## STATISTICS AND NUMERICAL METHODS

## Question IV November / December 2011

## Part-A

1. The heights of college students in Chennai are normally distributed with standard deviation 6 cm and sample of 100 students had their mean height 158 cm . test the Hypothesis that the mean height of college students in Chennai is 160 cm at $1 \%$ level of significance.

## Solution:

Given that $n=100, \quad \bar{x}=158, \quad s=6$ and $\mu=160$

Null Hypothesis: $H_{\mathbf{0}}: \boldsymbol{\mu}=160$ i.e., there is no difference between sample mean and hypothetical population mean.

Alternative Hypothesis: $H_{\mathbf{1}}: \mu \neq \mathbf{1 6 0}$

The test statistic is given by

$$
z=\frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}=\frac{158-160}{\frac{6}{\sqrt{100}}}=-3.33
$$

$\therefore \quad z=3.33 \quad$ [Calculated value]

At $1 \%$ significance level the tabulated value for $Z_{\alpha}$ is 2.58 .
$\mid$ Calculated value $\mid \leq$ Tabulated value then Accept $H_{0}$

## But $\quad|3.33|>2.58$ So we reject $H_{0}$. "

2. A coin is tossed 400 times ant it turns up head 216 times. Discuss whether the coin may be unbiased one at $5 \%$ level of significance.

## Solution:

Given $n=400, \quad P=$ Prob of getting head in a toss $=\frac{1}{2}$
$X=$ No.of success $=216$
$H_{0}$ : The coin is unbiased. $H_{1}$ : The coin is biased.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z=\frac{\bar{x}-n p}{\sqrt{n P Q}}=\frac{216-400\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{400\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}}=1.6 \\
& \therefore \quad z=1.6 \quad[\text { Calculated value }]
\end{aligned}
$$

At 5\% significance level the tabulated value for $Z_{\alpha}$ is 1.96.
$\mid$ Calculated value $\mid \leq$ Tabulated value then Accept $H_{0}$
But $\quad|1.6|>1.96$ So we accept $H_{0}$. The coin is unbiased.

## 3. Define Mean sum of squares.

The sum of square divided by its degree of freedom given the corresponding variance or the mean sum of squares (MSS).
E. $g ., \quad M S C=\frac{S S C}{S S E}$

## 4. What are the disadvantages of a CRD?

- CRD results in the maximum use of the experimental units since all the experimental material can be used.
- The design is very flexible. Any number of treatments can be used and different treatments can be used unequal number of times without unduly complicating the statistical analysis in most of the cases.

5. Find an iterative formula to find $\sqrt{N}$ where $\mathbf{N}$ is a positive number and hence find $\sqrt{5}$.

Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)=x^{2}-N \text { and } f^{\prime}(x)=2 x \\
& x_{n+1}= x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)} \\
& \Rightarrow x_{n}-\frac{\left(x_{n}^{2}-N\right)}{2 x_{n}}=\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(x_{n}+\frac{N}{x_{n}}\right), n=0,1,2, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

To find $\sqrt{5}: \quad x_{n+1}=\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(x_{n}+\frac{5}{x_{n}}\right)$, chose $x_{0}=2$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{1}=\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(x_{0}+\frac{5}{x_{0}}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(2+\frac{5}{2}\right)=2.25 \\
x_{2}=\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(x_{1}+\frac{5}{x_{1}}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(2.25+\frac{5}{2.25}\right)=2.236 \\
x_{2}=2.236
\end{gathered}
$$

The answer is 2.236 .
6. Solve by Gauss Jordan method $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -8\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}x \\ y \\ z\end{array}\right)=\underset{-24}{-\left(\begin{array}{c}3 \\ -4 \\ -24\end{array}\right) \text {. }}$

Solution:

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[A, I] \sim\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
-8 & -16 & 0 & \vdots & \mathbf{0} \\
0 & 8 & 0 & \vdots & \mathbf{- 8} \\
0 & 0 & -8 & \vdots & \mathbf{- 2 4}
\end{array}\right] \quad \begin{array}{l}
R_{1} \Leftrightarrow-8 R_{1}-R_{3} \\
R_{2} \Leftrightarrow-8 R_{2}-R_{3}
\end{array}} \\
{[A, I] \sim\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
-8 & 0 & 0 & \vdots & \mathbf{- 1 6} \\
0 & 8 & 0 & \vdots & \mathbf{- 8} \\
0 & 0 & -8 & \vdots & \mathbf{- 2 4}
\end{array}\right]}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
x=2, \quad y=-1, \quad z=3
$$

7. Find the parabola of the form $y=a x^{2}+b x+c$ passing through the points $(0,0),(1,1)$ and (2, 20).

Solution:

| $x:$ | 0 | $x_{0}$ | 1 | $x_{1}$ | 2 | $x_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f(x):$ | 0 | $y_{0}$ | 1 | $y_{1}$ | 20 | $y_{3}$ |

$y=f(x)=\frac{(x-1)(x-2)}{(0-1)(0-2)}(0)+\frac{(x-0)(x-2)}{(1-0)(1-2)}(1)+\frac{(x-0)(x-1)}{(2-0)(2-1)}$
$f(x)=0+\left(x^{2}-2 x\right)(-1)+10 x(x-1)$
$f(x)=9 x^{2}-8 x$.
8. Show that $\Delta_{b c d}^{3}\left(\frac{1}{a}\right)=-\left(\frac{1}{a b c d}\right)$.

Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Let } f(x)=\frac{1}{x} ; \quad f(a)=\frac{1}{a} \\
& f(a, b)=\Delta_{y}(1 / a)=\frac{(1 / b)-(1 / a)}{b-a}=-\frac{1}{a b} \\
& f(a, b, c)=\Delta^{2} y(1 / a)=\frac{f(b, c)-f(a, b)}{c-a}=\frac{(-1 / b c)+(1 / a b)}{c-a}=\frac{1}{a b c} \\
& f(a, b, c, d)=\Delta^{3} y(1 / a)=\frac{f(b, c, d)-f(a, b, c)}{d-a}=\frac{(1 / b c d)-(1 / a b c)}{d-a}=\frac{-1}{a b c d}
\end{aligned}
$$

9. Using Taylor series method, find $y(1.1)$ correct to four decimal places given $y^{\prime}=x y^{\frac{1}{3}}$ and $y(1)=1$.

Solution:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
y^{\prime}=x y^{\frac{1}{3}} & y_{0}^{\prime}=1 \\
y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{3} x y^{-\frac{2}{3}}+y^{\frac{1}{3}} & y_{0}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{4}{3} \\
y(x)=y_{0}+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)}{1!} y_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}}{2!} y_{0}^{\prime \prime}+ \\
y(1.1)=1+\frac{(1.1-1)}{1!}(1)+\frac{(1.1-1)^{2}}{2!}\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)=1.106
\end{array}
$$

## 10. Write down the finite difference approximation for the following second order ODE with

$h=\frac{1}{n}, y^{\prime \prime}=y+x, y(o)=y(1)=0$.
Solution:


$$
\frac{y_{i+1}+y_{i-1}-2 y_{i}}{h^{2}}-y_{i}=x_{i} \quad \text { i.e., } \quad n^{2} y_{i-1}-\left(2 n^{2}+1\right) y_{i}+n^{2} y_{i+1}=x_{i}
$$

## Part-B

11. (a) (i). Two sample polls of votes for two candidates $A$ and $B$ for a public office are taken from among residents of rural areas. The results are given below. Examine whether the nature of the area is related to voting performance in this election.

| Area/Votes for | A | B | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural | 620 | 380 | 1000 |
| Urban | 550 | 450 | 1000 |
| Total | 1170 | 830 | 2000 |

## Solution:

$H_{0}$ : The nature of the area and voting performance are independent.
The test statistic is given by

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{\left(o_{i j}-E_{i j}\right)^{2}}{E_{i j}} \quad \chi^{2} \text { distribution with } n=(r-1)(s-1) \text { d.o.f }
$$

$$
E_{i j}=\frac{R_{i} C_{j}}{N} ; \quad i=1,2, \ldots r \text { and } j=1,2, \ldots . s
$$

The expected frequencies are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E(620)=\frac{1170 * 1000}{2000}=585 ; \quad E(380)=\frac{830 * 1000}{2000}=415 \\
& E(550)=585 ; \quad E(450)=415
\end{aligned}
$$

| $O_{i j}$ | $E_{i j}$ | $\left(O_{i j}-E_{i j}\right)$ | $\frac{\left(O_{i j}-E_{i j}\right)^{2}}{E_{i j}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 620 | 585 | 35 | 2.094017 |
| 380 | 415 | -35 | 2.951807 |
| 550 | 585 | -35 | 2.094017 |
| 450 | 415 | 35 | 2.951807 |

$$
\chi^{2}=10.08
$$

Table value of $\chi_{0.05}^{2}$ with $n=(r-1)(s-1)=(2-1)(2-1)=1$ d.o.f is 3.84 .

## Conclusion:

Since $\chi^{2}>\chi_{0.01}^{2}$, we reject null hypothesis. That is some relationship between area and vote performance.
11. (a). (ii). Fit a Poisson distribution to the following data and test the goodness of fit.

| X: | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Frequencies: | 275 | 72 | 30 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 1 |

## Solution:

The Poisson distribution function is given by $\qquad$

| $x$ : | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | $N=\sum f=392$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f(x)$; | 275 | 72 | 30 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 1 |  |
| $f(x) * x$ | 0 | 72 | 60 | 21 | 20 | 10 | 6 | $\sum f * x=189$ |

$$
\lambda=\frac{\sum f * x}{\sum f}=\frac{392}{189}=2.07
$$

The expected frequencies are given by

$$
E_{i}=P(x)=N * \frac{e^{-2.07} 2.07^{x}}{x!}=392 * \frac{e^{-2.07} 2.07^{x}}{x!}, \quad x=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

| $x:$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E_{i}$ | 49 | 102 | 106 | 73 | 38 | 16 | 5 |
| $O_{i}$ | 275 | 72 | 30 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 1 |


| $x:$ | $O_{i}$ | $E_{i}$ | $\left(O_{i}-E_{i}\right)$ | $\frac{\left(O_{i}-E_{i}\right)^{2}}{E_{i}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 275 | 49 | 226 | 1042.4 |
| 1 | 72 | 102 | -30 | 8.823 |


| 2 | 30 | 106 | -76 | 54.49 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 7 | 73 | -66 | 59.67 |
| 4 | 5 | 37 | -32 | 27.67 |
| 5 | 2 | 16 | -14 | 12.25 |
| 6 | 1 | 5 | -4 | 3.2 |

$$
\chi^{2}=1208.503
$$

Table value of $\chi_{0.05}^{2}$ with $n-1=7-1=6$ d.o.f is 5.99 .

## Conclusion:

Since $\chi^{2}>\chi_{0.05}^{2}$, we reject null hypothesis.

## OR

11. (b). (i). Sandal powder packed into packets by a machine. A random sample of 12 packets is drawn and their weight are found to be (in kg ) $0.49,0.48,0.47,0.48,0.49,0.50,0.51,0.49,0.48,0.50,0.51$ and 0.48 . test if the average weight of the packing can be taken as 0.5 kg at $5 \%$ level of significance.

## Solution:

| $x_{i}$ | 0.49 | 0.48 | 0.47 | 0.48 | 0.49 | 0.5 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.48 | 0.5 | 0.51 | 0.48 | $\sum x=5.88$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{i}$ <br> $-\bar{x}$ | 0 | -0.01 | -0.02 | -0.01 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | -0.01 |  |
| $\left(x_{i}\right.$ <br> $-\bar{x})^{2}$ | 0 | 0.0001 | 0.0004 | 0.0001 | 0 | 0.0001 | 0.0004 | 0 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0004 | 0.0001 | $\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}$ <br> $=0.0018$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{x}=\frac{\sum x_{i}}{n}=\frac{5.88}{12}=0.49 \\
& S=\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{0.0018}{12-10}}=\sqrt{0.00016} \\
& \therefore \quad S=0.0128
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $n=12, \bar{x}=0.49, \quad S=0.0128, \quad \mu=0.5$

Null Hypothesis $\quad: H_{0}: \mu=5$
Alternative Hypothesis : $\boldsymbol{H}_{1}: \mu \neq 5$
The test statistic is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t=\frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{\frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}} \text { with } n-1 \text { degrees of freedom } \\
& t=\frac{0.49-0.5}{\frac{0.0128}{\sqrt{12}}}=-2.706 \\
& |t|=2.706
\end{aligned}
$$

The critical value for $t$ for a two tailed test at $5 \%$ level of significance with $12-1=11$ d.o.f is 2.20 .
Calculated value $=2.706$ and Tabulated value $=2.20$
$\mid$ Calculated value $\mid>$ Tabulated
11. (b). (ii). $A$ group of 10 rats fed on diet $A$ and another group of 8 rats on died $B$, recorded the following increase in weight.

| Diet A | 5 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 12 | 4 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diet B | 2 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 8 |  |  |

Test the hypothesis that the samples have same from population with equal variances at $5 \%$ level of significance.

## Solution:

| $x_{i}$ | 5 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 12 | 4 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 10 | $\sum x=64$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{i}-\bar{x}$ | -1.4 | -0.4 | 1.6 | -5.4 | 5.6 | -2.4 | -3.4 | 2.6 | -0.4 | 3.6 |  |
| $\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}$ | 1.96 | 0.16 | 2.56 | 29.16 | 31.36 | 5.76 | 11.56 | 6.76 | 0.16 | 12.96 | $\sum_{\substack{ \\ =102.4}}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}$ |
| $y_{i}$ | 2 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 8 |  |  | $\sum y=40$ |
| $y_{i}-\bar{y}$ | -3 | -2 | 1 | 3 | 5 | -4 | -3 | 3 |  |  |  |
| $\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}$ | 4 | 9 | 36 | 64 | 100 | 1 | 4 | 64 |  |  | $\sum_{=282}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}$ |

$$
\bar{x}=\frac{\sum x_{i}}{n_{1}}=\frac{64}{10}=6.4 \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{y}=\frac{\sum y_{i}}{n_{2}}=\frac{40}{8}=
$$

$$
S_{1}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n_{1}-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{102.4}{10-1}}=3.373 \quad \text { and } \quad S_{2}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}{n_{2}-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{282}{8-1}}=6.347
$$

Null Hypothesis $: H_{0}: \sigma_{1}^{2}=\sigma_{2}^{2}$
i.e., there is no significant difference between variances.

Alternative Hypothesis: $H_{1}: \sigma_{1}^{2}=\sigma_{2}^{2} \quad$ (Two táled test)
The test statistic is given by

$$
F=\frac{S_{1}^{2}}{S_{2}^{2}}=\frac{3.373}{6.347}=0.53
$$

The table value of $F_{0.05}(9,7)=3.29 \quad\left[F_{0.05}\left(n_{1}-1, n_{2}-1\right)\right]$
Calculated value $=0.53$ and Tabulated value $=3.29$

> |Calculated value $\mid<$ Tabulated value then Accept $H_{0}$
> But $|0.53|<3.29 \quad$ Accept $H_{0}$
i.e., there is no significant difference between variances.

## OR

12. (b). (i). The following table shows the lives in hours of four batches of electric bulbs.

Batches

| 1 | 1610 | 1610 | 1680 | 1700 | 1720 | 1800 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 1580 | 1640 | 1700 | 1750 |  |  |
| 3 | 1460 | 1550 | 1620 | 1640 | 1740 | 1820 |
| 4 | 1510 | 1520 | 1570 | 1600 | 1680 |  |

Perform an analysis of variance of these data and show that a significance test does not reject their homogeneity.
Solution: Let us subtract 1640 for simple calculations

| Batches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -30 | -30 | 10 | 40 | 60 | 80 | 160 |  | 290 |  |  |
| 2 | -60 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 110 |  |  |  | 110 |  |  |
| 3 | -180 | -90 | -40 | -20 | 0 | 20 | 100 | 180 | -30 |  |  |
| 4 | -130 | -120 | -110 | -70 | -40 | 40 |  |  | -430 |  |  |

Null Hypothesis: $\quad H_{0}: \quad \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\mu_{3}=\mu_{4}$
i.e., the mean lives of four batches are homogeneous.

## Alternative Hypothesis:

$H_{1}$ : There is a significant difference among the four sample means.
Level of significance: $\propto=0.05, \quad N=7+5+8+6=26$

$$
\text { Grand total } G=\sum \sum y_{i j}=-60
$$

$\therefore$ Correction factor $=\frac{G^{2}}{N}=\frac{(-60)^{2}}{26}=138$
Total sum of squares $\quad S S T=195200-138=195062$

Between row sum of squares


$$
\begin{gathered}
S S R=\frac{(290)^{2}}{7}+\frac{(110)^{2}}{5}+\frac{(\rho-30)^{2}}{8}+\frac{(-430)^{2}}{6}-C . F \\
S S R=45364-138=45226
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
S S E=S S T-S S R=195062+45226=149836
$$

ANOVA Table

| Source of <br> Variation | Degrees of Freedom | Sum of <br> squares | Mean sum of squares | F-ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Between Rows | $k-1=4-1=3$ | 45226 | 15075 | $F=2.21$ |
| Error | $N-k=26-4=22$ | 149836 | 6811 |  |

The table value for $F_{(3,22)}$ at 5\% level of significance is 3.06.

## Conclusion:

Since the calculated value of $F$ is less than the table value, the null hypothesis is accepted. That is the difference between the four mean lives are homogeneous.
12.. (b). (ii). Three verities of a crop tested in a randomized block design with four replications. The plot yield in pounds is as follows.
A 6
C 5
A 8
B 9
C 8
A 4
B 6
C 9
B 7
B 6
C 10
A 6

Analyse the experiment yield and state your conclusion.

## Solution:

$\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{0}}$ : There is no significant difference between rows and columns.

| Blocks | Crops |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C |  |
| 1 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 21 |
|  | 2 | 4 | 6 | 5 |
|  | 3 | 8 | 6 | 10 |
|  | 6 | 9 | 9 | 24 |
| Total | 24 | 28 | 32 | 84 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Correction factor }=C . F=\frac{G^{2}}{N}=\frac{(84)^{2}}{12}=588 \\
& \begin{aligned}
& \text { SST }=\text { Total sum of squares }=\{624\}-C . F \\
&=624-588=36
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Between Column sum of squares

$$
\begin{gathered}
S S C=\frac{\left(\sum x_{1}\right)^{2}}{n_{1}}+\frac{\left(\sum x_{2}\right)^{2}}{n_{1}}+\frac{\left(\sum x_{3}\right)^{2}}{n_{1}}+\frac{\left(\sum x_{4}\right)^{2}}{n_{1}}+\frac{\left(\sum x_{5}\right)^{2}}{n_{1}}-C . F \\
S S C=\frac{(24)^{2}}{4}+\frac{(28)^{2}}{4}+\frac{(32)^{2}}{4}-588=8
\end{gathered}
$$

## Between Row sum of squares

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S C & =\frac{\left(\sum y_{1}\right)^{2}}{m_{1}}+\frac{\left(\sum y_{2}\right)^{2}}{m_{1}}+\frac{\left(\sum y_{3}\right)^{2}}{m_{1}}+\frac{\left(\sum y_{4}\right)^{2}}{m_{1}}-C . F \\
R_{2} & =\frac{(21)^{2}}{3}+\frac{(15)^{2}}{3}+\frac{(24)^{2}}{3}+\frac{(24)^{2}}{3}-588=18
\end{aligned}
$$

## Error sum of squares

$$
S S E=S S T-S S R-S S C=36-18-8=10
$$

Degrees of freedom: $v_{1}=c-1=3-1=2, \quad v_{2}=r-1=4-1=3, \quad v_{3}=v_{1} * v_{2}=12$

| ANOVA table for two-way classification |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source of <br> variation | Degrees of <br> freedom | Sum of squares <br> (SS) | Mean sum of squares (MS) | Variance Ration (F-Ratio) |
| B/W <br> Column | 2 | SSC=8 | $M S C=2$ | $F_{1}=3.6$ |
| B/W Row | 3 | SSR=18 | $M S R=3$ | $F_{2}=2.4$ |


| Error | $2 * 3=6$ | SSE=10 | $M S E=1.67$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Conclusion:

1. $\quad F_{1}<F_{0.05}(2,6)$. Hence we accept the null hypothesis. That is there is no difference between columns.
2. $F_{2}<F_{0.05}(3,6)=4.76$. Hence we accept the null hypothesis. That is there is some difference between Rows.
3. (a). (i). Find the largest Eigen value and the corresponding eigenvector of the matrix

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

Solution: Let $X_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ be the initial vector.
Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A X_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right)\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
4 \\
3 \\
3 \\
4
\end{array}\right]=4\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0.75 \\
0.75 \\
1
\end{array}\right]=4 X_{2} \\
& A X_{2}=A\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0.75 \\
0.75 \\
10
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
3.5 \\
2.75 \\
275 \\
3.5
\end{array}\right] \Rightarrow 3.5\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0.789 \\
0.786 \\
1
\end{array}\right]=3.5 X_{3} \\
& A X_{3}=A\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0 \\
0.789 \\
0886 \\
1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
3.572 \\
2.786 \\
2.786 \\
3.572
\end{array}\right]=3.572\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0.78 \\
0.78 \\
1
\end{array}\right]=3.572 X_{4} \\
& A X_{4}=A\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0.78 \\
0.78 \\
1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
3.56 \\
2.78 \\
2.78 \\
3.56
\end{array}\right]=3.56\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0.78 \\
0.78 \\
1
\end{array}\right]=3.56 X_{5} \\
& A X_{5}=A\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0.78 \\
0.78 \\
1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
3.56 \\
2.78 \\
2.78 \\
3.56
\end{array}\right]=3.56\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0.78 \\
0.78 \\
1
\end{array}\right]=3.56 X_{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\therefore$ The dominant Eigen value $=3.56$. Corresponding Eigen vector is $\left[\begin{array}{c}1 \\ 0.78 \\ 0.78 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$.
13. (a). (ii). Find the inverse by Gauss Jordan method of $A=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 & 1 \\ 4 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 5 & 3\end{array}\right)$.

Solution:

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
4 & 3 & 1 \\
3 & 5 & 3
\end{array}\right]
$$

We know that $[A, I]=\left[I, A^{-1}\right]$
Now, $[A, I]=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 1 & 1 & \vdots & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 3 & 1 & \vdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 5 & 3 & \vdots & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$
Now, we need to make [A.I] as a diagonal matrix.
Fix the first row, change second and third row by using first row.

$$
[A, I] \sim\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & \vdots & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & -3 & \vdots & -4 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 0 & \vdots & -3 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad \begin{gathered}
R_{2} \Leftrightarrow R_{2}-4 R_{1} \\
R_{3} \Leftrightarrow
\end{gathered} R_{3}-3 R_{1}
$$

Fix the first row \& second row, change third row by using second row.

$$
[A, I] \sim\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & \vdots & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & -3 & \vdots & -4 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -6 & \vdots & -11 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad R_{3} \Leftrightarrow R_{3}+2 R_{2}
$$

Fix the third row, change first and second row by using third row.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[A, I] \sim\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
-6 & -6 & 0 & \vdots & 5 & -2 & -1 \\
0 & 6 & 0 & \vdots & -9 & 0 & 3 \\
0 & 0 & -6 & \vdots & -11 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad \begin{array}{c}
R_{1} \Leftrightarrow-6 R_{1}-1 R_{3} \\
R_{2} \Leftrightarrow-6 R_{2}-(-3) R_{3}
\end{array}} \\
& {[A, I] \sim\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
-6 & 0 & 0 & \vdots & -4 & -2 & 2 \\
0 & 6 & 0 & \vdots & -9 & 0 & 3 \\
0 & 0 & -6 & \vdots & -11 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad R_{1} \Leftrightarrow R_{1}+R_{2}} \\
& {[A, I] \sim\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & \vdots & -4 /-6 & -2 /-6 & 2 /-6 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \vdots & -9 / 6 & 0 / 6 & 3 / 6 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \vdots & -11 /-6 & 2 /-6 & 1 /-6
\end{array}\right] \quad \begin{array}{c}
R_{1} \Leftrightarrow R_{1} /-20 \\
R_{2} \Leftrightarrow R_{2} / 20 \\
R_{3} \Leftrightarrow R_{3} /-10
\end{array}} \\
& A^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 / 3 & 1 / 3 & -1 / 3 \\
-3 / 2 & 0 & 1 / 2 \\
11 / 6 & -1 / 3 & -1 / 6 .
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

OR
13. (b). (i). Using Gauss Elimination method solve the system $3.15 x-1.96 y+3.85 z=12.95$,

$$
2.13 x+5.12 y+2.89 z=-8.61,5.92 x+3.05 y+2.15 z=6.88
$$

## Solution:

The given system is equivalent to $A X=B$

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
3.15 & -1.96 & 3.85 \\
2.13 & 5.12 & -2.89 \\
5.92 & 3.05 & 2.15
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
12.95 \\
-8.61 \\
6.88
\end{array}\right]} \\
\text { Here }[A, B]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
3.15 & -1.96 & 3.85 & 12.95 \\
2.13 & 5.12 & -2.89 & -8.61 \\
5.92 & 3.05 & 2.15 & 6.88
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Now, we need to make $A$ as an upper triangular matrix.
Fix the first row, change second and third row by using first row.

$$
[A, B] \sim\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
3.15 & -1.96 & 3.85 & 12.95 \\
0 & 20.3028 & -17.304 & -54.705 \\
0 & 21.2107 & -16.0195 & -54.92
\end{array}\right] \quad \begin{gathered}
R_{2} \Leftrightarrow 3.15 R_{2}-2.13 R_{1} \\
R_{3} \Leftrightarrow 3.15 R_{3}-5.92 R_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

Fix the first \& second row, change the third row by using second row.

$$
[A, B] \sim\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
3.15 & -1.96 & 3.85 & 12.95 \\
0 & 20.3028 & -17.304 & -54.705 \\
0 & 0 & 41.7892 & 43.8398
\end{array}\right] \quad R_{3} \Leftrightarrow 20.028 R_{3}-21.2107 R_{2}
$$

This is an upper triangular matrix. From the above matrix we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 41.7892 z=43.8398 \Rightarrow z=1.049 \\
& 20.3028 y-17.304 z=-54.705 \Rightarrow 20.3028 y-17.304(1.049)=-54.705 \\
& \Rightarrow 20.3028 y=-36.5531 \\
& \Rightarrow y=-1.8 \\
& 3.15 x-1.96 y+3.85 z=12.95 \\
& 3.15 x-1.96(-1.8)+3.85(1.049)=12.95 \\
& 3.15 x=5.38335 \Rightarrow x=1.709
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the solution is $\quad x=1.709, y=-1.8$ and $z=1.049$.
13. (b). (ii). Solve the following system of equations by Gauss Jacobi and Siedal method, correct to three decimal places $8 x-$ $3 y+2 z=20, \quad 4 x+11 y-z=33, \quad 4 x+11 y-z=33$.

Solution:

$$
\begin{gathered}
8 x-3 y+2 z=20 \\
4 x+11 y-z=33 \\
4 x+11 y-z=33
\end{gathered}
$$

Since the diagonal elements are dominant in the coefficient matrix, we rewrite $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ as follows

$$
x=\frac{1}{8}(20+3 y-2 z), \quad y=\frac{1}{11}(33-4 x+z), \quad z=\frac{1}{12}(35-6 x-3 y)
$$

## Gauss Jacobi Method:

Let the initial values be $\boldsymbol{x}=\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{y}=\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{z}=\mathbf{0}$


We form the Iterations in the table

| Iteration | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.5 | 3 | 2.9167 |
| 2 | 2.8958 | 2.3561 | 0.9167 |
| 3 | 3.1544 | 2.0303 | 0.8797 |
| 4 | 3.0414 | 1.9329 | 0.8319 |
| 5 | 3.0169 | 1.9697 | 0.9127 |
| 6 | 3.0105 | 1.9859 | 0.9158 |
| 7 | 3.0158 | 1.9885 | 0.9149 |
| 8 | 3.017 | 1.9865 | 0.9116 |
| 9 | 3.0168 | 1.9858 | 0.9115 |
| 10 | 3.0168 | 1.9858 | 0.9117 |

Hence the solution is $\boldsymbol{x}=3.0168, \boldsymbol{y}=1.9858$ and $\mathbf{z}=0.9117$.

## Gauss Siedal Method:

Let the initial values be $\boldsymbol{y}=\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{z}=\mathbf{0}$
We form the Iterations in the table

| Iteration | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{Z}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.5 | 2.0909 | 1.1439 |
| 2 | 2.9981 | 2.0137 | 0.9142 |
| 3 | 3.0266 | 1.9825 | 0.9077 |


| 4 | 3.0165 | 1.9856 | 0.9120 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 3.0166 | 1.986 | 0.9119 |
| 6 | 3.0168 | 1.9859 | 0.9118 |
| 7 | 3.0168 | 1.9859 | 0.9118 |

Hence the solution is $\boldsymbol{x}=3.0168, \boldsymbol{y}=1.9859$ and $\boldsymbol{z}=0.9118$.
14. (a). (i). Write the Newton's method formula and using it obtain $f(x)$ as a polynomial in power of $(x-5)$ form the given table.
$\begin{array}{cl}\mathrm{x}: & 0 \\ \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}): & 4\end{array}$
2
3
$\begin{array}{cc}4 & 5 \\ 112 & 466\end{array}$
6
922

Solution:

| $x$ | $f(x)$ | $\Delta f(x)$ | $\Delta^{2} f(x)$ | $\Delta^{3} f(x)$ | $\Delta^{4} f(x)$ | $\Delta^{5} f(x)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 4 | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 36 | 22 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 58 | 54 | 16 | 3.5 | 8.2334 |  |
| 4 | 112 | 354 | 150 | 44.66 | -19.418 | -4.609 |
| 5 | 466 | 456 | 51 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 922 |  |  |  |  |  |

By Newton's divided difference interpolation formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x)=f\left(x_{0}\right)+ & \left(x-x_{0}\right) \Delta f(x)+\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right) \Delta^{2} f(x)+\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right) \Delta^{3} f(x) \\
& \left.+\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)\left(x-x_{3}\right) \Delta^{4} f(x)+(x)-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)\left(x-x_{3}\right)\left(x-x_{4}\right) \Delta^{5} f(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\therefore \quad f(x)=4+(x-0)(16)+(x-0)(x-2)(2)+(x)(x-2)(x-3)(3.5)+(x)(x-2)(x-3)(x-4)(8.233)
$$

$$
+(x)(x-2)(x-3)(x-4)(x-5)(0-4.609)
$$

14. (a). (ii). A rod is rotating in a plane. The following table gives the angle $\theta$ (in radians) through which the rod has turned for various values of time $t$ (seconds). Calculate the angular velocity and angular acceleration of the rod at $t=0.6$ seconds.

| $T:$ | 0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\theta:$ | 0 | 0.12 | 0.49 | 1.12 | 2.02 | 3.20 |

Solution:

| x | $y$ | $\Delta y$ | $\Delta^{2} y$ | $\Delta^{3} y$ | $\Delta^{4} y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0.12 |  |  |  |
| 0.2 | 0.12 | 0.37 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0 |
| 0.4 | 0.49 | 0.63 | 0.26 | 0.1 | 0 |
| 0.6 | 0.12 | 0.9 | 0.28 | 0.1 |  |
| 0.8 | 2.02 | 1.18 |  |  |  |
| 1.0 | 3.20 |  |  |  |  |

To find $y$ at $x=0.6,\left[\right.$ Nearer to $\left.x_{n}\right]$. Here $h=0.2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Velocity }=\frac{d \theta}{d t}=\frac{1}{h}\left[\nabla y_{n}+\frac{2 V+1}{2} \nabla^{2} y_{n}+\frac{3 V^{2}+6 V+2}{6} \nabla^{3} y_{n}+\ldots\right] \\
& \boldsymbol{V}=\frac{x-x_{n}}{h}=\frac{0.6-1.0}{0.2}=-2 \\
& \frac{d \theta}{d t}=\frac{1}{0.2}\left[1.18+\frac{2(-2)+1}{2}(0.28)+\frac{3(-2)^{2}+6(-2)+2}{6}(0.1)+\ldots\right] \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{0.2}[1.18-0.42+0.0033]
\end{aligned}
$$

Velocity $=\frac{d \theta}{d t}=3.8165$
Accelaration $\frac{d^{2} \theta}{d t^{2}}=\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left[\nabla^{2} y_{n}+(V+1) \nabla^{3} y_{n}+\ldots\right]$
$\frac{d^{2} \theta}{d t^{2}}=\frac{1}{0.2^{2}}[0.28+(-2+1)(0.1)+\ldots]$
$=\frac{1}{0.2^{2}}[0.28-0.01]$
Accelaration $=\frac{d^{2} \theta}{d t^{2}}=6.75$

## OR

14. (b). (i) Write Trapezoidal rule and Simpson's rule for evaluation os $I=\int_{x_{\mathrm{a}}}^{x_{n}} f(x) d x$, evaluate $I=\int_{0}^{6} \frac{1}{1+x} d x$ using Trapezoidal rule, Simpson's rule. Also check up by direct integration.

## Solution:

Here $y(x)=\frac{1}{1+x^{2}}$. Range $=b-a=6-0=6$
So we divide 6 equal intervals with $h=\frac{6}{6}=1$. We form a table

| $x:$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=\frac{1}{1+x^{2}}:$ | 1 | 0.500 | 0.200 | 0.100 | 0.058824 | 0.038462 | 0.27027 |

## Trapezoidal rule

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{6} \frac{1}{1+x^{2}} d x & =\frac{h}{2}\left[\left(y_{0}+y_{6}\right)+2\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3}+y_{4}+y_{5}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}[(1+0.027027)+2(0.5+0.2+0.1+0.058824+0.038462)] \\
\int_{0}^{6} \frac{1}{1+x^{2}} d x & =1.4107995
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

(2). By Simpson's 1/3 rule :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{6} \frac{1}{1+x^{2}} d x & =\left(\frac{h}{3}\right)\left[\left(y_{0}+y_{6}\right)+2\left(y_{1}+y_{3}+y_{5}\right)+4\left(y_{2}+y_{4}\right)\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{3}[(1+0.027027)+2(0.2+0.058824)+4(0.5+0.1+0.038462)]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi} \sin x d x=1.36617433
$$

(3). By Simpson's 3/8 rule :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x & =\frac{3 h}{8}\left[\left(y_{0}+y_{n}\right)+3\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{4}+y_{5}+. .\right)+2\left(y_{3}+y_{6}+. .\right)\right] \\
\int_{0}^{6} \frac{1}{1+x^{2}} d x & =\frac{3 h}{8}\left[\left(y_{0}+y_{6}\right)+3\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{4}+y_{5}\right)+2\left(y_{3}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{3}{8}[(1+0.027027)+3(0.5+0.2+0.058824+0.038462)+2(0.1)] \\
\int_{0}^{6} \frac{1}{1+x^{2}} d x & =1.357081875
\end{aligned}
$$

By Actual Integration:

$$
\int_{0}^{6} \frac{1}{1+x^{2}} d x=\left[\tan ^{-1} x\right]_{0}^{6}=\tan ^{-1} 6-\tan ^{-1} 0=1.40564765
$$

14. (b). (ii).
(1). Given the following data, find $y^{\prime}(6)$ and the maximum value of $y(5)$

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathrm{X} & 0 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 7 & 9 \\
\mathrm{y}: & 4 & 26 & 58 & 112 & 465 & 922
\end{array}
$$

## Solution:

We form the divided difference table (Since Unequal intervals)

| $x$ | $f(x)$ | $\Delta f(x)$ | $\Delta^{2} f(x)$ | $\Delta^{3} f(x)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 4 | 11 | 0 |  |
| 2 | 26 | 32 | 7 | 11 |
| 3 | 58 | 54 | 1 |  |
| 4 | 112 | 118 | 16 | 1 |
| 7 | 465 | 228 | 22 | 1 |
| 9 | 922 |  |  |  |

By Newton's divided difference interpolation formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)=f\left(x_{0}\right)+\left(x-x_{0}\right) f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right) f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right) f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \\
& \therefore \quad f(x)=4+(x-0) 11+(x-0)(x-2) 7+(x-0)(x-2)(x-3) 1 \\
&=4+11 x+\left(x^{2}-2 x\right) 7+\left(x^{2}-2 x\right)(x-3) \\
&=4+11 x+7 x^{2}-14 x+x^{3}-2 x^{2}-3 x^{2}+6 x \\
& f(x)= x^{3}+2 x^{2}+3 x+4 \\
& f^{\prime}(x)=3 x^{2}+4 x+3 \\
& f^{\prime}(6)=3(6)^{2}+4(6)+3=135
\end{aligned}
$$

To find Maximum:
$f^{\prime}(x)=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 3 x^{2}+4 x+3=0$
The roots are imaginary, there is no extremum.
(2). Using Lagrange's formula of interpolation find $y(9.5)$ given,

| X | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{y}:$ | 3 | 1 | 1 | 9 |

## Solution:

| $x:$ | $7\left(x_{0}\right)$ | $8\left(x_{1}\right)$ | $9\left(x_{2}\right)$ | $10\left(x_{3}\right)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f(x):$ | $3\left(y_{0}\right)$ | 1 | $\left(y_{1}\right)$ | 1 | $\left(y_{2}\right)$ | $9\left(y_{3}\right)$ |

Lagrange's interpolation formula, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
y=f(x)= & \frac{\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)\left(x-x_{3}\right)\left(x-x_{4}\right)}{\left(x_{0}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}-x_{2}\right)\left(x_{0}-x_{3}\right)\left(x_{0}-x_{4}\right)} y_{0}+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)\left(x-x_{3}\right)\left(x-x_{4}\right)}{\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{4}\right)} y_{1} \\
+ & \frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{3}\right)\left(x-x_{4}\right)}{\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{3}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{4}\right)} y_{2}+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)\left(x-x_{4}\right)}{\left(x_{3}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{3}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{3}-x_{2}\right)\left(x_{3}-x_{4}\right)} y_{3} \\
& \quad+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)\left(x-x_{3}\right)}{\left(x_{4}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{4}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{4}-x_{2}\right)\left(x_{4}-x_{3}\right)} y_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
f(x)=\frac{(x-8)(x-9)(x-10)}{(7-8)(7-9)(7-10)}(3)+\frac{(x-7)(x-9)(x-10)}{(8-7)(8-9)(8-10)}
$$

$$
+\frac{(x-7)(x-8)(x-10)}{(9-7)(9-8)(9-10)}
$$

$$
(1)+\frac{(x-7)(x-8)(x-9)}{\left.(10-7)(10-8)(10)^{\prime} 9\right)}(9)
$$

To fond $y(9.5):(x=9.5)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
y=f(2)= & \frac{(9.5-8)(9.5-9)(9.5-10)}{(7-8)(7-9)(7-10)}(3)+\frac{(9.5-7)(9.5-9)(9.5-10)}{(8-7)(8-9)(8-10)}  \tag{1}\\
& +\frac{(9.5-7)(9.5-8)(9.5-10)}{(9-7)(9-8)(9-10)}(1)+\frac{(9.5-7)(9.5-8)(9.5-9)}{(10-7)(10-8)(10-9)} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

$y=f(9.5)=0.1875-0.3125+0.9375+0.3125$
$y=f(9.5)=1.125$.
15. (a). (i). Given $\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+x^{2}\right) y^{2}$ and $y(0)=1$. Evaluate $y(0.4)$ by Milne's predicator and corrector formula.

Solution: Given
$y^{\prime}=f(x, y)=\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+x^{2}\right) y^{2}, x_{0}=0, \quad y_{0}=1$
To find $y(0.1), y(0.2)$ and $y(0.3)$ by Euler's method.
The Euler's formula is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}\left(x_{n}+h\right)=y_{n}+h\left[f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right], n=0,1,2, \ldots \ldots \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To find $\boldsymbol{y}(\mathbf{0} . \mathbf{1})$ : Put $n=0$, equation (1) becomes

$$
y_{1}\left(x_{0}+h\right)=y_{0}+h\left[f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)\right]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\therefore \quad y_{1}(0+0.1)= & 1+(0.1)[f(0,1)] \\
& =1+(0.1)\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1+0^{2}\right)(1)^{2}\right]=1.05 \\
& \boldsymbol{y}_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{0 . 1})=\mathbf{1 . 0 5} \quad \Rightarrow x_{1}=\mathbf{0 . 1} \& \boldsymbol{y}_{\mathbf{1}}=\mathbf{1 . 0 5}
\end{aligned}
$$

To find $\boldsymbol{y}(\mathbf{0 . 2})$ : Put $n=1$, equation (1) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1}\left(x_{1}+h\right)=y_{1}+h\left[f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)\right] \\
& \therefore \quad y_{1}(0.1+0.1)=1.05+(0.1)[f(0.1,1.05)] \\
& =1.05+(0.1)\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1+0.1^{2}\right)(1.05)^{2}\right]=1.1056 \\
& \\
& \\
& y_{1}(0.2)=1.1057 \quad \Rightarrow x_{2}=0.2 \& y_{2}=1.1056
\end{aligned}
$$

To find $\boldsymbol{y}(\mathbf{0 . 3})$ : Put $n=2$, equation (1) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{3}\left(x_{2}+h\right)=y_{2}+h\left[f\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right] \\
\therefore & y_{3}(0.2+0.1)=1.1056+(0.1)[f(0.2,1.1056)]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
y_{1}(0.3)=1.1691 \quad \Rightarrow x_{3}=0.3 \& y_{3}=11693
$$

| $y(0)=1$ | $x_{0}=0$ | $y_{0}=1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y(0.1)=1.05$ | $x_{1}=0.1$ | $y_{1}=1.05$ |
| $y(0.2)=1.1057$ | $x_{2}=0.2$ | $y_{3}=11056$ |
| $y(0.3)=1.1693$ | $x_{3}=0.3$ | $y_{3}=1.1693$ |

The Milne's Predictor formula is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1, P}\left(x_{n}+h\right)=y_{n-3}+\frac{4 h}{3}\left[2 y_{n-2}^{\prime}-y_{n-1}^{\prime}+2 y_{n}^{\prime}\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{3}$ in equation (1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{4, P}\left(x_{3}+h\right)=y_{0}+\frac{4 h}{3}\left[2 y_{1}^{\prime}-y_{2}^{\prime}+2 y_{3}^{\prime}\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Equation (2) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{4, P}(0.3+0.1) & =1+\frac{4 * 0.1}{3}[2(0.5568)-0.6357+2(0.7452)] \\
\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathbf{4}, \boldsymbol{P}}(\mathbf{0 . 4}) & =\mathbf{1} .2624 \quad\left[\boldsymbol{y}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{4}}\right)=\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathbf{4}}, \quad \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{4}}=\mathbf{0 . 4} \quad \& \quad \boldsymbol{y}_{\mathbf{4}}=\mathbf{1} .2624\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The Milne's Corrector formula is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1, c}\left(x_{n}+h\right)=y_{n-1}+\frac{h}{3}\left[y_{n-1}^{\prime}+4 y_{n}^{\prime}+y_{n+1}^{\prime}\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{3}$ in equation (3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{4, C}\left(x_{3}+h\right)=y_{2}+\frac{h}{3}[0.6357+4(0.7452)+0.9243] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

| $x_{4}=0.4$ | $y_{4}=1.3127$ | $y_{4}{ }^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left[1+0.4^{2}\right](1.2624)^{2}$ | $y_{4}{ }^{\prime}=0.9243$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Equation (4) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{4, C}(0.3+0.1) & =1.1056+\frac{0.1}{3}\left[y_{2}^{\prime}+4 y_{3}^{\prime}+y_{4}^{\prime}\right] \\
y_{4, C}(0.4) & =1.25706 \quad\left[y\left(x_{4}\right)=y_{4}, \quad x_{4}=0.4 \quad \& \quad y_{4}=1.2570\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

15. (a). (ii) Solve the BVP $u^{\prime \prime}=x u, u(0)+u^{\prime}(0)=1, u(1)=1, h=\frac{1}{3}$, use the second order method.

## Solution:

The finite difference equation is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{y_{i+1}+y_{i-1}-2 y_{i}}{h^{2}}=x_{i} y_{i} \\
y_{i+1}+y_{i-1}-2 y_{i}-h^{2} x_{i} y_{i} \\
y_{i+1}+y_{i-1}-\left(2+h^{2} x_{i}\right) y_{i}=0 \\
y_{i+1}+y_{i-1}-\left(2+\frac{x_{i}}{9}\right) y_{i}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Put $i=1,2,3$, we get

$$
y_{2}+y_{0}-\left(2+\frac{x_{1}}{9}\right) y_{\mathrm{j}}=0 \Rightarrow y_{2}
$$

OR
15. (b). (i). Given $y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}+y=0, y(0)=1, y^{\prime}(\theta)=0$ fing the value of $y(0.1)$ by using Runge-Kutta method of fourth order.

## Solution:

Given $y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}+y=0$ i.e., $\frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}=-x y^{\prime} y, y(0)=1 \quad \Rightarrow x_{0}=0, y_{0}=1$
Put $y^{\prime}=z$, we get $\frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}=f(x, y, z)=-x z-y, z_{0}=y_{0}^{\prime}=0 \quad$ Since $y_{0}=1$

$$
\frac{d y}{d x}=z=f_{1}(x, y, z) \quad \text { and } \frac{d z}{d x}=f_{2}(x, y, z)=-x z-y
$$

The algorithm for fourth order R-K method is

To find $\boldsymbol{y}(\mathbf{0 . 1})$ :

$$
k_{1}=h f_{1}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)=0.1 f_{1}(0,1,0)=0.1[0]=0
$$

$$
k_{1}=0
$$

$l_{1}=h f_{2}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)=0.1 f_{1}(0,1,0)=0.1(-1)=-0.1$
$k_{2}=h f_{1}\left(x_{0}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{0}+\frac{k_{1}}{2}, z_{0}+\frac{l_{1}}{2}\right)=0.1 f_{1}\left(0+\frac{0.1}{2}, 1+\frac{0.1}{2}, 0-\frac{0.1}{2}\right)=0.1 f_{1}(0.05,1,-0.05)$

$$
k_{2}=-0.005
$$

$l_{2}=h f_{2}\left(x_{0}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{0}+\frac{k_{1}}{2}, z_{0}+\frac{l_{1}}{2}\right)=0.1 f_{2}\left(0+\frac{0.1}{2}, 1+\frac{0.1}{2}, 0-\frac{0.1}{2}\right)=0.1 f_{2}(0.05,1,-0.05)$
$l_{2}=-0.09975$
$k_{3}=h f_{1}\left(x_{0}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{0}+\frac{k_{2}}{2}, z_{0}+\frac{l_{2}}{2}\right)=0.1 f_{1}(0.05,0.9975,-0.0499)$
$k_{3}=-0.0049$
$l_{3}=h f_{2}\left(x_{0}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{0}+\frac{k_{2}}{2}, z_{0}+\frac{l_{2}}{2}\right)=0.1 f_{2}(0.05,0.9975,-0.0499)$
$l_{3}=-0.0995$
$k_{4}=h f_{1}\left(x_{0}+h, y_{0}+k_{2}, z_{0}+l_{2}\right)=0.1 f_{1}(0.1,0.995511, \quad-0.0995)$
$k_{4}=-0.00995$
$l_{4}=h f_{2}\left(x_{0}+h, y_{0}+k_{2}, z_{0}+l_{2}\right)=0.1 f_{2}(0.1,0.995511$,
$l_{4}=0.9950$

$$
\Delta y=\frac{1}{6}\left(k_{1}+2 k_{2}+2 k_{3}+k_{4}\right)=\frac{1}{6}(0+2(-\mathbf{0 . 0 0 5})+2(-\mathbf{0} 0049)-\mathbf{0 . 0 0 9 9 5})
$$

$\Delta y=-0.004958$

$$
y\left(x_{0}+h\right)=y\left(x_{0}\right)+\Delta y=y_{0}+\Delta y=1-0.004958
$$

$y(0.1)=0.99504$
15. (b). (ii). Using Runge-Kutta method of order four solve $y^{\prime}=\frac{y^{2}-x^{2}}{y^{2}+x^{2}}$, given $y(0)=1$ at $x=0.2,0.4$ correct to four decimal places.
Repeated in I question, No. 15. (a). (i).

