

# Reference Guide to Arbitration Forums, Inc.'s Agreements and Rules Effective December 14, 2020

(Updated December 14, 2020)

#### **Table of Contents**

1	Arbitration Forums, Inc.'s Background	3
2	Definitions	5
3	Article First – Compulsory Provisions	7
4	Article Second – Exclusions to Compulsory Arbitration	12
5	Article Third – Decisions	16
6	Article Fourth – Non-Compulsory Provisions	18
7	<u>Article Fifth – AF's Authority</u>	19
8	Article Sixth – Membership Withdrawal	21
9	Preamble – Condition Precedent	22
10	<u>Rule 1-1 – Geographic Precedent</u>	23
11	Rule 1-2 – Suit Dismissal and Statute of Limitations	24
12	<u>Rule 1-3 – Monetary Limit</u>	26
13	<u>Rule 1-4 – Impleading</u>	28
14	<u>Rule 2-1 – Filing Process</u>	29
15	Rule 2-2 – Responding Process	30
16	<u>Rule 2-3 – Legal Fees</u>	31
17	Rule 2-4 – Affirmative Defense/Pleading Requirements	32
18	Rule 2-5 – Disputing Damages	36
19	Rule 2-6 – Companion Claims	38
20	Rule 2-7 – Pre-hearing Settlement	39
21	Rule 2-8 – Re-filing After Improper Objection to Jurisdiction	40
22	Rule 2-9 – Reschedule	41
23	Rule 2-10 – Deferment	42
24	<u>Rule 2-11 – Product Liability Evidence</u>	44
25	Rule 2-12 – Appeal Process (Property and Special Forums)	45
26	<u>Rule 3-1 – Notice of Hearing</u>	47
27	Rule 3-2 – Failure to Answer	48
28	Rule 3-3 – Arbitration Panel Size	49
29	<u>Rule 3-4 – Adjournments</u>	50
30	Rule 3-5 – Arbitrator Consideration	51
31	Rule 3-6 – Hearing Informality and Confidentiality	53
32	Rule 3-7 – Personal Appearance at Hearing	54
33	<u>Rule 3-8 – Arbitrator Neutrality</u>	56
34	Rule 3-9 – Post-Decision Coverage Allowances	57
35	Rule 4-1 – No Default Judgments	59
36	Rule 4-2 – Clerical or Jurisdictional Errors	60
37	<u>Rule 4-3 – Decision Publication</u>	62
38	<u>Rule 5-1 – Award Payment</u>	63
39	Rule 5-2 – Unpaid Award Process	65
40	Rule 5-3 – Supplemental Damages	66
41	Rule 6-1 – Filing Fees	67
42	<u>Rule 6-2 – Physical Evidence Return</u>	68

### Chapter 1 Arbitration Forums, Inc.'s Background

The *Federal Arbitration Act of 1925* establishes the validity of agreements to arbitrate disputes arising out of maritime, interstate, or foreign commerce. This statute also allows parties to agree to arbitrate. Many insurers are signatories to agreements that provide for arbitration. The *Uniform Arbitration Act* and subsequent state acts further address arbitration agreements. Under the agreements, the involved parties agree to submit any applicable dispute that may arise between them to arbitration instead of litigation. These agreements mandate the disputesthe parties must take to arbitration.

An effort by the **casualty insurance** industry to seek arbitration as an alternative to litigation began in 1943 in New York. The *New York City Claim Managers' Council* appointed a committee to serve as an arbitration board. Members of the Claim Managers' Council agreed to arbitrate certain automobile physical damage subrogation claim disputes arising among themselves. The arbitration board confined its service to members of the Association of Casualty and Surety Companies and the National Association of Mutual Casualty Companies in metropolitan New York.

By 1951, the **casualty insurance** industry throughout the United States recognized the success of this New York venture. The insurance companies improved their intercompany working relationships by reducing the amount of litigation and the related costs. Due to this local success, the Combined Claims Committee rewrote the original agreementand sponsored it as a nationwide program called the "Nationwide Inter-Company Arbitration Agreement" (predecessor to the Automobile Subrogation Arbitration Agreement).

During the 1950s, the Combined Claims Committee created two additional arbitration programs. The first program was the *International Reciprocal Arbitration Agreement*, which expanded the Automobile Arbitration program to accidents involving U.S. and Canadian insureds. In 1957, the committee created the second program with the *Special Arbitration Agreement*.

Although the Combined Claims Committee established Special Arbitration to settle disputes between liability carriers of casualty insurance policies, it was to become the cornerstone for commercial disputes. Participants in commercial disputes may include self-insured businesses or commercial insureds with large retentions. Under joint and several statutes, a contractual obligation may bind a non-negligent party to a negligent tortfeasor that makes one or both obligated to pay damage to a third party. The negligent act may be one that causes personal injury or property damage. The Special Arbitration Forum's purpose is to determine contribution or apportionment of liability among third-party insurers and to resolve overlapping coverage disputes.

Through the early years, the arbitration programs grew to 480 participating companies. By the late 1960s, arbitration committees were hearing and closing almost 100,000 cases annually. The development and administration of the arbitration program continued to require more time at the Combined Claims Committee meetings. As a result, in 1967, the Combined Claims Committee transferred its arbitration sponsorship to an independent committee called the Committee on Insurance Arbitration.

In 1970, the Committee on Insurance Arbitration recognized the insuReferenceGuide.pdfrance industry's need for a Property Subrogation Arbitration Forum. Before the establishment of this Forum, most of the property cases involved an automobile hitting a dwelling or business. The Automobile Subrogation Arbitration Forum heard these cases with the consent of all involved parties. With the arrival of the Property Arbitration Agreement, insurers could become signatories and have all of their cases heard in this new Forum. The Committee on Insurance Arbitration represented all segments of the insurance industry. It included companies belonging to three trade associations (Alliance of American Insurers, American Insurance Association, and National Alliance of Independent Insurers), along with companies without any trade association affiliation. This insurance arbitration committee became the largest system of its kind in the world. Clearly, there was a need to create a legal entity to administer the arbitration programs.

This concern led to the creation of Arbitration Forums, a not-for-profit corporation, to replace the Committee on Insurance Arbitration. The Committee on Insurance Arbitration incorporated in 1981 with the corporate name of Insurance Arbitration Forums, Incorporated. With the formation of the corporation, the Board of Governors of the Committee on Insurance Arbitration became the Board of Directors for the new corporation.

Insurance Arbitration Forums, Incorporated remained the corporate name until 1986, when the Board of Directors resolved to eliminate "Insurance" from the name. This change reflected the expansion of AF's programs to include arbitration situations outside the insurance company arena. These additional mediation and arbitrationservices fulfilled a direct need expressed by the insurance industry. Because AF always provided an objective, neutral administrative service, the Board felt the new name would better express its mission and goals.

As it grew, AF moved its corporate offices in 1983 from New York City to Tarrytown, New York. In 1992, it moved to its current corporate headquarters in Tampa, Florida. During the early 1990s, the Board of Directors and management became more responsive to member needs by re-engineering the corporation and developing automation systems.

In 2009, AF moved all processing and call center operations to Tampa, Florida. By having all of these operations based out of one location, AF created a single management structure providing dramatically enhanced service, consistent processing, accelerated response times, and improved usability for the membership.

Progress continues as annually, AF's members file over 872,000 arbitration disputes and 1.8 million subrogation demands collectively worth over \$13.5 billion in claims

Arbitration Forums has grown from an idea in 1943 to the recognized and respected corporation that it is today. The corporation is proud of its legacy and constantly strives to achieve the highest quality in every service offered. We hope that this reference guide attests to this fact.

#### Definitions

The following definitions are provided to ensure consistent interpretation of terms used within the various AF Agreements and Rules.

Adjournment – An interruption of a hearing at the arbitrator's(s') discretion for a maximum of 30 days.

Affirmative Defense – A complete defense that does not address the allegations, but instead, asserts that a party or the filing is excluded from compulsory arbitration. See also **Exclusion**.

Affirmative Pleading – An issue or legal doctrine that could change how damages are awarded. Examples include bailment and joint and several liability. Might also be raised to address/refute an opposing party's affirmative defense.

**Casualty Insurance** – (Special Arbitration) An insurance contract that provides indemnity(including UM coverage but excluding UIM coverage) and/or defense to the insured for legal liability arising from an accident, occurrence, or event for which the policy applies, resulting in bodily injury, property damage, personal injury, or advertising injury.

**Clerical Error** – A mistake made by Arbitration Forums' staff or the arbitrator(s). Examples of AF staff error include not providing proper notice of filing or not assigning a requested three-person panel. Arbitrator errors include mathematical errors, switching the parties when recording the liability decision, referencing the lack of or need for evidence that was, in fact, submitted, applying, on his/her own, a state regulation or statute from a stateother than the loss state, or misapplying an AF Rule or procedure.

**Collateral Estoppel** - A bar by judgment that precludes the re-litigation of issues litigated by the same parties on a different or the same cause of action.

**Commercial Property** – (Property Subrogation Arbitration) Coverage for businesses, institutions,

or organizations to protect their property and/or business. Commercial Property coverage includes, but is not limited to, risks such as fire, burglary, theft, goods in transit covered by inland marine insurance, floaters, or endorsements.

**Companion Claim** – Any additional claim(s) by or against a participating party arising out of the same accident, occurrence, or event, which falls under the same or another AF compulsory forum.

**Concurrent Coverage** – (Special Arbitration) Two or more policies of insurance and/or self-insureds providing coverage to the same party or parties or the same risk or risks for the same accident, occurrence, or event. Concurrent coverage includes primary/excess disputes.

**Construction Defect Claim** – (Special Arbitration) Includes both indemnity and expense, paid or prospective. For completed (paid) constructive defect claims, there is a combined award limit for indemnity and expense of \$250,000 per responding company's insured per project. A prospective indemnity claim is not eligible for arbitration without consent of all parties. For prospective expense contribution issues, there is no monetary limit. All claimants (unit-residences) of a construction project, regardless of the manner or number of underlying claims, suits or "companion claims," shall be considered as one claim for hearing and contribution limits.

**Construction Defect Dispute** – (Special Arbitration) A dispute among one or more casualty insurance companies or entities that are "self-insured" for a construction defect claim involving completed operations resulting in damages to real property for which one or more Insurers or Self-Insurers provided defense and/or indemnity for the construction defect claim and allege that one or more other Insurers or Self-Insurers provided concurrent coverage for the same construction defect claim.

**Counterclaim** – A claim resulting from the same accident or loss filed by a responding company against the original filing company in an arbitration proceeding. (Not applicable in Special Arbitration)

**Deferment** – A postponement of a hearing for a oneyear period from the date of filing, or, for new Auto filings and TRS PIP and Medical Payments filings from the deferment request date.

**Denial of Coverage** – A company's assertion that (a) there was no liability policy in effect at the time of the accident, occurrence, or event, or (b) a liability policy was in effect at the time of the accident, occurrence, or event, but such coverage has been denied/disclaimed to the party seeking liability coverage for the claim in dispute. (This applies only to a complete denial of coverage based on the event in dispute. If the denial is based on what damages the policy covers, i.e., work product, the case will proceed to hearing to determine what damages, if any, are payable per the policy. A Reservation of Rights letter is also not an affirmative denial of coverage.)

**Evidence** – All documentary or physical evidence submitted by a party. Parties are not permitted to see an opponent's evidence, except for evidence related to supplemental damages. Arbitrators are only permitted to consider evidence properly submitted by the parties.

**Exclusion -** A complete defense that does not address the allegations, but instead asserts that a party or the filing is excluded from compulsory arbitration.

**Extension** – a postponement of the response due date by the responding party to prepare and submit its response. Only one extension may be requested by a responding party; a fee is incurred.

**Feature -** A set of damages from a claim, i.e., a damaged/injured vehicle/person.

Jurisdictional Error – Occurs when an arbitrator fails to rule on an affirmative defense/exclusion, asserts an affirmative defense/exclusion not pled by a party, renders a decision on an issue not in dispute or over which arbitration lacks jurisdiction, or improperly dismisses a case for lack of jurisdiction where jurisdiction exists.

**Legal Fees** – Attorney fees, court costs, and all other expenses directly related to the prosecution or defense of a lawsuit.

**Non-insurer** – A "non-insurer member" shall mean a company that is neither a Trade Association member nor an insurer member and who has direct financial interest in the claims being arbitrated.

**Personal Property** – (Property Subrogation Arbitration) Coverage to protect individuals for damage to their property other than automobile. Personal Property coverage includes, but is not limited to, homeowners insurance, tenant or renters insurance, watercraft or boat owners insurance and watercraft endorsements, and personal inland marine coverage.

**Publication Date** – The date AF posts a decision online and it is available to the parties.

**Recovery Rights** – (PIP Arbitration) Legal capacity to regain a loss to another through subrogation, reparations, reimbursement, indemnity, or direct action.

**Res Judicata** – A judgment, decree, award, or other determination that is considered final and bars relitigation of the same matter.

**Reschedule** – An extension of the hearing date granted by AF at the request of the party(ies), not to exceed 60 days.

**Revisit** – An option that allows a recovering party to address specific issues raised by an adverse party regarding a jurisdictional exclusion, disputed damages, a newly impleaded party, and policy limits.

**Self-insured** – An entity that meets the legal requirements of being self-insured; one that assumes the risks directly for covering losses involving its property or one whose deductible or retention is equal to or exceeds the amount of loss in dispute.

**Settlement** – (Special Arbitration) The final disposition of a claim or suit wherein the claimant or plaintiff releases any and all causes of action against all alleged responsible parties involved in

the Special Arbitration filing. Workers' Compensation subrogation cases do not require a settlement and Uninsured Motorists' settlements do not require a release of all parties. Written Consent – An agreement to binding arbitration by the party(ies). May be in the form of a letter, e-mail, etc. Answering a filing without an objection to jurisdiction is considered impliedwritten consent.

#### Article First Compulsory Provisions

Signatory members must forego litigation and arbitrate claim disputes as specified by Article First of the respective Agreement. A signatorycompany accepts and binds itself to all of the Forum's Articles and Rules by signing it.

With new signatory companies a popular question is whether the accident date determines jurisdiction of Article First. The date of the loss is not controlling. What controls is the status of the claim on the signatory effective date. If it is a pending claim that meets the provisions of Article First, it is subject to compulsory arbitration, regardless of the accident date. The key word is **pending**. Pending is synonymous from the viewpoint of arbitration with active claims. Closed claims are excluded, as are claims that have been abandoned prior to a company's decision to participate in arbitration. Arbitration Forums specifies pending to avoid an overzealous representative going back in his or her company's archives to resurrect cases long dormant or closed. The ease with which arbitration can be initiated is not intended to be a vehicle for such action. Likewise, claims on which litigation has been instituted and is actually pending when a company becomes signatory are not considered pending claims. Therefore, they are not subject to compulsory arbitration.

Another point to clarify is each Agreement is independent and all members are not signatory to each Agreement. Before you file arbitration, make sure the parties are signatory to the specific Agreement in which the dispute is to be filed (unless intercompany arbitration is statutorily mandated).

#### Automobile Forum

An automobile property damage claim within the authority of Article First includes all automobile property damage claims arising from the payment of losses to an insured, or a self-insured loss, under

the first party automobile coverages. It includes claims arising under collision, fire, theft, and comprehensive coverages or self-insured losses of a similar nature. A claim may include an itemized list of losses such as towing, storage, rental reimbursement, and salvage expenses, provided they were paid out of the insured's policy or incurred by a self-insured pursuant to statute or judicial decision. However, the disputed claim amount cannot include a company's normal operating expenses or an insured's out-ofpocket expenses. In addition, diminution in value claims are only applicable to states where insurer members pay such claims out of the insured's policy or self- insured members incur the damages and recovery is permitted pursuant to statute or judicial decision.

Another important point is that the member filed against (responder) is not limited to an automobile liability insurer. A responder may be a general liability carrier, homeowner's liability carrier, etc. Any member who may be liable for the Filer's damages may be named as a responder.

Examples of disputes resolved in the Auto Forum:

- The driver of a vehicle traveling at an excessive rate of speed collides with another vehicle that changed lanes without signaling. Insurers cannot agree on the respective liability of the two drivers.
- The liability carrier for an at-fault driver disputes the severity and extent of the damages alleged by the collision carrier for the negligent-free vehicle. While liability is conceded, the matter is submitted to the Auto Program for resolution of the damages dispute.
- A vehicle is damaged because of a malfunction at a drive-thru car wash. The insurer of the vehicle seeks recovery from the general liability insurer of the car wash.

- A defective part causes a driver to lose control of a vehicle and overturn. Auto Arbitration is filed against the auto manufacturer and/or part manufacturer to recover the vehicle damages.
- An insurer pays a diminution in value damages out of the insured's policy and seeks reimbursement from the tortfeasor'scarrier.

The following are some examples of claim disputes not allowed in the Auto Forum:

- An insurer seeks an administrative fee as "costs" for pursuing a claim.
- An automobile leasing company seeks diminution in value damages.

#### **Property Forum**

Article First in the Property Subrogation Agreement broadly describes the disputes that members must arbitrate to resolve personal or commercial property claims. The difference between personal property and commercial property exposures is significant to the point that both are defined under the Definitions Section (see Chapter 2, Definitions). Commercial property includes losses by businesses, institutions, and other organizations. These losses can originate from all types of perils, and the coverage forms can be many types. These might involve inland marine, transportation, and traditional fixed coverage forms. Personal property is coverage for individuals and can take the form of many different types just like commercial property. The disputes may concern liability, damages, or both.

Some examples of the types of disputes that qualify for Property Arbitration are:

- A member pays its insured for a fire covered under its homeowners policy. It is determined the fire started the first night after the installation of a new furnace. The member can file in Property Subrogation Arbitration against the insurer of the furnace installation business.
- An insurer pays an apartment tenant for water damage to his insured property. The damage was caused by water leaking

through the ceiling from the apartment above. The insurer then can file PropertyArbitration against the insurer of the tenant above and/or the landlord's liability carrier.

- Two boats collide on the largest lake located in central West Virginia. The boat owner's insurer files arbitration against the insurer of the other boat to recover damages sustained in the accident.
- A woman has her expensive jewelry covered under a Personal Inland Marine Policy. While staying at a hotel on a business trip, she was forced to quickly evacuate the hotel because of a fire alarm. Upon returning to her room, she found that her diamond ring had been stolen. The insurance carrier paid for the loss and filed Property Arbitration against the hotel's insurer.
- A pizza restaurant caught fire in a strip mall, causing fire damage to the structure and contents of an adjacent furniture store. The furniture store's insurer files arbitration against the insurer of the pizza restaurant to recover its loss.
- An automobile driver loses control while turning at an intersection and drives the car into the front of a convenience store. The convenience store insurer can file Property Arbitration against the insurer of the automobile.
- A tractor-trailer overturns while striking a car in an intersection. The car allegedly did not stop for a signal light. The cargo, owned by the transporting company and insured under a commercial inland marine endorsement, was completely destroyed. The inland marine insurer can file arbitration against the insurer of the automobile to recover the payment for the destroyed cargo.

These few examples emphasize the potential for property subrogation recovery. Claim personnel should be aware of and take advantage of these subrogation opportunities.

#### **Special Arbitration**

Article First describes the type of unresolved disputes that signatory companies must submit under the Special Arbitration Agreement. The first sentence of Article First specifies that the settlement of the claim or suits triggers the compulsory provisions. Settlement is defined as "the final disposition of a claim or suit wherein the claimant or plaintiff releases any and all causes of action against alleged responsible parties involved in the Special Arbitration filing." This does not say that the insurance carrier must be named on the release, only that responsible parties are released. Though there is no specific requirement that a copy of the release be provided, it is highly recommended that a copy be submitted with Company 1's evidence.

There are two important exceptions to the requirement for settlement. Workers' compensation subrogation cases do not require settlement and Uninsured Motorists' settlements do not require a release of all parties.

Article First, subsection (a) describes one type of unresolved dispute that signatory companies must submit to Special Arbitration. This article applies when each company provides casualty coverage either as an insurer or a self-insured for one or more parties who are allegedly legally liable for bodily injury and/or property damages to a third party arising from an accident, occurrence, or event resulting in a claim or suit. This occurs when two or more alleged tortfeasors cannot agree on the respective allocations of fault or negligence for the damages and could involve any type of casualty or liability coverage.

**EXAMPLE:** A dispute results when a leased car veers out of control and strikes a newsstand and several pedestrians. The driver's insurer (Company 1), the leasing company's insurer (Company 2), the insurer of the newspaper stand (Company 3), and the insurer of the automobile manufacturer(Company 4) are unable to agree on their respective liability.

**EXAMPLE:** Company 1 has made an Uninsured Motorists' settlement with its insured because no liability insurance was identified for the tortfeasor. Company 2 subsequently acknowledges coveragefor the tortfeasor but has been unwilling to reimburse Company 1. Note that in this example thecarrier for the tortfeasor has now acknowledged coverage. If there were still a dispute over the denialof liability coverage, the case would be filed in the

Uninsured Motorists' Forum to determine the validity of that denial.

Article First, subsection (b) requires member companies to arbitrate concurrent coverage disputes. In this type of dispute, each company provides property or casualty coverage, either as an insurer or as a self-insured company, to the same party or parties. The same accident, occurrence, or event involves these insured parties and results in a first-party or third-party claim or suit for bodilyinjury or property damage. Concurrent coverage includes primary/excess disputes or disputes regarding the amount and/or extent of coverage provided.

**EXAMPLE:** A car being used as a temporary replacement vehicle is returned to the rental car company with moderate damage to the right quarterpanel. The renter's collision carrier denies the subrogation claim based on the insured's/renter's assertion that the damage was already there when the vehicle was rented. The concurrent coveragedispute is submitted to the Special Program for resolution.

**EXAMPLE:** An insured is involved in an accident. A coverage dispute arises between the insured's personal auto carrier and a ride share company's carrier as to whether the insured was 'on the clock' when the accident occurred. The other driver's PD and or BI claim is settled, and Special Arbitration is used to resolve the concurrent coverage dispute.

**EXAMPLE:** Company 1 insured an individual under a homeowner's policy, and Company 2 insured the same individual under an automobile policy. A neighbor injured his arm when it was thrust through a window in an entrance door to the garage on the premises of the insured. The injury occurred when the neighbor tried to rescue the insured who was carelessly working on his car with the engine running in a closed garage. The homeowner's carrier raised the coverage defense that the automobile policy was answerable to the claimant because the accident arose out of the use of the vehicle. The auto carrier disputed that position. One or both of the carriers settled with the third party. The coverage question between the homeowners and automobile policies was submitted to Special Arbitration.

**EXAMPLE:** A condominium owner's insurer, Company 1, paid for a fire loss. The Condominium Association also had fire insurance for the same loss with Company 2. Company 1 believed Company 2 should cover the insured's loss. When both insurers are signatories to the Special Forum, this coverage issue would be appropriate and compulsory for Special Arbitration.

Article First, subsection (c) provides the avenue for workers' compensation carriers or self-insured employers to subrogate for the workers' compensation benefits paid to an injured worker.

**EXAMPLE:** An employee of a delivery company is injured when he trips and falls making a delivery, resulting in a workers' compensation claim. The workers' compensation carrier makes a subrogation claim for the statutory benefits paid against the premises owner, whose carrier denies liability. The workers' compensation carrier submits the subrogation claim to Special Arbitration.

Because the workers' compensation carrier or selfinsured employer may recover only its own payments made to and on behalf of the injured worker, the workers' compensation carrier or self- insured employer does not provide a release of the injured worker's rights against the tortfeasor. Further, in cases where the workers' compensation carrier has paid medical and lost time benefits but not made a sum settlement for permanency, we lump recommend Company 1 file Special Arbitration to toll the statute of limitations, when necessary, and request a one-vear deferment based on the justification that the contribution sought amount is not yet finalized. Company 1 may file for supplemental damages after a decision has been rendered if supplemental benefits are paid for the same injury after the original filing. The original decision is res judicata on the issue of liability, and the sole issue in the subsequent filing is causation and damage (see Rule 5-3).

The last paragraph of Article First *recommends* (does not require) that each party pay an equal shareto the claimant to complete the settlement, conclude the claimant's interest in the case, and release any causes of action against the alleged responsible parties. It further provides that settlement of a claim is made without prejudice to any party participating in the settlement. The arbitration panel will not consider the amount an insurer paid toward a settlement as an admission of any degree or percentage of liability. The amount of settlement is not an issue unless one of the disputing parties contests the settlement amount.

#### **PIP Forum**

The type of claim dispute heard under this Agreement is between a member with PIP coverage and another member(s) who allegedly is liable for the damages. The dispute may concern liability, damages, or both. The Personal Injury Protection (PIP) Arbitration Agreement is the only Agreement AF offers that allows a company to select specific states in which it wishes to be signatory. All other AF Arbitration Agreements are national. In addition, it must be noted that some states (i.e., Delaware, Kentucky, Minnesota, and New York) statutorily mandate the use of arbitration to resolve PIP disputes, and specifically intercompany designate Arbitration Forums, Inc. as the provider (Kentucky statutory authority for PIP arbitration is given to KIAA whose rules reflect that either the KIAA or AF may be selected for arbitration.) New York PIP filings follow the NY PIP Arbitration Rules.

A claim filed in the Personal Injury Protection Forum may consist of more than just a medical expense. A claim may include an itemized list of losses, such as medical expenses, funeral expenses, wage loss, loss of services, or child care expenses, provided they were paid out of the insured's policy. However, the disputed claim amount cannot include a company's normal operating expenses or an insured's out-ofpocket expenses (except in Massachusetts where the PIP statute allows for the recovery of operating expenses under allocated and unallocated expenses).

The following is an example of a Personal Injury Protection Forum claim dispute:

• Liability is in dispute when a pedestrian is struck by an automobile when crossing a street and local statute requires the pedestrian's automobile Personal Injury Protection to be primary. Rule 1-3 limits compulsory arbitration to the monetary limit governed by the statute or endorsement creating the subrogation or direct action recovery right. An early decision was made when the PIP Agreement was first drafted in 1971 that jurisdiction of the Agreement for intercompany controversies would be based on the rights set out in the statute. This philosophy is woven through the fabric of all subsequent programs developed by Arbitration Forums. Whatever rights are created by the statute are the rights available to the parties under the arbitration facility.

#### **Medical Payments Forum**

The Medical Payment Subrogation Forum resolves disputes arising from subrogation of medical payments coverage between insurance companies only. The interests of parties other than insurance carriers may not be arbitrated under this Agreement. Insureds of signatories who dispute values or coverages cannot be parties to the arbitration.

The jurisdiction of this forum is limited to those states that allow for medical payments subrogation recovery.

#### **Uninsured Motorists Forum**

The Uninsured Motorists' Arbitration Forum is the forum in which insurance companies bind themselves to arbitrate coverage questions under automobile liability policies in which a disclaimer results in a claim against another member company under its uninsured motorist endorsement. If one participating company denies coverage to its insured, and if its denial forces the filing company's insured to look to its own carrier under the uninsured motorists' coverage for recovery, and if the latter does not agree with merits of the disclaimer, we have a dispute to be resolved between the carriers under the compulsory provisions of this program.

The main criterion to determine whether a case is appropriate for the Uninsured Motorists Forum is to establish that a denial of coverage has been issued by Company 2 (the responding company). Company 1 (the filing company) must then present contentions that establish its basis for challenging Company 2's denial of coverage and the reasons why Company 2 should reimburse the Uninsured Motorists settlement. The coverage denial is the basic argument in the Uninsured Motorists Forum, as liability and damages will not be disputed; moreover, any affirmative defense raised must not argue coverage (except limits), liability, or damages.

**EXAMPLE:** Company 1 contends its vehicle was damaged as a result of Company 2's negligence and questions the validity of Company 2's denial of coverage. Company 2 doesn't argue that the vehicle was not involved in the accident but contends its vehicle was stolen and asserts a denial of coverage based on non-permissive use. Company 1 points out that the auto theft report was not made until approximately 7 to 8 hours after the actual impact between Company 1, Company 2, and an additional non-tortfeasor vehicle, and the police report narrative indicated Company 2's ignition was not punched out. Company 1's insured made a claim forUM benefits as a result of Company 2's denial of coverage.



#### Article Second Exclusions

No company shall be required, without its written consent, to arbitrate any claim or suit if

- a) it is not a signatory company nor has given written consent; or
- b) such claim or suit creates any cause of action or liabilities that do not currently exist in law or equity; or
- c) its policy is written on a retrospective or experience-rated basis; or
- d) any payment which such signatory company may be required to make under this Agreement is or may be in excess of its policy limits. However, a filing company may agree to accept an award not to exceed policy limits and waive its right to pursue the balance directly against the responding company's insured; or
- e) it has asserted a denial of coverage; or
- f) any claim, which a lawsuit was instituted prior to, and is pending, at the time the Agreement is signed; or
- g) it is a watercraft claim(s) arising from accidents on waters under federal or international jurisdiction. (Property Program)
- h) under the insurance policy, settlement can be made only with the insured's consent; or
- i) it is a product liability claim arising from an alleged defective product. (Property Program)

Article Second lists the exclusions to compulsory arbitration. Each Agreement varies slightly, so review the specific Agreement when determining whether an exclusion applies to a case you are handling. If any of these exclusions apply to the dispute or suit in question, the members are not required to file arbitration. If arbitration is filed, the responding member must answer the filing and raise and support the exclusion or Affirmative Defense in order for an arbitrator to consider removing the case. The responding member is also free to waive asserting the exclusion or affirmative defense if it wishes the case to proceed to hearing and, as such, will abide by the decision and honor any award rendered against it.

Article Second, subsection (a) states a company is not required to participate in arbitration if it is not

a signatory to the specific Agreement under which the filing has been made or has not given its written consent. Article Fourth (Chapter 6) discusses how a non-signatory can participate by giving its written consent. Aside from a filing against a non-signatory insurer or self-insured, subsection (a) also applies to cases where a responding company's insured has a large liability deductible or self-insured retention (SIR). If the recovering party's claim falls entirely within the liability deductible or SIR, jurisdiction is lacking. No award can be made against the responding insurer. The reason is that the arbitration would involve the interests of a party other than the insurance company. An arbitrator can consider only an amount in excess of the insured's deductible or SIR. In these cases, the recovering party can file arbitration versus the commercial

insured if it is signatory or consents to arbitration. One last point worth clarifying is, Article Second (a) does not apply to cases where the use of intercompany arbitration is mandated by statute. In these cases the reference to the respective AF Agreement is intended to define scope (Article First) and establish procedure (AF Rules), and all exclusions, except (a), is applicable.

Subsection (b) provides that by becoming a signatory to the Agreement, the member company does not forego any causes of action or defenses available to it in litigation. The filing company's opportunities for recovery and the responding company's opportunities for a defense are not diminished by participation as arbitrating parties. They must work within the statutes and case law that exist where the accident occurred. A company can also use all of the defenses that are available in a court of law, including affirmative defenses such as the expiration of the applicable statute of limitations or the absence of a right of recovery (i.e., Diminution in Value claims in the Automobile Forum or Workers Compensation subrogation in theSpecial Arbitration forum).

Example: Filer is a self-insured leasing company seeking \$2,000 in property damage to a lessor's vehicle and responder raises the exclusion/affirmative defense of 2(b) exclusion because the jurisdiction does recognize the right of a lessor to seek such damages. The arbitrator(s) should dismiss the claim.

The third exclusion, subparagraph (c), eliminates the requirement for arbitrating claims made against retrospective or experience-rated policies. A retrospective or experience-rating plan is a method of computing an insured's insurance premiums based upon the actual losses incurred over a stated period, normally the policy year. The insurer establishes an initial rate and then adjusts it according to the insured's actual losses. A retrospective rating plan arbitration decision would indirectly affect the insured's interest because of the rate/loss experience relationship. For that reason, a claim under such a policy is excluded. If a case involves a retrospective or experience-based policy, the insurer must raise the exclusion/affirmative defense to jurisdiction and include evidence to

support it. There is no post-decision relief if a case proceeds to hearing. The insurer issuing the policy may give its consent for the arbitration to proceed, either written or implied (i.e., filing an answer and not asserting the exclusion/affirmative defense), and the arbitrator will hear the case.

Arbitration is also not compulsory if the amount sought would expose a responding member beyond its policy's dollar limit (Article Second(d)). Policy limits is the most common exclusion/Affirmative Defense asserted by a Responder. That said, the arbitrator can only rule on what is clearly asserted and presented in the file. In these cases, it is the Responder's responsibility to clearly outline its position.

In some cases, it is enough for a responding company to simply assert the exclusion/Affirmative Defense for insufficient limits, state its policy limit amount and submit proof (e.g., policy declaration page, claim system coverage screen, or some other written documentation that states the policy limits). If the only claimant is the recovering company, this defense is sufficient as representation of the responder's position. In such a case, if the filer has indicated it will accept the limits as final settlement, the arbitrator(s) can deny the defense and rule on he case, awarding up to the policy limits. Of course, if the filer does not agree to accept the available limits, the arbitrator(s) would hear the case for liability and/or damages to determine if the limit is actually compromised. If the arbitrator(s)'s decision would result in an award above the available limit, the arbitrator(s) would uphold the defense, as it would be outside of AF's jurisdiction. In TRS, on two-party cases, the filer is also allowed

60 days post-decision to accept policy limts, or it will need to pursue recovery outside of inter- company arbitration. However, if their liability decision does not compromise the available limits, they can render an award against the Responder for the percentage of liability found against it.

Example: Filer's total claim is \$6,000. Filer does not indicate if it will accept policy limits if that defense is raised. Responder raises a policy limit of \$5,000. Liability decision is 50 percent adverse to the

Responder. The arbitrator(s) can render a decision and deny the defense because the limits are not exceeded based on the liability placed against the Responder. The arbitrator(s) would award the Filer \$3,000.

This issue is much more complex when there is more than one claimant seeking recovery from the policy limit of a responder (i.e., filer's insured, another vehicle, a building owner or the city/county for damage to a pole, etc.).

AF does not have jurisdiction over any out-of- pocket (OOP) damages to which the filers's insured may be entitled. For its OOP expenses, the Filer Insured is considered a non-member. Therefore, unless the Filer *specifically indicates that it will make its insured whole from the award proceeds,* the policy limits defense would need to be upheld. To award policy limits to the Filer would leave the Responder and its insured open for extra-contractual claims and payments if the Filer has not expressly agreed to handle its insured's claim.

Any time there is more than one party with claimsto a policy limit, all parties must agree to accept the limits and/or their pro rata share in order for the arbitrator(s) to render an award. If any one claimant (another car, the city, the Filer's insured, etc.) is not present in the file (as a Filer or via clear acceptance of limits/pro rata submitted as evidence), the limits of the at-fault Responder cannot be awarded.

If the arbitrator(s) are unclear about the intent of any exclusion/Affirmative Defense, they can adjourn the case and request clarification from the party. If no response is received from that party, the case will go forward at the next hearing regardless. The best way to protect your policy is to be clear and specific from the start.

There are also scenarios where a potential exposure exists, but the amount is unknown. It is important to present as much information as possible to help the arbitrator determine the impact of the unknown exposure to the policy limits. Additionally, if youare unsure of the claims that may be brought againstyou, request a deferment to allow yourself time to complete your investigation. Stating the Filer insured *may* have OOP expenses to claim against your policy is not enough to uphold an exclusion/Affirmative Defense for insufficient limits. Your best bet if you are not sure of the situation at the time the case is filed is to communicate with the Filer carrier to ascertain its insured's intent and then request a deferment if necessary. Be sure to clearly explain your situation and present any evidence you may have to support that position.

AF would like to resolve as many disputes as we can for our members. However, to do this, both the Filers and Responders must provide a clear explanation of the circumstances in the case at hand. By giving the arbitrator(s) what they need, you protect your company, your insured, and this process.

A frequently asked question concerns whether a member may proceed directly in litigation to pursue the full claim amount if it has decided not to accept the policy limits as final settlement. The answer is affirmative. A member does not need to file arbitration only to have the matter removed because of the policy limit exclusion.

Exclusion (e) involves coverage denial situations. A company should base its coverage denial on the fact that the company's policy does not cover the individual or entity seeking coverage for the claim or suit or that there was not a policy in effect at the time of the incident at issue. Before objecting to jurisdiction based on a coverage denial, an insurer should be aware of Rule 2-8 (Chapter 21). If a case is wrongfully removed from arbitration because of a coverage denial and coverage is admitted later, the objecting company must reimburse the other company for legal expenses and any court costs.

Exclusion (f) excludes a claim if litigation was filed before the member signed the respective Agreement. The Agreement takes effect on the date it is signed. It is not to be used as a means to avoid any previous legal obligations including pending litigation. All claim disputes not in suit on the date the company signs the Agreement must proceed in arbitration if the parties are unable to negotiate a settlement.

Exclusion (g) exempts watercraft claims that arise from accidents in or on waters subject to federal or international jurisdiction. Watercraft claims are normally restricted to accidents happening on bodies of water that are entirely within the geographic and jurisdictional limits of one state.

Exclusion (h) indicates that a party does not have to use arbitration to resolve a dispute if the terms of the insurance policy require the insured's consent to settle. The purpose of this exclusion is to avoid the possibility of the Agreement interfering with the contractual rights between an insured and an insurer. This exclusion does not completely preclude the possibility of arbitration. A carrier faced with this situation can secure its insured's consent to proceed through arbitration. If the insured agrees, arbitration can assume jurisdiction. Lastly, product liability claims arising from an alleged defective product are not compulsory in the Property Program per exclusion (i). The exclusion does not preclude a Filer from filing a claim that is not based on an alleged product defect, even if the Responder presents a defense of product defect. For example, a claim alleging negligent installation or repair against the installer's or repairer's liability insurer would be compulsory, even if the installer's or repairer's liability insurer argues that the installation or repair was done correctly and the damage was the result of a product defect. With consent, the product manufacturer may even be brought into the arbitration.

#### Article Third Decisions

The decision of the arbitrator(s):

- a) shall be based on local jurisdictional law consistent with accepted claim practices.
- b) is final and binding without the right of rehearing or appeal except when allowed under the Procedure Section of the Property and Special Forum rules. However, this does not preclude AF from correcting a clerical or jurisdictional error of an arbitrator(s) or AF staff.
- c) is neither res judicata nor collateral estoppel to any other claim or suit arising out of the same accident, occurrence, or event except where a filer seeks recovery of supplemental damages as allowed under the Awards section of the rules. The decision is conclusive only of the issues in the matter submitted to the arbitrator(s) and only as to the parties to the arbitration. The admissibility of the decision in any other proceeding is not intended, nor should be inferred from this Agreement.

All matters concerning an arbitration proceeding shall be held in strict confidence.

Arbitration Forums' success and its members' confidence depend on application of the proper law. Article Third, (a) asserts Arbitration Forums' requirement that arbitrators base their decisions on the applicable local jurisdictional law.

Arbitrators must consider the AF rules, state regulations and statutes established by legislative bodies, and previous court decisions within their jurisdiction. A member can also use all of the defenses that are available in a court of law. including an affirmative defense/exclusion. If the courts in a particular jurisdiction recognize the of particular validity а affirmative defense/exclusion, then the arbitrator must also consider it. An arbitrator must place the same emphasis on evaluating defenses pled in arbitration as if they had been raised in litigation.

Article Third in the Special Arbitration Agreement also refers to the arbitrators using "equitable considerations" to make their decisions. Equitable consideration is careful deliberation by thearbitrator that results in a fair and just decision. This relates primarily to the apportionment of the **settlement** amount paid to the claimant among the parties based on the facts pertaining to their respective liability or coverage considerations.

Several states have enacted *unfair claim* settlement *practices acts*. These laws and company guidelines provide the basis for establishing accepted claim practices. Since the arbitrators are experienced in claim handling and the use of accepted claim practices, they also apply this knowledge in arriving at their decisions.

Because parties enter into arbitration in dispute, there will be times when claim representatives will not want to accept an arbitrator's decision. However, Article Third, (b) addresses specifically that decisions are final and binding without the right of rehearing or appeal, except in the case of clerical or jurisdictional errors or as allowed under Rule 2-12 in the Property and Special Forums (Chapter 25). A correction only applies if AF or an arbitrator makes the clerical or jurisdictional error. If a disputing party makes an entry or clerical error, it has no recourse for correcting the error after the hearing.

The purpose of Article Third, (c) is to inform the members about the limitations associated with using an arbitration decision to determine the outcome of other proceedings related to the same event. For that reason, AF declares that its decisions are neither res judicata nor collateral estoppel to other claims or suits arising from the same accident, occurrence, or event. However, the decision is res judicata to the sole issue of supplemental damages related directly to the original award if the recovering party follows the guidelines in Rule 5-3. Otherwise, a party cannot use the decision in any other proceeding.

The last sentence in Article Third stresses the importance of confidentiality in arbitration proceedings. AF, the arbitrator, and all participants must treat all matters connected to arbitration proceedings with strict confidence. This includes sharing the decision with other parties. Since the decision is neither res judicata nor collateral estoppel, there should be no reason to disclose the decision to any other party.

#### Article Fourth Non-compulsory Provisions

The parties may, with written consent, submit a claim:

- that exceeds this forum's monetary limit

- where a non-signatory wants to participate
- prior to settlement (Special Forum)
- not included in this or any other existing Agreement (Special Forum)

Once a company gives written consent, all Articles and Rules of the respective forum are applicable, and the company may not revoke its consent.

Article Fourth lists situations that do not meet the criteria for compulsory arbitration but which members may want to arbitrate voluntarily.

The first reason is to resolve a dispute that exceeds the specific forum's monetary limit. With all parties' consent, the panel can arbitrate claims that exceed the monetary limit.

Article Fourth also allows a non-signatory to consent to participate in a specific case with the consent of all signatory parties involved in the dispute as well as the non-signatory party. The requirement that all parties consent in writing prevents nonmembers from "picking and choosing" which cases to submit to arbitration. Because of the compulsory provisions of the Agreement, signatories do not have the opportunity to select cases.

In Special Arbitration, at least one of the disputing parties must settle with the claimant(s) to invoke the Agreement's compulsory provisions. Article Fourth, permits consenting parties to arbitrate a specific underlying issue before settling all claims related to an accident, occurrence, or event. Aside from saving legal expenses, the parties may consent to arbitrate in this situation in order to have certified arbitrators with insurance experience resolve the dispute, as opposed to a lay jury.

Article Fourth also permits insurers to submit a claim issue not included in any existing Agreement in the Special forum. This provides the parties with an alternative to litigation, even though no specific forum is available for the claim type. If the dispute belongs in another program, participants must use the applicable program.

In either of these situations, each company must consent, and such consent should be included with the filing. This confirms to AF that the company did not file arbitration by mistake. AF prefers that the non-signatory use its company letterhead to give its consent (unless e-mail is used) and that the specific claim and desired forum be stated to avoid subsequent problems. This is particularly important when the non-signatory is a commercial insured that wants to submit its liability deductible/retention to arbitration. Consent may also be given within a TRS filing.

It is very important to note the last sentence of Article Fourth. Once a company agrees to arbitrate the specific claim, it cannot withdraw its consent. It must participate in the arbitration process and abide by the Agreement and Rules.



#### Article Fifth Arbitration Forums, Inc.'s Authority

AF, representing the signatory companies, is authorized to

- (a)make appropriate Rules and Regulations for the presentation and determination of controversies under this Agreement;
- (b) determine the location and the means by which arbitration cases are heard;
- (c)determine qualification criteria and provide for the selection and appointment of arbitrators;
- (d) establish fees; and
- (e) invite other insurance carriers, non-insurers, and/or self-insureds to participate in this arbitration program and compel the withdrawal of any signatory for failure to conform to the Agreement or the Rules issued thereunder.

The signatories, directors, officers, staff, agents, and AF employees, as well as the arbitrators, are not liable to and will be held harmless by any party(ies) for any negligence, act, or omission concerning the processing, administration, or hearing of any arbitration conducted under this Agreement.

Article Fifth empowers Arbitration Forums with the authority to administer the arbitration process. All of AF's arbitration agreements contain a similar article. The Board of Directors, representing AF's members, delegates this required authority and power to Arbitration Forums.

Article Fifth (a) permits AF to make the appropriate rules and regulations to perform its duties to resolve disputes among members. To that end, AF recently initiated an effort to simplify, standardize, and streamline its arbitration process wherever and whenever possible. Periodically, based on member feedback, AF updates the rules to reduce ambiguity and simplify the language.

Article Fifth (b) permits AF to select the location of its offices and places to conduct the arbitration hearings. AF's marketing and operations staffs are constantly evaluating and striving to satisfy members' needs. As part of this evaluation process, AF considers the number of filings from a particular area and the availability of certified arbitrators. Article Fifth, subsection (c) gives AF the authority to determine the qualification criteria to serve as an arbitrator and the manner in which cases are assigned to arbitrators. A major responsibility of being a signatory is to provide qualified arbitrators resolve the disputes submitted by the other members. To ensure all members benefit equally, AF asks that each hear as many as it files (1:1 Filed-to-Heard ratio). Currently, arbitrators must have at least three (3) years of claims experience and obtain his or her supervisor's approval. Some forums (Property and Special) have mandatory certification for arbitrators.

Article Fifth, subsection (d) provides AF with the authority to establish the arbitration filing fees. The purpose for AF's filing fee is to cover the cost of providing arbitration services from filing to resolution of the dispute. All revenues are expended in providing the best possible service to its members. AF is a not-for-profit organization. Its Board of Directors, consisting primarily of senior claim executives from AF's member companies, must approve any change in the filing fee.

Article Fifth (e) provides authority to encourage membership and participation of property and casualty insurance companies, self-insureds, and commercial insureds with large retentions or large liability deductibles. There are no initiation dues or membership fees connected with becoming a member of the Forum. This subsection also authorizes AF to force a member to withdraw from the Forum if the member fails to follow the Arbitration Agreement or comply with the Rules.

The last paragraph of Article Fifth contains the exculpatory clause for Arbitration Forums, which protects the named parties from liability on grounds of negligence, act, or omission.



#### Article Sixth Membership Withdrawal

Any signatory company may withdraw from this Agreement by notice in writing to AF. Such withdrawal will become effective sixty (60) days after receipt of such notice except as to cases then pending before arbitration panels. The effective date of withdrawal as to such pending cases shall be upon final compliance with the finding of the arbitration panel on those cases.

Article Sixth provides for withdrawal from an agreement and outlines the steps a signatory must take to do so.

AF requires notice in writing from a senior-level individual of the withdrawing company, who has the authority to make such a decision on behalf of his or her company.

The withdrawal is effective 60 days after AF receives the notice of withdrawal, except for any case pending in arbitration. All cases filed by or against the withdrawing company during the 60-

day waiting period are still subject to the provisions of the program. This includes the allowance for the withdrawing adverse company to file a counterclaim after the withdrawal effective date if the original filing was submitted prior to the withdrawal effective date. The counterclaim is considered part of the overall case, and Rule 2-2 requires counterclaims be filed and heard together.

Once AF closes the last pending case, the withdrawal becomes effective.



#### **Preamble and Condition Precedent**

The following Rules are made and administered by Arbitration Forums, Inc. (AF) under the authority of Article Fifth (a) of the Arbitration Agreements. As a condition precedent to using these Rules, the parties should attempt to settle the subject dispute prior to filing arbitration. The filing company, at a minimum, must identify the insured name, claim file number or policy number, and correct and current address, if requested, for the representative/company handling the claim for the adverse party. Failure to list current and correct information may cause a filing to be closed or the decision to be voided.

The Preamble emphasizes compulsory arbitration's *condition precedent,* which is an act that takes place before filing arbitration. It has always been intended that members attempt to resolve their particular dispute(s) prior to filing arbitration. Arbitration is never intended to be a substitute for negotiation.

When a dispute is submitted to arbitration, AF's minimum requirement is for the filing company to identify the responding company's insured name, claim file number or policy number, and correct and current address, if requested. (Address may be requested where the responding company has not provided AF with a global email address for electronic notifications.) The filing companysatisfies the condition precedent by using correct and current information.

If the responding company's mailed notification is returned as being undeliverable, with no forwarding address provided, AF will administratively close the case. The filing company will need to submit a new filing using the correct/current address for the company handling the claim for the responding company. A decision may also need to be voided if it is brought to AF's attention following a case being heard that proper notice may not have been given. The responding company may need to supply validation of its correct mailing address; the filing company may need to supply documentation to support its use of the address listed in the filing.

Electronic notification eliminates some of the issues regarding lack of notice, primarily in regards to the address that is used. However, there may still be issues that cause a decision to be voided. One example is where the filing company selects the wrong responding company. If AF's notification is sent to a completely different company, not just a different subsidiary, AF may have to void the decision. The filing company will need to re-file versus the correct member.

The condition precedent is a bilateral obligation. If AF's notification is the responding company's first notice of the claim, then it should contact the filing company representative and attempt to settle the claim. If the parties fail to settle the dispute, a response needs to be filed by the due date posted by AF for the case (see Rule 2-2). The responding representative should also add or correct any missing or erroneous entries when filing an answer.

A frequent question arises when a claim has been assigned to a third-party administrator or some other party. In these cases, the filer should include the information (i.e., address, file number) for the representative handling the claim. For example, if pre-arbitration correspondence was received from a third-party handling the claim on behalf of the responding company, the filing should include the claim file number used by that third party,

Additionally, if the matter initiated in AF's E-Subro Hub and moves to arbitration for resolution, the parties' contact information is transferred using the representative Web profile information. As such, it is important that the parties make sure this information is always accurate.



#### Rule 1-1 Geographical Jurisdiction

The Agreements limit jurisdiction to accidents, occurrences, or events occurring within the United States, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands. For PIP Arbitration, jurisdiction conforms to the statute or endorsement giving recovery rights in the state in which the accident occurred *(the program does not apply to claims arising from out-of-state accidents)*. For Medical Payments Arbitration, compulsory arbitration is applicable in states where medical payment subrogation claims are permitted by statute or judicial decision.

Rule 1-1 establishes the geographic boundaries for compulsory arbitration. AF's current jurisdiction is the entire United States, Puerto Rico, and the United States Virgin Islands. Unless a local law restricts hearing a case that falls within an Agreement's compulsory provision, AF has the power and right to apply and enforce the Agreement and Rules within these boundaries. For PIP, AF's jurisdiction is limited to those states that allow for recovery rights and is applicable only to claims arising from accidents within the applicable state. AF has the power and right to apply and enforce the Personal Injury Protection Agreement and Rules within these boundaries.

As noted in Chapter 3, some states have statutorily mandated the use of intercompany arbitration to resolve disputes; some designate AF as the arbitration administrator. These statutes must be referred to for any questions regarding jurisdiction.

#### Rule 1-2 Suit Dismissal and Statute of Limitations

When a matter that should have been filed in arbitration under one of the Agreements is placed in litigation, the party filing in litigation must dismiss/discontinue the suit within 60 calendar days of notification of the adverse party's signatory status. By demanding that the matter be placed in arbitration, the adverse party is affirming that arbitration has jurisdiction over the dispute and thereby waives any affirmative defense/exclusion regarding jurisdiction once arbitration is filed. If thesuit is not dismissed/discontinued, the party seeking removal may be entitled to statutory interests andall costs and expenses the court may deem appropriate. If the applicable statute of limitations has expired, the filing of suit will toll the statute of limitation for 60 calendar days from the suit dismissal/discontinuance. If arbitration is not filed within 60 calendar days of the dismissal/discontinuance, the expiration of the statute of limitations may be asserted as an affirmative defense/exclusion.

Rule 1-2 addresses the requirement to remove a case from litigation that belongs in compulsory arbitration. The presumption here is that compulsory arbitration is applicable and there is no impediment to jurisdiction. In other words, aresponding company cannot demand that litigation be dismissed only to assert an objection to jurisdiction when arbitration is filed. Any potential challenges to jurisdiction, i.e., coverage issues, need to be resolved prior to the litigation being dismissed.

A filing company must make every effort to determine if the adverse party is a signatory to the applicable agreement having jurisdiction over the dispute. If legal proceedings have begun and it receives notice that the other party is a signatory to the applicable agreement, it must withdraw or discontinue prosecution of the case in litigation within 60 days of notification. Discontinuance can include the imposition of a stay of proceedings in litigation, pending resolution of the arbitration, if permitted under local law.

Should litigation not be dismissed/discontinued, Rule 1-2 provides the party seeking removal of the matter from litigation the right to request statutory interests and all costs and expenses incurred. The last five words of the sentence are most important – "the court may deem appropriate." The recovery of these interests, costs and expenses may only be done as part of the litigation to remove the matter. They may not be submitted for recovery through an arbitration filing. If a party dismisses or discontinues a suit prior to a ruling by the Court, theparty seeking removal is free to continue with its action if only for the Court to consider its request for any interests, costs and expenses.

If the applicable statute of limitations has lapsed while the case is in litigation and the suit is dismissed because arbitration has jurisdiction, the filing company has 60 days to file arbitration to protect against an affirmative defense/exclusion based on the statute's expiration. The filing of litigation tolls the statute of limitations, but not for an infinite period of time.

An exception to Rule 1-2 is KRS 304.39-070 which gives insurers two options for addressing Kentucky PIP disputes: joining as a party in an action that may be commenced by the person suffering the injury, or, filing intercompany arbitration.

We are also frequently asked, "What obligation does the party filing litigation have when the matter is excluded from arbitration's jurisdiction per Article Second? Must it file arbitration and have the case removed prior to filing litigation?" The answer is "No." If a filing company has a claim that exceeds a responding company's policy limits, for example, it can proceed in litigation to recover the full claim amount. As another option, it is free to file in arbitration to have an arbitrator render a decision on liability and/or damages to see if the award exceeds the policy limits. If it does not, the arbitrator will award the proven damages and the parties will reap the benefits of intercompany arbitration. If the award exceeds the responding company's policy limits and the filing company has not agreed to accept an award up to the policy limit, the arbitrator will close the case, as jurisdiction is lacking. The filing company can then pursue recovery outside of arbitration's jurisdiction.

Another question concerns the scenario when the matter filed in litigation has been heard, i.e., a default or verdict rendered against the insured. Must arbitration be filed once the insured notifies its carrier of the verdict or default and that carrier notifies the filing carrier that it is signatory? The answer, again, is "No." The filing carrier may file arbitration voluntarily—forgiving the default orverdict against the insured; however, arbitration would not be compulsory at that point.

#### Rule 1-3 Monetary Limit

Compulsory arbitration is applicable to a maximum of:

- \$100,000 company claim amount (total company-paid damages) in the Automobile, Medical Payment, Property, and Uninsured Motorists Forums.

- \$250,000 Contribution Sought Amount in Special Arbitration Forum.

- The limit governed by the statute or endorsement creating the subrogation or direct-action recovery right in the PIP Forum.

- a) AF considers claims for separate parties arising out of the same accident, occurrence, or event as separate claims.
- b) AF considers a claim and companion claim(s) for different lines of coverage as separate claims.
- c) The legal fees are not considered part of the program limit unless the policy limit includes legal fees.
- d) The deductible is not included as part of the company claim amount (total company-paid damages).

The purpose of Rule 1-3 is to specify and clarify each forum's monetary limit for compulsory arbitration. Aside from stating the applicable monetary limit, it specifically addresses how themonetary limit relates to different types of companion or related claims; a counterclaim; legal fees arising from the same accident, occurrence, or event; and the insured's deductible interest.

Article Fourth of the various Arbitration Agreements allows the participants to waive the monetary limit should they desire to resolve a dispute in arbitration that exceeds the forum's monetary limit. It is also permissible for the filing company to reduce its claim amount so the matter remains within arbitration's jurisdiction. This might be desirable, for example, in the Auto forum if the total company-paid damages amount is \$110,000 and it would not be cost-effective to pursuerecovery of the difference in litigation. In these cases, if the filing company opts to reduce its damages to resolve the dispute in arbitration, it thereby waives recovery of the balance of the claim and accepts that any negligence found against the responding party will be applied to the company claim amount. So, using the above example, if the

Filer proves negligence at 50 percent versus the Responder, the award would be \$50,000, not \$55,000, exclusive of the deductible amount.

The monetary limit applies on a per claim basis rather than an aggregate of all claims arising out of the same accident, occurrence, or offense. In other words, there can be multiple Auto forum claims with a company claim amount of \$100,000 or less arising from the same occurrence, and each would be subject to compulsory arbitration. The program monetary limit applies separately to each claim.

The second condition in Rule 1-3 is the claim and companion claim under different lines of coverage.A claim and companion claim are similar to the claim and counterclaim situation. As with the claim and counterclaim, AF considers a claim and companion claim as two separate claims. Therefore, any one claim arising from the same accident or incident cannot exceed the monetary limit of its forum, but the claims combined total can amount to more than the limit. For example, there could be a \$50,000 Automobile forum filing and a

\$150,000 Special Arbitration filing. Although the total amount sought from the responding member

equals \$200,000, AF would still arbitrate the companion filings because the individual claim amounts do not exceed the monetary limit of their respective forums.

Another question often asked concerns Property Subrogation Arbitration and whether a filer should file one or three separate applications if it has a property claim wherein it has made a payment for the building coverage, one for the contents coverage, and one for the business interruption coverage. These are considered one claim to be filed on one application and would be subject to a single forum limit of \$100,000. If the aggregateamount of these payments exceeds the \$100,000 compulsory limit, the filer would need to be willing to limit its recovery to the \$100,000 forum limit or secure the responder's consent to arbitrate the caseat the total claim amount. If the filer were to file them separately, the responder could assert an affirmative defense that jurisdiction is lacking, since the aggregate amount exceeds the compulsory limit. The same applies to Special Arbitration and third- party settlements. The third party's claim or suit against the alleged tortfeasors can be for bodily injury or property damage, or both. The monetary limit refers to the settlement value of the third- party's claim. As such, if the settlement includes

both bodily injury and property damage, only one filing is submitted.

An arbitrator can award legal fees, if sought, and the legal fees are not included in the \$100,000 limit unless the policy limit includes legal fees. As defined, legal fees are attorney fees, court costs, and all other expenses directly related to the prosecution or defense of a lawsuit. An example would be if an Auto filer has a Company Claim Amount of \$85,000. The filer also paid \$20,000 in court costs and attorney fees because the responding member raised an invalid affirmative defense against compulsory arbitration (see Rule 2- 8). Even though the Company Claim Amount plus the Legal Fees Sought equal \$105,000, Auto arbitration would still be compulsory.

Rule 1-3 (d) further clarifies that the monetary limit for the amount of damages does not include the insured's deductible. For example, a Property filer has a \$99,000 Company Claim Amount plus a deductible of \$5,000 (total damages are \$104,000). AF will hear the case because the compulsory monetary limit does not include the \$5,000 deductible, and the remaining \$99,000 is within the monetary limit.

#### Rule 1-4 Impleading

A responding company may add other members or consenting nonmembers and/or argue the negligence of the unnamed party(ies). Upon receipt of the answer, the filing company may amend its application to add other members or consenting nonmembers or withdraw its application to pursue recovery by other means. If the filing company allows the case to be heard, it thereby agrees to accept the award, if any, against any responding company and waive its right to pursue the balance directly from any other party.

For new Auto filings and TRS PIP and Medical Payments filings, a responding company may add other parties and/or argue the negligence of unnamed party(ies). Where the negligence of an unamend party(ies) is argued, the filing company may withdraw its application or allow the filing to be heard. If the filing company allows the case to be heard, it thereby agrees to accept the award, if any, against any responding company and waive its right to pursue the balance directly from any unnamed party.

The filing company has the initial obligation to name all potentially liable parties (members or consenting nonmembers). There may be times, however, where the filing company either chooses to pursue recovery versus a specific member(s) or isnot aware of other potentially negligent parties. Rule 1-4 provides guidance concerning cases involving additional, unnamed parties in arbitration.

The rule permits the responding company to "implead" or bring in additional members or consenting nonmembers when it submits its response, or argue the parties respective negligence.

In these cases, the responding company may add the unnamed member or consenting nonmember as a party or argue that party's respective negligence/liability. If another party is brought in (unnamed member or consenting nonmember), the condition precedent will apply to the impleading party. It must provide current and correct information for the added party.

If the responding company does not add the party and only argues their negligence, the filing company may amend its filing to add the party (not applicable for new Auto filings and TRS PIP and

If the case proceeds to hearing, the arbitrator(s) will determine the percentage of liability, if any, for all alleged tort feasors but enter awards only against each named party based on the facts. In other words, the named responding company will only pay the percentage of the award amount, if any, based upon the liability finding against its insured. (This would also apply to an uninsured party, an unknown party such as a phantom vehicle, or a party that cannot be brought into litigation.) By allowing the case to proceed to hearing, the filing company agrees to accept any award against the participating responding companies and waives its right to pursue further recovery from any other party by any means, i.e., refiling arbitration versus another member, pursuing collections from an individual, or filing litigation versus non-signatory parties.

In the event a potential tortfeasor is not signatory and does not consent to participate in the arbitration or the allegation of another party's negligence is a surprise, the filing company can also withdraw its filing to pursue all parties in another venue outside of arbitration, such as litigation, or it re-files arbitration at a later date, subject to the applicable statute of limitations.

#### Rule 2-1 Filing Process

The filing company initiates arbitration by filing via AF's website. All amendments, reschedule requests, and evidence submissions must be received by the Materials Due Date posted by AF.

For new Auto filings and TRS PIP and Medical Payments filings, evidence must be attached to the filing when it is submitted, and amendments and reschedules are not allowed. The filing company will have the option to revisit the filing should a responding party assert policy limits, an exclusion, a damage dispute, or add a party.

Special Arbitration should be filed within 180 calendar days of payment to the claimant or the delay may be asserted as an affirmative defense if it can be shown to have caused prejudice to the party raising the defense.

Rule 2-1 provides guidelines and specifies what actions must be taken by the filing company and by when. The rule also points out the differences between the forums, specifically new Auto filings and TRS PIP and Medical Payments filings.

While evidence is electronically uploaded and submitted and can be viewed online, only the submitting party, the assigned arbitrator(s) and AF staff can view it. With the exception of Auto supplemental filings (See Rule 5-3), the adverse party cannot. And, while it is not required for the parties to exchange evidence once arbitration has been filed, they are free to do so voluntarily to foster a settlement.

The last paragraph is specific to Special Arbitration filings. It specifies a 180-day time period following payment to the claimant. The 180 days is an administrative time limit to expedite the dispute resolution process. This 180-day time limit is not a statute of limitations. If failure to file within 180 days causes a party prejudice, it may plead this asan affirmative defense. If the arbitrator determines the delay did not cause prejudice, he/she will decide the matters at issue.

#### Rule 2-2 Responding Process

The responding company shall answer via AF's Web site. The answer, as well as any amendments, reschedule requests, and evidence submissions, must be received by the Materials Due Date posted by AF.

For new Auto filings and TRS PIP and Medical Payments filings, the response must be submitted by the response due date, and evidence must be attached when submitted. No amendments may be made after submission.

If the responding company has a counterclaim, it must include it when it responds online. It must be heard with the original arbitration case or recovery is barred. The sole exception is where the responding company shows through documentary evidence that payment to its insured was made on or after the Materials Due Date for the original filing or anytime thereafter. Filing a counterclaim is the only way a responding company may collect its damages from the filing company.

In addition to the above, for new Auto filings and TRS PIP and Medical Payments filings, the responding company must show through documentary evidence that payment to its insured was made on or after the response submission date, or, for new Auto filings have indicated that salvage was pending at the time of the original filing.

Rule 2-2 provides instructions and time limits for submitting a response to a filing. The rule also points out the differences between the forums, specifically new Auto filings and TRS PIP and Medical Payments filings.

While evidence is electronically uploaded and submitted and can be viewed online, only the submitting party, the assigned arbitrator(s) and AF staff can view it. With the exception of Auto supplemental filings (see Rule 5-3), the adverse party cannot. And, while it is not required for the parties to exchange evidence once arbitration has been filed, they are free to do so voluntarily to foster a settlement.

If the responding company believes the filing company's insured is at fault or partially at fault, it

may file a counterclaim to recover damages it paid to its insured. The response with counterclaim must be filed by the applicable due date so it is heard with the original claim. The only exception to this requirement is if the responding company can prove that it paid its insured on or after the Materials Due Date (or response submission date in TRS) for the original filing or any time after, and the initial liability decision allows for recovery.

One last note, there typically are no "counterclaims" in Special Arbitration. If Company 2 contributed to the third-party settlement for which Company 1 seeks contribution, it includes its payment information in that filing. If Company 2 seeks contribution on another third-party claim resulting from the same loss, it must submit a separate filing.

#### Rule 2-3 Legal Fees

If seeking legal fees, a company must list these amounts or they are waived; the justification for them must be supported in the contentions; and the supports must be listed and submitted as evidence.

Rule 2-3 addresses the *Legal Fees* section of the filing and the need to enter an amount in this section, if applicable. There are only a few instanceswhere legal fees may be sought in intercompany arbitration, and such fees must be reasonable.

Rule 2-8 is a scenario where legal fees may be included in an arbitration filing. This is where a Responder asserts an affirmative defense to jurisdiction causing a case to be closed for lacking jurisdiction, only to change its position once litigation is filed, making the dispute compulsory. For example, the responder asserts the affirmative defense of denial of coverage; litigation is filed versus the "uninsured" party; the responder carrier tenders coverage making intercompany arbitration compulsory. Per Rule 2-8, the Responder will owe the filer's legal fees up to the suit dismissal as well as the filing fee for the re-filing.

The more common instance where legal fees maybe pursued applies to Special Arbitration. Special Arbitration is different from the other forums because most times it is a liability carrier filing against liability carrier. Duty, or obligation, to defend is part of the liability policy. In Special Arbitration, a party may recover its legal fees if theyare incurred or associated with resolving a dispute that falls within Special's jurisdiction. For example, if one carrier's coverage denial results in litigation

being filed against another carrier, that carrier can settle the suit and file in Special Arbitration if there is an overlapping coverage issue. The company can include its legal fees that were incurred as a resultof the other carrier's coverage denial. If the arbitrator decides that Company 2's policy was primary and the denial inappropriate, he/she mayaward the legal fees in addition to the settlement amount. The same goes for a co-defendant contribution scenario. One carrier denies liability so the claimant files suit naming additional tortfeasors. The insurer of the additional tort feasor can settle the suit and file in Special Arbitration for contribution and include its legal fees. If the arbitrator decides that Company 2 was 100% liable and its liability denial had no merit, he/she can award the legal fees that Company 1 incurred.

In closing, legal fees are awarded on an "all or none" basis. If the requesting party incurred the feesbecause of an action or inaction by the responding party, they are to be awarded. An exception is when there is concurrent coverage. Here, the defense costs are usually apportioned on a pro rata basis based on the policy limits of each policy. Also, the amount of the fees may not be contested. What one carrier pays its outside counsel versus what another carrier may have paid is not an issue.

#### Rule 2-4 Affirmative Pleadings/Defenses

The parties must raise and support affirmative pleadings or defenses in the *Affirmative Pleadings/Defenses* section or they are waived.

For new Auto filings and TRS PIP and Medical Payments filings, any exclusion must be raised and supported by the responding company for the applicable coverage feature damages, or it is waived.

If a denial/disclaimer of coverage is being pled (see definition of Denial of Coverage (b)), the case will be administratively closed as lacking jurisdiction so long as a copy of the denial/disclaimer of coverage letter to the party seeking liability coverage for the loss is provided as part of the evidentiary material submitted. If no such letter is provided or where the denial concerns concurrent coverage (Article First (b), Special Arbitration Agreement), the case will be heard and the arbitrator(s) will consider and rule on the coverage defense.

It is critical for the parties to note if the case involves an Affirmative Pleading or Affirmative Defense/Exclusion. Rule 2-4 requires the use of the specific section to assert either. This ensures the parties are aware of any issues regarding jurisdiction and, equally important, alerts the arbitrator. An arbitrator may only consider affirmative pleadings or defenses included in the appropriate section, and nowhere other than this section.

Affirmative pleadings include issues or legal doctrines that could change the allocation of damages (like bailment or joint and several liability) or reinforce the filing company's position of liability (like res ipsa loquitur). The filing company presents its liability theory in its contentions, but the existence of an available pleading could define the amount of the award if liability is found, or emphasize the responding company's legal duty in the case. For example, the filing company's contentions present the theory that the responding company's insured contributed to the accident that caused its damages, and bailment is asserted as an affirmative pleading specifying that if a percentage of liability is proven versus the responding company's insured (based on the applicable jurisdictional joint and several liability law), the

filing company is entitled to an award of X percent of its damages. If proven, the applicable percentage will be awarded.

An **affirmative defense/exclusion**, on the other hand, is an argument that does not address the dispute itself (i.e., negligence or damages owed), but rather raises an objection to compulsory arbitration's jurisdiction based on Article Second, Exclusions, of the arbitration agreements, or certain Rule infractions. Regardless of who is at fault or what damages are owed, the assertion of an affirmative defense/exclusion suggests the casecannot be heard because arbitration lacks jurisdiction over the matter. Affirmative defenses/exclusions include:

- items listed in Article Second of the various agreements, such as
- o Non-signatory party

o Action does not exist in law or equity (includes no right of recovery or prior release)o Policy limits, etc.)

rule infractions, such as

o Filing a late counterclaim (Rule 2-2)

o Failing to make reasonable accommodation for the inspection of an alleged defective product (Rule 2-11). Asserting an affirmative defense/exclusion does not mean that such defense is necessarily valid. The party must also explain the grounds for the defense and submit evidence to prove it. A party should also complete the entire filing even when raising an affirmative defense/exclusion, as the arbitrator(s) could deny it and continue to hear the case.

It is incumbent upon the recovering party with a novel theory of liability to establish the existence of the cause of action or liability in the jurisdiction through citation to controlling statutes or judicial decisions. Failure to establish the existence of the cause of action or liability in the jurisdiction is grounds to deny the arbitration.

An example of a legal bar to a right of recovery that would be asserted as an Affirmative Defense includes Article Second (h) of the Medical Payment Subrogation Arbitration Agreement – medical payment subrogation claims are prohibited bystatute or judicial decision. Another example is a verbal or monetary threshold for a BI settlement ina PIP state. If a claimant would not be entitled to a third-party settlement because a threshold was not met, an award could create a cause of action that does not exist in law, making the dispute subject to exclusion (b) in the Arbitration Agreements. Thus, this would be asserted as a challenge to arbitration's jurisdiction in the Affirmative Defense/Exclusion section of the response.

In addition to specifying how an affirmative defense/exclusion must be asserted, Rule 2-4 stipulates what evidence a Responder must submit to have a case administratively closed for lacking jurisdiction when a liability policy was in effect at the time of the accident, occurrence, or event, but such coverage has been denied/disclaimed. The evidence to be submitted in these instances is a copy of the denial/disclaimer letter sent by the responding party to the party seeking liability coverage (and defense) under that responder's policy for the claim. A Reservation of Rights letter is not sufficient to support a Denial of Coverage affirmative defense since coverage is not affirmatively denied. If a coverage determination is actively pending, a deferment would be warranted until an affirmative coverage determination is made.

Taking a quick step backward, there are two coverage prompts that a responder must answer when submitting its response:

A liability policy was in effect at the time of loss.
Has coverage been denied for this claim?

In most instances the first prompt is answered with "Yes". Exceptions would be where the wrong responding company is selected or the policy with the named responding party had expired prior to the date of loss. In these instances when "No" is selected, AF will accept the response in good faith and administratively close the filing. (Responders are reminded of the implications of Rule 2-8 before they improperly select "No" to this question.)

If the responder confirms a liability policy **was** in effect at the time of loss, it will answer the second question indicating whether coverage has been denied for the accident in dispute. The rest of this discussion will pertain to those instances where "Yes" is selected by a responder.

In instances where the named insured or a permissive driver was operating the responder's vehicle, the responder's denial of coverage letter should be directed to the named insured, driver, or both, depending on the nature of the denial, since both are seeking liability coverage from the responder's policy. The same is true in the scenario where a nonpermissive driver was operating the responder's vehicle. The denial of coverage letter should be directed to the driver if he/she is known (and there is no argument regarding theft), and/or the named insured since it is liability coverage from his/her policy that is being denied.

It is important to reiterate that Rule 2-4 specifies that a denial of coverage letter is required only for a case to be administratively closed. A denial of coverage letter isn't always necessary to support a denial of coverage defense. If, for example, the responder's defense is based on theft, a denial of coverage letter to the thief may not be needed so long as evidence is submitted to prove theft (i.e., police/theft report). In this scenario, the case would proceed to hearing where the arbitrator can either uphold or deny the coverage defense, depending on the evidence submitted to support the theft defense. At this point, it is important to differentiate between a denial of coverage and a denial of liability. Simply, a denial of liability is not a denial of coverage. If the responding company submits a copy of a denial of liability letter to the filing company or its named insured or driver, this is not sufficient for the case to be administratively closed for lacking jurisdiction. The case would proceed to hearing, and the arbitrator would most likely deny the denial of coverage defense.

Lastly, we periodically see "conditional" denials that leave an opening for the insured to call and/or cooperate and get coverage for the accident. The letter may start out using denial language but ends up with an offer to reconsider if the insured cooperates. Since some states and companies require this type of language in these letters, consideration must be given by the arbitrator when deciding whether the content of the letter is sufficient to support the denial of coverage defense. If the coverage defense is upheld and it is determined that arbitration lacks jurisdiction, the filing company would be free to pursue litigation versus the "uninsured" tortfeasor.

Another issue that may arise in product liability cases is spoliation of evidence. Spoliation of evidence is a doctrine that essentially means that evidence that is crucial to proving a party's position was destroyed or made unavailable by one party to the detriment of the other. By raising spoliation of evidence, the party is asking the arbitrator to take into consideration its assertion that the adverse party made it impossible for it to prove its case by destroying or making critical evidence unavailable to it. The company would have to establish through its evidence that the adverse party did in fact improperly dispose of the evidence. Beyond that, there are two ways this pleading could be important. In some states, the filing company could provide proof of statutory or case law that essentially alters the burden of proof in the case of spoliation by imposing a rebuttable presumption against the party responsible for the spoliation. In other words, if spoliation is established, the burden of proof is automatically on the company that was responsible for the spoliation, though it may bring evidence to disprove the other party's position. In the absence of such clear legal support, the filing company

could prove that "but for" the spoliation, it likely would have been able to prove its case and was prevented from doing so by the actions of the responding company. It would be up to the arbitrator to decide whether it established that.

Spoliation of evidence is similar for the responding party, with the addition of Rule 2-11 that applies only to product liability cases that provide a bar to jurisdiction if a filing company fails to provide "reasonable accommodations" for inspection of the alleged defective product to the responding company. In other kinds of cases, if there is statutory or case law as described above, spoliation might be raised by the responding company as an Affirmative Defense/exclusion under ArticleSecond (b), in the basis that there is no cause of action in law for the claim. However, in the absence of this clear legal defense, spoliation that doesn't fall under Rule 2-11 is not a bar to jurisdiction and thus not an exclusion. However, it could be a defense to the allegations. The responding company would have to establish that the filing party made the critical evidence unavailable to its detriment and that but for the spoliation, it would likely have been able to prove its case. Again, it is within the arbitrator's discretion to decide whether the evidence proved that.

The important point, whether it's raised by the filing or responding company, is that in the absence of statutory or case law, spoliation doesn't automatically mean anything, and its effect on the case must be proven by the party that raises it.

The last part of Rule 2-4 clarifies the effect of a coverage denial in Special Arbitration. Simply, a coverage denial based on concurrent coverage/primacy of policies (i.e., primary/excess application of the disputed coverage) does **not** remove the party/case from jurisdiction in Special Arbitration (Article First (b)). If, however, a responding party pleads no liability policy was in effect or denial of coverage for any other reason, Special Arbitration will lack jurisdiction over the party/case.

#### Rule 2-5 Disputing Damages

If a responding company disputes damages, it must present all damages arguments and disputed dollar amounts, if known, in the Dispute Damages section.

For new Auto filings and TRS PIP and Medical Payments filings, damages must be disputed, where provided (including a proposed amount).

Arguments not properly raised will not be considered by the arbitrator. This includes, but is not limited to, issues such as repair and/or rental amounts, causation, and partial exclusions.

Like the Affirmative Defense/exclusion section, a responding company must clearly communicate to the recovering party and arbitrator that damages are disputed.

The recovering party itemizes the various payments it has made, i.e., for new Auto filings Auto Damage, Rental, Towing, Storage, and enters any prior payment(s) received from the responding company, where provided.

If damages are not disputed, damages are not at issue. The arbitrator will only verify that the evidence supports the recovering party's itemized amounts. The arbitrator will not review whether the payments were appropriate, reasonable, excessive, etc. When determining if damages are proven, the arbitrator will compare the itemized amounts and the damages evidence.

For example, if the filing company seeks \$2,300 in Auto Damage and \$400 for Rental, the arbitrator will verify that these damages are supported by evidence, i.e., estimate, rental invoice or EFT payment.

For new Auto filings and TRS PIP and Medical Payments filings, if the responding company disputes the damages claimed, it must, where provided: dispute the specific damage type(s), enter the Proposed Amount, indicate the Dispute Type(s), and most important justify its position. Providing the arbitrator with sufficient information regarding the type and amount of damages in dispute is essential. The more detailed the information is regarding what damages are disputed and what amount(s), the easier the arbitrator will be able to resolve the dispute. Simply arguing that the filing company overpaid the claim or that "rental was excessive" without a specific reason or what the proper amount should be will make it difficult for the arbitrator to consider your argument or agree with your position. The arbitrator would have the discretion to deny the damage dispute and award all proven damages.

In addition, for new Auto filings and TRS PIP and Medical Payments filings, if the responding company has issued prior payments, they must be entered in the Prior Payment Made field with proof of payment attached. This includes deductibles and any payments alleged to be a double dip. This is especially important if there is a policy limit.

In Property, a Responder may need to raise the issue that part of the claimed damages (for instance work product or costs related to mold) are not covered under its policy. These issues can be raised as a challenge to damages in the Dispute Damages section or as an Affirmative Defense, since it could be a partial bar to jurisdiction for these damages. For example, claimed damages for a water loss include \$3,500 for repairs to the building; \$700 for personal property; and \$1,200 for mold remediation. The Responder would raise the issue of its mold exclusion, and when possible, itemize the amount of the excluded damages in the Dispute Damages section. The arbitrator can then consider the payment supports from the Filer and if liability is established, adjust the award for those excluded damages.

In these cases, the Responder could also implead/add the other carrier or the Filer can withdraw the arbitration and pursue recovery of the full claim outside of arbitration.

#### Rule 2-6 Companion Claims

All companion claims will be heard together if

- (a) they are related by the parties online or
- (b) the parties notify AF of the relationship prior to one of them being heard.

For new Auto filings and TRS PIP and Medical Payments filings, only companion claims under the same coverage will be heard together and only when they are submitted together.

For new Auto filings and TRS PIP and Medical Payments filings, companion claims under the same coverage (i.e. Collision/OTC) will be heard together, and only when they are submitted together. This ensures consistent decisions and eliminates the time and cost of having multiple hearings to resolve multiple claims arising from one loss or accident.

Companion claims under coverages other than Collision/OTC, or TRS PIP and Medical Payments filings, can still be heard together if they are related online or the parties notify AF of the relationship prior to one of the cases being heard.

Per the AF Definitions, a companion claim is any additional claim(s) by or against a participating party arising out of the same accident, occurrence,

or event, which falls under the same or another AF compulsory forum. Examples include:

- A filer files an Automobile and PIP application seeking recovery of its automobile physical damage claim and injury claim.
- A filer files an Automobile application versus two responders and one of the responders files an Automobile application versus the other responder only. (If the filer is included, this would constitute a counterclaim, not a companion claim.)

If neither step is taken and companion, or related, filings proceed to hearing separately, each decision will be binding even if they are inconsistent. Only with the consent of all parties can the decisions be voided and the matters scheduled to be reheard together.



#### Rule 2-7 Pre-hearing Settlement

The filing company must immediately withdraw its application online if the dispute is resolved or immediately notify AF. Upon notification, AF will withdraw the case from arbitration.

Rule 2-7 is straightforward and advises the recovering company of its obligation to promptly withdraw its filing if settlement is reached with the responding company prior to the case being heard. This prevents the needless hearing of a case when the parties have amicably resolved the dispute and helps reduce case cycle time by ensuring arbitrators are hearing only disputed claims.

The filing company can easily withdraw its filing online by selecting "Withdraw Docket" under Docket Actions (Withdraw Feature in TRS).

It must be noted, if payment has been made in full to the recovering party but the case is not withdrawn, the responding company should submit a response and include proof of payment to the filing company to support its case. The proof should indicate that the payment was accepted.

#### Rule 2-8

#### **Case Restoration after Improper Objection to Jurisdiction**

If the responding company pleads an affirmative defense/exclusion and AF or the arbitrator(s) closes the case based on no jurisdiction and it is subsequently discovered that arbitration was properly filed, the filing company may re-file the case in arbitration. The responding company will reimburse the filing company for all reasonable legal expenses and court costs resulting from the improper objection to jurisdiction, as well as the additional arbitration filing fee.

Rule 2-8 cautions the responding company about the penalties associated with raising an improper affirmative defense that removes a case from arbitration's jurisdiction when jurisdiction existed. It also gives the filing company the right to recover any reasonable legal fees and court costs it incurs because of the improper assertion of an affirmative defense.

For example, when a responder asserts "no coverage," AF accepts this in good faith and closes the case. The recovering party is free to pursue the "uninsured" party or another liability carrier, if applicable.

If, through litigation, it is determined that the original filing was proper and that the case was closed in error (i.e., there is coverage), the filing company will refile arbitration. If it incurred legal expenses due to the initial removal of the case, it is entitled to recover these expenses. If not reimbursed by the responding company, the filing company may list these expenses in the *Legal Fees* section of the application, outline why they are being soughtin its contentions, and provide evidence/proof to support the amount.

#### Rule 2-9 Reschedule

Each party is permitted one reschedule of the Materials Due Date if selected

- (a) by the Materials Due Date; or
- (b) within three business days after the Materials Due Date, so long as the party has already submitted its documentation pursuant to Rule 2-1 or Rule 2-2.

AF will notify all parties of the new Materials Due Date. AF will charge the requesting party a fee. Additional reschedules may be granted with consent of all parties. Companion cases that are related to be heard together will be treated as one claim (i.e., one reschedule per party for all cases).

For new Auto filings and TRS PIP and Medical Payments filings, a responding company is permitted one extension of its response due date, so long as the current response due date has not expired. The party requesting the extension is notified of its new response due date. AF will charge the requesting party a fee. No additional extensions are allowed. Companion filings across different coverages are separate cases, i.e., one extension per coverage filing, and will be heard separately.

While AF strives to provide the most efficient process possible, there will be times when a party needs additional time to prepare and/or submit documents. Rule 2-9 was implemented to address these membership needs.

Each party is allowed to reschedule a case once, so long as requested by the Materials Due Date or within three business days after the Materials Due Date so long as the party has already complied with Rule 2-1 or 2-2. The reference to Rules 2-1 and 2-2 is important because it describes the proper process and timeframes to provide supporting documents or respond to a case. If a party has not provided all of its documents by the Materials Due Date, it may notuse Rule 2-9 to overcome the failure to meet that deadline. However, any time up to the Materials Due Date, a party may reschedule the case. If an additional reschedule is needed, AF will allow it only if all parties consent. For new Auto filings and TRS PIP and Medical Payments filings, a responding party can extend its response due date so long as the current due date has not expired. Currently an extension adds 15 days to the response due date.

For a reschedules or extension, the requesting party is charged a fee equal to the applicable program's filing fee.



#### Rule 2-10 Deferment

Each party may request a one-year deferment and must provide the basis for the request in the Deferment Justification section. There will be no fee for an initial deferment request.

Deferment requests by the filing company will be automatically granted. A responding company may challenge the request if it believes the delay is not warranted. If challenged, the case will be heard and the arbitrator(s) will consider the validity of the request. If the request is upheld, the case will be deferred for one year from the date of filing. If the request is denied, the arbitrator will continue to hear the disputed issues.

Deferment requests by a responding company will be automatically challenged and the same process as outlined above will be followed.

For new Auto filings and TRS PIP and Medical Payments filings, a deferment request by any party will be granted. An adverse party may challenge the request if it believes the delay in not warranted. If challenged, an arbitrator will consider the validity of the deferment request. If the deferment is allowed, the case will remain deferred for one year from the deferment request date. If the deferment is not allowed, the case will continue as not deferred.

Companion cases that are related to be heard together will be treated as one claim (i.e., a deferment request applies to all related cases).

For new Auto filings and TRS PIP and Medical Payments filings, filings across different coverages are treated as separate cases. A deferment request applies to the specific coverage(s) selected.

Any subsequent deferment requests will follow the above procedure. AF will charge the requesting party a fee for subsequent request(s).

An affirmative defense is waived if it is available when the deferment request is made but is not asserted. This does not prohibit the responding company from subsequently asserting an affirmative defense at the time it becomes available.

There are times when the hearing of an arbitration filing must be postponed for an extended period of time. A deferment is a postponement of a hearing for a one-year. Some common examples include:active coverage investigation; pending BI claim or suit may exhaust policy limits. The requesting party's Deferment Justification and evidence, if any, needs to clearly document the impact on the arbitration case. This is especially true in the event the deferment is challenged. For example, if there is a companion claim or suit that may impact policy limits, a copy of a demand or suit paperwork will be important. The mere existence of a companion claim or suit, on its own, does not necessarily support the need to defer the arbitration. It is important to note, the arbitration decision will not affect the litigation because the Arbitration Agreements (Article Third) specifically state that arbitration decisions are neither *res judicata* nor *collateral estoppel*.

Any party may defer a case, providing the justification for it.

For new Auto and TRS PIP and Medical Payments filings, an adverse party may challenge a deferment. If challenged, the arbitrator will consider the Deferment and Challenge Justifications and any evidence in support of the respective position, and determine whether the deferment is allowed.

If allowed, the case will be restored to deferred status for one year from the deferment request date. (The parties are notified when the case is returned to "Ready to Hear" status.) Another deferment may be requested at that time, if needed, and the same process as outlined above will follow.

If the deferment is not allowed, the parties will be notified to complete the filing or responding process so the case can proceed to hearing on liability and/or damages.

For other (OLF) filings:

- A deferment by the filing company is automatically granted. It is presumed the filing company has a valid reason to delay the arbitration. Most often, it has filed arbitration simply to toll the statute of limitations and protect its interest on its claim until other issues are resolved. Theresponding company may, however, challenge the deferment request if it believes the delay is not warranted or needed.
- A deferment request <u>by the responding</u> <u>company is automatically challenged</u>. The case will proceed to hearing as originally scheduled.

In OLF, when the deferment is challenged, the arbitrator will continue to hear the case in its

entirety, resolving any disputed issues (i.e., liability and/or damages). Therefore, the parties should provide all arguments (liability and/or damages) and evidence, as the case could immediately be heard to a conclusion.

For all filings, if during the one-year deferment period it is determined the deferment is no longer needed, the party requesting it is to withdraw the deferment so the case can be scheduled for hearing. It is also strongly recommended that the party amend response, if necessary, to address its an exclusion/affirmative defense or other issue that the deferment resolved. For example, a responding company requests a deferment to postpone the arbitration hearing because of a policy limit issue; the filing company's claim is for a portion of the policy limit, but other potential claimants exist (i.e., multivehicle accident). Hopefully, all claims can be settled on a pro-rated basis during the deferment period. If not, the responding company would amend its response to remove the deferment request (this would schedule the case for hearing). In addition, it would amend its response to assert policy limits.

A responding company also has an obligation to raise any objection to jurisdiction (exclusion/ affirmative defense) in its answer even if it or another company has requested a deferment. This allows the filing company to seek other means for recovery if a valid objection to jurisdiction exists. There is no need to delay the arbitration proceeding. When a company raises a jurisdictional issue, the arbitrator will consider the jurisdictional question first. If the arbitrator determines AF has jurisdiction, he/she will then consider the deferment request. If the arbitrator upholds the jurisdictional issue and decides AF does not have jurisdiction, it will withdraw the case (where there are multiple responders that party will be out of jurisdiction).

No fee is charged the requesting party for the initial deferment request. However, a fee equal to double the applicable forum's filing fee is charged for subsequent deferment requests.

### Rule 2-11 Product Liability Evidence

For Product Liability cases, reasonable accommodations should be made for the inspection of the alleged defective product(s). Failure to do so may result in case withdrawal if raised as an affirmative defense and proven to be critical to the defense of the claim.

Intercompany arbitration is designed to provide a fair and neutral means for resolution of disputes between/among signatory companies. For product liability cases, Rule 2-11 assures that the responding company has been given a reasonable opportunity to inspect the allegedly defective product in order to prepare its defense.

Reasonable accommodations must be made by both the filing and responding company. It is not always the requirement that the responding company go to the alleged defective product. In certain situations, it may be more reasonable for the filing company to send the product to the responding company.

If the responding company has requested but has not been given a reasonable opportunity when such inspection is critical to its defense of the allegations made against its insured, it would be unfair for the arbitrator(s) to proceed with only the filing company's description of the defective product to consider. "reasonable However. if accommodations" have been made for the responding company to inspect the product and it chose not to do so, the rule will not protect the responding company and will not prevent the case from being arbitrated.

A question that may arise is, "If the Arbitrator grants an affirmative defense based on Rule 2-11 (reasonable accommodations for the inspection of a defective part were not made), would the filing company be able to file the case in litigation?"

In these cases, the filing company would have two options. First, it could re-file arbitration if/once reasonable accommodations for the inspection of the defective part were made as a result of the arbitrator's decision (since the objection to jurisdiction would then be removed). If reasonable accommodations could not be made, i.e., the defective part is not available for inspection, then the filing company would be free to pursue the matter outside of arbitration. The rationale for this that the courts have more formal rules of evidence for these types of situations.

Please see Chapter 17, page 33, for discussion on spoliation of evidence.

#### Rule 2-12

#### **Appeal Process (Property and Special Forums)**

Any party that participated in the original hearing may appeal a decision in the Property and Special Forums so long as the *Company Claim Amount* is \$10,000 and above (Property); the *Total Settlement Amount* is \$100,000 and above (Special).

(a) The appeal indicating the alleged error by the original arbitrator/panel must be submitted via <u>AF's</u> <u>website</u> within thirty (30) calendar days of the decision publication date.

(b) AF will notify the adverse party which will have thirty (30) calendar days from AF's notification to submit its response to the appeal via <u>AF's website</u>. A party waives its right to respond if its response is not timely received.

(c) AF will have the original file, decision, and appeal positions reviewed by an appeal panel determined by AF. No arbitrator from the original panel will sit on the appeal panel. No additional documentation or evidence is allowed. No personal appearances (i.e., company representative, witness, expert) of any type (i.e., telephone, videoconferencing, etc.) are allowed, even if such appearance was made when the case was originally heard. The appeal panel will review only the original file, decision, and the appeal positions.

(d) The appeal decision will be final and binding with no right to further review, appeal, or inquiry.

AF will charge a substantial, non-refundable fee to the party filing the appeal.

AF's member companies desire and appreciate an arbitration process that is expeditious and provides a binding resolution of their claim disputes. This is especially true for disputes involving low claim dollars and/or minimal complexity. In 2004, a concern was raised specific to claims involving higher dollar amounts and/or complexity filed in the Property and Special Arbitration forums. In response, AF drafted the criteria and procedure to appeal a decision in the Property and Special forums. It must be emphasized that the appeal process is not intended to simply facilitate another chance to prevail. The basis for an appeal under Rule 2-12 must be an actual error by the original arbitrator or panel. Examples include the erroneous interpretation of submitted case law or misreading of evidence.

The right to appeal a decision is limited to the parties that "participated" in the original hearing. In

other words, if a Responder (or Company 2 in Special) did not respond, it may not appeal the decision.

To appeal a Property decision, the Company Claim Amount must be \$10,000 or above. To appeal a Special decision, the Total Settlement Amount must be \$100,000 or above (for Workers Compensation subrogation matters, even though "settlement" as defined in the Rules is not required, the "Total Settlement Amount" entry must be the total amount of damages paid to the injured employee by the workers compensation carrier. The figure in the "Company Payment" and "Total Settlement Amount" will most likely be the same dollar amount.)

The appealing company must submit its appeal via  $\underline{AF's}$  website within 30 days of the decision publication date and must pay a non-refundable fee

for the appeal. (AF's current fee schedule is available on <u>AF's website</u>.)

The appealing company will select the basis for the appeal (Request Type) and state the alleged error by the arbitrator(s). The intent of the appeal submission is to concisely capture the basis for the appeal without allowing for further arguments and/or discussion of the party's position.

Upon receipt of the appeal, AF will notify the other participating parties. Each will have 30 days from AF's notification to submit its position on the appeal. AF will not reschedule an appeal hearing; an adverse party waives its right to respond after the30-day time limit expires.

Upon receipt of all appeal positions, or after the time limit expires, AF will process the appeal. The

appeal panel will consider the original file, decision, and appeal positions to determine if an error was made. A party cannot submit new evidence, present new witnesses, or appear before the appeal panel. The appeal panel will either affirm the original decision or render a new decision, if needed, if it deems an error of fact or law was made by the original arbitrator/panel. The appeal panel's decision will be final and binding without the right of rehearing or further appeal.

In situations where there are related cases (i.e., companions and/or counterclaims), only those cases that meet the monetary limit will be subject to appeal, and the appeal fee will be applied to each case for which an appeal is requested.

### Rule 3-1 Notice of Hearing

AF will notify the parties at least 30 calendar days prior to the initial Materials Due Date and of any subsequent changes. For Special Arbitration cases, AF will give notice at least 50 calendar days prior to the initial Materials Due Date.

For new Auto filings and TRS PIP and Medical Payments filings, a responding company is notified 30 days prior to the response due date.

AF notifies the parties electronically at least 30 calendar days prior to the due date. AF relies completely on the accuracy of the responding parties information provided by the filing company in compliance with the condition precedent, especially insurer or self-insured name. For this reason, if the filing company selects the wrong responding company and AF's electronic notification is sent to a wrong party, AF will close the filing or void the decision. The filing company will need to re-file naming the correct responding

company. This also applies if an incorrect address is entered (if requested).

The notification provides a level of protection for the responding company. It provides notification that arbitration has been filed against it and the date that its answer and evidence items must be submitted. If the notice is the responding company's first notice of arbitration, it should immediately review the case online. If additional time is needed to prepare and submit its response, it should extend its response due date (see Rule 2-9).



#### Rule 3-2 Failure to Answer

A case will be heard even if a responding company fails to answer.

Rule 3-2 clarifies and strengthens the time restrictions imposed on the responding company to answer by specifying that its failure to respond in a timely manner will not delay the hearing.

Rule 2-2 establishes that the response must be received no later than the specific due date posted

by AF for the case, and that documents not received by this deadline will not be made available at the hearing. Per Rule 3-2, the failure to comply will not postpone the hearing of the case either. The arbitrator(s) will decide the case based only on the documentation submitted in time, even if only the filing company's.

### Rule 3-3 Arbitration Panel Size

One arbitrator will hear a case; however, a three-person panel may be requested if the Total Company-Paid Damages or Contribution Sought Amount (Special Arbitration) is \$15,000 and above.

A party requesting a three-person panel will be charged a three-person panel fee.

For new Auto filings and TRS PIP and Medical Payments filings, companion cases under different coverages are treated as separate cases, and heard separately.

One arbitrator typically hears a case; however, the parties have the right to request a three-person panel, if desired. To ensure three-person panels are not requested on low-dollar claim disputes, the membership agreed to establish a monetary limit based on the Total Company-Paid Damages or Contribution Sought (Special Arbitration) amount. A party **must** select the three-person panel option where provided. (The option does not appear if the monetary limit is not met.) AF will charge the requesting party a fee, which varies by forum. Refer to the <u>Fee Schedule</u> on AF's Web site for more information.

### Rule 3-4 Adjournments

The arbitrator(s) may grant an adjournment for cause or to request briefs of law or clarification of submitted materials (including policy limits issues). AF will notify the applicable party(ies) of the due date for the requested item(s). If the requested item(s) is not received by the due date, the case will be heard without them.

An adjournment is an interruption of a hearing at the arbitrator's(s') discretion for a maximum of 30 days. The determination of "cause" is at the arbitrator's discretion. Clarification concerning a policy limits defense would be one reason to adjourn the hearing. For example, the responding company asserts that it has policy limits and that additional claimants exist. The arbitrator could adjourn the hearing to get more information regarding the additional claimants (i.e., number and claim amounts) to determine if the policy limits defense is applicable.

To avoid any unnecessary delay, it is highly recommended that a company submit evidence to support all references to local statutes and case law. This will allow the panel to properly review this material and review the actual statute or case law. If a particular statute is cited and the arbitrator(s) needs clarification of the statute, the arbitrator may request an adjournment. This also applies to the clarification of any information included in the case file. For example, an arbitrator is not sure if he understands a critical graph included in an expert witness statement. The arbitrator can adjourn the hearing and request a more complete explanation of the graph.

If an arbitrator adjourns a hearing, AF will notify the party of the due date the information must be received. The case will proceed to hearing if the requested information is not received in time, and the arbitrator will render a decision based on the available file material.

#### Rule 3-5 Requirements for Arbitrator Consideration

The arbitrator(s) may only consider:

- a) Affirmative pleadings or affirmative defenses/exclusions properly raised.
- b) Deferment requests that are supported in the *Deferment Justification* section.
- c) Evidence listed.
- d) Amount entered as the company claim amount, contribution sought amount, and/or legal fees.
- e) Disputed damages if properly argued per Rule 2-5.

Rule 3-5 outlines specifically what an arbitrator has the authority to consider, such as affirmative defenses/exclusions, deferments and challenges, listed evidence, dollar amounts, and disputed damages. It is the party's responsibility to properly bring these items to the arbitrator's attention if they apply to the case.

Rule 3-5, subparagraph (a) pertains to affirmative pleadings and defenses/exclusions. An arbitrator may not raise these arguments for a party. Per Rule 2-4 (Chapter 17), the parties must assert these arguments, if applicable, where provided or they are waived. It is also important to note that supporting evidence must be listed and submitted, i.e., case law, statute, etc.. Last, AF strongly recommends that a party asserting an affirmative defense/exclusion regarding a lack of jurisdiction complete the entire filing, including its liability and damages arguments and evidence as though the defense does not apply. This is because the arbitrator will continue to decide liability and/or damages if the affirmative defense/exclusion is denied.

The second item for consideration in Rule 3-5 regards presenting a deferment or challenge to ensure the arbitrator's(s') consideration. If a company defers a filing it must provide justification for it where provided (Rule 2-10). The same applies

to any involved company that wants to challenge a deferment. The company with the objection must also include its justification where provided.

Rule 3-5, subparagraph (c) advises each disputing party that it must list each piece of evidence that it plans to submit for arbitrator consideration. Even if a case is to be represented in person, the party must still list and submit its evidence online. The Arbitration Agreements and Rules do not mandate a discovery process for evidence. The evidence list is the only way for each company to know what the other plans to present to the arbitrator. The arbitrator(s) matches the evidence list with the evidence submissions and verifies that the evidence supports the allegations and/or defenses. The arbitrator(s) may not consider evidence if it is not listed. For this reason it is important for the parties to list each item and select the correct evidence type from the "Available Evidence Types" listing.

Regarding audio and video evidence, AF does not currently accept audio or links to external Web sites like YouTube as evidence (evidence containing embedded audio and video is rejected by our system). If a party is unable to upload video evidence, it must request a personal appearance, andnote that there is video evidence to present. AF will contact the Member Representative to schedule a videoconference with the arbitrator to view the video evidence. In the case of <u>audio evidence</u> (such as recorded statements), AF requires the written transcript of the recording be declared and provided as evidence for the arbitrator to view.

Subparagraph (d) warns that the arbitrator will only consider the amounts listed in the *Company Claim Amount, Contribution Sought Amount,* and *Legal Fees* sections of the application. These entries are critical for the arbitrator to render an accurate award. There will not be an award if these entries are left blank. These amounts are the basis for the arbitrator's(s') award computation. The *company claim amount, contribution sought amount,* and *legal fees amount* take precedence over the *damages amount* if there is a conflict between the entries. For example, if the filing company lists its company claim amount as \$2,000 but its itemization in the Damages section suggests its damages equal

\$20,000, the arbitrator's award will be controlled by the company claim amount. So, if 100 percent liability is proven and all damages proven, only \$2,000 will be awarded.

Rule 3-5, subparagraph (e) provides the requirements for having an arbitrator consider disputed damages. For example, a responding company may disagree with the filing company's appraisal as to the amount of damages used to determine the Company Claim Amount in the Auto Forum and can make the amount of damages an issue. To do so, the responding company must clearly outline the specific damages and amounts it is disputing or owes, if known, in the Dispute Damages section (read Rule 2-5 for more information). It is strongly recommended that the responding company provide an itemization of its damages position, if possible, to ensure the most accurate award. If the responding company disputes a portion of the repair work done and/or the number of rental days, for example, it should argue this point and provide the dollar amount that it believes is warranted.

#### Rule 3-6 Hearing Informality

Procedure at arbitration hearings is informal and confidential. Formal rules of evidence do not apply. No recording of the proceedings, in any manner, is allowed.

Rule 3-6 establishes that arbitration hearings are informal. The arbitrators do not adhere to strict rules of evidence, and there is no discovery process. The arbitrators accept any and all listed and submitted evidence, evaluate its applicability and credibility, and render a decision based on their assessment.

AF members have agreed to forego the rigid atmosphere of propriety and the time-consuming rules that govern a court proceeding, including rules of evidence, to achieve the advantages of expediency and cost-effectiveness. Rules of evidence are a series of rules created by the courtsto ensure that any evidence presented in court is fairand reliable. These rules determine whether the court will admit and consider evidence. Since these rules are not applied in the arbitration hearing, companies may present their positions without concern about whether their evidence would be admissible in a formal court proceeding.

Further, the hearings are confidential. Recordings of any type, in any manner, are not allowed.

#### Rule 3-7 Hearing Attendance

A party may appear telephonically when a case is heard and present witnesses. The intent to do so must be noted where provided.

- (a) The representative may only clarify, at the arbitrator's request, its contentions and submitted evidence.
- (b) Insureds or witnesses may not appear without the presence of a company representative.
- (c) Insureds or witnesses may appear only if their written or transcribed recorded statement or report is listed as evidence and AF and all parties know of their appearance. They may only clarify, at the arbitrator's request, such statement or report for the arbitrator and may not present testimony or additional evidence. Specifically, witness testimony is not evidential.
- (d) All parties will be dismissed after their appearance and will be subsequently notified of the decision.

Intercompany arbitration is designed to be expeditious, easy, and cost-effective. For this reason, AF members typically opt to have casesheard by file only. The file is to "speak for itself." Rule 3-7 does allows a party to make an appearance when the case is being heard and, if necessary, present witnesses. Appearances are made via telephone conference call with the arbitrator(s).

If a company representative wishes to make an appearance, this must be indicated where provided. AF and all other parties must be notified that an appearance will be made (see Rule 2-1 and 2-2). Checking the appropriate box informs the other party(ies) and AF of the intention to appear. It also alerts AF to schedule a telephone conference call.

A representative should not request an appearance with the understanding that he/she will verbally present his/her case. Rather, the arbitrator will review the submitted contentions and evidence prior to meeting with the representative. Once the arbitrator is familiar with the case and the issues in dispute, he/she ask any questions he/she may havein regard to the case. The representative will be dismissed and the conference call ended once the arbitrator has all the information and/or clarification needed. The same applies should an insured or a witness or expert also appear. Their appearance must be noted where provided, and, of extreme importance, their statement or report must be listed and submitted. They may not present verbal testimony. For this reason (and since a statement or report is as effective as a personal appearance), these types of appearances are very rare. To control claim costs, participants confine personal representation and witnesses to very complex cases where the arbitrator(s) benefits from their presence.

Rule 3-7 also provides that an insured or a witness or expert may not appear without the presence of a company representative. The purpose of this restriction is to minimize the potential for disruption at hearing.

A question that arises is, "What constitutes a company representative?" For the purposes of intercompany arbitration, the "member representative" is the person handling the claim on behalf of the member, i.e., an employee (adjuster, staff attorney) or an individual retained (third-party administrator, outside attorney). Typically, this is the individual who submits the filing or responds to it and appears at the hearing to answer any questions the arbitrator(s) may have. Regarding audio and video evidence, AF does not currently accept audio files or links to external Web sites like YouTube as evidence (evidence containing embedded audio and video is rejected by our system). If a party wishes to have video evidence viewed by the Arbitrator and it cannot be uploaded, it must declare the video evidence. It must also request a personal appearance, and note inthe Administrative Request field that there isphysical/video evidence. AF will contact the Member Representative to schedule a videoconference for the Arbitrator to view the declared evidence. In the case of <u>audio evidence</u> (such as recorded statements), AF requires the written transcript of the recording be declared and provided as evidence for the arbitrator to view.

#### Rule 3-8 Arbitrator Neutrality

No arbitrator will hear a case in which he/she personally or his/her company has any direct or indirect material interest.

Arbitrator neutrality is critical to the success of any arbitration process. AF takes great measures to prevent an arbitrator from hearing a case that involves his/her company. If a case is inadvertently assigned to an arbitrator that involves the arbitrator's company or represents any other potential personal interest, the arbitrator must immediately notify AF so the case can be reassigned.

In addition, AF emphasizes that an arbitrator excuse himself/herself from hearing any case if he/she has a direct or indirect interest in the outcome (financial, business, personal, or professional). We also recommend that he/she excuse himself/herself from hearing a case that involves a prior employer, coworker, or claim adversary if his/her decision could create an **appearance** of impropriety. The mere appearance of impropriety is reason enough for the arbitrator to return a file to the AF hearing officer for reassignment to another arbitrator.

AF supports and reinforces the importance of arbitrator neutrality and objectivity at the hearings and in training workshops.



#### **Rule 3-9**

#### **Post-Decision Coverage Allowances**

A responding company may assert no coverage, a denial of coverage, or a policy limits defense via AF's Web site up to 60 calendar days from the publication of the decision if the

- a) filing company made its filing at least 120 calendar days before the statute of limitations expires; and
- b) responding company pleads its defense at least 60 calendar days before the statute of limitations expires.

A copy of the denial of coverage letter to the party seeking coverage for the loss or proof of policy limits must accompany the inquiry or no action can be taken.

When an award exceeds policy limits, the filing company will have the option to accept the policy limits as final settlement and forego recovery of the claim against the insured directly or have the decision voided to pursue alternative means of full recovery.

The Agreements (Article Second) provides that arbitration lacks jurisdiction when an award will exceed a member's policy limits or if there is no liability policy or coverage has been denied. Issues regarding coverage need to be properly asserted and supported in response to a filing (see Rule 2-4, Chapter 17). This ensures appropriate action can be taken and/or an arbitrator takes it in to consideration when resolving the dispute. That said, Rule 3-9 was established to protect all members and offer postdecision relief when an award was entered against them and there is no liability policy, or coverage has been completely denied, or, the award exceeds the policy limits. Defenses regarding retrospective or experienced rated policies, high liability deductibles, or partial exclusions, however, are not included for post-decision review. These defenses may only be asserted prior to hearing for consideration by an arbitrator.

Rule 3-9 allows a responding party to assert no coverage, denial of coverage, or policy limits, following the hearing via <u>AF's website</u> whether it submitted a response or not. However, certain time frames must be met to give the filing company

enough time to take other actions before the statute of limitations expires.

The first time limit restricts the opportunity to raise a post-hearing coverage issue to 60 days following the decision publication date. Where applicable, a copy of the denial of coverage letter or proof of policy limits **must** accompany the notification to AF and be received within the 60-day time limit. Further, when policy limits is at issue, the filing company will have 60 days to decide whether or notto accept the policy limits as final settlement of the claim. During this time, payment of the award should be held until the issue is resolved.

The second time limit is the filing company's requirement to file at least 120 days before the statute of limitations expires. The 120-day period provides ample time for the responding company to raise any objections to jurisdiction. It also provides ample time for the filing company to take other action if the responding company's answer has a valid objection.

The third time limit referred to in Rule 3-9 is a requirement for the responding company to answer with its defense at least 60 days before the statute

expires. This gives the filing company time to take other action to recover the damages. If the defense is not raised at least 60 days before the statute's expiration and the filing company has filed 120 days before the statute expires, it forever surrenders its right to the defense.

In closing, the applicability of Rule 3-9 in Special Arbitration must be discussed. Simply, no postdecision relief is afforded to a Special Arbitration filing if it involves a concurrent coverage situation (Article First (b). Policy defenses (i.e., "policy does not cover . . . ") do not remove a case from Special Arbitration's jurisdiction. Policy defenses are secondary to the primary issue of concurrent coverage described in Article First (b), and, as such, an arbitrator(s) must hear the case and decide such issues are primary/excess, liability, etc. AF is unable to consider these policy defenses post- decision.

### Rule 4-1 No Default Judgments

Arbitration panels may not render default judgments. Decisions must be based on the evidence submitted. In the Uninsured Motorists Forum, the filing company must establish its basis for challenging the adverse company's denial of coverage and/or why the adverse company should reimburse the UM settlement. Liability and damages will not be disputed.

There are no default judgments in intercompany arbitration. The filing company does not prevail simply because no answer is submitted. In the Automobile, Property, PIP, and Medical Payments forums, the filing company always has the burden of proof. It must establish its position, through its evidence, to the satisfaction of the arbitration panel, even when there is no response.

In intercompany arbitration, the standard of proof used is "preponderance of evidence" (contrasted with "beyond a reasonable doubt," which is required in criminal proceedings). The preponderance is based on the more convincing evidence in regard to its probable truth or accuracy, and not simply the amount of evidence.

The purpose of Special Arbitration is to facilitate prompt and fair resolution of first- and third-party claims where there is a dispute over contribution of another signatory party on the basis of shared liability or concurrent coverage. To put the burden of proof on the party that stepped up and resolved the claim for which all participants share responsibility and then filed in arbitration for a ruling on proper apportionment would be in conflict with that purpose. It would likely result in delayed settlements and possible unnecessary litigation. For that reason, the burden of proof in Special Arbitration is equal among all participating parties and not only on the filing party.

In the Uninsured Motorists Forum, since the sole issue is the validity of the responding company's denial of coverage, the filing company must support its position that the denial was improper and/or why the member who issued the denial of coverage should reimburse the filing company's UM settlement.

A frequently asked question is whether proof of payment is required. The distinction between proof of payment and proof of damages is important. Proof of payment is a must **only** when a responder, through its answer, affirmatively challenges the existence of a subrogation claim. If not challenged, the presumption is the filer has made payment to its insured and a subrogation claim exists. Such challenges should be rare, and the challenge should be substantiated. A challenge should not simply be raised because the filer did not list proof of payment in its evidence listing. We don't want to require the submission of unnecessary documentation. If the filer's claim for subrogation is challenged, as part of its evidence, the filer must provide some form of proof that payment was made to its insured. For example, this could take the form of a copy of the check or draft issued to an insured in payment of the loss or a copy of the proof of loss executed by the insured on the claim.

While it is not a requirement to submit proof of payment to prove damages, we do recommend it be included in the evidence packet. Many arbitrators find it useful to verify if the filing company has listed its damage claim correctly (not including its deductible twice, deducted its salvage return). It is also of particular benefit when there are prior partial payments.

### Rule 4-2 Notice of Clerical or Jurisdictional Error

Pursuant to Article Third, the arbitrating companies must notify AF of a clerical or jurisdictional error via AF's Web site within 30 calendar days after the decision's publication date. The determination as to whether an actual error was made is at AF's sole discretion and is not subject to further review, appeal, or inquiry. AF may also find and correct clerical or jurisdictional errors without notice from the arbitrating companies within 30 calendar days after publication of the decision.

While the members have agreed to accept all decisions as final and binding regarding issues of fact or law, Rule 4-2 provides guidelines for correcting a clerical or jurisdictional error made by AF staff or an arbitrator(s) following a decision. AF will void or amend an arbitration decision under very limited circumstances. The involved parties may bring these errors to AF's attention via <u>AF's website</u> or AF can take corrective action on its own without notice from the parties if it recognizes an error has been made. Upon confirmation of the error, AF will provide notification to all parties and inform them of the action that will be taken. During this time frame, payment of the award should be held until the issue is resolved.

Clerical errors are mistakes made by AF staff or an arbitrator(s). Examples of AF staff error include not providing proper notice of the Materials Due Date or not assigning a requested three-person panel. Arbitrator clerical errors are limited to mathematical errors; switching the parties when recording the liability decision; referencing the lack of or need for evidence that was, in fact, submitted\*; applying, on his/her own, a state regulation or statute from a state other than the loss state; or misapplying an AF Rule or procedure.

\* The above does <u>not</u> include a decision where the arbitrator makes no comment about a specific evidence item and a party *perceives* the silence to infer the item was missed. Examples of referencing

the lack of or need for evidence that was, in fact, submitted include:

- Arbitrator states that an insured's statement was not submitted or "would have been helpful"; the insured's statement was submitted (and listed).

- Arbitrator states that an estimate did not include the supplemental damages; the estimate does include the supplemental damages.

If the arbitrator(s) confirm an error was made, they will be free to amend their decision, if necessary.

It is equally important to address what is NOT a correctible, clerical error on the part of the arbitrator. An example of what is NOT a correctible error is where an arbitrator determines that an evidence item does not support an allegation. For example, the arbitrator states that the insured's statement did not support the allegation that the adverse driver failed to yield the right of way. Evidence evaluation is always subject to arbitrator discretion.

Jurisdictional errors occur when an arbitrator fails to rule on an affirmative defense, asserts an affirmative defense not pled by a party, renders a decision on an issue not in dispute or over which arbitration lacks jurisdiction, or improperly dismisses a case for lack of jurisdiction where jurisdiction exists. For example:

- A party raises the affirmative defense that the statute of limitations expired and supports this defense with the appropriate evidence. The

arbitrator does not recognize or acknowledge this defense in the decision and rules solely on the issues of liability and/or damages. The party that raised the defense can assert that the arbitrator committed a jurisdictional error by not addressing the affirmative defense, or objection to jurisdiction, in his/her decision.

- A responding company does **not** raise the affirmative defense that the statute of limitations expired even though the filing was filed "late." The arbitrator notices the late filing date and closes the filing on his/her own. Since the affirmative defense was not asserted by a party, it is considered waived and the arbitrator's decision would be considered a jurisdictional error. AF would void the decision and return the file to the arbitrator for a decision on the disputed issues.

- A responding company contests liability only; damages are not contested. The arbitrator, upon his/her review of the evidence, feels that the damages paid by the filing company were excessive or not related to the accident/loss and reduces the award. This would be a correctable error since the arbitrator did not have jurisdiction over damages since they were not contested.

- A responding company asserts and supports a denial of coverage (per Rule 2-4), but the arbitrator denies the affirmative defense. This would be a

jurisdictional error (Article Second (d) of the Agreement) and AF would void the decision.

- A responding company asserts that it is not signatory to the forum in which a dispute is filed or that the matter was filed in the wrong forum, and the arbitrator upholds the affirmative defense/objection to jurisdiction. If it is determined that the responding company was, in fact, signatory to the applicable forum or that the matter was filed in the correct forum, AF will void the decision and reschedule the case for a new hearing.

Clerical or jurisdictional errors are the only issues that can be brought to AF's attention following a decision's publication. AF recognizes that a member might be dissatisfied with a decision or award as it is a strong advocate for its position; however, the member has agreed to accept all decisions as being final and binding with no right to rehearing or appeal (except where allowed in Property and Special Forum). This includes perceived errors of fact or law.

AF continuously surveys the membership to get their feedback on decision quality and uses this information to address any procedure or training issues. Any dissatisfaction with arbitration results should be communicated through this method.

#### Rule 4-3 Decision Publication

Decisions will be posted on the AF Web site after the case is heard. Electronic signature(s) of the arbitrator(s) will be used.

AF posts the decisions on its website promptly following the hearing by an arbitrator. A member who has filed or responded online via AF's website receives an immediate e-mail notification once a decision is posted. The representative can click on the link to the case that is embedded in the notice to see the decision and/or print a copy.

A member who has not filed or responded online (i.e., filed/responded via regular mail) may also view the decision online once it is posted.

Since the decisions are entered by the arbitrators online and posted to AF's website, electronic signatures are used. Every arbitrator has specific login criteria (user ID and password) that he/she uses to access AF's Decision Management page. He/she enters his/her credentials on every case he/she hears to authenticate himself/herself as the arbitrator for the case. This prompts his/her name to appear on the decision notification.

#### Rule 5-1 Award Payment

The parties shall honor all awards within thirty (30) calendar days of decision publication date. Payments made as a result of the award are to be made only to the filing company. Payments must include any deductible interest, if applicable, in the interest of good will between the companies.

In Special Arbitration, if the original settlement of the claim is legally voided, the arbitration finding is nullified and the award payments must be returned.

The benefits of intercompany arbitration are not truly realized until the award has been paid and a claim file closed. To ensure the arbitration process remains expedited, a time limit was established to pay an award.

A party with an award rendered against it must promptly process the payment of it. The only exceptions are where a party: raises a post-hearing coverage defense (Rule 3-9), asserts that a clerical or jurisdictional error was made (Rule 4-2), or files an appeal (Rule 2-12). Otherwise, the responding party must comply with the arbitrator's decision and award within 30 days of the publication date.

Rule 5-1 also provides direction on the payment of an arbitration award. Simply, award payments must be sent directly to the filing company. Intercompany arbitration resolves disputes between the signatory companies. These are the only parties at interest. As such, the award must be paid directly to the filing company and no other party (i.e., insured). The sole exception is when a third-party administrator (TPA) files arbitration on behalf of a signatory company. In these cases, the TPA should use the Remittance Information section of the online filing process to note whether the award payment should be payable to the third-party administrator or the signatory company, depending on their business arrangement, and the responding company should comply.

By complying with the TPAs request, the responding company will be held harmless by the TPA for any issue regarding payment of the signatory Filer's claim by the TPA.

In the interest of good will between the members, any payment that is made as a result of an arbitration decision must include any applicable deductible interest. The award will include the deductible interest in proportion to the liability assessment as a courtesy calculation for the members.

The last paragraph pertains to disputes resolved in Special Arbitration. Where the original settlement of the claim is legally voided via court action, the Special Arbitration decision is nullified and the award payment must be returned.



#### Rule 5-2 Unpaid Award Follow-up Process

When a party does not honor the award within thirty (30) calendar days after publication:

- (a) The prevailing company must immediately send a request for payment to the adverse company.
- (b) If the award remains unpaid thirty (30) calendar days after the request for payment, the prevailing company may request AF's assistance with the award payment.
- (c) AF will notify the non-paying company.
- (d) If the award remains unpaid for an additional thirty (30) calendar days, the prevailing company may seek legal recourse in pursuit of collection and is entitled to statutory interest and all legal fees and costs incurred in pursuing collection until the award is paid.

Rule 5-2 outlines the process a member can take when an award is not paid, including taking legal recourse to enforce payment.

Thirty days after publication of the award, the prevailing party is to send a request for payment to the delinquent company. For new Auto filings and TRS PIP and Medical Payments filings, the Unpaid Award Request option under Decision Actions sends an electronic notification to the delinquent party. For cases filed in AF's legacy Online Filing system, the prevailing party should keep a record of its collection efforts in the event a negative response or no response elevates the problem to the next step.

Thirty days after the initial request for payment, the prevailing party should solicit AF's involvement. For new Auto filings and TRS PIP and Medical Payments filings, there is an Unpaid Award Request (second request) that sends an electronic notification to the delinquent party. For cases filed in AF's legacy Online Filing system, AF will send a notification to the delinquent company.

Thirty days after this notification, the prevailing party may then sue the delinquent party to enforce the award. The member should pursue the award in the manner they deem best based on the most appropriate jurisdiction to enforce an award. Per the Rule, the prevailing party may request the court also award statutory interest aswell as any legal fees and costs incurred in pursuing collection of the award (i.e., attorney fees, court costs, etc.). The recovery of these interests, costs and expenses may only be done as part of the litigation to enforce the award. They may not be submitted for recovery through an arbitration filing.

In the event litigation is pursued, it is important that the prevailing party be able to document its compliance with the above steps and time frames, in the event it is challenged by the delinquent party.



### Rule 5-3 Supplemental Damages

A filing company can file for supplemental damages paid:

For new Auto filings and TRS PIP and Medical Payments filings, on or after the initial filing submit date. For new Auto filings, evidence submitted by the parties to support or dispute the supplemental damages will be viewable by the parties.

For Property and Special (workers compensation subrogation) filings, after the Materials Due Date of the original hearing or anytime thereafter.

In PIP and Medical Payments OLF filings, after the initial filing.

In all programs, the original liability decision is *res judicata*. The sole issue in these filings is the supplemental damages.

In Special Arbitration, if the original settlement of the claim is legally voided, the arbitration finding is nullified and the award payments must be returned.

Arbitration should not be filed until a claim is concluded. That said, AF realizes that supplemental damages may arise after an initial filing is submitted or heard.

When this occurs, the responding party is strongly encouraged to voluntarily reimburse the damages based on the initial liability decision. Arbitration may be filed, however, if the damages are disputed.

Rule 5-3 outlines when supplemental damages may be recovered to ensure the damages should not have been included in a prior filing. In all forums, the original liability decision is binding unto the parties. The sole issue for the arbitrator to consider in the new filing is the supplemental damages.

Last, for supplemental Auto filings, the evidence submitted by the parties will be viewable. This is intended to facilitate and expedite settlement of the claim since many times a supplemental demand is not sent.

#### Rule 6-1 Filing Fees

The filing company incurs a filing fee payable to AF. A responding company that files a counterclaim shall also pay the prescribed filing fee. In Special Arbitration and Uninsured Motorists Arbitration, all parties incur the prescribed filing fee.

Rule 6-1 specifies that the filing company must pay the filing fee as well as a responding company that files a counterclaim. In the Special and Uninsured Motorists Forum, all parties must pay the respective filing fee, which varies depending on the contribution sought amount and whether a three- person panel is requested. There are no exceptions to a party's obligation to pay the filing fee, even if a party files a case in error or withdraws its filing prior to hearing due to settlement of the claim. The Agreement's Article Fifth (d) grants AF the authority to establish fees on behalf of signatory companies. For most signatory companies, all filing fees are included in an invoice sent to each company (on a monthly billing cycle) for the total cases filed and/or deferred during the billing month.

The current fee schedule is available on AF's Web site (www.arbfile.org).



### Rule 6-2 Physical Evidence Return

AF will return physical evidence (i.e., defective parts, components, DVDs) if requested as an administrative request and a self-addressed, stamped envelope of sufficient size and postage is provided. All other material will be destroyed after the case is heard.

Although rare these days with technology advances, AF will return physical evidence that must be submitted for arbitrator consideration.

The submitting party must notify AF to return the physical evidence, and provide a self-addressed, stamped envelope of sufficient size with the correct postage.