Selected Characteristics of Persons in **Environmental** Science: 1978



CURRENT POPULATION REPORTS/

Special Studies Series P 23, No. 119

U.S. Department of Commerce BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

Special Studies Series P-23, No. 119 Issued July 1982

Selected Characteristics of Persons in **Environmental** Science: 1978



U.S. Department of Commerce Malcolm Baldrige, Secretary Guy W. Fiske, Deputy Secretary Robert G. Dederick, Under Secretary for Economic Affairs-Designate

> BUREAU OF THE CENSUS Bruce Chapman, Director



BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

Bruce Chapman, Director C. L. Kincannon, Deputy Director James R. Wetzel, Acting Associate Director for Demographic Fields

> POPULATION DIVISION Roger A. Herriot, Chief

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The 1978 National Survey of Natural and Social Scientists and Engineers was sponsored by the National Science Foundation, as part of the Manpower Characteristics System, and conducted by the Bureau of the Census.

The principal participants for the National Science Foundation in developing and coordinating the survey were J. James Brown, Study Director, Demographic Studies Group, and Alan Fechter, Head, Scientific and Technical Personnel Studies Section, both members of the Division of Science Resources Studies. Assistance was provided by Nancy M. Conlon, Analyst, Manpower Characteristics Studies Group.

At the Bureau of the Census, Anita Chiera and Jane Ingold, both of Labor Force Statistics Branch, Population Division, had primary responsibility in planning and conducting the survey. This report was prepared by Thomas J. Palumbo. The systems and processing procedures and programs were developed by Ann M. Gifford and Patricia L. Marks, of Population Division. Statistical assistance was provided by Sharon A. Phipps. Overall direction was provided by Gordon Green, Assistant Division Chief (Socioeconomic Statistics Programs), Population Division, and Paula J. Schneider, then Chief, Labor Force Statistics Branch, Population Division.

SUGGESTED CITATION

U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-23, No. 119, Selected Characteristics of Persons in Environmental Science: 1978, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1982.

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402. Postage stamps not acceptable; currency submitted at sender's risk. Remittances from foreign countries must be by international money order or by a draft on a U.S. bank. Current Population Reports are sold in two subscription packages: Series P-20, P-23, P-27, and P-60 are available for \$75 per year (\$18.75 additional for foreign mailing); Series P-25, P-26, and P-28 are available for \$100 per year (\$25 additional for foreign mailing). The single-copy price of this report is \$4.50.

4

Contents

	Page
Introduction	1
Composition	1
Education and training	1
Professional experience and growth of the field	2
Labor force participation	2
Income	4

TEXT TABLES

Α.	Field of science or engineering in 1978, by field of science or engineering in 1976	3
B.	Employment status of environmental scientists in February 1978	3
C.	Full-and part-time work status of environmental scientists in 1978 employed in February 1978	-4

CHART

Region of residence of environmental scientists and the U.S. population 25 years and over: 1978	2
---	---

DETAILED TABLES

1.	Occupation, professional identification, and selected characteristics of environmental scientists: 1978	5
2.	Selected educational characteristics of environmental scientists: 1978	8
3.	Years of professional experience, field of science or engineering in 1976, and job mobility of environmental scientists in 1978	9
4.	Employment status and selected job-related characteristics of environmental scientists: 1978	10
5.	Basic annual salary rate of full-time employed environmental scientists: 1978	14

APPENDIXES

Α.	Definitions and Explanations.	15						
В.	Reliability of the Estimates and Standard Errors of Totals and Percentages							
	Sampling errors	18						
	Nonsampling errors	21						
C .	Questionnaire and Reference Lists	22						
D.	Source of Data	28						
Ε.	Response Rates	29						

APPENDIX TABLES

B-1 B-2	Standard errors of totals	18 19
B-3	Standard errors of percentages for earth scientists	19
B-4	Standard errors of percentages for atmospheric scientists and oceanographers	20
E-1	National sample, by field of science or engineering in 1976, 1974, and 1972, age in 1978, and sex, by response in the 1978 survey (unweighted)	30
E-2	. Respondents and nonrespondents in the 1978 National Survey, by field of science or	
	engineering in 1976, 1974, and 1972, by age in 1978, and sex (unweighted)	31

Selected Characteristics of Persons in Environmental Science: 1978

INTRODUCTION

The statistics in this report are based on the 1978 survey in a series of biennial surveys known as the National Sample of Scientists and Engineers. The series was sponsored by the National Science Foundation and was conducted by the Bureau of the Census. The series began with the 1972 Professional, Technical, and Scientific Manpower Survey, with followup surveys of persons from the 1972 survey conducted in 1974, 1976 and 1978. All persons in the national sample were experienced workers who either had jobs in 1970 or were looking for jobs; new entrants into the labor force since 1970 were not included. Thus, almost none of the sample persons were less than 30 years old in 1978. In addition, the fields of science and engineering in the national sample were limited to persons who met strict educational, occupational, and professional qualifications. For these reasons, persons in the 1978 national sample represented approximately 1.5 million scientists and engineers, only a part of the Nation's total scientific and engineering work force. (The Department of Labor estimated that, based on occupational qualifications alone, there were 2.4 million scientists and engineers in the United States in 1978.)¹

This report is the third in a series of reports based on data collected in the 1978 survey. Profiled here are the characteristics of the 29,775 persons represented in the national sample's field of environmental scientists in 1978: 24,615 earth scientists, 3,481 atmospheric scientists, and 1,678 oceanographers.

COMPOSITION (TABLE 1)

The environmental scientists represented in the national sample were predominantly male (96 percent). Men made up 100 percent of the oceanographers, 97 percent of the atmospheric scientists, and 96 percent of the earth scientists.

The median age in 1978 of the environmental scientists in the national sample was 47 years.

The regional distribution in 1978 of environmental scientists throughout the United States was more concentrated in the South and West and less concentrated in the Northeast and North Central than the general population of the United States 25 years old and over. About 45 percent of the environmental scientists resided in the South, 32 percent in the West, 12 percent in the North Central, and

10 percent in the Northeast. In contrast, estimates from the Current Population Survey indicated that, in March 1978, 32 percent of the general population of the United States 25 years old and over lived in the South, 18 percent in the West, 26 percent in the North Central, and 24 percent in the Northeast (figure).²

The racial distribution in 1978 of environmental scientists throughout the United States was predominantly White (98 percent). However, Asian Americans made up 8 percent of the oceanographers. About 1 percent of the environmental scientists indicated that their ethnic heritage was Hispanic.

The fields of science or engineering (S/E) in the national sample are much more strictly defined categories than occupations. In general, to be classified into a specific field, a person had to have at least two of the following three characteristics: (1) employment in one of a set of specified occupations, (2) an academic degree among a set of specified academic disciplines, and (3) self-identification within a set of specified professions. Because of this criterion, it was possible for persons in each field to be distributed among a spectrum of occupations. In fact, most members of the environmental sciencits group were in environmental science occupations (89 percent); about 73 percent were in earth science. About 9 percent were administrators, managers, or engineers.

EDUCATION AND TRAINING (TABLE 2)

About 41 percent of the environmental scientists held their highest degree at the bachelor's level, 29 percent held theirs at the master's level, and 30 percent held doctorate degrees. About two-thirds of the oceanographers held doctorate degrees. About 80 percent of the environmental scientists held their highest degree in the earth, space and marine sciences, about 6 percent majored in the physical sciences (chemistry, physics, and astronomy), and 4 percent majored in engineering.

Supplementary training programs (such as on-the-job training and employer training programs) gave environmental scientists the opportunity to maintain or improve their academic skills. About 42 percent of this group of scientists took advantage of these programs in 1977.³

¹U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, *Employment and Earnings*, Vol. 26, No. 1, January 1979.

² Current Population Reports, Series P-20, No. 331, Geographical Mobility: March 1975 to March 1978.

³Note that the categories of supplemental training are not mutally exclusive: the same persons may have received more than one kind of supplemental training.



PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE AND GROWTH OF THE FIELD (TABLE 3)

Most of these environmental scientists have been involved in professional work, though not necessarily as environmental scientists, for a number of years. About 94 percent of the environmental scientists had more than 5 years of professional experience, 83 percent had over 10 years, and 49 percent had more than 20 years. The median number of years of professional experience for the group was 21 years. Oceanographers had the lowest median years of professional experience (15 years); a large proportion of them, 35 percent, had between 11 and 15 years.

The upper percent distribution of table A shows the 1978 fields in terms of their 1976 components. Column 3 shows how the stock of environmental scientists in 1978 was generated from the flow of persons from each component of the 1976 National Sample of Scientists and Engineers. About 87 percent of the 1978 environmental scientists were also environmental scientists in 1976; 7 percent entered the 1978 group from other S/E fields in 1976, while the remainder came from outside S/E altogether or from among persons who did not report their S/E status in 1976. The figures in the lower percent distribution of table A illuminate the interfield mobility between 1976 and 1978 of persons in the national sample. Among persons who were in the environmental scientists in 1976; 7.0 percent were in other S/E

fields, such as engineering (3.4 percent), and 3.4 percent were outside S/E fields.

About 32 percent of the environmental scientists employed in both February 1978 and February 1976 changed jobs⁴ during the 2-year period; among these job changers, 20 percent changed their detailed occupation at the time that they changed jobs. Of those employed in February 1978 and January 1974, 48 percent changed jobs during the 4-year period; of these, 23 percent changed detailed occupations as well. Finally, of those employed in February 1978 and January 1972, 58 percent had a different job at the end of the 6-year period than at the beginning; of these, 31 percent changed detailed occupations.⁵

LABOR FORCE PARTICIPATION (TABLE 4)

In February 1978, 93 percent of the environmental scientists were in the labor force. Of those not in the labor force, 86 percent were retired.

The unemployment rate (the number unemployed as a percent of those in the labor force) for environmental scientists was a very low one-half of 1 percent in February

⁴ That is, changed employers or remained with the same employer, but had a significant change in their duties, level of responsibility, or occupation.

⁵ The apparent difference between the 20 percent for those who changed detailed occupations between 1976 and 1978 and the 23 percent for those who changed detailed occupations between 1974 and 1978 is not statistically significant.

1978. By comparison, the national unemployment rate of male professional, technical, and kindred workers 25 years and older in February 1978 (not seasonally adjusted) was 1.5 percent⁶ (table B).

About 3 percent of the environmental scientists experienced unemployment in 1977. The median number of weeks in which unemployed environmental scientists searched for a job was 13; 37 percent of the unemployed searched for 27 weeks or more.

⁶U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, unpublished Current Population Survey data. Approximately 2 percent of the environmental scientists were employed part time in 1978. About 87 percent of the environmental scientists who worked part time in February 1978 were not seeking full-time work (table C). About 97 percent of the environmental scientists employed full time in February 1978 were working in scientific or engineering positions.

About 71 percent of the employed environmental scientists were in four industry groups in 1978: mining and petroleum extraction (29 percent); educational institutions (18 percent), with heavy concentration in college or univer-

Table A. Field of Science or Engineering in 1978 by Field of Science or Engineering in 1976

(Numbers in thousands)

		In field	No. 4			
Field of science or engineering in 1976	Total national		Environ-	Other S.	Not in S/E	
	in 1978	Total	scientists	Total	Engineers	in 1978
Total national sample in 1976	1,350	1,138	30	1,108	721	211
In S/E field in 1976	1,119	1,029	28	1,001	660	90
Environmental scientists	29	28	26	2	1	1
Other S/E field	1,090	1,001	2	999	659	89
Engineers	707	660	1	659	649	47
Not in S/E field in 1976	173	64	1	63	32	109
Did not report in 1976	57	45	1	44	30	12
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION						
Total national sample in 1976	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
In S/E field in 1976	82.9	90.4	93.3	90.3	91.5	42.7
Environmental scientists	2.1	2.5	86.7	0.2	0.1	0.5
Other S/E field	80.7	88.0	6.7	90.2	91.4	42.2
Engineers	52.4	58.0	3.3	59.5	90.0	22.3
Not in S/E field in 1976	12.8	5.6	3.3	5.7	4.4	51.7
Did not report in 1976	4.2	4.0	3.3	4.0	4.2	5.7
Total national sample in 1976	100.0	84.3	2.2	82.1	53.4	15.6
In S/E field in 1976	100.0	92.0	2.5	89.5	59.0	3.0
Environmental scientists	100.0	96.6	89.7	6.9	3.4	3.4
Other S/E field	100.0	91.8	0.2	91.7	60.5	8.2
Engineers	100.0	93.4	0.1	93.2	91.8	6.6
Not in S/E field in 1976	100.0	37.0	0.6	36.4	18.5	63.0
Did not report in 1976	100.0	78.9	1.8	77.2	52.6	21.1

Source: Unpublished data from the 1978 National Sample of Scientists and Engineers.

Table B. Employment Status of Environmental Scientists in February 1978

Employment status	Total		Earth sc	ientists	Atmos; scien	oheric tists	Oceanographers		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Total in labor force in February 1978	27,690	100.0	23,080	100.0	3,052	100.0	1,558	100.0	
Unemployed	27,543	99.5	22,960	99.5	3,025	99.1 0.8	1,558 -	-	

- Represents zero.

Source: Table 4.

Full or part-time work status	Total		Earth sc	ientists	Atmos scien	pheric ntists	Oceanographers	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total employed								
in February 1978	27,543	100.0	22,960	100.0	3,025	100.0	1,558	100.0
Full time	26,795	97.3	22,428	97.7	2,949	97.5	1,417	90.9
Part time	723	2.6	506	2.2	76	2.5	140	9.0
Seeking full-time work	77	0.3	61	0.3	- 1	-	16	1.0
Not seeking full-time work	632	2.3	432	1.9	76	2.5	124	8.0
Seeking not reported	13	-	13	0.1	-	- 1	-	-
Full or part time not reported.	26	0.1	26	0.1	-	-	-	-

Table C. Full-and Part-Time Work Status of Environmental Scientists in 1978 Employed in February 1978

- Represents zero.

Source: Table 4.

sity (17 percent); research institutions (11 percent); and public administration (13 percent).

The major employers of environmental scientists in February 1978 were business or industry (51 percent), educational institutions (19 percent), and U.S. Government (19 percent).

Research and development (R/D) or management and administration were the primary work activities of the largest proportion of employed environmental scientists (59 percent). About 33 percent were primarily involved in R/D itself, and another 10 percent were primarily involved in the management or administration of R/D. About 16 percent were primarily involved in other kinds of management or administration.

The environmental scientists in the national sample were asked to choose, from among a list of topics of critical national interest, the problem to which they devoted the most professional time. About 44 percent selected energy and fuel, 11 percent environmental protection and pollution control, and 10 percent education (mainly teaching). About 14 percent of the environmental scientists either did not report a national interest topic or indicated that this inquiry was not applicable to them.

The Federal Government supported or sponsored at least some of the work of 40 percent of the environmental scientists. Notably, the Department of Interior funded 12 percent; the Department of Defense, 8 percent; and the National Science Foundation, 7 percent; and the Department of Commerce, 7 percent.

INCOME (TABLE 5)

The median basic annual salary rate of environmental scientists employed full time in February 1978 was \$30,234. The median for earth scientists was \$30,456, that for atmospheric scientists was \$29,506, and that for oceanographers

was \$26,740.⁷ The median earnings in 1977, as estimated from the CPS,⁸ for male professional, technical, and kindred workers 14 years old and over who worked year round full time was \$18,224; the comparable figure for women was \$11,995. Male year-round, full-time workers 25 years old and over with 4 or more years of college (regardless of occupation) had mean earnings in 1977 of \$21,441; those with 5 or more years of college had mean earnings of \$25,782. It should be noted that the CPS figures are not strictly comparable with those for environmental scientists in the national sample.⁹

Results from the 1976 survey of the National Sample of Scientists and Engineers showed a median basic annual salary in February 1976 of environmental scientists employed full time of \$25,289. Thus, the median basic annual salary of full-time environmental scientists rose by \$4,945 between February 1976 and February 1978. However, when the 1976 and 1978 basic annual salaries are expressed in constant 1977 dollars, the increase is approximately \$1,761 or about 3 percent per year.¹⁰

⁷ Except for the difference between the median for environmental scientists and that for oceanographers, the apparent differences among the medians for environmental scientists, earth scientists, atmospheric scientists, and oceanographers are not statistically significant.

significant. ⁶U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Money Income in 1977 of Families and Persons in the United States, Series P-60, No. 118.

⁹ The CPS concept of "earnings" includes more sources of remuneration than does the national sample concept of "basic annual salary"; there were also other differences between the national sample's basic annual salary concept and the CPS earnings concept, including differences in reference periods and data collection procedures. CPS figures for 1977 are cited because 1977 is the full year most nearly comparable with the reference year for the 1978 national sample question on basic annual salary.

¹⁰ The 1976-78 comparisons in terms of constant 1977 dollars must be approached cautiously. Problems are introduced into the comparisons by, among other things, the way the basic annual salary data are defined and collected, the differences between the nonresponse adjustment procedures of the 1976 and 1978 surveys, and the difficulty of establishing appropriate time periods for the constant dollar computations.

Table 1. Occupation, Professional Identification, and Selected Characteristics of Environmental Scientists: 1978

(Detail may not add to total because of rounding. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Occupation, professional identification,	Enviror scientist	amental ts, total	Earth scientists		Atmospheric scientists		Oceanographers	
and selected characteristics	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total	29,775	100.0	24,615	100.0	3,481	100.0	1,678	100.0
Malc Female	28,654 1,121	96.2 3.8	23,587 1,028	95. 8 4.2	3,388 93	97.3 2.7	1,678 -	100.0
Under 30 years	108 3,152 4,066	0.4 10.6 13.7	108 2,560 3,171	0.4 10.4 12.9	- 246 419	- 7.1 12.0	- 346 476	- 20.6 28.3
40 to 44 years	5,189 5,514 4 807	17.4 18.5	4,310 4,632 4,389	17.5 18.8 17.8	507 751 284	14.6 21.6 8.2	372 131 134	22.2 7.8 8.0
55 to 59 years. 60 to 64 years. 55 to 99 years.	3,305 1,967	11.1	2,474	10.0 6.0	719	20.7 13.1	112 33	6.7 2.0
70 years and over	430	1.4	367	1.5	25	0.7	38	2.2
Median age	47	(X)	47	(X)	49	(X)	40	(X)
RESIDENCE IN 1978								
Total	29,775	100.0	24,615	100.0	3,481	100.0	1,678	100.0
United States	29,206 2,858	98.1 9.6	24,090 1,874	97.9 7.6	3,481 698	100.0 20.1	1,635 286	97.5 17.0
New England Niddle Atlantic North Central	1,240 1,612 3,688	4.2 5.4 12.4	1,174 3,159	2.8 4.8 12.8	379 508	9.2 10.9 14.6	58 20	3.5 1.2
East North Central West North Central South	2,191 1,496 13,280	7.4 5.0 44.6	1,901 1,258 11.054	7.7 5.1 44.9	270 238 1,297	7.8 6.8 37.3	20 - 928	1.2 - 55.3
South Atlantic East South Central Meet South Contral	3,861 839	13.0	2,358 618	9.6 2.5	788	22.6 4.1	714 79	42.6
West. Nountain.	9,381 5,016	31.5 16.8	8,002 4,616	32.5	977 400	28.1 11.5	401	23.9
Outlying areas	4,365 14 554	14.7 (Z) 1.9	3,386 - 526	13.8 - 2.1		10.0 - -	401 14 29	23.9 0.8 1.7
Not reported	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	2 9 ,775	100.0	24,615	100.0	3,481	100.0	1,678	100.0
White	29,277	98.3	24,303	98.7	3,436	98.7	1,537	91.6
American Indian Chinese, Japanese, Korean	90 365	0.3	90 205	0.4 0.8	- 31	0.4 - 0.9	- 128	- 7.6
All other races	16	(Z)	16	(Z)	-	-	-	-
DISPANIC HERITAGE								•
10121	29,775	100.0	24.615	100.0	3,481	100.0	1.678	100.0
Not Hispanic Not reported	247 28.349 1.178	0.8 95.2 4.0	173 23,598 844	0.7 95.9 3.4	57 3,168 257	1.6 91.0 7.4	18 1,583 78	1.1 94.3 4.6

6

Table 1. Occupation, Professional Identification, and Selected Characteristics of Environmental Scientists: 1978—Continued

(Detail may not add to total because of rounding. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Occupation, professional identification,	Environmental scientists, total		Earth scientists		Atmospheric scientists		Oceanographers	
and selected characteristics	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
OCCUPATION IN 1978								
Total employed in February 1978	27,543	100.0	22,960	100.0	3,025	100.0	1,558	100.0
Computer specialists, total Computer systems analysts Computer scientists Computer programmers Other computer fields	57 - 27 14 17	0.2 - (Z) (Z) (Z)	44 - 27 -	0.2	14 - - 14 -	0.5 - 0.5 -		
Engineers, total	194 	0.7 - 0.1 (2) - 0.5 - (2) - (2) - (2) - (2) - - - - - - - - - - - - -	180 	0.8 	14 	0.5		
Biochemists. Biophysicists. Medical scientists. Other life scientists.								
Physical scientists, total Chemists Physicists and astronomers Other physical scientists Environmental scientists, total Earth scientists Atmospheric scientists Oceanographers	61 29 - 32 24,437 20,197 2,733 1,507	0.2 0.1 - 0.1 88.7 73.3 9.9 5.5	45 29 - 16 20,209 20,197 - 13	0.2 0.1 - (Z) 88.0 88.0 - (Z)	2,733 2,733	- - - 90.4 - 90.4	16 - - 16 1,495 - 1,495	1.0 - 1.0 95.9 - 95.9
Psychologists Social scientists, total Economists Sociologists and anthropologists Other social scientists Health occupations Physician or surgeon Dental technician Medical technician Other health occupations Technicians and technologists,								
Administrators and managers Other occupations Not reported	117 2,414 192 2t	0.4 8.8 0.7 (Z)	105 2,128 178 26	0.1 0.5 9.3 0.8 0.1	12 239 14	0.4 7.9 0.5	- 47 - -	- 3.0 -

See footnote at end of table.

Table 1. Occupation, Professional Identification, and Selected Characteristics of Environmental Scientists: 1978—Continued

Occupation, professional identification.	Environmental scientists, total		Earth scientists		Atmospheric scientists		Oceanographers	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
PROFESSIONAL IDENTIFICATION IN 1978								
Total	29,775	100.0	24,615	100.0	3,481	100.0	1,678	100.0
Computer specialists	70	0.2	14	(Z)	56	1.6	-	-
Engineers	296	1.0	282	1.1	14	0.4	-	-
Mathematicians and statisticians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Life scientists	27	(Z)	27	0.1	- 1	- 1	-	-
Physical scientists	397	1.3	219	0.9	67	1.9	111	6.6
Environmental scientists	26,852	90.2	22,328	90.7	3,110	89.3	1,414	84.3
Psychologists	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-
Social scientists	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
Health occupations	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Technicians, except medical	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-	-
Teachers	31	0.1	31	0.1	-	-	-	-
Administrators and managers	1,701	5.7	1,516	6.2	144	4.1	41	2.5
All other occupations	26	(Z)	13	(Z)	13	0.4	-	-

(Detail may not add to total because of rounding. For meaning of symbols, see text)

_

¹College or university teachers of science or engineering are excluded from teachers and included in occupation corresponding to subject taught.

• - - -

• • •

Table 2. Selected Educational Characteristics of Environmental Scientists: 1978

(Detail may not add to total because of rounding. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Selected educational characteristics	Enviror scientis	nmental ts, total	Earth sc	ientists	Atmos scien	oheric tists	Oceanog	raphers
<u>.</u>	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
HIGHEST DEGREE HELD								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Total	29,775	100.0	24,615	100.0	3,481	100.0	1,67 8	100.0
With a degree	29,775	100.0	24,615	100.0	3,481	100.0	1,678	100.0
ASSOCIATE	10.070		-	/ · · ·	1 216	27.0	-	-
Bachelor's	12,270	41.2	7 062	43.7	1,510	37.0	203	20.9
	8,313	20.0	6 756	20.7	1,103	30.1	1 122	20.8
Doctorate	0,527			0.2	1,048	50.1	1,125	00.9
Ather	, ,,		42	0.2		0.4		_
No degree.		_		_		_		-
Not reported	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MAJOR FIELD OF STUDY FOR HIGHEST DEGREE HELD								
Total	29,775	100.0	24,615	100.0	3,481	100.0	1,678	100.0
Computer science and systems analysis	14	(Z)	14	(Z)	-	-	-	-
Engineering	1,305	4.4	909	3.7	173	5.0	222	13.2
Mathematical sciences	440	1.5	266	1.1	163	4.7	12	0.7
Agricultural sciences	5/3	1.9	5/3	2.3		-		-
Biological sciences	292		1/3	0.7	-	-	118	/.0
Medical sciences	27		-	-	-	-	27	1.0
Dhugion and wateronomy	1 59/	1.0	110		543	4.1	41	2.3
Farth grace and marine sciences	23 577	7.3	20 208	925	2 158	62 0	1 121	J.0
Perchology	23,577	(7).2	1/	(7)	2,150	02.0	1,121	00.0
Fronomics	95	0.3	95			_		-
Sociology and anthropology	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	-
Other social sciences.	647	2.2	549	2.2	83	2.4	16	0 9
Business and commerce	103	0.3	28	0.1	75	2.2	-	
All other fields	468	1.6	286	1.2	124	3.5	58	3.5
All fields below BA	14	(Z)	14	(2)	-	-	-	-
Field not reported	321	1.1	321	1.3	-	-	-	-
SUPPLEMENTAL TRAINING IN 1977 ¹								
Total	29,775	100.0	24,615	100.0	3,481	100.0	1,678	100.0
With supplemental training in 1977	12,381	41.6	10,439	42.4	1,282	36.8	660	39.3
On-the-job training	6,845	23.0	5,800	23.6	664	19.1	382	22.7
Military training applicable to			-					
civilian occupations	191	0.6	128	0.5	63	1.8	-	-
Extension or correspondence courses	1,271	4.3	1,002	4.1	253	7.3	16	1.0
Employer training programs	4,964	16.7	4,328	17.2	413	11.9	313	18.7
Adult education center	931	3.1	873	3.5	58	1.7	-	-
Other training	3,086	10.4	2,544	10.3	437	12.6	105	6.2
No supplemental training in 1977	14,212	47.7	11,487	46.7	1,905	54.7	820	48.9
Not reported	3,182	10.7	2,690	10.9	294	8.5	198	11.8

 1 Sum of types of training may exceed total with training because of multiple response.

203

.

Table 3. Years of Professional Experience, Field of Science or Engineering in 1976, and Job Mobility of Environmental Scientists in 1978

Environmental Atmospheric Earth scientists Oceanographers Professional experience, field in 1976. scientists, total scientists and job mobility Number Percent Number Percent Number Percent Number Percent YEARS OF PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE Total..... 29.775 100.0 24.615 100 0 3.481 100.0 1.678 100 0 With years of professional experience 29,139 97.9 24,135 98.0 3,364 96.6 1,640 97.8 reported..... Less than 1 year..... 116 0.4 116 0.5 1.065 3.6 833 3.4 58 1 7 174 10.4 1 to 5 years..... 6 to 10 years..... 3.267 11.0 2.699 11 0 306 8.8 261 15.6 3,723 15.1 406 11.7 589 35.1 11 to 15 years..... 4,717 15.8 16 to 20 years..... 18.4 4.622 18.8 662 19.0 196 11.7 5.479 21 to 25 years..... 4,596 15.4 3,991 16.2 484 13.9 121 7.2 19.6 8.6 26 to 30 years..... 5.632 18.9 4.823 664 19.1 145 1,469 31 to 35 years..... 1,948 6.5 6.0 457 13.1 22 1.3 1,429 36 to 40 years..... 4.8 1,115 4.5 289 8.3 25 1 5 41 years or more..... 891 3.0 745 3.0 38 1.1 108 6.5 21 Median years of professional experience 21 (X) (X) 23 (X) 15 (X) Years of professional experience not 480 3.4 38 2.3 636 2.1 2.0 117 reported..... FIELD OF SCIENCE OR ENGINEERING IN 1976 100.0 1,678 100.0 24.615 100.0 3.481 29.775 100.0 Total..... Computer specialists..... 72 0.2 34 0.1 38 1.1 Engineers..... 579 82 4.9 704 2.4 2.4 43 1.2 Mathematical specialists..... 17 0.1 17 0.1 Mathematicians..... 17 0.1 17 0.1 ~ --Statisticians..... -0.9 207 -269 0.8 -62 3.7 207 207 0.7 0.8 -Biologists..... -3.7 62 0.2 _ 62 -Medical scientists..... 0.8 Physical scientists..... 747 2.5 538 2.2 196 5.6 13 1.2 Chemists..... 70 0 2 29 0.1 41 -121 3.5 0.8 111 Physicists and astronomers..... 232 0.5 Other physical scientists..... 398 1.0 13 0.8 445 1.5 1.6 34 3,121 89.7 1,352 80.6 Environmental scientists..... 25,927 87.1 21,454 87.2 Earth scientists..... 72.0 21,368 86.8 31 0.9 30 1.8 21,429 3,186 Atmospheric scientists..... 10.7 28 0.1 3.090 88.8 68 4.1 1.254 Oceanographers..... 1,312 4.4 58 0 2 74.7 Psychologists..... -Social scientists..... 102 0.3 102 0.4 -Economists..... _ _ _ Sociologists and anthropologists..... _ ---Other social scientists..... 102 0.3 102 0.4 Not in a field in 1976..... 12 0.3 123 7.3 868 2.9 733 3.0 Did not report in 1976..... 3.6 2.9 1.071 951 3.9 72 2.1 48 JOB MOBILITY 22,960 100 0 3.025 100.0 100.0 Total employed in February 1978.... 27.543 100.0 1.558 93.4 1,429 91.7 Employed in February 1976..... 25.951 21.698 94.5 2.824 94.2 696 Job change since 1976..... 29.9 7,013 30.5 23.0 522 33.5 8.231 1,428 2.0 155 10.0 Occupation change..... 1,645 6.0 6.2 61 No occupation change..... 6,496 23.6 5,494 23.9 635 21.0 367 23.6 0.3 Occupation change not reported..... 91 91 0.4 12.373 55.4 Same job in 1976 and 1978..... 15.193 55.2 53.9 1.957 64.7 863 2.8 Not reported..... Not employed or employment status not 9.2 10.1 44 2,527 2,312 171 5.7 129 8.3 reported in February 1976..... 1.592 5.8 1,262 5.5 200 6.6 2,960 22,186 96.6 Employed in January 1974..... 96.3 97.8 1.387 89.0 26,533 Job change between 1974 and 1978..... 12,792 46.4 10,877 47.4 1,363 45.0 552 35.4 9.9 10.8 Occupation change..... 2,959 10.7 2,491 10,9 299 169 1.064 35.2 383 24.6 No occupation change..... 9,833 35.7 8.386 36.5 Occupation change not reported..... 9,025 47.1 46.4 Same job in 1974 and 1978..... 11,174 40.6 39.3 1.426 723 9.9 171 5.7 113 7.2 Not reported..... 2,567 9.3 2,283 Not employed or employment status not 171 11.0 2.2 reported in February 1974..... 1,010 3.7 774 3.4 65 Employed in 1972..... 26,209 95.2 21,829 95.1 3,025 100.0 1.355 87.5 Job change between 1972 and 1978..... 55.0 12,622 55.0 1,731 57.2 802 51.5 15,155 Occupation change..... 16.9 3,200 13.9 1,295 42.8 151 9.7 4.646 No occupation change..... 10,509 38.2 9,421 41.0 436 14.4 651 41.8 Occupation change not reported..... Same job in 1972 and 1978..... 8,583 31.2 6,951 30.3 1,123 37.1 509 32.7 2,256 Not reported..... 2,471 9.0 9.8 171 5.7 44 2.8 Not employed or employment status not

4.8

1,131

4.9

-

1.334

(Detail may not add to total because of rounding. For meaning of symbols, see text)

reported in 1972.....

Table 4. Employment Status and Selected Job-Related Characteristics of Environmental Scientists: 1978

(Detail may not add to total because of rounding. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Employment status and selected	Enviror scientis	nmental ts, total	Earth sc	ientists	Atmos scien	pheric tists	Oceanogi	aphers
job-related characteristics	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
EMPLOYMENT STATUS IN FEBRUARY 1978								
	}							
Tot al	29,775	100.0	24,615	100.0	3,481	100.0	1,678	100.0
In labor force	27,690	93.0	23,080	93.8	3,052	87.7	1,558	92.8
Employed	27,543	92.5	22,960	93.3	3,025	86.9	1,558	92.8
Full time	26,795	90.0	22,428	91.1	2,949	84.7	1,417	84.5
Part time	723	2.4	506	2.1	76	2.2	140	8.4
Seeking full-time work	77	0.3	61	0.2	-	-	16	1.0
Not seeking full-time work	632	2.1	432	1.8	76	2.2	124	7.4
Not reported	13	(Z)	13	(Z)	-	-	-	-
Full or part time not reported	26	(Z)	26	0.1	-	-	-	-
Unemployed	147	0.5	120	0.5	27	0.8	-	
Not in labor force	2,084	7.0	1,535	6.2	430	12.3	120	7.2
Retired	1,773	6.0	1,280	5.2	430	12.3	63	3.7
Student	29		29	0.1	-	-	-	-
Family responsibilities	3/	0.1	3/	0.1	-	-	-	-
	216		190		-	-		
Other	240	0.0	109	0.0	-	-	57	5.4
FULL-TIME EMPLOYMENT IN SCIENCE OR ENGINEERING IN 1978								
Total employed full time								
in February 1978	26,795	100.0	22,428	100.0	2,949	100.0	1,417	100.0
In science or engineering	26.086	97.4	21.741	96.9	2.927	99.3	1.417	100.0
Not in science or engineering	655	2.4	633	2.8	22	0.7	-	-
Preferred nonscience or nonengineering.	151	0.6	151	0.7	-	-	-	-
Promoted out of science or engineering.	49	0.2	27	0.1	22	0.7	-	-
Pay better in nonscience or								
nonengineering	129	0.5	129	0.6	-	-	-	-
Locational preference	-	- 1	-	- 1	-	-	-	-
Science or engineering position								
not available	133	0.5	133	0.6	-	-	-	-
Other reason	176	0.7	176	0.8	-	-	-	-
Reason not reported	17	(Z)	17	(Z)	-	-	-	-
UNEMPLOYMENT IN CALENDAR YEAR 1977								
Total	29.775	100.0	24,615	100.0	3,481	100.0	1.678	100.0
Unemployed in calendar year 1977	989	3.3	936	3.8	53	1.5	-	-
1 to 4 weeks	158	0.5	158	0.6	-	-	-	-
5 to 10 weeks	267	0.9	267	1.1	-	-	-	-
11 to 14 weeks	171	0.6	158	0.6	13	0.4	-	-
15 to 26 weeks	27	(Z)	27	0.1	-	-	-	-
27 weeks or more	366	1.2	326	1.3	40	1.2	-	-
Median weeks of unemployment	13	(X)	12	(X)	*27+	(X)	-	-
Weeks of unemployment not reported	-	-		-	-		-	-
Not unemployed in calendar year 1977	28,094	94.4	23,115	93.9	3,350	96.2	1,628	97.0
Not reported	692	2.3	j 564	2.3	/8	2.2	00	3.0

(

 . *

Table 4. Employment Status and Selected Job-Related Characteristics of Environmental Scientists: 1978—Continued

(Detail may not add to total because of rounding. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Employment status and selected	Enviror scientis	nmental ts, total	Earth sc	ientists	Atmosp scien	oheric tists	Oceanogi	aphers
Joo-related characteristics	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
PRIMARY WORK ACTIVITY IN 1978								
Total employed in February 1978	27,543	100.0	22,960	100.0	3,025	100. 0	1,558	100.0
Research and development	9,138	33.2	7,265	31.6	937	31.0	936	60.1
Basic research	2,021	7.3	1,173	5.1	191	6.3	656	42.1
Applied research	4,865	17.7	4,146	18.1	548	18.1	171	11.0
Development	2,161	7.8	1,900	8.3	168	5.6	92	5.9
Design	92	0.3	46	0.2	29	1.0	16	1.0
Management or administration, total	7,031	25.5	6,086	26.5	581	19.2	364	23.4
Research and development	2,761	10.0	2,221	9.7	316	10.4	225	14.4
Other	4,269	15.5	3,864	16.8	265	8.8	140	9.0
Teaching and training	3,380	12.3	2,914	12.7	325	10.8	141	9.1
Production and inspection	2,177	7.9	1,742	7.6	375	12.4	60	3.8
Quality control	358	1.3	302	1.3	13	0.4	44	2.8
Operations	1,614	5.9	1,270	5.5	345	11.4	-	-
Distribution-sales	204	0.7	170	0.7	18	0.6	16	1.0
Consulting	1,005	6.0	1,509	0.0	156	5.2	-	-
Clinical diagnosis	-	-	1 500	-	-	-	-	-
Consulting	1,005	0.0	1,509	0.0	100	5.2	-	-
Report writing, statistical work and	1 072		1 5 2 2		1.06	12 /		2 0
Computer applications	1,972	1.2	1,322	0.0	400	13.4	20	2.0
Statistical work	1,1/9	4.3	1,0//	4./	74	2.4	29	1.0
Statistical work	107	2.2	121	1.4	200	0.0	10	1.0
Other activities	1 800	6.6	1 1 564	0.0	245	2.2	-	-
Not reported	1,007	1 /	1,304	1.6	245	0.1	12	0.9
	5/5	1.4	500	1.0	-	-	12	0.0
NATIONAL INTEREST TOPICS ¹								
Total	29,775	100.0	24,615	100.0	3,481	100.0	1,678	100.0
Health	74	0,2	29	0,1	13	0.4	32	1.9
Education, total	3.040	10.2	2.388	9.7	413	11.9	239	14.2
Teaching	2.931	9.8	2.278	9.3	413	11.9	239	14.2
Other	109	0.4	109	0.4	-	-	-	-
Environmental protection, pollution control	3,326	11.2	2,149	8.7	577	16.6	600	35.8
Space	429	1.4	251	1.0	178	5.1	-	-
National defense	1,252	4.2	743	3.0	208	6.0	302	18.0
Crime prevention and control	- 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Food production and technology	396	1.3	313	1.3	83	2.4	-	-
Energy and fuel	13,003	43.7	12,714	51.7	246	7.1	43	2.5
Other mineral resources	2,021	6.8	2,007	8.2	13	0.4	-	-
Community development and services	256	0.9	194	0.8	45	1.3	17	1.0
Housing	63	0.2	63	0.3	-	-	-	-
Other	1,833	6.2	1,124	4.6	504	14.5	205	12.2
Not applicable	2,303	7.7	1,412	5.7	740	21.3	150	9.0
Not reported	1,778	6.0	1,228	5.0	459	13.2	91	5.4

See footnote at end of table.

Table 4. Employment Status and Selected Job-Related Characteristics of Environmental Scientists: 1978—Continued

(Detail may not add to total because of rounding. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Employment status and selected	Enviror scientis	imental is, total	Earth sc	ientists	Atmosp scien	bheric tists	Oceanogr	aphers
job-related characteristics	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
INLUSTRY IN 1978								
Total employed in 1978	27,543	100,0	22,960	100.0	3,025	100.0	1,558	100.0
Agriculture, forestry, and fisheries	472	1.7	433	1.9	39	1.3	-	-
Mining and petroleum extraction	7,870	28.6	7,870	34.3	-	-	-	-
Construction	102	0.4	102	0.4	-	-	-	-
Manufacturing, total	2,842	10.3	2,655	11.6	83	2.7	105	6.7
Primary metal industries	63	0.2	. 63	0.3	-	-	-	-
Fabricated metal industries	19	(7)	19	(7)	-	_	-	-
Flectrical machinery equipment		(2)	.,	()				
and supplies	29	0.1	-	-	29	1.0	-	-
Electronic machinery and computing						1		
equipment	31	0.1	31	0.1	-	-		-
Aircraft and aircraft parts	15	(Z)	15	(Z)	-	-	-	-
Motor vehicles and motor vehicle								
equipment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ordnance	-	-	-	-	-	, -	-	-
Chemicals and allied products	53	0.2	-	-	23	1.0	-	-
industries	2 074	75	2 074	9.0	_	_	_	_
Athor manufacturing	517	1 9	412	1.8	_	_	10.5	6.7
other manufacturing,								•••
Transportation, communications, and								
other public utilities	361	1.3	316	1.4	44	1.5	-	-
Wholesale and retail trade	20	(Z)	20	(Z)	-		-	-
Finance, insurance, and real estate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Educational institutions, total	5,054	18.4	3,966	17.3	558	18.4	531	34.1
College or university	4,632	16.8	3,557	15.5	558	18.4	517	33.2
Other	422	1.5	409	1.0	-	-	14	0.9
Health services	1/	(2)	1/	(6)	-	-	-	-
health total	5,105	18.5	3.348	14.6	1.094	36.2	663	42.6
Engineering and architectural services.	632	2.3	569	2.5	31	1.0	32	2.1
Research institutions	3,127	11.4	1,955	8.5	541	• 17.9	631	40.5
Other,	1,345	4.9	824	3.6	521	17.2	-	-
Public administration	3,588	13.0	2,374	10.3	983	32.5	231	14.8
Federal	1,982	7.2	1,136	4.9	721	23.8	125	8.0
Other	1,564	5.7	1,209	5.3	248	0.2	100	0.0
Military	1 989	7.2	1 750	7.6	211	7.0	28	18
Not reported	123	0.4	110	0.5	13	0.4	-	
		•••						
TYPE OF EMPLOYER IN 1978								
Total employed in February 1978	27,543	100.0	22,960	100.0	3,025	100.0	1,558	100.0
Business or industry	14.040	51.0	13.390	58.3	584	19.3	66	4.3
Educational institutions, total	5,205	18.9	4.070	17.7	588	19.4	547	35.1
Junior or 2-year college,	-							
technical institute	418	1.5	405	1.8	-	-	14	0.9
Medical school	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4-year college or university except				15.0	c 0.0	10.4	(2 2	24.2
medical school	4,759	1/.3	3,638	15.8	288	19.4	535	34.2
Elementary or secondary school system	21	(2)	21	0.1	-	-	-	-
Hospital or clinic	-	-	-	- 1	_		-	-
Nonprofit organization	518	1.9	221	1.0	272	9.0	25	1.6
U.S. military service/commissioned groups	56	0.2	28	0.1	28	0.9	-	-
Government, total	7,383	26.8	4,991	21.7	1,553	51.3	839	53.8
Federal	5,163	18.7	3,257	14.2	1,278	42.2	628	40.3
State	963	3.5	865	3.8	40	1.3	59	3.8
Local or other	1,257	4.6	870	3.8	235	7.8	152	9.8
International agency	9	(Z)	9	(2)	-	-	-	- 5 1
Utner	82	0.3	251	1 1	-		02	5.2
not reported	1 22	0.7	<u>د م</u>	I ***		ı – I	- 1	-

-

Table 4. Employment Status and Selected Job-Related Characteristics of Environmental Scientists: 1978—Continued

Atmospheric Environmental Earth scientists Oceanographers Employment status and selected scientists, total scientists job-related characteristics Number Percent Number Percent Number Percent Number Percent FEDERAL SUPPORT IN 1978² Total employed in February 1978.... 27,543 100.0 22,960 100.0 3,025 100.0 1,558 100.O 30.8 2,379 78.6 10,930 39.7 7,073 1,477 94.**8** With Federal support..... Department of Agriculture..... 748 2.7 650 2.8 98 3.2 20.9 Department of Commerce..... 1,828 6.6 389 1.7 1,113 36.8 326 2,201 Department of Defense..... 8.0 1,200 5.2 308 10.2 693 44.5 Department of Energy..... 1,315 308 10.2 61 4.8 946 4.1 3.9 Department of Health, Education, and Welfare..... 173 0.6 158 0.7 15 0.5 Department of Housing and Urban Development..... 118 0.4 118 0.5 4.8 139 8.9 Department of the Interior..... 3,176 11.5 2,892 12.6 144 Department of Justice..... Department of Labor..... 13 (Z) 13 (Z) _ -243 1.1 96 3.2 12 0.8 Department of Transportation..... 351 1.3 Agency for International Development... 112 0.4 96 0.4 16 1.0 Environmental Protection Agency..... 865 3.1 318 1.4 262 8.7 285 18.3 10.6 NASA..... 3.2 320 99 1.151 4.2 732 6.4 National Science Foundation..... 2,030 7.4 1,175 5.1 465 15.4 389 25.0 Nuclear Regulatory Commission..... 137 0.5 83 0.4 42 1.4 13 0.8 Other Department or agency..... 50 183 11.8 608 375 1.6 1.7 2.2 Agency not known..... 28 0.1 15 (Z) 13 0.4 Agency not reported..... 132 0.5 115 0.5 17 1.1 No Federal support..... 15,476 56.2 14,823 64.6 597 19.7 56 3.6 589 2.6 1.0 0.8 12 Federal support not known..... 633 2.3 32 Not reported..... 506 1.8 475 2.1 18 0.6 12 0.8

(Detail may not add to total because of rounding. For meaning of symbols, see text)

¹Area of national concern in which persons devoted the largest proportion of professional time.

²Sum of individual agencies support may exceed total with Federal support because of multiple response.

14

--

Table 5. Basic Annual Salary Rate of Full-Time Employed Environmental Scientists: 1978

(Detail may not add to total because of rounding. For meaning of symbols, see text)

Salary	Enviror scientis	nmental ts, total	Earth sc	ientists	Atmosj scien	oheric tists	Oceanogi	aphers
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total employed full time								
in February 1978	26,795	100.0	22,428	100.0	2,949	100.0	1,417	100.0
With salary reported ¹	24,657	92.0	20,439	91.1	2,841	96.3	1,377	97.2
Less than \$8,000	191	0.7	123	0.5	68	2.3	-	-
\$8,000 to \$9,999	58	0.2	58	0.3	-	_	-	-
\$10,000 to \$14,999	450	1.7	436	1.9	15	0.5	-	-
\$15,000 to \$19,999	1,728	6.4	1,501	6.7	66	2.2	161	11.3
\$20,000 to \$24,999	4,407	16.4	3,573	15.9	558	18.9	275	19.4
\$25,000 to \$29,999	5,096	19.0	3.891	17.4	766	26.0	439	31.0
\$30,000 to \$39,999	8,330	31.1	6,819	30.4	1,045	35.4	466	32.8
\$40,000 to \$49,999	2,425	9.1	2.143	9.6	245	8.3	37	2.6
\$50,000 and over	1,973	7.4	1,895	8.4	78	2.6	-	-
Median salary (dollars)	30,234	(X)	30,456	(X)	29,506	(X)	26,740	(X)
Salary not reported	2,138	8.0	1,990	8.9	108	3.7	40	2.8

¹Refers to salary for job held during the week of February 12-18, 1978.

Appendix A. Definitions and Explanations

The 1978 National Survey of Natural and Social Scientists and Engineers was the fourth survey based on the 1970 population of scientists and engineers. It was conducted by the Bureau of the Census for the National Science Foundation. The first survey, the 1972 Professional, Technical, and Scientific Manpower Survey,¹ was conducted among a nationwide sample of approximately 150,000 persons who were recorded in the 1970 Census of Population as being in the experienced civilian labor force in 1 of 63 engineering, scientific, or related occupations. The survey also included a small sample of persons who had completed 4 or more years of college, but were not in any of the specified occupations. Based on responses in the 1972 survey and on criteria established by the National Science Foundation, approximately 50,000 persons from the 1972 survey sample (excluding the small sample of college graduates) were chosen as the sample for the series of longitudinal surveys known as the National Sample of Scientists and Engineers. The 1978 National Survey of Natural and Social Scientists and Engineers was the third survey in this longitudinal series; it was preceded by surveys in 1976 and 1974.²

Questionnaires for the 1978 survey were mailed in February 1978. After all data collection activities, 81 percent of the sample (approximately 40,800 persons) completed their questionnaires. The 19 percent who did not complete their questionnaires included persons who refused to participate, the deceased, and persons who returned questionnaires with insufficient information to permit processing. For an analysis of response, see appendix E.

The estimates derived for this survey were prepared by using a ratio estimation procedure and an adjustment for nonresponse in 1978. For each sample case for which a completed questionnaire was obtained, the information from the 1978 survey was matched with the 1972 survey data and the 1970 census data for the same person. Weights applied to samples cases in the 1972 survey were then used to weight the resultant matched data file. The weighting procedure for the 1972 survey involved first the preparation of a preliminary estimate by weighting the results for each sample person by the reciprocal of the probability of selection. As a second step, these weights were adjusted by applying a factor for certain age-sex-race cells within each occupation category. Within each of the cells, the factor was computed as the ratio of the 1970 census count to the preliminary estimate. The final 1972 weight was this factor multiplied by the inverse of the probability of selection for each person. To the extent that the data being tabulated and the estimated count of persons in the cells are positively correlated, the ratio estimate procedure will improve the reliability of the estimate. A discussion of the reliability of the estimates, including a description of the standard errors of totals and percentages, is presented in appendix B.

A nonresponse adjustment was done in 1978 to reduce the bias in the survey estimates due to the high nonresponse rate in 1978. This adjustment was done separately for inscope³ and out-of-scope⁴ persons, and included an adjustment for the mortality in the longitudinal sample from 1972 to 1978. The first step in the nonresponse adjustment was to adjust the nonrespondents for mortality from 1972 to 1978 by means of mortality tables for age-race-sex groups. The second step was to determine the estimated proportion of nonrespondents that were in-scope and out-of-scope. To estimate these proportions, an intensive follow-up was conducted to obtain interviews for a subsample of the 1978 nonrespondents. This follow-up showed that approximately 80 percent of the nonrespondents were in-scope and the remaining 20 percent were out-of-scope. The final step was to determine a nonresponse adjustment factor for different age-race-sex cells. Within each of the cells, the factor was computed as the ratio of the weighted count, using the 1972 weights, of the estimated total (i.e., respondent and nonrespondent) in-scope or out-of-scope persons, divided by the weighted count of the respondent in-scope or out-of-scope persons.

The final weight for the 1978 survey was the product of the 1972 weight and the appropriate 1978 nonresponse adjustment factor.

The definitions for many of the characteristics shown in this report are self-explanatory or can best be understood by referring to the appropriate 1978 questionnaire items or reference lists (appendixes C and D). An explanation of the other subjects is provided below.

Age in 1978. The reference period for age in 1978 was April 1978. The age classification is based on the age of the person at his or her last birthday. The median age is that age that

¹ For a description of the 1972 survey and related matters, see U.S. Bureau of the Census, *Characteristics of Persons in Engineering* and *Scientific Occupations: 1972*, Technical Paper No. 33, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1974.

³Results from the 1974 survey were published in U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-23, No. 53, Selected Characteristics of Persons in Fields of Science or Engineering: 1974, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1975; results from the 1976 survey were published in U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-23, No. 76, Selected Characteristics of Persons in Fields of Science or Engineering: 1976, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1978.

³ "In-scope" means "in a field of science or engineering."

⁴ "Out of scope" refers to the category "not in a field of science or engineering."

divides the distribution into two equal parts, one-half being older than the median age and one-half younger. Median ages were divided from an estimation process that distributed the subject populations into 5-year age groups.

Race. The data on race are based on responses in the 1970 Census of Population. The "other races" category includes all races not included in the specific categories listed.

Divisions of the United States. The divisions of the United States comprise the following States:

New England: Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, Vermont

Middle Atlantic: New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania

East North Central: Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, Wisconsin.

West North Central: Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota.

South Atlantic: Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Maryland, North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia, West Virginia.

East South Central: Alabama, Kentucky, Mississippi, Tennessee.

West South Central: Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, Texas.

Mountain: Arizona, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah, Wyoming.

Pacific: Alaska, California, Hawaii, Oregon, Washington.

Outlying areas of the United States include Puerto Rico, Guam, Virgin Islands, American Samoa, and Canal Zone.

Fields of science and engineering. Science or engineering (S/E) fields are categories established by the survey sponsor, the National Science Foundation, to identify persons who could be classified as engineers or scientists under most definitions. In general, to be classified into one of the fields, a person had to have at least two of the following three characteristics: (1) employment in the field, (2) attainment of a specified educational level in an academic discipline related to the field, or (3) self-identification, based upon total education and experience, as being in the field. More detailed information on the criteria for membership in a scientific and technical field is given in U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-23, No. 76, Selected Characteristics of Persons in Fields of Science or Engineering: 1976, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1978.

Highest degree held. Highest degree held in 1978 refers to the highest academic degree awarded to the respondent in 1978

or earlier. Data on highest degree held were derived as follows: The level and the year of award of the highest degree received by the respondent between January 1972 and 1978 surveys (this degree will be referred to as degree "A") were compared with the level and year of award, determined from the 1976, 1974, and 1972 surveys, of the previously-designated highest degree held by the respondent (this is referred to as degree "B"). If degree A was at the same level or at a higher level than degree B, and if its date of award was later than that of degree B, degree A was designated as the highest degree held in 1978; otherwise, degree B was designated as the highest degree held in 1978.

The "other degree" category includes persons whose highest academic degree was one of the following: RN, LLB, MD, and academic degrees other than those shown in the tables.

Major field of study for highest degree held. The data on major field of study refer to the major subject associated with the highest degree held in 1978 determined by the method described above. For persons who received their highest degree held in 1978 after January 1972, the data are derived from question 3 of the 1978 questionnaire (see appendix C), or question 1, part b of the 1976 questionnaire or from question 2, part b5 of the 1974 questionnaire. For persons who received their highest degree in 1971 or earlier, the data on major subject are based on the 1972 survey.

Employment status. Employed persons are those who reported that they were employed, either full time or part time, on vacation, or otherwise temporarily absent from a job for health or personal reasons during the reference week (February 12-18, 1978). The unemployed are persons who marked the "unemployed and seeking work" category (box 3) of item 5a of the 1978 questionnaire (see appendix C), or who indicated in item 7 that they were on layoff from a job. All other persons were classified as "not in the labor force."

Unemployment in 1977. The data on unemployment in 1977 relate to the occurrence of unemployment during the entire calendar year rather than just during a reference week. Medians are based on the intervals shown in the tables.

Primary work activity in 1978. The data on primary work activity in 1978 were derived, in general, from answers to question 11b of the 1978 questionnaire. In certain instances of nonresponse to question 11b, however, the data were derived from an imputation procedure that used responses to question 11a.

Type of employer. The data on type of employer in 1978 are based entirely on responses to question 12 of the 1978 questionnaire.

Basic annual salary rate. The statistics on salary refer to the basic annual salary associated with the job held in February 1978. The figures relate to salary before deductions for income tax, Social Security, retirement, etc., but do not include bonuses, overtime pay, or earnings from secondary

jobs. For employees of educational institutions whose salary was for 9 or 10 months, the salary rate was adjusted to a 12-month basis. Median salaries were derived by an estimation process that distributed the subject population into \$1,000 intervals.

Job and occupational mobility in 1976 and 1978. The data on mobility between 1976 and 1978 were derived from answers on both the 1976 and 1978 questionnaires. Persons were classified as with a "job change between 1976 and 1978" if they were employed in both 1976 and 1978 and reported in the 1978 survey that their current job began in 1976 or later. Persons were classified as "same job in 1976 and 1978" if the beginning date of their most recent job was in 1975 or earlier, and as "not reported" if they did not report the beginning date of the most recent job. For persons with a job change, the detailed occupation of the 1978 job was compared with that of the 1976 job, and persons were classified as with the same or a different occupation or as "occupation change not reported."

Job and occupational mobility in 1974 and 1978 and in 1972 and 1978. The data on mobility between 1974 and 1978 and between 1972 and 1978 were derived from answers on the 1974 and 1978 questionnaires and 1972 and 1978 questionnaires, respectively. The procedure was analogous to that described for the data on job and occupational mobility in 1976 and 1978.

Years of professional experience. Median years of professional experience are based on 1-year intervals.

Symbols. A dash (-) represents zero, and "X" means "not applicable." The symbol "Z" means less than 0.05 percent. The symbol "*" means based on fewer than 20 sample cases. For the characteristic "Unemployment in Calendar Year 1977," the symbol "27+" means that the median fell in the category "27 weeks or more."

Appendix B. Reliability of the Estimates and Standard Errors of Totals and Percentages

There are two types of possible errors associated with estimates based on data from a sample survey: sampling and nonsampling error. The following is a description of the sampling and nonsampling errors associated with the 1978 Survey of Scientists and Engineers.

SAMPLING ERRORS

The particular sample used for this survey is one of a large number of possible samples of the same size that could have been selected using the same sample design. Even if the same schedules and instructions were used, estimates from each of the different samples would differ from each other. The deviation of a sample estimate from the average of all possible samples is defined as the sampling error. The standard error of a survey estimate attempts to provide a measure of this variation among the estimates from the possible samples, and thus, is a measure of the precision with which an estimate from the sample approximates the average result of all possible samples.

As calculated for this survey, the standard error also partially measures the variation in the estimates due to response errors (nonsampling errors), but it does not measure, as such, any systematic biases in the data. Therefore, the accuracy of the estimates depends on both the sampling and nonsampling errors, measured by the standard error, and biases and some additional nonsampling errors not measured by the standard error.

The figures presented in tables B-1 to B-4 are approximations to the standard errors of the various estimates for this survey. A number of approximations and generalizations have been used so that the standard errors would be applicable to a wide variety of characteristics and still be prepared at a moderate cost. Thus, the standard errors in the following tables provide an indication of the order of magnitude, rather than precise measurements of the standard errors.

Standard errors on totals. Table B-1 presents the standard errors applicable to estimated totals for characteristics of environmental scientists. Standard errors for estimated totals not specifically shown in table B-1 can be found by linear interpolation or by computing them directly from the following standard error formula:

standard error of $x = \sqrt{ax^2 + bx}$

The "a" and "b" parameters for each environmental scientist group are:

Field	"a" parameter	"b" parameter
Environmental scientists,		
total	.000140	27.1
Earth scientists	000125	32.1
Atmospheric scientists	.00168	21.8
Oceanographers	.00836	21.1

For example, there are an estimated 1,773 environmental scientists, total, who were retired in 1978. The above table shows that a = .000140 and b = 27.1 for environmental scientists, total. Thus, the estimated standard error of 1773 is

 $\sqrt{(.000140)(1773)^2 + (27.1)(1773)} = 220.2$

Table B-1. Standard Errors of Totals

(68 chances out of 100)

Size of estimate	Environ- mental scien- tists, total	Earth scien- tists	Atmos- pheric scien- tists	Oceanog- raphers
100	50	60	50	50
200	70	80	70	70
500	120	130	110	110
700	140	160	130	140
1,000	170	190	160	170
2,500	260	290	270	320
5,000	370	410	410	-
10,000	530	570	670	
25,000	870	870	-	-
50,000	1,310	1,120	-	
75,000	-	-	-	-

Standarderrors on percentages. The reliability of an estimated percentage, computed by using sample data for both the numerator and the denominator, depends upon both the size of the percentage and the size of the total upon which the percentage is based. Estimated percentages are relatively more reliable than the corresponding estimates of the numerators of the percentage, particularly if the percentages are 50 percent or more. Tables B-2 and B-3 present the standard errors of estimated percentages for environmental scientists. Standard errors for estimated percentages not specifically shown in tables B-2 and B-4 can be found by using two-way interpolation or by computing them directly from the following formula:¹

standard error of the percentage p on a base of y

$$=\sqrt{(p)(100-p)\frac{b}{v}}$$

For example, an estimated 2.4 percent of the 29,775 environmental scientists, total, worked part time in 1978. The above table shows that b = 27.1 for environmental scientists, total. Thus, the standard error for the 2.4 percent on a base of 29,775 is

$$\sqrt{\frac{(2.4)(100-2.4)(27.1)}{29,775}} = .46 \text{ percent}$$

Standard error intervals. The sample estimate and its estimated standard error enable one to construct interval

¹ The tables for the standard errors of percentages for most scientific and engineering fields (SEF's) were combined. The tables of standard errors given for such collapsed groups are always conservative, i.e., the table for the SEF with the largest standard errors was chosen to represent all the SEF's in the group. Because of this, the standard errors calculated directly from the formula may differ slightly from those found in the tables.

Table B-2. Standard Errors of Percentages for Environmental Scientists, Total

(68 chances out of 100)

Base of percentage	1 or 99	2 or 98	5 or 95	10 or 90	15 or 85	25 or 75	50
100	5.3 3.8 2.4 2.0 1.7 1.1 0.8 0 5	7.5 5.3 3.3 2.8 2.4 1.5 1.1	11.7 8.2 5.2 4.4 3.7 2.3 1.6	16.0 11.3 7.2 6.1 5.1 3.2 2.3 1 6	19.1 13.5 8.5 7.2 6.0 3.8 2.7	23.2 16.4 10.4 8.8 7.3 4.6 3.3 2.3	26.7 18.9 12.0 10.1 8.5 5.3 3.8 2.7
25,000 50,000	0.3	0.5 0.3	0.7 0.5	1.0 0.7	1.2 0.9	1.5 1.0	1.7

Table B-3. Standard Errors of Percentages for Earth Scientists

(68 chances out of 100)

Base of percentage	1 or 99	2 or 98	5 or 95	10 or 90	15 or 85	25 or 75	50
100	5.7	8.0	12.5	17.2	20.5	24.8	28.7
200	4.0	5.7	8.8	12.2	14.5	17.6	20.3
500	2.6	3.6	5.6	7.7	9.2	11.1	12.8
700	2.2	3.0	4.7	6.5	7.7	9.4	10.8
1,000	1.8	2.5	4.0	5.4	6.5	7.9	9.1
2,500	1.1	1.6	2.5	3.4	4.1	5.0	5.7
5,000	0.8	1.1	1.8	2.4	2.9	3.5	4.1
10,000	0.6	0.8	1.3	1.7	2.0	2.5	2.9
25,000	0.4	0.5	0.8	1.1	1.3	1.6	1.8
50,000	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.8	0.9	1.1	1.3
75,000	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.9	1.0
100,000	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.8	0.9
150,000	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7

estimates that include the average result of all possible samples with a known probability. For example, if all possible samples were selected, each of these surveyed under identical conditions and an estimate and its estimated standard error were calculated from each sample, then:

- Approximately 68 percent of the intervals from one standard error below the estimate to one standard error above the estimate would include the average result of all possible samples;
- 2. Approximately 90 percent of the intervals from 1.6 standard errors below the estimate to 1.6 standard errors above the estimate would include the average result of all possible samples;
- 3. Approximately 95 percent of the intervals from two standard errors below the estimate to two standard errors above the estimate would include the average result of all possible samples.

The average result of all possible samples either is or is not contained in any particular computed interval. However, for a particular sample one can say with specified confidence that the average result of all possible samples is included within the constructed interval.

1 - -

Table B-4. Standard Errors of Percentages for Atmospheric Scientists and Oceanographers

(68 chances out of 100)

Base of percentage	1 or 99	2 or 98	5 or 95	10 or 90	15 or 85	25 or 75	50
100	4.8	6.8	10.6	14.5	17.3	21.0	24.2
200	3.4	4.8	7.5	10.3	12.2	14.8	17.1
500	2.2	3.0	4.7	6.5	7.7	9.4	10.8
700	1.8	2.6	4.0	5.5	6.5	7.9	9.2
1.000	1.5	2.1	3.3	4.6	5.5	6.6	7.7
2.500	1.0	1.4	2.1	2.9	3.5	4.2	4.8
5,000	0.7	1.0	1.5	2.1	2.4	3.0	3.4
10,000	0.5	0.7	1.1	1.5	1.7	2.1	2.4

For example, of the 29,775 environmental scientists, total, in 1978, 28.6 percent have the master's degree as the highest degree held in 1978. The standard error of this percent as computed from table B-2 is 1.4 percentage points. Based on these data, we may conclude that the percentage of environmental scientists, total, with the master's degree as the highest degree held in 1978 lies between 25.8 percent and 31.4 percent with 95-percent confidence, i.e., within 2 standard errors.

Standard errors of differences between estimates. The figures in these tables are not directly applicable to standard errors of differences between two sample estimates. The standard error of the estimated difference between two figures may be approximated by the square root of the sum of the squares of the standard error of each estimate. This approximation will yield an exact result when the two characteristics are uncorrelated. If the two characteristics are positively (negatively) correlated, the approximation will overestimate (underestimate) the standard error of the difference. For a difference between two sample estimates, one of which represents a subclass of the other, the table can be used with the difference considered as the sample estimate.

For example, of the 29,775 environmental scientists, total, in 1978, 41.2 percent have bachelor's degrees as the highest degree held in 1978. The standard error of this percent as computed from table B-2 is 1.53 percentage points. The standard error of the difference between the percentage of those with bachelor's degrees and the percentage of those with masters (i.e., 41.2 - 28.6 = 12.6 percent) is then approximately

 $\sqrt{(1.4)^2 + (1.5)^2} = 2.1$ percentage points

Based on these data, we may conclude with 95 percent confidence that the average estimate of the difference of the percentages derived from all possible sample lies within the interval 8.4 percentage points to 16.8 percentage points.

Standard errors of medians. The figures in these tables are not directly applicable to standard errors of estimated medians. The sampling variability of an estimated median depends upon the size of the base as well as on the distribution from which the median is determined. An approximate method for measuring the reliability of a median is to determine an interval about the estimated median, such that there is a stated degree of confidence that the median based on all possible samples lies with the interval. The following procedure may be used to estimate confidence limits of a median based on sample data:

- Determine the standard error of a 50 percent characteristic from the appropriate standard error table (tables B-2 to B-4) using the appropriate base;
- Add this standard error to 50 percent to obtain an upper boundary percentage and subtract this standard error from 50 percent to obtain a lower boundary percentage;
- 3. Using the cumulative distribution from which the median is derived, read off the numbers corresponding to the boundary percentages. The interval between these two numbers (i.e., the confidence limits) will be the 68-percent confidence interval. A 95-percent confidence interval may be determined by finding the values corresponding to 50 percent plus or minus twice the standard error in step 1.

For example, the data for 1978 indicate that the estimate of the median age for environmental scientists is 47.0 years. The distribution of environmental scientists by age is shown in the table below:

Age (years)	Percentage	Cumulative distribution
Under 30	0.4	0.4
30 to 34	10.6	11.0
35 to 39	13.7	24.7
40 to 44	17.4	42.1
45 to 49	18.5	60.6
50 to 54	16.1	76.7
55 to 59	11.1	87.8
60 to 64	6.6	94.4
65 to 69	4.2	98.6
70 and over	1.4	100.0

From standard error table B-2, the standard error of a 50 percent characteristic with a base of 29,775 is 1.6 percentage points. From the table of cumulative age distribution, the percentage point that corresponds to 45 years is 42.1 percent and to 50 years is 60.6 percent. The lower confidence limit corresponding to 48.4 percent (50 percent minus

1.6 percent) is found by linear interpolation between 45 years and 50 years to be 46.7 years, i.e.,

$$45 + \left[(50 - 45) \left(\frac{48.4 - 42.1}{60.6 - 42.1} \right) \right] = 46.7$$

Similarly, the upper confidence limit corresponding to 51.6 percent (50 percent plus 1.6 percent) is found to be 47.6 years:

$$45 + \left[(50 - 45) \left(\frac{51.6 - 42.1}{60.6 - 42.1} \right) \right] = 47.6$$

Consequently the 68-percent confidence interval, as shown by the data, is from 46.7 years to 47.6 years. Likewise, we could conclude that the 95-percent confidence interval is from 46.2 years (the distribution point corresponding to 46.8 percent) to 48.0 years (corresponding to 53.2 percent).

In the text of this report, an unqualified statement which is either a comparison or could be reasonably interpreted as one has passed a statistical significance test at the 5 percent level; there is only a 1 in 20 chance that this statement will be made when it is actually not true. A statement which is footnoted to be not statistically significant has failed this test and any apparent differences are not supported by the data. In some instances, a statement which has failed the significance test at the 5 percent level but could have passed it at the 10 percent level is footnoted by the qualifications of "some evidence." The chance that this statement being included in the report incorrectly could be as high as 1 in 10.

NONSAMPLING ERRORS

In general, nonsampling errors can be attributed to many sources: Inability to obtain information about all cases, definitional difficulties, differences in the interpretation of questions, inability or unwillingness to provide correct information on the part of the respondents, mistakes in recording or coding the data, and other errors of collection, response, processing, coverage, and estimation for missing data. As the above list indicates, nonsampling errors are not unique to sample surveys, since they can, and do, occur in complete censuses as well.

The primary source of nonsampling error in the 1978 national sample survey is probably the high nonresponse rate. An adjustment in the estimation procedure for the 23 percent noninterview rate in the 1972 survey and the additional 19 percent nonresponse rate in 1978 was made, but there still remains some unknown bias in the estimates due to differences in the characteristics of those who were interviewed in 1978 and those who were not.

It should also be pointed out that estimates for this survey do not represent those who have entered the labor force in scientific and engineering fields since 1970. In particular, this survey does not include the large numbers of graduates produced since 1970. This causes significant biases for such items as the relative distributions of sex, age, and race and the unemployment figures if the results are assumed to be indicative of the current scientific and engineering fields including new entrants since 1970.

Appendix C. Questionnaire and Reference Lists



1. Since January 1972 have you attended any college, university, or	
other post high school institution?	1 [_] Tes - Continue with question 28
2a. What is the highest degree you have RECEIVED since	Associate
January 1972?	2 TRevistered Nurse (R N)
	a Tablelor's
Mark only one box	A Thister's
	• Eirst Professional Non-Medical
	(J.D., LL.B., Th.B.)
	6 [7] First Professional Medical
	(D.D.M., D.D.S., D.O., D.V.M., M.D.)
	7 📑 Doctorate
	• Other -
	Specify
b. When was this degree awarded?	
If you received more than one degree at the same level (e.g., two master's degrees), enter the year of award of the	19
most recent one.	
3. What was the major field of study of the degree you	Code Description from Reference List A
described in question 2?	
Enter code and description from Reference List A.	
A Aside from formal advection, which of the following types of	
training did you receive in 1976 or 1977?	
Mark the appropriate year for each type	a. 1976 b. 1977
of training you have received.	
(1) Un-the-job training	
(3) Extension or correspondence courses	3 🗋 3 🗍
(4) Courses at employer's training facility	4 🗋
(5) Courses at adult education center	5 5 5
(6) Other training	
(7) None	
the second second and the second se	a serie strate that the series of the series
5a. What was your employment status during the week of Enbrumen 12-18 1972	1 Employed full time (including self-employed
5a. What was your employment status during the week of February 12–18, 1978?	1 Employed full time (including self-employed full time) - Skip to Ge
5a. What was your employment status during the week of February 12–18, 1978?	 1 □ Employed full time (including self-employed full time) - Sk/p to Ge 2 □ Employed part time (including self-employed part time) - Answer So
5a. What was your employment status during the week of February 12–18, 1978?	 1 Employed full time (including self-employed full time) - Skip to Ge 2 Employed part time (including self-employed part time) - Answer 50 3 Unemployed and seeking work - Go to Part III
5a. What was your employment status during the week of February 12–18, 1978?	 t Employed full time (including self-employed full time) - Skip to Ge 2 Employed part time (including self-employed part time) - Answer So 3 Unemployed and seeking work - Go to Part III 4 Not employed and not seeking work - Skip to 7
5a. What was your employment status during the week of February 12-18, 1978?	 t Employed full time (including self-employed full time) - Skip to Ge 2 Employed part time (including self-employed part time) - Answer So 3 Unemployed and seeking work - Go to Part III 4 Not employed and not seeking work - Skip to 7
Sa. What was your employment status during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. If you worked part time, were you seeking full-time work?	1 Employed full time (including self-employed full time) - Skip to Ge 2 Employed part time (including self-employed part time) - Answer So 3 Unemployed and seeking work - Go to Part III 4 Not employed and not seeking work - Skip to 7 1 Yes
 Sa. What was your employment status during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. If you worked part time, were you seeking full-time work? 	 t Employed full time (including self-employed full time) - Skip to Ge 2 Employed part time (including self-employed part time) - Answer So 3 Unemployed and seeking work - Go to Part III 4 Not employed and not seeking work - Skip to 7 1 Yes 2 No
 Sa. What was your employment status during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. If you worked part time, were you seeking full-time work? 	 t Employed full time (including self-employed full time) - Skip to Ge 2 Employed part time (including self-employed part time) - Answer So 3 Unemployed and seeking work - Go to Part III 4 Not employed and not seeking work - Skip to 7 1 Yes 2 No
 Sa. What was your employment status during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. If you worked part time, were you seeking full-time work? Ga. Were you working in a position related to science or eminacting during the week of February 12-18, 1978? 	1 Employed full time (including self-employed full time) - Skip to Ge 2 Employed part time (including self-employed part time) - Answer So 3 Unemployed and seeking work - Go to Part III 4 Not employed and not seeking work - Skip to 7 1 Yes 2 No
 Sa. What was your employment status during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. If you worked part time, were you seeking full-time work? Ga. Were you working in a position related to science or engineering during the week of February 12-18, 1978? 	1 Employed full time (including self-employed full time) - Skip to Ge 2 Employed part time (including self-employed part time) - Answer So 3 Unemployed and seeking work - Go to Part III 4 Not employed and not seeking work - Skip to 7 1 Yes 2 No 1 Yes - Go to Part III 2 No
 Sa. What was your employment status during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. If you worked part time, were you seeking full-time work? Ga. Were you working in a position related to science or engineering during the week of February 12-18, 1978? 	1 Employed full time (including self-employed full time) - Skip to Ge 2 Employed part time (including self-employed part time) - Answer So 3 Unemployed and seeking work - Go to Part III 4 Not employed and not seeking work - Skip to 7 1 Yes 2 No 1 Yes - Go to Part III 2 No - Answer 8b
 Sa. What was your employment status during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. If you worked part time, were you seeking full-time work? Ga. Were you working in a position related to science or engineering during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. What was the most important reason for taking this position? 	1 Employed full time (including self-employed full time) - Skip to Ge 2 Employed part time (including self-employed part time) - Answer So 3 Unemployed and seeking work - Go to Part III 4 Not employed and not seeking work - Skip to 7 1 Yes 2 No 1 Yes - Go to Part III 2 No - Answer 8b 1 Defended employee Bb
 Sa. What was your employment status during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. If you worked part time, were you seeking full-time work? Ga. Were you working in a position related to science or engineering during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. What was the most important reason for taking this position? Mark only one box 	1 Employed full time (including self-employed full time) - Skip to Ge 2 Employed part time (including self-employed part time) - Answer So 3 Unemployed and seeking work - Go to Part III 4 Not employed and not seeking work - Skip to 7 1 Yes 2 No 1 Yes - Go to Part III 2 No 1 Preferred nonscience or nonengineering position 2 No - Answer 80
 Sa. What was your employment status during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. If you worked part time, were you seeking full-time work? Ga. Were you working in a position related to science or engineering during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. What was the most important reason for taking this position? Mark only one box 	1 Employed full time (including self-employed full time) - Skip to Ge 2 Employed part time (including self-employed part time) - Answer So 3 Unemployed and seeking work - Go to Part III 4 Not employed and not seeking work - Skip to 7 1 Yes 2 No 1 Yes - Go to Part III 2 No - Answer 6b 1 Preferred nonscience or nonengineering position 2 Promoted out of science or engineering position
 Sa. What was your employment status during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. If you worked part time, were you seeking full-time work? Ga. Were you working is a position related to science or engineering during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. What was the most important reason for taking this position? Mark only one box 	1 Employed full time (including self-employed full time) - Skip to Ge 2 Employed part time (including self-employed part time) - Answer So 3 Unemployed and seeking work - Go to Part III 4 Not employed and not seeking work - Skip to 7 1 Yes 2 No 1 Yes 2 No 1 Yes - Go to Part III 2 No - Answer GD 1 Preferred nonscience or nonengineering position 2 Promoted out of science or nonengineering position 2 Pay was better in nonscience or nonengineering position
 Sa. What was your employment status during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. If you worked part time, were you seeking full-time work? Ga. Were you working in a position related to science or engineering during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. What was the most important reason for taking this position? Mark only one box 	1 Employed full time (including self-employed full time) - Skip to Ge 2 Employed part time (including self-employed part time) - Answer So 3 Unemployed and seeking work - Go to Part III 4 Not employed and not seeking work - Skip to 7 1 Yes 2 No 1 Yes - Go to Part III 2 No 1 Yes - Go to Part III 2 No - Answer 6b 1 Preferred nonscience or nonengineering position 2 Promoted out of science or engineering position 2 Pay was better in nonscience or nonengineering position 4 Locational preference
 Sa. What was your employment status during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. If you worked part time, were you seeking full-time work? Ga. Were you working in a position related to science or engineering during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. What was the most important reason for taking this position? Mark only one box 	1 Employed full time (including self-employed full time) - Skip to Ge 2 Employed part time (including self-employed part time) - Answer So 3 Unemployed and seeking work - Go to Part III 4 Not employed and not seeking work - Skip to 7 1 Yes 2 No 1 Yes 2 No 1 Yes - Go to Part III 2 No 1 Preferred nonscience or nonengineering position 2 Promoted out of science or engineering position 3 Pay was better in nonscience or nonengineering position 4 Locational preference 5 Science or engineering position not available
 Sa. What was your employment status during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. If you worked part time, were you seeking full-time work? Ga. Were you working in a position related to science or engineering during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. What was the most important reason for taking this position? Mark only one box 	1 Employed full time (including self-employed full time) - Skip to Ge 2 Employed part time (including self-employed part time) - Answer So 3 Unemployed and seeking work - Go to Part III 4 Not employed and not seeking work - Skip to 7 1 Yes 2 No 1 Yes - Go to Part III 2 No 1 Yes - Go to Part III 2 No - Answer 8b 1 Preferred nonscience or nonengineering position 2 Promoted out of science or engineering position 2 Pay was better in nonscience or nonengineering position 4 Locational preference 5 Science or engineering position not available 6 Other - Specify
 Sa. What was your employment status during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. If you worked part time, were you seeking tull-time work? Ga. Were you working in a position related to science or engineering during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. What was the most important reason for taking this position? Mark only one box 	1 Employed full time (including self-employed full time) - Skip to Ge 2 Employed part time (including self-employed part time) - Anawer So 3 Unemployed and seeking work - Go to Part III 4 Not employed and not seeking work - Skip to 7 1 Yes 2 No 1 Yes - Go to Part III 2 No 1 Yes - Go to Part III 2 No - Anawer 6b 1 Preferred nonscience or nonengineering position 2 Promoted out of science or engineering position 2 Pay was better in nonscience or nonengineering position 4 Locational preference 5 Science or engineering position not available 6 Other - Specify
 Sa. What was your employment status during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. If you worked part time, were you seeking full-time work? Ga. Were you working in a position related to science or engineering during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. What was the most important reason for taking this position? Mark only one box 	1 Employed full time (including self-employed full time) - Skip to Ge 2 Employed part time (including self-employed part time) - Anawer So 3 Unemployed and seeking work - Go to Part III 4 Not employed and not seeking work - Skip to 7 1 Yes 2 No 1 Preferred nonscience or nonengineering position 2 Promoted out of science or engineering position 2 Pay was better in nonscience or nonengineering position 3 Pay was better in nonscience or nonengineering position 4 Locational preference 5 Science or engineering position not available 6 Other - Specify
 Sa. What was your employment status during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. If you worked part time, were you seeking full-time work? Ga. Were you working in a position related to science or engineering during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. What was the most important reason for taking this position? Mark only one box 7. If you were not employed and not seeking work during the week of February 12-18, 1978, what was your most 	* Employed full time (including self-employed full time) - Skip to Ge 2 Employed part time (including self-employed part time) - Answer So 3 Unemployed and seeking work - Go to Part III 4 Not employed and seeking work - Go to Part III 4 Not employed and not seeking work - Skip to 7 1 Yes 2 No 1 Yes 2 No 1 Yes 2 No 1 Yes 2 No 1 Preferred nonscience or nonengineering position 2 Promoted out of science or engineering position 3 Pay was better in nonscience or nonengineering position 4 Locational preference 5 Science or engineering position not available 6 Other - Specifly
 Sa. What was your employment status during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. If you worked part time, were you seeking full-time work? Ga. Were you working in a position related to science or engineering during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. What was the most important reason for taking this position? Mark only one box 7. If you were not employed and not seeking work during the week of February 12-18, 1978, what was your most important reason for not seeking work? 	* Employed full time (including self-employed full time) - Skip to Ge 2 Employed part time (including self-employed part time) - Answer So 3 Unemployed and seeking work - Go to Part III 4 Not employed and seeking work - Go to Part III 4 Not employed and not seeking work - Skip to 7 1 Yes 2 No 1 Yes 2 No 1 Yes 2 No 1 Yes 2 No 1 Pres 2 No 1 Pres 2 No 1 Pres 2 No 1 Pres 2 No 1 Preferred nonscience or nonengineering position 2 Promoted out of science or engineering position 3 Pay was better in nonscience or nonengineering position 4 Locational preference 5 Science or engineering position not available 6 Other - Specify
 Sa. What was your employment status during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. If you worked part time, were you seeking full-time work? Ga. Were you working in a position related to science or engineering during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. What was the most important reason for taking this position? Mark only one box 7. If you were not employed and not seeking work during the week of February 12-18, 1978, what was your most important reason for not seeking work? Mark only one box 	1 Employed full time (including self-employed full time) - Skip to Ge 2 Employed part time (including self-employed part time) - Answer So 3 Unemployed and seeking work - Go to Part III 4 Not employed and seeking work - Go to Part III 4 Not employed and not seeking work - Skip to 7 1 Yes 2 No 1 Yes 2 No 1 Yes - Go to Part III 2 No - Answer Gb 1 Preferred nonscience or nonengineering position 2 Promoted out of science or engineering position 2 Pay was better in nonscience or nonengineering position 4 Locational preference 5 Science or engineering position not available 6 Other - Specify (Go to Part III) 1 On vacation or otherwise temporarily absent from a job for health or personal reasons 2 On layoff from a job 3 Retired
 Sa. What was your employment status during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. If you worked part time, were you seeking full-time work? Ga. Were you working in a position related to science or engineering during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. What was the most important reason for taking this position? Mark only one box 7. If you were not employed and not seeking work during the week of February 12-18, 1978, what was your most important reason for not seeking work? Mark only one box 	* Employed full time (including self-employed full time) - Skip to 6a 2 Employed part time (including self-employed part time) - Anawer 50 3 Unemployed and seeking work - Go to Part III 4 Not employed and seeking work - Skip to 7 1 Yes 2 No 1 Preferred nonscience or nonengineering position 2 Promoted out of science or engineering position 2 Pay was better in nonscience or nonengineering position 4 Locational preference 5 Science or engineering position not available 6 Other - Specify
 Sa. What was your employment status during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. If you worked part time, were you seeking full-time work? Ga. Were you working in a position related to science or engineering during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. What was the most important reason for taking this position? Mark only one box 7. If you were not employed and not seeking work during the week of February 12-18, 1978, what was your most important reason for not seeking work? Mark only one box 	1 Employed full time (including self-employed full time) - Skip to Ge 2 Employed part time (including self-employed part time) - Anawer So 3 Unemployed and seeking work - Go to Part III 4 Not employed and not seeking work - Skip to 7 1 Yes 2 No 1 Preferred nonscience or nonengineering position 2 Promoted out of science or engineering position 2 Pay was better in nonscience or nonengineering position 4 Locational preference 5 Science or engineering position not available 6 Other - Specify
 Sa. What was your employment status during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. If you worked part time, were you seeking full-time work? Ga. Were you working in a position related to science or engineering during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. What was the most important reason for taking this position? Mark only one box 7. If you were not employed and not seeking work during the week of February 12-18, 1978, what was your most important reason for not seeking work? Mark only one box 	1 Employed full time (including self-employed full time) - Skip to Ge 2 Employed part time (including self-employed part time) - Anawer So 3 Unemployed and seeking work - Go to Part III 4 Not employed and not seeking work - Skip to 7 1 Yes 2 No 1 Preferred nonscience or nonengineering position 2 Promoted out of science or engineering position 2 Pay was better in nonscience or nonengineering position 4 Locational preference 5 Science or engineering position not available 6 Other - Specify
 Sa. What was your employment status during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. If you worked part time, were you seeking full-time work? Ga. Were you working in a position related to science or engineering during the week of February 12-18, 1978? b. What was the most important reason for taking this position? Mark only one box 7. If you were not employed and not seeking work during the week of February 12-18, 1978, what was your most important reason for not seeking work? Mark only one box 	* Employed full time (including self-employed full time) - Skip to 6a 2 Employed part time (including self-employed part time) - Anawer 50 3 Unemployed and seeking work - Go to Part III 4 Not employed and not seeking work - Skip to 7 1 Yes 2 No 1 Preferred nonscience or nonengineering position 2 Promoted out of science or engineering position 3 Pay was better in nonscience or nonengineering position 4 Locational preference 5 Science or engineering position not available 6 Other - Specify

	PART III - JOB ACTIVITIES			
a. Complete questions 8–15 for the a job during that week, complete b. If you held more than one job, pi	INSTRUCTIONS job held during the week of February 12–18, 1978, or, if you did not hold these questions for your most recent job prior to that week. lease report only the job at which you worked the greatest number of hours.			
 Where did you work? Write in city and State or foreign country of company, business, agency, or other employer. 	Job held during the week of February 12–18, 1978, or most recent prior job. City State or foreign country			
9. What kind of business was this? Enter code and description from Reference List 8.	Code Description from Reference List B			
10. What was your occupation? Enter code and description from Reference List C.	Code Description from Reference List C			
11a. What percent of working time did you devote to each of the following activities?	or % Management or administration of research and development oz % Management or administration of other			
Entries should sum to 100%. PLEASE NOTE Basic research is study directed toward gaining scientific knowledge primarily for its own sake.	co			
Applied research is study directed toward gaining scientific knowledge in an effort to meet a recognized need. Development is direction of the knowledge	os% Basic research os% Applied research			
gained from research toward production of useful materials, devices, systems, and methods.	os % Development - product, process, and technical development or % Report and technical writing, aditing, information retrieval os % Clinical diagnosis c % Design of equipment processes models			
	10 S Quality control, testing, evaluation, or inspection 11 S Operations - production, maintenance, construction, installation			
	12 % Distribution – sales, traffic, purchasing, customer and public relations			
	13 % Statistical work - survey work, forecasting, statistical analysi 14 % Consulting			
	18 % Computer applications 16 % Other activities - Specify			
Among all these asticities which we used	TOTAL=100%			
antong an these activities, which was your primary and which was your major secondary work activity?	Primary work activity			
Fill in the appropriate code numbers (01—16) from question 11s.	Secondary work activity			
12. Which category best describes the type of organization of your principal employment or postdoctoral appointment? Merk only one box	o1 Business or industry, including self-employed oz Junior college, 2-year college, technical institute o3 Medical school o4 4-year college or university, other than medical school o5 Elementary or secondary school system o6 Hospital or clinic			
	 or Non-profit organization, other than hospital, clinic, or educational institution oa U.S. military service, active duty, or Commissioned Corps, e.g., USPHS, NOAA os U.S. Government, civilian employee to State povernment 			
	11 Local or other government - Specify 12 International agency 13 Other - Specify			
ORM PM8-26D (9-26-77)	Page 3 PLEASE CONTINUE TO DESCRIB			

F	PART III - JOB ACTIVITIES - Continued							
1,2	What was the heric colory associated with this	Job held during week of Fet	wary 12-18, 1978, or most recent prior job					
	 what was the basic salary associated with this position? (If not working during February 12-18, report ending salary of most recent prior job.) If you were on a postdoctoral appointment, 	a. \$00 b. 1 [Per year a [_] Per month						
	include stipend plus allowances. (Basic salary refers to salary before deductions for income tax,	3 Per week						
	social security, retirement, etc. but does not include bonuses, overtime, summer teaching, or	c. If academically employed, mark w	hether salary is for -					
	other payment for Secondary jobs.)	2 11-12 months						
14.	Between what dates did you hold this position?							
1	Consider a change in positions to have occurred	a. Beginning month	b. Ending month					
	If there were significant changes in your duties, level of responsibility, or occupation, even if	and year:	and year: OR _ Present					
1.5	Was ANY of your work supported or sponsored	1 Yes - Continue with 15h						
	by U.S. Government funds?							
Ι.	Which of the following apprices or departments	3 Don't know	•					
1	were supporting the work?	oi AID (Agency for Inter- national Development)	Department of the Interior Department of Interior					
	Mark as many as apply	oz Department of Agriculture	12 Department of Justice					
		03 Uepartment of Commerce	14 Department of Transportation					
		os Department of Energy	15 🔲 EPA (Environmental					
		Department of Health,	Protection Agency)					
		os Alcohol and Drug Ab	use and Space Administration)					
		Mental Health Admin	istration 17 INSF (National Science					
		of Health)	16 Nuclear Regulatory Commission					
		oe Office of Education	19 Other agency or department -					
		09 UINER HEW - Specify	*					
		10 Department of Housing and Urban Development	201 Don't know source agency or department					
	P	ART IV - OTHER INFORMATI	ON					
161	a. At anytime during calendar year 1977 were you 1 _ Yes - Continue with 180 without a job AND actively seeking employment? 2 _ No - Skip to question 17							
•	For how many weeks were you seeking employment?	1] 1 to 4 weeks	4 🗀 15 to 26 weeks					
		a i 5 to 10 weeks s i 27 weeks or more						
		a 🗌 11 to 14 weeks						
17.	How many years of professional experience, includ- ing teaching, have you had? Enter number of years	Years						
р в.	Based on your total education and experience, what do you regard yourself as professionally?	Code Description from Refe	erence List C					
	Enter code and description from Reference List C.	┝╌┵╾┵╶┙╺╾╍╍╍╼╍╼						
19.	Listed at the right are selected tonics of							
	critical astional interest. If you devote a	or earline or	os T Food production and technology					
	to any of these problem areas, please mark the	pollution control	10 Other mineral resources					
	box for the one on which you spend the MOST time.	Education:	11 Community development and services					
	Nerk only one box	o4 📋 Other	design, construction)					
		os 🗋 Space	13 🗋 Other - Specify					
		os invational detense	14 Does not apply					
204.	Are you physically handicapped?							
		z No - Skip to question 21						
1.	What is the nature of your handicap(s)?	1 🔲 Visual	a 🗀 Orthopedic					
	Mark as many as apply	2 🗖 Auditory	4 🗍 Other - specify					
21	le uni altale basica Maranta							
¢1,	(Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, Central or South American, or other Spacify culture)	1 📺 Yes 2 🥅 No						
2.	In the event that it is necessary to contact you to		Ares code . Tatanhas sumbar					
	clarify some of the information you provided, may	you can be reached						
		No No	Area code Telephone number					
23.	Please print your name here		Date prepared					
~ URI								



Civil technology Electrical and electronics technology Industrial technology Mechanical technology All other engineering-related fields of study or training 622 Police technology or law enforcement 623 Sales and marketing-related fields of study or training Service occupations-related fields of study or training (such as cook, beautician, firefighter, etc.) 624 625 All other fields of study or training (Deecribe briefly under the applicable item on the questionneire.) Science-rolated fields of study or training Agriculture Forestry Other science-related fields of study or training

FORM PMS-260 (9-26-77)

611 612

613 614

615



Characteristic	Table number	Item number on 1978 questionnaire		
Age in 1978*	1	(From the 1970 census response)		
Sex	1	(From the 1972 survey response, if available; otherwise from the 1970 census response)		
Race*	1	(From the 1970 census response)		
Residence in 1978	1	A, page 1		
Professional identification	1	Part IV, 18		
Hispanic heritage	1	Part IV, 21		
Occupation in 1978	1	Part III, 10		
Highest degree held*	2	2a; otherwise from 1976, 1974, or 1972 survey response		
Major field of study for highest degree held*	2	3; otherwise from 1976, 1974, or 1972 survey response		
Type of supplementary training: 1977	2	Part I, 4b		
Job and occupational mobility: 1976, 1978*	3	1976 survey response and Part III, 10, 14		
Job and occupational mobility: 1974, 1978*	3	1974 survey response and Part III, 10, 14		
Job and occupational mobility: 1972, 1978*	3	1972 survey response and Part III, 10, 14		
Years of professional experience*	3	Part IV, 17		
Type of employer	4	Part III, 12		
Federal support	4	Part III, 15a, 15b		
Unemployment status: 1977	4	Part IV, 16a, 16b		
Employment status: February 1978*	4	Part II, 5a, 5b, 7		
Full-time employment in science or engineering: February 1978	4	Part II, 6a, 6b		
National interest topics	4	Part IV, 19		
Industry in 1978	4	Part III, 9		
Primary work activity*	4	Part III, llb		
Annual salary rate: 1978	5	Part III, 13		

*For more information, see appropriate subject in appendix A.

Appendix E. Response Rates

Table E-1 presents response rates of various components of the sample for the 1978 National Survey of Natural and Social Scientists and Engineers. The characteristics presented here are based on the 1970 census or on the 1978, 1976, 1974, or 1972 surveys. Since the percentages in table E-1 are based on a complete count of the sample cases, no reference to the standard error tables is necessary.

Table E-2 presents distributions of respondents and nonrespondents by the set of characteristics shown in table E-1.

Table E-1 is the counterpart of table E-1 of appendix E of the first report in this series *Selected Charac*teristics of Persons in Physical Science: 1978. Table E-1 of that report, however, contained data for 362 respondents whose data were not represented in the tables and text of the report. Table E-1 of this report for mathematical specialists excludes data for these 362 respondents.

Table E-1. National Sample, by Field of Science or Engineering in 1976, 1974, and 1972, Agein 1978, and Sex, by Response in the 1978 Survey (Unweighted)

	Response in 1978			
Sex, age in 1978 and field of science or engineering 1976	, age in 1978 and field of Total Total			
	Numbe r	Percent	Respondents	Nonrespondents
Total	50,093	100.0	81.4	18.6
SEX				
Mal e Fem ale	46,877 3,216	100.0 100.0	81.6 78.5	18.4 21.5
AGE IN 1978				
Under 30 years	287 6,264 9,226 8,075 7,644 6,994 5,183 3,193 1,930 1,297	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	76.0 75.7 78.1 81.3 83.1 84.9 85.8 85.5 82.2 76.2	24.0 24.3 21.9 18.7 16.9 15.1 14.2 14.5 17.8 23.8
FIELD OF SCIENCE OR ENGINEERING IN 1976				
Respondents in 1976 Total in scope in 1976 Computer specialists Mathematical specialists Mathematical specialists Physical scientists Physical scientists Physical scientists Psychologists Social scientists Total out-of-scope in 1976 Nonrespondents in 1976 FIELD OF SCIENCE OR ENGINEERING IN 1974 Respondents in 1974 Total in scope in 1974 Computer specialists	42,644 37,602 2,064 19,922 1,486 3,800 4,695 1,749 1,936 1,950 5,042 7,449 44,158 39,473 2,291	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	91.8 92.0 90.8 91.4 92.6 93.9 93.4 92.3 92.1 92.4 89.8 21.9 88.9 89.2 87.4 87.4	8.2 8.0 9.2 8.6 7.4 6.1 6.6 7.7 7.9 7.6 10.2 78.1 11.1 10.8 12.6 11.6
Mathematical specialists. Life scientists. Physical scientists. Environmental scientists. Psychologists. Social scientists. Total out-of-scope in 1974. Nonrespondents in 1974.	1,612 4,026 4,824 1,867 1 989 2,050 4,685 5,935	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	89.3 91.0 91.3 88.6 89.0 89.2 86.2 25.6	10.7 9.0 8.7 11.4 11.0 10.8 13.8 74.4
FIELD OF SCIENCE OR ENGINEERING IN 1972	5,755	200.0	23.0	
Respondents in 1972 Total in scope in 1972 Computer specialists Mathematical specialists Life scientists Physical scientists Environmental scientists Social scientists	50,093 50,093 3,391 25,797 2,185 4,891 6,248 2,095 2,488 2,998	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	81.4 81.4 76.7 81.1 81.9 84.1 84.0 82.2 79.9 79.4	18.6 18.6 23.3 18.9 18.1 15.9 16.0 17.8 20.1 20.6

30

Table E-2. Respondents and Nonrespondents in the 1978 National Survey, by Field of Science or Engineering in 1976, 1974, and 1972, by Age in 1978, and Sex (Unweighted)

Sex, age in 1978, and field of science or engineering in 1976, 1974, 1972	Responded in 1978		Did not respond in 1978	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total	40,771	100.0	9,322	100.0
SEX				
Male	38,245 2,526	93.8 6.2	8,632 690	92.6 7.4
AGE IN 1978				
Under 30 years. 30 to 34 years. 35 to 39 years. 40 to 44 years. 45 to 49 years. 50 to 54 years. 55 to 59 years. 60 to 64 years. 55 to 69 years. 70 years and over.	218 4,739 7,208 6,565 6,354 5,939 4,445 2,729 1,586 988	0.5 11.6 17.7 16.1 15.6 14.6 10.9 6.7 3.9 2.4	69 1,525 2,018 1,510 1,290 1,055 738 464 344 309	0.7 16.4 21.6 16.2 13.8 11.3 7.9 5.0 3.7 3.3
Median age	45	(X)	43	(X)
FIELD OF SCIENCE OR ENGINEERING IN 1976				
Responded in 1976 In scope in 1976 Computer specialists Engineers Mathematical specialists Mathematicians Statisticians Life scientists Agricultural scientists Biologists Medical scientists	39,137 34,609 1,875 18,206 1,376 992 384 3,568 1,446 1,720 402	96.0 84.9 4.6 44.7 3.4 2.4 0.9 8.8 3.5 4.2 1.0	3,507 2,993 189 1,716 110 89 21 232 94 112 26	37.6 32.1 2.0 18.4 1.2 1.0 0.2 2.5 1.0 1.2 0.3
Physical scientists. Chemists. Physicists and astronomers. Other physical scientists. Environmental scientists. Earth scientists. Atmospheric scientists. Oceanographers. Psychologists Social scientists. Sociologists and anthropologists. Other social scientists.	4,384 2,692 1,443 249 1,615 1,357 187 71 1,784 1,801 750 484 567	10.8 6.6 3.5 0.6 4.0 3.3 0.5 0.2 4.4 4.4 4.4 1.8 1.2 1.4	311 171 124 16 134 114 13 7 152 149 70 38 41	3.3 1.8 1.3 0.2 1.4 1.2 0.1 0.1 1.6 1.6 0.8 0.4 0.4
Out of scope	4,528	11.1	514	5.5
Did not respond in 1976	1,634	4.0	5,815	62.4

1 - 1

. . .

32

Table E-2. Respondents and Nonrespondents in the 1978 National Survey, by Field of
Science or Engineering in 1976, 1974, and 1972, by Age in 1978, and Sex
(Unweighted)—Continued

Sex, age in 1978, and field in science or	Responded in 1978		Did not respond in 1978	
engineering in 1976, 1974, 1972	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
FIELD OF SCIENCE OR ENGINEERING IN 1974				
Responded in 1974	39,252	96.3	4,906	52.6
In scope in 1974	35,212	86.4	4,261	45.7
Computer specialists	2,003	4.9	288	3.1
Engineers	18,450	45.3	2,364	25.4
Mathematical specialists	1,440	3.5	172	1.8
Mathematicians	1,041	2.6	131	1.4
Statisticians	399	1.0	41	0.4
Life scientists	3,663	9.0	363	3.9
Agricultural scientists	1,491	3.7	159	1.7
	1,755	4.3	160	1.7
Neglcal scientists	41/	1.0	44	0.5
Physical scientists	4,402	10.8	422	4.5
Chemists	2,713	0./	145	1.6
Other physical scientists	280		145	1.0
Environmentel scientists	1 655	4 1	20	2 3
Forth scientiets	1 399	3.4	176	1 9
Atmognheric scientists	186	0.5	22	0.2
Oceanographers	70	0.2	14	0.2
Psychologists	1.771	4.3	218	2.3
Social scientists	1,828	4.5	222	2.4
Economists	787	1.9	109	1.2
Sociologists and anthropologists	490	1.2	54	0.6
Other social scientists	551	1.4	59	0.6
Out of scope	4,040	9.9	645	6.9
Did not respond in 1974	1,519	3.7	4,416	47.4
Responded in 1972	40,771	100.0	9,322	100.0
In scope in 1972	40,771	100.0	9,322	100.0
Computer specialists	2,600	6.4	791	8.5
	20,92/	51.3	4,870	52.2
Mathematical specialists	1,790	4.4	393	4.2
	1,313	3.2	209	3.1
	4/5	10 1	778	8.2
Agricultural ectentists	1,720	4 2	305	3 3
Riologists	1,798	4.4	341	3.7
Nedical scientists	595	1.5	132	1.4
Physical scientists	5,249	12.9	999	10.7
Chemists	3,061	7.5	583	6.3
Physicists and astronomers	1,791	4.4	337	3.6
Other physical scientists	397	1.0	79	0.8
Environmental scientists	1,723	4.2	372	4.0
Earth scientists	1,553	3.8	345	3.7
Atmospheric scientists	132	0.3	18	0.2
Oceanographers	38	0.1	9	0.1
Psychologists	1,988	4.9	500	5.4
Social scientists	2,381	5.8	617	6.6
Economists	954	2.3	262	2.8
Sociologists and anthropologists	554	1.4	142	1.5
Other social scientists Out of scope in 1972	873 -	2.1	213	2.3
Did not respond in 1972	-	-	-	-

9

ſ

Superintendent of Documents U.S. Government Printing Office Washington, D.C. 20402

Official Business Penalty for Private Use, \$300

