# Solutions to An Introduction to Manifolds

Chapter 2 - Manifolds Loring W. Tu

Solutions by positrón0802 https://positron0802.wordpress.com

## 1 January 2021

### **Contents**

2	Manifolds		
	5	Manifolds	1
	6	Smooth Maps on a Manifold	3
	7	Quotients	4

# 2 Manifolds

## 5 Manifolds

**Problem 5.1.** (a) It is clear that h is bijective and that it restricts to a homeomorphism

$$]-c,0[\cup [0,d] \to ]-c,0[\cup [0,d].$$

Furthermore, if  $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{c, d\}$ , then h restricts to a homeomorphism

$$]-\varepsilon,0[\cup\{A\}\cup]0,\varepsilon[\to]-\varepsilon,\varepsilon[.$$

Thus h is a bijective local homeomorphism, hence a homeomorphism.

(b) It follows from (a) that S is locally Euclidean of dimension 1. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a countable basis for  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \subset S$ , and let  $\mathcal{B}_A = \{I_A(-c,d) \mid c,d \in \mathbb{Q}^+\}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_B = \{I_B(-c,d) \mid c,d \in \mathbb{Q}^+\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{B}_A \cup \mathcal{B}_B$  is a countable basis for S. Finally, note that S is not Hausdorff since  $A, B \in S$  cannot be separated by disjoint open sets, as given c, c', d, d' > 0, the point  $p = \min\{d, d'\}/2 \in S$  belongs to the intersection  $I_A(-c,d) \cap I_B(-c',d')$ .

**Problem 5.2.** Let M be the sphere with a hair (at q) in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Assume that  $q \in M$  has a neighbourhood U homeomorphic to some open subset  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  for some n. Let  $\phi: U \to V$  be a homeomorphism. Without loss of generality we may assume that U is a connected ball  $B_{\varepsilon}(q) \cap M$ . Then  $U \setminus \{q\}$  is a disjoint union of two open connected subsets  $U_1$  and  $U_2$ , where  $U_1$  is homeomorphic to an interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $U_1$  is homeomorphic to a punctured ball  $B' \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . Now,  $\phi$  restricts to a homeomorphism  $U_1 \to \phi(U_1)$ . Since  $U_1 \subset U$  is open,  $\phi(U_1) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is open, so n = 1 by the theorem on invariance of dimension. On the other hand,  $\phi$  also restricts to a homeomorphism  $U_2 \to \phi(U_2)$ , where  $\phi(U_1) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is open, so n = 2. This contradiction shows that there is no such U and  $\phi$ .

#### **Problem 5.3.** We have

$$\phi_4(U_{14}) = \phi_4(U_1 \cap U_4) = \{(x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x > 0, x^2 + z^2 < 1\}.$$

As

$$\phi_1 \circ \phi_4^{-1}(x, z) = \phi_1(x, \sqrt{1 - x^2 - z^2}, z) = (\sqrt{1 - x^2 - z^2}, z)$$
 for all  $(x, z) \in \phi_4(U_{14})$ ,

 $\phi_1 \circ \phi_4^{-1}$  is  $C^{\infty}$  on  $\phi_4(U_{14})$ .

Similarly,

$$\phi_1(U_{16}) = \{(y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid z < 0, y^2 + z^2 < 1\}$$

and

$$\phi_6 \circ \phi_1^{-1}(y, z) = \phi_1(\sqrt{1 - y^2 - z^2}, y, z) = (\sqrt{1 - y^2 - z^2}, y)$$
 for all  $(y, z) \in \phi_1(U_{16})$ ,

so  $\phi_6 \circ \phi_1^{-1}$  is  $C^{\infty}$  on  $\phi_1(U_{16})$ .

**Problem 5.4.** Since *M* is a manifold, there exists a chart  $(U_{\alpha_1}, \phi_{\alpha_1})$  about *p*. Then

$$\phi_{\alpha_1}|_{U_{\alpha_1}\cap U}\colon V_{\alpha}\cap U\to \phi_{\alpha_1}(V_{\alpha_1}\cap U)$$

is a homeomorphism with  $\phi_{\alpha_1}(V_{\alpha_1} \cap U) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  open, and it is compatible with all the charts in the maximal atlas. By maximality, it must belong to this atlas, so  $U_{\alpha_1} \cap U = U_{\alpha_2}$  for some  $\alpha_2$ . Then  $U_{\alpha_2}$  is a coordinate open set such that  $p \in U_{\alpha_2} \subset U$ .

**Problem 5.5.** Each  $\phi_{\alpha} \times \psi_i$  is a homeomorphism with image  $\phi_{\alpha} \times \psi_i(U_{\alpha} \times V_i) = \phi_{\alpha}(U_{\alpha}) \times \psi_i(V_i)$  an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . Furthermore, each transition map

$$(\phi_{\alpha_1} \times \psi_{i_1}) \circ (\phi_{\alpha_2} \times \psi_{i_2})^{-1} = (\phi_{\alpha_1} \circ \psi_{\alpha_2}^{-1}) \times (\psi_{i_1} \circ \psi_{i_2}^{-1})$$

is  $C^{\infty}$ . Hence the collection

$$\{(U_{\alpha} \times V_i, \phi_{\alpha} \times \psi_i \mid U_{\alpha} \times V_i \to \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n)\}$$

is an atlas on  $M \times N$ .

# 6 Smooth Maps on a Manifold

**Problem 6.1.** (a) Since  $\psi \circ id^{-1} = \psi \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is not  $C^{\infty}$ , the chart  $(\mathbb{R}, \psi)$  is not compatible with the chart  $(\mathbb{R}, id)$ , so the two differentiable structures are distinct.

(b) Let  $F: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}'$  be defined by  $F(x) = x^3$ . Then F is a bijection and

$$\psi \circ F \circ id^{-1}(x) = \psi \circ F(x) = \psi(x^3) = x$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , so F is  $C^{\infty}$ . Similarly,

$$id \circ F^{-1} \circ \psi(x) = id \circ F^{-1}(x^{1/3}) = id(x) = x$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , so  $F^{-1}$  is  $C^{\infty}$ . Hence, F is a diffeomorphism from  $\mathbb{R}$  onto  $\mathbb{R}'$ .

**Problem 6.2.** Let  $p \in M$  and let  $(U, \phi)$  be a chart about  $p \in M$ . Let  $(V, \psi)$  a chart about  $q_0 \in N$ . Then  $(U \times V, \phi \times \psi)$  is a chart about  $(p, q_0) \in M \times N$ , and

$$(\phi \times \psi) \circ i_{q_0} \circ \phi^{-1}(p') = (\phi \circ \phi^{-1}(p'), \psi(q_0)) = (p', \psi(q_0))$$

for all  $p' \in U \times V$ , which is  $C^{\infty}$ . It follows that the inclusion  $i_{q_0} \colon M \to M \times N$  is  $C^{\infty}$ .

**Problem 6.3.** We are  $(GL(V), \phi_e)$  and  $(GL(V), \phi_u)$ . We want to compute  $\phi_u \circ \phi_e^{-1}$  and  $\phi_e \circ \phi_u^{-1}$ . Given  $[a_j^i] \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ , the automorphism  $\phi_e^{-1}([a_j^i]) = L$  of V is such that  $L(e_j) = \sum_i a_j^i e_i$  for all  $j = 1, \ldots, n$ . Then  $\phi_u(L) = [b_\ell^k]$  is such that  $L(u_\ell) = \sum_k b_\ell^k u_k$  for all  $\ell = 1, \ldots, n$ .

Let  $c_{\ell}^{j}, d_{i}^{k} \in \mathbb{R}$  be the scalars such that

$$u_{\ell} = \sum_{j} c_{\ell}^{j} e_{j}$$
 for all  $\ell = 1, ..., n$  and  $e_{i} = \sum_{k} d_{i}^{k} u_{k}$  for all  $i = 1, ..., n$ ,

Then

$$L(u_{\ell}) = \sum_{j} c_{\ell}^{j} L(e_{j}) = \sum_{j} c_{\ell}^{j} \left( \sum_{i} a_{j}^{i} \left( \sum_{k} d_{i}^{k} u_{k} \right) \right) = \sum_{i,j,k} c_{\ell}^{j} a_{j}^{i} d_{i}^{k} u_{k} \text{ for all } \ell = 1,\ldots,n.$$

Therefore

$$\phi_u \circ \phi_e^{-1}([a_j^i]_{i,j}) = \left[\sum_{i,j} c_\ell^j a_j^i d_i^k\right]_{k,\ell},$$

so  $\phi_u \circ \phi_e^{-1}$  is  $C^{\infty}$ . Similarly, it follows that  $\phi_e \circ \phi_u^{-1}$  is  $C^{\infty}$ , so  $(GL(V), \phi_e)$  and  $(GL(V), \phi_u)$  are compatible. Thus  $(GL(V), \phi_u)$  and  $(GL(V), \phi_e)$  belong to the same maximal atlas, so they determine the same differentiable structure on GL(V).

**Problem 6.4.** Define  $F: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$  by  $F(x, y, z) = (x, x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 1, z)$ . Then F can serve as a local coordinate system about  $p = (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  if and only if it is a local diffeomorphism at p. By the Inverse Function Theorem this is equivalent to the condition

$$\frac{\partial(F^1, F^2, F^3)}{\partial(x, y, z)} = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2x & 2y & 2z \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 2y \neq 0.$$

It follows that F can serve as a coordinate system precisely at the points not on the y-axis.

# 7 Quotients

**Problem 7.1.** If  $x \in f(f^{-1}(B))$ , then x = f(y) for some  $y \in f^{-1}(B)$ , so that  $x = f(y) \in B \cap f(X)$ . Conversely, if  $x \in B \cap f(X)$  and x = f(y) for  $y \in X$ , then  $y \in f^{-1}(B)$ , so  $x = f(y) \in f(f^{-1}(B))$ . If f is surjective, we have f(X) = Y and thus  $f(f^{-1}(B)) = B \cap f(X) = B \cap Y = B$ .

**Problem 7.2.** We follow the hint. The function f is continuous by Proposition 7.1, and is clearly bijective. Since  $H^2$  is compact, so is  $H^2/\sim$ . Finally,  $S^2/\sim$  is Hausdorff by Problem 7.4. Thus, f is a continuous bijection from the compact space  $H^2/\sim$  onto the Hausdorff space  $S^2/\sim$ , so it is a homeomorphism by Corollary A.36.

**Problem 7.3.** We shall prove that if  $\sim$  is an open equivalence relation on a topological space S, then  $S/\sim$  is Hausdorff if and only if the graph R of  $\sim$  is closed in  $S \times S$ .

We follow the hint. First assume that  $S/\sim$  is Hausdorff. Then the diagonal  $\Delta$  in  $(S/\sim)\times(S/\sim)$  is closed by Corollary 7.8. Let  $\pi\colon S\to S/\sim$  be the projection map. As  $\pi$  is continuous, so is  $\pi\times\pi\colon S\times S\to (S/\sim)\times (S/\sim)$ . Thus  $R=(\pi\times\pi)^{-1}(\Delta)$  is closed in  $S\times S$ . Conversely, assume that R is closed in  $S\times S$ . Let  $[x]\neq [y]\in S/\sim$ . Then  $(x,y)\notin R$ . As  $(S\times S)\setminus R$  is open, there exist open neighbourhoods U of X and X of X respectively such that X is open, X is open.

**Problem 7.4.** (a) For proving a map is open, it suffices to check that the images of basic open sets are open, if we have fixed a basis for the topology of the domain. Let  $\pi: S^n \to S^n/\sim$  be the projection map. Consider the basis for  $S^n$  consisting of balls  $S^n \cap B(x, \varepsilon)$  centred at points  $x \in S^n$  with radii  $\varepsilon < 1/2$ . If  $U = S^n \cap B(x, \varepsilon)$  is one of these balls, then  $\pi^{-1}(\pi(U)) = S^n \cap (B(x, \varepsilon) \cup B(-x, \varepsilon))$  is open in  $S^2$ , hence  $\pi(U)$  is open in  $S^2/\sim$ . It follows that  $\sim$  is an open relation.

(b) For a subset  $A \subset S^n$ , write  $-A = \{a \in S^n \mid -a \in A\}$ . Let R denote the graph of  $\sim$  and let  $(x,y) \in (S \times S) \setminus R$ . Then  $[x] \neq [y]$ . Since  $S^n$  is Hausdorff, there exist neighbourhoods U of X and Y of X respectively such that X and X are pairwise disjoint. Then X is closed, and it follows from Theorem 7.7 that X is Hausdorff.

**Problem 7.5.** Given  $g \in G$ , note that the map  $r_g \colon S \to S$  given by  $s \mapsto sg$  is a homeomorphism with inverse  $r_{g^{-1}}$ . Let U be open in S. Then, for  $g \in G$ , the set  $r_g(U)$  is open in G. Thus

$$\pi^{-1}(\pi(U)) = \bigcup_{g \in G} r_g(U)$$

is open in G. By definition of quotient topology, it follows that  $\pi(U)$  is open in S/G. We conclude that  $\pi$  is an open map.

**Problem 7.6.** Let  $p: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  denote the projection. Consider the subsets

$$V_1 = \{[t] \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z} \mid -\pi < t < \pi\}$$
 and  $V_1 = \{[t] \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z} \mid 0 < t < 2\pi\}$ 

of  $\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ . Note that

$$p^{-1}(V_1) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} ]2\pi n - \pi, 2\pi(n+1) - \pi[$$

is open in  $\mathbb{R}$ , so  $V_1$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ . Similarly,  $V_2$  is open. Now, note that each restriction  $p_i = p|_{p^{-1}(V_i)} : p^{-1}(V_i) \to V_i$  of p is again a quotient map. Consider the map

$$\psi'_1: p^{-1}(V_i) \to \mathbb{R}, \quad t \mapsto t - 2\pi n \text{ if } t \in ]2\pi n - \pi, 2\pi(n+1) - \pi[.$$

Then  $\psi_1'$  is constant on the fibres of  $p_1$ , so by Proposition 7.1 it induces a well-defined continuous map  $\psi_1 \colon V_i \to \mathbb{R}$  given by  $\psi_i([t]) = t$ , where  $-\pi < t < \pi$ . The map  $\psi_1$  is a homeomorphism onto its image  $\psi_1(V_1) = ] - \pi, \pi[$  with inverse given by  $t \mapsto [t]$ . Similarly, we have a well-defined continuous map  $\psi_2 \colon V_2 \to \mathbb{R}$  given by  $\psi_2([t]) = t$ , where  $0 < t < 2\pi$ , and  $\psi_2$  is a homeomorphism onto its image  $]0, 2\pi[$ . Thus  $(V_1, \psi_1)$  and  $(V_2, \psi_2)$  are charts on  $\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ . Moreover,  $\psi_1(V_1 \cap V_2) = ]0, \pi[= \psi_2(V_1 \cap V_2)$  and

$$\psi_2 \circ \psi_1^{-1} \colon \ ]0, \pi[ \to ]0, \pi[$$

is the identity, and similarly for  $\psi_1 \circ \psi_2^{-1}$ . Thus  $\{(V_1, \psi_1), (V_2, \psi_2)\}$  is a  $C^{\infty}$  atlas on  $\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ .

Problem 7.7. (a) Recall from Example 5.7 that

$$U_1 = \{e^{it} \in \mathbb{C} \mid -\pi < t < \pi\}, \quad U_2 = \{e^{it} \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 < t < 2\pi\},$$

and  $\phi_{\alpha} \colon U_{\alpha} \to \mathbb{R}$  for  $\alpha = 1, 2$  are given by the formula  $\psi_1(e^{it}) = t$  in their respective domains. Recall also that

$$A = \{e^{it} \mid -\pi < t < 0\}$$
 and  $B = \{e^{it} \mid 0 < t < \pi\}.$ 

Consider the  $C^{\infty}$  atlas  $\{(V_1, \psi_1), (V_2, \psi_2)\}$  on  $\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  given in the solution of Problem 7.6, and let  $p \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  denote the projection. Note that  $\overline{\phi} \colon S^1 \to \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  is given by  $e^{it} \mapsto [t]$ . Consider the charts  $(U_1, \phi_1)$  and  $(V_1, \psi_1)$ . Then  $\phi_1((\overline{\phi})^{-1}(V_1) \cap U_1)) = \phi_1(U_1) = ] - \pi, \pi[$ , and  $\psi_1 \circ \overline{\phi} \circ \phi_1^{-1}$  is given by  $t \mapsto t$  in this domain, so it is  $C^{\infty}$ . Similarly,  $\psi_2 \circ \overline{\phi} \circ \phi_2^{-1}$  is the  $C^{\infty}$  map  $]0, 2\pi[ \to \mathbb{R}, t \mapsto t$ . Now consider the charts  $(U_1, \phi_1)$  and  $(V_2, \psi_2)$ . Then  $\phi_1((\overline{\phi})^{-1}(V_2) \cap U_1)) = \phi_1(B) = ]0, \pi[$ , and  $\psi_1 \circ \overline{\phi} \circ \phi_2^{-1}$  is given by  $t \mapsto t$  in this domain, so it is  $C^{\infty}$ . Similarly for the charts  $(U_2, \phi_1)$  and  $(V_1, \psi_1)$ . We deduce that  $\overline{\phi}$  is  $C^{\infty}$ .

- (b) This is analogous to part (a). Considering the atlas  $\{(V_1, \psi_1), (V_2, \psi_2)\}$  for  $\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  and the atlas  $\{(U_1, \phi_1), (U_2, \phi_2)\}$  for  $S^1$ , all composites  $\phi_i \circ F \circ \psi_j^{-1}$ , for i, j = 1, 2, are the identities in their respective domains.
- (c) Note that the maps  $\overline{\phi} \colon S^1 \to \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  and  $F \colon \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z} \to S^1$  of parts (a) and (b) are inverses to each other and  $C^{\infty}$ . Therefore F is a diffeomorphism.

**Problem 7.8.** (a) We follow the hint: that  $\sim$  is an open equivalence relations follows from Problem 7.5.

- (b) We follow the hint: since F(k, n) is second countable (being a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ ) and  $\sim$  is open by part (a), it follows that  $G(k, n) = F(k, n)/\sim$  is second countable by Corollary 7.10.
- (c) We follow the hint. Two matrices  $A = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & \cdots & a_k \end{bmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & \cdots & b_k \end{bmatrix}$  in F(k,n) are equivalent if and only if all  $(k+1) \times (k+1)$  minors of  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \end{bmatrix}$  are zero (recall Problem B.1). Let  $(A,B) \in (S \times S) \setminus R$ . Then there is some  $(k+1) \times (k+1)$  minor of  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \end{bmatrix}$  which is non-zero, say corresponding to the rows  $i_1, \ldots, i_{k+1}$  and columns  $j_1, \ldots, j_{k+1}$ . Since the function  $F(k,n) \times F(k,n) \to \mathbb{R}$  taking (C,D) to the  $(k+1) \times (k+1)$  minor of  $\begin{bmatrix} C & D \end{bmatrix}$  corresponding to the rows  $i_1, \ldots, i_{k+1}$  and columns  $j_1, \ldots, j_{k+1}$  is continuous, it follows that in some neighbourhood U of (A,B) in  $F(k,n) \times F(k,n)$ , for all pairs  $(C,D) \in U$  the  $(k+1) \times (k+1)$  minor of  $\begin{bmatrix} C & D \end{bmatrix}$  corresponding to the the rows  $i_1, \ldots, i_{k+1}$  and columns  $j_1, \ldots, j_{k+1}$  is non-zero, so that  $U \subset (S \times S) \setminus R$ . It follows that R is closed in  $S \times S$ .
- (d) Since the graph R in  $F(k, n) \times F(k, n)$  of the equivalence relation  $\sim$  is closed and  $\sim$  is open by part (a), it follows from Theorem 7.7 that  $G(k, n) = F(k, n)/\sim$  is Hausdorff.
- (e) Suppose that i=1 and j=2. Let  $A\in V_{12}$ , so that  $A_{12}$  is non-singular by assumption. If  $g\in \mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ , then

$$Ag = \begin{bmatrix} A_{12}g \\ A_{13}g \end{bmatrix},$$

and  $A_{12}g$  is non-singular. Thus  $Ag \in V_{12}$  for all  $g \in GL(2,\mathbb{R})$ . It is clear that a similar proof works in the case that i and j are not 1 and 2 respectively.

(f) Let  $A, B \in V_{12}$  and suppose that  $A \sim B$ . Then there exists  $g \in GL(2, \mathbb{R})$  such that

$$Ag = \begin{bmatrix} A_{12}g \\ A_{13}g \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B_{12} \\ B_{13} \end{bmatrix},$$

and hence

$$\widetilde{\phi}_{12}(B) = B_{34}B_{12}^{-1} = (A_{34}q)(A_{12}q)^{-1} = A_{34}A_{12}^{-1} = \widetilde{\phi}_{12}(A).$$

Thus, by Proposition 7.1 we have a well-defined continuous map  $\phi_{12}\colon U_{12}\to\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$  given by  $\phi_{12}([A])=A_{34}A_{12}^{-1}$ . On the other hand, we have a map  $\psi\colon\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}\to U_{12}$  given by sending  $g\in\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$  to the class of

$$\begin{bmatrix} I \\ g \end{bmatrix}$$
.

It is clear that  $\phi_{12} \circ \psi$  is the identity map of  $\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$ . Since every element of  $U_{12}$  has a representative of the form  $\begin{bmatrix} I \\ g \end{bmatrix}$ , it follows that  $\psi \circ \phi_{12}$  is the identity on  $U_{12}$ . We deduce that  $\phi_{12}$  is a homeomorphism.

(g) For all i, j, the homeomorphism  $\phi_{ij} \colon U_{ij} \to \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$  is given by  $\phi_{ij} = A_{k\ell} A_{ij}^{-1}$ , where  $\{k, \ell\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \setminus \{i, j\}$ . By definition, we have

$$\phi_{12} \circ \phi_{23}^{-1} \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \right) = \phi_{12} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a & b \\ c & d \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a & b \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{b-a} \begin{bmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b & 0 \\ -a & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{b-a} \begin{bmatrix} cb-da & d \\ -a & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

and this is defined precisely for all  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$  such that  $\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a & b \end{bmatrix} = b - a \neq 0$ . This is  $C^{\infty}$  being a polynomial on the entries of  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ .

(h) By definition, an element of  $GL(2,4) = F(2,4)/\sim$  is a class of a  $4\times 2$  matrix of rank 2. For any such matrix A, we must have  $A_{ij}$  non-singular for some  $i \neq j$  by Problem B.1. Thus  $\{U_{ij} \mid 1 \le i < j \le 4\}$  is an open cover of G(2,4) and the  $(U_{ij},\phi_{ij})$  defined are charts on G(2,4). They are all pairwise  $C^{\infty}$ -compatible by a similar computation as that of (g), since any transition function is a polynomial in the entries.

**Problem 7.9.** We follow the hint. By Exercise 7.11, we have a homeomorphism  $\mathbb{R}P^n \approx S^n/\sim$ where  $S^n/\sim$  is the quotient of  $S^n$  which identifies antipodal points. Since  $S^n$  is compact, so is  $S^n/\sim$  . Therefore,  $\mathbb{R}P^n$  is compact.

Please send comments, suggestions and corrections by e-mail, or at website.

https://positron0802.wordpress.com positron0802@mail.com