

Solving Linear Systems, Continued and The Inverse of a Matrix

Math 240 — Calculus III

Summer 2015, Session II

Tuesday, July 7, 2015



1. Solving Linear Systems
 - Gauss-Jordan elimination
 - The rank of a matrix
2. The inverse of a square matrix
 - Definition
 - Computing inverses
 - Properties of inverses
 - Using inverse matrices
 - Conclusion



Gaussian elimination solves a linear system by reducing to REF via elementary row ops and then using back substitution.

Example

$$\begin{array}{r}
 3x_1 - 2x_2 + 2x_3 = 9 \\
 x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3 = 5 \\
 2x_1 - x_2 - 2x_3 = -1
 \end{array}
 \rightsquigarrow
 \begin{bmatrix}
 3 & -2 & 2 & 9 \\
 1 & -2 & 1 & 5 \\
 2 & -1 & -2 & -1
 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rightarrow
 \begin{bmatrix}
 1 & -2 & 1 & 5 \\
 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\
 0 & 0 & 1 & 2
 \end{bmatrix}
 \rightsquigarrow
 \begin{array}{r}
 x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3 = 5 \\
 x_2 + 3x_3 = 5 \\
 x_3 = 2
 \end{array}$$

Steps

1. P_{12}
2. $A_{12}(-3)$
3. $A_{13}(-2)$
4. $A_{32}(-1)$
5. $A_{23}(-3)$
6. $M_3\left(\frac{-1}{13}\right)$

Back substitution gives the solution $(1, -1, 2)$.



Reducing the augmented matrix to RREF makes the system even easier to solve.

Example

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \begin{array}{rcl} x_1 & = & 1 \\ x_2 & = & -1 \\ x_3 & = & 2 \end{array}$$

Steps

1. $A_{32}(-3)$
2. $A_{31}(-1)$
3. $A_{21}(2)$

Now, without any back substitution, we can see that the solution is $(1, -1, 2)$.

The method of solving a linear system by reducing its augmented matrix to RREF is called **Gauss-Jordan elimination**.



Definition

The **rank** of a matrix, A , is the number of nonzero rows it has after reduction to REF. It is denoted by $\text{rank}(A)$.

If A is the coefficient matrix of an $m \times n$ linear system and $\text{rank}(A^\#) = \text{rank}(A) = n$ then the REF looks like

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & * & * & \cdots & * \\ & 1 & * & \cdots & * \\ & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & & & 1 & * \\ 0 & \dots\dots\dots & & & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \begin{array}{l} x_1 = * \\ x_2 = * \\ \vdots \\ x_n = * \end{array}$$

Lemma

Suppose $Ax = \mathbf{b}$ is an $m \times n$ linear system with augmented matrix $A^\#$. If $\text{rank}(A^\#) = \text{rank}(A) = n$ then the system has a unique solution.



Example

Determine the solution set of the linear system

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 + x_2 - x_3 + x_4 &= 1, \\2x_1 + 3x_2 + x_3 &= 4, \\3x_1 + 5x_2 + 3x_3 - x_4 &= 5.\end{aligned}$$

Reduce the augmented matrix.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccccc|c} 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 5 & 3 & -1 & 5 & 5 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\begin{array}{l} A_{12}(-2) \\ A_{13}(-3) \\ A_{23}(-2) \end{array}} \left[\begin{array}{ccccc|c} 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & -2 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & -2 \end{array} \right]$$

The last row says $0 = -2$; the system is inconsistent.

Lemma

Suppose $Ax = \mathbf{b}$ is a linear system with augmented matrix $A^\#$. If $\text{rank}(A^\#) > \text{rank}(A)$ then the system is inconsistent.



Example

Determine the solution set of the linear system

$$5x_1 - 6x_2 + x_3 = 4,$$

$$2x_1 - 3x_2 + x_3 = 1,$$

$$4x_1 - 3x_2 - x_3 = 5.$$

Reduce the augmented matrix.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5 & -6 & 1 & 4 \\ 2 & -3 & 1 & 1 \\ 4 & -3 & -1 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \begin{array}{r} x_1 - x_3 = 2 \\ x_2 - x_3 = 1 \end{array}$$

The unknown x_3 can assume any value. Let $x_3 = t$. Then by back substitution we get $x_2 = t + 1$ and $x_1 = t + 2$. Thus, the solution set is the line

$$\{(t + 2, t + 1, t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$



Definition

When an unknown variable in a linear system is free to assume any value, we call it a **free variable**. Variables that are not free are called **bound variables**.

The value of a bound variable is uniquely determined by a choice of values for all of the free variables in the system.

Lemma

Suppose $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ is an $m \times n$ linear system with augmented matrix $A^\#$. If $\text{rank}(A^\#) = \text{rank}(A) < n$ then the system has an infinite number of solutions. Such a system will have $n - \text{rank}(A)$ free variables.



Solving linear systems with free variables

Solving Linear
Systems

Math 240

Solving Linear
Systems

Gauss-Jordan
elimination

Rank

Inverse
matrices

Definition

Computing
inverses

Properties of
inverses

Using inverse
matrices

Conclusion

Example

Use Gaussian elimination to solve

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 + 2x_2 - 2x_3 - x_4 &= 3, \\3x_1 + 6x_2 + x_3 + 11x_4 &= 16, \\2x_1 + 4x_2 - x_3 + 4x_4 &= 9.\end{aligned}$$

Reducing to row-echelon form yields

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 + 2x_2 - 2x_3 - x_4 &= 3, \\x_3 + 2x_4 &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

Choose as free variables those variables that **do not** have a pivot in their column.

In this case, our free variables will be x_2 and x_4 . The solution set is the plane

$$\{(5 - 2s - 3t, s, 1 - 2t, t) : s, t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$



The inverse of a square matrix

Can we divide by a matrix? What properties should the inverse matrix have?

Definition

Suppose A is a square, $n \times n$ matrix. An **inverse matrix** for A is an $n \times n$ matrix, B , such that

$$AB = I_n \quad \text{and} \quad BA = I_n.$$

If A has such an inverse then we say that it is **invertible** or **nonsingular**. Otherwise, we say that A is **singular**.

Remark

Not every matrix is invertible.

If you have a linear system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ and B is an inverse matrix for A then the linear system has the unique solution

$$\mathbf{x} = B\mathbf{b}.$$



The inverse of a square matrix

Example

If

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & -3 & 3 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 3 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = A^{-1}$$

then B is *the* inverse of A .

Theorem (Matrix inverses are well-defined)

Suppose A is an $n \times n$ matrix. If B and C are two inverses of A then $B = C$.

Thus, we can write A^{-1} for *the* inverse of A with no ambiguity.

Useful Example

$$\text{If } A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } ad - bc \neq 0 \text{ then } A^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad-bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}.$$



Finding the inverse of a matrix

Inverse matrices sound great! How do I find one?

Suppose A is a 3×3 invertible matrix. If $A^{-1} = [\mathbf{x}_1 \quad \mathbf{x}_2 \quad \mathbf{x}_3]$ then

$$A\mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A\mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad A\mathbf{x}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can find A^{-1} by solving 3 linear systems at once!

In general, form the augmented matrix and reduce to RREF.
You end up with A^{-1} on the right.

$$\left[A \mid I_n \right] \rightsquigarrow \left[I_n \mid A^{-1} \right]$$



Finding the inverse of a matrix

Example

Let's find the inverse of $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & -3 & 3 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

Take the augmented matrix and row reduce.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & -1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -3 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \rightsquigarrow \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{array} \right]$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{A^{-1}}$

Steps

1. $A_{12}(-2)$
2. $A_{13}(-1)$
3. $M_2(-1)$
4. $M_3(-1)$
5. $A_{32}(-1)$
6. $A_{31}(-2)$
7. $A_{21}(1)$



Finding the inverse of a matrix

In order to find the inverse of a matrix, A , we row reduced an augmented matrix with A on the left. What if we don't end up with I_n on the left?

Theorem

An $n \times n$ matrix, A , is invertible if and only if $\text{rank}(A) = n$.

Example

Find the inverse of the matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$.

Try to reduce the matrix to RREF.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{A_{12}(-2)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since $\text{rank}(A) < 2$, we conclude that A is not invertible.

Notice that $(1)(6) - (3)(2) = 0$.



Finding the inverse of a matrix

Diagonal matrices have simple inverses.

Proposition

The inverse of a diagonal matrix is the diagonal matrix with reciprocal entries.

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}^{-1} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & a_{nn}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Upper and lower triangular matrices have inverses of the same form.

Proposition

*The inverse of an upper triangular matrix is upper triangular.
The inverse of a lower triangular matrix is lower triangular.*



Suppose A and B are $n \times n$ invertible matrices.

- ▶ A^{-1} is invertible and $(A^{-1})^{-1} = A$.
- ▶ AB is invertible and $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$.
- ▶ A^T is invertible and $(A^T)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^T$.

Corollary

Suppose A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k are invertible $n \times n$ matrices. Then their product, $A_1A_2 \cdots A_k$ is invertible, and

$$(A_1A_2 \cdots A_k)^{-1} = A_k^{-1}A_{k-1}^{-1} \cdots A_1^{-1}.$$



Recall that if A is an invertible matrix then the linear system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ has the unique solution $\mathbf{x} = A^{-1}\mathbf{b}$.

Example

Solve the linear system

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 + 3x_2 &= 1, \\2x_1 + 5x_2 &= 3.\end{aligned}$$

The coefficient matrix is $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$, so $A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & 3 \\ 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$.

The inverse of a 2×2 matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix} \text{ when } ad - bc \neq 0.$$

$$\text{Hence, } \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & 3 \\ 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$



Inverse matrices are an elegant way of solving linear systems. They do have some drawbacks:

- ▶ They are only applicable when the coefficient matrix is square.
- ▶ Even in the case of a square matrix, an inverse may not exist.
- ▶ They are hard to compute, at least as complicated as doing Gauss-Jordan elimination.

However, they can be useful if

- ▶ the coefficient matrix has an obvious inverse,
- ▶ you need to solve multiple linear systems with the same coefficients.

