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## 1. Introduction

- Mechanics : Study of position, velocity, force and energy
- Classical Mechanics (Molecular Mechanics)
- Molecules (or molecular segments) are treated as rigid object (point, sphere, cube,...)
- Newton's law of motion
- Quantum Mechanics
- Molecules are composed of electrons, nuclei, ...
- Schrodinger's equation $\rightarrow$ Wave function


## 1. Introduction

- Methodology of Thermodynamics and Statistical Mechanics
- Thermodynamics
- study of the relationships between macroscopic properties
- Volume, pressure, compressibility, ...
- Statistical Mechanics (Statistical Thermodynamics)
- how the various macroscopic properties arise as a consequence of the microscopic nature of the system
- Position and momenta of individual molecules (mechanical variables)
- Statistical Thermodynamics (or Statistical Mechanics) is a link between microscopic properties and bulk (macroscopic) properties

Pure mechanical variables


Thermodynamic Variables
Methods of QM
Methods of QM
Methods of MM
A particular microscopic model can be used


## 1.Introduction

- Equilibrium Macroscopic Properties
- Properties are consequence of average of individual molecules
- Properties are invariant with time $\rightarrow$ Time average

| Mechanical <br> Properties of | average over molecules | average over time | statistical thermodynamics | Thermodynamic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| position, velocity energy, ... |  |  |  | temperature, pressure internal energy, enthalpy,... |

## 1. Introduction

- Description of States
- Macrostates : T, $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{V}, \ldots$ (fewer variables)
- Microstates : position, momentum of each particles ( $\sim 10^{23}$ variables)
- Fundamental methodology of statistical mechanics
- Probabilistic approach : statistical average
- Most probable value
- Is it reasonable?
- As $N$ approaches very large number, then fluctuations are negligible
- "Central Limit Theorem" (from statistics)
- Deviation ~1/N $\mathbf{N}^{0.5}$


## 2. Distribution of Molecular States

- Statistical Distribution
- n : number of occurrences
- b : a property



## 2. Distribution of Molecular States

- Normalized Distribution Function
$\rightarrow$ Probability Distribution Function


$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{i}\left(b_{i}\right)=\frac{n_{i}\left(b_{i}\right)}{n}=\frac{n_{i}\left(b_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i} n_{i}\left(b_{i}\right)} \\
& \sum_{i} P_{i}\left(b_{i}\right)=1 \\
& \quad<b>=\sum_{i} b_{i} P_{i} \\
& \quad<F(b)>=\sum_{i} F\left(b_{i}\right) P_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finding probability (distribution) function is the main task in statistical thermodynamics

## 2. Distribution of Molecular States

- Quantum theory says,
- Each molecules can have only discrete values of energies
- Evidence
- Black-body radiation
- Planck distribution
- Heat capacities
- Atomic and molecular spectra
- Wave-Particle duality

$\ldots \quad$| Energy |
| :---: |
| Levels |

## 2. Distribution of Molecular States

- Configuration ....
- At any instance, there may be $\boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{o}}$ molecules at $\varepsilon_{0}, \boldsymbol{n}_{1}$ molecules at $\varepsilon_{1}, n_{2}$ molecules at $\varepsilon_{2}, \ldots$
$\rightarrow\left\{n_{0}, n_{1}, n_{2} \ldots\right\}$ configuration



## 2. Distribution of Molecular States

- Weight ....
- Each configurations can be achieved in different ways
- Example1: \{3,0\} configuration $\boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{1}$

- Example2 : $\{\mathbf{2 , 1}\}$ configuration $\boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{3}$



## 2. Distribution of Molecular States

## - Calculation of Weight ....

- Weight (W) : number of ways that a configuration can be achieved in different ways
- General formula for the weight of $\left\{n_{0}, n_{1}, n_{2} \ldots\right\}$ configuration

$$
W=\frac{N!}{n_{1}!n_{2}!n_{3}!\ldots}=\frac{N!}{\prod_{i} n_{i}!}
$$

Example1
$\{\mathbf{1 , 0 , 3 , 5 , 1 0 , 1 \}}$ of 20 objects

$$
W=9.31 \mathrm{E} 8
$$

Example 2
$\{\mathbf{0 , 1 , 5 , 0 , 8 , 0 , 3 , 2 , 1 \}}$ of 20 objects
$\mathrm{W}=4.19 \mathrm{E} 10$

## Principles of Equal a Priori Probability

- All distributions of energy are equally probable
- If $\mathrm{E}=5$ and $\mathrm{N}=5$ then

$\longrightarrow$ All configurations have equal probability, but possible number of way (weight) is different.


## A Dominating Configuration

- For large number of molecules and large number of energy levels, there is a dominating configuration.
- The weight of the dominating configuration is much more larger than the other configurations.



## Dominating Configuration



Difference in $W$ becomes larger when $N$ is increased !
$\longrightarrow$ In molecular systems ( $\mathbf{N} \sim 10^{23}$ ) considering the most dominant configuration is enough for average

How to find most dominant configuration?

- The Boltzmann Distribution
- Task : Find the dominant configuration for given $N$ and total energy $E$
- Method : Find maximum value of $W$ which satisfies,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N=\sum_{i} n_{i} \\
& E=\sum_{i} \varepsilon_{i} n_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i} d n_{i}=0 \\
& \sum_{i} \varepsilon_{i} d n_{i}=0
\end{aligned}
$$



## Stirling's approximation

- A useful formula when dealing with factorials of large numbers.

$$
\ln N!=N \ln N-N
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln W=\ln \frac{N!}{n_{1}!n_{2}!n_{3}!\ldots}=\ln N!-\sum_{i} \ln n_{i}! \\
& =N \ln N-N-\sum_{i} n_{i} \ln n_{i}+\sum_{i} n_{i} \\
& =N \ln N-\sum_{i} n_{i} \ln n_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Method of Undetermined Multipliers

- Maximum weight , W
$\rightarrow$ Recall the method to find min, max of a function...

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d \ln W=0 \\
& \left(\frac{\partial \ln W}{d n_{i}}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

- Method of undetermined multiplier :
- Constraints should be multiplied by a constant and added to the main variation equation.


## Method of Undetermined Multipliers

undetermined multipliers

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d \ln W=\sum_{i}\left(\frac{\partial \ln W}{d n_{i}}\right) d n_{i}+\alpha \sum_{i} d n_{i}-\beta \sum_{i} \varepsilon_{i} d n_{i} \\
& =\sum_{i}\left\{\left(\frac{\partial \ln W}{d n_{i}}\right)+\alpha-\beta \varepsilon_{i}\right\} d n_{i}=0
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\left(\frac{\partial \ln W}{d n_{i}}\right)+\alpha-\beta \varepsilon_{i}=0
$$

## Method of Undetermined Multipliers

$$
\begin{gathered}
\ln W=N \ln N-\sum n_{i} \ln n_{i} \\
\left(\frac{\partial \ln W}{\partial n_{i}}\right)=\frac{\partial N \ln N}{\partial n_{i}}-\sum_{j} \frac{\partial\left(n_{j} \ln n_{j}\right)}{\partial n_{i}} \\
\frac{\partial N \ln N}{\partial n_{i}}=\left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial n_{i}}\right) \ln N+N \times \frac{1}{N}\left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial n_{i}}\right)=\ln N+1 \\
\sum_{j} \frac{\partial\left(n_{j} \ln n_{j}\right)}{\partial n_{i}}=\sum_{j}\left\{\left(\frac{\partial n_{j}}{\partial n_{i}}\right) \ln n_{j}+n_{j} \times \frac{1}{n_{j}}\left(\frac{\partial n_{j}}{\partial n_{i}}\right)\right\}=\ln n_{i}+1 \\
\frac{\partial \ln W}{\partial n_{i}}=-\left(\ln n_{i}+1\right)+(\ln N+1)=-\ln \frac{n_{i}}{N}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Method of Undetermined Multipliers

$$
-\ln \frac{n_{i}}{N}+\alpha+\beta \varepsilon_{i}=0 \longrightarrow \frac{n_{i}}{N}=e^{\alpha-\beta \varepsilon_{i}}
$$

## Normalization Condition

$$
\begin{aligned}
N & =\sum_{j} n_{j}=N e^{\alpha} \sum_{j} e^{-\beta \varepsilon_{j}} \\
e^{\alpha} & =\frac{1}{\sum_{j} e^{-\beta \varepsilon_{j}}} \\
P_{i} & =\frac{n_{i}}{N}=\frac{e^{-\beta \varepsilon_{i}}}{\sum_{j} e^{-\beta \varepsilon_{j}}}
\end{aligned}
$$



Boltzmann Distribution (Probability function for energy distribution)

## The Molecular Partition Function

- Boltzmann Distribution

$$
p_{i}=\frac{n_{i}}{N}=\frac{e^{-\beta \varepsilon_{i}}}{\sum_{j} e^{-\beta \varepsilon_{j}}}=\frac{e^{-\beta \varepsilon_{i}}}{q}
$$

- Molecular Partition Function

$$
q=\sum_{j} e^{-\beta \varepsilon_{j}}
$$

- Degeneracies : Same energy value but different states ( $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{j}}{ }^{-}$ fold degenerate)

$$
q=\sum_{\substack{\text { levels } \\ j}} g_{j} e^{-\beta \varepsilon_{j}}
$$

## How to obtain the value of beta?

- Assumption : $\beta=1 / k T$
- $\mathbf{T} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{0}$ then $\mathbf{q} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{1}$
- $T \rightarrow$ infinity then $q \rightarrow$ infinity
- The molecular partition function gives an indication of the average number of states that are thermally accessible to a molecule at T.


## 2. Interacting Systems <br> - Gibbs Ensemble

- Solution to Schrodinger equation (Eigen-value problem)
- Wave function
- Allowed energy levels: $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}$

$$
-\sum_{i} \frac{h^{2}}{8 \pi^{2} m_{i}} \nabla_{i}^{2} \Psi+U \Psi=E \Psi
$$

- Using the molecular partition function, we can calculate average values of property at given QUANTUM STATE.
- Quantum states are changing so rapidly that the observed dynamic properties are actually time average over quantum states.


## Fluctuation with Time



Although we know most probable distribution of energies of individual molecules at given $N$ and $E$ (previous section - molecular partition function) it is almost impossible to get time average for interacting molecules

## Thermodynamic Properties

- Entire set of possible quantum states

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{1}, \Psi_{1}, \Psi_{1}, \ldots \Psi_{i}, \ldots \\
& E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3}, \ldots, E_{i}, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

- Thermodynamic internal energy

$$
U=\lim _{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{i} E_{i} \Delta t_{i}
$$

## Difficulties

- Fluctuations are very small
- Fluctuations occur too rapidly
$\rightarrow$ We have to use alternative, abstract approach.
$\rightarrow$ Ensemble average method (proposed by Gibbs)


## Alternative Procedure

- Canonical Ensemble
- Proposed by J. W. Gibbs (1839-1903)
- Alternative procedure to obtain average
- Ensemble : Infinite number of mental replica
 of system of interest



## Two Postulate

## Fist Postulate

The long time average of a mechanical variable M is equal to the ensemble average in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$


## Second Postulate (Ergodic Hypothesis)

The systems of ensemble are distributed uniformly for ( $n, V, T$ ) system Single isolated system spend equal amount of time

## Averaging Method

- Probability of observing particular quantum state $i$

$$
P_{i}=\frac{\tilde{n}_{i}}{\sum_{i} \tilde{n}_{i}}
$$

- Ensemble average of a dynamic property

$$
<E>=\sum_{i} E_{i} P_{i}
$$

- Time average anu einsemole average

$$
U=\lim _{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \sum E_{i} \Delta t_{i}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i} E_{i} P_{i}
$$

## How to find Most Probable Distribution?

- Calculation of Probability in an Ensemble
- Weight

$$
\Omega=\frac{\tilde{N}!}{\tilde{n}_{1}!\tilde{n}_{2}!\tilde{n}_{3}!\ldots}=\frac{\tilde{N}!}{\prod_{i} \tilde{n}_{i}!}
$$

- Most probable distribution = configuration with maximum weight
- Task : find the dominating configuration for given $N$ and $E$
- Find maximum $\Omega$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{N}=\sum_{i} \tilde{n}_{i} \\
& E_{t}=\sum_{i} E_{i} \tilde{n}_{i}
\end{aligned} \quad \square \quad \begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i} d \tilde{n}_{i}=0 \\
& \sum_{i} E_{i} d \tilde{n}_{i}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Canonical Partition Function

- Similar method (Section 2) can be used to get most probable distribution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{i}=\frac{n_{i}}{N}=\frac{e^{-\beta E_{i}}}{\sum_{j} e^{-\beta E_{j}}} \\
& P_{i}=\frac{n_{i}}{N}=\frac{e^{-\beta E_{i}}}{\sum_{j} e^{-\beta E_{j}}}=\frac{e^{-\beta E_{i}}}{Q}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
Q=\sum_{j} e^{-\beta E_{j}}
$$

Canonical Partition Function

## How to obtain beta? <br> - Another interpretation

$$
\begin{aligned}
d U= & d\left(\sum_{i} E_{i} P_{i}\right)=\sum_{i} E_{i} d P_{i}+\sum_{i} P_{i} d E_{i} \\
d U= & \delta q_{\text {rev }}-\delta w_{\text {rev }}=T d S-p d V \\
& \sum_{i} P_{i} d E_{i}=\sum_{i} P_{i}\left(\frac{\partial E_{i}}{\partial V}\right)_{N} d V=-P d V=-\delta w_{\text {rev }} \\
& \sum_{i} E_{i} d P_{i}=-\frac{1}{\beta}\left(\sum_{i} \ln P_{i} d P_{i}+\ln Q \sum_{i} d P_{i}\right)=-\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{i} \ln P_{i} d P_{i}=T d S=d q_{r e v}
\end{aligned}
$$

The only function that links heat (path integral) and state property is TEMPERATURE.

$$
\beta=1 / k T
$$

## Properties from Canonical Partition Function

- Internal Energy

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U=\langle E\rangle=\sum_{i} E_{i} P_{i}==\frac{1}{Q} \sum_{i(q s)} E_{i} e^{-\beta E_{i}} \\
& \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \beta}\right)_{N, V}=-\sum_{i(q s)} E_{i} e^{-\beta E_{i}} \\
& U=-\frac{1}{Q}\left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \beta}\right)_{N, V}=-\left(\frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \beta}\right)_{N, V}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Properties from Canonical Partition Function

- Pressure

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\delta w_{i}\right)_{N}=P_{i} d V=F_{i} d x \\
& \left(d E_{i}\right)_{N}=-F_{i} d x=-P_{i} d V=-\delta w_{i} \\
& P_{i}=-\left(\frac{\partial E_{i}}{\partial V}\right)_{N} \\
& P=\langle P\rangle=\sum_{i} P_{i} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}} \\
& P=\frac{1}{Q} \sum_{i} P_{i} e^{-\beta E_{i}}=\frac{1}{Q} \sum_{i}\left(\frac{\partial E_{i}}{\partial V}\right)_{N} e^{-\beta E_{i}} \\
& \left(\frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial V}\right)_{\beta, N}=\frac{\beta}{Q} \sum_{i}\left(\frac{\partial E_{i}}{\partial V}\right)_{N} e^{-\beta E_{i}} \\
& P=\frac{1}{\beta}\left(\frac{\partial \ln Q}{\ln V}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\rightarrow|d x| \leftarrow$


## Thermodynamic Properties from Canonical Partition Function

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U=k T\left(\frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \ln T}\right)_{V, N} \\
& S=k\left(\ln Q+\left(\frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \ln T}\right)_{V, N}\right) \\
& H=k T\left(\left(\frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \ln T}\right)_{V, N}+\left(\frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \ln V}\right)_{T, N}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
A=-k T \ln Q
$$

$$
G=-k T\left(\ln Q-\left(\frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \ln V}\right)_{T, N}\right)
$$

$$
\mu_{i}=-k T\left(\frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial N_{i}}\right)_{T, V, N_{j \neq i}}
$$

## Grand Canonical Ensemble

- Ensemble approach for open system
- Useful for open systems and mixtures
- Walls are replaced by permeable walls



## Grand Canonical Ensemble

- Similar approach as Canonical Ensemble
- We cannot use second postulate because systems are not isolated
- After equilibrium is reached, we place walls around ensemble and treat each members the same method used in canonical ensemble

$T, V, \mu$
After equilibrium


Each members are (T,V,N) systems
$T, V, N_{2} \quad T, V, N_{4}$
$\rightarrow$ Apply canonical ensemble methods for each member

## Grand Canonical Ensemble

## Weight and Constraint

Number of ensemble members


Method of undetermined multiplier with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{N}=\sum_{j, N} n_{j}(N) \longrightarrow \begin{array}{l}
\text { Number of molecules after } \\
\text { fixed wall has been placed }
\end{array} \\
& E_{t}=\sum_{j, N} n_{j}(N) E_{j}(V, N)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
N_{t}=\sum_{j, N} n_{j}(N) N
$$

$$
n_{j}^{*}(N)=\mathcal{N} e^{-\alpha} e^{-\beta E_{j}(N, V)} e^{-\gamma N}
$$

$$
P_{j}(N)=\frac{\overline{n_{j}(N)}}{\mathcal{N}}=\frac{n_{j}^{*}(N)}{\mathcal{N}}=\frac{e^{-\beta E_{j}(N, V)} e^{-\gamma N}}{\sum_{j, N} e^{-\beta E_{j}(N, V)} e^{-\gamma N}}
$$

## Grand Canonical Ensemble

- Determination of Undetermined Multipliers

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U=\langle E\rangle=\sum_{j, N} P_{j}(N) E_{j}(N, V) \quad \Xi=\sum_{j, N} e^{-\beta E_{j}(N, V)} e^{-\gamma N} \\
& d U=\sum_{j, N} E_{j}(N, V) d P_{j}(N)+\sum_{j, N} P_{j}(N) d E_{j}(N, V) \quad \downarrow \quad \text { Comparing two equation gives, } \\
& d U=-\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{j, N}\left[\gamma N+\ln P_{j}(N)+\ln \Xi\right]_{d P_{j}(N)+\sum_{j, N} P_{j}(N) \frac{\partial E_{j}(N, V)}{\partial V} d V}^{d U=T d S-p d V-\mu d N} \begin{array}{l}
\quad \beta=\frac{1}{k T} \quad \gamma=-\frac{\mu}{k T} \\
\Xi=\sum_{j, N} e^{-E_{j}(N, V) / k T} e^{N \mu / k T} \longrightarrow \quad \text { Grand Canonical Partition Function }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Classical Statistical Mechanics

- The formalism of statistical mechanics relies very much at the microscopic states.
- Number of states, sum over states
- convenient for the framework of quantum mechanics
- What about "Classical Sates"?
- Classical states
- We know position and velocity of all particles in the system
- Comparison between Quantum Mechanics and Classical Mechanics

| QM Problem | $H \psi=E \psi \longrightarrow$ Finding probability and discrete energy states |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CM Problem | $F=m a \longrightarrow$ Finding position and momentum of individual molecules |

## Newton's Law of Motion

- Three formulations for Newton's second law of motion
- Newtonian formulation
- Lagrangian formulation
- Hamiltonian formulation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H\left(\mathbf{r}^{N}, \mathbf{p}^{N}\right)=\mathrm{KE}(\text { kinetic energy) }+\mathrm{PE}(\text { potenti al energy }) \\
& H\left(\mathbf{r}^{N}, \mathbf{p}^{N}\right)=\sum_{i} \frac{\mathbf{p}_{i}}{2 m_{i}}+U\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_{N}\right) \\
& {\left[\frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{i}}\right]=-\dot{\mathbf{p}}_{i} \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}_{i}}{\partial t}=\frac{\mathbf{p}_{i}}{m_{i}}} \\
& {\left[\frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{p}_{i}}\right]=\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{i}}
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{r}=\mathbf{r}\left(r_{x}, r_{y}, r_{z}\right) \\
& \mathbf{p}=\mathbf{p}\left(p_{x}, p_{y}, p_{z}\right) \\
& \partial t \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{l}
i
\end{array}\right.} \\
& \mathbf{F}_{i}=\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i}} \mathbf{F}_{i j}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Classical Statistical Mechanics

- Instead of taking replica of systems, use abstract "phase space" composed of momentum space and position space (total 6 N -space) $\mathbf{p}^{N}$



## Classical Statistical Mechanics

- " Classical State " : defines a cell in the space (small volume of momentum and positions)
"Classical State" $\propto d q_{x} d q_{y} d q_{z} d r_{x} d r_{y} d r_{z}=d^{3} p d^{3} r$ for simplicity
- Ensemble Average

$$
\begin{gathered}
U=\lim _{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau} E(\Gamma) d \tau=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \mathscr{P}_{N}(\Gamma) E(\Gamma) d \Gamma \\
P_{N}(\Gamma) d \Gamma \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c}
\text { Fraction of Ensemble members in this range } \\
(\Gamma \text { to } \Gamma+d \Gamma)
\end{array} \\
\mathscr{P}_{N}(\Gamma) d \Gamma=\frac{\exp (-H / k T) d \Gamma}{\substack{\text { Using similar technique used for } \\
\text { Botzmann distribution }}} \\
\int \exp (-H / k T) d \Gamma
\end{gathered}
$$

## Classical Statistical Mechanics

- Canonical Partition Function

Phase Integral

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{T}=\int \ldots \int \exp (-H / k T) d \Gamma \\
& Q=c \int \ldots \int \exp (-H / k T) d \Gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

Canonical Partition Function

Match between Quantum and Classical Mechanics

$$
c=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i} \exp \left(-E_{i} / k T\right)}{\int \ldots \int \exp (-H / k T) d \Gamma}
$$

$$
\longrightarrow \quad c=\frac{1}{N!h^{N F}}
$$

For rigorous derivation see Hill, Chap. 6 ("Statistical Thermodynamics")

## Classical Statistical Mechanics

- Canonical Partition Function in Classical Mechanics

$$
Q=\frac{1}{N!h^{N F}} \int \ldots \int \exp (-\mathbf{H} / k T) d \Gamma
$$

## Example ) Translational Motion for Ideal Gas

$H\left(\mathbf{r}^{N}, \mathbf{p}^{N}\right)=\mathrm{KE}($ kinetic energy $)+\mathrm{PE}$ (potenti al energy)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H\left(\mathbf{r}^{N}, \mathbf{p}^{N}\right)=\sum_{i} \frac{\mathbf{p}_{i}}{2 m_{i}}+U\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_{N}\right) \\
& H=\sum_{i} \frac{p_{i}{ }^{2}}{2 m_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
Q=\frac{1}{N!h^{3 N}} \int \ldots \int \exp \left(-\sum_{i} \frac{p_{i}^{2}}{2 m_{i}}\right) d p_{1} \ldots d p_{N} d r_{1} \ldots d r_{N}
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{N!h^{3 N}}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{p}{2 m_{i}}\right) d p\right]^{3 N}\left[\int_{0}^{V} d r_{1} d r_{2} d r_{3}\right]^{N}
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{N!}\left[\frac{2 \pi m k T}{h^{2}}\right]^{3 N / 2} V^{N}
$$

We will get ideal gas law

$$
p V=n R T
$$

## Semi-Classical Partition Function

- The energy of a molecule is distributed in different modes
- Vibration, Rotation (Internal : depends only on T)
- Translation (External : depends on $\mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbf{V}$ )
- Assumption 1 : Partition Function (thus energy distribution) can be separated into two parts (internal + center of mass motion)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q=\sum \exp \left(-\frac{E_{i}^{C M}+E_{i}^{\mathrm{int}}}{k T}\right)=\sum \exp \left(-\frac{E_{i}^{C M}}{k T}\right) \sum \exp \left(-\frac{E_{i}^{\mathrm{int}}}{k T}\right) \\
& Q=Q_{C M}(N, V, T) Q_{\mathrm{int}}(N, T)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Semi-Classical Partition Function

- Internal parts are density independent and most of the components have the same value with ideal gases.

$$
Q_{\mathrm{int}}(N, \rho, T)=Q_{\mathrm{int}}(N, 0, T)
$$

- For solids and polymeric molecules, this assumption is not valid any more.


## Semi-Classical Partition Function

- Assumption 2 : for $\mathbf{T}>50 \mathrm{~K}$, classical approximation can be used for translational motion

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{C M}=\sum_{i} \frac{p_{i x}^{2}+p_{i y}^{2}+p_{i z}^{2}}{2 m}+U\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{3 N}\right) \\
& Q=\frac{1}{N!h^{3 N}} \int \ldots \int \exp \left(-\sum_{i} \frac{p_{i x}^{2}+p_{i v}^{2}+p_{i z}^{2}}{2 m k T}\right) d p^{3 N} \int \ldots \int(-U / k T) d r^{3 N} \\
& =\frac{\Lambda^{-3 N}}{N!} Z \\
& \Lambda=\left(\frac{h^{2}}{2 \pi m k T}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& Z=\int \ldots \int(-U / k T) d r_{1} d r_{2} \ldots d r_{3 N} \quad \text { Configurational Integral } \\
& Q=\frac{1}{N!} Q_{\text {int }} \Lambda^{-3 N} Z
\end{aligned}
$$

## The <br> End

## 

## Another, Different Treatment

## Statistical Thermodynamics: the basics

- Nature is quantum-mechanical

Consequence:

- Systems have discrete quantum states.
- For finite "closed" systems, the number of states is finite (but usually very large)
Hypothesis: In a closed system, every state is equally likely to be observed.
Consequence: ALL of equilibrium Statistical Mechanics and Thermodynamics



## Does the basis assumption lead to something that is consistent with classical thermodynamics?

| $E_{1}$ | $E_{2}=E-E_{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Systems 1 and 2 are weakly coupled such that they can exchange energy.

What will be $E_{I}$ ?

$$
\Omega\left(E_{1}, E-E_{1}\right)=\Omega_{1}\left(E_{1}\right) \times \Omega_{2}\left(E-E_{1}\right)
$$

BA: each configuration is equally probable; but the number of states that give an energy $E_{l}$ is not know.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega\left(E_{1}, E-E_{1}\right)=\Omega_{1}\left(E_{1}\right) \times \Omega_{2}\left(E-E_{1}\right) \\
& \ln \Omega\left(E_{1}, E-E_{1}\right)=\ln \Omega_{1}\left(E_{1}\right)+\ln \Omega_{2}\left(E-E_{1}\right) \\
& \left(\frac{\partial \ln \Omega\left(E_{1}, E-E_{1}\right)}{\partial E_{1}}\right)_{N_{1}, V_{1}}=0 \\
& \left(\frac{\partial \ln \Omega_{1}\left(E_{1}\right)}{\partial E_{1}}\right)_{N_{1}, V_{1}}+\left(\frac{\left.\partial \ln \Omega_{2}\left(E-E_{1}\right)\right)}{\partial E_{1}}\right)_{N_{2}, V_{2}}=0 \\
& \left(\frac{\partial \ln \Omega_{1}\left(E_{1}\right)}{\partial E_{1}}\right)_{N_{1}, V_{1}}=\left(\frac{\partial \ln \Omega_{2}\left(E-E_{1}\right)}{\partial E_{2}}\right)_{N_{2}, V_{2}} \begin{array}{l}
\text { This can be seen as an } \\
\text { equilibrium conserved! } \\
\text { equition }
\end{array} \\
& \beta \equiv\left(\frac{\partial \ln \Omega(E)}{\partial E}\right)_{N, V} \\
& \left(\frac{\beta_{1}=\beta_{2}}{}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

# Entropy and number of configurations 

## Conjecture: $\quad S=\ln \Omega$

Almost right.
-Good features:
-Extensivity
-Third law of thermodynamics comes for free
-Bad feature:

- It assumes that entropy is dimensionless but (for unfortunate, historical reasons, it is not...)

We have to live with the past, therefore

$$
S=k_{B} \ln \Omega(E)
$$

With $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}}=1.38066210^{-23} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}$
In thermodynamics, the absolute (Kelvin) temperature scale was defined such that

$$
\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial E}\right)_{N, V}=\frac{1}{T} \quad \mathrm{~d} E=T \mathrm{~d} S-p \mathrm{~d} V+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~d} N_{i}
$$

But we found (defined):

$$
\beta \equiv\left(\frac{\partial \ln \Omega(E)}{\partial E}\right)_{N, V}
$$

And this gives the "statistical" definition of temperature:

$$
\frac{1}{T} \equiv k_{B}\left(\frac{\partial \ln \Omega(E)}{\partial E}\right)_{N, V}
$$

## In short:

Entropy and temperature are both related to the fact that we can COUNT states.

## Basic assumption:

1. leads to an equilibrium condition: equal temperatures
2. leads to a maximum of entropy
3. leads to the third law of thermodynamics

## Number of configurations

How large is $\Omega$ ?
-For macroscopic systems, super-astronomically large.
-For instance, for a glass of water at room temperature:

$$
\Omega \approx 10^{2 \times 10^{25}}
$$

-Macroscopic deviations from the second law of thermodynamics are not forbidden, but they are extremely unlikely.

## Canonical ensemble

Consider a small system that can exchange heat with a big reservoir

| $E_{i}$ | $E-E_{i}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln \Omega\left(E-E_{i}\right)=\ln \Omega(E)-\frac{\partial \ln \Omega}{\partial E} E_{i}+\cdots \\
& \ln \frac{\Omega\left(E-E_{i}\right)}{\Omega(E)}=-\frac{E_{i}}{k_{B} T}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the probability to find $E_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(E_{i}\right)=\frac{\Omega\left(E-E_{i}\right)}{\sum \Omega\left(E-E_{j}\right)}=\frac{\exp \left(-E_{i} / k_{B} T\right)}{\sum_{j} \exp \left(-E_{j} / k_{B} T\right)} \\
& P\left(E_{i}\right) \propto \exp \left(-E_{i} / k_{B} T\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Boltzmann distribution

## Example: ideal gas

$Q(N, V, 7$ Thermo recall (3)
Helmholtz Free energy:

$$
\mathrm{d} F=-S \mathrm{~d} T-p \mathrm{~d} V
$$

Free energy: Pressure

$$
\beta F \quad\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial V}\right)_{T}=-P
$$

Energy:
Pressure:

$$
P=-\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial 1 / T}\right)=\left(\frac{-}{\partial \beta}\right)=E \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial V \rho_{T}} \operatorname{pV} \quad E=\left(\frac{,}{\partial \beta}\right)=\frac{}{\Lambda} \overline{\partial \beta}=\frac{3}{2} N k_{B} T
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Ensembles

- Micro-canonical ensemble: $E, V, N$
- Canonical ensemble: $T, V, N$
- Constant pressure ensemble: T,P,N
- Grand-canonical ensemble: $T, V, \mu$



## Does the basis assumption lead to something that is consistent with classical thermodynamics?

| $E_{1}$ | $E_{2}=E-E_{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Systems 1 and 2 are weakly coupled such that they can exchange energy.

What will be $E_{I}$ ?

$$
\Omega\left(E_{1}, E-E_{1}\right)=\Omega_{1}\left(E_{1}\right) \times \Omega_{2}\left(E-E_{1}\right)
$$

BA: each configuration is equally probable; but the number of states that give an energy $E_{l}$ is not know.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega\left(E_{1}, E-E_{1}\right)=\Omega_{1}\left(E_{1}\right) \times \Omega_{2}\left(E-E_{1}\right) \\
& \ln \Omega\left(E_{1}, E-E_{1}\right)=\ln \Omega_{1}\left(E_{1}\right)+\ln \Omega_{2}\left(E-E_{1}\right) \\
& \left(\frac{\partial \ln \Omega\left(E_{1}, E-E_{1}\right)}{\partial E_{1}}\right)_{N_{1}, V_{1}}=0 \\
& \left(\frac{\partial \ln \Omega_{1}\left(E_{1}\right)}{\partial E_{1}}\right)_{N_{1}, V_{1}}+\left(\frac{\left.\partial \ln \Omega_{2}\left(E-E_{1}\right)\right)}{\partial E_{1}}\right)_{N_{2}, V_{2}}=0 \\
& \left(\frac{\partial \ln \Omega_{1}\left(E_{1}\right)}{\partial E_{1}}\right)_{N_{1}, V_{1}}=\left(\frac{\partial \ln \Omega_{2}\left(E-E_{1}\right)}{\partial E_{2}}\right)_{N_{2}, V_{2}} \begin{array}{l}
\text { This can be seen as an } \\
\text { equilibrium conserved! } \\
\text { equition }
\end{array} \\
& \beta \equiv\left(\frac{\partial \ln \Omega(E)}{\partial E}\right)_{N, V} \\
& \left(\frac{\beta_{1}=\beta_{2}}{}\right.
\end{aligned}
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# Entropy and number of configurations 

## Conjecture: $\quad S=\ln \Omega$

Almost right.
-Good features:
-Extensivity
-Third law of thermodynamics comes for free
-Bad feature:

- It assumes that entropy is dimensionless but (for unfortunate, historical reasons, it is not...)

We have to live with the past, therefore

$$
S=k_{B} \ln \Omega(E)
$$

With $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}}=1.38066210^{-23} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}$
In thermodynamics, the absolute (Kelvin) temperature scale was defined such that
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\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial E}\right)_{N, V}=\frac{1}{T} \quad \mathrm{~d} E=T \mathrm{~d} S-p \mathrm{~d} V+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~d} N_{i}
$$

But we found (defined):
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\beta \equiv\left(\frac{\partial \ln \Omega(E)}{\partial E}\right)_{N, V}
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And this gives the "statistical" definition of temperature:
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\frac{1}{T} \equiv k_{B}\left(\frac{\partial \ln \Omega(E)}{\partial E}\right)_{N, V}
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## In short:

Entropy and temperature are both related to the fact that we can COUNT states.

## Basic assumption:

1. leads to an equilibrium condition: equal temperatures
2. leads to a maximum of entropy
3. leads to the third law of thermodynamics

## Number of configurations

How large is $\Omega$ ?
-For macroscopic systems, super-astronomically large.
-For instance, for a glass of water at room temperature:

$$
\Omega \approx 10^{2 \times 10^{25}}
$$

-Macroscopic deviations from the second law of thermodynamics are not forbidden, but they are extremely unlikely.

## Canonical ensemble

Consider a small system that can exchange heat with a big reservoir

| $E_{i}$ | $E-E_{i}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
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\begin{aligned}
& \ln \Omega\left(E-E_{i}\right)=\ln \Omega(E)-\frac{\partial \ln \Omega}{\partial E} E_{i}+\cdots \\
& \ln \frac{\Omega\left(E-E_{i}\right)}{\Omega(E)}=-\frac{E_{i}}{k_{B} T}
\end{aligned}
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Hence, the probability to find $E_{i}$ :
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\begin{aligned}
& P\left(E_{i}\right)=\frac{\Omega\left(E-E_{i}\right)}{\sum \Omega\left(E-E_{j}\right)}=\frac{\exp \left(-E_{i} / k_{B} T\right)}{\sum_{j} \exp \left(-E_{j} / k_{B} T\right)} \\
& P\left(E_{i}\right) \propto \exp \left(-E_{i} / k_{B} T\right)
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Boltzmann distribution

## Example: ideal gas

$Q(N, V, 7$ Thermo recall (3)
Helmholtz Free energy:
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\mathrm{d} F=-S \mathrm{~d} T-p \mathrm{~d} V
$$

Free energy: Pressure
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\beta F \quad\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial V}\right)_{T}=-P
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Energy:
Pressure:
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P=-\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial 1 / T}\right)=\left(\frac{-}{\partial \beta}\right)=E \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial V \rho_{T}} \operatorname{pV} \quad E=\left(\frac{,}{\partial \beta}\right)=\frac{}{\Lambda} \overline{\partial \beta}=\frac{3}{2} N k_{B} T
\end{array}\right.
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## Ensembles

- Micro-canonical ensemble: $E, V, N$
- Canonical ensemble: $T, V, N$
- Constant pressure ensemble: T,P,N
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