

### Sam Brannan Middle School

5301 Elmer Way • Sacramento, CA 95822 • 916.395-5360 • Grades 7-8
Enrique Flores, Principal
Enrique-Flores@scusd.edu

# 2015-16 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2016-17 School Year



### Sacramento City Unified School District

5735 47th Avenue Sacramento, CA 95824 (916) 643-7400 www.scusd.edu

### **District Governing Board**

Jay Hansen President, Area 1
Jessie Ryan, 1st VP, Area 7
Darrel Woo 2nd VP, Area 6
Ellen Cochrane, Area 2
Christina Pritchett, Area 3
Michael Minnick, Area 4
Mai Vang, Area 5
Natalie Rosas, Student Member

### **District Administration**

José L. Banda, Superintendent
Superintendent
Lisa Allen
Deputy Superintendent
Chad Sweitzer
Area Assistant Superintendent
Tu Moua-Carroz
Area Assistant Superintendent
Olga L. Simms

Area Assistant Superintendent

Mary Hardin Young

Area Assistant Superintendent

### **School Description**

Sam Brannan Middle School staff, students, and parents share a vision of excellence founded upon high standards for teaching and learning, and quality relationships. We help our students develop Physically, intellectually, socially, and emotionally.

The staff at Sam Brannan is dedicated to preparing students for the challenges of high school and beyond as they become productive, responsible and productive members of the community.

Sam Brannan Middle School students engage a rigorous curriculum that is both challenging and exciting. Learning experiences include the core program and GATE/Honors program, and a rich offering of fascinating electives designed for complexity, novelty and creativity.

In addition to an array of enrichment activities, Sam Brannan students participate in Mathletes, All-city Orchestra and Honor Band, Robotics, special education, student government / leadership class and other extended day clubs and enrichment activities. Sam Brannan has also built a solid sports program offering extra-curricular boys and girls' basketball, soccer, track, golf, volleyball, and softball teams.

As a school committed to grade level achievement for all students, Sam Brannan teachers regularly participate in staff development opportunities resulting in improved instructional practices and raised student achievement. Sam Brannan is committed to developing students who are positive, respectful, responsible and safe .

Sam Brannan Middle School is a safe, clean and supportive learning environment. Sam Brannan students and parents can expect an excellent education.

### **About the SARC**

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2015-16 Student Enrollment by Grade Level						
Grade Level Number of Students						
Grade 7	268					
Grade 8 265						
Total Enrollment	533					

2015-16 Student Enrollment by Group					
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment				
Black or African American	22.3				
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.4				
Asian	17.3				
Filipino	2.3				
Hispanic or Latino	39.4				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	2.4				
White	10.5				
Two or More Races	5.4				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	77.7				
English Learners	15.8				
Students with Disabilities	18.9				
Foster Youth	0.2				

### A. Conditions of Learning

### **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials								
Sam Brannan Middle School	14-15	15-16	16-17					
With Full Credential	23	24	19					
Without Full Credential	0	1	1					
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0					
Sacramento City Unified School District	14-15	15-16	16-17					
With Full Credential	•	<b>*</b>	1574					
Without Full Credential	•	<b>*</b>	83					
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	*	+	20					

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School								
Sam Brannan Middle School 14-15 15-16 16-17								
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0					
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0					
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	1	2					

<sup>&</sup>quot;Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

#### **Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers**

2015-16 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects
Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

Location of Classes

Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

Qualified Teachers

This School

	Qualifica reacticis	Qualifica reactiers
This School	65.3	34.7
	Districtwide	
All Schools	83.9	16.1
High-Poverty Schools	83.1	16.9
Low-Poverty Schools	97.0	3.1

<sup>\*</sup> High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)

Textbooks and instructional materials are reviewed and then piloted across the district. Subsequent adoption by the Board of Education is consistent with the cycle of textbook approval by the State, California School Board. Textbooks are chosen for their alignment with California Content Standards, California Frameworks, and appropriateness for Sacramento City Unified School District students. Textbook sufficiency data is collected annually.

	Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: December 2016						
Core Curriculum Area Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption							
Reading/Language Arts	Holt Literature and Language Arts, Course 1 Holt, Rinehart, Winston Language Arts 2003 Holt Literature and Language Arts, Course 2 Holt, Rinehart, Winston Language Arts 2003						
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%						
Mathematics	Larson BIG IDEAS California Course 2, 2015-Houghton Mifflin Mathematics 2014 Larson BIG IDEAS California Course 3, 2015-Houghton Mifflin Mathematics 2014 Geometry, McDougal Littell Mathematics 2004						
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%						
Science	Life Science, Holt, Rinehart and Winston Science 2007 Focus on Physical Science, Glencoe/McGraw-Hill Science 2007						
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%						
History-Social Science	History Alive! Medieval World and Beyond, Teachers' Curriculum Institute (TCI) History 2005 History Alive! The United States Through Industrialism, Teachers' Curriculum Institute (TCI) History 2005						
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%						
Foreign Language	High Point, Hampton Brown English Language Development 2001						
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%						

### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

The main campus was built in 1961. This school has 41 permanent classrooms which include a multipurpose room, a library, and an administrative building. The school also has 2 portables. During the 2006-07 modernization, renovations and upgrades were made in the following areas: health and safety, site exterior and miscellaneous upgrades.

The district takes great efforts to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority. The district governing board has adopted cleaning standards for all the schools in the district. A summary of these standards is available at the school office, or at the district operations office. The principal works directly with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

The State Legislature established the Deferred Maintenance Fund (DMF) in 1980 to assist districts in maintaining facilities and sites. The District has participated in the Deferred Maintenance Program since its inception. The program requires both the local district and the State of California to share equally in the cost of major deferred maintenance projects, with the maximum contribution from the State limited to approximately one-half of one percent of the District's General Fund and Adult Education Fund operating budgets. For fiscal years 2008-09 through 2012-13, the Deferred Maintenance Program has been included in the State's Tier III Flexibility Program. Therefore, DMF funds received by the District are deposited in the General Fund, and are unrestricted. Due to state budget cuts for 2010-11 these unrestricted funds have not been designated to deferred maintenance purposes. The funds were used as part of the Tier III recommendations approved by the Board on the May 6, 2010 Board Meeting.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 8/30/16						
Control Lawrence		Repair	Status		Repair Needed and	
System Inspected	Good	ood Fair		Poor	Action Taken or Planned	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х					
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Х				Boys Locker Room by Gym: W/O#60259 water damage along west wall W/O#60260 multiple wall cracks along east wall W/O#60621wall(cinder block)cracked under iron beam in boys locker room Classroom 10: W/O#60247water damaged ceiling tiles Classroom 19: W/O#60	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Х					
Electrical: Electrical	Х				Classroom 20: 2 LIGHTS OUT Classroom 22: 8 LIGHTS OUT Classroom 24A: 4 LIGHTS OUT	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Х					
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х					
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х					
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Х				Classroom 25A: W/O#60251 broken window north side Classroom 25B: W/O#60252 broken window south wall Classroom 9: W/O#60246window cracked top corner of sliding window	
Overall Rating	Exemplary X	Good	Fair	Poor	All repairs noted on the inspection have had work orders submitted for repair.	

### **B. Pupil Outcomes**

#### **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2015-16 CAASPP Results for All Students										
	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)									
Subject	Sch	ool	Dist	State						
	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16				
ELA	43	30	35	39	44	48				
Math	35	25	25 28 30 34 36							

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
Subject		School District State							
	13-14	14-15	15-16	13-14	13-14 14-15 15-16			14-15	15-16
Science	69	56	46	6 53 47 47 60 56 54					

\* Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade	2015-16 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards						
Level	4 of 6 5 of 6 6 of 6						
7	17.7	27.1	18.8				

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2015-16 CAASPP Results by Student Group Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)							
	Number o	f Students	Percen	t of Students			
Group	Enrolled with Valid Scores		w/ Valid Scores	Proficient or Advanced			
All Students	264	252	95.5	45.6			
Male	129	122	94.6	45.9			
Female	135	130	96.3	45.4			
Black or African American	65	64	98.5	25.0			
Asian	51	50	98.0	66.0			
Hispanic or Latino	92	87	94.6	42.5			
White	22	19	86.4	73.7			
Two or More Races	17	16	94.1	50.0			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	202	194	96.0	42.3			
English Learners	38	34	89.5	32.4			
Students with Disabilities	51	48	94.1	33.3			

<sup>\*</sup> Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## School Year 2015-16 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

		Number o	of Students	Percent of Students		
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded	
All Students	7	276	270	97.8	30.1	
	8	264	258	97.7	30.7	
Male	7	144	140	97.2	23.0	
	8	129	126	97.7	21.6	
Female	7	132	130	98.5	37.7	
	8	135	132	97.8	39.4	
Black or African American	7	57	56	98.3	21.8	
	8	65	65	100.0	15.4	
Asian	7	43	42	97.7	40.5	
	8	51	51	100.0	46.0	
Hispanic or Latino	7	114	112	98.3	24.1	
	8	92	88	95.7	30.7	
White	7	34	32	94.1	50.0	
	8	22	22	100.0	40.9	
Two or More Races	7	16	16	100.0	25.0	
	8	17	16	94.1	25.0	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	7	210	206	98.1	27.8	
	8	202	198	98.0	28.9	
English Learners	7	47	46	97.9	6.5	
	8	38	37	97.4	8.3	
Students with Disabilities	7	58	56	96.5	3.6	
	8	51	50	98.0	2.0	

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

School Year 2015-16 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven							
	Number of Students Percent of Students						
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded		
All Students	7	276	267	96.7	27.0		
	8	276	267	96.7	27.0		
Male	7	144	137	95.1	30.7		
	8	144	137	95.1	30.7		

### School Year 2015-16 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven  Number of Students  Percent of Students						
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded	
Female	7	132	130	98.5	23.1	
	8	132	130	98.5	23.1	
Black or African American	7	57	54	94.7	13.0	
	8	57	54	94.7	13.0	
Asian	7	43	42	97.7	54.8	
	8	43	42	97.7	54.8	
Hispanic or Latino	7	114	111	97.4	21.6	
	8	114	111	97.4	21.6	
White	7	34	32	94.1	28.1	
	8	34	32	94.1	28.1	
Two or More Races	7	16	16	100.0	31.3	
	8	16	16	100.0	31.3	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	7	210	203	96.7	24.6	
	8	210	203	96.7	24.6	
English Learners	7	47	46	97.9	21.7	
	8	47	46	97.9	21.7	
Students with Disabilities	7	58	53	91.4	5.7	
	8	58	53	91.4	5.7	

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

### C. Engagement

### State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

· Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

### Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

Sam Brannan has parent representation on the School SIte Council and a budding ELAC. Sam Brannan also has a program called Parent Conference Wednesdays where parents meet with teams of teachers to discuss student progress and together develop intervention plans to improve student performance.

### **State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

### **School Safety Plan**

Sacramento City Unified School District Comprehensive Safe School Plan 2016-2017

Section 1..... Crisis Communication Flow

Section 2.....Emergency Plan: Site Level Drill and Emergency Procedures

(a) Lockdown Response

(b) Fire

(c) Bomb Threat, ATF Bomb Threat Checklist

(d) Active Shooter

(e) Chemical

Section 3.....Emergency Plan: Earthquake Emergency Procedures

Section 4...... Emergency Plan: Site Level Use of Schools as a Community Shelter \*\*

Section 5.....District Policies Related to Safety:

(a) Board Policy 5021: Custodial & Parent Rights

(b) Mandated Child Abuse Reporting

(c) Missing Student Protocol

(d) Suicide Risk Assessment

Section 6.....Bullying Policies and Procedures

Section 7......Dangerous Student Notification

Section 8.....Wellness Plan

Section 9.....District Handbook

Section 10.....Component I: Social Climate

Component II: Physical Climate/Campus

Section 11..... Emergency Plan: Site Level Incident Command System

Section 12..... Emergency Plan: Site Level Communication Procedures

Section 13.....Before and After School Programs - Coordinators and Contact Numbers / Days and Hours of Operation on campus

Section 14.....Emergency Plan: Site Level Safe Ingress & Egress Procedures

Section 15... Emergency Plan: Site Level Family Reunification Procedures

Section 16...... Emergency Plan: Site Level Provisions for Students/Staff with Special Needs

Section 17.....School Site Safety Committee Member List, Approval of CSSP

Section 18.....Staff Handbook

Section 19.....Site Specific Documents (option to add documents)

The Comprehensive Safe School Plans for the Sacramento City Unified School District were revised to ensure the contents of the plans were uniform and updated to address the components set forth in Section 32262 of the 2016 California Education Code. This plan is a work in progress as the Safe Schools Office and the Sacramento Police Department continue to seek the most current information and advice from subject matter experts.

Suspensions and Expulsions							
School	2013-14 2014-15 2015-16						
Suspensions Rate	0.0	4.5	8.3				
Expulsions Rate	6.6	0.0	0.0				
District	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16				
Suspensions Rate	4.9	6.1	5.8				
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0				
State	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16				
Suspensions Rate	4.4	3.8	3.7				
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1				

### **D. Other SARC Information**

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2016-17 Federal Intervention Program					
Indicator	District				
Program Improvement Status	In PI				
First Year of Program Improvement	2008-2009				
Year in Program Improvement	Year 3				
Number of Schools Currently in Program Impr	52				
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Impro	76.5				

<sup>\*\*</sup> Once designated by the Red Cross or Office of Emergency Services, the school sites will be notified and Documentation will be inserted in this section.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School				
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)				
Academic Counselor	1.6000			
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.0000			
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	01.000			
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.0000			
Psychologist	0.2000			
Social Worker	0.0000			
Nurse	0.2000			
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.5000			
Resource Specialist	2.0000			
Other	0.0000			
Average Number of Students per Staff Member				
Academic Counselor				

<sup>\*</sup> One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)												
		Cl C			Number of Classrooms*							
	AV	verage Class Si	ze		1-22 23-32			33+				
Subject	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
English	27	27	26	9	7	4	5	4	1	14	13	2
Mathematics	27	25	21	9	9	2	6	9	5	13	9	3
Science	33	28	28	2	5	5	4	5	15	15	11	19
Social Science	31	32	24	3	1	1	6	4	4	14	13	13

<sup>\*</sup> Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

### **Professional Development provided for Teachers**

To realize the vision of Sacramento City Unified School District, which is to fully prepare all students for college career and life, it is imperative that the district provides numerous opportunities to expand the professional repertoire of its administrators and teachers.

To that end, the district has crafted a high quality professional program that is focused on the ELA and math Common Core State Standards, the Next Generation Science Standards, English Language Learners, Balanced Literacy and Instructional Strategies for Addressing the Needs of Diverse Learners. These areas of focus were derived from needs assessments coupled with the analysis of student learning of specific content and that is ongoing with follow-up opportunities, models effective practices, and uses assessments to guide and monitor progress. The learning opportunities afforded through this program deeply align with the needs of our individual schools, as well as our strategic plan, and connect to every aspect of school practice: thinking curriculum, intentional instructional practices, assessment for learning, and lesson design.

These learning experiences, which are offered during the school day and after-school are supported with on-site coaching, are in addition to the required 18-hours of professional development designated for teachers to engage in collaborative professional learning at their respective school sites. Such communities of practices, under the leadership and guidance of site administrators, afford our teachers the opportunity to deepen their content knowledge, strengthen their instructional repertoire, improve their assessment strategies, and foster a shared sense of responsibility. As a result, every teacher will help to promote and nurture a community of learners in which the individual school staff is not only enriched, but the district as a whole is enhanced.

To that end, the district has crafted a high quality professional program that is focused on ELA Common Core State Standards, Math Common Core State Standards, English Language Learners, Balanced Literacy and Instructional Strategies for Addressing the Needs of Diverse Learners. These areas of focus were derived from needs assessments coupled with the analysis of student learning of specific content and that is ongoing with follow-up opportunities, models effective practices, and uses assessments to guide and monitor progress. The learning opportunities afforded through this program deeply align with the needs of our individual schools, as well as our strategic plan, and connect to every aspect of school practice: thinking curriculum, intentional instructional practices, assessment for learning, and lesson design.

These learning experiences, which are offered during the school day and after-school are supported with on-site coaching, are in addition to the required 18-hours of professional development designated for teachers to engage in collaborative professional learning at their respective school sites. Such communities of practices, under the leadership and guidance of site administrators, afford our teachers the opportunity to deepen their content knowledge, strengthen their instructional repertoire, improve their assessment strategies, and foster a shared sense of responsibility. As a result, every teacher will help to promote and nurture a community of learners in which the individual school staff is not only enriched, but the district as a whole is enhanced.

FY 2014-15 Teacher and Administrative Salaries					
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category			
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$40,683	\$45,092			
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$53,467	\$71,627			
Highest Teacher Salary	\$87,749	\$93,288			
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$102,681	\$115,631			
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$104,918	\$120,915			
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$120,601	\$132,029			
Superintendent Salary	\$290,000	\$249,537			
Percent of District Budget					
Teacher Salaries	31%	37%			
Administrative Salaries	5%	5%			

*	For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries &
	Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

FY 2014-15 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries							
Level	Ехр	Average					
Level	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	Teacher Salary			
School Site	7504.1	1610.	5894.1	72206.79			
District	+	•	\$4,556	\$67,009			
State	•	\$5,677	\$75,837				
Percent Diffe	erence: School	29.4	9.9				
Percent Diffe	rence: School	10.2	-1.0				

Cells with ♦ do not require data.

### **Types of Services Funded**

Each district school receives categorical funding to provide supplemental services to assist students reach grade level proficiency and above, master grade level content standards and successfully graduate from high school. Services throughout the district include:

**Class Size Reduction** 

Tutoring

Supplemental instructional materials and books in mathematics, reading/language arts and ELD

Extended Day/Year/ Summer school

**Enrichment programs** 

Access to technology (i.e. SchoolLoop parent/student-school communication system)

Parent Education/Family Nights

Social Services (Healthy Start)

Student/Family Primary Language Support

Translation for Home-School Communication

Counseling (academic and career)

Gifted and Talented Education (GATE)

**Special Education** 

Professional Development

Support Staff, such as nurses, instructional assistants, parent advisors, and counselors

**Supplemental Education Services** 

School Choice

Please check with your child's principal to receive information regarding the services that are specific to your child's school.

### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.