diagram/illustration (where relevant)
R-Codes Vol. 1 5.3.3 Parking	If a grouped dwelling development containing six dwellings has three dwellings using a communal driveway and three dwellings each individually gaining access directly from a public road, is there any requirement for visitor parking?	No, because there is not four or more dwelling s being served by a communal driveway. Developments that have four or more dwelling s with access from a communal driveway are to provide visitor parking at the following rates: 4	
Vehicular access common property is considered to not be appropriate as the landscape strip		a 0.5 metre landscaping strip for the exclusive use of a lot dscape strip cannot be used for any meaningful purpose which it is assigned. The landscape strip also commonly	
	Under R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 5.3.5 C5.3 a 6 metre separation is required between the driveway and the street corner or the point at which a carriageway begins to deviate. From what point is this measured?	The point of reference is not defined in the R-Codes Vol. 1, however the street corner is considered to be the point at which the road alignment begins to deviate towards the intersecting road. Under Australian Standard (AS) 2890.1, a 6 metre separation distance is defined with reference to the corner truncation or the point at which the carriageway begins to deviate. The intent of this clause is to limit traffic conflict and AS 2890.1 should be used as a suitable reference. (Refer to Figure 3.1 in AS 2890.1 for a representation of prohibited driveway locations within proximity to street corners).	
	Under clause 5.3.5 various driveway widths are required. What standards apply?	The driveway width requirements under R-Codes Vol. 1 clauses 5.3.5, C5.2-C5.6 relate to the width the paved/hardstand vehicle access way as per the definition of driveway in R-Codes Vol. 1 Appendix R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 5.3.5, C5.3 requires a 0.5 metre setback to a side lot boundary or street pole and this is applicable to both sides of the driveway where it is located between two lot boundaries. The width of access legs is also limited by the WAPC's <u>Development Control Policy 2.2 Residential Subdivision</u> .	
R-Codes Vol. 1 5.4.1 Visual privacy	Are privacy screens subject to the setbacks applicable to buildings?	Yes, if they form part of the building . However, screening that does not take the form of a building (as defined) will not generally be subject to standard setback requirements (for example, pergolas). It is possible to utilise boundary fencing as a privacy screen, subject to the requirements of the <i>Dividing Fences Act 1961</i> and any relevant local laws relating to fencing. These often involve consultation with adjacent owners where fencing exceeds a particular height. Care needs to be taken not to impose conditions requiring screen fencing or accept a proposal for such screening in the absence of agreement from the adjoining neighbour.	
	Does the cone of vision and associated visual privacy requirements apply to the street setback area?		only to those areas of another residential property behind reet setback of the property being overlooked).
	Can visual privacy standards be varied where both affected properties can benefit?	Yes, but only by the decision-maker through the application of relevant 'design principles' and consultation with adjoining owners/occupiers.	

R-Codes clause	Query/matter	Response/interpretation	Diagram/illustration (where relevant)
R-Codes Vol. 1 5.4.2 Solar access for adjoining sites	How should dividing fences, translucent materials and screening of outdoor areas be assessed for the purposes of shadow calculations under R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 5.4.2, where the screening results in partial shadowing of the adjoining property?	There is no recognition of partial shadowing under R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 5.4.2, C2.1. Where such a situation arises, it should be identified in the shadow calculations, as translucent materials and screening still casts a shadow. The 'design principles' allow for variation and the extent and impact of any partial shadowing in excess of the 'deemed-to-comply' requirements. Level of translucency can be taken into consideration under the 'design principles'. As per the note below Clause 5.4.2 C2.1, any shadow cast by a dividing fence up to 2m in height is to be not included for the purposes of 'deemed-to-comply' shadow calculation and/or any 'design principle' assessment.	
	Are there any potential conflicts between the R-Codes Vol. 1 and the Building Code of Australia's (BCA) energy efficiency requirements?	The BCA and R-Codes Vol. 1 should be complementary. The R-Codes Vol. 1 address solar access in respect of adjoining sites and, in particular, seek to minimise the potential for new development to overshadow north facing major openings to habitable rooms and roof mounted solar collectors. House/building energy efficiency is addressed in the BCA.	
R-Codes Vol. 1 5.4.3 Outbuildings	Is habitable floor space, provided in the form of a separate building from the main dwelling, classified as an outbuilding and therefore subject to area and height limits provided for under R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 5.4.3, C3?	No. An outbuilding is specifically defined in the R-Codes Vol. 1 to include an enclosed non-habitable structure and therefore a free standing building containing habitable room(s) is not subject to R-Code Vol. 1 provisions applicable to outbuildings . It would be deemed either as a second grouped dwelling , an ancillary dwelling or a detached extension to a single house and subject to other provisions of the R-Codes Vol. 1.	
R-Codes Vol. 1 5.4.4 External fixtures	Under R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 5.4.4, C4.3, external fixtures other than those referred to in R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 5.4.4, C4.1 and 4.2 will meet the requirements where they are 'located so as not to be visually obtrusive'. Does this determination involve the exercise of discretion and if so, does the local government have the power to refuse such applications?	option of undertaking neighbour consultation.	
	Do external water tanks classify as external fixtures? If so, are they required to be set back in accordance with normal wall setbacks?	Yes, rainwater storage tanks are included in the definition of external fixtures. R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 5.4.4, C4.3 requires that they are not visible from the primary street or are designed to integrate with the building or located so as not to be visually obtrusive. However, it is important to appreciate that external fixtures constitute a structure under the definition of a building under the R-Codes Vol. 1 and still need to meet the relevant setback and height requirements applicable to buildings.	

R-Codes clause	Query/matter	Response/interpretation	Diagram/illustration (where relevant)
R-Codes Vol. 1 5.5.1 Ancillary dwellings	Now the family occupancy restriction for ancillary dwellings has been removed under the R-Codes Vol. 1, what happens to existing development/ approvals that have the restriction as a condition of planning approval and/or as a notification on the Certificate of Title?	Any development approval conditions regarding ancillary dwelling occupancy continue to apply until superseded by a subsequent development approval. In addition, any notification on title, covenant or other similar restrictions regarding ancillary dwelling occupancy would continue to apply unless removed in conjunction with an amendment to the development approval to amend/remove the relevant condition. The WAPC would support removal of occupancy restrictions not consistent with the R-Codes Vol. 1, however landowners should obtain their own advice and liaise with the decision-maker to ascertain the appropriate means of removal.	
	Can more than one ancillary dwelling be provided on a lot?	No, only one ancillary dwelling can be provided on the same lot as the single house .	
	Must the owner reside in either the single house or the ancillary dwelling?	No, the single house and the ancillary dwelling may be occupied by any person(s), at the owner's choice. Further information on ancillary dwelling occupancy is available online at www.commerce.wa.gov.au	
		Yes, provided the occupation of the dwelling of dwelling (for example, aged 55 or over in	s complies with the requirements for the respective classes the case of Aged Persons' Dwelling s).
	Are the adaptable housing requirements set out in AS 4299, mandatory for all aged and dependant persons' dwellings?	using the identified adaptable house requiren The 'design principles' only require that they	B and 2.4 all dwelling s are required to be constructed nents set out in AS 4299. are 'designed to meet the needs of aged or dependant at all dwellings for 'aged and dependant persons' meet

R-Codes clause	Query/matter	Response/interpretation	Diagram/illustration (where relevant)	
R-Codes Vol. 1 7.3 Scope of local planning policies, local development plans, structure plans and activity centre plans	What parts of the R-Codes Vol. 1 be augmented using local planning policies?	The R-Codes provide for only certain R-Code requirements, outlined in R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 7.3.1, to be varied through local planning policies (in addition to local development plans and activity centre plans) adopted under a local planning scheme, to accommodate specific needs related to that particular locality or region. Local planning policies may also be prepared to vary any other R-Code requirements but only with WAPC approval under R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 7.3.2. Despite this, a local planning scheme amendment is preferable to a local planning policy for significant issues, to provide statutory weight.		
	Can the R-Codes Vol. 1 be augmented under a structure plan or local development plan?	The R-Codes at Clauses 7.3.1 and 7.3.2 allow activity centre plans, which are now referred to as 'precinct structure plans' under the Regulations, to modify the 'deemed-to-comply' requirements of the R-Codes Vol. 1. The Regulations and State Planning Policy 7.2 Precinct Design also permit precinct structure plans to be prepared for precincts other than activity centres such as train station precincts, urban corridors or residential/mixed use areas. The ability to modify the deemed-to-comply requirements of the R-Codes Vol.1 under Clauses 7.3.1 and 7.3.2 should be interpreted as being extended to these other types of precincts.		
		The Regulations do not provide for structure plans, now referred to as 'standard structure modify the 'deemed-to-comply' requirements of the R-Codes Vol. 1. The Regulations do h provide for any existing approved R-Code variations in structure plans to remain in place, continue to operate until they are implemented, revoked or the approval timeframe for the expired.		
		A local development plan, including a 'precinct local development plan', may modify the 'deemed-to-comply' standards of the R-Codes Vol. 1 (subject to R-Codes Vol. 1 clause 7.3) but is to be used in limited situations to guide and coordinate development outcomes for a particular site, and is not to be used purely as a means to modify the 'deemed-to-comply' provisions of the R-Codes Vol. 1. Please refer to Schedule 2, Part 6, clause 47 of the Regulations, sets out the instances when a local development plan is to be prepared and submitted to the local government for approval.		
	What is the status and effect of a local planning policy under the R-Codes Vol. 1?	As per Schedule 2, Part 2, clause 3 (5) of the Regulations, local planning policies are give by the decision-maker primarily in providing the parameters for the exercise of discretion the relevant 'design principles'; however, they are not to be applied rigidly to solely deter appropriateness of a proposal. Applicants should seek the advice of the decision-maker regarding the content and interpretation of local planning policies.		
	Can planning schemes vary the R-Codes?"		lations allow planning schemes to include exclusions or may also include additional development requirements to	
		Generally the Commission will not support proposed provisions in planning schemes where it is of the view that the matter is adequately covered by the R-Codes.		
	What is a local development plan?	outcomes by linking lot design to future devel	ed to coordinate and assist in achieving better built form lopment. It can facilitate the design and coordination of ed lots, and supplement development standards contained les.	

R-Codes clause	Query/matter	Response/interpretation	Diagram/illustration (where relevant)
R-Codes Vol. 1 Appendix 1 – Definitions	Is a free standing garage an outbuilding?	Yes. A free standing garage is defined and assessed as an outbuilding . If habitable space forms part of the freestanding/detached structure, it is not considered an outbuilding or garage.	
	What is the difference between a patio, verandah and a pergola?	These terms are defined in R-Codes Vol. 1 Appendix 1. The main difference is a verandah is a roofed open platform attached to a dwelling while a patio or pergola may or may not be attached. Also, while both a patio and pergola are unenclosed, a patio is covered in a water impermeable material whereas a pergola is an unroofed, open-framed structure that is uncovered or covered in a water permeable material (for example, shade cloth).	
In the definition of 'plot ratio area' are stairs that are not common to two or more dwelling (i.e. lift shafts, shared stairs and stair landings or more dwellings (for example, internal or external stairs serving a single dwelling) included or excluded from the calculation of the plot ratio? Stairs not common to two or more dwellings are included in the calculation of the comprise the gross total area of the building (dwelling). The exclusion relates to state common to more than one dwelling (i.e. lift shafts, shared stairs and stair landings are included in the calculation of the comprise the gross total area of the building (dwelling). The exclusion relates to state common to more than one dwelling (i.e. lift shafts, shared stairs and stair landings are included in the calculation of the comprise the gross total area of the building (dwelling). The exclusion relates to state common to more than one dwelling (i.e. lift shafts, shared stairs and stair landings are included in the calculation of the comprise the gross total area of the building (i.e. lift shafts, shared stairs and stair landings are included in the calculation of the comprise the gross total area of the building (i.e. lift shafts, shared stairs and stair landings are included in the calculation of the comprise the gross total area of the building (i.e. lift shafts, shared stairs and stair landings are included in the calculation of the comprise the gross total area of the building (i.e. lift shafts, shared stairs and stair landings are included in the calculation of the comprise the gross total area of the building (i.e. lift shafts, shared stairs and stair landings are included in the calculation of the comprise the gross total area of the building (i.e. lift shafts, shared stairs and stair landings are included in the calculation of the comprise the gross total area of the building (i.e. lift shafts, shared stairs and stair landings are included in the calculation of the comprise the gross total area of the building (i.e. lift shafts, shared		dwelling). The exclusion relates to stairs and landings	
R-Codes Vol. 2	What is the R-AC code?	The Residential Activity Centre (R-AC) code is a high residential density code under R-Codes Vol. 2 that allows for a variety of residential development within activity centres. They control the number and type of dwellings that are developed within mixed use activity centres. The R-AC code is applied under a local planning scheme or under an approved activity centre plan.	