



**PROGRAM ON THE GLOBAL
DEMOGRAPHY OF AGING**

Working Paper Series

Reproductive Health Laws Around the World

Jocelyn E. Finlay, David Canning, June Y. T. Po

October 2012

PGDA Working Paper No. 96

<http://www.hsph.harvard.edu/pgda/working.htm>

The views expressed in this paper are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the Harvard Initiative for Global Health. The Program on the Global Demography of Aging receives funding from the National Institute on Aging, Grant No. 1 P30 AG024409-06.

Title

Reproductive Health Laws Around the World ⁱⁱⁱ

Author, Degrees and Affiliation

Jocelyn E. Finlay, PhD, Harvard Center for Population and Development Studies, USA

David Canning, PhD, Department of Global Health and Population, Harvard School of Public Health, Harvard Center for Population and Development Studies, USA

June Y. T. Po, MSc, Department of Global Health and Population, Harvard School of Public Health, Harvard Center for Population and Development Studies, USA

Corresponding Author

Jocelyn E. Finlay, Research Scientist, Harvard Center for Population and Development Studies, 9 Bow St, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA. Tel: 617-372-735; Email: jfinlay@hsph.harvard.edu

Running head

Reproductive Health Laws

ABSTRACT

We develop an index of reproductive health laws around the world. Laws regarding abortion, contraceptive pill, condom, intrauterine device, and sterilization are detailed for 186 countries from 1960 through to 2009. Using qualitative information dating from the 1960s, we code information on reproductive health laws around the world into panel data. In this paper we summarize the indexation of the laws, detailing the sources and methodologies we used to create the index. We show changes in the laws over time, and compare laws across countries. In addition, we demonstrate the potential use of the panel data by exploring the differential liberalization of reproductive health laws across country-level socioeconomic factors. We show that countries with more liberal abortion laws associated with higher income per capita, higher levels of female education, and lower fertility rates.

INTRODUCTION

During the 1960s and 1970s there were extensive efforts to catalogue the legal standing of abortion, contraceptive pill, condom, intrauterine device (IUD), and sterilization around the world. With the explosion of population growth rates and the emergence of modern contraceptive technologies, the legality of abortion and contraceptive methods became an important item on the reproductive health agenda. Studies of reproductive health laws across countries and over time were of high interest throughout the 1970s [1,2,3,4]. Population policies and the agendas of the Population Council and International Planned Parenthood Federation relied on understanding the laws surrounding contraception to enable implementation of their various programs.

However, with the emergence of HIV/AIDS in the 1980s, HIV/AIDS related research took precedent in the new reproductive health agenda and placed critical examination of laws surrounding abortion and contraception by the way-side.

In this study, we revisit the cataloguing of the reproductive health laws around the world. Reproductive health laws vary widely around the world [5]. Over the past 50 years, some countries, for example Argentina and Ghana, have changed considerably in their reproductive health laws. In other countries such as Bangladesh, Ireland, Kenya and Senegal, their abortion-related laws have remained unchanged. Some former colonies remain conservative in their laws while the colonial power liberalized laws. For example, Senegal remained strict in its abortion laws after gaining independence from France in 1960, allowing it only on the grounds of saving a mother's life, whereas France legalized abortion upon request in 1975. Religious majority has some influence over the laws, such as in Egypt, where 82% of population reported being Muslim, but not always as one might expect, as it has over 75% liberalization in its pill and condom-related laws and 14% liberalization in its abortion laws.

The database of reproductive health laws presented in this study is based on a range of qualitative and quantitative sources to construct a global panel of indicators detailing the laws on abortion, contraceptive pill, condom, IUD, and sterilization from 1960 to 2009. This database can contribute to our understanding of the role legal changes had on fertility changes since 1960.

Understanding the legal environment on women's rights to reproductive health after the International Conference on Population Development in Cairo 1994, when many countries brought forward new population and family planning policies may explain different policy implementation across countries at the time.

Furthermore, understanding the role of legal changes play in access to reproductive health services is an integral step in helping women and couples achieve their desired family size and control their timing and spacing of births. Moreover, enabling safe, effective and legal access to contraception and abortion will help reduce the number of unintended pregnancies and rate of maternal mortality and morbidity from unsafe abortions.

In the reproductive health and demography literature much has been written on the effects of reproductive health policy on fertility outcomes. [6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15]. Fierce debate surrounded the magnitude of the contribution [7,16,17,18] reproductive health policy, access and use have in shaping fertility decline. Pritchett argued that family planning programs on fertility is largely ineffective, whereas Bongaarts submitted that because programs tend to be strongest in countries with low wanted fertility, the net effect is offsetting and unwanted fertility did not decrease on average[7].

A recent example comparable to our efforts to index the reproductive health laws around world, is the work by Ross and Stover [19,20] who have constructed a Family Planning Effort Score. To create this score, the authors interview key informants in 82 developing countries using a 125-item questionnaire. The questions fall into four main categories: policy and stage setting activities; service and service related activities; evaluation and record keeping; and methods of

accessibility and availability. We view our approach as complementary to Ross and Stover's [19], and each provides unique and valuable information for population health policy makers.

Our approach is to rely on written text and documented information rather than key informants as Ross and Stover [19] did. We also restrict our index to itemize the reproductive health laws rather than the broad array of categories condensed into the Family Planning Effort Scores. We built a panel dating from 1960 to 2009, rather than the snapshots at intermittent years from 1972 through to the present, repeating their survey over the years 1972, 1982, 1989, 1994, 1999 with the most recent survey in 2004 [20].

In this paper we detail the methods we use to compile the reproductive health law index, and discuss the sources for the data. We explain how we construct the index of reproductive health laws using these data sources. We then provide some analysis of the index showing how the laws vary across country, according to religion, geographic region and colonial origin, and within countries, according to mean years of schooling, income per capita, and fertility rates. We then provide some country specific examples of how the legal changes came about and the subsequent observations on fertility. We aim to fill part of the gap by cataloguing the actual laws on such a scale across time and countries, and across methods, which has remained neglected since the 1980s.

METHODS

Data Sources

To chart the changes in reproductive health laws, we restrict our sources to what we consider seminal catalogues of reproductive health laws around the world. Data for the abortion law index is generated from information summarized by the United Nations Population Division (2002).

The data for the contraceptive laws is based on the Law and Population Monograph Series 8 and 17 prepared by Stepan and Kellogg [3] and subsequent publications from Tufts University

Fletcher School of Law and Diplomacy's Law and Population Program [3] and the Annual Review of Population Law [1]. Data for the voluntary sterilization laws comes from publications by Stepan and Kellogg [1], Ross, Hong and Huber [5] and Boland [21].

Abortion Policies: A Global Review (2002)

United Nations Population Division released a report in 2002 on *Abortion Policies: A Global Review*[22], which presented country profiles of national laws and policies concerning induced abortion and the context within which abortion took place at the end of the 20th century. The profiles included developed and developing countries as of December 31, 1999. The report based its source on the Population Policy Data Bank maintained by the Population Division. The country profiles catalogued abortion policies on seven grounds on which abortion was permitted. These included: "To save the life of the woman", "To preserve physical health", "To preserve mental health", "Rape or incest", "Fetal impairment", "Economic or social reasons" and "Available on request". It noted additional requirements such as report of psychological and social problems by the woman, counseling before abortion is performed, and whether abortion must be performed by a physician in a public or private health institution. More importantly, the profile provided a descriptive summary of the history of abortion policy development from 1960 to the present. For example, in 1989 the Albanian government expanded the grounds for legal abortion to include cases of rape or incest and in 1991, up to 30 medical indications. With such information, we were able to quantitatively input the exact years of legal changes in the panel data set. The laws are assumed to remain the same in subsequent years until further information indicates otherwise.

Law and Population Monograph Series 8 and 17 (1974)

Another major source of information was the Law and Population Monograph Series 8 and 17 prepared by Stepan and Kellogg[3]. The series were a thorough and systematic compilation of laws on voluntary sterilization and contraception from legal texts of more than 60 countries. It

provided country specific examples of jurisdictions which specifically authorize or prohibit voluntary sterilization, with non-restrictive or restrictive character from civil law countries, common law countries, and socialist states of Eastern Europe. Second part of the monograph tabulated details within each country under four main categories: “no provisions on voluntary sterilization (therefore permitted)”, “specific provisions”, “criminal law applicable to voluntary sterilization” such as citation to penal codes, “Moslem religious law”.

The 17th monograph dealt with laws on contraceptive methods specifically on contraceptive pill, condom, and IUD. In the country profiles, it provided qualitative descriptions on “imports and manufacture”, on “condoms”, on “pills”, on “IUD”, and on “commercial advertising”, “publicity for family planning”, “government policy”, and “miscellaneous”. It provided exact years where laws were enacted or repealed regarding manufacture, importation and sale of contraceptives and regarding insertion of IUD by medical professional, which are captured in the panel data.

The following sources based most of their information and structure on Stepan and Kellogg’s work and expanded it to more countries and later years.

Survey of Contraceptive Laws: Country Profiles (1976)

The UNFPA Survey of Contraceptive Laws: Country Profiles, checklists and summaries [23] was published after widespread interest at the 1975 meeting of International Contraceptive Study Project. The data include information up to March 1976. The report covered contraceptive laws of 140 countries, expanded from 60 countries in the monographs by Stepan and Kellogg. It also contained a similar tabulation as Stepan and Kellogg on the level of restrictions under each category.

The Legal Handbook on Contraception, Voluntary Sterilization and Abortion (1976)

Another source built from Stepan and Kellogg monographs was The Legal Handbook on Contraception, Voluntary Sterilization and Abortion [23], prepared by the Law and Population Programme at the Fletcher School of Law and Diplomacy, Tufts University. The material in the

Handbook provided cross-sectional data up to November 1975. It provided information on laws on contraception, voluntary sterilization and abortion of 135 countries.

Under contraception, it describes qualitatively: government policies that provide favorable or unfavorable conditions such as sponsoring or forbidding family planning programs; importation of contraceptives for the purpose of contraception or as prophylactics; manufacturing of contraceptives; sale of condoms; sale of contraceptive pills, which had information on location of sales such as at vending machines or at registered pharmacies and other requirements such as prescription; information on IUD; contraceptive advertisement; transportation of contraceptives. Under voluntary sterilization, it provided information where available, on specific legislation or regulations and applicable criminal law from penal code.

Under abortion, it provided legality under the penal code. If legal, then there was description of abortion permitted under following conditions: medical, eugenic, humanitarian, socioeconomic and elective. In addition, descriptions of terms of imprisonment or fines were provided when penal code was violated.

The second part of the Handbook contains a checklist that provides, in detail, the status of legality on the categories mentioned above as “prohibited”, “restricted” or “no restriction”, with additional categories such as “authorization required”, “location of sale”, “prescription required” where appropriate. The cross-sectional data from the Handbook provided a start date in the panel dataset where these categories were legally permitted for abortion, contraceptive pills, condom, IUD, sterilization. The policy is assumed to remain in the same legal status in subsequent years in the panel dataset until further information available indicated otherwise.

Voluntary Sterilization: An International Fact Book (1985)

Ross, Hong and Huber assembled the *Voluntary Sterilization: An International Fact Book* in 1985[24] with the purpose of encouraging governments and non-governmental organizations to include or extend quality voluntary sterilization services in their health programs. In its chapter

on Laws and Regulations, the handbook described the principal bodies of law as Islamic Law, the English Criminal Law, the Indian Penal Code of 1860, and the French Penal Code. The factbook contained a table of legal status of voluntary sterilization for 37 selected countries with the years of known important changes given. It had detailed information of requirements for sterilization such as consent by someone other than acceptor, minimum age for women, minimum number and sex of children, among other specifications.

Annual Review of Population Law (1974 -)

Lastly, a major source for the panel data was the Annual Review of Population Law edited by Reed Boland and produced jointly by the Harvard Law School, the United Nations Population Fund, and more recently, under the auspice of the Harvard School of Public Health. In addition, Boland wrote summaries on sterilization laws in a chapter of *Contraceptive Sterilization: Global Issues and Trends* in 2002 updating the Stepan publication on sterilization. They contained summaries and excerpts of legislation, constitutions, court decisions and other official government documents from every country in the world on population policies, reproductive rights, reproductive health and other related topics. The period covered by the database is from 1974 to present. The review captures the laws and conditions on abortion, with the dates which the laws were enacted, in its official languages or in English if available. It lists by country, a subject line describing the content of the law and summary of the content of the law, for example, “information to public on contraception”, “A law passed 7 May 1975 reads: the Minister of the Interior shall ensure that the public receives information on contraceptive methods” and reference “Law No. 177 of 7 May 1975 (Lovitdende A, p. 575), Section (11), Subd. (4), reported in 27 IDHL, No.1 (1976), p.111.” Each report describes a provision or law enacted at a specific date, providing detailed descriptions and references of changes..

Data Code Description

In Table 1 we summarize how the laws were coded. Not all categories of the reproductive health laws can be defined as illegal/legal and shades of legality are taken into account and explained below in detail. We construct a country-year panel for 186 countries from 1960 to 2009.

Abortion Laws

To construct the abortion law index we classify seven legal grounds for legal abortion based on UNDP's system: "to save the life of the woman"; "to preserve her physical health"; "to preserve her mental health"; "consequent on rape or incest"; "fetal impairment"; "economic or social reasons"; and "available on request". When an abortion is legal upon request, we assume it is legal for the other reasons if this is not explicitly stated.

These broad categories are not comprehensive descriptions of abortion law. Often legal grounds for abortions depend on the duration of gestation. In some countries more than one doctor's adjudication or a husband's consent is required. In federal systems, abortion laws sometimes differ across regions within a country. In this case the law that covers the majority of the population, if one exists, is used to classify at the national level.

In many countries there is a divergence between law and practice, with abortions being widely available despite being technically illegal, or vice versa. We code according to the law in place rather than its enforcement or the practical availability of abortion.

Contraceptive Pill, Condom, and IUD Laws

Legal data on contraceptive pill is sourced from the Law and Population Monograph Series [3] published by the Fletcher School of Law and Diplomacy at Tufts University.

One of the key distinctions made in the legal data is the availability of the pill as a contraceptive versus a prophylactic. Thus information from other sources may indicate that contraception is available, it may be that it is just available as a prophylactic and not as a contraceptive. Similar to

our abortion index, we take information from the laws *de jure*, rather than considering actual access and use, and thus there may be disparities between use and the law.

To identify variation in the laws across time and across countries, different categories of legality for the pill, were applied: sale purpose, sale location, prescription requirement, subsidy, commercial advertising. This is summarized in Table 1 with the ordered values increasing to reflect increasing liberalization.

Voluntary Sterilization

Information regarding the voluntary sterilization laws are taken from two principal sources [21,24]. The coding is multinomial across four ordered categories (Table 1) In many developing countries, the legal status of voluntary sterilization is unclear. In this case, we consider that sterilization will be available, since it is not illegal, but it will not be promoted and thus ranks lower than the case when it is legal for contraceptive purposes.

In Table 2 we summarize the indexed laws showing the values in 1960 and 2000. The entire panel is annual from 1960 to 2009 available on request. But we only show the two years here for illustrative purposes (and a ten year panel printed in the appendix).

Figure 1 summarizes the existing database. It shows the global average trend of the percentage of the maximum possible liberalization from 1960 to 2009.

Reproductive Health Laws Across Socioeconomic Factors

To demonstrate the applications of the reproductive health law database, we added socioeconomic factors from various sources to explore the associations and trends of reproductive health laws by income per capita, fertility rate, education, religion, colonial origin and region.

Income per capita data is sourced from the Penn World tables version 7.0 [19] using the natural log of trade adjusted income per capita in 2005 International Dollar as a continuous variable.

Fertility rates are taken from World Development Indicators [25], where average fertility rate of each country by year is available as a continuous variable.

The data on mean years of schooling is taken from the Vienna Institute of Demography (VID) and the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA) World Population Program [26]. The data is available by country every five years. We interpolated the educational variables, constructing average data points within the range of the five year data.

The Quality of Government (QoG) Dataset hosted by the University of Gothenburg [27] provides data on religion[28,29,30].The QoG time-series data version provided four continuous variables on the percentage of population in 1980 that identified as “Catholic”, “Muslim”, “Protestant” and “Other religions”.

Colonial origin of countries, also from the QoG Dataset [27,31], were categorized into “Britain”, “France”, “Spain”, “USSR”, “Others” and “Never colonized by a western oversea or USSR”.

Countries that were coded with “USSR” as colonial origin in actuality were countries under Soviet occupation, which included Commonwealth of Independent States, Soviet satellite states, countries annexed to Soviet Union and countries occupied by the Red Army, including Romania and North Korea. Countries in the “Others” category are countries with more than one colonizers in history. There are countries that were partially ruled by multiple colonizers at the same time, such as Cameroon governed by France and United Kingdom from 1919 to 1960-1961 or sequentially, such as the Philippines under Spanish rule from 1565 to 1898 and briefly under American rule. There are countries that gained independence or reunited from separate states between 1960 to present, that have data only since their independence. Data from the years prior to independence are taken from data of the colonizing countries such that a complete panel data is created. For example, Slovenia was found in 1991. Data prior to 1991 was taken from 1960-1991 Yugoslavia data. Similarly, this treatment is repeated for other Yugoslavia predecessor

countries, USSR predecessor countries before 1991, Ethiopia before 1993 and Germany 1960-1990.

Regions are categorized as “Africa”, “East Asia/Pacific”, “Latin America/Caribbean”, “OECD-Europe” and “Middle East/North Africa”

These socioeconomic variables were combined with the reproductive health laws data by country and by year.

Statistical Analysis

The associations between the liberalization of reproductive health laws and socioeconomic factors were analyzed using ordinary least square regressions with indicator variables created for colonial origin, and geographical region. Where continuous variables were available, we included a one year lag in the regression models. We further accounted for year fixed effects and country fixed effects where appropriate. Figures of trends by reproductive health laws were created by pooling all countries, taking the mean liberalization score by socioeconomic factors of interest and plotting it by year on the x-axis and percent of maximum liberalization score on the y-axis.

RESULTS

Applications of the Reproductive Health Laws Database

We explored the average global trends from 1960 to 2009 with percent liberalization of laws on abortion and four contraceptive methods: contraceptive pill, condom, IUD, voluntary sterilization, separately by income per capita, total fertility rate, education, religion, colonial origin and region. In Figure 1, we see that abortion and contraceptive-related laws were liberalizing on average over time. In Figure 2 we see that High Income countries liberalized abortion laws at a faster rate than countries in lower income groups. This is not the case for Pill, Condom, IUD and Sterilization, however, where each income group tends to liberalize over time relatively equally. Education seems to have little effect on differing trends over time and the association stems from existing patterns in the 1970s. USSR countries had very liberal abortion laws.

In Tables 3,4 we show the association between the reproductive health law index and income per capita, total fertility rate, education, religion, colonial origin and region. We find that one unit increase in the log of income per capita of a previous year is associated with 14.4 % increase in the abortion law liberalization index. This percentage increase is attenuated after accounting for the general increasing trend in our year fixed effects to 13.7% but loses its association after including year and country fixed effects. This implies that the relationship between GDP per capita and the abortion law is positive and significant across countries, but not within countries. Thus countries that increase their income per capita over the sample period (1960-2009) do not see a corresponding liberalization of their abortion laws. However, if we compare poor countries with rich countries, we find that rich countries tend to have more liberal abortion laws.

This cross country correlation between income per capita and reproductive health laws also holds for IUD and sterilization to a lesser magnitude: For both IUD and sterilization, richer countries

have more liberal laws (see columns (11) and (14) of Table 3). In the case of the pill (column (5)) and condom (column (8)) there is a small, but significant, negative association between income per capita and laws for these contraceptive methods. This implies that poorer countries have more liberal laws, which may be a reflection of family planning campaigns in poor countries that focus on these methods of contraception.

In Table 3, we show the within, and across, country relationship between fertility and the degree of liberalization of the reproductive health laws. More liberal pill (column (6)), condom (column (9)), and sterilization (column (15)) laws are associated with lower fertility within countries-- so within a country, as laws liberalize fertility declines. For abortion laws, we see that countries that have more liberal laws have lower fertility (column (2)), but there is no significant association within country relationship between fertility and abortion laws liberalization. For condom (column (8)), IUD (column (11)) and sterilization (column (14)), we see a small cross-country negative relationship between the liberalization of these laws and fertility.

We also examine the relationship between a country's average level of education attainment and the degree of liberalization of the laws (Table 3). Countries with higher mean years of schooling have more liberal abortion laws (column 2). We also see a small positive association between education and IUD (column (11)) and sterilization (column (14)) across countries. There is no significant within-country association between abortion laws and education (column (3)), nor with pill laws and education (column (6)).

There is much discussion about reproductive health laws along religious lines. Thus, in Table 4 we present the association between a country's religion composition and the degree of liberalization of the laws. The four religion variables are the percent of population identifying as Catholics, Muslims, Protestants or belong to Other religions within a country, and is thus a continuous variable. For example, Ghana in 1980 had 18.7% of the population reporting themselves as Catholics, 15.7% as Muslims, 25.8% as Protestants, and 39.8% as other religions.

The reference category is other religions. A percent increase in Catholic or Muslim population in countries is associated with slightly stricter abortion laws on average, but the magnitude of the effect is very small (column (2)). It should be noted that while the sign of the coefficient indicates slight decrease in liberalization with increase in Catholic and Muslim population within countries, the magnitude of the difference very small.

In Table 4 we consider the variation in laws by colonial origin. Many countries that gained independence in the 1960s maintained the laws of Britain or France at that time. Since the time of independence, British and French laws have liberalized significantly, but former colonies have more often than not maintained the laws of the 1960s, or liberalized to a lesser extent. Thus the starting point matters significantly for what countries experience today. Of note, those countries that have British colonial origin have more liberal laws than those under French influence. The abortion law for example (column (2)) is on average 46% of the maximum possible degree of liberalization in countries with British colonial origin, whereas it is only 27% of the maximum possible degree of liberalization in countries with French colonial origin. The disparity is consistent across pill, condom, IUD and sterilization laws.

In Table 4 we show differences in the laws by region. African and Latin American countries (column (2)) have relatively strict laws, whereas CIS/Central Asia have very liberal abortion laws. The CIS have relatively strict contraceptive pill laws, legacy of their 1976-1982 ban on oral contraceptives.

Country Case Studies

Bolivia

Long noted for its lack of public support for utilization of contraception and pronatalist population policy, Bolivia has historically experienced constraints in the availability and quality of reproductive and sexual health services [32]. In 1960, the country's TFR was at 6.7 children.

By 1985 it had decreased to 5.13 and by 2008 to 3.46[25]. Despite the traditional view of population growth as being essential to national strength, there was frequent policy liberalization in the early seventies. In 1973 abortion became legal in Bolivia to save the woman's life, to preserve her health and in the event of rape or incest [22].

Due to alarmingly high maternal and infant mortality [33], in 1974 the Government of Bolivia began a national program of free family planning services at fifteen public care clinics.

PROFAM, Bolivia's first nationwide family planning organization was formed in 1974 as well [34]. The policy was soon to be changed, however, when census population figures in 1976 indicated the population was million short of projections. This shortfall in population numbers precipitated a government decree in 1977 which prohibited the provision of family planning services in public institutions.

The reason for the 1974 change came back to haunt Bolivia and by 1982 the Government were so concerned about the levels of unsafe abortion and maternal mortality that the decree was reversed [22]. The new regulations permitted the dissemination of family planning information as part of a post-partum program, and in 1986 the government issued the Integral Women's Health Program to provide services and information to high risk women as well [22]. Though many of the country's physicians are Roman Catholic there is evidence that doctors are not opposed to using voluntary sterilization as a form of fertility regulation. This may reflect the increasing acceptance of contraceptive methods in the country [35].

Bolivia's indigenous population has traditionally experienced higher rates of fertility than the non-indigenous which has been found to be almost entirely the result of unwanted fertility rather than a desire for larger family size. Though the definition 'Indigenous' varies, approximately half the population of Bolivia qualifies such as those identifying as 'Quechua', 'Aymara', or 'Guarani'. The indigenous population's social marginalization, lower educational attainment and economic conditions seem to make practicing contraception to limit fertility a challenge [36].

With its maternal and child health program strategy, articulated in the 1989 National Plan for Child Survival and Development and Maternal Health, the government promoted services for reproductive health at that time. The Bolivian government's pronatalist stance continued to weaken through 1990 with the establishment of the National Reproductive Health Programme. The intention was to make reproductive health services available to all which were advertised widely in the mid-nineties [37]. However, maternal mortality remains high in the country, at 236 deaths per 100,000 live births according to the 2008 Demographic and Health Survey [38].

Eastern and Central European Countries

Abortion is the primary method of limiting and spacing births in the 27 countries of the region of East-Central Europe and the former Soviet Union [39]. There is a long history of abortion and reproductive health policy liberalization in the region. The Soviet Decree of 27 June 1936 prohibited the performance of abortions except in cases of danger to life, physical and mental health, or the existence of a serious inheritable disease for the newborn [22]. In 23 November 1955, the Government of the former Soviet Union repealed the general prohibition and specified that abortion could be performed freely during the first twelve weeks of pregnancy; beyond twelve weeks gestation, abortion can be requested if continuation of the pregnancy and the birth would harm the mother [22].

In 1974, the Soviet government even effectively banned the widespread use of oral contraceptives in part due to a pronatalist approach to childbearing, which Albania, Bulgaria and Romania adopted later as well [41]. Restricted access to contraceptives resulted in a reliance on abortion as the primary method of family planning. Subsequently, there was a dramatic increase in rates of unsafe abortions occurring beyond the twelve weeks of pregnancy. Addressing the concerns of increased morbidity from unsafe abortions, the Soviet government in 1982 issued a Decree allowing abortions for health reasons to be performed from twelve weeks through to the twenty-eight week of pregnancy [22]. In the same direction, the government expanded the

grounds under which legal abortions were available to a broad range of non-medical indications for abortions to be performed on request through the twenty-eighth week of pregnancy. These included the death of the husband during pregnancy, imprisonment of the pregnant woman or husband, deprivation of maternity rights, multiparity.

Overall, poor quality and sporadic supplies of condoms and IUDs, limited options of oral contraceptives and legal restriction of contraceptive sterilization, led to low prevalence in contraceptive use [42]. IUDs were only available in urban areas and was never used by more than 10% of women. Lack of availability, high cost and requirement for insertion assistance put IUDs beyond reach of most women. For example, in Russia, a package of spermicide or oral contraceptive pills could cost two-third the minimum monthly salary [5]. There were two condom factories in Russia, which reportedly ceased production in 1992 because they could no longer afford to import latex. The only factory manufacturing IUDs was closed down because of complaints concerning the quality of its products [22].

Most Eastern European countries that were not part of the former Soviet Union had fundamental changes to their laws governing abortion between 1980-1997. In 1987, Czech and Slovak republics liberalized the abortion policy to available on request without reason. Similarly, Hungary liberalized the abortion law in 1993 to available on request. In 1990 and 1991, Albania, Bulgaria and Romania abandoned strong pronatalist policies, coinciding with the dissolution of Soviet Union. On the contrary, Poland significantly tightened abortion policy after the dissolution of Soviet Union. Strong influence of the Catholic Church led to strict regulations in 1993, which allowed abortion only in limited circumstances [41]. In the 1990s after the dissolution of the Soviet Union, the combination of declining population and low birth rates and high maternal mortality rates fueled pronatalistic policies to reemerge. Croatia, Poland, Russia, Hungary and the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia had nationalist political parties which fostered restrictive reproductive health policies [39].

Besides low access to contraceptives, other factors included reliance upon traditional methods that has a high failure rate. Countries such as Armenia, Azerbaijan and Romania with the greatest reliance on traditional methods were those with highest abortion rates as well, while those such as Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan predominantly used modern methods showed lower abortion rates [40]. From 1992 to 1997, Moldova increased its prevalence of modern contraception by 41% while abortion rate decreased by 50%. On the other hand, Turkmenistan's increase in abortion rates coincided with an increase in modern contraceptive prevalence.

Ghana

Between 1960 and 2008, Ghana experienced two major reforms in their reproductive health laws. Prior to 1985, laws associated with abortion, pill, condom, IUD and sterilization were relatively strict [2,22]. Abortion was illegal, even in the case when the pregnancy was life threatening to the mother. The pill, requiring a prescription, and condom were available at pharmacies for contraceptive purposes.. There was no subsidies and advertising was strictly prohibited. IUD can only be installed by a physician. There were no laws associated with sterilization.

In 1985, the Criminal Code was amended and abortion was legalized for the following reasons[22]: to save the life of the mother, the physical health of the mother, mental health of the mother, fetal impairment, or rape. Abortion was not available on the grounds of economic hardship nor on request. In the same year, sterilization became legal[3,21,24]. There have been no further changes to the abortion or sterilization laws since these changes in 1985.

In 1994 the National Population Policy was released, and this had legal implications for the pill and condom – both could now be legally advertised, albeit with restrictions. The policy included changes that permitted “the provision of information to allow couples to space or limit their reproduction;” and “sex and family planning education”. A social marketing campaign called Life Choices was also launched with the support of the government to promote various family

planning options [4]. Since 1994 there have been no further changes to the reproductive health laws in Ghana [1].

Subsequent to the liberalization of the abortion law in 1985, fertility declined steeply and discontinuously compared with prior trends and continued to decline subsequent to 1994. In 1985, Ghana as well as most of sub-Saharan Africa experienced a severe drought. Food supplies were short, as surrounding countries were also equally affected. The suffering of families not being able to feed their large families may have brought to bear on the decision of General Rollings to liberalize the abortion law. It is unclear if the liberalization of the abortion law was a reaction to the drought for it was not accompanied by any advertising campaign of the change, and to this day very few women know of the legal status of abortion (Ghana Maternal Health Survey 2007).

Following the change in the law, we see that fertility rates steadily decline through to the present instead of exhibiting an abrupt decline similar to 1985. The change in the law did not cause a abrupt drop in the fertility rate but rather we see a gradual change. This may be a cohort effect we see in place. Women make decision over their desired family size at the start of their fertility lives. Shocks that come along during their fertile lives do not change their desired family size. The change in abortion laws as a shock affects the choices of new cohorts entering their fertile lives. Thus we see a gradual change as an increasing number of cohorts enter under the new regime.

Summary

The reproductive health law panel dataset provides a systematic approach to understand the effect of legal changes on population policies, family planning programs, abortion and contraceptive availability and access across countries and across time. It is based on a broad selection of academic, policy, and contemporary resources. As we alluded to in the country case studies from Latin America, Africa and Central and Eastern Europe, it creates a framework for

incorporating rich historical context. We demonstrated associations and trends observed across countries and across time using the aggregate liberalization scores for abortion laws, which would have been difficult to compare without the standardized, systematic collation of the laws from each country and year. Furthermore, the panel dataset prepares the stage for investigating policy implications around reproductive health laws, fertility rates and population dynamics such as their effects on female labor force participation. From a policy perspective, it is important to understand the current laws to make effective policies for women and couples.

Acknowledgements

This work is funded by the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation. We thank Laura Khan for her invaluable research assistance on this project.

Table 1: Summary of Reproductive Health Law Indexation

		Index Value			
		0	1	2	3
Abortion					
	Life threatening	illegal	legal		
	Physical Health	illegal	legal		
	Mental health	illegal	legal		
	Rape	illegal	legal		
	Fetal impairment	illegal	legal		
	Economic	illegal	legal		
	Request	illegal	legal		
Contraceptive Pill					
	Sale purpose	illegal	non-contraceptive	contraceptive	
	Sale location	illegal	pharmacy	shop	
	Prescription requirement	illegal	prescription required	prescription not required	
	Subsidy	illegal	commercially available	subsidized	free
	Commercial advertising	illegal	legal via RH education programs, or advertising only to doctors and pharmacies	legal with restrictions	legal without restrictions
Condom					
	Sale purpose	illegal	non-contraceptive	contraceptive	
	Subsidy	illegal	commercially available	subsidized	free
	Commercial advertising	illegal	legal via RH education programs, or advertising only to doctors and pharmacies	legal with restrictions	legal without restrictions
IUD					
	Legal	illegal	legal		
	Doctor installs	illegal	doctor only inserts	doctor or other inserts	
Sterilization					
	Legal	illegal	permitted for therapeutic, eugenic, medical or health reasons only	legal status unclear	permitted for contraceptive purposes

Table 2: Summary of Indexation of Reproductive Health Laws. 1960 and 2000.
Percent of maximum possible liberalization. (Annual data set available to reviewers on request)

	Fraction of Complete Liberalization									
	1960					2000				
	Abortion Law	Contraceptive Pill Law	Condom Law	IUD Law	Sterilization Law	Abortion Law	Contraceptive Pill Law	Condom Law	IUD Law	Sterilization Law
Afghanistan					66.67	14.29	83.33	75.00	100.00	66.67
Albania	28.57				66.67	100.00		50.00	100.00	66.67
Algeria	28.57				66.67	42.86	66.67	75.00	100.00	33.33
Andorra						14.29				100.00
Angola	14.29					14.29	83.33	75.00	100.00	66.67
Antigua and Barbuda	14.29	58.33	62.50	100.00		14.29	58.33	62.50	100.00	
Argentina	0.00	41.67	62.50	66.67	66.67	57.14	66.67	75.00	66.67	66.67
Armenia	100.00	75.00	75.00	66.67	66.67	100.00	41.67	37.50	100.00	66.67
Australia	28.57	41.67	37.50	66.67	66.67	100.00	50.00	50.00	66.67	100.00
Austria	0.00	58.33	50.00	0.00	66.67	100.00	66.67	62.50	66.67	100.00
Azerbaijan	100.00	75.00	75.00	66.67	66.67	100.00	41.67	37.50	100.00	66.67
Bahamas, The	42.86	58.33	37.50	0.00	66.67	42.86	83.33	75.00	100.00	100.00
Bahrain	14.29	41.67	37.50	100.00	66.67	100.00	50.00	50.00	100.00	33.33
Bangladesh	14.29	58.33	62.50	100.00	66.67	14.29	66.67	75.00	100.00	100.00
Barbados	42.86	50.00	50.00	100.00	66.67	85.71	58.33	62.50	100.00	100.00
Belarus	100.00	75.00	75.00	66.67	66.67	100.00	41.67	37.50	100.00	66.67
Belgium	14.29	41.67	37.50	66.67	33.33	100.00	66.67	62.50	66.67	33.33
Belize	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	71.43	58.33	62.50	100.00	100.00
Benin	14.29	58.33	25.00	66.67	66.67	14.29	58.33	37.50	66.67	66.67
Bolivia	0.00	50.00	37.50	66.67	66.67	57.14	66.67	75.00	66.67	66.67
Bosnia and Herzegovina	100.00					100.00	41.67	37.50	66.67	
Botswana	14.29	66.67	75.00	100.00	66.67	71.43	66.67	75.00	100.00	100.00
Brazil	28.57	33.33	25.00	0.00	66.67	28.57	50.00	50.00	66.67	100.00
Brunei	14.29	33.33	25.00	0.00	66.67	14.29	33.33	25.00	0.00	66.67
Bulgaria	100.00	66.67	75.00	100.00	66.67	100.00	66.67	75.00	100.00	100.00
Burkina Faso	14.29	33.33	25.00	0.00	66.67	71.43	66.67	75.00	0.00	66.67
Burundi	14.29	41.67	37.50	0.00	66.67	42.86	50.00	50.00	0.00	66.67
Cambodia	100.00					100.00				
Cameroon	57.14	33.33	25.00	0.00	66.67	57.14	50.00	50.00	0.00	66.67
Canada	14.29	50.00	62.50	100.00	66.67	100.00	66.67	87.50	66.67	100.00
Cape Verde	85.71	58.33	37.50	0.00	66.67	100.00	83.33	75.00	100.00	66.67
Central African Republic		33.33	25.00	0.00	33.33	14.29	33.33	25.00	0.00	66.67
Chad	14.29	33.33	25.00	0.00	33.33	14.29	41.67	37.50	100.00	66.67
Chile	14.29	50.00	50.00	100.00	66.67	0.00	58.33	50.00	100.00	100.00
China	100.00	75.00	62.50	100.00	66.67	100.00	91.67	87.50	100.00	100.00
Colombia	14.29	41.67	62.50	66.67	66.67	14.29	50.00	50.00	66.67	100.00
Comoros	0.00	83.33	75.00	100.00	100.00	42.86	83.33	75.00	100.00	100.00
Congo, Rep.	14.29	33.33	25.00	0.00		14.29	41.67	37.50	0.00	
Costa Rica	0.00	58.33	62.50	66.67	66.67	42.86	75.00	87.50	66.67	100.00
Cote d'Ivoire	0.00	41.67	25.00	0.00	66.67	14.29	58.33	75.00	66.67	100.00
Croatia	100.00	41.67	37.50	66.67	66.67	100.00	41.67	37.50	66.67	100.00
Cuba	71.43				66.67	100.00				100.00
Cyprus	42.86	41.67	37.50	66.67	66.67	71.43	41.67	37.50	66.67	100.00
Czech Republic	85.71	66.67	62.50	66.67	66.67	100.00	66.67	62.50	66.67	100.00
Denmark	85.71	50.00	62.50	100.00	66.67	100.00	66.67	62.50	100.00	100.00
Djibouti	0.00					14.29				
Dominica	14.29	50.00	50.00	100.00	66.67	14.29	66.67	75.00	100.00	66.67
Dominican Republic	14.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	14.29	91.67	87.50	100.00	100.00
Ecuador	0.00				66.67	57.14	83.33	75.00	100.00	100.00
Egypt, Arab Rep.	14.29					14.29	75.00	87.50	66.67	33.33
El Salvador	0.00	66.67	75.00	66.67	66.67	0.00	66.67	75.00	66.67	100.00
Equatorial Guinea	14.29					42.86				
Eritrea	42.86	50.00	37.50	66.67	66.67	42.86	58.33	50.00	66.67	66.67
Estonia	100.00	75.00	75.00	66.67	66.67	100.00	41.67	37.50	100.00	66.67
Ethiopia	42.86	50.00	37.50	66.67	66.67	42.86	66.67	62.50	66.67	66.67
Fiji	14.29	75.00	62.50	66.67	66.67	85.71	75.00	62.50	66.67	100.00
Finland	14.29				66.67	85.71	66.67	75.00	66.67	100.00
France	14.29	41.67	25.00	66.67	66.67	100.00	75.00	75.00	66.67	100.00
Gabon					66.67	14.29	66.67	75.00	66.67	66.67
Gambia, The	42.86	75.00	62.50	100.00	66.67	42.86	91.67	87.50	100.00	66.67
Georgia	100.00	75.00	75.00	66.67	66.67	100.00	58.33	62.50	100.00	100.00
Germany	85.71	41.67	25.00	66.67	66.67	100.00	50.00	37.50	66.67	100.00
Ghana	0.00					71.43	50.00	62.50	66.67	100.00
Greece	42.86	75.00	62.50	0.00	33.33	100.00	75.00	62.50	66.67	66.67
Grenada	42.86					42.86				
Guatemala	0.00	75.00	75.00	66.67	66.67	14.29	75.00	75.00	66.67	100.00
Guinea	14.29	33.33	25.00	0.00	66.67	42.86	41.67	37.50	0.00	66.67
Guinea-Bissau	14.29	41.67	37.50	66.67	66.67	14.29	41.67	37.50	66.67	66.67
Guyana	14.29	50.00	50.00	0.00	66.67	71.43	50.00	50.00	0.00	66.67
Haiti	14.29	58.33	62.50	100.00	66.67	57.14	58.33	62.50	100.00	66.67
Honduras	14.29	66.67	37.50	100.00	66.67	14.29	66.67	37.50	100.00	100.00
Hungary	100.00	66.67	75.00	100.00	66.67	100.00	66.67	75.00	66.67	100.00
Iceland	14.29	50.00	50.00	0.00	66.67	85.71	58.33	62.50	0.00	100.00
India	14.29	50.00	50.00	66.67	66.67	85.71	83.33	75.00	66.67	100.00
Indonesia	0.00	50.00	50.00	66.67	66.67	14.29	66.67	75.00	66.67	100.00
Iran, Islamic Rep.	14.29	58.33	62.50	66.67	66.67	14.29	83.33	100.00	66.67	66.67
Iraq	28.57	50.00	50.00	66.67	66.67	28.57	83.33	50.00	66.67	66.67
Ireland	14.29	33.33	12.50	0.00	66.67	14.29	50.00	50.00	66.67	66.67
Israel	42.86	58.33	50.00	66.67	66.67	71.43	58.33	50.00	66.67	100.00
Italy	14.29	41.67	37.50	66.67	0.00	100.00	66.67	37.50	100.00	100.00
Jamaica	42.86	50.00	62.50	100.00	66.67	42.86	83.33	87.50	100.00	100.00
Japan	85.71	25.00	50.00	0.00	33.33	85.71	41.67	50.00	66.67	33.33
Jordan	0.00	58.33	37.50	100.00	33.33	42.86	66.67	50.00	100.00	66.67
Kazakhstan	100.00	75.00	75.00	66.67	66.67	100.00	50.00	50.00	100.00	66.67
Kenya	0.00	50.00	75.00	66.67	66.67	14.29	58.33	75.00	66.67	100.00
Kiribati						14.29				
Korea, Dem. Rep.	100.00					100.00				
Korea, Rep.	0.00	58.33	62.50	100.00	0.00	57.14	75.00	87.50	66.67	100.00
Kuwait	14.29					57.14				

	Fraction of Complete Liberalization									
	1960					2000				
	Abortion Law	Contraceptive Pill Law	Condom Law	IUD Law	Sterilization Law	Abortion Law	Contraceptive Pill Law	Condom Law	IUD Law	Sterilization Law
Kyrgyz Republic	100.00	41.67	37.50	100.00	66.67	100.00	66.67	75.00	100.00	33.33
Lao PDR	0.00					14.29				
Lebanon	0.00	41.67	25.00	0.00	66.67	14.29	58.33	50.00	66.67	66.67
Lesotho	0.00					14.29	66.67	62.50	66.67	100.00
Liberia	0.00	41.67	37.50	0.00	66.67	71.43	41.67	37.50	0.00	66.67
Libya	14.29	33.33	25.00	66.67	66.67	14.29	41.67	37.50	66.67	66.67
Liechtenstein	0.00				66.67	42.86				100.00
Lithuania	100.00	75.00	75.00	66.67	66.67	100.00	41.67	37.50	100.00	66.67
Luxembourg	14.29	58.33	62.50	0.00	66.67	85.71	66.67	50.00	66.67	100.00
Macedonia, FYR	100.00	41.67	37.50	66.67	66.67	100.00	41.67	37.50	66.67	100.00
Madagascar	14.29	33.33	50.00	0.00	66.67	14.29	50.00	50.00	0.00	66.67
Malawi	14.29	66.67	75.00	0.00	66.67	14.29	75.00	87.50	0.00	66.67
Malaysia	14.29	66.67	62.50	66.67	66.67	42.86	75.00	75.00	66.67	66.67
Maldives	28.57					28.57				
Mali	14.29	33.33	25.00	0.00	66.67	14.29	66.67	50.00	100.00	66.67
Malta	14.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	0.00	50.00	62.50	0.00	33.33
Marshall Islands						14.29				
Mauritania	14.29	33.33	25.00	0.00	66.67	14.29	41.67	37.50	0.00	33.33
Mauritius	14.29	83.33	75.00	100.00	66.67	14.29	83.33	75.00	100.00	66.67
Mexico	28.57	58.33	62.50	66.67	66.67	57.14	58.33	62.50	66.67	100.00
Micronesia, Fed. Sts.						14.29				
Moldova	100.00	75.00	75.00	66.67	66.67	100.00				
Monaco	0.00				66.67	14.29				
Mongolia	14.29				66.67	100.00				100.00
Morocco	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	42.86	75.00	75.00	100.00	66.67
Mozambique	14.29				66.67	42.86				100.00
Myanmar	14.29	41.67	37.50	100.00	66.67	14.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33
Namibia	14.29	41.67	37.50	0.00	100.00	71.43	66.67	50.00	100.00	100.00
Nepal	0.00	100.00	100.00	0.00	66.67	14.29	100.00	100.00	0.00	100.00
Netherlands	14.29	33.33	25.00	0.00	66.67	100.00	50.00	62.50	66.67	100.00
New Zealand	42.86	50.00	50.00	100.00	0.00	71.43	66.67	50.00	100.00	100.00
Nicaragua	14.29	41.67	37.50	100.00	33.33	14.29	58.33	37.50	100.00	100.00
Niger	14.29	33.33	50.00	0.00	66.67	14.29	58.33	75.00	66.67	100.00
Nigeria	42.86	75.00	62.50	66.67	66.67	42.86	75.00	62.50	66.67	100.00
Norway	42.86	50.00	62.50	100.00	66.67	100.00	50.00	62.50	100.00	100.00
Oman	14.29					14.29	66.67	37.50	66.67	
Pakistan	14.29	66.67	75.00	100.00	66.67	42.86	75.00	75.00	100.00	100.00
Palau	14.29					14.29				
Panama	14.29	41.67	37.50	66.67	100.00	42.86	50.00	50.00	66.67	100.00
Papua New Guinea	14.29	41.67	62.50	100.00	66.67	42.86	50.00	75.00	100.00	66.67
Paraguay	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	14.29	58.33	62.50	100.00	100.00
Peru	14.29	58.33	37.50	66.67	66.67	42.86	66.67	75.00	66.67	100.00
Philippines	14.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	14.29	75.00	75.00	100.00	100.00
Poland	85.71	58.33	62.50	66.67	66.67	71.43	66.67	87.50	66.67	66.67
Portugal	14.29				66.67	71.43	66.67	75.00	66.67	100.00
Qatar	0.00					57.14				
Romania	100.00	58.33	62.50	100.00	66.67	100.00	58.33	62.50	100.00	100.00
Russian Federation	100.00	75.00	75.00	66.67	66.67	100.00	66.67	75.00	100.00	100.00
Rwanda	14.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	42.86	50.00	50.00	100.00	33.33
Samoa						42.86				
San Marino	14.29					14.29				
Sao Tome and Principe	14.29					14.29				
Saudi Arabia	0.00	41.67	37.50	100.00	66.67	42.86				33.33
Senegal	14.29	41.67	25.00	0.00	66.67	14.29	58.33	50.00	100.00	66.67
Seychelles						71.43				
Sierra Leone	42.86	41.67	50.00	100.00	66.67	42.86	58.33	62.50	100.00	66.67
Singapore	14.29	75.00	75.00	66.67	66.67	100.00	66.67	62.50	66.67	100.00
Slovak Republic	85.71	66.67	62.50	66.67	66.67	100.00	66.67	62.50	66.67	100.00
Slovenia	100.00	50.00	37.50	100.00	66.67	100.00	50.00	37.50	100.00	100.00
Solomon Islands						14.29				
Somalia	0.00	33.33	25.00	0.00	66.67	14.29	33.33	25.00	0.00	66.67
South Africa	14.29	41.67	37.50	0.00	66.67	100.00	58.33	62.50	100.00	100.00
Spain	14.29	33.33	25.00	0.00	66.67	71.43	50.00	50.00	0.00	100.00
Sri Lanka	14.29	66.67	75.00	66.67	100.00	14.29	75.00	75.00	66.67	100.00
St. Kitts and Nevis	42.86					42.86				
St. Lucia	42.86					42.86				100.00
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	14.29					85.71				
Sudan	14.29	83.33	75.00	66.67	66.67	28.57	83.33	75.00	66.67	33.33
Suriname	14.29	33.33	25.00	0.00	66.67	14.29	50.00	62.50	66.67	66.67
Swaziland	14.29	41.67	37.50	0.00	66.67	14.29	41.67	37.50	0.00	66.67
Sweden	42.86	41.67	37.50	100.00	66.67	100.00	58.33	50.00	100.00	100.00
Switzerland	42.86	41.67	37.50	0.00	66.67	42.86	50.00	50.00	0.00	100.00
Syrian Arab Republic	14.29	33.33	25.00	100.00	66.67	14.29	58.33	62.50	100.00	33.33
Tajikistan	100.00					100.00				
Tanzania	42.86	83.33	75.00	100.00	66.67	42.86	83.33	75.00	100.00	100.00
Thailand	57.14	50.00	75.00	100.00	66.67	57.14	75.00	87.50	100.00	100.00
Togo	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.67	71.43	41.67	37.50	100.00	66.67
Tonga	14.29					14.29				
Trinidad and Tobago	42.86	100.00	100.00	100.00	66.67	42.86	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
Tunisia	14.29	33.33	25.00	100.00	66.67	100.00	58.33	50.00	100.00	100.00
Turkey	57.14	50.00	50.00	66.67	66.67	100.00	66.67	75.00	66.67	100.00
Turkmenistan	100.00	41.67	37.50	100.00	66.67	100.00	41.67	37.50	100.00	66.67
Uganda	42.86	50.00	50.00	0.00	66.67	42.86	66.67	75.00	100.00	100.00
Ukraine	100.00	75.00	75.00	66.67	66.67	100.00	41.67	37.50	100.00	66.67
United Arab Emirates	0.00	41.67	37.50	100.00	66.67	14.29	41.67	37.50	100.00	66.67
United Kingdom	14.29	58.33	62.50	66.67	66.67	71.43	75.00	87.50	66.67	100.00
United States	42.86	58.33	62.50	66.67	100.00	100.00	58.33	62.50	66.67	100.00
Uruguay	57.14	75.00	87.50	100.00	66.67	57.14	75.00	87.50	100.00	66.67
Uzbekistan	100.00	75.00	75.00	66.67	66.67	100.00	41.67	37.50	100.00	66.67
Vanuatu						42.86				
Venezuela, RB	14.29	66.67	75.00	66.67	66.67	14.29	66.67	75.00	66.67	33.33
Vietnam	14.29					100.00				100.00
Yemen, Rep.	14.29				66.67	14.29				66.67
Zambia	0.00	100.00	100.00	66.67	66.67	71.43	100.00	100.00	66.67	100.00
Zimbabwe	14.29					57.14				100.00

Table 3: Unadjusted Association of Reproductive Health Laws with Income per capita, Fertility Rate and Education

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
OUTCOME	Abortion	Abortion	Abortion	Pill	Pill	Pill	Condom	Condom	Condom	IUD	IUD	IUD	Sterilization	Sterilization	Sterilization
Ln(GDP) (t-1)	14.368*** (13.691 - 15.045)	13.663*** (12.986 - 14.339)	-0.281 (-2.659 - 2.097)	-0.615*** (-1.013 - 0.218)	-0.976*** (-1.369 - 0.582)	-2.883*** (-4.013 - 1.753)	-0.418* (-0.875 - 0.039)	-0.852*** (-1.305 - 0.399)	-2.769*** (-4.125 - 1.412)	2.879*** (1.940 - 3.818)	2.285*** (1.326 - 3.243)	-6.271*** (-8.637 - 3.905)	3.413*** (2.852 - 3.973)	2.908*** (2.346 - 3.471)	-3.231*** (-5.312 - 1.150)
Constant	-70.183*** (-75.934 - 64.433)	-82.421*** (-90.346 - 74.496)	17.480 (-5.776 - 40.735)	64.402*** (60.846 - 67.957)	59.501*** (54.075 - 64.926)	63.994*** (52.767 - 75.220)	61.884*** (57.835 - 65.934)	56.409*** (50.450 - 62.368)	47.809*** (34.440 - 61.179)	42.102*** (33.781 - 50.422)	35.709*** (24.287 - 47.131)	142.512*** (119.259 - 165.764)	51.251*** (46.463 - 56.039)	44.027*** (38.084 - 49.969)	43.359*** (23.070 - 63.649)
R-squared	0.257	0.302	0.843	0.002	0.048	0.815	0.001	0.050	0.793	0.010	0.042	0.804	0.034	0.084	0.676
Fertility rate (t-1)	-10.000*** (-10.404 - 9.596)	-9.540*** (-9.980 - 9.101)	0.798 (-0.297 - 1.894)	-0.576*** (-0.851 - 0.300)	0.046 (-0.234 - 0.327)	-1.315*** (-1.936 - 0.693)	-1.113*** (-1.429 - 0.797)	-0.424*** (-0.745 - 0.104)	-2.676*** (-3.439 - 1.914)	-3.163*** (-3.754 - 2.573)	-2.283*** (-2.920 - 1.646)	-0.108 (-1.319 - 1.103)	-3.029*** (-3.379 - 2.680)	-2.363*** (-2.754 - 1.971)	-1.380*** (-2.289 - 0.472)
Constant	88.953*** (87.002 - 90.905)	87.324*** (81.106 - 93.542)	92.606*** (88.402 - 96.809)	61.338*** (60.314 - 62.363)	58.963*** (55.412 - 62.513)	47.821*** (45.614 - 50.028)	62.498*** (61.302 - 63.694)	59.997*** (56.230 - 63.764)	50.158*** (47.522 - 52.793)	78.323*** (76.201 - 80.445)	72.598*** (64.967 - 80.228)	91.947*** (87.003 - 96.892)	91.494*** (90.050 - 92.938)	89.948*** (84.548 - 95.347)	96.424*** (92.274 - 100.573)
R-squared	0.318	0.326	0.843	0.005	0.043	0.815	0.013	0.049	0.796	0.032	0.050	0.802	0.069	0.096	0.676
Mean years of schooling	5.889*** (5.650 - 6.129)	5.608*** (5.347 - 5.869)	-0.159 (-1.677 - 1.359)	0.072 (-0.086 - 0.229)	-0.365*** (-0.528 - 0.202)	-0.341 (-0.907 - 0.225)	0.365*** (0.180 - 0.550)	-0.119 (-0.308 - 0.070)	0.915** (0.196 - 1.634)	2.267*** (1.924 - 2.610)	1.779*** (1.403 - 2.155)	-3.621*** (-4.863 - 2.380)	1.782*** (1.578 - 1.985)	1.360*** (1.131 - 1.588)	-2.203*** (-3.373 - 1.033)
Constant	14.458*** (12.815 - 16.101)	16.311*** (10.116 - 22.506)	35.577*** (26.290 - 44.864)	58.735*** (57.461 - 60.009)	61.272*** (57.723 - 64.822)	46.127*** (42.539 - 49.715)	56.039*** (54.584 - 57.495)	58.978*** (55.167 - 62.790)	25.168*** (20.589 - 29.747)	52.211*** (49.517 - 54.906)	53.063*** (45.365 - 60.761)	112.375*** (104.448 - 120.301)	68.941*** (67.452 - 70.429)	72.529*** (67.046 - 78.013)	37.744*** (30.312 - 45.175)
R-squared	0.298	0.306	0.843	0.000	0.047	0.814	0.004	0.048	0.792	0.045	0.059	0.804	0.064	0.092	0.677
Year FE	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Country FE	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes
Observations	3855	3855	3855	3855	3855	3855	3855	3855	3855	3855	3855	3855	3855	3855	3855

Robust 95% CI in parentheses

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 4: Unadjusted Association of Reproductive Health Laws with Religion, Colonial Origin and Geographical Region

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
OUTCOMES	Abortion	Abortion	Pill	Pill	Condom	Condom	IUD	IUD	Sterilization	Sterilization
Proportion of population report being Catholic	-0.342*** (-0.376 - -0.308)	-0.331*** (-0.364 - -0.298)	-0.061*** (-0.082 - -0.040)	-0.057*** (-0.078 - -0.036)	-0.089*** (-0.110 - -0.068)	-0.084*** (-0.105 - -0.063)	-0.018 (-0.053 - 0.018)	-0.009 (-0.044 - 0.026)	-0.015 (-0.040 - 0.011)	-0.009 (-0.034 - 0.017)
Muslim	-0.385*** (-0.427 - -0.343)	-0.379*** (-0.420 - -0.338)	-0.030** (-0.055 - -0.005)	-0.028** (-0.053 - -0.003)	-0.053*** (-0.079 - -0.027)	-0.050*** (-0.076 - -0.025)	0.088*** (0.044 - 0.132)	0.093*** (0.049 - 0.136)	-0.153*** (-0.185 - -0.121)	-0.150*** (-0.181 - -0.118)
Protestant	0.159*** (0.110 - 0.208)	0.172*** (0.125 - 0.218)	-0.113*** (-0.142 - -0.085)	-0.109*** (-0.137 - -0.081)	-0.138*** (-0.169 - -0.107)	-0.133*** (-0.164 - -0.102)	-0.053 (-0.121 - 0.015)	-0.043 (-0.111 - 0.024)	0.121*** (0.087 - 0.156)	0.128*** (0.095 - 0.161)
Constant	69.912*** (67.355 - 72.470)	50.610*** (44.576 - 56.643)	63.495*** (61.841 - 65.148)	57.238*** (52.910 - 61.565)	64.443*** (62.857 - 66.029)	56.748*** (52.191 - 61.305)	66.120*** (63.523 - 68.717)	56.027*** (47.644 - 64.410)	82.173*** (80.331 - 84.014)	70.754*** (66.104 - 75.404)
R-squared	0.161	0.232	0.020	0.061	0.025	0.070	0.011	0.045	0.067	0.128
Colonial Origin										
Britain	46.795*** (44.839 - 48.751)	46.756*** (40.804 - 52.708)	64.574*** (63.535 - 65.613)	64.438*** (61.119 - 67.757)	62.794*** (61.652 - 63.935)	62.966*** (59.402 - 66.530)	64.957*** (62.664 - 67.251)	63.139*** (55.847 - 70.431)	83.654*** (82.350 - 84.957)	84.158*** (79.022 - 89.293)
France	27.150*** (25.538 - 28.761)	26.999*** (21.154 - 32.844)	50.882*** (49.573 - 52.191)	50.688*** (47.288 - 54.088)	48.006*** (46.460 - 49.551)	48.109*** (44.445 - 51.773)	47.446*** (43.857 - 51.035)	45.542*** (37.755 - 53.328)	66.298*** (64.776 - 67.820)	66.715*** (61.508 - 71.921)
Spain	28.067*** (26.311 - 29.824)	27.897*** (22.003 - 33.791)	61.345*** (59.951 - 62.738)	61.140*** (57.721 - 64.559)	60.483*** (58.864 - 62.102)	60.575*** (56.875 - 64.275)	79.384*** (77.610 - 81.158)	77.463*** (70.355 - 84.571)	82.913*** (81.065 - 84.761)	83.314*** (78.016 - 88.612)
USSR	93.695*** (92.442 - 94.948)	90.225*** (84.414 - 96.036)	53.086*** (51.257 - 54.915)	51.137*** (47.345 - 54.929)	58.758*** (56.822 - 60.694)	56.924*** (52.919 - 60.928)	89.609*** (87.926 - 91.292)	84.842*** (77.642 - 92.043)	78.189*** (76.118 - 80.261)	76.178*** (70.683 - 81.672)
Others	42.439*** (38.173 - 46.704)	42.400*** (35.324 - 49.475)	56.471*** (54.578 - 58.365)	56.335*** (52.722 - 59.948)	55.357*** (52.876 - 57.839)	55.529*** (51.434 - 59.625)	71.551*** (68.635 - 74.467)	69.732*** (62.303 - 77.162)	74.725*** (71.511 - 77.940)	75.229*** (69.298 - 81.160)
Never colonized ¹	72.421***	71.912***	60.695***	60.313***	59.963***	59.867***	63.742***	61.534***	85.679***	85.837***

(70.459 - 74.383) (65.961 - 77.863)(59.801 - 61.589)(57.024 - 63.603)(58.929 - 60.998)(56.340 - 63.394) (61.797 - 65.686) (54.332 - 68.736)(84.275 - 87.084)(80.712 - 90.961)

R-squared 0.800 0.815 0.931 0.934 0.908 0.913 0.805 0.811 0.930 0.935

¹Never colonized by a Western oversea or USSR

Geographical Region

Africa	33.305*** (31.794 - 34.815)	33.108*** (27.419 - 38.797)	56.034*** (54.845 - 57.222)	55.589*** (52.284 - 58.895)	53.827*** (52.515 - 55.139)	53.426*** (49.899 - 56.953)	49.110*** (46.440 - 51.781)	47.110*** (39.907 - 54.312)	74.757*** (73.400 - 76.114)	74.802*** (69.887 - 79.717)
CIS/Central Asia	100.000 (100.000 - 100.000)	93.281*** (87.562 - 99.000)	48.977*** (47.051 - 50.902)	44.814*** (41.086 - 48.542)	48.465*** (45.576 - 51.354)	43.684*** (39.200 - 48.167)	100.000 (100.000 - 100.000)	92.286*** (85.354 - 99.218)	65.789*** (62.704 - 68.875)	60.644*** (54.890 - 66.398)
East Asia/Pacific	45.752*** (42.404 - 49.099)	45.855*** (39.401 - 52.308)	73.729*** (72.679 - 74.779)	73.451*** (70.204 - 76.699)	72.849*** (71.659 - 74.038)	72.653*** (69.161 - 76.146)	75.163*** (72.610 - 77.717)	73.399*** (66.222 - 80.576)	92.157*** (90.862 - 93.452)	92.452*** (87.655 - 97.249)
Latin America/Caribbean	31.292*** (29.621 - 32.962)	31.134*** (25.403 - 36.865)	59.032*** (57.748 - 60.317)	58.609*** (55.308 - 61.910)	57.374*** (55.894 - 58.853)	56.999*** (53.430 - 60.569)	72.659*** (70.269 - 75.048)	70.687*** (63.614 - 77.761)	79.849*** (78.198 - 81.500)	79.926*** (74.952 - 84.900)
OECD-Europe	77.459*** (75.833 - 79.084)	76.745*** (71.027 - 82.463)	56.651*** (55.913 - 57.389)	55.918*** (52.715 - 59.121)	57.118*** (56.268 - 57.969)	56.388*** (52.982 - 59.793)	66.922*** (65.380 - 68.465)	64.476*** (57.586 - 71.366)	86.109*** (84.881 - 87.337)	85.753*** (80.926 - 90.580)
Middle East/North Africa	38.116*** (34.321 - 41.910)	38.023*** (31.284 - 44.762)	63.617*** (61.688 - 65.546)	63.234*** (59.654 - 66.815)	63.723*** (60.937 - 66.510)	63.399*** (59.184 - 67.614)	84.823*** (81.874 - 87.772)	82.909*** (75.628 - 90.189)	54.752*** (52.457 - 57.046)	54.888*** (49.629 - 60.147)

R-squared 0.802 0.818 0.934 0.938 0.911 0.917 0.808 0.814 0.935 0.940

Year FE	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Country FE	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Observations	3855	3855	3855	3855	3855	3855	3855	3855	3855	3855

Robust 95% CI in parentheses

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Figure 1: Global Average Trends of Reproductive Health Laws Liberalization








Figure 2: Aggregated Trends of Abortion Law Liberalization by Sociodemographic factors



REFERENCES

WEB APPENDIX: FOR PUBLICATION ONLINE

Table 1. Reproductive Health Law Index 1960, 1970, 1980, 1990, 2000, 2009. Annual panel 1960-2009 will be published online. 10 year panel shown here for brevity.

Year	Country Name	Country ID number	Abortion: Life Threatening	Abortion: Physical Health of the Mother	Abortion: Mental Health of the Mother	Abortion: Rape or Incest	Abortion: Fetal Impairment	Abortion: Economic	Abortion: On Request	Abortion: Total	Abortion: % of Maximum Liberalization	Pill: Sale Purpose	Pill: Sale Location	Pill: Prescription Requirement	Pill: Subsidy	Pill: Commercial Advertising	Pill: Total	Pill: % of Maximum Liberalization	Condom: Sale Purpose	Condom: Subsidy	Condom: Commercial Advertising	Condom: Total	Condom: % of Maximum Liberalization	IUD: Legal	IUD: Doctor Installs	IUD: Total	IUD: % of Maximum Liberalization	Sterilization: Legal	Sterilization: Total	Sterilization: % of Maximum Liberalization		
1960	Afghanistan	1																														
1970	Afghanistan	1																														
1980	Afghanistan	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	2	2	1	3	10	83.33	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67		
1990	Afghanistan	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	2	2	1	3	10	83.33	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67		
2000	Afghanistan	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	2	2	1	3	10	83.33	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67		
2009	Afghanistan	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	2	2	1	3	10	83.33	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67		
1960	Albania	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	28.57																					
1970	Albania	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	28.57																					
1980	Albania	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	28.57	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67		
1990	Albania	2	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	42.86	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67		
2000	Albania	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67		
2009	Albania	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00		
1960	Algeria	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	28.57																					
1970	Algeria	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86																					
1980	Algeria	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0.00	1	1	33.33		
1990	Algeria	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	1	1	33.33		

2000	Algeria	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	1	1	33.33	
2009	Algeria	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67	
1960	Andorra	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																	2	2	66.67	
1970	Andorra	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																	2	2	66.67	
1980	Andorra	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																	2	2	66.67	
1990	Andorra	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																	2	2	66.67	
2000	Andorra	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																	3	3	100.00	
2009	Andorra	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																				
1960	Angola	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																				
1970	Angola	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																				
1980	Angola	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67	
1990	Angola	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	2	2	3	1	10	83.33	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67	
2000	Angola	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	2	2	3	1	10	83.33	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67	
2009	Angola	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	2	2	3	1	10	83.33	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67	
1960	Antigua and Barbuda	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	3	0	7	58.33	2	3	0	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00				
1970	Antigua and Barbuda	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	3	0	7	58.33	2	3	0	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00				
1980	Antigua and Barbuda	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	3	0	7	58.33	2	3	0	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00				
1990	Antigua and Barbuda	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	3	0	7	58.33	2	3	0	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00				
2000	Antigua and Barbuda	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	3	0	7	58.33	2	3	0	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00				
2009	Antigua and Barbuda	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00				
1960	Argentina	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	1	1	1	2	0	5	41.67	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67	
1970	Argentina	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	1	1	2	2	0	6	50.00	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67	
1980	Argentina	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67	
1990	Argentina	8	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	4	57.14	1	1	1	2	0	5	41.67	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67	
2000	Argentina	8	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	4	57.14	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67	
2009	Argentina	8	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	4	57.14	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00	
1960	Armenia	9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	2	1	2	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67	
1970	Armenia	9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	2	1	2	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67	
1980	Armenia	9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67	

1990	Armenia	9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
2000	Armenia	9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
2009	Armenia	9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1960	Australia	11	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	28.57	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1970	Australia	11	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1980	Australia	11	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1990	Australia	11	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2000	Australia	11	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2009	Australia	11	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1960	Austria	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	1	1	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Austria	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	1	1	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1980	Austria	12	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1990	Austria	12	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2000	Austria	12	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2009	Austria	12	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1960	Azerbaijan	13	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	2	1	2	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1970	Azerbaijan	13	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	2	1	2	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1980	Azerbaijan	13	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1990	Azerbaijan	13	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
2000	Azerbaijan	13	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
2009	Azerbaijan	13	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1960	Bahamas, The	14	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	1	0	7	58.33	2	1	0	3	37.50	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Bahamas, The	14	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	1	0	7	58.33	2	1	0	3	37.50	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1980	Bahamas, The	14	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	1	0	7	58.33	2	1	0	3	37.50	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1990	Bahamas, The	14	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	1	0	7	58.33	2	1	0	3	37.50	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
2000	Bahamas, The	14	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	3	1	10	83.33	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2009	Bahamas, The	14	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	3	1	10	83.33	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1960	Bahrain	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Bahrain	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67

1980	Bahrain	15	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1990	Bahrain	15	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	1	1	33.33
2000	Bahrain	15	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	1	1	33.33
2009	Bahrain	15	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1960	Bangladesh	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Bangladesh	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1980	Bangladesh	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1990	Bangladesh	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2000	Bangladesh	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2009	Bangladesh	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1960	Barbados	17	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	2	0	6	50.00	2	2	0	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Barbados	17	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	2	0	6	50.00	2	2	0	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1980	Barbados	17	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	2	0	6	50.00	2	2	0	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1990	Barbados	17	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	2	0	6	50.00	2	2	0	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2000	Barbados	17	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2009	Barbados	17	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1960	Belarus	18	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	2	1	2	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1970	Belarus	18	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	2	1	2	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1980	Belarus	18	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1990	Belarus	18	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
2000	Belarus	18	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
2009	Belarus	18	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1960	Belgium	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	1	1	33.33
1970	Belgium	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	1	1	33.33
1980	Belgium	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	2	7	58.33	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	1	1	33.33
1990	Belgium	19	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	1	1	33.33
2000	Belgium	19	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	1	1	33.33
2009	Belgium	19	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1960	Belize	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67

1970	Belize	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67	
1980	Belize	20	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1990	Belize	20	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2000	Belize	20	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2009	Belize	20	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1960	Benin	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	3	0	7	58.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1970	Benin	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	3	0	7	58.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1980	Benin	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	3	0	6	50.00	1	1	0	2	25.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1990	Benin	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	3	0	6	50.00	1	1	0	2	25.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
2000	Benin	21	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	3	1	7	58.33	1	1	1	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
2009	Benin	21	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	4	57.14	2	1	1	3	2	9	75.00	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1960	Bolivia	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	2	1	0	6	50.00	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1970	Bolivia	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	2	1	0	6	50.00	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1980	Bolivia	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1990	Bolivia	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
2000	Bolivia	24	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	4	57.14	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
2009	Bolivia	24	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	4	57.14	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1960	Bosnia and Herzegovina	25	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00																			
1970	Bosnia and Herzegovina	25	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67			
1980	Bosnia and Herzegovina	25	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67			
1990	Bosnia and Herzegovina	25	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67			
2000	Bosnia and Herzegovina	25	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67			
2009	Bosnia and Herzegovina	25	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67			
1960	Botswana	26	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	3	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Botswana	26	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	3	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1980	Botswana	26	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	3	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1990	Botswana	26	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	3	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2000	Botswana	26	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	1	3	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2009	Botswana	26	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	1	3	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00

1960	Brazil	27	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	28.57	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Brazil	27	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	28.57	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1980	Brazil	27	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	28.57	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	1	1	33.33
1990	Brazil	27	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	28.57	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	1	1	2	66.67	1	1	33.33
2000	Brazil	27	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	28.57	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2009	Brazil	27	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	28.57	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1960	Brunei	28	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Brunei	28	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1980	Brunei	28	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1990	Brunei	28	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
2000	Brunei	28	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
2009	Brunei	28	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1960	Bulgaria	29	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	3	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Bulgaria	29	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	28.57	2	1	1	1	3	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1980	Bulgaria	29	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	1	3	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1990	Bulgaria	29	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	3	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2000	Bulgaria	29	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	3	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2009	Bulgaria	29	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	3	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1960	Burkina Faso	30	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Burkina Faso	30	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1980	Burkina Faso	30	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1990	Burkina Faso	30	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
2000	Burkina Faso	30	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	3	1	6	75.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
2009	Burkina Faso	30	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	3	1	6	75.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1960	Burundi	31	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Burundi	31	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1980	Burundi	31	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1990	Burundi	31	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
2000	Burundi	31	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67

2009	Burundi	31	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67	
1960	Cambodia	32	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00																				
1970	Cambodia	32	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00																				
1980	Cambodia	32	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00																				
1990	Cambodia	32	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00																				
2000	Cambodia	32	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00																				
2009	Cambodia	32	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00																				
1960	Cameroon	33	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	4	57.14	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67	
1970	Cameroon	33	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	4	57.14	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67	
1980	Cameroon	33	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	4	57.14	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67	
1990	Cameroon	33	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	4	57.14	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67	
2000	Cameroon	33	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	4	57.14	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67	
2009	Cameroon	33	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	4	57.14	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67	
1960	Canada	34	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	2	0	6	50.00	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67	
1970	Canada	34	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	2	0	6	50.00	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67	
1980	Canada	34	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00	
1990	Canada	34	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00	
2000	Canada	34	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	2	3	7	87.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00	
2009	Canada	34	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	2	3	7	87.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00	
1960	Cape Verde	35	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	2	2	1	0	7	58.33	2	1	0	3	37.50	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67	
1970	Cape Verde	35	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	2	2	1	0	7	58.33	2	1	0	3	37.50	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67	
1980	Cape Verde	35	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	2	2	3	1	10	83.33	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67	
1990	Cape Verde	35	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	2	2	3	1	10	83.33	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67	
2000	Cape Verde	35	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	2	2	3	1	10	83.33	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67	
2009	Cape Verde	35	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	2	2	3	1	10	83.33	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00	
1960	Central African Republic	37											1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	1	1	33.33
1970	Central African Republic	37	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	1	1	33.33	
1980	Central African Republic	37	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	1	1	33.33	
1990	Central African Republic	37	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67	

2000	Central African Republic	37	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
2009	Central African Republic	37	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1960	Chad	38	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	1	1	33.33
1970	Chad	38	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	1	1	33.33
1980	Chad	38	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	1	1	33.33
1990	Chad	38	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	1	1	33.33
2000	Chad	38	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
2009	Chad	38	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1960	Chile	40	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Chile	40	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1980	Chile	40	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	2	1	1	7	58.33	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	1	1	33.33
1990	Chile	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	2	1	1	7	58.33	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2000	Chile	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	2	1	1	7	58.33	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2009	Chile	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	2	1	1	7	58.33	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1960	China	41	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	2	2	3	0	9	75.00	2	3	0	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1970	China	41	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	2	2	3	0	9	75.00	2	3	0	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1980	China	41	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	2	2	3	1	10	83.33	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1990	China	41	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	2	2	3	1	10	83.33	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2000	China	41	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	2	2	3	2	11	91.67	2	3	2	7	87.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2009	China	41	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	2	2	3	2	11	91.67	2	3	2	7	87.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1960	Colombia	42	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1970	Colombia	42	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1980	Colombia	42	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1990	Colombia	42	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2000	Colombia	42	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2009	Colombia	42	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1960	Comoros	43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	2	3	1	10	83.33	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1970	Comoros	43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	2	3	1	10	83.33	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1980	Comoros	43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	2	3	1	10	83.33	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00

1990	Comoros	43	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	3	1	10	83.33	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2000	Comoros	43	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	3	1	10	83.33	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2009	Comoros	43	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	3	1	10	83.33	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1960	Congo, Dem. Rep	44	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Congo, Dem. Rep	44	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1980	Congo, Dem. Rep	44	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1990	Congo, Dem. Rep	44	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
2000	Congo, Dem. Rep	44	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
2009	Congo, Dem. Rep	44	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1960	Congo, Rep.	45	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00			
1970	Congo, Rep.	45	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00			
1980	Congo, Rep.	45	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00			
1990	Congo, Rep.	45	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	0	0	0	0.00			
2000	Congo, Rep.	45	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	0	0	0	0.00			
2009	Congo, Rep.	45	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	0	0	0	0.00			
1960	Costa Rica	46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1970	Costa Rica	46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1980	Costa Rica	46	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1990	Costa Rica	46	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2000	Costa Rica	46	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	3	2	9	75.00	2	3	2	7	87.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2009	Costa Rica	46	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	3	2	9	75.00	2	3	2	7	87.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1960	Cote d'Ivoire	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	1	1	2	1	0	5	41.67	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Cote d'Ivoire	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	1	1	2	1	0	5	41.67	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1980	Cote d'Ivoire	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	1	1	2	1	0	5	41.67	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1990	Cote d'Ivoire	47	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	2	1	1	7	58.33	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2000	Cote d'Ivoire	47	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	2	1	1	7	58.33	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2009	Cote d'Ivoire	47	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	2	1	1	7	58.33	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1960	Croatia	48	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1970	Croatia	48	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67

1980	Croatia	48	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
1990	Croatia	48	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
2000	Croatia	48	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
2009	Croatia	48	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
1960	Cuba	49	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43																			2	2	66.67
1970	Cuba	49	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00																			3	3	100.00
1980	Cuba	49	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00																			3	3	100.00
1990	Cuba	49	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00																			3	3	100.00
2000	Cuba	49	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00																			3	3	100.00
2009	Cuba	49	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00																					
1960	Cyprus	50	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67		
1970	Cyprus	50	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67		
1980	Cyprus	50	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67		
1990	Cyprus	50	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
2000	Cyprus	50	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
2009	Cyprus	50	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67		
1960	Czech Republic	51	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67		
1970	Czech Republic	51	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
1980	Czech Republic	51	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
1990	Czech Republic	51	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
2000	Czech Republic	51	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
2009	Czech Republic	51	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
1960	Denmark	52	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67		
1970	Denmark	52	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67		
1980	Denmark	52	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	2	1	1	2	8	66.67	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00		
1990	Denmark	52	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	2	1	1	2	8	66.67	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00		
2000	Denmark	52	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	2	1	1	2	8	66.67	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00		
2009	Denmark	52	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	2	1	1	2	8	66.67	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00		
1960	Djibouti	53	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00																					

1960	El Salvador	58	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67	
1970	El Salvador	58	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67	
1980	El Salvador	58	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1990	El Salvador	58	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2000	El Salvador	58	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00	
2009	El Salvador	58	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00	
1960	Equatorial Guinea	59	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			
1970	Equatorial Guinea	59	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			
1980	Equatorial Guinea	59	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			
1990	Equatorial Guinea	59	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			
2000	Equatorial Guinea	59	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86																			
2009	Equatorial Guinea	59	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86																			
1960	Eritrea	60	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	2	1	0	6	50.00	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1970	Eritrea	60	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	2	2	0	7	58.33	2	2	0	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1980	Eritrea	60	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	2	2	0	7	58.33	2	2	0	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1990	Eritrea	60	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	2	2	0	7	58.33	2	2	0	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
2000	Eritrea	60	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	2	2	0	7	58.33	2	2	0	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
2009	Eritrea	60	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	4	57.14	2	1	2	2	0	7	58.33	2	2	0	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1960	Estonia	61	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	2	1	2	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1970	Estonia	61	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	2	1	2	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1980	Estonia	61	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67	
1990	Estonia	61	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
2000	Estonia	61	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
2009	Estonia	61	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1960	Ethiopia	62	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	2	1	0	6	50.00	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1970	Ethiopia	62	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	2	2	0	7	58.33	2	2	0	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1980	Ethiopia	62	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	2	2	0	7	58.33	2	2	0	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1990	Ethiopia	62	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	2	2	0	7	58.33	2	2	0	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2000	Ethiopia	62	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	2	2	1	8	66.67	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67

2009	Ethiopia	62	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	4	57.14	2	1	2	2	1	8	66.67	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67		
1960	Fiji	64	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	2	2	2	1	9	75.00	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67		
1970	Fiji	64	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	2	2	2	1	9	75.00	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67		
1980	Fiji	64	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	2	2	2	1	9	75.00	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
1990	Fiji	64	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	2	2	2	1	9	75.00	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
2000	Fiji	64	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	2	2	2	1	9	75.00	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
2009	Fiji	64	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	2	2	2	1	9	75.00	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
1960	Finland	65	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			2	2	66.67
1970	Finland	65	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	1	3	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
1980	Finland	65	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	1	3	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
1990	Finland	65	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	1	3	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
2000	Finland	65	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	1	3	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
2009	Finland	65	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	1	3	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
1960	France	66	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	1	1	0	2	25.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67		
1970	France	66	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	1	1	0	2	25.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67		
1980	France	66	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67		
1990	France	66	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
2000	France	66	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	3	2	9	75.00	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
2009	France	66	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	3	2	9	75.00	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
1960	Gabon	68																												2	2	66.67
1970	Gabon	68	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67		
1980	Gabon	68	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67		
1990	Gabon	68	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67		
2000	Gabon	68	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67		
2009	Gabon	68	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67		
1960	Gambia, The	69	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	1	2	9	75.00	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67		
1970	Gambia, The	69	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	3	2	11	91.67	2	3	2	7	87.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67		
1980	Gambia, The	69	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	3	2	11	91.67	2	3	2	7	87.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67		
1990	Gambia, The	69	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	3	2	11	91.67	2	3	2	7	87.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67		

2000	Gambia, The	69	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	3	2	11	91.67	2	3	2	7	87.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
2009	Gambia, The	69	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	3	2	11	91.67	2	3	2	7	87.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1960	Georgia	70	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	2	1	2	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1970	Georgia	70	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	2	1	2	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1980	Georgia	70	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1990	Georgia	70	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
2000	Georgia	70	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	3	0	7	58.33	2	3	0	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2009	Georgia	70	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	3	0	7	58.33	2	3	0	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1960	Germany	71	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	1	1	0	2	25.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1970	Germany	71	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	1	1	0	2	25.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1980	Germany	71	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	1	1	1	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1990	Germany	71	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	2	0	6	50.00	1	1	1	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2000	Germany	71	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	2	0	6	50.00	1	1	1	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2009	Germany	71	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	2	0	6	50.00	1	1	1	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1960	Ghana	72	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00																			
1970	Ghana	72	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	2	0	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1980	Ghana	72	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	2	0	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1990	Ghana	72	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	2	0	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2000	Ghana	72	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2009	Ghana	72	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1960	Greece	73	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	1	2	9	75.00	2	1	2	5	62.50	0	0	0	0.00	1	1	33.33
1970	Greece	73	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	1	2	9	75.00	2	1	2	5	62.50	0	0	0	0.00	1	1	33.33
1980	Greece	73	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	2	2	1	2	9	75.00	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	1	1	33.33
1990	Greece	73	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	2	2	1	2	9	75.00	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
2000	Greece	73	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	2	2	1	2	9	75.00	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
2009	Greece	73	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	2	2	1	2	9	75.00	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1960	Grenada	75	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86																			
1970	Grenada	75	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86																			
1980	Grenada	75	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86																			

1980	Haiti	81	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	4	57.14	2	1	1	1	2	7	58.33	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1990	Haiti	81	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	4	57.14	2	1	1	1	2	7	58.33	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
2000	Haiti	81	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	4	57.14	2	1	1	1	2	7	58.33	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
2009	Haiti	81	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	4	57.14	2	1	1	1	2	7	58.33	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1960	Honduras	82	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	2	2	2	0	8	66.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Honduras	82	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	2	2	2	0	8	66.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1980	Honduras	82	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	2	2	2	0	8	66.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1990	Honduras	82	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	2	2	2	0	8	66.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2000	Honduras	82	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	2	2	2	0	8	66.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2009	Honduras	82	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	2	2	2	0	8	66.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1960	Hungary	84	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	3	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1970	Hungary	84	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	3	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1980	Hungary	84	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	1	3	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1990	Hungary	84	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	1	3	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2000	Hungary	84	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	3	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2009	Hungary	84	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	3	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1960	Iceland	85	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Iceland	85	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1980	Iceland	85	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	1	2	7	58.33	2	1	2	5	62.50	0	0	0	0.00	3	3	100.00
1990	Iceland	85	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	1	2	7	58.33	2	1	2	5	62.50	0	0	0	0.00	3	3	100.00
2000	Iceland	85	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	1	2	7	58.33	2	1	2	5	62.50	0	0	0	0.00	3	3	100.00
2009	Iceland	85	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	1	2	7	58.33	2	1	2	5	62.50	0	0	0	0.00	3	3	100.00
1960	India	86	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	2	0	6	50.00	2	2	0	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1970	India	86	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	2	0	6	50.00	2	2	0	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1980	India	86	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	2	2	2	0	8	66.67	2	2	0	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1990	India	86	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	2	2	2	0	8	66.67	2	2	0	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2000	India	86	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	2	2	3	1	10	83.33	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2009	India	86	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	2	2	3	1	10	83.33	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1960	Indonesia	87	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	1	2	0	6	50.00	2	2	0	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67

1970	Indonesia	87	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	1	2	0	6	50.00	2	2	0	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1980	Indonesia	87	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	1	2	0	6	50.00	2	2	0	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1990	Indonesia	87	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	1	3	0	7	58.33	2	3	0	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
2000	Indonesia	87	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2009	Indonesia	87	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1960	Iran, Islamic Rep.	88	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	2	7	58.33	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1970	Iran, Islamic Rep.	88	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	2	7	58.33	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1980	Iran, Islamic Rep.	88	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0.00	1	1	33.33
1990	Iran, Islamic Rep.	88	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	1	1	33.33
2000	Iran, Islamic Rep.	88	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	3	3	10	83.33	2	3	3	8	100.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
2009	Iran, Islamic Rep.	88	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	28.57	2	1	1	3	3	10	83.33	2	3	3	8	100.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1960	Iraq	89	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	28.57	1	1	1	2	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1970	Iraq	89	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	28.57	1	1	1	2	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1980	Iraq	89	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	28.57	1	2	2	2	1	8	66.67	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1990	Iraq	89	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	28.57	1	2	2	3	1	9	75.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2000	Iraq	89	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	28.57	2	2	2	3	1	10	83.33	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
2009	Iraq	89	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	2	2	3	1	10	83.33	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1960	Ireland	90	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	0	1	0	1	12.50	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Ireland	90	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	0	1	0	1	12.50	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1980	Ireland	90	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1990	Ireland	90	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2000	Ireland	90	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
2009	Ireland	90	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1960	Israel	92	1	1	1	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	2	1	1	7	58.33	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1970	Israel	92	1	1	1	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	2	1	1	7	58.33	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1980	Israel	92	1	1	1	1	1	0	5	71.43	2	1	2	1	1	7	58.33	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1990	Israel	92	1	1	1	1	1	0	5	71.43	2	1	2	1	1	7	58.33	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2000	Israel	92	1	1	1	1	1	0	5	71.43	2	1	2	1	1	7	58.33	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2009	Israel	92	1	1	1	1	1	0	5	71.43	2	1	2	1	1	7	58.33	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00

1960	Italy	93	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	2	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	0	0	0.00
1970	Italy	93	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	2	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	0	0	0.00
1980	Italy	93	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1990	Italy	93	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2000	Italy	93	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2009	Italy	93	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	3	2	9	75.00	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1960	Jamaica	94	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	2	0	6	50.00	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Jamaica	94	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	2	0	6	50.00	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1980	Jamaica	94	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	2	2	0	7	58.33	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1990	Jamaica	94	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	2	2	3	10	83.33	2	2	3	7	87.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2000	Jamaica	94	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	2	2	3	10	83.33	2	2	3	7	87.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2009	Jamaica	94	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	2	2	3	10	83.33	2	2	3	7	87.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1960	Japan	95	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	0	1	1	1	0	3	25.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	1	1	33.33
1970	Japan	95	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	0	1	1	1	0	3	25.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	1	1	33.33
1980	Japan	95	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	1	1	33.33
1990	Japan	95	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	1	1	33.33
2000	Japan	95	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	1	1	33.33
2009	Japan	95	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	1	1	33.33
1960	Jordan	96	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	2	1	0	7	58.33	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	1	1	33.33
1970	Jordan	96	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	2	1	0	7	58.33	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	1	1	33.33
1980	Jordan	96	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	1	0	7	58.33	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	1	1	33.33
1990	Jordan	96	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	1	0	7	58.33	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	1	1	33.33
2000	Jordan	96	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	1	1	8	66.67	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
2009	Jordan	96	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	1	1	8	66.67	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1960	Kazakhstan	97	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	2	1	2	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1970	Kazakhstan	97	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	2	1	2	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1980	Kazakhstan	97	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1990	Kazakhstan	97	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
2000	Kazakhstan	97	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67

2009	Kazakhstan	97	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67		
1960	Kenya	98	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67		
1970	Kenya	98	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
1980	Kenya	98	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
1990	Kenya	98	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
2000	Kenya	98	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
2009	Kenya	98	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
1960	Kiribati	99																														
1970	Kiribati	99	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																					
1980	Kiribati	99	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																					
1990	Kiribati	99	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																					
2000	Kiribati	99	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																					
2009	Kiribati	99	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																					
1960	Korea, Dem. Rep.	100	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00																					
1970	Korea, Dem. Rep.	100	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00																					
1980	Korea, Dem. Rep.	100	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00																					
1990	Korea, Dem. Rep.	100	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00																					
2000	Korea, Dem. Rep.	100	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00																					
2009	Korea, Dem. Rep.	100	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00																					
1960	Korea, Rep.	101	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	2	2	0	7	58.33	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	0	0	0.00		
1970	Korea, Rep.	101	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	2	2	0	7	58.33	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67		
1980	Korea, Rep.	101	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	4	57.14	2	1	2	1	2	8	66.67	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
1990	Korea, Rep.	101	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	4	57.14	2	1	2	3	2	10	83.33	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
2000	Korea, Rep.	101	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	4	57.14	2	1	2	2	2	9	75.00	2	3	2	7	87.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
2009	Korea, Rep.	101	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	4	57.14	2	1	2	2	2	9	75.00	2	3	2	7	87.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
1960	Kuwait	102	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																					
1970	Kuwait	102	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																					
1980	Kuwait	102	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																					
1990	Kuwait	102	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	4	57.14																					

1990	Lesotho	107	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	2	1	1	7	58.33	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2000	Lesotho	107	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	2	2	1	8	66.67	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2009	Lesotho	107	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	2	2	1	8	66.67	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1960	Liberia	108	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Liberia	108	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1980	Liberia	108	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1990	Liberia	108	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
2000	Liberia	108	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
2009	Liberia	108	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1960	Libya	109	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1970	Libya	109	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1980	Libya	109	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1990	Libya	109	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
2000	Libya	109	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
2009	Libya	109	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1960	Liechtenstein	110	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00																	2	2	66.67
1970	Liechtenstein	110	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00																	2	2	66.67
1980	Liechtenstein	110	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00																	2	2	66.67
1990	Liechtenstein	110	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86																	3	3	100.00
2000	Liechtenstein	110	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86																	3	3	100.00
2009	Liechtenstein	110	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86																	3	3	100.00
1960	Lithuania	111	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	2	1	2	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1970	Lithuania	111	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	2	1	2	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1980	Lithuania	111	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1990	Lithuania	111	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
2000	Lithuania	111	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
2009	Lithuania	111	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1960	Luxembourg	112	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	2	7	58.33	2	1	2	5	62.50	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Luxembourg	112	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	2	7	58.33	2	1	2	5	62.50	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67

1980	Luxembourg	112	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	3	3	100.00
1990	Luxembourg	112	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	3	3	100.00
2000	Luxembourg	112	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2009	Luxembourg	112	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1960	Macedonia, FYR	114	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1970	Macedonia, FYR	114	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1980	Macedonia, FYR	114	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1990	Macedonia, FYR	114	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2000	Macedonia, FYR	114	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2009	Macedonia, FYR	114	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1960	Madagascar	115	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	2	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Madagascar	115	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	2	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1980	Madagascar	115	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	2	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1990	Madagascar	115	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
2000	Madagascar	115	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
2009	Madagascar	115	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1960	Malawi	116	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	3	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Malawi	116	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	3	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1980	Malawi	116	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	3	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1990	Malawi	116	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	3	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	0	0	0	0.00	3	3	100.00
2000	Malawi	116	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	2	3	9	75.00	2	2	3	7	87.50	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
2009	Malawi	116	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	2	3	9	75.00	2	2	3	7	87.50	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1960	Malaysia	117	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	2	2	1	8	66.67	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1970	Malaysia	117	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	2	2	1	8	66.67	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1980	Malaysia	117	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	2	2	2	9	75.00	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1990	Malaysia	117	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	2	2	2	9	75.00	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2000	Malaysia	117	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	2	2	2	9	75.00	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
2009	Malaysia	117	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	2	2	2	9	75.00	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1960	Maldives	118	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	28.57																			

1960	Mauritius	123	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	3	3	10	83.33	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67	
1970	Mauritius	123	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	3	3	10	83.33	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67	
1980	Mauritius	123	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	3	3	10	83.33	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67	
1990	Mauritius	123	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	3	3	10	83.33	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67	
2000	Mauritius	123	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	3	3	10	83.33	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67	
2009	Mauritius	123	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	3	3	10	83.33	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67	
1960	Mexico	125	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	28.57	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67	
1970	Mexico	125	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	28.57	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67	
1980	Mexico	125	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	28.57	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00	
1990	Mexico	125	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	4	57.14	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00	
2000	Mexico	125	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	4	57.14	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00	
2009	Mexico	125	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	4	57.14	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00	
1960	Micronesia, Fed. Sts.	126																													
1970	Micronesia, Fed. Sts.	126																													
1980	Micronesia, Fed. Sts.	126	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																				
1990	Micronesia, Fed. Sts.	126	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																				
2000	Micronesia, Fed. Sts.	126	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																				
2009	Micronesia, Fed. Sts.	126	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																				
1960	Moldova	127	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	2	1	2	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67	
1970	Moldova	127	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	2	1	2	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67	
1980	Moldova	127	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67	
1990	Moldova	127	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00																				
2000	Moldova	127	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00																				
2009	Moldova	127	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2					2	16.67	2			2	25.00	1		1	33.33	3	3	100.00	
1960	Monaco	128	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00																	2	2	66.67	
1970	Monaco	128	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																		2	2	66.67
1980	Monaco	128	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																		2	2	66.67
1990	Monaco	128	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																				
2000	Monaco	128	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																				

2000	Namibia	133	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2009	Namibia	133	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1960	Nepal	134	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	2	3	3	12	100.00	2	3	3	8	100.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Nepal	134	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	2	3	3	12	100.00	2	3	3	8	100.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1980	Nepal	134	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	2	2	3	3	12	100.00	2	3	3	8	100.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1990	Nepal	134	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	2	2	3	3	12	100.00	2	3	3	8	100.00	0	0	0	0.00	3	3	100.00
2000	Nepal	134	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	2	2	3	3	12	100.00	2	3	3	8	100.00	0	0	0	0.00	3	3	100.00
2009	Nepal	134	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	2	2	3	3	12	100.00	2	3	3	8	100.00	0	0	0	0.00	3	3	100.00
1960	Netherlands	135	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Netherlands	135	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1980	Netherlands	135	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1990	Netherlands	135	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2000	Netherlands	135	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2009	Netherlands	135	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1960	New Zealand	138	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	0	0	0.00
1970	New Zealand	138	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	0	0	0.00
1980	New Zealand	138	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1990	New Zealand	138	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2000	New Zealand	138	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2009	New Zealand	138	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1960	Nicaragua	139	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	1	1	33.33
1970	Nicaragua	139	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	1	1	33.33
1980	Nicaragua	139	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	1	1	33.33
1990	Nicaragua	139	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	1	1	33.33
2000	Nicaragua	139	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	3	0	7	58.33	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2009	Nicaragua	139	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	1	3	0	7	58.33	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1960	Niger	140	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	3	0	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Niger	140	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	3	0	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1980	Niger	140	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	3	0	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67

1990	Niger	140	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	2	1	1	7	58.33	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2000	Niger	140	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	2	1	1	7	58.33	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2009	Niger	140	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	2	1	1	7	58.33	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1960	Nigeria	141	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	2	2	2	9	75.00	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1970	Nigeria	141	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	2	2	2	9	75.00	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1980	Nigeria	141	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	2	2	2	9	75.00	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1990	Nigeria	141	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	2	2	2	9	75.00	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2000	Nigeria	141	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	2	2	2	9	75.00	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2009	Nigeria	141	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	2	2	2	9	75.00	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1960	Norway	143	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Norway	143	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1980	Norway	143	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1990	Norway	143	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2000	Norway	143	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2009	Norway	143	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	2	1	1	7	58.33	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1960	Oman	144	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			
1970	Oman	144	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			
1980	Oman	144	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			
1990	Oman	144	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			
2000	Oman	144	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	2	2	2	0	8	66.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67			
2009	Oman	144	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	2	2	2	0	8	66.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67			
1960	Pakistan	145	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Pakistan	145	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1980	Pakistan	145	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	2	2	2	9	75.00	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1990	Pakistan	145	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	2	2	2	9	75.00	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2000	Pakistan	145	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	2	2	2	9	75.00	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2009	Pakistan	145	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	2	2	2	9	75.00	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1960	Palau	146	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			
1970	Palau	146	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			

1970	Philippines	151	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67			
1980	Philippines	151	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	2	3	1	9	75.00	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00			
1990	Philippines	151	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	2	3	1	9	75.00	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00			
2000	Philippines	151	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	2	3	1	9	75.00	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00			
2009	Philippines	151	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	2	3	1	9	75.00	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00			
1960	Poland	152	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67			
1970	Poland	152	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00			
1980	Poland	152	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00			
1990	Poland	152	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00			
2000	Poland	152	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	3	2	7	87.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67			
2009	Poland	152	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	3	2	7	87.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67			
1960	Portugal	153	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			2	2	66.67	
1970	Portugal	153	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67			
1980	Portugal	153	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00			
1990	Portugal	153	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00			
2000	Portugal	153	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00			
2009	Portugal	153	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00			
1960	Qatar	155	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00																						
1970	Qatar	155	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00																						
1980	Qatar	155	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																						
1990	Qatar	155	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	4	57.14																						
2000	Qatar	155	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	4	57.14																						
2009	Qatar	155	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	4	57.14																						
1960	Romania	156	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	2	7	58.33	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67			
1970	Romania	156	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67			
1980	Romania	156	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67			
1990	Romania	156	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	2	7	58.33	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00			
2000	Romania	156	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	2	7	58.33	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00			
2009	Romania	156	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	2	7	58.33	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00			

1960	Russian Federation	157	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	2	1	3	9	75.00	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1970	Russian Federation	157	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	2	1	3	9	75.00	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1980	Russian Federation	157	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1990	Russian Federation	157	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
2000	Russian Federation	157	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2009	Russian Federation	157	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00																3	3	100.00	
1960	Rwanda	158	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Rwanda	158	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1980	Rwanda	158	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1990	Rwanda	158	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	1	1	33.33
2000	Rwanda	158	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	1	1	33.33
2009	Rwanda	158	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	1	1	33.33
1960	Samoa	159																												
1970	Samoa	159	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86																			
1980	Samoa	159	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86																			
1990	Samoa	159	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86																			
2000	Samoa	159	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86																			
2009	Samoa	159	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86																			
1960	San Marino	160	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			
1970	San Marino	160	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			
1980	San Marino	160	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			
1990	San Marino	160	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			
2000	San Marino	160	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			
2009	San Marino	160	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			
1960	Sao Tome and Principe	161	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			
1970	Sao Tome and Principe	161	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			
1980	Sao Tome and Principe	161	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			
1990	Sao Tome and Principe	161	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			
2000	Sao Tome and Principe	161	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			

2000	Singapore	167	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	2	2	1	8	66.67	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2009	Singapore	167	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	2	2	1	8	66.67	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1960	Slovak Republic	168	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1970	Slovak Republic	168	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1980	Slovak Republic	168	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	85.71	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1990	Slovak Republic	168	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2000	Slovak Republic	168	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2009	Slovak Republic	168	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1960	Slovenia	169	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Slovenia	169	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1980	Slovenia	169	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1990	Slovenia	169	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2000	Slovenia	169	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2009	Slovenia	169	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1960	Solomon Islands	170																												
1970	Solomon Islands	170	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			
1980	Solomon Islands	170	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			
1990	Solomon Islands	170	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			
2000	Solomon Islands	170	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			
2009	Solomon Islands	170	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			
1960	Somalia	171	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Somalia	171	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	1	1	33.33
1980	Somalia	171	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	1	1	33.33
1990	Somalia	171	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	1	1	33.33
2000	Somalia	171	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
2009	Somalia	171	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1960	South Africa	172	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1970	South Africa	172	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1980	South Africa	172	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	0	0	0	0.00	3	3	100.00

1990	South Africa	172	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	0	0	0	0.00	3	3	100.00	
2000	South Africa	172	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	3	0	7	58.33	2	3	0	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00	
2009	South Africa	172	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	3	0	7	58.33	2	3	0	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00	
1960	Spain	173	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67	
1970	Spain	173	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67	
1980	Spain	173	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	3	3	100.00	
1990	Spain	173	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	3	3	100.00	
2000	Spain	173	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	3	3	100.00	
2009	Spain	173	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	3	3	100.00	
1960	Sri Lanka	174	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00	
1970	Sri Lanka	174	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00	
1980	Sri Lanka	174	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	2	2	2	9	75.00	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00	
1990	Sri Lanka	174	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	2	2	2	9	75.00	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00	
2000	Sri Lanka	174	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	2	2	2	9	75.00	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00	
2009	Sri Lanka	174	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	2	2	2	9	75.00	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00	
1960	St. Kitts and Nevis	175	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86																				
1970	St. Kitts and Nevis	175	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86																				
1980	St. Kitts and Nevis	175	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86																				
1990	St. Kitts and Nevis	175	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86																				
2000	St. Kitts and Nevis	175	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86																				
2009	St. Kitts and Nevis	175	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86																				
1960	St. Lucia	176	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86																				
1970	St. Lucia	176	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86																				
1980	St. Lucia	176	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86																				
1990	St. Lucia	176	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86																		3	3	100.00
2000	St. Lucia	176	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86																		3	3	100.00
2009	St. Lucia	176	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86																				
1960	St. Vincent and the Grenadines	177	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																				
1970	St. Vincent and the Grenadines	177	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																				

1970	Switzerland	182	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1980	Switzerland	182	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1990	Switzerland	182	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	0	0	0	0.00	3	3	100.00
2000	Switzerland	182	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	3	3	100.00
2009	Switzerland	182	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	3	3	100.00
1960	Syrian Arab Republic	183	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Syrian Arab Republic	183	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1980	Syrian Arab Republic	183	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	3	0	7	58.33	2	3	0	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1990	Syrian Arab Republic	183	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	3	0	7	58.33	2	3	0	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	1	1	33.33
2000	Syrian Arab Republic	183	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	3	0	7	58.33	2	3	0	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	1	1	33.33
2009	Syrian Arab Republic	183	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	3	0	7	58.33	2	3	0	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1960	Tajikistan	185	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	2	1	2	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1970	Tajikistan	185	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	2	1	2	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1980	Tajikistan	185	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1990	Tajikistan	185	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
2000	Tajikistan	185	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2009	Tajikistan	185	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00																	3	3	100.00
1960	Tanzania	186	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	3	1	10	83.33	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Tanzania	186	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	3	1	10	83.33	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1980	Tanzania	186	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	3	1	10	83.33	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1990	Tanzania	186	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	3	1	10	83.33	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
2000	Tanzania	186	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	3	1	10	83.33	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2009	Tanzania	186	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	3	1	10	83.33	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1960	Thailand	187	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	4	57.14	2	1	1	2	0	6	50.00	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Thailand	187	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	4	57.14	2	1	2	2	0	7	58.33	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1980	Thailand	187	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	4	57.14	2	1	2	3	1	9	75.00	2	3	2	7	87.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1990	Thailand	187	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	4	57.14	2	1	2	3	1	9	75.00	2	3	2	7	87.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2000	Thailand	187	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	4	57.14	2	1	2	3	1	9	75.00	2	3	2	7	87.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2009	Thailand	187	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	4	57.14	2	1	2	3	1	9	75.00	2	3	2	7	87.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00

1960	Togo	189	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67		
1970	Togo	189	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67		
1980	Togo	189	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67		
1990	Togo	189	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	1	1	33.33
2000	Togo	189	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
2009	Togo	189	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1960	Tonga	190	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			
1970	Tonga	190	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			
1980	Tonga	190	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			
1990	Tonga	190	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			
2000	Tonga	190	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			
2009	Tonga	190	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																			
1960	Trinidad and Tobago	191	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	3	3	12	100.00	2	3	3	8	100.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Trinidad and Tobago	191	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	3	3	12	100.00	2	3	3	8	100.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1980	Trinidad and Tobago	191	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	3	3	12	100.00	2	3	3	8	100.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1990	Trinidad and Tobago	191	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	3	3	12	100.00	2	3	3	8	100.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2000	Trinidad and Tobago	191	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	3	3	12	100.00	2	3	3	8	100.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2009	Trinidad and Tobago	191	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	2	2	3	3	12	100.00	2	3	3	8	100.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1960	Tunisia	192	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	1	1	1	1	0	4	33.33	1	1	0	2	25.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Tunisia	192	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1980	Tunisia	192	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1990	Tunisia	192	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2000	Tunisia	192	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	2	1	1	7	58.33	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2009	Tunisia	192	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	2	2	1	8	66.67	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1960	Turkey	193	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	4	57.14	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1970	Turkey	193	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	4	57.14	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1980	Turkey	193	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	4	57.14	2	1	1	1	1	6	50.00	2	1	1	4	50.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1990	Turkey	193	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2000	Turkey	193	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00

2009	Turkey	193	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1960	Turkmenistan	194	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Turkmenistan	194	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1980	Turkmenistan	194	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1990	Turkmenistan	194	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
2000	Turkmenistan	194	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
2009	Turkmenistan	194	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1960	Uganda	195	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	2	0	6	50.00	2	2	0	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Uganda	195	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	2	0	6	50.00	2	2	0	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1980	Uganda	195	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	2	0	6	50.00	2	2	0	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	66.67
1990	Uganda	195	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	2	0	6	50.00	2	2	0	4	50.00	0	0	0	0.00	3	3	100.00
2000	Uganda	195	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
2009	Uganda	195	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	3	1	8	66.67	2	3	1	6	75.00	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1960	Ukraine	196	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	2	1	2	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1970	Ukraine	196	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	2	1	2	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1980	Ukraine	196	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1990	Ukraine	196	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
2000	Ukraine	196	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
2009	Ukraine	196	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1960	United Arab Emirates	197	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1970	United Arab Emirates	197	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1980	United Arab Emirates	197	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1990	United Arab Emirates	197	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
2000	United Arab Emirates	197	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
2009	United Arab Emirates	197	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1960	United Kingdom	198	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	1	2	7	58.33	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1970	United Kingdom	198	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	1	2	7	58.33	2	1	2	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1980	United Kingdom	198	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	3	2	9	75.00	2	3	2	7	87.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1990	United Kingdom	198	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	3	2	9	75.00	2	3	2	7	87.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00

2000	United Kingdom	198	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	3	2	9	75.00	2	3	2	7	87.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2009	United Kingdom	198	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	5	71.43	2	1	1	3	2	9	75.00	2	3	2	7	87.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1960	United States	199	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1970	United States	199	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1980	United States	199	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1990	United States	199	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2000	United States	199	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
2009	United States	199	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	2	1	7	58.33	2	2	1	5	62.50	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00
1960	Uruguay	200	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	4	57.14	2	2	2	2	1	9	75.00	2	3	2	7	87.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1970	Uruguay	200	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	4	57.14	2	2	2	2	1	9	75.00	2	3	2	7	87.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1980	Uruguay	200	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	4	57.14	2	2	2	2	1	9	75.00	2	3	2	7	87.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1990	Uruguay	200	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	4	57.14	2	2	2	2	1	9	75.00	2	3	2	7	87.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
2000	Uruguay	200	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	4	57.14	2	2	2	2	1	9	75.00	2	3	2	7	87.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
2009	Uruguay	200	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	4	57.14	2	2	2	2	1	9	75.00	2	3	2	7	87.50	1	2	3	100.00	3	3	100.00
1960	Uzbekistan	201	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	2	1	2	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1970	Uzbekistan	201	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	2	1	2	8	66.67	2	1	3	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1980	Uzbekistan	201	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1990	Uzbekistan	201	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
2000	Uzbekistan	201	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
2009	Uzbekistan	201	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00	2	1	1	1	0	5	41.67	2	1	0	3	37.50	1	2	3	100.00	2	2	66.67
1960	Vanuatu	202																												
1970	Vanuatu	202																												
1980	Vanuatu	202																												
1990	Vanuatu	202	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86																			
2000	Vanuatu	202	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86																			
2009	Vanuatu	202	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	42.86																			
1960	Venezuela, RB	203	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1970	Venezuela, RB	203	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67
1980	Venezuela, RB	203	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	1	1	33.33

1990	Venezuela, RB	203	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	1	1	33.33		
2000	Venezuela, RB	203	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	1	1	33.33		
2009	Venezuela, RB	203	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29	2	1	1	2	2	8	66.67	2	2	2	6	75.00	1	1	2	66.67	1	1	33.33		
1960	Vietnam	204	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																					
1970	Vietnam	204	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																					
1980	Vietnam	204	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00																					
1990	Vietnam	204	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00																	3	3	100.00		
2000	Vietnam	204	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00																	3	3	100.00		
2009	Vietnam	204	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	100.00																					
1960	Yemen, Rep.	207	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																		2	2	66.67	
1970	Yemen, Rep.	207	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																		2	2	66.67	
1980	Yemen, Rep.	207	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																		2	2	66.67	
1990	Yemen, Rep.	207	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																		1	1	33.33	
2000	Yemen, Rep.	207	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																		2	2	66.67	
2009	Yemen, Rep.	207	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																		2	2	66.67	
1960	Zambia	208	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	2	3	3	12	100.00	2	3	3	8	100.00	1	1	2	66.67	2	2	66.67		
1970	Zambia	208	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	2	2	2	3	3	12	100.00	2	3	3	8	100.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
1980	Zambia	208	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	5	71.43	2	2	2	3	3	12	100.00	2	3	3	8	100.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
1990	Zambia	208	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	5	71.43	2	2	2	3	3	12	100.00	2	3	3	8	100.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
2000	Zambia	208	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	5	71.43	2	2	2	3	3	12	100.00	2	3	3	8	100.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
2009	Zambia	208	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	5	71.43	2	2	2	3	3	12	100.00	2	3	3	8	100.00	1	1	2	66.67	3	3	100.00		
1960	Zimbabwe	209	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																					
1970	Zimbabwe	209	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14.29																					
1980	Zimbabwe	209	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	4	57.14																					
1990	Zimbabwe	209	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	4	57.14																		3	3	100.00	
2000	Zimbabwe	209	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	4	57.14																		3	3	100.00	
2009	Zimbabwe	209	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	4	57.14																		3	3	100.00	

1. Boland R (1974-) Annual Review of Population Law. Boston.
2. Kellogg EH, Kline DKja, Stepan Jja (1975) The world's laws and practices on population and sexuality education. Medford, Mass.: Law and Population Programme, Fletcher School of Law and Diplomacy, Tufts University. vi, 127 p. p.
3. Stepan J, Kellogg EH (1974) The World's Laws on Contraceptives. Law and Population Monograph Series 17.
4. United Nations Fund for Population Activities, International Advisory Committee on Population and Law, United Nations Population Fund, School HL (1978-1994) Annual Review of Population Law. Law and Population Monograph Series.
5. Rahman A, Katzive L, Henshaw SK (1998) A global review of laws on induced abortion, 1985-1997. International Family Planning Perspectives 24: 56-64.
6. Bjorklund A (2006) Does family policy affect fertility? Lessons from Sweden. Journal of Population Economics 19: 3-24.
7. Bongaarts J (1994) The Impact of Population Policies: Comment. Population and Development Review 20: 616-620.
8. Farley JU, Samuel SJ (1981) Potential Impact on Birth Rates of Reforms in Laws Governing Contraceptive Marketing. Policy Science 13: 439-458.
9. Foster A, Roy N (1997) The dynamics of education and fertility: evidence from a family planning experiment.
10. Klerman JA (1999) U.S. Abortion Policy and Fertility The American Economic Review 89: 261-264.
11. Levine PB, Staiger D, Kane TJ, Zimmerman DJ (1999) Roe v Wade and American fertility. American Journal of Public Health 89: 199-203.
12. McDonald P (2006) Low Fertility and the State: The Efficacy of Policy. Population and Development Review 32: 485-510.
13. Molyneaux JW, Gertler PJ (2000) The Impact of Targeted Family Planning in Indonesia. Population and Development Review 26: 61-85.
14. Ross J, Stover J (2001) The family planning program effort index: 1999 cycle. International Family Planning Perspectives 27: 119-129.
15. United Nations Population Division (2003) Fertility, contraception and population policies. United Nations
16. Knowles JC, Akin JS, Guilkey DK (1994) The Impact of Population Policies - Comment. Population and Development Review 20: 611-615.
17. Pritchett L (1994a) Desired Fertility and the Impact of Population Policies. Population and Development Review 20: 1-55.
18. Pritchett L (1994b) The Impact of Population Policies: Reply. Population and Development Review 20: 621-630.
19. Heston A, Summers R, Aten B (May 2001) Penn World Table Version 7.0. Center for International Comparisons of Production, Income and Prices at the University of Pennsylvania.
20. Ross J, Stover J, Adelaja D (2007) Family Planning Programs in 2004: New Assessments in a Changing Environment. International Family Planning Perspectives 33: 22-30.
21. Boland R (2002) Chapter 4. Law and Policy. In: EngenderHealth, editor. Contraceptive Sterilization: Global Issues and Trends. New York: EngenderHealth.
22. Secretariat UN (2002) Abortion Policies: A Global Review Population Division of the Department of Economic and Social Affairs: The Population Policy Data Bank.
23. (1976) Survey of contraceptive laws: country profiles, checklists, and summaries: United Nations Fund for Population Activities.
24. Ross JA, Hong S, Huber DH (1985) Voluntary Sterilization: An International Fact Book: Association for Voluntary Sterilization.
25. (2010) Fertility rate, total. World Development Indicators & Global Development Finance.

26. Goujon A, Samir KC, Lutz W, Sanderson W (2000) Education, Reconstruction and Projections. IIASA World Population Program and Vienna Institute of Demography (VID).
 27. Teorell J, Nicholas Charron, Marcus Samanni, Sören Holmberg & Bo Rothstein (2011) The Quality of Government Dataset, version 6Apr11. The Quality of Government Institute.
 28. (1995) Worldmark Encyclopedia of the Nations. 8th ed. Detroit: Gale Research.
 29. (1996) CIA World Factbook. Central Intelligence Agency.
 30. Barrett D (1982) World Christian Encyclopedia: a Comparative Study of Churches and Religions in the Modern World, AD 1900-2000. New York: Oxford University Press.
 31. Bernard M, Reenock C, Nordstrom T (2004) The Legacy of Western Overseas Colonialism on Democratic Survival. *International Studies Quarterly* 48: 225-250.
 32. Zerai A, Tsui A (2001) The Relationship between Prenatal Care and Subsequent Modern Contraceptive use in Bolivia, Egypt and Thailand. *African Journal of Reproductive Health* 5: 68-82.
 33. Valente TW, Saba WP (2001) Campaign exposure and interpersonal communication as factors in contraceptive use in Bolivia. *Journal of Health Communication* 6: 303-322.
 34. Snyder N (1974) Bolivia Firms up Plans to Launch Nation's First Family Planning Effort. *Population Dynamics Quarterly* 2: 1.
 35. Maccorquodale DW, Cisneros AG, Measham AR (1975) How Doctors in Bolivia and Philippines View Sterilization. *Studies in Family Planning* 6: 426-428.
 36. McNamee CB (2009) Wanted and Unwanted Fertility in Bolivia: Does Ethnicity Matter? *International Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health* 35: 166-175.
 37. Paulson S, Bailey P (2003) Culturally Constructed Relationships Shape Sexual and Reproductive Health in Bolivia. *Culture, Health and Sexuality* 5: 483-498.
 38. (2009) Encuesta Nacional de Demografía y Salud. La Paz, Bolivia: Ministerio de Salud y Deportes&Instituto Nacional de Estadística
 39. (2003) Women of the World: Laws and Policies Affecting their Reproductive Lives – East Central Europe.: Center for Reproductive Rights.
 40. Westoff C (2003) Executive Summary- Recent Trends in Abortion and Contraception in Twelve Countries. Office of Population Research, Princeton University.
 41. Levine PB, Staiger D (2004) Abortion Policy and Fertility Outcomes: The Eastern European Experience. *Journal of Law and Economics* 157: 223-243.
 42. Henshaw SK, Singh S, Haas T (1999) The incidence of abortion worldwide. *International Family Planning Perspectives* 25: S30-S38.
-