

Sampling Guide for Beneficiary-based Surveys for Select Feed The Future Agricultural Annual Monitoring Indicators & Sample Size Calculator

Diana Stukel, FHI 360/FANTA TOPS/FSN Network East & Southern Africa Regional Knowledge Sharing Meeting Kampala, Uganda Sept 20-22, 2016









Introduction

Feed the Future (including Food for Peace (FFP)) Implementing Partners (IPs) must report annually to USAID on a set of Feed the Future annual monitoring indicators

Data collection options for annual monitoring



Introduction

FANTA Sampling Guide for Beneficiary-based Surveys and accompanying FANTA Excel-based Sample Size Calculator



Sampling Guide for Beneficiary-Based Surveys for Select Feed the Future Agricultural Annual Monitoring Indicators

> Diana Maria Stukel Gregg Friedman

February 2016



Introduction

CHAPTERS IN THE FANTA SAMPLING GUIDE FOR BBSs

- Chapter 1 Purpose and background
- Chapter 2 Four selected Feed the Future annual monitoring indicators
- Chapter 3 Comparison of RM and BBSs
- Chapter 4 When are BBSs appropriate?
- Chapter 5 Timing and frequency of BBS data collection
- Chapter 6 Issues to consider when outsourcing work to an external contractor
- Chapter 7 Sampling frame guidance for BBSs
- Chapter 8 Overview of various approaches for collecting annual monitoring data using BBSs
- Chapter 9 The Household Survey Approach (Approach 1)
- Chapter 10 The Farmer Groups Approach (Approach 2)
- Chapter 11 Sample weighting
- Chapter 12 Producing estimates of indicators
- Chapter 13 Producing confidence intervals and standard errors associated with the indicators

Session Objectives

- 1. Learn under what circumstances BBSs are appropriate
- 2. Discuss a few approaches and sample design elements covered in the guide
- Understand how to undertake a sample size calculation and observe a demonstration of the on-line Excel-based sample size calculator that accompanies the guide

Annual Monitoring Indicators

- Annual monitoring indicators reported to Feed the Future span various sectors: <u>Agriculture</u>, <u>Maternal and Child Health and Nutrition</u> (MCHN), <u>Resilience</u> and <u>Gender</u>.
- Different data collection mechanisms may be needed to support indicators from different sectors
- Most Feed the Future annual monitoring indicators are agriculturebased indicators, so guide focuses on data collection for these
- Feed the Future identified 4 agriculture-based annual monitoring indicators for which data collection is deemed particularly challenging
- All 4 indicators are either totals or functions of totals so different considerations needed than for indicators of proportions or means

Four Challenging Agriculture-based Annual Monitoring Indicators

- Gross Margins
- Value of Incremental Sales
- Number of Farmers and Others who have Applied Improved Technologies or Management Practices as a results of USG assistance
- Number of Hectares of Land under Improved Technologies or Management Practices as a results of USG assistance

Other Feed the Future Agriculture-Based Annual Monitoring Indicators to Potentially Include in Agriculture-based BBSs

- Value of agricultural and rural loans
- # farmers who practiced value chain activities promoted by project
- # MSMEs including farmers receiving USG assistance to access loans
- # MSMEs including farmers receiving business development services from USG-assisted sources
- # MSMEs including farmers receiving assistance to access savings programs

Feed the Future Annual Monitoring Indicators to Collect through Other Means (e.g., routine monitoring, project records, different kind of BBS)

- # individuals who have received USG-supported agricultural sector productivity of food security training
- # people implementing risk-reducing practices/ actions to improve resilience to climate change as a result of USG assistance
- # private enterprises, producer organizations, water users associations, women's groups, trade & business associations, and CBOs that applied improved technologies/ management practices as a result of USG assistance
- *#* food security private enterprises, producer organizations, water users associations, women's groups, trade & business associations, and CBOs receiving USG assistance
- Total increase in installed storage capacity
- Kilometers of roads improved or constructed
- # market infrastructures rehabilitated and/or constructed
- # rural households benefitting directly from USG interventions
- Proportion of female participants in USG-assisted programs designed to increase access to productive economic resources
- All MCHN indicators (10)
- All resilience indicators (4)

BBS versus Routine Monitoring?

Routine Monitoring data collection:

- **IMPLEMENTED BY:** Project staff (M&E personnel or agriculture extension workers)
- LINKED WITH PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION: Data collection either concurrent with project implementation (e.g., during farmer field school) or at same time as regularly scheduled visits to farmer's plots coinciding with key points in production cycle
- FREQUENCY OF COLLECTION: On a continuous basis throughout the year
- **TARGET:** ALL direct beneficiaries

BBS versus Routine Monitoring?

BBS data collection:

- **IMPLEMENTED BY:** Third party firm typically (but can also be implemented by project staff in some cases)
- GENERALLY NOT LINKED WITH PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION: Data collection not linked if BBS uses household survey approach, but can be linked if surveying farmer groups
- FREQUENCY OF COLLECTION: One or more data collections during year
- **TARGET:** A sample of direct beneficiaries

Test Your Knowledge #1

What are the advantages of conducting a BBS over using Routine Monitoring (RM)? (Check all that apply)

- Number of beneficiaries on which data collected is smaller through BBSs than through RM
- BBSs allow for direct measurement on key data points through visit to farmers' plots
- BBSs do not require specialized skills in survey design and implementation
- Data from BBSs can be fed back to project staff more frequently than data from RM
- Data collection for BBSs can be integrated into project implementation (e.g., at same time as farmer group meetings)

Test Your Knowledge #1 (Answers)

What are the advantages of conducting a BBS over using Routine Monitoring (RM)? (Correct marked in green)

- Number of beneficiaries on which data collected is smaller through BBSs than through RM
- BBSs allow for direct measurement on key data points through visit to farmers' plots
- BBSs do not require specialized skills in survey design and implementation
- Data from BBSs can be fed back to project staff more frequently than data from RM
- Data collection for BBSs can be integrated into project implementation (e.g., at same time as farmer group meetings)

When is it appropriate to conduct a BBS?

Large project and inadequate number of data collection staff

Farmer estimates of key agriculturerelated data (such as plot size) considered unreliable and direct measurement through visit to farmer's plot preferred

> Lack of direct contact between project and its beneficiary farmers

What is a Sampling Frame?

- Back-bone of BBSs!
- Comprises complete lists of units for various stages at which sampling takes place
- E.g. Two Stage Cluster Sampling Design
 - <u>Frame 1</u>: Complete list of clusters (e.g., villages or farmer groups) in project implementation area
 - <u>Frame 2</u>: Complete list of direct beneficiaries within each cluster
- E.g. One Stage Sampling Design
 - <u>Frame 1</u>: Complete list of direct beneficiaries within project implementation area

Characteristics of a High Quality Sampling Frame



(extensive information on each entity on frame) **Complete** (information on all relevant entities; no missing entities; no duplicates)

Up-to-date (as current as possible)

Importance of high quality beneficiary registration system!!!



Approach 1: Household Survey Approach

- Resembles traditional household survey but on direct beneficiary population rather than entire population in project implementation area
- Various survey design options:
 - One-stage sampling of direct beneficiaries (used less often) OR
 - Two-stage sampling: first stage sampling of clusters (villages or communities) followed by second stage sampling of direct beneficiaries within sampled villages/ communities
- Households not directly sampled as in more traditional approaches but beneficiaries interviewed at their households or individual farmer plots
- Lot Quality Assurance Sampling (LQAS) not recommended for collecting annual monitoring data

Approach 2: Farmer Groups (FG) Approach

- Less traditional approach where interviewing conducted during project implementation (e.g., at a meeting of a FG during farmer field school)
- One survey design option (Two-stage sampling):
 - First stage sampling of FGs (not households!)
 - Second stage selection of ALL (not subset of!) direct beneficiary farmers within sampled FGs
- Farmers interviewed at next meeting of FG

Approach 2: Farmer Groups Approach

Appropriate:

 For projects with large number of beneficiaries and inadequate number of data collection staff

AND

 When direct measurement on key indicators (such as plot size) NOT deemed necessary

AND

• When project works with farmer groups

Approach 2: Farmer Groups (FG) Approach

- Data relating to 4 agriculture indicators are collected with reliance on farmer recall
- No direct measurement of data (e.g., plot size) possible since no visit to farmer plot
- Data are sample weighted to represent all project beneficiaries receiving agriculture goods, services or training
- Less time & resource intensive than Approach 1, (no need to locate, visit and take measurements at households) but may yield less accurate results

Approach 2: Farmer Groups (FG) Approach

Challenges in implementing this approach:

- Importance of maintaining frame of FGs and frame of beneficiaries within each FG
- Importance of interviewing farmers individually to avoid biased results and to uphold confidentiality protection
- Practical issues with interviewing all 15-30 farmers in a sampled FG during one session; need for dedicated meeting and sufficient number of interviewers
- Unpredictable sample size since approach reliant on farmers attending next meeting of FG

How to Choose the Right Approach?



Test Your Knowledge #2

Case Study Example: You are implementing a **small project** where you work **directly with beneficiary farmers** on a number of agriculture-based interventions. Experience in other surveys indicates that farmers provide **inaccurate estimates of their plot sizes**. Project interventions currently **do not include periodic visits to farmers' plots** by M&E staff or agriculture extension workers. Using the flow diagram, what would be the best means of collecting annual monitoring data? (Check one only)

- BBS using Household Survey Approach
- BBS using Farmer Groups Approach
- □ Routine Monitoring (no survey)

Test Your Knowledge #2 (Answers)

Case Study Example: You are implementing a **small project** where you work **directly with beneficiary farmers** on a number of agriculture-based interventions. Experience in other surveys indicates that farmers provide **inaccurate estimates of their plot sizes**. Project interventions currently **do not include periodic visits to farmers' plots** by M&E staff or agriculture extension workers. Using the flow diagram, what would be the best means of collecting annual monitoring data? (Check one only)

BBS using Household Survey Approach

- BBS using Farmer Groups Approach
- □ Routine Monitoring (no survey)

Survey Steps for Approaches 1 & 2

Both the Household Survey Approach and the Farmer Groups Approach entail a number of survey design steps.....



I think you should be a little more specific, here in Step 2

Survey Design Steps for Drawing a Sample Using Approaches 1 & 2



Survey Step: Calculate the Sample Size



Calculate the Sample Size

- BBSs are "descriptive surveys" where the interest is in producing estimates of indicators to provide snapshot of situation at a single point in time
 - Aim is to achieve estimates with high "precision" (i.e., low standard errors) by controlling the sample size
 - Specific sample size formula needed for <u>"single point in time" estimates</u>
- Most Feed the Future agricultural annual monitoring indicators are totals or functions of totals
- Use specific sample size formula for "single point in time" estimates that are totals

Test Your Knowledge #3

We do not use sample size formulas to support statistical tests of differences over time for indicators of totals. Why? (Check one only)

A) Testing for statistical differences of indicators of totals does not make sense

B) Testing differences of totals is not the main aim in the context of annual monitoring

Test Your Knowledge #3 (Answers)

We do not use sample size formulas to support statistical tests of differences over time for indicators of totals. Why? (Check one only)

A) Testing for statistical differences of indicators of totals does not make sense

B) Testing differences of totals is not the main aim in the context of annual monitoring

Calculate the Sample Size: Four Challenging Agriculture based Annual Monitoring Indicators



- Gross Margins (function of totals)
 - Sample size formula complex, so not recommended to use Gross Margins for sample size computation
- Value of Incremental Sales (total constant)
- Number of Farmers and Others who have Applied Improved Technologies (total)
- Number of Hectares of Land under Improved Technologies (total)

Calculate the Sample Size

Example: Value of Incremental Sales

$$VIS = VS_{RY} - \left[\left(\frac{N_{RY}}{N_{BY}}\right)VS_{BY}\right]$$

where

- VS_{RY} = Value of sales for reporting year (value obtained from current BBS)
- VS_{BY} = Value of sales for base year (value is known from base year data collection)
- N_{RY} = Number of beneficiaries for reporting year (value is known from project records)
- N_{BY} = Number of beneficiaries for base year (value is known from base year project records)
- At time of BBS, all above values known constants except VS_{RY}
- VIS is essential a total minus a constant, i.e., a total

Calculate the Sample Size

Sample size formula for a single point-in-time estimator of a total:

initial sample size = $n_{initial} = \frac{N^2 * z^2 * s^2}{MOE^2}$

where

- *N* = total number of beneficiary farmers
- *z*= critical value of normal distribution (typically use *z*= 1.96)
- *s*= standard deviation of the distribution of beneficiary data
 - If no prior value available for *s*, use estimate:

6

- *MOE* = margin of error = *p** *target value of indicator*
 - p=acceptable percentage error; typically $0.05 \le p \le 0.1$
 - *p*=0.1 recommended for annual monitoring

Case Study Example

You are the M&E specialist for a Feed the Future project in Burundi. You have decided to conduct a beneficiary-based survey (BBS) to collect your agricultural annual monitoring data and <u>you need to calculate the sample size for the survey</u>. You have decided to use a household survey approach using a two-stage clustered design. One of the indicators of interest is the Value of Incremental Sales. Here is some information on your project:

- Number of beneficiaries: 60,000 small-holder farmers
- Expected maximum value of sales for any individual beneficiary: US\$1,200
- Target value of sales over all beneficiaries for this year: US\$15,000,000 (or US\$250 per beneficiary)





Calculate the Sample Size



Example: Value of Incremental Sales

- **N**=60,000 small-holder beneficiary farmers
- **z**= 1.96
- **s**: no prior value available so must use estimate
 - Maximum value of sales for individual beneficiary is US\$1,200
 - Minimum value of sales for individual beneficiary is US\$0
 - s = (US\$1,200 US\$0)/ 6 = US\$200

Calculate the Sample Size

Example: Value of Incremental Sales (continued)

- **MOE** = margin of error = *p** target value of indicator
 - *p*=0.1 (recommended value for acceptable percentage error)
 - Target value of indicator is US\$250 for each individual beneficiary, or US\$15,000,000 for N=60,000 farmers
 - MOE = 0.1*US\$15,000,000 = US\$1,500,000
- *initial sample size* = $n_{initial} = \frac{N^2 * z^2 * s^2}{MOE^2} = \frac{60,000^2 * 1.96^2 * 200^2}{1,500,000^2} = 246$

Screen shot from accompanying sample size calculator

SAMPLE SIZE CALCULATOR FOR BENEFICIARY-BASED SURVEYS IN SUPPORT OF SELECT FEED THE FUTURE AGRICULTURAL ANNUAL MONITORING INDICATORS

Please fill in appropriate values or select choices from the drop-down boxes provided for all cells highlighted in red.

SURVEY DESIGN OPTION ¹ - Two-stage cluster design with systematic selection of beneficiaries

INDICATOR 2 - Value of incremental sales (collected at farm level) attributed to USG implementation

 INITIAL
SAMPLE SIZE
 N
 Population of beneficiaries
 60,000

 Estimate of standard deviation available?
 NO

 If YES, write estimate here (in units of indicator):
 If YES, write estimate here (in units of indicator):

	If NO, provide estimates of minimum and ma	ximum:	
max	Estimate of maximum (per beneficiary)	1,200.00	
		units of currency	
min	Estimate of minimum (per beneficiary)	0.00	1
		units of currency	
S	Standard deviation	200.00	
р	Acceptable percentage error (Margin of error parameter)	10.0%	
	Target value of indicator (Margin of error parameter)	15,000,000.00	
MOE	Margin of error	1,500,000	
	Confidence level	95%	and the second
z	Critical value from Normal Probability Distribution	1.96	
n _{initial}	Initial sample size	246	

Calculate the Sample Size



- Three adjustments to the initial sample size needed
- final sample size = n_{final} = n_{initial} * adj_{FPC} * adj_{DEFF} * adj_{NR}
- *adj*_{FPC} = Finite Population Correction adjustment
 - Need for populations that are small relative to initial sample size (i.e., when $n_{initial} > 0.05 * N$)
 - Can reduce sample size somewhat in this case
 - Most often not needed and if not adj_{FPC} =1
- *adj*_{DEFF} = Design Effect (DEFF) adjustment
 - Need for two-stage cluster designs
 - Need to increase sample size to compensate for intra-cluster correlations
 - Use value of DEFF for same or similar indicators from prior surveys
 - In absence of prior information, use adj_{DEFF} =2
- *adj_{NR}*= Non-response adjustment
 - Need to increase sample size to compensate for anticipated non-response at beneficiary level
 - Use values from prior similar surveys in same geographic area
 - In absence of prior information, assume 5% non-response or $adj_{NR} = 1/(1-0.05)$

Calculate the Sample Size



Example: Value of Incremental Sales (continued)

- adj_{FPC} = Finite Population Correction adjustment
 - Check: n_{initial} = 246 is not greater than 0.05 * N = 0.05 * 60,000 = 3,000
 - Adjustment not needed so adj_{FPC}=1
- adj_{DEFF} = Design Effect (DEFF) adjustment
 - Assume absence of prior information, so $adj_{DEFF} = 2$
- *adj_{NR}*= Non-response adjustment
 - Assume absence of prior information, so $adj_{NR} = 1/(1-0.05)$
- final sample size = $n_{final} = n_{initial} * adj_{FPC} * adj_{DEFF} * adj_{NR}$ = 246 * 1 * 2 * $\frac{1}{1 - 0.05} = 518$

Screen shot from accompanying sample size calculator

ADJUSTMENT 1		Use adjustment 1? (YES for all survey design options but only if n_ _{initial} /N is greater than 5%)	NO
Finite population	n _{initial} / N	Ratio of initial sample size to population size (%)	0.4%
correction	N _{adj1}	Adjusted sample size (1)	246
ADJUSTMENT 2		Use adjustment 2? (YES for survey design options 1,2 and 4)	YES
Design effect	D	Design effect	Enter value below:
			2.00
	n _{adj2}	Adjusted sample size (2)	492
ADJUSTMENT 3		Use adjustment 3? (YES for all survey design options)	YES
Non-response		Non-response rate	5.00%
1 B	N _{adj3}	Adjusted sample size (3)	518
FINAL SAMPLE SIZE	n _{final}	Final sample size	518



DEMONSTRATION OF EXCEL-BASED SAMPLE SIZE CALCULATOR!!

Calculate the Sample Size

• Do similar computation for other challenging agriculture indicators (do not use Gross Margins)

INDICATOR	FINAL SAMPLE SIZE
Value of Incremental Sales	518
Number of Farmers and Others who have Applied Improved Technologies	809
Number of Hectares of Land under Improved Technologies	360

- Take largest (affordable) sample size among all sample sizes computed
- "Number of Farmers and Others who have Applied Improved Technologies" is indicator with greatest sample size requirement, so overall sample size for survey is 809

Calculate the Sample Size: Four Challenging Agriculture-based Annual Monitoring Indicators



Calculate the Sample Size



It is recommended to use a minimum sample size of 525 beneficiaries (including inflation for non-response) for any BBS

Why?

- To ensure reasonable precision for Feed the Future required disaggregates
- To ensure reasonable precision for any district or other subproject geographic areas for which IPs wish to produce estimates
- To compensate for diminished sample size due to screening out of non-small holder farmers for Gross Margins and Value of Incremental Sales indicators
- To justify base cost of survey

Survey Design Steps for Drawing a Sample Using Approaches 1 & 2



After data collection, analysis...

- Data entry (if not using a tablet or PDA)
- Data cleaning (consistency and logic checks)
- Production of <u>sampling weights</u> to take into account:
 - Probability of selection at each stage of sampling
 - Non-response at individual beneficiary level
- Production of <u>estimates of indicators</u> (using software packages)
- Production of associated <u>confidence intervals</u> and <u>standard errors</u> (using software packages)

• Contact me at:

Diana Stukel, Senior Scientist, Survey Methods, FANTA Project, FHI 360 <u>dstukel@fhi360.org</u>

• Link to guide and calculator can be found at:

https://agrilinks.org/library/sampling-guidebeneficiary-based-surveys-select-feed-futureagricultural-annual-monitoring





This presentation is made possible by the generous support of the American people through the support of the Office of Health, Infectious Diseases and Nutrition, Bureau for Global Health, United States Agency for International Development (USAID); the Bureau for Food Security; and the Office of Food for Peace, Bureau for Democracy, Conflict and Humanitarian Assistance, under terms of Cooperative Agreement No. AID-OAA-A-12-00005, through FANTA, managed by FHI 360. The contents are the responsibility of FHI 360 and do not necessarily reflect the views of USAID or the United States Government.