

## Solving differential equations on quantum computers

## Prof. Veera Sundararaghavan

Department of Aerospace Engineering, University of Michigan

## Sid Srivastava (PhD candidate)

Keynote Talk: Modeling and Computation session $16^{\text {th }}$ Pan-American Congress of Applied Mechanics

May 23, 2019
Acknowledgments: USRA Quantum information Sciences Program

What is Quantum computing?


Quantum computers employ superposition of states

Dur and Heusler, Arxiv 2013
"It's not just a question of moving more quickly. It's a question of moving in different ways."
"It's as though you're Houdini trying to pick a lock and escape from an underwater cabinet. If you were free to move your hands wherever you'd like, you could do so much more efficiently than if you were handcuffed."

## Aephraim Steinberg

Professor of physics at the University of Toronto and
Centre for Quantum Information and Quantum Control

The Quantum annealer

Tunable field on the qubit


Optimization using quantum annealers


## Algorithms were developed before the machines arrived



## Recent developments



Neural Network ${ }^{[1]}$


Molecular energy estimation ${ }^{[2]}$


Quantum key distribution ${ }^{[3]}$


Playing Battleship ${ }^{[4]}$

Primary focus: Identify and solve problems which are very hard to be solved on Classical supercomputers (e.g. NP hard combinatorial problems).

Secondary focus: Solve well-established classical problems on a Quantum system more efficiently.

National Quantum Initiative Act (Jan 2019) at a funding level of $\$ 1.2$ billion impacts growth of quantum computational sciences
[1] Rebentrost, Patrick, et al. "Quantum Hopfield neural network." Physical Review A 98.4 (2018): 042308.
[2] Kandala, Abhinav, et al. "Hardware-efficient variational quantum eigensolver for small molecules and quantum magnets." Nature 549.7671 (2017): 242.
[3] Islam, Nurul T., et al. "Securing quantum key distribution systems using fewer states." Physical Review A 97.4 (2018): 042347.
[4] https://www.research.ibm.com/ibm-q/ (Last accessed on 20 Feb 2019)

## Some issues with near-term quantum computers

## Limited Qubits

In classical computers, with similar binary (0/1) encoding:
Data type size : 32 bits (float) to 80 bits (long double)
1 GB memory = 12 million high precision variables
In contrast, currently available quantum annealers have a limited number of physical qubits.
Limited connectivity (Gate operations):


Schematic of IBM Q5 processor


Qubits (each node is a $K_{4,4}$ )

Unit graph structure for D-Wave 2000Q

## Quantum annealing: Ising spin systems

How does a Quantum annealer work?
D-Wave Processor


NTT Basic Research Laboratories (2005)


Energy in spin systems is dependent on 3 things:

1. Topology of graph.
2. Parameters H (Field strength) and J (Coupling strength)
3. Labeling of vertices

## Ising spin systems

## Spin systems

- A spin model defines a Hamiltonian (Energy) on a simple undirected Graph for a given set of labelling.
- An Undirected Graph $G(V, E)$ is a set of vertices (V) and edges (E) with no orientation. It is 'simple’ if it does not contain any multi-edge or self loop.
- A Vertex labeling is a function of $V$ to a set of labels (\{+1,-1\} in our case)
- Label $=+1$
- Label = -1



The energy ( E ) for a given labeling ( S ) :

$$
E(S)=\sum_{i} H_{i} S_{i}+\sum_{\langle i, j\rangle} J_{i j} S_{i} S_{j}
$$



## Annealing procedure



Why is this better than classical computing? as

- The annealing procedure is conducted by varying the field

$$
E(t)=A(t) \sum_{i} S_{i}^{x}+B(t)\left(\sum_{i} H_{i} S_{i}^{Z}+\sum_{<i, j>} J_{i j} S_{i}^{Z} S_{j}^{Z}\right)
$$

- The fridge temperature used in D-Wave Vesuvius processor is 12 mK .
- The total annealing time is in range of $5 \mu \mathrm{~s}-2000 \mu \mathrm{~s}$



## Key topic of this talk:

## Mapping physics to Ising models



Gate based quantum computers or Quantum annealers

## Scope of this talk

Primary objective: Formulate and test Quantum annealing based algorithms for differential equations.


For most part, we will treat Quantum annealer as a black box which solves graph labeling in one step.

## Also in scope: Quantum approximate optimization on gate based quantum computers

Not in Scope: Quantum linear solver-based procedure
A great amount of work has been based on QLSA solver developed by Seth Lloyd [1,2]. QLSA is very promising but is not as robust to noise which becomes important in near term quantum computers.

## A simple example

## Case Study I: 1-D truss problem



$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\qquad \frac{d}{d x}\left(E A(x) \frac{d u}{d x}\right)+f(x)=0 & 0<x<L \\
\text { Dirichlet boundary conditions: } & \begin{array}{ll}
u(0)=0 \\
u(L)=1
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

## Goals

- Introducing Box algorithm for solving differential equations*
- Familiarizing with the D-wave quantum annealing architecture


## Solving differential equation

Case Study I: 1-D truss problem


$$
\frac{d}{d x}\left(E A(x) \frac{d u}{d x}\right)+f(x)=0 \quad 0<x<L
$$

Dirichlet boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(0)=0 \\
& u(L)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Energy methods:

Solution obtained by minimizing the potential energy given as:

$$
\min \pi(u)=\int_{0}^{L} \frac{1}{2} E A\left(\frac{d u}{d x}\right)^{2}-f u d x
$$

## Discretization and compact basis

Finite element approximation:
Pick some appropriate finite dimensional space, $V_{h}$ with basis $\left\{\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right\}$ :

$$
u=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \phi_{i} \text { with } a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Solve $\min \pi(a)$ to get the best approximation of $u$

$$
\pi(a)=\int_{0}^{L} \frac{1}{2} E A\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \phi_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-f \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \phi_{i} d x
$$

Choice of $\phi$ :
'Hat functions' (Compact support)
This gives a sparse structure to the minimization problem


## Nodal graph

We want to ensure that there is only 'ONE' +1 and 'TWO' -1 on each node


## Representation of Solution space

Three energy minimizers (symmetric) for each node
$\Rightarrow$ Three values of $a_{i}$ (coefficient of linear expansion) for $i^{\text {th }}$ node


## Element graph

Element graph encodes the physics of the problem


- Each node can take 3 values
- Each element can have one of 9 states $\left(a_{i}, a_{i+1}\right)$

$\pi_{e}=\frac{1}{2} E A\left(a_{i+1}-a_{i}\right)^{2}-f \frac{\left(a_{i+1}+a_{i}\right)}{2}$
Element graph has 9 edges and 9 valid colorings

Estimate $\tilde{J}$ (edge strength) such that: $\quad \mathrm{E}=\sum_{i j} \tilde{J}_{i j} S_{i} S_{j}=\pi_{e}\left(a_{i}, a_{i+1}\right)$
System of 9 linear equations in 9 variables

## Element graph : Example

$\Pi(\mathbf{a})=\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)^{2}$

$$
\underset{\text { Node } i}{a_{i}=0} \quad a_{\text {Element }}=0
$$



In the above Figure, both nodes take up choice $1\left(a_{i}=a_{j}=0\right)$. The interaction energy for qubits: $E=\widetilde{J}_{11}-\widetilde{J}_{12}-\widetilde{J}_{13}-\widetilde{J}_{21}+\widetilde{J}_{22}+\widetilde{J}_{23}-\widetilde{J}_{31}+\widetilde{J}_{32}+\widetilde{J}_{33}=\left(a_{i}-a_{j}\right)^{2}=0$ Sample 2:


In the above Figure, node i takes up choice $1\left(a_{i}=0\right)$, while node j takes up choice $2\left(a_{j}=0.5\right)$. The interaction energy for qubits: $E=-\widetilde{J}_{11}+\widetilde{J}_{12}+\widetilde{J}_{13}+\widetilde{J}_{21}-\widetilde{J}_{22}-\widetilde{J}_{23}-\widetilde{J}_{31}+\widetilde{J}_{32}+\widetilde{J}_{33}=$ $\left(a_{i}-a_{j}\right)^{2}=0.25$

## Element graph : Example (contd)

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\begin{array}{lllllllll}
+1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & +1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & +1 \\
-1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & +1 & +1 \\
-1 & +1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & +1 & -1 & +1 \\
+1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 \\
+1 & -1 & +1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 \\
-1 & -1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & +1 & -1 \\
+1 & +1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & -1 \\
+1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & +1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & +1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\widetilde{J}_{11}^{n} \\
\widetilde{J}_{12}^{n} \\
\widetilde{J}_{13}^{n} \\
\widetilde{J}_{21}^{n} \\
\widetilde{J}_{22}^{n} \\
\widetilde{J}_{23}^{n} \\
\widetilde{J}_{31}^{n} \\
\widetilde{J}_{32}^{n} \\
\widetilde{J}_{33}^{n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(v_{i_{1}}-v_{j_{1}}\right)^{2} \\
\left(v_{i_{2}}-v_{j_{1}}\right)^{2} \\
\left(v_{i_{3}}-v_{j_{1}}\right)^{2} \\
\left(v_{i_{1}}-v_{j_{2}}\right)^{2} \\
\left(v_{i_{2}}-v_{j_{2}}\right)^{2} \\
\left(v_{i_{3}}-v_{j_{2}}\right)^{2} \\
\left(v_{i_{1}}-v_{j_{3}}\right)^{2} \\
\left(v_{i_{2}}-v_{j_{3}}\right)^{2} \\
\left(v_{i_{3}}-v_{j_{3}}\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right]} \\
\widetilde{\widetilde{J}^{1}=\widetilde{J}^{2}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
0.1250 & 0.3750 & 0.3750 \\
0.3750 & 0.5000 & 0.3750 \\
0.3750 & 0.3750 & 0.1250
\end{array}\right]} .
\end{gathered}
$$



All unmarked links have a weight of 0.375

## Graph Embedding

- We want to map all nodes from the graph model onto the physical graph.
- This mapping should preserve the minimum energy states.


Physical connectivity

## Energy minimization

Boundary Conditions: $a_{1}=u_{1}$ and $a_{n}=u_{3}$
Choose $H_{i}$ (Field term) corresponding to $q_{1}^{1}$ and $q_{n}^{3}$ as large negative values.
Solve for $E A(x)=1$ and $f(x)=0$


Low Energy Solution High Probability

High Energy Solution Low Probability

100 labels per node are required to get a precision of 0.01 for a bounded displacement between $[0,1]$.

## Introduce slack variables



(a)

(b)

## Box algorithm: Iterative Procedure

- Define $u_{c}^{i}$ as the displacement corresponding to center label of $i^{t h}$ node.

$$
\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{c}}=\left\{u_{c}^{1}, u_{c}^{2}, \ldots . ., u_{c}^{n}\right\}
$$

- And a parameter, ' $r$ ' (called slack variable) so that the displacements of $i^{t h}$ node for corresponding to labels $\{1,2,3\}$ are $\left\{\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{c}}^{\boldsymbol{i}}-\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{c}}^{\boldsymbol{i}}, \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{c}}^{\boldsymbol{i}}+\boldsymbol{r}\right\}$, respectively.

Definition: 'Box' is the high
 dimensional representation of all possible outcomes. In this case
5D space. Box length $=\mathbf{2 r}$
Box center: $\left\{u_{c}^{1}, u_{c}^{2}, \ldots . ., u_{c}^{5}\right\}$
Approach:

1. If box corner is chosen, recenter the box to the corner.
2. If box center is chosen, shrink the box.

## Iterative solution

The link weights are modified based on the current choices of center and slack variable for each node.


Numerical solution


- The yellow region represents the space between $\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{c}}+\boldsymbol{r}$ and $\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{c}}-\boldsymbol{r}$.
- The result converges to the exact solution



## Quantum computer's solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E A(x)=2-x \\
& f(x)=4 x-6
\end{aligned}
$$




## Convergence

$v=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \phi_{i} \quad$ with $\phi_{i} \in V_{h}$ and $a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$

Now we sample $a_{i}$ from $\left\{u_{c}^{i}-r, u_{c}^{i}, u_{c}^{i}+r\right\}$


## Convergence



Consider the iteration, when the minimum energy point corresponds to $u_{c}$.
Observe:

- All other points in the sample lie outside the energy contour corresponding to $F\left(u_{c}\right)$
- The length of the major axis is bounded for a given matrix $M$

Only considering the horizontal and vertical node, you can bound the major axis of the ellipse as:

$$
d_{\max }=\sqrt{2}\left(1+\lambda_{\max } / \lambda_{\min }\right) r
$$

Following the same logic the bound can be extended to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ :

$$
d_{\max }=2\left(1+(n-1) \frac{\lambda_{\max }}{\lambda_{\min }}\right) \frac{r}{\sqrt{n}}
$$

i.e. for a finite discretization, $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} d_{\max } \rightarrow 0$

This mean as $r \rightarrow 0, u_{c}$ approaches the best approximation for $u$ in $V_{h}$

## Another example

## Case Study II: Advection-Diffusion problem

Homogeneous Advection-diffusion equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions on both ends
Governing Equation:

$$
\begin{array}{cll}
-u^{\prime \prime}+v u^{\prime}=0 & & 0<x<10 \\
u(0)=0, & u(10)=1
\end{array}
$$

Finite Element Method (Galerkin approach)

$$
\text { Weak-form: } \quad W(u, \tilde{u}):=\int_{\Omega}\left(u^{\prime} \tilde{u}^{\prime}+v u^{\prime} \tilde{u}\right) d x=0
$$

- Observe that the weak form is non-symmetric
- This results in unstable solution for high values of ' $v$ ' i.e. in highly advective flows.


FEM (Linear elements) calculation for $v=4$

## Goals

- Application of energy minimization in a restrictive way
- Some tweaks in the Box algorithm and speed of convergence
- Error correction measures


## Box algorithm for A-D equation

First, we need a functional minimization form

Potential flow assumption:

$$
v=-\nabla \phi
$$

$$
F_{v}[u]=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L} e^{-v . x}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2} d x
$$

This energy can be written for $n$-dimensions with non-homogenous terms with Dirichlet and flux boundary conditions*

Restriction (Necessary condition for $n \geq 2$ )

$$
\nabla \times v=0
$$

Application of Box algorithm is same as truss problem

## Slow convergence



- Box algorithm performs well for smaller velocities
- For higher velocities we use step size selection

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{\text {new }}=\alpha r_{\text {old }} \\
0<\alpha<1
\end{gathered}
$$

## Summary of Case Study II



$$
\alpha=0.5
$$


$\alpha=0.85$

- We formulated and implemented Box algorithm for Advection-Diffusion (w/ Potential flow)
- We showed that step size selection can be used to approach the global minima


## Error correction

So far, we have treated the quantum computer as a black box which outputs the correct minima.
When $\delta(E) \ll E$ i.e. the relative energy of all states are same then the solver can output sub-optimal solutions.


Idea for error correction: Scale energy to maximize the gap between the different states: $(H, J) \rightarrow\left(H^{\prime}, J^{\prime}\right)$

$$
E^{\prime}=\frac{1}{a} \sum_{i \in \text { element }}\left(E_{i}-b_{i}\right) \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { Choose a, } b_{i} \\
& \text { appropriately }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Bifurcation

## Case Study III: Beam-buckling problem

$4^{\text {th }}$ Order differential equation with critical behavior

Governing Equation:


Boundary conditions at $\mathrm{x}=x_{b}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
w\left(x_{b}\right)=0 \text { (Displacement) } & \text { or } & V\left(x_{b}\right) \sim w^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{b}\right)=0 \text { (Shear) } \\
w^{\prime}\left(x_{b}\right)=0 \text { (Slope) } & \text { or } & M\left(x_{b}\right) \sim w^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{b}\right)=0 \text { (Moment) }
\end{array}
$$

Energy form

$$
F[w]=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L} E I\left(w^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}-P\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2} d x
$$

## Goals

- Introducing higher order derivatives
- Non convex energy form when $P>P_{c r}$


## Bifurcation

## FEM discretization:

We enforce continuity of slope on element boundary using Hermite cubic interpolation


Note: Having 2 DOF's per node means we need to construct new nodal and element graphs

## Nondimensionalized form:

$$
\frac{2 \pi L^{3} F}{E I}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{e} \int_{0}^{1}\left(w^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}-\bar{P}_{c}\left(\frac{l_{e}}{L}\right)^{2}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2} d z \quad \text { with } \quad \bar{P}_{c}=\frac{\mathrm{PL}^{2}}{\mathrm{EI}}
$$

## Bifurcation

## Nodal and Element graphs:




Each minimizing state of the nodal graph is mapped to one of the 9 solutions of the node

$$
\left\{w_{c}^{i}-r, w_{c}^{i}, w_{c}^{i}+r\right\} \times\left\{w_{c}^{\prime i}-r, w_{c}^{\prime i}, w_{c}^{\prime i}+r\right\}
$$

Element graph is constructed as a complete bipartite graph between consecutive nodes.
Total connections (element graph) = 81
Total possible states for the element $=81$

## Why is non-convexity a problem?

2-element problem example


Symmetry of the problem:

$$
\begin{gathered}
w_{1}^{\prime}=-w_{3}^{\prime} \\
w_{2}^{\prime}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Essentially two degrees of freedom:

$$
w_{1}^{\prime} \sim w_{p}, w_{2} \sim w
$$

Observe single slack variable box algorithm cannot resolve the downward hill of the saddle and gives a false stable point. We augment another slack variable for the slope.


Red region constitutes the downward hill of the saddle

## Multiple slack variables

A heuristic remedy:
Consider different box sizes for different variables

$$
\left\{w_{c}^{i}-r_{1}, w_{c}^{i}, w_{c}^{i}+r_{1}\right\} \times\left\{w^{\prime}{ }_{c}^{i}-r_{2}, w^{\prime i}{ }_{c}, w^{\prime i}{ }_{c}^{i}+r_{2}\right\}
$$

In the post buckling solution (for 2 element case) the solution tends to choose the up/down solution with similar likelihoods.

Naturally identifies critical loads via energy minimization


More work is needed in this direction to extend the algorithm for non-convex problems.

## Summary

- Formulated and implemented an energy-based algorithm for solving differential equations on quantum annealer.
- Showed applications in following different types of equations:
- Truss mechanics
- Convergence of the method for convex problems.
- Advection-Diffusion
- Discussed an energy formulation
- Convergence rate depends on the contraction step
- Error correction strategies
- Beam buckling problem
- Introducing higher order derivatives by augmenting nodal and element graph
- Non-convex: multiple slack variables


## Prospective

## Comparison to Finite elements:

Complexity: Similar complexities
FEM: Assembly and solve $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{N})$ for 1D problems
Box: Computing element graphs imposes $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{N})$ complexity (per iteration) as well. However, annealing time depends on the 'energy gap' rather than the number of unknowns.

Memory: Similar order of memory requirements
FEM: Stiffness matrix requires $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{N})$ floats (sparse structure)
Box: Graph adjacency requires $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{N})$ floats (\#Edges for Truss and AD-problem)
Utility: Box algorithm seems more advantageous for certain problems:

- It does not need gradient estimations or inversion so there is no problem of ill-conditioning
- It may be easier to navigate non-convex energy manifolds using this method. However, further development of algorithm is needed for completely spanning the solution space.


## Moving to gate-based computing

## Quantum Approximate Optimization Algorithm (QAOA)

Introduce a gate-based quantum algorithm that produces approximate solutions for Ising hamiltonians.

Example: Truss problem


- Variable transformation from -1/+1 (D-Wave) to 0/1 (Quantum Assembly Language)

$$
\begin{gathered}
H^{\text {new }}=2 H-2 \Sigma J \\
J^{\text {new }}=4 J
\end{gathered}
$$



All unmarked links have a weight of 0.375

## Moving to gate-based computing



Quantum Assembly Language (QASM) based circuit is generated and solved using Qiskit


## Moving to gate-based computing

## Solution statistics



## Thank you

