'm not robot	2
	reCAPTCHA

Continue

Statistics for engineers and scientists 5th edition by william navidi pdf

```
Page i Statistics for Engineers and Scientists Fifth Edition William Navidi Colorado School of Mines Page ii STATISTICS FOR ENGINEERS AND SCIENTISTS, FIFTH EDITION Published by McGraw-Hill Education, 2 Penn Plaza, New York, NY 10121. Copyright © 2020 by McGraw-Hill Education. All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of
America. Previous editions © 2015, 2011, and 2008. No part of this publication may be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written consent of McGraw-Hill Education, including, but not limited to, in any network or other electronic storage or transmission, or broadcast
for distance learning. Some ancillaries, including electronic and print components, may not be available to customers outside the United States. This book is printed on acid-free paper. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 LCR 21 20 19 ISBN 978-1-259-71760-4 (bound edition) MHID 1-259-71760-7 (bound edition) ISBN 978-1-260-43099-8 (loose-leaf edition) MHID 1-260-43099-8 (loose-leaf edition) MHID 1-259-71760-7 (bound edition) ISBN 978-1-260-43099-8 (loose-leaf edition) MHID 1-260-43099-8 (loose-leaf e
43099-5 (loose-leaf edition) Portfolio Manager: Thomas Scaife, Ph.D. Product Developer: Tina Bower Marketing Manager: Shannon O'Donnell Content Licensing Specialist: Lorraine Buczek Cover images created by William Navidi using
MATLAB 7.10.0, Release 2010A. Compositor: Aptara, Inc "Portions of information contained in this publication/book are printed with permission of Minitab Inc. All rights reserved." All credits appearing on page or at the end of the book are considered to be an extension of
the copyright page. Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data Names: Navidi, William Cyrus, author. | Colorado School of Mines). Description: Fifth edition. | New York, NY: McGraw-Hill Education, [2020] | Prepared for the Colorado School of Mines
| Includes bibliographical references and index. Identifiers: LCCN 2018022787 | ISBN 9781259717604 (alk. paper) | ISBN 1259717604 (alk. paper) | ISBN 1259717607 (alk. paper) | ISBN 12597
LCC QA276.4 .N38 2020 | DDC 519.5—dc23 LC record available at The Internet addresses listed in the text were accurate at the time of publication. The inclusion of a website does not indicate an endorsement by the authors or McGraw-Hill Education, and McGraw-Hill Education does not guarantee the accuracy of the information presented at
these sites. mheducation.com/highered To Catherine, Sarah, and Thomas Page iii Page iv ABOUT THE AUTHOR William Navidi is Professor of Applied Mathematics from New College, his M.A. in mathematics from Michigan State University, and his Ph.D. in
statistics from the University of California at Berkeley. Professor Navidi has authored more than 70 research papers both in statistical theory and in a wide variety of applications including computer networks, epidemiology, molecular biology, chemical engineering, and geophysics. Page v BRIEF CONTENTS Preface xi 1 Sampling and Descriptive
Statistics 1 2 Probability 48 3 Propagation of Error 164 4 Commonly Used Distributions 200 5 Confidence Intervals 323 6 Hypothesis Testing 402 7 Correlation and Simple Linear Regression 603 9 Factorial Experiments 669 10 Statistical Quality Control 772 Appendix A: Tables 811 Appendix B: Partial Derivatives 836
Appendix C: Bibliography 838 Answers to Odd-Numbered Exercises 841 Index 912 Page vii CONTENTS Preface xi Chapter 1 Sampling and Descriptive Statistics 1 Introduction 48 2.1 Basic Ideas 48 2.2 Counting Methods 62 2.3 Conditional
Probability and Independence 69 2.4 Random Variables 127 Chapter 3 Propagation of Error 164 3.1 Measurement Error 164 3.1 Measurement Error 164 3.2 Linear Combinations of Measurements 170 3.3 Uncertainties for Functions of One Measurement 180 3.4
Uncertainties for Functions of Several Measurements 186 Chapter 4 Commonly Used Distribution 200 4.2 The Binomial Distribution 203 4.3 The Poisson Distribution 215 4.4 Some Other Discrete Distributions 230 4.5 The Normal Distribution 241 4.6 The Lognormal Distribution 256 4.7 The
 Exponential Distribution 262 4.8 Some Other Continuous Distributions 272 4.9 Some Principles of Point Estimation 280 4.10 Probability Plots 285 4.11 The Central Limit Theorem 290 4.12 Simulation 303 Chapter 5 Confidence Intervals 323 Introduction 323 5.1 Large-Sample Confidence Intervals 607 4.10 Probability Plots 285 4.11 The Central Limit Theorem 290 4.12 Simulation 303 Chapter 5 Confidence Intervals 323 Introduction 323 5.1 Large-Sample Confidence Intervals 607 4.10 Probability Plots 285 4.11 The Central Limit Theorem 290 4.12 Simulation 303 Chapter 5 Confidence Intervals 607 4.10 Probability Plots 285 4.11 The Central Limit Theorem 290 4.12 Simulation 308 Chapter 5 Confidence Intervals 607 4.10 Probability Plots 285 4.11 The Central Limit Theorem 290 4.12 Simulation 308 Chapter 5 Confidence Intervals 607 4.10 Probability Plots 285 4.11 The Central Limit Theorem 290 4.12 Simulation 308 Chapter 5 Confidence Intervals 607 4.10 Probability Plots 808 4.
for Proportions 339 5.3 Small-Sample Confidence Intervals for the Difference Between Two Means 355 5.5 Confidence Intervals for the Difference Between Two Means 364 5.7 Confidence Intervals with Paired
Data 371 5.8 Confidence Intervals for the Variance and Standard Deviation of a Normal Population 376 5.9 Prediction Intervals 381 Page viii 5.10 Using Simulation to Construct Confidence Intervals 385 Chapter 6 Hypothesis Testing 402 Introduction 402 6.1 Large-Sample Tests for a Population Mean 402 6.2 Drawing
Conclusions from the Results of Hypothesis Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.6 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.6 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.6 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the Difference Between Two Means 431 6.8 Tests for the 
with Paired Data 452 6.9 Distribution-Free Tests 458 6.10 Tests with Categorical Data 467 6.11 Tests for Variances of Normal Populations 477 6.12 Fixed-Level Testing 483 6.13 Power 488 6.14 Multiple Tests 497 6.15 Using Simulation to Perform Hypothesis Tests 501 Chapter 7 Correlation and Simple Linear Regression 514 Introduction 514 7.1
Correlation 514 7.2 The Least-Squares Line 532 7.3 Uncertainties in the Least-Squares Coefficients 549 7.4 Checking Assumptions and Transforming Data 570 Chapter 8 Multiple Regression 603 Introduction 603 8.1 The Multiple Regression Model 603 8.2 Confounding and Collinearity 621 8.3 Model Selection 630 Chapter 9 Factorial Experiments
669 Introduction 669 9.1 One-Factor Experiments 694 9.3 Two-Factor Experiments 742 Page ix Chapter 10 Statistical Quality Control 772 Introduction 772 10.1 Basic Ideas 772 10.2 Control Charts for Variables
775 10.3 Control Charts for Attributes 795 10.4 The CUSUM Chart 800 10.5 Process Capability 804 Appendix A: Tables 811 Appendix B: Partial Derivatives 836 Appendix C: Bibliography 838 Answers to Odd-Numbered Exercises 841 Index 912 Page xi PREFACE MOTIVATION The idea for this book grew out of discussions between the statistics
faculty and the engineering faculty at the Colorado School of Mines regarding our introductory statistics course for engineers. Our engineering faculty felt that the students needed to become more aware
of some important practical statistical issues such as the checking of model assumptions and the use of simulation. My view is that an introductory statistics text for students in engineering and science should offer all these topics in some depth. In addition, it should be flexible enough to allow for a variety of choices to be made regarding coverage,
because there are many different ways to design a successful introductory statistics course. Finally, it should provide examples that present important ideas in realistic settings. Accordingly, the book has the following features: • The book is flexible in its presentation of probability, allowing instructors wide latitude in choosing the depth and extent of
their coverage of this topic. • The book contains many examples that feature real, contemporary data sets, both to motivate students and to show connections to industry and exercises suitable for solving with computer software. • The book provides extensive coverage of
propagation of error. • The book presents a solid introduction to simulation methods and the bootstrap, including applications to verifying normality assumptions, computing probabilities, estimating bias, esti
found in most introductory texts. This includes material on examination of residual plots, transformations of variables, and principles of variables, including descriptive statistics, probability, confidence intervals, hypothesis tests, linear regression, factorial
experiments, and statistical quality control. MATHEMATICAL LEVEL Most of the book will be mathematically accessible to those whose background includes one semester of calculus. The exceptions are multivariate propagation of error, which requires partial derivatives, and joint probability distributions, which require multiple integration. These
topics may be skipped on first reading, if desired. Page xii COMPUTER USE Over the past 40 years, the development of fast and cheap computing has revolutionized statistical methods have been penetrating ever more deeply into scientific work. Scientists and engineers today must not
only be adept with computer software packages, they must also have the skill to draw conclusions from computer output and to state those conclusions in words. Accordingly, the book contains exercises and examples that involve interpreting, as well as generating, computer output, especially in the chapters on linear models and factorial
experiments. Many statistical software packages are available for instructors who wish to integrate their use into their courses, and this book can be used effectively with any of these packages. The modern availability of computers and statistical software has produced an important educational benefit as well, by making simulation methods
accessible to introductory students. Simulation makes the fundamental principles of statistics come alive. The material on simulation presented here is designed to reinforce some basic statistics. The reason that
statistical methods work is that samples, when properly drawn, are likely to resemble their populations. Therefore Chapter 1 begins by describing some ways to draw valid samples. The second part of the chapter discusses descriptive statistics. Chapter 2 is about probability. There is a wide divergence in preferences of instructors regarding how
much and how deeply to cover this subject. Accordingly, I have tried to make this chapter as flexible as possible. The major results are derived from axioms, with proofs given for most of them. This should enable instructors to take a mathematically rigorous approach. On the other hand, I have attempted to illustrate each result with an example or
two, in a scientific context where possible, that is designed to present the intuition behind the result. Instructors who prefer a more informal approach may therefore focus on the examples rather than the proofs. Chapter 3 covers propagation of error, which is sometimes called "error analysis" or, by statisticians, "the delta method." The coverage is
more extensive than in most texts, but because the topic is so important to many engineers I thought it was worthwhile. The presentation is designed to enable instructors to adjust the amount of coverage to fit the needs of of the course. In particular, Sections 3.2 through 3.4 can be omitted without loss of continuity. Chapter 4 presents many of the
probability distribution functions commonly used in practice. Point estimation, probability plots and the Central Limit Theorem are also covered. The final section introduces simulation methods to assess normality assumptions, compute probability plots and the Central Limit Theorem are also covered. The final section introduces simulation methods to assess normality assumptions, compute probability plots and the Central Limit Theorem are also covered.
respectively. The P-value approach to hypothesis testing is emphasized, but fixed-level testing and power calculations are also covered in some depth. Simulation methods to compute confidence intervals and to test hypotheses are introduced as well. Chapter 7 covers correlation and simple linear regression.
have worked hard to emphasize that linear models are appropriate only when the relationship between the variables is linear. This point is all the more important since it is often overlooked in practice by engineers and scientists (not to mention statisticians). It is not hard to find in the scientific literature straight-line fits and correlation coefficient
summaries for plots that show obvious curvature or for which the slope of the line is determined by a few influential points. Therefore this chapter 8 covers multiple regression. Model selection methods are given particular emphasis, because choosing the
variables to include in a model is an essential step in many reallife analyses. The topic of confounding is given careful treatment as well. Chapter 9 discusses some commonly used experimental designs and the methods by which their data are analyzed. One-way and two-way analysis of variance methods, along with randomized complete block designs
and 2p factorial designs, are covered fairly extensively. Chapter 10 presents the topic of statistical quality control, discussion of sixsigma quality. NEW FOR THIS EDITION The fifth edition of this book is intended to extend the strengths of the fourth. Some
of the changes are: • A large number of new exercises have been included, many of which involve real data from recently published sources. • Many examples have been updated. • Material on resistance to outliers has been added to Chapter 1. • Chapter 7 now contains material on interpreting the slope of the least-squares line. • The exposition has
been improved in a number of places. RECOMMENDED COVERAGE The book contains enough material for a year-long course, there are a number of options. In our three-hour course at the Colorado School of Mines, we cover all of the first four chapters, except for joint distributions, the more theoretical aspects of point
estimation, and the exponential, gamma, and Weibull distributions. We then cover the material on confidence intervals and hypothesis testing in Chapters 5 and 6, going quickly over the Page xiv two-sample methods and power calculations and omitting distribution-free methods and the chi-square and F tests. We finish by covering as much of the
material on correlation and simple linear regression in Chapter 7 as time permits. A course with a somewhat different emphasis can be fashioned by including more material on probability, spending more time on two-sample methods and power, and reducing coverage of propagation of error, simulation, or regression. Many other options are
available; for example, one may choose to include material on factorial experiments in place of some of the preceding topics. INSTRUCTOR RESOURCES The following resources are available on the book website www.mhhe.com/navidi. • Solutions Manual • PowerPoint Lecture Notes • Suggested Syllabi ACKNOWLEDGMENTS I am indebted to many
people for contributions at every stage of development. I received valuable suggestions from my colleagues Barbara Moskal, Gus Greivel, Ashlyn Munson, and Melissa Laeser at the Colorado School of Mines. Mike Colagrosso developed some excellent applets, and Lesley Strawderman developed PowerPoint slides to supplement the text. I am
particularly grateful to Jack Miller of the University of Michigan, who has corrected many errors and made many valuable suggestions for improvement. The staff at McGraw-Hill has been extremely capable and supportive. In particular, I would like to express my thanks to Product Developer Tina Bower, Content Project Manager Jeni McAtee, and
Senior Portfolio Manager Thomas Scaife for their patience and guidance in the preparation of this edition. William Navidi Key Features Page xv Content Overview This book allows flexible coverage because there are many ways to design a successful introductory statistics course. • Flexible coverage of probability addresses the needs of different
courses. Allowing for a mathematically rigorous approach, the major results are derived from axioms, with proofs given for most of them. On the other hand, each result is illustrated with an example or two to promote intuitive understanding. Instructors who prefer a more informal approach may therefore focus on the examples rather than the proofs
and skip the optional sections. • Extensive coverage is more thorough than in most texts. The format is flexible so that the amount of coverage can be tailored to the needs of the course. • A solid introduction to simulation
methods and the bootstrap is presented in the final sections of Chapters 4, 5, and 6. • Extensive coverage of linear model assumptions and transforming variables. The chapter emphasizes that linear models are appropriate only when the relationship between the
variables is linear. This point is all the more important since it is often overlooked in practice by engineers and scientists (not to mention statisticians). Real-World Data Sets With a fresh approach to the subject, the author uses contemporary real-world data sets to motivate students and show a direct connection to industry and research. Computer
Output The book contains exercises and examples that involve interpreting, as well as generating, computer output. Students—study more efficiently, retain more and achieve better outcomes. Instructors—focus on what you love—teaching. Page xvi SUCCESSFUL SEMESTERS INCLUDE CONNECT FOR INSTRUCTORS You're in the driver's seat
Want to build your own course? No problem. Prefer to use our turnkey, prebuilt course? Easy. Want to make changes throughout the semester? Sure. And you'll save time with Connect's auto-grading too. They'll thank you for it. Adaptive study resources like SmartBook® help your students be better prepared in less time. You can transform your
class time from dull definitions to dynamic debates. Hear from your peers about the benefits of Connect at www.mheducation.com/highered/connect Make it simple, make it affordable. Connect makes it easy with seamless integration using any of the major Learning Management Systems—Blackboard®, Canvas, and D2L, among others—to let you
organize your course in one convenient location. Give your students access to digital mate rials at a discount with our inclusive access program. Ask your McGraw-Hill representative for more information. ©Hill Street Studios/Tobin Rogers/Blend Images LLC Solutions for your challenges. A product isn't a solution. Real solutions are affordable,
reliable, and come with training and ongoing support when you need it and how you want it. Our Customer Experience Group can also help you troubleshoot tech problems — although Connect's 99% uptime means you might not need to call them. See for yourself at status.mheducation.com Page xvii FOR STUDENTS © Shutterstock/wavebreakmedia
Effective, efficient studying. Connect helps you be more productive with your study time and get better grades using tools like SmartBook, which highlights key concepts and creates a personalized study plan. Connect sets you up for success, so you walk into class with confidence and walk out with better grades. "I really liked this app — it made it
easy to study when you don't have your textbook in front of you. "- Jordan Cunningham, Eastern Washington University Study anytime, anywhere app and access your online eBook when it's convenient, even if you're offline. And since the app automatically syncs with your eBook in Connect, all of your notes are
available every time you open it. Find out more at www.mheducation.com/readanywhere No surprises. The Connect tools keep you on track with the work you need to get done and your assignment scores. Life gets busy; Connect tools help you keep learning through it all. Learning for everyone. McGraw-Hill works directly with
Accessibility Services Departments and faculty to meet the learning needs of all students. Please contact your Accessibility for more information. Page 1 Chapter 1 Sampling and Descriptive Statistics Introduction The collection and analysis of data
are fundamental to science and engineering. Scientists discover the principles that govern the physical world, and engineers learn how to design important new products and processes, by analyzing data collected in scientific experiments. A major difficulty with scientific data is that they are subject to random variation, or uncertainty. That is, when
scientific measurements are repeated, they come out somewhat differently each time. This poses a problem: How can one draw conclusions from the results of an experiment when those results could have come out differently? To address this question, a knowledge of statistics is essential. Statistics is the field of study concerned with the collection,
analysis, and interpretation of uncertain data. The methods of statistics allow scientists and engineers to design valid experiments and to draw reliable conclusions from the data they produce. Although our emphasis in this book is on the applications of statistics allow scientists and engineers to design valid experiments and to draw reliable conclusions from the data they produce. Although our emphasis in this book is on the applications of statistics allow scientists and engineers to design valid experiments and to draw reliable conclusions from the data they produce.
data are playing an ever-increasing role in all aspects of modern life. For better or worse, huge amounts of data are collected about our opinions and our lifestyles, for purposes ranging from the creation of more effective marketing campaigns to the development of social policies designed to improve our way of life. On almost any given day,
newspaper articles are published that purport to explain social or economic trends through the analysis of data. A basic knowledge of statistics is therefore necessary not only to be an effective scientist or engineer, but also to be a wellinformed member of society. The Basic Idea The basic idea behind all statistical methods of data analysis is to make
inferences about a population by studying a relatively small sample chosen from it. As an illustration, Page 2 consider a machine that makes steel rods for use in optical storage devices. The specification for the diameter of the rods is 0.45 ± 0.02 cm. During the last hour, the machine has made 1000 rods. The quality engineer wants to know
approximately how many of these rods meet the specification. He does not have time to measure all 1000 rods. So he draws a random sample of 50 rods, measures them, and finds that 46 of them (92%) meet the diameter specification. Now, it is unlikely that the sample of 50 rods represents the population of 1000 perfectly. The proportion of good
rods in the population is likely to differ somewhat from the sample proportion of 92%. What the engineer needs to know is just how large that difference is likely to be. For example, is it plausible that the engineer might need to
answer on the basis of these sample data: 1. The engineer needs to compute a rough estimate of the likely size of the difference between the sample proportion and the population proportion. How large is a typical difference between the sample? 2. The quality engineer needs to note in a logbook the percentage of acceptable rods manufactured in
the last hour. Having observed that 92% of the sample rods were good, he will indicate the percentage of acceptable rods in the population as an interval of the form 92% ± x%, where x is a number calculated to provide reasonable certainty that the true population percentage is in the interval. How should x be calculated? 3. The engineer wants to be
fairly certain that the percentage of good rods is at least 90%; otherwise he will shut down the process for recalibration. How certain can he be that at least 90% of the 1000 rods are good? Much of this book is devoted to addressing questions like these. The first of these questions requires the computation of a standard deviation, which we will
discuss in Chapters 2 and 4. The second question requires the construction of a confidence interval, which we will learn about in Chapter 5. The third calls for a hypothesis test, which we will study in Chapter 6. The remaining chapters in the book cover other important topics. For example, the engineer in our example may want to know how the
the design of factorial experiments, which are discussed in Chapter 9. Finally, the engineer will need to develop a plan for monitoring the quality control, in which statistical methods are used to maintain quality in an industrial setting. The topics listed here
concern methods of drawing conclusions from data. These methods form the field of inferential statistics. Before we discuss these topics, we must first learn more about methods of collecting data and of summarizing clearly the basic information they contain. These are the topics of sampling and descriptive statistics, and they are covered in the rest
of this chapter. Page 3 1-1 Sampling As mentioned, statistical methods are based on the idea of analyzing a sample drawn from a population. For this idea to work, the sample must be chosen in an appropriate way. For example, let us say that we wished to study the heights of students at the Colorado School of Mines by measuring a sample of 100
students. How should we choose the 100 students to measure? Some methods are obviously bad. For example, choosing the students from the rosters of the football and basketball teams would undoubtedly result in a sample that would be reasonable to
use some conveniently obtained sample, for example, all students living in a certain dorm or all students would tend to differ from the heights of students in general. Samples like this are not ideal, however, because they can turn out to be
misleading in ways that are not anticipated. The best sampling methods involve random sampling. To understand the nature of a simple random sampling methods, the most basic of which is simple random sampling. To understand the nature of a simple random sampling methods involve random sampling. There are many different random sampling methods, the most basic of which is simple random sampling. There are many different random sampling methods, the most basic of which is simple random sampling.
More importantly, each collection of 5 tickets that can be formed from the 10,000 is equally likely to be the group of 5 that is drawn. It is this idea that forms the basis for the definition of a simple random sample. Summary 

A population is the entire collection of objects or outcomes about which information is sought. A sample is a subset of a subset of a simple random sample.
population, containing the objects or outcomes that are actually observed. A simple random sample of size n is a sample chosen by a method in which each collection of n population items is equally likely to make up the sample random sample is analogous to a lottery, it can often be drawn by the same method nown and the sample random sample is analogous to a lottery.
used in many lotteries: with a computer random number generator. Suppose there are N items in the population an integer between 1 and N. Then one generates a list of random integers between 1 and N. Then one generates a list of random sample. Page 4
Example 1.1 A physical education professor wants to study the physical fitness test. She obtains a list of all 20,000 students, numbered from 1 to 20,000. She uses a computer random number
generator to generate 100 random integers between 1 and 20,000 and then invites the 100 students corresponding to those numbers to participate in the study. Is this a simple random sample? Solution Yes, this is a simple random sample? Solution Yes, this is a simple random sample 1.2
A quality engineer wants to inspect rolls of wallpaper in order to obtain information on the rate at which flaws in the printing are occurring. She decides to draw a sample of 50 rolls of wallpaper from a day's production. Each hour for 5 hours, she takes the 10 most recently produced rolls and counts the number of flaws on each. Is this a simple
random sample? Solution No. Not every subset of 50 rolls of wallpaper is equally likely to make up the sample. In some cases, it is difficult or
impossible to draw a sample in a truly random way. In these cases, the best one can do is to sample items by some convenient method. For example, imagine that a construction engineer has just received a shipment of 1000 concrete blocks, each weighing approximately 50 pounds. The blocks have been delivered in a large pile. The engineer wishes
to investigate the crushing strength of the blocks by measuring the strengths in a sample of 10 blocks. To draw a simple random sample would require removing blocks from the engineer might construct a sample simply by taking 10 blocks off the top of the pile. A
sample like this is called a sample of convenience. Definition A sample of convenience is a sample that is obtained in some convenient way, and not drawn by a well-defined random method. The big problem with samples of convenience is that they may differ systematically in some way from the population. For this reason samples of convenience is that they may differ systematically in some way from the population.
should not be used, except in situations where it is not feasible to draw a random sample. When it is necessary to take a Page 5 sample of convenience, it is important to think carefully about all the ways in which the sample might differ systematically from the population. If it is reasonable to believe that no important systematic difference exists, then
it may be acceptable to treat the sample of convenience as if it were a simple random sample. With regard to the concrete blocks, if the engineer is confident that the blocks on the top of the pile do not differ systematically in any important way from the rest, then he may treat the sample of convenience as a simple random sample. If, however, it is
possible that blocks in different parts of the pile may have been made from different batches of mix or may have different curing times or temperatures, a sample of convenience could give misleading results. Some people think that a simple random sample is quaranteed to reflect its population perfectly. This is not true. Simple random samples
always differ from their populations in some ways, and occasionally may be substantially different. Two different samples from the same population will differ from each other as well. This phenomenon is known as sampling variation. Sampling variation is one of the reasons that scientific experiments produce somewhat different results when
repeated, even when the conditions appear to be identical. Example 1.3 A quality inspector draws a simple random sample of 40 bolts from a large shipment and measures the length of each. He finds that 34 of them, or 85%, meet a length specification. The inspector's
supervisor concludes that the proportion of good bolts is likely to be close to, but not exactly equal to, 85%. Which conclusion is appropriate? Solution Because of sampling variation, simple random samples don't reflect the proportion of good bolts in
the lot is likely to be close to the sample proportion, which is 85%. It is not likely that the population proportion is equal to 85%, however. Example 1.4 Continuing Example 1.3, another inspector repeats the study with a different simple random sample of 40 bolts. She finds that 36 of them, or 90%, are good. The first inspector claims that she must
have done something wrong, since his results showed that 85%, not 90%, of bolts are good. Is he right? Solution No, he is not right. This is sampling variation at work. Two different samples don't reflect their populations perfectly, why is it
important that sampling be done at random? The benefit of a simple random variation is well understood, we can
1.4, the populations consisted of actual physical objects—the students at a university, the concrete blocks in a pile, the populations are always finite. After an item is sampled, the populations are always finite. After an item is sampled item.
to the population, with a chance to sample it again, but this is rarely done in practice. Engineering data are often produced by measurements made in the course of a scientific experiment, rather than by sampling from a tangible population. To take a simple example, imagine that an engineer measures the length of a rod five times, being as careful as
possible to take the measurements under identical conditions. No matter how carefully the measurement process that cannot be controlled or predicted. It turns out that it is often appropriate to consider data like these to be a simple random sample from
a population. The population, in these cases, consists of all the values that might possibly have been observed. Such a population is called a conceptual population, since it does not consist of actual objects. A simple random sample may consist of values obtained from a process under identical experimental conditions. In this case, the sample comes
from a population that consists of all the values that might possibly have been observed. Such a population. Example 1.5 involves a conceptual population. Example 1.5 involves a conceptual population. Example 1.5 involves a conceptual population.
readings be thought of as a simple random sample? What is the population? Solution If the physical characteristics of the scale remain the same for each weighing, so that the measurements are made under identical conditions, then the readings may be considered to be a simple random sample. The population is conceptual. It consists of all the
readings that the scale could in principle produce. Note that in Example 1.5, it is the physical characteristics of the measurement process that determine whether a set of data may be considered to be a simple random sample, it is necessary to have some understanding
of the process that generated the data. Statistical methods can sometimes help, especially when the sample is large, but knowledge of the mechanism that produced the data is more important. Example 1.6 A new chemical process has been designed that is supposed to produce a higher yield of a certain chemical than does an old process. To study the
yield of this process, we run it 50 times and record the 50 yields. Under what conditions might it be reasonable to treat this as a simple random sample? Describe some conditions under which it might not be appropriate to treat this as a simple random sample.
and consists of the set of all yields that will result from this process as many times as it will ever be run. What we have done is to sample the first 50 yields of the process. If, and only if, we are confident that the first 50 yields of the process. If, and only if, we are confident that the first 50 yields of the process. If, and only if, we are confident that the first 50 yields are generated under identical conditions, and that they do not differ in any systematic way from the yields of future runs, then the first 50 yields are generated under identical conditions, and that they do not differ in any systematic way from the yields of future runs, then they do not differ in any systematic way from the yields of future runs, then they do not differ in any systematic way from the yields of future runs, then they do not differ in any systematic way from the yields of future runs, then they do not differ in any systematic way from the yields of future runs, then they do not differ in any systematic way from the yields of future runs, then they do not differ in any systematic way from the yields of future runs, then they do not differ in any systematic way from the yields of future runs, then they do not differ in any systematic way from the yields of future runs, then they do not differ in any systematic way from the yields of future runs, the yield
we may treat them as a simple random sample. Be cautious, however. There are many conditions under which the 50 yields could fail to be a simple random sample. For example, with chemical processes, it is sometimes yields tend to
increase over time, as process engineers learn from experience how to run the process more efficiently. In these cases, the yields are not being generated under identical conditions and would not be a simple random sample. Example 1.6 shows once again that a good knowledge of the nature of the process under consideration is important in deciding
whether data may be considered to be a simple random sample. Statistical methods can sometimes be used to show that a given data set is not a simple but effective method to detect this condition is to plot the observations in the order they were
taken. A simple random sample should show no obvious pattern or trend. Figure 1.1 (page 8) presents plots of three samples in the order they were taken. The plot in Figure 1.1a shows an oscillatory pattern. The plot in Figure 1.1b shows an increasing trend. Neither of these samples should be treated as a simple random sample. The plot in Figure 1.1b shows an increasing trend.
1.1c does not appear to show any obvious pattern or trend. It might be appropriate to treat these data as a simple random sample. However, before making that decision, it is still important to think about the process that produced the data, since there may be concerns that don't show up in the plot (see Example 1.7). FIGURE 1.1 Three plots of
observed values versus the order in which they were made. (a) The values show a definite pattern over time. This is not a simple random sample. (c) The values show a trend over time. This is not a simple random sample. (a) The values show a trend over time. This is not a simple random sample.
question as to whether a data set is a simple random sample depends on the population under consideration. This is one case in which a plot can look good, yet the data are not a simple random sample 1.7 provides an illustration. Page 8 Example 1.7 A new chemical process is run 10 times each morning for five consecutive mornings. A plot
of yields in the order they are run does not exhibit any obvious pattern or trend. If the new process is put into production, it will be run 10 hours each day, from 7 A.M. until 5 P.M. Is it reasonable to consider the 50 yields to be a simple random sample? What if the process will always be run in the morning? Solution Since the intention is to run the
new process in both the morning and the afternoon, the population consists of all the yields that would ever be observed, including both morning runs, and thus it is not a simple random sample. There are many things that could go wrong if this
is used as a simple random sample. For example, ambient temperatures may differ between morning and afternoon, which could affect yields. If the process will be run only in the morning, then the population consists only of morning runs. Since the sample does not exhibit any obvious pattern or trend, it might well be appropriate to consider it to be
a simple random sample. Independence The items in a sample are said to be independent if knowing the values of them does not help to predict the values of them does not help to predict the values of them does not help to predict the values of them does not help to predict the values of them does not help to predict the values of them does not help to predict the values of them does not help to predict the values of them does not help to predict the values of them does not help to predict the values of them does not help to predict the values of them does not help to predict the values of them does not help to predict the values of them does not help to predict the values of them does not help to predict the values of them does not help to predict the values of them does not help to predict the values of them does not help to predict the values of them does not help to predict the values of them does not help to predict the values of them does not help to predict the values of them does not help to predict the values of them does not help to predict the values of them does not help to predict the values of them does not help to predict the values of them does not help to predict the values of the values of them does not help to predict the values of them does not help to predict the values of the v
be substantial when the population is small. However, when the population is very large, this change is negligible and the items can be treated as if they were independent. Page 9 To illustrate this idea, imagine that we draw a simple random sample of 2 items from the population For the first draw, the numbers 0 and 1 are equally likely. But the value
of the second item is clearly influenced by the first; if the first is 0, the second is more likely to be 1, and vice versa. Thus the sample of size 2 from this population: Again on the first draw, the numbers 0 and 1 are equally likely. But unlike the previous example, these two values remain almost
equally likely on the second draw as well, no matter what happens on the first draw. With the large population, the sample items are for all practical purposes independent. It is reasonable to wonder how large a population must be in order that the items in a simple random sample may be treated as independent. A rule of thumb is that when sampling
from a finite population, the items may be treated as independent so long as the sample contains 5% or less of the population. Interestingly, it is possible to make a population behave as though it were infinitely large, by replacing each item after it is sampled. This method is called sampling with replacement. With this method, the population is
exactly the same on every draw and the sampled items are truly independent. With a conceptual population, we require that the sample items be produced under identical experimental conditions. In particular, then, no sample random sample ran
from a conceptual population may be treated as independent. We may think of a conceptual population as being infinite, or equivalently, that the items are sampled with replacement. Summary The items in a sample are independent if knowing the values of the others. Items in a simple
random sample may be treated as independent in many cases encountered in practice. The exception occurs when the population is finite and the sample consists of a substantial fraction (more than 5%) of the population. Other Sampling Methods Page 10 In addition to simple random sampling there are other sampling methods that are useful in
various situations. In weighted sampling, some items are given a greater chance of being selected than others, like a lottery in which some people have more tickets than others. In stratified random sampling, the population is divided up into subpopulations, called strata, and a simple random sample is drawn from each stratum. In cluster sampling, the population is divided up into subpopulations, called strata, and a simple random sample is drawn from each stratum.
items are drawn from the population in groups, or clusters. Cluster sampling is useful when the population is too large and spread out for simple random sampling to sample the U.S. population to measure sociological factors such as income and unemployment. A good
source of information on sampling methods is Scheaffer, et al., (2012). Simple random sampling is not the only valid method of random sample" will be taken to mean "simple
random sample." Types of Experiments There are many types of experiments that can be used to generate data. We briefly describe a few of them. In a one-sample experiment, there is only one population of interest, and a single sample is drawn from it. For example, imagine that a process is being designed to produce polyethylene that will be used
to line pipes. An experiment in which several specimens of polyethylene are produced by this process, and the tensile strength of each is measured, is a onesample experiment. The measured strengths are considered to be a simple random sample from a conceptual population of all the possible strengths that can be observed for specimens
manufactured by this process. One-sample experiments can be used to determine whether a process meets a certain standard, for example, whether it provides sufficient strength for a given application. For example, if several
competing processes are being considered for the manufacture of polyethylene, and tensile strengths are measured on a sample of specimens from each process, this is a multisample experiment. Each process are considered to be a simple
random sample from that population. The usual purpose of multisample experiments is to make comparisons among populations. In this example, the purpose might be to determine which processes produced the greatest strength or to determine which process produced the greatest strength or to determine which processes. In many difference in the strength or to determine which process produced the greatest strength or to determine which process produced the greatest strength or to determine which processes. In many difference in the strength or to determine which processes.
welds. Each weld was made with one of two types of base metals and had its toughness measured at one of several temperatures. This was a factorial experiment with two factors: base metal and temperature. In a factorial
experiment, each combination of the factors for which data are collected defines a population, and a simple random sample is drawn from each type of base
metal, the toughness remained unaffected by temperature was very low—below -100°C. As the temperature was decreased from -100°C to -200°C, the toughness dropped steadily. Types of Data When a numerical quantity designating how much or how many is assigned to each item in a sample, the resulting set of values is
called numerical or quantitative. In some cases, sample items are placed into categories, and categories an illustration. Example 1.8 The article "Wind-Uplift Capacity of Residential Wood Roof-Sheathing Panels Retrofitted with Insulating Foam
Adhesive" (P. Datin, D. Prevatt, and W. Pang, Journal of Architectural Engineering, 2011:144-154) presents tests in which air pressure at failure for each panel was recorded, along with the type of sheathing thickness, and wood species. The following table presents results of
four tests. Sheathing Failure Type Pressure (kPa) 5-ply plywood 2.63 Oriental Strand 3.69 Board Cox plywood 5.03 Thickness (mm) Wood Species 11.9 Douglas Fir 15.1 Spruce-PineFir 12.7 Southern Yellow Pine 15.9 Douglas Fir 45.1 Spruce-PineFir 12.7 Southern Yellow Pine 15.9 Douglas Fir Which data are numerical and which are categorical? Solution The failure pressure and thickness are
numerical. The sheathing type and wood species are categorical. Controlled Experiments and Observational Studies Many scientific experiments are designed to determine the effect of changing one or more factors on the value of a response. For example, suppose that a chemical engineer wants to determine how the concentrations of reagent and
catalyst affect the yield of a process. The engineer can run the process several times, changing the concentrations each time, and compare the yields that result. This sort of experiment is called a controlled experiment, because the values of Page 12 the factors, in this case the concentrations of reagent and catalyst, are under the control of the
experimenter. When designed and conducted properly, controlled experiments can produce reliable information about cause-and-effect relationships between factors and response. In the yield example just mentioned, a well-done experiment would allow the experimenter to conclude that the differences in yield were caused by differences in the
concentrations of reagent and catalyst. There are many situations in which scientists cannot control the levels of the factors. For example, there have been many studies conducted to determine the effect of cigarette smoking on the risk of lung cancer. In these studies, rates of cancer among smokers are compared with rates among non-smokers. The
experimenters cannot control who smokes and who doesn't; people cannot be required to smoke just to make a statistician's job easier. This kind of study is called an observational study, because the experimenter simply observes the levels of the factor as they are, without having any control over them. Observational study, because the experimenter simply observes the levels of the factor as they are, without having any control over them. Observational study is called an observational study is called an observational study is called an observational study.
controlled experiments for obtaining reliable conclusions regarding cause and effect. In the case of smoking and lung cancer, for example, people who choose to smoke may not be representative of the population as a whole, and may be more likely to get cancer for other reasons. For this reason, although it has been known for a long time that
smokers have higher rates of lung cancer than non-smokers, it took many years of carefully done observational studies before scientists could be sure that smoking was actually the cause of the higher rate. Exercises for Section 1.1 1. 2. 3. Each of the following processes involves sampling from a population. Define the population, and state whether it
is tangible or conceptual. a. A chemical process is run 15 times, and the yield is measured each time. b. A pollster samples 1000 registered voters in a certain state and asks them which candidate they support for governor. c. In a clinical trial to test a new drug that is designed to lower cholesterol, 100 people with high cholesterol levels are recruited
to try the new drug. d. Eight concrete specimens are constructed from a new formulation, and the compressive strength of each is measured. e. A quality engineer needs to estimate the percentage of bolts manufactured on a certain day that meet a strength specification. At 3:00 in the afternoon he samples the last 100 bolts to be manufactured. If you
wanted to estimate the mean height of all the students at a university, which one of the following samples ii. Measure the heights of 50 students found in the gym during basketball intramurals. ii. Measure the heights of all engineering majors. iii. Measure
 100 college students is selected from all students registered at a certain college, and it turns out that 38 of them participate in intramural sports is 0.38. b. The proportion of students at this college who participate in intramural sports is likely to be close to
0.38, but not equal to 0.38. a. 4. Page 13 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. A certain process for manufacturing integrated circuits has been in use for a period of time, and it is known that 12% of the circuits being tested. In a simple random sample of 100 circuits produced
by the new process, 12 were defective. a. One of the engineers suggests that the test proves that the new process is no better than the old process, since the proportion of defective circuits in the sample of 100. Would this have proven that
the new process is better? Explain. c. Which outcome represents stronger evidence that the new process is better: finding 11 defective circuits in the sample or finding 2 defective circuits in the sample is less than 12%, it is reasonable to conclude that the new process
is better, b. If the proportion of defectives in the sample is only slightly less than 12%, the difference could well be due entirely to sampling variation, and it is not reasonable to conclude that the new process is better, c. If the proportion of defectives in the sample is a lot less than 12%, it is very unlikely that the difference is due entirely to sampling
variation, so it is reasonable to conclude that the new process is better. To determine whether a sample should be treated as a simple random sample, which is more important: a good knowledge of statistics, or a good knowledge of the process that produced the data? A medical researcher wants to determine whether exercising can lower blood
pressure. At a health fair, he measures the blood pressure of 100 individuals, and interviews them about their exercise is low, and those whose level of exercise is high. a. Is this a controlled experiment or an observational study? b. The subjects in the low
exercise group had considerably higher blood pressure, on the average, than subjects in the high exercise group. The researcher concludes that exercise decreases blood pressure. Is this conclusion well-justified? Explain. A medical researcher wants to determine whether exercising can lower blood pressure. She recruits 100 people with high blood
pressure to participate in the study. She assigns a random sample of 50 of them to pursue an exercise program that includes daily swimming and jogging. She assigns the other 50 to refrain from vigorous activity. She measures the blood pressure of each of the 100 individuals both before and after the study. a. Is this a controlled experiment or an
observational study? b. On the average, the subjects in the exercise group did not experience a reduction. The researcher concludes that exercise group did not experience a reduction in Exercise 8? Explain. 1-2 Summary
Statistics A sample is often a long list of numbers. To help make the important features of a sample standard deviation gives an indication of the center of the data, and the standard deviation gives an indication
of how spread out the data are. Page 14 The Sample Mean The sample mean is also called the "arithmetic mean," or, more simply, the "average." It is the sum of the numbers in the sample mean is (1.1) Note that it is customary to use a letter with a bar over it (e.g., ) to
denote a sample mean. Note also that the sample mean has the sample mean has the sample mean has the sample mean has the sample mean. Solution We use Equation
(1.1). The sample mean is The Standard Deviation Here are two lists of numbers: 28, 29, 30, 31, 32 and 10, 20, 30, 40, 50. Both lists have the same mean of 30. But clearly the lists differ in an important way that is not captured by the mean: the second list is much more spread out than the first. The standard deviation is a quantity that measures the
degree of spread in a sample. Let X1, ..., Xn be a sample. The basic idea behind the standard deviation is to compute the differences
(also called deviations) between each sample walue and the sample mean. The deviations are just as indicative of spread as large positive deviations are positive deviations are just as indicative of spread as large positive and some are negative. Large negative deviations are just as indicative of spread as large positive and some are negative.
squared deviations we can compute a measure of spread called the sample variance by s2. Definition Let X1,..., Xn be a sample variance is the quantity (1.2) An equivalent
formula, which can be easier to compute, is (1.3) While the sample variance is an important quantity, it has a serious drawback as a measure of spread whose units are the same as those of the sample values, we simply take
the square root of the variance. This quantity is known as the sample standard deviation by s (the square root of s2). Definition Let X1, ..., Xn be a sample standard deviation by s (the square root of s2).
deviation is the square root of the sample variance. It is natural to wonder why the sum of the squared deviation is to estimate the amount of spread in the population from which the sample was drawn. Ideally, therefore, we would compute deviations from the
mean of all the items in the population, rather than the deviations from the sample mean is used in its place. It is a mathematical fact that the deviations around the sample mean is used in its place. It is a mathematical fact that the deviations around the sample mean is used in its place. It is a mathematical fact that the deviations around the sample mean is used in its place. It is a mathematical fact that the deviations around the sample mean is used in its place. It is a mathematical fact that the deviations around the sample mean is used in its place. It is a mathematical fact that the deviations around the sample mean is used in its place. It is a mathematical fact that the deviations around the sample mean is used in its place. It is a mathematical fact that the deviations around the sample mean is used in its place. It is a mathematical fact that the deviations around the sample mean is used in its place. It is a mathematical fact that the deviations around the sample mean is used in its place. It is a mathematical fact that the deviations around the sample mean is used in its place. It is a mathematical fact that the deviations around the sample mean is used in its place. It is a mathematical fact that the deviations around the sample mean is used in its place. It is a mathematical fact that the deviation is a mathematical fact that the dev
by n - 1 rather than n provides exactly the right correction. Example 1.10 Find the sample variance by using Equation (1.2). The sample mean is (see Example 1.9). The sample variance is therefore Alternatively, we can use
Y5. The relationship between Xi and Yi is then given by Yi = 2.54Xi. If you go back to Example mean is multiplied by the same
constant. As for the sample variance, you will find that the deviations are related by the equation. It follows that, and that sY = 2.54sX. What if each man in the sample mean would increase by 2 inches as well. In general, if a constant is added to each sample
item, the sample mean increases (or decreases) by the same constant. The deviations, however, do not change, so the sample and Yi = a + bXi, where a and b are constants, then . 🔳 If X1, ..., Xn is a sample and Yi = a + bXi, where a and b are constants, then and sY
= |b|sX., The Sample Median Page 17 The median, like the mean, is a measure of center. To compute the median of a sample number, it is customary to take the sample median to be the average of the two middle numbers. Definition If n
numbers are ordered from smallest to largest: If n is odd, the sample median is the number in positions Example 1.9. Solution The five heights, arranged in increasing order, are 65.51, 67.05, 68.31, 70.68,
72.30. The sample median is the middle number, which is 68.31. The Trimmed Mean Like the median, the trimmed mean is a measure of center that is designed to be unaffected by outliers. The trimmed mean is computing the mean of those
remaining. If p% of the data are trimmed means. Note that the median can be thought of as an extreme form of trimmed mean, are the 5%, 10%, and 20% trimmed means. Note that the median can be thought of as an extreme form of trimmed mean, are the 5%, 10%, and 20% trimmed means.
obtained by trimming away all but the middle one or two sample values. Since the number of data points to be trimmed must be a whole number, it is impossible in many cases to trim the exact percentage of data that is called for. If the sample size is denoted by n, and a p% trimmed mean is desired, the number of data points to be trimmed is np/100. If
this is not a whole number, the simplest thing to do when computing by hand is to round it to the nearest whole number and trim that amount. Example 1.12 In the article "Evaluation of Low-Temperature properties of HMA Mixtures" (P. Sebaaly, A. Lake, and J. Epps, Journal of Transportation Engineering, 2002: 578-583), the following values of
fracture stress (in megapascals) were measured for a sample of 24 mixtures of hotmixed asphalt (HMA). 30 75 79 80 80105 126138 149179179 191 223 232232 236240242 245247 254274384 470 Compute the mean, median, and the 5%, 10%, and 20% trimmed means. Page 18 Solution The mean is found by averaging together all 24 numbers, which
produces a value of 195.42. The median is the average of the 12th and 13th numbers, which is (191 + 223)/2 = 207.00. To compute the 5\% trimmed mean, we must drop 5\% of the data from each end. This comes to (0.05)(24) = 1.2 observations. We round 1.2 to 1, and trim one observation off each end. The 5\% trimmed mean is the average of the
remaining 22 numbers: To compute the 10% trimmed mean, round off (0.1)(24) = 2.4 to 2. Drop 2 observations from each end, and then average the remaining 20: To compute the 20% trimmed mean, round off (0.2)(24) = 4.8 to 5. Drop 2 observations from each end, and then average the remaining 14: Outliers Sometimes a sample may contain a few
points that are much larger or smaller than the rest. Such points are called outliers. See Figure 1.2 for an example, a misplaced decimal point can result in a value that is an order of magnitude different from the rest. Outliers should always be scrutinized, and any outlier that is found to
result from an error should be corrected or deleted. Not all outliers are errors. Sometimes a population may contain a few values that are much different from the rest, and the outliers in the sample reflect this fact. FIGURE 1.2 A data set that contains an outlier. Outliers are a real problem for data analysts. For this reason, when people see outliers in
their data, they sometimes try to find a reason, or an excuse, to delete them. An outlier should not be deleted from the sample will not characterize the population correctly. Resistance to Outliers A statistic
whose value does not change much when an outlier is added to or removed from a sample is said to be resistant. The median and trimmed mean are both resistant, but the mean and standard deviation are not. Page 19 We illustrate the fact with a simple example. Annual salaries for a sample of six engineers, in $1000s, are 51, 58, 65, 75, 84, and 93.
The mean is 71, the standard deviation is 15.96, the median is 70, and the 10% trimmed mean is 70.5. Now we add the salary of the CEO, which is $300,000, to the list. The list is now 51, 58, 65, 75, 84, 93, and 300. Now the mean is 75. Clearly the mean and
standard deviation have changed considerably, while the median and trimmed mean have changed much less. Because it is resistant, the median is often used as a measure of center for samples that contain outliers. To see why, Figure 1.3 presents a plot of the salary data we have just considered. It is reasonable to think that the median is more
representative of the sample than the mean is. FIGURE 1.3 When a sample contains outliers, the median may be more representative of the sample than the mean is. The Mode and the Range The mode and the range are summary statistics that are of limited use but are occasionally seen. The sample mode is the most frequently occurring value in a
sample. If several values occur with equal frequency, each one is a mode. The range is the difference between the largest and smallest values in a sample. It is a measure of spread, but it is rarely used, because it depends only on the two extreme values and provides no information about the rest of the sample. Example 1.13 Find the modes and the
range for the sample in Example 1.12. Solution There are three modes: 80, 179, and 232. Each of these values appears twice, and no other value appears twice, and no other value appears more than once. The range is 470 - 30 = 440. Quartiles The median divides the sample in half. Quartiles divide it as nearly as possible into quarters. A sample has three quartiles. There are several
different ways to compute quartiles, but all of them give approximately the sample value in that position is the
first quartile. If not, then take the average of the sample values on either side of this value. The third quartile is identical to the median. We note that some computer packages use slightly different methods to Page
20 compute quartiles, so their results may not be quite the same as the ones obtained by the method described here. Example 1.12. Solution The sample size is n = 24. To find the first quartile, compute (0.25)(25) = 6.25. The first quartile is therefore found by averaging the 6th and
7th data points, when the sample is arranged in increasing order. This yields (105 + 126)/2 = 115.5. To find the third quartile, compute (0.75)(25) = 18.75. We average the 18th and 19th data points to obtain (242 + 245)/2 = 243.5. Percentiles The pth percentile of a sample, for a number p between 0 and 100, divides the sample so that as nearly as
possible p% of the sample values are less than the pth percentile, and (100 - p)% are greater. There are many ways to compute percentiles; they all produce similar results. We describe here a method analogous to the method described for compute percentiles; they all produce similar results. We describe here a method analogous to the method described for compute percentiles; they all produce similar results.
+ 1), where n is the sample size. If this quantity is an integer, the sample value in this position is the pth percentile. Some computer packages use slightly different
methods to compute percentiles, so their results may differ slightly from the ones obtained by this method. Percentiles are often used to interpret scores on a college entrance exam is on the 64th percentile, this means that 64% of the students who took the exam got lower
```

scores. Example 1.15 Find the 65th percentile of the asphalt data in Example 1.12. Solution The sample size is n = 24. To find the 65th percentile, compute (0.65)(25) = 16.25. The 65th percentile is therefore found by averaging the 16th and 17th data points, when the sample is arranged in increasing order. This yields (236 + 240)/2 = 238. In

```
practice, the summary statistics we have discussed are often calculated on a computer, using a statistics because they describe the data. We present an example of the calculation of summary statistics from the software package MINITAB. Then we will show how
these statistics can be used to discover some important features of the data. For a Ph.D. thesis that investigated factors affecting diesel vehicle emissions, J. Yanowitz of the Colorado School of Mines obtained data on emissions of particulate matter (PM) for a sample of 138 vehicles driven at low altitude (near sea level) and for a sample of 62 vehicles
driven at high altitude (approximately one mile above sea level). All the vehicles were Page 21 manufactured between 1991 and 1996. The samples contained roughly equal proportions of high- and low-mileage vehicles. The data, in units of grams of particulates per gallon of fuel consumed, are presented in Tables 1.1 and 1.2. At high altitude, the
barometric pressure is lower, so the effective air/fuel ratio is lower as well. For this reason it was thought that PM emissions might be greater at higher altitude. We would like to compare the samples to determine whether the data support this assumption. It is difficult to do this simply by examining the raw data in the tables. Computing summary
statistics makes the job much easier. Figure 1.4 presents summary statistics for both samples, as computed by MINITAB. TABLE 1.1 Particulate matter (PM) emissions (in g/gal) for 138 vehicles driven at low altitude 1.50 1.48 2.98 1.40 3.12 0.25 6.73 5.30 9.30 6.96 7.21 0.87 1.06 7.39 1.37 2.37 0.53 7.82 3.93 6.50 5.78 4.67 1.12 1.11 2.66 1.81 2.12
3.24 7.74 3.62 6.70 0.94 2.14 0.61 3.03 6.55 3.59 5.79 5.41 2.67 5.93 0.64 6.64 1.46 1.23 1.18 3.10 3.59 3.40 2.75 4.51 1.31 4.04 0.97 1.04 3.06 3.33 3.48 4.97 8.92 9.04 2.49 2.48 0.90 1.63 0.48 4.58 2.96 11.23 9.93 7.71 Particulate matter (PM) emissions (in g/gal) for 62 vehicles driven at high altitude 7.59 2.06 8.86 8.67 5.61 6.28 6.07 5.23 5.544
3.46 2.44 3.01 13.63 13.02 23.38 9.24 3.22 4.04 17.11 12.26 19.91 8.50 7.81 7.18 6.95 18.64 7.10 6.04 5.66 4.40 3.57 4.35 3.84 2.37 3.81 5.32 5.84 2.07 1.11 3.32 1.83 7.56 FIGURE 1.4 MINITAB output presenting descriptive statistics for the PM
data in Tables 1.1 and 1.2. In Figure 1.4 (page 22), the quantity labeled "N" is the sample mean. The standard error of the mean is equal to the standard deviation divided by the square root of the sample size. This is a quantity that is not used much as a
descriptive statistic, although it is important for applications such as constructing confidence intervals and hypothesis tests, which we will cover in Chapters 5 and 6. Following the standard error of the mean is the 5% trimmed mean (TrMean), and the standard deviation. Finally, the second line of the output provides the minimum, median, and
maximum, as well as the first and third quartiles (Q1 and Q3). We note that the values of the quartiles produced by the computer package differ slightly from the values. The differences are not large enough to have any
practical importance. The summary statistics tell a lot about the differences in PM emissions between high- and low-altitude vehicles. First, note that the mean is indeed larger for the high-altitude vehicles than for the low-altitude vehicles. Now
To answer this, compare the medians, the first and third quartiles, and the trimmed means. These statistics are not affected much by a few large values, yet all of them are noticeably larger for the high-altitude vehicles not only contain a few very high emitters, they also have higher emissions
than the low-altitude vehicles in general. Finally note that the standard deviation is larger for the high-altitude vehicles, which indicates that the values for the high-altitude vehicles are more spread out than those for the high-altitude vehicles with very
frequency for a given category is simply the number of sample items that fall into that category. The sample proportion is the frequency divided by the sample size. Example 1.16 A process manufactures crankshaft journal bearings for an internal combustion engine. Bearings whose thicknesses are between 1.486 and 1.490 mm are classified as
conforming, which means that they meet the specification. Bearings thinker than this are reground, and 37 were scrapped. In a sample of 1000 bearings, 910 were conforming, 53 were reground, and 37. The sample
proportions are 910/1000 = 0.910, 53/1000 = 0.053, and 37/1000 = 0.053, and 37/1000 = 0.037. Page 23 Sample Statistics we have discussed has a population of numerical values, the population mean is simply the
average of all the values in the population; the population median is the middle values, or average of the two middle values; and so on. In fact, any numerical summary used for a sample can be used for a finite population, just by applying the methods of calculation to the population values rather than the sample values. One small exception occurs for
the population variance, where we divide by n rather than n - 1. There is a difference in terminology for numerical summaries of a population are called parameters. Of course, in practice, the entire population is never observed,
so the population parameters cannot be calculated directly. Instead, the sample statistics are used to estimate the values of the population contains an infinite number of values, the methods for computing sample statistics cannot
be applied to compute population parameters. For infinite populations, parameters such as the mean and variance are computed by procedures that generalize the methods used to compute sample statistics, and which involve infinite sums or integrals. We will describe these procedures in Chapter 2. Summary 

A numerical summary of a
sample is called a statistic. A numerical summary of a population is called a parameter. Statistics are often used to estimate parameters. Exercises for Section 1.2 True or false: For any list of numbers, half of them will be below the mean. Is the sample mean always the most frequently occurring value? If so, explain why. If not, give an example. 3. Is
the sample mean always equal to one of the values in the sample? If so, explain why. If not, give an example size for which the median will always equal one of the values in the sample one of the values in the sample. 6. For a list of positive numbers,
is it possible for the standard deviation to be greater than the mean? If so, give an example. If not, explain why not. 8. In a certain company, every worker received a $50-per-week raise. How does this affect the mean salary? The
standard deviation of the salaries? 9. In another company, every worker received a 5% raise. How does this affect the mean salary? The standard deviation of the salaries? Page 24 10. A sample of 100 cars driving on a freeway during a morning commute was drawn, and the number of occupants in each car was recorded. The results were as follows:
1. 2. a. b. c. d. e. f. Find the sample mean number of occupants. Find the sample standard deviation of the number of occupants. What proportion of cars had more than the mean number of occupants? For what proportion of cars was the
number of occupants more than one standard deviation greater than the mean? g. For what proportion of cars was the number of occupants within one standard deviation of the mean height for both groups put
by rolling the ball along a ruler. The results (in cm) are as follows, in increasing order for each method: Method A: 18.0, 18.0, 20.1, 20.4, 20.4, 20.4, 20.4, 20.4, 20.5, 21.2, 22.0, 22.0, 22.0, 22.0, 22.0, 22.0, 22.0, 22.0, 20.5, 20.5, 20.7, 20.8, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20.9, 20
21.0, 21.0, 21.0, 21.0, 21.0, 21.0, 21.0, 21.5, 21.5, 21.5, 21.5, 21.5, 21.5, 21.5, 21.5, 21.5, 21.5, 21.5, 21.5, 21.5, 21.5, 21.5, 21.6. Method D: 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20.0, 20
first and third quartiles for each method. e. Compute the standard deviation of the measurements for each method to have the largest standard deviation? g. Other things being equal, is it better for a measurement method to have a smaller standard
deviation or a larger standard deviation? Or doesn't it matter? Explain. 13. Refer to Exercise 12. a. If the measurements for one of the methods were converted to inches (1 inch = 2.54 cm), how would this affect the mean? The median? The deviation? b. If the students remeasured the ball, using a ruler marked in inches, would
the effects on the mean, median, quartiles, and standard deviation be the same as in part (a)? Explain. 14. There are 10 employees in a particular division of $20,000. The largest number on the list is $100,000. By accident, this number is changed to
$1,000,000. a. What is the value of the mean after the change? b. What is the value of the mean after the change? c. What is the value of the standard deviation after the change? 15. Quartiles divide a sample into four nearly equal pieces. In general, a sample of size n can be broken into k nearly equal pieces by using the cutpoints (i/k)(n + 1) for i =
1, ..., k - 1. Consider the following ordered sample: 2 18 23 41 44 46 49 61 62 74 76 79 82 89 92 95 a. Tertiles divide a sample into fifths. Find the quintiles of this sample into fifths. Find the quintiles of this sample into fifths. Find the guintiles of this sample into fifths.
or whether it could conceivably be correct. a. The length of a rod is measured five times. The readings in centimeters are 48.5, 47.2, 4.91, 49.5, 46.3. b. The prices of five cars on a dealer's lot are $25,000, $30,000, $42,000, $110,000, $31,000. 1-3 Graphical Summaries Stem-and-Leaf Plots The mean, median, and standard deviation are numerical
summaries of a sample or of a population. Graphical summaries are used as well to help visualize a list of numbers. The graphical summary that we will discuss first is the stem-and-leaf plot. A stem-and-leaf plot is a simple way to summary that we will discuss first is the stem-and-leaf plot is a simple way to summary that we will discuss first is the stem-and-leaf plot is a simple way to summary that we will discuss first is the stem-and-leaf plot is a simple way to summarize a data set. As an example, the data in Table 1.3 concern the geyser Old Faithful in Yellowstone National Park.
This geyser alternates periods of eruption, which typically last from 1.5 to 4 minutes, with periods of dormant periods. The list has been sorted into numerical order. TABLE 1.3 42 55 68 75 80 84 45 55 69 75 80 84 Durations (in minutes) of dormant periods of dormant periods of dormant periods of dormant periods.
which consists of the next digit. In Figure 1.5, the stem consists of the tens digit and the leaf consists of the ones digit. Each line of the stem-and-leaf plot is a compact way to represent the data. It also gives some indication of its shape. For the geyser data, we can see that there
are relatively few durations in the 60-69 minute interval, compared with the 50-59, 70-79, or 80-89 minute intervals. FIGURE 1.5 Stem-and-leaf plot for the geyser data in Table 1.3. When there are a great many sample items with the same stem, it is often necessary to assign more than one row to that stem. As an example, Figure 1.6 presents a Page
26 computergenerated stem-and-leaf plot, produced by MINITAB, for the PM data in Table 1.2 in Section 1.2. The middle column, consisting of the ones digits for each of the sample items. Note that the digits to the right of the decimal
point have been truncated, so that the leaf will have only one digit. Since many numbers are either 0 or 1, the next line contains the items whose ones digits are either 2 or 3, and so on. For consistency, all
the stems are assigned several lines in the same way, even though there are few enough values for the 1 and 2 stems that they could have fit on fewer lines. FIGURE 1.6 stems are unulative frequency column to the left of the stemand-
leaf plot. The upper part of this column provides a count of the number of items at or above the current line, and the lower part of the column provides a count of the number of items at or below the current line, shown in parentheses. A good feature of stem-and-leaf plots is
that they display all the sample values. One can reconstruct the sample in its entirety from a stem-and-leaf plot, although some digits may be truncated. In addition, the order in which the items were sampled cannot be determined. Dotplots A dotplot is a graph that can be used to give a rough impression of the shape of a sample. It is useful when the
sample size is not too large and when the sample a vertical column of dots in the column equal to the number of times the value appears in the sample a vertical column of dots is drawn, with the number of dots in the column of dots in the sample and when the sample are the value appears in the sample are the value appears in the sample are the value in the sample are the value appears in the sample are the value 
where the sample values are concentrated and where the gaps are. For example, it is immediately apparent Page 27 from Figure 1.7 that the sample contains no dormant periods between 61 and 65 minutes in length. FIGURE 1.7 botplot for the geyser data in Table 1.3. Stem-and-leaf plots and dotplots are good methods for informally examining a
sample, and they can be drawn fairly quickly with pencil and paper. They are rarely used in formal presentations, however. Graphics more commonly used in formal presentations include the histogram and the boxplot, which we will now discuss. Histogram and the boxplot, which we will now discuss. Histogram is a graphic that gives an idea of the "shape" of a sample, indicating regions
where sample points are concentrated and regions where they are sparse. We will construct a histogram for the PM emissions of 62 vehicles driven at high of 23.38, in units of grams of emissions per gallon of fuel. The first step is to construct a
frequency table, shown in Table 1.4. TABLE 1.4 Frequency 12 11 11 4 7 2 3 3 - < 5 5 - < 7 7 - < 9 9 - < 11 11 - < 13 13 - < 15 15 - < 17 17 - < 19 19 - < 21 Frequency 12 11 18 9 5 1 2 0 2 1 Relative Frequency 0.1935 0.1774 0.2903 0.1452 0.0806 0.0161 0.0323 0.0000 0.0323 0.0161
Density 0.0968 0.0887 0.1452 0.0726 0.0403 0.0081 21-< 23 23-< 25 0 1 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0161 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.
1-< 3, 3-< 5, and so on, indicates that a point on the class on its right. For example, a sample value equal to 3 will go into the class on the class on its right. For example, a sample value equal to 3 will go into the class on its right. For example, a sample value equal to 3 will go into the class on its right. For example, a sample value equal to 3 will go into the class on its right.
large numbers of sample points in the intervals. Striking the proper balance is a matter of judgment and of trial and error. When the number of observations is smaller
more classes than these are often needed. The column labeled "Frequency" in Table 1.4 presents the numbers of data points, which for these data is 62. The relative frequency of a class interval is the
proportion of data points that fall into the interval. Note that since every data point is in exactly one class interval, the relative frequencies must sum to 1. Finally, the column labeled "Density" presents the relative frequencies must sum to 1. Finally, the column labeled "Density" presents the relative frequencies must sum to 1. Finally, the column labeled "Density" presents the relative frequencies must sum to 1. Finally, the column labeled "Density" presents the relative frequencies must sum to 1. Finally, the column labeled "Density" presents the relative frequencies must sum to 1. Finally, the column labeled "Density" presents the relative frequencies must sum to 1. Finally, the column labeled "Density" presents the relative frequencies must sum to 1. Finally, the column labeled "Density" presents the relative frequencies must sum to 1. Finally, the column labeled "Density" presents the relative frequencies must sum to 1. Finally, the column labeled "Density" presents the relative frequencies must sum to 1. Finally, the column labeled "Density" presents the relative frequencies must sum to 1. Finally, the column labeled "Density" presents the relative frequencies must sum to 1. Finally, the column labeled "Density" presents the relative frequencies must sum to 1. Finally, the column labeled "Density" presents the relative frequencies must sum to 1. Finally, the column labeled "Density" presents the relative frequencies must sum to 1. Finally, the column labeled "Density" presents the relative frequencies must sum to 1. Finally, the column labeled "Density" presents the relative frequencies must sum to 1. Finally, the column labeled "Density" presents the relative frequencies must sum to 1. Finally, the column labeled "Density" presents the relative frequencies must sum to 1. Finally presents the relative frequencies must sum to 1. Finally presents the relative frequencies must sum to 1. Finally presents the relative frequencies must sum to 1. Finally presents the relative frequencies must sum to 1. Fin
by 2. Note that when the classes are of equal width, the frequencies, relative frequencies, and densities are proportional to one another. Figure 1.8 presents a histogram for Table 1.4. The units on the horizontal axis are the units of the data, in this case grams per gallon. Each class interval is represented by a rectangle. When the class intervals are of
equal width, the heights of the rectangles may be set equal to the frequencies, or the densities. Since these three quantities are proportional, the shape of the histogram will be the same in each case. For the histogram for the data
in Table 1.4. In this histogram the heights of the rectangles are the relative frequencies, and densities are proportional to one another, so it would have been equally appropriate to set the heights equal to the frequencies or to the densities. Unequal Class Widths In some
cases, histograms are drawn with class intervals of differing widths. This may be done when it is desired for the histogram to have a smoother appearance, or when the data come in the form of a frequency table in which the classes have unequal widths. Table 1.5 presents the PM data of Table 1.4 with the last seven classes collapsed into two. Page
29 TABLE 1.5 Frequency table, with unequal class widths, for PM emissions of 62 vehicles driven at high altitude Class Interval (g/gal) 1-< 3 3-< 5 5-< 7 7-< 9 9-< 11 11-< 15 15-< 25 Frequency 0.1935 0.1774 0.2903 0.1452 0.0806 0.0484 0.0645 Density 0.0968 0.0887 0.1452 0.0726 0.0403 0.0121 0.0065 It is
important to note that because the class widths vary in size, the densities are no longer proportional to the relative frequencies. Instead, the densities adjust the relative frequency for the width of the class. Other things being equal, wider classes tend to contain more sample items than the narrower classes, and thus tend to have larger relative
frequencies. Dividing the relative frequency by the class width to obtain the density adjusts for this reason, when the classes have unequal widths, the heights of the rectangles must be set equal to the densities. The areas of the rectangles then represent the relative frequencies. Figure 1.9 presents the histogram for Table 1.5.
Comparing this histogram to the one in Figure 1.8 shows that the string of small rectangles on the right has been smoothed out. FIGURE 1.9 Histogram for the PM emissions for high-altitude vehicles. The frequency table is presented in Table 1.5. Since the classes have differing widths, the heights of the rectangles must be set equal to the densities
The areas of the rectangles are then equal to the relative frequencies. Compare with the equal-class-width histogram in Figure 1.8. Summary When the class intervals are of unequal widths, the heights of the rectangles must be set equal to the densities. The areas of the rectangles will then be the relative frequencies. Page 30 Example 1.17 Use the
histogram in Figure 1.8 to determine the proportion of the vehicles in the sample with emissions between 7 and 11 g/gal. Solution The proportion is the sum of the rectangles for the two class intervals covered. The result is 0.1452 +
0.0806 = 0.2258. Note that this result can also be obtained from the frequency table. The proportion between 7 and 11 is therefore equal to 0.1452 + 0.0806 = 0.2258. Example 1.18 Use the histogram in Figure 1.9 to determine the
proportion of the vehicles in the sample with emissions between 9 and 15 g/gal. Solution The proportion is the sum of the rectangles represent densities, the areas of the rectangles represent relative frequencies. The sum of the areas of the
rectangles is (2)(0.0403) + (4)(0.0121) = 0.129. Note that this result can also be obtained from the frequency table. The proportion between 9 and 15 is 0.0484. The proportion between 9 and 15 is therefore equal to 0.0806 + 0.0484 = 0.129. Summary To construct a
histogram: Choose boundary points for the class intervals. Compute the frequency and relative frequency for each class, according to the formula for the classes all have the same width.) Traw a rectangle for each class, according to the formula for the classes all have the same width.)
class. If the classes all have the same width, the heights of the rectangles may be set equal to the densities. Symmetry and Skewness Page 31 A histogram is perfectly symmetric if its right half is a
mirror image of its left half. Histograms that are not symmetric are referred to as skewed. In practice, virtually no sample has a perfectly symmetric histogram with a long right-hand tail is said to be skewed to the right, or positively
skewed. A histogram with a long left-hand tail is said to be skewed to the left, or negatively skewed. While there is a formal mathematical method for measuring the skewness of a histogram, it is rarely used; instead people judge the degree of skewness informally by looking at the histogram. Figure 1.10 presents some histograms for hypothetical
samples. In most cases, for a histogram that is skewed to the right (Figure 1.10c), the mean is greater than the median. The reason for this is that the mean is near the center of mass of the histogram skewed to the right, more than half the data will be
to the left of the center of mass. Similarly, in most cases the mean is less than the median for a histogram that is skewed to the left. The mean is 6.596, which is greater than the sample median of 5.75. FIGURE 1.10 (a) A histogram skewed to the left. The mean is
less than the median. (b) A nearly symmetric histogram. The mean and median are approximately equal. (c) A histogram skewed to the term "mode" to refer to the most frequently occurring value in a sample. This term is also used in regard to
histograms and other curves to refer to a peak, or local maximum. A histogram is unimodal if it has only one peak, or mode, and bimodal if it has two clearly distinct modes. In principle, a histogram is unimodal if it has two clearly distinct modes. In principle, a histogram is unimodal if it has only one peak, or mode, and bimodal if it has two clearly distinct modes. In principle, a histogram is unimodal if it has only one peak, or mode, and bimodal if it has only one peak, or mode, and bimodal if it has two clearly distinct modes.
a bimodal histogram for a hypothetical sample. FIGURE 1.11 A bimodal histogram. In some cases, a bimodal histogram indicates that the sample corresponds to one of the modes. As an example, Table 1.6 presents the durations
of 60 dormant periods of the geyser Old Faithful (originally preceding the dormant period, in minutes). TABLE 1.6 Durations of dormant periods (in minutes)
Long Short 
histograms for the durations following short and long eruptions, respectively. The histogram for all the durations is clearly bimodal. The histogram for the durations following short or long eruptions, respectively. The histogram for all 60 durations in Tables
1.6. This histogram is bimodal. (b) Histogram for the durations in Table 1.6 that follow short eruptions. (c) Histogram for the durations in Table 1.6 that follow short eruptions are both unimodal, but the modes are in different places. When the two
samples are combined, the histogram is bimodal. Boxplots A boxplot is a graphic that present in a sample. Boxplots are easy to understand, but there is a bit of terminology that goes with them. The interquartile range is the difference between the third quartile and
unusually large or small. If IQR represents the interquartile range, then for the purpose of drawing boxplots, any point that is more than 1.5 IQR above the third quartile, or more than 1.5 IQR below the first quartile, or more than 1.5 IQR above the third quartile, or more than 1.5 IQR above the third quartile, or more than 1.5 IQR above the third quartile, or more than 1.5 IQR above the third quartile, or more than 1.5 IQR above the third quartile, or more than 1.5 IQR above the third quartile, or more than 1.5 IQR above the third quartile, or more than 1.5 IQR above the third quartile, or more than 1.5 IQR above the third quartile, or more than 1.5 IQR above the third quartile, or more than 1.5 IQR above the third quartile, or more than 1.5 IQR above the third quartile, or more than 1.5 IQR above the third quartile as an extreme outlier.
definitions of outliers are just conventions for drawing boxplots and need not be used in other situations. Figure 1.13 (page 34) presents a boxplot for some hypothetical data. The plot consists of a box whose bottom side is the first quartile and whose top side is the third quartile. A horizontal line is drawn at the median. The "outliers" are plotted
individually and are indicated by crosses in the figure. Extending from the top and bottom of the box are vertical lines called "whiskers." The whiskers end at the most extreme data point that is not an outlier. FIGURE 1.13 Anatomy of a boxplot. Apart from any outliers, a boxplot can be thought of as having four pieces: the two parts of the box
separated by the median line, and the two whiskers. Again apart from outliers, each of these four parts represents one-quarter of the data. The boxplot therefore indicates how large an interval is spanned by each quarter of the data. The boxplot therefore indicates how large an interval is spanned by each quarter of the data.
regions in which they are more sparse. Page 34 Steps in the Construction of a Boxplot 1. 2. 3. Compute the median and the first and third quartiles of the sample value that is no more than 1.5 IQR above the third quartile, and the smallest sample
value that is no more than 1.5 IQR below the first quartile. Extend vertical lines (whiskers) from the quartile, or more than 1.5 IQR below the first quartile, or more than 1.5 IQR below the first quartile, or more than 1.5 IQR below the first quartile, or more than 1.5 IQR below the first quartile.
Table 1.6. First note that there are no outliers in these data. Comparing the four pieces of the boxplot, we can tell that the sample values are comparatively densely packed between the median and the first quartile. The lower whisker Page 35 is a bit longer than the upper one, indicating
that the data has a slightly longer lower tail. Since the distance between the median and the first quartile is greater than the data are skewed to the left.
FIGURE 1.14 Boxplot for the Old Faithful dormant period data presented in Table 1.6. A histogram for these data was presented in Figure 1.12a. The histogram for these data was presented in Figure 1.12a. The histogram presented in Figure 1.12a. The histogram presented in Figure 1.12a. The histogram for these data was presented in Figure 1.12a. The histogram presen
advantage of boxplots is that several of them may be placed side by side, allowing for easy visual comparison of the features of several samples. FIGURE
1.15 Comparative boxplots for PM emissions data for vehicles driven at high versus low altitudes. The comparative boxplots in Figure 1.15 show that vehicles driven at low altitude tend to have lower emissions. In addition, there are several outliers among the data for high-altitude vehicles whose values are much higher than any of the values for the
low-altitude vehicles (there is also one low-altitude value that barely qualifies as an outlier). We conclude that at high altitudes, vehicles have much higher emissions in general, and the lower whisker a bit longer, than that for the low-altitude
vehicles. We conclude that apart from the outliers, the spread in values is slightly larger for the high-altitude vehicles and is much larger when the outliers are considered. In Figure 1.4 (in Section 1.2) we compared the values of some numerical descriptive statistics for these two samples, and reached some conclusions similar to the previous Page 36
ones. The visual nature of the comparative boxplots in Figure 1.15 makes comparing the features of samples much easier. We have mentioned that it is important to scrutinize outliers, boxplots can be useful in this regard. The following
example provides an illustration. The article "Virgin Versus Recycled Wafers for Furnace Qualification: Is the Expense Justified?" (V. Czitrom and J. Reece, in Statistical Case Studies for Industrial Process Improvement, ASA and SIAM, 1997:87-104) describes a process for growing a thin silicon dioxide layer onto silicon wafers that are to be used in
semiconductor manufacture. Table 1.7 presents thickness measurements, in angstroms (Å), of the oxide layer for 24 wafers. Nine measurements were made on each wafer 1 90.0 92.2 94.9 92.7 2 91.8
90.8\ 91.5\ 91.5\ 91.5\ 91.5\ 91.5\ 91.5\ 91.5\ 91.5\ 91.5\ 90.4\ 92.0\ 89.5\ 90.4\ 92.0\ 89.3\ 90.1\ 93.4\ 92.2\ 97.5\ 91.4\ 93.6\ 90.9\ 92.4\ 87.6\ 93.8\ 86.5\ 87.9\ 92.2\ 90.0\ 97.9\ 94.0\ 93.1\ 93.3\ 95.7\ 88.0\ 92.4\ 90.7\ 95.8\ 90.4\ 92.0\ 89.3\ 90.1\ 93.4\ 92.2\ 97.5\ 91.4\ 93.6\ 90.9\ 92.4\ 87.6\ 93.8\ 86.5\ 87.9\ 92.2\ 90.0\ 97.9\ 94.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0\ 93.0
91.0\ 90.3\ 91.5\ 89.6\ 89.6\ 91.0\ 91.4\ 96.1\ 102.5\ 89.0\ 88.5\ 90.8\ 92.1\ 92.0\ 98.2\ 96.0\ 87.9\ 92.8\ 92.1\ 91.6\ 98.2\ 96.0\ 87.9\ 92.8\ 92.1\ 91.6\ 98.4\ 92.1\ 91.8\ 94.0\ 89.4\ 93.2\ 93.2\ 93.1\ 89.8\ 92.4\ 93.0\ 88.9\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.7\ 90.9\ 92.8\ 92.9\ 92.8\ 92.9\ 92.8\ 92.9\ 92.8\ 92.9\ 92.8\ 92.9\ 92.8\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.8\ 92.9\ 92.8\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.8\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.8\ 92.9\ 92.8\ 92.9\ 92.8\ 92.9\ 92.8\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.8\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.8\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.8\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.8\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.8\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.8\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.8\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.8\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.8\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.8\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.9\ 92.
90.2 95.3 93.0 92.8 93.6 91.0 102.0 106.7 105.4 87.5 93.8 91.4 91.2 92.3 91.1 The 12 wafers in each run were of several different types and were processed in se
This was therefore a factorial experiment, with wafer type and furnace location as the factors, and oxide layer thickness as the outcome. The experiment was designed so that there was not supposed to be any systematic difference in the thicknesses between one run and another. The first step in the analysis was to construct a boxplot for the data in
each run to help Page 37 determine if this condition was in fact met, and whether any of the observations should be deleted. The results are presented in Figure 1.16. FIGURE 1.16 Comparative boxplots for oxide layer thickness data. The boxplots show that there were several outliers in each run. Note that apart from these outliers, there are no
striking differences between the samples, and therefore no evidence of any systematic difference between the runs. The next task is to inspect the outliers, to determine which, if any, should be deleted. By examining the data in Table 1.7, it can be seen that the eight largest measurements in run 2 occurred on a single wafer: number 10. It was then
determined that this wafer had been contaminated with a film residue, which caused the large thickness measurements. It would therefore be appropriate to delete these measurements. In the actual experiment, the engineers had data from several other runs available, and for technical reasons, decided to delete the entire run, rather than to analyze
a run that was missing one wafer. In run 1, the three smallest measurements were found to have been caused by a malfunctioning gauge, and were therefore appropriately deleted. No cause could be determined for the remaining two outliers in run 1, so they were included in the analysis. Multivariate Data Sometimes the items in a population may
have several values associated with them. For example, imagine choosing a random sample of days and determining the average temperature and humidity on each day. Each day in the population provides two values, temperature and humidity on each day.
each day as well, the sample would consist of triplets. In principle, any number of quantities could be measured on each day, producing a sample in which each item is a list of numbers. Data for which each item consists of more than one value is called multivariate data. When each item is a pair of values, the data are said to be bivariate. One of the
most useful graphical summaries for numerical bivariate data is the scatterplot. If the data consist Page 38 of ordered pairs (x1, y1), ..., (xn, yn), then a scatterplot is constructed simply by plotting each point on a two-dimensional coordinate system. Scatterplot is constructed simply by plotting each point on a two-dimensional coordinate system.
two values. One simply constructs separate scatterplots for each pair of values. The following example illustrates the usefulness of Scatterplots. The article "Advances in Oxygen Equivalence Equations for Predicting the Properties of Titanium Welds" (D. Harwig, W. Ittiwattana, and H. Castner, The Welding Journal, 2001:126s-136s) presents data
concerning the chemical composition and strength (in thousands of pounds per square inch (ksi)] versus carbon content (in percent) for some of these welds. Figure 1.17a is a plot of the yield strength (in ksi) versus nitrogen
content (in percent) for the same welds. FIGURE 1.17 (a) A scatterplot showing that there is not much of a relationship between carbon content and yield strength for a certain group of welds. (b) A scatterplot showing that there is not much of a relationship between carbon content and yield strength for a certain group of welds.
content (Figure 1.17b) shows some clear structure —the points seem to be following a line from lower left to upper right. In this way, the plot illustrates a relationship between nitrogen content and yield strength: Welds with higher nitrogen content tend to have higher yield strength. This scatterplot might lead investigators to try to predict strength
from nitrogen content or to try to increase nitrogen content to increase strength. (The fact that there is a relationship on a scatterplot does not seem to be much structure to the scatterplot of yield strength versus carbon content, and thus
there is no evidence of a relationship between these two quantities. This scatterplot would discourage investigators from trying to predict strength from carbon content. Page 39 Exercises for Section 1.3 1. 2. 3. 4. The weather in Los Angeles is dry most of the time, but it can be quite rainy in the winter. The rainiest month of the year is February. The
data. c. Construct a dotplot for these data. d. Construct a boxplot for these data. Does the box-plot show any outliers? Forty-five specimens of a certain type of powder were analyzed for sulfur trioxide content. Following are the results, in percent. The list has been sorted into numerical order. 14.1 14.4 14.7 14.8 15.3 15.6 16.1 16.6 17.3 14.2 14.4 14.7
14.9 15.3 15.7 16.2 17.2 17.3 14.3 14.4 14.8 15.0 15.4 15.7 16.4 17.2 17.8 14.3 14.4 14.8 15.0 15.4 15.9 16.4 17.2 21.9 14.3 14.6 14.8 15.0 15.4 15.9 16.5 17.2 22.4 a. Construct a dotplot for these data. c. Construct a boxplot for these data. d. Construct a boxplot for these data. b. Construct a boxplot for these data. d. Construct a boxplot for these data. b. Construct a boxplot for these data. d. Construct a boxplot for these data. d. Construct a boxplot for these data. b. Construct a boxplot for these data. d. Construct a boxplot for these data. b. Construct a boxplot for these data. d. Construct a boxplot for these data. b. Construct a boxplot for these data. d. Construct a boxplot for these data. d. Construct a boxplot for these data. b. Construct a boxplot for these data. d. Constr
any outliers? Refer to Table 1.2 (in Section 1.2). Construct a stem-and-leaf plot with the ones digit as the leaf. How many stems are there (be sure to include leafless stems)? What are some advantages and disadvantages of this plot, compared to the
one in Figure 1.6 (page 26)? Following are measurements of soil concentrations (in mg/kg) of chromium (Cr) and nickel (Ni) at 20 sites in the area of Cleveland, Ohio. These data are taken from the article "Variation in North American Regulatory Guidance for Heavy Metal Surface Soil Contamination at Commercial and Industrial Sites" (A. Jennings
and J. Ma, J Environment Eng, 2007:587-609). Cr. 34 1 511 2 574 496 322 424 269 140 244 252 76 108 24 38 18 34 30 191 Ni: 23 22 55 39 283 34 159 37 61 34 163 140 32 23 54 837 5. 64 354 376 471 a. Construct a histogram for each set of concentrations. b. Construct comparative boxplots for the two sets of concentrations. c. Using the boxplots,
what differences can be seen between the two sets of concentrations? A certain reaction was run several times using each of two catalysts, A and B. The catalysts were supposed to control the yield of an undesirable side product. Results, in units of percentage yield, for 24 runs of catalysts A and 20 runs of catalysts B are as follows: Catalysts A 4.4 4.9
4.1 3.6 4.3 4.4 3.4 4.6 2.6 2.9 3.9 3.1 2.6 5.2 6.7 2.6 4.8 5.7 3.8 4.7 4.1 4.0 4.5 4.5 2.9 5.8 3.1 6.7 4.3 5.5 2.5 1.6 5.2 3.8 Catalysts. Using the boxplots for the yields of each catalysts. Using the boxplots, what differences can be seen
between the results of the yields of the yields of the two catalysts? Sketch a histogram for which a. the mean is approximately equal to the median. b. the mean is approximately equal to the median. c. the mean is approximately equal to the median. b. the mean is approximately equal to the median. b. the mean is approximately equal to the median. c. the mean is approximately equal to the median. b. the mean is approximately equal to the median. b. the mean is approximately equal to the median. b. the mean is approximately equal to the median. b. the mean is approximately equal to the median. b. the mean is approximately equal to the median. b. the mean is approximately equal to the median. b. the mean is approximately equal to the median. b. the mean is approximately equal to the median. b. the mean is approximately equal to the median. b. the mean is approximately equal to the median. b. the mean is approximately equal to the median. b. the median is approximately equal to the median is approximately equal to the median. b. the mean is approximately equal to the median is approximately equal to the medi
answer the following questions: a. Is the percentage of men with cholesterol levels above 240 mg/dL closest to 30%, 50%, or 70%? b. In which interval are there more men: 240-260 mg/dL or 280-340 mg/dL? 8. The histogram below presents the compressive strengths of a sample of concrete blocks hardened for 28 days. One rectangle from the
histogram is missing. What is its height? Refer to Table 1.4 (in Section 1.3). a. Using the class intervals in the table, construct a histogram in which the heights of the rectangles are equal to the densities. c. Compare the
the histogram in part (a) with the heights are the densities. Are the shapes of the histograms the same? c. Explain why the heights should not be set equal to the relative frequencies? 11. The following
table presents the number of students absent in a middle school in 9. northwestern Montana for each school day in January 2008. Date Jan. 23 Jan. 24 Jan. 25 Jan. 28 Jan. 29 Jan. 30 Jan. 31 a. b. Number Absent 65 67 71 57 51 49 44 41 59 49 42
56 45 77 44 42 45 46 100 59 53 51 Construct a boxplot. There was a snowstorm on January 27. Was the number of absences the next day an outlier? 12. Which of the following statistics cannot be determined from a boxplot? i. The median ii. The mean iii. The mean iii. The first quartile iv. The interquartile range 13. A sample of 100 resistors
has an average resistance of 50 \Omega and a standard deviation of 5 \Omega. A second sample of 100 resistance of 100 \Omega and a standard deviation of 5 \Omega. If the two samples are combined, the standard deviation of all 200 resistances will be
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            . Page 41 i. ii. less than 5 \Omega greater than 5 \Omega iii. equal to 5 \Omega iv. can't tell from the
information given (Hint: Don't do any calculations. Just try to sketch, very roughly, histograms for each sample separately, then for the combined sample.) 14. Following are boxplots comparing the amount of econozole nitrate (in µg/cm2) absorbed into skin for a brand name and a generic antifungal ointment (from the article "Improved Bioequivalence").
Assessment of Topical Dermatological Drug Products Using Dermatopharmacokinetics." B. N Dri-Stempfer, W. Navidi, R. Guy, and A. Bunge, Pharmaceutical Research, 2009:316-328). True or false: a. The median amount absorbed for the brand name drug is greater than the 25th percentile of the amount absorbed for the generic drug. b. The median
amount absorbed for the brand name drug is greater than the median amount absorbed for the generic drug. c. About half the sample values for the brand name drug than for the generic drug. e. Both samples are skewed to the right. f. Both
samples contain outliers. 15. Following are summary statistics for two data sets, A and B. Minimum 1st Quartile Maximum A 0.066 1.42 2.60 6.02 10.08 B -2.235 5.27 8.03 9.13 10.51 a. b. Compute the interquartile ranges for both A and B. Do the summary statistics for A provide enough information to construct a boxplot? If so
construct the boxplot. If not, explain why. c. Do the summary statistics for B provide enough information to construct a boxplot for the
asphalt data. b. Which values, if any, are outliers? c. Construct a dotplot for the asphalt data. d. For purposes of constructing boxplots, an outlier is definition is that an outlier is any point that is detached from the bulk of the data. Are
there any points in the asphalt data set that are outliers under this more general definition, but not under the boxplot definition, but not under the boxplot definition? If so, which are they? 18. Refer to Exercise 5. Construct a back-to-back stem-and-leaf plot by constructing a list of stems, then write the yields for Catalyst B to the left. Using this
plot, what differences can be seen between the results of the yields of the two catalysts? 19. In general a histogram is skewed to the left when the median is greater than the mean and to the right when the median is less than the median is greater than the mean and to the right when the median is less than the median is greater than the mean and to the right when the median is less than the mean and to the right when the median is less than the median is less than the median is less than the mean and to the right when the median is less than the median is less than the median is greater than the median is less than the me
mean and median, would you expect the data set to be skewed to the left, skewed to the left, skewed to the statement that best describes it. Page 43 i. The relationship between x and y is approximately linear. ii. The
relationship between x and y is nonlinear. iii. There isn't much of any relationship between x and y iv. The relationship between x and y is approximately linear, except for an outlier. 21. For the following data: x 1.4 y 2.3 a. b. c. 2.4 3.7 4.0 5.7 4.9 9.9 5.7 6.9 6.3 7.8 9.0 9.3 11.0 15.8 15.4 36.9 34.6 53.2 Make a scatterplot of y versus x. Is the
relationship between x and y approximately linear, or is it nonlinear? Compute the natural logarithm of each y value. This is known as making a log transformation of y. Make a scatterplot of ln y versus x. Is the relationship between x and ln y approximately linear, or is it nonlinear? In general, it is easier to work with quantities that have an
approximate linear relationship than with quantities that have a nonlinear relationship. For these data, do you think it would be easier to work with x and y or with x and ln y? Explain. Supplementary Exercises for Chapter 1 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. A vendor converts the weights on the packages she sends out from pounds to kilograms (1 kg ≈ 2.2 lb). a. How does
this affect the mean weight of the packages? b. How does this affect the standard deviation of the weights? Refer to Exercise 1. The vendor begins using heavier packages? b. How does this affect the standard deviation of the weights? The
specification for the pull strength of a wire that connects an integrated circuit to its frame is 10 g or more. Units made with aluminum wire have a defect rate of 10%. A redesigned manufacturing process, involving the use of gold wire, is being investigated. The goal is to reduce the rate of defects to 5% or less. Out of the first 100 units manufactured
with gold wire, only 4 are defective. True or false: a. Since only 4% of the 100 units were defective, we can conclude that the goal has been reached. b. Although the sample percentage is under 5%, this may represent sampling variation, so the goal may not yet be reached. b. Although the sample percentage is under 5%, this may represent sampling variation, so the goal may not yet be reached. b. Although the sample percentage is under 5%, this may represent sampling variation, so the goal may not yet be reached. b. Although the sample percentage is under 5%, this may represent sampling variation, so the goal may not yet be reached. b. Although the sample percentage is under 5%, this may represent sampling variation, so the goal may not yet be reached. b. Although the sample percentage is under 5%, this may represent sampling variation, so the goal may not yet be reached. b. Although the sample percentage is under 5%, this may represent sampling variation, so the goal may not yet be reached. b. Although the sample percentage is under 5%, this may represent sampling variation, so the goal may not yet be reached. b. Although the sample percentage is under 5%, this may represent sampling variation, so the goal may not yet be reached. b. Although the sample percentage is under 5%, this may represent sample percentage is under 5%, 
result is, it could just be due to sampling variation. d. If we sample a large enough number of units, and if the percentage of defective units is far enough below 5%, then it is reasonable to conclude that the goal has been reached. A coin is
supposed to come up heads only half the time, not every time." a. Is it reasonable to conclude that something is wrong with the coin? Explain. b. If the coin came up heads 100 times in a row, would it be reasonable to conclude that something is wrong with the coin? Explain. b. If the coin? Explain. The smallest number on a list is changed from 12.9 to 1.29. a. Is it possible to conclude that something is wrong with the coin? Explain. b. If the coin? Explain. The smallest number on a list is changed from 12.9 to 1.29. a. Is it possible to conclude that something is wrong with the coin? Explain. The smallest number on a list is changed from 12.9 to 1.29. a. Is it possible to conclude that something is wrong with the coin? Explain. The smallest number on a list is changed from 12.9 to 1.29. a. Is it possible to conclude that something is wrong with the coin? Explain. The smallest number on a list is changed from 12.9 to 1.29. a. Is it possible to conclude that something is wrong with the coin? Explain. The smallest number on a list is changed from 12.9 to 1.29. a. Is it possible to conclude that something is wrong with the coin? Explain. The smallest number on a list is changed from 12.9 to 1.29. a. Is it possible to conclude that something is wrong with the coin?
determine by how much the mean changes? If so, by how much does it change? What if the list consists of only two numbers? c. Is it possible to determine by how much the standard deviation changes? If so, by how much does it change? Page 44 6.
There are 15 numbers on a list, and the smallest number is change? If so, by how much the mean after the change? If so, by how much the mean after the change? If so, by how much the median changes? If so, by how much the mean after the change? If so, by how much the median changes? If so, by how much the median changes? If so, by how much the mean after the change? If so, by how much the median changes? If so, by how much the median changes? If so, by how much the mean after the change? If so, by how much the median changes? If so, by how much the median changes? If so, by how much the mean after the change? If so, by how much the mean after the change? If so, by how much the mean after the changes? If so, by how much the median changes? If so, by how much the mean after the change? If so, by how much the median changes? If so, by how much the mean after the changes? If so, by how much the mean after the changes? If so, by how much the mean after the changes? If so, by how much the mean after the changes? If so, by how much the mean after the changes? If so, by how much the mean after the changes? If so, by how much the mean after the changes? If so, by how much the mean after the changes? If so, by how much the mean after the changes? If so, by how much the mean after the changes? If so, by how much the mean after the changes? If so, by how much the mean after the changes? If so, by how much the mean after the changes? If so, by how much the mean after the changes? If so, by how much the mean after the changes? If so, by how much the mean after the changes? If so, by how much the mean after the changes? If so, by how much the mean after the changes? If so, by how much the mean after the changes? If so, by how much the mean after the changes? If so, by how much the mean after the changes? If so, by how much the mean after the changes? If so, by how much the mean after the changes? If so, by how much the mean after the changes? If so, by how much the mean after the changes? If so, by how much the mean after the 
how much does 7. 8. 9. it change? There are 15 numbers on a list, and the mean is 25. The smallest number on the list is changed from 12.9 to 1.29. a. Is it possible to determine by how much the mean changes? If so, by how much does it
change? b. Is it possible to determine the value of the mean after the change? If so, by how much does it change? If so, by how much does it change? The article "The Selection of Yeast
Strains for the Production of Premium Quality South African Brandy Base Products" (C. Steger and M. Lambrechts, Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology, 2000:431-440) presents detailed information on the volatile compound composition of base wines made from each of 16 selected yeast strains. Following are the concentrations of
total esters (in mg/L) in each of the wines. 284.34 173.01 229.55 312.95 215.34 188.72 144.39 172.79 139.38 197.81 303.28 256.02 658.38 105.14 295.24 170.41 a. Compute the mean concentration. b. Compute the median concentration. c. Compute the first quartile of the concentrations. d. Compute the third quartile of the concentrations. e.
Construct a boxplot for the concentrations. What features does it reveal? Concerning the data represented in the following statements is true? i. The mean is approximately equal to the median. 10. True or false: In any boxplot, a. b. c. d.
The length of the whiskers is equal to 1.5 IQR, where IQR is the interquartile range. The length of the whiskers may be greater than 1.5 IQR, where IQR is the interquartile range. The length of the whiskers may be greater than 1.5 IQR, where IQR is the interquartile range.
construct the boxplot. Page 45 11. For each of the following histograms, determine whether the vertical axis has been labeled correctly. 12. In the article "Occurrence and Distribution of Ammonium in Iowa Groundwater" (K. Schilling, Water Environment Research, 2002:177-186), ammonium concentrations (in mg/L) were measured at a total of 349
 alluvial wells in the state of Iowa. The mean concentration was 0.27, the median was 0.10, and the standard deviation was 0.40. If a histogram of these 349 measurements were drawn, i. it would be most likely skewed to the right. ii. it would be most likely skewed to the right. ii. it would be most likely skewed to the right. iii. it would be most likely skewed to the right. iii. it would be most likely skewed to the right. iii. it would be most likely skewed to the right. iii. it would be most likely skewed to the right. iii. it would be most likely skewed to the right. iii. it would be most likely skewed to the right. iii. it would be most likely skewed to the right. iii. it would be most likely skewed to the right. iii. it would be most likely skewed to the right. iii. it would be most likely skewed to the right. iii. it would be most likely skewed to the right. iii. it would be most likely skewed to the right. iii. it would be most likely skewed to the right. iii. it would be most likely skewed to the right. iii. it would be most likely skewed to the right. iii. it would be most likely skewed to the right. iii. it would be most likely skewed to the right. iii. it would be most likely skewed to the right. iii. it would be most likely skewed to the right.
determined without knowing the relative frequencies. 13. The article "Vehicle-Arrival Characteristics at Urban Uncontrolled Intersections" (V. Rengaraju and V. Rao, Journal of Transportation Engineering, 1995: 317-323) presents data on traffic characteristics at 10 intersections in Madras, India. One characteristic measured was the speeds of the
vehicles traveling through the intersections. The accompanying table gives the 15th, 50th, and 85th percentiles of speed (in km/h) for two intersections. Intersections are drawn, would it most likely be skewed to the left, skewed
to the right, or approximately symmetric? Explain. b. If a histogram for speeds of vehicles through intersection B were drawn, would it most likely be skewed to the right, or approximately symmetric? Explain. 14. The cumulative frequency and the cumulative frequency for a given class interval are the sums of the
frequencies and relative frequencies, respectively, over all classes up to and including the given class. For example, if there are five classes, with frequencies would be 0.275, 0.450, 0.525, 0.875, and 1.000. Construct a table
presenting frequencies, relative frequencies, cumulative frequencies, and cumulative frequencies, for the data in Exercise 2 of Section 1.3, using the class intervals 14-< 15, 15-< 16, ..., 22-< 23. 15. The article "Computing and Using Rural versus Urban Measures in Statistical Applications" (C. Goodall, K. Kafadar, and J. Tukey, The American
Statistician, 1998:101-111) discusses methods to measure the degree to which U.S. counties are urban rather than rural. The following frequency table presents populations are at least 26 = 64 but less than 212.4 = 5404, and so
on. log2 Population 6.0-< 12.4 12.4-< 13.1 13.1-< 13.6 13.6-< 14.0 14.0-< 14.4 Number of Counties whose populations are greater than 100,000. Is
the histogram skewed to the left, skewed to the left, skewed to the left, skewed to the article "Hydrogeochemical Characteristics of Groundwater in a Mid-Western Coastal Aquifer System" (S. Jeen,
J. Kim, et al., Geosciences Journal, 2001:339-348) presents measurements of various properties of shallow groundwater in a certain aguifer system in Korea. Following are measurements of electrical conductivity (in microsiemens per centimeter) for 23 water samples. 2099 528 2030 1350 1018 384 1499 1265 375 424 789 810 522 513 488 200 215
486 257 557 260 461 500 a. Find the mean. b. Find the mean. b. Find the mean. b. Find the median. d. Construct a dotplot. e. Find the interquartile range. i. Construct a boxplot. j. Which of the points, if any, are outliers? k. If a histogram were constructed, would it be
skewed to the left, skewed to the right, or approximately symmetric? 17. Water scarcity has traditionally been a major concern in the Canary Islands. Water rights are divided into shares, which are privately owned. The article "The Social Construction of Scarcity. The Case of Water in Tenerife (Canary Islands)" (F. Aguilera-Klink, E. PerezMoriana,
and J. Sanchez-Garcia, Ecological Economics, 2000:233-245) discusses the extent to which many of the shares are concentrated among a few owners who own various numbers of shares or more; these are omitted.) Note that it is possible to own a
fractional number of shares; for example, the interval 2-<3 contains 112 individuals who owned at least 2 but less than 3 shares. Number of Shares Number of Shares Number of Shares 112 individuals who owned at least 2 but less than 3 shares. Number of Shares Number of Shares
Approximate the median number of shares owned by finding the point for which 25% of the area is to the left. d. Approximate the third quartile of the number of shares owned by finding the point for which 75% of the area
is to the left. 18. The Editor's Report in the November 2003 issue of Technometrics provides the following information regarding the length of time taken to review, these are omitted from the table. Time (months) 0-< 1 1-< 2 2-< 3 3-
< 4 4-< 5 5-< 6 6-< 7 7-< 8 8-< 9 Number of Articles 45 17 18 19 12 14 13 22 11 a. Construct a histogram for these data. b. Which class interval contains the first quartile of the review times? d. Which class interval contains the third quartile of the review times? d. Which class interval contains the first quartile of the review times? d. Which class interval contains the first quartile of the review times? d. Which class interval contains the first quartile of the review times? d. Which class interval contains the first quartile of the review times? d. Which class interval contains the first quartile of the review times? d. Which class interval contains the first quartile of the review times? d. Which class interval contains the first quartile of the review times? d. Which class interval contains the first quartile of the review times? d. Which class interval contains the first quartile of the review times? d. Which class interval contains the first quartile of the review times? d. Which class interval contains the first quartile of the review times? d. Which class interval contains the first quartile of the review times? d. Which class interval contains the first quartile of the review times? d. Which class interval contains the first quartile of the review times? d. Which class interval contains the first quartile of the review times? d. Which class interval contains the first quartile of the review times? d. Which class interval contains the first quartile of the review times? d. Which class interval contains the first quartile of the review times? d. Which class interval contains the first quartile of the review times? d. Which class interval contains the first quartile of the review times?
Three-Ball Test for Tensile Strength: Refined Methodology and Results for Three Hohokam Ceramic Types" (M. Beck, American Antiquity, 2002:558-569) discusses the strength of ancient ceramic Types of ceramic Types of ceramic Types.
(kg) Sacaton 15, 30, 51, 20, 17, 19, 20, 32, 17, 19, 20, 32, 17, 15, 23, 19, 15, 18, 16, 22, 29, 15, 13, 15 Gila 27, 18, 24, 21, 30, 20, 36, 27, 35, 66, 15, 18, 24, 21, 30, 20, 24, Grande 23, 21, 13, 21 a. b. c. Construct comparative boxplots for the three samples. How
many outliers does each sample contain? Comment on the features of the three samples. Page 48 Chapter 2 Probability Introduction The development of the leading mathematicians of the day to calculate the correct odds for certain games of chance. Later,
people realized that scientific processes involve chance as well, and since then the methods of probability have been used to study the physical world. Probability is now an extensive branch of mathematics. Many books are devoted to the subject, and many researchers have dedicated their professional careers to its further development. In this
chapter we present an introduction to the ideas of probability that are most important to the study of statistics. 2.1 Basic Ideas To make a systematic study of probability, we need some terminology. An experiment is a process that results in an outcome that cannot be predicted in advance with certainty. Tossing a coin, rolling a die, measuring the
diameter of a bolt, weighing the contents of a box of cereal, and measuring the breaking strength of a length of fishing line are all examples of experiment. To discuss an experiment in probabilistic terms, we must specify its possible outcomes: Definition The set of all possible outcomes of an experiment in probabilistic terms, we must specify its possible outcomes.
For tossing a coin, we can use the set {1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6}. These sample spaces are finite. Some experiments have sample spaces with an infinite number of outcomes. For example, imagine that a punch with diameter 10 mm punches holes in sheet metal. Because of
variations in the angle of Page 49 the punch and slight movements in the sheet metal, the diameters of the holes vary between 10.0 and 10.2 mm. For the experiment of punching a hole, then, a reasonable sample space is the interval (10.0, 10.2), or in set notation, {x | 10.0 < x < 10.2}. This set obviously contains an infinite number of outcomes. For
many experiments, there are several sample spaces to choose from. For example, assume that a process manufactures steel pins whose lengths vary between 5.20 and 5.25 cm. An obvious choice for the sample space for the length of a pin is the set {x | 5.20 < x < 5.25}. However, if the object were simply to determine whether the pin was too short,
too long, or within specification limits, a good choice for the sample space might be {too short, too long, within specifications}. When discussing experiments, we are often interested in a particular subset of outcomes. For example, we might be interested in the probability that a die comes up an even number. The sample space for the experiment is
\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}, and coming up even corresponds to the subset \{x, 4, 6\}. In the hole punch example, we might be interested in the probability that a hole has a diameter less than 10.1 mm. This corresponds to the subset \{x, 4, 6\}. In the hole punch example space is called an
event. Note that for any sample space, the empty set Ø is an event, as is the entire sample space. A given event is said to have occurred if the outcomes in the event sample space, the empty set Ø is an event, as is the entire sample space. A given event that contains the outcomes in the event.
"2." Example 2.1 An electrical engineer has on hand two boxes of resistors, with four resistors in the first box are labeled 20 Ω, but in fact their resistances are 18, 19, 20, and 21 Ω. The engineer chooses one resistor
from each box and determines the resistance of each. Let A be the event that the second resistance greater than 10, let B be the event that the sum of the resistance is equal to 28. Find a sample space for this experiment, and specify the subsets corresponding to
the events A, B, and C. Solution A good sample space for this experiment is the resistance of the first component is the resistance of the second component 
construct events by combining simpler events. Because events are subsets of sample spaces, it is traditional to use the notation here. 
The union of two events A and B, denoted A U B, is the set of outcomes that belong either to A, to B, or to both. In words, A U B
means "A or B." Thus the event A \cup B occurs whenever either A or B (or both) occurs. The intersection of two events A and B occurs whenever both A and B occur. The complement of an event A, denoted Ac, is the set of outcomes that belong both to A and B occurs whenever both A and B occurs.
outcomes that do not belong to A. In words, Ac means "not A." Thus the event Ac occurs whenever A does not occur. Events can be graphically illustrated with Venn diagrams. Figure 2.1 illustrated with Venn diagrams illustrated with Venn diagrams. Figure 2.1 illustrated with Venn diagrams.
Example 2.1. Find B \cup C and A \cap Bc. Solution The event B \cup C contains the outcomes that belong to B. It Page 51 follows that the event A \cap Bc contains the outcomes that belong to B. Therefore Mutually
Exclusive Events There are some events that a never occur together. For example, it is impossible that a coin can be both too long and too short. Events like this are said to be mutually exclusive. Definition
outcomes in common. More generally, a collection of events A1,A2,...,An is said to be mutually exclusive if no two of them have any outcomes in common. The Venn diagram in Figure 2.2 illustrates mutually exclusive events. FIGURE 2.2 The events A and B are mutually exclusive events. FIGURE 2.2 The events A and B are mutually exclusive events.
possible for events A and B both to occur? How about B and C? A and B both occur. It is impossible for A and C both to occur, because these events are mutually exclusive, having no
outcomes in common. Page 52 Probabilities Each event in a sample space has a probability of occurring. Intuitively, the probability is a quantitative measure of how likely the event is to occur. Formally speaking, there are several interpretations of probability; the one we shall adopt is that the probability of an event is to occur. Formally speaking, there are several interpretations of probability; the one we shall adopt is that the probability of an event is to occur. Formally speaking, there are several interpretations of probability of an event in a sample space has a probability of an event in a sample space has a probability of an event in a sample space has a probability of an event in a sample space has a probability of an event in a sample space has a probability of an event in a sample space has a probability of an event in a sample space has a probability of an event in a sample space has a probability of an event in a sample space has a probability of an event in a sample space has a probability of an event in a sample space has a probability of an event in a sample space has a probability of an event in a sample space has a probability of an event in a sample space has a probability of an event in a sample space has a probability of an event in a sample space has a probability of an event in a sample space has a probability of an event in a sample space has a probability of an event in a sample space has a probability of an event in a sample space has a probability of an event in a sample space has a probability of an event in a sample space has a probability of an event in a sample space has a probability of an event in a sample space has a probability of an event in a sample space has a probability of an event in a sample space has a probability of an event in a sample space has a probability of an event in a sample space has a probability of an event in a sample space has a probability of an event in a sample space has a probability of a probability of a probability of a probability of a proba
would occur in the long run, if the experiment were to be repeated over and over again. We often use the letter P to stand for probability that the coin lands heads is equal to 1/2. Summary Given any experiment and any event A: The expression P(A) denotes the
probability that the event A occurs. P(A) is the proportion of times that event A would occur in the long run, if the experiment were to be repeated over and over again. In many situations, the only way to estimate the probability of an event is to repeat the experiment many times and determine the proportion of times that the event occurs. For
example, if it is desired to estimate the probability that a printed circuit board manufactured by a certain process is defective. In some cases, probabilities can be determined through knowledge of the physical nature of an experiment,
For example, if it is known that the shape of a die is nearly a perfect cube and that its mass is distributed nearly uniformly, it may be assumed that each of the six faces is equally likely to land upward when the die is rolled. Once the probabilities of other
events can be computed mathematically. For example, if it has been estimated through experimentation that the probability that a printed circuit board is defective is 0.10, an estimate of the probability that a board is not defective can be calculated to be 0.90. As another example, assume that steel pins manufactured by a certain process can fail to
meet a length specification either by being too short or too long. By measuring a large number of pins, it is estimated that the probability that a pin is too short is 0.02, and the probability that a pin is too short is 0.02, and the probability that a pin is too long is 0.03. It can then be estimated that the probability that a pin fails to meet the specification is 0.05. In practice, scientists and engineers
estimate the probabilities of some events on the basis of scientific understanding and experience and then use mathematical rules to compute estimates of these rules and show how to use them. Axioms of Probability The subject of probability is
based on three commonsense rules, known as axioms. They are: Page 53 The Axioms of Probability 1. Let be a sample space. Then . 2. For any events, then P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B). More generally, if A1,A2, ... are mutually exclusive events, then P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B). With a
little thought, it is easy to see that the experiment is always in the sample space. This is obvious, because by definition the sample space contains all the possible outcomes of the experiment. The second axiom says that the long-run frequency of any event is
always between 0 and 100%. For an example illustrating the third axiom, we previously discussed a process that manufactures steel pins, in which the probability that a pin is too short or too long is 0.02 + 0.03 = 0.05. We
now present two simple rules that are helpful in computing probabilities. These rules are intuitively obvious, and they can also be proved from the axioms. Proofs are provided at the end of the section. For any event A, (2.1) Let Ø denote the empty set. Then (2.2) Equation (2.1) says that the probability that an event does not occur is equal to 1 minus
the probability that it does occur. For example, if there is a 40% chance of rain, there is a 60% chance that it does not rain. Equation (2.2) says that it is impossible for an experiment to have no outcome. Example 2.4 A target on a test firing range consists of a bull's-eye with two concentric rings around it. A projectile is fired at the target. The
```

```
probability that it hits the bull's-eye is 0.10, the probability that it hits the probability that it h
exclusive events, since it is impossible for more than one of these events to occur. Therefore, using Axiom 3, Page 54 We can now compute the probabilities for the number of times that a certain computer system will crash in the course
of a week. Let A be the event that there are more than two crashes during the week, and let B be the event that the system crashes at least once. Find a sample space. Then find P(A) and P(B). Number of Crashes 0 1 2 Probability 0.60 0.30 0.05 3 4 0.04 0.01 Solution A
sample space for the experiment is the set \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}. The events are A = \{3, 4\} and B = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}. To find P(A), notice that A is the event that either 3 crashes happen or 4 crashes happen are mutually exclusive. Therefore, using Axiom 3, we conclude that We will compute P(B) in two
ways. First, note that Bc is the event that 1 crash happen or 2 Page 55 crashes happen or 3 crashes happen or 4 crashes happen or 4 crashes happen or 4 crashes happen or 4 crashes happen or 5 crashes happen or 5 crashes happen or 6 crashes happen or 7 crashes happen or 8 crashes happen or 8 crashes happen or 9 crashes happen
computed the probabilities of the events A = \{3, 4\} and B = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} by summing the probabilities of the outcomes in each of the events: P(A) = P(1) + P(2) + P(3) + P(4) and P(B) = P(1) + P(2) + P(3) + P(4) and P(B) = P(1) + P(3) + P(4) and P(B) = P(4) P(4)
of outcomes can be found by summing the probabilities of the outcomes that make up the event. If A is an event containing outcomes C1,...,On, that is, if A = {O1,...,On}, then (2.3) Sample Spaces with Equally Likely Outcomes For some experiments, a sample space can be constructed in which all the outcomes are equally likely. A simple example is
the roll of a fair die, in which the sample space is {1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6} and each of these outcomes has probability 1/6. Another type of experiment that results in equally likely outcomes is the random selection of an item from a population of items. The items in the population can be thought of as the outcomes in a sample space, and each item is equally
likely to be selected. A population from which an item is sample space contains N equally likely outcomes, the probability of each outcome is 1/N. This is so, because the probability of the whole sample space must be 1, and this probability is equally divided
among the N outcomes. If A is an event that containing N equally likely outcomes, then P(A) can be found by summing the probabilities of the k outcomes, and if A is an event containing N equally likely outcomes, then (2.4) Page 56 Example 2.6 An extrusion die is used to produce aluminum rods
Specifications are given for the length and the diameter of the rods. For each rod, the length is classified as too short, too long, or OK, and the diameter of the rods in each class is as follows: Length Too Short OK Too Long Too Thin 10 38 2 Diameter OK 3 900 25 Too Thick
5 4 13 A rod is sampled at random from this population. What is the probability that it is too short? Solution We can think of each of the 1000 outcomes is equally likely. We'll solve the problem by counting the number of outcomes that correspond to the event. The number of rods that are too
short is 10 + 3 + 5 = 18. Since the total number of rods is 1000, The Addition Rule If A and B are mutually exclusive events, then P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B). This rule can be generalized to cover the case where A and B are mutually exclusive. Example 2.7 Refer to Example 2.6. If a rod is sampled at random,
what is the probability that it is either too short or too thick? Solution First we'll solve this problem by counting the numbers of rods that are too short have rectangles around them. Note that there are 5 rods that are
both too short and too thick. Page 57 Of the 1000 outcomes, the number that are either too short or too thick is 10 + 3 + 5 + 4 + 13 = 35. Therefore Now we will solve the problem in a way that leads to a more general method. In the sample space, there are 10 + 3 + 5 = 18 rods that are too short and 5 + 4 + 13 = 22 rods that are too thick. But if we
try to find the number of rods that are either too short or too thick by adding 18 + 22, we get too large a number (40 instead of 35). The reason is that there are five rods that are either too short and too thick, and these are counted twice. We can still solve the problem by adding 18 and 22, but we must then subtract 5 to correct for the double
counting. We restate this reasoning, using probabilities: The method of Example 2.7 holds for any two events in any sample space. In general, to find the probability that either of two events occurs, add the probabilities of the events and then subtract the probabilities of the events and then subtract the probability that either of two events. Then (2.5) A proof of this
result, based on the axioms, is provided at the end of this section. Note that if A and B are mutually exclusive, then P(A \cap B) = 0, so Equation (2.5) reduces to Axiom 3 in this case. Page 58 Example 2.8 In a process that manufactures aluminum cans, the probability that a can has a flaw on its side is 0.02, the probability that a can has a flaw on the top
is 0.03, and the probability that a can has a flaw on both the side and the top is 0.01. What is the probability that a randomly chosen can has a flaw on top) = 0.03, and P(flaw on side and flaw on top) = 0.01. Now P(flaw) = P(flaw on side or flaw on side or flaw on side)
top). Using Equation (2.5), To find the probability that a can has no flaw, we compute Venn diagrams can sometimes be useful in computing probability that a can has a flaw on the top but
not on the side? Solution Let A be the event that a can has a flaw on the top and let B be the event that a can has a flaw on the side. We need to find P(A \cap Bc). The following Venn diagram (Figure 2.3) shows that P(A \cap Bc) and P(A \cap Bc) are P(A \cap Bc) and P(A \cap Bc) and P(A \cap Bc) and P(A \cap Bc) are P(A \cap Bc) and P(A \cap Bc) and P(A \cap Bc) and P(A \cap Bc) are P(A \cap Bc) and P(A \cap Bc) and P(A \cap Bc) and P(A \cap Bc) are P(A \cap Bc) and P(A \cap Bc) and P(A \cap Bc) are P(A \cap Bc) and P(A \cap Bc) and P(A \cap Bc) are P(A \cap Bc) and P(A \cap Bc) and P(A \cap Bc) are P(A \cap Bc) and P(A \cap Bc) and P(A \cap Bc) are P(A 
\cap Bc) = 0.02. FIGURE 2.3 The events A \cap B and A \cap Bc are mutually exclusive, and their union is the event A. Page 59 Proof that P(Ac) = 1 - P(A). Proof that P(O) = 0.02. FIGURE 2.3 The events A \cap B and A \cap Bc are mutually exclusive, and their union is the event A. Page 59 Proof that P(Ac) = 1 - P(A). Proof that P(O) = 0.02. FIGURE 2.3 The events A \cap B and A \cap Bc are mutually exclusive, and their union is the event A. Page 59 Proof that P(Ac) = 1 - P(A). Proof that P(O) = 0.02. FIGURE 2.3 The events A \cap B and A \cap Bc are mutually exclusive, and their union is the event A. Page 59 Proof that P(Ac) = 1 - P(A). Proof that P(O) = 0.02. FIGURE 2.3 The events A \cap B and A \cap Bc are mutually exclusive, and their union is the event A. Page 59 Proof that P(Ac) = 1 - P(A). Proof that P(O) = 0.02. FIGURE 2.3 The events A \cap B and A \cap Bc are mutually exclusive, and their union is the event A. Page 59 Proof that P(Ac) = 1 - P(A). Proof that P(O) = 0.02. FIGURE 2.3 The events A \cap B and A \cap Bc are mutually exclusive, and their union is the event A. Page 59 Proof that P(Ac) = 1 - P(A). P(Ac) = 1 - P(A
Let be a sample space. Then . Therefore . Proof that P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B) Let A and B be any two events. The key to the proof is to write A \cup B as the union of three mutually exclusive events: A \cap B, and Ac \cap B, and A
\cap B). Therefore (2.8) and (2.9) Summing Equations (2.10) Comparing Equations (2.10) Comparing Equations (2.11) It follows that P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B). Exercises for Section 2.1 1. 2. 3. 4. Page 60 The probability that a bolt meets a strength specification is 0.87. What is the probability that the bolt does not meet the
specification? A die (six faces) has the number 1 painted on three of its faces, the number 2 painted on two of its faces, and the number 3 painted on two of its faces, and the number 2 painted on three of its faces, the number 3 on it were twice as
likely to come up as each of the other five faces, would this change the sample space? Explain. d. If the die were loaded so that the face with the 3 on it were twice as likely to come up as each of the other five faces, would this change the value of P(odd number)? Explain. A section of an exam contains four True-False questions. A completed exam
paper is selected at random, and the four answers are recorded. a. List all 16 outcomes in the sample space. b. Assuming the outcomes to be equally likely, find the probability that exactly one of the four answers is "True." d. Assuming the outcomes to
be equally likely, find the probability that at most one of the four answers is "True." Three times each day, a quality engineer samples a component from a recently manufactured batch and tests it. Each part is classified as conforming (suitable for its intended use), downgraded (unsuitable for the intended purpose but usable for another purpose), or
scrap (not usable). An experiment consists of recording the category. List the outcomes in A. 5. 6. 7. c. Let B be the event that all the parts fall into the same category. List the outcomes in B. d. Let C
be the event that at least two parts are conforming. List the outcomes in A \cap C. i. Are events B and C mutually exclusive? Explain. Four candidates are to be interviewed for a job. Two
of them, numbered 1 and 2, are qualified, and the other two, numbered 3 and 4, are not. The candidates are interviewed will be hired. So one outcome is 2, and another is 431. a. List all the possible outcomes. b. Let A be the
event that only one candidate 3 is interviewed. List the outcomes in A. c. Let B be the event that candidate 3 is interviewed. List the outcomes in D. f. Let E be the event that candidate 4 is
interviewed. Are A and E mutually exclusive? How about B and E, C and E, D and E? Refer to Exercise 5. Two candidates are randomly selected. a. List the equally likely outcomes. b. What is the probability that exactly one is qualified? According to a report by the Agency for Healthcare Research and
Quality, the age distribution for people admitted to a hospital for an asthma-related illness wasasfollows. Age (years) Less than 1 1-17 18-14 45-64 65-84 85 and up 8. Proportion 0.02 0.25 0.19 0.30 0.20 0.04 Page 61 a. What is the probability that an asthma patient is
less than 85 years old? A company audit showed that of all bills that were paid on time, 18% were paid on time, 18% were paid on time, 18% were paid up to 30 days late, and 2% remained unpaid after 90 days. One bill is selected at random. a. What is the probability that the bill was paid on time? b. What is the probability that
the bill was paid late? 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. Among the cast aluminum parts manufactured on a certain day, 80% were flawless, 15% had only minor flaws. Find the probability that a randomly chosen part a. has a flaw (major or minor). b. has no major flaws. Find the probability that a randomly chosen part a. has a flaw (major or minor). b. has no major flaws. Find the probability that a randomly chosen part a. has a flaw (major or minor). b. has no major flaws. Find the probability that a randomly chosen part a. has a flaw (major or minor). b. has no major flaws. Find the probability that a randomly chosen part a. has a flaw (major or minor). b. has no major flaws. Find the probability that a randomly chosen part a. has a flaw (major or minor). b. has no major flaws. Find the probability that a randomly chosen part a. has a flaw (major or minor). b. has no major flaws. Find the probability that a randomly chosen part a. has a flaw (major or minor). b. has no major flaws. Find the probability that a randomly chosen part a. has a flaw (major or minor). b. has no major flaws. Find the probability that a randomly chosen part a. has a flaw (major or minor). b. has no major flaws. Find the probability that a randomly chosen part a. has a flaw (major or minor). b. has no major flaws. Find the probability that a randomly chosen part a. has a flaw (major or minor).
Smokers and Nonsmokers" (P. Brennan, et al. American Journal of Epidemiology, 2006:1233-1241) states that the probability is 0.24 that a man who are heavy smokers, exactly 24 of them will contract lung cancer. b. In a sample of 100 men who are heavy
smokers, the number who will contract lung cancer is likely to be close to 24, but not exactly equal to 24. c. As more and more heavy-smoking men are sampled, the proportion who contract lung cancer will approach 0.24. Resistors manufactured by a certain process are labeled as having a resistance of 5 Ω. Asample of 100 resistors is drawn, and 87 men are sampled, the proportion who contract lung cancer will approach 0.24. Resistors manufactured by a certain process are labeled as having a resistance of 5 Ω. Asample of 100 resistors is drawn, and 87 men are sampled, the proportion who contract lung cancer will approach 0.24. Resistors manufactured by a certain process are labeled as having a resistance of 5 Ω. Asample of 100 resistors is drawn, and 87 men are sampled, the proportion who contract lung cancer will approach 0.24. Resistors manufactured by a certain process are labeled as having a resistance of 5 Ω. Asample of 100 resistors is drawn, and 87 men are sampled, the proportion who contract lung cancer will approach 0.24. Resistors manufactured by a certain process are labeled as having a resistance of 5 Ω. Asample of 100 resistors is drawn, and 87 men are sampled, the proportion who contract lung cancer will approach 0.24. Resistors manufactured by a certain process are labeled as having a resistance of 100 men are sampled.
of them have resistances between 4.9 and 5.1 Ω. Trueorfalse: a. The probability that a resistor has a resistance between 4.9 and 5.1 Ω is likely to be close to 0.87, but not exactly equal to 0.87. Let V be the event that a computer contains a virus, and let W be the event that
a computer contains a worm. Suppose P(V) = 0.15, P(W) = 0.05, and P(V \cup W) = 0.17. a. Find the probability that the computer contains both a virus and a worm. b. Find the probability that the computer contains a virus but not a worm. Let S be the event that a randomly
selected college student has taken a statistics course, and let C be the event that the same student has taken neither statistics nor chemistry, or both. b. Find the probability that a student has taken neither statistics nor chemistry. c. Find the
probability that a student has taken statistics but not chemistry. Six hundred paving stones were examined for cracks, and 15 were found to be cracked. The same 600 stones were then examined for discoloration, and 27 were found to be cracked. The same 600 stones were then examined for discoloration, and 27 were found to be cracked.
random. a. Find the probability that it is cracked but not discolored. c. Find the probability that it is cracked but not discolored. c. Find the probability that it is cracked but not discolored. c. Find the probability that it is cracked but not discolored. C. Find the probability that it is both cracked but not discolored. C. Find the probability that it is cracked but not discolored. C. Find the probability that it is cracked but not discolored. C. Find the probability that it is cracked but not discolored. C. Find the probability that it is cracked but not discolored. C. Find the probability that it is cracked but not discolored. C. Find the probability that it is cracked but not discolored. C. Find the probability that it is cracked but not discolored. C. Find the probability that it is cracked but not discolored. C. Find the probability that it is cracked but not discolored. C. Find the probability that it is cracked but not discolored. C. Find the probability that it is cracked but not discolored. C. Find the probability that it is cracked but not discolored. C. Find the probability that it is cracked but not discolored. C. Find the probability that it is cracked but not discolored. C. Find the probability that it is cracked but not discolored. C. Find the probability that it is cracked but not discolored. C. Find the probability that it is cracked but not discolored. C. Find the probability that it is cracked but not discolored. C. Find the probability that it is cracked but not discolored. C. Find the probability that it is cracked but not discolored. C. Find the probability that it is cracked but not discolored. C. Find the probability that it is cracked but not discolored. C. Find the probability that it is cracked but not discolored. C. Find the probability that it is cracked but not discolored. C. Find the probability that it is cracked but not discolored. C. Find the probability that it is cracked but not discolored. C. Find the probability that it is cracked but not discolored. C. Find the proba
found to be proficient in mathematics, and 65% were found to be proficient in both reading and mathematics but not in reading? What is the probability that the student is proficient in mathematics? What is the probability that the student is proficient in mathematics but not in mathematics? What is the probability that the student is proficient in mathematics and 65% were found to be proficient in mathematics but not in mathematics. A student is proficient in mathematics but not in mathematics are not in mathematics.
that the student is proficient in neither reading nor mathematics? Page 62 16. A system contains two components, A and B. The system will function is 0.90, and the probability that both function is 0.88. What is the probability that the system
functions? 17. A system contains two components, A and B. The system will function only if both components functions is 0.95, and the probability that A functions is 0.99. What is the probability that the system functions? 18. Human blood may contain either or both of
two antigens, A and B. Blood that contains only the A antigen is called type A, blood that contains only the B antigen is called type B, blood that contains both antigen is called type A, blood that contains neither antigen is called type B, and 5% have type AB.
a. What is the probability that a randomly chosen blood donor is type O? b. A recipient with type A blood from a donor whose blood donor may donate to a recipient with type A blood? 19. True or false: If A and B are mutually exclusive, a. P(A
\cup B) = 0 b. P(A \cap B) = 0 c. P(A \cup B) = P(A \cap B) d. P(A \cap
event that exactly one bolt is not torqued correctly, and let D be the event that bolts #5 and B b. B and D c. C and D d. B and C 2.2 Counting Methods When computing probabilities, it is sometimes necessary to determine the number of
outcomes in a sample space. In this section we will describe several methods for doing this. The basic rule, which we will call the fundamental principle of counting, is presented by means of Example 2.10. Example 2.10 A certain make of automobile is available in any of three colors: red, blue, or green, and comes with either a large or small engine
In how many ways can a buyer choose a car? Solution There are three choices of engine. A complete list of choices is written in the following 3 \times 2 table. The total number of choices is (3)(2) = 6. Red Blue Green, Large Red, Blue, Green, Small Small
if there are n1 choices of color and n2 choices of color and n2 choices of Page 63 engine, a complete list of choices can be written in an n1×n2 table, so the total number of choices is If an operation can be performed in n2 ways, then the total number of ways to perform the two operations is n1
n2. The fundamental principle of counting states that this reasoning can be extended to any number of operations. The Fundamental Principle of Counting Assume that k operation, and if for each of these ways there are n2 ways to perform the second operation, and if for each
choice of ways to perform the first two operations there are n3 ways to perform the third operations is n1n2 ··· nk. Example 2.11 When ordering a certain type of computer, there are 3 choices of hard drive, 4 choices of hard drive, 4 choices of video card,
and 3 choices of monitor. In how many ways can a computer be ordered? Solution The total number of ways to order a computer is (3)(4)(2)(3) = 72. Permutations of the letters A, B, C: ABC, ACB, BAC, BCA, CAB, and CBA. With only three objects, it is easy
to determine the number of permutations just by listing them all. But with a large number of objects this would not be feasible. The fundamental principle of counting can be used to determine the number of permutations of a set of three objects as follows. There are 3
choices for the object to place first. After that choice is made, there are 2 choices remaining for the object to place left for the object to place left for the object to place left for the object to place second. Then there is 1 choice left for the object to place lest. Therefore, the total number of ways to order three objects is (3)(2)(1) = 6. This reasoning can be generalized. The number of permutations of a collection of n objects is This
is the product of the integers from 1 to n. This product can be written with the symbol n!, read "n factorial." Page 64 Definition For any positive integer n, n! = n(n-1)(n-2) \cdots (3)(2)(1). Also, we define 0! = 1. The number of permutations of n objects is n!. Example n of n objects is n!. Example n of n objects is n. Example n of n objects is n.
they be arranged? Solution The number of permutations of a collection of five people is 5! = (5)(4)(3)(2)(1) = 120. Sometimes we are interested in counting the number of permutations of a collection of five people is 5! = (5)(4)(3)(2)(1) = 120. Sometimes we are interested in counting the number of permutations of a collection of five people is 5! = (5)(4)(3)(2)(1) = 120. Sometimes we are interested in counting the number of permutations of a collection of five people is 5! = (5)(4)(3)(2)(1) = 120.
There are three lifeguard stations. In how many ways can three lifeguard to occupy the first station, then 4 ways to choose a lifeguard to occupy the second station, and finally 3 ways to choose a lifeguard to
occupy the third station. The total number of permutations of three lifeguards chosen from 5 is therefore (5)(4)(3) = 60. The reasoning used to solve Example 2.13 can be generalized. The number of permutations of k objects chosen from 5 is therefore (5)(4)(3) = 60. The reasoning used to solve Example 2.13 can be generalized.
of permutations of k objects chosen from a group of n objects is Combinations In some cases, when choosing a set of objects from a larger set, we don't care which lifeguard occupies which station; we might care only which three lifeguards
are chosen. Each distinct group of objects that can be selected, without regard to order, is called a combination. We will illustrate the reasoning with the result of Example 2.13. In that example, we showed that there are 60 permutations of
three objects chosen from five. Denoting the objects A, B, C, D, E, Figure 2.4 are arranged in 10 columns of 6 permutations of three objects chosen from five. The 60 permutations in Figure 2.4 are arranged in 10 columns of 6 permutations of three objects are the same, and the column contains the
six different permutations of those three objects. Therefore, each column represents a distinct combination of three objects chosen from five can be found by dividing the number of permutations of three objects chosen from five, and there are 10 such combinations of three objects chosen from five can be found by dividing the number of permutations of three objects chosen from five can be found by dividing the number of permutations of three objects chosen from five can be found by dividing the number of permutations of three objects chosen from five can be found by dividing the number of permutations of three objects chosen from five can be found by dividing the number of permutations of three objects chosen from five can be found by dividing the number of permutations of three objects chosen from five can be found by dividing the number of permutations of three objects chosen from five can be found by dividing the number of permutations of three objects chosen from five can be found by dividing the number of permutations of three objects chosen from five can be found by dividing the number of permutations of three objects chosen from five can be found by dividing the number of permutations of three objects chosen from five can be found by dividing the number of permutations of three objects chosen from five can be found by dividing the number of permutations of three objects chosen from five can be found by dividing the number of permutations of three objects chosen from five can be found by dividing the number of permutations of three objects chosen from five can be found by dividing the number of permutations of three objects chosen from five can be found by dividing the number of permutations of three objects chosen from five can be found by dividing the number of permutations of three objects chosen from five can be found by dividing the number of permutations of three objects chosen from five chosen from 
five, which is 5!/(5-3)!, by the number of combinations of three objects chosen from n is often denoted by the symbol. The reasoning used to derive the number of combinations of three objects chosen from five can be
generalized to derive an expression for . Page 66 Summary The number of combinations of k objects chosen at random to receive door prizes are all the same, so the order in which the people are chosen does not matter. How many
different groups of five people can be chosen? Solution Since the order of the five chosen people does not matter, we need to compute the number of combinations of 5 chosen from 30. This is Choosing a combination of k objects from a set of n divides the n objects into two subsets: the k that were chosen and the n - k that were not chosen.
Sometimes a set is to be divided up into more than two subsets. For example, assume that in a class of 12 students, a project is assigned in which the groups are to be formed as follows. We consider
the process of dividing the class into three group of 5. The second operation is to select a combination of 5 students from the remaining 7 to make up the group of 4. The group of 3 will then automatically consist of the 3 students who are
left. The number of ways to perform the first operation is After the first operation is The total number of ways to perform the sequence of two operations is therefore Notice that the number of ways to perform the first operation is The total number of ways to perform the sequence of two operations is therefore Notice that the number of ways to perform the sequence of two operations is therefore Notice that the number of ways to perform the sequence of two operations is the first operation is a first operation in the first operation is the first operation in the first operation is a first operation in the first operation in the first operation is a first operation in the first operation in the first operation is a first operation in the first opera
denominator is the product of the factorials of the groups chosen from it. This holds in general. Summary The number of ways of dividing a group of n objects into groups of k1,...,kr objects, where k1 + \cdots + kr = n, is (2.13) Example 2.15 Twenty lightbulbs are to be arranged on a string. Six of the bulbs are red, four are blue, seven are
green, and three are white. In how many ways can the bulbs be arranged? Solution There are 20 sockets on the string. They must be divided into four groups of the specified sizes is When a sample space consists of equally likely outcomes, the
probability of an event can be found by dividing the number of outcomes in the event by the total number of outcomes in the sample space. Counting rules can sometimes be used to compute these numbers, as the following example illustrates: Example 2.16 A box of bolts, 5 medium bolts, and 3 thin bolts. A box of nuts contains 6
that fit the thick bolts, 4 that fit the medium bolts, and 2 that fit the medium bolts, and 2 that fit the bolt corresponds to the set of all pairs of nuts and bolts, and each pair is equally likely to be chosen. The event that the nut fits the bolt corresponds to the set of all
matching pairs of nuts and bolts. Therefore The number of pairs of thick nuts Page 68 and bolts, the number of pairs of thin nuts and bolts. Therefore The number of pairs of thin nuts and bolts. Therefore The number of pairs of thin nuts and bolts. Therefore Exercises
for Section 2.2 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. DNA molecules consist of chemically linked sequences of the bases adenine, guanine, cytosine, and thymine, denoted A, G, C, and T. A sequence of three basesiscalled codon. A base may appear more than once in a codon, a. How many different codons are there? b. The bases A and G are purines, while C and T are
pyrimidines. How many codons are there whose first and third bases are purines and whose second base is a pyrimidine? c. How many codons consist of three different bases? A metallurgist is designing an experiment to determine the effect of flux, base metal, and energy input on the hardness of a weld. She wants to study four different fluxes, two
different base metals, and three different amounts of energy input. If each run of the experiment involves a choice of one flux, one base metal, and one amount of energy input, how many different runs are possible? The article "Improved Bioequivalence Assessment of Topical Dermatological Drug Products Using Dermatopharmacokinetics" (B. N'Dri-
Stempfer, W. Navidi, et al., Pharmaceutical Research, 2009:316-328) describes a study in which a new type of ointment was applied to forearm were designated for oint was applied to forea
horse racing, one can make a trifecta bet by specifying which horse will come in first, which will come in first, second, and third, without specifying the order. a. In an eight-horse field, how many different ways can
one make a trifecta bet? b. In an eight-horse field, how many different ways can one make a box trifecta bet? A college math department head, and a faculty senate representative. In how many ways can this be done? A test consists of 15 guestions. Ten are
true-false questions, and five are multiple-choice questions that have four choices each. A student must select an answer for each question. In how many different license plates can be made? b. How many license plates are there that
contain neither the letter "Q" nor the digit "9"? c. A license plate is drawn at random. What is the probability that it contains neither the letter "Q" nor the digit "9"? Page 69 A computer password consists of eight characters. a. How many different
What is the probability that they match? 12. A drawer contains 6 red socks, 4 green socks, and 2 black socks. Two socks are chosen at random. What is the probability that they match? 9. 2.3 Conditional Probability and Independence A sample space contains all the possible outcomes of an experiment. Sometimes we obtain some additional
information about an experiment that tells us that the outcome comes from a certain part of the sample space. A probability that is based on a part of a sample space is called a conditional probability. We explore this idea through some examples. In
Example 2.6 (in Section 2.1) we discussed a population of 1000 aluminum rods. For each rod, the length is classified as too short, too long, or OK, and the diameter is classified as too short, too long, or OK, and the diameter is classified as too short, too long, or OK, and the diameter is classified as too short, too long, or OK, and the diameter is classified as too short, too long, or OK, and the diameter is classified as too short, too long, or OK, and the diameter is classified as too short, too long, or OK, and the diameter is classified as too short, too long, or OK, and the diameter is classified as too short, too long, or OK, and the diameter is classified as too short, too long, or OK, and the diameter is classified as too short, too long, or OK, and the diameter is classified as too short, too long, or OK, and the diameter is classified as too short, too long, or OK, and the diameter is classified as too short, too long, or OK, and the diameter is classified as too short, too long, or OK, and the diameter is classified as too short, too long, or OK, and the diameter is classified as too short, too long, or OK, and the diameter is classified as too short, too long, or OK, and the diameter is classified as too short, too long, or OK, and the diameter is classified as too short, too long, or OK, and the diameter is classified as too short, too long, or OK, and the diameter is classified as too short, too long, or OK, and the diameter is classified as too short, too long, or OK, and the diameter is classified as too short, too long, or OK, and the diameter is classified as too short, too long, or OK, and the diameter is classified as too short, too long, or OK, and the diameter is classified as too short, too long, or OK, and the diameter is classified as too short, too long, or OK, and the diameter is classified as too short, too long, or OK, and the diameter is classified as too short, too long, or OK, and the diameter is classified as too short, too long, or OK, and the diameter is classified as too 
2.1. Of the 1000 rods, 928 meet the diameter specification. Therefore, if a rod is sampled, P(diameter OK) = 928/1000 = 0.928. This probability, since it is based on the entire sample space. Now assume that a rod is sampled, and its length is measured and found to meet the specification. What is the probability
that the diameter also meets the specification? The key to computing this probability is to realize that knowledge that the length meets the specification is met, we know that the rod will be one of the 942 rods in
the sample space presented in Table 2.2. TABLE 2.1 Length Too Short OK Too Long Sample space containing 1000 aluminum rods Too Thin 10 38 2 Diameter OK 3 900 25 Too Thick 5 4 13 Page 70 TABLE 2.2 Reduced sample space containing 942 aluminum rods that meet the length specification Length Too Short OK Too Long Too Thin — 38 —
Diameter OK — 900 — Too Thick — 4 — Of the 942 rods in this sample space, 900 of them meet the diameter specification. Therefore, if we know that the rod meets the diameter specification is 900/942. We say that the conditional probability that the rod meets the diameter specification.
given that it meets the length specification is equal to 900/942, and we write P(diameter OK) = 900/942 = 0.955. Note that the conditional probability P(diameter OK) = 900/942 = 0.955. Note that the conditional probability P(diameter OK) = 900/942 = 0.955. Note that the conditional probability P(diameter OK) = 900/942 = 0.955. Note that the conditional probability P(diameter OK) = 900/942 = 0.955. Note that the conditional probability P(diameter OK) = 900/942 = 0.955. Note that the conditional probability P(diameter OK) = 900/942 = 0.955.
conditional probability P(diameter OK | length too long). Is this the same as the unconditional probability P(diameter OK)? Solution The conditional probability P(diameter OK | length too long) is computed under the assumption that the rod is too long. This reduces the sample space to the 40 items indicated in boldface in the following table. Length
Too Short OK Too Long Too Thin 10 38 2 Diameter OK 3 900 25 Too Thick 5 4 13 Of the 40 outcomes, 25 meet the diameter specification. Therefore The unconditional probability P(diameter OK) is computed on the basis of all 1000 outcomes, 25 meet the diameter Specification. Therefore The unconditional probability D(diameter OK) is computed on the basis of all 1000 outcomes, 25 meet the diameter Specification.
unconditional probability. Let's look at the solution to Example 2.17 more closely. We found that In the answer 25/40, the denominator, 40, represents the number of Page 71 outcomes that satisfy both the condition that the rod is too long
and that its diameter is OK. If we divide both the numerator and denominator of this answer by the number of outcomes in the full sample space, which is 1000, we obtain Now 40/1000 represents the probability of satisfying both the condition
that the rod is too long and that the diameter is OK. That is, We can now express the conditional probability as This reasoning can be extended to construct a definition of conditional probability of A given B is (2.14) Figure 2.5 presents Venn
diagrams to illustrate the idea of conditional probability. FIGURE 2.5 (a) The diagram represents the unconditional probability P(A). P(A) is illustrated by considering the event A in proportion to the entire sample space, which is represented by the rectangle.
occur, the event B now becomes the sample space. For the event A to occur, the outcome must be in the intersection A \cap B in proportion to the entire event B. Page 72 Example 2.18 Refer to Example 2.18 Refer to Example 2.10. What is the probability that a can
will have a flaw on the side, given that it has a flaw on top? Solution We are given that thas a flaw on top) = 0.01. Using Equation (2.14), Example 2.8 (in Section 2.1). What is the probability that a can will have a flaw on the top, given that it has a flaw on the side? Solution We are given
that P(flaw on side) = 0.02, and P(flaw on side and flaw on top) = 0.01. Using Equation (2.14), The results of Examples 2.18 and 2.19 show that in most cases, P(A|B) \neq P(B|A). Independent Events Sometimes the knowledge that one event has occurred does not change the probability that another event occurs. In this case the conditional and
unconditional probabilities are the same, and the events are said to be independent. We present an example 2.20 If an aluminum rod is sampled from the sample from the sample space presented in Table 2.1, find P(too long) and P(too long) a
probability are the same. The information that the rod is too long. Example 2.20 shows that knowledge that an event occurs sometimes does not change the probability that another event occurs. In these cases, the two events are said to be independent. The event that a rod is too long and the
event that a rod is too thin are independent. We now give a more precise definition of the term, both in words and B are independent if (2.15) If either P(A) = 0 and P(B) \neq 0, then A and B are independent if (2.15) If either P(A) = 0
or P(B) = 0, then A and B are independent. If A and B are independent. If A and B are independent are independent are independent if the probability of each
remains the same no matter which of the others occur. In symbols: Events A1,A2,...,An are independent if for each Ai, and each collection Aj1, ...,Ajm of events with P(A|B) and we wish to find P(A \cap B). We can obtain a result that is useful for this purpose by multiplying
both sides of Equation (2.14) by P(B). This leads to the multiplication rule. If A and B are two events with P(A) \neq 0, then (2.17) If A and B are two events are independent, then P(A|B) = P(A) and P(B|A) = P(B), so the multiplication rule
simplifies: If A and B are independent events, then (2.19) This result can be extended to any number of events. If A1,A2,...,An are independent events, then for each collection Aj1,...,Ajm of events (2.20) In particular, (2.21) Example 2.21 A vehicle contains two engines, a main engine and a backup. The engine component fails only if both engines fail
The probability that the main engine fails is 0.05, and the probability that the engine fails is 0.10. Assume that the engine component fails? Solution The probability that the engine component fails is the probability that both engines fail. Therefore Since the
engines function independently, we may use Equation (2.19): Example Page 75 2.22 A system contains two components must function independently. What is the
probability that the system functions? Solution The probability that the system functions is the probability that both components function. Therefore Since the components function independently, Example 2.23 Tests are performed in which structures consisting of concrete columns welded to steel beams are loaded until failure. Eighty percent of the
failures occur in the beam, while 20% occur in the weld. Five structures are tested. What is the probability that all five failure occurs in the beam? Solution For i = 1,..., 5, let Ai denote the event that the ith failure occurs in the beam? Solution The
easiest way to solve the problem is to notice that Now, letting Di denote the event that the ith failure is a weld failure, Page 76 Therefore P(at least one beam failure) = 1 - 0.0003 = 0.9997. Equations (2.19) and (2.20) tell us how to compute probabilities when we know that events are independent, but they are usually not much help when it comes to
deciding whether two events really are independent. In most cases, the best way to determine whether events are independent is through an understanding of the process that produces the events. Here are a few illustrations:
first roll. Therefore, knowing the outcome of the first roll does not help to predict the outcome of the second roll. The two rolls are independent. A certain chemical reaction will not affect the yield of the other. In this case the yields are
independent. 

A chemical reaction is run twice in succession, using the same equipment. In this case, it might not be wise to assume that there is more residue than usual left behind. This might tend to make the yield on the next run higher. Thus knowing the
yield on the first run could help to predict the yield on the second run. The items in a simple random sample may be treated as independence in Section 1.1). The Law of Total Probability The law of total probability is
illustrated in Figure 2.6. A sample space contains the events A1,A2,A3, and A4. These events are mutually exclusive, since no two overlap. They are also exhaustive, which means that their union covers the whole sample space. Each outcome in the sample space belongs to one and only one of the events A1,A2,A3,A4. The event B can be any event. In
Figure 2.6, each of the events A1 \cap B, A2 \cap B, A3 \cap B, and A4 \cap B. It is clear from Figure 2.6 that the events A1 \cap B, A2 \cap B, A3 \cap B, A4 \cap B. It follows that Page 77
FIGURE 2.6 The mutually exclusive events A1,A2,A3,A4 divide the event B into mutually exclusive events. Therefore, by Axiom 3 on page 53, we have Since P(Ai \cap B) = P(B|Ai)P(Ai), (2.22) Equation (2.22) is a special case of the law of total probability, restricted to the case where there are
four mutually exclusive and exhaustive events. The intuition behind the law of total probability is quite simple. The event B into pieces. We could redraw Figure 2.6 to have any number of events Ai. This leads to the general case of the law of total
probability. Law of Total Probability If A1,...,An are mutually exclusive and exhaustive events, and B is any event, then (2.23) Equivalently, if P(Ai) \neq 0 for each Ai, (2.24) Example 2.25 Customers who purchase a certain make of car can order an engine in any of three sizes. Of all cars sold, 45% have the smallest engine, 35% have the medium-sized
one, and 20% have the largest. Of cars with the smallest engine, 10% fail an emissions test within two years? Page 78 Solution Let B denote the event that a
car fails an emissions test within two years. Let A1 denote the event that a car has a small engine, A2 the event that a car has a medium-size engine, and A3 the event that a car has a medium-size engine, and A3 the event that a car has a medium-size engine, and A3 the event that a car has a medium-size engine, and A3 the event that a car has a medium-size engine, and A3 the event that a car has a medium-size engine, and A3 the event that a car has a medium-size engine, and A3 the event that a car has a medium-size engine, and A3 the event that a car has a medium-size engine, and A3 the event that a car has a medium-size engine, and A3 the event that a car has a medium-size engine, and A3 the event that a car has a medium-size engine, and A3 the event that a car has a medium-size engine, and A3 the event that a car has a medium-size engine, and A3 the event that a car has a medium-size engine, and A3 the event that a car has a medium-size engine, and A3 the event that a car has a medium-size engine, and A3 the event that a car has a medium-size engine, and A3 the event that a car has a medium-size engine, and A3 the event that a car has a medium-size engine, and A3 the event that a car has a medium-size engine, and A3 the event that a car has a medium-size engine, and A3 the event that a car has a medium-size engine, and A3 the event that a car has a medium-size engine, and A3 the event that a car has a medium-size engine, and A3 the event that a car has a medium-size engine, and A3 the event that a car has a medium-size engine, and A3 the event that a car has a medium-size engine, and A3 the event that a car has a medium-size engine, and A3 the event that a car has a medium-size engine, and A3 the event that a car has a medium-size engine, and A3 the event that a car has a medium-size engine engi
0.15. By the law of total probability (Equation 2.24), Sometimes problems like Example 2.25 are solved with the use of tree diagrams. Figure 2.7 presents a tree diagram for Example 2.25. There are three primary branches on the tree, corresponding to the three engine sizes. The probabilities of the engine sizes are listed on their respective branches
At the end of each primary branch are two secondary branches, representing the events of failure and no Page 79 failure, given engine size, are listed on the secondary branches corresponding to the event B = fail, we obtain the probabilities P(B|Ai)P(Ai)P(Ai)
Summing these probabilities yields P(B), as desired. FIGURE 2.7 Tree diagram for the solution to Example 2.25. Bayes' Rule If A and B are two events, we have seen that in most cases P(A|B) \neq P(B|A). Bayes' rule provides a formula that allows us to calculate one of the conditional probabilities if we know the other one. To see how it works, assume
that we know P(B|A) and we wish to calculate P(A|B). Start with the definition of conditional probability (Equation (2.14): Now use Equation (2.15) is essentially Bayes' rule. When Bayes' rule is written, the expression P(B|A) for P(A\cap B): (2.25) Equation (2.25) is essentially Bayes' rule.
collection A1,...,An of mutually exclusive and exhaustive events and using the law of total probability to replace P(B) with the expression on the right-hand side of Equation (2.24). Bayes' Rule Special Case: Let A1,...,An be mutually exclusive and exhaustive events with P(A) \neq 0, P(Ac) \neq 0, and P(B) \neq 0. Then (2.27) General Case: Let A1,...,An be mutually exclusive and exhaustive events with P(A) \neq 0, P(Ac) \neq 0, and P(B) \neq 0. Then (2.27) General Case: Let A1,...,An be mutually exclusive and exhaustive events with P(A) \neq 0, P(Ac) \neq 0, and P(B) \neq 0. Then (2.27) General Case: Let A1,...,An be mutually exclusive and exhaustive events with P(A) \neq 0, P(Ac) \neq 0, and P(B) \neq 0. Then (2.27) General Case: Let A1,...,An be mutually exclusive and exhaustive events with P(A) \neq 0, P(Ac) \neq 0, and P(B) \neq 0. Then (2.27) General Case: Let A1,...,An be mutually exclusive and exhaustive events with P(A) \neq 0, P(Ac) \neq 0, and P(B) \neq 0. Then (2.27) General Case: Let A1,...,An be mutually exclusive and exhaustive events with P(A) \neq 0, and P(B) \neq 0. Then (2.27) General Case: Let A1,...,An be mutually exclusive and exhaustive events with P(A) \neq 0, and P(B) \neq 0. Then (2.28) General Case: Let A1,...,An be mutually exclusive and exhaustive events with P(A) \neq 0, and P(B) \neq 0.
with P(A) \neq 0 for each Ai. Let B be any event with P(B) \neq 0. Then (2.28) Example 2.26 shows how Bayes' rule can be used to discover an important and surprising result in the field of medical testing. Page 80 Example 2.26 The proportion of people in a given community who have a certain disease is 0.005. A test is available to diagnose the disease. If a
person has the disease, the probability that the test will produce a positive signal is 0.99. If a person does not have the disease, the probability that the test will produce a positive signal is 0.91. If a person actually has the
disease, and let + represent the event that the test gives a positive signal. We wish to find P(D|+). We are given the following probabilities: Using Bayes' rule (Equation 2.27), In Example 2.26, only about a third of the people who test positive for the disease actually have the disease. Note that the test gives a positive signal. We wish to find P(D|+).
both diseased and nondiseased individuals. The reason that a large proportion of those who test positive are actually disease is rare—only 0.5% of the population has it. Because many diseases are rare, it is the case for many medical tests that most positives are false positives, even when the test is fairly accurate. For this
reason, when a test comes out positive, a second test is usually given before a firm diagnosis is made. Example 2.27 Refer to Example 2.25. A record for a failed emissions test is chosen at random. What is the probability that it is for a car with a small engine? Solution Let B denote the event that a car failed an emissions test. Let A1 denote the event
that a car has a small engine, A2 the event that a car has a medium-size engine, and A3 the event that a car has a large engine. We wish to find P(A1|B). The following probabilities are given in Example 2.25: By Bayes' rule, Page 81 Application to Reliability Analysis Reliability analysis is the branch of engineering concerned with estimating the failure
rates of systems. While some problems in reliability analysis require advanced mathematical methods, there are many problems that can be solved with the methods we have learned so far. We begin with an example 2.28 A system
contains two components, A and B, connected in series as shown in the following diagram. The system will function only if both components functions is given by P(B) = 0.95. Assume that A and B function independently. Find the probability that the system
functions. Solution Since the system will function only if both components function, it follows that Example 2.29 illustrates the components connected in parallel as shown in the following diagram. Page 82 The
complex systems can often be determined by decomposing the system into a series of subsystems, each of which contains components connected either in series or in parallel. Example 2.30 illustrates the method. Example 2.30 The thesis "Dynamic, Single-stage, Multiperiod, Capacitated Production Sequencing Problem with Multiple Parallel
Resources" (D. Ott, M.S. thesis, Colorado School of Mines, 1998) describes a production method used in the manufacture of aluminum cans. The following schematic diagram, slightly simplified, depicts the process known as "cupping,
these sheets are uncoiled and shaped into can bodies, which are cylinders that are closed on the bottom and open on top. These can bodies are then washed and sent to the printer, which prints the label on the bottom and open on top. These can bodies are then washed and sent to the printers on a line; the diagram presents a line with three printers. The printer deposits the cans onto
pallets, which are wooden structures that hold 7140 cans each. The cans must go to be filled. Some fill lines can accept Page 83 them only from the pallets, but others can accept Page 83 them only from the pallets to cell
bins, in a process called depalletizing. In practice there are several fill lines; the diagram presents a case where there are two fill lines, one of which will not. In the filling process the cans are filled, and the can top is seamed on. The cans are then packaged and shipped to distributors. It is
desired to estimate the probability that the process will function for one day without failing. Assume that the cupping process has probability one day. Since this component is denoted by "A" in the diagram, we will express this probability one day. Since this component is denoted by "A" in the diagram, we will express this probability one day.
following probabilities of functioning successfully during a one-day period: P(B) = 0.99, P(C) = P(D) = 0.90, P(F) = 0.9
broken down into subsystems, each of which consists of the cupping and washing component systems. Specifically, subsystem 2 consists of the printers, which are connected in parallel. Subsystem 3 consists of the fill lines, which are connected in parallel,
Therefore, Page 84 for the process to function, all three subsystems must function. We conclude that the assumption is not met, it can be very difficult to make accurate reliability estimates. If the assumption
of independence is used without justification, reliability estimates may be misleading. Exercises for Section 2.3 1. 2. 3. 4. Let A and B be events with P(A) = 0.5 and P(A \cap B) = 0.4. For what value of P(B) will A and B be independent? A box
contains 15 resistors. Ten of them are labeled 50 \Omega and the other five are labeled 100 \Omega. a. What is the probability that the first resistor is 50 \Omega? c. What is the probability that the second resistor is 100 \Omega, given that the first resistor is 100 \Omega? Refer to
Exercise 3. Resistors are randomly selected from the box, one by one, until a 100 Ω 5. 6. 7. 8. resistor is selected from the box? C. What is the probability that the first two resistors are both 50 Ω? b. What is the probability that a total of two resistors are selected from the box? Or
graduation day at a large university, one graduate is selected at random. Let A represent the event that the student is an engineering major, and let B represent the event that the student took a calculus course in college. Which probability is greater, P(A|B) or P(B|A)? Explain. The article "Integrating Risk Assessment and Life Cycle Assessment: A
Case Study of Insulation" (Y. Nishioka, J. Levy, et al., Risk Analysis, 2002: 1003-1017) estimates that 5.6% of a certain population has asthma attack on a given day. A person is chosen at random from this population. What is the probability that this person has an asthma attack on
that day? Suppose that 90% of bolts and 85% of nails meet specifications? one bolt and one nail are chosen independently. a. What is the probability that at least one of them meets specifications? A drag racer has two parachutes, a
main and a backup, that are designed to bring the vehicle to a stop after the end of a run. Suppose that the main chute deploys with probability 0.98. a. What is the probability 0.98. a. What is the probability that one of the two parachutes deploys? Page 85 b. What is the probability that the backup
parachute deploys? 9. At a certain car dealership, 20% of customers who bought a new vehicle bought an SUV, and 3% of them bought a black SUV. Given that a customer bought an SUV, what is the probability that it was black? 10. At a certain college, 30% of the students major in engineering, 20% play club sports, and 10% both major in
engineering and play club sports. A student is selected at random. a. What is the probability that the student is majoring in engineering, what is the probability that the student plays club sports? c. Given that the student plays club sports? c. Given that the student is majoring in engineering, what is the probability that the student plays club sports?
                                 that the student is majoring in engineering? e. Given that the student is majoring in engineering? e. Given that the student is majoring in engineering? 11. In the process of producing engine valves, the
valves are subjected to a first grind. Valves whose thicknesses are below the specification are reground, while those whose thicknesses are below the specification are reground, while those whose thicknesses are below the specification are reground, while those whose thicknesses are below the specification are ready for installation. Those valves whose thicknesses are below the specification are reground, while those whose thicknesses are below the specification are reground, while those whose thicknesses are below the specification are reground, while those whose thicknesses are below the specification are ready for installation.
specification, 20% are reground, and 10% are scrapped. a. Find the probability that a valve is ground only once. b. Given that a valve is not reground, what is the probability that it is scrapped? c. Find the probability that a valve is
scrapped. d. Given that a valve is scrapped, what is the probability that it was ground twice? e. Find the probability that it was ground twice? g. Given that a valve meets the specification (after either the first or second grind), what is the probability that it was ground twice? g. Given that a valve meets the specification (after either the first or second grind), what is the probability that it was ground twice? g. Given that a valve meets the specification (after either the first or second grind).
the specification, what is the probability that it was ground only once? Sarah and Thomas are going bowling. The probability that Sarah scores more than 175 is 0.2. Their scores are independent. a. Find the probability that both score more than 175. b. Given that Thomas scores more than 175 is 0.2. Their scores are independent.
175, the probability that Sarah scores higher than Thomas is 0.3. Find the probability that Thomas scores more than 175 and Sarah scores higher than Thomas a reliability of 0.9; that is, the probability that it will activate the
sprinkler when it should is 0.9. The other type, which operates independently of the first type, has a reliability of 0.8. If either device is triggered, the sprinkler head will be activated? b. What is the probability that the sprinkler head will not be
activated? c. What is the probability that both activation devices will work properly? d. What is the probability 0.5 of hitting the target, and Philip has probability 0.5 of hitting the target. Laura has probability that only the device with reliability 0.5 of hitting the target.
the target is hit. b. Find the probability that Laura hit the target was hit by exactly one shot, c. Given that the target was hit by exactly one shot, find the probability that Laura hit the target was hit by exactly one shot, find the probability that the target was hit by exactly one shot. C. Given that the target was hit by exactly one shot, find the probability that the target was hit by exactly one shot.
Page 86 following table presents the number of wafer is chosen at random from the population. Lot A B Conforming 88 165 Nonconforming, what is the probability that it is from Lot A, what is the probability that it is conforming 88 165 Nonconforming 12 35 C 260 40 a. If the wafer is chosen at random from Lot A, what is the probability that it is conforming 88 165 Nonconforming 12 35 C 260 40 a. If the wafer is chosen at random from Lot A, what is the probability that it is conforming 88 165 Nonconforming 12 35 C 260 40 a. If the wafer is chosen at random from Lot A, what is the probability that it is conforming 88 165 Nonconforming 12 35 C 260 40 a. If the wafer is chosen at random from Lot A, what is the probability that it is conforming 12 35 C 260 40 a. If the wafer is chosen at random from Lot A, what is the probability that it is conforming 12 35 C 260 40 a. If the wafer is chosen at random from Lot A, what is the probability that it is conforming 12 35 C 260 40 a. If the wafer is chosen at random from Lot A, what is the probability that it is conforming 12 35 C 260 40 a. If the wafer is chosen at random from Lot A, what is the probability that it is conforming 12 35 C 260 40 a. If the wafer is chosen at random from Lot A, what is the probability that it is conforming 12 35 C 260 40 a. If the wafer is chosen at random from Lot A, what is the probability that it is conforming 12 35 C 260 40 a. If the wafer is chosen at random from Lot A, what is the probability that it is conforming 12 35 C 260 40 a. If the wafer is chosen at random from Lot A, what is the probability that it is chosen at random from Lot A, what is the probability that it is chosen at random from Lot A, what is the probability that it is chosen at random from Lot A, what is the probability that it is chosen at random from Lot A, what is the probability that it is chosen at random from Lot A, what is the probability that it is chosen at random from Lot A, what is the probability that it is chosen at random from Lot A, what is the probability 
conforming, what is the probability that it is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C, what is the probability that it is conforming? 16. Refer to Exercise 15. Let E1 be the event that the wafer is not from Lot C, what is the probability that it is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is not from Lot C? d. If the wafer is n
Each gene can be either dominant or recessive. A sample of 100 individuals is categorized as follows. Gene 1 Dominant Recessive Dominant? What is the probability that a randomly sampled individual, gene 2 is dominant? Given that
gene 1 is dominant, what is the probability that gene 2 is dominant? These genes are said to be in linkage equilibrium? 18. A car dealer sold 750 automobiles last year. The following table categorizes the cars sold by size and
color and presents the number of cars in each category. A car is to be chosen at random from the 750 for which the owner will win a lifetime of free oil changes. Size Small Midsize Large White 102 86 26 Color Black Red 71 33 63 36 32 22 Grey 134 105 40 a. If the car is small, what is the probability that it is black? b. If the car is white, what is the
probability that it is midsize? c. If the car is large, what is the probability that it is red? d. If the car is not small, what is the probability that it is large? e. If the car is not small, what is the probability that it is large? e. If the car is not small, what is the probability that it is large? e. If the car is not small, what is the probability that it is large? e. If the car is not small, what is the probability that it is not grey? 19. The following table presents the 100 senators of the 115th U.S. Congress on January 3, 2017, classified by political party affiliation and
gender. Democrat Male 30 Female 16 Republican. b. The senator is a Democrat or a female. c. The senator is a Democrat or a female and a Republican. d. The senator is a Democrat or a female. c. The senator is a Democrat or a female. c. The senator is a Democrat or a female. c. The senator is a Democrat or a female.
an Independent. g. The senator is a Democrat or an Independent. 20. An automobile insurance company divides customers are good risks, and 10% are medium risks, and 10% are poor risks. Assume that during the course of a year, a good risk
customer has probability 0.005 of filing an accident claim, a medium risk customer has probability that the customer has probability that the customer has probability that the customer has filed a claim? c. Given that the
customer has filed a claim, what is the probability that the customer is a good risk? 21. Nuclear power plants have redundant components in important systems to reduce the chance of catastrophic failure. Assume that a plant has two gauges to measure the level of coolant in the reactor core and that each gauge has probability 0.01 of failing. Assume
that one potential cause of gauge failure is that the electric cables leading from the core to the control room where the gauges are located may burn up in a fire. Someone wishes to estimate the probability that both gauges fail, and makes the following calculation: a. What assumption is being made in this calculation? b. Explain why this assumption is
probably not justified in the present case. c. Is the probability of 0.0001 likely to be too high or too low? Explain. 23. A lot of 10 components contains 3 that are defective. Two components are drawn at random and tested. Let A be the event
that the first component drawn is defective, and let B be the event that the second component drawn is defective. a. Find P(A). b. Find P(B|A). 24. 25. 26. 27. c. Find P(A). b. Find P(B|A). 24. 25. 26. 27. c. Find P(B|A). 24. 25. 26. 27. c.
tested. Let A be the event that the first component drawn is defective, and let B be the event that the second component drawn is defective, a. Find P(A). b. Find P(B). c. Find P(B). c. Find P(B). g. Are A and B independent? Is it reasonable to treat A and B as though they were independent? Explain. In a lot of n
components, 30% are defective. Two components are drawn at random and tested. Let A be the event that the first component drawn is defective, and let B be more nearly independent: n = 10, or n = 10,000? Explain. A certain delivery service offers both
express and standard delivery. Seventy-five percent of parcels are sent by standard delivery, and 25% are sent by express. Of those sent express, 95% arrive the next day, and of those sent express, 95% arrive the next day, and of those sent express, 95% arrive the next day.
shipped express and arrived the next day? b. What is the probability that it arrived the next day? c. Given that it was sent express? Each day, a weather forecaster predicts whether or not it will rain. For 80% of rainy days, she correctly predicts that it will rain. For 90% of non-rainy days
she correctly predicts that it will not rain. Suppose that 10% of days are rainy and 90% are non-rainy. a. What proportion of these forecasts are correct? Page 88 28. Items are inspected for flaws by two quality inspectors. If a flaw is present
it will be detected by the first inspector with probability 0.9, and by the second inspectors function independently. a. If an item has a flaw, what is the probability that it will be found by at least one of the two inspectors? c.
Assume that the second inspector examines only those items that have been passed by the first inspector will find it? Refer to Exercise 28. Assume that both inspectors inspect every item and that if an item has no flaw, then neither inspector will detect a flaw, a
Assume that the probability that an item has a flaw? b. Assume that the probability that it actually has a flaw? Refer to Example 2.26. Assume that the
proportion of people in the community who have the disease? Sickle-cell anemia is an inherited disease in which red blood cells are misshapen and sticky.
Sickle cells tend to form clumps in blood vessels, inhibiting the flow of blood. Humans have two genes for sickle-cell anemia, either of which may be S for normal cells or s for sickle-cell anemia, either of which may be S for normal cells or s for sickle-cell anemia, either of which may be S for normal cells or s for sickle-cell anemia, either of which may be S for normal cells or s for sickle-cell anemia, either of which may be S for normal cells or s for sickle-cell anemia, either of which may be S for normal cells or s for sickle-cell anemia, either of which may be S for normal cells or s for sickle-cell anemia, either of which may be S for normal cells or s for sickle-cell anemia, either of which may be S for normal cells or s for sickle-cell anemia, either of which may be S for normal cells or s for sickle-cell anemia, either of which may be S for normal cells or s for sickle-cell anemia, either of which may be S for normal cells or s for sickle-cell anemia, either of which may be S for normal cells or s for sickle-cell anemia, either of which may be S for normal cells or s for sickle-cell anemia, either of which may be S for normal cells or s for sickle-cell anemia, either of which may be S for normal cells or s for sickle-cell anemia, either of which may be S for normal cells or s for sickle-cell anemia, either of which may be S for normal cells or s for sickle-cell anemia, either of which may be S for normal cells or s for sickle-cell anemia, either of which may be S for normal cells or s for sickle-cell anemia, either of which may be S for normal cells or s for sickle-cell anemia, either of which may be S for normal cells or s for sickle-cell anemia, either of which may be S for normal cells or s for sickle-cell anemia, either of which may be S for normal cells or s for sickle-cell anemia, either of which may be S for normal cells or s for sickle-cell anemia, either of which may be S for normal cells or s for s for s for s for s for s for sickle-cell anemia, either of which may be S for normal cells o
means that the s gene may be transmitted to the person's offspring. If two carriers have a child, the probability is 0.25 that the child will have the disease and 0.5 that the child will have the disease and 0.5 that the child will have the disease and 0.5 that the child will have the disease and 0.5 that the child will have the disease and 0.5 that the child will have the disease and 0.5 that the child will have the disease and 0.5 that the child will be a carrier.
disease? b. What is the probability that both children are carriers? c. If neither child has the disease, what is the probability that this child has the disease? A quality-control program at a plastic bottle production line
involves inspecting finished bottles for flaws such as microscopic holes. The proportion of bottle fails inspection. If a bottle fails inspection, what is the
probability that it has a flaw? b. Which of the following is the more correct interpretation of the answer to part (a)? i. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. ii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw? d. Which of the following is the more correct interpretation of the answer to part (a)? i. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw? d. Which of the following is the more correct interpretation of the answer to part (a)? i. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw? d. Which of the following is the more correct interpretation of t
interpretation of the answer to part (c)? i. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. ii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. ii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. iii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. iii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. iii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. iii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. iii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. iii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. iii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. iii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. iii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. iii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. iii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. iii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. iii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. iii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. iii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. iii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. iii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. iii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. iii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. iii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. iii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. iii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. iii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. iii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. iii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. iii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. iii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. iii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. iii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. iii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. iii. Most bottles that fail inspection do not have a flaw. ii
the disease? For many medical tests, it is standard procedure to repeat the test when a positive on two successive tests if he has the disease? Assuming repeated tests are independent, what is the probability that a man tests positive on two successive
tests if he does not have the disease? Page 89 d. If a man tests positive on two successive tests, what is the probability that he has the disease? 34. A system consists of four components connected as shown in the following diagram: Assume A, B, C, and D fail are 0.10, 0.05, 0.10, and 0.20, and
respectively, what is the probability that the system functions? 35. A system consists of four components, connected as shown in the diagram. Suppose that the probability that the probability that the system functions. 36. A system
contains two components, A and B, connected in series, as shown in the diagram. Assume A and B function, both components must function, a. If the probability that B fails is 0.03, find the probability that the system functions. b. If both A and B have probability p of
failing, what must the value of p be so that the probability that the system functions is 0.90? C. If three components, C and D, connected in parallel as shown in the
diagram. Assume C and D function independently. For the system to function, either C or D must function. a. If the probability that C fails is 0.12, find the probability that D fails is 0.12, find the probability that C fails is 0.12 and D have probability that D fails is 0.12.
functions is 0.99? c. If three components are connected in parallel, function independently, and each has probability that the system functions is 0.99? d. If components function independently, and each component function independently, and each component function independently.
that must be connected in parallel so that the probability that the system functions is at least 0.99? 38. If A and B are independent events, prove that the following pairs of events are independent events, prove that the following pairs of events are independent events, prove that the number on the first die is odd, let B be the event that the
number on the second die is odd, and let C be the event that the sum of the two rolls is equal to 7. a. Show that A and B are independent, A and C are independent, and B and C are independent. This property is known as pairwise independent, and B are independent, and B and C are independent.
independent but not independent. (In this context, independence is sometimes referred to as mutual independence.) Page 90 2.4 Random Variables In many situations, it is desirable to assign a numerical value to each outcome of an experiment. Such an assignment is called a random variable. To make the idea clear, we present an example. Suppose
that an electrical engineer has on hand six resistors. Three of them are labeled 10 \Omega and the other three are labeled 20 \Omega. The engineer wants to connect a 10 \Omega resistor in series, to create a resistance of 30 \Omega. Now suppose that in fact the three resistors labeled 10 \Omega have actual resistances of 9, 10, and 11 \Omega, and that the three
resistors labeled 20 Ω have actual resistances of 19, 20, and 21 Ω. The process of selecting one resistor of each type is an experiment whose sample space consists of nine equally likely outcomes. The sample space is presented in the following table. Outcome (9, 19) (10, 20) (10, 21) (11, 19) (11, 20) (11, 21) Probability 1/9 1/9
random variables with uppercase letters. The letters X, Y, and Z Page 91 are most often used. We can compute probabilities for random variables in an obvious way. In the example just presented, the event X = 29 corresponds to the event X =
```

```
possible values of the random variable X, and find the probability of each of them. Solution The possible values are 28, 29, 30, 31, and 32. To find the probability of one of these values, we add the probability of each of them. Solution The possible values are 28, 29, 30, 31, and 32. To find the probability of one of these values, we add the probability of each of them. Solution The possible values are 28, 29, 30, 31, and 32. To find the probability of each of them.
2/9 3/9 1/9 The table of probabilities in Example 2.31 contains all the information needed to compute any probability regarding the random variable are known, we usually do not think about the sample space; we
just focus on the probabilities. There are two important types of random variables, discrete and continuous. A discrete and continuous. A discrete and continuous and there are gaps between adjacent values. The random variable X, just described, is discrete. In contrast, the possible
values of a continuous random variable always contain an interval, that is, all the points between some two numbers. We will provide precise definitions of these types of random variables later in this section. We present some more examples of random variables. Example 2.32 Computer chips often contain surface imperfections. For a certain type of
computer chip, 9% contain no imperfections, 22% contain 1 imperfections, 26% contain 2 imperfections, 26% contain 3 imperfections, 12% contain 3 imperfections, 26% contain 4 imperfections, 26% contain 5 imperfections, 2
Find P(Y = y) for each possible value y. Page 92 Solution The possible values for Y are the integers 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5. The random variable Y is discrete, because it takes on only integer values. Nine percent of the outcomes in the sample space are assigned the value 0. Therefore P(Y = 0) = 0.09. Similarly P(Y = 1) = 0.22, P(Y = 2) = 0.26, P(Y = 3) = 0.26, 
0.20, P(Y = 4) = 0.12, and P(Y = 5) = 0.11. Example 2.33 A certain type of magnetic disk must function in an environment where it is exposed to corrosive gases. It is known that 10% of all such disks have lifetimes less than or equal to 500 hours, and 40% have lifetimes
greater than 500 hours. Let Z represent the number of hours in the lifetime of a component is not limited to a list of discretely spaced values; Z is continuous. Of all the components, 60% have lifetime of a component is not limited to a list of discretely spaced values; Z is continuous. Of all the components, 60% have lifetime of a component is not limited to a list of discretely spaced values; Z is continuous.
than or equal to 500 hours. Therefore P(Z \le 500) = 0.60. We do not have enough information to compute all the probabilities for Z. We can compute some of them, for example, the proportion of components that have lifetimes between 100 and 200 and 200 and 200 and 200 are the proportion of components.
hours, or between 200 and 300 hours, so we cannot find the probability that the random variable Z falls into either of these intervals. To compute all the probabilities for Z, we would need to be able to compute the probabilities for Z, we would need to be able to compute the probability for every possible intervals. To compute all the probabilities for Z and 300 hours, so we cannot find the probabilities for Z and 300 hours, so we cannot find the probabilities for Z and 300 hours, so we cannot find the probabilities for Z and 300 hours, so we cannot find the probabilities for Z and 300 hours, so we cannot find the probabilities for Z and 300 hours, so we cannot find the probabilities for Z and 300 hours, so we cannot find the probabilities for Z and 300 hours, so we cannot find the probabilities for Z and 300 hours, so we cannot find the probabilities for Z and 300 hours, so we cannot find the probabilities for Z and 300 hours, so we cannot find the probabilities for Z and 300 hours, so we cannot find the probabilities for Z and 300 hours, so we cannot find the probabilities for Z and 300 hours, so we cannot find the probabilities for Z and 300 hours, so we cannot find the probabilities for Z and 300 hours, so we cannot find the probabilities for Z and 300 hours, so we cannot find the probabilities for Z and 300 hours, so we cannot find the probabilities for Z and 300 hours, so we cannot find the probabilities for Z and 300 hours, so we cannot find the probabilities for Z and 300 hours, so we cannot find the probabilities for Z and 300 hours, so we cannot find the probabilities for Z and 300 hours, so we cannot find the probabilities for Z and 300 hours, so we cannot find the probabilities for Z and 300 hours, so we cannot find the probabilities for Z and 300 hours, so we cannot find the probabilities for Z and 300 hours, so we cannot find the probabilities for Z and 300 hours, so we cannot find the probabilities for Z and 300 hours, so we cannot find the probabilities for Z and 300 hours, so we cannot find t
this can be done later in this section, when we discuss continuous random variable as having been sampled from a population. For example, consider the random variable Y described in Example 2.32. Observing a value for this random variable is like
sampling a value from a population consisting of the integers 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 in the following proportions: 0s, 9%; 1s, 22%; 2s, 26%; 3s, 20%; 4s, 12%; and 5s, 11%. For a continuous random variable, it is appropriate to imagine an infinite population containing all the possible values of the random variable. For example, for the random variable Z in
Example 2.33 we would imagine a population containing all the positive numbers, with 10% of the population values less than or equal to 500, and 40% greater than 500. The proportion of population values in any interval would be equal to the probability that the variable Z is in that interval
Methods for working with random variables. We begin by reviewing the definition of a discrete case. Page 93 Discrete case. Page 93 Discrete set. This means that if
the possible values are arranged in order, there is a gap between each value and the next one. The set of possible values may be infinite; for example, the set of all integers are both discrete random variable to be a set of integers. For any discrete random
variable, if we specify the list of its possible values along with the probability that the random variable is sampled. We illustrate with an example. The number of flaws in a 1-inch length of copper wire manufactured by a certain process
varies from wire to wire. Overall, 48% of the wires produced have no flaws, 39% have one flaws, 12% have two flaws, and 1% have three flaws. Let X be the number of flaws in a randomly selected piece of wire. Then The list of possible values 0, 1, 2, 3, along with the probabilities for each, provide a complete description of the population from which X be the number of flaws.
is drawn. This description has a name—the probability mass function. Definition The probability mass function of a discrete random variable X is the function has a name—the probability mass function is sometimes called the probability mass function for the random variable X representing the number of flaws in a length of wire, p(0) = 0.48,
p(1) = 0.39, p(2) = 0.12, p(3) = 0.12, p(3) = 0.01, and p(x) = 0 for any value of x other than 0, 1, 2, or 3. Note that if the values of X, the sum is equal to 1. This is true for any probability mass function are added over all the possible values of X, the sum is equal to 1.
values of the corresponding random variable produces the probability that the random variable is equal to one of its possible values, and this probability is always equal to 1. The probability mass function can be represented by a graph in which a vertical line is drawn at each of the possible values of the random variable. The heights of the lines are
egual to the probabilities of the corresponding values. The physical interpretation of this graph is that each line represents a graph of the probability mass function of X, the number of flaws in a randomly chosen piece of
wire. The Cumulative Distribution Function of a Discrete Random Variable is equal to a given value. A function specifies the probability that a random variable is less than or equal to a given value. The cumulative distribution function specifies the probability that a random variable is less than or equal to a given value. The cumulative distribution function specifies the probability that a random variable is less than or equal to a given value.
function of the random variable X is the function F(x) = P(X \le x). Example 2.34 Let F(x) denote the cumulative distribution function of the random variable X that represents the number of flaws in a randomly chosen wire. Find F(2). Find F(3). Solution Since F(3) are the function of the random variable X that represents the number of flaws in a randomly chosen wire.
values of X that are less than or equal to 2, namely, 0, 1, and 2. Thus Now F(1.5) = P(X \ge 1.5). Therefore, to compute F(1.5) we must sum the probabilities for the values of X that are less than or equal to 1.5, which are 0 and 1. Thus In general, for any discrete random variable X, the cumulative distribution function Page 95 F(x) can be computed by
summing the probabilities of all the possible values of X that are less than or equal to x. Note that F(x) is defined for any number x, not just for the possible values of X. Summary Let X be a discrete random variable. Then The probability mass function of X is the function F(x).
= P(X \le x). \blacksquare Example, where the sum is over all the possible values of X. 2.35 Plot the cumulative distribution function F(x) by
summing the probabilities of all the possible values of X that are less than or equal to x. For example, if 1 \le x < 2, the possible values of X that are less than or equal to x. For example, if 1 \le x < 2, the possible values of X that are less than or equal to x are 0 and 1, so F(x) = F(x) = 0.
consists of a series of horizontal lines (called "steps") with jumps at each of the probability mass function p(x) = P(X = x). Mean and Variance for Discrete Random Variables The population mean of a discrete random variable can be thought of as the mean of a
hypothetical sample that follows the probability distribution perfectly. To make this idea concrete, assume that the number of flaws in a wire, X, has the probability mass function given previously, with P(X = 0) = 0.48, P(X = 1) = 0.39, P(X = 1) = 0.48, P(X = 1) = 0.4
this distribution perfectly, so that exactly 48 of the wires have 0 flaws, 39 have 1 flaws, 12 have 2 flaws, and 1 has 3 flaws. The sample mean is the total number of flaws divided by 100: This can be rewritten as This shows that the mean of a perfect sample can be obtained by multiplying each possible value of X by its probability, and summing the
products. This is the definition of the population mean of a discrete random variable. The population mean of a random variable X may also be called the expectation, or expected value, of X, and simply refer to the population mean as the mean. Page 97
Definition Let X be a discrete random variable with probability mass function p(x) = P(X = x). The mean of X is given by (2.29) where the sum is over all possible values of X. The mean of X is given by (2.29) where the sum is over all possible values of X. The mean of X is given by (2.29) where the sum is over all possible values of X. The mean of X is given by (2.29) where the sum is over all possible values of X. The mean of X is given by (2.29) where the sum is over all possible values of X. The mean of X is given by (2.29) where the sum is over all possible values of X. The mean of X is given by (2.29) where the sum is over all possible values of X. The mean of X is given by (2.29) where the sum is over all possible values of X. The mean of X is given by (2.29) where the sum is over all possible values of X. The mean of X is given by (2.29) where the sum is over all possible values of X. The mean of X is given by (2.29) where the sum is over all possible values of X. The mean of X is given by (2.29) where the sum is over all possible values of X. The mean of X is given by (2.29) where the sum is over all possible values of X. The mean of X is given by (2.29) where the sum is over all possible values of X. The mean of X is given by (2.29) where the sum is over all possible values of X. The mean of X is given by (2.29) where the sum is over all possible values of X. The mean of X is given by (2.29) where the x. The mean of X is given by (2.29) where the x. The mean of X is given by (2.29) where the x. The mean of X is given by (2.29) where the x. The mean of X is given by (2.29) where the x. The mean of X is given by (2.29) where the x. The mean of X is given by (2.29) where the x. The mean of X is given by (2.29) where the x. The mean of X is given by (2.29) where the x. The mean of X is given by (2.29) where the x. The mean of X is given by (2.29) where the x. The mean of X is given by (2.29) where X is given by (2.29) where
recalibration whenever the quality of the items produced falls below specifications. Let X represent the number of times the process is recalibrated during a week, and assume that X has the following probability mass function. x p(x) 0 0.35 1 0.25 2 0.20 3 0.15 4 0.05 Find the mean of X. Solution Using Equation (2.29), we compute The population
mean has an important physical interpretation. It is the horizontal axis at which the graph of the probability mass function described in
Example 2.36, where the population mean is \mu = 1.30. FIGURE 2.9 The graph of a probability mass function will balance if supported at the population mean. We will describe the population mean is \mu = 1.30. FIGURE 2.9 The graph of a probability mass function will balance if supported at the population mean.
a sample X1,...,Xn, the sample variance is given by . The sample wariance is thus essentially the average of the squared differences between the sample points and the sample variance is given by . The sample wariance is thus essentially the average of the squared differences (x - 1) instead of x - 1.
\mu X)2 where x ranges through all the possible values of the random variable X. This weighted average is computed by multiplying each squared difference (x - \mu X)2 by the probability P(X = x) and summing the results. The population variance of a random variable X can be denoted by , by V(X), or simply by \sigma 2. The population variance is given by the
formula By performing some algebra, an alternate formula is given at the end of this section. We also define the population standard deviation of a random variable X by σX or simply by σ. As with the
mean, we will sometimes drop the word "population," and simply refer to the population variance and population standard deviation as the variance of X is given by (2.30) \blacksquare An alternate formula for
the variance is given by (2.31) The variance of X may also be denoted by V(X) or by σ2. The standard deviation for the random variable X described in Example 2.36, representing the number of times a process is recalibrated. Solution In Example
2.36 we computed the mean of X to be \muX = 1.30. We compute the variance by using Equation (2.30). The standard deviation is . Example 2.36 the mean was computed to be \muX = 1.30. We compute the variance of X, the number of times a process is recalibrated. Solution In Example 2.36 the mean was computed to be \muX = 1.30. The variance is
therefore Example 2.39 A resistor in a certain circuit is specification is 0.48, and the probability that neither of them meets the specification is 0.48, and the probability that neither of them meets the specification is 0.48.
0.16. Let X represent the number of resistors that meet the specification. Find the probability mass function is P(X = 0) = 0.16, P(X = 1) = 0.48, P(X = 2) = 0.36, and P(X = 2) = 0.36, and P(X = 3) = 0.48, P(X 
100. To develop a physical interpretation for the probability mass function has mass proportional to its length, and that a solid rod is inserted vertically into the graph around it. The more
spread out the graph, the more difficult it would be to twirl. The physical quantity that measures the difficulty in twirling is the moment of inertia around the center of mass, multiplied by the length of the line. The moment of inertia
for the entire graph is the sum of the moments of the lines, which is the population variable are evenly spaced, the probability mass function can be represented by a histogram, with rectangles centered at the possible values of the random variable. The area of a
rectangle centered at a value x is equal to P(X = x). Such a histogram is called a probability histogram, because the areas represent probability histogram for this random variable X that represents the number of defects in a printed circuit board. Figure 2.10 presents the probability histogram for this random variable X that represents the number of defects in a printed circuit board. Figure 2.10 presents the probability histogram for this random variable X that represents the number of defects in a printed circuit board. Figure 2.10 presents the probability histogram for this random variable X that represents the number of defects in a printed circuit board. Figure 2.10 presents the probability histogram for this random variable X that represents the number of defects in a printed circuit board.
variable. The probability that a value of a random variable falls into a given by an area under the probability histogram. Example 2.40 illustrates the idea. TABLE 2.3 x 0 1 2 3 P(X = x) 0.45 0.35 0.15 0.05 FIGURE 2.10 Probability histogram for X, the number of defects in a printed circuit Page 101 board. Example 2.40 Find the
probability that a randomly chosen printed circuit board has more than one defect. Indicate this probability as an area under the proportion of wires that have more than one defect can be found by adding the proportion that have two defects to
the proportion that have three defects. In symbols, P(X > 1) = P(X = 2) + P(X = 3). The probability mass function specifies that P(X = 2) = 0.15 and P(X = 3) = 0.05. Therefore P(X > 1) = 0.15 + 0.05 = 0.20. This probability is given by the area under the probability histogram corresponding to those rectangles centered at values greater than 1 (see
Figure 2.11). There are two such rectangles; their areas are P(X = 2) = 0.15 and P(X = 3) = 0.05. This is another way to show that P(X > 1) = 0.15 and P(X = 3) = 0.05. This is another way to show that P(X > 1) = 0.15 and P(X = 3) = 0.05. This is another way to show that P(X > 1) = 0.15 and P(X = 3) = 0.15 and P(X = 3) = 0.05. This is another way to show that P(X > 1) = 0.15 and P(X = 3) = 0.05. This is another way to show that P(X > 1) = 0.15 and P(X = 3) = 0.05. This is another way to show that P(X > 1) = 0.15 and P(X = 3) = 0.05. This is another way to show that P(X > 1) = 0.15 and P(X = 3) = 0.05. This is another way to show that P(X = 3) = 0.05. This is another way to show that P(X = 3) = 0.05. This is another way to show that P(X = 3) = 0.05. This is another way to show that P(X = 3) = 0.05. This is another way to show that P(X = 3) = 0.05. This is another way to show that P(X = 3) = 0.05. This is another way to show that P(X = 3) = 0.05. This is another way to show that P(X = 3) = 0.05. This is another way to show that P(X = 3) = 0.05. This is another way to show that P(X = 3) = 0.05. This is another way to show that P(X = 3) = 0.05. This is another way to show that P(X = 3) = 0.05. This is another way to show that P(X = 3) = 0.05.
Chapter 4 we will see that probabilities for discrete random variables can sometimes be approximated by computing the discrete probabilities with a probabilities for discrete probabilities with a probabilities with a probabilities with a probabilities for discrete probabilities for discrete random variables. The computing the discrete probabilities with a probabil
emissions, in grams of particulates per gallon of fuel consumed, of a sample of 62 vehicles. Note that emissions is a continuous variable, because its possible values are not restricted to some discretely spaced set. The class intervals are chosen so that each interval contains a reasonably large number of vehicles. If the sample were larger, we could
make the intervals narrower. In particular, if we had information on the entire population, containing millions of vehicles, we could make the intervals Page 102 extremely narrow. The histogram would then look quite smooth and could be approximated with a curve, which might look like Figure 2.12. FIGURE 2.12 The histogram for a large continuous
population could be drawn with extremely narrow rectangles and might look like this curve. If a vehicle were chosen at random from this population to have its emissions measured, the emissions level X would be a random variable. The probability that X falls between any two values a and b is equal to the area under the histogram between a and b.
Because the histogram in this case is represented by a curve, the probability would be found by computing an integral. The random variable is defined to be a random variable whose probabilities are represented by areas under a curve. This curve is called
the probability density function. Because the probabilities are given by areas under a curve. The curve is called a probability density function for the random variable is continuous if its probabilities are given by areas under a curve. The curve is called a probability density function for the random variable is continuous if its probabilities are given by areas under a curve.
variable. The probability density function is sometimes called the probability density function of X. Let a and b be any two numbers, with a < b. The proportion of the population whose values of X lie
between a and b is given by , the area under the probability density function between a and b. This is the probability density function between a and b. This is the probabilities involving X do not depend on
whether endpoints are included. Page 103 Summary Let X be a continuous random variable with probability density function of a random variable X, then the area under the entire curve from -\infty to \infty is the probability that
the value of X is between -\infty and \infty. This probability must be equal to 1, because the value of X is always between -\infty and \infty. Therefore the area under the entire curve f(x) is equal to 1. Summary Let X be a continuous random variable with probability density function f(x). Then (2.35) Example 2.41 A hole is drilled in a sheet-metal component, and
then a shaft is inserted through the hole. The shaft clearance is equal to the difference between the radius of the hole and the radius of the shaft. Let the random variable X denote the clearance, in millimeters. The probability density function of X is Components with clearance between the radius of the shaft. Let the random variable X denote the clearance, in millimeters. The probability density function of X is Components with clearance between the radius of the shaft.
are scrapped? Solution Figure 2.13 (page 104) presents the probability density function of X. Note that the density f(x) is 0 for x \le 0 and for x \ge 1. This indicates that the clearances are always between 0 and 1 mm. The proportion of components that must be scrapped is f(x) is 0 for f(x) in f(x) is 0 for f(x) in f(x)
the right of 0.8. Page 104 FIGURE 2.13 Graph of the probability density function of X, the clearance of a shaft. The area shaded is equal to P(X > 0.8). This area is given by The Cumulative Distribution function of X, the clearance of a shaft. The area shaded is equal to P(X > 0.8). This area is given by The Cumulative Distribution function of X, the clearance of a shaft.
discrete random variable. For a discrete random variable, F(x) can be found by summing values of the probability density function. Since F(x) = P(X \le x), it follows from Equation (2.33) that, where f(x) is obtained by integrating the probability density function.
Definition Let X be a continuous random variable with probability density function of X is given by f(t) = 0 if t \le 0, f(t) = 1.25(1 - t4) if 0
< t < 1, and f(t) = 0 if t \ge 1. The cumulative distribution function is given by . Since f(t) is defined separately on three different intervals, the computation of the cumulative distribution function involves three separate cases. If x \le 0: If 0 < x < 1: If x > 1: Therefore Page 106 A plot of F(x) is presented here. Note that the cumulative distribution function involves three separate cases.
function F(x) in Example 2.42 is a continuous function of a continuous random variable will always be continuous random variable will never be continuous.
Example 2.43 Refer to Example 2.41. Use the cumulative distribution function to find the probability that the shaft clearance is less than 0.5 mm. Solution Let X denote the shaft clearance. We need to find P(X \le 0.5). This is equivalent to finding P(0.5), where P(x) is the cumulative distribution function. Using the results of Example 2.42, P(0.5) is the cumulative distribution function.
1.25(0.5 - 0.55/5) = 0.617. Mean and Variance for Continuous Random Variables The population mean and variable, except that the probability density function is used instead of the probability mass function. Specifically, if X is a continuous random variable, except that the probability density function is used instead of the probability mass function. Specifically, if X is a continuous random variable, except that the probability density function is used instead of the probability mass function. Specifically, if X is a continuous random variable, except that the probability density function is used instead of the probability mass function.
variable, its population mean is defined to be the center of mass of its probability density function, and its population mean. The formulas are analogous to Equations (2.29) through (2.31), with the sums replaced by integrals. As was the case with discrete random
variables, we will sometimes drop the word "population" and refer to the population wariance, and population text X be a continuous random variable with probability density function f(x). Then the mean of X is given by
(2.37) The mean of X is sometimes called the expectation, or expected value, of X and may also be denoted by E(X) or by \(\mu\). Then The variance of X is given by (2.38) An alternate formula for the variance of X is given by (2.39)
denoted by V(X) or by \sigma 2. The standard deviation is the square root of the variance: Example 2.41. Find the mean clearance and the variance of the clearance and the variance of the square root of the variance and the variance and the variance of the clearance and the variance and the variance and the variance of the square root of the variance and variance 
Equation (2.39): The Population Median and Percentiles In Section 1.2, we defined the median of a sample to be the middle number, or the average of the two middle numbers, when the sample in half. The population median is defined
analogously. In terms of the probability density function, the median is the point at which half the area under the curve is to the left, and half the area is to the point xm that solves the equation. The median is a special case of a percentile. Let
0 . The pth percentile of a population is the value xp such that p% of the population values are less than or equal to xp. Thus if X is a continuous random variable with probability density function f (x), the pth percentile of X is the point xp that solves the equation p/100. Note that the median is the 50th percentile. Figure 2.14 illustrates the
median and the 90th percentile for a hypothetical population. FIGURE 2.14 (a) Half of the population values are less than the median xm. (b) Ninety percentile x90. Page 109 Definition Let X be a continuous random variable with probability mass function f(x) and cumulative distribution function
F(x). The median of X is the point xm that solves the equation to find it is possible to construct continuous random variables for which there is an interval of points that satisfy the definition of the median or the median of X is the point xm that solves the equation to find the median of X is the point xm that solves the equation to find the median of X is the point xm that solves the equation to find the median of X is the point xm that solves the equation to find the median of X is the point xm that solves the equation to find the median of X is the point xm that solves the equation to find the median of X is the point xm that solves the equation to find the median of X is the point xm that solves the equation to find the median of X is the point xm that solves the equation to find the median of X is the point xm that solves the equation to find the median of X is the point xm that solves the equation to find the median of X is the point xm that solves the equation to find the median of X is the point xm that solves the equation to find the median of X is the point xm that solves the equation to find the median of X is the point xm that solves the equation to find the median of X is the point xm that solves the equation to find the median of X is the point xm that solves the equation to find the median of X is the point xm that solves the equation to find the median of X is the point xm that solves the equation to find the median of X is the point xm that solves the equation to find the median of X is the point xm that solves the equation that xm the median of X is the point xm that xm the median of X is the point xm that xm the median of X is the point xm that xm the median of X is the point xm that xm the median xm that xm the median xm that xm the median xm the median xm that xm the median xm that xm the median xm the median xm the median xm that xm the median xm the median xm the median xm the media
a percentile. Such random variables are seldom found in practice. Example 2.45 A certain radioactive mass emits alpha particles from time to time. The time between emissions, in seconds, is random, with probability density function Find the median time between emissions. Find the 60th percentile of the times. Solution The median xm is the solution
to . We therefore must solve Half of the times between emissions are less than 6.931 s, and half are greater. The 60th percentile x60 is the solution to . We proceed as before, substituting x60 for xm, and 0.6 for 0.5. We obtain Page 110 Sixty percent of the times between emissions are less than 9.163 s, and 40% are greater. Chebyshev's Inequality
deviation. Specifically, the probability that a random variable differs from its mean by k standard deviations or more is never greater than 1/k2. Chebyshev's Inequality Let X be a random variable with mean µX = 50 mm and standard
deviation \sigma X = 0.45 mm. What is the largest possible value for the probability that the length of a randomly sampled rivet. We must find P(X \le 49.1 \text{ or } X \ge 50.9). Now Applying Chebyshev's inequality with k = 2, we conclude that Chebyshev's inequality is valid for any
random variable and does not require knowledge of the distribution. Because it is so general, the bound given by Chebyshev's inequality is in most cases much greater than the actual probability. Example 2.47 illustrates this. Example 2.47 illustrates this. Example 2.47 illustrates this.
μX = 50 and σX = 0.45. Compute the probability that the length of the rivet is outside the interval 49.1-50.9 mm. How close is this probability to the Chebyshev bound of 1/4. Because the Chebyshev bound of 1/4. Because the Chebyshev bound of 1/4.
\muX)2, we obtain Distributing the term P(X = x) over the terms in the parentheses yields Summing the terms separately, (2.40) Now and . Substituting into Equation (2.38), the same steps may be used; replacing \Sigmax with
 , and P(X = x) with f(x) dx. Page 112 Exercises for Section 2.4 1. 2. Determine whether each of the following random variables is discrete or continuous. a. The number of heads in 100 tosses of a coin. b. The length of a rod randomly chosen from a day's production. c. The final exam score of a randomly chosen student from last semester's engineering
the following table. x p(x) a. b. c. Find P(X \leq 2). Find P(X \leq 1). Fi
0 0.2 1 0.2 x 2 0.3 p2(x) 0.1 0.3 0.3 0.2 0.2 p3(x) 0.1 0.3 0.3 0.2 0.2 p3(x) 0.1 0.2 0.4 0.2 0.1 3 0.1 4 0.1 For the possible probability mass function, compute μX and . A survey of cars on a certain stretch of highway during morning commute hours showed that 70% had 3, 3% had 4, and 2% had 5. Let X represent the number of occupants.
mass function of Y. e. Find the mean number of gallons ordered. f. Find the variance of the number of gallons ordered. g. Find the standard deviation of the number of gallons ordered. g. Find the variance of the number of gallons ordered. g. Find the standard deviation of X?
Explain. b. 5. 1 0.4 Find σX. The element titanium has five stable occurring isotopes, differing from each other in the number of neutrons an atom contains. If X is the number of neutrons an atom contains. If X is the number of neutrons an atom contains. If X is the number of neutrons an atom contains. If X is the number of neutrons an atom contains. If X is the number of neutrons an atom contains. If X is the number of neutrons an atom contains. If X is the number of neutrons are necessary as a contain of X is given as follows: x p(x) a. Find μX. 24 0.0825 25 0.0744 26 0.7372 27 0.0541 28 0.0518 b.
7. 8. Find \sigma X. A computer sends a packet of information along a channel and waits for a return signal acknowledging that the packet is re-sent. Let X represent the number of times the packet is sent. Assume that the probability mass function of X is given
by where c is a constant. a. Find the value of the number of times the packet is sent. d. Find the variance of the number of times the packet is sent. d. Find the variance of the number of times the packet is sent. d. Find the variance of the number of times the packet is sent. a. Find the variance of the number of times the packet is sent. d. Find the variance of the number of times the packet is sent. a. Find the variance of the number of times the packet is sent. a. Find the variance of the number of times the packet is sent. a. Find the variance of the number of times the packet is sent. a. Find the variance of the number of times the packet is sent. a. Find the variance of the number of times the packet is sent. After manufacture, computer disks are
tested for errors. Let X be the number of errors detected on a randomly chosen disk. The following table presents values of the cumulative distribution function F(x) of X. x 0 1 2 3 4 9. F(x) 0.41 0.72 0.83 0.95 1.00 a. What is the probability that more than three errors are detected? c.
What is the probability that exactly one error is detected? d. What is the probability that no errors are detected? d. What is the most probable number of errors to be detected? On 100 different days, a traffic engineer counts the number of errors to be detected? On 100 different days, a traffic engineer counts the number of errors to be detected? On 100 different days, a traffic engineer counts the number of errors are detected? On 100 different days, a traffic engineer counts the number of errors to be detected? On 100 different days, a traffic engineer counts the number of errors are detected? On 100 different days, a traffic engineer counts the number of errors are detected? On 100 different days, a traffic engineer counts the number of errors are detected? On 100 different days, a traffic engineer counts the number of errors are detected? On 100 different days, a traffic engineer counts the number of errors are detected? On 100 different days, a traffic engineer counts the number of errors are detected? On 100 different days, a traffic engineer counts the number of errors are detected? On 100 different days, a traffic engineer counts the number of errors are detected? On 100 different days, a traffic engineer counts the number of errors are detected? On 100 different days, a traffic engineer counts the number of errors are detected?
following table. Number of Cars 0 1 2 3 4 5 Number of Cars 0 1 2 3 4 5 Number of Days 36 28 15 10 7 4 Proportion of Days 0.36 0.28 0.15 0.10 0.07 0.04 Let X be the number of cars passing through the intersection between 5 P.M. and 5:05 P.M. on a randomly chosen day.
Using this function, compute P(X = x) for values of x from 0 through 5 inclusive, b. Someone else suggests that for any positive integer x, the probability mass function is p(x) = (0.4)(0.6)x. Using this function, compute P(X = x) for values of x from 0 through 5 inclusive, b. Someone else suggests that for any positive integer x, the probability mass function is p(x) = (0.4)(0.6)x.
probability mass function appears to be the better model? Explain. d. Someone says that neither of the functions is a good model since neither one agrees with the data exactly. Is this right? Explain. 10. Candidates for a job are interviewed one by one until a qualified candidate is found. Thirty percent of the candidates are qualified. a. What is the
probability that the first candidate is qualified? c. Let X represent the number of candidate is qualified? c. Let X represent the number of candidate is qualified? c. Let X represent the number of candidate is qualified? c. Let X represent the number of candidate is qualified? b. What is the probability that the first qualified? c. Let X represent the number of candidate is qualified? c. Let X represent the number of candidate is qualified? c. Let X represent the number of candidate is qualified? b. What is the probability that the first qualified? b. What is the probability that the first qualified? c. Let X represent the number of candidate is qualified? b. What is the probability that the first qualified? c. Let X represent the number of candidate is qualified? b. What is the probability that the first qualified? b. What is the probability that the first qualified? b. What is the probability that the first qualified? c. Let X represent the number of candidate is qualified? b. What is the probability that the first qualified? b. What is the probability that the first qualified? b. What is the probability that the first qualified? b. What is the probability that the first qualified? b. What is the probability that the first qualified? b. What is the probability that the first qualified? b. What is the probability that the first qualified? b. What is the probability that the first qualified? b. What is the probability that the first qualified? b. What is the probability that the first qualified? b. What is the probability that the first qualified? b. What is the probability that the first qualified? b. What is the probability that the first qualified? b. What is the probability that the first qualified? b. What is the probability that the first qualified? b. What is the probability that the first qualified? b. What is the probability that the first qualified? b. What is the probability that the first qualified? b. What is the probability that the first qualified? b. What is the probability that the first quali
has two positions open. They will interview candidates are found. Let Y be the number of candidates interviewed up to and including the second qualified candidates are found. Let Y be the number of applicants who are
interviewed up to and including the first qualified candidate. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 1). Page 114 a. d. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). e. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). E. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). E. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). E. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). E. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). E. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). E. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). E. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). E. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). E. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). E. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). E. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). E. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). E. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). E. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). E. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). E. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). E. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). E. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). E. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). E. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). E. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). E. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). E. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). E. Find P(Y = 4 \mid X = 2). E. Find P(Y 
80% of the components in the lot will succeed in passing the test. Let X represent the number of successes among the three sampled components. a. What are the possible values for X? b. Find P(SFS) and P(SFS). e. Use the results of the three sampled components in the lot will succeed in passing the test. Let X represent the number of successes among the three sampled components.
parts (c) and (d) to find P(X = 2). f. Find P(X = 1). g. Find P(X = 1). g. Find P(X = 0). h. Find P(X = 1). g. Find P(X = 1). The probability density function of
X is given by a. What proportion of resistances less than 90 Ω? b. Find the resistances. c. Find the resistances than 90 Ω? b. Find the resistances than 90 Ω? b. Find the resistances than 90 Ω? b. Find the mean resistances. d. Find the standard deviation of the resistances.
steel plates have elongations greater than 25%? b. Find the elongations. c. Find the elongations. d. Find the elongations deviation of the elongations. d. Find the elongations are than 25%? b. Find the elongations deviation of the elongations are than 25%? b. Find the elongations are than 25%? b. 
centimeters) vary according to the probability density function a. b. Find the mean diameter of rings manufactured by this process. (Hint: Equation 2.38 may be easier to use than Equation 2.39.) c. Find the cumulative distribution function of piston ring diameters. d.
What proportion of piston rings have diameters less than 9.75 cm? e. What proportion of piston rings have diameters between 9.75 and 10.25 cm? 17. Refer to Exercise 16. A competing process produces rings whose diameters between 9.75 and 10.25 cm? 17. Refer to Exercise 16. A competing process produces rings whose diameters between 9.75 and 10.25 cm? 18. A competing process produces rings whose diameters between 9.75 cm? 19. The diameters between 9.75 cm. The di
Which process is better, this Page 115 one or the one in Exercise 16? Explain. 18. The lifetime, in years, of a certain type of pump is a random variable with probability that a pump lasts between two and four years? c. Find the mean lifetime.
Find the variance of the lifetimes. e. Find the cumulative distribution function of the lifetime. f. Find the probability that the impurity (in percent) in the product of a certain chemical process is a random variable with probability that the impurity level is
greater than 3%? b. What is the probability that the impurity level. e. Find the cumulative distribution function of the impurity level. d. Find the variance of the impurity level. e. Find the cumulative distribution function fu
function a. b. c. d. e. f. What is the probability that the clearance is less than 0.02 mm? Find the mean clearance. Find the mean clearance. Find the median clearance is 0.015 to 0.063 mm. What is the probability that the specification of the clearance. Find the median clearance is 0.015 to 0.063 mm. What is the probability that the specification is the clearance is 0.015 to 0.063 mm. What is the probability that the specification is 0.015 to 0.063 mm.
met? 21. The error in the length of a part (absolute value of the difference between the actual length and the target length), in mm, is a random variable with probability that the error. Find the wariance of the error. Find the cumulative distribution function
of the error. The specification for the error is 0 to 0.8 mm. What is the probability that the concentration of a reactant is a random variable with probability that the probability that the concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration. c. Find the probability that the concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration of a reactant is a random variable with probability that the concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration is greater than 0.5? b. Find the mean concentration is
within \pm 0.1 of the mean. d. Find the concentration of the concentration is within \pm \sigma of the mean. f. Find the concentration of the concentration is within \pm \sigma of the mean. f. Find the concentration is within \pm \sigma of the mean. f. Find the concentration of the concentration is within \pm \sigma of the mean. f. Find the concentration is within \pm \sigma of the mean. f. Find the concentration is within \pm \sigma of the mean. f. Find the concentration is within \pm \sigma of the mean. f. Find the concentration is within \pm \sigma of the mean. f. Find the concentration is within \pm \sigma of the mean. f. Find the concentration is within \pm \sigma of the mean. f. Find the concentration is within \pm \sigma of the mean. f. Find the concentration is within \pm \sigma of the mean. f. Find the concentration is within \pm \sigma of the mean. f. Find the concentration is within \pm \sigma of the mean. f. Find the concentration is within \pm \sigma of the mean. f. Find the concentration is within \pm \sigma of the mean. f. Find the concentration is within \pm \sigma of the mean. f. Find the concentration is within \pm \sigma of the mean. f. Find the concentration is within \pm \sigma of the mean. f. Find the concentration is within \pm \sigma of the mean. f. Find the concentration is within \pm \sigma of the mean. f. Find the concentration is within \pm \sigma of the mean. f. Find the concentration is within \pm \sigma of the mean. f. Find the concentration is within \pm \sigma of the mean. f. Find the concentration is within \pm \sigma of the mean. f. Find the concentration is within \pm \sigma of the mean. f. Find the concentration is within \pm \sigma of the mean. f. Find the concentration is within \pm \sigma of the mean. f. Find the concentration is within \pm \sigma of the mean. f. Find the concentration is within \pm \sigma of the mean.
the thickness is less than 2.5 m? b. What is the probability that the thickness is between 2.5 and 3.5 m? c. Find the mean thickness is within \pm \sigma of the mean. f. Find the cumulative distribution function of the thickness. 24. Particles are a major component of
air pollution in many areas. It is of interest to study the sizes of contaminating particles. Let X represent the diameter, in micrometers, of a randomly chosen particle; that is, assume that where c is a constant. a. Find the value of contaminating particles.
so that f(x) is a probability density function. b. Find the mean particle diameter. c. Find the mean particle diameter. d. Find the mean particles are PM10? f. The term PM25 refers to particles 2.5
µm or less in diameter. What proportion of the contaminating particles are PM25? g. What proportion of the PM10 particles are PM25? 25. The repair time (in hours) for a certain machine is a random variable with probability that the repair time (in hours) for a certain machine is a random variable with probability that the repair time (in hours) for a certain machine is a random variable with probability that the repair time (in hours) for a certain machine is a random variable with probability that the repair time (in hours) for a certain machine is a random variable with probability that the repair time (in hours) for a certain machine is a random variable with probability that the repair time (in hours) for a certain machine is a random variable with probability that the repair time (in hours) for a certain machine is a random variable with probability that the repair time (in hours) for a certain machine is a random variable with probability that the repair time (in hours) for a certain machine is a random variable with probability that the repair time (in hours) for a certain machine is a random variable with probability that the repair time (in hours) for a certain machine is a random variable with probability that the repair time (in hours) for a certain machine is a random variable with probability that the repair time (in hours) for a certain machine is a random variable with probability that the repair time (in hours) for a certain machine is a random variable with probability that the repair time (in hours) for a certain machine is a random variable with probability that the repair time (in hours) for a certain machine is a random variable with probability that the repair time (in hours) for a certain machine is a random variable with probability that the repair time (in hours) for a certain machine is a random variable with probability that the repair time (in hours) for a certain machine is a random variable with probability that the repair time (in hours) for a certain machine is a certain machine 
time is between 1.5 and 3 hours? c. Find the mean repair time. d. Find the cumulative distribution function of the repair times. 26. The diameter is less than 12.5 mm? Find the mean diameter. Find the standard deviation of the
diameters. Find the cumulative distribution function of the diameter is 12.3 to 12.7 mm. What is the probability that the specification for the diameter is 12.3 to 12.7 mm. What is the probability that the specification for the diameter is 12.3 to 12.7 mm.
we might add a constant to a random variable, multiply a random variable by a constant, or add two or more random variables constructed in these Page 117 ways, and we present some practical examples. The presentation in this section is intuitive. A
more rigorous presentation is provided in Section 2.6. For those desiring such a presentation, Section 2.6 may be covered in addition to, or in place of, this section. Adding a Constant When a constant when
example, assume that steel rods produced by a certain machine have a mean length of 5.0 in. and a variance of \sigma 2 = 0.003 in 2. Each rod is attached to a base that is exactly 1.0 in. long. The mean length of 5.0 in. and a variance of \sigma 2 = 0.003 in 2. Each rod is attached to a base that is exactly 1.0 in. long. The mean length of the assembly will be 5.0 + 1.0 = 6.0 in.
variance remains the same. To put this in statistical terms, let X be the length of a randomly chosen rod, and let Y = X + 1 be the length of the assembly. Then \mu Y = \mu X + 1, and In general, when a constant is added to a random variable, the mean is shifted by that constant, and the variance is unchanged. Summary If X is a random variable, the mean is shifted by that constant, and the variance is unchanged.
and b is a constant, then (2.41) (2.42) Multiplying by a Constant Often we need to multiply a random variable by a constant. This might be done, for example, to convert to a more convenient set of units. We continue the example of steel rod production to show how multiplication by a constant affects the mean, variance, and standard deviation of a
random variable. If we measure the lengths of the rods described earlier in centimeters rather than inches, the mean length will be (2.54 \text{ cm/in.})(5.0 \text{ in.}) = 12.7 \text{ cm}. In statistical terms, let the random variable X be the length in inches of a randomly chosen rod, and let Y = 2.54 \text{X} be the length in centimeters. Then \mu\text{Y} = 2.54\mu\text{X}. In general, when a
random variable is multiplied by a constant, its mean is multiplied by the same constant. Summary If X is a random variable and a is a constant, then (2.43) When the length X of a rod is measured in inches, the variance units of in 2.1f Y = 2.54X is the length in centimeters, then Therefore we obtain by multiplied by the same constant, its mean is multiplied by the same constant, its mean is multiplied by the same constant.
effect on the mean and variance can be determined by combining Equations (2.43) and (2.43) and equations (2.44) and (2.44). The results are presented in the following summary. Summary If X is a random variable, and a and b are constants, then (2.46) (2.47) (2.48) Note that Equations (2.48) are analogous to results for the sample
mean and standard deviation presented in Section 1.2. Example 2.48 The molarity of a solute in solution is defined to be the number of moles of solute per liter of solution is mixed with N parts water, the
molarity Y of the dilute solution is given by Y = X/(N + 1). Assume that the stock solution is manufactured by a process that produces a molarity with mean 18 and standard deviation of the molarity of the dilute solution. Page 119 Solution The molarity of the molarit
the dilute solution is Y = 0.25X. The mean and standard deviation of X are \mu X = 18 and \sigma X = 0.1, respectively. Therefore (using Equation 2.43) Also, (using Equation 2.43) Means of Linear Combinations of Random Variables.
metal part. The mean daily production of machine A is 100 parts, and the mean daily production of machine B is 150 parts. Putting this in mathematical notation, let X be the number of parts produced on a given day by machine A, and let Y be the number of parts
produced on the same day by machine B. The total number of parts is X + Y, and we have that \mu X + Y = \mu X + \mu Y. This idea extends to any number of random variables. If X1, X2, ..., X1 are random variables. If X1, X2, ..., X3 are random variables. If X1, X2, ..., X3 are random variables. If X3, ..., X4 are random variables. If X4, ..., X4 are random variables. If X4 are random variables.
are random variables and c1, ..., cn are constants, then the random variables, we can combination of X1, ..., Xn. To find the mean of a linear combination of random variables, and a and b are constants, then (2.50) More generally, if X1, X2, ..., Xn are
random variables and c1, c2, ..., cn are constants, then the mean of the linear combination c1X1 + c2X2 + ... + cnXn is given by (2.51) Independent Random Variables are independent if knowledge concerning one of them
does not affect the probabilities of the other. When two events are independent, the probability that both occur is found by multiplying the probabilities for each event (see Equations 2.19 and 2.20 in Section 2.3). There are analogous formulas for independent random variables.
and let S be a set of numbers. The notation "X \in S" means that the value of the random variable X is in the set S. Definition If X and Y are independent random variables, and S1, ..., Sn are sets, then (2.53) Example 2.49 Rectangular plastic
15) = 0.6 and P(Y = 16) = 0.4. The area of a cover is given by A = XY. Assume X and Y are independent. Find the probability that the area is 1935 mm2. Page 121 Solution The area will be equal to 1935 if X = 129 and Y = 15. Therefore since X and Y are independent Equations (2.52) and (2.53) tell how to compute probabilities for independent
random variables, but they are not usually much help in determining whether random variables are independent. In general, the best way to determine whether random variables are independent is through an understanding of the process that generated them. Variances of Linear Combinations of Independent Random Variables We have seen
independent random variables, then the variance of the sum X1 + X2 + ... + Xn is given by (2.54) To find the variance of the linear combination c1X1 + c2X2 + ... + Xn is given by (2.54) and (2.44): If X1, X2, ..., Xn are independent random variables, we can combine Equations (2.54) and (2.54) and (2.54) and (2.54) are independent random variables, we can combine Equations (2.54) and (2.54) are independent random variables.
... + cnXn is given by (2.55) Two frequently encountered linear combinations are the sum and the difference of two random variables. Interestingly enough, when the random variables are independent random variables are independent random variables.
the edge of the piston and the wall of the cylinder and is equal to one-half the difference between the cylinder diameter has a mean of 80.85 cm with a standard deviation of 0.03 cm. Find the mean
clearance. Assuming that the piston and cylinder are chosen independently, find the standard deviation of the clearance is given by C = 0.5X1 - 0.5X2. Using Equation (2.51), the mean clearance is Since X1 and X2 are independent, we can use
proportion (more than 5%) of a finite population (see the discussion of independence in Section 1.1). From here on, unless explicitly stated to the contrary, we will assume this exception has not occurred, so that the values in a simple random
sample, then X1, X2, ..., Xn may be treated as independent random variables, all with the same distribution, it is Page 123 sometimes said that X1, ..., Xn are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.). The Mean and Variance of a Sample Mean The most frequently
encountered linear combination is the sample mean. Specifically, if X1, ..., Xn is a simple random sample from a population with mean μ and variance of . (using Equation 2.51) As discussed previously, the items in a simple random sample may be
treated as independent random variables. Therefore (using Equation 2.55) Summary If X1, ..., Xn is a simple random variable with (2.58) (2.59) The standard deviation of is (2.60) Page 124 Example 2.51 A process that fills plastic bottles with a beverage has a
mean fill volume of 2.013 L and a standard deviation of 0.005 L. A case contains 24 bottles in a case are a simple random sample of bottles in a case. Solution Let V1, ..., V24 represent the volumes in 24 bottles in a case. This is a
simple random sample from a population with mean \mu = 2.013 and standard deviation \sigma = 0.005. The average volume is . Using Equation (2.58), Using Equation (2.58), Exercises for Section 2.5 1. If X and Y are independent random variables with means \mu = 0.05. The average volume is . Using Equation (2.58), Exercises for Section 2.5 1. If X and Y are independent random variables with means \mu = 0.005. The average volume is . Using Equation (2.58), Exercises for Section 2.5 1. If X and Y are independent random variables with means \mu = 0.005. The average volume is . Using Equation (2.58), Exercises for Section 2.5 1. If X and Y are independent random variables with means \mu = 0.005. The average volume is . Using Equation (2.58), Exercises for Section 2.5 1. If X and Y are independent random variables with means \mu = 0.005. The average volume is . Using Equation (2.58), Exercises for Section 2.5 1. If X and Y are independent random variables with means \mu = 0.005.
standard deviations of the following: a. 3X 2. b. Y - X c. X + 4Y The bottom of a cylindrical container has an area of 10 cm2. The container as filled to a height whose mean is 5cm, and whose standard deviation is 0.1 cm. Let V denote the volume of fluid in the container. a. Find µV. b. 3. 4. The lifetime of a certain transistor in a certain application has
deviation 0.5 V. a. Find \muV. b. 5. 6. 7. Assuming V1 and V2 to be independent, find \sigmaV. A laminated item is composed of five layers are a simple random sample from a population whose thickness has mean 1.2 mm and standard deviation 0.04 mm. a. Find the mean thickness of an item. b. Find the standard deviation of the thickness of an
item. Two independent measurements are made of the lifetime of a charmed strange meson. Each measurement has a standard deviation of 7×10-15 seconds. The lifetime of the meson is estimated by averaging the two measurements. What is the standard deviation of 7×10-15 seconds. The lifetime of a charmed strange meson. Each measurement has a standard deviation of 7×10-15 seconds.
 moles of solute per liter of solution (1 mole = 6.02 × 1023 molecules). If X is the molarity of a solution of magnesium chloride (MgCl2), and Y is the molarity of esolution of ferric chloride (MgCl2), and Y is the molarity of a solution of ferric chloride (MgCl2), and Y is the molarity of a solution of magnesium chloride (MgCl2), and Y is the molarity of a solution of ferric chloride (MgCl2), and Y is the molarity of a solution of ferric chloride (MgCl2), and Y is the molarity of a solution of ferric chloride (MgCl2), and Y is the molarity of a solution of ferric chloride (MgCl2), and Y is the molarity of a solution of ferric chloride (MgCl2), and Y is the molarity of a solution of ferric chloride (MgCl2), and Y is the molarity of a solution of ferric chloride (MgCl2), and Y is the molarity of a solution of ferric chloride (MgCl2), and Y is the molarity of a solution of ferric chloride (MgCl2), and Y is the molarity of a solution of ferric chloride (MgCl2), and Y is the molarity of a solution of ferric chloride (MgCl2), and Y is the molarity of a solution of ferric chloride (MgCl2), and Y is the molarity of a solution of ferric chloride (MgCl2), and Y is the molarity of a solution of ferric chloride (MgCl2), and Y is the molarity of a solution of ferric chloride (MgCl2), and Y is the molarity of a solution of ferric chloride (MgCl2), and Y is the molarity of a solution of ferric chloride (MgCl2), and Y is the molarity of a solution of ferric chloride (MgCl2), and Y is the molarity of a solution of ferric chloride (MgCl2), and Y is the molarity of a solution of ferric chloride (MgCl2), and Y is the molarity of a solution of ferric chloride (MgCl2), and Y is the molarity of a solution of ferric chloride (MgCl2), and Y is the molarity of a solution of ferric chloride (MgCl2), and Y is the molarity of a solution of ferric chloride (MgCl2), and Y is the molarity of a solution of ferric chloride (MgCl2), and Y is the molarity of a solution of ferric chloride (MgCl2), and Y is the molarity of a solution of ferric chlo
0.125 and standard deviation 0.05, and that Y has mean 0.350 and standard deviation 0.10. a. Find \u00a0M. A machine that fills bottles with a beverage has a fill volume whose mean is 20.01 ounces, with a standard deviation of 0.02 ounces. A case consists of 24 bottles randomly sampled from
the output of the machine. a. Find the mean of the beverage in the case. Find the standard deviation of the beverage in the case. Find the standard deviation of the beverage in the case. Find the mean of the beverage in the case. Find the mean of the beverage in the case. Find the standard deviation of the beverage in the case. Find the mean of the beverage in the case. Find the mean of the beverage in the case. Find the standard deviation of the beverage in the case. Find the mean of the beverage in the case. Find the mean of the beverage in the case. Find the mean of the beverage in the case. Find the mean of the beverage in the case. Find the mean of the beverage in the case. Find the mean of the beverage in the case. Find the mean of the beverage in the case. Find the mean of the beverage in the case. Find the mean of the beverage in the case. Find the mean of the beverage in the case. Find the mean of the beverage in the case. Find the mean of the beverage in the case. Find the mean of the beverage in the case. Find the mean of the beverage in the case. Find the mean of the beverage in the case. Find the mean of the beverage in the case. Find the mean of the beverage in the case. Find the mean of the beverage in the case. Find the mean of the beverage in the case. Find the mean of the beverage in the case.
many bottles must be included in a case for the standard deviation of the average volume per bottle to be 0.0025 ounces? The four sides of a picture frame consist of two pieces selected from a population whose mean length is 45 cm with standard
deviation 0.3 cm. a. Find the mean perimeter. b. Assuming the four pieces are chosen independently, find the standard deviation of the perimeter. 10. A gas station earns $2.60 in revenue for each gallon of premium gas. Let X1, X2, and X3 denote the numbers of
gallons of regular, midgrade, and premium gasoline sold in a day. Assume that X1, X2, and X3 have means \mu1 = 1500, \mu2 = 500, and \mu3 = 300, and standard deviations \sigma1 = 180, \sigma2 = 90, and \sigma3 = 40, respectively. 9. a. b. Find the mean daily revenue. Assuming X1, X2, and X3 to be independent, find the standard deviation of the daily revenue.
certain commercial jet plane uses a mean of 0.15 gallons of fuel per passenger-mile, with a standard deviation of 0.01 gallons. a. Find the mean number of gallons the plane uses to fly 8000 miles if it carries 210 passengers. b. Assume the amounts of fuel used are independent for each passenger-mile traveled. Find the standard deviation of the
number of gallons of fuel the plane uses to fly 8000 miles while carrying 210 passengers. c. The plane used X gallons of fuel to carry 210 passengers 8000 miles. The fuel efficiency is estimated as X/(210 × 8000). Find the mean of this estimate. d. Assuming the amounts of fuel used are independent for each passenger-mile, find the standard deviation
of the estimate in part (c). 12. Woodworkers Alex and Brandon make precision pieces for toys, Each toy requires four sticks one at at time, and their lengths are independent. Alex's sticks have mean length 8 inches with a standard deviation 0.15 inches. Brandon clamps four sticks together and cuts them all at once, so
that they all have the same length. Brandon's sticks are laid end-to-end. What is the mean of the total length? What is the mean of the total length? What is the mean of the total length? What is the
standard deviation of the total length? c. Four sticks, two from Alex and two from Brandon, are laid end-to-end. What is the mean of the total length? What is the standard deviation of the total length? Using the Submerged Arc Welding Process on HSLA-100 and
AISI-1018 Steels" (G. Fredrickson, M.S. thesis, Colorado School of Mines, 1992), the carbon equivalent P of a weld metal is defined to be a linear combination of the weight percentages of carbon (C), manganese (Mn), copper (Cu), chromium (Cr), silicon (Si), nickel (Ni), molybdenum (Mo), vanadium (V), and boron (B). The carbon equivalent is given
by Means and standard deviations of the weight percents of these chemicals were estimated from measurements on 45 weld metals produced on HSLA-100 steel base metal. Assume the means and standard deviations (SD) are as given in the following table. C Mn Cu Cr Si Ni Mo V B Mean 0.0695 1.0477 0.8649 0.7356 0.2171 2.8146 0.5913 0.0079
0.0006 SD 0.0018 0.0269 0.0225 0.0113 0.0185 0.0284 0.0031 0.0086 0.0002 a. Find the mean carbon equivalent of weld metals produced from HSLA-100 steel base metal. 14.
The oxygen equivalence number of a weld is a number that can be used to predict properties of Titanium Welds" (D. Harwig, W. Ittiwattana, and H. Castner, The Welding Journal, 2001:126s-136s) presents several equations
for computing the oxygen equivalence number of a weld. One equation, designed to predict the hardness of a weld, is X = O + 2N + (2/3)C, where X is the oxygen equivalence, and O, H, and C are the amounts of oxygen, nitrogen, and carbon, respectively, in weight percent, in the weld. Suppose that for welds of a certain type, \mu O = 0.1668, \mu N =
0.0255, \muC = 0.0247, \sigmaO = 0.0340, \sigmaN = 0.0194, and \sigmaC = 0.0131. a. Find \muX. b. Suppose the weight percents of O, H, and C are independent. Find \sigmaX. 15. Measurements are made on the length and width (in cm) of a rectangular component. Because of measurement error, the measurements are random variables. Let X denote the length
measurement and let Y denote the width measurement. Assume that the probability density function of Y is Assume that the probability density function of Y is Assume that the measurement X and Y are independent. a. Find P(X < 9.98). b. Find P(X < 9.
mm) has probability density function a. Find µX and . . d. If three shims are selected independently and stacked one atop another, find the mean and variance of the total thickness. 17. The article "Abyssal Peridotites" 3800 Ma from Southern West Greenland:
Field Relationships, Petrography, Geochronology, Whole-Rock and Mineral Chemistry of Dunite and Harzburgite Inclusions in the Itsaq Gneiss Complex" (C. Friend, V. Bennett, and A. Nutman, Contrib Mineral Petrol, 2002:71-92) describes the chemical compositions of certain minerals in the early Archaean mantle. For a certain type of olivine
assembly, the silicon dioxide (SiO2) content (in weight percent) in a randomly chosen rock has mean 40.25 and standard deviation of the sample mean SiO2 content is
0.05? 18. The number of bytes downloaded per second on an information channel has mean 105 and standard deviation 104. Among the factors influencing the rate is congestion, which produces alternating periods of faster and slower transmission. Let X represent the number of bytes downloaded in a randomly chosen five-second period. a. Is it
reasonable to assume that \mu x = 5 \times 105? Explain. b. Is it reasonable to assume that? Explain. Page 127 2.6 Jointly Distributed Random Variables are presented in a more rigorous fashion than in Section 2.5. For those desiring such a presentation, this section may be covered in addition to,
or in place of, Section 2.5. We have said that observing a value of a random variable is like sampling a value from a population. In some cases, the items in the population may each have several random variable is like sampling a value from a population. In some cases, the items in the population may each have several random variable is like sampling a value from a population. In some cases, the items in the population may each have several random variable is like sampling a value from a population.
measuring that student's height and weight. Each individual in the population of students corresponds to two random variables, height and weight. If we also determined the student's age, each individual would correspond to three random variables. In principle, any number of random variables may be associated with each item in a population. When
two or more random variables are associated with each item in a population, the random variables are said to be jointly discrete, they are said to be jointly discrete, they are said to be jointly discrete. If all the random variables are discrete, they are said to be jointly discrete.
Random Variables Example 2.49 (in Section 2.5) discussed the lengths and widths of rectangular plastic covers for a CD tray that is installed in a personal computer. Measurements are rounded to the nearest millimeter. Let X denote the measured length and Y the measured width. The possible values of X are 129, 130, and 131, and the possible
values for Y are 15 and 16. Both X and Y are discrete, so X and Y are jointly discrete. There are six possible values for the probabilities of each of these ordered pairs are as given in the following table. x 129 129 130 130 131 131 y 15 16 15 16 15 16 15 16
P(X = x \text{ and } Y = y) \ 0.12 \ 0.08 \ 0.42 \ 0.28 \ 0.06 \ 0.04 The joint probability mass function of two random variables, but we are interested in only one of them. For example, we might be
interested in the probability mass function of X, the length of the CD cover, but not interested in the width Y. We can obtain the probability mass function. Examples 2.52 and 2.53 illustrate the method. Page 128 Example 2.52 Find
the probability that a CD cover has a length of 129 mm. Solution It is clear from the previous table that 12% of the CD covers in the population have a length of 129 and a width of 15, and 8% have a length of 129 and a width of 15, and 8% have a length of 129 and a width of 16. Therefore 20% of the items in the population have a length of 129 and a width of 15, and 8% have a length of 129 and a width of 16. Therefore 20% of the items in the population have a length of 129 and a width of 16. Therefore 20% of the items in the population have a length of 129 and a width of 16. Therefore 20% of the items in the population have a length of 129 and a width of 16. Therefore 20% of the items in the population have a length of 129 and a width of 16. Therefore 20% of the items in the population have a length of 129 and a width of 16. Therefore 20% of the items in the population have a length of 129 and a width of 16. Therefore 20% of the items in the population have a length of 129 and a width of 16. Therefore 20% of the items in the population have a length of 129 and a width of 16. Therefore 20% of the items in the population have a length of 129 and a width of 16. Therefore 20% of the items in the population have a length of 129 and a width of 16. Therefore 20% of the items in the population have a length of 129 and a width of 16. Therefore 20% of the items in the population have a length of 129 and a width of 16. Therefore 20% of the items in the population have a length of 129 and a width of 16. Therefore 20% of the items in the population have a length of 129 and a width of 16. Therefore 20% of the items in the population have a length of 129 and a width of 16. Therefore 20% of the items in the population have a length of 129 and a width of 16. Therefore 20% of the items in the population have a length of 129 and a width of 16. Therefore 20% of the items in the population have a length of 129 and a width of 16. Therefore 20% of the items in the population have a length of 129 and a width of 16. Therefore 20% of the
mm is 0.20. In symbols, we have Example 2.53 Find the probability that a CD cover has a width of 16 mm. Solution We need to find P(Y = 16). We obtain Examples 2.53 show that we can find the probability mass function of X (or Y) by summing the joint
probability mass function over all values of Y (or X). Table 2.4 presents the joint probability mass function of X appears in the rightmost column and is obtained by summing down the columns. Note that
the probability mass functions of X and of Y appear in the marginal probability mass functions. TABLE 2.4 Joint and marginal probability mass functions for the length X and width Y of a CD cover y x 129 130 131 Py(y) 15 0.12 0.42 0.06 0.60 16 0.08 0.28 0.04 0.40 Px(x) 0.20 0.70 0.10
Finally, if we sum the joint probability density function over all possible values of Page 129 x and Y, we obtain the probability that X and Y are jointly discrete random variables: The joint probability mass function of X and Y is the function
The marginal probability mass function as follows: where the sum is taken over all the possible values of X and of Y can be obtained from the joint probability mass function has the property that where the sum is taken over all the possible values of X and Y. Jointly Continuous Random
Variables We have seen that if X is a continuous random variable, its probabilities are found by integrating a function of two variables, called the joint probability density function of X and Y. To find the
probability that X and Y take values in any region, we integrate the joint probability density function over that region. Example 2.54 Assume that for a certain type of washer, both the thickness and the hole diameter in
millimeters, for a randomly chosen washer. Assume that the joint probability density function of X and Y is given by Find the probability that a randomly chosen washer has a thickness between 1.0 and 1.5 mm, and a hole diameter between 4.5 and 5 mm. Page 130 Solution We need to find P(1 \le X \le 1.5 \text{ and } 4.5 \le Y \le 5). The large rectangle in the
figure indicates the region where the joint density is positive. The shaded rectangle indicates the region where 1 \le x \le 1.5 and 4.5 \le y \le 5, over which the joint density function is integrated over the entire plane, that
is, if the limits are -\infty to \infty for both x and Y are jointly continuous random variables, with joint probability density function f(x,y), and a < b, c < d, then The joint probability density function has the following properties: f(x,y) \ge 0 for all
x and y We have seen that if X and Y are jointly discrete, the probability mass function of Page 131 either variable may be found by summing the joint probability mass function is called the marginal probability mass function. By analogy, if X and Y are
jointly continuous, the probability density function of either variable may be found by integrating the joint probability density function is called the marginal probability density function. Example 2.55 Refer to Example 2.54.
Find the marginal probability density function of the hole diameter Y of a washer. Find the marginal probability density function of Y by fY(y). Then and Summary If X and Y are jointly continuous with
joint probability density function f(x,y), then the marginal probability density functions of X and of Y are given, respectively, by Page 132 Example 2.56 The article "Performance Comparison of Two Location Based Routing Protocols for Ad Hoc Networks" (T. Camp, J. Boleng, et al., Proceedings of the Twenty-first Annual Joint Conference of IEEE
Computer and Communications Societies 2002: 1678-1687) describes a model for the movement of a mobile computer at a given time, the joint density of X and Y is given
by Find P(X > 0.5) and Y < 0.5. Solution The region A is the triangle shown in Figure 2.15, with the region X > 0.5 and Y < 0.5, we integrate the joint density over the shaded in. To find P(X > 0.5), we integrate the joint density over the shaded in.
over the shaded square, we find the probability that the point (X,Y) lies in the shaded square. Page 133 Example 2.56. Find the marginal densities of X and of Y. Solution To compute fX(x), the marginal density of X, we fix x and integrate the joint density along the vertical line through x, as shown in Figure 2.16. The integration is
with respect to y, and the limits of integration are y = 0 to y = x. FIGURE 2.16 The marginal density fX(x) is compute fY(y), the marginal density of Y, we fix y and integrate the joint density along the horizontal line through y, as shown in Figure 2.17 (page 134). The
integration is with respect to x, and the limits of integration are x = y to x = 1. Page 134 FIGURE 2.17 The marginal density functions extend easily to more
than two random variables. We present the definitions here. Definition If the random variables X1, ..., Xn are jointly continuous, they have a joint probability density function f(x1, ..., xn), where for any constants a1 ≤ b1, ..., an ≤ bn. Means of Functions of
Random Variables Sometimes we are given a random variable X and we need to work with a function of X. If X is a random variable, and h(X) is a function of X, then h(X) is a function of X. It is not necessary to
```

```
know the probability mass function or probability mass function of h(X). Page 135 Let X be a random variable, and let h(X) be a function of X. Then If X is discrete with probability density function of X. Then If X is discrete with probability mass function of X.
f(x), the mean of h(X) is given by (2.62) Note that if we substitute h(X) = (X - \mu X)^2 in either Equation becomes an expression for the variance of X. It follows that We can obtain another expression for the variance of X. It follows that We can obtain another expression for the variance of X. It follows that We can obtain another expression for the variance of X. It follows that We can obtain another expression for the variance of X. It follows that We can obtain another expression for the variance of X. It follows that We can obtain another expression for the variance of X. It follows that We can obtain another expression for the variance of X. It follows that We can obtain another expression for the variance of X. It follows that We can obtain another expression for the variance of X. It follows that We can obtain another expression for the variance of X. It follows that We can obtain another expression for the variance of X. It follows that We can obtain another expression for the variance of X. It follows that We can obtain another expression for the variance of X. It follows that We can obtain another expression for the variance of X. It follows that We can obtain another expression for the variance of X. It follows that We can obtain another expression for the variance of X. It follows that Y. It follows th
conclude that Example . 2.58 An internal combustion engine contains several cylinders bored into the engine block. Let X represent the bore diameter of a cylinder, in millimeters. Assume that the probability density function of X is Let A = \pi X2/4 represent the area of the bore. Find the mean of A. Solution The mean area is 5096 mm2. If h(X) = aX + b
is a linear function of X, then the mean \muaX+b and the variance be expressed in terms of \muX and can. These results were presented in Equations (2.48) in Section 2.5; we repeat them here. Page 136 If X is a random variable, and a and b are constants, then (2.63) (2.64) (2.65) Proofs of these results are presented at the end of this
section. If X and Y are jointly distributed random variables, and h(X,Y) is a function of X and Y, then the mean of h(X,Y) is a function of X and Y are jointly discrete
with joint probability mass function p(x,y), (2.66) where the sum is taken over all the possible values of X and Y. If X and Y are jointly continuous with joint probability density function f(x,y), (2.67) Example 2.59 The displacement of a piston in an internal combustion engine is defined to be the volume that the top of the piston moves through from
the top to the bottom of its stroke. Let X represent the diameter of the cylinder bore, in millimeters, and let Y represent the length of the piston stroke in millimeters. The displacement is given by D = πX2Y/4. Assume X and Y are jointly distributed with joint probability mass function Find the mean of D. Solution Page 137 The mean displacement is
331,998 mm3, or approximately 332 mL. Conditional Distributions If X and Y are jointly distributed random variables, then knowing the value of X may change probabilities regarding the randomly chosen college student. Let's say that we
are interested in the probability P(Y \ge 200). If we know the joint density of X and Y, we can determine this probability by computing the marginal density of Y. Now let's say that we learn that the student's height is X = 78. Clearly, this knowledge changes the probability that Y \ge 200. To compute this new probability, the idea of a conditional
distribution is needed. We will first discuss the case where X and Y are jointly discrete. Let x be any value for which P(X = x) > 0. Then the conditional probability mass functions. Let p(x,y) denote the joint probability mass functions.
mass function of X and Y, and let pX(x) denote the marginal probability mass function of Y given X = x is the conditional probability mass function of Y given X = x is the conditional probability mass function of Y given X = x is the conditional probability mass function of Y given X = x is the conditional probability mass function of Y given X = x is the conditional probability mass function of Y given X = x is the conditional probability mass function of Y given X = x is the conditional probability mass function of Y given X = x is the conditional probability mass function of Y given X = x is the conditional probability mass function of Y given X = x is the conditional probability mass function of Y given X = x is the conditional probability mass function of Y given X = x is the conditional probability mass function of Y given X = x is the conditional probability mass function of Y given X = x is the conditional probability mass function of Y given X = x is the conditional probability mass function of Y given X = x is the conditional probability mass function of Y given X = x is the conditional probability mass function of Y given X = x is the conditional probability mass function of Y given X = x is the conditional probability mass function of Y given X = x is the conditional probability mass function of Y given X = x is the conditional probability mass function of Y given X = x is the conditional probability mass function of Y given X = x is the conditional probability mass function of Y given X = x is the conditional probability mass function of Y given X = x is the conditional probability mass function of Y given X = x is the conditional probability mass function of Y given X = x is the conditional probability mass function of Y given X = x is the conditional probability mass function of Y given X = x is the conditional probability mass function of Y given X = x is the conditional probability mass function of Y given X = x is the conditional probability mass function of Y given X = x is the conditional pr
mass function p(x,y). Let p(x) denote the marginal probability mass function of Y given Y = y \mid X = x. Page 138 Example 2.60 Table 2.4
presents the joint probability mass function of the length X and width Y of a CD cover. Compute the conditional probability mass function pY|X(y | 130). Solution The possible values for Y are y = 15 and y = 16. From Table 2.4, P(Y = 15 \text{ and } X = 130) = 0.70. Therefore, The value of pY|X(16 | 130) can be computed with a similar
calculation. Alternatively, note that pY|X(16 \mid 130) = 1 - pY|X(15 \mid 130), since y = 15 and y = 16 are the only two possible values for Y. Therefore pY|X(16 \mid 130) = 0.40, and pY|X(y \mid 130
analog to the conditional probability mass function for jointly continuous random variables is the conditional probability density function. The definition of the conditional probability density function is just like that of the conditional probability density function.
continuous random variables, with joint probability density function of X and let x be any number for which fX(x) > 0. The conditional probability density function of Y given X = x is (2.69) Example 2.54.) The joint probability density function of Y given X = x is (2.69) Example 2.54.)
and hole diameter Y (both in millimeters) of a randomly chosen washer is f(x,y) = (1/6)(x + y) for 1 \le x \le 2 and 4 \le y \le 5. Find the probability that the hole diameter is less than or equal to 4.8 mm given that the thickness is 1.2 mm. Page 139 Solution In Example 2.55 we computed
for mean. A conditional expectation is an expectation of Y given X = x is denoted E(Y \mid X = x) or \mu Y \mid X = x. We illustrate with Examples 2.62 through 2.64. Example 2.62 Table 2.4 presents the joint probability mass
function of the length X and width Y of a CD cover. Compute the conditional expectation E(Y | X = 130). Solution We computed the conditional expectation E(Y | X = 130) is calculated using the definition of the mean Page 140 of a discrete random variable and the conditional
probability mass function. Specifically, Example 2.63 Refer to Example 2.61. Find the conditional expectation of Y given that X = 1.2. Solution Since X and Y are jointly continuous, we use the definition of the mean of a continuous random variable to compute the conditional expectation. Example 2.64 Refer to Example 2.61. Find the value \( \mu Y \) (which
can be called the unconditional mean of Y). Does it differ from E(Y | X = 1.2)? Solution The value \( \mu Y \) is calculated using the marginal probability mass function of Y. Thus The conditional expectation in this case differs slightly from the unconditional expectation. Independent Random Variables The notion of independence for random variables is very
much like the notion of independence for events. Two random variables are independence of random variables in terms of their joint probability mass or joint probability density function. A different but logically equivalent
definition was presented in Section 2.5. Page 141 Definition Two random variables X and Y are jointly discrete, the joint probability mass function is equal to the product of the marginals:
Random variables X1, ..., Xn are jointly discrete, the joint probability mass function is equal to the product of the marginals: Intuitively, when two random variables are independent, provided that If X1, ..., Xn are jointly discrete, the joint probability mass function is equal to the product of the marginals: Intuitively, when two random variables are independent, provided that If X1, ..., Xn are jointly discrete, the joint probability mass function is equal to the product of the marginals: Intuitively, when two random variables are independent, provided that If X1, ..., Xn are jointly discrete, the joint probability mass function is equal to the product of the marginals: Intuitively, when two random variables are independent, provided that If X1, ..., Xn are jointly discrete, the joint probability mass function is equal to the product of the marginals: Intuitively, when two random variables are independent, provided that If X1, ..., Xn are jointly discrete, the joint probability mass function is equal to the product of the marginals: Intuitively, when two random variables are independent, provided that If X1, ..., Xn are jointly discrete, the joint probability mass function is equal to the product of the marginals: Intuitively, when two random variables are independent, provided that If X1, ..., Xn are jointly discrete, the joint probability mass function is equal to the product of the marginals.
knowledge of the value of one of the marginal distribution of Y are independent random variables, then If X and Y are jointly discrete, and x is a value for which pX(x) > 0, then If X and Y are jointly
continuous, and x is a value for which fX(x) > 0, then Example 2.65 The joint probability mass function of the length X and thickness Y of a CD tray cover is given in Table 2.4. Are X and Y = y) = P(X = x)P(Y = y) for every value of x and y independent? Solution We must check to see if P(X = x)P(Y = y) for every value of x and Y independent? Solution We must check to see if P(X = x)P(Y = y) for every value of x and y independent? Solution We must check to see if P(X = x)P(Y = y) for every value of x and y independent? Solution We must check to see if P(X = x)P(Y = y) for every value of x and y independent? Solution We must check to see if P(X = x)P(Y = y) for every value of x and y independent? Solution We must check to see if P(X = x)P(Y = y) for every value of x and y independent?
this way, we can verify that P(X = x) = P(X = x) P(Y = y) Page 142 for every value of x and Y = y) = P(X = x) P(Y = y) for 1 \le x \le 2 and 4 \le y \le 5. Are X and Y are independent. Example 2.64 (Continuing Example 2.54.) The joint probability density function of the thickness X and hole diameter Y of a randomly chosen washer is P(X = x) P(Y = y) P(X = x) P(Y = y).
independent? Solution In Example 2.55 we computed the marginal probability mass functions Clearly f(x,y) \neq fX(x)fY(y). Therefore X and Y are not independent, it is useful to have a measure of the strength of the relationship between them. The population covariance is a measure of a
certain type of relationship known as a linear relationship. We will usually drop the term "population," and Y be random variables with means \mu X and Y be random variables with means \mu X and Y be random variables with means \mu X and Y be random variables with means \mu X and Y be random variables with means \mu X and Y be random variables with means \mu X and Y be random variables with means \mu X and Y be random variables with means \mu X and Y be random variables with means \mu X and Y be random variables with means \mu X and Y be random variables with means \mu X and Y be random variables with means \mu X and Y be random variables with means \mu X and Y be random variables with means \mu X and Y be random variables with means \mu X and Y be random variables with means \mu X and Y be random variables with means \mu X and \mu X and \mu X and \mu X are relationship.
section. It is important to note that the units of Cov(X,Y) are the units of X multiplied by the units of Y. How does the covariance measure the strength of the linear relationship between X and Y? The covariance measure the strength of the linear relationship between X and Y? The covariance measure the strength of the linear relationship between X and Y? The covariance measure the strength of the linear relationship between X and Y? The covariance measure the strength of the linear relationship between X and Y? The covariance measure the strength of the linear relationship between X and Y? The covariance measure the strength of the linear relationship between X and Y? The covariance measure the strength of the linear relationship between X and Y? The covariance measure the strength of the linear relationship between X and Y? The covariance measure the strength of the linear relationship between X and Y? The covariance measure the strength of the linear relationship between X and Y? The covariance measure the strength of the linear relationship between X and Y? The covariance measure the strength of the linear relationship between X and Y? The covariance measure the strength of the linear relationship between X and Y? The covariance measure the strength of the linear relationship between X and Y? The covariance measure the strength of the linear relationship between X and Y? The covariance measure the strength of the linear relationship between X and Y? The covariance measure the strength of the linear relationship between X and Y? The covariance measure the strength of the linear relationship between X and Y? The covariance measure the strength of the linear relationship between X and Y? The covariance measure the strength of the linear relationship between X and Y? The covariance measure the strength of the linear relationship between X and Y? The covariance measure the strength of the linear relationship between X and Y? The covariance measure the strength of the linear relationship between X and Y? The cov
product will be positive in the first and third quadrants, and negative in the second and fourth quadrants (see Figure 2.18). It follows that if Cov(X,Y) is strongly positive, then values of (X,Y) in the first and third quadrants will be observed much more often than values in the second and fourth quadrants. In a random sample of points, therefore, larger
values of X would tend to be paired with larger values of Y, while smaller values of Y (see Figure 2.18a). Similarly, if Cov(X,Y) is strongly negative, the points in a random sample would be more likely to lie in the second and fourth quadrants, so larger values of X would tend to be paired Page 143 with
smaller values of Y (see Figure 2.18b). Finally, if Cov(X,Y) is near 0, there would be little tendency for larger values of Y (see Figure 2.18c). FIGURE 2.18 (a) A random sample of points from a population with negative
covariance. (c) A random sample of points from a population with covariance near 0. Example 2.67 Continuing Example 2.56, a mobile computer is moving in the region A bounded by the x axis, the line x = 1, and the line y = x (see Figure 2.15). If (X,Y) denotes the position of the computer at a given time, the joint density of X and Y is given by Find
Cov(X,Y). Page 144 Solution We will use the formula Cov(X,Y) = \mu XY - \mu X\mu Y (Equation 2.71). First we compute the inner integral over this region, we fix a value of x, as shown. We compute the inner integral by integrating with respect to y along the vertical line through x. The limits
of integration along this line are y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute the outer integral are x = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x. Then we compute y = 0 to y = x.
145 Now Example 2.68 Quality-control checks on wood paneling involve counting the number of surface flaws due to inclusions of foreign particles in the finish. The
joint probability mass function p(x,y) of X and Y is presented in the following table. The marginal probability mass functions are presented as well, in the margins of the table. Find the covariance of X and Y. y x 0 1 2 PY(y) 0 0.05 0.05 0.25 0.35 1 0.10 0.15 0.10 0.35 2 0.20 0.05 0.35 0.25 0.40 Solution We will use the formula P(x,y) is P(x,y) of X and Y is presented in the margins of the table. Find the covariance of X and Y. y x 0 1 2 PY(y) 0 0.05 0.25 0.35 1 0.10 0.15 0.10 0.35 2 0.20 0.05 0.35 0.25 0.40 Solution We will use the formula P(x,y) of X and Y is presented in the following table.
\mu XY - \mu X\mu Y (Equation 2.71). First we compute \mu XY: We use the marginals to compute \mu X and Y are jointly distributed random variables, Cov(X,Y) = 0.65 - (1.05)(0.95) = -0.3475. Correlation If X and Y are jointly distributed random variables, Cov(X,Y) = 0.65 - (1.05)(0.95) = -0.3475. Correlation If X and Y are jointly distributed random variables, Cov(X,Y) = 0.65 - (1.05)(0.95) = -0.3475.
units, which are the units of X multiplied by the units of X multiplied by the units of Y. This is a serious drawback in practice, because one cannot use the covariances will have different units. What is needed is a measure of the strength of a linear relationship that is unitless
The population correlation is such a measure. We will usually drop the term "population," and Y by pX,Y. The correlation between X and Y by pX,Y. The correlation between the covariance, and
then gets rid of the units by dividing by the product of the standard deviations of X and Y. It can be proved that the correlation is always between -1 and 1 (see Exercise 29). Summary Let X and Y is denoted pX,Y and is given by (2.72) For any
two random variables X and Y: Example 2.69 Refer to Example 2.67. Find \rho X, Y. Solution In Example 2.67, we computed \rho X and \rho Y. Which were computed in Example 2.57. These are \rho X and \rho Y. To do this we use the marginal densities of X and \rho Y. Which were computed in Example 2.57. These are \rho X and \rho Y. Solution In Example 2.67, we computed \rho X and \rho Y. To do this we use the marginal densities of X and \rho Y. These are \rho X and \rho X are \rho X and \rho X. These are \rho X and \rho X are \rho X and \rho X are \rho X and \rho X are \rho X and \rho X.
4y3 for 0 < y < 1. We obtain Page 147 It follows that Example 2.68. Find \rho X, Y. Solution In Example 2.68. We computed \sigma X and \sigma Y. To do this we use the marginal densities of X and of Y, which were presented in the table in Example 2.68. We obtain It
follows that As an important special case, note that it follows immediately from the definition (Equation 2.70) that the covariance of any random variable and itself is 1. Page 148
Summary For any random variable X, and \rho X_i X = 1. Covariance, Correlation, and Independence When Cov(X_i,Y) = \rho X_i Y = 0, then it is always the case that \rho X_i X = 1. Covariance, Correlation, and Independence When Cov(X_i,Y) = \rho X_i Y = 0, then it is always the case that \rho X_i X = 1. Covariance, Correlation, and Independence When Cov(X_i,Y) = 0, then it is always the case that \rho X_i X = 0, and vice versa. If X and Y are independent random variables,
then X and Y are always uncorrelated, since there is no relationship, linear or otherwise, between them. It is mathematically possible to construct random variables that are uncorrelated but not independent. This phenomenon is rarely seen in practice, however. Summary If Cov(X,Y) = ρX,Y = 0, then X and Y are said to be uncorrelated.
Y are independent, then X and Y are uncorrelated. It is mathematically possible for X and Y to be uncorrelated without being independent. This rarely occurs in practice. A proof of the fact that independent random variables are always uncorrelated but not
 independent is presented in Exercise 22. Linear Combinations of Random Variables We discussed linear combinations of random variables in Section 2.5. We review the results here and include additional results on the variables and c1, ..., cn are constants, then
the random variable is called a linear combination of X1, ..., Xn. If X1, ..., Xn are random variables and c1, ..., Cn are constants, then (2.73) (2.74) Proofs of these results for the case n = 2 are presented at the end of this section. Page 149 Equation (2.74) is the most general result regarding the variance of a linear combination of random variables. As a
special case, note that if X1, ..., Xn are independent, then all the covariances are equal to 0, so the result simplifies: If X1, ..., Xn are independent random variables and c1, ..., Cn are constants, then (2.76) in which there are only two random variables: If X and Y
are random variables, then (2.77) (2.78) If X and Y are independent random variables, then (2.79) (2.80) Note that the wariances. Example 2.56.) Assume that the mobile computer moves from a random position (X,Y) vertically to the point
(X, 0), and then along the x axis to the origin. Find the mean and variance of the distance traveled is the sum X + Y. The means of X and of Y were compute 7 compute 7, we use Equation (2.77). In Example 2.67 we computed (X, Y) = 0.533. We compute (X, Y) = 0.533.
0.01778. In Example 2.69 we computed and . Therefore Page 150 The Mean and Variance of a Sample mean, which were presented in Section 2.5. When a simple random sample of numerical values is drawn from a population, each item in the sample can be thought of
as a random variable. Unless the sample is a large proportion (more than 5%) of the population, the items in the sample may be treated as independent random sample may be treated as independent (see the discussion of independent random sample may be treated as independent random sample
variables. If X1, ..., Xn is a simple random sample, then X1, ..., Xn may be treated as independent random variables, all with the same distribution. The most frequently encountered linear combination is the sample mean is the linear
combination Formulas for the mean and variance of may therefore be derived from Equations (2.73) and (2.75), respectively, by setting c1 = c2 = \cdots = cn = 1/n. If X1, ..., Xn is a simple random variable with (2.81) (2.82) The standard deviation of is (2.83)
Example 2.72 The article "Water Price Influence on Apartment Complex Water Use" (D. Agthe and R. Billings, Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management, 2002:366-369) discusses the volume of water used in apartments in 308 complexes in Tucson, Arizona. Page 151 The volume used per apartment during the summer had mean 20.4 m3
and standard deviation 11.1m3. Find the mean and standard deviation for the sample mean water use in a sample of 100 apartments. How many apartments must be sample of 100 apartments. Then
X1, ..., X100 come from a population with mean \mu = 20.4 and standard deviation \sigma = 11.1. We conclude that the sample mean has mean, and standard deviation to Portfolio Management Equation (2.74) and its variants play an important role in the field of
finance. Assume that an investor has a fixed number of dollars to invest. She may choose from a variety of investment; let X denote her profit (or loss). The value of X cannot be predicted with certainty, so economists treat it as a random variable. The mean µX
indicates the amount that the investment can be expected to earn on the average. The standard deviation \sigma X reflects the volatility, or risk, of the investment will earn close to its mean return \mu X, so the risk is large, the return can vary over a wide range, so the risk is high. In
general, if two investments have the same mean return, the one with the smaller standard deviation is preferable, since it earns the same return on the average with lower risk. Example 2.73 An investments. Let X and Y denote the returns on the two investments. Assume that \mu X = \mu Y = 0
$5, \sigma X = \sigma Y = \$2, and \rho X, Y = 0.5. Find the mean and standard deviation is. Now Cov(X,Y) = \rho X, Y\sigma x\sigma Y = (0.5)(2)(2) = 2. Therefore. It is instructive to compare the result of Example 2.73 with the result that would
Page 152 occur if the entire $200 were invested in a single investment. Example 2.74 analyzes that possibility. Example 2.74 in the investor in Example 2.74 in the investor in Example 2.74 in the investor in Example 2.75 invests in the investor in Example 2.76 invests in the investment.
result is the same if Y is chosen). Since $200, rather than $100, is invested, the return will be 2X. The mean returns of the two investment strategies are the same, but the standard deviation (i.e., risk) is lower when the investment capital is
divided between two investments. This is the principle of diversification. When two investments are available whose returns have the same mean and same risk, it is always advantageous to divide one's capital between them, rather than to invest in only one of them. Proof that µaX+b = aµx + b We assume that X is a continuous random variable with
density function f(x). Then The proof in the case that X is a discrete random variable is similar, with the integrals replaced by sums. Proof that \mu aX + bY = a\mu x + b\mu Y Let X and Y be jointly continuous with joint density f(x,y) and marginal density f(x,y) and marginal density f(x,y) and f
discrete is similar, with the integrals replaced by sums. Proof that We will use the notation E(X) interchangeably with \mu X, E(Y) interchangeably with \mu Y, and so forth. Let Y = aX + b. Then Proof of the equivalence of Equations (2.70) and (2.71)
We will use the notation E(X) interchangeably with \mu X, E(Y) interchangeably with \mu X, and Y are independent that E(X) interchangeably with E(X) interchangea
X and Y are jointly continuous with joint density f(x,y) and marginal densities f(x,y) and f(y). The proof in the case that X and Y are jointly discrete is similar, with the integrals replaced by sums. Exercises for Section 2.6 1. In a certain community, levels of air
pollution may exceed federal standards for ozone or for particulate matter on some days. For a particulate matter of days on which the particulate matter standard is exceeded and let Y be the number of days on which the particulate matter standard is exceeded and let Y be the number of days on which the particulate matter of days on which the particulate matter standard is exceeded. Assume that the joint probability mass function of X and Y is given in the
following table: x 0 1 2 2. 0 0.10 0.17 0.06 y 1 0.11 0.23 0.14 2 0.05 0.08 0.06 a. Find P(X \le 1). e. Find the probability that the standard for ozone is exceeded at least once. f. Find the probability that the standard for particulate matter is never exceeded. g. Find the probability that
neither standard is ever exceeded. Refer to Exercise 1. a. Find the marginal probability mass function pX(x). b. Find σY. g. h. Find σY. g. 
function pY|X(y|0). b. 4. Find the conditional probability mass function E(X \mid X = 0). d. Find the conditional expectation E(X \mid X = 0). d. Find the conditional expectation E(X \mid X = 0). d. Find the conditional expectation E(X \mid X = 0). d. Find the conditional expectation E(X \mid X = 0). d. Find the conditional expectation E(X \mid X = 0). d. Find the conditional expectation E(X \mid X = 0). d. Find the conditional expectation E(X \mid X = 0). d. Find the conditional expectation E(X \mid X = 0). d. Find the conditional expectation E(X \mid X = 0). d. Find the conditional expectation E(X \mid X = 0). d. Find the conditional expectation E(X \mid X = 0). d. Find the conditional expectation E(X \mid X = 0). d. Find the conditional expectation E(X \mid X = 0). d. Find the conditional expectation E(X \mid X = 0). d. Find the conditional expectation E(X \mid X = 0). d. Find the conditional expectation E(X \mid X = 0). d. Find the conditional expectation E(X \mid X = 0). d. Find the conditional expectation E(X \mid X = 0). d. Find the conditional expectation E(X \mid X = 0). d. Find the conditional expectation E(X \mid X = 0). d. Find the conditional expectation E(X \mid X = 0). d. Find the conditional expectation E(X \mid X = 0). d. Find the conditional expectation E(X \mid X = 0). d. Find the conditional expectation E(X \mid X = 0). d. Find the conditional expectation E(X \mid X = 0).
clearance and let Y be the number with too much clearance. The joint probability mass function of X. Find the marginal probability mass function of Y. Are X and Y independent? Explain. Find µX and µY. e. Find σX and σY. 2
0.11\ 0.05\ 0.04\ 0.02\ 3\ 0.10\ 0.04\ 0.02\ 3\ 0.10\ 0.04\ 0.02\ 0.01\ f. Find Cov(X, Y), g. Find p(X, Y). Refer to Exercise 4. The total number of assemblies that fail to meet specifications is X + Y, a. Find p(X, Y). Refer to Exercise 4. The total number of assemblies that fail to meet specifications is X + Y, a. Find p(X, Y). Refer to Exercise 4. The total number of assemblies that fail to meet specifications is X + Y. a. Find p(X, Y). Refer to Exercise 4. The total number of assemblies that fail to meet specifications is X + Y. a. Find p(X, Y). Refer to Exercise 4. The total number of assemblies that fail to meet specifications is X + Y. a. Find p(X, Y). Refer to Exercise 4. The total number of assemblies that fail to meet specifications is X + Y. a. Find p(X, Y). Refer to Exercise 4. The total number of assemblies that fail to meet specifications is X + Y. a. Find p(X, Y). Refer to Exercise 4. The total number of assemblies that fail to meet specifications is X + Y. a. Find p(X, Y). Refer to Exercise 4. The total number of assemblies that fail to meet specifications is X + Y. a. Find p(X, Y). Refer to Exercise 4. The total number of assemblies that fail to meet specifications is X + Y. a. Find p(X, Y). Refer to Exercise 4. The total number of assemblies that fail to meet specifications is X + Y. a. Find p(X, Y). Refer to Exercise 4. The total number of assemblies that fail to meet specifications is X + Y. a. Find p(X, Y). Refer to Exercise 4. The total number of assemblies that fail to meet specifications is X + Y. a. Find p(X, Y). Refer to Exercise 4. The total number of assemblies that fail to meet specifications is X + Y. a. Find p(X, Y). Refer to Exercise 4. The total number of assemblies that fail to meet specifications is X + Y. a. Find p(X, Y). Refer to Exercise 4. The total number of assemblies that fail to meet specifications is X + Y. a. The total number of assemblies that fail to meet specifications is X + Y. a. The total number of assemblies that fail to meet specifications is X + Y. a. The
probability mass function pY|X(y | 2) c. Find the conditional expectation E(Y | X = 1). 7. 8. d. Find the conditional expectation E(X | Y = 2). Refer to Exercise 4. Assume that the cost of repairing an assembly whose clearance is too much is $3. a. Express the total cost of repairs in
terms of X and Y. b. Find the mean of the total cost of repairs. c. Find the standard deviation of the total cost of repairs. The number of customers in line at a supermarket express checkout counter is a random variable whose probability mass function is given in the following table. x 0 1 2 3 4 5 p(x) 0.10 0.250.30 0.20 0.10 0.05 For each customer, the
number of items to be purchased is a random variable with probability mass function y 1 2 3 4 5 6 p(y) 0.05 0.150.25 0.30 0.15 0.10 9. Let X denote the number of items purchased by one customers in line, and let Y denote the number of items purchased by one customers in line, and let Y denote the number of items purchased by one customers in line, and let Y denote the number of items purchased by one customers in line.
 expectation E(Y | X = 4). d. Find the conditional expectation E(X | Y = 3). 12. Automobile engines and transmissions are produced on assembly lines. Those with defects are repaired. Let X represent the number of engines, and Y the number of transmissions that require repairs in a
one-hour time interval. The joint probability mass function pY(y). c. Find \muX. d. Find \muY. e. Find \sigmaX. f. Find \sigmaY. g. h. Find Cov(X, Y). Find \rhoX, Y. 3 0.03
b. Find P(X > 1). Find the marginal probability density functions P(X). Find the marginal probability density functions P(X). Find P(X). Fi
independent? Explain. 19. Refer to Exercise 18. a. Find Cov(X, Y). b. Find \rho X, Y. c. Find the conditional expectation E(Y \mid X = 0.5). d. Find the conditional expectation E(Y \mid X = 0.5). d. Find the conditional expectation E(Y \mid X = 0.5). d. Find the conditional expectation E(Y \mid X = 0.5). d. Find the conditional expectation E(Y \mid X = 0.5). d. Find the conditional expectation E(Y \mid X = 0.5). d. Find the conditional expectation E(Y \mid X = 0.5). d. Find the conditional expectation E(Y \mid X = 0.5). d. Find the conditional expectation E(Y \mid X = 0.5). d. Find the conditional expectation E(Y \mid X = 0.5). d. Find the conditional expectation E(Y \mid X = 0.5). d. Find the conditional expectation E(Y \mid X = 0.5). d. Find the conditional expectation E(Y \mid X = 0.5). d. Find the conditional expectation E(Y \mid X = 0.5). d. Find the conditional expectation E(Y \mid X = 0.5). d. Find the conditional expectation E(Y \mid X = 0.5). d. Find the conditional expectation E(Y \mid X = 0.5). d. Find the conditional expectation E(Y \mid X = 0.5). d. Find the conditional expectation E(Y \mid X = 0.5). d. Find the conditional expectation E(Y \mid X = 0.5). d. Find the conditional expectation E(Y \mid X = 0.5). d. Find the conditional expectation E(Y \mid X = 0.5). d. Find the conditional expectation E(Y \mid X = 0.5). d. Find the conditional expectation E(Y \mid X = 0.5). d. Find the conditional expectation E(Y \mid X = 0.5). d. Find the conditional expectation E(Y \mid X = 0.5). d. Find the conditional expectation E(Y \mid X = 0.5). d. Find the conditional expectation E(Y \mid X = 0.5). d. Find the conditional expectation E(Y \mid X = 0.5).
components last longer than one month? Find the marginal probability density functions fX(x) and fY(y). c. Are X and Y independent, are available. As soon as the first component fails, it is replaced with
density function over that region.) 22. Here are two random variables that are uncorrelated but not independent. Let X and Y have the definition of independent of X).
the second. d. Find the value of K that minimizes the risk in part (c). e. Prove that the value of K that minimizes the risk in part (c) is the same for any a. correlation \rho X, Y \neq 1.24. The height H and radius R (in cm) of a cylindrical can are random with joint probability density function The volume of a can is V = \pi R^2 H. Find \mu V. 25. Let R denote the risk in part (c) is the same for any a.
the resistance of a resistor that is selected at random from a population of resistors that are labeled 100 \Omega. The true population mean resistance is measured twice with an ohmmeter. Let M1 and M2 denote the measured values. Then M1 = R + E1 and M2 = R
 + E2, where E1 and E2 are the errors in the measurements. Suppose that E1 and E2 are random with and . Further suppose that E1, E2, and R are independent. a. Find and . b. Show that . c. Show that . d. Use the results of (b) and (c) to show that . d. Use the results of (b) and E2 are random variable, prove that E1, E2, and R are independent. a. Find and . b. Show that . d. Use the results of (b) and (c) to show that . d. Use the results of (b) and (c) to show that . d. Use the results of (b) and (c) to show that . d. Use the results of (b) and (c) to show that . d. Use the results of (b) and (c) to show that . d. Use the results of (b) and (c) to show that . d. Use the results of (b) and (c) to show that . d. Use the results of (b) and (c) to show that . d. Use the results of (b) and (c) to show that . d. Use the results of (b) and (c) to show that . d. Use the results of (b) and (c) to show that . d. Use the results of (b) and (c) to show that . d. Use the results of (b) and (c) to show that . d. Use the results of (b) and (c) to show that . d. Use the results of (b) and (c) to show that . d. Use the results of (b) and (c) to show that . d. Use the results of (b) and (c) to show that . d. Use the results of (b) and (c) to show that . d. Use the results of (b) and (c) to show that . d. Use the results of (b) and (c) to show that . d. Use the results of (b) and (c) to show that . d. Use the results of (b) and (c) to show that . d. Use the results of (b) and (c) to show that . d. Use the results of (b) and (c) to show that . d. Use the results of (b) and (c) to show that . d. Use the results of (b) and (c) to show that . d. Use the results of (b) and (c) to show that . d. Use the results of (b) and 
constants. a. Prove that Cov(aX, bY) = ab Cov(X, Y). b. Prove that if a > 0 and b > 0, then \rho aX, bY = \rho X, Y. Conclude that the correlation coefficient is unaffected by changes in units. 28. Let X, Y, and Z be jointly distributed random variables. Prove that Cov(X, Y) = Cov(X, Z) + Cov(Y, Z). (Hint: Use Equation 2.71.) 29. Let X and Y be jointly distributed random variables.
random variables. This exercise leads you through a proof of the fact that V(X - (\sigma X/\sigma Y)Y) in terms of \sigma x, \sigma Y, and Cov(X, Y) = \rho X, Y \sigma X \sigma Y to show that \rho X, Y \leq 1. c. Repeat parts (a) and (b) using V(X + (\sigma X/\sigma Y)Y) to show that \rho X, Y \geq -1. 30. The oxygen
 equivalence number of a weld is a number that can be used to predict properties such as hardness, strength, and ductility. The article "Advances in Oxygen Equivalence Equations for Predicting the Properties such as hardness, strength, and ductility. The article "Advances in Oxygen Equivalence Equations for Predicting the Properties of Titanium Welds" (D. Harwig, W. Ittiwattana, and H. Castner, The Welding Journal, 2001:126s-136s) presents several equations for
computing the oxygen equivalence number of a weld. An equation designed to predict the strength of a weld is X = 1.12C + 2.69N + O - 0.21 Fe, where X is the oxygen equivalence, and C, N, O, and Fe are the amounts of carbon, nitrogen, oxygen, and iron, respectively, in weight percent, in the weld. Suppose that for welds of a certain type, μC =
Find \sigma X. 31. Refer to Exercise 30. An equation to predict the ductility of a titanium weld is Y = 7.84C + 11.44N + O - 1.58Fe, where Y is the oxygen equivalence used to predict ductility, and C, N, O, and Fe are the amounts of carbon, nitrogen, oxygen, and iron, respectively, in weight percent, in the weld. Using the means, standard deviations, and
correlations presented in Exercise 30, find \muY and \sigmaY. 32. Let X and Y be jointly continuous with joint probability densities fX(x) and fY(y). Suppose that f(x, y) = g(x)h(y) where g(x) is a function of x alone, h(y) is a function of y alone, and both g(x) and h(y) are nonnegative. a. Show that there exists a positive
constant c such that f(x) = cg(x) and f(y) = (1/c)h(y). b. Use part (a) to show that X and Y are independent. 33. Let a, b, c, d be any numbers with a < b and c < d. Let k be a constant, and let X and Y be jointly continuous with joint probability density function.
rectangle. a. Show that . b. Show that . b. Show that the marginal density of Y is fX(x) = 1/(d-c) for c < y < d. d. Use parts (a), (b), and (c) to show that X and Y are independent. Page 159 Supplementary Exercises for Chapter 2 1. A system consists of four components connected as shown. 2.
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Assume A, B, C, and D function independently. If the probabilities that A, B, C, and D fail are 0.1, 0.2, 0.05, and 0.3, respectively, what is the probability that more than three tosses are necessary? Silicon wafers are used in the manufacture of
integrated circuits. Of the wafers manufactured by a certain process, 10% have resistance of a randomly chosen wafer does not meet the specification. a. What is the probability that the resistance of a randomly chosen wafer does not meet the specification.
what is the probability that it is too low? Two production lines are used to pack sugar into 5 kg bags. Line 1 produces twice as many bags as does line 2. One percent of the bags from line 2 are defective. A bag is randomly chosen for inspection. a. What is the
probability that it came from line 1? b. What is the probability that it came from line 1? d. If the bag is not defective, what is the probability that it came from line 1? d. If the bag is not defective, what is the probability that it came from line 1? d. If the bag is not defective, what is the probability that it came from line 1? d. If the bag is not defective, what is the probability that it came from line 1? d. If the bag is not defective, what is the probability that it came from line 1? d. If the bag is not defective, what is the probability that it came from line 1? d. If the bag is not defective, what is the probability that it came from line 1? d. If the bag is not defective, what is the probability that it came from line 1? d. If the bag is not defective, what is the probability that it came from line 1? d. If the bag is not defective, what is the probability that it came from line 1? d. If the bag is not defective, what is the probability that it came from line 1? d. If the bag is not defective, what is the probability that it came from line 1? d. If the bag is not defective, what is the probability that it came from line 1? d. If the bag is not defective, what is the probability that it came from line 1? d. If the bag is not defective, what is the probability that it came from line 1? d. If the bag is not defective, what is the probability that it came from line 1? d. If the bag is not defective, what is the probability that it came from line 1? d. If the bag is not defective, what is the probability that it came from line 1? d. If the bag is not defective, what is the probability that it came from line 1? d. If the bag is not defective, what is the probability that it came from line 1? d. If the bag is not defective, what is the probability that it came from line 1? d. If the bag is not defective, what is the probability that it came from line 1? d. If the bag is not defective, whether the line is not defective is not defective.
meets a specification. If any of the four fail to meet the specification, what is the probability that the load will be returned? In a certain type of automobile engine, the cylinder head is fastened to the block by 10 bolts, each of which should be torqued to 60 N
· m. Assume that the torques of the bolts are independent. a. If each bolt is torqued correctly with probability that all the probability that all the probability that all the probability that a bolt is torqued correctly in order to
reach this goal? An electronic message consists of a string of bits (0s and 1s). The message must pass through two relays before being received. At each relay the probability is 0.1 that the bit 8. 9. will be reversed before being received at its final
destination is the same as the value of the bit that was sent. The reading given by a thermometer calibrated in ice water (actual temperature 0°C) is a random variable with probability that the thermometer reads above 0°C? c. What is the probability that the thermometer reads above 0°C? c. What is the probability that the thermometer reads above 0°C? c. What is the probability that the thermometer reads above 0°C? c. What is the probability that the thermometer reads above 0°C? c. What is the probability that the thermometer reads above 0°C? c. What is the probability that the thermometer reads above 0°C? c. What is the probability that the thermometer reads above 0°C? c. What is the probability that the thermometer reads above 0°C? c. What is the probability that the thermometer reads above 0°C? c. What is the probability that the thermometer reads above 0°C? c. What is the probability that the thermometer reads above 0°C? c. What is the probability that the thermometer reads above 0°C? c. What is the probability that the thermometer reads above 0°C? c. What is the probability that the thermometer reads above 0°C? c. What is the probability that the thermometer reads above 0°C? c. What is the probability that the probability th
reading is within 0.25°C of the actual temperature? d. What is the mean reading? e. What is the median reading? f. What is the probability that one of the dice comes up 6? Page 160 10. In a lot of 10 components, 2 are sampled at random for inspection.
Assume that in fact exactly 2 of the 10 components in the lot are defective. Let X be the number of sampled components that are defective. Let X be the number of X. f. Find the mean of X. f. Find the standard deviation of X. f. Find P(X = 1). c. 
the lifetime of the first fuse, and let Y denote the lifetime of the second fuse (both in years). Assume the joint probability density function of X. d. Find the marginal probability density function of Y. e. Are
X and Y independent? Explain. 12. Let A and B be events with P(A) = 0.3 and P(A \cup B) = 0.7. a. For what value of P(B) will A and B be mutually exclusive? b. For what value of P(B) will A and B be mutually exclusive? b. For what value of P(B) will A and B be independent? 13. A snowboard manufacturer has three plants, one in the eastern United States, one in the western United States, and one in Canada.
manufactured in the United States? 14. The article "Traps in Mineral Valuations—Proceed With Care" (W. Lonegan, Journal of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, 2001:18-22) models the value (in millions of dollars) of a mineral deposit yet to be mined as a random variable X with probability mass function p(x) given by p(10) = 0.40
p(60) = 0.50, p(80) = 0.10, and p(x) = 0.10,
graduates are hired by an engineering firm. Each is assigned at random to one of six cubicles arranged in a row in the back of the room that houses the engineering staff. Two of the graduates are Bill and Cathy. What is the probability that they are assigned adjacent cubicles? 16. A closet contains four pairs of shoes. If four shoes are chosen at
random, what is the probability that the chosen shoes do not contain a pair? 17. Let X and Y be independent random variables with \muX = 2, \sigmaX = 1, \muY = 2, and \sigmaY = 3. Find the means and variables with \muX = 1, \sigmaX = 2, \muY = 3, \sigmaY = 1, and
\rho X, Y = 0.5. Find the means and variances of the following quantities a. X + Y b. X - Y c. 3X + 2Y d. 5Y - 2X 19. A steel manufacturer is testing a new additive for manufacturing an alloy of steel. The joint probability mass function of tensile strength (in thousands of pounds/in2) and additive concentration is Concentration of Additive 0.02 0.04 0.06
0.08 Tensile Strength 100 150 200 0.05 0.06 0.11 0.01 0.08 0.10 0.04 0.08 0.17 0.04 0.08 0.17 0.04 0.12 What are the marginal probability mass functions for X (additive concentration of 0.04, what is the probability that its strength is 150 or
more? d. Given that a specimen has an additive concentration of 0.08, what is the probability that its tensile strength to be 175 or more. What additive concentration should be used to make the probability of meeting this specification the greatest? 20. Refer to Exercise 19. a.
Find \mu X. a. b. Find \mu Y. c. Find \sigma X. d. Find \sigma Y. e. f. Find \sigma Y. e. f.
three shifts per day. Of all the items produced on the first shift, 30% on the second shift, and 20% on the second shift are defective, while 2% of the items produced on the second shift and 3% of the items produced on the first shift, 30% on the second shift, and 20% on the second shift are defective. a. An item is
sampled at random from the day's production, and it turns out to be defective. What is the probability that it was manufactured during the first shift? b. An item is sampled at random from the day's production, and it turns out not to be defective. What is the probability that it was manufactured during the first shift? 23. The article "Uncertainty and
Climate Change" (G. Heal and B. Kriström, Environmental and Resource Economics, 2002:3-39) considers three scenarios, labeled A, B, and C, for the impact of global warming on income. For each scenario, a probability mass function for the loss of income is specified. These are presented in the following table. Loss (%) 0 2 5 10 15 20 25 a. b.
Scenario A 0.65 0 0.2 0 0.1 0 0.05 Probability Scenario B 0.65 0 0.24 0 0.1 0.01 0 Scenario C 0.65 0.24 0.1 0.1 0 Scenario C 0.65 0.24 0.1 0 Scenario C 0.65 0.24
 Under each scenario, compute the probability that the loss is less than 10%. 24. Refer to Exercise 23. Assume that the probability that scenario A occurs and that the loss is 5%. b. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probability that the loss is 5%. c. Find the probabili
that scenario A occurs given that the loss is 5%. 25. A box contains four 75 W lightbulbs, and three burned-out lightbulbs, and three burned-out lightbulbs, and three burned-out lightbulbs, and three burned-out lightbulbs. Two bulbs selected at random from the hox. Let X represent the number of 60 W bulbs selected. a. Find the joint probability mass function of X and let Y represent the number of 75 W bulbs selected. a.
Y. b. Find µX. c. Find µY. d. Find σX. e. Find σY. f. g. Find Cov(X,Y). Find σX. f. g. Find Cov(X,Y). Find σX. f. g. Find σX. e. Find σX. e. Find σX. e. Find σX. e. Find σX. f. g. Find σX. f. g. Find cov(X,Y). Find parts per ten million, in a randomly selected
bottle of solution. Assume that the joint probability density function of X and Y is given by a. b. Find the conditional density function fX(x). c. Compute the conditional density function fX(x) density fX(x)
Explain. 27. Refer to Exercise 26. a. Find µX. b. c. d. Find Cov(X, Y). Find pX,Y. 28. A fair coin is tossed five times. Which sequence is more likely, HTTHH or HHHHH? Or are they equally likely? Explain. 29. A penny and a nickel are tossed. The penny has probability 0.4 of coming up heads, and the nickel has probability 0.6 of coming up heads.
Let X = 1 if the penny comes up heads, and let X = 0 if the probability mass function of X. b. Find the probability mass function of Y. c. Is it reasonable to assume that X and Y are independent? Why? d. Find the joint probability mass
function of X and Y. 30. Two fair dice are rolled. Let X represent the number on the first die, and let Y represent the number on the first card being replaced before the second card is drawn. Let X represent the number on the first cards are chosen at random, with the first card being replaced before the second card is drawn. Let X represent the number on the first cards are chosen at random, with the first card being replaced before the second card is drawn. Let X represent the number on the first cards are chosen at random, with the first card being replaced before the second card is drawn. Let X represent the number on the first card being replaced before the second card is drawn.
and let Y represent the number on the second card. a. Find the joint probability mass function of X and Y. b. Find the joint probability mass functions pX(x) and pY(y). c. Find pX and pY(y). c. Find the joint probability mass functions pX(x) and pY(y). d. Find the joint probability mass functions pX(x) and pY(y). c. Find pX and pY(y). d. Find the joint probability mass functions pX(x) and pY(y). d. Find the joint probability mass functions pX(x) and pY(y). d. Find pX and pX(x) and 
function of X and Y. b. Find the marginal probability mass functions pX(x) and pY(y). c. Find \muX e. Find Cov(X,Y). 33. This exercise will lead you through a proof of Chebyshev's inequality. Let X be a continuous random variable with probability density function f(x). Suppose that P(X < 0) = 0, so f(x) = 0 for x \le 0. a. Show
inequality to prove Chebyshev's inequality: P(|Y - \mu Y| \ge k\sigma Y) \le 1/k2. d. e. f. 34. A circle is drawn with radius R, where \mu R = 10 and \pi R = 10 and
number of tests needed. Part of each blood sample is taken, and these parts are combined to form a pooled sample is then tested to see which of them have the
disease. a. Let X represent the number of tests that are carried out. What are the possible values of X? b. Assume that n = 4 individuals are to be tested, and the probability that each has the disease, independent of the others, is p = 0.1. Find \muX. c. d. Repeat part (b) with n = 6 and p = 0.2. Express \muX as a function of n = 0.2. Express \muX as a function of n = 0.2. Express n = 0.2. Exp
more economical than performing individual tests? Page 164 Chapter 3 Propagation of Error Introduction Measurement is fundamental to scientific work. Scientists and engineers often perform calculations with measured
quantities, for example, computing the density of an object by dividing a measurement of its volume, or computing the area of a rectangle by multiplying measurement of its volume, or computing the area of a rectangle by multiplying measurement of its volume, or computing the area of a rectangle by multiplying measurement of its volume, or computing the area of a rectangle by multiplying measurement of its volume, or computing the area of a rectangle by multiplying measurement of its volume, or computing the area of a rectangle by multiplying measurement of its volume, or computing the area of a rectangle by multiplying measurement of its volume, or computing the area of a rectangle by multiplying measurement of its volume, or computing the area of a rectangle by multiplying measurement of its volume, or computing the area of a rectangle by multiplying measurement of its volume, or computing the area of a rectangle by multiplying measurement of its volume, or computing the area of a rectangle by multiplying measurement of its volume, or computing the area of a rectangle by multiplying measurement of its volume, or computing the area of a rectangle by multiplying measurement of its volume, or computing the area of a rectangle by multiplying measurement of its volume, or computing the area of a rectangle by multiplying measurement of its volume, or computing the area of a rectangle by multiplying measurement of its volume, or computing the area of a rectangle by multiplying measurement of its volume, or computing the area of a rectangle by multiplying measurement of its volume, or computing the area of a rectangle by multiplying measurement of its volume, or computing the area of a rectangle by multiplying measurement of its volume, or computing the area of a rectangle by multiplying measurement of its volume, or computing the area of a rectangle by multiplying measurement of a rectangle by
rectangle, there are methods for obtaining knowledge concerning the likely size of the error in a calculated quantity such as the topic of this chapter. 3.1 Measurement Error A geologist is weighing a rock on a scale. She weighs the rock five times and obtains the following
magnitude of the error due to interpolation is likely to be positive for some measurement and is likely to be positive for some measurement and is likely to be positive for some measurement as being composed of two
parts, the systematic error, or bias, and the random error. The bias is the part of the error that is the same for every measurement, and averages out to zero in the long run. Some sources of error contribute both to bias and to random error. For example, consider
 parallax error. Parallax is the difference in the apparent position of the dial indicator when observed from different angles. The magnitude of the position will vary somewhat from reading to reading, parallax contributes to random error
 If the observer tends to lean somewhat to one side rather than another, parallax will contribute to bias as well. Any measurement can be considered to be the sum of the true value plus contribute to bias as well. Any measurement can be considered to be the sum of the true value plus contribute to bias as well. Any measurement error
 We model each measured value as a random variable, drawn from a population of possible measurement. The mean μ of the population represents that part of the measurement that is the same for every measurement. Therefore, μ is the sum of the true value and the bias. The standard deviation σ of the population is the standard deviation of the measurement.
random error. It represents the variation that is due to the fact that each measurement has a different value for its random error. Intuitively, or represents the variation that is due to the fact that each measurement has a difference between the measurement has a difference betwee
measurement μ and the true value being measured. The smaller the bias, the more accurate the measuring process is said to be unbiased. The other aspect of the measuring process that is of interest is the precision. Precision refers to the degree to which repeated
measurements of the same quantity tend to agree with each other. If repeated measurement process. The smaller the value of σ, the more precise the
measuring process. Engineers and scientists often refer to σ as the random uncertainty in the measuring process is the difference between the measuring process. We will refer to σ simply as the uncertainty in the measuring process is the difference between the measuring process.
mean measurement and the true value: 

The uncertainty in the measuring process. The smaller the measuring process. The smaller the measuring process is the standard deviation σ. The smaller the measuring process. The smaller the measuring process and uncertainty in the measuring process.
           with it, in order to describe the accuracy and precision of the measurement. It is in general easier to estimate the uncertainty than the bias. Figure 3.1 allustrates a hypothetical experiment involving repeated measurements, under differing conditions regarding bias and uncertainty. The sets of
measurements in Figure 3.1a and b are fairly close together, indicating that the uncertainty is small. (b) Bias is small. (c) Bias is small. (c) Bias is small; uncertainty is small. FIGURE 3.1 (a) Both bias and uncertainty are small.
bias and uncertainty are large. FIGURE 3.2 We can estimate the uncertainty from the set of repeated measurements, but without knowing the true value being measured. Thus the plot of measurements shown in Figure 3.1 would look like Figure 3.2. We can still
determine that the sets of measurements in Figure 3.2a and b have smaller uncertainty. But without additional information about the true value, we cannot estimate the bias. We conclude from Figures 3.1 and 3.2 that uncertainty can be estimated from repeated measurements, but in order to estimate the bias, we must have additional information
about the true value. We might obtain this additional information, for example, by repeatedly measuring a standard quantity whose true value. Another way to estimate the bias would be to compare the average of a large number
of measurements to a measurement made with a more elaborate process for which the bias is known to be negligible. Estimating Page 167 the bias is essentially the process of calibration, for which information external to the measuring device is needed. Example 3.1 A laboratory sample of gas is known to have a carbon monoxide (CO) concentration
of 50 parts per million (ppm). A spectrophotometer is used to take five independent measurements of this concentration. The five measurements are regarded as a random sample from the population of
possible measurements. The bias is equal to the mean of this population minus the true value of 50. The uncertainty is the standard deviation of the population, but we can approximate them with the mean and standard deviation of the sample. The mean of the five measurements is
50.4. Therefore we estimate the bias to be 50.4 - 50 = 0.4 ppm. The standard deviation of the five measurement to be 2.8 ppm. Therefore we estimate the uncertainty in each measurement to be 2.8 ppm. Therefore we estimate the uncertainty in each measurement to be 2.8 ppm. Therefore we estimate the uncertainty in each measurement to be 2.8 ppm. Therefore we estimate the uncertainty in each measurement to be 2.8 ppm. Therefore we estimate the uncertainty in each measurement to be 2.8 ppm. Therefore we estimate the uncertainty in each measurement to be 2.8 ppm. Therefore we estimate the uncertainty in each measurement to be 2.8 ppm. Therefore we estimate the uncertainty in each measurement to be 2.8 ppm. Therefore we estimate the uncertainty in each measurement to be 2.8 ppm. Therefore we estimate the uncertainty in each measurement to be 2.8 ppm. Therefore we estimate the uncertainty in each measurement to be 2.8 ppm. Therefore we estimate the uncertainty in each measurement to be 2.8 ppm. Therefore we estimate the uncertainty in each measurement to be 2.8 ppm. Therefore we estimate the uncertainty in each measurement to be 2.8 ppm. Therefore we estimate the uncertainty in each measurement to be 2.8 ppm. Therefore we estimate the uncertainty in each measurement to be 2.8 ppm. Therefore we estimate the uncertainty in each measurement to be 2.8 ppm. Therefore we estimate the uncertainty in each measurement to be 2.8 ppm. Therefore we estimate the uncertainty in each measurement to be 2.8 ppm. Therefore we estimate the uncertainty in each measurement to be 2.8 ppm. Therefore we estimate the uncertainty in each measurement to be 2.8 ppm. Therefore we estimate the uncertainty in each measurement to be 2.8 ppm. Therefore we estimate the uncertainty in each measurement to be 2.8 ppm. Therefore we estimate the uncertainty in each measurement to be 2.8 ppm.
sample is unknown. Five measurements are made (in ppm). They are 62, 63, 61, 62, and 59. Estimate the uncertainty in a measurement from this spectrophotometer. Can we estimate the bias? Solution The uncertainty in a measurement from this spectrophotometer.
estimate the bias, we would have to subtract the true concentration from this. Since we do not know the true concentration, we cannot estimate the bias. In practice, estimates of uncertainty are sometimes very crude. In Examples 3.1 and 3.2 we suggested estimating the uncertainty or with the sample standard deviation of five measurements.
Estimates based on small samples like this are sometimes widely off the mark. When Page 168 possible, it is best to base estimate from a small sample is better than none at all. Summary Let X1, ..., Xn be independent measurements, all made by the same process on the same quantity.
sample standard deviation s can be used to estimate the uncertainty. 

Estimates of uncertainty are often crude, especially when based on small samples. 

If the true value is unknown, the bias cannot be estimated from repeated measurements. An
important example of bias estimation is the calibration of scales in supermarkets and other commercial establishments to ensure that they do not systematically over-or underweigh goods sold to customers. This calibration procedure follows a chain of comparisons with external standards, beginning at the county level and ending up near Paris,
France, where the world's ultimate standard for weight (technically mass) is located. This is the International Prototype Kilogram, located at the National Institute of Standards and Technology in Washington, serves as the standard for measures in the
United States. Use of this replica, rather than The Kilogram, introduces a bias into every measure of weight in the U.S. replica appears to be lighter than The Kilogram by about 19 parts in a billion. For this reason, all weight
measurements made at the National Institute of Standards and Technology are adjusted upward by 19 parts in a billion to compensate. Note that this adjustment factor could not have been estimated by repeated weighing of the replica; comparison with an external standard was required. From here on we will assume, unless otherwise stated, that
bias has been reduced to a negligible level. We will describe measurements in the form (3.2) where α and b are numbers. It is important to realize that expressions containing the symbol ± can have many meanings. The meaning here is
that a is a measured value and b is the uncertainty in a. Some people use a ± b to indicate that b is a multiple of the uncertainty, typically two or three times the uncertainty, typically two or three times the uncertainty. Yet another meaning will be presented in Chapter 5, where we will use the notation a ± b to denote a confidence interval, which is
an interval computed in a way so as to be likely to contain the true value. Whenever you encounter the symbol ±, you should be Page 169 sure to understand the context in which it is used. Example 3.3 The spectrophotometer in Example 3.1 has been recalibrated, so we may assume that the bias is negligible. The spectrophotometer is now used to
measure the CO concentration in another gas sample. The measurement is 55.1 ppm. How should this measurement from the repeated measurement from this instrument was estimated to be 2.8 ppm. Therefore we report the CO concentration in this gas sample as 55.1 ± 2.8
ppm. Exercises for Section 3.1 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. The boiling point of water is measured four times. The results are 110.01°C, 110.02°C, 109.99°C, and 110.01°C. Which of the following statements best describes this measuring process? i. Accurate but not precise ii. Precise but not accurate iii. Neither accurate nor precise iv. Both accurate and precise Two
thermometers are calibrated by measuring the freezing point of glacial acetic acid, which is 16.6°C. Equal numbers of measurements are taken with each thermometer is 16.4 ± 0.2°C and the result from the second thermometer is 16.8 ± 0.1°C. a. Is it possible to tell which thermometer is more accurate? If so,
say which one. If not, explain why. b. Is it possible to tell which thermometer is more precise? If so, say which one. If not, explain why. The weight of an object is 67.2 g. c. The bias in the measurement is 0.3 g. d. The uncertainty in the
measurement is 0.3 g. For some measuring processes, the uncertainty is approximately proportional to the value of the measurement. For example, a certain scale is said to have an uncertainty in this measurement in grams. b. Given that the reading
is 50 g, express the uncertainty in this measurement in grams. A person stands on a bathroom scale, the reading is 2 lb, a, Is it possible to estimate the uncertainty in this measurement? If so, estimate it, If not, explain why not, b, Is it possible to estimate the bias in this measurement? If
so, estimate it. If not, explain why not. A person gets on and off a bathroom scale four times. The four reading is 2 lb. a. Is it possible to estimate the uncertainty in these measurements? If so, estimate it. If not, explain why not. b. Is it possible to estimate
the bias in these measurements? If so, estimate it. If not, explain why not. In a hypothetical scenario, the National Institute of Standards and Technology has received a new replica of The Kilogram. It is weighed five times. The measurements are as follows, in units of micro-grams above 1 kg: 114.3, 82.6, 136.4, 126.8, 100.7. a. Is it possible to
estimate the uncertainty in these measurements? If so, estimate it. If not, explain why not. 8. The Kilogram is now weighed five times on a different scale. The measurements are as follows, in units of micrograms above 1 kg: 25.6, 26.8, 26.2,
26.8, 25.4. a. Is it possible to estimate the uncertainty in these measurements? If so, estimate it. If not, explain why not. 9. A new and unknown weight is weighed on the same scale that was used in Exercise 8, and the measurement is 127 µg above 1
kg. Using the information in Exercise 8, is it possible to come up with a more accurate measurement? If so, what is it? If not, explain why not. 10. The article "Calibration of an FTIR Spectrometer" (P. Pankratz, Statistical Case Studies for Industrial and Process Improvement, SIAM-ASA, 1997: 19-38) describes the use of a spectrometer to make five
measurements of the carbon content (in ppm) of a certain silicon wafer whose true carbon content was known to be 1.1447 ppm. The measurements? If so, estimate it. If not, explain why not. b. Is it possible to estimate the bias in
these measurements? If so, estimate it. If not, explain why not. 11. The length of a rod was measurements in centimeters, in the order they were taken, were 21.20, 21.25, 21.26, 21.28, 21.35. a. Do these measurements appear to be a random sample from a population of possible measurements? Why or
why not? b. Is it possible to estimate the uncertainty in these measurements? Explain. 3.2 Linear Combinations of Measurements by constants, or add two or more measurements to measurements to measurements by constants to measurements of the measurements are affected by these arithmetic operations. Since
measurements are random variables, and uncertainties are the standard deviations of linear combinations of linear combinations of random variables can be applied to compute standard deviations of linear combinations of random variables, and uncertainties are the standard deviations of linear combinations of linear combi
Section 2.5; more general results were presented in Section 2.6. In this section we apply these results to independent measurements as well, at the end of the section. We begin by stating the basic results used to compute uncertainties in linear combinations of independent measurements, and then follow with
some examples. If X is a measurement and c is a constant, then (3.3) If X1, ..., Xn are independent measurements and c1, ..., cn are constants, then (3.4) Page 171 Example 3.4 The radius of a circle is measurement and c1, ..., cn are constants, then (3.4) Page 171 Example 3.4 The radius of the circle.
measured value of R is 3.0 cm, and the uncertainty is the standard deviation of C. Since 2\pi is a constant, we have (using Equation 3.3) The circumference is 18.85 \pm 0.63 cm. Example 3.5 An item is formed by placing
two components end to end. The lengths of the components are measured independently, by a process that yields a random measurement with uncertainty 0.1 cm. The length of the item and find the uncertainty
in the estimate. Solution Let X be the measured length of the first component, and let Y be the measured length is 7.80 cm. The uncertainty is (using Equation 3.4 with c1 = c2 = 1) The estimated length is 7.80 ± 0.14 cm. Example 3.6 A surveyor is measuring the perimeter of a rectangular lot. He
measures two adjacent sides to be 50.11 ± 0.05 m and 75.21 ± 0.08 m. These measurements are independent. Estimate the perimeter of the lot and find the uncertainty in P is (using Equation
3.4) Page 172 The perimeter is 250.64 \pm 0.19 m. Example 3.6, the surveyor's assistant suggests computing the uncertainty in P by a different method. He reasons that since P = X + X + Y + Y + Y, then This disagrees with the value of 0.19 m. Example 3.6. What went wrong? Solution What went wrong is that the four terms in
the sum for P are not all independent. Specifically, X + X is not the sum of independent quantities; neither is Y + Y. In order to use Equation (3.4) to compute the uncertainty in P, we must express P as the sum of independent quantities; neither is Y + Y. In order to use Equation (3.4) to compute the uncertainty in P, we must express P as the sum of independent quantities; neither is Y + Y.
take several independent measurements and average them. The measurements in this case are a simple random sample from a population, and their average is the sample mean. Methods for computing the mean and standard deviation of a sample mean and standard deviation of a sample mean were presented in Sections 2.5 and 2.6. These methods can be applied to compute the mean and standard deviation of a sample mean were presented in Sections 2.5 and 2.6. These methods can be applied to compute the mean and standard deviation of a sample mean.
uncertainty in the average of independent repeated measurements. If X1, ..., Xn are n independent measurements, each with mean (3.5) and with uncertainty (3.6) With a little thought, we can see how important these results are for applications. What these results say is that if
we perform many independent measurements of the same quantity, then the average of these measurements has the same mean as each individual measurement, but the standard deviation is reduced by a factor equal to the same accuracy
as, and is more precise than, any single measurement. Example 3.8 The length of a component is to be measurements than average of these is used to estimate the length, what will the uncertainty be? How much more precise is the average of 25 measurements than
a single measurement? Solution The uncertainty in the average of 25 measurement is 0.05 cm. The uncertainty in the average of 25 independent measurement is 0.05 cm. The uncertainty in the average of 25 measurement is 0.05 cm. The uncertainty in the average of 25 measurement is 0.05 cm.
Thus the average of 25 independent measurements is five times on a scale whose uncertainty is unknown. The five measurements (in grams) are 21.10, 21.05, 20.98, 21.12, and 21.05. Estimate the mass of the rock and find the uncertainty in the estimate.
Solution Let represent the average of the five measurements, and let s represent the sample standard deviation. We compute and s = 0.0543 g. Using Equation (3.6), we would estimate the length of the component to be . We do not know \sigma, which is the uncertainty, or standard deviation, of the measurement process. However, we can approximate \sigma
with s, the sample standard deviation of the five measurements. We therefore estimate the mass of the rock to be , or 21.06 \pm 0.02 g. Example 3.10 In Examp
measurements to be made. Each side has already been measured once. One engineer suggests allocating the new measurements on the longer side, since that side is measured with greater uncertainty. Estimate the uncertainty in
```

```
the perimeter under each plan. Which plan results in the smaller uncertainty? Solution Under the first plan, let represent the average of eight measurements of the shorter side, and let represent the average of eight measurements of the shorter side, and let represent the average of eight measurements of the shorter side, and let represent the average of eight measurements of the shorter side, and let represent the average of eight measurements of the shorter side, and let represent the average of eight measurements of the shorter side, and let represent the average of eight measurements of the shorter side, and let represent the average of eight measurements of the shorter side, and let represent the average of eight measurements of the shorter side, and let represent the average of eight measurements of the shorter side, and let represent the average of eight measurements of the shorter side, and let represent the average of eight measurements of the shorter side, and let represent the average of eight measurements of the shorter side, and let represent the average of eight measurements of the shorter side, and let represent the average of eight measurements of the shorter side, and let represent the average of eight measurements of the shorter side, and let represent the average of eight measurements of the shorter side average of eight measurements.
therefore (using Equation 3.4) (using Equation 3.6) Under the second plan, the perimeter will be estimated by, where X is a single measurement of the shorter side and is the average of 15 measurement of the shorter will be estimated by, where X is a single measurement of the shorter side and is the average of 15 measurement of the shorter will be estimated by, where X is a single measurement of the shorter will be estimated by, where X is a single measurement of the shorter will be estimated by, where X is a single measurement of the shorter will be estimated by, where X is a single measurement of the shorter will be estimated by, where X is a single measurement of the shorter will be estimated by, where X is a single measurement of the shorter will be estimated by, where X is a single measurement of the shorter will be estimated by a single measurement of the shorter will be estimated by a single measurement of the shorter will be estimated by a single measurement of the shorter will be estimated by a single measurement of the shorter will be estimated by a single measurement of the shorter will be estimated by a single measurement of the shorter will be estimated by a single measurement of the shorter will be estimated by a single measurement of the shorter will be estimated by a single measurement of the shorter will be estimated by a single measurement of the shorter will be estimated by a single measurement of the shorter will be estimated by a single measurement of the shorter will be estimated by a single measurement of the shorter will be estimated by a single measurement of the shorter will be estimated by a single measurement of the shorter will be estimated by a single measurement of the shorter will be estimated by a single measurement of the shorter will be estimated by a single measurement of the shorter will be estimated by a single measurement of the shorter will be estimated by a single measurement of the shorter will be estimated by a single measurement of the shorter will be estimated by a si
better. Repeated Measurements with Differing Uncertainties Sometimes repeated measurements may have different instruments. It turns out that the best way to combine the measurements in this case is with a weighted average, rather than with the
sample mean. Examples 3.11 and 3.12 explore this idea. Example 3.11 An engineer measurement is made with a more precise clock, and the result is 2.2 ± 0.1 s. The average of these two measurements is 2.1 s. Find the uncertainty in this quantity. Solution Let X
represent the measurement with the less precise clock, so X = 2.2 s, with uncertainty \sigma X = 0.2 s. Let Y represent the measurement on the more precise clock, so Y = 2.2 s, with uncertainty \sigma X = 0.2 s. Let Y represent the measurement on the more precise clock, so Y = 2.2 s, with uncertainty \sigma X = 0.2 s. Let Y represent the measurement on the more precise clock, so Y = 2.2 s, with uncertainty \sigma X = 0.2 s. Let Y represent the measurement on the more precise clock, so Y = 0.2 s. Let Y represent the measurement on the more precise clock, so Y = 0.2 s. Let Y represent the measurement on the more precise clock, so Y = 0.2 s. Let Y represent the measurement on the more precise clock, so Y = 0.2 s. Let Y represent the measurement on the more precise clock, so Y = 0.2 s. Let Y represent the measurement on the more precise clock, so Y = 0.2 s. Let Y represent the measurement on the more precise clock, so Y = 0.2 s. Let Y = 0.2 s. The average is Y = 0.2 s. Let Y = 0.2 
since Y is a more precise measurement than X, a weighted average in which Y is weighted average. Specifically, the engineer suggests that by choosing an appropriate constant c between 0 and 1, the weighted average in which Y is weighted average in which Y is weighted average in which Y is weighted average.
average (1/2)X + (1/2)Y considered in Example 3.11. Express the uncertainty in the weighted average cX + (1 - c)Y in terms of c, and find the value of c minimizing \sigma. We take
the derivative of \sigma 2 = 0.05c2 - 0.02c + 0.01 with respect to c and set it equal to 0: Solving for c, we obtain The most precise weighted average is therefore 0.2X + 0.8Y = 2.16. The uncertainty in this estimate is Note that this is less than the uncertainty of 0.11 s found for the unweighted average used in Example 3.11. The ratio of the coefficients of X
and Y in the best weighted average is equal to the variances of Y and express the coefficients. We can therefore in terms of the variances of Y and Y with uncertainties of the variances of Y and Y with uncertainties of the variances.
the smallest uncertainty is given by cbestX + (1 - cbest)Y, where (3.7) Linear Combinations of Dependent Measurements Page 176 Imagine that X and Y are measurements with uncertainty in the sum My are dependent, the uncertainty in the sum may be either greater than or less
than it would be in the independent case, and it cannot be determined from \sigma X and \sigma Y alone. For example, if positive random errors in X tend to occur alongside negative random errors in X, so the uncertainty in X+Y will be smaller than in the independent case.
On the other hand, if the random errors in X and Y tend to have the same sign, the uncertainty in X + Y will be larger than in the independent case. The quantity that measures the relationship between the random errors in X and Y is the covariance, which was discussed in Section 2.6. In general, if X1, ..., Xn are measurements, and if the covariance
of each pair of measurements is known, Equation (2.74) (in Section 2.6) can be used to compute the uncertainty in a linear combination of the measurements are dependence to quantify it. In these cases, an upper bound may be placed on the
uncertainty in a linear combination of the measurements. The result is presented here; a proof is provided at the end of the section. If X1, ..., Xn are measurements and c1, ..., cn are constants, then (3.8) The expression on the right-hand side of the inequality (3.8) is a conservative estimate of the uncertainty in c1X1 + ··· + cnXn. Example 3.13 A
surveyor is measuring the perimeter of a rectangular lot. He measures two adjacent sides to be 50.11 ± 0.05 m and 75.21 ± 0.08 m. These measurements are not necessarily independent. Find a conservative estimate of the uncertainty in the perimeter of the lot. Solution Denote the two measurements by X1 and X2. The uncertainties are then and
and the perimeter is given by P = 2X1 + 2X2. Using the inequality (3.8), we obtain The uncertainty to be 0.19 m when X and Y are independent. Page 177 Derivation of the Inequality This derivation requires material from Section 2.6. Let X1, ..., Xn be random
variables, and c1, ..., cn be constants. By Equation (2.74) (in Section 2.6), Now . Since , it follows that Substituting, we obtain Exercises for Section 3.2 1. 2. 3.
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. Assume that X and Y are independent measurements with uncertainties \sigma X = 0.3 and \sigma Y = 0.2. Find the uncertainties in the following quantities: a. 4X b. X + 2Y c. 2X - 3Y A measurement of the diameter of a disk has an uncertainty of 1.5 mm. How many measurements must be made so that the diameter can be estimated with an
uncertainty of only 0.5 mm? The length of a rod is to be measurements will be taken, and the average of these measurements will be taken, and the average will be 1 mm? The voluments will be taken, and the average will be 1 mm? The voluments will be taken, and the average of these measurements will be taken, and the average will be 1 mm? The voluments will be taken, and the average will be 1 mm? The voluments will be taken, and the average will be 1 mm? The voluments will be taken, and the average will be 1 mm? The voluments will
of a cone is given by V = \pi r^2 h/3, where r is the radius of the base and h is the height. Assume the radius is 5 cm, measured with negligible uncertainty, and the height is h = 6.00 ± 0.02 cm. Estimate the volume of the cone, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. In the article "The World's Longest Continued Series of Sea Level Observations" (M.
Ekman, Paleogeography, 1988:73-77), the mean annual level of land uplift in Page 178 Stockholm, Sweden, was estimated to be 4.93 ± 0.23 mm for the years 1874-1884 and to be 3.92 ± 0.19 mm for the years 1885-1984. Estimate the difference in the mean annual uplift between these two time periods, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. A
cylindrical hole is bored through a steel block, and a cylindrical piston is machined to fit into the hole. The diameter of the hole is 20.00 \pm 0.01 cm, and the diameter of the piston is 19.90 \pm 0.02 cm. The clearance is one-half the difference between the diameter of the piston is 19.90 \pm 0.02 cm. The clearance is one-half the difference between the diameter of the piston is 19.90 \pm 0.02 cm. The clearance is one-half the difference between the diameter of the piston is 19.90 \pm 0.02 cm. The clearance is one-half the difference between the diameter of the piston is 19.90 \pm 0.02 cm. The clearance is one-half the difference between the diameter of the piston is 19.90 \pm 0.02 cm. The clearance is one-half the difference between the diameter of the piston is 19.90 \pm 0.02 cm. The clearance is one-half the difference between the diameter of the piston is 19.90 \pm 0.02 cm. The clearance is one-half the difference between the diameter of the piston is 19.90 \pm 0.02 cm. The clearance is 19.90 \pm 0.02 cm. The clear
N is applied to a block for a period of time, during which the block moves a distance d = 3 m, which is measured with negligible uncertainty. The work W is given by W = Fd. Estimate W, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. The period T of a simple pendulum is given by W = Fd. Estimate W, and find the uncertainty in the estimate.
acceleration due to gravity. Thus if L and T are measured, we can estimate g with g = 4\pi 2L/T2. Assume that L is measured to be 0.559 \pm 0.005 m. Estimate g, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. The specific gravity of a substance is given by G = DS/DW, where DS is the
density of the substance in kg/m3 and DW is the density of a particular substance is measured to be DS = 500 \pm 5 kg/m3. Estimate the specific gravity, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. In a Couette flow, two large flat plates lie one on top of another, separated by a thin layer of fluid. If a
shear stress is applied to the top plate, the viscosity of the fluid produces motion in the bottom plate as well. The velocity V in the top plate relative to the bottom plate is given by V = \tau h/\mu, where \tau is the shear stress applied to the top plate, h is the thickness of the fluid layer, and \mu is the viscosity of the fluid. Assume that \mu = 1.49 \text{ Pa} \cdot \text{s} and h = 10 \text{ Pa} \cdot \text{s} 
mm, both with negligible uncertainty. a. Suppose that \tau = 30.0 \pm 0.1 Pa. Estimate V, and find the uncertainty in \tau? According to Newton's law of cooling, the temperature T of a body at time t is given by T = Ta + (T0 - Ta)e - kt, where Ta is
the ambient temperature, T0 is the initial temperature, and k is the cooling rate constant. For a certain type of beverage container, the value of k is known to be 0.025 min-1. a. Assume that T0 = 72.0 \pm 0.5°F. Estimate the temperature T at time t = 10 min, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. b. Assume that T0 = 72°F.
exactly and that Ta = 36.0 ± 0.5°F. Estimate the temperature T at time t = 10 min, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. In the article "Influence of Crack Width on Shear Behaviour of SIFCON" (C. Fritz and H. Reinhardt, High Performance Fiber Reinforced Cement Composites: Proceedings of the International RILEM/ACI Workshop, 1992), the
maximum shear stress \tau of a cracked concrete member is given to be \tau = \tau 0(1 - kw), where \tau 0 is the maximum shear stress for a crack width in mm, and k is a constant estimated from experimental data. Assume t = 0.29 \pm 0.05 mm-1. Given that \tau 0 = 50 MPa and t = 0.29 \pm 0.05 mm-1. Given that t = 0.29 \pm 0.05 mm-1.05 mm-
and find the uncertainty in the estimate. Nine independent measurements are made of the length of a rod. The average of the nine measurements is , and the standard deviation is s = 0.081 cm. a. Is the uncertainty in the value 5.238 cm closest to 0.009, 0.027, or 0.081 cm.? Explain. b. Another rod is measurements is , and the standard deviation is s = 0.081 cm. a. Is the uncertainty in the value 5.238 cm closest to 0.009, 0.027, or 0.081 cm.? Explain. b. Another rod is measurements are made of the length of a rod. The average of the nine measurements are made of the length of a rod. The average of the nine measurements is , and the standard deviation is s = 0.081 cm. a. Is the uncertainty in the value 5.238 cm. a. Is the uncertainty in the value 5.238 cm. a. Is the uncertainty in the value 5.238 cm. a. Is the uncertainty in the value 5.238 cm. a. Is the uncertainty in the value 5.238 cm. a. Is the uncertainty in the value 5.238 cm. a. Is the uncertainty in the value 5.238 cm. a. Is the uncertainty in the value 5.238 cm. a. Is the uncertainty in the value 5.238 cm. a. Is the uncertainty in the value 5.238 cm. a. Is the uncertainty in the value 5.238 cm. a. Is the uncertainty in the value 5.238 cm. a. Is the uncertainty in the value 5.238 cm. a. Is the uncertainty in the value 5.238 cm. a. Is the uncertainty in the value 5.238 cm. a. Is the uncertainty in the value 5.238 cm. a. Is the uncertainty in the value 5.238 cm. a. Is the uncertainty in the value 5.238 cm. a. Is the uncertainty in the value 5.238 cm. a. Is the uncertainty in the value 5.238 cm. a. Is the uncertainty in the value 5.238 cm. a. Is the uncertainty in the value 5.238 cm. a. Is the uncertainty in the value 5.238 cm. a. Is the uncertainty in the value 5.238 cm. a. Is the uncertainty in the value 5.238 cm. a. Is the uncertainty in the value 5.238 cm. a. Is the uncertainty in the value 5.238 cm. a. Is the uncertainty in the value 5.238 cm. a. Is the uncertainty in the value 5.238 cm. a. Is the uncertainty in the value 5.238 cm. a. Is the uncertainty
measurement is 5.423 cm. Is the uncertainty in this value closest to 0.009, 0.027, or 0.081 cm? Explain. A certain scale has an uncertainty in this measurement? b. Four independent measurement are made on this scale. What are the bias and
uncertainty in the average of these measurements? Page 179 Four hundred independent measurements are made on this scale. What are the bias and uncertainty in the average of these measurements? d. As more measurements are made, does the
bias get smaller, get larger, or stay the same? 15. The volume of a rock is measurements are made. The average of the measurements are made eviation is 2.0 mL. a. Estimate the volume of the standard deviation is 2.0 mL. a.
rock, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. b. Eight additional measurements are made, for a total of 16. What is the uncertainty to 0.4 mL? 16. A student measurements would be needed to reduce the uncertainty to 0.4 mL? 16. A student measurements would be needed to reduce the uncertainty to 0.4 mL? 16. A student measurements are made, for a total of 16. What is the uncertainty to 0.4 mL? 16. A student measurements are made, for a total of 16. What is the uncertainty to 0.4 mL? 16. A student measurement would be needed to reduce the uncertainty to 0.4 mL? 16. A student measurement would be needed to reduce the uncertainty to 0.4 mL? 16. A student measurement would be needed to reduce the uncertainty to 0.4 mL? 16. A student measurement would be needed to reduce the uncertainty to 0.4 mL? 16. A student measurement would be needed to reduce the uncertainty to 0.4 mL? 16. A student measurement would be needed to reduce the uncertainty to 0.4 mL? 16. A student measurement would be needed to reduce the uncertainty to 0.4 mL? 16. A student measurement would be needed to reduce the uncertainty to 0.4 mL? 16. A student measurement would be needed to reduce the uncertainty to 0.4 mL? 16. A student measurement would be needed to reduce the uncertainty to 0.4 mL? 16. A student measurement would be needed to reduce the uncertainty to 0.4 mL? 16. A student measurement would be needed to reduce the uncertainty to 0.4 mL? 16. A student measurement would be needed to reduce the uncertainty to 0.4 mL? 16. A student measurement would be needed to reduce the uncertainty to 0.4 mL? 16. A student measurement would be needed to reduce the uncertainty to 0.4 mL? 16. A student measurement would be needed to reduce the uncertainty to 0.4 mL? 16. A student measurement would be needed to reduce the uncertainty to 0.4 mL? 16. A student measurement would be needed to reduce the uncertainty would be needed to reduce the uncertainty would be needed to 0.4 mL? 16. A student measurement would be needed to 0.4 mL? 16. ML and 0.4 mL? 16. ML 
loading it and measuring the extension. (According to Hooke's law, if l is the load and e is the extension, then k = 1/e.) Assume five independent measurements are made, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. b. Find an approximate value for
the uncertainty in the average of 10 measurements. c. Approximately how many measurements must be made to reduce the uncertainty to 0.3 N/m? d. A second spring, similar to the first, is measurement? 17. A certain chemical process is run 10
times at a temperature of 65°C and 10 times at a temperature of 65°C and 10 times at a temperature of 60°C. The yield at each run was measured as a percent of a theoretical maximum. The data are presented in the following table. c. 65°C 71.3 80°C 90.3 69.1 90.8 70.3 91.2 69.9 90.7 71.1 89.0 70.7 89.7 69.8 91.3 a. b. For each temperature, estimate the
mean yield and find the uncertainty in the estimate. Estimate the difference between the mean yields at the two temperatures, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. 18. An object is weighed four times on a different scale, and the results, in milligrams, are 234, 236, 233, and 229. The object is then weighed four times on a different scale, and the results, in milligrams, are 234, 236, 237, and 239. The object is then weighed four times on a different scale, and the results, in milligrams, are 234, 236, 237, and 239. The object is then weighed four times on a different scale, and the results, in milligrams are 234, 236, 237, and 239. The object is then weighed four times on a different scale, and the results, in milligrams are 234, 236, 237, and 239. The object is then weighed four times on a different scale, and the results, in milligrams are 234, 236, 237, and 239. The object is then weighed four times on a different scale, and the results, in milligrams are 234, 236, 237, and 239. The object is the obje
are 236, 225, 245, and 240. The average of all eight measurements will be used to estimate the weight. Someone suggests estimating the uncertainty in this estimate as follows: Compute the standard deviation of all eight measurements. Call this quantity s. The uncertainty is then . Is this correct? Explain. 19. The length of a component is to be
estimated through repeated measurement. a. Ten independent measurements are made with an instrument whose uncertainty is 0.05 mm. Let denote the average of these measurements are made with this
device. Let denote the average of these measurements. Find the uncertainty in . c. In order to decrease the uncertainty still further, it is decided to combine the estimates and . One engineer suggests estimate is . Find
the uncertainty in each of these estimates. Which is smaller? d. Find the value c such that the weighted average has minimum uncertainty in each measurement of the length of the first component is \sigma 1 = 0.02 cm, and
the uncertainty in each measurement of the length of the second component is \sigma 2 = 0.08 cm. Let denote the average of the measurements of the second component is \sigma 2 = 0.08 cm. Let denote the average of the measurements of the first component is \sigma 2 = 0.08 cm. Let denote the average of the measurements of the first component is \sigma 2 = 0.08 cm. Let denote the average of the measurements of the second component is \sigma 2 = 0.08 cm. Let denote the average of the measurements of the first component is \sigma 2 = 0.08 cm. Let denote the average of the measurement is \sigma 2 = 0.08 cm. Let denote the average of the measurement is \sigma 2 = 0.08 cm. Let denote the average of the measurement is \sigma 2 = 0.08 cm. Let denote the average of the measurement is \sigma 2 = 0.08 cm. Let denote the average of the measurement is \sigma 2 = 0.08 cm. Let denote the average of the measurement is \sigma 2 = 0.08 cm. Let denote the average of the measurement is \sigma 2 = 0.08 cm. Let denote the average of the measurement is \sigma 2 = 0.08 cm. Let denote the average of the measurement is \sigma 2 = 0.08 cm. Let denote the average of the measurement is \sigma 2 = 0.08 cm. Let denote the average of the measurement is \sigma 2 = 0.08 cm. Let denote the average of the measurement is \sigma 2 = 0.08 cm. Let denote the average of the measurement is \sigma 2 = 0.08 cm. Let denote the average of the measurement is \sigma 2 = 0.08 cm. Let denote the average of the measurement is \sigma 2 = 0.08 cm. Let denote the average of the measurement is \sigma 2 = 0.08 cm. Let denote the average of the measurement is \sigma 2 = 0.08 cm. Let denote the average of the measurement is \sigma 2 = 0.08 cm. Let denote the average of the measurement is \sigma 2 = 0.08 cm. Let denote the average of the measurement is \sigma 2 = 0.08 cm. Let denote the average of the measurement is \sigma 2 = 0.08 cm. Let denote the average of the measurement is \sigma 2 = 0.08 cm. Let denote the average of the measurement is \sigma 2 = 0.08 cm. Let denote the average of the measurement is \sigma 2 = 0.08 cm. Let denote the average of the m
in the total length if the first component is measured 4 times and the second component is measured 12 times. Find the uncertainty in the total length in terms of n if the first component is measured 16 measurements between the components by
determining the value of n that minimizes the uncertainty in a nonlinear functions of One The examples we have seen so far involve estimate the uncertainty in a nonlinear function of a measurement. For example, if the radius R of a circle issue that minimizes the uncertainty in a nonlinear function of a measurement.
measured to be 5.00 \pm 0.01 cm, what is the uncertainty in the area A? In statistical terms, we know that the standard deviation of R given by A = \piR2. The type of problem we wish to solve is this: Given a random variable X, with known standard deviation of X,
and given a function U = U(X), how do we compute the standard deviation \sigma U? If U is a linear function, the methods of Section 3.2 apply. If U is not linear, we can still approximate \sigma U, by multiplying \sigma X by the absolute value of the derivative dU/dX. The approximation will be good so long as \sigma X is small. If X is a measurement whose uncertainty \sigma X is
small, and if U is a function of X, then (3.10) In practice, we evaluate the derivative dU/dX at the observed measurement X. Equation (3.10) is known as the propagation of Error Uncertainties computed by using Equation (3.10) are
often only rough approximations. For this reason, these uncertainties should be expressed with no more than two significant digits. Indeed, some authors suggest using only one significant digits. Indeed, some authors suggest using only one significant digits. Indeed, some authors suggest using only one significant digits. Indeed, some authors suggest using only one significant digits. Indeed, some authors suggest using only one significant digits. Indeed, some authors suggest using only one significant digits.
cases U will be biased for the true value U(\mu X). In practice this bias is usually ignored. It can be shown by advanced methods that in general, the size of the Page 181 bias depends mostly on the magnitudes of \sigma X and of the second derivative d2U/dX2. Therefore, so long as the uncertainty \sigma X is small, the bias in U will in general be small as well,
except for some fairly unusual circumstances when the second derivative is quite large. Of course, if X is a measurement with non-negligible bias, then the bias in U may be large. These ideas are explored further in Supplementary Exercise 22 at the end of this chapter. Example 3.14 The radius R of a circle is measured to be 5.00 ± 0.01 cm. Estimate
the area of the circle and find the uncertainty in this estimate. Solution The area A is given by A = \pi R2. The estimate of A is \pi (5.00 \text{ cm}) = 78.5 \text{ cm}. Example 3.15 A rock identified as cobble-sized quartzite
has a mass m of 674.0 g. Assume this measurement has negligible uncertainty. The volume V of the rock will be measured by placing it in a graduated cylinder partially filled with water and measurement has negligible uncertainty. The volume of water displaced water is 261.0 ± 0.1 mL.
Estimate the density of the rock and find the uncertainty in this estimate. Solution Substituting V = 261.0 mL, the estimate of the density D is 674.0/261.0 = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0) = -674.0/(261.0
density to be 2.582 ± 0.001 g/mL. Page 182 Relative Uncertainty in U. A more complete name for ou is the absolute uncertainty, because it is expressed in the same units as the measurement U. Sometimes we wish to
express the uncertainty as a fraction of the true value, which (assuming no bias) is the mean measurement µU. This is called the relative uncertainty in U. The relative uncertainty in U.
If U is a measurement whose true value is \muU, and whose uncertainty is \sigmaU, the relative uncertainty is a unitless quantity. It is frequently expressed as a percent. In practice \muU is unknown, so if the bias is negligible, we estimate the relative uncertainty with \sigmaU/U. There are two ways to compute the
relative uncertainty in a quantity U. One is simply to use Equation (3.10) to compute the absolute uncertainty in ln U: This equation shows that the absolute uncertainty in ln U is equal to the relative uncertainty in U. Thus the second way to compute
the relative uncertainty in U is to compute ln U, and then use Equation (3.10) to compute ln U, and then divide by U. 2. Compute ln U and use Equation (3.10) to find \sigma U, which
is equal to \sigmaU/U. Both of the methods work in every instance, so one may use whichever is easier to compute the derivative of U or of ln U. Page 183 Example 3.16 The radius of a circle is measured to be 5.00 ± 0.01 cm. Estimate the area, and find the relative uncertainty in
the estimate. Solution In Example 3.14 the area A = \pi R2 was computed to be 78.5 \pm 0.3 cm2. The absolute uncertainty is therefore express the area as A = 78.5 cm2 \pm 0.4%. If we had not already computed \sigma A, it would be easier to compute the relative
uncertainty by computing the absolute uncertainty in ln A. Since ln A = \ln \pi + 2 \ln R, d \ln A/dR = 2/R = 0.4. The relative uncertainty in A is therefore Example 3.17 The acceleration due to gravity and \theta is the angle of inclination of the plane. Assume the
uncertainty in g is negligible. If \theta = 0.60 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in a. Solution The relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.60 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.01. The relative uncertainty in a is the absolute uncertainty in \theta = 0.00 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.00 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.00 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.00 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.00 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.00 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.00 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.00 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.00 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.00 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.00 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.00 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.00 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.00 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.00 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.00 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.00 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.00 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.00 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.00 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.00 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.00 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.00 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.00 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.00 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.00 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.00 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.00 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.00 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.00 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.00 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertainty in \theta = 0.00 \pm 0.01 rad, find the relative uncertai
therefore Note that the relative uncertainty in a = g \sin \theta does not depend on the constant g. Derivation of Error Formula We derive the propagation of Error Formula We derive the propagation of Error Formula for a nonlinear function, and then using the methods of Section 3.2. To find a linear approximation
to U, we use a first-order Taylor series approximation. Page 184 This is known as linearizing the problem; it is a commonly used technique in science and engineering. Let U(X) be a differentiable function. Let \mu X be any point. Then if X is close to \mu X, the first-order Taylor series approximation for U(X) is (3.11) The derivative dU/dX is evaluated at \mu X.
Now let X be a measurement, and let U(X) (which we will also refer to as U) be a quantity calculated from X. Let \muX denote the mean of X. For any reasonably precise measurement, X will be close enough to \muX for the Taylor series approximation to be valid. Adding U(\muX) to both sides of Equation (3.11) yields Multiplying through by dU/dX and
rearranging terms yields Now the quantity U(\mu X) = U(\mu X) is a constant, because it is evaluated at \mu X. Therefore the quantity U(\mu X) = U(\mu X) is also constant. It follows from Equation (2.48) (in Section 2.5) that This is the propagation of error formula. When applying this formula, we evaluate the derivative U(\mu X) = U(\mu X) is also constant. It follows from Equation (2.48) (in Section 2.5) that This is the propagation of error formula.
do not know the value \muX. Exercises for Section 3.3 1. 2. Find the uncertainty in Y, given that X = 2.0 \pm 0.3 and a. Y = X3 b. c. Y = ax f. Y = cos X (X is in units of radians) Given that X = 3.0 \pm 0.1, estimate Y and its uncertainty. a. XY = 1 b. Y/X = 2 c. d. 3. 4. 5. 6. The volume of a continuous formula of the value \muX. Exercises for Section 3.3 1. 2. Find the uncertainty in Y, given that X = 0.1, estimate Y and its uncertainty in Y, given that X = 0.1, estimate Y and its uncertainty in Y, given that X = 0.1, estimate Y and its uncertainty in Y, given that X = 0.1, estimate Y and its uncertainty in Y, given that X = 0.1, estimate Y and its uncertainty in Y, given that X = 0.1, estimate Y and its uncertainty in Y, given that X = 0.1, estimate Y and its uncertainty in Y, given that X = 0.1, estimate Y and its uncertainty in Y, given that X = 0.1, estimate Y and its uncertainty in Y, given that X = 0.1, estimate Y and its uncertainty in Y, given that X = 0.1, estimate Y and its uncertainty in Y, given that X = 0.1, estimate Y and its uncertainty in Y, given that X = 0.1, estimate Y and Y are related by the given that X = 0.1, estimate Y and Y are related by the given that X = 0.1, estimate Y and Y are related by the given that X = 0.1, estimate Y and Y are related by the given that X = 0.1, estimate Y and Y are related by the given that X = 0.1, estimate Y and Y are related by the given that X = 0.1, estimate Y and Y are related by the given that X = 0.1, estimate Y and Y are related by the given that X = 0.1, estimate Y and Y are related by the given that X = 0.1, estimate Y and Y are related by the given that X = 0.1, estimate Y and Y are related by the given that X = 0.1, estimate Y and Y = 0.1, estimate Y = 0.1, es
cone is given by V = \pi r^2 h/3, where r is the radius of the base and h is the height is 6 cm, measured with negligible uncertainty, and the radius is r = 5.00 \pm 0.02 cm. Estimate the volume of the cone, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. The velocity V of sound in air at temperature T is given by , where T is measured in kelvins
(K) and V is in m/s. Assume that T = 300 \pm 0.4K. Estimate V, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. The period T of a simple pendulum is given by where L is the length of the pendulum and g is the acceleration due to gravity. a. Assume g = 9.80 m/s2 exactly, and that L = 0.742 \pm 0.005 m. Estimate T, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. Page
185 b. Assume L = 0.742 m exactly, and that T = 1.73 \pm 0.01 s. Estimate q, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. The change in temperature of an iron bar brought about by a transferred, m is the mass of the bar, and c is the specific heat of iron.
 Assume that c = 448 \text{ J/kg}^{\circ}\text{C} and \Delta Q = 1210 \text{ J} are known with negligible uncertainty. Assume the mass is m = 0.54 \pm 0.01 \text{ kg}. Estimate \Delta T, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. The friction velocity F of water flowing through a pipe is given by , where g is the acceleration due to gravity, d is the diameter of the pipe, l is the length of the pipe, and have pipe a
is the head loss. Estimate F, and find the uncertainty, and d = 0.15 m and l = 30.0 m, both with negligible uncertainty, and d = 0.15 m and l = 30.0 m, both with negligible uncertainty, and d = 0.15 m and l = 30.0 m, both with negligible uncertainty, and d = 0.15 m and l = 30.0 m, both with negligible uncertainty, and d = 0.15 m and l = 30.0 m, both with negligible uncertainty, and d = 0.15 m and d = 0.1
uncertainty, and l = 30.00 \pm 0.04 m. The refractive index n of a piece of glass is related to the critical angle is measured by placing it into a graduated cylinder partially
filled with water, and then measuring the volume of water displaced. The density D is given by D = m/(V1 - V0), where m is the mass of the rock, V0 is the initial volume of water plus rock. Assume the mass of the rock is 750 g, with negligible uncertainty, and that V0 = 500.0 \pm 0.1 mL and V1 = 813.2 \pm 0.1 mL
Estimate the density of the rock, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. The conversion of ammonium cyanide at time t is given by 1/C = kt + 1/C0, where C0 is the initial concentration and k is the rate constant. Assume the initial concentration is known
to be 0.1 mol/L exactly. Assume that time can be measured with negligible uncertainty, a. After 45 minutes, the concentration of ammonium cyanide is measured to be 0.0811 ± 0.0005 mol/L. Estimate the rate constant k, and find the uncertainty in the estimate.
cyanide will be 0.0750 mol/L, and find the uncertainties to absolute uncertainties to absolute uncertainties a. 20.9 \pm 0.4 b. 15.1 \pm 0.8 c. 388 \pm 23 d. 2.465 \pm 0.009 Convert the following relative uncertainties a. 48.41 \pm 0.3\% b. 991.7 \pm 0.6\% c. 0.011 \pm 9\% d. 7.86 \pm 1\% The acceleration g due
to gravity is estimated by dropping an object and measuring the time it takes to travel a certain distance s is known to be exactly 2.2 m. The time is measured to be t = 0.67 \pm 0.02 s. Estimate q, and find the relative uncertainty in the estimate. (Note that q = 2s/t2.) 14. Refer to Exercise 4. Assume that T = 298.4 \pm 0.2K. Estimate
V, and find the relative uncertainty in the estimate. 15. Refer to Exercise 5. a. Assume g = 9.80 m/s2 exactly, and that L = 0.855 m exactly, and that L = 0.855 m exactly, and find the relative uncertainty in the estimate. 16. Refer to Exercise 6.
both with negligible uncertainty, and h = 4.51 \pm 0.03 m. Page 186 b. h = 4.51 \pm 0.03 m. Page 186 b. h = 4.51 m, both with negligible uncertainty, and l = 35.00 \pm 0.4 m. 18. Refer to Exercise 8. Assume the critical angle is measured to be 0.90 \pm 0.01 rad. Estimate the refractive
the uncertainty in a quantity that is a function of several independent uncertain measurements. The basic formula is given here. If X1, X2, ..., Xn, then (3.12) In practice, we evaluate the partial derivatives at the point (X1, X2, ..., Xn, then (3.12) In practice, we evaluate the partial derivatives at the point (X1, X2, ..., Xn) is a function of X1, X2, ..., Xn, then (3.12) In practice, we evaluate the partial derivatives at the point (X1, X2, ..., Xn) is a function of X1, X2, ..., Xn, then (3.12) In practice, we evaluate the partial derivatives at the point (X1, X2, ..., Xn) is a function of X1, X2, ..., Xn are independent uncertainties are small, and if U = U(X1, X2, ..., Xn) is a function of X1, X2, ..., Xn are independent uncertainties are small, and if U = U(X1, X2, ..., Xn) is a function of X1, X2, ..., Xn are independent uncertainties are small, and if U = U(X1, X2, ..., Xn) is a function of X1, X2, ..., Xn are independent uncertainties are small, and if U = U(X1, X2, ..., Xn) is a function of X1, X2, ..., Xn are independent uncertainties are small, and if U = U(X1, X2, ..., Xn) is a function of X1, X2, ..., Xn are independent uncertainties are small, and if U = U(X1, X2, ..., Xn) is a function of X1, X2, ..., Xn are independent uncertainties are small, and if U = U(X1, X2, ..., Xn) is a function of X1, X2, ..., Xn are independent uncertainties are small, and if U = U(X1, X2, ..., Xn) is a function of X1, X2, ..., Xn are independent uncertainties are independent uncertaint
fairly unusual, can occur when some of the second- or higher-order partial derivatives of U with respect to the Xi are quite large. Of course, if one or more of X1, ..., Xn are substantially biased, then U may be substantially biased as well. These ideas are explored further in Exercise 23 in the Supplementary Exercises at the end of this chapter. We now
mL, the estimate of the density D is 674.0/261.0 = 2.582 g/mL. Since D = m/V, the partial derivatives of D are The uncertainty in D is therefore The density of the multivariate propagation of error formula is that it enables one to determine which measurements are most responsible for the
uncertainty in the final result. Example 3.19 illustrates this. Example 3.19 The density of the rock in Example 3.18 is to be estimated again with different equipment, in order to improve the precision. Which would improve the precision of the density estimate more: decreasing the uncertainty in the mass estimate to 0.5 g, or decreasing the
resistances R1 and R2 are connected in parallel. The combined resistance R is given by R = (R1R2)/(R1 + R2). If R1 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 20 \pm 10, and R2 is measured to be 2
derivatives of R: Now, and . Therefore The combined resistance is 16.67 \pm 0.75 \Omega. Example 3.21 In Example 3.20, the 100 \pm 10 \Omega resistor can be replaced with a more expensive 100 \pm 10 \Omega resistor. How much would this reduce the uncertainty in the combined resistance? Is it worthwhile to make the replacement? Solution Using the method of
purposes. There is little benefit in replacing this resistor. Page 189 Note that in Example 3.20, one component (the 100 Ω resistor) had larger uncertainty, both in absolute terms and relative to the measured value, than the other. Even so, Example 3.21 showed that the uncertainty in the combined resistance was only slightly affected by the
Xn are not independent, the uncertainty in a function U = U(X1, X2, ..., Xn) can be estimated if the covariance are not known. (Covariance are not known. In these cases, a conservative estimate of the uncertainty in U may be computed. We present this result here. If X1,
X2, ..., Xn are measurements whose uncertainties are small, and if U = U(X1, X2, ..., Xn) is a function of (X1, X2, ..., Xn), then a conservative estimate of σU is given by (3.13) In practice, we evaluate the partial derivatives at the point (X1, X2, ..., Xn). The inequality (3.13) is valid in almost all practical situations; in principle it can fail if some of the
In Example 3.20, we computed the uncertainty to be 0.75 Ω when R1 and R2 are independent. Page 190 Relative uncertainties for functions of one variable. The methods for calculating relative uncertainties for functions of several
variables are similar. There are two methods for approximating the relative uncertainty \sigma U/U in a function U = U(X1, X2, ..., Xn): 1. Compute U using Equation (3.12), and then divide by U. 2. Compute U using Equation (3.12), and then divide by U. 3. Compute U using Equation (3.12), and then divide by U. 3. Compute U using Equation (3.12), and then divide by U. 3. Compute U using Equation (3.12), and then divide by U. 3. Compute U using Equation (3.12), and then divide by U. 3. Compute U using Equation (3.12), and then divide by U. 3. Compute U using Equation (3.12), and then divide by U. 3. Compute U using Equation (3.12), and then divide by U. 3. Compute U using Equation (3.12), and then divide by U. 3. Compute U using Equation (3.12), and then divide by U. 3. Compute U using Equation (3.12), and then divide by U. 3. Compute U using Equation (3.12), and then divide by U. 3. Compute U using Equation (3.12), and then divide by U. 3. Compute U using Equation (3.12), and then divide by U using Equation (3.12), and U using Equa
easiest for a given problem. This choice is usually dictated by whether it is easier to compute partial derivatives of U or of ln U. Example 3.23 Two perpendicular sides of a rectangle are measured to be X = 2.0 ± 0.1 cm and Y = 3.2 ± 0.2 cm. Find the relative uncertainty in the area A = XY. Solution This is easily computed by finding the absolute
uncertainty in A = \ln X + \ln X + \ln Y. We begin by computing the partial derivatives of A = 0.2. The relative uncertainty in A = 0.2
light string that passes over a light frictionless pulley. When the masses are released, the larger mass X acceleration due to gravity, is known with negligible uncertainty. Find the relative uncertainty in the
acceleration a. Solution The relative uncertainty in a is equal to the absolute uncertainty in a is equal to the absolute uncertainty in a is 0.030, or 3%. Note that this
value does not depend on g. When a function involves a product or quotient of measurements, the relative uncertainty in the function can be computed directly from the relative uncertainty of 2%, and the radiuse
r is measured with a relative uncertainty of 1%. Find the relative uncertainty in the volume V. Solution The volume is given by V = \pi r^2 h. Taking logs, we have Since ln V is a linear combination, and ln π is constant, we can use Equation (3.4) to obtain But \sigmaln V = \sigmaV/V, \sigmaln h = \sigmah/h, and \sigmaln r = \sigmar/r are the relative uncertainty in the volume V. Solution The volume V. 
respectively. Substituting 0.02 for oln h and 0.01 for oln r, we obtain The relative uncertainty in U is (3.14) Derivation of the Multivariate
Propagation of Error Formula We derive the propagation of error formula for a nonlinear function U of a random variable X by approximation to U, we use a firstorder multivariate Taylor series approximation
Let U = U(X1, X2, ..., Xn) be a function whose partial derivatives all exist. Let (μ1, μ2, ..., μn) be any point. Then if X1, X2, ..., Xn are independent measurements, the linear approximation leads to a
method for approximating the uncertainty in U, given the uncertainties in X1, X2, ..., Xn. The derivation is similar to the one-variable case presented at the end of Section 3.3. Let μ1, μ2, ..., Xn will be close enough to μ1, μ2, ..., xn for the
linearization to be valid. We can rewrite Equation (3.15) as (3.16) The quantities ∂U/∂X1, ∂U/∂X2, ..., ∂U/∂Xn are all constant, since they are evaluated at the point (μ1, μ2, ..., μn). Therefore the quantity is constant as well. It follows from Equation (2.42) (in Section 2.5) and Equation (3.4) (in Section 3.2) that Exercises for Section 3.4 Page 193 1. Find
the uncertainty in U, assuming that X = 10.0 \pm 0.5, Y = 5.0 \pm 0.1, and a. U = XY2 b. U =
find the uncertainty in the estimate. b. Which would provide a greater reduction in the uncertainty in Y: reducing the uncertainty in to 0.005 cm or reducing the uncertainty in to 0.01 cm? From a fixed point on the ground, the distance to a certain tree is measured to be s = 55.2 ± 0.1 m and the angle from the point to the top of the tree is
measured to be \theta = 0.50 \pm 0.02 radians. The height of the tree is given by h = s \tan \theta. a. Estimate h, and find the uncertainty in h: reducing the uncertainty in s to 0.05 m or reducing the uncertainty in \theta to 0.01 radians? Refer to Exercise 10 in Section 3.2. Assume that X =
30.0 \pm 0.1 Pa, h = 10.0 \pm 0.2 mm, and \mu = 1.49 Pa · s with negligible uncertainty in the uncertainty in V: reducing the uncertainty in \tau to 0.01 Pa or reducing the uncertainty in h to 0.1 mm? When air enters a compressor at pressure P1 and leaves at
pressure P2, the intermediate pressure is given by . Assume that P1 = 10.1 ± 0.3 MPa and P2 = 20.1 ± 0.4 MPa. a. Estimate P3, and find the uncertainty in P1 to 0.2 MPa or reducing the uncertainty in P2 to 0.2 MPa. a. Estimate P3, and find the uncertainty in P3 to 0.2 MPa or reducing the uncertainty in P2 to 0.2 MPa.
measure the water content of a soil is to weigh the soil both before and after drying it in an oven. The water content is W = (M1 - M2)/M1, where M1 = 1.32 \pm 0.01 kg and M2 = 1.04 \pm 0.01 kg and
greater reduction in the uncertainty in W: reducing the uncertainty in M1 to 0.005 kg or reducing the uncertainty in M2 to 0.005 kg? 7. 8. 9. The lens equation says that if an object is placed at a distance p from a lens, and an image is formed at a distance p from the lens, then the focal length f satisfies the equation 1/f = 1/p + 1/q. Assume that p = 1/q.
2.3 \pm 0.2 cm and q = 3.1 \pm 0.2 cm. a. Estimate f, and find the uncertainty in f: reducing the uncertainty in f: reducin
T, when P is measured in kilopascals, T is measured in kelvins, and V is measured in liters. a. Assume that P = 242.52 \pm 0.03 kPa and V = 10.103 \pm 0.002 L. Estimate V, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. b. Assume that V = 10.103 \pm 0.002 L. Estimate V, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. b. Assume that V = 10.103 \pm 0.002 L. Estimate T, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. b. Assume that V = 10.103 \pm 0.002 L. Estimate T, and find the uncertainty in the estimate.
10.103 \pm 0.002 L and T = 290.11 \pm 0.02 K. Estimate P, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. The Beer-Lambert law relates the absorbance A of a solution by A = MLC, where L is the path length and M is the molar absorption coefficient. Assume that C = 1.25 \pm 0.03 mol/cm3, L = 1.2 \pm 0.1 cm, and A
= 1.30 ± 0.05. a. Estimate M and find the uncertainty in the estimate. Page 194 Which would provide a greater reduction in the uncertainty in L to 0.05 cm, or reducing the uncertainty in A to 0.01? 10. In the article "Temperature-Dependent Optical Constants of Water Ice
in the Near Infrared: New Results and Critical Review of the Available Measurements" (B. Rajaram, D. Glandorf, et al., Applied Optics, 2001:4449-4462), the imaginary index of refraction of water ice is presented for various frequencies and temperatures. At a frequency of 372.1 cm -1 and a temperature of 166 K, the index is estimated to be 0.00116
1.2 \pm 0.1 mm, and k = 0.29 \pm 0.05 mm-1. a. Estimate τ, and find the uncertainty in t_0 to t_0 meta to t_0 meta the uncertainty in t_0 t_0 
would allow both \tau 0 and w to be measured b. 12. 13. 14. 15. with negligible uncertainty. Is it worthwhile to implement the process? Explain. According to Snell's law, the angle of refraction \theta 2 of a light ray traveling in a wacuum through the equation \theta 1 = 1
Zooarchaeological Signature for Meat Storage: Rethinking the Drying Utility Index" (T. Friesen, American Antiquity, 2001:315-331). Let m represent the weight of some part of a caribou rib, the following
measurements are made (in grams): g = 3867.4 \pm 0.3, b = 1037.0 \pm 0.2, m = 2650.4 \pm 0.1. a. Estimate y, and find the uncertainty in y: reducing the uncertainty in g to 0.1 g, reducing the uncertainty in b to 0.1 g, or reducing the uncertainty in m to 0? The resistance R
(in ohms) of a cylindrical conductor is given by R = kl/d2, where l is the length, d is the diameter, and k is a constant of proportionality. Assume that l = 14.0 \pm 0.1 cm and d = 4.4 \pm 0.1 cm. a. Estimate R, and find the uncertainty in the estimate.
reduction in the uncertainty in R: reducing the uncertainty in l to 0.05 cm or reducing the uncertainty in d to 0.05 cm? A cylindrical wire of radius R elongates when subjected to a tensile force F. Let L0 represent the initial length of the wire and let L1 represent the final length. Young's modulus for the material is given by Assume that F = 800 ± 1 N
R = 0.75 \pm 0.1 \text{ mm}, L0 = 25.0 \pm 0.1 \text{ mm}, and L1 = 30.0 \pm 0.1 \text{ mm}, and L1 = 30.0
to a temperature T in an environment with ambient temperature Ta is given by where k is a constant. Assume that To = 70.1 \pm 0.2°F and Ta = 35.7 \pm 0.1°F. Estimate t, and find the uncertainty in the
Page 195 estimate. 17. Refer to Exercise 16. In an experiment to determine the value of k, the temperature T at time t = 10 min is measured to be T = 54.1 \pm 0.2°F. Assume that T_0 = 70.1 \pm 0.2°F. Assume 
v. Which one is it? 19. The shape of a bacterium can be approximated by a cylinder of radius r and height h capped on each end by a hemisphere. The volume and surface area of the bacterium can be approximated by a cylinder of radius r and height h capped on each end by a hemisphere. The volume and surface area of the bacterium can be approximated by a cylinder of radius r and height h capped on each end by a hemisphere.
for a certain bacterium, r = 0.9 ± 0.1 μm and h = 1.7 ± 0.1 μm. a. Are the computed values of S and V independent, estimate R and find the uncertainty in the estimate. Your answer will be in terms of c. 20. Estimate U, and find the relative uncertainty in the estimate, assuming
Estimate P3, and find the relative uncertainty in the estimate. 23. Refer to Exercise 7. Assume that p = 4.3 \pm 0.1 cm and q = 2.1 \pm 0.2 cm. Estimate T, and find the relative uncertainty in the estimate. 24. Refer to Exercise 8. a. Assume that P = 224.51 \pm 0.002 L. Estimate T, and find the relative uncertainty in the estimate.
b. Assume that P = 224.51 \pm 0.04 kPa and T = 289.33 \pm 0.02 K. Estimate P, and find the relative uncertainty in the estimate. 25. Refer to Exercise 12. Estimate P, and find the relative uncertainty in the estimate.
0.2%. Which should be remeasured to provide the greater improvement in the relative uncertainty of the resistance? 27. Refer to Exercise 15. Assume that T0 = 73.1 ± 0.2 mm, and L1 = 27.7 ± 0.2 mm, a
0.1^{\circ}F, Ta = 37.5 \pm 0.2^{\circ}F, k = 0.032 min – 1 with negligible uncertainty, and T = 50^{\circ}F exactly. Estimate t, and find the relative uncertainty in the estimate S, and find the relative uncertainty in the estimate. Page 196 b. Estimate V, and
relative uncertainty in l is 3% and that the relative uncertainty in l is 3% and that the relative uncertainty in R. Supplementary Exercises for Chapter 3 1. 2. Assume that X, Y, and Z are independent measurements with X = 25 \pm 1, Y = 5.0 \pm 0.2. Find the uncertainties in each of the following quantities: a. XY + Z b. Z/(X + Y) c. d.
 Assume that X, Y, and Z are independent measurements, and that the relative uncertainty in X is 5%, the relative uncertainty in Y is 10%, and the relative uncertainty in Z is 15%. Find the relative uncertainty in Z is 15%. Find the relative uncertainty in Z is 15%.
the uncertainties in the three measurements are the same. For some genetic mutations, it is thought that the frequency at age t1, and m2 is the frequency at age t2, then the yearly rate of increase is estimated by r = (m2 - m1)/(t2 - t1). In a polymerase chain reaction
assay, the frequency in 20-year-old men was estimated to be 17.7 ± 1.7 per µg DNA. Assume that age is measured with negligible uncertainty in the estimate to be 35.9 ± 5.8 per µg DNA. Assume that age is measured with negligible uncertainty in the
estimated rate of increase. The Darcy-Weisbach equation states that the power-generating capacity in a hydroelectric system that is lost due to head loss. Assume that \eta = 0.85 \pm 0.02, H = 3.71 \pm 0.10 m, Q = 60 \pm 0.02 m, Q = 60 \pm 0.02
1m3/s, and \gamma = 9800 N/m3 with negligible uncertainty in the estimate the power loss. c. Which would provide the greatest reduction in the uncertainty in P: reducing the uncertainty in \eta to 0.01, reducing the uncertainty in H to
0.05, or reducing the uncertainty in Q to 0.5? Let A and B represent two variants (alleles in a population that are of type A, and let q represent the proportion of alleles that are of type B. The Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium principle states that the proportion PAB of
organisms that are of type AB is equal to pq. In a population survey of a particular species, the proportion of alleles of type AB, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. Page
197 b. c. 7. Find the relative uncertainty in the estimated proportion. Which would provide a greater reduction in the uncertainty in the type B proportion to 0.02? The heating capacity of a calorimeter is known to be 4 kJ/°C, with negligible
uncertainty. The number of dietary calories (kilocalories) per gram of a substance in the calorimeter, \Delta T is the increase in temperature in °C caused by burning the substance in the calorimeter, m is the mass of the substance in grams, and is the increase in temperature in °C caused by burning the substance in the calorimeter, \Delta T is the increase in temperature in °C caused by burning the substance in the calorimeter, \Delta T is the increase in temperature in °C caused by burning the substance in the calorimeter, \Delta T is the increase in temperature in °C caused by burning the substance in the calorimeter, \Delta T is the increase in temperature in °C caused by burning the substance in the calorimeter, \Delta T is the increase in temperature in °C caused by burning the substance in the calorimeter, \Delta T is the increase in temperature in °C caused by burning the substance in the calorimeter, \Delta T is the increase in temperature in °C caused by burning the substance in the calorimeter, \Delta T is the increase in temperature in °C caused by burning the substance in the calorimeter, \Delta T is the increase in temperature in °C caused by burning the substance in the calorimeter, \Delta T is the increase in temperature in °C caused by burning the substance in the calorimeter, \Delta T is the increase in temperature in °C caused by burning the substance in the calorimeter, \Delta T is the increase in temperature in °C caused by burning the calorimeter, \Delta T is the increase in temperature in °C caused by burning the calorimeter, \Delta T is the increase in temperature in °C caused by burning the calorimeter, \Delta T is the increase in temperature in °C caused by burning the calorimeter, \Delta T is the increase in temperature in °C caused by burning the calorimeter, \Delta T is the increase in temperature in °C caused by burning the calorimeter, \Delta T is the increase in temperature in \Delta T in \Delta T is the increase in temperature in \Delta T in \Delta T is the increase in \Delta T in \Delta T
and c = 0.2390 cal/kJ is the conversion factor from kilojoules to dietary calories. An amount of mayonnaise with mass 0.40 \pm 0.02°C. a. Estimate the number of dietary calories per gram of mayonnaise, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. b. Find the relative uncertainty in the
estimated number of dietary calories. c. Which would provide a greater reduction in the uncertainty in C: reducing the uncertainty in ΔT to 0.01°C? Sixteen independent measurements were made of the resistance of a resistor. The average was 52.37 Ω and the standard deviation was 0.12 Ω. a.
  Estimate the resistance of this resistor, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. b. A single measurement is made of the resistance of another resistor. This measurement is 61.42 Ω. What is the uncertainty in this measurement is 61.42 Ω. What is the uncertainty in this measurement? The article "Insights into Present-Day Crustal Motion in the Central Mediterranean Area from GPS Surveys" (M. Anzidei, Flancian Area from GPS Surveys").
Baldi, et al., Geophysical Journal International, 2001:98-100) reports that the components of velocity of the earth's crust in Zimmerwald, Switzerland, are 22.10 ± 0.34 mm/year in a northerly direction and 14.3 ± 0.32 mm/year in an easterly direction. a. Estimate the velocity of the earth's crust, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. b. Using your
answer to part (a), estimate the number of years it will take for the crust to move 100 mm, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. If two gases have molar masses M1 and M2, Graham's law states that the ratio R of their rates of effusion through a small opening is given by . The effusion rate of an unknown gas through a small opening is measured to
be 1.66 ± 0.03 times greater than the effusion rate of carbon dioxide. The molar mass of the unknown gas, and find the uncertainty in the estimate the molar mass of the unknown gas, and find the uncertainty in the estimate the molar mass of the unknown gas, and find the uncertainty in the estimate.
layers. The two outer layers are veneers with thickness 0.50 ± 0.02 mm, and the three inner layers are independent. Estimate the thickness of the plywood and its uncertainty. The article "Effect of Varying Solids Concentration and Organic Loading on the Performance of
Temperature Phased Anaerobic Digestion Process" (S. Vandenburgh and T. Ellis, Water Environment Research, 2002:142-148) discusses experiments to determine the effect of the solids concentration on the performance of treatment methods for wastewater sludge. In the first experiment, the concentration of solids (in q/L) was 43.94 ± 1.18. In the
 second experiment, which was independent of the first, the concentration was 48.66 ± 1.76. Estimate the difference in the concentration between the two experiments, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. In the article "Measurements of the Thermal Conductivity and Thermal Diffusivity of Polymer Melts with the Short-Hot-Wire Method" (X.
Zhang, W. Hendro, et al., International Journal of Thermophysics, 2002:1077-1090), the thermal diffusivity of a liquid measured by the transient short-hot-wire method is given by Page 198 14. 15. 16. 17. where λ is the thermal diffusivity; V and I are the voltage and current applied to the hot wire, respectively; l is the length of the wire; and A and a
are quantities involving temperature whose values are estimated separately. In this article, the relative uncertainty in λ. b. Which would reduce the relative uncertainty more: reducing the relative uncertainty in λ. b. Which would reduce the relative uncertainty in λ. b. Which would reduce the relative uncertainty in λ. b. Which would reduce the relative uncertainty in λ. b. Which would reduce the relative uncertainty in λ. b. Which would reduce the relative uncertainty in λ. b. Which would reduce the relative uncertainty in λ. b. Which would reduce the relative uncertainty in λ. b. Which would reduce the relative uncertainty in λ. b. Which would reduce the relative uncertainty in λ. b. Which would reduce the relative uncertainty in λ. b. Which would reduce the relative uncertainty in λ. b. Which would reduce the relative uncertainty in λ. b. Which would reduce the relative uncertainty in λ. b. Which would reduce the relative uncertainty in λ. b. Which would reduce the relative uncertainty in λ. b. Which would reduce the relative uncertainty in λ. b. Which would reduce the relative uncertainty in λ. b. Which would reduce the relative uncertainty in λ. b. Which would reduce the relative uncertainty in λ. b. Which would reduce the relative uncertainty in λ. b. Which would reduce the reduce the reduce the reduce the reduced the r
relative uncertainties in V, I, and A each to 0? A cable is made up of several parallel strands of the strength of the cable is made up of several parallel strands of the strength of the strengths of the wires. In the brittle wire method, the
strength of the cable is estimated to be the strength of the weakest wire multiplied by the number of wires. A particular cable is composed of 12 wires. Four of them have strength of the weakest wire multiplied by the number of wires. A particular cable is composed of 12 wires. Four of them have strength of the weakest wire multiplied by the number of wires. A particular cable is composed of 12 wires. Four of them have strength of the weakest wire multiplied by the number of wires. A particular cable is composed of 12 wires. Four of them have strength of the weakest wire multiplied by the number of wires. A particular cable is composed of 12 wires. Four of them have strength of the weakest wire multiplied by the number of wires. A particular cable is composed of 12 wires.
the ductile wire method. b. Estimate the strength of the cable is composed of 16 wires. The strength of the cable be the same under the ductile wire method. Refer to Exercise 14. A cable is composed of 16 wires. The strength of the cable be the same under the ductile wire method.
b. Will the uncertainty in the estimated strength of the cable be the same under the ductile wire method? Explain why or why not. The mean yield from process B is estimated to be 90 ± 3. The relative
increase obtained from process B is therefore estimated to be (90 - 80)/80 = 0.125. Find the uncertainty in this estimate O, and find the uncertainty in the
estimate. b. Assume that r = 4.00 \pm 0.04 m and v = 2.0 \pm 0.1 m/s. Estimate Q, and find the uncertainty in v is 5%, is it possible to compute the relative uncertainty in V is 5%, is it possible to compute the relative uncertainty in V is 5%, is it possible to compute the relative uncertainty in V is 5%, is it possible to compute the relative uncertainty in V is 5%, is it possible to compute the relative uncertainty in V is 5%, is it possible to compute the relative uncertainty in V is 5%, is it possible to compute the relative uncertainty in V is 5%, is it possible to compute the relative uncertainty in V is 5%, is it possible to compute the relative uncertainty in V is 5%, is it possible to compute the relative uncertainty in V is 5%, is it possible to compute the relative uncertainty in V is 5%, is it possible to compute the relative uncertainty in V is 5%, is it possible to compute the relative uncertainty in V is 5%, is it possible to compute the relative uncertainty in V is 5%, is it possible to compute the relative uncertainty in V is 5%, is it possible to compute the relative uncertainty in V is 5%, is it possible to compute the relative uncertainty in V is 5%, is it possible to compute the relative uncertainty in V is 5%, is it possible to compute the relative uncertainty in V is 5%, is it possible to compute the relative uncertainty in V is 5%, is it possible to compute the relative uncertainty in V is 5%, is it possible to compute the relative uncertainty in V is 5%, is it possible to compute the relative uncertainty in V is 5%, is it possible to compute the relative uncertainty in V is 5%, is it possible to compute the relative uncertainty in V is 5%, is it possible to compute the relative uncertainty in V is 5%, is it possible to compute the relative uncertainty in V is 5%, is it possible to compute the relative uncertainty in V is 5%, is it possible to compute the relative uncertainty in V is 5%, is it possible to compute the relative uncertainty in V is 5%, is it possible to compute the relativ
not, explain what additional information is needed. 18. The conversion of cyclobutane (C2H4) is a first-order reaction. This means that the concentration at time t, C0 is the initial concentration, t is the time since the reaction started, and k is the rate
constant. Assume that C0 = 0.2 mol/L with negligible uncertainty. After 300 seconds at a constant temperature, the concentration is measured to be C = 0.174 ± 0.005 mol/L. Assume that time can be measured with negligible uncertainty in the estimate. The units of k will be s-1. b. Find the
relative uncertainty in k. c. The half-life to the reaction is the time it takes for the concentration to be reduced to one-half its initial value. The half-life to the result found in part (a), find the uncertainty in the half-life is related to the result found in part (a). The half-life to the reaction is the time it takes for the concentration to be reduced to one-half its initial value. The half-life is related to the reaction is the time it takes for the concentration to be reduced to one-half its initial value.
nitrogen dioxide (NO2) into nitrogen monoxide (NO2) into nitrogen monoxide (NO) and oxygen is a second-order reaction. This means that the concentration and k is the rate constant. Assume the initial concentration is known to be 0.03 mol/L exactly. Assume that time can be measured with
negligible uncertainty. a. After 40 s, the concentration C is measured to be 0.0023 \pm 2.0 \times 10-4 mol/L. Estimate the rate constant k, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. C. Denote the
estimates of the rate constant k in parts (a) and (b) by and, respectively. The average is used as an estimate of k. Find the uncertainty in this estimate of k. Find the weighted average has the smallest uncertainty in this estimate. d. Find the weighted average has the smallest uncertainty in this estimate.
and travels a distance s down the plane. The first student estimates the acceleration by measuring the time, in seconds, that the cart takes to travel s meters, and uses the formula a = v2/2s. The second student estimates the acceleration by measuring the time, in seconds, that the cart takes to travel s meters, and uses the formula a = v2/2s.
Assume that s = 1 m, and that there is negligible uncertainty in s. Assume that t = 0.63 \pm 0.1 m/s and that t = 0.63 \pm 0.1 m/s and that the measurements of v and t are independent. a. Compute the acceleration using the method of the first student. Call this estimate a 1. Find the uncertainty in a 1. b. b. Compute the acceleration using the method of the first student.
the second student. Call this estimate a2. Find the uncertainty in a2. c. Find the weighted average of a1 and a2 that has the smallest uncertainty. Find the uncertainty in this weighted average. 21. A track has the smallest uncertainty. Find the uncertainty in this weighted average of a1 and a2 that has the smallest uncertainty in this weighted average. 21. A track has the smallest uncertainty in a2. c. Find the uncertainty in this weighted average of a1 and a2 that has the smallest uncertainty in this weighted average. 21. A track has the smallest uncertainty in a2. c. Find the uncertainty in a2. c. Find the uncertainty in this weighted average of a1 and a2 that has the smallest uncertainty in a2. c. Find the uncertainty in a2. c. Fi
Compute the area of the square and its uncertainty, b. Compute the area of one of the semicircles and its uncertainty, c. Let S denote the area of one of the semicircles are computed in part (a), and let C denote the area of one of the semicircles are computed in part (b). The area enclosed by the track is A = S + 2C. Someone computes the uncertainty in A as . Is
this correct? If so, explain why. If not, compute the uncertainty in A correctly. 22. If X is an unbiased measurement of a true value U(X) is a nonlinear function of X, then in most cases U is a biased estimate of the true value u(X). In most cases this bias is ignored. If it is important to reduce this bias, however, a bias-corrected estimate is . In
general the bias-corrected estimate is not unbiased, but has a smaller bias than U(X). Assume that the radius of a circle is measured to be r = 3.0 ± 0.1 cm. a. Estimate the area A, and find the uncertainty in the estimate, without bias corrected and
non-bias-corrected estimates to the uncertainty in the non-bias-corrected estimate. Is bias correction important in this case? Explain. 23. If X1, X2, ..., Xn are independent and unbiased measurements of true values µ1, µ2, ..., Xn are independent and unbiased measurements of true values µ1, µ2, ..., Xn, then in general U(X1, X2, ..., Xn) is a biased estimate of the
true value U(\mu 1, \mu 2, ..., \mu n). A bias-corrected estimate is . When air enters a compressor at pressure P2, the intermediate pressure P3, and find the uncertainty in the estimate, without bias correction. b. Compute the bias-corrected estimate
of P3. c. Compare the difference between the bias-corrected and non-bias-corrected estimates to the uncertainty in the non-bias-corrected estimate. Is bias corrected estimate. Is bias corrected estimates to the uncertainty in the non-bias-corrected estimate.
sample data to learn about the population. In many situations, one has an approximate knowledge of the probability mass or density function can often be well approximated by one of several standard families of curves, or functions. In this chapter, we
describe some of these standard functions, and for each one we describe some conditions under which it is appropriate. 4.1 The Bernoulli Distribution Imagine an experiment that can result in one of two outcomes. One outcome is labeled "failure." The probability of success is denoted by p. The probability
of failure is therefore 1 - p. Such a trial is called a Bernoulli trial is the toss of a coin. The two outcomes are heads and tails. If we define heads to be the success outcome, then p is the probability that the coin comes up heads. For a fair coin, p = 1/2. Another example of a Bernoulli trial is the
selection of a component from a population of components, some of which are defective components, then p is the proportion of defective component, then p is the proportion of defective component from a population. For any Bernoulli trial, we define a random variable X as follows: If the experiment results in success, then X = 1. Otherwise X = 0. It follows that
X is a discrete random variable, with probability mass function p(x) defined by Page 201 The random variable X is said to have the Bernoulli (0.5) and Bernoulli (0.8) probability mass functions. FIGURE 4.1 (a) The Bernoulli (0.5)
probability histogram. (b) The Bernoulli(0.8) probability histogram. Example 4.1 A coin has probability histogram. Example 4.1 A coin has probability histogram. Example 4.1 A coin has probability, P(X = 1),
is equal to 0.5. Therefore X \sim Bernoulli(0.5). Example 4.2 A die has probability 1/6 of coming up 6 when rolled. Let X = 1 if the die comes up 6, and X = 0 otherwise. What is the distribution of X? Solution The success probability is p = P(X = 1) = 1/6. Therefore X \sim Bernoulli(1/6). Example 4.3 Ten percent of the components manufactured by a certain
process are defective. A component is chosen at random. Let X = 1 if the component is defective, and X = 0 otherwise. What is the distribution of X? Solution The success probability is p = P(X = 1) = 0.1. Therefore X \sim Bernoulli(0.1). Page 202 Mean and Variance of a Bernoulli Random Variable It is easy to compute the mean and variance of a
 Bernoulli random variable. If X \sim Bernoulli(p), then, using Equations (2.29) and (2.30) (in Section 2.4), we compute Summary If X \sim Bernoulli(0.1), the success probability p is equal to 0.1. Using Equations (4.1) and (4.2), \mu X = 0.1 and . Exercises for
Section 4.1 1.2. After scoring a touchdown, a football team may elect to attempt a two-points. For a certain football team, the probability that this play is successful, X = 0 if not. Find the mean and variance of X. b. If
the conversion is successful, the team scores 2 points; if not the team scores 2 points; if not the team scores 2 points, if not the team scores 2 points; if not the team scores 2 points; if not the team scores 2 points, if not the team scores 2 points and blue. Twenty percent
of customers order the red set, 45% order the white, and 35% order the blue. Let X = 1 if a randomly chosen order is for a white set, let X = 0 otherwise; let X = 0 otherwise; let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 0 otherwise; let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if the order is for a white set, let X = 1 if the order is for a white set, let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if the order is for a white set, let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if it is for either a red or white set, let X = 1 if it is f
the success probability for Y. Find pY. c. Let pZ denote the success probability for Z. Find pZ. d. e. Is it possible for both X and Y to equal 1? Does pZ = pX + pY? f. Does Z = X + Y? Explain. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. When a certain glaze is applied to a ceramic surface, the probability for Z. Find pZ. d. e. Is it possible for both X and Y to equal 1? Does pZ = pX + pY? f. Does Z = X + Y? Explain. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. When a certain glaze is applied to a ceramic surface, the probability for Z. Find pZ. d. e. Is it possible for both X and Y to equal 1? Does pZ = pX + pY? f. 
that there will be either discoloration or a crack, or both. Let X = 1 if there is discoloration, and let X = 0 otherwise. Let Y = 1 if there is a crack, or both, and let X = 0 otherwise. Let X = 1 if there is a crack, or both. Let X = 1 if there is either discoloration or Page 203 a crack, or both.
probability for Y. Find pY. c. Let pZ denote the success probability for Z. Find pZ. d. e. Is it possible for both X and Y to equal 1? Does pZ = pX + pY? f. Does Z = X + Y? Explain. Let X and Y be Bernoulli random variables. Let Z = X + Y? Explain. Let X and Y be Bernoulli random variables. Let Z = X + Y? Explain. Let X and Y be Bernoulli random variables. Let Z = X + Y? Explain. Let X and Y be Bernoulli random variables. Let Z = X + Y? Explain. Let X and Y be Bernoulli random variables. Let Z = X + Y? Explain. Let X and Y be Bernoulli random variables. Let Z = X + Y? Explain. Let X and Y be Bernoulli random variables. Let Z = X + Y? Explain. Let X and Y be Bernoulli random variables. Let Z = X + Y? Explain. Let X and Y be Bernoulli random variables. Let Z = X + Y? Explain. Let X and Y be Bernoulli random variables. Let Z = X + Y? Explain. Let X and Y be Bernoulli random variables. Let Z = X + Y? Explain. Let X and Y be Bernoulli random variables.
cannot both be equal to 1, then pZ = pX + pY. c. Show that if X and Y can both be equal to 1, then Z is not a Bernoulli random variable. A penny and a nickel are tossed. Both are fair coins. Let X = 1 if the pinny comes up heads, and let X = 0 otherwise. Let X = 1 if the pinny and a nickel are tossed. Both are fair coins. Let X = 1 if the pinny and a nickel are tossed. Both are fair coins. Let X = 1 if the pinny and a nickel are tossed. Both are fair coins. Let X = 1 if the pinny and a nickel are tossed. Both are fair coins. Let X = 1 if the pinny and a nickel are tossed. Both are fair coins. Let X = 1 if the pinny and a nickel are tossed. Both are fair coins. Let X = 1 if the pinny and a nickel are tossed. Both are fair coins. Let X = 1 if the pinny and a nickel are tossed. Both are fair coins. Let X = 1 if the pinny and a nickel are tossed. Both are fair coins.
nickel come up heads, and let Z = 0 otherwise. a. Let pX denote the success probability for X. Find pX. b. Let pY denote the success probability for Y. Find pY. c. Let pZ denote the success probability for Y. Find pX. b. Let pX denote the success probability for Y. Find pX. d. e. Are X and Y independent? Does pZ = pXpY? f. Does Z = XY? Explain. Two dice are rolled. Let X = 1 if the dice come up doubles and
let X = 0 otherwise. Let Y = 1 if the sum is 6, and let Y = 0 otherwise. Let Z = 1 if the dice come up both doubles and with a sum of 6 (that is, double 3), and let Z = 0 otherwise. Let pZ denote the success probability for X. Find pX. b. Let pZ denote the success probability for Y. Find pX. b. Let pZ denote the success probability for X. Find pX. b. Let pX denote the success probability for Y. Find pX. b. Let pX denote the success probability for Y. Find pX. b. Let pX denote the success probability for Y. Find pX. b. Let pX denote the success probability for Y. Find pX. b. Let pX denote the success probability for Y. Find pX. b. Let pX denote the success probability for Y. Find pX. b. Let pX denote the success probability for Y. Find pX. b. Let pX denote the success probability for Y. Find pX. b. Let pX denote the success probability for Y. Find pX. b. Let pX denote the success probability for Y. Find pX. b. Let pX denote the success probability for Y. Find pX. b. Let pX denote the success probability for Y. Find pX. b. Let pX denote the success probability for Y. Find pX. b. Let pX denote the success probability for Y. Find pX. b. Let pX denote the success probability for Y. Find pX. b. Let pX denote the success probability for Y. Find pX. b. Let pX denote the success probability for Y. Find pX. b. Let pX denote the success probability for Y. Find pX. b. Let pX denote the success probability for Y. Find pX. b. Let pX denote the success probability for Y. Find pX. b. Let pX denote the success probability for Y. Find pX. b. Let pX denote the success probability for Y. Find pX. b. Let pX denote the success probability for Y. Find pX. b. Let pX denote the success px d
Are X and Y independent? Does pZ = pXpY? f. Does Z = XY? Explain. Let X and Y be Bernoulli random variable. b. Show that if X and Y are independent, then pZ = pXpY. A Bernoulli random variable has variance 0.21. What are the possible values for its success probability? 4.2 The Binomial
Distribution Sampling a single component from a lot and determining whether it is defective is an example of a Bernoulli trial. In practice, we might sample several independent Bernoulli trials and counting the number of successes.
The number of successes is then a random variable, which is said to have a binomial distribution. We now present a formal description of the binomial distribution. Assume further that the trials are independent, that is, that the outcome of one trial does
not influence the outcomes of any of the other trials. Let the random variable X equal the number of successes in these n trials. Then X is a discrete random variable, and its possible values are 0, 1, ..., n. Page 204 Summary If a total of n Bernoulli trials
```

```
are conducted, and The trials are independent Each trial has the same success probability p X is the number of successes in the n trials then X has the binomial distribution with parameters n and p, denoted X ~ Bin(n, p). Example 4.5 A fair coin is tossed 10 times. Let X be the number of heads that appear. What is the distribution of X?
Solution There are 10 independent Bernoulli trials, each with success probability p = 0.5. The random variable X is equal to the number of successes in the 10 trials. Therefore X ~ Bin(10, 0.5). Recall from the discussion of independence in Section 1.1 that when drawing a sample from a finite, tangible population, the sample items may be treated as
independent if the population is very large compared to the sample items are not independent. In some cases, the purpose of drawing a sample may be to classify each one as defective or nondefective.
In these cases, each sampled item is a Bernoulli trial, with one category counted as a success and the number of successes among them has, for all practical purposes, a binomial distribution. When
the population size is not large compared to the sample, however, the Bernoulli trials are not independent, and the number of successes among them does not have a binomial distribution. A good rule of thumb is that if the sample size is 5% or less of the population, the binomial distribution may be used. Summary Assume that a finite population may be used.
contains items of two types, successes and failures, and that a simple random sample is drawn from the population. Then if the sample size is no more than 5% of the population, the binomial distribution may be used to model the number of successes. Page 205 Example 4.6 A lot contains several thousand components, 10% of which are defective.
Seven components are sampled from the lot. Let X represent the number of defective components in the sample size is small compared to the population (i.e., less than 5%), the number of successes in the sample approximately follows a binomial distribution. Therefore we model X with the
Bin(7, 0.1) distribution. Probability Mass Function of a Binomial Random Variable We now derive the probability mass function of a binomial random variable by considering an example. A biased coin has probability mass function of a binomial random variable by considering an example.
There are three arrangements of two heads in three tosses of a coin, HHT, HTH, and THH. We first compute the probability of HHT. The event HHT is a sequence of independent events: H on the first toss, H on the second toss, T on the third toss. We know the probabilities of each of these events separately: P(H on the first toss) = 0.6, P(H on the
 second toss) = 0.6, P(T) on the third toss) = 0.4 Since the events are independent, the probability that they all occur is equal to the product of their probabilities (Equation 2.20 in Section 2.3). Thus Similarly, P(HTH) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6)(0.6) = (0.6)(0.4)(0.6)(0.6) = (0.6)(0
heads and one tail have the same probability. Now Examining this result, we see that the number of successes (heads) and one failure probability p, the exponent 1 is the number of failures. We can
now generalize this result to produce a formula for the probability of x successes in n independent Bernoulli trials with success probability p, in terms of x, n, and p. In other words, we can compute P(X = x) where X \sim Bin(n, p). We can see that Page 206 (4.3) All we need to do now is to provide an expression for the number of arrangements of x
successes in n trials. To describe this number, we need factorial notation. For any positive integer n, the quantity n! (read "n factorial") is the number of arrangements of x successes in n trials is n!/[x!(n-x)!]. (A derivation of this result is presented in Section 2.2.) We can now define the probability mass function
for a binomial random variable. If X ~ Bin(n, p), the probability mass function of X is (4.4) Figure 4.2 presents probability histogram. (b) The Bin(20, 0.1) probability histogram. Example 4.7 Find the probability mass function of the Bin(10, 0.4) and Bin(20, 0.1) probability histogram.
random variable X if X ~ Bin(10, 0.4). Find P(X = 5). Page 207 Solution We use Equation (4.4) with n = 10 and p = 0.4. The probability mass function is Example 4.8 A fair die is rolled eight times. Find the probability mass function is Example 4.8 A fair die is rolled eight times.
number of sixes in 8 rolls. Then X ~ Bin(8, 1/6). We need to find P(X \leq 2). Using the probability mass function, Table A.1 (in Appendix A) presents binomial probabilities of the form P(X \leq x) for n \leq 20 and selected values of p. Examples 4.9 and 4.10 illustrate the use of this table. Example 4.9 According to a 2016 report by J.D. Power and Associates
approximately 20% of registered automobiles have been recalled but not repaired? Solution Let X represent the number of automobiles in the sample that have been recalled but
not repaired. Then X \sim Bin(12, 0.2). The probability that fewer than four have been recalled but not repaired is P(X \leq 3) = 0.795. Page 208 Sometimes the best way to compute the probability of an event is to compute the probability that the event does not occur, and then
subtract from 1. Example 4.10 provides an illustration. Example 4.10 provides an illustration. Example 4.10 provides an illustration. Example 4.10 provides an illustration are than 1 of the 12 cars has been recalled but not repaired? Solution Let X represent the number of cars that have been recalled but not repaired? Solution Let X represent the number of cars that have been recalled but not repaired? Solution Let X represent the number of cars that have been recalled but not repaired? Solution Let X represent the number of cars that have been recalled but not repaired? Solution Let X represent the number of cars that have been recalled but not repaired? Solution Let X represent the number of cars that have been recalled but not repaired? Solution Let X represent the number of cars that have been recalled but not repaired? Solution Let X represent the number of cars that have been recalled but not repaired? Solution Let X represent the number of cars that have been recalled but not repaired? Solution Let X represent the number of cars that have been recalled but not repaired? Solution Let X represent the number of cars that have been recalled but not repaired? Solution Let X represent the number of cars that have been recalled but not repaired? Solution Let X represent the number of cars that have been recalled but not repaired? Solution Let X represent the number of cars that have been recalled but not repaired? Solution Let X represent the number of cars that have been recalled but not repaired?
x). Therefore we note that P(X > 1) = 1 - P(X \le 1). Consulting the table with n = 12, p = 0.275. Therefore P(X > 1) = 1 - 0.275. A Binomial Random Variable Is a Sum of Bernoulli trials are conducted, each with success probability p. Let Y1, ..., Yn be
defined as follows: Yi = 1 if the ith trial results in success, and Yi = 0 otherwise. Then each of the random variables Yi has the Bernoulli(p) distribution. Now let X represent the number of the Yi that have the value 1, which is either 0 or 1, the sum Y1 + \cdots + Yn is equal to the number of the Yi that have the value 1, which is either 0 or 1, the sum Y1 + \cdots + Yn is equal to the number of the Yi that have the value 1, which is expressed as a follows: Yi = 1 if the ith trial results in success, and Yi = 0 otherwise.
the number of successes among the n trials. Therefore X = Y1 + \cdots + Yn. This shows that a binomial random variable can be expressed as a sum of Bernoulli random variables. Put another way, sampling a single value from a Bin(n, p) population, and then summing the sample
values. The Mean and Variance of a Binomial Random Variable With a little thought, it is easy to see how to compute the mean of a binomial random variable. For example, if a fair coin is tossed 10 times, we expect on the average to see five heads. The number 5 comes from multiplying the success probability (0.5) by the number of trials (10). This
method works in general. If we perform n Bernoulli trials, each with success probability p, the mean of X is the sum of the means of the Bernoulli random variables
that compose it, which is equal to np. We can compute \sigma 2X by again noting that X is the sum of independent Bernoulli random variables and recalling that the variance of X is therefore the sum of the variance of X is therefore the sum of the variance of X is therefore the sum of the variance of X is the refore the sum of the variance of X is the refore the sum of the variance of X is the refore the sum of the variance of X is the refore the sum of the variance of X is the refore the sum of the variance of X is the refore the sum of the variance of X is the refore the sum of the variance of X is the refore the sum of the variance of X is the refore the sum of the variance of X is the refore the sum of the variance of X is the refore the sum of the variance of X is the refore the sum of the variance of X is the refore the sum of the variance of X is the refore the sum of the variance of X is the refore the sum of the variance of X is the refore the sum of the variance of X is the refore the variance of X is the variance of X is the refore the X is the variance of X is the refore the X is the variance of X is the variance of X is the variance of X is the x is the variance of X is the variance of
209 Summary If X ~ Bin(n, p), then the mean and variance of X are given by (4.5) (4.6) Using the binomial random variable (Equations 2.29 and 2.30 in Section 2.4).
These expressions involve sums that are tedious to evaluate. It is much easier to think of a binomial random variable as a sum of independent Bernoulli random variables. Using a Sample Proportion to Estimate a Success Probability In many cases we do not know the success probability p associated with a certain Bernoulli trial, and we wish to
estimate its value. A natural way to do this is to conduct n independent trials and count the number X of successes. To estimate the success probability, which is unknown, is denoted by p. The sample proportion, which is known, is
denoted. The "hat" () indicates that is used to estimate the unknown value p. Example 4.11 A quality engineer is testing the calibration of a machine that packs ice cream into containers. In a sample of 20 containers, 3 are underfilled. Estimate the probability p that the machine underfills a container. Solution The sample proportion of underfilled.
containers is probability p that the machine underfills a container is 0.15 as well. We estimate that the Uncertainty in the Sample Proportion It is important to realize that the sample were taken, the value of would probably come out
differently. In other words, there is uncertainty in . For to be useful, we must compute its bias and its uncertainty in . For to be useful, we must compute its bias and its uncertainty in . For to be useful, we must compute its bias and its uncertainty. We now do this. Let n denote the number of successes, where X ~ Bin(n, p). Page 210 The bias is the difference . Since , it follows from Equation (2.43) (in Section 2.5) that Since , is unbiased; in other
words, its bias is 0. The uncertainty is the standard deviation (4.6), the standard deviation of X is . Since , it follows from Equation (2.45) (in Section 2.5) that In practice, when computing the uncertainty in we approximate it with , we don't know the success probability p, so . Summary If X ~ Bin(n, p), then the sample proportion is
used to estimate the success probability p. In the uncertainty in is (4.7) In practice, when computing Example of 40 buildings is chosen for
inspection, and 4 of them are found to have fire code violations. Estimate the proportion of buildings in the city that have fire code violations. The sample size (number of trials) is n = 40. The number of buildings with
violations (successes) is X = 4. We estimate p with the sample proportion : Page 211 Using Equation (4.7), the uncertainty in the sample proportion was rather large. We can reduce the uncertainty by increasing the sample size. Example 4.13 shows how
to compute the approximate sample size needed to reduce the uncertainty to a specified amount. Example 4.13 In Example 4.13 In
with , we obtain Solving for n yields n = 225. We have already sample 40 buildings, so we need to sample 185 more. The following example 4.14 In a sample of 100 newly manufactured automobile tires, 7 are found to have minor flaws in the tread. If four newly
manufactured tires are selected at random and installed on a car, estimate the probability that a tire has no flaw. The probability that all four tires have no flaw is p4. We use propagation of error (Section 3.3) to estimate the
uncertainty in p4. We begin by computing the sample proportion and finding its uncertainty. The sample proportion is We substitute n = 100 and . The uncertainty in : Exercises for Section 4.2 1. Let X \sim Bin(7, 0.3). Find a. P(X = 1) b. P(X = 1) 
= 2) c. P(X < 1) d. P(X > 4) e. \mu x f. 2. Let X \sim Bin(5, 0.4) d. P(X > 2) when X \sim Bin(5, 0.4) d. P(X > 2) when X \sim Bin(6, 0.7) At a certain airport, 75% of
the flights arrive on time. A sample of 10 flights were on time. C. Find the probability that eight or more of the flights were on time. C. Find the probability that eight or more of the flights were on time. The flights were on time. C. Find the probability that eight or more of the flights were on time. The flights were on time. C. Find the probability that eight or more of the flights were on time. The
Twelve automobiles are selected at random to undergo an emissions test. a. Find the probability that none of them violate the standard. b. Find the probability that fewer than three of them violate the standard. c. Find the probability that fewer than three of them violate the standard. b. Find the probability that fewer than three of them violate the standard. b. Find the probability that fewer than three of them violate the standard. b. Find the probability that fewer than three of them violate the standard. b. Find the probability that fewer than three of them violate the standard. b. Find the probability that fewer than three of them violate the standard. b. Find the probability that fewer than three of them violate the standard. b. Find the probability that fewer than three of them violate the standard. b. Find the probability that fewer than three of them violate the standard. b. Find the probability that fewer than three of them violate the standard. b. Find the probability that fewer than three of them violate the standard. b. Find the probability that fewer than three of them violate the standard in the probability that fewer than three of them violate the standard in the probability that fewer than three of them violate the standard in the probability that fewer than three of them violate the standard in the probability that fewer than the pr
comes up 6 exactly twice? b. What is the probability that the die comes up. a. Find the mean number of times a 6 comes up. f. Find the mean number of times an odd number comes up. e. Find the mean number of times an odd number of times and number o
number comes up. Of all the weld failures in a certain assembly, 85% of them occur in the base metal. A sample of 20 weld failures is examined. a. What is the probability that fewer than four of them are base metal
failures? c. What is the probability that none of them are base metal failures. e. Find the standard deviation of the number of base metal failures. e. Find the standard deviation of the number of base metal failures. e. Find the standard deviation of the number of base metal failures. e. Find the standard deviation of the number of base metal failures. e. Find the standard deviation of the number of base metal failures. e. Find the standard deviation of the number of base metal failures. e. Find the standard deviation of the number of base metal failures. e. Find the standard deviation of the number of base metal failures. e. Find the standard deviation of the number of base metal failures. e. Find the standard deviation of the number of base metal failures. e. Find the standard deviation of the number of base metal failures.
is the probability that exactly four of them experience cost overruns? c. What is the probability that none of them experience cost overruns? c. What is the probability that none of them experience cost overruns? c. What is the probability that none of them experience cost overruns? c. What is the probability that none of them experience cost overruns? c. What is the probability that none of them experience cost overruns? c. What is the probability that none of them experience cost overruns? c. What is the probability that none of them experience cost overruns? c. What is the probability that none of them experience cost overruns? c. What is the probability that none of them experience cost overruns? c. What is the probability that none of them experience cost overruns? c. What is the probability that none of them experience cost overruns? c. What is the probability that none of them experience cost overruns? c. What is the probability that none of them experience cost overruns? c. What is the probability that none of them experience cost overruns? c. What is the probability that none of them experience cost overruns? c. What is the probability that none of them experience cost overruns? c. What is the probability that none of them experience cost overruns? c. What is the probability that none of them experience cost overruns? c. What is the probability that none of them experience cost overruns?
overruns. 9. Several million lottery tickets are sold, and 60% of the tickets are held by women. Five winning tickets will be drawn at random. a. What is the probability that three or fewer of the winners will be of the winners will be of the other gender? 10. A
marketing manager samples 150 people and finds that 87 of them have made a purchase on the internet within the past month, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. b. Estimate the number of people who must be sampled to reduce the
uncertainty to 0.03. 11. A quality engineer samples 100 steel rods made on mill A and 150 rods made on mill B. Of the rods from mill A and 150 rods from mill A that meet specifications, and find the uncertainty in the estimate the proportion of rods from mill B. Of the r
of rods from mill B that meet specifications, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. c. Estimate the difference between the proportions, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. Of the items manufactured by a certain process, 20% are defective items, 12. 60% can be repaired. a. Find the probability that a randomly chosen item is
defective and cannot be repaired. b. Find the probability that exactly 2 of 20 randomly chosen items are defective and cannot be repaired. 13. Of the bolts manufactured for a certain application, 90% meet the length specification and can be used immediately, 6% are too long and can be used after being cut, and 4% are too short and must be
scrapped. a. Find the probability that a randomly selected bolt can be used (either immediately or after being cut). b. Find the probability that fewer than 9 out of a sample of 10 bolts can be used (either immediately or after being cut). b. Find the probability that fewer than 9 out of a sample of 10 bolts can be used (either immediately or after being cut).
downgraded (unsuitable for the intended purpose but usable for another purpose), or scrap (not usable). Suppose that 80% of the gears produced are conforming, 15% are downgraded, and 5% are scrap? b. What is the probability that eight or more are not scrap?
c. What is the probability that more than two are either downgraded? 15. A commuter must pass through three traffic lights on her way to work. For each light, the probability that it is green when she arrives is 0.6. The lights are independent. a. What is the
probability that all three lights are green? b. The commuter goes to work five days per week. Let X be the number of times out of the five days are independent of one another. What is the distribution of X? c. Find P(X = 3). Page 214 16. A distributor receives a large shipment of
components. The distributor would like to accept the shipment if 10% or fewer of the components are defective and to return it if more than 1 of the 10 is defective. She decides to sample 10 components are defective and to return it if more than 10% of the components are defective.
the probability that she will return the shipment? c. If the proportion of defectives in the batch is 2%, what is the probability that she will return the shipment? d. The distributor decides that she will accept the shipment only if none of the
sampled items are defective. What is the minimum number of items she should sample if she wants to have a probability no greater than 0.01 of accepting the shipment are defective? 17. A k out of n system is one in which there is a group of n components, and the system will function if at least k of the
components function. Assume the components functioning. What is the probability 0.9 of functioning, what is the smallest value of n needed so that the system, in which each component has probability 0.9 of functioning. What is the smallest value of n needed so that the
probability that the system functions is at least 0.90? 18. Refer to Exercise 17 for the definition of a k out of 6 system, assume that on a nonrainy day each component has probability 0.9 of functioning, and that on a rainy day each component has probability that the system
 functions on a rainy day? b. What is the probability that the system functions on a nonrainy day? c. Assume that the probability of rain tomorrow? 19. A certain large shipment comes with a guarantee that it contains no more than 15% defective items. If the proportion of defective
items in the shipment is greater than 15%, the shipment may be returned. You draw a random sample of 10 items. Let X be the number of defective (so that the shipment is good, but just barely), what is P(X \ge 7)? b. Based on the answer to part (a), if 15% of the items in
the shipment are defective, would 7 defective, would 7 defective, would this be convincing evidence that the shipment should be returned? Explain. d. If in fact 15% of the items in the shipment are defective, what is P(X \ge 2)? e. Based on the answer to part
(d), if 15% of the items in the shipment are defective, would 2 defective, would 2 defective, would this be convincing evidence that the shipment should be returned? Explain. 20. An insurance company offers a discount to homeowners who install smoke
detectors in their homes. A company representative claims that 80% or more of policyholders have smoke detectors. You draw a random sample of eight policyholders have smoke detectors (so the representative's claim is
true, but just barely), what is P(X \le 1)? Based on the answer to part (a), if 80% of the policyholders have smoke detector, would one policyholders have smoke detector, would this be convincing evidence that the claim is
false? Explain. If exactly 80% of the policyholders have smoke detectors, what is P(X \le 6)? Based on the answer to part (d), if 80% of the policyholders have smoke detectors, what is P(X \le 6)? Based on the answer to part (d), if 80% of the policyholders have smoke detectors in a sample of size 8 be an unusually small number? Page 215 f. If you found that six of the eight sample policyholders have
detectors, would this be convincing evidence that the claim is false? Explain. 21. A message consists of a string of bits (0s and 1s). Due to noise in the communication, each bit has probability 0.3 of being reversed (i.e., a 1 will be changed to a 0 or a 0 to a 1). To improve the accuracy of the communication, each bit is sent five times, so, for
example, 0 is sent as 00000. The receiver assigns the value 0 if three or more of the bits are decoded as 1. Assume that errors occur independently. a. A 0 is sent (as 00000). What is the probability that the receiver assigns the correct value of 0? b. Assume that each bit is sent n times, where n is an
odd number, and that the receiver assigns the value decoded in the majority of the bits. What is the minimum value of n necessary so that the probability that the correct value is assigned is at least 0.90? 22. Let X \sim Bin(n, p), and let Y = n - X. Show that Y \sim Bin(n, p), and let Y \sim Bi
minor cosmetic flaws. Of the figurines made by a certain company, 90% are flawless and 10% have minor cosmetic flaws. In a sample of 100 figurines that are sold, let Y be the revenue earned by selling them and let X be the number of them that are flawless. a. Express Y as a function of X. b. Find μY. c. Find σY. 24. One design for a system requires
the installation of two identical components. The system will work if at least one of the components works. An alternative design requires four of these components works is 0.9, and if the components works if at least two of the four components works. If the probability that a component works is 0.9, and if the components works if at least two of the four components works.
greater probability of functioning? 25. (Requires material from Section 3.3.) Refer to Example 4.14. Estimate the probability that exactly one of the four tires has a flaw, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. 26. If p is a success probability, the quantity p/(1 - p) is called the odds. Odds are commonly estimated in medical research. The article "A
Study of Twelve Southern California Communities with Differing Levels and Types of Air Pollution" (J. Peters, E. Avol, et al., The American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, 1999:760-767) reports an assessment of respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, 1999:760-767) reports an assessment of respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, 1999:760-767) reports an assessment of respiratory health of southern California Chimagonia Communities with Differing Levels and Types of Air Pollution" (J. Peters, E. Avol, et al., The American Journal of Respiratory health of southern California Chimagonia Chimago
bronchitis during the last 12 months. a. Estimate the proportion p of boys who have been diagnosed with bronchitis, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. b. (Requires material from Section 3.3.) Estimate the proportion p of boys who have been diagnosed with bronchitis, and find the uncertainty in the estimate.
way to think of the Poisson distribution is as an approximation to the binomial distribution when n is large and p is small. We illustrate with an example. A mass contains 10,000 atoms of a radioactive substance. The probability that a given atom will decay in a one-minute time period is 0.0002. Let X represent the number of atoms that decay in one
minute. Now each atom can be thought of as a Bernoulli trial, where success occurs if the atom decays. Thus X is the number of successes in 10,000 independent Bernoulli trials, each with success probability 0.0002, so the distribution of X is Bin(10,000, 0.0002). The mean of X is \mu X = (10,000)(0.0002) = 2. Page 216 Another mass contains 5000
atoms, and each of these atoms has probability 0.0004 of decaying in a one-minute time interval. Let Y represent the number of atoms that decay in one minute from this mass. By the reasoning in the previous paragraph, Y ~ Bin(5000, 0.0004) and \muY = (5000)(0.0004) = 2. In each of these cases, the number of trials n and the success probability p are
different, but the mean number of successes, which is equal to the product np, is the same. Now assume that we wanted to compute as follows: It turns out that these probabilities are very nearly
equal to each other. Although it is not obvious from the formula for the binomial probability mass function with a quantity that depends
on the product np only. Specifically, if n is large and p is small, and we let \lambda = np, it can be shown by advanced methods that for all x, (4.8) We are led to define a new probability mass function. The Poisson probability mass function is defined by (4.9) If X is a random variable whose probability mass function.
 integer because 0.5 is not a non-negative integer Example 4.16 If X \sim Poisson(4), compute P(X \leq 2) and P(X > 1). Solution To find P(X > 1), we might try to start by writing This leads to an infinite sum that is difficult to compute. Instead, we write P(X \leq 1) and P(X > 1).
0.270697637\ 0.180465092\ 0.090223521\ 0.036082189\ 0.012023787\ 0.003433993\ 0.000858069\ 0.000190568\ P(Y=x), Y\sim Bin(5000,\ 0.0004)\ 0.135281146\ 0.270670559\ 0.270724715\ 0.180483143\ 0.090223516\ 0.036074965\ 0.012017770\ 0.003430901\ 0.000856867\ 0.000190186\ Poisson\ Approximation,\ Poisson(2)\ 0.135335283\ 0.270670566
0.270670566\ 0.180447044\ 0.090223522\ 0.036089409\ 0.012029803\ 0.003437087\ 0.000859272\ 0.000190949\ *When\ n\ is\ large\ and\ p\ is\ small,\ the\ Bin(n,p)\ probability\ mass\ function\ (Equation\ 4.9),\ with\ \lambda=np.\ Here\ X\sim Bin(10,000,\ 0.0002)\ and\ Y\sim Bin(5000,\ 0.0004),\ so\ \lambda=np=2,\ and\ p\ is\ small,\ he
large, p is small, and \lambda = np. The Mean and Variance of a Poisson Random Variance of A Poisson Rando
end of the section. We present an intuitive approach here. If X \sim Poisson(\lambda), we can think of X as a binomial random variable is np, it follows that the mean of a binomial random variable is np, it follows that the mean of a binomial random variable is np, it follows that the mean of a binomial random variable is np, it follows that the mean of a binomial random variable is np, it follows that the mean of a binomial random variable is np, it follows that the mean of a binomial random variable is np, it follows that the mean of a binomial random variable is np, and np = \lambda. Since p is very small, we
mass functions. FIGURE 4.3 (a) The Poisson(1) probability histogram. (b) The Poisson(10) probability histogram. One of the earliest industrial uses of the Poisson distribution involved an application to the brewing of beer. A crucial step in the brewing process is the addition of yeast culture to prepare mash for fermentation. The living yeast cells are
kept suspended in a liquid medium. Because the cells are alive, their concentration in the medium changes over time. Therefore, just before the yeast is added, it is necessary to estimate the concentration of yeast cells per unit volume of suspension, so as to be sure to add the right amount. Up until the early part of the twentieth century, this posed a
problem for brewers. They estimated the concentration in the obvious way, by withdrawing a small volume of the suspension and counting the yeast cells in it under a microscope. Of course, the estimates determined this way were subject to uncertainty, but no one knew how to compute the uncertainty. Thus no one knew by how much the
concentration in the sample was likely to differ from the actual concentration. William Sealy Gosset, a young man in his mid-twenties who was employed by the Guinness Brewing Company of Dublin, Ireland, discovered in 1904 that the number of yeast cells in a sampled volume of suspension follows a Poisson distribution. He was then able to develop
methods to compute the needed uncertainty. Gosset's discovery not only enabled Page 220 Guinness to produce a more consistent product, it showed that the Poisson distribution could have important applications in many situations. Gosset wanted to publish his result, but his managers at Guinness considered his discovery to be proprietary
profoundly influenced work in virtually all fields of science ever since. We will discuss this result in Section 5.3. Example 4.17 Particles (e.g., yeast cells) are suspended in a liquid medium at a concentration of 10 particles per mL. A large volume of the suspension is thoroughly agitated, and then 1 mL is withdrawn. What is the probability that exactly
eight particles are withdrawn? Solution So long as the volume of the suspension, but only on the concentration of particles in it. Let V be the total volume of the suspension, in mL. Then the total number of particles in the suspension is 10V.
Think of each of the 10V particles as a Bernoulli trial. A particle "succeeds" if it is withdrawn. Now 1 mL out of the total, so it follows that each particle has probability 1/V of being withdrawn. Let X denote the number of particles withdrawn. Then X represents the
number of successes in 10V Bernoulli trials, each with probability 1/V of success. Therefore X \sim Bin(10V, 1/V). Since V = 0.1126. In Example 4.17, \lambda had the value 10
because the mean number of particles in 1 mL of suspension (the volume withdrawn) was 10. Example 4.18 Particles are suspended in a liquid medium at a concentration of 6 particles are withdrawn?
Solution Let X represent the number of particles withdrawn. The mean number of particles in a 3 mL volume is 18. Therefore X ~ Poisson(18). The probability that exactly 15 particles are withdrawn is Page 221 Note that for the solutions to Examples 4.17 and 4.18 to be correct, it is important that the amount of suspension withdrawn not be too large
a fraction of the total. For example, if the total volume in Example 4.18 was 3 mL, so that the entire amount was withdrawn, it would be zero. Example 4.19 Grandma bakes chocolate chip cookies in batches of 100. She puts 300 chips into the
dough. When the cookies are done, she gives you one. What is the probability that your cookie contains no chocolate chips? Solution This is another instance of particles in a suspension. Let X represent the number of chips in your cookie. The mean number of chips is 3 per cookie, so X \sim Poisson(3). It follows that P(X = 0) = e - 330/0! = 0.0498.
Example 4.20 Grandma's grandchildren have been complaining that Grandma is too stingy with the chocolate chips. Grandma agrees to add enough so that only 1% of the cookies will contain no chips to include in a batch of 100 cookies to achieve this? Solution Let n be the number of chips to include in a batch of 100 cookies to achieve this?
batch of 100 cookies, and let X be the number of chips in your cookie. The mean number of chips is 0.01 n per cookie, so X ~ Poisson(0.01 n) probability mass function, Setting e-0.01 n = 0.01, we obtain n \approx 461. Examples 4.17 through 4.20 show that for particles
 distributed uniformly at random throughout a medium, the number of particles that happen to fall in a small portion of the medium was spatial in nature. There are many cases, however, when the "particles" represent events and the medium is time
We saw such an example at the beginning of this section, where the number of radioactive decay events in a fixed time interval follows a Poisson distribution. Example 4.21 Assume that the number of cars that pass through a certain intersection during a fixed time interval follows a Poisson distribution.
Assume that the mean rate is five cars per minutes. Find the probability that exactly 17 cars will pass through the intersection in the next three minutes. The mean number of cars is (5)(3) = 15, so X ~ Poisson(15). Using the Poisson(15)
 experiments are done to estimate a rate \lambda that represents the mean number of events that occur in tone unit of time or space. In these experiments, the number of events X that occur in t units is counted, and the rate \lambda is denoted.) If the numbers of events in
disjoint intervals of time or space are independent, and if events cannot occur simultaneously, then X follows a Poisson distribution. A process that produces such events is called a Poisson process. Since the mean number of events that occur in t units of time or space is equal to \lambda t, X \sim Poisson(\lambda t). Summary Let \lambda denote the mean number of events that occur in t units of time or space is equal to \lambda t, X \sim Poisson(\lambda t).
 that occur in one unit of time or space. Let X denote the number of events that are observed to occur in t units of time or space. Then if X ~ Poisson(λt), λ is estimated with . Example 4.23 A suspension contains particles at an unknown concentration of λ per mL. The suspension is thoroughly agitated, and then 4 mL are withdrawn and 17 particles are
counted. Estimate λ. Solution Let X = 17 represent the number of particles counted, and let t = 4 mL be the volume of suspension withdrawn. Then particles per mL. Page 223 Uncertainty in the Estimated Rate It is important to realize that the estimated rate or concentration λ. In general of is just an estimate of the true does not
equal λ. If the experiment were repeated, the value would probably come out differently. In other words, there is uncertainty in . For to be useful, we must compute its bias and its uncertainty in . For to be useful, we must compute its bias and its uncertainty. The calculations are similar to those for the sample proportion that were presented in Section 4.2. Let X be the number of events counted in t units of time or
space, and assume that X \sim Poisson(\lambda t). The bias is the difference. Since, it follows from Equation (2.43) (in Section 2.5) that Since, is unbiased. The uncertainty is the standard deviation, it follows from Equation (2.43) (in Section 2.5) that X \sim Poisson(\lambda t).
unknown, so we approximate it with . Summary If X ~ Poisson(\lambda t), we estimate the rate \lambda with \blacksquare . is unbiased. The uncertainty in is (4.12) In practice, we substitute for \lambda in Equation (4.12), since \lambda is unknown. Example of a suspension is withdrawn, and 47 particles are counted. Estimate the mean number of particles per mL
and find the uncertainty in the estimate. Page 224 Solution The number of particles counted is X = 47. The volume withdrawn is t = 5 mL. The estimate is Example 4.25 A certain mass of a radioactive substance emits alpha particles at a mean rate of \lambda particles per second. A
physicist counts 1594 emissions in 100 seconds. Estimate \lambda, and find the uncertainty in the estimate of \lambda is emissions per second. The uncertainty to 0.3 emissions per second? Solution We want to find the time t for
 which . From Example 4.25, . Substituting this value for \lambda, we obtain Solving for t yields t=177 seconds. The following example 4.27 The number of flaws on a sheet of aluminum manufactured by a certain process follows a Poisson distribution. In a
sample of 100 m2 of aluminum, 200 flaws are counted. Estimate the probability that a given square meter of aluminum has no flaws, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. Solution Let λ represent the mean number of flaws per square meter. We will begin by computing and its uncertainty. We have observed X = 200 flaws in t = 100 m2 of
aluminum. Therefore. The uncertainty in is What we want to estimate is the probability that a square meter of aluminum contains no flaws. We first express this probability as a function of \lambda. To do this, let Y represent the number of flaws in a 1 m2 sheet of aluminum. Then Y ~ Poisson(\lambda). We want to estimate P(Y = 0). Using the Poisson probability
mass function, this probability is given by The probability that a square meter contains no flaws is therefore estimated with . To find the uncertainty in this estimate, we use the propagation of error method (Equation 3.10). In the case of particles in a suspension, or radioactive decay events, enough is known about the underlying physical principles.
governing these processes that we were able to argue from first principles to show that the Poisson. There are many other cases where empirical evidence suggests that the Poisson distribution may be appropriate, but the laws governing the process are not sufficiently well understood to make a rigorous
derivation possible. Examples include the number of traffic accidents at an intersection, and the number of traffic accidents at an intersection of population mean for a discrete number of traffic accidents at an intersection, and the number of traffic accidents at an intersection, and the number of traffic accidents at an intersection of population mean for a discrete number of traffic accidents at an intersection, and the number of traffic accidents at an intersection, and the number of traffic accidents at an intersection, and the number of traffic accidents at an intersection, and the number of traffic accidents at an intersection, and the number of traffic accidents at an intersection of traffic accidents at a section of traffic accidents at a 
random variable (Equation 2.29 in Section 2.4): Now the sum is the sum of the Poisson(\lambda) probability mass function over all its possible values. Therefore We use Equation (2.31) (in Section 2.4) to show that , so . (4.13) Substituting x(x-1) + x for x=0 or 1, and . We may
therefore begin the sum on the right-hand side of Equation (4.14) at x = 2, and substitute \lambda for . We obtain Page 227 Exercises for Section 4.3 1. Let X \sim Poisson(4). Find a. P(X = 1) b. P(X = 0) c. P(X < 2) d. P(X > 1) e. P(X = 0) c. P(X < 1) e. P(X = 0) c. P(X = 0) c. P(X < 1) e. P(X = 0) c. P
the number of pits in a 1 cm2 area. Find a. P(X = 8) b. P(X = 2) c. P(X < 3) d. P(X = 2) c. P(X < 3) d. P(X < 3) d.
estimate the time since the most recent cooling of a mineral by counting the number of tracks on the surface of the mineral. A certain mineral specimen is of such an age that there should be an average of 6 tracks per cm2 of surface area. Assume the number of tracks in an area follows a Poisson distribution. Let X represent the
number of tracks counted in 1 cm2 of surface area. Find a. P(X = 7) b. P(X \ge 3) c. P(X \ge 3) c
mean number of servers that fail? d. What is the standard deviation of the number of servers that fail? One out of every 5000 individuals in a population carries a certain defective gene. A random sample of 1000 individuals in a population carries a certain defective gene. A random sample of 1000 individuals in a population carries a certain defective gene. A random sample of 1000 individuals in a population carries a certain defective gene. A random sample of 1000 individuals in a population carries a certain defective gene. A random sample of 1000 individuals in a population carries a certain defective gene. A random sample of 1000 individuals in a population carries a certain defective gene? Page 228 7. 8. 9. b. What is the
probability that none of the sample individuals carries the gene? c. What is the probability that more than two of the sample individuals carry the gene? d. What is the mean of the number of sample individuals that carry the gene? The number of hits on a
intersection follows a Poisson distribution with a mean rate of 4 per second. a. What is the probability that 3 cars arrive in a given seconds? c. What is the probability that 8 cars arrive in a period of two seconds? A random variable X has a binomial distribution, and a random
variable Y has a Poisson distribution. Both X and Y have means equal to 3. Is it possible to determine which random variable has the larger variance. ii. Yes, Y has the larger variance. iii. No, we need to know the number of trials, n, for X. iv. No, we need to know the success
probability, p, for X. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. v. No, we need to know the value of λ for Y. A chemist wishes to estimate the concentration in particles per mL and find the uncertainty in the estimate. A microbiologist wants to
estimate the concentration of a certain type of bacteria. Estimate the concentration of bacteria, per mL, in this wastewater on a microscope slide and counts 39 bacteria. Estimate the concentration of bacteria, per mL, in this wastewater on a microscope slide and counts 39 bacteria.
species in a certain forest has a Poisson distribution with mean 10 plants per acre. The number of plants in a circle with radius 100 ft? (1 acre = 100 ft?) acres therefore has a Poisson distribution with mean 10 plants in a circle with radius 100 ft? (1 acre = 100 ft?) acres therefore has a Poisson distribution with mean 10 plants in a circle with radius 100 ft?
43,560 ft2.) c. The number of plants of a different type follows a Poisson distribution with mean \lambda plants per acre, where \lambda is unknown. A total of 5 plants are counted in a 0.1 acre area. Estimate \lambda, and find the uncertainty in the estimate. The number of defective components produced by a certain process in one day has a Poisson distribution with
mean 20. Each defective component has probability 0.60 of being repairable. a. Find the probability that exactly 15 defective components are produced, find the probability that exactly 15 defective components are produced, and let X be the
number of them that are repairable. Given the value of N, what is the distribution of X? d. Find the probability that a certain radioactive mass emits no particles in a one-minute time period is 0.1353. What is the mean number of particles
emitted per minute? The number of flaws in a certain type of lumber follows a Poisson distribution with a rate of 0.45 per linear meter. Page 229 a. What is the probability that a board 3 meters in length has no flaws? b. How long must a board be so that the probability it has no flaw is 0.5? 16. Grandma is trying out a new recipe for raisin bread. Each
batch of bread dough makes three loaves, and each loaf contains 20 slices of bread contains 20 slices of bread contains 5 raisins? b. If she puts 200 raisins into a batch of dough, what is the probability that a randomly chosen slice of bread contains 5 raisins? c. How
many raisins must she put in so that the probability that a randomly chosen slice will have no raisins is 0.01? 17. Mom and Grandma are each baking chocolate chip cookies. Each gives you two cookies. Each gives you two cookies has 14 chips in one of
Mom's cookies. b. Estimate the mean number of chips in one of Grandma's cookies. c. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncertainty in the estimate for Mom's cookies. d. Find the uncert
estimate. 18. You have received a radioactive mass that is claimed to have a mean decay rate of at least 1 particle per second, you may return the product for a refund. Let X be the number of decay events counted in 10 seconds. a. If the mean decay rate is exactly 1 per second (so that the claim is true
second, what is P(X \le 8)? e. Based on the answer to part (d), if the mean decay rate is 1 particle per second, would eight events in 10 seconds be an unusually small number? f. If you counted eight decay events in 10 seconds be an unusually small number? f. If you counted eight decay events in 10 seconds be an unusually small number? f. If you counted eight decay events in 10 seconds be an unusually small number? f. If you counted eight decay events in 10 seconds be an unusually small number? f. If you counted eight decay events in 10 seconds be an unusually small number? f. If you counted eight decay events in 10 seconds be an unusually small number? f. If you counted eight decay events in 10 seconds be an unusually small number? f. If you counted eight decay events in 10 seconds be an unusually small number? f. If you counted eight decay events in 10 seconds be an unusually small number? f. If you counted eight decay events in 10 seconds be an unusually small number? f. If you counted eight decay events in 10 seconds be an unusually small number? f. If you counted eight decay events in 10 seconds be an unusually small number? f. If you counted eight decay events in 10 seconds be an unusually small number? f. If you counted eight decay events in 10 seconds be an unusually small number? f. If you counted eight decay events in 10 seconds be an unusually small number? f. If you counted eight decay events in 10 seconds be an unusually small number? f. If you counted eight decay events in 10 seconds be an unusually small number? f. If you counted eight decay events in 10 seconds be an unusually small number? f. If you counted eight decay events in 10 seconds be an unusually small number? f. If you counted eight decay events in 10 seconds be an unusually small number?
contains at least seven particles per mL. You sample 1 mL of solution. Let X be the number of particles in the sample. a. If the mean number of particles in the sample in the sample in the sample in the sample in the sample. The sample is exactly seven per mL (so that the claim is true, but just barely), what is P(X \le 1)? b. Based on the answer to part (a), if the suspension contains seven particles per mL, would one particle in a 1 mL
sample be an unusually small number? c. If you counted one particles in the sample, would this be convincing evidence that the claim is false? Explain. d. If the mean number of particles in a 1 mL sample be an
unusually small number? f. If you counted six particles in the sample, would this be convincing evidence that the claim is false? Explain. 20. A physicist wants to estimate the rate of emissions of alpha particles in 100 seconds in
 the absence of the source. He counts 36 background emissions. Then, with the source present, he counts 324 emissions in 100 seconds. This represents the sum of source emissions per second, and find the uncertainty in the estimate be Estimate the sum of the source plus
the background plus the source for 150 seconds, or (2) counting the background for 200 seconds? Compute the uncertainty in each case. e. Is it possible to reduce the uncertainty to 0.03 particles per second if the background rate is measured for only 100 seconds? If so, for how long must the
source plus background be measured? If not, explain why not. 21. (Requires material from Section 3.3.) Refer to Example 4.27. Estimate the probability that a 1 m2 sheet of aluminum has exactly one flaw, and find the uncertainty in this estimate.
useful in various situations. The Hypergeometric Distribution When a finite population contains two types of items, which may be called successes and failures, and a simple random sample is drawn from the population, each item is selected, the proportion of successes in the remaining population.
decreases or increases, depending on whether the sample does not follow a binomial distribution. Instead, the distribution that properly describes the number of successes in this situation is called the hypergeometric distribution.
As an example, assume that a lot of 20 items contains 6 that are defective, and that 5 items are sampled from the population of 20. (We refer to each
group of 5 items as a combination.) The number of combinations of 5 items contains exactly 2 defectives. The probability that a combination of 5 items contains exactly 2 defectives is the quotient In
general, the number of combinations of k items that can be chosen from a group of n items is denoted and is equal to (see Equation 2.12 in Section 2.2 for a derivation) The number of combinations of 5 that contain exactly 2 defectives, we described and is equal to (see Equation 2.12 in Section 2.2 for a derivation).
made up of 2 defectives and 3 nondefectives is therefore the product (this is an application of the fundamental principal of counting; see Section 2.2 for a more detailed discussion). We conclude that To compute P(X = 2) in the preceding example, it was necessary to know the number of defective items in the population (20), the number of defective items in the preceding example, it was necessary to know the number of defective items in the population (20), the number of defective items in the population (20), the number of defective items in the preceding example, it was necessary to know the number of defective items in the population (20), the number of defective items in the population (20), the number of defective items in the population (20), the number of defective items in the population (20), the number of defective items in the population (20), the number of defective items in the population (20), the number of defective items in the population (20), the number of defective items in the population (20), the number of defective items in the population (20), the number of defective items in the population (20), the number of defective items in the population (20), the number of defective items in the population (20), the number of defective items in the population (20), the number of defective items in the population (20), the number of defective items in the population (20), the number of defective items in the population (20), the number of defective items in the population (20), the number of defective items in the population (20), the number of defective items in the population (20), the number of defective items in the population (20), the number of defective items in the num
population (6), and the number of items sampled (5). The probability mass function of the random variable X is determined by these three parameters. Specifically, X is said to have the hypergeometric distribution with parameters 20, 6, and 5, which we denote X ~ H(20, 6, 5). We now generalize this idea. Summary Assume a finite population contains
    items, of which R are classified as successes and N - R are classified as failures. Assume that n items are sampled from this population, and let X represent the number of successes in the sample. Then X has the hypergeometric distribution with parameters N. R. and n. which can be denoted X ~ H(N. R. n). The probability
(4.15) Page 232 Example 4.28 Of 50 buildings in an industrial park, 12 have electrical code violations. If 10 buildings are selected at random for inspection, what is the probability that exactly 3 of the 10 have code violations. Then X ~ H(50, 12, 10). We must find P(X)
= 3). Using Equation (4.15), Mean and Variance of the Hypergeometric Distribution The mean and variance of the Hypergeometric Distribution are presented in the following box. Their derivations are omitted. If X ~ H(N, R, n), then (4.16) (4.17) Example 4.28. Find the mean and variance of X. Solution X ~ H(50, 12, 10), so Page
233 Comparison with the Binomial Distribution A population of size N contains R successes and N – R failures. Imagine that a sample of n items is drawn. Then the sampled items result from a sequence of independent Bernoulli
trials, and the number of successes X in the sample has a binomial distribution with n trials and success probability p = R/N. In practice, samples are seldom drawn with replacement, where each item is removed from the population after it is
sampled. The sampled items then result from dependent Bernoulli trials, because the population changes as each item is sampled. For this reason the distribution of the number of successes, X, is H(N, R, n) rather than Bin(n, R/N). When the sample size n is small compared to the population size N (i.e., no more than 5%), the difference between
sampling with and without replacement is slight, and the binomial distribution Bin(n, R/N) is a good approximation to the hypergeometric distribution H(N, R, n). Note that the mean of H(N, R, n) is nR/N, the same as that of Bin(n, R/N). This indicates that whether the sampling is done with or without replacement, the proportion of successes in the
sample is the same on the average as the proportion of successes in the population. The variance of Bin(n, R/N) is n(R/N)(1 - R/N), and the variance of H(N, R, n) is obtained by multiplying this by the factor (N - n)/(N - 1). Note that when n is small relative to N, this factor is close to 1. The Geometric Distribution Assume that a sequence of
independent Bernoulli trials is conducted, each with the same success, Then X is a discrete random variable, which is said to have the geometric distribution with parameter p. We write X ~ Geom(p). Example 4.30 A large industrial firm allows a discount on any
invoice that is paid within 30 days. Twenty percent of invoices are audited one by one. Let X be the number of invoices are audited one by one. Let X be the number of invoices are audited up to and including the first one that qualifies for a discount. What is the distribution of X? Solution Each invoice is a Bernoulli trial, with success occurring if the invoice qualifies for a discount.
The success probability is therefore p = 0.2. The number of trials up to and including the first success has a geometric distribution with parameter p = 0.2. Therefore X ~ Geom(0.2). Page 234 Example 4.31 Refer to Example 4.30. Find P(X = 3). Solution The event X = 3 occurs when the first two trials result in failure and the third trial results in
success. It follows that The result of Example 4.31 can be generalized to produce the probability mass function of X is The Mean and Variance of a Geometric Distribution The mean and variance of the geometric distribution are given in the following box. Their
derivations require the manipulation of infinite series and are omitted. If X ~ Geom(p), then (4.18) (4.19) Example 4.30. Let X denote the number of invoices up to and including the first one that qualifies for a discount. Find the mean and variance of X. Solution Since X ~ Geom(0.2), \muX = 1/0.2 = 5, and . The Negative Binomial
Distribution The negative binomial distribution is an extension of the geometric distribution. Let r be a positive integer. Assume that independent Bernoulli trials, each with success probability p, are conducted, and let X denote the number of trials up to and including the rth success. Page 235 Then X has the negative binomial distribution with
parameters r and p. We write X ~ NB(r, p). Example 4.30.) In a large industrial firm, 20% of all invoices are audited one by one. Let X denote the number of invoices are audited one by one. Let X denote the number of invoices are audited one by one. Let X denote the number of invoices are audited one by one. Let X denote the number of invoices are audited one by one. Let X denote the number of invoices are audited one by one. Let X denote the number of invoices are audited one by one. Let X denote the number of invoices are audited one by one. Let X denote the number of invoices are audited one by one. Let X denote the number of invoices are audited one by one. Let X denote the number of invoices are audited one by one. Let X denote the number of invoices are audited one by one. Let X denote the number of invoices are audited one by one. Let X denote the number of invoices are audited one by one. Let X denote the number of invoices are audited one by one. Let X denote the number of invoices are audited one by one. Let X denote the number of invoices are audited one by one. Let X denote the number of invoices are audited one by one. Let X denote the number of invoices are audited one by one. Let X denote the number of invoices are audited one by one. Let X denote the number of invoices are audited one by one. Let X denote the number of invoices are audited one by one. Let X denote the number of invoices are audited one by one. Let X denote the number of invoices are audited one by one. Let X denote the number of invoices are audited one by one. Let X denote the number of invoices are audited one by one. Let X denote the number of invoices are audited one by one. Let X denote the number of invoices are audited one by one. Let X denote the number of invoices are audited one by one. Let X denote the number of invoices are audited one by one. Let X denote the number of invoices are audited one by one. Let X denote the number of invoices are audited one by one. Let X denote the number of invoices are audited one by o
represents the number of trials up to and including the third success, and since the success probability mass function of a negative binomial random variable. Since X \sim NB(3, 0.2), the event X = 8 means that the third
success occurred on the eighth trial. Another way to say this is that there were exactly two successes in the first 7 trials are independent, it follows that P(X = 8) = P(exactly 2 successes in first 7 trials) P(success on eighth trial) Now the number of successes in the first 7 trials has the Bin(7, 0.2)
distribution, so The probability that the eighth trial (or any other trial) results in success is 0.2. Therefore We generalize the result of Example 4.33 to produce the probability mass function of X is Note that the smallest possible value for X is r, since it takes at
least r trials to Page 236 produce r successes. Note also that when r = 1, the negative binomial distribution is the same as the geometric distribution. In symbols, NB(1, p) = Geom(p). A Negative binomial Random Variable Is a Sum of Geometric distribution. In symbols, NB(1, p) = Geom(p).
p, comes out as follows: If X is the number of trials up to and including the first success by Y1. For this sequence, Y1 = 3, but in general, Y1 ~ Geom(p). Now count the number of trials, starting with the first trial after the first
success, up to and including the second success. Denote this number of trials by Y2. For this sequence Y2 = 2, but in general, Y2 ~ Geom(p). Finally, count the number of trials by Y3. For this sequence Y3 = 3, but again in
general, Y3 \sim Geom(p). It is clear that X = Y1 + Y2 + Y3. Furthermore, since the trials are independent, Y1, Y2, and Y3 are independent. This shows that if X \sim NB(3, p), then X = Y1 + Y2 + Y3. Furthermore, since the trials are independent. This shows that if X \sim NB(3, p), then X = Y1 + Y2 + Y3. Furthermore, since the trials are independent. This shows that if X \sim NB(3, p), then X = Y1 + Y2 + Y3. Furthermore, since the trials are independent.
independent random variables, each with the Geom(p) distribution. The Mean and Variance of the Negative Binomial Distribution. It follows that the mean of X is the sum of the means of the Ys, and the variance of X is the
sum of the variances. Each Yi has mean 1/p and variance (1 - p)/p2. Therefore \mu X = r/p and \sigma 2X = r(1 - p)/p2. Summary If X \sim NB(r, p), then (4.20) (4.21) Page 237 Example 4.33. Find the mean and variance of X, where X represents the number of invoices up to and including the third one that qualifies for a discount. Solution
Since X ~ NB(3, 0.2), it follows that The Multinomial Distribution A Bernoulli trial is a process that can result in any of k outcomes, where k ≥ 2. For example, the rolling of a die is a multinomial trial, with the six possible
outcomes 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6. Each outcome of a multinomial trial has a probability of occurrence. We denote the probabilities of the k outcomes by p1, ..., pk. For example, in the roll of a fair die, p1 = p2 = \cdots = p6 = 1/6. Now assume that n independent multinomial trials are conducted, each with the same k possible outcomes and with the same
probabilities p1, ..., pk. Number the outcomes 1, 2, ..., k. For each outcome i, let Xi denote the number of trials that result in that outcome. Then X1, ..., Xk are discrete random variables. The collection X1, ..., Xk are discrete random variables. The collection X1, ..., Xk is said to have the multinomial distribution with parameters n, p1, ..., pk. We write X1, ..., Xk ~ MN(n, p1, ..., pk). Note that it is the whole
collection X1, ..., Xk that has the multinomial distribution, rather than any single Xi. Example 4.35 The items produced on an assembly line are inspected, and each is classified either as conforming, 20% are downgraded, and 10% are rejected. Assume that four items are
chosen independently and at random. Let X1, X2, X3 denote the numbers among the 4 that are conforming, downgraded, and rejected, respectively. What is the distribution of X1, X2, X3? Solution Each item is a multinomial trial with three possible outcomes, conforming, downgraded, and rejected. The probabilities associated with the outcomes are
p1 = 0.7, p2 = 0.2, and p2 = 0.1. The random variables X1, X2, X3 refer to the numbers of each outcome in 4 independent trials. Therefore X1, X2, X3 ~ MN(4, 0.7, 0.2, 0.1). To show how to compute probabilities concerning multinomial random variables, we will compute P(X1 = 2, X2 = 1, and X3 = 1), where X1, X2, X3 are defined in Example 4.35.
Page 238 This will lead to a derivation of the multinomial probability mass function. We begin by noting that there are 12 arrangements of two conforming (C), one downgraded (D), and one rejected (R) among four trials. They are listed here. Each of these 12 arrangements is equally probable. We compute the probability of CCDR. The event CCDR is
a sequence of four outcomes: C on the first trial, C on the second trial, D on the third trial, and R on the fourth trial, Since the trials are independent, the probability of the sequence of outcomes is equal to the probability, In this calculation, the number of
arrangements was small enough to count by listing them all. To compute probabilities like this in general, we need a formula is given in the following box. A derivation is presented in Section 2.2. Assume n independent trials are performed, each of which results in one of k possible outcomes. Let x1, ..., xk be the numbers of trials
resulting in outcomes 1, 2, ..., k, respectively. The number of arrangements of the outcomes among the n trials is We can now specify the multinomial probability mass function of X1, ..., Xk is (4.22) Note that the multinomial distribution differs from the other distributions that we
have studied in that it concerns several random variables simultaneously. We can express this fact by saying that p(x1, ..., xk) is the joint probability mass function of X1, ..., xk. Joint probability mass functions are discussed more fully in Section 2.6. Example Page 239 4.36 Alkaptonuria is a genetic disease that results in the lack of an enzyment
necessary to break down homogentisic acid. Some people are carriers of alkaptonuria, which means that they do not have the disease themselves, but they can potentially transmit it to their offspring. According to the laws of genetic inheritance, an offspring both of whose parents are carriers of alkaptonuria has probability 0.25 of being unaffected,
0.5 of being a carrier, and 0.25 of having the disease. In a sample of 10 offspring who are unaffected, 5 are carriers, and 2 have the disease. Solution Let X1, X2, X3 denote the numbers among the 10 offspring who are unaffected, carriers, and diseased, respectively. Then X1, X2, X3 ~ MN(10, 0.25, are carriers).
0.50, 0.25). It follows from Equation (4.22) that Sometimes we want to focus on only one of the possible outcome a "failure." In this way it can be seen that the number of occurrences of any particular outcome has a binomial
distribution. If X1, ..., Xk ~ MN(n, p1, ..., pk), then for each i Example 4.36. Find the probability that exactly 4 of 10 offspring in a sample of 10. Then X ~ Bin(10, 0.25), so Page 240 Exercises for Section 4.4 1. 2. Twenty-five automobiles have been
brought in for service. Fifteen of them need tuneups and ten of them need new brakes? There are 30 restaurants in a certain town. Assume that four of them have health code violations. A health inspector chooses 10 restaurants at random to
visit. a. What is the probability that two of the restaurants with health code violations will be visited? b. What is the probability that a computer running a certain operating system crashes on any given day is 0.1. Find the probability that the computer
crashes for the first time on the twelfth day after the operating system is installed. A traffic light at a certain intersection once each day. Let X represent the number of days that pass up to and including the first time the car encounters a red
light. Assume that each day represents an independent trial, a. Find P(X = 3), b. Find p(X = 3), c. Find p(X = 3), b. F
10 days, four green lights, one yellow light, and five red lights are encountered? 7. If X ~ Geom(p), what is the most probable value of X? i. 0 ii. 1/p iii. p iv. 1 v. (1 - p)/p2 8. A process that fills package is stopped whenever a package is stopped whenever a package is detected whose weight falls outside the specification. Assume that each package has probability 0.01 of falling
outside the specification and that the weights of the packages are independent. a. Find the mean number of packages that will be filled before the process is stopped until four packages whose weight falls
outside the specification are detected. Find the mean and variance of the number of packages that will be detected. If there is a fault, the probability is 0.8 that it will be detected. The tests are independent of one another. a. If there is a
fault, what is the probability that it will be detected in 3 hours or less? b. Given that a fault has gone undetected for 2 hours, what is the probability that it will be detected in 3 hours or less? b. Given that a fault has gone undetected for 2 hours, what is the probability that it will be detected in 3 hours or less? b. Given that a fault has gone undetected for 2 hours, what is the probability that it will be detected in 3 hours or less? b. Given that a fault has gone undetected for 2 hours, what is the probability that it will be detected in 3 hours or less? b. Given that a fault has gone undetected for 2 hours, what is the probability that it will be detected in 3 hours or less? b. Given that a fault has gone undetected for 2 hours, what is the probability that it will be detected in 3 hours or less? b. Given that a fault has gone undetected for 2 hours, what is the probability that it will be detected in 3 hours or less? b. Given that a fault has gone undetected for 2 hours, what is the probability that it will be detected in 3 hours or less? b. Given that a fault has gone undetected for 2 hours, what is the probability that it will be detected in 3 hours or less? b. Given that a fault has gone undetected for 2 hours, what is the probability that it will be detected in 3 hours or less? b. Given that a fault has gone undetected for 2 hours, what is the probability that it will be detected in 3 hours or less? b. Given that a fault has gone undetected for 2 hours, what is the probability that it will be detected in 3 hours or less? b. Given that a fault has gone undetected for 2 hours, what is the probability that it will be detected in 3 hours or less? b. Given that a fault has gone undetected for 2 hours, what is the probability that it will be detected in 3 hours or less? b. Given that a fault has gone undetected for 2 hours, what is the probability that it will be detected for 2 hours, which is the probability that it will be detected for 2 hours, which is the probability that it will be detected for 
thousand runs, on 6. average. In an effort to find the bug, independent runs of the program will be made until the program will be made until the program has failed five times. a. What is the standard deviation of the number of runs required? b. What is the mean number of runs required? 11. In a lot of 15 truss rods, 12 meet a tensile strength specification. Four rods are chosen at
random to be tested. Let X be the number of tested rods that meet the specification. a. Find P(X = 3). b. Find µX. c. Find µX. c. Find µX. c. Find µX. be the number of selected items that are defective. Suppose that 20 items are selected at random. Let X be the number of selected items that are defective. a. Express the quantity P(X = 5) using
factorials. b. Use the binomial approximation to compute an approximation to P(X = 5). 13. Ten items are to be sampled from a lot of 60. If more than one is defective items in the lot will be rejected in each of the following cases. a. The number of defective items in the lot is 5. b. The number of defective items in the lot is 5. b. The number of defective items in the lot will be rejected in each of the following cases.
in the lot is 10. c. The number of defective items in the lot is 20. 14. Among smartphone users, 40% use a case but no screen protector, and 5% use neither a case nor a screen protector. Twenty smartphone users are sampled at random. Let X1, X2, X3, X4 be the
respective numbers of users in the four given categories. a. Find P(X1 = 7, X2 = 3, X3 = 8, and X4 = 2). b. Find P(X1 = 7). 15. At a certain fast-food restaurant, 25% of drink orders are for a small drink, 35% for a medium, and 40% for a large. A random sample of 20 orders is selected for audit. a. What is the probability that the numbers of orders for a medium, and 40% for a large. A random sample of 20 orders is selected for audit. a. What is the probability that the numbers of orders for a medium, and 40% for a medium,
```

```
small, medium, and large drinks are 5, 7, and 8, respectively? b. What is the probability that more than 10 orders are for large drinks? 16. A thermocouple placed in a certain medium produces readings within 0.1°C above the true temperature 10% of the time, and readings more than
0.1°C below the true temperature 20% of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 2 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 3 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 3 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 3 are more than 0.1°C of the true temperature, 3 are more
let n be a non-negative integer, and let Y \sim Bin(n, p). Show that P(X = n) = (1/n)P(Y = 1). 18. Use the result of Exercise 17 and Table A.1 to find P(X = n) = (1/n)P(Y = 1). 18. Use the result of Exercise 17 and Table A.1 to find P(X = n) = (1/n)P(Y = 1). 18. Use the result of Exercise 17 and Table A.1 to find P(X = n) = (1/n)P(Y = n).
provides a good model for many, although not all, continuous populations. Part of the reason for this is the Central Limit Theorem, which we shall discrete. The mean of a normal random variable may have any positive value, and the variance may have any positive value. The probability
density function of a normal random variable with mean \mu and variance \sigma2 is given by (4.23) At the end of the section, we derive the fact that \mu and \nu are the mean and variance \nu2. Page 242 Summary If \nu3. Page 242 Summary If \nu4. N(\mu5. O(\mu6. O2).
then the mean and variance of X are given by Figure 4.4 presents a plot of the normal curve. Note that the normal curve is symmetric around \( \mu \), so that \( \mu \) is the median as well as the mean. It is also the case that
for any normal population About 68% of the population is in the interval μ ± 3σ. About 95% of the population is in the interval μ ± 3σ. About 99.7% of the population is in the interval μ ± 3σ.
reason, when dealing with normal populations, we often convert from the population is from the population mean. FIGURE 4.4 Probability density function of a normal random variable with mean μ and variance σ2.
 Example 4.38 Assume that the heights in a population of women follow the normal curve with mean \mu = 64 inches and 62 inches. Convert these heights to standard units. Solution A height of 67 inches is 3 inches more than the mean of 64, and 3 inches is
equal to one standard deviation. So 67 inches is one standard deviation above the mean and is thus equivalent to one standard units. Page 243 In general, we convert to standard units by subtracting the mean and dividing by the
standard deviation. Thus, if x is an item sampled from a normal population with mean \mu and variance \sigma^2, the standard unit equivalent of x is the number z, where (4.24) The number z is sometimes called the "z-score" of x. The z-score is an item sampled from a normal population with mean \mu and variance \sigma^2, the standard unit equivalent of x is the number z is sometimes called the "z-score" of x. The z-score is an item sampled from a normal population with mean \mu and variance \sigma^2, the standard unit equivalent of x is the number z is sometimes called the "z-score" of x. The z-score is an item sampled from a normal population with mean \mu and variance \sigma^2, the standard unit equivalent of x is the number z is sometimes called the "z-score" of x. The z-score is an item sampled from a normal population with mean \mu and variance \sigma^2, the standard unit equivalent of x is the number z is sometimes called the "z-score" of x. The z-score is an item sampled from a normal population with mean \mu and variance \mu and \mu are the number z is sometimes called the "z-score" of x. The z-score is an item sampled from a normal population with mean \mu and variance \mu and \mu are the number z is sometimes called the "z-score" of x. The z-score is an item sampled from a normal population with mean \mu and \mu are the number z is an item sampled from a normal population with mean \mu and \mu are the number z is an item sampled from a normal population with mean \mu and \mu are the number z is an item sampled from a normal population with mean \mu and \mu are the number z is an item sampled from a normal population with mean \mu and \mu are the number z is an item sampled from a normal population with mean \mu and \mu are the number z is an item sampled from a normal population with mean \mu and \mu are the number z is an item sampled from a normal population with mean \mu and \mu are the number z is an item sampled from a normal population with \mu and \mu are the number z is an item sampled from \mu are the numbe
the standard normal population. Example 4.39 Ball bearings manufactured for a certain application have diameter of 5.06 mm. Find the z-score. Solution The quantity 5.06 is an observation from a normal distribution with mean µ
= 5 and standard deviation \sigma = 0.08. Therefore Example 4.40 Refer to Example 4.39. The diameter in the original units of mm. Solution The population standard deviation is \sigma = 0.08. We use Equation (4.24), substituting -1.5 for z and solving for x. We
obtain Solving for x yields x = 4.88. The ball bearing is 4.88 mm in diameter. The proportion of a normal probability density given in Equation (4.23).
Interestingly enough, areas under this curve cannot be found by the method, taught in elementary calculus, of finding the antiderivative of this function is an infinite series and cannot be written down exactly. Instead, areas under this curve must be
 approximated numerically. Areas under the standard normal curve (mean 0, variance 1) have been extensively tabulated. A typical such table, called a standard normal curve with a different mean and variance, we convert to standard units and use the z table. Table
A.2 provides areas in the left-hand Page 244 tail of the curve for values of z. Other areas can be calculated by subtraction or by using the fact that the total area under the curve is equal to 1. We now present several examples to illustrate the use of the z table. Example 4.41 Find the area under the normal curve to the left of z = 0.47. Solution From
the z table, the area is 0.6808. See Figure 4.5. FIGURE 4.5 Solution to Example 4.42. Example 4.42. Example 4.42. Example 4.42. Example 4.5. FIGURE 4.5
score corresponds to the 75th percentile? The median? Solution To answer this question, we use the z table in reverse. We need to find the z-score of 0.67. Therefore the
75th percentile is approximately 0.67. By the symmetry of the curve, the 25th percentile is z = -0.67 (this can also be looked up in the table directly). See Figure 4.8. The median is z = 0.67 (this can also be looked up in the table directly). See Figure 4.8. The median is z = 0.67 (this can also be looked up in the table directly).
deviation 5 hours. Find the probability that a randomly chosen battery lasts between 42 and 52 hours. Solution Let X represent the lifetime of a randomly chosen battery. Then X ~ N(50, 52). Figure 4.9 (page 246) presents the probability density function of the N(50, 52) population. The shaded area represents P(42 < X < 52), the probability that a
randomly chosen battery has a lifetime between 42 and 52 hours. To compute the area, we will use the z table. First we need to convert the quantities 42 and 52 to standard units. We have From the z table, the area to the left of z = 0.40 is 0.6554. The probability that a battery has a lifetime between 42
and 52 hours is 0.6554 - 0.0548 = 0.6006. Page 246 FIGURE 4.9 Solution to Example 4.45. Example 4.45. Example 4.45. Find the 40th percentile of battery lifetimes. Solution From the z table, the closest area to 0.4000 is 0.4013, corresponding to a z-score of -0.25. The population of lifetimes has mean 50 and standard deviation 5. The 40th
percentile is the point 0.25 standard deviations below the mean. We find this value by converting the z-score to a raw score, using Equation (4.24): Solving for x yields x = 48.75. The 40th percentile of battery lifetimes is 48.75 hours. See Figure 4.10. FIGURE 4.10 Solution to Example 4.47 A process manufactures ball bearings whose
diameters are normally distributed with mean 2.505 cm and standard deviation 0.008 cm. Specifications call for the diameter to be in the interval 2.5 ± 0.01 cm. What proportion of the ball bearing will meet the specification? Solution Let X represent the diameter of a randomly chosen ball bearing. Then X ~ N(2.505, 0.0082). Figure 4.11 presents
the probability density function of the N(2.505, 0.0082) population. The shaded area represents P(2.49 < X < 2.51), which is the proportion of ball bearings that meet the specification. Page 247 We compute the z-scores of 2.49 and 2.51: The area to the left of z = -1.88 is 0.0301. The area to the left of z = 0.63 is 0.7357. The area between z = 0.63
and z = -1.88 is 0.7357 - 0.0301 = 0.7056. Approximately 70.56\% of the diameters will meet the specification. FIGURE 4.11 Solution to Example 4.47. Example 4.47. Example 4.47. The process can be recalibrated so that the mean will equal 2.5 cm, the center of the specification interval. The standard deviation of the process remains 0.008
cm. What proportion of the diameters will meet the specifications? Solution The method of solution is the same as in Example 4.47. The mean is 2.505. The calculations are as follows: The area to the left of z = -1.25 is 0.8944. The area to the left of z = 1.25 is 0.8944. The area to the left of z = 1.25 is 0.8944. The area to the left of z = 1.25 is 0.8944. The area to the left of z = 1.25 is 0.8944.
0.7888. See Figure 4.12. Recalibrating will increase the proportion of diameters that meet the specification to 78.88%. FIGURE 4.12 Solution to Example 4.48. Example 4.49 Refer to Example 4.48. Example 4.49 Refer to Example 4.40 Refer to Exam
be lowered so that 95% of the diameters will meet the specification? Solution The specification interval is 2.49-2.51 cm. We must find a value for \sigma so that this interval spans the middle 95% of the area to its left is z = -1.96. The z-score that has 2.5% of the area to
its right is z = 1.96 (this follows from the symmetry of the curve). It follows that the lower specification limit, 2.49, has a z-score of -1.96, while the upper limit of 2.51 has a z-score of 1.96. Either of these facts may be used to find \sigma. From Equation (4.24), Solving for \sigma yields \sigma = 0.0051 cm. FIGURE 4.13 Solution to Example 4.49. If \sigma = 0.0051, then
approximately 95% of the population will fall between 2.49 and 2.51. Estimating the Parameters μ and σ2 of a normal distribution represent its mean and variance, respectively. Therefore, if X1, ..., Xn are a random sample from a N(μ, σ2) distribution, μ is estimated with the sample mean uncertainty in so and
σ2 is estimated with the sample variance s2. As with any sample mean, the is, which we replace with if σ is unknown. In addition, is unbiased for μ. Linear Functions of Normal Random variable is also normal, with a mean
and variance that are determined by the original mean and variance and the constants. Specifically, Page 249 Summary Let X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2), and let a \neq 0 and b be constants. Then (4.25) Example 4.50 A chemist measures the temperature of a solution in °C. The measurement is denoted C, and is normally distributed with mean 40°C and standard
deviation 1°C. The measurement is converted to °F by the equation F = 1.8C + 32. What is the distribution of F? Solution Since C is normally distributed, so is F. Now \mu C = 40, so \mu F = 1.8C + 32. What is the distribution of F? Solution Since F0 is normally distributed, so is F1. Now F2 is normally distributed, so is F3. What is the distribution of F4. What is the distribution of F5. What is the distribution of F6. What is the distribution of F6. What is the distribution of F6. What is the distribution of F7. What is the distribution of F8. 
normal distribution is that linear combinations of independent normal random variables are themselves normal random variables. To be specific, suppose that are independent normal random variables. To be specific, suppose that are independent normal random variables. To be specific, suppose that are independent normal random variables. To be specific, suppose that are independent normal random variables. To be specific, suppose that are independent normal random variables.
c1X1 + c2X2 + ··· + cnXn is a normally distributed random variable. The mean and variance of the linear combination are c1\(\mu\)1 + cn\(\mu\)1 + cn\(\mu\)1 + cn\(\mu\)1 is a normally distributed with means \(\mu\)1, \(\mu\)2, ..., \(\mu\) and variances . Let c1, c2, ..., cn be
constants, and c1X1 + c2X2 + ··· + cnXn be a linear combination. Then (4.26) Example 4.51 In the article "Advances in Oxygen Equivalent Equations for Predicting the Properties of Titanium Welds" (D. Harwig, W. Ittiwattana, and H. Castner, The Welding Journal, 2001:126s-136s), the authors propose an oxygen equivalence equation to predict them.
strength, ductility, and hardness of welds made from nearly pure titanium. The equation is E = 2C + 3.5N + O, where E is the oxygen equivalence, and C, N, and O are the proportions Page 250 by weight, in parts per million, of carbon, nitrogen, and oxygen, respectively (a constant term involving iron content has been omitted). Assume that for a
particular grade of commercially pure titanium, the quantities C, N, and O are approximately independent and normally distributed with means \muC = 150, \muN = 200, \muO = 1500, and standard deviations \sigmaC = 30, \sigmaN = 60, \sigmaO = 100. Find the distribution of E. Find P(E > 3000). Solution Since E is a linear combination of independent normal random
 variables, its distribution is normal. We must now find the mean and variance of E. Using Equation (4.26), we compute the z-score: . The area to the right of z = 2.08 under the standard normal curve is 0.0188. So P(E > 3000) = 0.0188. If X1, ..., Xn is a random sample from any
population with mean \mu and variance \sigma2, then the sample mean has mean and variance \sigma2, then the sample mean has mean and variance \sigma2. Then (4.27) Other important
 linear combinations are the sum and difference of two random variables. If X and Y are independent normal random variables, the sum X + Y and X - Y can be determined by using Equation (4.26) with c1 = 1, c2 = 1 for X + Y and c1 = 1, c2 = -1 for X - Y. Page 251
Summary Let X and Y be independent, with and . Then (4.28) (4.29) How Can I Tell Whether My Data Come from a Normal Population, and we must use the sample to decide whether the population distribution is approximately normal. If the sample is reasonably large, the sample histogram
may give a good indication. Large samples from normal populations have histograms that look something like the normal density function—peaked in the center, and decreasing more or less symmetrically on either side. Probability plots, which will be discussed in Section 4.10, provide another good way of determining whether a reasonably large
sample comes from a population that is approximately normal. For small samples, it can be difficult to tell whether the normal distribution is appropriate. One important fact is this: Samples from normal distribution should generally not be used for data sets that contain outliers. This is
especially true when the sample size is small. Unfortunately, for small data sets that do not contain outliers, it is difficult to determine whether the population of the Mean and Variance for a Normal Random Variable Let X ~ N(\mu, \sigma2). We
show that \mu X = \mu and . Using the definition of the population mean of a continuous random variable (Equation 2.37 in Section 2.4), Make the substitution z = (x - \mu)/\sigma. Then x = \sigma z + \mu, and dx = \sigma dz. We obtain Direct computation shows that Page 252 Also, since it is the integral of the N(0, 1) probability density function over all its possible values.
Therefore To show that , we use Equation (2.38) (in Section 2.4): Make the substitution z = (x - \mu)/\sigma. Recall that \mu X = \mu. Then Integrating by parts twice shows that Therefore and z = 0.40 and z = 1.30. c. Between z = -0.30 and z = 0.90
d. Outside z = -1.50 to z = -0.45. Find the area under the normal curve a. To the left of z = 0.56. b. Between z = -2.06. c. Between z = -2.06. c. Between z = -1.08 and z = 0.70. d. Outside z = 0.4772 c. z \le 0 = 0.4772 c. z \le 
P(|Z| \le c) = 0.1470 If X \sim N(2, 9), compute a. P(X \ge 2) b. P(1 \le X < 7) c. P(-2.5 \le X \le -1) d. P(-3 \le X - 2 < 3) A process manufactures ball bearings with diameters are less than 25.0 mm? Page 253 b. c. 6. Find the 10th percentile
of the diameters. A particular ball bearing has a diameter of 25.2 mm. What percentile is its diameter on? d. To meet a certain specification, a ball bearing must have a diameter between 25.0 and 25.3 millimeters. What proportion of the ball bearing must have a diameter between 25.0 and 25.3 millimeters. What proportion of the ball bearing must have a diameter between 25.0 and 25.3 millimeters. What proportion of the ball bearing must have a diameter between 25.0 and 25.3 millimeters.
822 µm and standard deviation 29 µm. a. Find the 10th percentile of pit depths. b. A certain pit is 780 µm deep. What proportion of pits have depths between 800 and 830 µm? 7. In a recent study, the Centers for Disease Control reported that diastolic blood pressures (in mmHg) of adult women in the U.S. are approximately
normally distributed with mean 80.5 and standard deviation 9.9. a. What proportion of women have blood pressures lower than 70? b. What is the 80th percentile is her blood pressure of 84. What percenti
pressure). What proportion of women have hypertension? 8. Weights of female cats of a certain breed are normally distributed with mean 4.1 kg and standard deviation of female cats have weights between 3.7 and 4.4 kg? b. A certain female cat has a weight that is 0.5 standard deviations above the mean. What proportion of female cats have weights between 3.7 and 4.4 kg? b. A certain female cat has a weight that is 0.5 standard deviations above the mean. What proportion of female cats have weights between 3.7 and 4.4 kg? b. A certain female cats have weights between 3.7 and 4.4 kg? b. A certain female cats have weights between 3.7 and 4.4 kg? b. A certain female cats have weights between 3.7 and 4.4 kg? b. A certain female cats have weights between 3.7 and 4.4 kg? b. A certain female cats have weights between 3.7 and 4.4 kg? b. A certain female cats have weights between 3.7 and 4.4 kg? b. A certain female cats have weights between 3.7 and 4.4 kg? b. A certain female cats have weights between 3.7 and 4.4 kg? b. A certain female cats have weights between 3.7 and 4.4 kg? b. A certain female cats have weights between 3.7 and 4.4 kg? b. A certain female cats have weights between 3.7 and 4.4 kg? b. A certain female cats have weights between 3.7 and 4.4 kg? b. A certain female cats have weights between 3.7 and 4.4 kg? b. A certain female cats have weights between 3.7 and 4.4 kg? b. A certain female cats have weights between 3.7 and 4.4 kg? b. A certain female cats have weights between 3.7 and 4.4 kg? b. A certain female cats have weights between 3.7 and 4.4 kg? b. A certain female cats have weights between 3.7 and 4.4 kg? b. A certain female cats have weights between 3.7 and 4.4 kg? b. A certain female cats have weights between 3.7 and 4.4 kg? b. A certain female cats have weights between 3.7 and 4.4 kg? b. A certain female cats have weights between 3.7 and 4.4 kg? b. A certain female cats have weights between 3.7 and 4.4 kg? b. A certain female cats have a certain female cats have a certain female cats have a certain fem
female cats are heavier than this one? c. How heavy is a female cat whose weight is on the 80th percentile? d. A female cat is chosen at random. What is the probability that exactly one of them weighs more than 4.5 kg? 9. The lifetime of a lightbulb in a
certain application is normally distributed with mean \mu = 1400 hours and standard deviation \sigma = 200 hours. a. What is the probability that a lightbulb lasts 1645 hours. What percentile is its lifetime on? d. What is the probability that the lifetime of a
light-bulb is between 1350 and 1550 hours? e. Eight lightbulbs are chosen at random. What is the probability that exactly two of them have lifetimes between 1350 and 1550 hours? e. Eight lightbulbs are chosen at random. What is the probability that exactly two of them have lifetimes between 1350 and 1550 hours? 10. In a certain university, math SAT scores for the entering freshman class averaged 650 and had a standard deviation of 100. The maximum possible score is 800. Is it
possible that the scores of these freshmen are normally distributed? Explain. 11. Penicillium fungus, which is grown in a broth whose sugar content must be carefully controlled. The optimum sugar concentration is 4.9 mg/mL. If the concentration exceeds 6.0 mg/mL, the fungus dies and the process must be shut down for
the day. a. If sugar concentration in batches of broth is normally distributed with mean 4.9 mg/mL and standard deviation 0.6 mg/mL, on what proportion of days will the process shut down? b. The supplier offers to sell broth with a sugar content that is normally distributed with mean 5.2 mg/mL and standard deviation 0.4 mg/mL Will this broth result
in fewer days of production lost? Explain. 12. Speeds of automobiles on a certain stretch of freeway at 11:00 PM are normally distributed with mean 65 mph. Twenty percent of the cars are going faster than 75 mph? 13. A cylindrical hole is drilled in a block, and a cylindrical
piston is placed in the hole. The clearance is equal to one-half the difference between the diameter of the hole and the piston. The diameter of the hole is normally distributed with mean 14.88 cm and standard deviation 0.015 cm. The diameters
of hole and piston are independent. a. Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the clearance is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less than 0.05 cm? Find the great caracter is less th
clearance meets the specification? f. It is possible to adjust the mean hole diameter. To what value should it be adjusted so as to maximize the probability that the clearance will be between 0.05 and 0.09 cm? Shafts manufactured for use in optical storage devices have diameters that are normally distributed with mean \mu = 0.652 cm and standard
deviation \sigma = 0.003 cm. The specification for the shaft diameter is 0.650 \pm 0.005 cm. a. What proportion of the shafts manufactured by this process meet the specifications? b. The process mean can be adjusted through calibration. If the mean is set to 0.650 cm, what proportion of the shafts will meet specifications? b. The process meet the specifications? b. The process mean can be adjusted through calibration.
what must the standard deviation be so that 99% of the shafts will meet specifications? The fill volume of cans filled by a certain machine is normally distributed with mean 12.05 oz and standard deviation 0.03 oz. a. What proportion of cans contain less than 12 oz? b. The process mean can be adjusted through calibration. To what value should the
mean be set so that 99% of the cans will contain 12 oz or more? c. If the process mean remains at 12.05 oz, what must the standard deviation be so that 99% of the cans will contain 12 oz or more? The amount of paint required to paint a surface with an area of 50 m2 is normally distributed with mean 6 L and standard deviation 0.3 L. a. If 6.2 L of
paint are available, what is the probability that the entire surface can be painted? c. What must the entire surface? A fiber-spinning process currently
produces a fiber whose strength is normally distributed 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. with a mean of 75 N/m2. The minimum acceptable strength is 65 N/m2 at the minimum acceptable strength is 65 N/m2. The minimum acceptable strength is 65 N/m2 at the minimum acceptable strength is 65 N/m2.
75 N/m2, what must the standard deviation be so that only 1% of the fiber will fail to meet the specification? C. If the standard deviation be so that only 1% of the fiber will fail to meet the specification? The area covered by 1 L of a certain stain is normally distributed with mean 10 m2 and standard deviation
0.2 m2. a. What is the probability that 1 L of stain will be enough to cover 10.3 m2? b. What is the probability that 2 L of stain will be enough to cover 19.9 m2? Scores on an exam were normally distributed. Ten percent of the scores were below 81. Find the mean and standard deviation of the scores. Specifications for an
aircraft bolt require that the ultimate tensile strength be at least 18 kN. It is known that 10% of the bolts have strengths greater than 18.3 kN and that 5% of the bolts have strengths greater than 18.76 kN. It is also known that the strengths. b. What
proportion of the bolts meet the strength specification? Let X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2), and let Z = (X - \mu)/\sigma. Use Equation (4.25) to show that Z \sim N(0, 1). The quality-assurance program for a certain adhesive formulation process in functioning correctly, the
 adhesive strength X is normally distributed with a mean of 200 N and a standard deviation of 10 N. Each hour, you make one measurement indicates that the process has strayed from its target distribution. a. Find P(X \le 160), under the assumption
that the process is functioning correctly. b. Based on your answer to part (a), if the process is functioning correctly, would a strength of 160 N, would this be convincing evidence that the process was no longer functioning correctly? Explain. c. If you observed an adhesive strength of 160 N, would this be convincing evidence that the process is functioning correctly.
assumption that the process is functioning correctly, e. Based on your answer to part (d), if the process is functioning correctly, would a strength of 203 N be unusually large? Explain. f. If you observed an adhesive strength of 203 N be unusually large? Explain. f. If you observed an adhesive strength of 203 N be unusually large? Explain. f. If you observed an adhesive strength of 203 N be unusually large? Explain. f. If you observed an adhesive strength of 203 N be unusually large? Explain. f. If you observed an adhesive strength of 203 N be unusually large? Explain. f. If you observed an adhesive strength of 203 N be unusually large? Explain. f. If you observed an adhesive strength of 203 N be unusually large? Explain. f. If you observed an adhesive strength of 203 N be unusually large? Explain. f. If you observed an adhesive strength of 203 N be unusually large? Explain. f. If you observed an adhesive strength of 203 N be unusually large? Explain. f. If you observed an adhesive strength of 203 N be unusually large? Explain. f. If you observed an adhesive strength of 203 N be unusually large? Explain. f. If you observed an adhesive strength of 203 N be unusually large? Explain. f. If you observed an adhesive strength of 203 N be unusually large? Explain.
under the assumption that the process is functioning correctly, h. Based on your answer to part (g), if the process is functioning correctly, would a strength of 195 N, would this be convincing evidence that the process was no longer functioning correctly? Explain. Two
resistors, with resistances R1 and R2, are connected in series. R1 is normally distributed with mean 100 \Omega and standard deviation 5 \Omega, and R2 is normally distributed with mean 120 \Omega and standard deviation 5 \Omega, and R2 is normally distributed with mean 120 \Omega and standard deviation 5 \Omega, and R2 is normally distributed with mean 120 \Omega and standard deviation 5 \Omega, and R2 is normally distributed with mean 120 \Omega and standard deviation 5 \Omega, and R2 is normally distributed with mean 120 \Omega and standard deviation 5 \Omega, and R2 is normally distributed with mean 120 \Omega and standard deviation 5 \Omega, and R2 is normally distributed with mean 120 \Omega and standard deviation 5 \Omega, and R2 is normally distributed with mean 120 \Omega and standard deviation 5 \Omega, and R2 is normally distributed with mean 120 \Omega and standard deviation 5 \Omega, and R2 is normally distributed with mean 120 \Omega and standard deviation 5 \Omega, and R2 is normally distributed with mean 120 \Omega and standard deviation 5 \Omega, and R2 is normally distributed with mean 120 \Omega and standard deviation 5 \Omega, and R2 is normally distributed with mean 120 \Omega and standard deviation 5 \Omega, and R2 is normally distributed with mean 120 \Omega and standard deviation 5 \Omega, and R2 is normally distributed with mean 120 \Omega and standard deviation 5 \Omega, and R2 is normally distributed with mean 120 \Omega and standard deviation 5 \Omega, and R2 is normally distributed with mean 120 \Omega and standard deviation 5 \Omega.
solution is defined to be the number of moles of solution (1 mole = 6.02 × 1023 molecules). If X is the molarity of a solution of sodium carbonate (Na2CO3), the molarity of sodium ion (Na+)inasolution made of equal parts NaCl and Na2CO3 is given by M = 0.5X + Y.
Assume X and Y are independent and normally distributed, and that X has mean 0.450 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.250 and standard deviation 0.050, and Y has mean 0.050, and Y has
probability that the receiver concludes that m=1? b. Let \sigma 1 denote the variance of E. What must be the value s=-1.5 is sent, and if m=1, the value s=1.5 is sent. The value received is X, where m=0 is 0.01? 26. Refer to Exercise 25. Assume that if m=0, the value m=0 is 0.01? 26. Refer to Exercise 25. Assume that if m=0, the value m=0 is 0.01? 26. Refer to Exercise 25. Assume that if m=0, the value m=0 is 0.01? 26. Refer to Exercise 25. Assume that if m=0, the value m=0 is 0.01? 26. Refer to Exercise 25. Assume that if m=0, the value m=0 is 0.01? 26. Refer to Exercise 25. Assume that if m=0, the value m=0 is 0.01? 26. Refer to Exercise 25. Assume that if m=0, the value m=0 is 0.01? 26. Refer to Exercise 25. Assume that if m=0, the value m=0 is 0.01? 26. Refer to Exercise 25. Assume that if m=0, the value m=0 is 0.01? 26. Refer to Exercise 25. Assume that if m=0, the value m=0 is 0.01? 26. Refer to Exercise 25. Assume that if m=0 is 0.01? 26. Refer to Exercise 25. Assume that if m=0 is 0.01? 26. Refer to Exercise 25. Assume that if m=0 is 0.01? 26. Refer to Exercise 25. Assume that if m=0 is 0.01? 26. Refer to Exercise 25. Assume that if m=0 is 0.01? 26. Refer to Exercise 25. Assume that if m=0 is 0.01? 26. Refer to Exercise 25. Assume that if m=0 is 0.01? 26. Refer to Exercise 25. Assume that if m=0 is 0.01? 26. Refer to Exercise 25. Assume that if m=0 is 0.01? 26. Refer to Exercise 25. Assume that if m=0 is 0.01? 26. Refer to Exercise 25. Assume that if m=0 is 0.01? 26. Refer to Exercise 25. Assume that if m=0 is 0.01? 26. Refer to Exercise 25. Assume that if m=0 is 0.01? 26. Refer to Exercise 25. Assume that if m=0 is 0.01? 26. Refer to Exercise 25. Assume that if m=0 is 0.01? 26. Refer to Exercise 25. Assume that if m=0 is 0.01? 26. Refer to Exercise 25. Assume that if m=0 is 0.01? 26. Refer to Exercise 25. Assume that if m=0 is 0.01? 26. Refer to Exercise 25. Assume that if m=0 is 0.01? 26. Refer to Exercise 25. Assume
concludes that m = 0? c. A string consisting of 60 1s and 40 0s will be sent. A bit is chosen at random from the received string. What is the probability that it will be received correctly? d. Refer to part (c). A bit is chosen at random from the received string. What is the probability that it will be received correctly? d. Refer to part (c). A bit is chosen at random from the received string.
at random from the received string. Given that this bit is 0, what is the probability that the bit sent was 1? 27. A company receives a large shipment of bolts. The bolts will be used in an application that requires a torque of 100 J. Before the shipment of bolts. The bolts will be used in an application that the bit sent was 1? 27. A company receives a large shipment of bolts.
normal population, and assume the the sample mean and standard deviation calculated in part (a) are actually the population mean and standard deviation. Compute the proportion of bolts whose breaking torque is less than 100 J. Will the shipment be accepted? c. What if the 12 values had been 108, 110, 112, 114, 115, 115, 116, 118, 120, 123
140? Use the method outlined in parts (a) and (b) to determine whether the shipment would have been accepted. d. Compare the sets of 12 values in parts (a) and (c). In which sample are the bolts stronger? e. Is the method valid for both sample are the bolts stronger? e. Is the method valid for both sample are the sets of 12 values in parts (a) and (b) to determine whether the shipment would have been accepted. d. Compare the sets of 12 values in parts (a) and (b) to determine whether the shipment would have been accepted. d. Compare the sets of 12 values in parts (a) and (b) to determine whether the shipment would have been accepted. d. Compare the sets of 12 values in parts (a) and (b) to determine whether the shipment would have been accepted.
distribution is generally not appropriate. The lognormal distribution, which is related to the normal distribution as follows: If X is a normal random variable with mean μ and variance σ2, then the random variable Y = eX is said to have the
lognormal distribution with parameters \mu and \sigma2. Note that if Y has the lognormal distribution with parameters \mu and \sigma2. If Y has the lognormal distribution with parameters \mu and \sigma2. If Y has the lognormal distribution with parameters \mu and \sigma2. If Y has the lognormal distribution with parameters \mu and \sigma2. If Y has the lognormal distribution with parameters \mu and \sigma2. If Y has the lognormal distribution with parameters \mu and \sigma3. If Y has the lognormal distribution with parameters \mu and \sigma4. If Y has the lognormal distribution with parameters \mu5.
reason that the lognormal distribution is often used to model processes that tend to produce occasional large values, or outliers. Page 257 FIGURE 4.14 The probability density function of the lognormal distribution with parameters \mu = 0 and \sigma = 1. It can be shown by advanced methods that if Y is a lognormal random variable with parameters \mu = 0 and \sigma = 1.
\sigma^2, then the mean E(Y) and variance V(Y) are given by (4.31) Note that if Y has the lognormal distribution, the parameters \mu and \sigma^2 do not refer to the mean and variance of Y. They refer instead to the mean and variance of \sigma^2, in order to
avoid confusion with \mu and \sigma. Example 4.52 Lifetimes of a certain component are lognormally distributed with parameters \mu=1 day and \sigma=0.5 days. Find the mean lifetime of these components. Find the standard deviation of the lifetimes of a randomly chosen component.
(4.31) to be days. The variance is . The standard deviation is therefore days. To compute probabilities involving lognormal random variables, take logs and use the z table (Table A.2). Example 4.53 and 4.54 illustrate the method. Example 4.53 and 4.54 illustrate the method.
Let Y represent the lifetime of a randomly chosen component. We need to find P(Y > 4). We cannot use the z table for Y, because Y is not sampled from a normal population. However, ln Y is sampled from a normal population. However, ln Y is sampled from a normal population is pecifically, ln Y ~ N(1, 0.52). We express P(Y > 4) as a probability involving P(Y > 4) as a probability involving P(Y > 4).
find that P(ln Y > 1.386) = 0.2206. (See Figure 4.15.) We conclude that approximately 22% of the components will last longer than four days. FIGURE 4.15 Solution to Example 4.53. Example 4.54. Refer to Example 4.55. Find the median lifetime. Find the 80th percentile of the lifetimes. Solution Let Y represent the lifetime of a randomly chosen
component. Let m denote the median lifetime. Then P(Y \le m) = 0.5. Taking logs, we have P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5. This means that P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5. This means that P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5. This means that P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5. This means that P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5. This means that P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5. This means that P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5. This means that P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5. This means that P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5. This means that P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5. This means that P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5. This means that P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5. This means that P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5. This means that P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5. This means that P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5. This means that P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5. This means that P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5. This means that P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5. This means that P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5. This means that P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5. This means that P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5. This means that P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5. This means that P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5. This means that P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5. This means that P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5. This means that P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5. This means that P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5. This means that P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5. This means that P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5. This means that P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5. This means that P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5. This means that P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5. This means that P(\ln Y \le \ln m) = 0.5.
Y. Now \ln Y \sim N(1, 0.52). From the z table, the zscore of the 80th percentile is 0.84. Therefore \ln p80 = 1 + (0.84)(0.5) = 1.42, so p80 = e1.42 = 4.14. Page 259 Estimating the Parameters of a Lognormal Distribution If Y is a random variable whose distribution is lognormal with parameters \mu and \sigma2, then \mu and \sigma2 are the mean and variance,
respectively, of ln Y. Therefore if Y1, ..., Yn is a random sample from N(\mu, \sigma2). We estimate \mu with variance . As with any sample mean, the uncertainty in and \sigma2 with the sample is , and if \sigma is unknown, we estimate \mu with variance . As with any sample mean, the uncertainty in and \sigma2 with the sample is , and if \sigma is unknown, we estimate \mu with variance . As with any sample mean, the uncertainty in and \sigma2 with the sample is , and if \sigma is unknown, we estimate \mu with variance . As with any sample mean, the uncertainty in and \sigma2 with the sample is , and if \sigma3 is unknown, we estimate \mu4.
it with the sample standard deviation sX. Example 4.55 The diameters (in mm) of seeds of a certain plant are lognormally distributed. A random sample of five seeds had diameters 1.52, 2.22, 2.64, 2.00, and 1.69. Estimate the parameters μ and σ. Solution To estimate μ and σ. We take logs of the five sample values, to obtain 0.419, 0.798, 0.971, 0.693
and 0.525. The sample mean is 0.681, and the sample standard deviation is 0.218. We therefore estimate . How Can I Tell Whether My Data Come from a Lognormal populations often contain outliers in the right-hand tail.
That is, the samples often contain a few values that are much larger than the rest of the data. This of course reflects the long right-hand tail in the lognormal density function (Figure 4.14). For samples with outliers on the right, we transform the data, by taking the natural logarithm (or any logarithm) of each value. We then try to determine whether
these logs come from a normal population, by plotting them on a histogram, or on a probability plots will be discussed in Section 4.10. Note that the lognormal density has only one long tail, on the right, but not on the left. The lognormal distribution
should therefore not be used for samples with a few unusually small observations. In addition, lognormal distribution should not be used for samples that contain only positive values, so the lognormal distribution should not be used for samples that is close to
normal. One has to plot a histogram or probability plot (see Section 4.10) to check. Figure 4.16 (page 260) presents two histograms. The first shows the monthly production for 255 gas wells, in units of thousand cubic feet. This histograms. The second
shows the natural logs of the monthly productions. This histogram is closer to the normal curve, although some departure from normality can be detected. Page 260 FIGURE 4.16 (a) A histogram showing the natural logs of the monthly productions. The
distribution of the logged data is much closer to normal. Exercises for Section 4.6 1. The lifetime (in days) of a certain electronic component that operates in a high-temperature environment is lognormally distributed with \mu = 1.2 and \sigma = 0.4. a. Find the mean lifetime. b. Find the probability that a component lasts between three and six days. c. Find
the median lifetime. d. Find the 90th percentile of the lifetimes. 2. 3. 4. 5. The article "Assessment of Dermopharmacokinetic Approach in the Bioequivalence Determination of Topical Tretinoin Gel Products" (L. Pershing, J. Nelson, et al., J Am Acad Dermatol 2003:740-751) reports that the amount of a certain antifungal ointment that is absorbed into
the skin can be modeled with a lognormal distribution. Assume that the amount (in ng/cm2) of active ingredient in the skin two hours after application is lognormally distributed with \mu = 2.2 and \sigma = 2.1. a. Find the mean amount absorbed is more than 100 ng/cm2.
d. Find the probability that the amount absorbed is less than 50 ng/cm2. e. Find the 80th percentile of the amount absorbed. The body mass index (BMI) of a person is defined to be the person's body mass divided by the square of the person's height. The article "Influences of Parameter
Uncertainties within the ICRP 66 Respiratory Tract Model: Particle Deposition" (W. Bolch, E. Farfan, et al., Health Physics, 2001:378–394) states that body mass index (in kg/m2) in men aged 25–34 is lognormally distributed with parameters μ = 3.215 and σ = 0.157. a. Find the mean BMI for men aged 25–34. b. Find the standard deviation of BMI for
men aged 25-34. c. Find the median BMI for men aged 25-34. d. What proportion of men aged 25-34 have a BMI less than 22? e. Find the rotatic estimates of Exposure and Cancer Risk from Carbon Tetrachloride Released to the Air from the Rocky Flats Plant" (A. Rood, P. McGavran, et al.,
Page 261 Risk Analysis, 2001:675-695) models the increase in the risk of cancer due to exposure to carbon tetrachloride as lognormal with \mu = -15.65 and \sigma = 0.79. a. Find the median risk. b. Find the median risk. b. Find the median risk. b. Find the median risk. c. Find the standard deviation of the risk of cancer due to exposure to carbon tetrachloride as lognormal with \mu = -15.65 and \sigma = 0.79. a. Find the median risk of cancer due to exposure to e
given by T = where L is the length of the pendulum and g is the acceleration due to gravity. Assume that T = 0.5 ln 
 (Hint: 6. 7. 8. 9. d. Find the mean of T. e. Find the mean of T. e. Find the standard deviation of T. f. Find the 15th percentile of T. h. Find the 85th percentile of T. h. Find the median of T. f. Find the standard deviation of T. g. Find the 15th percentile of T. h. Find the median of T. f. Find the median of T. f. Find the standard deviation of T. f. Find the 15th percentile of T. h. Find the median of T. f. Find the 15th percentile of T. h. Find the median of T. f. Find the 15th percentile of T. h. Find th
cm, is log-normal with parameters \mu h = 1.9 and \mu = 1.
percentile of V. . (Hint: h. Find the 95th percentile of V. Refer to Exercise 5. Suppose 10 pendulums are constructed. Find the probability that 4 or more have periods greater than 3 seconds. Refer to Exercise 5. Suppose 8 cylinders are constructed. Find the probability that 4 or more have periods greater than 5 of them have volumes between 500 and 800 cm3. The article
 "Withdrawal Strength of Threaded Nails" (D. Rammer, S. Winistorfer, and D. Bender, Journal of Structural Engineering 2001:442-449) describes an experiment comparing the ultimate withdrawal strengths (in N/mm) for several types of nails. For an annularly threaded nail with shank diameter 3.76 mm driven into spruce-pine-fir lumber, the ultimate
 withdrawal strength was modeled as lognormal with \mu = 3.82 and \sigma = 0.219. For a helically threaded nails? c. For
which type of nail is it more probable that the withdrawal strength will be greater than 50 N/mm? d. What is the probability that a helically threaded nails? e. An experiment is performed in which withdrawal strengths are measured for several nails of both types. One
nail is recorded as having a withdrawal strength of 20 N/mm, but its type is not given. Do you think it was an annularly threaded nail? Why? How sure are you? 10. Choose the best answer, and explain. If X is a random variable with a lognormal distribution, then
the mean of X is always less than the median. iii. the mean may be greater than, less than, or equal to the median, depending on the value of o. 11. The prices of stocks or other financial instruments are often modeled with a lognormal distribution. An investor is considering purchasing stock in one of two companies, A or B. The price of a share of
stock today is $1 for both companies. For company A, the value of the stock one year from now is modeled as lognormal with parameters \mu = 0.02 and \sigma = 0.2. a. Find the mean of the price of one share of company A one
year from now. b. Find the probability that the price of one share of company B one year from now will be greater than $1.20. c. Find the price of one share of company B one year from now will be greater than $1.20. that the price of one share of company B one year from now will be greater than $1.20. that the price of one share of company B one year from now will be greater than $1.20. that the price of one share of company B one year from now will be greater than $1.20. that the price of one share of company B one year from now will be greater than $1.20. that the price of one share of company B one year from now will be greater than $1.20. that the price of one share of company B one year from now will be greater than $1.20. that the price of one share of company B one year from now will be greater than $1.20. that the price of one share of company B one year from now will be greater than $1.20. that the price of one share of company B one year from now will be greater than $1.20. that the price of one share of company B one year from now will be greater than $1.20. that the price of one share of company B one year from now will be greater than $1.20. that the price of one share of company B one year from now will be greater than $1.20. that the price of one share of company B one year from now will be greater than $1.20. that the price of one share of company B one year from now will be greater than $1.20. that the price of one share of company B one year from now will be greater than $1.20. that the price of one share of company B one year from now will be greater than $1.20. that the price of one share of company B one year from now will be greater than $1.20. that the price of one year from now will be greater than $1.20. that the price of one year from now will be greater than $1.20. that the price of one year from now will be greater than $1.20. that the price of one year from now will be greater than $1.20. that the price of one year from now will be greater than $1.20. that the price of one year from
tensile strength of a certain composite (in MPa) has the lognormal distribution with \mu = 5 and \sigma = 0.5. Let X be the strength of a randomly sampled specimen of this composite. a. If the claim is true, would a strength of 20 MPa be unusually small? c. If you observed a tensile
strength of 20 MPa, would this be convincing evidence that the claim is false? Explain. d. If the claim is true, what is P(X < 130)? e. Based on the answer to part (d), if the claim is true, would a strength of 130 MPa be unusually small? f. If you observed a tensile strength of 130 MPa, would this be convincing evidence that the claim is false? Explain.
13. Let X1, ..., Xn be independent lognormal random variables and let a1, ..., an be constants. Show that the product is lognormal (Hint: ln P = a1 ln X1 + ··· + an ln Xn.) 4.7 The Exponential Distribution that is sometimes used to model the time that elapses before an event occurs. Such a time is a continuous distribution that is sometimes used to model the time that elapses before an event occurs.
often called a waiting time. The exponential distribution is sometimes used to model the lifetime of a component. In addition, there is a close connection between the exponential distribution involves a parameter, which is a positive constant λ whose value
determines the density function's location and shape. Definition The probability density function of the exponential distribution for various values of \lambda. If X is a random variable whose distribution is exponential with parameter \lambda > 0 is (4.32) Figure 4.17 presents the probability density function of the exponential distribution with parameter \lambda > 0 is (4.32) Figure 4.17 presents the probability density function of the exponential distribution with parameter \lambda > 0 is (4.32) Figure 4.17 presents the probability density function of the exponential distribution with parameter \lambda > 0 is (4.32) Figure 4.17 presents the probability density function of the exponential distribution with parameter \lambda > 0 is (4.32) Figure 4.17 presents the probability density function of the exponential distribution with parameter \lambda > 0 is (4.32) Figure 4.17 presents the probability density function of the exponential distribution with parameter \lambda > 0 is (4.32) Figure 4.17 presents the probability density function of the exponential distribution with parameter \lambda > 0 is (4.32) Figure 4.17 presents the probability density function of the exponential distribution with parameter \lambda > 0 is (4.32) Figure 4.17 presents the probability density function of the exponential distribution with parameter \lambda > 0 is (4.32) Figure 4.17 presents the probability density function of the exponential distribution with parameter \lambda > 0 is (4.32) Figure 4.17 presents the probability density function of the exponential distribution with parameter \lambda > 0 is (4.32) Figure 4.17 presents the probability density function of the exponential distribution with parameter \lambda > 0 is (4.32) Figure 4.17 presents the probability density function of the exponential distribution with parameter \lambda > 0 is (4.32) Figure 4.17 presents the probability density function of the exponential distribution with parameter \lambda > 0 is (4.32) Figure 4.17 presents the probability density function of the exponential distribution with parameter \lambda > 0 is (4.32) Figure 4.1
(4.33) The mean and variance of an exponential random variable can be computed by using integration by parts. Derivations are provided at the end of the section. If X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda), then (4.34) (4.35) Example If X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda), then (4.34) and (4.35), substituting \lambda = 2. We obtain \mu X = 2.
 Using Equation (4.33), we find that Page 264 Example 4.57 Refer to Example 4.56. Find the median of X. Find the 30th percentile of X. Solution Let m denote the median of X. Then P(X \le m) = 0.5. Using Equation (4.33), we find that 1 - e - 2m = 0.5. Solving for m, we obtain m = 0.3466. Let p30 denote the 30th percentile. Then P(X \le m) = 0.30.
Using Equation (4.33), we find that . Solving for p30, we obtain p30 = 0.1783. The Exponential Distribution and the Poisson Process We mentioned that the exponential distribution is the correct model for waiting times whenever the events follow a
Poisson process. Recall from Section 4.3 that events follow a Poisson process with rate parameter λ when the number X of events in disjoint intervals are independent, and the number X of events that occur in any time intervals are independent, and the number X of events that occur in any time intervals are independent, and the number X of events in disjoint intervals are independent, and the number X of events in disjoint intervals are independent, and the number X of events in disjoint intervals are independent, and the number X of events in disjoint intervals are independent, and the number X of events in disjoint intervals are independent, and the number X of events in disjoint intervals are independent, and the number X of events in disjoint intervals are independent, and the number X of events in disjoint intervals are independent, and the number X of events in disjoint intervals are independent, and the number X of events independent in the number X of events in disjoint intervals are independent, and the number X of events independent ind
exponential distribution and the Poisson process is as follows: If events follow a Poisson process with rate parameter \lambda, and if T represents the waiting time from any starting point until the next event, then T ~ Exp(\lambda). A proof of this fact is given at the end of the section. Example 4.58 A radioactive mass emits particles according to a Poisson process
at a mean rate of 15 particles per minute. At some point, a clock is started. What is the probability that more than 5 seconds will elapse before the next emission? What is the mean waiting time until the next particle is emitted? Solution We will measure time in seconds. Let T denote the time in seconds that elapses before the next particle is emitted?
The mean rate of emissions is 0.25 per second, so the rate parameter is \lambda = 0.25, and T ~ Exp(0.25). The probability that more than 5 seconds will elapse before the next emission is equal to The mean waiting time is seconds. Page 265 Memoryless Property The exponential distribution has a property known as the memoryless property, which we
 illustrate with Examples 4.59 and 4.60. Example 4.59 The lifetime of a particular integrated circuit has an exponential distribution with mean 2 years. Find the probability that the circuit lasts longer than three years. Solution Let T represent the lifetime of the circuit. Since \mu T = 2, \lambda = 0.5. We need to find P(T > 3). Example 4.60 Refer to Example
4.59. Assume the circuit is now four years old and is still functioning. Find the probability that it functions for more than three additional years. Compare this probability that a new circuit functions for more than three additional years.
than four years, and we must compute the probability that the lifetime will be more than 4 + 3 = 7 years. The probability that a 4-year-old circuit lasts 3 additional years is exactly the same as the probability that a new circuit lasts 3 years.
a component follows the exponential distribution, then the probability that a component will last t time units is the same as the probability that a component will last t time units. In other words, a component whose lifetime follows an exponential distribution does not show any effects of age or wear. The
calculations in Examples 4.59 and 4.60 could be repeated for any values s and t in place of 4 and 3, and for any values s roperty in its general form: Memoryless property in its general form website follows a Poisson process with a
e-3(1) = 0.0498. Because of the memoryless property, the probability that one additional minute elapses without a hit, is also equal to 0.0498. The probability that a hit does occur in the next minute is therefore equal to 1-0.0498 = 0.9502. Using the Exponential Distribution to Estimate a Rate If 3.0498 = 0.9502. Using the exponential Distribution to Estimate a Rate If 3.0498 = 0.9502. Using the exponential Distribution to Estimate a Rate If 3.0498 = 0.9502. Using the exponential Distribution to Estimate a Rate If 3.0498 = 0.9502. Using the exponential Distribution to Estimate a Rate If 3.0498 = 0.9502. Using the exponential Distribution to Estimate a Rate II 3.0498 = 0.9502. Using the exponential Distribution to Estimate a Rate II 3.0498 = 0.9502. Using the exponential Distribution to Estimate a Rate II 3.0498 = 0.9502. Using the exponential Distribution to Estimate a Rate II 3.0498 = 0.9502. Using the exponential Distribution to Estimate a Rate II 3.0498 = 0.9502. Using the exponential Distribution to Estimate a Rate II 3.0498 = 0.9502. Using the exponential Distribution to Estimate a Rate II 3.0498 = 0.9502. Using the exponential Distribution to Estimate a Rate II 3.0498 = 0.9502. Using the exponential Distribution to Estimate a Rate II 3.0498 = 0.9502. Using the exponential Distribution to Estimate Exponential Distribu
\sim Exp(\lambda), then \muX = 1/\muX. It follows that if X1, ..., Xn is a random sample from Exp(\lambda), it is reasonable to estimate \lambda with We will discuss the bias in unbiased for \mu. However, . . As with any sample mean , so is , because 1/\mu is not a linear function of \mu. Therefore is biased for \lambda = 1/\muX. It follows that if X1, ..., Xn is a random sample mean , so is , because 1/\mu is not a linear function of \mu.
bias is approximately λ/n. Thus for a sufficiently large sample size n the bias is negligible, but it can be estimated with A derivation is given at the end of the section. Page 267 Summary If X1, ..., Xn is a random sample from Exp(λ), then the parameter λ is estimated with (4.36) This
estimator is biased. The bias is approximately equal to \lambda/n. The uncertainty in is estimated with (4.37) This uncertainty estimate is reasonably good when the sample size is more than 20. Correcting the Bias Since quantity, it follows that I has smaller bias for estimatent estimates a bias-corrected estimate.
 Example 4.62 A random sample of size 5 is taken from an Exp(\lambda) distribution. The values are 7.71, 1.32, 7.46, 6.53, and 0.44. Find a bias-corrected estimate of \lambda is 5/[6(4.6920)] = 0.178. Derivation of the Mean and Variance of an Exponential Random Variable To
Equation (2.39) (in Section 2.4): Substituting the exponential probability density function (4.30). Therefore Page 269 Substituting into
(4.39) yields Derivation of the Relationship Between the Exponential Distribution and the Poisson Process Let T represent the waiting time until the next event in a Poisson process with rate parameter A. We show that T ~ Exp(\lambda) by showing that the cumulative distribution function of T is F(t) = 1 - e-\lambdat, which is the cumulative distribution function
of Exp(\lambda). First, if t \leq 0, then F(t) = P(T \leq t) = 0. Now let t > 0. We begin by computing P(T > t). The key is to realize that T > t if and only if X = 0, so P(T > t) = P(X = 0). Since X ~ Poisson(\lambda t), Therefore P(T > t).
t) = e-\lambda t. The cumulative distribution function of T is F(t) = 0 for t-0, and for t>0 Since F(t) is the cumulative distribution function of Exp(\lambda). Derivation of the Uncertainty in 3.10 in Section 3.3): by using the propagation of error method (Equation For this expression
to be useful, we need to know. Now the standard deviation of an Exp(\lambda) distribution is \sigma = 1/\lambda (this follows from Equation 4.35; note that the standard deviation is the same as the mean). Therefore a vertical transfer of the standard deviation of error estimate is fairly good when the
sample size is at least 20 or so. For smaller sample sizes it underestimates the uncertainty. Exercises for Section 4.7 1. Let T \sim \text{Exp}(0.45). Find a. \mu T b. c. 2. P(T > 3) d. The median of T the time between requests to a web server is exponentially distributed with mean 0.5 seconds. a. What is the value of the parameter \lambda? b. What is the median time
between requests? c. What is the standard deviation? 3. 4. 5. d. What is the ground deviation of the pore diameter? b. What is the standard deviation of the pore diameters? c.
What proportion of the pores are less than 3 microns in diameter? d. What is the median pore diameter? f. What is the medi
distributed with mean 12 m. a. What is the value of the parameter \( \)? b. Find the distances. d. Find the distances. d. Find the probability that more than seven of them have diameters less than 3
microns. b. Find the probability that exactly one of them has a diameter greater than 11 microns. Refer to Exercise 2. a. Find the probability that there will be more than 1 requests in a 2-second time interval. c. Find the probability that there will be no requests in
                          e interval, d. Find the probability that the time between requests is greater than 1 second. Page 271 e. Find the probability that the time between requests for the past two seconds, what is the probability that more than one additional second will elapse before the nex
request? Refer to Exercise 4. a. Find the probability that there are exactly 5 flaws in a 50 m length of cable. b. Find the probability that there are more than two flaws in a 20 m length of cable. c. Find the probability that there are more than two flaws in a 20 m length of cable. c. Find the probability that there are more than two flaws in a 20 m length of cable. c. Find the probability that there are more than two flaws in a 20 m length of cable. c. Find the probability that there are more than two flaws in a 20 m length of cable. c. Find the probability that there are more than two flaws in a 20 m length of cable. c. Find the probability that there are more than two flaws in a 20 m length of cable. c. Find the probability that there are more than two flaws in a 20 m length of cable. c. Find the probability that there are more than two flaws in a 20 m length of cable. c. Find the probability that there are more than two flaws in a 20 m length of cable. c. Find the probability that there are more than the probability that the
Find the probability that the distance between 8 and 20 m. Someone claims that the waiting time until the next hit. If the claim is true, what is P(X \ge 5)? b. Based on the answer to part (a), if the claim
is true, is five minutes an unusually long time to wait? c. If you waited five minutes until the next hit occurred, would you still believe the claim? Explain. 9. A certain type of components last more than five years. Is it possible
that the lifetimes of new components are exponentially distributed? Explain. 10. A radioactive mass emits particles according to a Poisson process at a mean waiting time? b. What is the median waiting time? c. Find P(T > 2). d. Find P(T < 0.1). e. Find
P(0.3 < T < 1.5), f. If 3 seconds have elapsed with no emission, what is the probability that there will be an emission within the next second? 11. The number of traffic accidents at a certain intersection is thought to be well modeled by a Poisson process with a mean of 3 accidents per year. a. Find the
standard deviation of the waiting times between accidents. c. Find the probability that more than one year elapses between accidents have occurred within the last six months, what is the probability that an accident will occur within the next year? 12. The
distance between consecutive flaws on a roll of sheet aluminum is exponentially distributed with mean distance, in meters, between flaws. a. What is the probability that a 5 m length of aluminum contains exactly two flaws? 13. A radioactive mass emits particles according to a
Poisson process. The probability that no particles are emitted in a four-second period? b. What is the mean number of particles emitted in a four-second period? b. What is the probability that no particles are emitted in a four-second period? b. What is the probability that no particles are emitted in a four-second period? b. What is the probability that no particles are emitted in a four-second period? b. What is the mean number of particles emitted in a four-second period? b. What is the probability that no particles are emitted in a four-second period? b. What is the mean number of particles emitted in a four-second period? b. What is the probability that no particles are emitted in a four-second period? b. What is the probability that no particles are emitted in a four-second period? b. What is the probability that no particles are emitted in a four-second period? b. What is the probability that no particles are emitted in a four-second period? b. What is the probability that no particles are emitted in a four-second period? b. What is the probability that no particles are emitted in a four-second period? b. What is the probability that no particles are emitted in a four-second period? b. What is the probability that no particles are emitted in a four-second period? b. What is the probability that no particles are emitted in a four-second period? b. What is the probability that no particles are emitted in a four-second period? b. What is the probability that no particles are emitted in a four-second period? b. What is the probability that no particles are emitted in a four-second period? b. What is the probability that no particles are emitted in a four-second period? b. What is the probability that no particles are emitted in a four-second period? b. What is the probability that no particles are emitted in a four-second period? b. What is the probability that no particles are emitted in a four-second period? b. What is the probability that no particles are emitted in a four-second period? b. What is the pr
exponentially distributed. The probability that the lifetime is greater than 15 years? c. What is the probability that the lifetime is greater than 15 years? b. What is the probability that the lifetime is greater than 15 years? b. What is the probability that the lifetime is greater than 15 years? b. What is the probability that the lifetime is greater than 15 years? b. What is the probability that the lifetime is greater than 15 years? b. What is the probability that the lifetime is greater than 15 years? b. What is the probability that the lifetime is greater than 15 years? b. What is the probability that the lifetime is greater than 15 years? b. What is the probability that the lifetime is greater than 15 years? b. What is the probability that the lifetime is greater than 15 years? b. What is the probability that the lifetime is greater than 15 years? b. What is the probability that the lifetime is greater than 15 years? b. What is the probability that the lifetime is greater than 15 years? b. What is the probability that the lifetime is greater than 15 years? b. What is the probability that the lifetime is greater than 15 years? b. What is the probability that the lifetime is greater than 15 years? b. What is the probability that the lifetime is greater than 15 years? b. What is the probability that the lifetime is greater than 15 years? b. What is the probability that the lifetime is greater than 15 years? b. What is the probability that the lifetime is greater than 15 years? b. What is the probability that the lifetime is greater than 15 years? b. What is the probability that the lifetime is greater than 15 years? b. What is the probability that the lifetime is greater than 15 years? b. What is the probability that the lifetime is greater than 15 years? b. What is the probability that the lifetime is greater than 15 years? b. What is the probability that the lifetime is greater than 15 years? b. What is the probability that the lifetime is greater than 15 years? b. What is the probability that the lifeti
with mean 200 hours. Whenever a bulb burns out, it is replaced. Let T be the time of the first bulb replacement. Let Xi, i = 1, ..., 5, be the lifetimes of the five bulbs. Assume the lifetimes of the first bulb replacement. Let Xi, i = 1, ..., 5, be the lifetimes of the first bulb replacement. Let Xi, i = 1, ..., 5, be the lifetimes of the first bulb replacement. Let Xi, i = 1, ..., 5, be the lifetimes of the first bulb replacement. Let Xi, i = 1, ..., 5, be the lifetimes of the first bulb replacement. Let Xi, i = 1, ..., 5, be the lifetimes of the first bulb replacement. Let Xi, i = 1, ..., 5, be the lifetimes of the first bulb replacement. Let Xi, i = 1, ..., 5, be the lifetimes of the first bulb replacement. Let Xi, i = 1, ..., 5, be the lifetimes of the first bulb replacement. Let Xi, i = 1, ..., 5, be the lifetimes of the first bulb replacement. Let Xi, i = 1, ..., 5, be the lifetimes of the first bulb replacement. Let Xi, i = 1, ..., 5, be the lifetimes of the first bulb replacement. Let Xi, i = 1, ..., 5, be the lifetimes of the first bulb replacement. Let Xi, i = 1, ..., 5, be the lifetimes of the first bulb replacement. Let Xi, i = 1, ..., 5, be the lifetimes of the first bulb replacement. Let Xi, i = 1, ..., 5, be the lifetimes of the first bulb replacement. Let Xi, i = 1, ..., 5, be the lifetimes of the first bulb replacement. Let Xi, i = 1, ..., 5, be the lifetimes of the lifetimes of the first bulb replacement. Let Xi, i = 1, ..., 5, be the lifetimes of the lifetim
\{X1 > 100 \text{ and } X2 > 100 \text{ and } X2 > 100 \text{ and } W and Y = 10
distribution of T? 4.8 Some Other Continuous Distribution, which we will sometimes refer to just as the uniform distribution, is the simplest of the continuous distribution. It often plays an important role in computer simulation studies. The uniform distribution has two parameters, a and
b, with a < b. Definition The probability density function of the continuous uniform distribution with parameters a and b is (4.41) If X is a random variable with probability density function is constant on the interval (a, b), we can think of the
probability as being distributed "uniformly" on the interval. If X is a random variable whose distribution is uniform on the interval (a, b), we write X ~ U(a, b). The mean and variable can easily be computed from the definitions (Equations 2.37, 2.38, and 2.39). The derivations are left as an exercise. Let X ~ U(a, b). Then
(4.42) (4.43) Example 4.63 When a motorist stops at a red light at a certain intersection, the waiting time for the light to turn green, in seconds, is uniformly distributed on the interval (0, 30). Find the probability that the waiting time are uniformly distributed on the interval (0, 30). Find the probability that the waiting time for the light to turn green, in seconds, is uniformly distributed on the interval (0, 30). Find the probability that the waiting time for the light to turn green, in seconds, is uniformly distributed on the interval (0, 30).
compute P(10 < X < 15). We will do this by integrating the probability density function over the interval between 10 and 15. From Equation (4.41), the probability density function is Therefore Because the probability density function for a uniform random variable is constant over the range of possible values, probability density function over the interval between 10 and 15. From Equation (4.41), the probability density function over the range of possible values, probability density function over the range of possible values, probability density function over the range of possible values, probability density function over the range of possible values, probability density function over the range of possible values, probability density function over the range of possible values, probability density function over the range of possible values, probability density function over the range of possible values, probability density function over the range of possible values, probability density function over the range of possible values, probability density function over the range of possible values, probability density function over the range of possible values, probability density function over the range of possible values, probability density function over the range of possible values, probability density function over the range of possible values.
generally involve areas of rectangles, which can be computed without integral. The probability P(10 < X < 15) is equal to the area of the shaded region under the probability function. This region is a rectangle with height 1/30 and width equal to 15 - 10 = 5. The
probability is therefore 5/30, or 1/6. FIGURE 4.18 Because the probability density function for a uniform random variable is constant over the range of possible values, probabilities for uniform random variables generally involve areas of rectangles, which can be computed without integrating. Here P(10 < X < 15) is the area of a rectangle of
dimensions 1/30 \times 5, so the probability is 5/30, or 1/6. Example 4.64 Refer to Example 4.63. Find the mean and variance of the waiting time. Solution The waiting time X is uniformly distributed on the interval (0, 30). Using Equation (4.43), . The Gamma Distribution The gamma distribution is a continuous
distribution, one of whose purposes is to extend the usefulness of the exponential distribution in modeling waiting times. It involves a certain integral known as the gamma function and state some of its properties. Page 274 Definition For r > 0, the gamma function is defined by (4.44) The gamma function has the
following properties: 1. If r is an integer, then \Gamma(r) = (r-1)! 2. For any r, \Gamma(r+1) = r\Gamma(r) 3. The gamma distribution is used to define the probability density function of the gamma distribution. The gamma function is used to define the probability density function of the
gamma distribution with parameters r > 0 and \lambda > 0 is (4.45) If X is a random variable whose probability density function is the same as the exponential. In symbols, \Gamma(1, \lambda) = \text{Exp}(\lambda). Figure 4.19 presents plots of the gamma probability density
function for various values of r and λ. FIGURE 4.19 The gamma distribution is a direct extension of Page 275 the exponential distribution. To be specific, recall that if events follow a Poisson process with rate parameter r is an integer, the gamma distribution is a direct extension of Page 275 the exponential distribution.
event occurs is distributed as Exp(\lambda). If r is any positive integer, then the waiting time until the first event, and for i > 1 let Xi be the waiting time between events i \lambda 1 and i. The waiting time until the rth event is the sum of the independent
random variables X1 + Xr, each of which is distributed as Exp(\lambda). Summary If X1, ..., Xr are independent random variables, each distributed as Exp(\lambda). Since the mean and variable are given by 1/\lambda and 1/\lambda 2, respectively, we can use the fact that a gamma random
variable is the sum of independent exponential random variables to compute the mean and variable in the case when r is an integer. The results are presented in the following box, and in fact, they are valid for any values of r and \lambda. If X ~ \Gamma (r, \lambda), then (4.46) (4.47) Example 4.65 Assume that arrival times at a drive-
through window follow a Poisson process with mean rate \lambda = 0.2 arrivals per minute. Let T be the waiting time until the third arrival. Find the mean and variance of T. Find P(T \leq 20). Solution The random variable T is distributed \Gamma (3, 0.2). Using Equations (4.46) and (4.47) we compute \muT = 3/0.2 = 15 and . To compute P(T \leq 20) we reason as
follows: T 20 means that the third event occurs within 20 minutes. This is the same as saying that the number of events that occur within 20 minutes. What we have said is that P(T \le 20) = P(X \ge 3). Now the mean of X is (20)(0.2) = 4, and X has a
Poisson distribution, so X \sim Poisson(4). It follows that Page 276 The method used in Example 4.65 to find P(T \le 20) can be used to find the cumulative distribution function of T is given by (4.48) A gamma
distribution for which the parameter r is a positive integer is sometimes called an Erlang distribution is called a chi-square distribution is widely used in statistical inference. We will discuss some of its uses in Sections 5.8, 6.10, and
6.11. The Weibull Distribution The Weibull distribution is a continuous distribution is to model the lifetimes of components such as bearings, ceramics, capacitors, and dielectrics. The Weibull probability density function has two parameters, both positive constants,
that determine its location and shape. We denote these parameters \alpha and \beta. The probability density function is Weibull distribution is (4.49) If X is a random variable whose probability density function is the same as the exponential
distribution with parameter \lambda = \beta. In symbols, Weibull(1, \beta) = Exp(\beta). Figure 4.20 presents plots of the Weibull(\alpha, \beta) probability density function for several choices of the parameters \alpha and \beta. By varying the values of \alpha and \beta, a wide variety of data
sets. This is the main reason for the weibull distribution. FIGURE 4.20 The Weibull distribution function for various choices of \alpha and \beta. The Weibull distribution function for various choices of \alpha and \beta. The Weibull distribution function for various choices of \alpha and \beta. The Weibull distribution for various choices of \alpha and \beta. The Weibull distribution function for various choices of \alpha and \beta. The Weibull distribution function for various choices of \alpha and \beta. The Weibull distribution function for various choices of \alpha and \beta. The Weibull distribution function for various choices of \alpha and \beta. The Weibull distribution function function function function for various choices of \alpha and \beta. The Weibull distribution function function function function function for various choices of \alpha and \beta. The Weibull distribution function functi
mean and variance of the Weibull distribution are expressed in terms of the quantity 1/\alpha is an integer, then 1 + 1/\alpha and 1 + 2/\alpha are integers, so Property 1 of the quantity 1/\alpha is an integer, then 1 + 1/\alpha and 1 + 2/\alpha are integers, so Property 1 of the quantity 1/\alpha is an integer, then 1 + 1/\alpha and 1 + 2/\alpha are integers, so Property 1 of the quantity 1/\alpha is an integer, then 1 + 1/\alpha and 1 + 2/\alpha are integers, so Property 1 of the quantity 1/\alpha is an integer, then 1 + 1/\alpha and 1 + 2/\alpha are integers, so Property 1 of the quantity 1/\alpha is an integer, then 1 + 1/\alpha and 1 + 2/\alpha are integers, so Property 1 of the quantity 1/\alpha is an integer, then 1 + 1/\alpha and 1 + 2/\alpha are integers, so Property 1 of the quantity 1/\alpha is an integer, then 1 + 1/\alpha and 1 + 2/\alpha are integers, and 1
1/α is of the form n/2, where n is an integer, then in principle μX and can be computed exactly through repeated applications of Properties 2 and 3 of the gamma function. For other values of α, μX and must be approximated. Many computer packages can do this. Example 4.66 In the article "Snapshot: A Plot Showing Program through a Device
Development Laboratory" (D. Lambert, J. Landwehr, and M. Shyu, Statistical Case Studies for Industrial Process Improvement, ASA-SIAM 1997), the authors suggest using a Weibull distribution to model the duration of a bake step for a randomly chosen lot
If T ~ Weibull(0.3, 0.1), what is the probability that it takes between two and seven hours? Page 278 Solution We use the cumulative distribution function, Equation (4.50). Substituting 0.3 for a and 0.1 for β, we have Therefore The probability that it takes between two and
seven hours is Exercises for Section 4.8 1. The distance advanced in a day by a tunnel boring machine, in meters, is uniformly distributed on the interval (30, 50). a. Find the mean distance advanced in a day by a tunnel boring machine, in meters, is uniformly distributed on the distance advanced on the interval (30, 50).
different days are independent. What is the probability the machine advances more than 45 meters on exactly four out of 10 days? Resistors are labeled 100 Ω. In fact, the actual resistances are uniformly distributed on the interval (95, 103). a. Find the mean resistance are uniformly distributed on the interval (95, 103).
resistance is between 98 and 102 \Omega. d. 3. Suppose that resistances of different resistances greater than 100 \Omega? Let T ~ \Gamma(t, \lambda). If \muT = 8 and 5. Let T ~ \Gamma(t, \lambda). If \muT = 8 and 7. Let T ~ \Gamma(t, \lambda). If \muT = 8 and \muT. b. Find \muT. b. Fin
and \sigma 2. 6. The lifetime, in years, of a type of small electric motor operating under adverse conditions is exponentially distributed with \lambda = 3.6. Whenever a motor fails, it is replaced with another of the same type. Find the probability that fewer than six motors fail within one year., find r and \lambda. Page 279 7. 8. Let T \sim Weibull(0.5, 3). a. Find \mu T. b. Find
oT. c. Find P(T < 1). d. Find P(T > 5). e. Find P(T > 5). e. Find P(Z < T < 4). If T is a continuous random variable that is always positive (such as a waiting time), with probability density function function f(t) and cumulative distribution function f(t).
proportion of the items that have not failed. 9. a. If T ~ Weibull(\alpha, \beta), find h(t). b. For what values of \alpha is it decreasing? c. If T has an exponential distribution, show that the hazard function is constant. In the article "Parameter Estimation with Only One Complete Failure Observation" (W.
Pang, P. Leung, et al., International Journal of Reliability, Quality, and Safety Engineering, 2001:109-122), the lifetime, in hours, of a certain type of bearing lasts more than 1000 hours. b. Find the probability that a bearing lasts more than 1000 hours. b. Find the probability that a bearing lasts more than 1000 hours. b. Find the probability that a bearing lasts more than 1000 hours. b. Find the probability that a bearing lasts more than 1000 hours. b. Find the probability that a bearing lasts more than 1000 hours. b. Find the probability that a bearing lasts more than 1000 hours. b. Find the probability that a bearing lasts more than 1000 hours. b. Find the probability that a bearing lasts more than 1000 hours. b. Find the probability that a bearing lasts more than 1000 hours. b. Find the probability that a bearing lasts more than 1000 hours. b. Find the probability that a bearing lasts more than 1000 hours. b. Find the probability that a bearing lasts more than 1000 hours. b. Find the probability that a bearing last more than 1000 hours. b. Find the probability that a bearing last more than 1000 hours. b. Find the probability that a bearing last more than 1000 hours. b. Find the probability that a bearing last more than 1000 hours. b. Find the probability that a bearing last more than 1000 hours. b. Find the probability that a bearing last more than 1000 hours. b. Find the probability that a bearing last more than 1000 hours. b. Find the probability that a bearing last more than 1000 hours. b. Find the probability that a bearing last more than 1000 hours. b. Find the probability that a bearing last more than 1000 hours. b. Find the probability that a bearing last more than 1000 hours. b. Find the probability that a bearing last more than 1000 hours. b. Find the probability that a bearing last more than 1000 hours. b. Find the probability that a bearing last more than 1000 hours. b. Find the probability that a bearing last more than 1000 hours. b. Find the probability that a bearing last more than 1000 h
lasts less than 2000 hours. c. Find the median lifetime of a bearing. d. The hazard function is defined in Exercise 8. What is the hazard at t = 2000 hours? 10. The lifetime of a certain battery is modeled with the Weibull distribution with \alpha = 2 and \beta = 0.1. a. What proportion of batteries will last longer than 10 hours? b. What proportion of batteries
will last less than 5 hours? c. What proportion of batteries will last longer than 20 hours? d. The hazard function is defined in Exercise 8. What is the hazard at t = 10 hours? 11. The lifetime of a cooling fan, in hours, that is used in a computer system has the Weibull distribution with \alpha = 1.5 and \beta = 0.0001. a. What is the probability that a fan lasts
more than 10,000 hours? b. What is the probability that a fan lasts less than 5000 hours? c. What is the probability that a fan lasts between 3000 and 9000 hours? 12. Someone suggests that the lifetime T (in days) of a certain component can be modeled with the Weibull distribution with parameters \alpha = 3 and \beta = 0.01. a. If this model is correct, what
is P(T \le 1)? b. Based on the answer to part (a), if the model is correct, would one day be an unusually short lifetime? Explain. c. If you observed a component that lasted one day, would you find this model is correct, would 90 days be
an unusually short lifetime? An unusually long lifetime? Explain. 13. A system consists of two components that lasted 90 days, would you find this model to be plausible? Explain. 13. A system consists of two components connected in series. The system will fail when either of the two components fails. Let T be the time at which the system fails. Let X1 and X2 be
the lifetimes of the two components. Assume that X1 and X2 are independent and that each has the Weibull distribution with \alpha=2 and \beta=0.2. a. Find P(X1>5). b. Find P(X1>5). c. Explain why the event T>5 is the same as the event T>5. b. Find P(X1>5). c. Explain why the event T>5 is the same as the event T>5. b. Find T=5. c. Explain why the event T>5 is the same as the event T>5. c. Explain why the event T>5 is the same as the event T>5. c. Explain why the event T>5 is the same as the event T>5. c. Explain why the event T>5 is the same as the event T>5. c. Explain why the event T>5 is the same as the event T>5. c. Explain why the event T>5 is the same as the event T>5. c. Explain why the event T>5 is the same as the event T>5. c. Explain why the event T>5 is the event T>5. c. Explain why the event T>5 is the event T>5. c. Explain why the event T>5 is the event T>5. c. Explain why the event T>5 is the event T>5. c. Explain why the event T>5 is the event T>5. c. Explain why the event T>5 is the event T>5. c. Explain why the event T>5 is the event T>5. c. Explain why the event T>5 is the event T>5. c. Explain why the event T>5 is the event T>5. c. Explain why the event T>5 is the event T>5. c. Explain why the event T>5 is the event T>5. c. Explain why the event T>5 is the event T>5. c. Explain why the event T>5 is the event T>5. c. Explain why the event T>5 is the event T>5. c. Explain why the event T>5 is the event T>5. c. Explain why the event T>5 is the event T>5. c. Explain why the event T>5 is the event T>5. c. Explain why the event T>5 is the event T>5. c. Explain why the event T>5 is the event T>5. c. Explain why the event T>5 is the event T>5. c. Explain why the event T>5 is the event T>5. c. Explain why the event T>5 is the event T>5. c. Explain why the event T>5 is the event T>5. c. Explain why the event T>5 is the event T>5. c. Explain why the event T>5 is the ev
```

```
cumulative distribution function of T. f. Does T have a Weibull distribution? If so, what are its parameters? Page 280 14. Let X \sim U(a, b). Use the definition of the mean of a continuous random variable (Equation 2.38) or
2.39) to show that . 16. Let X \sim U(a, b). a. Show that if x \le a then P(X \le x) = 0. b. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1. c. Show that if a < x < b then P(X \le x) = 1.
function of U (use the result of Exercise 16). b. Show that P(X \le x) = P(U \le (x - a)/(b - a)). c. Use the result of part (b) to show that X \sim U(a, b). 4.9 Some Principles of Point Estimation When data are collected, it is often with the purpose of estimating some numerical characteristic of the population from which they came. For example, if X1, ..., Xn is
a random sample from a population, the sample mean is often used to estimate the population mean \mu, and the sample proportion is often used to estimate the unknown population proportion p (see Section 4.2). In general, a quantity
calculated from data is called a statistic, and a statistic, and a statistic that is used to estimate an unknown constant, or parameter, is called a point estimate or point estimate is used when a particular numerical value is specified for the data. For example, if X ~ Bin(10, p), and we observe X = 3, then the number is a point estimate of the
unknown parameter p. On the other hand, if no particular value is specified for X, the random quantity is often called a point estimators and estimators and point estimators and estimators are simply called a point estimator of p. Often, point estimators and estimators and estimators and estimators are simply called estimators.
good it is? 2. What methods can be used to construct good point estimator of θ. Measuring the Goodness of an Estimator should be both accurate and precise. The accuracy of an estimator is measured by its bias, and the
precision is measured by its standard deviation, or uncertainty. The quantity most often used to evaluate the overall goodness of an estimator is , the difference between the mean of the estimator and the true value. The Page 281
 uncertainty is the standard deviation, and is sometimes referred to as the standard error of the estimator. The MSE is found by adding the variance to the square of the bias. Definition Let \theta be a parameter, and an estimator of \theta. The mean squared error (MSE) of is (4.53) An equivalent expression for the MSE is (4.54) Equation (4.53) says that the
MSE is equal to the square of the bias, plus the variance. To interpret Equation (4.54), note that the quantity is the difference between the estimated value and the true value, and is called the error. So Equations (4.53) and
(4.54) yield identical results, so either may be used in any situation to compute the MSE. In practice Equation (4.53) is often somewhat easier to use. Example 4.67 Let X ~ Bin(n, p) where p is unknown. Find the MSE of . Solution We compute the bias and variance is
p(1-p)/n. Therefore the MSE is 0+p(1-p)/n, or p(1-p)/n, or p(1-p)/n, or p(1-p)/n. In Example 4.67 the estimator can be altered in a way that adds a small bias, but reduces the variance by a greater amount. Following is an example involving the sample variance.
 Example 4.68 Let X1, ..., Xn be a random sample from a N(\mu, \sigma^2) population. The sample variance is . It can be shown that s2 has mean . Consider the estimator and variance, and mean squared error of both s2 and . Show that has smaller mean
squared error than s2. Page 282 Solution Since, s2 is unbiased for σ2, so the mean squared error is equal to the variance is given by The mean squared error than s2, we subtract: We now turn to the
question of how to find good estimators. We focus on the method of maximum likelihood is to estimate a parameter with the value that makes the observed data most likely. To illustrate the method, let X ~ Bin(20, p) where
 p is unknown. Suppose we observe the value X = 7. The probability mass function is Notice that we have included the symbol for the parameter p in the notation for the probability mass function as a function of p, with the data value 7
being Page 283 constant. When a probability mass function or probability density function is considered to be a function of parameters, it is called a likelihood function. We will now discuss the mechanics of computing the maximum
of the likelihood function f(7; p). In principle, we could maximize this function by taking the derivative with respect to p and setting it equal to 0. It is easier, however, to maximize the function itself. Now We take the
derivative with respect to p and set it equal to 0: Solving, we find that the maximizing value is 7/20. Therefore the maximizing value would be X/20. We say, therefore, that the maximum likelihood estimator is . Note that we are using the word "estimate" when
a specific value, like 7, is given for X, and the word "estimator" when the data value is unspecified, and represented by the random variable X. In principle, the likelihood function can be a probability density or mass function. It can also be a joint probability density or mass function.
of independent random variables. (Joint distributions are covered in Section 2.6.) The likelihood function of a maximum likelihood estimator. Definition Let X1, ..., Xn have joint probability mass function are covered in Section 2.6.) The likelihood function of a maximum likelihood estimator. Definition Let X1, ..., Xn have joint probability mass function of several parameters, and x1, ..., xn are parameters.
the values observed for X1, ..., Xn. The values that maximum likelihood estimates of \theta1, ..., \thetak. If the random variables X1, ..., Xn are substituted for x1, ..., Xn. The values that maximum likelihood estimators. Example 4.69
Let X1, ..., Xn be a random sample from an Exp(λ) population, where λ is unknown. Find the MLE of λ. Page 284 Solution The likelihood function of the parameter A. Since X1, ..., Xn are independent, the joint probability density function is the product of the marginals, each
of which is an Exp(\lambda) probability density function. Therefore The MLE is the value of \lambda that maximizes the likelihood function. Multiplying out the product yields As is often the case, it is easier to maximize the logarithm of the likelihood function. Therefore The MLE is the value of \lambda that maximizes the likelihood function. Multiplying out the product yields As is often the case, it is easier to maximize the logarithm of the likelihood function.
Properties of Maximum Likelihood Estimators Maximum likelihood is the most cases, as the sample size n increases, the bias of the MLE converges to 0. 2. In most cases, as the sample size n
increases, the variance of the MLE converges to a theoretical minimum. Together, these two properties imply that when the sample size is sufficiently possible. Exercises for Section 4.9 1. Choose the best answer to fill in the blank. If an estimator is
                         i. the estimator is equal to the true value. ii. the estimator is usually close to the true value. iii. the mean of the estimator is usually close to the true value. 2. iv. the mean of the estimator is usually close to the true value. 3. iv. the mean of the estimator is usually close to the true value. 4. iv. the mean of the estimator is usually close to the true value. 5. iv. the mean of the estimator is usually close to the true value. 5. iv. the mean of the estimator is usually close to the true value. 5. iv. the mean of the estimator is usually close to the true value. 6. iv. the mean of the estimator is usually close to the true value. 7. iv. the mean of the estimator is usually close to the true value. 8. iv. the mean of the estimator is usually close to the true value. 8. iv. the mean of the estimator is usually close to the true value. 9. iv. the mean of the estimator is usually close to the true value. 9. iv. the mean of the estimator is usually close to the true value. 9. iv. the mean of the estimator is usually close to the true value. 9. iv. the mean of the estimator is usually close to the true value. 9. iv. the mean of the estimator is usually close to the true value. 9. iv. the mean of the estimator is usually close to the true value. 9. iv. the mean of the estimator is usually close to the true value. 9. iv. the mean of the estimator is usually close to the true value. 9. iv. the mean of the estimator is usually close to the true value. 9. iv. the mean of the estimator is usually close to the true value. 9. iv. the mean of the estimator is usually close to the true value. 9. iv. the mean of the estimator is usually close to the true value. 9. iv. the mean of the estimator is usually close to the true value. 9. iv. the mean of the estimator is usually close to the true value. 9. iv. the mean of the estimator is usually close to the true value. 9. iv. the mean of the estimator is usually close to the true value. 9. iv. the mean of the estimator is usually close to the true value. 9. iv. the mean o
true value. Page 285 ii. how close repeated values of the estimator are to each other. iii. how close the mean of the estimator are to each other. Let X1 and X2 be independent, each with unknown mean μ and known variance σ2 = 1. a. Let . Find the bias, variance, and the estimator are to each other.
mean squared error of b. Let . Find the bias, variance, and mean squared error of c. Let d. For what values of μ does have smaller mean squared error than . . Find the bias, variance, and mean squared error of c. Let d. For what values of μ does have smaller mean squared error than . . Find the bias, variance, and mean squared error than e. For what values of μ does have smaller mean squared error than e. For what values of μ does have smaller mean squared error than e. For what values of μ does have smaller mean squared error than e. For what values of μ does have smaller mean squared error than e. For what values of μ does have smaller mean squared error than e. For what values of μ does have smaller mean squared error than e. For what values of μ does have smaller mean squared error than e. For what values of μ does have smaller mean squared error than e. For what values of μ does have smaller mean squared error than e. For what values of μ does have smaller mean squared error than e. For what values of μ does have smaller mean squared error than e. For what values of μ does have smaller mean squared error than e. For what values of μ does have smaller mean squared error than e. For what values of μ does have smaller mean squared error than e. For what values of μ does have smaller mean squared error than e. For what values of μ does have smaller mean squared error than e. For what values of μ does have smaller mean squared error than e. For what values of μ does have smaller mean squared error than e. For what values of μ does have smaller mean squared error than e. For what values of μ does have smaller mean squared error than e. For what values of μ does have smaller mean squared error than e. For what values of μ does have smaller mean squared error than e. For what values of μ does have smaller mean squared error than e. For what values of μ does have smaller mean squared error than e. For what values of μ does have smaller mean squared error than e. For what values of μ does have smaller mean squared 
For any constant k > 0, define 5. 6. 7. . Consider as an estimator of σ2. in terms of k. [Hint: The sample variance of c. Compute the bias of b. Compute the wariance of c. Compute the wariance of c. Compute the wariance of c. Compute the bias of b. Compute the wariance of c. Compute the wariance of c. Compute the bias of b. Compute the wariance of c. Compute the warian
MLE of p. Let X1, ..., Xn be a random sample from a population with the Poisson(\lambda) distribution. Find the MLE of \theta, and h(\theta) is any function of \theta, then is the MLE of h(\theta). a. Let X ~ Bin(n, p)where n is known and p is unknown. Find the
MLE of the odds ratio p/(1-p). b. Use the result of Exercise 5 to find the MLE of P(X=0) if X \sim Poisson(\lambda), then P(X=0) = e^{-\lambda}. Use the result of Exercise 6 to find the MLE of P(X=0) = e^{-\lambda}. Use the result of Exercise 6 to find the MLE of P(X=0) = e^{-\lambda}. Use the result of P(X=0) = e^{-\lambda}.
1) population. Find the MLE of \mu. 9. Let X1, ..., Xn be a random sample from a N(\mu, \sigma2) population. Find the MLEs of \mu and \sigma. Compute partial derivatives with respect to \mu and a and set
them equal to 0 to find the values and that maximize the likelihood function.) 4.10 Probability Plots Scientists and engineers frequently work with data that can be thought of as a random sample from some population. In some
cases, knowledge of the process that generated the data can guide the decision. More often, though, the only way to determine an appropriate distribution is to examine the sample X1, ..., Xn, a probability plot can determine whether the
sample might plausibly have come from some specified population. We will present the idea behind probability plots with a simple example. A random sample of size 5 is drawn, and we want to determine whether the population Page 286 from which it came might have been normal. The sample, arranged in increasing order, is Denote the values, in
increasing order, by X1, ..., Xn (n = 5 in this case). The first thing to do is to assign increasing, evenly spaced values between 0 and 1 to the Xi. There are several acceptable ways to do this; perhaps the simplest is to assign the value (i - 0.5)/n to Xi. The following table shows the assignment for the given sample. i 1 2 3 4 5 Xi 3.01 3.35 4.79 5.96 7.89
(i - 0.5)/5 \ 0.1 \ 0.3 \ 0.5 \ 0.7 \ 0.9 \ The value (i - 0.5)/n is chosen to reflect the position of Xi in the ordered sample. There are i - 1 values less than Xi, and i values less than Xi, and i values less than Xi, and i values less than Xi. The quantity (i - 0.5)/n is a compromise between the proportions (i - 1)/n and i/n. The quantity (i - 0.5)/n is a compromise between the proportions (i - 1)/n and i/n. The quantity (i - 0.5)/n is a compromise between the proportions (i - 1)/n and (i - 0.5)/n is a compromise between the proportions (i - 1)/n and (i - 0.5)/n is a compromise between the proportions (i - 1)/n and (i - 0.5)/n is a compromise between the proportions (i - 1)/n and (i - 0.5)/n is a compromise between the proportions (i - 1)/n and (i - 0.5)/n is a compromise between the proportions (i - 1)/n and (i - 0.5)/n is a compromise between the proportions (i - 1)/n and (i - 0.5)/n is a compromise between the proportions (i - 1)/n and (i - 0.5)/n is a compromise between the proportions (i - 1)/n and (i - 0.5)/n is a compromise between the proportions (i - 1)/n and (i - 0.5)/n is a compromise between the proportions (i - 1)/n and (i - 0.5)/n is a compromise between the proportions (i - 1)/n and (i - 0.5)/n is a compromise between the proportions (i - 1)/n and (i - 0.5)/n is a compromise between the proportions (i - 1)/n and (i - 0.5)/n is a compromise between the proportions (i - 1)/n and (i - 0.5)/n is a compromise between the proportions (i - 0.5)/n is a compromise between the proportions (i - 0.5)/n is a compromise between the proportions (i - 0.5)/n is a compromise between the proportions (i - 0.5)/n is a compromise between the proportions (i - 0.5)/n is a compromise between the proportions (i - 0.5)/n is a compromise between the proportions (i - 0.5)/n is a compromise between the proportions (i - 0.5)/n is a compromise between the proportions (i - 0.5)/n is a compromise between the proportions (i - 0.5)/
population. The most plausible normal distribution is the one whose mean and standard deviation is s = 2.00. We will therefore determine whether this sample mean is , and the sample mean is , and the sample mean and standard deviation. The sample mean is , and the sample mean and standard deviation is s = 2.00. We will therefore determine whether this sample mean is , and the sample mean is , and the sample mean and standard deviation is s = 2.00. We will therefore determine whether this sample mean is , and the sample mean is , and the sample mean and standard deviation is s = 2.00. We will therefore determine whether this sample mean is , and the sample mean is , and the sample mean and standard deviation is s = 2.00. We will therefore determine whether this sample mean is , and the sample mean is , and the sample mean is s = 2.00. We will therefore determine whether this sample mean is s = 2.00. We will therefore determine whether this sample mean is s = 2.00. We will the sample mean is s = 2.00. We will the sample mean is s = 2.00. We will the sample mean is s = 2.00. We will the sample mean is s = 2.00. We will the sample mean is s = 2.00. We will the sample mean is s = 2.00. We will the sample mean is s = 2.00. We will the sample mean is s = 2.00. We will the sample mean is s = 2.00. We will the sample mean is s = 2.00. We will the sample mean is s = 2.00. We will the sample mean is s = 2.00. We will the sample mean is s = 2.00. We will the sample mean is s = 2.00. We will the sample mean is s = 2.00. We will the sample mean is s = 2.00. We will the sample mean is s = 2.00. We will the sample mean is s = 2.00.
points (Xi, (i -0.5)/5). The curve is the cumulative distribution function (cdf) F(x) of the N(5, 22) distribution. Recall that F(x) = P(X \le x) where X \sim N(5, 22). The curve is the curve.
sample points. We denote the x Page 287 values of the points on the cdf at the proportion of values in the N(5, 22) population that are less than or equal to O1 is 0.1. Another way to say this is
that Q1 is the 10th percentile of the N(5, 22) distribution. If the sample X1, ..., X5 truly came from a N(5, 22) distribution, then it is reasonable to believe that the lowest of five points would be fairly close to the 10th percentile of the population, Q1. Intuitively, the reason for this is that we would expect that the lowest of five points would be fairly close to the 10th percentile of the population, Q1. Intuitively, the reason for this is that we would expect that the lowest of five points would be fairly close to the 10th percentile of the N(5, 22) distribution.
likely to come from the lowest fifth, or 20%, of the population, and the 10th percentile is in the middle of that lowest 20%. Applying similar reasoning to the remaining points, we would expect each Qi to be close to its corresponding Xi. The probability plot consists of the points (Xi, Qi). Since the distribution that generated the Qi was a normal
distribution, this is called a normal probability plot. If X1, ..., Xn do in fact come from the distribution that is suspected of generating the sample. In this example the Qi are the
10th, 30th, 50th, 70th, and 90th percentiles of the N(5, 22) distribution. We could approximate these values by looking up the z-scores corresponding to these percentiles, and then converting to raw scores. In practice, the Qi are invariably calculated by a computer software package. The following table presents the X and the Qi for this example. i 1 2
3 4 5 Xi Qi 3.01 3.35 4.79 5.96 7.89 2.44 3.95 5.00 6.05 7.56 Figure 4.22 (page 288) presents a normal probability plot for the scaling on
the vertical axis. In the plot on the left, the values on the vertical axis represent the Qi. In the plot on the right, the values on the vertical axis represent the percentile of N(5, 22) is 2.44, so the value 0.1 on the right, the values on the vertical axis represent the Qi. In the plot on the right, the values on the vertical axis represent the percentile of N(5, 22) is 2.44, so the value 0.1 on the right.
left-hand plot. The 50th percentile, or median, is 5, so the value 0.5 on the right-hand plot corresponds to the left-hand plot corresponds to the left-hand plot. Computer packages often scale the vertical axis like the plot on the right. In Figure 4.22, the sample points are close to the line, so it is quite plausible that the sample came from a normal distribution. FIGURE
4.22 Normal probability plots for the sample X1, ..., X5. The plots are identical, except for the scaling on the vertical axis. The sample points lie approximately on a straight line, so it is plausible that they came from a normal population. We remark that the points Q1, ..., Qn are called quantiles of the distribution from which they are generated.
Sometimes the sample points X1, ..., Xn are called empirical quantiles. For this reason the probability plot is sometimes called a quantile plot, or QQ plot. In this example, we used a sample of only five points to make the calculations clear. In practice, probability plots work better with larger samples. A good rule of thumb is to Page 288
require at least 30 points before relying on a probability plots. The plot in Figure 4.23 a is of the monthly productions of 255 gas wells. These data do not lie close to a straight line, and
thus do not come from a population that is close to normal. The plot in Figure 4.23b is of the monthly productions. These data lie much closer to a straight line, although some departure from normality can be detected. (Figure 4.16 in Section 4.6 presents histograms of these data.) FIGURE 4.23 Two normal probability plots. (a) A
plot of the monthly productions of 255 gas wells. These data do not lie close to a straight line, and thus do not come from a population that is close to a straight line, although some departure from normality can be detected. See Figure 4.16 for histograms of
these data. Page 289 Interpreting Probability Plots It's best not to use hard-and-fast rules when interpreting a probability plot. Judge the straightness of the plot by eye. When deciding whether the points at the very ends (high or low) of the sample,
unless they are quite far from the line. It is common for a few points at either end to stray from the line somewhat. However, a point that is very far from the line when most other points are close is an outlier, and deserves attention. Exercises for Section 4.10 1. 2. 3. Each of three samples has been plotted on a normal probability plot. For each, say
whether the sample appears to have come from an approximately normal population. As part of a quality-control study aimed at improving a production line, the weights (in ounces) of 50 bars of soap are measured. The results are as follows, sorted from smallest to largest. 11.612.6 12.7 12.8 13.1 13.3 13.6 13.713.814.1 14.314.3 14.6 14.8 15.1
geyser Old Faithful in Yellowstone National Park. 4.11.8 3.2 1.9 4.6 2.04.53.9 4.3 2.3 3.81.9 4.6 1.8 4.7 1.84.61.9 3.5 4.0 2.3 3.81.9 4.6 1.8 4.7 1.84.61.9 3.5 4.0 2.3 4.44.14.3 3.3 2.0 Construct a normal probability plot for these data. Do the data appear to come Page 290 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. from an approximately normal distribution? Below are
the durations (in minutes) of 40 time intervals between eruptions of the geyser Old Faithful in Yellowstone National Park. 9151 7953 825176 82 84 53 8651 8545 885180 49 82 75 7367 6886 727575 66 84 70 7960 8671 678176 83 76 55 Construct a normal probability plot for these data. Do they appear to come from an approximately normal
distribution? Construct a normal probability plot for the PM data in Table 1.2 (page 21). Do the PM data appear to come from a normal probability plot for the PM data in Table 1.2. Do the PM data in Table 1.2.
the PM data appear to come from a lognormal population? Explain. In the article "Assessment of Dermatology, 2003:740-751), measurements of the concentration of an anti-
fungal gel, in ng per square centimeter of skin, were made one hour after application for 49 individuals. Following are the results. The authors claim that these data are well-modeled by a lognormal distribution. Construct an appropriate probability plot, and use it to determine whether the data support this claim. 132.44 76.73 258.46177.46
73.01130.62235.63 107.54 75.95 70.37 88.76 104.00 19.07174.30 82.87 68.73 41.47120.44 136.52 82.46 67.04 96.92 93.26 72.92138.15 82.43245.41104.68 82.53122.59 147.12129.82 54.83 65.82 75.24 135.52132.21 85.63135.79 65.98349.71 77.84 89.19102.94 166.11168.76 155.20 44.35202.51 Figure 4.23 (page 288) shows that nonnormal data
can sometimes be made approximately normal by applying an appropriate function (in this case the natural logarithm). This is known as transformations. Consider the following data set: 15082 1512 273398 3375 1 3017 366 5545 7 9958 32 4434 233 171310 25 0 90 6643 4218
933820 11335 23 a. Construct a normal probability plot for these data. Do they appear to come from an approximately normal distribution? b. Transform the data set. Show that the square roots appear to come from an approximately normal distribution? b. Transform the data set. 0.64 0.29
 -0.38\ 0.490.81\ -0.83\ 10.04\ 0.79\ 0.16\ 0.20\ -0.78\ 0.190.54\ 1.53\ 0.55\ 2.26\ 1.77\ 0.34\ 0.35\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.35\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.35\ 0.31\ 0.67\ 0.43\ 0.55\ 2.26\ 1.77\ 0.34\ 0.35\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.35\ 0.31\ 0.67\ 0.34\ 0.35\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.35\ 0.31\ 0.67\ 0.34\ 0.35\ 0.31\ 0.67\ 0.34\ 0.35\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.35\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.35\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.35\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.35\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.35\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.35\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.35\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.35\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.35\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.35\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.35\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.35\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.35\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.35\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.35\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.35\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.35\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.35\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.940.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.94\ 0.350.57\ -0.
taking the reciprocal of each value in the data set. Show that the reciprocals appear to come from an approximately normal distribution. 4.11 The Central Limit Theorem for their validity. The Central Limit Theorem is by far the most important result in statistics. Many commonly used statistical methods rely on this theorem for their validity. The Central Limit Theorem is by far the most important result in statistics.
says that if we draw a large enough sample from a population, then the distribution of the sample mean is approximately normal, no matter what population the sample was drawn from which the sample was drawn is not normal. We
now explain this more fully. Let X1, ..., Xn be a simple from a population with mean μ and variance σ2. Let be the sample means. If one could draw every possible sample of size n from the original population, and compute the sample mean for each one, the
resulting collection would be the population? We know about this population? We know from Equations 2.58 and 2.59 in Section 2.5 that its mean is and its variance is . What about the probability density function would depend on the shape
of the population from which the sample was drawn. The surprising thing is that if the sample mean is approximately normal, no matter what the distribution of the population from which the sample was drawn. The Central Limit Theorem Let X1,
 ..., Xn be a simple random sample from a population with mean \mu and variance \sigma2. Let be the sample mean. Let Sn=X1+\cdots+Xn be the sample observations. Then if n is sufficiently large, (4.55) and (4.56) Note that the statement of the Sample mean.
 items is equal to the mean multiplied by the sample size, that is, . It follows that and (see Equations 2.43 and 2.44 in Section 2.5). The Central Limit Theorem says that and Sn are approximately normally distributed, if the sample size n is large enough? The answer depends on the shape of the
underlying population. If the sample is drawn from a nearly symmetric distribution, the normal approximation can be good even for a fairly small value of n. However, if the populations, a sample size of 30 or more is large enough for the normal
approximation to be adequate (see Figure 4.24 on page 292). For most populations, if the sample size is greater than 30, the Central Limit Theorem approximation is good. Page 292 FIGURE 4.24 on page 292). For most populations, if the sample size is greater than 30, the Central Limit Theorem approximation is good. Page 292 FIGURE 4.24 on page 292 FIGURE 4.24 on page 292 FIGURE 4.24 on page 292).
are the distributions of the sample mean (solid line) for samples of sizes 5 and 30, respectively, with the normal approximation is good even for a sample size as small as 5. Middle row: The original distribution is somewhat skewed. Even so, the
normal approximation is reasonably close even for a sample of size 5, and very good for a sample of size 30. Note that two of the original distributions are continuous, and one is discrete.
The Central Limit Theorem holds for both continuous and discrete distributions. Page 293 Example 4.70 Let X denote the number of flaws in a 1 in. length of copper wire. The probability mass function of X is presented in the following table. x 0 1 2 3 P(X = x) 0.48 0.39 0.12 0.01 One hundred wires are sampled from this population. What is the
probability that the average number of flaws per wire in this sample is less than 0.5? Solution The population wariance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population wariance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population wariance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population wariance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population wariance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population variance is \sigma 1 = 0.66, and the population
population. We need to find. Now the sample size is n = 100, which is a large sample. It follows from the Central Limit Theorem (expression 4.55) that ). The z-score of 0.5 is therefore From the z table, the area to the left of -2.21 is 0.0136. Therefore From the z table, the area to the left of -2.21 is 0.0136. Therefore From the z table, the area to the left of -2.21 is 0.0136. Therefore From the z table, the area to the left of -2.21 is 0.0136. Therefore From the z table, the area to the left of -2.21 is 0.0136. Therefore From the z table, the area to the left of -2.21 is 0.0136. Therefore From the z table, the area to the left of -2.21 is 0.0136. Therefore From the z table, the area to the left of -2.21 is 0.0136. Therefore From the z table, the area to the left of -2.21 is 0.0136. Therefore From the z table, the area to the left of -2.21 is 0.0136. Therefore From the z table, the area to the left of -2.21 is 0.0136. Therefore From the z table, the area ta
 FIGURE 4.25 Solution to Example 4.70. Note that in Example 4.70 we needed to know only the mean and variance of the population, not the probability mass function is 4 years. A random sample of 64 students is drawn. What is the probability
The z-score for 23 is From the z table, the area to the right of 1.40 is 0.0808. Therefore . See Figure 4.26. FIGURE 4.26 Solution to Example 4.71. In Section 4.29, and 4.29). This fact can be combined with the Central Limit Theorem to find
deviation 0.15 hours. The times needed on the machines are independent. Suppose that 65 parts are manufactured. What is the distribution of the total time on machine 1? On machine 2? What is the probability that the total time on machine 1? On machine 30 and 55 hours? Solution Let X1, ..., X65 represent the times of the 65 parts are manufactured.
on machine 1. The population from which this sample was drawn has mean \mu X = 0.4 and standard deviation \sigma X = 0.1. Let SX = X1 + \cdots + X65 be the total time on machine 2. Then \mu Y = 0.45 and \sigma Y = 0.15. Let SY = X1 + \cdots + X65 be the total time on machine 1. It follows from the Central Limit Theorem (expression 4.56) that Now let Y1, ..., Y65 represent the times of the 65 parts on machine 2. Then \mu Y = 0.45 and \sigma Y = 0.15. Let SY = X1 + \cdots + X65 be the total time on machine 1.
hours is 0.4323. Normal Approximation to the Binomial Recall from Section 4.2 that if X \sim Bin(n, p) then , where Y1, ..., Yn is a sample proportion is which is also the sample mean . The Bernoulli(p) population has mean \mu = p and variance \sigma 2 = p(1 - p). It
follows from the Central Limit Theorem that if the number of trials n is large, then X \sim N(np, np(1-p)), and a Again the question arises, how large a sample is large enough? In the binomial case, the accuracy of the normal approximation depends on the mean number of successes np and on the mean number of failures n(1-p). The larger the values
of np and n (1-p), the better the approximation. A common rule of thumb is to use the normal approximation whenever np > 10 and n(1-p) > 5. A better and more conservative rule is to use the normal approximation whenever np > 10 and n(1-p) > 10. Summary If X ~ Bin(n, p), and if np > 10 and n(1-p) > 10, then (4.57) (4.58) To illustrate the
accuracy of the normal approximation to the binomial, Figure 4.27 (page 296) presents the Bin(100, 0.2) probability density function superimposed. While a slight degree of skewness can be detected in the binomial distribution, the normal approximation is quite close. Page 296 FIGURE 4.27 The Bin(100, 0.2)
probability histogram, with the N(20, 16) probability density function superimposed. The Continuity Correction is an adjustment, made when approximating a discrete distribution with a continuous one, that can improve the accuracy of the
 approximation. To see how it works, imagine that a fair coin is tossed 100 times. Let X represent the number of heads. Then X ~ Bin(100, 0.5). Imagine that we wish to compute the endpoints, 45 and 55, are included or excluded. Figure 4.28 illustrates the
case where the endpoints are included, that is, where we wish to compute P(45 \le X \le 55). The exact probability histogram corresponding to the integers 45 to 55 inclusive. The approximation or the integers 45 to 55 inclusive.
compute the area under the normal curve between 44.5 and 55.5. In contrast, Figure 4.29 illustrates the case where we wish to compute P(45 < X < 55). Here the endpoints are excluded. The exact probability is given by the total area of the rectangles of the binomial probability histogram corresponding to the integers 46 to 54. The best normal
approximation is found by computing the area under the normal curve between 45.5 and 54.5. FIGURE 4.28 Tocompute P(45 \le X \le 55), the areas of the rectangles corresponding to 45 and 55.5. FIGURE 4.29 To
compute P(45 < X < 55), the areas of the rectangles corresponding to 45 and to 55 should be excluded. To approximate this probability with the normal curve, compute the area under the curve between 45.5 and 54.5. In summary, to apply the continuity correction, determine precisely which rectangles of the discrete probability histogram you wish
to include, and then compute the area under the normal curve corresponding to those rectangles. Example 4.73 If a fair coin is tossed 100 times, use the normal curve to approximate the probability that the number of heads obtained.
Then X \sim Bin(100, 0.5). Substituting n = 100 and p = 0.5 into Equation (4.57), we obtain the normal approximation X \sim N(50, 25). Since the endpoints 45 and 55.5 are From the z table we find that the probability is 0.7286. See
Figure 4.30 (page 298). Page 298 FIGURE 4.30 Solution to Example 4.74 If a fair coin is tossed 100 times, use the number of heads obtained. As in Example 4.73, X ~
Bin(100, 0.5), and the normal approximation is X ~ N(50, 25). Since the endpoints 45 and 54.5 are From the z table we find that the probability is 0.6318. See Figure 4.31. FIGURE 4.31 Solution to Example 4.74. Example 4.75
In a certain large university, 25% of the students are over 21 years of age. In a sample of 400 students, what is the probability that more than 110 of them are over 21. Then X ~ Bin(400, 0.25). Substituting n = 400 and p = 0.25 into Equation (4.57), we obtain the normal approximation
X \sim N(100,75). Since we want to find the probability that the number of students is more Page 299 than 110, the value 110 is excluded. We therefore find P(X > 110.5) = 0.1131. See Figure 4.32. FIGURE 4.32 Solution to Example 4.75. Accuracy of the Continuity
Correction The continuity correction improves the accuracy of the normal approximation to the binomial distribution in most cases. For binomial distribution, the continuity correction can in some cases reduce the accuracy of
the normal approximation somewhat. This results from the fact that the normal approximation is not perfect; it fails to account for a small degree of skewness in these distributions. In summary, use of the continuity correction makes the normal approximation to the
Poisson Recall that if X \sim Poisson(\lambda), then X is approximately binomial with n large and p = \lambda. Recall also that \mu X = \lambda and . It follows from the Central Limit Theorem that X is also approximately normal, with mean and variance both equal to \lambda. Thus we
can use the normal distribution to approximate the Poisson. Summary If X \sim Poisson(\lambda), where \lambda > 10, then Page 300 (4.59) Continuity Correction can in principle be applied when using the normal approximation. For areas that include the central part of
the curve, the continuity correction generally improves the normal approximation, but for areas in the tails the continuity correction for the Poisson distribution. Example 4.76 The number of hits on a website follows a Poisson distribution, with a mean of 27 hits per
hour. Find the probability that there will be 90 or more hits in three hours. Solution Let X denote the number of hits in three hours. The mean number of hits in three hours is 81, so X ~ Poisson(81). Using the z table, we
find that P(X ≥ 90) = 0.1587. See Figure 4.33. FIGURE 4.33 Solution to Example 4.76. Exercises for Section 4.11 1. Bottles filled by a certain machine are supposed to contain 12 oz of liquid. In fact the fill volume is random with mean 12.01 oz and standard deviation 0.2 oz. a. What is the probability that the mean volume of a random sample of 144
bottles is less than 12 oz? b. 2. If the population mean fill volume is increased to 12.03 oz, what is the probability that the mean volume of a sample of size 144 will be less than 12 oz? A 500-page book contains 250 sheets of paper. The thickness of the paper used to manufacture the book has mean 0.08 mm and standard deviation 0.01 mm. a. What is
the probability that a randomly chosen book is more than 20.2 mm thick (not including the covers)? b. What is the 10th percentile of book thicknesses? c. Someone wants to know the probability? If so, compute the probability. If not,
explain why not. A commuter encounters four traffic lights each day on her way to work. Let X represent the number of these that are red lights. The probability that in a period of 100 days, the average number of red lights encountered is more than 2 per day? Among all monthly bills
from a certain credit card company, the mean amount billed was $485 and the standard deviation was $300. In addition, for 15% of the bills, the amount billed on the sample bills is greater than $500? b. 5. What is the probability that
more than 150 of the sample bills are for amounts greater than $1000? Bags checked for a certain airline flight have a mean weight of 5 kg. A random sample of 60 bags is drawn. a. What is the probability that the sample mean weight is less than 14 kg? b. Find the 70th percentile of the sample mean weights. c. 6.
How many bags must be sampled so that the probability is 0.01 that the sample mean weight is less than 14 kg? The amount of warpage in a type of wafer used in the manufacture of integrated circuits has mean 1.3 mm and standard deviation 0.1 mm. A random sample of 200 wafers is drawn. a. What is the probability that the sample mean warpage
exceeds 1.305 mm? b. Find the 25th percentile of the sample mean exceeds 1.305? The time spent by a customer at a checkout counter has mean 4 minutes and standard deviation 2 minutes. a. 8. What is the probability that the total time taken by a random
sample of 50 customers is less than 180 minutes? b. Find the 30th percentile of the total time taken by 50 customers. The time taken by an automobile mechanic to complete an oil change is random with mean 29.5 minutes? b. What is the
probability that a mechanic can complete 80 or more oil changes in 40 hours? c. The mechanic wants to reduce the mean time per oil changes can be completed in 40 hours. What does the mean time need to be? Assume the standard deviation remains 3 minutes. 9. The temperature of a solution
will be estimated by taking n independent readings and averaging them. Each reading is unbiased, with a standard deviation of \sigma = 0.5°C. How many readings must be taken so that the probability is 0.90 that the average is within ±0.1 °C of the actual temperature? 10. Among the adults in a large city, 30% have a college degree. A simple random
sample of 100 adults is chosen. What is the probability that more than 35 of them have a college degree? 11. In a process that manufactures bearings, 90% of the bearings meet a thickness specification. Assume that each shipment contains 500 bearings meet a thickness specification.
contains a random sample of bearings. a. What is the probability that a given shipment is acceptable? b. What is the probability that more than 285 out of 300 shipments are acceptable? c. What proportion of bearings must meet the specification in order that 99% of the shipments are acceptable? 12. Concrete blocks are produced in lots of 2000. Each
block has probability 0.85 of meeting a strength specification. The blocks are independent. a. What is the probability that, in a given lot, fewer than 1690 blocks meet the specification. C. In a group of six lots, what is the probability that fewer than 1690 blocks meet the
specification in three or more of them? Page 302 13. Radioactive mass A emits particles at a mean rate of 20 per minute, and radioactive mass B emits particles at a mean rate of 25 per minute time period? What is the probability that mass B
emits more particles than mass A in a two-minute time period? 14. The concentration of particles? b. Ten 2 mL samples are drawn. What is the probability that at least 9 of them contain more than 50 particles? c. One hundred 2 mL
samples are drawn. What is the probability that at least 90 of them contain more than 50 particles? 15. The concentration of particles withdrawn will be between 235 and 265? b. What is the probability that the averages are drawn. What is the probability that at least 90 of them contain more than 50 particles? 15. The concentration of particles in a suspension is 50 per mL. A 5 mL volume of the suspension is withdrawn will be between 235 and 265? b. What is the probability that the average are drawn.
number of particles per mL in the withdrawn sample is between 48 and 52? c. If a 10 mL sample is between 48 and 52? d. How large a sample must be withdrawn so that the average number of particles per mL in the sample is between 48 and 52? d. How large a sample must be withdrawn so that the average number of particles in the withdrawn, what is the probability that the average number of particles in the withdrawn sample is between 48 and 52? d. How large a sample must be withdrawn sample is between 48 and 52? d. How large a sample must be withdrawn sample is between 48 and 52? d. How large a sample must be withdrawn sample is between 48 and 52? d. How large a sample must be withdrawn sample is between 48 and 52? d. How large a sample must be withdrawn sample is between 48 and 52? d. How large a sample must be withdrawn sample is between 48 and 52? d. How large a sample must be withdrawn sample is between 48 and 52? d. How large a sample must be withdrawn sample is between 48 and 52? d. How large a sample must be withdrawn sample is between 48 and 52? d. How large a sample must be withdrawn sample is between 48 and 52? d. How large a sample must be withdrawn sample is between 48 and 52? d. How large a sample must be withdrawn sample is between 48 and 52? d. How large a sample must be withdrawn sample is between 48 and 52? d. How large a sample must be withdrawn sample must be 
52 with probability 95%? 16. A battery manufacturer claims that the lifetime of a certain type of battery has a population mean of 40 hours and a standard deviation of 5 hours. Let represent the mean lifetime of the batteries in a simple random sample of size 100. a. If the claim is true, what is ? b. Based on the answer to part (a), if the claim is true, is
a sample mean lifetime of 36.7 hours unusually short? c. If the sample mean lifetime of the 100 batteries were 36.7 hours, would you find the manufacturer's claim to be plausible? Explain. d. If the claim is true, what is e. Based on the answer to part (d), if the claim is true, is a sample mean lifetime of 39.8 hours unusually short? ? f. If the sample
mean lifetime of the 100 batteries were 39.8 hours, would you find the manufacturer's claim to be plausible? Explain. 17. A new process has been designed to make ceramic tiles. The goal is to have no more than 5% of the tiles be nonconforming due to surface defects. A random sample of 1000 tiles is inspected. Let X be the number of nonconforming due to surface defects.
tiles in the sample. a. If 5% of the tiles produced are nonconforming, what is P(X \ge 75)? b. Based on the answer to part (a), if 5% of the tiles are nonconforming, would it be plausible that the goal had been reached? Explain. d. If 5% of
requires two different machine operations. The time on machine 1 has mean 0.5 hours and standard deviation 0.4 hours. The time on machine 2 has mean 0.6 hours and standard deviation 0.4 hours. The time on machine 2 has mean 0.6 hours and standard deviation 0.5 hours. The time on machine 2 has mean 0.6 hours and standard deviation 0.7 hours. The time on machine 2 has mean 0.6 hours and standard deviation 0.7 hours. The time on machine 2 has mean 0.6 hours and standard deviation 0.7 hours. The time on machine 2 has mean 0.6 hours and standard deviation 0.7 hours. The time on machine 2 has mean 0.6 hours and standard deviation 0.7 hours. The time on machine 2 has mean 0.6 hours and standard deviation 0.7 hours. The time on machine 2 has mean 0.6 hours and standard deviation 0.7 hours. The time on machine 2 has mean 0.7 hours and standard deviation 0.7 hours. The time on machine 2 has mean 0.8 hours and standard deviation 0.8 hours. The time on machine 2 has mean 0.8 hours and standard deviation 0.8 hours. The time on machine 2 has mean 0.8 hours and standard deviation 0.8 hours. The time on machine 2 has mean 0.8 hours and standard deviation 0.8 hours and standard deviation 0.8 hours and standard deviation 0.8 hours are not only to the time of the time of the time 0.8 hours are not only to the time 0.8 hours and standard deviation 0.8 hours are not only to the time 0.8 hours and standard deviation 0.8 hours are not only to the time 0.8 hours are not only to the tim
machine 1 is greater than 55 hours? b. What is the probability that the total time used by machine 1 is greater than 115 hours? Page 303 What is the probability that the total time used by machine 2 is less than 55 hours? c. What is the probability that the total time used by machine 2 is less than 55 hours? b. What is the probability that the total time used by machine 2 is less than 55 hours? b. What is the probability that the total time used by machine 2? 19
Seventy percent of rivets from vendor A meet a certain strength specification, and 80% of rivets from vendor, what is the probability that more than 775 of the rivets meet the specifications? 20. Radiocarbon dating: Carbon-14 is a radioactive isotope of carbon that decays by
emitting a beta particle. In the earth's atmosphere, approximately one carbon atom in 1012 is carbon-14. Living organisms exchange carbon with the atmosphere, so this same ratio decreases exponentially with time. The rate at
which beta particles are emitted from a given mass of carbon is proportional to the carbon-14 ratio, so this rate decreases exponentially with time as well. By measuring the rate of beta emissions in a sample of tissue, the time since the death of the organism can be estimated. Specifically, it is known that t years after death, the number of beta
particle emissions occurring in any given time interval from 1 g of carbon follows a Poisson distribution with rate events per minute. The number of years t since the death of an organism can therefore be expressed in terms of λ: d. An archaeologist finds a small piece of charcoal from an ancient campsite. The charcoal contains 1 g of carbon. a.
 Unknown to the archaeologist, the charcoal is 11,000 years old. What is the true value of the emission rate \lambda? b. The archaeologist then plans to estimate \lambda with standard deviation of ? d. What value for would result in an
 age estimate of 10,000 years? e. What value for would result in an age estimate of 12,000 years? f. What is the mean and 4.12 Simulation When fraternal (nonidentical) twins are born, they may be both boys, both girls, or one of each. Assume that each twin is equally
likely to be a boy or a girl, and assume that the sexes of the twins are determined independently. What is the probability that both twins are boys? This probability that both twins are determined independently. What is the probability that both twins are boys? This probability that both twins are determined independently.
you could estimate this probability? You could do a scientific experiment, or study. You could obtain records of twin births from hospitals, and count the number in which both twins were boys would likely be close to 0.25, and you would have a good estimate of records, the proportion in which both twins were boys. If you obtained a large enough number of records, the proportion in which both twins were boys.
and success probability p = 0.5). Rather than go to the trouble of monitoring actual births, you could toss two coins a large number of times. The proportion of births in which both twins are boys. Estimating the probability that twins are both boys by
estimating the probability that two coins both land heads is an example of a simulation experiment. If the sides of the coin are labeled "0" and "1," then the toss of a coin is an example of a random number generator. A random number generator is a procedure that produces a value that has the same statistical properties as a random number generator.
from some specified distribution. The random number generated by the toss of a coin comes from a Bernoulli distribution with success probability p = 0.5. Nowadays, computers can generate thousands of random numbers in a fraction of a second, and virtually every statistical software package contains routines that will generate random samples
from a wide variety of distributions. When a scientific experiment is too costly, or physically difficult or impossible to perform, and when the probability distribution of the data that would be generated by the experiment is approximately known, computer-generated by the experiment is approximately known, computer from the appropriate distribution of the data that would be generated by the experiment is approximately known, computer from the approximately known from 
experimental data. Such computer-generated numbers are called simulated or synthetic data. Summary Simulation refers to the process of generated by an actual scientific experiment. The data so generated are called simulated or synthetic data. Simulation methods have many uses
including estimating probabilities, estimating probabilities, estimating means and variances, verifying an assumption of normality, and estimate a Probability Simulation is often used to estimate probabilities that are difficult to calculate directly. Here is an example. An
electrical engineer will connect two resistors, labeled 100 \Omega and 25 \Omega, in parallel. The actual resistances of the assembly is given by R = XY/(X + Y). Assume that X \sim N(100, 102) and Y \sim N(25, 2.52) and that the resistors
are chosen independently. Assume that the specification for the resistance of the assembly is 19 < R < 21. What is the probability with a simulation. The idea is to generate simulated data whose distribution is as close as possible to
the distribution of data that would be generated in an actual experiment. In an actual experiment we would take a sample of N resistors labeled 25 Ω, whose actual resistances were Y1, ..., YN. We would then construct N assemblies
with resistances. Page 305 The values R1, ..., RN would be a random sample from the population of the total resistance. The proportion of the sample values R1, ..., XN would be a random sample from N(100, 102) and Y1, ...
YN would be a random sample from N(25, 2.52). Therefore, in the simulated experiment, we will generate a random sample from N(20, 102) and, independently, a random sample from N(25, 2.52). We will then compute simulated experiment, we will generate a random number generator
can treat as if it were in fact a sample of actual resistances, even though it is really a sample of random numbers generated by a computer. The results from a simulation with sample size N = 100 are given in Table 4.2 (page 306). This is a smaller sample than one would use in practice. In practice, samples of 1000, 10,000, or more are commonly
25.55 22.54 23.79 25.99 23.04 27.05 23.70 25.99 23.04 27.05 23.70 18.55 24.65 25.92 26.61 26.18 23.63 28.81 28.43 29.45 23.78 23.04 26.63 21.57 23.25 22.77 24.95 25.77 24.95 25.77 24.95 25.87 19.30 18.99 20.17 17.44 20.90 20.58 20.16 18.85 20.75 18.49 20.76 19.17 17.49 19.29 19.20 19.17 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.20 19.2
18.93 19.97 20.03 To make the calculations more transparent, we arrange the 100 values of Ri* found in Table 4.2 in increasing order: 15.3715.48 15.58 16.66 16.94 17.1817.44 17.5417.68 17.91 18.9218.93 18.9918.99 19.01 19.0219.03 19.0619.11
19.1319.1419.20 19.2219.24 19.30 19.4719.52 19.5619.58 19.6019.6019.65 19.7119.77 19.81 19.8419.90 19.9119.95 19.9719.9820.03 20.1420.16 20.17 20.1720.49 20.5220.54 21.5221.54 21.5221.54 21.5821.7921.84 21.8721.93 21.93 22.0222.06
22.1122.13 22.3622.4223.19 23.4023.71 24.01 To estimate P(19 < R < 21) we determine that 48 values out of the sample of 100 are in this range. We therefore estimate P(19 < R < 21) = 0.48. We note that with a larger sample we might use computer software to make this count. Note the importance of the assumption that the resistance X of the
first resistor and the resistor and the resistor were independent. Because of this assumption, we could simulate the experiment by generating independent samples X* and Y*. If X and Y had been dependent, we would have had to generate X* and Y*. If X and Y had been dependent samples X* and Y*. If X and Y had been dependent samples X* and Y*. If X and Y had been dependent samples X* and Y*. If X and Y had been dependent samples X* and Y*. If X and Y had been dependent samples X* and Y*. If X and Y had been dependent samples X* and Y*. If X and Y had been dependent samples X* and Y*. If X and Y had been dependent samples X* and Y*. If X and Y had been dependent samples X* and Y*. If X and Y had been dependent samples X* and Y*. If X and Y had been dependent samples X* and Y*. If X and Y had been dependent samples X* and Y*. If X and Y had been dependent samples X* and Y*. If X and Y had been dependent samples X* and Y*. If X and Y had been dependent samples X* and Y*. If X and Y had been dependent samples X* and Y*. If X and Y had been dependent samples X* and Y*. If X and Y had been dependent samples X* and Y*. If X and Y had been dependent samples X* and Y*. If X and Y had been dependent samples X* and Y*. If X and Y had been dependent samples X* and Y*. If X and Y had been dependent samples X* and Y*. If X and Y had been dependent samples X* and Y*. If X and Y had been dependent samples X* and Y*. If X and Y had been dependent samples X* and Y*. If X and Y had been dependent samples X* and Y*. If X and Y had been dependent samples X* and Y* and Y* and Y*. If X and Y had been dependent samples X* and Y* and Y*. If X and Y had been dependent samples X* and Y* and
2.6.) Fortunately, many real problems involve independent samples. We now present another example of a probability estimated with a simulation. Page 307 Example 4.77 An engineer has to choose between two types of cooling fans to install in a computer. The lifetimes, in months, of fans of type A are exponentially distributed with mean 50 months,
and the lifetimes of fans of type B are exponentially distributed with mean 30 months. Since type A fans are more expensive, the engineer decides that she will choose type B fan is greater than 0.5. Estimate this probability. Solution Let A represent the lifetime, in
months, of a randomly chosen type A fan, and let B represent the lifetime, in months, of a random sample from an exponential distribution with mean 50 (λ = 0.02) and a random sample from an exponential
distribution with mean 30 (\lambda = 0.033). We then count the number of times that . Table 4.3 presents the first 10 values, and the last value. The column labeled "A* > 2B*" contains a "1" if and a "0" if . TABLE 4.3 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 : 1000 Simulated data for Example 4.77 A* 25.554 66.711 61.189 9.153 98.794 14.577 65.126 13.205 20.535 62.278
19.705 Among the first 10 pairs B^* 12.083 11.384 15.191 119.150 45.258 139.149 9.877 12.106 21.613 13.289 \vdots 12.873 , there are 6 for which A^* > 2B^* 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 \vdots 0 . Therefore, if we were to base our results on the first 10 values, we would estimate P(A > 2B) = 6/10 = 0.6. Of course, 10 simulated pairs are not nearly enough to compute a compute P(A > 2B) = 6/10 = 0.6. Of course, 10 simulated pairs are not nearly enough to compute P(A > 2B) = 0.6.
reliable estimate. Among the 1000 simulated pairs, there were 460 for which. We therefore estimate P(A > 2B) = 0.460. The engineer chooses type B. We note that this probability can be computed exactly with a multiple integral. The exact probability is 5/11 = 0.4545. The simulation approximation is guite good. A properly simulated sample from a
given probability distribution is in fact a simple random sample from that distribution. Therefore the mean and variance of the distribution, and a probability plot may be used to determine whether the probability distribution is well approximated by a standard density function,
such as the normal curve. We now present some example 4.78 the simulated values in Table 4.2 to estimate the mean μR and standard deviation σR of the total resistance R. Solution
We may treat the values as if they were a random sample of actual resistances. Therefore we estimate μR with the sample mean deviation of and are, respectively. Using Simulation to Determine Whether a Population Is
Approximately Normal One of the most frequently arising issues in data analysis is whether a population, this issue can be addressed. Example 4.79 Construct a histogram of the simulated values of R* presented in Table 4.2. Construct a normal probability
plot to determine whether the density of the total resistance R is approximately normal. Solution The histogram and probability plot are shown in the following figure. The histogram is approximately symmetric and has one mode. This is consistent with normality, Page 309 The normal probability plot suggests a slight departure from normality,
especially in the tails. It is fair to say that the distribution appears to be approximately normal. In practice, a sample size of 1000 or more would provide a more precise histogram. A sample of 100 is adequate for the probability plot, although it is no more trouble to generate a larger sample. Example 4.80 shows how simulation can be used to
determine whether a sample size is large enough for the Central Limit Theorem to hold, if the distribution from Which the sample is drawn is known. Example 4.80 The article "Dermal Absorption from Pesticide Residues" (M. Reddy and A. Bunge, The Practical Applicability of Toxicokinetic Models in the Risk Assessment of Chemicals, 2002:55-79)
models the amount of pesticide absorbed into the system as a lognormal random variable whose mean is proportional to the dose. Assume that for a certain dose, the amount absorbed in which this dose will be applied in each of five independent trials, and
the amount absorbed will be determined each time. Will the average amount absorbed be approximately normally distributed. We will answer this
question by generating 1000 simulated random samples of size 5 from this lognormal distribution, computing the sample means. Table 4.4 constitute a simple
random sample from a lognormal distribution with parameters \mu=1 and \sigma=0.5. The sixth column are therefore a random sample mean and one therefore a random sample mean are therefore a random sample mean. By constructing a normal probability plot of these values, we can determine whether the sample mean are therefore a random sample of sample means.
TABLE 4.4 1 2 3 : 998 999 1000 2.3220 3.3379 2.9338 : 4.7993 3.7929 3.7680 Simulated data for Example 4.80 1.5087 2.8557 3.0364 : 3.7609 2.9527 4.5899 1.2144 1.0023 3.1488 : 1.5751 6.3663 2.8609 2.5092 3.8088 2.0380 : 3.6382 1.8057 2.1659 3.3408 2.3320 4.7030 : 2.0254 10.4450 5.0658 2.1790 2.6673 3.1720 : 3.1598 5.0725
3.6901 Following is a histogram and a normal probability plot of the 1000 values of . Page 310 The histogram shows that the distribution is skewed to the right. The probability plot confirms that the distribution is skewed to the right.
The lifetime of the system is therefore also random. Reliability engineers often know, at least approximately, the probability distribution of the system. In practice, it can be very difficult to calculate the distribution of the system lifetimes of the components and wish to determine the probability distributions of the lifetimes of the components and wish to determine the probability distribution of the system.
of the component lifetimes. However, if the lifetimes of the components are independent, it can often be done easily with simulation. Following is an example 4.81 A system consists of components A and B connected in parallel as shown in the following is an example.
the lifetime in months of component B is distributed Exp(0.5). The system function until both A and B fail. Estimate the mean lifetimes of the system functions for less than 1 month, and the 10th percentile of the system functions for less than 1 month, and the 10th percentile of the system functions for less than 1 month, and the 10th percentile of the system functions for less than 1 month, and the 10th percentile of the system functions for less than 1 month, and the 10th percentile of the system functions for less than 1 month, and the 10th percentile of the system functions for less than 1 month, and the 10th percentile of the system functions for less than 1 month, and the 10th percentile of the system functions for less than 1 month, and the 10th percentile of the system functions for less than 1 month, and the 10th percentile of the system functions for less than 1 month, and the 10th percentile of the system functions for less than 1 month, and the 10th percentile of the system functions for less than 1 month, and the 10th percentile of the system functions for less than 1 month, and the 10th percentile of the system functions for less than 1 month, and the 10th percentile of the system functions for less than 1 month, and the 10th percentile of the system functions for less than 1 month, and the 10th percentile of the system functions for less than 1 month, and the 10th percentile of the 10th
distribution. Then we generate a sample of simulated lifetime for component B is 1/0.5 = 2 months. The lifetime for component B is 1/0.5 = 2 months. The lifetime for component B is 1/0.5 = 2 months. The lifetime for component B is 1/0.5 = 2 months.
sample. TABLE 4.5 1 2 3 4 Simulated data for Example 4.81 A* 0.0245 0.3623 0.8858 0.1106 B* 0.5747 0.3998 1.7028 14.2252 L* 0.5747 0.3998 14.2252 L* 0.574
0.9120 3.3471 2.5475 0.8383 : 1.8799 is 2.724. Five of them are less than 1. The 10th percentile of these 10 values is (0.3998 + 0.4665)/2 = 0.43315. So if we were to base our estimates on the first 10 samples, we would estimate the mean system lifetime to be 2.724 months, the probability that the system fails within a month to be 0.5, and the 10th
percentile of system lifetimes to be 0.43315. Of course, 10 samples is not nearly enough for a reliable estimate of the mean lifetime was 0.278, and the 10th percentile was 0.516 months. Using Simulation to Estimate Bias Simulation can
be used to estimate bias. Example 4.82 shows how. Exam
deviation of s as well. Solution We will generate 1000 random samples of size 6 from N(0, 1), and for each one compute the sample standard deviation first 10 samples and for the last sample 4.82 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 : 1000 -0.4326 -1.6656 0.1253 -1.7580
1.6867\ 1.3626\ -2.2424\ 1.3765\ -1.8045\ 0.3165\ ;\ 0.3274\ \text{The values}\ 0.7160\ 1.5986\ -2.0647\ 0.1575\ 0.3784\ 0.7469\ -0.5719\ -0.4187\ 0.5361\ 0.6007\ ;\ 0.1787\ -0.6028\ -0.934\ 1.1889\ -0.8496\ 0.3809\ -2.1102\ -1.9659\ -0.5014\ -0.9121\ -0.5363\ ;\ 0.2006\ 0.8304\ -0.0938\ -0.4598\ 0.3291\ 0.4870\ 2.6734\ 0.1269\ 1.9869\ 1.4059\ -0.2300\ ;\ -1.1602\ -0.2300\ ;\ -1.1602\ -0.2300\ ;\ -1.2006\ 0.8304\ -0.0938\ -0.4598\ 0.3291\ 0.4870\ 2.6734\ 0.1269\ 1.9869\ 1.4059\ -0.2300\ ;\ -1.1602\ -0.2300\ ;\ -1.2006\ 0.8304\ -0.9121\ -0.5363\ ;\ 0.2006\ 0.8304\ -0.0938\ -0.4598\ 0.3291\ 0.4870\ 2.6734\ 0.1269\ 1.9869\ 1.4059\ -0.2300\ ;\ -1.1602\ -0.2006\ 0.8304\ -0.9121\ -0.5363\ ;\ 0.2006\ 0.8304\ -0.0938\ -0.4598\ 0.3291\ 0.4870\ 2.6734\ 0.1269\ 1.4059\ -0.2300\ ;\ -1.1602\ -0.2006\ 0.8304\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\ -0.9121\
 -0.1342\ 0.2873\ 0.3694\ -1.5780\ 0.9454\ -0.5311\ -0.2642\ -0.0532\ -1.2156\ 0.2626\ \div\ 1.1020\ -0.3560\ -1.8924\ 0.4906\ -1.1100\ -0.4602\ 1.1111\ 1.6629\ 1.0955\ 1.1228\ 1.2085\ 0.4092\ \div\ 0.7328 are a random sample from the population of all possible values of s that can
be calculated from a normal sample of size 6. The sample mean is therefore an estimate of the population mean \mus. Now the true standard deviation of the distribution from which the simulated data were generated is \sigma = 1, so the bias in s is \mus – 1. We estimate the bias with . Page 312 The sample mean of the first 10 values of is 1.0139. Therefore if
we were to base our results on the first 10 values, we would estimate the bias to be 1.0139 - 1 = 0.0139. The sample standard deviation of the 1000 values is 0.3156. This is the estimate of \sigmas.
The Bootstrap In the examples discussed so far in this section, the distribution must be determined from data are called bootstrap methods. To illustrate, we present a
variation on Example 4.82 in which the distribution sample from a normal distribution whose mean and variance are unknown. The sample standard deviation is s = 1.7137. The value of s will
be used to estimate the unknown population \sigma. Estimate the bias in s. Solution If we knew the population mean \mu and standard deviation \sigma of the normal distribution. Since we don't know these values, we will estimate
them with the sample values and s = 1.7137. We will proceed exactly as in Example 4.82, except that we will sample from a N(4.7067, 1.71372) distribution. Since this distribution was determined from the data, this is a bootstrap method. Page 313 We will generate 1000 random samples of size 6 from N(4.7067, 1.71372), and for each one compute
the sample standard deviation . Table 4.7 presents the results for the first 10 samples and for the last sample. TABLE 4.7 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 : 1000 The values 2.3995 2.6197 3.0114 3.9375 5.8829 7.8915 4.2737 5.8602 5.7813 3.3690 : 2.0496 Simulated data for Example 4.83 4.8961 4.3102 5.2492 5.2217 5.3084 3.9731 5.5189 5.3280 4.9364
1.8618 \stackrel{?}{:} 6.3385 \ 3.6221 \ 3.2350 \ 7.6990 \ 1.9737 \ 4.6003 \ 5.1229 \ 2.3314 \ 5.5860 \ 2.5893 \ 2.7627 \stackrel{?}{:} 6.2414 \ 6.9787 \ 6.2619 \ 6.0439 \ 4.5434 \ 2.6439 \ 5.1512 \ 6.8256 \ 3.7525 \ 5.7752 \ 7.5063 \ 0.9065 \ 3.9863 \stackrel{?}{:} 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.5903 \ 3.7505 \ 3.8632 \ 2.3055 \ 3.3330 \ 4.0205 \ 3.9393 \ 3.8372 \ 6.0382 \ 2.3055 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3.7213 \ 4.5367 \ 3
8.4576 s* 1.5157 1.2663 1.7652 1.1415 1.6054 1.6884 1.2705 1.2400 1.7260 1.4110 : 2.2364 are a random sample from the population of all possible values of s that can be calculated from a normal sample of size 6. The sample mean is therefore an estimate of the population mean µs. Now the standard deviation of the population from which the
simulated data were generated is \sigma^* = 1.7137. We estimate the bias with . The sample mean of the first 10 values or is 1.4630 - 1.7137 = -0.2507. Of course, 10 values are not enough to construct a reliable estimate. The sample mean of all the
1000 values of is 1.6188. We estimate the bias to be 1.6188 - 1.7137 = -0.0949. Bootstrap results can sometimes be used to adjust estimates to make them more accurate. Example 4.84 In Example 4.83, a sample of size 6 was taken from an N(\mu, \sigma2) population. The
sample standard deviation s = 1.7137 is an estimate of the unknown population standard deviation \sigma. Use the results of the bootstrap in Example 4.83 to reduce the bias in this estimate of the unknown population will be less
than the true standard Page 314 deviation \sigma by about -0.0949. We therefore adjust for the bias-corrected estimate of the population standard deviation is 1.7137 + 0.0949 = 1.81. Parametric and Nonparametric Bootstrap In Example 4.83, we knew that the sample came from a normal distribution, but we
didn't know the mean and variance. We therefore used the data are used to estimate parameters. What if we hadn't known that the distribution was normal? Then we would have used the nonparametric bootstrap, because the data are used to estimate parameters. What if we hadn't known that the distribution was normal? Then we would have used the nonparametric bootstrap, because the data are used to estimate parameters.
we simulate by sampling from the data itself. The nonparametric bootstrap is useful in constructing confidence intervals and in performing hypothesis tests. We will briefly describe the nonparametric bootstrap, and then present some applications in Sections 5.9 and 6.15. If we had a sample X1, ..., Xn from an unknown distribution, we would simulate
samples X1i*, ..., Xni*. as follows. Imagine placing the values X1, ..., Xn in a box, and drawing out one value at random. Then replace the value and draw again. The second draw is also a draw from the sample: X*11, ..., Xn. Eontinue until n draws have been made. This is the first simulated sample, called a bootstrap sample: X*11, ..., Xn1*. Note that
since the sampling is done with replacement, the bootstrap sample will probably contain some of the original sample items more than once, and others not at all. Now draw more bootstrap samples; as many as one would draw in any simulation, perhaps 1000 or more. Then proceed just as in any other simulation. For more information about the
```

```
bootstrap and other simulation procedures, Efron and Tibshirani (1993) is an excellent source of information to approximate the mean and standard deviation of a function of error.
Section 3.4, can be used for this purpose as well (see Example 3.20). Of course, simulation can do many things that propagation of random variables is normally distributed. But if what is needed is to estimate the standard deviation of a function of random
variables, it is natural to ask whether simulation or propagation of error is the better technique. The answer is that each method has advantages and disadvantages and let U = U(X1, ..., Xn) be a function. We wish to estimate \(\sigmu U\). The first thing that
needs to be said is that in many cases, both methods work well and give similar results, so it is just a matter of convenience which is used. Simulation of error does. Propagation of error has two big advantages, however. First, it is
not necessary to know the distributions of X1, ..., Xn, as it is for simulation. Second, propagation of error can Page 315 pinpoint which of the Xs contributes most to the uncertainty in U, which simulation cannot easily do. Exercises for Section 4.12 1. Vendor A supplies parts, each of which has probability 0.03 of being defective. Vendor B also supplies
parts, each of which has probability 0.05 of being defective. You receive a shipment from vendor A and let Y be the number of defective parts in the shipment from vendor B. What are the distributions of X and Y? b. Generate simulated samples of size 1000 from
the distributions of X and Y. c. Use the samples to estimate the probability that the total number of defective parts is less than 10. d. Use the samples to estimate the probability plot for the total number of defective
parts. Is this quantity approximately normally distributed? There are two competing designs for a certain semiconductor circuit. The lifetime of the second is lognormally distributed with \mu=6 and \sigma=5.4. a. Use a simulated sample of size 1000 to estimate the
probability that a circuit with the first design lasts more than one with the second design. b. 3. Estimate the probability that a circuit with the first design lasts more than twice as long as one with the second design. B. 3. Estimate the probability that a circuit with the first design lasts more than twice as long as one with the second design. B. 3. Estimate the probability that a circuit with the first design lasts more than twice as long as one with the second design. B. 3. Estimate the probability that a circuit with the first design lasts more than twice as long as one with the second design. B. 3. Estimate the probability that a circuit with the first design lasts more than twice as long as one with the second design. B. 3. Estimate the probability that a circuit with the first design lasts more than twice as long as one with the second design. B. 3. Estimate the probability that a circuit with the first design lasts more than twice as long as one with the second design. B. 3. Estimate the probability that a circuit with the first design lasts more than twice as long as one with the second design. B. 3. Estimate the probability that a circuit with the first design lasts more than twice as long as one with the second design. B. 3. Estimate the probability that a circuit with the first design lasts more than twice as long as one with the second design. B. 3. Estimate the probability that a circuit with the first design lasts more than the first design last more than the first design last more than the first design lasts more than the first design last more than the first design last
 the lengths and widths are independent. The area of a plate is given by A = XY. a. Use a simulated sample of size 1000 to estimate the mean and variance of A. b. Estimate the probability that P(5.9 < A < 6.1). c. 4. Construct a normal probability plot for the areas. Is the area of a plate approximately normally distributed? A cable is made up of four
wires. The breaking strength of each wire is a normally distributed random variable with mean 10 kN and standard deviation 1 kN. The strength of the weakest wire multiplied by the number of wires. a. Use simulated samples of size 1000 to estimate the mean strength of this
type of cable. b. Estimate the median cable strength. c. Estimate the standard deviation of the cable breaks under a load of 28 kN must be less than 0.01. Does the cable appear to be acceptable? Explain. The lifetime of a laser (in hours) is lognormally distributed
with \mu = 8 and \sigma = 2.4. Two such lasers are operating independently. a. Use a simulated sample of size 1000 to estimate the probability that both lasers fail before 10,000 hours. b. Estimate the probability that the sum of the two lifetimes is greater than 20,000 hours. b. Estimate the probability that both lasers fail before 10,000 hours. b.
the value of π. The following figure suggests how to estimate the value of π with a simulation. In the figure, a circle with area equal to π/4 is inscribed in a square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in a square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in a square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in a square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in a square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in a square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in a square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in a square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in a square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in a square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in a square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in a square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in a square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in a square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in a square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in a square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in a square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in a square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in a square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in a square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in a square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in α square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in α square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in α square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in α square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in α square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in α square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in α square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in α square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in α square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in α square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in α square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in α square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in α square whose area is equal to π/4 is inscribed in α square whose α square whos
square that is taken up by the circle, which is \pi/4. We can therefore estimate the value of \pi/4 by counting the number of points, which is 79, and dividing by the total number of points, which is 79, and dividing by the total number of points inside the circle, which is 79, and dividing by the total number of points inside the circle, which is 79, and dividing by the total number of points inside the circle, which is 79, and dividing by the total number of points inside the circle, which is 79, and dividing by the total number of points inside the circle, which is 79, and dividing by the circle, which is 79, and dividing by the total number of points inside the circle, which is 79, and dividing by the circle, which is 79, and 200, and 200,
designed to estimate the value of π by generating 1000 points in the unit square. Page 316 a. Generate 1000 x coordinates value 0 and maximum value 1. c. Each point () is inside the circle if its distance from the center (0.5, 0.5) is less
than 0.5. For each pair ( ), determine whether its distance, and determining whether it is less than 0.5. This can be done by computing the value, which is the squared distance, and determining whether it is less than 0.25. Use the uniform distribution with minimum How many of the points are inside the circle? What is your estimate of o?(Note: With only 1000 is the point of the p
points, it is not unlikely for your estimate to be off by as much as 0.05 or more. A simulation with 10,000 or 100,000 points is much more likely to provide an estimate that is very close to the true value.) Application to mobile computer as a random path within a rectangle.
That is, two points are chosen at random within the rectangle, and the computer moves on a straight line from the first point to the second. In the study of mobile computer moves on a straight line from the first point to the second. In the study of mobile computer moves on a straight line from the first point to the second. In the study of mobile computer moves on a straight line from the first point to the second. In the study of mobile computer moves on a straight line from the first point to the second. In the study of mobile computer moves on a straight line from the first point to the second. In the study of mobile computer moves on a straight line from the first point to the second. In the study of mobile computer moves on a straight line from the first point to the second. In the study of mobile computer moves on a straight line from the first point to the second. In the study of mobile computer moves on a straight line from the first point to the second. In the study of mobile computer moves on a straight line from the first point to the second. In the study of mobile computer moves on a straight line from the first point to the second. In the study of mobile computer moves on a straight line from the first point to the second. In the study of mobile computer moves on a straight line from the first point to the second moves of the seco
Mobile Computing, 2004:99-108). It is very difficult to compute this mean directly, but it is easy to estimate it with a simulation. If the endpoints (), and () for many paths, computing the length of each, and taking the
mean. This exercise presents a simulation experiment that is designed to estimate the mean distance between two points randomly chosen within a square of side 1. d. 7. a. Generate 1000 sets of endpoints (, and (. Use the uniform distribution with minimum value 1 for each coordinate of each point. The uniform distribution
generates values that are equally likely to come from any part of the interval (0, 1). 8. 9. b. Compute the sample mean, to six significant digits, is d. Estimate the probability that a path is more than 1 unit long. Refer to Example 4.81 (page 310). In order
to increase the lifetime of the system, the engineers have a choice between replacing component A with one whose lifetime is distributed Exp(1/3). a. Generate, by simulation, a large number (at least 1000) of system lifetimes under the assumption that component A is replaced.
b. Generate, by simulation, a large number (at least 1000) of system lifetime, which is the better choice? Explain. d. If the goal is to minimize the probability that the system fails within a month, which is the better choice? Explain. e. If the goal
is to maximize the 10th percentile of the system lifetimes, which is the better choice? Explain. Page 317 A system consists of component A is log-normally distributed with \mu = land \sigma = 0.5, and the lifetime in months of component B is
 lognormally distributed with \mu = 2 and \sigma = 1. The system will function only so long as A and B both function, a. Generate, by simulation, a large number (at least 1000) of system lifetimes, b. Estimate the probability that the system fails within 2 months, d. Estimate the 20th percentile of system lifetimes, e.
Construct a normal probability plot of system lifetimes. Is the system lifetimes. Is the system lifetimes. Is it skewed to the left, skewed to the right, or approximately symmetric? 10. A system consists of two subsystems connected in series, as shown in the following schematic illustration. Each
subsystem consists of two components connected in parallel. The AB subsystem fails when both A and B have failed. The components, in months, have the following distributions: A: Exp(1), B: Exp(0.1), C:
Exp(0.2), D: Exp(0.2). a. Generate, by simulation, a large number (at least 1000) of system lifetimes. b. Estimate the median system lifetimes. b. Estimate the probability that the AB
subsystem fails before the CD subsystem does. 11. (Continues Exercise 20 in Section 4.11.) The age of an ancient piece of organic matter can be estimated from the rate at which it emits beta particles as a result of carbon-14 decay. For example, if X is the number of particles emitted in 10 minutes by a 10,000-year-old bone fragment that contains 1 carbon-14 decay.
of carbon, then X has a Poisson distribution with mean \lambda = 45.62. An archaeologist has found a small bone fragment that contains exactly 1 g of carbon. If t is the unknown age of the bone, in years, the archaeologist will count the number X of particles emitted in 10 minutes and compute an estimated age with the formula Unknown to the
archaeologist, the bone is exactly 10,000 years old, so X has a Poisson distribution with \lambda = 45.62. a. Generate a simulated sample of 1000 values of X, and their corresponding values of X.
Estimate the probability that 10,000 years will be more than 2000 years from the actual age of f. Construct a normal distribution, for the purpose of estimating the population mean μ. Since μ is the median as well as the mean, it seems that
both the sample median m and the sample median m and the sample mean are reasonable estimators. This exercise is designed to determine which of these estimators has the sample means for the
1000 samples. and the standard deviation sm* of for the 1000 samples. . e. Compute the mean and standard deviation of Page 318 f. The true value of μ is 0. Estimate the bias and uncertainty (μm) in m. (Note: In fact, the median is unbiased, so your bias estimate
close to 0? Explain why it should be. Is your uncertainty estimate close to ? Explain why it should be. Is unknown. The sample of size 8 is taken from a Exp(\lambda) distribution, where \lambda is unknown. The sample values are 2.74, 6.41, 4.96, 1.65, 6.38, 0.19, 0.52, and 8.38. This exercise shows how to use the bootstrap to estimate the bias and uncertainty () in . a.
Compute for the given sample. b. Generate 1000 bootstrap samples of size 8 from an c. Compute the values d. Compute the sample mean e. Estimate the bias and uncertainty () in . distribution. for each of the 1000 bootstrap samples and the sample standard deviation of . Supplementary Exercises for Chapter 4 1. 2. An airplane has 100 seats for
passengers. Assume that the probability that a person holding a ticket appears for the flight is 0.90. If the airline sells 105 tickets, what is the probability that everyone who appears for the flight will get a seat? The number of large cracks in a length of pavement along a certain street has a Poisson distribution with a mean of 1 crack per 100 m. a.
 What is the probability that there will be exactly 8 cracks in a 500 m length of pavement? b. What is the probability that there will be no cracks in a 100 m length of pavement? c. Let T be the distance between two
successive cracks will be more than 50 m? Pea plants contain one of each type of gene are called heterozygous. According to the Mendelian theory of genetics, if two heterozygous plants are crossed, each of their offspring will have
probability 0.75 of having yellow seeds and probability 0.25 of having green seeds? c. Out of 10 offspring of heterozygous plants, what is the probability that more than 2 have green seeds? c. Out of 10 offspring of heterozygous plants, what is the
probability that more than 30 have green seeds? d. Out of 100 offspring of heterozygous plants, what is the probability that fewer than 80 have yellow seeds? A simple random sample X1, ..., Xn is drawn from a population
and the quantities ln X1, ..., ln Xn are plotted on a normal probability plot. The points approximately lognormal. b. X1, ..., Xn come from a population that is approximately lognormal. b. X1, ..., Xn come from a population that is approximately lognormal. b. X1, ..., Xn come from a population that is approximately lognormal. b. X1, ..., Xn come from a population that is approximately lognormal. b. X1, ..., Xn come from a population that is approximately lognormal. b. X1, ..., Xn come from a population that is approximately lognormal. b. X1, ..., Xn come from a population that is approximately lognormal. b. X1, ..., Xn come from a population that is approximately lognormal. b. X1, ..., Xn come from a population that is approximately lognormal. b. X1, ..., Xn come from a population that is approximately lognormal. b. X1, ..., Xn come from a population that is approximately lognormal. b. X1, ..., Xn come from a population that is approximately lognormal. b. X1, ..., Xn come from a population that is approximately lognormal. b. X1, ..., Xn come from a population that is approximately lognormal. b. X1, ..., Xn come from a population that is approximately lognormal. b. X1, ..., Xn come from a population that is approximately lognormal. b. X1, ..., Xn come from a population that is approximately lognormal. b. X1, ..., Xn come from a population that is approximately lognormal. b. X1, ..., Xn come from a population that is approximately lognormal. b. X1, ..., Xn come from a population that is approximately lognormal. b. X1, ..., Xn come from a population that is approximately lognormal. b. X1, ..., Xn come from a population that is approximately lognormal. b. X1, ..., Xn come from a population that is approximately lognormal. b. X1, ..., Xn come from a population that is approximately lognormal. b. X1, ..., Xn come from a population that is approximately lognormal. b. X1, ..., Xn come from a population that is approximately lognormal. b. X1, ..., Xn come from a population that is approximately lognormal. b. X1, ..., Xn
In X1, ..., ln Xn come from a population that is approximately normal. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has contracted with your company for equipment to monitor water district. A total of 10 devices will be used. Assume that each device has a probability of 0.01 of failure during the course of the
monitoring period. a. What is the probability that none of the devices fail? b. What is the probability that none of the devices fail? Page 319 c. 6. 7. If the EPA requires the probability that none of the devices fail? b. What is the probability that none of the devices fail? Description of Ammonium in the article "Occurrence and Distribution of Ammonium in the a
Iowa Groundwater" (K. Schilling, Water Environment Research, 2002:177-186), ammonium concentrations (in mg/L) were measured at a large number of wells in the state of Iowa. The mean concentration was 0.71, the median was 0.71, the median was 0.71 are approximately
normally distributed? If so, say whether they are normally distributed, and explain how you know. If not, describe the additional information you would need to determine whether they are normally distributed. Medication used to treat a certain condition is administered by syringe. The target dose in a particular application is µ. Because of the
variations in the syringe, in reading the scale, and in mixing the fluid suspension, the actual dose administered is normally distributed with mean \mu and variance \sigma2. a. What is the probability that the dose administered differs from the mean \mu and variance \sigma2. a. What is the probability that the dose administered differs from the mean \mu and variance \sigma3. If X represents the dose administered, find the value of z so that P(X < \mu + z\sigma) = 0.90. If
the mean dose is 10 mg, the variance is 2.6 mg2, and a clinical overdose? A certain type of plywood consists of five layers. The thicknesses of the layers are c. 8. independent and normally distributed with mean 5 mm and standard deviation 0.2 mm. 9.
a. Find the mean thickness of the plywood, b. Find the probability that the plywood is less than 24 mm thick. The intake valve clearances on new engines of a certain type are normally distributed with mean 200 µm and standard deviation 10 µm, a. What is the probability that the
clearance is greater than 215µm? b. What is the probability that the clearance greater than 215 µm? 10. The stiffness of a certain type of steel beam used in building construction has mean 30 kN/mm and standard deviation
2 kN/mm. a. Is it possible to compute the probability that the stiffness of a randomly chosen beam is greater than 30.2 kN/mm? If so, compute the probability that the sample mean stiffness of the beams is greater than 30.2 kN/mm? If so, compute the
probability. If not, explain why not. 11. In a certain process, the probability of producing a defective component is 0.07. a. In a sample of 250 randomly chosen components, what is the probability that one or more of them is defective? c
 To what value must the probability of a defective component be reduced so that only 1% of lots of 250 components contain 20 or more that are defective? 12. A process that polishes a mirrored surface leaves an average of 2 small flaws per 5 m2 of surface. The number of flaws on an area of surface follows a Poisson distribution. a. What is the
probability that a surface with area 3 m × 5 m will contain more than 5 flaws? b. What is the probability that a surface with area 2 m × 3 m will contain more than 350 flaws in total? 13. Yeast cells are suspended in a liquid medium. A 2 mL sample of the
 suspension is withdrawn. A total of 56 yeast cells are counted. a. b. Estimate the concentration of yeast cells per mL? Page 320 14. A plate is attached to its base by 10 bolts. Each bolt is inspected before installation, and
the probability of passing the inspection is 0.9. Only bolts that are inspection are installed. Let X denote the number of bolts that are inspected in order to attach one plate. a. Find \sigma X. 15. Thicknesses of shims are normally distributed with mean 1.5 mm and standard deviation 0.2 mm. Three shims are stacked, one
atop another. a. Find the probability that the stack is more than 5 mm thick is at least 0.99? 16. The lifetime of a microprocessor is exponentially distributed with mean 3000 hours. a.
What proportion of microprocessors will fail within 300 hours? c. A new microprocessors will function for more than 6000 hours? c. A new microprocessors will function independently. What is the probability that the new one fails before the
old one? 17. The lifetime of a bearing (in years) follows the Weibull distribution with parameters \alpha = 1.5 and \beta = 0.8. a. What is the probability that a bearing lasts more than 1 years? b. What is the probability that a bearing lasts more than 2 years? 18. The length of time to perform an oil change at a certain shop is normally distributed with mean 29.5
minutes and standard deviation 3 minutes. What is the probability that a mechanic can complete 16 oil changes in an eight-hour day? 19. A cereal manufacturer claims that the gross weight (including packaging) of a box of cereal labeled as weightness and weight them
all together. Let S denote the total weight of the 75 boxes of cereal. a. If the claim is true, what is P(S \le 914.8)? b. Based on the answer to part (a), if the total weight of the boxes were 914.8 oz, would you be convinced that the claim was false? Explain. d. If the
claim is true, what is P(S \le 910.3)? e. Based on the answer to part (d), if the claim is true, is 910.3 oz, would you be convinced that the claim was false? Explain. 20. Someone claims that the number of hits on his website has a Poisson distribution
with mean 20 per hour. Let X be the number of hits in five hours. a. If the claim is true, what is P(X \le 95)? b. Based on the answer to part (a), if the claim is true, is 95 hits in a five-hour time period, would this be convincing evidence that the claim is false? Explain. d. If
the claim is true, what is P(X \le 65)? e. Based on the answer to part (d), if the claim is false? Explain. 21. A distribution sometimes used to model the largest item in a sample is then
extreme value distribution. This distribution for modeling highly skewed populations is the Pareto distribution with parameters \theta and r. The
probability density function is The parameters \theta and r may be any positive numbers. Let X be a random variable with this distribution function of X. b. Assume r > 1. Find d. Show that if r \le 1, \muX does not exist. . e. Show that if r \le 2, does not exist. . 2. A distribution that has been used to
model tolerance levels in bioassays is the logistic distribution with parameter \alpha may be any positive number. Let X be a random variable with this distribution. a. Find the probability density function fX(x). b. Show that
fX(x) is symmetric around \alpha, that is, c. Explain why the symmetry described in part (b) shows that \mu X = \alpha. You may assume that \alpha X = \alpha.
 with probability 1-p. Let X be the time at which the first particle is emitted. It can be shown that X has a mixed exponential distribution function of X. c. Let \lambda 1=2, \lambda 2=1, and p=0.5. Find P(X \le 2). Let X = 1, and P = 0.5. Given that P(X \le 2), find the
probability that mass 1 was selected. 25. Let X \sim Geom(p). Let s \geq 0 be an integer. d. a. Show that P(X > s) = P(X > t). This is the
memoryless property .[Hint: P(X > s + t and X > s) = P(X > s + t).] c. A penny and a nickel are both fair coins. The penny will be tossed twice each, so that the penny will be tossed twice each, so that the penny will be tossed twice. Use the memoryless property to compute the
conditional probability that all five tosses of the penny will be tails. Are both probabilities the same? 26. A stick of length 1 is broken at a point chosen uniformly along its length. One piece is used as the length of a rectangle, and the
other is used as the width. Find the mean area of a rectangle formed in this way. 27. Let X represent the lifetime of the component in days, so Y = 7X. Suppose X ~ Exp(λ). a. Let FY be the cumulative distribution function of Y and let FX be the cumulative distribution function of X. Show that FY(y)
= 1 \text{ e} - \text{e} - \lambda y/7. [Hint: Fy(y) = P(X \le y/7).] b. Show that if X \to Bin(n, p), the most probable value for X is the greatest integer less than or equal to
np + p. [Hint: Use part (a) to show that P(X = x) \ge P(X = x - Page 322 1) if and only if x \le np + p.] 29. Let X \sim Poisson(\lambda), the most probable value for X is the greatest integer, then Show that if X \sim Poisson(\lambda), the most probable value for X is the greatest integer, then Show that P(X = x) \ge P(X = x - 1) if and only if x \le \lambda.]
30. Let Z \sim N(0, 1), and let X = \lambda Z + \mu where \mu and \sigma > 0 are constants. Let \Phi represent the cumulative distribution function of X is \Phi. Differentiate \Phi represent the probability density function, so \Phi. and let \Phi represent the cumulative distribution function of \Phi represent the cumulative distribution function function function function \Phi represent the cumulative distribution function function fun
distribution function of X in terms of \Phi, then differentiate it to show that X ~ N(\mu, \sigma2). Page 323 Chapter 5 Confidence Intervals Introduction In Chapter 4 we discussed estimate of a success probability p. These
estimates are called point estimates, because they are almost never exactly equal to the true values they are almost never exactly equal to the true values they are almost never exactly equal to the true values they are almost never exactly equal to the true values they are almost never exactly equal to the true values they are almost never exactly equal to the true values they are almost never exactly equal to the true values they are almost never exactly equal to the true values they are almost never exactly equal to the true values they are almost never exactly equal to the true values they are almost never exactly equal to the true values they are almost never exactly equal to the true values they are almost never exactly equal to the true values they are almost never exactly equal to the true values they are almost never exactly equal to the true values they are almost never exactly equal to the true values they are almost never exactly equal to the true values they are almost never exactly equal to the true values they are almost never exactly equal to the true values they are almost never exactly equal to the true values they are almost never exactly equal to the true values they are almost never exactly equal to the true values they are almost never exactly equal to the true values they are almost never exactly equal to the true values they are almost never exactly equal to the true values they are almost never exactly equal to the true value and the true values they are almost never exactly equal to the true value and the true value are almost never exactly equal to the true value are almost never exactly equal to the true value are almost never exactly equal to the true value are almost never exactly equal to the true value are almost never exactly equal to the true value are almost never exactly equal to the true value are almost never exactly equal to the true value are almost never exactly equal to the true value are almost never exactly equal to the true value are almost never exactly equal to the
describe just how far off the true value it is likely to be. One way to do this is by reporting an estimate of the standard deviation, or uncertainty, in the point estimate. In this chapter, we will show that when the estimate comes from a normal distribution, we can obtain more information about its precision by computing a confidence interval. The
following example presents the basic idea. Assume that a large number of independent measurements, all using the sample mean of the measurements is 14.0 cm, and the uncertainty in this quantity, which is the standard deviation of the sample mean, is 0.1 cm. Assume that the
determine how close it is likely to be to the true diameter. For example, it is very unlikely that the sample mean will differ from the true diameter by more than three standard deviations. Therefore we have a high level of confidence that the true diameter is in the interval (13.7, 14.3). On the other hand, it is not too unlikely for the sample mean to
confidence that we may have in these intervals, and in other intervals, and in other interval (13.7, 14.1). 5-1 Large-Sample Confidence that we may be 99.7% confident that the true value is in the interval (13.9, 14.1). 5-1 Large-Sample Confidence that we may be 99.7% confident that the true value is in the interval (13.9, 14.1). 5-1 Large-Sample Confidence that we may be 99.7% confident that the true value is in the interval (13.9, 14.1). 5-1 Large-Sample Confidence that we may be 99.7% confident that the true value is in the interval (13.9, 14.1). 5-1 Large-Sample Confidence that we may be 99.7% confident that the true value is in the interval (13.9, 14.1). 5-1 Large-Sample Confidence that we may be 99.7% confident that the true value is in the interval (13.9, 14.1). 5-1 Large-Sample Confidence that we may be 99.7% confident that the true value is in the interval (13.9, 14.1). 5-1 Large-Sample Confidence that we may be 99.7% confident that the true value is in the interval (13.9, 14.1). 5-1 Large-Sample Confidence that we may be 99.7% confident that the true value is in the interval (13.9, 14.1). 5-1 Large-Sample Confidence that we may be 99.7% confident that the true value is in the interval (13.9, 14.1). 5-1 Large-Sample Confidence that we may be 99.7% confident that the true value is in the interval (13.9, 14.1). 5-1 Large-Sample Confidence that we may be 99.7% confident that the true value is in the interval (13.9, 14.1). 5-1 Large-Sample Confidence that we may be 99.7% confident that the true value is in the interval (13.9, 14.1). 5-1 Large-Sample Confidence that we may be 99.7% confident that the true value is in the interval (13.9, 14.1). 5-1 Large-Sample Confidence that we may be 99.7% confident that the true value is in the interval (13.9, 14.1). 5-1 Large-Sample Confidence that the true value is in the interval (13.9, 14.1). 5-1 Large-Sample Confidence that the true value is in the interval (13.9, 14.1). 5-1 Large-Sample Confidence that the true value is in the interval (13.9, 14.1). 5-1 Large-Sampl
Population Mean Intervals for a We begin with an example. A quality-control engineer wants to estimate the mean fill weight of boxes that have been filled by that machine on that day. He computes the
covered by the interval. To see how to construct a confidence interval in this example, let μ represent the unknown population mean and let σ2 represent the unknown population mean and let σ3 represent the unknown population mean and let σ4 represent the unknown population mean and let σ4 represent the unknown population mean and let σ5 represent the unknown population mean an
Theorem specifies that it comes from a normal distribution whose mean is µ and whose standard deviation is . Figure 5.1 presents a normal curve, which represents the distribution mean µ, is indicated. The observed value is a single draw from this distribution. We
interval around that is exactly the same length as the middle 95% of the distribution, namely, the interval is a 95% confidence interval for the population mean \mu. It is clear that this interval covers the population mean \mu. It is clear that this interval covers the population mean \mu. It is clear that this interval is a 95% confidence interval for the population mean \mu. It is clear that this interval is a 95% confidence interval for the population mean \mu. It is clear that this interval is a 95% confidence interval for the population mean \mu. It is clear that this interval is a 95% confidence interval for the population mean \mu. It is clear that this interval for the population mean \mu. It is clear that this interval for the population mean \mu. It is clear that this interval for the population mean \mu. It is clear that this interval for the population mean \mu. It is clear that this interval for the population mean \mu. It is clear that this interval for the population mean \mu is the population mean \mu. It is clear that this interval for the population mean \mu is the population mean \mu. It is clear that this interval for the population mean \mu is the population mean \mu. It is clear that this interval for the population mean \mu is the population mean \mu. It is clear that this interval for the population mean \mu is the populati
particular sample, comes from the middle 95% of the distribution, so the 95% confidence interval succeeds in covering the population mean µ. In contrast, Figure 5.2 represents a sample whose mean lies outside the middle 95% of the curve. Only 5% of all the samples that could have been drawn fall into this category. For these more unusual samples
 the 95% confidence interval fails to cover the population mean μ. FIGURE 5.2 deviation The sample mean is drawn from a normal distribution, so the 95% confidence interval fails to cover the population mean μ. We will now compute a 95% confidence
interval value of is 12.05. The population standard deviation \sigma and thus for the mean fill weight. The are unknown. However, in this example standard deviation \sigma and thus for the population mean fill weight \mu to be 12.05 \pm (1.96)
(0.01), or (12.0304, 12.0696). We can say that we are 95% confident, or confident at the 95% level, that the population mean µ? It depends on whether this particular sample happened to be one whose mean came from the middle 95% level, that the population mean µ? It depends on whether this particular sample happened to be one whose mean came from the middle 95% level, that the population mean µ? It depends on whether this particular sample happened to be one whose mean came from the middle 95% level, that the population mean µ? It depends on whether this particular sample happened to be one whose mean came from the middle 95% level, that the population mean µ? It depends on whether this particular sample happened to be one whose mean came from the middle 95% level, that the population mean µ? It depends on whether this particular sample happened to be one whose mean came from the middle 95% level, that the population mean µ? It depends on whether this particular sample happened to be one whose mean came from the middle 95% level, that the population mean µ? It depends on whether this particular sample happened to be one whose mean came from the middle 95% level, that the population mean µ? It depends on whether this particular sample happened to be one whose mean came from the middle 95% level, that the population mean µ? It depends on the middle 95% level, that the population mean µ? It depends on the middle 95% level, that the population mean µ? It depends on the middle 95% level, that the population mean µ? It depends on the middle 95% level, that the population mean µ? It depends on the middle 95% level, that the population mean µ? It depends on the middle 95% level, that the population mean µ? It depends on the middle 95% level, that the population mean µ? It depends on the middle 95% level, that the population mean µ? It depends on the middle 95% level, that the population mean µ? It depends on the middle 95% level, the
of the distribution, or whether it was a sample whose mean was unusually large or small, in the outer 5% of the distribution. There is no way to know for sure into which category this particular sample falls. But imagine that the engineer were to repeat this procedure every day, drawing a large sample and computing the 95% confidence interval. In
the long run, 95% of the samples he draws will have means in the middle 95% of the distribution, so 95% of the comfidence intervals he computed by a procedure that succeeds in covering the population mean 95% of the time. Page 326 We can use this same
reasoning to compute intervals with various confidence levels. For example, we can construct a 68% confidence interval as follows. We know that the middle 68% of the normal curve corresponds to the interval as follows. We know that the middle 68% of the normal curve corresponds to the interval as follows. We know that the middle 68% of the normal curve corresponds to the interval as follows.
the population mean for 68% of the samples that could possibly be drawn. Therefore a 68% confidence interval is wider than the 68% confidence interval. This is intuitively plausible. In order to increase our confidence that we have
covered the true population mean, the interval must be made wider, to provide a wider margin for error. To take two extreme cases, we have 100% confidence that the true population mean is in the zero-width interval [12.05, 12.05] that contains the sample
mean and no other point. We now illustrate how to find a confidence level of confidence level. Figure 5.3 presents a normal curve representing the distribution of . Define z\alpha/2 to be the z-score that cuts off an area of \alpha/2 in
the right-hand tail. For example, the z table (Table A.2) indicates that z.025 = 1.96, since 2.5% of the area under the standard normal curve is to the right of 1.96. Similarly, the quantity -z\alpha/2 cuts off an area of \alpha/2 in the left-hand tail. The middle 1 -\alpha of the area under the curve corresponds to the interval reasoning shown in Figures 5.1 and 5.2, it
follows that the interval. By the will cover the population mean \mu for a proportion 1-\alpha of all the samples that could possibly be drawn. Therefore a level 100(1 - \alpha)% confidence interval for \mu is , or . FIGURE 5.3 deviation The sample mean is drawn from a normal distribution with mean \mu and standard. The quantity 2\alpha/2 is the z-score that cuts off an
area of \alpha/2 in the right-hand tail. The quantity -z\alpha/2 is the z-score that could possibly be drawn. Therefore is a level 100(1-\alpha)\% confidence interval for \mu. We note that even for large samples, the distribution of is only
approximately normal, rather than exactly normal. Therefore the levels stated for confidence intervals are approximate and exact levels is generally ignored in practice. Page 327 Summary Let X1, ..., Xn be a large (n > 30) random
sample from a population with mean \mu and standard deviation \sigma, so that for \mu is a proximately normal. Then a level 100(1 – \alpha)% confidence interval for \mu. is a 90% confidence interval for \mu. is a 90% confidence interval for \mu. is a 90% confidence interval for \mu.
95% confidence interval for \mu. is a 99% confidence interval for \mu. \blacksquare is a 99.7% confidence interval for the boxes are Find an 85% confidence interval for \mu. Example 5.1 The sample mean and standard deviation for the fill weights of 100 boxes are Find an 85% confidence interval for \mu. Example 5.1 The sample mean and standard deviation for the fill weight of the boxes.
= 0.15 and \alpha/2 = 0.075. We then look in the table for z.075, the z-score that cuts off 7.5% of the area in the right-hand tail. We find z.075 = 1.44. We approximate . So the 85% confidence interval is 12.05±0.0144, or as (12.0356, 12.0644). Example 5.2 The article "A Non-Local Approach to Model the
Combined Effects of Frequency Defects and Shot-Peening on the Fatigue Strength of a Pearlitic Steel" (B. Gerin, E. Pessard, et al., Theoretical and Applied Fracture Mechanics, 2018:19-32) reports that in a sample of 70 steel connecting rods subject to fatigue strength, in MPa, was 408.2 with a standard deviation of 72.5
interval has the form , as specified in expression (5.1). Since we want a 95% confidence interval, the confidence interval is 408.2 ± (1.96)(8.7132). This can be written as 408.20 ± 17.08, or as (391.12, 425.28). The
following computer output (from MINITAB) presents the 95% confidence interval calculated in Example 5.2. Most of the output is self-explanatory. The quantity labeled "SE Mean" is the standard deviation of the sample mean, approximated by a confidence interval calculated in Example 5.2. Most of the output is self-explanatory.
sample mean.) Example 5.3 Use the data in Example 5.2 to find an 80% confidence interval. Solution To find an 80% confidence interval is 408.20 \pm (1.28)(8.7132)
This can be written as 408.20 ± 11.15, or as (397.05, 419.35). We have seen how to compute a confidence interval with a given confidence interval. The next example 5.2 Based on the fatigue strength data presented in Example 5.2, an engineer
reported a confidence interval of (393.86, 422.54) but neglected to specify the level. What is the level of this confidence interval? Solution The confidence interval has the form . We will solve for za/2, then consult the z table to determine the value of \alpha. Now , s = 72.9, and n = 70. The Page 329 upper confidence limit of 422.54 therefore satisfies the
equation. Therefore z\alpha/2 = 1.646. From the z table, we determine that \alpha/2, the area to the right of 1.646, is approximately 0.05. The level is 100(1 - \alpha)\%, or 90\%. More About Confidence Levels The confidence level of an interval is one
computed by a method that in the long run will succeed in covering the population mean a proportion 1 – α of all the times that it is used. In practice, when one computes a confidence interval, one must decide what level of confidence to use. This decision involves a trade-off, because intervals with greater confidence levels are less precise. For
example, a 68% confidence interval specifies the population mean to within, while a 95% confidence interval. Figure 5.4 (page 330) illustrates the trade-off between confidence and precision. One hundred samples were drawn from a population
with mean \mu. Figure 5.4b presents one hundred 95% confidence intervals, each based on one of these samples. The confidence intervals are all different which to approximate \sigma, but this has a much smaller effect.) About 95% of these intervals cover the population
mean µ. Figure 5.4a presents 68% confidence intervals are more precise (narrower), but many of them fail to cover the population mean. Figure 5.4c presents 99.7% confidence intervals are wory reliable. In the long run, only 3 in 1000 of these intervals will fail to cover the population mean
However, they are less precise (wider), and thus do not convey as much information. FIGURE 5.4 (a) One hundred 68% confidence intervals for a population mean, each computed from a different sample. Although precise, they fail to cover the population mean, each computed from a different sample.
practical purposes. (b) One hundred 95% confidence intervals computed from these samples. This represents a good compromise between reliability and precision for many purposes. (c) One hundred 95.7% confidence intervals computed from these samples. These intervals fail to cover the population mean only three times in 1000. They are
extremely reliable, but imprecise. The level of confidence most often used in practice is 95%. For many applications, this level provides a good compromise between reliability and precision. Confidence most often used in practice is 95%. For many applications, where product reliability is extremely important, intervals with very high
confidence levels, such as 99.7%, are used. Probability versus Confidence in the fill weight example discussed at the beginning of this section, a 95% confidence interval for the population mean μ was computed to be (12.304, 12.696). It is tempting to say that the probability is 95% that μ is between 12.304 and 12.696. This, however, is not correct.
The term probability refers to random events, which can come out differently when experiments are repeated. The numbers 12.304 and 12.696, or it is not. There is no randomness involved. Therefore we say that we have 95%
confidence (not probability) that the population mean is in this interval. On the other hand, let's say that we are discussing a method used to compute a 95% confidence interval. The method will succeed in covering the population mean is covered or not is a
random event, because it can vary from experiment to exper
computed to be (14.73, 14.91). True or false: The probability that the mean diameter of rods manufactured by this process is between 14.73 and 14.91 is 90%. Page 331 Solution False: A specific confidence interval is given. The mean is between 14.73 and 14.91. The
term probability is inappropriate. Example 5.6 An engineer plans to compute and s, and then compute the interval is 90%. Solution
True. What is described here is a method for computing a 90% confidence interval, rather than a specific numerical value. It is correct to say that a method for computing a 90% confidence interval has probability 90% of covering the population mean. Example 5.7 A team of geologists plans to measure the weights of 250 rocks. After weighing each rock a
large number of times, they will compute a 95% confidence intervals for its weight. Assume there is no bias in the weights of the rocks? Solution Here we are discussing 250 planned implementations of a method for computing a confidence
interval, not 250 specific intervals that have already been computed. Therefore it is appropriate to compute the probability that a specified number of these intervals will cover the true weights of their respective rocks. Since the weights of their respective rocks.
think of each of the 250 confidence intervals as a Bernoulli trial, with success occurring if the confidence interval is computed by a process that covers the population mean. Since a 95% confidence interval is computed by a process that covers the population mean 95% of the time, the success probability for each Bernoulli trial is 0.95. Let Y represent the number of confidence
intervals that cover the true weight. Then Y ~ Bin(250, 0.95) \approx N(237.5, 11.875). The standard deviation of Y is . Using the normal curve, the probability that Y > 240 is 0.1922. See Figure 5.5. Note that the continuity correction (see Section 4.11) has been used. FIGURE 5.5 Solution to Example 5.7. Page 332 Determining the Sample Size Needed for
a Confidence Interval of Specified Width In Example 5.2, a 95% confidence interval was given by 12.68 ± 1.89, or (10.79, 14.57). This interval is too wide to be useful. Assume that it is desirable to produce a 95% confidence interval that specifies the mean to within ± 0.50. To do this,
the sample size must be increased. We show how to calculate the sample of a confidence interval for a population mean based on a sample of size n drawn from a population with standard deviation \sigma is . If the confidence level 100(1-\alpha)%
is specified, we can look up the value z\alpha/2. If the population standard deviation is estimated to be 6.83. We look up z\alpha/2 = z.025 = 1.96. The sample size necessary to produce a 95% and the standard deviation is estimated to be 6.83. We look up z\alpha/2 = z.025 = 1.96. The sample size necessary to produce a 95% and the standard deviation is estimated to be 6.83. We look up z\alpha/2 = z.025 = 1.96. The sample size necessary to produce a 95% and the standard deviation is estimated to be 6.83. We look up z\alpha/2 = z.025 = 1.96. The sample size necessary to produce a 95% and the standard deviation is estimated to be 6.83.
confidence interval with width \pm 0.50 is found by solving the equation for n. We obtain n = 716.83, which we round up to n = 717. Example 5.8 In the fill weight example discussed earlier in this section, the sample discussed earlier in this section, the sample standard deviation of weights from 100 boxes was s = 0.1 oz. How many boxes must be sampled to obtain a 99% confidence interval of
width \pm 0.012 oz? Solution The level is 99%, so 1 - \alpha = 0.99. Therefore \alpha = 0.01 and z\alpha/2 = 2.58. The value of \sigma is estimated with s = 0.1. The necessary . We obtain n \approx 463. sample size is found by solving One-Sided Confidence Intervals The confidence intervals discussed so far have been two-sided, in that they specify both a lower and an upper
confidence bound. Occasionally we are interested only in one of these bounds. In these cases, one-sided confidence intervals are appropriate. For example, assume that a reliability engineer wants to estimate the mean crushing strength of a certain type of concrete block, to determine the sorts of applications for which it will be appropriate. The
engineer will probably be interested only in a lower bound for the strength, since specifications for various applications will generally specify only a minimum strength. Assume that a large sample has sample mean and standard deviation . Figure 5.6 shows how the idea behind the two-sided confidence interval can be adapted to produce a onesided
confidence interval for the population mean \mu. The normal curve represents the distribution of . For 95% of all the sample Page 333 mean is in the upper 5% of its distribution. The interval is a 95% one-sided confidence interval for \mu, and the
quantity is a 95% lower confidence bound for \mu. FIGURE 5.6 deviation The sample mean is drawn from a normal distribution, so the succeeds in covering the population mean \mu. 95% one-sided confidence interval By constructing a figure like Figure
5.6 with the lower 5% tail shaded, it can be seen that the quantity is a 95% upper confidence bound for \mu. We now generalize the method to produce one-sided confidence intervals of any desired level. Define z\alpha to be the zscore that cuts off an area \alpha in the right-hand tail of the normal curve. For example, z.05 = 1.645. By the reasoning used to obtain
a 95% confidence interval, it can be seen that a level 100(1-\alpha)% lower confidence bound for \mu is given by . Summary Let X1, ..., Xn be a large (n > 30) random sample from a population with mean \mu and standard deviation \sigma, so that bound for \mu is given by . Summary Let X1, ..., Xn be a large (n > 30) random sample from a population with mean \mu and standard deviation \sigma, so that bound for \mu is given by . Summary Let X1, ..., Xn be a large (n > 30) random sample from a population with mean \mu and standard deviation \sigma, so that bound for \mu is given by . Summary Let X1, ..., Xn be a large (n > 30) random sample from a population with mean \mu and standard deviation \sigma, so that bound for \mu is given by . Summary Let X1, ..., Xn be a large (n > 30) random sample from a population with mean \mu and standard deviation \sigma, so that bound for \mu is given by . Summary Let X1, ..., Xn be a large (n > 30) random sample from a population with mean \mu and standard deviation \sigma, so that bound for \mu is given by . Summary Let X1, ..., Xn be a large (n > 30) random sample from a population \sigma and \sigma are \sigma and \sigma and \sigma are \sigma are \sigma are \sigma are \sigma and \sigma are \sigma are \sigma are \sigma and \sigma are \sigma a
-\alpha)% lower confidence (5.2) and a level 100(1 -\alpha)% upper confidence bound for \mu is a 95% upper confidence bound for \mu. \blacksquare is a 99% upper confidence bound for \mu. \blacksquare is a 99% upper confidence bound for \mu.
lower confidence bound is , and the 99% upper confidence bound of 11.09, computed in Example 5.2, the 95% two-sided confidence bound of 11.09, computed in Example 5.9, is greater than the lower bound of the two-sided confidence interval. The reason for this is that the two-sided interval car
fail in two ways—the value of µ may be too high or too low. The two-sided 95% confidence interval is designed to fail 2.5% of the time on the low side. In contrast, the 95% lower confidence bound never fails on the high side and 2.5% of the time on the low side. In contrast, the 95% lower confidence bound never fails on the high side and 2.5% of the time on the high side and 2.5% of the time on the low side. In contrast, the 95% lower confidence bound never fails on the high side and 2.5% of the time on the low side. In contrast, the 95% lower confidence bound never fails on the high side and 2.5% of the time on the high side and 2.5% of the time on the low side. In contrast, the 95% lower confidence bound never fails on the high side and 2.5% of the time on the low side.
than that of the twosided interval. Confidence Intervals Must Be Based on Random Samples from a population. When used for other samples, the results may not be meaningful. Following are two examples in which the assumption of random sampling is violated. Example
5.10 A chemical engineer wishes to estimate the mean yield of a new process. The process is run 100 times over a period of several days. Figure 5.7 presents the 100 yields plotted against time. Would it be appropriate to compute a confidence interval for the mean yield by calculating and s for the yields, and then using expression (5.1)? Solution No.
 Expression (5.1) is valid only when the data are a random sample from a population. Figure 5.7 shows a cyclic pattern. This might indicate that the yield on each run is influenced by the yield is influenced by ambient conditions that
is studying the yield of another process. Figure 5.8 presents yields from 100 runs of this process, plotted against time. Should expression (5.1) be used to compute a confidence interval for the mean yield of this process, plotted against time. There is an increasing trend with time, at least in the
initial part of the plot, indicating that the data do not constitute a random sample. Solution No. As in Example 5.10, there is a pattern in time. In this case, the yields tend to increase with time, at least in the initial part of the plot. This might indicate a "learning effect"; as an operator becomes more experienced in running a process, the results get
better. A more thorough analysis of the data might indicate a point in time where the increase appears to stop, in which case the succeeding portion of the data might be used to form a confidence interval with level 2. a. 95% b.
98% c. 99% d. 80% Find the levels of the confidence intervals that have the following values of z\alpha/2: 3. a. z\alpha/2 = 1.96 b. z\alpha/2 = 2.17 c. z\alpha/2 = 3.28 As the confidence level goes up, the reliability goes
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             and the precision goes _____. Options: up, down. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. Three confidence intervals for a population mean are constructed, all
from the same random sample. The confidence interval for the mean reduction in cholesterol (in mmol/L) was (0.88, 1.02). Match each confidence interval for the mean reduction in cholesterol (in mmol/L) was (0.88, 1.02).
a. What was the sample mean reduction? b. What was the sample standard deviation of the reduction amounts? The article "Modeling Arterial Signal Optimization with Enhanced Cell Transmission Formulations" (Z. Li, Journal of Transportation Engineering 2011:445-454) presents a new method for timing traffic signals in heavily traveled
intersections. The effectiveness of the new method was evaluated in a simulation study. In 50 simulati
Find a 98% confidence interval for the improvement in traffic flow due to the new system. c. A traffic engineer states that the mean improvement is between 581.6 and 726.6 vehicles per hour. With what level of confidence can this statement be made? d. Approximately what sample size is needed so that a 95% confidence interval will specify the
mean to within ±50 vehicles per hour? e. Approximately what sample of 100 steel canisters, the mean wall thickness of this
type of canister. b. Find a 99% confidence interval for the mean wall thickness of this type of canister. c. An engineer claims that the mean thickness is between 8.02 and 8.18 mm. With what level of confidence can this statement be made? d. How many canisters must be sampled so that a 95% confidence interval will specify the mean wall thickness
 to within \pm 0.08 mm? e. How many canisters must be sampled so that a 99% confidence interval will specify the mean wall thickness to within \pm 0.08 mm? The article "Application of Surgical Navigation to Total Hip Arthroplasty" (T. Ecker and S. Murphy, Journal of Engineering in Medicine, 2007: 699-712) reports that in a sample that in a sample of the contract o
of a certain type, the average surgery time was 136.9 minutes with a standard deviation of 22.6 minutes are time for this procedure. c. A surgeon claims that the mean surgery time is between 133.9 and 139.9 minutes
With what level of confidence can this statement be made? d. Approximately how many surgeries must be sampled so that a 95% confidence interval will specify the mean to within ±3 minutes? A sample of 75
concrete blocks had a mean mass of 38.3 kg with a standard deviation of 0.6 kg. a. Find a 95% confidence interval for the mean mass of this type of concrete block. b. Find a 95% confidence interval for the mean mass of this type of concrete block. b. Find a 95% confidence interval for the mean mass of this type of concrete block. b. Find a 95% confidence interval for the mean mass of this type of concrete block. b. Find a 95% confidence interval for the mean mass of this type of concrete block. b. Find a 95% confidence interval for the mean mass of this type of concrete block. b. Find a 95% confidence interval for the mean mass of this type of concrete block. b. Find a 95% confidence interval for the mean mass of this type of concrete block. b. Find a 95% confidence interval for the mean mass of this type of concrete block. b. Find a 95% confidence interval for the mean mass of this type of concrete block. b. Find a 95% confidence interval for the mean mass of this type of concrete block. b. Find a 95% confidence interval for the mean mass of this type of concrete block. b. Find a 95% confidence interval for the mean mass of this type of concrete block. b. Find a 95% confidence interval for the mean mass of this type of concrete block. b. Find a 95% confidence interval for the mean mass of this type of concrete block. b. Find a 95% confidence interval for the mean mass of this type of concrete block. b. Find a 95% confidence interval for the mean mass of this type of concrete block. b. Find a 95% confidence interval for the mean mass of this type of concrete block. b. Find a 95% confidence interval for the mean mass of this type of concrete block. b. Find a 95% confidence interval for the mean mass of this type of concrete block. b. Find a 95% confidence interval for the mean mass of this type of concrete block. b. Find a 95% confidence interval for the mean mass of this type of concrete block. b. Find a 95% confidence interval for the mean mass of this type of concrete block. b. Find a 95% confidence interval 
can this statement be made? d. How many blocks must be sampled so that a 95% confidence interval will specify the mean mass to within ±0.1 kg? Oven thermostats were tested by setting them to 350°F and measuring the actual
temperature of the oven. In a sample of 67 thermostats, the average temperature was 348.2°F and the standard deviation was 5.1°F. a. Find a 95% confidence interval for the mean oven temperature. b. Find a 95% confidence interval for the mean oven temperature.
thermostats must be sampled so that a 90% confidence interval specifies the mean to within ±0.8°F? e. How many thermostats must be sampled so that a 95% confidence interval specifies the mean to within ±0.8°F? In a sample of 80 light bulbs, the mean lifetime was 1217 hours with a standard deviation of 52 hours. a. Find a 95% confidence
interval for the mean lifetime of this type of light bulb. b. Find a 98% confidence interval for the mean lifetime is between 1208 and 1226 hours. With what level of confidence can this statement be made? d. How many light bulbs must be sampled so that a 95% confidence interval
will specify the mean lifetime to within ±8 hours? e. How many light bulbs must be sampled so that a 98% confidence interval for the mean efficiency, b.
Find a 99.5% confidence interval for the mean efficiency. c. What is the confidence interval specifies the mean to within ±0.35? e. How many motors must be sampled so that a 99.5% confidence interval specifies the mean to within ±0.35? The sugar
content in a one-cup serving of a certain breakfast cereal was measured for a e. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. sample of 140 servings. The average was 11.9 g and the standard deviation was 1.1 g. a. Find a 95% confidence interval for the mean sugar content. c. What is the confidence level of the
interval (11.81, 11.99)? d. How large a sample is needed so that a 95% confidence interval specifies the mean to within ±0.1? e. How large a sample is needed so that a 95% confidence bound for the mean wall thickness. b. Someone says that the mean
thickness is less than 8.2 mm. With what level of confidence can this statement be made? 15. Refer to Exercise 8. a. Find a 98% lower confidence bound for the mean time is greater than 134.3 minutes. With what level of confidence can this statement be made? Refer to Exercise
9. a. Find a 95% lower confidence bound for the mean mass, b. An engineer claims that the mean mass is greater than 38.25 kg. With what level of confidence bound for the mean temperature, b. The claim is made that the mean temperature is less than 349.5°F. With
what level of confidence can this statement be made? Refer to Exercise 11. a. Find a 90% upper confidence bound for the mean lifetime. b. Someone says that the mean lifetime is less than 1220 hours. With what level of confidence can this statement be made? Refer to Exercise 12. a. Find a 98% lower confidence bound for the mean efficiency. b.
The claim is made that the mean efficiency is greater than 84.6%. With what level of confidence can this statement be made? Refer to Exercise 13. a. Find a 95% upper confidence bound for the mean sugar content. b. The claim is made that the mean sugar content is greater than 11.7 g. With what level of confidence can this statement be made? An
investigator computes a 95% confidence interval for a population mean on the basis of a sample of size 400. Another 95% confidence interval will be
computed from a sample of size 100 drawn from the same population. Choose the best answer to fill in the blank: The interval from the sample of size 400 will be approximately ____ as the interval from the sample of size 400 will be approximately ____ as the interval from the sample of size 400 will be approximately ____ as the interval from the sample of size 400 will be approximately ____ as the interval from the sample of size 400 will be approximately ____ as the interval from the sample of size 400 will be approximately ____ as the interval from the sample of size 400 will be approximately ____ as the interval from the sample of size 400 will be approximately ____ as the interval from the sample of size 400 will be approximately ____ as the interval from the sample of size 400 will be approximately ____ as the interval from the sample of size 400 will be approximately ____ as the interval from the sample of size 400 will be approximately ____ as the interval from the sample of size 400 will be approximately ____ as the interval from the sample of size 400 will be approximately ____ as the interval from the sample of size 400 will be approximately ____ as the interval from the sample of size 400 will be approximately ____ as the interval from the sample of size 400 will be approximately ____ as the interval from the sample of size 400 will be approximately ____ as the interval from the sample of size 400 will be approximately ____ as the interval from the sample of size 400 will be approximately ____ as the interval from the sample of size 400 will be approximately ____ as the interval from the sample of size 400 will be approximately ____ as the interval from the sample of size 400 will be approximately ____ as the interval from the sample of size 400 will be approximately ____ as the interval from the sample of size 400 will be approximately ____ as the interval from the sample of size 400 will be approximately ____ as the interval from the sample of size 400 will be approximately _____ as the interval fro
299,795 km/s and had a standard deviation of 8 km/s. True or false: a. A 95% confidence interval 299,795 ± 1.96. c. 95% of the measurements were in the interval 299,795 ± 1.96. d. If a 65th measurement is made, the probability is 95% that the speed of light is in the interval 299,795 ± 1.96. d. If a 65th measurement is made, the probability is 95% that the speed of light is 1.96. d. If a 65th measurement is made, the probability is 95% that the speed of light is 1.96. d. If a 65th measurement is made, the probability is 95% that the speed of light is 1.96. d. If a 65th measurement is made, the probability is 95% that the speed of light is 1.96. d. If a 65th measurement is made, the probability is 95% that the speed of light is 1.96. d. If a 65th measurement is made, the probability is 95% that the speed of light is 1.96. d. If a 65th measurement is made, the probability is 95% that the speed of light is 1.96. d. If a 65th measurement is made, the probability is 95% that the speed of light is 1.96. d. If a 65th measurement is made, the probability is 95% that the speed of light is 1.96. d. If a 65th measurement is made, the probability is 95% that the speed of light is 1.96. d. If a 65th measurement is made, the probability is 95% that the speed of light is 1.96. d. If a 65th measurement is 1.96. d. If a 65th me
that it would fall in the interval 299,795 ± 1.96. 25. A large box contains 10,000 ball bearings. A random sample of 120 is chosen. The sample mean diameter of the 120 bearings in the sample is . b. A 95% confidence interval for the
mean diameter of the 10,000 bearings in the box is . c. d. 95% of the ball bearings had diameters in the interval . A 95% confidence interval for the mean diameter of the 10,000 bearings in the box is . c. d. 95% of the ball bearings had diameters in the interval for the mean diameter of the 10,000 bearings in the box is . c. d. 95% of the ball bearings had diameters in the box is . c. d. 95% of the ball bearings had diameters in the box is . c. d. 95% of the ball bearings had diameters in the box is . c. d. 95% of the ball bearings had diameters of the 10,000 bearings in the box is . c. d. 95% of the ball bearings had diameters of the 10,000 bearings in the box is . c. d. 95% of the ball bearings had diameters of the 10,000 bearings in the box is . c. d. 95% of the ball bearings had diameters of the 10,000 bearings in the box is . c. d. 95% of the ball bearings had diameters of the 10,000 bearings in the box is . c. d. 95% of the ball bearings had diameters of the 10,000 bearings had diameters had diameters of the 10,000 bearings had diameters had diameters of the 10,000 bearings had diameters had diameters had diameters had diameters had diameters had diameters had diameters
computes a 90% confidence interval for the mean output voltage of all the power supplies manufactured that day. What is the probability that more than 15 of the confidence intervals constructed in the next 200 days will fail to cover the true mean? 27. Based on a sample of repair records, an engineer calculates a 95% confidence interval for the
mean cost to repair a fiber-optic component to be ($140, $160). A supervisor summarizes this result in a report, saying, "We are 95% confident that the mean cost of repairs is less than $160." Is the supervisor underestimating it, or getting it right? Explain. 28. A meteorologist measures the temperature in downtown
Denver at noon on each day for one year. The 365 readings average 57°F and have standard deviation 20°F. The meteorologist computes a 95% confidence Intervals for Proportions The methods of Section 5.1, in particular expression (5.1), can be used
to find confidence intervals for the mean of any population from which a large sample has been drawn. When the population has a Bernoulli distribution, this expression takes on a special form. We illustrate this with an example 5.2 (in Section 5.1), a confidence interval was constructed for the mean lifetime of a certain type of microdrill
when drilling a low-carbon alloy steel. Now assume that a specification has been set that a drill should have a minimum lifetime of 10 holes drilled before failure. A sample of 144 microdrills in the population that will meet the specification. We wish to
find a 95% confidence interval for p. We begin by constructing an estimate for p. Let X represent the number of drills in the sample size. The estimate for p is . In this example, X = 120, so = 0.833. The uncertainty, or standard deviation of , is . Since the sample size is large,
it follows from the Central Limit Theorem (Equation 4.58 in Section 4.11) that The reasoning illustrated by Figures 5.1 and 5.2 (in Section 5.1) shows that for 95% of all possible samples, the population proportion p satisfies the following inequality: (5.4) At first glance, expression (5.4) looks like a 95% confidence interval for p. However, the limits
contain the unknown p, and so cannot be computed. The traditional approach is to replace p with , obtaining the confidence interval too short in some cases, even for some fairly large sample sizes. Recent research, involving
simulation studies, has shown that this effect can be largely Page 340 compensated for by modifying both n and p slightly. Specifically, one should add 4 to the number of trials, and 2 to the number of successes. So in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and in place of n we use \tilde{n} = n + 4, and \tilde{n} = n 
the 95% confidence interval is 0.8243 ± 0.0613, or (0.763, 0.886). We justified this confidence interval on the basis of the Central Limit Theorem, which requires n to be large. However, this method of computing confidence intervals is appropriate for any sample size n. When used with small samples, it may occasionally happen that the lower limit is
less than 0 or that the upper limit is greater than 1. Since 0 , a lower limit less than 0 should be replaced with 1. Summary Let X be the number of successes in n independent Bernoulli trials with success probability p, so that <math>X \sim Bin(n, p). Define \tilde{n} = n + 4, and . Then a level 100(1 - 100)
α)% confidence interval for p is (5.5) If the lower limit is less than 0, replace it with 1. The confidence interval, after Alan Agresti and Brent Coull, who developed it. For more information on this confidence interval, consult the
article "Approximate Is Better Than 'Exact' for Interval Estimation of Binomial Proportions" (A. Agresti and B. Coull, The American Statistician, 1998:119-126). Example 5.12 The anterior cruciate Ligament (ACL) runs diagonally through the middle of the knee. The article "Return to Sport After Pediatric Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction and
its Effect on Subsequent Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury" (T. Dekker, J. Godin, et al., Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery 2017:897-904) reported results for 85 young athletes who suffered ACL injuries, 51 were to the left knee and 34 were to the right knee. Find a 90% confidence interval for the proportion of ACL injuries that are
to the left knee. Solution The number of successes is X = 51, and the number of trials is n = 85. We therefore compute \tilde{n} = 85 + 4 = 89, and . For a 90% confidence interval is therefore 0.5955 \pm (1.645) (0.0520), or (0.510, 0.681). Page 341 One-sided confidence intervals can be
computed for proportions as well. They are analogous to the one-sided intervals for a population mean (Equations 5.2 and 5.3 in Section 5.1). The levels for one-sided confidence intervals are only roughly approximate for small samples.
Bin(n, p). Define \tilde{n} = n + 4, and . Then a level 100(1 - \alpha)\% lower confidence bound for p is (5.6) and level 100(1 - \alpha)\% upper confidence bound for p is (5.7) If the lower bound is less than 0, replace it with 1. Example 5.13 shows how to compute an approximate sample size necessary for a
confidence interval to have a specified width when a preliminary value of is known. Example 5.13 In Example 5.12, what sample size is needed to obtain a 95% confidence interval has width determine the sample size in by solving the equation the data in Example
5.12, . Substituting this value for - 141. From and solving, we obtain n Sometimes we may wish to compute a conservative sample size estimate by
substituting Example 5.13. Example is greatest, we can and proceeding as in 5.14 In Example 5.12, how large a sample is needed to guarantee that the width of the 95% confidence interval will be no greater than ±0.08, if no preliminary sample has been taken? Page 342 Solution A 95% confidence interval has width. The widest the confidence
interval could be, for a sample of size n, is . Solving the equation, or for n, we obtain n ≈ 147. Note that this estimate is somewhat larger than the one obtained in Example 5.13. The Traditional Method The method, we have described was developed quite recently (although it was created by simplifying a much older method). Many people still use a
more traditional method. The traditional method uses the actual sample size n in place of \tilde{n}, and the actual sample proportion in place of n. This means that 100(1 - \alpha)% confidence intervals computed by the traditional
method will cover the true proportion less than 100(1 – α)% of the time. The traditional method is still widely used, we summarize it in the
following box. For very large sample sizes, the results of the traditional method are almost identical to those of the modern approach is better. Summary The Traditional Method for Computing Confidence Intervals for a Proportion (widely used but not recommended) Let be the
proportion of successes in a large number n of independent Bernoulli trials with success probability p. Then the traditional level 100(1-\alpha)\% confidence interval for p is (5.8) The method cannot be used unless the sample contains at least 10 successes and 10 failures. Exercises for Section 5.2 1. In a simple random sample of 70 automobiles registered
in a certain state, 28 of them were found to have emission levels that exceed a state standard. a. What proportion of automobiles in the standard emission levels exceed the standard. c. Find a 98% confidence interval for the proportion of automobiles in the standard. a. What proportion of the automobiles in the standard emission levels that exceed the standard. a. What proportion of the automobiles in the standard emission levels exceed the standard. a. What proportion of the automobiles in the standard emission levels e
for the proportion of automobiles whose emission levels exceed the standard to within ±0.10 with 95% confidence? e. How many automobiles must be sampled to specify the proportion that exceed the standard to within ±0.10 with 98% confidence? Page
343 f. 2. Someone claims that less than half of the automobiles in the state exceed the standard. With what level of confidence can this statement be made? During a recent drought, a water utility in a certain town sampled 100 residential water bills and found that 73 of the residences had reduced their water consumption over that of the previous
year. a. Find a 95% confidence interval for the proportion of residences that reduced their 3.4.5. water consumption. b. Find a 99% confidence interval for the proportion of residences that reduced their 3.4.5. water consumption.
needed for a 99% confidence interval to specify the proportion to within ±0.05. e. Someone claims that more than 70% of residence reduced their water consumption. With what level of confidence can this statement be made? f. If 95% confidence intervals are computed for 200 towns, what is the probability that more than 192 of the confidence
```

```
intervals cover the true proportions? A soft-drink manufacturer purchases aluminum cans from an outside vendor. A random sample of 70 cans is selected from a large shipment, and each is tested for strength by applying an increasing load to the side of the can until it punctures. Of the 70 cans, 52 meet the specification for puncture resistance, a
Find a 95% confidence interval for the proportion of cans in the shipment that meet the specification. b. Find a 90% confidence interval for the proportion to within ±0.05. d. Find the sample size needed for a 90% confidence interval for the proportion of cans in the shipment that meet the specification. b. Find a 90% confidence interval for the proportion of cans in the shipment that meet the specification. b. Find a 90% confidence interval for the proportion of cans in the shipment that meet the specification. b. Find a 90% confidence interval for the proportion of cans in the shipment that meet the specification. b. Find a 90% confidence interval for the proportion of cans in the shipment that meet the specification. b. Find a 90% confidence interval for the proportion of cans in the shipment that meet the specification. b. Find a 90% confidence interval for the proportion of cans in the shipment that meet the specification is a specific to the proportion of cans in the shipment that meet the specific that the shipment that meet the shipment that meet the specific that the shipment that meet the shipment that the shipment tha
confidence interval to specify the proportion to within ±0.05. e. If a 90% confidence interval is computed each day for 300 days, what is the probability that more than 280 of the confidence intervals cover the true proportions? The National Center for Health Statistics interviewed 5409 adult smokers in 2015, and 2636 of them said they had tried to
quit smoking during the past year. Consider this to be a random sample. a. Find a 95% confidence interval for the proportion of smokers who have tried to quit within the past year. c. Someone claims that the proportion is less than 0.5. With
what level of confidence can this statement be made? d. Find the sample size necessary for a 95% confidence interval to specify the proportion to within ±0.01. e. Find the sample size necessary for a 99% confidence interval to specify the proportion to within ±0.01. e. Find the sample size necessary for a 99% confidence interval to specify the proportion to within ±0.01. e. Find the sample size necessary for a 99% confidence interval to specify the proportion to within ±0.01. e. Find the sample size necessary for a 99% confidence interval to specify the proportion to within ±0.01. e. Find the sample size necessary for a 95% confidence interval to specify the proportion to within ±0.01. e. Find the sample size necessary for a 95% confidence interval to specify the proportion to within ±0.01. e. Find the sample size necessary for a 95% confidence interval to specify the proportion to within ±0.01. e. Find the sample size necessary for a 95% confidence interval to specify the proportion to within ±0.01. e. Find the sample size necessary for a 95% confidence interval to specify the proportion to within ±0.01. e. Find the sample size necessary for a 95% confidence interval to specify the proportion to within ±0.01. e. Find the sample size necessary for a 95% confidence interval to specify the proportion to within ±0.01. e. Find the sample size necessary for a 95% confidence interval to specify the proportion to within ±0.01. e. Find the sample size necessary for a 95% confidence interval to specify the proportion to within ±0.01. e. Find the sample size necessary for a 95% confidence interval to specify the proportion to within ±0.01. e. Find the sample size necessary for a 95% confidence interval to specify the proportion to within ±0.01. e. Find the sample size necessary for a 95% confidence interval to specify the proportion to within ±0.01. e. Find the sample size necessary for a 95% confidence interval to specify the proportion to specify the proportion to specify the proportion to specify the proportion to spe
level higher than normal is referred to as "impaired fasting glucose." The article "Association of Low-Moderate Arsenic Exposure and Arsenic Metabolism with Incident Diabetes and Insulin Resistance in the Strong Heart Family Study" (M. Grau-Perez, C. Kuo, et al., Environmental Health Perspectives, 2017, online) reports a study in which 47 of 155
people with impaired fasting glucose had type 2 diabetes. Consider this to be a simple random sample. a. 6. 7. 8. Find a 99% confidence interval for the proportion of people with impaired fasting glucose who have type 2 diabetes. c.
A doctor claims that less than 35% of people with impaired fasting glucose have type 2 diabetes. With what level of confidence bound for the proportion of automobiles whose emissions exceed the standard. Refer to Exercise 2. Find a 98% upper confidence bound for the
proportion of residences that reduced their water consumption. Refer to Exercise 4. Find a 99% lower confidence bound for the proportion of smokers who have tried to quit smoking in the past year. Page 344 A random sample of 400 electronic components manufactured by a certain process are tested, and 30 are found to be defective. a. Let p
represent the proportion of components manufactured by this process that are defective. Find a 95% confidence interval for p. b. How many components must be sampled so that the 95% confidence interval will specify the proportion defective to within ±0.02? c. (Hard) The components must be sampled so that the 95% confidence interval will specify the proportion defective to within ±0.02? c. (Hard) The components must be sampled so that the 95% confidence interval will specify the proportion defective to within ±0.02? c. (Hard) The components must be sampled so that the 95% confidence interval will specify the proportion defective to within ±0.02? c. (Hard) The components must be sampled so that the 95% confidence interval will specify the proportion defective to within ±0.02? c. (Hard) The components must be sampled so that the 95% confidence interval will specify the proportion defective to within ±0.02? c. (Hard) The components must be sampled so that the 95% confidence interval will specify the proportion defective to within ±0.02? c. (Hard) The components must be sampled so that the 95% confidence interval will specify the proportion defective to within ±0.02? c. (Hard) The components must be sampled so that the 95% confidence interval will specify the proportion defective to within ±0.02? c. (Hard) The components must be sampled so that the proportion defective to will be sampled so that the proportion defective to will be sampled so that the proportion defective to will be sampled so that the proportion defective to will be sampled so that the proportion defective to will be sampled so that the proportion defective to will be sampled so that the proportion defective to will be sampled so that the proportion defective to will be sampled so that the proportion defective to will be sampled so that the proportion defective to will be sampled so that the proportion defective to will be sampled so that the proportion defective to will be sampled so that the proportion defective to will be sampled so that the propo
defective components may be returned. Find a 95% confidence interval for the proportion of lots that will be returned. 10. Refer to Exercise 9 will be connected in series. The components function independently, and the device will function only if both components function.
Let q be the probability that a device functions. Find a 95% confidence interval for q.(Hint: Express q in terms of p, and then use the result of Exercise 9a.) 11. When the light turns yellow, should you stop or go through it? The article "Evaluation of Driver Behavior in Type II Dilemma Zones at High-Speed Signalized Intersections" (D. Hurwitz, M.
Knodler, and B. Nyquist, Journal of Transportation Engineering, 2011:277- 286) defines the "indecision zone" as the period when a vehicle is between 2.5 and 5.5 seconds away from an intersection. It presents observations of 710 vehicles passing through various intersections in Vermont for which the light turned yellow in the indecision zone. Of the
710 vehicles, 89 ran a red light. a. Find a 90% confidence interval for the proportion of vehicles that will run the red light when encountering a yellow light in the indecision zone. c. Find a 99% confidence
interval for the proportion of vehicles that will run the red light when encountering a yellow light in the indecision zone. 12. Sleep apnea is a disorder in which there are pauses in breathing during sleep. People with this condition must wake up frequently to breathe. The article "Postoperative Complications in Patients With Obstructive Sleep Apnea
Syndrome Undergoing Hip or Knee Replacement: A Case-Control Study" (R. Gupta, J. Parvizi, et al., Mayo Clinic Proceedings, 2001:897-905) reported that in a sample of 427 people 65 and over who have sleep apnea. b. Find a
99% confidence interval for the proportion of those 65 and over who have sleep apnea. c. Find the sample size needed for a 99% confidence interval to specify the proportion to within ±0.03. d. Find the sample size needed for a 99% confidence interval to specify the proportion to within ±0.03. d. Find the sample size needed for a 99% confidence interval to specify the proportion to within ±0.03. d. Find the sample size needed for a 95% confidence interval to specify the proportion to within ±0.03. d. Find the sample size needed for a 95% confidence interval for the proportion to within ±0.03. d. Find the sample size needed for a 95% confidence interval for the proportion to within ±0.03. d. Find the sample size needed for a 95% confidence interval for the proportion to within ±0.03. d. Find the sample size needed for a 95% confidence interval for the proportion to within ±0.03. d. Find the sample size needed for a 95% confidence interval for the proportion to within ±0.03. d. Find the sample size needed for a 95% confidence interval for the proportion for the proportion of the proportion of the proportion for the proportion of th
computer-related jobs to estimate the proportion of such workers who have changed jobs within the past year. a. In the absence of preliminary data, how large a sample of 100 workers, 20 of them had changed jobs within the past year.
Find a 95% confidence interval for the proportion of workers who have changed jobs within the past year. c. Based on the data in part (b), estimate the sample size needed so that the 95% confidence interval will specify the proportion to within ±0.05. Stainless steels can be susceptible to stress corrosion cracking under certain conditions. A materials
engineer is interested in determining the proportion of steel alloy failures that are due to stress corrosion cracking. a. In the absence of preliminary data, how large a sample must be taken so as to be sure that a 98% confidence interval will specify the proportion to within ±0.05? b. In a sample of 200 failures, 30 of them were caused by stress
corrosion cracking. Find a 98% confidence interval for the proportion of failures caused by stress corrosion cracking. c. Based on the data in part (b), estimate the sample size needed so that the 98% confidence interval will specify the proportion to within ±0.05. The article "A Music Key Detection Method Based on Pitch Class Distribution Theory" (I
Sun, H. Li, and L. Ma, International Journal of Knowledge-based and Intelligent Engineering Systems, 2011:165-175) describes a method of analyzing digital music files to determine the key in which the music is written. In a sample of 335 classical Page 345 music selections, the key was identified correctly in 293 of them. a. Find a 90% confidence
interval for the proportion of pieces for which the key will be correctly identified. b. How many music pieces should be sampled to specify the proportion of the time this method will be identified correctly. Find
a conservative estimate of the sample size needed so that the proportion will be specified to within ±0.03 with 90% confidence. A stock market analyst notices that in a certain year, the price of IBM stock increased on 131 out of 252 trading days. Can these data be used to find a 95% confidence interval for the proportion of days that IBM stock
increases? Explain. 5-3 Small-Sample Confidence Population Mean Intervals for a The methods described in Section 5.1 for computing confidence intervals for a population mean require that the sample size be large. When the population is
approximately normal, a probability distribution called the Student's t distribution and show how to use it. The Student's t Distribution If is the mean of a large sample of size n from a population with mean μ and variance σ2, then the
Central Limit Theorem specifies that . The quantity then has a normal distribution with mean 0 and variance 1. In addition, the sample standard deviation s will almost certainly be close to the population standard deviation of the sample standard deviation of the sample standard deviation of the sample standard deviation s will almost certainly be close to the population standard deviation of the sample standard deviation of
this quantity in the standard normal table (z table). This enables us to compute confidence intervals of various levels for the population mean μ. What can we do if is the mean of a small sample? If the sample size is small, s may not be approximately normal. If we know nothing about the population from which the small
sample was drawn, there are no easy methods for computing confidence intervals. However, if the population is approximately normal even when the sample size is small. It turns out that we can still use the quantity will not have a normal distribution. Instead, it has
the Student's t distribution with n - 1 degrees of freedom, which we denote tn-1. The number of degrees of freedom for the t distribution was discovered in 1908 by William Sealy Gossett, a statistician who worked for the Guinness Brewing Company in Dublin, Ireland. The management at
Guinness considered the discovery to be proprietary information, and required him to use the pseudonym "Student." This had happened before; see Section 4.3. Page 346 Summary Let X1, ..., Xn be a small (e.g., n < 30) sample from a normal population with mean \mu. Then the quantity has a Student's t distribution with n - 1 degrees of freedom
denoted tn-1. When n is large, the distribution of the quantity is very close to normal, so the normal curve can be used, rather than the Student's t. The probability density function of the probability density function for several choices of degrees of
freedom. The curves all have a shape similar to that of the normal, or z, curve with mean 0 and standard deviation 1. The t curves are more spread out, however. For example, the t curve with mean 0 and standard deviation s is
much smaller than σ, which makes the value of quite large (either positive or negative). For this reason, the t curve with one degree of freedom has a lot of area in the tails. For larger sample size of freedom (corresponding to a sample size of freedom has a lot of area in the tails.
11), the difference between the t curve and the normal curve is not great. If a t curve with 30 degrees of freedom were plotted in Figure 5.9, it would be indistinguishable from the normal curve. Page 347 FIGURE 5.9 Plots of the probability density function of the Student's t curve for various degrees of freedom. The normal curve with mean 0 and
variance 1 (z curve) is plotted for comparison. The t curves are more spread out than the normal, but the amount of extra spread decreases as the number of degrees of freedom increases. Table A.3 (in Appendix A), called a t table, provides probabilities associated with the Student's t distribution. We present some examples to show how to use the
table. Example 5.15 A random sample of size 10 is to be drawn from a normal distribution with mean 4. The Student's t statistic has 10 - 1 = 9 degrees of freedom. From the t table, P(t > 1.833) = 0.05. See Figure 5.10. FIGURE 5.10 Solution to Example 5.15. Example 5.15.
5.16 Refer to Example 5.15. Find P(t > 1.5). Solution Looking across the row corresponding to 9 degrees of freedom, we see that the t table does not list the value 1.5. We find that P(t > 1.833) = 0.10. See Figure 5.11. If a more precise result were required, linear interpolation could
be used as follows: A computer package gives the answer correct to three significant digits as 0.0839. FIGURE 5.11 Solution to Example 5.16. Example 5.16. Example 5.17 Find the value or the t12 distribution whose upper-tail probability is 0.025. Page 348 Solution Look down the column headed "0.025" to the row corresponding to 12 degrees of freedom. The
value for t12 is 2.179. Example 5.18 Find the value for the t14 distribution whose lower-tail probability is 0.01. Solution Look down the column headed "0.01" to the row corresponding to 14 degrees of freedom. The value for t14 is 2.624. This value cuts off an area, or probability, of 1% in the upper tail. The value whose lower-tail probability is 1% is
-2.624. Don't Use the Student's t Statistic If the Sample Contains Outliers For the Student's t statistic should not be used for samples that contain outliers. Therefore, methods involving the Student's t statistic should not be used for samples that contain outliers.
Using the Student's t Distribution When the sample size is small, and the population is approximately normal, we can use the Student's t distribution to compute confidence intervals. We illustrate this with an example. A metallurgist is studying a new welding process. He manufactures five welded joints and measures the yield strength of each. The
five values (in ksi) are 56.3, 65.4, 58.7, 70.1, and 63.9. Assume that these values are a random sample from an approximately normal population. The task is to find a confidence interval for the mean strength of welds made by this process. When the sample size is large, we don't need to worry much about the nature of the population, because the
Central Limit Theorem guarantees that the quantity will be approximately normally distributed. When the sample is small, however, the distribution of the population must be approximately normally distributed with a value from the
Student's t distribution. The quantity has a Student's t distribution with n-1 degrees of freedom. Figure 5.12 shows the t4 distribution. From the Student's t distribution with n-1 degrees of freedom. Figure 5.12 shows the t4 distribution. From the Student's t distribution with n-1 degrees of freedom. Figure 5.12 shows the t3 distribution. From the Student's t distribution with n-1 degrees of freedom. Figure 5.12 shows the t3 distribution.
5.12 The Student's t distribution with four degrees of freedom. Ninety-five percent of the area falls between t = -2.776 and t = 2.776. Put another way, for 95% of all the samples that might have been chosen, it is the case Page 349 that Multiplying by -1 and adding \mu: across the inequality, we obtain a 95% confidence interval for In this example, the
sample mean is, and the sample standard deviation s = 5.4838. The sample size is n = 5. Substituting values for, s, and n, we find that a 95% confidence interval for \mu is 62.88 - 6.81 11. The statement "\mu > 11" is a hypothesis about the population mean \mu. To determine just how certain we can be that a hypothesis such as this is true, we must
perform a hypothesis test. A hypothesis test produces a number between 0 and 1 that measures the degree of certainty we may have in the truth of a hypothesis tests are closely related to confidence intervals. In general, whenever a confidence interval can be
computed, a hypothesis test can also be performed, and vice versa. 6.1 Large-Sample Tests for a Population Mean We begin with an example. A certain type of automobile engine emits a mean of 100 mg of oxides of nitrogen (NOx) per second at 100 horsepower. A modification to the engine design has been proposed that may reduce NOx emissions
The new design will be put into production if it can be demonstrated that its mean emission rate is less than 100 mg/s. A sample of 50 modified engines are built and tested. The sample mean NOx emission is 92 mg/s, and the sample standard deviation is 10 mg/s. Page 403 The population in this case consists of the emission rate is less than 100 mg/s.
would be built if this modified design is put into production. If there were no uncertainty in the sample mean, then we could conclude that the modification would reduce emissions—from 100 to 92 mg/s. Of course, there is uncertainty in the sample mean, then we could conclude that the modification would reduce emissions—from 100 to 92 mg/s. Of course, there is uncertainty in the sample mean, then we could conclude that the modification would reduce emissions—from 100 to 92 mg/s.
concerned that the modified engines might not reduce emissions at all, that is, that the population mean might be 100 or more. They want to know whether this concern is justified. The question, therefore, is this: Is it plausible that this sample, with its mean of 92, could have come from a population whose mean is 100 or more? This is the sort of
question that hypothesis tests are designed to address, and we will now construct a hypothesis test to address this question. We have observed a sample with mean 92. There are two possible interpretations of this observation: 1. The population mean is actually greater than or equal to 100, and the sample mean is lower than this only because of
random variation from the population mean. Thus emissions will not go down if the new design is put into production, and the sample mean reflects this fact. Thus the sample represents a real difference that can be expected if the new design is put into production. These
two explanations have standard names. The first is called the null hypothesis says that the effect indicated by the sample and the population. The second explanation is called the alternate hypothesis. In most situations, the null hypothesis says that the effect indicated by
the sample is real, in that it accurately represents the whole population. In our example, the engine manufacturers are concerned that the null hypothesis might be true. A hypothesis test assigns a quantitative measure to the plausibility of the null hypothesis might be true.
terms, precisely how valid their concern is. To make things more precise, we express everything in symbols. The null hypothesis is denoted H1. As usual, the population mean is denoted H1. As usual, th
that H0 is true, just as we begin a trial by assuming a defendant to be innocent. The random sample provides the evidence. The hypothesis test involves measuring the strength of the disagreement between the sample and H0 to produce a number between the sample and H0 to produce a number between the sample provides the evidence. The hypothesis test involves measuring the strength of the disagreement between the sample and H0 to produce a number between the sample and H0 to produce a number between the sample provides the evidence.
the stronger the evidence is against H0. If the P-value is sufficiently small, we may be willing to Page 404 abandon our assumption that H0 is true and believe H1 instead. This is referred to as rejecting the null hypothesis. In this example, let X1, ..., X50 be the emissions rates measured from the 50 sample engines. The observed value of the sample
mean is . We will also need to know the sample standard deviation, which is s = 21. We must assess the plausibility of H0, which says that the population whose mean is 100 or more, given that we have observed a sample from this population whose mean is 100 or more, given that we have observed a sample from this population whose mean is 100 or more, given that we have observed a sample from this population whose mean is 100 or more, given that we have observed a sample from this population whose mean is 100 or more, given that we have observed a sample from this population whose mean is 100 or more, given that we have observed a sample from this population whose mean is 100 or more, given that we have observed a sample from this population whose mean is 100 or more, given that we have observed a sample from this population whose mean is 100 or more, given that we have observed a sample from this population whose mean is 100 or more, given that we have observed a sample from this population whose mean is 100 or more, given that we have observed a sample from this population whose mean is 100 or more, given that we have observed a sample from this population whose mean is 100 or more, given that we have observed a sample from this population whose mean is 100 or more, given that we have observed a sample from this population whose mean is 100 or more, given that we have observed a sample from this population whose mean is 100 or more, given that we have observed a sample from this population whose mean is 100 or more, given that we have observed a sample from this population whose mean is 100 or more, given that we have observed a sample from this population whose mean is 100 or more, given that we have observed a sample from this population whose mean is 100 or more, given that we have observed a sample from this population whose mean is 100 or more, given that we have observed a sample from this population whose mean is 100 or more, given that we have observed a sample from this population whose mean is 100 or m
assumption that H0 is true. This distribution is called the null distribution of . 2. We will compute the P-value. This is the probability, under the assumption that H0 is true, of observing a value of whose disagreement with H0 is as least as great as that of the observed value of 92. To perform step 1, note that is the mean of a large sample, so the
Central Limit Theorem specifies that it comes from a normal distribution whose mean is \mu and whose variance and 50 is the population varian
a specific value for \mu. We take as the assumed value for \mu the value closest to the alternate hypothesis H1, for reasons that will be explained later in this section. Thus we assume \mu = 100. We do not know the population s = 21. Thus we
have determined that under H0, has a normal distribution with mean 100 and standard deviation. The null distribution is . We are now ready for step 2. Figure 6.1 illustrates the null distribution with mean 100 and standard deviation. The number sampled from this
distribution would be as small as 92? This is measured by the P-value is the probability that a number drawn from the null distribution would disagree with H0 at least as strongly as the observed value of , which is 92. Since H0 specifies that the mean of is greater than or equal to 100, values less than 92 are in greater disagreement with
H0. The P-value, therefore, is the probability that a number drawn from an N(100, 2.972) distribution of is N(100, 2.972). Thus if H0 is true, the probability that takes on a value as extreme as or more extreme than the observed value of 92 is
0.0036. This is the P-value. FIGURE 6.1 From the z table, the probability that a standard normal random variable z is less than or equal to -2.69 is 0.0036. The P-value for this test is 0.0036. The P-value, as promised, provides a quantitative measure of the plausibility of H0. But how do we interpret this quantity? The proper interpretation is rather
subtle. The P-value tells us that if H0 were true, the probability of drawing a sample whose mean was as far from Page 405 H0 as the observed value of 92 is only 0.0036. Therefore, one of the following two conclusions is possible: 1. H0 is true, which implies that of all the samples that might have been drawn, only 0.36% of them have a
mean as small as or smaller than that of the sample actually drawn. In other words, our sample mean lies in the most extreme 0.36% of its distributions very seldom occur. Therefore we reject H0 and conclude that the new engines will lower emissions. The null hypothesis in this case
specified only that \mu \ge 100. In assuming H0 to be true, why did we choose the value \mu = 100. This value is also the one closest to H1. This is typical.
In practice, when it is of interest to perform a hypothesis test, the most plausible value for H0 will be the value closest to H1. It is natural to ask how small the P-value should be in order to reject H0. Some people use the "5% rule"; they reject H0 if P \le 0.05. However, there is no scientific justification for this or any other rule. We discuss this issue in
more detail in Section 6.2. Note that the method we have just described uses the Central Limit Theorem. It follows that for small samples are presented in Section 6.4. Finally, note that the calculation of the P-value was
done by computing a z-score. For this reason, the z-score is called a test statistic. A test that uses a z-score as a test statistic is called a z test. Page 406 There are many kinds of hypothesis Test 1. Define H0 and H1. 2. Assume H0
to be true. 3. Compute a test statistic. A test statistic is a statistic is a statistic that is used to assess the strength of the evidence against H0. 4. Compute the P-value of the test statistic. The P-value is take probability, assuming H0 to be true, that the test statistic would have a value whose disagreement with H0 is as great as or greater than that actually observed.
The P-value is also called the observed significance level. State a conclusion about the strength of the evidence against H0. 5. Another Way to Express H0 We have mentioned that when assuming H0 to be true, we use the value closest to H1. Some authors consider this single value to be H0, so that, in the previous example, they would write H0: µ =
100 instead of H0: \mu \ge 100. There is an advantage to this notation, which is that it makes it clear which value is being used when H0 is assumed to be true. But there is a disadvantage when it comes to interpretation. Generally, the value closest to H1 is of no special interest. For example, in the emissions example just discussed, we are not specifically.
concerned with the possibility \mu = 100, but with the possibility \mu = 100. The importance of rejecting H0 is not that we reject the single value \mu = 100, but that we reject all values \mu \ge 100. For this reason, we choose to write H0: \mu \ge 100. Example 6.1 The article "Wear in Boundary Lubrication" (S. Hsu, R. Munro, and M. Shen, Journal of Engineering
Tribology, 2002:427-441) discusses several experiments involving various lubricated with purified paraffin were subjected to a 40 kg load at 600 rpm for 60 minutes. The average wear, measured by the reduction in diameter, was 673.2 µm, and the standard deviation was 14.9 µm. Assume that the
specification for a lubricant is that the mean wear be less than 675 \mu ..., X45 of wear diameters. The sample mean and standard deviation mean is = 14.9. The population mean is = 14.9
unknown and denoted by \mu. Before getting into the construction of the test, we'll point out again that the basic issue is the uncertainty in the sample mean. If there were no uncertainty in the sample mean, we could conclude that the lubricant would meet the specification, since 673.2 < 675. The question is whether the uncertainty in the sample mean
is large enough so that the population mean could plausibly be as high as 675. To perform the hypothesis test, we follow the steps given earlier. The null hypothesis is that the lubricant does not meet the specification, and that the difference between Page 407 the sample mean of 673.2 and 675 is due to chance. The alternate hypothesis is that the
a normal. The P-value is the probability of observing a sample mean less than or equal to 673.2. The test statistic is the zscore, which is The P-value is 0.209 (see Figure 6.2). Therefore if H0 is true, there is a 20.9% chance to observe a sample whose disagreement with H0 is as least as great as that which was actually observed. Since 0.209 is not a
very small probability, we do not reject H0. Instead, we conclude that H0 is plausible. The data do not show conclusively that it is plausible. We will discuss this distinction further in Section 6.2. The null distribution of is N(675, 2.222). Thus if H0 is true
the probability that takes on a value as extreme as or more extreme than the observed value of 673.2 is 0.209. This is the Pvalue. FIGURE 6.2 The following computer output (from MINITAB) presents the results of Example 2. Wear Test of mu = 675 vs < 675 The assumed standard deviation = 14.9 Variable N Wear Mean StDev 45
673.200\ 14.9\ \text{SE}\ \text{Mean}\ 2.221\ 95\%\ \text{Upper}\ \text{Z}\ P\ \text{Bound}\ 676.853\ -0.81\ 0.209\ \text{The output also provides a }95\%\ \text{upper confidence}
calibrated by weighing a 1000 g test weight 60 times. The 60 scale readings have mean 1000.6 g and standard deviation \mu = 1000 versus H1: \mu \neq 1000. Solution Let \mu \neq 1000. Solution Let \mu \neq 1000. Solution mean \mu = 1000 versus H1: \mu \neq 1000. Solution Let \mu \neq 1000. Solution \mu = 1000 versus H1: \mu \neq 1000. Solution \mu = 1000 versus H1: \mu \neq 1000. Solution \mu = 1000 versus H1: \mu \neq 1000. Solution \mu = 1000 versus H1: \mu \neq 1000. Solution \mu = 1000 versus H1: \mu \neq 1000. Solution \mu = 1000 versus H1: \mu \neq 1000. Solution \mu = 1000 versus H1: \mu \neq 1000. Solution \mu = 1000 versus H1: \mu \neq 100
weight of 1000 g, and the difference between the sample mean reading and the true weight is due entirely to chance. The alternate hypothesis specifies that \( \mu \) is equal to a specific value, rather than or equal to or less than or equal to. For this reason, values of
= 2. The null distribution of is normal with mean 1000 and standard deviation. The z-score of the observed value is Since H0 specifies \mu = 1000, regions in both tails of the sum of the areas in both of these tails, which is 0.0204 (see Figure 6.3). Therefore
if H0 is true, the probability of a result as extreme as or more extreme than that observed is only 0.0204. The evidence against H0 is true, the probability that takes on a value as extreme as or more extreme than the
Pvalue, and the test is called a one-sided or one-tailed test. We conclude this section by summarizing the procedure used to perform a large-sample hypothesis test for a population with mean \mu and standard deviation \sigma. To test a null hypothesis of the form H0: \mu \leq \mu0
H0: \mu \ge \mu 0, or H0: \mu \ge \mu 0, or H0: \mu = \mu 0: Compute the p-value H1: \mu > \mu 0 Area to the right of z H1: \mu \ne \mu 0 Area to the left of z H1: \mu \ne \mu 0 Sum of the areas in
the tails cut off by z and -z Exercises for Section 6.1 1. A sample of 50 copper wires had a mean resistance of 1.03 m\Omega with a standard deviation of 0.1 m\Omega. Let \mu represent the mean resistance of 50 copper wires had a mean resistance of 1.03 m\Omega, or the sample is
                                      _% of its distribution. A sample of 65 electric motors had a mean efficiency of 0.595 with a standard deviation of 0.05. Let \mu represent the mean efficiency of electric motors of this type. a. Find the P-value for testing H0: \mu \ge 0.6 versus H1: \mu < 0.6. b. 3. Either the mean efficiency is less than 0.6, or the sample is in the most
                     % of its distribution. The article "Supply Voltage Quality in Low-Voltage Industrial Networks of Estonia" (T. Vinnal, K. Janson, et al., Estonian Journal of Engineering, 2012:102–126) presents voltage measurements for a sample mean
voltage was 231.7 V with a standard deviation of 2.19 V. Let \mu represent the population mean voltage for these networks. a. Find the P-value for testing H0: \mu = 232 versus H1: \mu \neq 232. b. 4. Either the mean voltage is not equal to 232, or the sample is in the most extreme
varies somewhat from batch to batch. In a sample of 50 batches the mean pH was 2.6, with a standard deviation of 0.3. Let \mu represent the mean pH is greater than 2.5 mm, or the sample is in the most extreme
distribution. Recently many companies have been experimenting with telecommuting, allowing employees to work at home on their computers. Among other things, telecommuting is supposed to reduce the number of sick days. This computers are more than the computers are more than the computers. Among other things, telecommuting is supposed to reduce the number of sick days. This computers are more than the computers are more than the computers. Among other things, telecommuting is supposed to reduce the number of sick days. This computers are more than the computers are more than the computers are more than the computers. Among other things, telecommuting is supposed to reduce the number of sick days. This computers are more than the computers.
year, the firm introduces telecommuting. Management chooses a simple random sample of 80 employees to follow in detail, and, at the end of the year, these employees of the firm. a. Find the P-value for testing H0: \mu \ge 5.4
versus H1: μ < 5.4. b. 6. Do you believe it is plausible that the mean number of sick days is at least 5.4, or are you convinced that it is less than 5.4? Explain your reasoning. A certain type of stainless steel powder is supposed to have a mean particle diameter of μ = 15 μm. A random sample of 87 particles had a mean diameter of 15.2 μm, with a
standard deviation of 1.8 µm. A test is made of H0: µ = 15 versus H1: µ ≠ 15. Find the P-value. Do you believe it is plausible that the mean diameter is 15 µm, or are you convinced that it differs from 15 µm? Explain your reasoning. In a test of corrosion resistance, a sample of 60 Incology steel specimens were immersed in acidified brine for four hours
after which each specimen had developed a number of corrosive pits. The maximum pit depth was measured for each specimen. The mean depth \mu is less than 900 \mum. a. Find the P-value for testing H0: \mu \geq 900 versus H1: \mu < 900. a. b. 7. b. 8. Do
you believe it is plausible that the mean depth is at least 900µm, or are you convinced that it is less than 900µm? Explain. A process that manufactures steel bolts is supposed to be calibrated to produce bolts with a mean length of 5.02 cm with a standard deviation of 0.06 cm. Let µ be the mean length
of bolts manufactured by this process. a. Find the P-value for testing H0: μ = 5 versus H1: μ ≠ 5. b. Do you believe it is plausible that the process is properly calibrated, or are you 9. convinced that it is out of calibration? Explain. The article "Predicting Profit Performance for Selecting Candidate International Construction Projects" (S. Han, D. Kim,
and H. Kim, Journal of Construction Engineering and Management Science, 2007: 425-436) presents an analysis of the profit of international construction of 16.33. A test is made of H0: \mu \ge 10 versus H1: \mu < 10. Find the P-value. Do you
believe that it is plausible that it is plausible that the mean profit margin is at least 10%, or are you convinced that it is less than 10%? Explain your reasoning. 10. A new concrete mix is being designed to provide adequate compressive strength for concrete blocks. The specification for a particular application calls for the blocks to have a mean compressive strength for concrete blocks.
your reasoning. 11. Fill in the blank: If the null hypothesis is H0: \mu \leq 5, then the mean of under the null distribution is _____. i. 0 ii. 5 iii. Any number less than or equal to 5. iv. We can't tell unless we know H1. a. b. 12. Fill in the blank: In a test of H0: \mu \geq 10 versus H1: \mu < 10, the sample mean was and the P-value was 0.04. This means that if \mu = 10,
                                                                                                                                                                                               times. i. 10 ii. 2 iii. 4 iv. 8 13. Fill in the blank: In a test of H0: \mu = 5 vs. H1: \mu \neq 5, the sample mean was , and the Pvalue was 0.22. This means that if \mu = 5, and the experiment were repeated 100 times, we would expect to
and the experiment were repeated Page 411 100 times, we would expect to obtain a value of of 8 or less approximately
obtain a value of between 4 and 6 approximately _____ times. i. 4 ii. 22 iii. 78 iv. 6 14. An automotive engineer takes a large number of brake pads to a stress test and measures the wear on each. She obtains mm and . Use this information to find the P-value for testing H0: \mu = 7.0 versus H1: \mu \neq 7.0. 15. An engineer takes a large number of
independent measurements of the length of a component and obtains and Use this information to find the Pvalue for testing H0: \mu = 5.0 versus H1: \mu \neq 5.0. 16. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis test for a population mean \mu. One-Sample Z: X Test of mu = 73.5 vs not = 73.5 The assumed standard deviation = 2.3634
Variable N X a. b. c. d. Mean StDev SE Mean 14573.24612.3634 0.1963 95% CI Z P (72.8614, -1.29 0.196 73.6308) Is this a one-tailed or two-tailed test? What is the P-value for the test of H0: \mu \ge 73.6 versus H1: \mu < 73.6. e. Use the output and an appropriate table to compute the P-value for the test of H0: \mu \ge 73.6 versus H1: \mu < 73.6. e. Use the output and an appropriate table to compute the P-value for the test of H0: \mu \ge 73.6 versus H1: \mu < 73.6. e. Use the output and an appropriate table to compute the P-value for the test of H0: \mu \ge 73.6 versus H1: \mu < 73.6. e. Use the output and an appropriate table to compute the P-value for the test of H0: \mu \ge 73.6 versus H1: \mu < 73.6 versus H1: \mu < 73.6. e. Use the output and an appropriate table to compute the P-value for the test of H0: \mu \ge 73.6 versus H1: \mu < 73.6 versus H1: \mu < 73.6. e. Use the output and an appropriate table to compute the P-value for the test of H0: \mu \ge 73.6 versus H1: \mu < 73.6
appropriate table to compute a 99% confidence interval for \mu. 17. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis test for a population mean \mu. One-Sample Z: X Test of mu = 45 vs > 45 The assumed standard deviation = 5.0921 Variable N X a. b. c. d. Mean StDev SE Mean 12246.25985.0921 0.4610 95% Lower Z P Bound
45.50152.73~0.003 Is this a one-tailed or two-tailed test? What is the P-value? Use the output and an appropriate table to compute a 98% confidence interval for μ. Page 412 18. The following MINITAB output
presents the results of a hypothesis test for a population mean µ. Some of the numbers are missing. Fill them in. One-Sample Z: X Test of mu = 10.5 vs < 10.5 The assumed standard deviation = 2.2136 Variable N Mean StDev SE Mean X (a) (b) 2.2136 0.2767 95% Upper Bound Z P 10.6699 -1.03 (c) 19. The following MINITAB output presents the
results of a hypothesis test for a population mean \mu. Some of the numbers are missing. Fill them in. One-Sample Z: X Test of mu = 3.5 vs not = 3.5
Let's take a closer look at the conclusions reached in Example 6.1, we did not reject H0. However, H0. However, H0. However, H0. However, H0. However, H0. 
reached in a hypothesis test are that H0 is false or that H0 is plausible. In particular, one can never conclude that H0 is true. To understand why, think of Example mean was , and the null hypothesis might be true. But a sample mean
of 673.2 obviously could not lead us to conclude that \mu \ge 675 is true, since 673.2 is less than 675. This is typical of many situations of interest. The test statistic is consistent with the P-value, is great enough to render the
null hypothesis implausible. How do we know when to reject H0? The smaller the P-value, the less plausible H0 becomes. A common rule of thumb is to draw the line at 5%. According to this rule of thumb, if P 

0.05, H0 is rejected; otherwise H0 is not rejected. In fact, there is no sharp dividing line between conclusive evidence against H0 and
inconclusive evidence, just as there is no sharp dividing line between hot and cold weather. So while this rule of thumb is convenient, it has no real scientific justification. Page 413 Summary The smaller the P-value, the more certain we can be that H0 is false.
that H0 is true. \blacksquare A rule of thumb suggests to reject H0 whenever P \leq 0.05. While this rule is convenient, it has no scientific basis. Statistically significant at the P-value is less than a particular threshold, the result is said to be "statistically significant" at that level. So, for example, if P \leq 0.05, the result is statistically significant at the 5%
level; if P \le 0.01, the result is statistically significant at the 100\alpha%." Example 6.3 A hypothesis test is performed of the null hypothesis H0: \mu = 0. The P-value turns out to be 0.03. Is the result statistically significant at the 100\alpha% level, we can also say that the null hypothesis H0: \mu = 0. The P-value turns out to be 0.03. Is the result statistically significant at the 100\alpha% level, we can also say that the null hypothesis H0: \mu = 0. The P-value turns out to be 0.03. Is the result statistically significant at the 100\alpha% level, and so on. If a result is statistically significant at the 100\alpha% level, we can also say that the null hypothesis H0: \mu = 0. The P-value turns out to be 0.03. Is the result statistically significant at the 100\alpha% level, we can also say that the null hypothesis the 100\alpha% level, we can also say that the 100\alpha% level, we can 
at the 10% level? The 5% level? The 5% level? The 1% level? Is the null hypothesis rejected at the 10% level? The 1% level? Solution The result is statistically significant at the 10% and 5% level? The 1% level? Solution The result is statistically significant at the 10% and 5% level? The 1% lev
greater than or equal to 3%, so H0 is rejected at the 1% levels, but not at the 1% level. Sometimes people report only that a test result was "statistically significant at the 5% level" or "statistically significant (P < 0.05).
This is a poor practice, for three reasons. First, it provides no way to tell whether the P-value was just barely less than 0.05, or whether it was a lot less. Second, reporting that a result was statistically significant at the 5% level implies that there is a big difference between a P-value just under 0.05 and one just above 0.05, when in fact there is little
difference. Third, a report like this does not allow readers to decide for themselves whether the P-value is small enough to reject the null hypothesis. If a reader believes that the null hypothesis should not be rejected unless P < 0.01, then reporting only that P < 0.05 does not allow that reader to decide whether or not to reject H0. Reporting the P-value is small enough to reject the null hypothesis.
value gives more information about the strength of the evidence against the null hypothesis and allows each reader to decide for himself or herself whether to reject. Software packages always output P-values; these should be included whenever the results of a hypothesis test are reported. Page 414 Summary Let α be any value between 0 and 1.
Then, if P \leq \alpha, The result of the P-value is a probability and the P-value is Not the P-value is Not the P-value is a probability That H0 is True Since the P-value is a probability, and
the P-value as the probability of observing an extreme value of a statistic such as, since the value of could come out differently if the experiment were repeated. The null hypothesis, on the other hand, either is true or is not true. The truth or falsehood of H0 cannot be changed by repeating the experiment. It is therefore not correct to discuss the
"probability" that H0 is true. At this point we must mention that there is a notion of probability, different from that which we discuss in this book, in which one can compute a probability, plays an important role in the theory of Bayesian statistics.
The kind of probability we discuss in this book is called frequentist probability. A good reference for Bayesian statistics is Lee (2013). Choose H0 and H1 appropriately so that the result of the test can be useful in forming a conclusion. Examples 6.4 and 6.5
illustrate this. Example 6.4 Specifications for a water pipe call for a mean breaking strengths, and perform a hypothesis test to decide whether or not to use a certain kind of pipe. They will select a random sample of 1 ft sections of pipe, measure their breaking strengths, and perform a hypothesis test.
The pipe will not be used unless the engineers can conclude that \mu > 2000. Assume they test H0: \mu < 2000. Will the engineers will conclude that \mu > 2000, and they will use the pipe. If H0 is not rejected, the engineers will conclude that \mu > 2000. Will the engineers will conclude that \mu > 2000. Will the engineers decide to use the pipe if H0 is rejected? What if H0 is not rejected? Solution If H0 is rejected? What if H0 is not rejected?
conclude that µ might be less than or equal to 2000, and they will not use the pipe. Page 415 In Example 6.4, the engineers' action with regard to using the pipe will differ depending on whether H0 is rejected or not. This is therefore a useful test to perform, and H1 have been specified correctly. Example 6.5 In Example 6.4, assume the
engineers test H0: \mu \ge 2000 versus H1: \mu < 2000. Will the engineers decide to use the pipe if H0 is rejected? What if H0 is not rejected? What if H0 is not rejected? Solution If H0 is rejected? What if H0 is not rejected?
might not be. So again, they won't use the pipe. In Example 6.5, the engineers' action with regard to using the pipe will be the same—they won't use it—whether or not H0 is rejected. There is no point in performing this test. The hypotheses H0 and H1 have not been specified correctly. Final note: In a one-tailed test, the equality always goes with the
null hypothesis. Thus if \mu0 is the point that divides H0 from H1, we may have H0: \mu \leq \mu0 or H0: \mu \leq \mu0. The reason for this is that when defining the null distribution, we represent H0 with the value of \mu0 or H0: \mu \leq \mu0 or H0: 
equality must go with H0. Statistical Significant." In common usage, the word significant means "important." It is therefore tempting to think that statistically significant results must always be important. This is not the case
developed. In a sample of 1000 fibers produced by this new method, the average breaking strength was 50.1 N, and the standard deviation was 1 N. Can we conclude that the new process produced by the new process. We
need to test H0: \mu \leq 50 versus H1: \mu > 50. In this way, if we reject H0, we will conclude that the new process is better. Under H0, the sample mean with mean 50 and standard deviation has a normal distribution. The z-score is The P-value is 0.0008. This is very strong evidence against H0. The new process produces fibers with a greater mean
significant, amounts to only 0.1 N. It is unlikely that this difference is large enough to matter. The lesson here is that a result can be statistically significant without being large enough to be of practical importance. How can this happen? A difference is statistically significant when it is large compared to its standard deviation. In the example, a
difference of 0.1 N was statistically significant because the standard deviation was only 0.0316 N. When the standard deviation is very small, even a small difference can be statistically significant. The P-value does not measure practical significant.
from the value specified by the null hypothesis. When the P-value is small, then we can be confidence intervals and hypothesis tests and Confidence intervals Both confidence intervals and hypothesis tests
are concerned with determining plausible values for a quantity such as a population mean μ. In a hypothesis test for a population mean μ can be thought of as the collection of all values for μ
that meet a certain criterion of plausibility, specified by the confidence level 100(1-\alpha)%. In fact, the relationship between confidence intervals and hypothesis tests is very close. To be specific, the values for which the P-value of a
(10.79, 14.57). Suppose we wanted to test the hypothesis that \mu = 10.79 versus H1: \mu 
H0 specifies that \mu is equal to 10.79, both tails contribute to the P-value, which is 0.05, and thus equal to 12.68. Since 10.79 is an endpoint of a 95% confidence, the P-value for testing H0: \mu = 10.79 is equal to 10.79, both tails contribute to the P-value for testing H0: \mu = 10.79 is equal to 10.79. Now consider testing the hypothesis H0: \mu = 14.57 versus
choose \mu 0 < 10.79 or \mu 0 > 14.57, the P-value will be less than 0.05. Thus the 95% confidence interval consists of precisely those values of \mu whose Page 417 P-values are greater than 0.05 in a hypothesis test. In this sense, the confidence interval contains all the values that are plausible for the population mean \mu. It is easy to check that a one-side of \mu whose Page 417 P-values are greater than 0.05 in a hypothesis test.
level 100(1-\alpha)\% confidence interval consists of all the values for which the P-value for testing H0: \mu \leq \mu 0 will be greater than 0.05. Similarly, the 95% upper
confidence bound for the lifetimes of the drills is 14.27. If \mu0 < 14.27, then the P-value for testing H0: \mu \geq \mu0 will be greater than 0.05. Exercises for Section 6.2 1. 2. 3. For which P-value is the null hypothesis more plausible: P = 0.5? True or false: a. If we reject H0, then we conclude that H0 is false. b. If we do not reject H0, then we
conclude that H0 is true. c. If we reject H0, then we conclude that H1 is false. ii. H0 is definitely true. iii. There is a 1% probability that H0 is true. iv. H0 might be true, but it's unlikely. v. H0 might be false, but it's unlikely. vi.
H0 is plausible. 4. If P = 0.50, which is the best conclusion? i. H0 is definitely true. iii. There is a 50% probability that H0 is true or false. If P = 0.02, then a. The result is statistically significant at the 5% level. b. The result is statistically significant
at the 1% level. c. The null hypothesis is rejected at the 5% level. d. The null hypothesis is rejected at the 1% level. It is desired to check the calibration of a scale by weighing a standard 10-gram weight 100 times. Let \mu be the population mean reading on the scale is in calibration if \mu = 10 and out of calibration if \mu \neq 10. A test is
made of the hypotheses H0: μ = 10 versus H1: μ ≠ 10. Consider three conclusions: (i) The scale is not in calibration. (ii) The scale is not in calibration. (iii) The scale is not in calibration. (iii) The scale is not in calibration. (iii) The scale is not in calibration.
                                                                                    ple of 60 students attend a series of coaching classes before taking the test. Let \mu be the population mean IQ score that would occur if every student took the coaching classes. The classes are successful if \mu > 100. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \leq 100 versus H1: \mu > 100. Consider three
possible conclusions: (i) The classes are successful. (ii) The classes are successful. (iii) The classes are successful. (iii) The classes are not successful. a. Which of the three conclusions is best if H0 is rejected? Be orge performed a hypothesis test. Luis checked George's work by redoing the calculations. Both
George and Luis agree that the result was statistically significant at the 5% level, but they got different P-value of 0.20 and Luis got a Pvalue of 0.20 an
Related Violence" (S. Duailibi, W. Ponicki, et al., American Journal of Public Health, 2007:2276-2280) presented homicide rates for the years 1995-2005 for the town of Diadema, Brazil. In 2002, a law was passed requiring bars to close at 11 pm each night. After the law's passage, the homicide rate dropped by an average of 9 homicides per month, a
statistically significant decrease. Which of the following is the best conclude that the law is responsible for a reduction in homicides, but the actual amount might be somewhat more or less than 9 per month. iii. It is
reasonable to conclude that the homicide rate decreased, but the law may not have decreased at all after the passage of the law. 10. Let u be the radiation level to which a radiation worker is exposed during the course of a year. The Environmental Protection
Agency has set the maximum safe level of exposure at 5 rem per year. If a hypothesis test is to be performed to determine whether a workplace is safe, which is the most appropriate null hypothesis regarding the population
mean µ. a. A new type of battery will be installed in heart pacemakers if it can be shown to have a mean lifetime of tires will be more than 60,000 miles. c. A quality control inspector will recalibrate a flowmeter if the mean flow rate
differs from 10 mL/s. 12. The installation of a radon abatement device is recommended in any home where the mean radon concentration is 4.0 picocuries per liter (pCi/L) or more, because it is thought that long-term exposure to sufficiently high doses of radon can increase the risk of cancer. Seventy-five measurements are made in a particular home.
The mean concentration was 3.72 pCi/L and the standard deviation was 1.93 pCi/L. a. The home inspector who performed the test says that since the mean measurement is less than 4.0, radon abatement is not necessary. Explain why this reasoning is 8. incorrect. b. Because of health concerns, radon abatement is recommended whenever it is
plausible that the mean radon concentration may be 4.0 pCi/L or more. State the appropriate c. Compute the P-value. Would you recommend radon abatement? Explain. 13. It is desired to check the calibration of a scale by weighing a standard 10 g weight 100
times. Let \mu be the population mean reading on the scale is in calibration. (ii) The scale is in calibration. (iii) The scale is in calibration.
best if H0 is rejected? b. Which of the three conclusively that the scale is in calibration? Explain. 14. A machine that fills cereal boxes is supposed to be calibrated so that the mean fill weight is 12 oz. Let µ denote the
true mean fill weight. Assume that in a test of the hypotheses H0: \mu = 12 versus H1: \mu \neq 12, the P-value is 0.30. a. Should H0 be rejected on the basis of this test? Explain. 15. A method of applying zinc plating to steel is supposed to produce a coating
whose mean thickness is no greater than 7 microns. A quality inspector measures the thickness of 36 coated specimens and tests H0: \mu > 7. She obtains a P-value of 0.40. Since P > 0.05, she concludes that the mean thickness is within the specification. Is this conclusion correct? Explain. 16. A sample of size n = 100 is used to test
H0: \mu \leq 20 versus H1: \mu \geq 20. The value of \mu \leq 20. The value of \mu \leq 20. The value of is \mu \leq 20. The value of \mu \leq 20 and \mu \leq 20. The value of \mu \leq 20 and \mu \leq 20.
think the difference is likely to be of practical significance? Explain. Explain why a larger sample can be more likely to produce a statistically significant result that is not of practical significance? Explain why a larger sample can be more likely to produce a statistically significance as a statistically significance.
hospital stay was 6.3 days. The sample mean for the new method was for the new method was for the new method was lower.
The P-value was 0.002. True or false: a. Because the P-value is very small, we can conclude that the mean length of hospital stay is less for patients treated by the new method than for patients treated by the new method treat
old method. 18. Fill in the blank: A 95% confidence interval for \mu = 1.4 versus H1: \mu \neq 1.4. The P-value will be . i. Greater than 0.05 ii. Less than 0.05 iii. Equal to 0.05 19. Refer to Exercise 18. For which null hypothesis will P = 0.05?
i. H0: \mu = 1.2 ii. H0: \mu = 1.2 ii. H0: \mu = 1.2 iii. H0: \mu = 4 versus H1: \mu \neq 4. Regarding the P-value, which one of the following
statements is true? i. P > 0.10 ii. 0.05 < P < 0.10 iii. 0.05 < P < 0.01 iii. 0.01 < P < 0.05 iv. P < 0.01 iii. 0.05 < P < 0.01 iii. 0.05 < P < 0.05 iv. P < 0.01 iii. 0.05 < P < 0.05 iv. 
subject to the abrasion test. A 95% upper confidence bound for the mean weight loss was computed from the confidence bound whether P < 0.05? Explain. b. Is it possible to determine from the confidence
bound whether P < 0.01? Explain. 22. A shipment of fibers is not acceptable if the mean breaking strength of the fibers is less than 50 N. A large sample of fibers from this shipment was tested, and a 98% lower confidence bound for the mean breaking strength was computed to be 50.1 N. Someone suggests using these data to test the hypotheses H0:
\mu \le 50 versus H1: \mu > 50. a. Is it possible to determine from the confidence bound whether P < 0.01? Explain. b. Is it possible to determine from the confidence bound whether P < 0.01? Explain. 23. Refer to Exercise 21. It is discovered that the mean of the sample used to compute the confidence bound whether P < 0.01? Explain. 23. Refer to Exercise 21. It is discovered that the mean of the sample used to compute the confidence bound whether P < 0.01? Explain. 24. The possible to determine from the confidence bound whether P < 0.01? Explain. 25. The possible to determine from the confidence bound whether P < 0.01? Explain. 26. The possible to determine from the confidence bound whether P < 0.01? Explain. 27. The possible to determine from the confidence bound whether P < 0.01? Explain. 28. The possible to determine from the confidence bound whether P < 0.01? Explain. 29. The possible to determine from the confidence bound whether P < 0.01? Explain. 29. The possible to determine from the confidence bound whether P < 0.01? Explain. 29. The possible to determine from the confidence bound whether P < 0.01? Explain. 29. The possible to determine from the confidence bound whether P < 0.01? Explain. 29. The possible to determine from the confidence bound whether P < 0.01? Explain. 29. The possible to determine from the confidence bound whether P < 0.01? Explain. 29. The possible to determine from the confidence bound whether P < 0.01? Explain. 29. The possible to determine from the confidence bound whether P < 0.01? Explain. 29. The possible to determine from the confidence bound whether P < 0.01? Explain. 29. The possible to determine from the confidence bound whether P < 0.01? Explain. 29. The possible to determine from the confidence bound whether P < 0.01? Explain. 29. The possible to determine from the confidence bound whether P < 0.01? Explain. 29. The possible to determine from the confidence bound whether P < 0.01? Explain. 29. The possible to determine from the confidence bound whether P < 0.01? Explain. 
0.01? Explain. 24. Refer to Exercise 22. It is discovered that the standard deviation of the sample used to compute the confidence interval is 5 N. Is it possible to determine whether P < 0.01? Explain. 25. The following MINITAB output (first shown in Exercise 16 in Section 6.1) presents the results of a hypothesis test for a population mean µ. One-
Sample Z: X Test of mu = 73.5 vs not = 73.5 vs not = 73.5 The assumed standard deviation = 2.3634 Variable N Mean StDev SE Mean 95% CI Z P X 145 73.2461 2.3634 0.1963 (72.8614, 73.6308) -1.29 0.196 a. Can H0 be rejected at the 5% level? How can you tell? b. Someone asks you whether the null hypothesis H0: \mu \neq 73 can be rejected at
the 5% level. Can you answer without doing any calculations? How? 6.3 Tests for a Population Proportion is simply a population proportion are similar to those discussed in Section 6.1 for population means. Here is an example. A
supplier of semiconductor wafers claims that of all the wafers he supplies, no more than 10% are defective. A sample of 400 wafers is tested, and 50 of them, or 12.5%, are defective. Can we conclude that the claim is false? The hypothesis test here proceeds much like those in Section 6.1. What makes this problem distinct is that the sample consists of
successes and failures, with "success" indicating a defective wafer. If the population proportion of defective wafers is denoted by p, then the supplier's claim is that p 

0.1. Since our hypothesis concerns a population proportion, it is natural to base the test on the sample proportion. Making the reasonable assumption that the wafers are Page 421
sampled independently, it follows from the Central Limit Theorem, since the sample size is large, that (6.1) where n is the sample size, equal to 400. We must define the null hypothesis. The question asked is whether the data allow us to conclude that the supplier's claim is false. Therefore, the supplier's claim, which is that p < 0.1, must be H0.
Otherwise it would be impossible to prove the claim false, no matter what the data showed. The null and alternate hypotheses are To perform the hypotheses 
observed value of is 50/400 is The z table indicates that the probability that a standard normal random variable has a value greater than 1.67 is approximately 0.0475. The P-value is therefore 0.0475 (see Figure 6.5). The null distribution of is N(0.1, 0.0152). Thus if H0 is true, the probability that takes on a value as extreme as or more extreme than
the observed value of 0.125 is 0.0475. This is the Pvalue. FIGURE 6.5 What do we conclude about H0? Either the supplier's claim is false, or we have observed a sample would be unusual, but not fantastically unlikely. There is every reason to be quite skeptical of
the claim, but we probably shouldn't convict the supplier quite yet. If possible, it would be a good idea to sample more wafers. Note that under the commonly used rule of thumb, we would reject H0 and condemn the supplier, because P is less than 0.05. This example illustrates the weakness of this rule. If you do the calculations, you will find that if
only 49 of the sample wafers had been defective rather than 50, the P-value would have risen to 0.0668, and the supplier would be off the hook. Page 422 Thus the fate of the supplier hangs on the outcome of one single wafer out of 400. It doesn't make sense to draw such a sharp line. It's better just to report the P-value and wait for more evidence
before reaching a firm conclusion. The Sample Size Must Be Large The test just described requires that the sample proportion be approximately normally distributed. This assumption will be justified whenever both np0 > 10, where p0 is the population proportion specified in the null distribution. Then the z-score can be used as the
test statistic, making this a z test. Example 6.6 In courses on surveying, field work is an important part of the curriculum. The article "Enhancing Civil Engineering, 2017, online) reports that in a sample of 67 students studying surveying, 45
said that field work improved their ability to handle unforeseen problems. Can we conclude that more than 65% of students find that field work improves their ability to handle unforeseen problems. The
null and alternate hypotheses are The sample proportion is . Under the null hypothesis, normally distributed with mean 0.65 and standard deviation is . The z-score is The P-value is 0.3557 (see Figure 6.6). We cannot conclude that more than 65% of students find that field work improves their ability to handle unforeseen problems. FIGURE 6.6 The
null distribution of is N(0.65, 0.05832). Thus if H0 is true, the probability that takes on a value as extreme as or more extreme than the observed value of 0.6716 is 0.3557. This is the Pvalue. The following computer output (from MINITAB) presents the results from Page 423 Example 6.6. Test and CI for One Proportion: UNF Test of p = 0.75 vs p >
0.75 Variable UNF X N 45 67 Sample p 0.671642 95% Lower Bound 0.577272 Z-Value 0.37 P-Value 0.37 P-Value 0.36 The output contains a 95% lower confidence bound as well as the P-value 0.37 P-Value 0.37
the P-value of a hypothesis test will be greater than \alpha. For the confidence intervals for a proportion presented in Section 5.2 and the hypothesis test presented in Section 5.2 are slight modifications (that are much easier to compute) of a more
complicated confidence interval method for which the statement is exactly true. Summary Let X be the number of successes in n independent Bernoulli trials, each with success probability p; in other words, let X ~ Bin(n, p). To test a null hypothesis of the form H0: p \le p0, or H0: p \ge p0, or H0: p \le p0, or H0: p \le p0, or H0: p \le p0, or H0: p \ge p0, o
than 10: Compute the z-score: Compute the z-score: Compute the P-value is an area under the normal curve, which depends on the alternate hypothesis as follows: Alternate hypothesis P-value H1: p > p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H1: p < p0 Area to the left of z H
Integrated circuits consist of electric channels that are etched onto silicon wafers. A certain proportion of circuits are defective because of "undercutting," which occurs when too much material is etched away so that the channels, which consist of the unetched portions of the wafers, are too narrow. A redesigned process, involving lower pressure in
the etching chamber, is being investigated. The goal is to reduce the rate of undercutting to less than 5%. Out of the first 1000 circuits manufactured by the new process, only 35 show evidence of undercutting. Can you conclude that the goal has been met? Page 424 2. The article "HIV-positive Smokers Considering Quitting: Differences by
Race/Ethnicity" (E. Lloyd-Richardson, C. Stanton, et al., Am J Health Behav, 2008:3-15) surveyed 444 HIV-positive smokers. Of these, 281 were male and 163 were female. Consider this to be a simple random sample. Can you conclude that more than 60% of HIV-positive smokers are male? 3. Do bathroom scales tend to underestimate a person's true
weight? A 150 lb test weight was placed on each of 50 bathroom scales. The readings on 29 of the scales were too light, and the readings on the other 21 were too heavy. Can you conclude that more than half of bathroom scales underestimate weight? 4. The article "Evaluation of Criteria for Setting Speed Limits on Gravel Roads" (S. Dissanayake,
Journal of Transportation Engineering, 2011:57-63) measured speed limit. Can you conclude that more than 60% of the vehicles on South Cedar Niles exceed the speed limit? 5. In a survey of 500 residents
in a certain town, 274 said they were opposed to constructing a new shopping mall? 6. The anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) runs diagonally in the middle of the knee. The article "Return to Sport After Pediatric Anterior Cruciate
Ligament Reconstruction and Its Effect on Subsequent Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury" (T. Dekker, J. Godin, et al., Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, 2017:897-904) reported results for 85 young athletes who suffered anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injuries. Of the 85 injuries, 51 were to the left knee and 34 were to the right knee. Can you
```

```
conclude that more than half of ACL injuries are to the left knee? 7. The article "Developing a Tool to Measure the Factors Influencing Nurses' Enjoyment of Nursing" (L. Wilkes, M. Doull, et al., Journal of Clinical Nursing, 2016:1854-1860) reports that in a sample of 124 nurses, 54 said that a busy workload had a positive effect on their enjoyment of
their job. Can you conclude that less than 45% of nurses feel that a busy workload has a positive effect on their enjoyment of their job? 8. A grinding machine will be qualified for a particular task if it can be shown to produce less than 8% defective parts. In a random sample of 300 parts, 12 were defective. On the basis of these data, can the machine
be qualified? 9. Let A and B represent two variants (alleles) of the DNA at a certain locus on the genome. Assume that 40% of all the alleles in a certain population are type A and 30% are type A is (0.40)(0.30) = 0.12. In a sample of 300 organisms,
42 are of type AB. Can you conclude that this locus is not in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium? 10. A sociologist sampled 200 people who work in computer-related jobs, and found that 42 of them have changed jobs in the past six months? 11. The
General Social Survey asked a sample of 1294 people whether they performed any volunteer work during the past year? 12. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis test for a population
proportion p. Test and CI for One Proportion: X Test of p = 0.4 vs p < 0.4 Variable X N Sample p X 73 240 0.304167 Page 425 95% Upper Bound Z-Value P-Value 0.353013 -3.03 0.001 a. b. c. Is this a one-tailed or two-tailed test? What is the null hypothesis? Can H0 be rejected at the 2% level? How can you tell? d. Someone asks you whether the null
 hypothesis H0: p \ge 0.45 versus H1: p < 0.45 can be rejected at the 2% level. Can you answer without doing any calculations? How? Use the output and an appropriate table to compute a 90% confidence interval for p. 13. The following
MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis test for a population proportion: X Test of p = 0.7 Variable X N Sample p X 345 500 (a) 95% Upper Bound Z-Value P-Value 0.724021 (b) (c) 6.4 Small-Sample Tests for a Population
 Mean In Section 6.1, we described a method for testing a hypothesis about a population mean, based on a large sample. A key step in the method is to approximate the population standard deviation σ with the sample standard deviation σ. The normal curve is then used to find the P-value. When the sample size is small, s may not be close to σ, which
invalidates this large-sample method. However, when the population is approximately normal, the Student's t distribution can be used. We illustrate with an example. Spacer collars for a transmission countershaft have a thickness specification of 38.98-39.02 mm. The process that manufactures the collars is supposed to be calibrated so that the mean
thickness is 39.00 mm, which is in the center of the specification window. A sample of six collars is drawn and measured for thicknesses are 39.030, 38.997, 39.012, 39.008, 39.019, and 39.002. Assume that the population of thicknesses are 39.030, 38.997, 39.012, 39.008, 39.019, and 39.002. Assume that the population of thicknesses are 39.030, 38.997, 39.012, 39.008, 39.019, and 39.002. Assume that the population of thicknesses are 39.030, 38.997, 39.012, 39.008, 39.019, and 39.002. Assume that the population of thicknesses are 39.030, 38.997, 39.012, 39.008, 39.019, and 39.002. Assume that the population of thicknesses are 39.030, 38.997, 39.012, 39.008, 39.019, and 39.002. Assume that the population of thicknesses are 39.030, 38.997, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.012, 39.01
Denoting the population mean by \mu, the null and alternate hypotheses are Note that H0 specifies a single value for \mu, since calibration requires that the mean be equal to the correct value. To construct the test statistic, note that H = 1
degrees of freedom. This is the test Page 426 statistic. In this example mean and standard deviation are and s = 0.011928. The value of the test statistic is therefore The P-value is the probability of observing a value of the test statistic whose
disagreement with H0 is as great as or greater than that actually observed. Since H0 specifies that \mu = 39.00, this is a two-tailed test, so values both above and below 39.00 disagree with H0. Therefore the P-value is the sum of the areas under the curve corresponding to t > 2.327 and t < -2.327. Figure 6.7 illustrates the null distribution and
 indicates the location of the test statistic. From the t table (Table A.3 in Appendix A) the row corresponding to 5 degrees of freedom indicates that the value t=\pm 2.571 cuts off an area of 0.05 in each tail, for a total of 0.05. Thus the P-value is between 0.05 and 0.10
(Software yields P = 0.0675.) While we cannot conclusively state that the process is out of calibration, it doesn't look too good. It would be prudent to recalibrate. FIGURE 6.7 The null distribution of is Student's t with five degrees of freedom. The observed value of t, corresponding to the observed values and s = 0.011928, is 2.327. If H0 is true, the
probability that t takes on a value as extreme as or more extreme than that observed is between 0.05 and 0.10. Because H0 specified that μ was equal to a specific value, both tails of the curve contribute to the P-value. In this example 6.7
The article "Geographically Weighted Regression-Based Methods for Merging Satellite and Gauge Precipitation" (L. Chao, K. Zhang, et al., Journal of Hydrology, 2018:275-289) describes a method of measuring precipitation by combining satellite measurements with measurements made on the ground. Errors were recorded monthly for 16 months,
and averaged 0.393 mm with a standard deviation of 0.368 mm. Can we conclude that the mean error is less than 0.6 mm? Solution Let \mu denote the mean error. The null and alternate hypotheses are Page 427 Under H0, the test statistic has a Student's t distribution with 15 degrees of freedom. Substituting, s = 0.368, and n = 16, the value of the
test statistic is Consulting the t-table, we find that the value t = -2.131 cuts off an area of 0.025 in the lefthand tail, and the value t = -2.602 cuts off an area of 0.01 (see Figure 6.8). We conclude that the P-value is between 0.01 and 0.025. (Software yields P = 0.0199.) FIGURE 6.8 Solution for Example 6.7. The null distribution is Students t with 15
degrees of freedom. The observed value of t is -2.25. If H0 is true, the probability that t takes on a value as extreme as or more extreme than that observed is between 0.01 and 0.025. Software yields a value of 0.0199. The following computer output (from MINITAB) presents the results from Example 6.7. One-Sample T: Error Test of mu = 0.6 vs <
0.6 Variable N Mean StDev SE Mean Error 15 0.393 0.368 0.09200 95% Upper Bound T P 0.55428 -2.25 0.020 Note that the upper 95% confidence bound provided in the output is consistent with the alternate hypothesis. This indicates that the upper 95% confidence bound provided in the output is consistent with the alternate hypothesis. This indicates that the P-value is less than 5%. Use z, Not t, If σ Is Known Occasionally a small sample may be taken from a
normal population whose standard deviation σ is known. In these cases, we do not use the Student's t curve, because we are not Page 428 approximating σ with s. Instead, we use the z table and perform a z test. Example 6.8 At the beginning of this section, we described a sample of six spacer collars, whose
thicknesses (in mm) were 39.030, 38.997, 39.012, 39.008, 39.012, 39.008, 39.019, and 39.002. We denoted the population mean thickness by µ and tested the hypotheses Now assume that on the basis of a very large number of
collars manufactured before the move, the population of collar thicknesses is known to be very close to normal, with standard deviation \sigma = 0.010 mm, and that it is reasonable to assume that the move has not changed this. On the basis of the given data, can we reject H0? We compute . We do not need the value of s, because we know that \sigma = 0.010
Since the population is normal, is normal, is normal even though the sample from a normal population with mean \mu and standard deviation \sigma, where \sigma is unknown. To test a null hypothesis of the form H0: \mu \leq \mu0, H0: \mu
\geq \mu 0, or H0: \mu = \mu 0: Compute the test statistic . Compute the P-value is an area under the P-value is an area under the P-value is an area under the Student's t curve with \mu = \mu 0 Area to the right of the P-value is an area under the P-val
off by t and -t If σ is known, the test statistic is Exercises for Section 6.4 1. 2. 3. 4., and a z test should be performed. Page 429 Each of the following hypothetical data sets represents some repeated weighings of a standard weight that is known to have a mass of 100 g. Assume that the readings are a random sample from a population that follows
the normal curve. Perform a t test to see whether the scale is properly calibrated, if possible, explain why. a. 100.02, 99.98, 100.03 b. 100.01 A geologist is making repeated measurements (in grams) on the mass of a rock. It is not known whether the measurements are a random sample from an approximately normal population. Below
are three sets of replicate measurements, listed in the order they were made. For each set of readings, state whether the assumptions are not met, explain why. a. 213.03 212.95 213.04 213.05 b. 213.05 b. 213.05 213.00 212.94 213.09 212.98 213.02
213.06 212.99 c. 212.92 212.95 212.95 212.97 213.00 213.01 213.04 213.05 213.06 A new centrifugal pump is being considered for an application involving the pumping of ammonia. The specification is that the flow rate was 6.5 gpm and the standard
deviation was 1.9 gpm. If the mean flow rate is found to meet the specification, the pump will be put into service. a. State the appropriate null and alternate hypotheses. b. Find the P-value. c. Should the pump be put into service? Explain. A certain manufactured product is supposed to contain 23% potassium by weight. A sample of 10 specimens of
this product had an average percentage of 23.2 with a standard deviation of 0.2. If the mean percentage is found to differ from 23, the manufacturing process will be recalibrated. 5. 6. a. State the appropriate null and alternate hypotheses. b. Compute the P-value. c. Should the process be recalibrated? Explain. The article "Influence of Penetration
Rate on Penetrometer Resistance" (G. Gagnon and J. Doubrough, Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering, 2011: 741-750) describes a study in which twenty 2-L specimens of water were drawn from a public works building in Bridgewater, Nova Scotia. The mean lead concentration was 6.7 μg/L with a standard deviation of 3.9 μg/L. a. The Health
Canada guideline states that the concentration should be less than 10 5.5 Variable N Mean StDev SE Mean 95% Lower Bound T P X 5 5.92563 0.15755 0.07046 5.77542 6.04 0.002 a. b. c. Is this a one-tailed or two-tailed test? What is the null hypothesis? Can H0 be rejected at the 1% level? How can you tell? d. Use the output and an appropriate table
to compute the P-value for the test of H0: \mu \ge 6.5 versus H1: \mu < 6.5. e. Use the output and an appropriate table to compute a 99% confidence interval for \mu. 13. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis test for a population mean \mu. Some of the numbers are missing. Fill them in. One-Sample T: X Test of mu = 16 vs not = 16
Variable X N Mean 11 13.2874 StDev (a) SE Mean 1.8389 95% CI T P ((b), (c)) (d) 0.171 Page 431 6.5 Large-Sample Tests for the Difference Between Two Means We now investigate examples in which we wish to determine whether the means of two populations are equal. The data will consist of two samples, one from each population. The basic idea
is quite simple. We will compute the difference of the sample means. If the difference is far from 0, we will conclude that the population means might be the same. As an example, suppose that a production manager for a manufacturer of industrial machinery is
concerned that ball bearings produced in environments with low ambient temperatures may have smaller diameters than those produced under higher temperatures. To investigate this concern, she samples 120 ball bearings that were manufactured early in the morning, before the shop was fully heated, and finds their mean diameter to be 5.068 mm
and their standard deviation to be 0.011 mm. She independently samples 65 ball bearings manufactured during the afternoon and finds their mean diameter to be 5.072 mm and their standard deviation to be 0.007 mm. Can she conclude that ball bearings
manufactured in the afternoon? We begin by translating the problem into statistical language. We have a simple random sample X1, ..., X120 of diameters of ball bearings manufactured in the afternoon. Denote the population mean of diameters
of bearings manufactured in the morning by \mu X, and the population mean of diameters of bearings manufactured in the afternoon by \mu Y. Denote the corresponding standard deviations are unknown. The sample sizes are nX = 120 and nY = 65. We are interested in the difference \mu X - \mu Y
We must now determine the null and alternate hypotheses. The question asked is whether we can conclude that the population mean for the morning bearings is less than that for the afternoon bearings. Therefore the null and alternate hypotheses are The test is based on . Since both sample sizes are large, and are both approximately normally
distributed. Since the samples are independent, it follows that the null distribution of is (6.2) The observed values are for the sample means, and sX = 0.011 and sY and = 0.007 for the sample warriances and with the sample variances and with the sample variances and deviations.
respectively, and substitute nX = 120 and nY = 65 to compute the standard deviation of the null distribution of is therefore Page 432 is 5.068 - 5.072 = -0.004. The z-score is The observed value of Figure 6.9 shows the null distribution and the location of the test statistic. The P-value is 0.0013. The manager's
suspicion is correct. The bearings manufactured in the morning have a smaller mean diameter. FIGURE 6.9 The null distribution of is N(0, 0.0013272). Thus if H0 is true, the probability that takes on a value as extreme as or more extreme than the observed value of -0.004 is 0.0013. This is the P-value. Note that we used the assumption that the
samples were independent when computing the variance of . This is one condition that is usually reasonable to assume they are independent. Example 6.9 The article "Effect of Welding Procedure on Flux Cored Steel Wire
Deposits" (N. Ramini de Rissone, I. de S. Bott, et al., Science and Technology of Welding and Joining, 2003:113-122) compares properties of welds made using a mixture of argon and carbon dioxide. One property studied was the diameter of inclusions, which are particles embedded in
the weld. A sample of 544 inclusions in welds made using argon shielding averaged 0.37 µm in diameter, with a standard deviation of 0.25 µm. A sample of 581 inclusions in welds made using argon shielding averaged 0.40 µm in diameter, with a standard deviation of 0.26 µm. (Standard deviations were estimated from a graph.) Can you
conclude that the mean diameters of inclusions differ between the two shielding gases? Solution denote the sample mean diameter for carbon dioxide welds. Then sX = 0.25 and the sample size is nX = 544. Let denote the sample mean diameter for argon welds. Then sX = 0.26 and the sample size is nX = 544. Let denote the sample mean diameter for argon welds.
diameter for argon welds, and let \mu Y denote the population mean diameter for carbon Page 433 dioxide welds. The null and alternate hypotheses are We have observed. This value was drawn from a normal population with mean \mu X - \mu Y = 0. Substituting values of sX, sY, nX, and nY, the
standard deviation is . The null distribution of is therefore The z-score is This is a two-tailed test, and the P-value is 0.0488 (see Figure 6.10). A follower of the 5% rule would reject the null hypothesis. It is certainly reasonable to be skeptical about the truth of H0. FIGURE 6.10 Solution to Example 6.9. The following computer output (from MINITAB)
presents the results of Example 6.9. Two-sample T for Argon vs C02 Argon CO2 N 544 581 Mean 0.37 0.40 StDev 0.25 0.26 SE Mean 0.010719 0.010787 Difference: (-0.0598366, -0.000163) T-Test of difference = 0 (vs not = 0): T-Value = -1.97 P-
 Value = 0.049 DF = 1122 Note that the computer uses the t statistic rather than the z statistic for this test. Many computer packages use the t statistic whenever a sample size is large, the difference between t and z is negligible for practical purposes. When
using tables rather than a computer, the z-score has the Page 434 advantage that the P-value can be determined with greater precision with a z table than with a z tab
Example 6.9. Can you conclude that the mean diameter for carbon dioxide welds (\mu X) by more than 0.015 \mu M? Solution The null and alternate hypotheses are , sX = 0.25, sY = 0.26, nX = 544, and nY = 581. Under H0, We observe we take \mu X - \mu Y = -0.015. The null distribution of is given by expression (6.2) to be
The z-score is We observe This is a one-tailed test. The P-value is 0.1611. We cannot conclude that the mean diameter of inclusions from carbon dioxide welds exceeds that of argon welds by more than 0.015 \mum. Summary Let and be large (e.g., nX > 30 and nY > 30) samples from populations with means \muX and \muY and standard deviations \sigmaX and \sigmaY
respectively. Assume the samples are drawn independently of each other. To test a null hypothesis of the form H0: \mu X - \mu Y = \Delta 0, or H0: \mu X - \mu Y = \Delta 0, or H0: \mu X - \mu Y = \Delta 0, or H0: \mu X - \mu Y = \Delta 0. \blacksquare Compute the P-value is an area under the normal curve,
which depends on the alternate hypothesis as follows: Alternate P-value Hypothesis H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the left of z \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the 
Undergoing Cardiac Surgery with Cardiopulmonary Bypass: A Double-Blind, Randomised Controlled Study" (S. Zhang, S. Wang, et al., Lancet, 2005:556-562) presents the results of a study of the effectiveness of giving blood plasma containing complement component C4A to pediatric cardiopulmonary bypass patients. Of 58 patients receiving C4A-
rich plasma, the average length of hospital stay was 8.5 days and the standard deviation was 1.9 days. Of 58 patients receiving C4A-rich plasma? The article "Some
Parameters of the Population Biology of Spotted Flounder (Ciutharus linguatula Linnaeus, 1758) in Edremit Bay (North Aegean Sea)" (D. Türker, B. Bayhan, et al., Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Science, 2005:1013-1018) reports that a sample of 482 female spotted flounder had an average weight of 20.95 g with a standard deviation of 14.5
g, and a sample of 614 male spotted flounder had an average weight of 22.79 g with a standard deviation of 15.6 g. Can you conclude that the mean weight of male spotted flounder had an average weight of 22.79 g with a standard deviation of 15.6 g. Can you conclude that the mean weight of male spotted flounder had an average weight of male spotted flounder had an average weight of 22.79 g with a standard deviation of 15.6 g. Can you conclude that the mean weight of male spotted flounder had an average weight of male spotted flounder had an average weight of 22.79 g with a standard deviation of 15.6 g. Can you conclude that the mean weight of male spotted flounder had an average weight of 22.79 g with a standard deviation of 15.6 g. Can you conclude that the mean weight of 22.79 g with a standard deviation of 15.6 g. Can you conclude that the mean weight of 22.79 g with a standard deviation of 15.6 g. Can you conclude that the mean weight of 22.79 g with a standard deviation of 15.6 g. Can you conclude that the mean weight of 22.79 g with a standard deviation of 15.6 g. Can you conclude that the mean weight of 22.79 g with a standard deviation of 15.6 g. Can you conclude that the mean weight of 22.79 g with a standard deviation of 15.6 g. Can you conclude that the mean weight of 22.79 g with a standard deviation of 15.6 g. Can you conclude that the mean weight of 22.79 g with a standard deviation of 15.6 g. Can you conclude that the mean weight of 22.79 g with a standard deviation of 15.6 g. Can you conclude that the mean weight of 22.79 g with a standard deviation of 15.6 g. Can you conclude that the mean weight of 22.79 g with a standard deviation of 15.6 g. Can you conclude that the mean weight of 22.79 g with a standard deviation of 22.70 g with a standar
 W. Shim, et al., Journal of Women's Health, 2015:617-622) presents a study of health outcomes in women with symptoms of heart disease, the mean peak systolic blood pressure was 169.9 mmHg, with a standard deviation of 24.8 mmHg. In a sample of
225 women whose test results suggested an absence of coronary artery disease, the mean peak systolic blood pressure was 163.3 mmHg, with a standard deviation of 25.8 mmHg. Can you conclude that the mean peak systolic blood pressure was 163.3 mmHg, with a standard deviation of 25.8 mmHg.
Norms, and Risk Taking" (K. Miller, Journal of American College Health, 2008:481-489) reports that in a sample of 413 male college students, the average was 1.22 with a standard deviation of 3.24
Can you conclude that the mean number of energy drinks is greater for male students? Do you prefer taking tests on paper or online? A college instructor gave identical tests to two randomly sampled groups of 50 students. One group took the test on paper and the other took it online. Those who took the test on paper had an
average score of 68.4 with a standard deviation of 12.1. Those who took the test online had an average score of 71.3 with a standard deviation of 14.2. Can you conclude that the mean scores differ between online had an average score of 71.3 with a standard deviation of 14.2. Can you conclude that the mean scores differ between online had an average score of 71.3 with a standard deviation of 14.2. Can you conclude that the mean scores differ between online had an average score of 71.3 with a standard deviation of 14.2. Can you conclude that the mean scores differ between online had an average score of 71.3 with a standard deviation of 14.2. Can you conclude that the mean scores differ between online had an average score of 71.3 with a standard deviation of 14.2. Can you conclude that the mean scores differ between online had an average score of 71.3 with a standard deviation of 14.2. Can you conclude that the mean scores differ between online had an average score of 71.3 with a standard deviation of 14.2. Can you conclude that the mean scores differ between online had an average score of 71.3 with a standard deviation of 14.2. Can you conclude that the mean scores differ between online had an average score of 71.3 with a standard deviation of 14.2. Can you conclude that the mean scores differ between online had an average score of 71.3 with a standard deviation of 14.2. Can you conclude that the mean scores differ between online had an average score of 71.3 with a standard deviation of 14.2. Can you conclude that the mean scores differ between online had an average score of 71.3 with a standard deviation of 14.2. Can you conclude that the mean scores differ between online had an average score of 71.3 with a standard deviation of 14.2. Can you conclude that the mean scores differ between online had a score of 14.2. Can you conclude that the mean score of 14.2. Can you conclude that the mean score of 14.2. Can you conclude that the mean score of 14.2. Can you conclude that the mean score of 14.2. Can you conclude 
counted for 60 minutes for each machine. During the 60 minutes, machine 1 filled an average of 73.8 cans per minute with a standard deviation of 4.1 cans per minute. a. If the counts are made each minute for 60 consecutive minutes, what
assumption necessary to the validity of a hypothesis test may be violated? b. Assuming that all necessary assumptions are met, perform a hypothesis test. Can you conclude that machine 2 is faster than machine 1? 7. A statistics instructor who teaches a lecture section of 160 students wants to determine whether students have more difficulty with
one-tailed hypothesis tests or with two-tailed hypothesis tests. On the next exam, 80 of the students, chosen at random, get a version of the exam with a 10-point question that it requires a one-tailed test. The one-tailed students average 7.79 points, and their
standard deviation is 1.06 points. The two-tailed students average 7.64 points, and their standard deviation is 1.31 points, and their standard deviation is 1.31 points. The two-tailed hypothesis test questions? State the appropriate null and alternate hypotheses, and then compute
the P-value. b. Can you conclude that the mean score µ2 on two-tailed hypothesis test questions? State the Page 436 appropriate null and alternate hypotheses, and then compute the P-value. 8. Fifty specimens of a new computer chip were tested for speed in a certain application,
along with 50 specimens of chips with the old design. The average speed, in MHz, for the new chips was 495.6, and the standard deviation was 14.3. a. Can you conclude that the mean speed for the new chips is greater than that of the old chips? State the
appropriate null and alternate hypotheses, and then find the P-value. b. A sample of 60 even older chips had an average more than 100 MHz faster than these very old ones. Do the data provide convincing evidence for this claim? State the
appropriate null and alternate hypotheses, and then find the P-value. 9. Are low-fat diets or low-carb diets more effective for weight loss? This question was addressed in the article "Comparison of the Atkins, Zone, Ornish, and LEARN Diets for Change in Weight and Related Risk Factors Among Overweight Premenopausal Women: The A TO Z Weight and Related Risk Factors Among Overweight Premenopausal Women: The A TO Z Weight and Related Risk Factors Among Overweight Premenopausal Women: The A TO Z Weight and Related Risk Factors Among Overweight Premenopausal Women: The A TO Z Weight and Related Risk Factors Among Overweight Premenopausal Women: The A TO Z Weight and Related Risk Factors Among Overweight Premenopausal Women: The A TO Z Weight and Related Risk Factors Among Overweight Premenopausal Women: The A TO Z Weight and Related Risk Factors Among Overweight Premenopausal Women: The A TO Z Weight and Related Risk Factors Among Overweight Premenopausal Women: The A TO Z Weight and Related Risk Factors Among Overweight Premenopausal Women: The A TO Z Weight and Related Risk Factors Among Overweight Premenopausal Women: The A TO Z Weight and Related Risk Factors Among Overweight Premenopausal Women: The A TO Z Weight and Related Risk Factors Among Overweight Premenopausal Women: The A TO Z Weight and Related Risk Factors Among Overweight Premenopausal Women: The A TO Z Weight and Related Risk Factors Among Overweight Premenopausal Women: The A TO Z Weight And Related Risk Factors Among Overweight Premenopausal Women: The A TO Z Weight And Related Risk Factors Among Overweight Premenopausal Women: The A TO Z Weight And Related Risk Factors Among Overweight Premenopausal Women: The A TO Z Weight And Related Risk Factors Among Overweight Risk Factors A
Loss Study: A Randomized Trial" (C. Gardner, A. Kiazand, et al., Journal of the American Medical Association, 2007:969-977). A sample of 79 subjects went on a low-carbohydrate diet for six months. At the end of that time the sample mean weight loss was 4.7 kg with a sample standard deviation of 7.2 kg. A second sample of 79 subjects went on a
low-fat diet. Their sample mean weight loss was 2.6 kg with a standard deviation of 5.9 kg. a. Can you conclude that the mean weight loss on the low-carbohydrate diet? b. Can you conclude that the mean weight loss on the low-carbohydrate diet? b. Can you conclude that the mean weight loss on the low-carbohydrate diet? b. Can you conclude that the mean weight loss on the low-carbohydrate diet? b. Can you conclude that the mean weight loss on the low-carbohydrate diet? b. Can you conclude that the mean weight loss on the low-carbohydrate diet? b. Can you conclude that the mean weight loss on the low-carbohydrate diet? b. Can you conclude that the mean weight loss on the low-carbohydrate diet? b. Can you conclude that the mean weight loss on the low-carbohydrate diet? b. Can you conclude that the mean weight loss on the low-carbohydrate diet? b. Can you conclude that the mean weight loss on the low-carbohydrate diet? b. Can you conclude that the mean weight loss on the low-carbohydrate diet? b. Can you conclude that the mean weight loss on the low-carbohydrate diet? b. Can you conclude that the mean weight loss on the low-carbohydrate diet? b. Can you conclude that the mean weight loss on the low-carbohydrate diet? b. Can you conclude that the mean weight loss on the low-carbohydrate diet.
sample of 60 customers who used a self-service checkout time, with a standard deviation of 2.8 minutes. A sample of 72 customers who used a cashier averaged 6.1 minutes with a standard deviation of 3.1 minutes. A sample of 72 customers who used a cashier averaged 6.1 minutes with a standard deviation of 3.1 minutes.
b. Can you conclude that if everyone used the selfservice lane, that the mean checkout time would decrease? Consider the number of items checked out when formulating your answer. 11. The article "Treadmill Exercise and Resistance Training in Patients With Peripheral Arterial Disease With and Without Intermittent Claudication. A Randomized
Controlled Trial" (M. McDermott, P. Ades, et al., Journal of the American Medical Association, 2009:165-174) reported the results of a study to determine whether treadmill exercise could improve the walking ability of patients suffering from claudication, which is pain caused by insufficient blood flow to the muscles of the legs. A sample of 48
 for patients using a treadmill is greater than the mean for the controls? Use the \alpha = 0.05 level of significance. 12. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis test for the difference \mu X - \mu Y between two population means: Two-sample T for X vs Y X Y N 135 180 Mean 3.94 4.43 StDev 2.65 2.38 Page 437 SE Mean 0.23 0.18
Difference = mu (X) - mu (Y) Estimate for difference: -0.484442 95% upper bound for difference: -0.007380 T-Test of difference = 0 (vs 0): Z = 1.13 P-Value = 0.129 Summary Let X ~ Bin(nY, pY). Assume that there are at least 10 successes and 10 failures in each sample, and that X and Y are independent. To test a null
hypothesis of the form H0: pX - pY \le 0, H0: pX - pY \le 0, or Compute the z-score: Compute
Sum of the areas in the tails cut off by z and -z 0 Exercises for Section 6.6 1. 2. 3. 4. Two extrusion machine 1, 960 met specifications.
be used? Resistors labeled as 100 Ω are purchased from two different vendors. The specification for this type of resistors from vendor A, 150 of them met the specification. In a sample of 270 resistors purchased from vendor B, 233 of them met the
specification. Vendor A is the current supplier, but if the data demonstrate convincingly that a greater proportion of the resistors from vendor B meet the appropriate null and alternate hypotheses. b. Find the P-value. c. Should a change be made? The article "A Music Key Detection Method Based on
Pitch Class Distribution Theory" (J. Sun, H. Li, and L. Ma, International Journal of Knowledge-based and Intelligent Engineering Systems, 2011:165–175) describes a method of analyzing digital music files to determine the key in which the music is written. In a sample of 307 pop music selections, the key was identified correctly in 245 of them. In a
sample of 347 new-age selections, the key was identified correctly in 304 of them. Can you conclude that the method is more accurate for new-age songs? When the light turns yellow, should you stop or go through it? The article "Evaluation of Driver Behavior in Type II Dilemma Zones at High-Speed Signalized Intersections" (D.
Hurwitz, M. Knodler, and B. Nyquist, Journal of Transportation Engineering, 2011:277- 286) defines the "indecision zone" as the period when a vehicle is between 2.5 and 5.5 seconds away from an intersection. At the intersection of Route 7 and North Shrewsbury in Clarendon, Vermont, 154 vehicles were observed to encounter a yellow light in the
 indecision zone, and 21 of them ran the red light. At the intersection of Route 62 and Paine Turnpike in Berlin, Vermont, 183 vehicles entered the intersection in the indecision zone, and 20 ran the red light. Can you conclude that the proportion of redlight runners differs between the two intersections? 5. The article "HIV-positive Smokers Considering
Quitting: Differences by Race/Ethnicity" (E. Lloyd-Richardson, C. Stanton, et al., Am J Health Behav, 2008:3-15) reported that in a group of 230 European-American HIV-positive smokers, 20 had used a nicotine patch. Can you
conclude that the proportion of patch users is greater among European-Americans? 6. In August and September 2005, Hurricanes Katrina and Rita caused extraordinary flooding in New Orleans, Louisiana. Many homes were severely damaged or destroyed; of those that survived, many required extensive cleaning. It was thought that cleaning flood-
damaged homes might present a health hazard due to the large amounts of mold present in many of the homes. The article "Health Effects of Exposure to Water-Damaged New Orleans Homes Six Months After Hurricanes Katrina and Rita" (K. Cummings, J. Cox-Ganser, et al., American Journal of Public Health, 2008:869-875) reports that in a sample
of 365 residents of Orleans Parish who had participated in the cleaning of one or more homes, 77 had experienced symptoms of wheezing symptoms of wheezing symptoms of wheezing symptoms is greater among
those residents who participated in the cleaning of flood-damaged homes? 7. To test the effectiveness of protective packaging, a firm shipped out 1200 orders in heavy-duty packaging, 20 arrived in damaged condition, while of the orders shipped in heavy-duty
packaging, 15 arrived in damaged condition. Can you conclude that heavy-duty Page 442 packaging reduces the proportion of damaged shipments? 8. Colonoscopy is a medical procedure that is designed to find and remove precancerous lesions in the colon before they become cancerous. The article "Association of Colonoscopy and Death from
Colorectal Cancer" (N. Baxter, M. Goldwasser, et al., Annals of Internal Medicine, 2009:1-8) reports that in a sample of 51,460 people without colorectal cancer, 9.8% had previously had a colonoscopy. Can you conclude that the percentage of
people who have had colonoscopies is greater in those without colorectal cancer? 9. The article "Factors Associated with Exercise Behavior in People with Parkinson's disease. Of 164 patients who said they exercised regularly, 76
reported falling in the previous six months. Of 96 patients who fall is less for those who exercise than for those who do not? 10. The article "Bioremediation of Soil Contaminated Crude Oil by Agaricomycetes"
(Mohammad-Sichani, Assadi, et al., Journal of Environmental Health and Engineering, 2017, online) describes an experiment to determine the effectiveness of mushroom compost by weight, 74 germinated. Out of 150 seeds planted in soil
11. 12. 13. 14. containing 5% mushroom compost by weight, 86 germinated. Can you conclude that the proportion of seeds that germinate differs with the percent of mushroom compost by weight, 86 germinated. Can you conclude that the proportion of seeds that germinate differs with the percent of mushroom compost by weight, 86 germinated. Can you conclude that the proportion of seeds that germinate differs with the percent of mushroom compost by weight, 86 germinated. Can you conclude that the proportion of seeds that germinate differs with the percent of mushroom compost by weight, 86 germinated. Can you conclude that the proportion of seeds that germinate differs with the percent of mushroom compost by weight, 86 germinated. Can you conclude that the proportion of seeds that germinate differs with the percent of mushroom compost by weight, 86 germinated. Can you conclude that the proportion of seeds that germinate differs with the percent of mushroom compost by weight, 86 germinated. Can you conclude that the proportion of seeds that germinated are not conclude that the proportion of seeds that germinated are not conclude that the proportion of seeds that germinated are not conclude that the proportion of seeds that germinated are not conclude that the proportion of seeds that germinated are not conclude that the proportion of seeds that germinated are not conclude that the proportion of seeds that germinated are not conclude that
Health Perspectives, 2017, online) describes a study of the health effects of ozone levels, 30 missed at least one day of school during a five-week period. Out of 118 children who lived in areas with high ozone levels, 53 missed at least one day of school during the
same five-week period. Can you conclude that children who live in high ozone areas are more likely to miss a day of school? In a study conducted by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, a sample of 546 boys aged 6-11 was also
weighed, and 74 of them were overweight. Can you conclude that the proportion of girls who are overweight? In a sample of 100 automobiles driven at high altitude, 17% exceeded a standard of 10 grams of particulate pollution per gallon of fuel consumed. The same cars were driven at sea level
and 11% of them exceeded the standard. Let p1 be the proportion of automobiles that exceed the standard at high altitude, and let p2 be the proportion that exceed the standard at sea level. Can the statistic be used to test H0: p1 - p2 > 0? If so, perform the test and compute the P-value. If not, explain why not. The following
MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis test for the difference p1 - p2 between two population proportions. Test and CI for Two Proportions Sample 1 2 X 41 37 N 97 61 Sample p2 = p2 between two population proportions. Test and CI for Two Proportions Sample 1 2 X 41 37 N 97 61 Sample p2 = p2 between two population proportions. Test and CI for Two Proportions Sample 1 2 X 41 37 N 97 61 Sample p2 = p2 between two population proportions.
not = 0): Z = -2.25 P-Value = 0.024 a. b. c. Page 443 Is this a one-tailed or two-tailed or two-tailed test? What is the null hypothesis? Can H0 be rejected at the 5% level? How can you tell? 15. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis? Can H0 be rejected at the 5% level? How can you tell? 15. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis? Can H0 be rejected at the 5% level? How can you tell? 15. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis? Can H0 be rejected at the 5% level? How can you tell? 15. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis? Can H0 be rejected at the 5% level? How can you tell? 15. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis? Can H0 be rejected at the 5% level? How can you tell? 15. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis? Can H0 be rejected at the 5% level? How can you tell? 15. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis? Can H0 be rejected at the 5% level? How can you tell? 15. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis? Can H0 be rejected at the 5% level? How can you tell? 15. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis? Can H0 be rejected at the 5% level? How can you tell? 15. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis? Can H0 be rejected at the 5% level? How can you tell? 15. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis? Can H0 be rejected at the 5% level? How can you tell? 15. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis? Can H0 be rejected at the 5% level? How can you tell? 15. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis? 15. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis? 15. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis? 15. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis? 15. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothes
Fill in the numbers for (a) through (d). Test and CI for Two Proportions Sample 1 2 X 101 (b) N 153 90 Sample p (a) 0.544444 Difference: (-0.0116695, 0.243042) Test for difference = 0 (vs not = 0): Z = (c) P-Value = (d) 6.7 Small-Sample Tests for the Difference Between Two
Means The t test can be used in some cases where samples are small, and thus where the Central Limit Theorem does not apply. We present an example. The article "The Achondroplasia Paternal Age Effect Is Not Explained By an Increase in Mutant Frequency" (I. Tiemann-Boege, W. Navidi, et al., Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
2002:14952-14957) describes an experiment in which a number of DNA molecules is counted, and it needs to be determined whether these molecules contain a certain sequence of interest. If the mean count is lower with the enzyme present,
then it can be concluded that the molecules being counted do indeed contain the sequence. Assume that in six identically prepared specimens without the enzyme present, the numbers of molecules counted are 33, 30, 26, 22, 37, and 34. Assume that in four identically prepared specimens with the enzyme present, the counts were 22, 29, 25, and 23.
Can we conclude that the counts are lower when the enzyme is present? We have only a few observations for each process, so the Central Limit Theorem does not apply. If both populations are approximately normal, the Student's t distribution can be used to construct a hypothesis test. Let X1, ..., X6 represent the counts obtained without the enzyme
and let Y1, ..., Y4 represent the counts obtained with the enzyme. Let µX and µY be the means of the populations from which these samples are drawn; let nX and nY denote the sample sizes. The null and alternate hypotheses are Page 444 By assumption, both populations follow normal distributions. Therefore (as discussed in Section 5.6) the quantity
(6.5) has an approximate Student's t distribution with \nu degrees of freedom, where The observed values for the sample sizes are nX = 6 and nY = 4. Substituting the values for the sample sizes, we compute \nu = 7.89, which we round down to
 7. Under H0, \mu X - \mu Y = 0. The test statistic is therefore Under H0, the test statistic has a Student's t distribution with seven degrees of freedom. Substituting values for , sX, sY, nX, and nY, we compute the value cutting off 5% in the right-hand tail is
 1.895, and the value cutting off 2.5\% is 2.365. The P-value is therefore between 0.025 and 0.05 (see Figure 6.13). We conclude that the mean count is lower when the enzyme is present. FIGURE 6.13 The null distribution is Student's t with seven degrees of freedom. The observed value of the test statistic is 2.038. If H0 is true, the probability that t
takes on a value as extreme as or more extreme as or more extreme than that observed is between 2.5% and 5%. Example 6.12 Good website design can make Web navigation easier. The article "The Implications of Visualization Ability and Structure Preview Design for Web Information Search Page 445 Tasks" (H. Zhang and G. Salvendy, International Journal of Human-
Computer Interaction, 2001:75-95) presents a comparison of item recognition between two designs. A sample of 10 users using a conventional Web design averaged 44.1 items identified, with a standard deviation of 10.09. Can
we conclude that the mean number of items identified is greater with the new structured design? Solution Let be the sample mean for the structured Web design. Then sY = 8.56 and nY = 10. Let \muX and \muY denote the population mean measurements made by the
structured and conventional methods, respectively. The null and alternate hypotheses are The test statistic to be t = 2.820. Under H0, this statistic has an approximate Student's t distribution, with the number of degrees of freedom given by Consulting the t table
with 17 degrees of freedom, we find that the value cutting off 1% in the right-hand tail is 2.567, and the value cutting off 0.5% in the right-hand tail is 2.898. Therefore the area in the right-hand tail is 2.898. Therefore 0.005 and 0.010. Therefore 0.005 < P < 0.01 (see
Figure 6.14). There is strong evidence that the mean number of items identified is greater for the new design. FIGURE 6.12. Two-Sample T-Test
and CI: Struct, Conven Two-sample T for C1 vs C2 Struct Conven N 10 10 Mean 44.10 32.30 StDev 10.09 8.56 SE Mean 3.19074 2.70691 Difference: 4.52100 T-Test of difference: 4.52100 T-Test 
confidence bound is consistent with the alternate hypothesis. This indicates that two population means differ by a specified constant. Example 6.13 Refer to Example 6.12. Can you conclude that the mean number of items
 identified with the new structured design exceeds that of the conventional design by more than 2? Solution The null and alternate hypotheses are, x = 10.09, 
17 degrees of freedom. Note that the number of degrees of freedom is calculated in the same way as in Example 6.12. The value of the test statistic is t = 2.342. This is a one-tailed test. The P-value is between 0.01 and 0.025. We conclude that the mean number of items identified with the new structured design exceeds that of the conventional design
by more than 2. Page 447 Summary Let be samples from normal populations with means \mu X and \sigma Y, respectively. Assume the samples are drawn independently of each other. If \sigma X and \sigma Y, respectively. Assume the samples are drawn independently of each other. If \sigma X and \sigma Y, respectively. Assume the samples are drawn independently of each other. If \sigma X and \sigma Y, respectively. Assume the samples are drawn independently of each other. If \sigma X and \sigma Y, respectively.
MY = \Delta 0: Compute the P-value of the P-value of
\Delta 0 \text{ H1: } \mu \text{X} - \mu \text{Y} \neq \text{Sum of the areas in the tails cut off by t} and -\text{t} \Delta 0 \text{ When the Populations Have Equal Variances When the population variances are known to be nearly equal, the pooled variance is given by The test statistic for testing any of the null hypotheses H0: <math>\mu \text{X} - \mu \text{Y} = 0, H0: \mu \text{X} - \mu \text{Y} \leq 0,
or H0: \mu X - \mu Y \ge 0 is Under H0, the test statistic has a Student's t distribution with nX + nY - 2 degrees of freedom. Example 6.14 Two methods have been developed to determine the nickel content of steel. In a sample of five replications of the first method on a certain kind of steel, the average measurement (in percent) was and the standard
deviation was sX = 0.042. The average of seven and the standard deviation was sY Page 448 = 0.048. Assume that it is known that the population variances are nearly equal. Can we conclude that there is a difference in the mean measurements between the two methods? replications of the second method was Solution Substituting the sample sizes nX
= 5 and nY = 7 along with the sample standard deviations sX = 0.042 and sY = 0.048, we compute the pooled standard deviation, obtaining sp = 0.0457. The value of the test statistic is therefore Under H0, the test statistic has the Student's t distribution with 10 degrees of freedom. Consulting the Student's t table, we find that the area under the
curve in each tail is between 0.01 and 0.005. Since the null hypothesis stated that the means are equal, this is a two-tailed test, so the P-value is the sum of the areas in both tails. We conclude that 0.01 < P < 0.02 (see Figure 6.15). There does appear to be a difference in the mean measurements between the two methods. FIGURE 6.15 Solution to
Example 6.14. The P-value is the sum of the areas in both tails, which is between 0.01 and 0.02. Don't Assume the Population Variances Are Equal Just Because the Sample Variances Are Equal Just Beca
the pooled variance can be quite unreliable if it is used when the population variances are almost always unknown, and it is usually impossible to be sure that the population variances are nearly
equal as well. This assumption is not justified, however, because it is possible for the sample variances to be equal or unequal unless it is quite certain
that they are equal. See the discussion in Section 5.6. Page 449 Summary Let and be samples are drawn independently of each other. If \sigma X and \sigma Y are known to be equal, then, to test a null hypothesis of the form H0: \mu X - \mu Y \leq \Delta 0,
H0: \mu X - \mu Y \ge \Delta 0, or H0: \mu X - \mu Y = \Delta 0. \blacksquare Compute the P-value is an area under the P-value is an area under the P-value Hypothesis as follows: Alternate P-value Hypothesis H1: \mu X - \mu Y > Area to the right of t \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y < Area to the
left of t \Delta 0 H1: \mu X - \mu Y \neq Sum of the areas in the tails cut off by t and -t \Delta 0 Exercises for Section 6.7 1. 2. A crayon manufacturer is comparing the effects of two kinds of yellow dye on the brittleness of crayons. Dye B is more expensive than dye A, but it is thought that it might produce a stronger crayon. Four crayons are tested with each kind of
dye, and the impact strength (in joules) is measured for each. The results are as follows: Dye A: 1.0 2.0 1.2 3.0 Dye B: 3.0 3.2 2.6 3.4 a. Can you conclude that the mean strength of crayons made with dye B exceeds that of crayons
Can you conclude that the mean dissolve times differ between the two shapes? 3. 4. The article "Influence of Penetration Rate on Penetrometer Resistance" (J. Oliveira, M. Almeida, et al., Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, 2011:695-703) presents measures of penetration resistance, expressed as a multiple of a standard
quantity, for a certain fine-grained soil. Fifteen measurements taken at a depth of 1 m had a mean of 2.31 with a standard deviation of 1.10. Can you conclude that the penetration resistance differs between the two depths? The article "Time Series
 Analysis for Construction Productivity Experiments" (T. Abdelhamid and J. Everett, Journal of Construction Engineering and Page 450 Management, 1999:87-95) presents a study comparing the crane with the old system in which the operator relies
on hand signals from a tagman. Three different lifts, A, B, and C, were studied. Lift A was of little difficulty, lift B was of moderate difficulty, and lift C was of high difficulty. Each lift was performed several times, both with the new video system and with the old tagman system. The time (in seconds) required to perform each lift was recorded. The
following tables present the means, standard deviations, and sample sizes. Tagman Video Tagman V
Size 14 40 Sample Size 17 29 Can you conclude that the mean time to perform a lift of low difficulty is less when using the tagman system? Explain. b. Can you conclude that the mean time to perform a lift of moderate difficulty is less when using the tagman system? Explain. c. Can
you conclude that the mean time to perform a lift of high difficulty is less when using the video system than when using the tagman system? Explain. The Mastic tree (Pistacia lentiscus) is used in reforestation efforts in southeastern Spain. The Mastic tree (Pistacia lentiscus) is used in reforestation efforts in southeastern Spain.
 Shrubland" (R. Trubata, J. Cortina, and A. Vilagrosaa, Ecological Engineering, 2011:1164-1173) presents a study that investigated the effect of adding slow- release fertilizer to the usual fertilizer (the control group), and 10 trees grown with the slow-
 release fertilizer (treatment). These data are consistent with the mean and standard deviation reported in the article. Can you conclude that the mean height of plants grown with slow-release fertilizer? Usual 17.3 18.5 Slow-release 25.2 25.5 6. 9. 18.7 20.3 26.2 24.8 19.5 20.3 25.0 23.6 53 88 89 62
39 66 23 39 28 2 49 Since the same scale was used for both weights, and since both weights are similar, it is reasonable to assume that the weights differ? It is thought that a new process for producing a certain chemical may be cheaper than the
currently used process. Each process. Each process was run 6 times, and the cost of producing 100 L of the chemical was determined each time. The results, in dollars, were as follows: New Process: 8. 19.5 20.3 25.2 24.1 Two weights, each labeled as weighing 100 g, are each weighted several times on the same scale. The results, in units of µg above
100 g, are as follows: First weight: Second weight: 7. 22.0 18.6 23.2 25.2 51 52 55 53 54 53 50 54 59 56 50 58 Can you conclude that the mean cost of the new method? King Tut was an ancient Egyptian ruler whose tomb was discovered and opened in 1923. Legend has it that the archaeologists who opened the tombour that the mean cost of the new method? King Tut was an ancient Egyptian ruler whose tomb was discovered and opened in 1923. Legend has it that the archaeologists who opened the tombour that the mean cost of the new method? King Tut was an ancient Egyptian ruler whose tomb was discovered and opened in 1923. Legend has it that the mean cost of the new method? King Tut was an ancient Egyptian ruler whose tomb was discovered and opened in 1923. Legend has it that the archaeologists who opened the tombour that the mean cost of the new method is less than that the mean cost of the new method is less than that the mean cost of the new method is less than that the mean cost of the new method is less than that the mean cost of the new method is less than that the mean cost of the new method is less than that the mean cost of the new method is less than that the mean cost of the new method is less than that the mean cost of the new method is less than that the mean cost of the new method is less than t
 were subject to a "mummy's curse," which would shorten their life spans. The article "The Mummy's Curse: Page 451 Historical Cohort Study" (M. Nelson, British Medical Journal, 2002:1482-1484) presents an investigation of the mummy's curse. The article reports that 25 people exposed to the curse had a mean life span of 70.0 years with a
standard deviation of 12.4 years, while a sample of 11 Westerners in Egypt at the time who were not exposed to the mummy's curse is less than the mean of those not exposed? The article "Wind-Uplift
Capacity of Residential Wood Roof-Sheathing Panels Retrofitted with Insulating Foam Adhesive" (P. Datin, D. Prevatt, and W. Pang, Journal of Architectural Engineering, 2011:144-154) presents a study of the failure pressures of roof panels. A sample of 15 panels constructed with 8-inch nail spacing on the intermediate framing members had a mean
failure pressure of 8.38 kPa with a standard deviation of 0.96 kPa. A sample of 15 panels constructed with 6-inch nail spacing on the intermediate framing members had a mean failure pressure? The
article "Magma Interaction Processes Inferred from Fe-Ti Oxide Compositions in the Dölek and Saricicek Plutons, Eastern Turkey" (O. Karsli, F. Aydin, et al., Turkish Journal of Earth Sciences, 2008:297-315) presents chemical compositions (in weight-percent) for several rock specimens. Fourteen specimens (two outliers were removed) of limenite
grain had an average iron oxide (Fe2O3) content of 9.30 with a standard deviation of 2.71, and seven specimens of limenite lamella had an average iron oxide content of 9.47 with a standard deviation of 2.71, and seven specimens of limenite lamella had an average iron oxide content of 9.47 with a standard deviation of 2.71, and seven specimens of limenite lamella had an average iron oxide content of 9.47 with a standard deviation of 2.71, and seven specimens of limenite lamella had an average iron oxide content of 9.47 with a standard deviation of 2.71, and seven specimens of limenite lamella had an average iron oxide content of 9.47 with a standard deviation of 2.71, and seven specimens of limenite lamella had an average iron oxide content of 9.47 with a standard deviation of 2.71, and seven specimens of limenite lamella had an average iron oxide content of 9.47 with a standard deviation of 2.71, and seven specimens of limenite lamella had an average iron oxide content of 9.47 with a standard deviation of 2.71, and seven specimens of limenite lamella had an average iron oxide content of 9.47 with a standard deviation of 2.71, and seven specimens of limenite lamella had an average iron oxide content of 9.47 with a standard deviation of 2.71, and seven specimens of limenite lamella had an average iron oxide content of 9.47 with a standard deviation of 2.71, and seven specimens of 1.71, and 1.71, a
 Rounded Dovetail Connections Under Different Loading Conditions" (T. Tannert, H. Prion, and F. Lam, Can J Civ Eng, 2007:1600-1605) describes a study of the deformation properties of dovetail joints. In one experiment, 10 rounded dovetail connections and 10 double rounded dovetail connections were loaded until failure. The rounded connections
 had an average load at failure of 8.27 kN with a standard deviation of 0.62 kN. The double-rounded connections had an average load at failure is greater for rounded connections than for double-rounded connections? The article "Predicting
Patients with Suicidal Ideation from ECG Recordings" (A. Khandoker, V. Luthra, et al., Med Biol Eng Comput, 2017:793-805) reports a study in which systolic blood pressure (in mmHg) was measured for 16 patients suffering from depression and for 29 controls. Those with depression averaged 112.50 with a standard deviation of 13.90, and the
controls averaged 110.34 with a standard deviation of 8.65. Can you conclude that the mean systolic blood pressure is higher in those suffering from depression? In an experiment to test the effectiveness of a new sleeping aid, a sample of 12 patients took the new drug, and a sample of 14 patients took a commonly used drug. Of the patients taking the
new drug, the average time to fall asleep was 27.3 minutes with a standard deviation of 5.2 minutes, and for the patients taking the commonly used drug the average time to sleep is less for the new drug? A new post-surgical treatment was compared with
a standard treatment. Seven subjects received the new treatment, while seven others (the controls) received the standard treatment is less than the mean recovery times, in days, are given below. Treatment is less than the mean recovery times, in days, are given below. Treatment is less than the mean recovery times, in days, are given below.
for those receiving the standard treatment? 15. In a study to compare the effectiveness of distance learning with traditional classroom instruction, 12 students took a business course online while 14 students took a business course online while 14 students took a business course online while 14 students took a business course online while 15. In a study to compare the effectiveness of distance learning with traditional classroom. The final exam scores
for the classroom students averaged 80.1 with a standard deviation of 9.3. Can you conclude that the traditional classroom instruction was more effective? Page 452 16. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis test for the difference μX – μY between two population means. Two-sample T for X vs Y X Y N 10 10 Mean 39.31
29.12 StDev 8.71 4.79 SE Mean 2.8 1.5 Difference = 0 (vs >): T-Value = 3.25 P-Value = 0.003 DF = 13 a. b. c. Is this a one-tailed or two-tailed test? What is the null hypothesis? Can H0 be rejected at the 1% level? How can you tell? 17. The
following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis test for the difference μX – μY between two population means. Some of the numbers are missing. Fill in the numbers for (a) through (d). Two-sample T for X vs Y X Y N 6 13 Mean 1.755 3.239 StDev 0.482 (b) SE Mean (a) 0.094 Difference = mu (X) – mu (Y) Estimate for difference: (c) 95%
CI for difference: (-1.99996, -0.96791) T-Test of difference = 0 (vs not =): T-Value = (d) P-Value = 0.000 DF = 7 6.8 Tests with Paired Data We saw in Section, we present a method for testing
hypotheses involving the difference between two population means on the basis of such paired data. We begin with an example. Particulate matter (PM) emissions from automobiles are a serious environmental concern. Eight vehicles were chosen at random from a fleet, and their emissions were measured under both highway driving and stop-and-go
driving conditions. The differences (stop-and-go emission - highway emission) were computed as well. The results, in milligrams of particulates per gallon of fuel, were as follows: Vehicle 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Stop-and-go 1500 870 1120 1250 3460 1110 1120 880 Highway 941 456 893 1060 3107 1339 1346 644 Difference 559 414 227 190 353 - 229 - 226
236 Can we conclude that the mean level of emissions is less for highway driving than for Page 453 stop-and-go driving? The basic idea behind the construction of confidence intervals for paired data presented in Section 5.7. We treat the collection of differences as a
single random sample from a population of differences, which is a small sample. If we assume that the population of differences by µD and the standard deviation by σD. There are only eight differences, which is a small sample. If we assume that the population of differences is approximately normal, we can use the Student's t test, as presented in Section 6.4. The observed
value of the sample mean of the differences is . The sample standard deviation is sD = 284.1. The null distribution of the test statistic is Student's t with seven degrees of freedom. Figure 6.16 presents the null distribution and indicates the location of the test statistic. This is a one-tailed test.
The t table indicates that 5% of the area in the tail is cut off by a t value of 1.895, very close to the observed value of 1.897. The P-value is approximately 0.05. The following computer output (from MINITAB) presents this result. Paired T-Test and CI: StopGo, Highway Paired T for StopGo - Highway Difference N Mean StDev SE Mean
8\,1413.75\,850.780\,300.796\,8\,1223.25\,820.850\,290.214\,8\,190.50\,284.104\,100.446\,95\% lower bound for mean difference: 0.197215 T-Test of mean difference of t, corresponding to the observed values and sp = 284.1, is 1.897. If H0 is true, the
probability that t takes on a value as extreme as or more extreme than that observed is very close to 0.05. Note that the P-value is just barely less than 0.05 (although it is given by 0.050 to two significant digits). Summary Let (X1, Y1), ..., (Xn, Yn)
be a sample of ordered pairs whose differences D1,..., Dn. To test a null hypothesis of the form H0: \muD \leq \mu0, or H0: \mu0 \leq \mu0.
curve with n-1 degrees of freedom, which depends on the alternate hypothesis as follows: Alternate P-value Hypothesis as follows: Alternate P-value Hypothesis H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the left of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the left of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the left of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the left of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the left of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 Area to the right of t H1: \mu D > \mu 0 A
should be performed. Exercises for Section 6.8 1. The article "Improved Bioequivalence Assessment of Topical Dermatological Drug Products Using Dermatologic
human skin for several formulations of antifungal ointment. Both a brand name and generic drug were applied to the arms of 14 subjects, and the amounts absorbed differs between the brand name and the generic drug? Brand Name 2.23 1.68
1.96 2.81 1.14 3.20 2.33 4.06 2.92 2.92 2.83 3.45 2.72 Generic 1.42 1.95 2.58 2.25 1.21 3.01 2.76 3.65 2.89 2.85 2.44 3.11 2.64 Difference 0.81 -0.27 -0.62 0.56 -0.07 0.19 -0.43 0.41 0.03 0.07 0.39 0.34 0.08 3.74 2.82 0.92 Page 455 2. The article "Prediction of Performance and Evaluation of Flexible Pavement Rehabilitation Strategies" (K. Lee, K.
Wilson, and S. Hassan, Journal of Traffic and Transportation Engineering, 2017:178-184), describes methods used to rehabilitate Route 165 in the town of Exeter, Rhode Island. The following table. Month January February
March April May June July August September October November December 3. Two-axle 1168 1466 1389 1691 2115 2064 2234 2144 1781 1625 Difference -133 -29 -577 -445 101 -71 317 459 174 -245 -485 -292 Can you conclude that the monthly means
differ between the two types of trucks? In an experiment to determine whether there is a systematic difference between the weights obtained with two difference between the weights obtained with two difference between the weights obtained with two difference between the weights obtained. Specimen 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Weight on Scale 1 11.23 14.36 8.33 10.50 23.42 9.15 13.47
6.47 12.40 19.38 Weight on Scale 2 11.27 14.41 8.35 10.52 23.41 9.17 13.52 6.46 12.45 19.35 Can you conclude that the mean weight on scale 2 is greater than the mean weight on scale 2 is greater than the mean weight on scale 1? 4. In an experiment to determine the effect of ambient temperature on the emissions of oxides of nitrogen (NOx) of diesel trucks, 10 trucks were run at
temperatures of 40°F and 80°F. The emissions, in ppm, are presented in the following table. Truck 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 5. 40°F 0.8347 0.7532 0.8557 0.9012 0.7854 0.8629 0.8827 0.7403 0.7480 0.8486 Can you conclude that the mean emissions differ between the two temperatures? Two formulations of a certain coating, designed to inhibit corrosion.
are being tested. For each of eight pipes, half the pipe is coated with formulation A and the other half is coated with formulation B. Each pipe is exposed to a salt environment for 500 hours, Afterward, the corrosion loss (in um) is measured for each formulation on each pipe. Pipe 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 6. 80°F 0.8152 0.7652 0.8426 0.7971 0.7643 0.8195
0.7836 0.6945 0.7729 0.7947 A 197 161 144 162 185 154 136 130 B 204 182 140 178 183 163 156 143 Can you conclude that the mean amount of corrosion differs between the two formulations? Two microprocessors are compared on a sample of six benchmark codes to determine whether there is a difference in speed. The times (in seconds) used
by each processor on each code are given in the following table. Code Processor A 1 27.2 2 18.1 3 27.2 4 19.7 5 24.5 6 22.1 Processors differ? 7. The compressive strength, in kilopascals, was measured for concrete blocks from five different batches of
concrete, both three and six days after pouring. The data are presented in the following table. After 3 days 8, Page 456 1 1341 1376 2 1316 1373 Batch 3 1352 1366 4 1355 1384 5 1327 1358 Can you conclude that the mean strength after six days is greater than the mean strength after six days is greater than the mean strength after six days after pouring.
Thickness on Airfield Pavement Structural Response" (K. Gopalakrishnan and M. Thompson, Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering, 2008:331–342) presents a study of the effect of the subbase thickness (in mm) on the amount of surface deflection caused by aircraft landing on an airport runway. Two landing gears, one simulating a Boeing 747
aircraft, and the other a Boeing 777 aircraft, were trafficked across four test sections of runway. The results are presented in the following table. Section 1 4.01 4.57 Boeing 777 9. 2 3.87 4.48 3 3.72 4.36 4 3.76 4.43 Can you conclude that the mean deflection is greater for the Boeing 777? A crossover trial is a type of experiment used to
compare two drugs. Subjects take one drug for a period of time, then switch to the other. They rated their pain level from 1 to 10, with larger
numbers representing higher levels of pain. The results were 1 Drug A Drug B 6 5 2 3 1 Subject 3 4 5 4 5 7 5 5 6 6 1 2 7 4 2 Can you conclude that the mean response differs between the two drugs? 10. A group of eight individuals with high cholesterol levels, in
mg/dL, were measured before and after treatment for each individual, with the following results: Subject 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Before 283 299 274 284 248 275 293 277 After 215 206 187 212 178 212 196 a. Can you conclude that the mean cholesterol level after treatment is less than the mean before treatment? b. Can you conclude that the reduction
in mean cholesterol level after treatment is greater than 75 mg/dL? 11. The management of a taxi cab company is trying to decide if they should switch from bias tires to improve fuel economy. Each of 10 taxis was equipped with one of the two tire types and driven on a test course. Without changing drivers, tires were then switched to
the other tire type and the test course was repeated. The fuel economy (in mpg) for the 10 cars is as follows: Page 457 Car 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 a. b. Radial 32.1 36.1 32.3 29.5 34.3 31.9 33.4 34.6 35.2 32.7 Bias 27.1 31.5 30.4 26.9 29.9 Because switching tires on the taxi fleet is expensive, management does not want to switch
unless a hypothesis test provides strong evidence that the mileage will be improved. State the appropriate null and alternate hypotheses, and find the P-value. A cost-benefit analysis shows that it will be profitable to switch to radial tires if the mean mileage improvement is greater than 2 mpg. State the appropriate null and alternate hypotheses, and
find the P-value, for a hypothesis test that is designed to form the basis for the decision whether to switch. 12. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis test that is designed to form the basis for the decision whether to switch. 12. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis test that is designed to form the basis for the decision whether to switch. 12. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis test that is designed to form the basis for the decision whether to switch. 12. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis test that is designed to form the basis for the decision whether to switch. 12. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis test that is designed to form the basis for the decision whether to switch. 12. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis test that is designed to form the basis for the decision whether to switch. 12. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis test that is designed to form the basis for the decision whether to switch. 12. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis test that is designed to form the basis for the decision whether the following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis test that is designed to form the basis for the decision whether the following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis test that is designed to form the basis for the decision whether the following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis test that is designed to form the basis for the decision whether the following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis test that is designed to form the basis for the decision whether the following MINITAB output presents the results of the following MINITAB output presents the followi
SE Mean 19.739 27.304 17.1794 95% lower bound for mean difference = 0 (vs > 0): T-Value = 1.96 P-Value = 0.038 a. b. c. Is this a one-tailed or two-tailed test? What is the null hypothesis? Can H0 be rejected at the 1% level? How can you tell? d. Use the output and an appropriate table to compute a 98%
confidence interval for uX - uY, 13. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a hypothesis test for the difference uX - uY between two population means. Some of the numbers are missing. Fill in the numbers for (a) through (d), Paired T for X - Y N Mean StDev SE Mean X 7 12.4141 2.9235 (a) Y 7 8.3476 (b) 1.0764 Difference 7 (c)
3.16758 1.19723 95% lower bound for mean difference: 1.74006 T-Test of mean difference = 0 (vs > 0): T-Value = 0.007 Page 458 6.9 Distribution-Free Tests The Student's t tests described in Sections 6.4 and 6.7 formally require that samples come from normal populations. Distribution-free tests get their name from the fact that the
samples are not required to come from any specific distribution. While distribution-free tests do require assumptions needed for the t test. Distribution-free tests are sometimes called nonparametric tests. We discuss two distribution-free tests in this section. The
first, called the Wilcoxon signedrank test, is a test for a population mean, analogous to the one-sample t test discussed in Section 6.4. The wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test We illustrate this test with an example, The
nickel content, in parts per thousand by weight, is measured for six welds. The results are 9.3, 0.9, 9.0, 21.7, 11.5, and 13.9. Let \mu represent the mean nickel content for this type of weld. It is desired to test H0: \mu < 12. The Student's t test is not appropriate, because there are two outliers, 0.9 and 21.7, which indicate that the
population is not normal. The Wilcoxon signed-rank test can be used in this situation. This test does not require that the population be continuous (rather than discrete), and that the population be symmetric population be normal. It does, however, require that the population be symmetric population.) The
given sample clearly comes from a continuous population, and the presence of outliers on either side make it reasonable to assume that the population mean is \mu = 12. Since the population is assumed to be symmetric, the population median is 12 as well.
To compute the rank-sum statistic, we begin by subtracting 12 from each sample observation to obtain difference closest to 0, again ignoring sign, is assigned a rank of 1. The difference next closest to 0, again ignoring sign, is assigned a rank of 1. The difference next closest to 0, again ignoring sign, is assigned a rank of 1. The difference next closest to 0, again ignoring sign, is assigned a rank of 1. The difference next closest to 0, again ignoring sign, is assigned a rank of 1. The difference next closest to 0, again ignoring sign, is assigned a rank of 1. The difference next closest to 0, again ignoring sign, is assigned a rank of 1. The difference next closest to 0, again ignoring sign, is assigned a rank of 1. The difference next closest to 0, again ignoring sign, is assigned a rank of 1. The difference next closest to 0, again ignoring sign, is assigned a rank of 1. The difference next closest to 0, again ignoring sign, is assigned a rank of 1. The difference next closest to 0, again ignoring sign, is assigned a rank of 1. The difference next closest to 0, again ignoring sign, is assigned a rank of 1. The difference next closest to 0, again ignoring sign, is assigned a rank of 1. The difference next closest to 0, again ignoring sign, is assigned a rank of 1. The difference next closest to 0, again ignoring sign, is assigned a rank of 1. The difference next closest to 0, again ignoring sign, is assigned a rank of 1. The difference next closest to 0, again ignoring sign, is assigned a rank of 1. The difference next closest to 0, again ignoring sign, is assigned a rank of 1. The difference next closest to 0, again ignoring sign, is assigned a rank of 1. The difference next closest to 0, again ignoring sign, is assigned a rank of 1. The difference next closest to 0, again ignoring sign, is assigned a rank of 1. The difference next closest to 0, again ignoring sign, is assigned a rank of 1. The difference next closest to 0, again ignoring next closest to 0, again ignoring sign, is assigned a rank of 1. 
The following table shows the results. x 11.5 13.9 x - 12 -0.5 1.9 Signed Rank -1 2 9.3 9.0 21.7 0.9 -2.7 -3.0 9.7 -11.1 -3 -4 5 -6 Denote the sum of the positive ranks S-. Either S+ or S- may be used as a test statistic; we shall use S+. In this example S+ = 2 + 5 = 7, and S- = 1 + 3
+4+6=14. Note that since the sample size is 6, by necessity S+ Page 459+S-=1+2+\cdots+n=n(n+1)/2. In some cases, where there are many more positive ranks than negative ranks, it is easiest first to compute S- by summing the negative ranks and then to
```

```
compute S+=n(n+1)/2-S-. Figures 6.17 and 6.18 show how S+ can be used as a test statistic. In Figure 6.17, \mu > 12. For this distribution, positive differences are more probable than negative differences and tend to be larger in magnitude as well. Therefore it is likely that the positive ranks will be greater both in number and in magnitude than
the negative ranks, so S+ is likely to be large. In Figure 6.18, µ < 12, and the situation is reversed. Here the positive ranks are likely to be fewer in number and smaller in magnitude, so S+ is likely to be small. FIGURE 6.17 The true median is greater than 12. Sample observations are more likely to be above 12 than below 12. Furthermore, the
observations above 12 will tend to have larger differences from 12 than the observations below 12. Therefore S+ is likely to be below 12 than above 12. Furthermore, the observations below 12 will tend to have larger differences from 12 than the
observations above 12. Therefore S+ is likely to be small. We see that in general, large values of S+ will provide evidence against a null hypothesis of the form H0: \mu \ge \mu 0, while small values of S+ will provide evidence against a null hypothesis of the form H0: \mu \ge \mu 0, while small values of S+ will provide evidence against a null hypothesis of the form H0: \mu \ge \mu 0, while small values of S+ will provide evidence against a null hypothesis of the form H0: \mu \ge \mu 0, while small values of S+ will provide evidence against a null hypothesis of the form H0: \mu \ge \mu 0, while small values of S+ will provide evidence against a null hypothesis of the form H0: \mu \ge \mu 0, while small values of S+ will provide evidence against a null hypothesis of the form H0: \mu \ge \mu 0, while small values of S+ will provide evidence against a null hypothesis of the form H0: \mu \ge \mu 0, while small values of S+ will provide evidence against a null hypothesis of the form H0: \mu \ge \mu 0, while small hypothesis of the form H0: \mu \ge \mu 0, while small hypothesis of the form H0: \mu \ge \mu 0, while small hypothesis of the form H0: \mu \ge \mu 0, while small hypothesis of the form H0: \mu \ge \mu 0, while small hypothesis of the form H0: \mu \ge \mu 0, while small hypothesis of the form H0: \mu \ge \mu 0, while small hypothesis of the form H0: \mu \ge \mu 0, while small hypothesis of the form H0: \mu \ge \mu 0, while small hypothesis of the form H0: \mu \ge \mu 0, while small hypothesis of the form H0: \mu \ge \mu 0, while small hypothesis of the form H0: \mu \ge \mu 0, while small hypothesis of the form H0: \mu \ge \mu 0, while small hypothesis of the form H0: \mu \ge \mu 0, while small hypothesis of the form H0: \mu \ge \mu 0, while small hypothesis of the form H0: \mu \ge \mu 0, while small hypothesis of the form H0: \mu \ge \mu 0, while small hypothesis of the form H0: \mu \ge \mu 0, while small hypothesis of the form H0: \mu \ge \mu 0, while small hypothesis of the form H0: \mu \ge \mu 0, while small hypothesis of the form H0: \mu \ge \mu 0, while small hypothesis of the form H0: \mu \ge \mu 0, while small hypoth
will provide evidence against H0. We observe S+=7. The P-value is the probability of observing a value of S+ that is less than or equal to 7 when H0 is true. Table A.5 (in Appendix A) presents certain probability of observing a value of 4 or
less is 0.1094. The probability of observing a value of 7 or less must be greater than this, so we conclude that P > 0.1094, and thus do not reject H0. Example 6.15 In the example discussed previously, the nickel content for six welds was measured to be 9.3, 0.9, 9.0, 21.7, 11.5, and 13.9. Use these data to test H0: \mu < 5 versus H1: \mu > 5. Solution The
table of differences and signed ranks is as follows: x 9.0 0.9 x-5 4.0 -4.1 Signed Rank 1-2 9.3 11.5 13.9 21.7 4.3 6.5 8.9 16.7 3 4 5 6 The observed value of the test statistic is S+=19. Since the null hypothesis is of the form \mu \leq \mu 0, large values of S+=19. Since the null hypothesis is of the form \mu \leq \mu 0, large values of S+=19. Since the null hypothesis is of the form \mu \leq \mu 0, large values of S+=19. Since the null hypothesis is of the form \mu \leq \mu 0, large values of S+=19. Since the null hypothesis is of the form \mu \leq \mu 0, large values of S+=19. Since the null hypothesis is of the form \mu \leq \mu 0, large values of S+=19. Since the null hypothesis is of the form \mu \leq \mu 0, large values of S+=19. Since the null hypothesis is of the form \mu \leq \mu 0, large values of S+=19. Since the null hypothesis is of the form \mu \leq \mu 0, large values of S+=19. Since the null hypothesis is of the form \mu \leq \mu 0, large values of S+=19. Since the null hypothesis is of the form \mu \leq \mu 0, large values of S+=19. Since the null hypothesis is of the form \mu \leq \mu 0, large values of S+=19. Since the null hypothesis is of the form \mu \leq \mu 0, large values of S+=19. Since the null hypothesis is of the form \mu \leq \mu 0, large values of S+=19. Since the null hypothesis is of the form \mu \leq \mu 0, large values of S+=19. Since S+=19 is the null hypothesis is of the form \mu \leq \mu 0, large values of S+=19 is the null hypothesis is of the form \mu \leq \mu 0.
distribution, corresponding to values greater than or equal to 19. Consulting Table A.5 shows that the P-value is 0.0469. Example 6.16 Use the data in Example 6.15 to test H0: \mu = 16 versus H1: \mu \neq 16. Solution The table of differences and signed ranks is as follows: x 13.9 11.5 21.7 9.3 9.0 0.9 x - 16 - 2.1 - 4.5 5.7 - 6.7 - 7.0 - 15.1 Signed Rank - 1
-23-4-5-6 Since the null hypothesis is of the form H0: \mu = \mu 0, this is a two-tailed test. The Page 461 observed value of the test statistic is S+ = 3. Consulting Table A.5, we find that the area in the left-hand tail, corresponding to values less than or equal to 3, is 0.0781. The Pvalue is twice this amount, since it is the sum of areas in two equal tails
Thus the P-value is 2(0.0781) = 0.1562. Ties Sometimes two or more of the quantities are said to be tied. The standard method for dealing with ties is to assign to each tied observation the average of the ranks they would have received if they had differed slightly. For example, the quantities
3, 4, 4, 5, 7 would receive the ranks 1, 2.5, 2.5, 4, 5, and the quantities 12, 15, 16, 16, 16, 20 would receive the ranks 1, 2, 4, 4, 4, 6. Differences of Zero If the mean under H0 is μ0, and one of the observations is equal to μ0 cannot receive a signed rank.
The appropriate procedure is to drop such observations from the sample 6.17 use the data in Example 6.15 to test H0: \mu = 9 versus H1: \mu \neq 9. Solution The table of differences and signed ranks is as
follows: x 9.0 9.3 11.5 13.9 0.9 21.7 x - 9 0.0 0.3 2.5 4.9 - 8.1 12.7 Signed Rank - 1 2 3 -4 5 The value of the test is 5, since the value 9.0 is not ranked. Entering Table A.5 with sample size 5, we find that if S+ = 12, the P-value would be 2(0.1562) = 0.3124. We conclude that for S+ = 11
P > 0.3124. Large-Sample Approximation When the sample size n is large, the test statistic S+ is approximation is good if n > 20. It can be shown by advanced methods that under H0, S+ has mean n(n + 1)/4 and variance n(n + 1)/4 and variance n(n + 1)/4. The Page 462 Wilcoxon signed-rank
test is performed by computing the z-score of S+, and then using the normal table to find the P-value. The z-score is Example 6.18 The article "Exact Evaluation of Batch-Ordering Inventory Policies in Two-Echelon Supply Chains with Periodic Review" (G. Chacon, Operations Research, 2001:79-98) presents an
evaluation of a reorder point policy, which is a rule for determining when to restock an inventory. Costs for 32 scenarios are estimated. Let \mu represent the mean cost. Test H0: \mu < 70. The data, along with the differences and signed ranks, are presented in Table 6.1. TABLE 6.1. TABLE 6.1. x 79.26 80.79 82.14 57.19 55.86 42.08 41.78
-21\ 11.64\ 11.48\ 11.28\ 10.08\ 7.28\ 6.87\ 6.23\ 4.57\ 4.09\ 140.09\ 140.77\ -58.36\ -58.52\ -58.72\ -59.92\ -62.72\ -63.13\ -63.77\ -65.43\ -65.91\ 70.09\ 70.77\ -22\ -23\ -24\ -25\ -26\ -27\ -28\ -29\ -30\ 31\ 32 Solution The sample size is n = 32, so the mean is n(n + 1)/4 = 264 and the variance is n(n + 1)/24 = 2860. The sum of the positive ranks is
S+ = 121. We compute Since the null hypothesis is of the form H0: \mu \ge \mu0, small values of S+ provide evidence against H0. Thus the P-value is 0.0038. The Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test The Wilcoxon rank-sum test, also called the Mann-Whitney test, can be used
to test the difference in population means in certain cases where the populations are necessary. First the populations must be identical in shape and size; the only possible difference between them being their location. To describe the test, let
X1, ..., Xm be a random sample from one population and let Y1, ..., Yn be a random sample from the other. We adopt the notational convention that when the sample sizes are m and n, with m ≤ n. Denote the population means by μX and μY, respectively. The test is
performed by ordering the m + n values obtained by combining the two samples, and assigning ranks 1, 2, ..., m + n to them. The test statistic, denoted by W, is the sum of the ranks corresponding to X1, ..., Xm. Since the populations are identical with the possible exception of location, it follows that if μX μY, W will tend to be larger. We illustrate the
test in Example 6.19. Example 6.19 Resistances, in m\Omega, are measured for five wires of one type and six wires of another type. The results are as follows: X: 36 28 29 20 38 Y: 34 41 35 47 49 46 Use the Wilcoxon rank-sum test to test H0: \mu X < \mu Y. Solution We order the 11 values and assign the ranks. Value 20 28 29 34 35 36 38 41
1)/2 and variance mn(m + n + 1)/12. In these cases the test is performed by computing the z-score of W, and then using the normal table to find the P-value. The z-score is Page 464 Example 6.20 illustrates the method. Example 6.20 The article "Cost Analysis Between SABER and Design Bid Build Contracting Methods" (E. Henry and H. Brothers,
 Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 2001:359- 366) presents data on construction costs for 10 jobs bid by the traditional method (denoted X) and 19 jobs bid by an experimental system (denoted Y). The data, in units of dollars per square meter, and their ranks, are presented in Table 6.2. Test H0: \muX \leq \muY versus H1: \muX >\muY.
 TABLE 6.2 Value 57 95 101 118 149 196 200 233 243 341 419 457 584 592 594 613 622 708 726 Data for Example 6.20 Rank 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Sample X Y Y Y Y Y X X X X Y Solution The sum of the X
ranks is W = 1 + 12 + 13 + 16 + 18 + 22 + 25 + 26 + 27 + 28 = 188. The sample sizes are m = 10 and n = 19. We use the normal approximation and compute Large values of W provide evidence against the null hypothesis. Therefore the P-value is
0.0409. Page 465 Distribution-Free Methods Are Not Assumption-Free methods presented here require certain assumptions for their validity. Unfortunately, this is sometimes forgotten in practice. It is tempting to turn automatically to a distribution-free methods presented here require certain assumptions for their validity.
test does not appear to be justified, and to assume that the results will always be valid. This is not the case. The necessary assumptions of symmetry for the signed-rank test and of identical shapes and spreads for the rank-sum test are actually rather restrictive. While these tests perform reasonably well under moderate violations of these
assumptions, they are not universally applicable. Exercises for Section 6.9 1. The article "Wastewater Treatment Sludge as a Raw Material for the Production of Bacillus thuringiensis Based Biopesticides" (M. Tirado Montiel, R. Tyagi, and J. Valero, Water Research, 2001:3807-3816) presents measurements of total solids, in g/L, for seven sludge
specimens. The results (rounded to the nearest gram) are 20, 5, 25, 43, 24, 21, and 32. Assume the distribution of total solids is greater than 14 g/L? Compute the appropriate test statistic and find the P-value. b. Can you conclude that the mean
concentration of total solids is less than 30 g/L? Compute the appropriate test statistic and find the P-value. c. An environmental engineer claims that the mean concentration of total solids is equal to 18 g/L. Can you conclude that the mean concentration of total solids is less than 30 g/L? Compute the appropriate test statistic and find the P-value. c. An environmental engineer claims that the mean concentration of total solids is equal to 18 g/L.
mm, are 41.83, 41.01, 42.68, 41.37, 41.83, 40.50, 41.70, and 41.42. Assume that the mean thicknesses are a sample from an approximately symmetric distribution. a. Can you conclude that the mean thickness is less than 41.8
mm? Compute the appropriate test statistic and find the P-value. c. The target thickness is 42 mm. Can you conclude that the mean thickness differs from the target value? Compute the appropriate test statistic and find the P-value. The article "Reaction Modeling and Optimization Using Neural Networks and Genetic Algorithms: Case Study Involving
TS-1-Catalyzed Hydroxylation of Benzene" (S. Nandi, P. Mukherjee, et al., Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research, 2002:2159-2169) presents benzene conversions (in mole percent) for 24 different benzene hydroxylation reactions. The results are 52.3 30.3 14.3 41.1 41.0 30.1 28.8 63.0 33.4 67.8 80.8 36.2 78.6 26.8 34.6 72.3 37.3 40.0 9.1 38.1
81.2 19.0 33.6 59.4. a. 4. Can you conclude that the mean conversion is greater than 30? Compute the appropriate test statistic and find the P-value. c. Can you conclude that the mean conversion differs from 55? Compute the
appropriate test statistic and find the P-value. The article "Abyssal Peridotites > 3,800 Ma from Southern West Greenland: Field Relationships, Petrography, Geochronology, Whole-Rock and Mineral Chemistry of Dunite and Harzburgite Inclusions in the Itsaq Gneiss Complex" (C. Friend, V. Bennett, and A. Nutman, Contributions to Mineral Petrology
2002:71-92) presents silicon dioxide (SiO2) concentrations (in weight percent) for 10 dunites. The results are 40.57 43.76 a. b. 41.48 44.86 40.76 43.06 39.68 46.14 43.68 43.53 Can you conclude that the mean concentration is greater than 41? Compute Page 466 the appropriate test statistic and find the P-value. Can you conclude that the mean
concentration is less than 43? Compute the appropriate test statistic and find the P-value. c. 5. Can you conclude that the mean concentration differs from 44? Compute the appropriate test statistic and find the P-value. This exercise shows that the mean concentration differs from 44? Compute the appropriate test statistic and find the P-value.
compared. Ten different locations on a tire are measured once by each gauge. The results, in mm, are presented in the following table. Location 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Gauge 1 3.95 3.23 3.60 3.48 3.89 3.76 3.49 Difference 0.15 -0.07 0.01 -0.13 0.01 0.03 -0.11 -0.01 0.05 -0.05
Assume the differences are a sample from an approximately symmetric population with mean \mu. Use the Wilcoxon signed-rank test to test H0: \mu \neq 0. 6. The article "n-Nonane Hydroconversion on Ni and Pt Containing HMFI, HMOR and HBEA" (G. Kinger and H. Vinek, Applied Catalysis A: General, 2002:139-149) presents
hydroconversion rates (in µmol/g · s) of n-nonane over both HMFI and HBEA catalysts. The results are as follows: HMFI: HBEA: 7, 0.43 0.73 1.91 1.24 2.56 2.93 3.72 6.19 11.00 Can you conclude that the mean rate differs between the two catalysts? A new postsurgical treatment is being compared with a standard treatment. Seven subjects receive
the new treatment, while seven others (the controls) receive the standard treatment. The recovery times, in days, are as follows: Treatment (X): 8. 0.93 1.12 12 18 13 23 15 24 19 30 20 32 21 35 27 40 Can you conclude that the mean rate differs between the treatment and controls in the control of the contr
compressive strength of concrete blocks, two samples of 15 blocks in the other were cured for six days. The compressive strengths of the blocks, in MPa, are as follows: Cured 2 days (X): 1326 1302 1314 1270 Cured 6 days (Y): 9.
1287 1306 1255 1328 1329 1291 1318 1255 1280 1296 1310 1387 1399 1321 1372 1301 1378 1364 1341 1376 1343 1332 1374 1397 1349 1396 Can you conclude that the mean strength is greater for blocks cured for six days? In a comparison of the effectiveness of distance learning with traditional classroom instruction, 12 students took a business
administration course online, while 14 students took it in a classroom. The final exam scores were as follows. Online: 66 91 80 81 Classroom: 75 72 83 51 85 69 64 64 64 77 81 59 88 83 75 85 77 74 80 74 86 77 Can you conclude that the mean score differs between the two types of course? 10. A woman who has moved into a new house is trying to
determine which of two routes to work has the shorter average driving time. Times in minutes for six trips on route A and five trips on route B are as follows: A: B: 16.0 17.2 15.7 16.9 16.4 16.1 15.9 19.8 16.2 16.7 16.3 Can you conclude that the mean time is less for route A? Page 467 6.10 Tests with Categorical Data In Section 4.1 we studied the
Bernoulli trial, which is a process that results in one of two possible outcomes, labeled "success" and "failure." If a number of successes is counted, we can test the null hypothesis that the success probability p is equal to a prespecified value p0. This was covered in Section 6.3. If two sets of Bernoulli trials are conducted, and the number of successes is counted, we can test the null hypothesis that the success probability p is equal to a prespecified value p0. This was covered in Section 6.3. If two sets of Bernoulli trials are conducted, and the number of successes is counted, we can test the null hypothesis that the success probability p is equal to a prespecified value p0. This was covered in Section 6.3. If two sets of Bernoulli trials are conducted, and the number of successes is counted, we can test the null hypothesis that the success probability p is equal to a prespecified value p0. This was covered in Section 6.3. If two sets of Bernoulli trials are conducted, and the number of successes is counted, we can test the null hypothesis that the success probability p is equal to a prespecified value p0.
trials are conducted, with success probability p1 for the first set and p2 for the second set, we can test the null hypothesis that p1 = p2. This was covered in Section 6.6. A generalization of the Bernoulli trial is the multinomial trial (see Section 4.4), which is an experiment that can result in any one of k outcomes, where k \ge 2. The probabilities of the k
outcomes are denoted p1, ..., pk. For example, the roll of a fair die is a multinomial trial with six outcomes 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6; and probabilities p1 = p2 = p3 = p4 = p5 = p6 = 1/6. In this section, we generalize the tests for a Bernoulli probabilities p1 = p2 = p3 = p4 = p5 = p6 = 1/6. In this section, we generalize the tests for a Bernoulli probabilities p1 = p2 = p3 = p4 = p5 = p6 = 1/6. In this section, we generalize the tests for a Bernoulli probability to multinomial trials.
probabilities p1, p2, ..., pk are equal to a prespecified set of values p01, p02, ..., p0k, so that the null hypothesis has the form H0: p1 = p01, p2 = p02 ..., pk = p0k. Imagine that a gambler wants to test a die to see whether it deviates from fairness. Let pi be the probability that the number i comes up. The null hypothesis will state that the die is fair,
so the probabilities specified under the null hypothesis are p01 = \cdots = p06 = 1/6. The gambler rolls the die 600 times and obtains the results shown in Table 6.3, in the column labeled "Observed." The results obtained are called the observed values. To test the null hypothesis, we construct a second
column, labeled "Expected." This column contains the expected values. The expected values for a given outcome if H0 were true. To compute the expected values, let N be the total number of trials. (In the die example, N = 600.) When H0 is true, the probability that a trial results in
outcome i is p0i, so the expected number of trials resulting in outcome is 100. TABLE 6.3 Category 1 2 3 Observed and expected number of trials for each outcome is 100. TABLE 6.3 Category 1 2 3 Observed and expected number of trials for each outcome is 100. TABLE 6.3 Category 1 2 3 Observed and expected number of trials for each outcome is 100. TABLE 6.3 Category 1 2 3 Observed and expected number of trials for each outcome is 100. TABLE 6.3 Category 1 2 3 Observed and expected number of trials for each outcome is 100. TABLE 6.3 Category 1 2 3 Observed and expected number of trials for each outcome is 100. TABLE 6.3 Category 1 2 3 Observed and expected number of trials for each outcome is 100. TABLE 6.3 Category 1 2 3 Observed and expected number of trials for each outcome is 100. TABLE 6.3 Category 1 2 3 Observed and expected number of trials for each outcome is 100. TABLE 6.3 Category 1 2 3 Observed and expected number of trials for each outcome is 100. TABLE 6.3 Category 1 2 3 Observed and expected number of trials for each outcome is 100. TABLE 6.3 Category 1 2 3 Observed and expected number of trials for each outcome is 100. TABLE 6.3 Category 1 2 3 Observed and expected number of trials for each outcome is 100. TABLE 6.3 Category 1 2 3 Observed and expected number of trials for each outcome is 100. TABLE 6.3 Category 1 2 3 Observed and expected number of trials for each outcome is 100. TABLE 6.3 Category 1 2 3 Observed and expected number of trials for each outcome is 100. TABLE 6.3 Category 1 2 3 Observed and expected number of trials for each outcome is 100. TABLE 6.3 Category 1 2 3 Observed and expected number of trials for each outcome is 100. TABLE 6.3 Category 1 2 3 Observed and expected number of trials for each outcome is 100. TABLE 6.3 Category 1 2 3 Observed and expected number of trials for each outcome is 100. TABLE 6.3 Category 1 2 3 Observed number of trials for each outcome is 100. TABLE 6.3 Category 1 2 3 Observed number of trials for each outcome is 100. TABLE 6.3 Category 1 2 3 Observed 
hypothesis test is that if H0 is true, then the observed and Page 468 expected values are likely to be close to each other. Therefore we will construct a test statistic is called the chi-square statistic. To define it, let k be the number of outcomes (k = 6 in the die example).
and let Oi and Ei be the observed and expected numbers of trials, respectively, that result in outcome i. The chi-square statistic is (6.6) The larger the value of \chi2, the stronger the evidence against H0. To determine the P-value for the test, we must know the null distribution of this test statistic. In general, we cannot determine the P-value for the test, we must know the null distribution of this test statistic.
exactly. However, when the expected values are all sufficiently large, a good approximation is available. It is called the chi-square distribution with k - 1 degrees of freedom, denoted approximation is available. It is called the chi-square distribution with k - 1 degrees of freedom, denoted approximation is available. It is called the chi-square distribution with k - 1 degrees of freedom, denoted approximation is available. It is called the chi-square distribution with k - 1 degrees of freedom, denoted approximation is available. It is called the chi-square distribution with k - 1 degrees of freedom, denoted approximation is available. It is called the chi-square distribution with k - 1 degrees of freedom, denoted approximation is available. It is called the chi-square distribution with k - 1 degrees of freedom is one less than the number of degrees of freedom is one less than the number of degrees of freedom is one less than the number of degrees of freedom is one less than the number of degrees of freedom is one less than the number of degrees of freedom is one less than the number of degrees of freedom is one less than the number of degrees of freedom is one less than the number of degrees of freedom is one less than the number of degrees of freedom is one less than the number of degrees of freedom is one less than the number of degrees of freedom is one less than the number of degrees of freedom is one less than the number of degrees of freedom is one less than the number of degrees of freedom is one less than the number of degrees of freedom is one less than the number of degrees of freedom is one less than the number of degrees of freedom is one less than the number of degrees of freedom is one less than the number of degrees of freedom is one less than the number of degrees of freedom is one less than the number of degrees of freedom is one less than the number of degrees of freedom is one less than the number of degrees of freedom is one less than the number of degrees of freedom is one less than the 
values are greater than or equal to 5. A table for the chi-square distribution (Table A.7) is provided in Appendix A. The table provides values for certain quantiles, or upper percentage points, for a large number of choices of degrees of freedom. As an example, Figure 6.19 presents the probability density function of the distribution. The upper 5% of
the distribution is shaded. To find the upper 5% point in the table, look under \alpha = 0.05 and degrees of freedom \nu = 10. The value is 18.307. [See the chi-square table (Table A.7) in Appendix A.] We now compute the value of the chi-square statistic for the data
in Table 6.3. The number of degrees of freedom is 5 (one less than the number of outcomes). Using Equation (6.6), the value of the statistic, we first note that all the expected values are greater than or equal to 5, so use of the chi-square distribution is appropriate. We consult the chisquare table under
five degrees of freedom. The upper 10% point is 9.236. We Page 469 conclude that P > 0.10. (See Figure 6.20.) There is no evidence to suggest that the die is not fair. FIGURE 6.20 Probability density function of the distribution. The observed value of the test statistic is 6.12. The upper 10% point is 9.236. Therefore the P-value is greater than 0.10.
The test we have just described determines how well a given multinomial distribution fits the data. For this reason it is called a goodness-of-fit test. Example 6.21 Mega Millions is a multistate lottery in which players try to guess the numbers that will turn up in a drawing of numbered balls. One of the balls drawn is the Mega Ball. Matching the
number drawn on the Mega Ball increases one's winnings. During a recent three-year period, the Mega Ball was drawn from a collection of 15 balls numbered 1 through 15, and a total of 344 drawings were made. For the purposes of this example, we grouped the numbers into five categories: 1-3, 4-6, and so on. If the lottery is fair, then the winning
number is equally likely to occur in any category. Following are the observed number of draws in each category. Therefore each expected value
is 334/5 = 68.8. We compute the test statistic: There are 5 categories, thus 4 degrees of freedom. Consulting the chi-square table, we find that P > 0.10. (Software yields P = 0.79.) There is no evidence that the lottery is unfair. The Chi-Square Test for Homogeneity In Example 6.21, we tested the null hypothesis that the probabilities of the outcomes
for a multinomial trial were equal to a prespecified set of values. Sometimes several Page 470 multinomial trials are conducted, each with the same set of possible outcomes. The null hypothesis is that the probabilities of the outcomes are the same set of possible outcomes. The null hypothesis is that the probabilities of the outcomes are the same for each experiment. We present an example. Four machines manufacture cylindrical steel pins. The
pins are subject to a diameter specification. A pin may meet the specification, or it may be too thin or too thick. Pins are sampled from each machine, and the number of pins in various categories with regard to a diameter specification Machine 1 Machine
2 Machine 3 Machine 4 Total Too Thin 10 34 12 10 66 OK 102 161 79 60 402 Too Thick 8 5 9 10 32 Total 120 200 100 80 500 Table 6.4 is an example of a contingency table. Each row specifies a category regarding another criterion (thickness, in this case). Each
intersection of row and column is called a cell, so there are 12 cells in Table 6.4. The number of trials whose outcome was observed to fall into row category i and into column category j. This number is called the observed value for cell ij. Note that we have included the totals of the
observed values for each row and column. These are called the marginal totals. The null hypothesis is that no matter which row is chosen, the probabilities of the outcomes associated with the columns are the same. We
will develop some notation with which to express H0 and to define the number of columns. Let Ji denote the number of columns in the table, and let Ji denote the number of columns. Let Ji denote the number of columns. Let Ji denote the number of columns in the table, and let Ji denote the number of columns. Let Ji denote the number of columns in the table, and let Ji denote the number of columns in the table, and let Ji denote the number of columns in the table, and let Ji denote the number of columns in the table, and let Ji denote the number of columns in the table, and let Ji denote the number of columns in the table, and let Ji denote the number of columns in the table, and let Ji denote the number of columns in the table, and let Ji denote the number of columns in the table, and let Ji denote the number of columns in the table, and let Ji denote the number of columns in the table, and let Ji denote the number of columns in the table, and let Ji denote the number of columns in the table, and let Ji denote the number of columns in the table, and let Ji denote the number of columns in the table, and let Ji denote the number of columns in the table, and let Ji denote the number of columns in the table, and let Ji denote the number of columns in the table, and let Ji denote the number of columns in the table, and let Ji denote the number of columns in the table, and let Ji denote the number of columns in the table, and let Ji denote the number of columns in the table, and let Ji denote the number of columns in the table, and let Ji denote the number of columns in the table, and let Ji denote the number of columns in the table, and let Ji denote the number of columns in the table, and let Ji denote the number of columns in
denote the sum of the observed values in row i, let O.j denote the sum of the observed values in column J O1J Total O1. Row 2 O21 O22 ... O2J O2. : Row I : OI1 : OI2 ... ... :
OIJ : OI. Total O.1 O.2 ... O.J O.. To define a test statistic, we must compute an expected value for each cell in the table. Under H0, the probability is the proportion of trials whose outcome falls into column j. This proportion is O.j/O... We need
to compute the expected number of trials whose outcome falls into cell ij. We denote this expected value by Eij. It is equal to the proportion of trials whose outcome falls into column j, multiplied by the number Oi. of trials in row i. That is, Page 471 (6.8) The test statistic is based on the differences between the observed and expected values: (6.9)
Under H0, this test statistic has a chi-square distribution with (I-1)(I-1) degrees of freedom. Use of the chi-square distribution is appropriate whenever the expected values are all greater than or equal to 5. Example 6.22 Use the data in Table 6.4 to test the null hypothesis that the proportions of pins that are too thin, OK, or too thick are the same
for all the machines. Solution We begin by using Equation (6.8) to compute the expected values for Table 6.4 Machine 1 Machine 2 Machine 2 Machine 3 Machine 4 Total Too Thin 15.84 26.40 13.20 10.56 66.00 OK 96.48 160.80 80.40
64.32 402.00 Too Thick 7.68 12.80 6.40 5.12 32.00 Total 120.00 200.00 100.00 80.00 500.00 We note that all the expected values are greater than 5. Therefore the chisquare statistic: Since there are four rows and three columns, the number of degrees of
freedom is (4-1)(3-1) = 6. To obtain the P-value, we consult the chi-square table (Table A.7). Looking under six degrees of freedom, we find that the upper 1% point is 14.449, and the upper 1% point is 16.812. Therefore 0.01 < P < 0.025. It is reasonable to conclude that the machines differ in the proportions of pins that are too thin, OK, or too
thick. Note that the observed row and column totals are identical to the expected row and column totals. This is always the case. The following computer output (from MINITAB) presents the results of this hypothesis test. Chi-Square Test: Thin, OK, Thick Expected counts are printed below observed counts are printed below.
and column) contains three numbers. The top number is the observed value, the middle number is the expected value, and the bottom number is the contribution (Oij - Eij)2/Eij made to the chi-square statistic from that cell. Page 473 The Chi-Square Test for Independence In Example 6.22 the column totals were random, while the row totals were
example where both row and column totals are random. Example 6.23 The cylindrical steel pins in Example 6.22 are subject to a length specification. With respect to the length, a pin may meet the specification. With respect to both
length and diameter specification. The results are presented in the following table. Test the null hypothesis that the proportions of pins that are too thin, OK, or too thick with respect to the diameter specification do not depend on the classification with respect to the length specification. Observed Values for 1021 Steel Pins Length Too Short OK Too
there are three rows and three columns, the number of degrees of freedom is (3-1)(3-1)=4. To obtain the P-value, we consult the chi-square table (Table A.7). Looking under four degrees of freedom, we find that the upper 10% point is 7.779. We conclude that P > 0.10. (Software yields P = 0.13.) There is no evidence that the length and
conforming, while 10% are downgraded and 5% are scrap. In a sample of 500 fasteners, 405 were conforming, 55 were downgraded, and 40 were scrap. Can you conclude that the true percentages differ from 85%, 10%, and 5%? a. State the appropriate null hypothesis. b. Compute the expected values under the null hypothesis. c. Compute the value
of the chi-square statistic. d. Find the P-value. What do you conclude? At an assembly plant for light trucks, routine monitoring of the quality varies
among shifts? a. State the appropriate null hypothesis. b. Compute the expected values under the null hypothesis. c. Compute the value of the chi-square statistic. d. Find the P-value. What do you conclude? The article "Inconsistent Health Perceptions for US Women and Men with Diabetes" (M. McCollum, L. Hansen, et al., Journal of Women's Health, Perceptions for US Women and Men with Diabetes "Inconsistent Health Perceptions for US Women and Men with Diabetes" (M. McCollum, L. Hansen, et al., Journal of Women's Health, Perceptions for US Women and Men with Diabetes "Inconsistent Health Perceptions for US Women and Men with Diabetes" (M. McCollum, L. Hansen, et al., Journal of Women's Health, Perceptions for US Women and Men with Diabetes "Inconsistent Health Perceptions for US Women and Men with Diabetes" (M. McCollum, L. Hansen, et al., Journal of Women's Health, Perceptions for US Women and Men with Diabetes "Inconsistent Health, Perceptions for US Women and Men with Diabetes "Inconsistent Health, Perceptions for US Women and Men with Diabetes "Inconsistent Health, Perceptions for US Women and Men with Diabetes "Inconsistent Health, Perceptions for US Women and Men with Diabetes "Inconsistent Health, Perceptions for US Women and Men with Diabetes "Inconsistent Health, Perceptions for US Women and Men with Diabetes "Inconsistent Health, Perceptions for US Women and Men with Diabetes "Inconsistent Health, Perceptions for US Women and Men with Diabetes "Inconsistent Health, Perceptions for US Women and Men with Diabetes "Inconsistent Health, Perceptions for US Women and Men with Diabetes "Inconsistent Health, Perceptions for US Women and Men with Diabetes "Inconsistent Health, Perceptions for US Women and Men with Diabetes "Inconsistent Health, Perceptions for US Women and Men with Diabetes "Inconsistent Health, Perceptions for US Women and Men with Diabetes "Inconsistent Health, Perceptions for US Women and Men with Diabetes "Inconsistent Health, Perception Health, Perception Health, Perception Health, Perceptio
2007:1421-1428) presents results of a survey of adults with diabetes. Each respondent was categorized by gender and income level. The numbers in each category (calculated from percentages given in the article) are presented in the following table. Poor Men 156 Women 348 4. Low Income 253 433 Middle Income 513 592 High Income 604 511 Can
you conclude that the proportions in the various income categories differ between men and women? The article "Analysis of Time Headways on Urban Roads: Case Study from Riyadh" (A. Al-Ghamdi, Journal of Transportation Engineering, 2001:289-294) presents a model for the time elapsed between the arrival of consecutive vehicles on urban roads.
Following are 137 arrival times (in seconds) along with the values expected from a theoretical model. Time 0-2 2-4 4-6 6-8 8-10 10-12 12-18 18-22 > 22 5. Near Poor 77 152 Observed values well? The article
 "Chronic Beryllium Disease and Sensitization at a Beryllium Processing Facility" (K. Rosenman, V. Hertzberg, et al., Environmental Health Perspectives were categorized by their duration of exposure (in years) and by their disease status (chronic
beryllium disease, sensitization to beryllium, or no disease). The Page 475 results were as follows: 0.10 (see Figure 6.21 probability density function of the distribution. The observed value of the test statistic is 12.15. The lower 10% point is 8.547. Therefore the P-value is
greater than 0.10. When the null hypothesis has the form, larger evidence against H0. Then the P-value is the area to the right of the test statistic provide evidence against H0. Then the P-value is the area to the right of the test statistic provide evidence against H0. Then the P-value is the area to the right of the test statistic provide evidence against H0. Then the P-value is the area to the right of the test statistic provide evidence against H0. Then the P-value is the area to the right of the test statistic provide evidence against H0. Then the P-value is the area to the right of the test statistic provide evidence against H0. Then the P-value is the area to the right of the test statistic provide evidence against H0. Then the P-value is the area to the right of the test statistic provide evidence against H0. Then the P-value is the area to the right of the test statistic provide evidence against H0. Then the P-value is the area to the right of the test statistic provide evidence against H0. Then the P-value is the area to the right of the test statistic provide evidence against H0. Then the P-value is the area to the right of the test statistic provide evidence against H0. Then the P-value is the area to the right of the test statistic provide evidence against H0. Then the P-value is the area to the right of the 
 is then found by doubling the area in the tail containing the observed value of the test statistic. The F Test for Equality of Variance Sometimes it is desirable to test a null hypothesis that two populations are normal, however, a method is
available. Let X1, ..., Xm be a simple random sample from a population, and let Y1, ..., Yn population. Assume that the sample are chosen independently. The values of the means, µ1 and µ2, are irrelevant here; we are concerned only with the variances and . Note that the sample sizes, m and n, may be different. Let
be the sample variances. That is, Any of three null hypotheses may be tested. They are and The procedure for testing the null hypotheses are similar, but not identical. We will describe the procedure for testing the null hypotheses are similar, but not identical. We will describe the procedure for testing the null hypotheses are similar, but not identical. We will describe the procedure for testing the null hypotheses are similar, but not identical.
of the two sample variances: (6.10) Page 479 When H0 is true, we assume that . When H0 is true, we assume that . When H0 is true, we must know its null
distribution. The null distribution is called an F distribution are ratios of quantities, such as the ratio of the two sample variances in Equation (6.10). The F distribution are ratios of guantities, such as the ratio of the two sample variances in Equation (6.10).
associated with the denominator. The degrees of freedom for the humerator and 16 degrees of freedom for the humerator are always listed first. A table for the F
distribution is provided (Table A.8 in Appendix A). The table provides values for certain quantiles, or upper percentage points, for a large number of the F3,16 distribution. The upper 5% of the distribution is shaded. To find the upper 5% point
in the table, look under \alpha = 0.050, and degrees of freedom \nu 1 = 3, \nu 2 = 16. The value is 3.24. FIGURE 6.22 Probability density function of the F3,16 distribution. The upper 5% point is 3.24. FIGURE 6.22 Probability density function of the F3,16 distribution. The upper 5% point is 3.24. FIGURE 6.22 Probability density function of the F3,16 distribution.
degrees of freedom for the numerator is one less than the sample size used to compute We illustrate the F test with an example 6.25 In a series of experiments to determine the absorption rate of certain pesticides into skin,
measured amounts of two pesticides were applied to several skin specimens. After a time, the amounts absorbed in 6 specimens was 2.3, while for pesticide B, the variance of the amounts absorbed in 10 specimens was 0.6. Assume that for each pesticide, the amounts absorbed in 10 specimens was 0.7, while for pesticide B, the variance of the amounts absorbed in 10 specimens was 0.8.
absorbed are a simple random sample from a normal population. Can we conclude that the variance for pesticide B? Page 480 Solution Let be the population variance for pesticide B. The null hypothesis is The sample variances are and . The value
of the test statistic is The null distribution of the test statistic is F5,9. If H0 is true, then will on the average be . smaller than . It follows that the larger the value of F, the stronger the evidence against H0. Consulting the F table with five and nine degrees of freedom, we find that the upper 5% point is 3.48, while the upper 1% point is 6.06. We
conclude that 0.01 < P < 0.05. There is reasonably strong evidence against the null hypothesis. See Figure 6.23. FIGURE 6.23 The observed value of the test statistic is 3.83. The upper 5% point of the F5,9 distribution is 3.48; the upper 1 % point is 6.06. Therefore the P-value is between 0.01 and 0.05. We now describe the modifications to the
procedure shown in Example 6.25 that are necessary to test the other null hypotheses. To test one could in principle use the F table contains only large values (i.e., greater than 1) for the F statistic, it is easier to use the statistic. Under H0, the
distribution of is Fn -1, m-1. Finally, we describe the method for testing the two-tailed hypothesis Page 481 For this hypothesis, both large and small values of the statistic H0. The procedure is to use either or provide evidence against, whichever is greater than 1. The P-value for the two-tailed test is twice the P-value for the one-tailed test. In other
words, the P-value of the two-tailed test is twice the upper tail area of the F distribution. We illustrate with a sample 6.25, 6.26 with a sample size of 6, and with a sample size of 6, and with a sample size of 6.25, we found that for the one-
tailed test, 0.01 < P < 0.05. Therefore for the two-tailed test, 0.02 < P < 0.10. The following computer output (from MINITAB) presents the solution to Example 6.26. Test for Equal Variances F-Test (normal distribution) Test statistic = 3.83, p-value = 0.078 The F Test Is Sensitive to Departures from Normality The F test, like the t test, requires that
the samples come from normal populations. Unlike the t test, the F test for comparing variances is fairly sensitive to this assumption. If the shapes of the populations differ much from the normal curve, the F test may give misleading results. For this reason, the F test for comparing variances must be used with caution. In Chapters 8 and 9, we will use
the F distribution to perform certain hypothesis tests in the context of linear regression and analysis of variance. In these settings, the F test is less sensitive to violations of the normality assumption. The F Test Cannot Prove That Two Variances Are Equal In Section 6.7, we presented two versions of the t test for the difference between two means.
One version is generally applicable, while the second version, which uses the pooled variances to be equal if the null hypothesis of equality is not
rejected. Unfortunately this procedure is unreliable, for the basic reason that failure to reject the null hypothesis does not justify the assumption that population variances are equal cannot be justified by a hypothesis test. Page 482 Exercises for Section 6.11 1. A random sample of size 11 from
a normal distribution has variance s2 = 96. Test H0: \sigma2 \leq 50 versus H1: \sigma2 \leq 50 versus H1: \sigma2 \leq 30 versus H1: \sigma3 \leq 50 versus H1: \sigma5 \leq 50 versus H1: \sigma5 \leq 50 versus H1: \sigma6 \leq 50 versus H1: \sigma7 \leq 50 versus H1: \sigma8 \leq 50 versus H1: \sigma8 \leq 50 versus H1: \sigma9 \leq 50 ve
variance is σ2 = 225. Do these data provide sufficient evidence to contradict this claim? A machine is moved to a new location. To determine whether the variance has changed, 10 cans are filled. Following are
the amounts in the 10 cans. Assume them to be a random sample from a normal population. 4. 12.18 11.96 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 11.77 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.36 12.03 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12.09 12
standard deviation of 4.3 pounds. Assume that the population of the weights is normally distributed. A pediatrician for the weights of one-year-old girls is less than 5 pounds. Do the data provide convincing evidence that the pediatrician for the weights of one-year-old girls is less than 5 pounds. Do the data provide convincing evidence that the pediatrician for the weights of one-year-old girls is less than 5 pounds. Do the data provide convincing evidence that the pediatrician for the weights of one-year-old girls is less than 5 pounds. Do the data provide convincing evidence that the pediatrician for the weights of one-year-old girls is less than 5 pounds. Do the data provide convincing evidence that the pediatrician for the weights of one-year-old girls is less than 5 pounds. Do the data from the National Health Statistics Reports.)
General Social Survey asked a large number of people how much time they spent watching TV each day. The mean number of hours was 2.98 with a standard deviation of 2.66. Assume that in a sample of 40 teenagers, the sample of 40
you conclude that the population standard deviation of TV watching times for teenagers is less than 2.66? Scores on the math SAT are normally distributed. A sample of 20 SAT scores had standard deviation will be σ = 100. Do these data
provide sufficient evidence to contradict this claim? One of the ways in which doctors try to determine how long a single dose of pain reliever will provide relief is to measure the drug's half-life, which is the length of time it takes for one-half of the dose to be eliminated from the body. A report of the National Institutes of Health states that the
 standard deviation of the half-life of the pain reliever oxycodone is \sigma = 1.43 hours. Assume that a sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample standard deviation of the half-lives was s = 1.5 hours. Assume that a sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the sample of 25 patients is given the drug, and the drug of 25 patients is given the drug, and the drug of 25 patients is given the drug of 25 patients 
National Institutes of Health? Find the upper 5% point of F7,20. 10. Find the upper 1 % point of F2,5. 11. An F test with five degrees of freedom in the numerator and seven degrees of freedom in the denominator produced a test statistic whose value was 7.46. a. What is the P-value if the test is one-tailed? b. What is the P-value if the test is two-
the variability of the process is greater on the second day? Page 483 13. Refer to Exercise 11 in Section 5.6. Can you conclude that the variability of the process is greater on the second day? Page 483 13. Refer to Exercise 13 in
Section 5.6. Can you conclude that the time to freeze-up is more variable in the seventh month than in the first month after installation? 6.12 Fixed-Level Testing Critical Points and Rejection Regions A hypothesis test measures the plausibility of the null hypothesis by producing a P-value. The smaller the P-value, the less plausible the null. We have
pointed out that there is no scientifically valid dividing line between plausibility, so it is impossible to specify a "correct" P-value below which we should reject H0. When possible, it is best simply to report the P-value, and not to make a firm decision whether or not to reject. Sometimes, however, a decision has to be made. For
 example, if items are sampled from an assembly line to test whether the mean diameter is within tolerance, a decision must be made whether to recalibrate the process. If a sample of parts is drawn from a shipment and checked for defects, a decision must be made whether to accept or to return the shipment. If a decision is going to be made on the
basis of a hypothesis test, there is no choice but to pick a cutoff point for the P-value. When this is done, the test is referred to as a fixed-level test. Fixed-level test. Fixed-level test is referred to as a fixed-level test. Fixed-level tes
the P-value is computed. If P \le \alpha, the null hypothesis is rejected and the alternate hypothesis is taken as truth. If P > \alpha, then the null hypothesis is considered to be plausible. The value of \alpha is called the significance level, or, more simply, the level, of the test. Recall from Section 6.2 that if a test results in a P-value less than or equal to \alpha, we say that
the null hypothesis is rejected at level \alpha (or 100\alpha\%), or that the result is statistically significant at level \alpha (or 100\alpha\%). As we have mentioned, a common choice for \alpha is 0.05. Summary To conduct a fixed-level test. \blacksquare Compute the P-value in the usual
way. \blacksquare If P \le \alpha, reject H0. Example 6.1 in Section 6.1. The mean wear in a sample 6.2 reject H0. Example 6.2 reject H0. Example 6.2 reject H0 at the 25% level? Can
we reject H0 at the 5% level? Page 484 Solution The P-value of 0.209 is less than 0.25, so if we had chosen a significance level of \alpha = 0.25, we would reject H0 at the 5% level. In a fixed-level test, a critical point is a value of the test statistic that produces a P-value exactly
equal to α. A critical point is a dividing line for the P-value will be less than α, and H0 will be rejected. If the test statistic is on one side of the critical point, the P-value will be greater than α, and H0 will not be
rejected. The region on the side of the critical point that leads to rejection region. Example 6.28 A new concrete mix is being evaluated. The plan is to sample 100 concrete blocks made with the new mix, compute the sample mean compressive strength, and then test H0: μ ≤ 100 concrete blocks made with the new mix, compute the sample formula to sample 100 concrete blocks made with the new mix, compute the sample mean compressive strength.
1350 versus H1: μ > 1350, where the units are MPa. It is assumed from previous tests of this sort that the population standard deviation σ will be close to 70 MPa. Find the critical point and the rejection region if the test will be conducted at a significance level of 5%. Solution We will reject H0 if the P-value is less than or equal to 0.05. The P-value
for this test will be the area to the right of the value of . Therefore the P-value will be less than 0.05, and H0 will be rejected, if the value of is in the upper 5% of the null distribution. The critical point is the boundary of the upper 5%. The null distribution
is normal, and from the z table we find that the z-score of the point that cuts off the upper 5% of the normal curve is z.05 = 1.645. It is often more convenient to express the critical point and rejection region as z \ge 1.645. It is often more convenient to express the critical point and rejection region in terms of , by converting the z-score to the
original units. The null distribution has mean \mu=1350 and standard deviation. The rejection region consists of the upper 5% of the null distribution. The critical point is 1361.5, on the
boundary of the rejection region. Page 485 Example 6.29 In a hypothesis test to determine whether a scale is in calibration, the null hypothesis is H0: \mu = 1000 and the null distribution of is N(1000, 0.262). (This situation was presented in Example 6.2 in Section 6.1.) Find the rejection region if the test will be conducted at a significance level of 5%
all values of greater than or equal to 1000 + (1.96)(0.26) = 999.49 and 1000.51, along with all the values less than or equal to 1000 - (1.96)(0.26) = 999.49. Note that there are two critical points, 999.49 and 1000.51. FIGURE 6.25 The rejection region for this two-tailed test consists of both the lower and the upper 2.5\% of the null distribution. There are two
critical points, 999.49 and 1000.51. Type I and Type II Errors Since a fixed-level test results in a firm decision, there is a chance that the decision could be the wrong one. There are exactly two ways in which the decision could be the wrong one. There are exactly two ways in which the decision could be the wrong one. There are exactly two ways in which the decision could be the wrong one. There are exactly two ways in which the decision could be the wrong one.
This is known as a type II error. When designing experiments whose data will be analyzed with a fixed-level test, it is important to try to make the probabilities of type I and type II errors reasonably small. There is no use in conducting an experiment that has a large probabilities of type I and type II errors reasonably small. There is no use in conducting an experiment that has a large probabilities of type I and type II errors reasonably small.
probability of a type I error, as shown by the following result. If \alpha is the significance level that has been chosen for the test, then the probability of a type I error is never greater than \alpha. We illustrate this fact with the following example. Let X1, ..., Xn be a large random sample from a population with mean \mu and variance \sigma2. Then is normally
 distributed with Page 486 2 mean \mu and variance \sigma /n. Assume that we are to test H0: \mu \leq 0 versus H1: \mu > 0 at the fixed level \alpha = 0.05. That is, we will reject H0 if P \leq 0.05. The null distribution, shown in Figure 6.26, is normal with mean 0 and variance.
Figure 6.26. In this case, is given, so the probability of rejecting H0 and making a type I error is equal to 0.05. We could repeat this illustration using any number \alpha in place
of 0.05. We conclude that if H0 is true, the probability of a type I error is never greater than \alpha. Furthermore, note that if \mu is on the boundary of H0 (\mu = 0 in this case), then the probability of a type I error is equal to \alpha. We can therefore make the probability of a type I error as small as we please, because it is never greater than the significance level of the probability of a type I error as small as we please, because it is never greater than the significance level of the probability of a type I error as small as we please, because it is never greater than the significance level of the probability of a type I error as small as we please, because it is never greater than the significance level of the probability of a type I error as small as we please, because it is never greater than the significance level of the probability of a type I error as small as we please, because it is never greater than the significance level of the probability of a type I error as small as we please, because it is never greater than the significance level of the probability of a type I error as small as we please, because it is never greater than the significance level of the probability of a type I error as small as we please, because it is never greater than the significance level of the probability of a type I error as small as we please, because it is never greater than the probability of a type I error as small as we please, because it is never greater than the probability of a type I error as small as we please, because it is never greater than the probability of a type I error as small as we please, because it is never greater than the probability of a type I error as small as we please, because it is never greater than the probability of a type I error as small as we please, because it is never greater than the probability of a type I error as small as we please, because it is never greater than the probability of a type I error as small as we please, because the probability of a type I error as small as w
that we choose. Unfortunately, as we will see in Section 6.13, the smaller we make the probability of a type I error, the larger the probability of a type I error will be reasonably small. As we have mentioned, a conventional choice for a is 0.05.
Then one computes the probability of a type II error and hopes that it is not too large. If it is large, it can be reduced only by redesigning the experiment—for example by increasing the sample size. Calculating and controlling the size of the type I error. We will
discuss this in Section 6.13. Summary When conducting a fixed-level test at significance level α, there are two types of error: Fail to reject H0 when it is false. The probability of a type I error: Fail to reject H0 when it is false. The probability of a type I error: Fail to reject H0 when it is false. The probability of a type I error: Fail to reject H0 when it is false. The probability of a type I error is never greater than α. Exercises for Section 6.12 1. A hypothesis test is
performed, and the P-value is 0.03. True or false: a. H0 is rejected at the 5% level. b. H0 is rejected at the 5% level. A process for a certain type of ore is designed to reduce the concentration of impurities to less than 2%. It is known that the standard deviation of impurities for processed ore is
0.6\%. Let \mu represent the mean impurity level, in percent, for ore specimens treated by this process. The impurity level is 1.85, will H0 be
rejected at the 10% level? c. If the sample mean pH is 1.85, will H0 be rejected at the 1% level? d. If the value 1.9 is a critical point, what is the level of the test? A new braking system is being evaluated for a certain type of car. The braking system will be installed if it can be conclusively demonstrated that the stopping distance under certain
controlled conditions at a speed of 30 mi/h is less than 90 ft. It is known that under these conditions the standard deviation of stopping distance for the new braking system. a. State the appropriate null and alternate
hypotheses. b. Find the rejection region if the test is to be conducted at the 5% level. c. Someone suggests rejection region, find the level of the test. Otherwise explain what is wrong. d. Someone suggests rejection region, find the level of the test.
                                                                         opriate rejection region, find the level of the test. Otherwise explain what is wrong. e. Someone suggests rejection region, find the level of the test. Otherwise explain what is wrong. e. Someone suggests rejection region, find the level of the test. Otherwise explain what is wrong.
A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \leq 10 versus H1: \mu \geq 10. For each of the following situations, determine whether the decision was correct, a type I error occurred. a. \mu = 12, H0 is rejected. A vendor claims that no more than 10% of
the parts she supplies are defective. Let p denote the actual proportion of parts that are defective. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: p > 0.10 versus H1: p > 0.10 ver
claim is false, and H0 is rejected. 6. c. The claim is true, and H0 is not rejected. d. The claim is false, and H0 is not rejected. d. The claim is false, and H0 is not rejected. 6. c. The claim is false, and H0 is not rejected. A company that manufactures steel wires guarantees that the mean breaking strength (in kN) of the wires is greater than 50. They measure the strengths for a sample of wires and test H0: \mu \leq 50 versus H1: \mu \geq 50. a. 7. If a
Type I error is made, what conclusion will be drawn regarding the mean breaking strength? Washers used in a certain application are supposed to have a thickness of 2 millimeters. A quality control engineer measures the thicknesses for a sample of
washers and tests H0: \mu = 2 versus H1: \mu \neq 2. a. b. If a Type I error is made, what conclusion will be drawn regarding the mean washer thickness? Page 488 8. A hypothesis test is to be performed, and it is decided to reject the null hypothesis if P \leq 0.10.
If H0 is in fact true, what is the maximum probability that it will be rejected? A new process is being considered for the liquefaction of coal. The old process yielded a mean of 15 kg of distillate fuel per kilogram of hydrogen consumed in the process. Let \mu represent the mean of the new process. A test of H0: \mu \leq 15 versus H1: \mu > 15 will be
performed. The new process will be put into production if H0 is rejected. Putting the new process into production if in fact it is no better than the old process. Which procedure provides a smaller probability for this error, to test at the 5% level or to test at
the 1% level? 10. Let \mu denote the mean yield strength of a certain type of steel bar, in units of mPa. A test will be made of H0: \mu \leq 250 versus H1: \mu > 250. Three rejection regions are considered: (i) , (ii) , and (iii) . The levels of the tests are 0.10, 0.05, and 0.01. Match each rejection region with its level. 11. It is desired to check the calibration of a
scale by weighing a standard 10-gram weight 100 times. Let \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10. A test is made of the hypotheses H0: \mu \neq 10.
calibration. Which type of error is this? b. Assume that the scale is not in calibration. Is it possible to make a Type II error? Explain. 12. Scores on a certain IQ test are known to have a mean of 100. A random sample of 60 students attend a series of coaching
classes before taking the test. Let u be the population mean IO score that would occur if every student took the coaching classes. The classes are successful but the conclusion is reached that the classes might not be successful.
Which type of error is this? b. Assume that the classes are not successful. Is it possible to make a Type II error? Explain. 13. The rejection region for a 5% level test of H0: \mu \geq 10 versus H1: \mu < 10 is . Find the rejection region for a 1% level test. 14. A coin has
probability p of landing heads when tossed. A test will be made of the hypotheses H0: p \le 0.1 versus H1: p > 0.1, as follows. The coin will be rejected. a. b. If the true value of p is 0.1, what is the probability that the test results in a Type I error? If the true value
of p is 0.4, what is the probability that the test results in a Type II error? 6.13 Power A hypothesis test results in a type II errors. The type I errors. The type I
error is kept small by choosing a small value of a sthe significance level. Then the power of the test is a useful one. Note that power calculations are generally done before data are collected. The purpose of a power calculation is to determine
whether or not a hypothesis test, when performed, is likely to reject H0 in the event that H0 is false. Page 489 As an example of a power calculation, assume that a new chemical process has been developed that may increase the yield over that of the current process. The current process is known to have a mean yield of 80 and a standard deviation of
5, where the units are the percentage of a theoretical maximum. If the mean yield of the new process is shown to be greater than 80, the new process 50 times and then to test the hypothesis at a significance level of 5%. If H0 is rejected,
it will be concluded that \mu > 80, and the new process will be put into production. Let us assume that if the new process had a mean yield of 81, then it would be a substantial benefit to put this production. If it is in fact the case that \mu = 81, what is the power of the test, that is, the probability that H0 will be rejected? Before presenting the
solution, we note that in order to compute the power, it is necessary to specify a particular value of \mu, in this case \mu = 81, for the alternate hypothesis. The reason for this is that the power will be large. Computing the
power involves two steps: 1. Compute the rejection region. 2. Compute the probability that the test statistic falls in the rejection region, using the method illustrated in Example 6.28 in Section 6.12. We must first find the null
distribution. We know that the statistic has a normal distribution with mean \mu and standard deviation for \sigma. In practice this can be a difficult problem, because the sample has not yet been drawn, so there is no sample standard deviation s. There are
several ways in which it may be possible to approximate \sigma. Sometimes a small preliminary sample has been drawn, for example in a feasibility study, and the standard deviation may be used. In this example
there is a long history of a currently used process, whose standard deviation is 5. Let's say that it is reasonable to assume that the population standard deviation for the new process is \sigma = 5 and that . Figure 6.27 (page 490) presents
the null distribution of large values of . Since H0 specifies that \mu \leq 80, disagree with H0, so the P-value will be less than or equal to 0.05 if falls into the upper 5% of the null distribution. This upper 5% is the rejection region. The critical point has a z-score of 1.645, so its value is 80 +
(1.645)(0.707) = 81.16. We will reject H0 if . This is the rejection region. Page 490 FIGURE 6.27 The hypothesis test will be conducted at a significance level of 5%. The rejection region for this test is the rejection region for this test is the rejection region.
region if the alternate hypothesis \mu = 81 is true. Under this alternate distribution of is normal with mean 81 and standard deviation 0.707. Figure 6.28 presents the alternate distribution so that the mean becomes
the alternate mean of 81 rather than the null mean of 80. Because the alternate distribution is shifted over, the probability that the test statistic falls into the rejection region is greater than it is under H0. To be specific, the z-score under H1 for the critical point 81.16 is z = (81.16 - 81)/0.707 = 0.23. The area to the right of z = 0.23 is 0.4090. This is
the power of the test. FIGURE 6.28 The rejection region, consisting of the upper 5% of the null distribution, is shaded. The z-score of the critical point is z0 = 1.645 under the alternate distribution, which is 0.4090. A power of 0.4090 is very low
It means that if the mean yield of new process is actually equal to 81, there is only a 41% chance that the proposed experiment will detect the improvement over the old process and allow the new process to be put into production. It would be unwise to invest time and money to run this experiment, since it has a large chance to fail. It is natural to
wonder how large the power must be for a test to be worthwhile to perform. As with P-values, there is no scientifically valid dividing line between sufficient power. In general, tests with power greater than 0.80 or perhaps 0.90 are considered acceptable, but there are no well-established rules of thumb. Page 491 We have mentioned
that the power depends on the value of \mu chosen to represent the alternate hypothesis and is larger when the value is far from the null mean. Example 6.30 Find the power of the 5% level test of H0: \mu > 80 for the mean yield of the new process under the alternative \mu = 82, assuming n = 50 and \sigma = 5.
Solution We have already completed the first step of the solution, which is to compute the rejection region. We will reject H0 if . Figure 6.29 presents the alternate hypothesis is z = (81.16 - 82)/0.707 = -1.19. The area to the right of z = -1.19 is
0.8830. This is the power. FIGURE 6.29 The rejection region, consisting of the upper 5% of the null distribution, is shaded. The z-score of the critical point is z_0 = 1.645 under the alternate distribution, which is 0.8830. Since the alternate
distribution is obtained by shifting the null distribution, the power depends on which alternate mean is chosen for µ, and can range from barely greater than the significance level \( \alpha \) all the way up to 1. If the alternate mean is chosen for \( \alpha \) and the power will be almost identical with the null, and the power will be very close to
a. If the alternate mean is far from the null, almost all the area under the alternate curve will lie in the rejection region, and the power will be close to 1. When planning an experiment, one can determine the sample size necessary to achieve a desired power. Example
6.31 illustrates this. Example 6.31 In testing the hypothesis H0: \mu \leq 80 versus H1: \mu > 80 regarding the mean yield of the new process, how many times must the alternative \mu = 81, if it is assumed that \sigma = 5? Page 492 Solution Let n represent
the necessary sample size. We first use the null distribution to express the critical point in terms of n. The null distribution to obtain a different expression for the critical point in terms of n. Refer to Figure 6.30. The
power of the test is the area of the rejection region under the alternate curve. This area must be 0.90. Therefore the z-score for the critical point, under the alternate hypothesis, is z = -1.28. The critical point, these two expressions are
equal. We therefore set them equal and solve for n. FIGURE 6.30 To achieve a power of 0.90 with a significance level of 0.05, the z-score for the critical point must be z0 = 1.645 under the alternate distribution. Solving for n yields n \approx 214. The critical point can be computed by substituting this value for n
into either side of the previous equation. The critical point is 80.56. Using a Computer to Calculating the power, for a one-tailed large-sample test of a population mean. It is reasonably straightforward to extend this method to compute power and
needed sample sizes for two-tailed tests and for tests for proportions. It is more difficult to compute power and needed sample sizes for all these tests. We present some examples. Example 6.32 A pollster will conduct a survey of a random sample of voters in a
community to estimate the proportion who support a measure on school bonds. Let p be the proportion of the population who support the measure. The pollster will test H0: p = 0.50 versus H1: p \neq 0.50 at the 5% level. If 200 voters are sampled, what is the power of the test if the true value of p is 0.55? Page 493 Solution The following computer
output (from MINITAB) presents the solution: Power and Sample Size Test for One Proportion proporti
the null and alternate hypotheses, and the significance level of the test. Note that we have specified a two-tailed test with significance level of the test. Note that we are assuming to be true when the power is calculated. The sample size has been specified to be 200, and the power is computed
to be 0.292. Example 6.33 Refer to Example 6.32. How many voters must be sampled so that the power will be 0.8 when the true value of p = 0.55? Solution The following computer output (from MINITAB) presents the solution: Power and Sample Size Test for One Proportion Testing proportion = 0.5 (versus not = 0.5) Alpha = 0.05 Alternative
Proportion 0.55 Sample Size 783 Target Actual Power Power 0.8 0.800239 The needed sample size is discrete, it is not possible to find a sample size for
which the power is greater than that requested. Example 6.34 Shipments of coffee beans are checked for moisture content. High moisture content indicates possible water content indicates possible water content. High moisture content indicates possible water content.
beans chosen at random from the shipment. A test of the hypothesis H0: \mu Page 494 \leq 10 versus H1: \mu > 10 will be made at the 5% level, using the Student's t test. What is the power of the test if the true moisture content is 12% and the standard deviation is \sigma = 1.5%? Solution The following computer output (from MINITAB) presents the solution:
Power and Sample Size 1-Sample t Test Testing mean = null (versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null + difference Alpha = 0.05 Assumed standard deviation = 1.5 Difference 2 Sample Size 5 Power 0.786485 The power depends only on the difference between the true mean and the null mean, which is 12 - 10 = 2, and not on the means
themselves. The power is 0.786. Note that the output specifies that this is the power for a one-tailed test. Example 6.35 Refer to Example 6.34. Find the sample size needed so that the power will be at least 0.9. Solution The following computer output (from MINITAB) presents the solution: Power and Sample Size 1-Sample t Test Testing mean = null
(versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null + difference Alpha = 0.05 Assumed standard deviation = 1.5 Difference 2 Sample Size 7 Target Power 0.9 Actual Power is 0.927. To summarize, power calculations are important to ensure that experiments
```

```
have the potential to provide useful conclusions. Many agencies that provide funding for scientific research require that power calculations be provided with every proposal in which hypothesis tests are to be performed. Page 495 Exercises for Section 6.13 1. A test has power 0.90 when \mu = 15. True or false: a. The probability of rejecting H0 when \mu
= 15 is 0.90. b. c. d. 2. The probability of making a correct decision when \mu = 15 is 0.10. The probability of making a correct decision when \mu = 15 is 0.10. The probability of making a correct decision when \mu = 15 is 0.10. The probability of making a type I
error when \mu = 3.5 is 0.80. The probability of making a type I error when \mu = 3.5 is 0.20. d. e. f. 3. 4. 5. 6. If the sample size remains the same, and the level \alpha increases, then the power will
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      . Options: increase, decrease. If the level \alpha remains the same, and the sample size increases, then the power will
A tire company claims that the lifetimes of its tires average 50,000 miles. You sample 100 tires and will test the hypothesis that the mean tire lifetime is at least 50,000 miles against the alternative that it is less. Assume, in fact, that the true mean lifetime is 49,500 miles. a. State the
null and alternate hypotheses. Which hypotheses. Which hypothesis is true? b. It is decided to reject H0 if the sample more tires. How
many tires should be sampled in total so that the power is 0.80 if the test is made at the 5% level? A copper smelting process is supposed to reduce the arsenic content for copper treated by this process, and assume that the standard deviation of arsenic content is σ = 100
ppm. The sample mean arsenic content of 75 copper specimens will be computed, and the null hypothesis H0: \geq 1000 will be tested against the alternate H1: \mu < 1000. a. A decision is made to reject H0 if b. c. Find the power of the
test will be 0.95 when the true mean content is 965? For what values of should H0 be rejected so that the level of the test will be 5%? d. e. f. 7. 8. The probability of making a type II error when \mu = 3.5 is 0.80. The probability of making a type II error when \mu = 3.5 is 0.80. The probability of making a type II error when \mu = 3.5 is 0.80. The probability of making a type II error when \mu = 3.5 is 0.80. The probability of making a type II error when \mu = 3.5 is 0.80. The probability of making a type II error when \mu = 3.5 is 0.80. The probability of making a type II error when \mu = 3.5 is 0.80. The probability of making a type II error when \mu = 3.5 is 0.80. The probability of making a type II error when \mu = 3.5 is 0.80. The probability of making a type II error when \mu = 3.5 is 0.80. The probability of making a type II error when \mu = 3.5 is 0.80. The probability of making a type II error when \mu = 3.5 is 0.80. The probability of making a type II error when \mu = 3.5 is 0.80. The probability of making a type II error when \mu = 3.5 is 0.80. The probability of making a type II error when \mu = 3.5 is 0.80. The probability of making a type II error when \mu = 3.5 is 0.80. The probability of making a type II error when \mu = 3.5 is 0.80. The probability of making a type II error when \mu = 3.5 is 0.80. The probability of making a type II error when \mu = 3.5 is 0.80. The probability of making a type II error when \mu = 3.5 is 0.80. The probability of making a type II error when \mu = 3.5 is 0.80. The probability of making a type II error when \mu = 3.5 is 0.80. The probability of making a type II error when \mu = 3.5 is 0.80. The probability of making a type II error when \mu = 3.5 is 0.80. The probability of making a type II error when \mu = 3.5 is 0.80. The probability of making a type II error when \mu = 3.5 is 0.80. The probability of making a type II error when \mu = 3.5 is 0.80. The probability of making a type II error when \mu = 3.5 is 0.80. The probability of making a type II error when
What is the power of a 5% level test if the true mean content is 965 ppm? How large a sample is needed so that a 5% level test has power of a test of H0: \mu \ge 10 versus H1: \mu < 10 is 0.90. If instead \mu = 7, which one of the following statements is
true? i. The power of the test will be less than 0.90. ii. The power of the test will be greater than 0.90. iii. We cannot determine the power of the test will be greater than 0.90. iii. We cannot determine the power of the test will be greater than 0.90. iii. We cannot determine the power of the test will be greater than 0.90. iii. We cannot determine the power of the test will be greater than 0.90. iii. We cannot determine the power of the test will be greater than 0.90. iii. We cannot determine the power of the test will be greater than 0.90. iii. We cannot determine the power of the test will be greater than 0.90. iii. We cannot determine the power of the test will be greater than 0.90. iii. We cannot determine the power of the test will be greater than 0.90. iii. We cannot determine the power of the test will be greater than 0.90. iii. We cannot determine the power of the test will be greater than 0.90. iii. We cannot determine the power of the test will be greater than 0.90. iii. We cannot determine the power of the test will be greater than 0.90. iii. We cannot determine the power of the test will be greater than 0.90. iii. We cannot determine the power of the test will be greater than 0.90. iii. We cannot determine the power of the test will be greater than 0.90. iii. We cannot determine the power of the test will be greater than 0.90. iii. We cannot determine the power of the test will be greater than 0.90. iii. We cannot determine the power of the test will be greater than 0.90. iii. We cannot determine the power of the test will be greater than 0.90. iii. We cannot determine the power of the test will be greater than 0.90. iii. We cannot determine the power of the test will be greater than 0.90. iii. We cannot determine the power of the test will be greater than 0.90. iii. We cannot determine the power of the test will be greater than 0.90. iii. We cannot determine the power of the test will be greater than 0.90. iii. We cannot determine the power of the test will be greater than 0.90. iii. We canno
will be tested. Let X represent the number of defectives in the sample. Let p represent the population proportion of defectives produced by the new process. A test will be made of H0: p \ge 0.10 versus H1: p < 0.10. Assume the true value of p is actually 0.06. a. It is decided to reject H0 if X \le 18. Find the level of this test. Page 496 b. It is decided to
reject H0 if X 

18. Find the power of this test. Should you use the same standard deviation for X to compute both the power and the level? Explain. d. How many wafers should be sampled so that the power and the level? Explain. d. How many wafers should be sampled so that the power and the level? Explain. d. How many wafers should be sampled so that the power and the level? Explain. d. How many wafers should be sampled so that the power and the level? Explain. d. How many wafers should be sampled so that the power and the level? Explain. d. How many wafers should be sampled so that the power and the level? Explain. d. How many wafers should be sampled so that the power and the level? Explain. d. How many wafers should be sampled so that the power and the level? Explain the level? E
population proportion p. c. 9. Power and Sample Size Test for One Proportion Testing proportion = 0.5 (versus not = 0.5) Alpha = 0.05 Alternative Proportion 0.4 Sample Size Power 1500.691332 a. b. c. d. Is the power calculated for a one-tailed or two-tailed test? What is the null hypothesis for which the power is calculated? For what alternative valued for a one-tailed or two-tailed test? What is the null hypothesis for which the power is calculated? For what alternative valued for a one-tailed or two-tailed test? What is the null hypothesis for which the power and Sample Size Power 1500.691332 a. b. c. d. Is the power and Sample Size Power 1500.691332 a. b. c. d. Is the power and Sample Size Power and Sample Size Power 1500.691332 a. b. c. d. Is the power and Sample Size Power and
of p is the power calculated? If the sample size were 200, would the power be less than 0.7, or is it impossible to tell from the output? Explain. e. If the sample size were 200, would the power against the
alternative p = 0.3 less than 0.65, greater than 0.65, greater than 0.65, greater than 0.65, greater than 0.65, or is it impossible to tell from the output? Explain. 10. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a power calculation for a test
concerning a population mean μ. Power and Sample Size 1-Sample t Test Testing mean = null (versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null + difference 1 a. b. c. d. e. Sample Size 1-Sample t Test Testing mean = null + difference 1 a. b. c. d. e. Sample Size 1-Sample t Test Testing mean = null (versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null + difference 1 a. b. c. d. e. Sample Size 1-Sample t Test Testing mean = null (versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null (versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null + difference 1 a. b. c. d. e. Sample Size 1-Sample t Test Testing mean = null (versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null + difference 1 a. b. c. d. e. Sample Size 1-Sample t Test Testing mean = null (versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null (versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null (versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null (versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null (versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null (versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null (versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null (versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null (versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null (versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null (versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null (versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null (versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null (versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null (versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null (versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null (versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null (versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null (versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null (versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null (versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null (versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null (versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null (versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null (versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null (versus > null) Calculating power for mean = null (versus > null)
Assume that the value of \mu used for the null hypothesis is \mu = 3. For what alternate value of \mu is the power calculated? If the sample size were 25, would the power be less than 0.85, or is it impossible to tell from the output? Explain. If the difference were 0.5, would the power be less than 0.90, or is it impossible to tell from the output?
to tell from the output? Explain. If the sample size were 17, would the power be less than 0.85, greater than 0.85, or is it impossible to tell from the output? Explain. If the sample size were 17, would the power and Sample Size 2-Sample t Test
Testing mean 1 = mean 2 (versus not =) Calculating power for mean 1 = mean 2 + difference 3 Sample Size 60 Target Actual Power 9.9 0.903115 The sample size is for each group. a. b. c. Is the power calculated for a one-tailed or two-tailed test? If the sample sizes were 50 in each
group, would the power be less than 0.9, greater than 0.9, or is it impossible to tell from the output? Explain. 6.14 Multiple Tests Sometimes a situation occurs in which it is necessary to perform many hypothesis tests. The
basic rule governing this situation is that as more tests are performed, the confidence that we can place in our results decreases. In this section, we present an example to illustrate this point. It is thought that applying a hard coating containing very small particles of tungsten carbide may reduce the wear on cam gears in a certain industrial
application. There are many possible formulations for the coating, varying in the size and concentration of the tungsten carbide particles. Twenty different formulations were manufactured. Each one was tested by applying it to a large number of gears, and then measuring the wear on the gears after a certain period of time had elapsed. It is known on
the basis of long experience that the mean wear for uncoated gears over this period of time is 100 \mum. For each formulation, a test was made of the null hypothesis H0: \mu \ge 100 \mum. For each formulation, a test was made of the null hypothesis H0: \mu \ge 100 \mum. For each formulation, a test was made of the null hypothesis H0: \mu \ge 100 \mum. For each formulation, a test was made of the null hypothesis H0: \mu \ge 100 \mum. For each formulation, a test was made of the null hypothesis H0: \mu \ge 100 \mum. For each formulation does not reduce wear. For 19 of the 20 formulations, the P-value was greater than 0.05, so H0 was not rejected. For one formulation, H0 was
rejected. It might seem natural to conclude that this formulation really does reduce wear. Example 6.36 through 6.39 will show that this conclusion is premature. Example 6.36 through 6.39 will show that this conclusion is premature.
formulation has no effect on wear, then μ = 100 μm, so H0 is true. Rejecting H0 is then a type I error. The question is therefore asking for the probability of a type I error. The question is therefore asking for the probability of a type I error. The question is therefore asking for the probability of a type I error. The question is therefore asking for the probability of a type I error. The question is therefore asking for the probability of a type I error. The question is therefore asking for the probability of a type I error. The question is therefore asking for the probability of a type I error. The question is therefore asking for the probability of a type I error. The question is therefore asking for the probability of a type I error. The question is therefore asking for the probability of a type I error. The question is the probability of a type I error. The question is the probability of a type I error. The question is the probability of a type I error. The question is the probability of a type I error. The question is the probability of a type I error. The question is the probability of a type I error. The question is the probability of a type I error. The question is the probability of a type I error. The question is the probability of a type I error. The question is the probability of a type I error. The question is the probability of a type I error. The question is the probability of a type I error. The question is the probability of a type I error. The question is the probability of a type I error. The question is the probability of a type I error. The question is the probability of a type I error. The question is the probability of a type I error. The question is the probability of a type I error. The question is the probability of a type I error. The question is the probability of a type I error. The question is the probability of a type I error. The question is the probability of a type I error. The question is the probability of a type I error. The question is the probability of a type I erro
of a type I error is equal to the significance level. The probability is 0.05 that H0 will be rejected. Example 6.37 Given that H0 was rejected for one of the formulations, is it plausible that none of the formulations, is it plausible that this formulations, is it plausible that none of the formulations, including the one for which H0 was rejected, have any
effect on wear. There were 20 hypothesis tests made. For each test there was a 5% chance (i.e., 1 chance in 20) of a type I error. Therefore we expect on the average that out of every 20 true null hypotheses, one will be rejected. So rejecting H0 in one out of the 20 tests is exactly what one would expect in the case that none of the formulations made
any difference. Example 6.38 If in fact none of the 20 formulations have any effect on wear, what is the probability that H0 will be rejected for one or more of them? Solution We first find the probability that H0 will be rejected for one or more of them? Solution We first find the probability that H0 will be rejected for one or more of them? Solution We first find the probability that H0 will be rejected for one or more of them?
0.95, so the probability that H0 is not rejected for any of the 20 formulations is (0.95)20 = 0.36. Therefore the probability is 1 - 0.36 = 0.64 that we incorrectly reject H0 for one or more of the coatings, so each of the 20 wear measurements is actually
made on uncoated gears. Is it likely that one or more of the formulations will appear to reduce wear, in that H0 will be rejected? Solution Yes. Example 6.38 shows that the probability is 0.64 that one or more of the coatings will appear to reduce wear, even if they are not actually applied. Example 6.38 shows that the probability is 0.64 that one or more of the coatings will appear to reduce wear, even if they are not actually applied. Example 6.38 shows that the probability is 0.64 that one or more of the coatings will appear to reduce wear, even if they are not actually applied.
the multiple testing problem. Put simply, the multiple testing problem is this: When H0 is rejected, we have strong evidence is not certainty. Occasionally a true null hypotheses will be rejected. Thus when many tests are
performed, it is difficult to tell which of the rejected null hypotheses are really false and which correspond to type I errors. The Bonferroni Method The Bonferroni Method provides a way to adjust P-values upward when several hypothesis tests are performed. If a P-value remains small after the adjustment, the null hypothesis may Page 499 be
rejected. To make the Bonferroni adjustment, simply multiply the P-value by the number of tests performed. Here are two examples. Example 6.40 Four different coating formulations are tested to see if they reduce the wear on cam gears to a value below 100 \mum. The null hypothesis H0: \mu \ge 100 \mum is tested for each formulation, and the results are
The operator suspects that formulation C may be effective, but he knows that the P-value of 0.005 is unreliable P-value. Solution Four tests were performed. Use the Bonferroni adjustment to produce a reliable P-value of 0.005 is unreliable. So the evidence is
reasonably strong that formulation C is in fact effective. Example 6.41 In Example 6.40, assume the P-value for formulation C is in fact effective. Example 6.40 in the Bonferroni adjustment would yield P = (4)(0.03) = 0.12. This is probably not strong enough evidence to conclude that formulation C is in
fact effective. Since the original P-value was small, however, it is likely that one would not want to give up on formulation C quite yet. The Bonferroni adjustment is conservative; in other words, the P-value it produces is never smaller than the true P-value. So when the Bonferroni-adjusted P-value is small, the null hypothesis can be rejected
conclusively. Unfortunately, as Example 6.41 shows, there are many occasions in which the Bonferroni adjustment does not allow the hypothesis to be rejected. When the Bonferroni-adjusted P-value is too large to reject a null hypothesis, yet the
original P-value is small enough to lead one to suspect that the hypothesis is in fact false, often the best thing to do is to retest the hypothesis that appears to be false, using data from a new experiment. If the P-value is again small, this time without multiple tests, this provides real evidence against the null hypothesis. Real industrial processes are
monitored frequently by sampling and testing process output to see whether it meets specifications. Every so often, the output appears to be outside the specifications. But in these cases, how do we know whether the process is really Page 500 malfunctioning (out of control) or whether the result is a type I error? This is a version of the multiple
testing problem that has received much attention. The subject of statistical quality control (see Chapter 10) is dedicated in large part to finding ways to overcome the multiple testing problem. Exercises for Section 6.14 1. 2. 3. An agricultural scientist tests six types of fertilizer, labeled A, B, C, D, E, and F, to determine whether any of them produces
an increase in the yield of lima beans over that obtained with the current fertilizer. For fertilizer C, the increase in yield is statistically significant at the 0.05 level. For the other five, the increase is not statistically significant at the vield obtained with fertilizer. Explain why this
conclusion is not justified. Refer to Exercise 1. The P-value for fertilizer C was 0.03. Use the Bonferroni correction to produce a reliable P-value for this fertilizer. Can you reject H0? Six different setting, an appropriate null hypothesis is tested to
see if the proportion of defective parts has been reduced. The six P-value for the setting whose P-value for the setting whose
P-value is 0.03. Can you conclude that this setting reduces the proportion of defective parts? Explain. Five different variations of a bolt-making process are run to see if any of them can increase the mean breaking strength of the bolts over that of the current process. The P-values are 0.13, 0.34, 0.03, 0.28, and 0.38. Of the following choices, which is
the best thing to do next? i. Implement the process whose P-value was 0.03, since it performed the best. ii. Since none of the process whose P-value was 0.03 to see if it remains small in the absence of multiple testing. iv. Rerun all the
five variations again, to see if any of them produce a small P-value the second time around. Twenty formulations of a coating are being tested to see if any of them reduce gear wear. For the Bonferroni-adjusted P-value for a formulation to be 0.05, what must the original Pvalue be? Five new paint additives have been tested to see if any of them can
reduce the mean drying time from the current value of 12 minutes. Ten specimens have been painted with each of the new types of paint, and the drying times (in minutes) have been measured. The results are as follows: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 A 14.573 12.012 13.449 13.928 13.123 13.254 12.772 10.948 13.702 11.616 B 10.393 10.435 11.440 9.719
11.045\ 11.707\ 11.141\ 9.852\ 13.694\ 9.474\ Additive\ C\ 15.497\ 9.162\ 11.394\ 10.766\ 11.025\ 10.636\ 15.066\ 11.991\ 13.395\ 8.276\ D\ 10.350\ 7.324\ 10.338\ 11.600\ 10.725\ 12.240\ 10.249\ 9.326\ 10.774\ 11.803\ E\ 11.263\ 10.848\ 11.499\ 10.350\ 7.324\ 10.338\ 11.600\ 10.725\ 12.240\ 10.249\ 9.326\ 10.774\ 11.803\ E\ 11.263\ 10.848\ 11.499\ 10.350\ 7.324\ 10.338\ 11.600\ 10.725\ 12.240\ 10.249\ 9.326\ 10.774\ 11.803\ E\ 11.263\ 10.848\ 11.499\ 10.350\ 7.324\ 10.338\ 11.600\ 10.725\ 12.240\ 10.249\ 9.326\ 10.774\ 11.803\ E\ 11.263\ 10.848\ 11.499\ 10.349\ 10.249\ 10.349\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.249\ 10.2
≥ 12 against the alternate H1: µ< 12. You may assume that each population is approximately normal. a. b. What are the P-values for the five tests? On the basis of the results, which of the three following conclusions seems most appropriate? Explain your answer. Page 501 i. At least one of the new additives results in an improvement. 7. ii. None of
the new additives result in an improvement. iii. Some of the new additives may result in improvement, but the evidence is inconclusive. Each day for 200 days, a quality engineer samples 144 fuses rated at 15 A and measures the amperage at which they burn out. He performs a hypothesis test of H0: \mu = 15 versus H1: \mu \neq 15, where \mu is the mean
burnout amperage of the fuses manufactured that day. a. On 10 of the 200 days, H0 is rejected at the 5% level. Does this provide conclusive evidence that the mean burnout amperage was different from 15 A on at least one of the 200 days? Explain.
6.15 Using Simulation to Perform Hypothesis Tests If X1, ..., Xn are normally distributed random variables, with known standard deviations σ1, ..., σn, and U = U(X1, ..., Xn) is a function of X1, ..., Xn, then it will often, but not always, be the case that U is approximately normally distributed and that its standard deviation σU can be estimated. In these
measurement of the height. Assume that these measurements are both unbiased and normally distributed. Let V = πR2H denote the measurement of the volume of the cylinder that is computed from R and H. Now assume that R = 4.8 cm, H = 10.1 cm, and the uncertainties (standard deviations) are σR = 0.1 cm and σH = 0.2 cm. The measured
volume is V = \pi(4.82)(10.1) = 731.06 cm<sup>3</sup>. Suppose we wish to determine whether we can conclude that the true volume of the cylinder is greater than 700 cm<sup>3</sup>. Let \mu V denote the mean of V. Since R and H are unbiased, with fairly small uncertainties, V is nearly unbiased (see the discussion on pages 180-181), so \mu V is close to the true volume of the
cylinder. Therefore we can address the question concerning the true volume by performing a test of the hypotheses H0: \mu V \leq 700 versus H1: \mu V \leq 700 versus
The P-value for H0: \muV \leq 700 is P(V \geq 731.06), where the probability is computed under the assumption that. The z-score is (731.06 - 700)/\sigmaV. If \sigmaV were known, we could compute z, and then use the z table to find the P-values for
the radius measurement. We know that the radius measurement is normally distributed with standard deviation \sigma R = 0.1. We do not know the mean radius measurement, which is equal to the true radius, but we can approximate it with Page 502 the observed value 4.8. Therefore we generate from the distribution N(4.8, 0.12). Similarly, we generate
simulated volume measurements from the distribution N(10.1, 0.22). Then we compute . A normal probability plot for a sample of 1000 values of can then . The normality assumption is satisfied. The sample standard deviation of the
simulated values was 34.42 \text{ cm}3. We therefore compute the z-score to be z = (731.06 - 700)/34.42 = 0.90. The P-value is 0.1841. FIGURE 6.31 Normal probability plot for 1000 \text{ simulated} volumes. The assumption of normality appears to be justified.
for a parameter, such as a population mean \mu, we can reject at level 100\alpha% the null hypothesis that the parameter is equal to any given value inside the interval (see the discussion beginning on page 416). This idea can be applied
to a bootstrap confidence interval to construct a fixed-level hypothesis test. We present an example 6.42 In Section 5.10, an approximate 95% confidence interval for the mean mileage, in mpg, of a population of trucks was found by a bootstrap method to be (4.7643, 6.4757). Can we conclude at the 5% level that the population mean mileage
differs from 5 mpg? From 7 mpg? Solution A 95% confidence interval, whether computed by the bootstrap or by other means, contains the values that are not rejected at the 5% level. Therefore we conclude at the 5% level that it differs from 5 mpg.
Randomization Tests Randomization tests, also called permutation tests, were among the earliest methods developed to test the difference between two population means. While they require virtually no assumptions about the distribution of the data, they involve a great deal of computation, and so did not become truly feasible until recently. We
present an example. A crop scientist wants to determine whether the yield of lettuce will be increased by using a fertilizer with a higher nitrogen content. She conducts an experiment involving 20 plots are treated with fertilizer B,
which has a higher nitrogen content. The following table presents, for each plot, the treatment applied (A or B), and the yield, in number of heads of lettuce harvested. Plot Number 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 Treatment A A B B A A B B A A B B A A B B A A B B Yield 145 142 144 141 142 155 143 157 152 143 103 151 150 148 150 162 The null
hypothesis is that there is no difference between the fertilizers with regard to yield; in other words, the yield for each plot would have been the same no matter which fertilizer at had received. For example the yields are constants, and the yields are constants, and the yields are constants, and the yields are constants.
associated with fertilizer A are a simple random sample of 10 of these 20 constant yields associated with fertilizer B by . Since the main interest in the experiment is to determine whether fertilizer B by . Since the main interest in the experiment is to determine whether fertilizer B increases the yield, a reasonable test statistic is the
difference. The observed value of this statistic is 151.5 - 141.5 = 10.0. The larger the evidence against H0. The experiment involves a random choice of 10 plots out of 20 to receive fertilizer A. In general,
the number of different choices of k items to be selected from a group of n items is denoted and is given by (see Equation 2.12 in Section 2.2 for a derivation) Therefore the number of possible choices for these 10 plots is . This means that there are 184,756 ways that the experiment could have come out; the actual experiment Page 504 consists of
observing one of these ways chosen at random. The choice that was actually made provided a value of for the test statistic. Since, under H0, the yields do not depend on which fertilizer was used, we could in principle compute the value of the test statistic.
under H0, that the test statistic has a value greater than or equal to 10. This probability is equal to the proportion of the experiment. TABLE 6.6 Possible outcomes of the experiment outcome Yields Assigned to A 1
149\ 103\ 141\ 142\ 142\ 143\ 143\ 144\ 145\ 148\ 139.5\ 153.5\ 140\ 139.6\ 153.4\ 139.6\ -13.8\ 153.5\ 139.5\ -14.0\ The exact P-value can be found by completing Table 6.6 and then determining the proportion of outcomes for which . This procedure is called a randomization test, or permutation test. Computing the exact P-value is an
intensive task, even for a computer. An easier method, which is just as good in practice, is to work with a randomly generated collection of outcomes instead. This is done by generating a large number (1000 or more) randomly chosen subsets of 10 yields to assign to treatment A. Each chosen subset corresponds to one of the possible outcomes of the
the first five outcomes, none of them have values of greater than or equal to 10, so the estimate of the P-value based on these five is 0/5 = 0. Of course, five outcomes, only 9 had values of greater than or equal to 10. We therefore estimate the P-value to be
0.009, which is small enough to conclusively reject the null hypothesis that there is no difference between the fertilizers. It seems reasonable to conclude that fertilizers B tends to increase the yield. TABLE 6.7 One thousand simulated outcomes of the randomized experiment Outcome Yields Assigned to A 1 157 151 144 150 142 150 155 144 143 141 2
142 152 145 150 142 149 151 151 144 155 157 103 152 150 144 151 151 144 155 157 103 152 150 144 151 143 142 145 150 158 149 144 143 141 143 151 157 147.70 145.30 -2.40 144.20 148.80 4.60 145.50 147.50 2.00 148.90 144.10 -4.80 146.60 0.20 ; ; 148.10 144.90 -3.20 Randomized experiments like the one just
used in some cases when the data consist of two samples from two populations, which is the situation discussed in Section 6.7. Thus randomization tests can be an alternative to the t test for the difference between means when outliers are present. More information on randomization tests can be found in Efron and Tibshirani (1993). Using Simulation
to Estimate Power For some tests, it is difficult to calculate power with a formula. In these cases, simulation can often be used to estimate the power. Following is an example 6.43 A new type of weld is being developed. If the mean fracture toughness of this weld is conclusively shown to be greater than 20 ft · lb, the weld will be used in a
certain application. Assume that toughness is normally distributed with standard deviation equal to 4 ft · lb. Six welds will be made, and the fracture toughness of each will be made of the null hypothesis H0: \mu > 20. If the test is conducted at a significance level of 5%, what is the power of the
test if the true mean toughness is 25 ft · lb? Solution Let X1, ..., X6 represent the six sample from a N(25, 16) distribution. The test statistic is . Under H0, this statistic has a Student's t distribution with Page 506 five degrees of freedom. The null hypothesis will be
therefore estimate the power simply by computing the proportion of simulated samples for which the results for the first 10 samples and for the last one. The rightmost column contains a "1" if the value of the test statistic exceeds 2.015.
and a "0" otherwise. TABLE 6.8 Simulated data for Example 6.43 I s* T* T* > 2.015 1 23.24 23.78 15.65 25.67 24.08 25.88 23.05 3.776 1.978 0 2 26.51 19.89 20.53 25.03 28.35 28.01 24.72 3.693 3.131 1 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 \div 19.99
29.48 23.93 26.37 24.79 20.32 23.80 19.57 21.17 \vdots 26.20 20.06 27.37 23.61 20.63 23.74 29.05 25.04 28.43 \vdots 21.78 26.26 25.44 24.14 26.39 22.34 23.66 28.68 \vdots 25.45 4.423 4.046 5.061 2.703 1.615 2.579 3.484 5.559 \vdots 4.862
estimate of the power is therefore 0.8366. Exercises for Section 6.15 1. Refer to Exercise 6 in Section 5.10. Let \mu represent the population mean compressive strength, in MPa. Consider the following null hypotheses: i. H0: \mu = 38.55 a. b. Using the bootstrap data presented in Exercise 6 in Section
5.10, which of these null hypotheses can be rejected at the 5% level if a confidence interval is formed using method 1 on page 391? Using the bootstrap data presented in Exercise 6 in Section 5.10, which of these null hypotheses can be rejected at the 10% level if a confidence interval is formed using method 1 on page 391? Page 507 2. 3. Refer to
Exercise 6 in Section 5.10. Let µ represent the population mean compressive strength, in MPa. Generated, which of these null hypotheses can be rejected at the 5% level, using the bootstrap data you generated, which of these null hypotheses can be rejected at the 5% level, using the bootstrap data you generated, which of these null hypotheses can be rejected at the 5% level, using the bootstrap data you generated, which of these null hypotheses can be rejected at the 5% level, using the bootstrap data you generated, which of these null hypotheses can be rejected at the 5% level, using the bootstrap data you generated, which of these null hypotheses can be rejected at the 5% level, using the bootstrap data you generated, which of these null hypotheses can be rejected at the 5% level, using the bootstrap data you generated, which of these null hypotheses can be rejected at the 5% level, using the bootstrap data you generated, which of these null hypotheses can be rejected at the 5% level, using the bootstrap data you generated, which of these null hypotheses can be rejected at the 5% level, using the bootstrap data you generated, which of these null hypotheses can be rejected at the 5% level, using the bootstrap data you generated, which of these null hypotheses can be rejected at the 5% level, using the bootstrap data you generated, which is a supplied to the first of the bootstrap data you generated at the 5% level, using the bootstrap data you generated at the 5% level, using the bootstrap data you generated at the 5% level, using the bootstrap data you generated at the 5% level, using the bootstrap data you generated at the 5% level, using the bootstrap data you generated at the 5% level, using the bootstrap data you generated at the 5% level, using the bootstrap data you generated at the 5% level, using the bootstrap data you generated at the 5% level, using the bootstrap data you generated at the 5% level, using the bootstrap data you generated at the 5% level, using the bootstrap data you generated at the 5
rejected at the 10% level, using method 1 on page 391? c. If a bootstrap experiment is performed twice on the same data, is it necessary that the results will agree? Explain. In the lettuce yield example presented on page 503, would it be a good idea to use the t test described in Section 6.7 to determine whether the fertilizers differ in their effects on
yield? 4. Why or why not? It is suspected that using premium gasoline rather than regular will increase the mileage for automobiles with a particular engine design. Sixteen cars are used in a randomized experiment. Eight are randomly chosen to be tested with regular gasoline, while the other eight are tested with premium gasoline. The results, in
mpg, are as follows: Regular: Premium: 29.1 28.4 28.3 30.9 27.1 30.8 17.3 27.6 16.3 30.2 32.0 27.4 35.3 29.9 35.6 29.7 a. 5. Under the null hypothesis that each car would get the same mileage with either type of gasoline, how many different outcomes are possible for this experiment? b. Let and denote the sample mean mileages for the regular and denote the sample mean mileages for the regular.
premium groups, respectively. Compute and . c. Perform a randomization test to determine whether it can be concluded that premium gasoline tends to increase mileage. Use the Estudent's t test described in Section 6.7 to test the null hypothesis that the
mean mileage using regular is greater than or equal to the mean mileage for premium. Is this result reliable? Explain? For the lettuce yields from fertilizer B. a. Compute the sample variances and of the yields assigned to A and B, respectively, and
the quotient. b. c. Someone suggests using the F test in Section 6.11 for this problem. Is this a good idea? Why or why not? Perform a randomization test of versus, using the test statistic, and a minimum of 1000 random outcomes. (Hint: Proceed just as in the example in the text, but for each outcome compute and 6.7. rather than, and, A fair
amount of coding may be required, depending on the software used.) Refer to Exercise 4. Perform a randomization test to determine whether the mileage using premium gasoline. Generate at least 1000 random outcomes. A certain wastewater treatment method is supposed to neutralize
the wastewater so that the mean pH is 7. Measurements of pH will be made on seven specimens of treated wastewater, and a test of the hypotheses H0: \mu = 7 versus H1: \mu \neq 7 will be made using the Student's t test (Section 6.4). Assume that the true mean is \mu = 6.5, the pH measurements are normally distributed with mean \mu and standard
deviation 0.5, and the test is made at the 5% level. a. Let X1, ..., X7 denote their mean, and let s denote their sample standard deviation. What is the test statistic? For what values of the test statistic will H0 be rejected? b. 8. Generate 10,000 samples from the true distribution of pH measurements. For each sample
compute the test statistic and determine whether H0 is rejected. Estimate the power of the test. This exercise requires ideas from Section 2.6. In a two-sample experiment, when each item in one sample is paired with an item in the other, the paired test (Section 6.8) can be used to test hypotheses regarding the difference between two population
means. If one Page 508 ignores the fact that the data are paired, one can use the two-sample t test (Section 6.7) as well. The question arises as to which test has the greater power. The following simulation experiment is designed to address this question. Let (X1, Y1), ..., (X8, Y8) be a random sample of eight pairs, with X1, ..., X8 drawn from an N(0,
1) population and Y1, ..., Y8 drawn from an N(1, 1) population. It is desired to test H0: \mu X - \mu Y = 0 versus H1: \mu X - \mu Y = 0 versus H1: \mu X - \mu Y = 0 and \mu Y = 1, so the true difference between the means is 1. Also note that the population variances are equal. If a test is to be made at the 5% significance level, which test has the greater power? Let Di = Xi
Yi for i = 1, ..., 10. The test statistic for the paired t test is, where sD is the standard deviation of the Di (see Section 6.8). Its null distribution is Student's t with seven degrees of freedom. Therefore the paired t test will reject H0 if, so the power is.
pooled standard deviation, which is equal in this case to . (See page 447. Note that .) The null distribution is Student's t with 14 degrees of freedom. Therefore the two-sample t test will reject H0 if , and the power is The power of these tests depends on the correlation between Xi and Yi. a. b. . Generate 10,000 samples from an N(0, 1) population and
10,000 samples from an N(1, 1) population. The random variables and are independent in this experiment, so their correlation is 0. For each sample, compute the test statistics exceeds its critical point (2.365 for the paired test, 2.145 for the two
sample test). Which test has greater power? As in part (a), generate 10,000 samples from an N(0, 1) population, independent of the X* values. Then
compute. The sample will come from an N(1, 1) population, and the correlation between and will be 0.8, which means that large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will tend to be paired with large values of will be a supplied with large values of will be a supplied with large values of will be a supplied with la
Supplementary Exercises for Chapter 3. The article "Insights into Present-Day Crustal Motion in the Central Mediterranean Area from GPS Surveys" (M. Anzidei, P. Baldi, et al., Geophysical Journal International, 2001:98–100) reports measurements of the velocity of the earth's crust in Zimmerwald, Switzerland. The component of velocity in a
northerly direction was measured to be X = 22.10, and the component in an easterly direction was measurements were given as \sigma X = 0.32 and \sigma Y = 0.32. a. b. Compute the earth's crust, based on these measurements. Use the method of
propagation of error to estimate its uncertainty. Assuming the estimated velocity to be normally distributed, find the P-value for the hypothesis H0: \mu V \leq 25. c. Assuming that the components of velocity in the northerly and easterly directions are independent and normally distributed, generate an appropriate simulated sample of values V*. Is it
reasonable to assume that V is approximately normally distributed? 10. A population geneticist is studying the gene B at the first locus to be, with uncertainty \sigma 1 = 0.049. He estimates the proportion of organisms that have gene B at a
second locus to be, with uncertainty \sigma 2 = 0.043. Under assumptions usually made in population genetics (Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium), and are independent and normally distributed, and the proportion p of organisms that have both genes A and B is estimated with a compute and use propagation of error to estimate its uncertainty
Assuming to be normally distributed, find the P-value for testing H0: p \ge 0.10. c. Generate an appropriate simulated sample of values is normally distributed? . Is it reasonable to assume that Supplementary Exercises for Chapter 6 Exercises for Chapter 6 Exercises 1 to 4 describe experiments that require a hypothesis test. For each experiment, describe the appropriate
test. State the appropriate null and alternate hypotheses, describe the test statistic, and specify which table should be used to find the P-value. If relevant, state the number of degrees of freedom for the test statistic. 1. A fleet of 100 taxis is divided into two groups of 50 cars each to see whether premium gasoline reduces maintenance costs. Premium
unleaded fuel is used in group A, while regular unleaded fuel is used in group B. The total maintenance cost for each vehicle during a one-year period is recorded. Premium fuel will be used if it is shown to reduce maintenance costs. 2. A group of 15 swimmers is chosen to participate in an experiment to see if a new breathing style will improve their
stamina. Each swimmer's pulse recovery rate is measured after a 20 minute workout using the new 3.4.5. style. They will continue to use the new breathing style if it is shown to reduce pulse
recovery time. A new quality-inspection program is being tested to see if it will reduce the proportion of defective out that are defective out that are defective. Under the new program will be sampled, and the number of defectives will be counted. The new
program will be implemented if it is shown that the proportion of defectives is less than 0.10. A new material is being tested for use in the manufacture of electrical conduit, to determine whether it will reduce the variance in crushing strength over the old material.
sample of size 20 of the new material. If it is shown that the crushing strength with the new material will be used. Suppose you have purchased a filling machine for candy bags that is supposed to fill each bag with 16 oz of candy. Assume that the weights of filled bags are approximately normally distributed. A
random sample of 10 bags yields the following data (in oz): 15.87 16.04 6. 7. 16.02 15.78 15.83 15.69 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 15.81 
your conclusion. Are answer keys to multiple-choice tests generated randomly, or are they constructed to make it less likely for the same answer to occur twice in a row? This question was addressed in the article "Seek Whence: Answer Sequences and Their Consequences in KeyBalanced Multiple-Choice Tests" (M. Bar-Hillel and Y. Attali, The
American Statistician, 2002:299-303). They studied 1280 questions on 10 real Scholastic Assessment Tests (SATs). Assume that all the questions, the correct choice (A, B, C, D, or E) was the same as the correct choice for the question immediately
preceding. If the choices were generated at random, then the probability that a question would have the same correct choice as the one immediately preceding would be 0.20. Can you conclude that the choices for the SAT are not generated at random? a. State the appropriate null and alternate hypotheses. b. Compute the value of the test statistic.
Find the P-value and state your conclusion. An automobile manufacturer wishes to compare the lifetimes of two brands of tire. She obtains samples of seven tires of each brand. On each of seven cars, she mounts one tire of each brand on each front wheel. The cars are driven until only 20% of the original tread remains. The distances, in miles, for
each tire are presented in the following table. Page 510 Can you conclude that there is a difference between the mean lifetimes of the two brands of tire? Car 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Brand 1 36,925 45,300 36,240 32,100 37,210 48,360 38,200 Brand 2 34,318 42,280 35,500 31,950 38,015 47,800 33,215 a. State the appropriate null and alternate hypotheses. b.
Compute the value of the test statistic. c. Find the P-value and state your conclusion. 8. Twenty-one independent measurements were made of the hardness of a weld produced on this base metal. The standard deviation of
the measurements made on the base metal are more variable than measurements made on the weld was 1.41. Assume that the measurements made on the weld? 9. There
water is greater today than it was 10 years ago? 10. The article "Modeling of Urban Area Stop-and-Go Traffic Noise" (P. Pamanikabud and C. Tharasawatipipat, Journal of Transportation Engineering, 1999:152–159) presents measurements of traffic Noise" (P. Pamanikabud and C. Tharasawatipipat, Journal of Transportation Engineering, 1999:152–159) presents measurements of traffic Noise (P. Pamanikabud and C. Tharasawatipipat, Journal of Transportation Engineering, 1999:152–159) presents measurements of traffic Noise (P. Pamanikabud and C. Tharasawatipipat, Journal of Transportation Engineering, 1999:152–159) presents measurements of traffic Noise (P. Pamanikabud and C. Tharasawatipipat, Journal of Transportation Engineering, 1999:152–159) presents measurements of traffic Noise (P. Pamanikabud and C. Tharasawatipipat, Journal of Transportation Engineering, 1999:152–159) presents measurements of traffic Noise (P. Pamanikabud and C. Tharasawatipipat, 1999:152–159) presents measurements (P. Pamanikabud and C. Tharasawatipipat, 1999:152–159) presents (P. Pamanikabud and C. Tharasawatipipat, 1999:152–159) 
machine that grinds valves is set to produce valves whose lengths have mean 100 mm and standard deviation 0.1 mm. The machine is moved to a new location. It is thought that the move may have upset the calibration for the mean length of valves
produced after the move. To test the calibration, a sample of 100 valves will be measured, and a test will be measured, and a test will be measured after the nove. To test the calibration, a sample of 100 valves will be measured, and a test will be measured, and a test will be measured, and a test will be measured after the nove. To test the calibration, a sample of 100 valves will be measured, and a test will be measured, and a test will be measured.
is 99.97 mm, will H0 be rejected at the 5% level? d. If the sample mean length is 100.01 mm, will H0 be rejected at the 10% level? e. A critical point is 100.015 mm. What is the level of the test? 12. Resistors for use in a certain application of the
resistances is 5 \Omega. Resistances will be measured for a sample of resistors, and a test of the hypothesis H0: \mu \leq 100 versus H1: \mu
level test has power 0.95? If 100 resistors are sampled, and the rejection region is, what is the power of the test? 13. A machine manufactures bolts that are supposed to be 3 inches in length. Each day a quality engineer selects a random sample of 50 bolts
from the day's production, measures their lengths, and performs a hypothesis test of H0: \mu = 3 versus H1: \mu \neq 3, where \mu = 3 versus H1: \mu \neq 3, where \mu = 3 versus H2: \mu \neq 3, where \mu = 3 versus H3: \mu \neq 3, where \mu = 3 versus H3: \mu \neq 3, where \mu = 3 versus H3: \mu \neq 3, where \mu = 3 versus H3: \mu \neq 3, where \mu = 3 versus H3: \mu \neq 3, where \mu = 3 versus H3: \mu \neq 3, where \mu = 3 versus H3: \mu \neq 3, where \mu = 3 versus H3: \mu \neq 3, where \mu = 3 versus H3: \mu \neq 3, where \mu = 3 versus H3: \mu \neq 3, where \mu = 3 versus H3: \mu \neq 3, where \mu = 3 versus H3: \mu \neq 3, where \mu = 3 versus H3: \mu \neq 3, where \mu = 3 versus H3: \mu \neq 3, where \mu = 3 versus H3: \mu \neq 3, where \mu = 3 versus H3: \mu \neq 3, where \mu = 3 versus H3: \mu \neq 3, where \mu = 3 versus H3: \mu \neq 3, where \mu = 3 versus H3: \mu \neq 3, where \mu = 3 versus H3: \mu \neq 3 ver
given day, the true mean length of bolts is 3 in. What is the probability that the machine will be shut down? (This is called the false alarm rate.) b. If the true mean bolt length on a given day is 3.01 in., find the probability that the equipment will be recalibrated. 14. Electric motors are assembled on four different production lines. Random samples of
motors are taken from each line and inspected. The number that pass and that fail the inspection are counted for each line, with the following results: Line Pass 1 482 2 467 3 458 4 404 Fail 57 59 37 47 Can you conclude that the failure rates differ among the four lines? 15. Refer to Exercise 14. The process engineer notices that the sample from line
samples: X: 0 2 3 4 10 20 40 1000 Y: -738 162 222 242 252 258 259 260 262 a. b. Show that both samples have the same mean and variance. Use the Wilcoxon rank-sum test to test the null hypothesis that the population means are equal. What do you conclude? c. Do the assumptions of the rank-sum test appear to be satisfied? Explain why or why
not. 17. The rank-sum test is sometimes thought of as a test for population medians. Under the assumptions of equal spread and shape, the medians differ; therefore tests for equality of population medians. This exercise illustrates that when these
rank-sum test. If small P-values provide evidence against the null hypothesis that the population medians are equal, would you conclude that the population medians are edifferent? c. Do the assumptions of the rank-sum test appear to be satisfied? Explain why or why not. 18. A new production process is being contemplated for the manufacture of
The thrust/weight ratios (in kilograms force per gram) for each of the two fuels are measured several times. The results are as follows: Fuel A: Fuel B: 54.3 56.8 55.5 55.1 52.4 48.4 52.9 55.9 51.3 55.5 54.4 48.3 57.9 57.9 51.8 53.1 54.1 55.5 58.2 56.8 53.3 50.5 55.6 54.7 53.4 51.4 58.4 52.9 49.7 50.1 56.1 54.8 a. Assume the fuel processing plant is
presently configured to produce fuel B and changeover costs are high. Since an increased thrust/weight ratio for rocket fuel is beneficial, how should the null and alternate hypotheses be stated for a test on which to base a decision whether to switch to fuel A? b. Can you conclude at the 5% level that the switch to fuel A should be made? 20. Suppose
level, that the mean PCB concentration in the estuary is less than or equal to 1.50 ppb against the alternative that it is higher. Is H0 rejected? b. If the population mean is 1.6 ppb and the population standard deviation is 0.33 ppb, what is the probability that the null hypothesis H0: \mu \leq 1.50 is rejected at the 5% level, if the sample size is 80? c. If the
population mean is 1.6 ppb and the population standard deviation is 0.33 ppb, what sample size is needed so that the probability is 0.99 that H0: \mu \leq 1.50 is rejected at the 5% level? 21. Two machines are used to package laundry detergent. It is known that weights of boxes are normally distributed. Four boxes from each machine have their contents
carefully weighed, with the following results (in grams): Machine 1: Machine 2: 1752 1756 1757 1750 1751 1752 1754 1746 An engineer wishes to test the null hypothesis that the mean weights of boxes from the two machines are equal. He decides to assume that the population variances are equal, reasoning as follows: The sample variances are for
machine 1 and for testing for equality of population variances is for machine 2. The F statistic . The upper 10% point of the F3,3 distribution is 5.39. Since the null hypothesis specifies that the variances are equal, I determine that the P-value is greater than 2(0.10) = 0.20. Therefore I do not reject the null hypothesis, and I conclude that the variances are equal, I determine that the P-value is greater than 2(0.10) = 0.20. Therefore I do not reject the null hypothesis, and I conclude that the variances are equal, I determine that the P-value is greater than 2(0.10) = 0.20. Therefore I do not reject the null hypothesis specifies that the variances are equal, I determine that the P-value is greater than 2(0.10) = 0.20. Therefore I do not reject the null hypothesis, and I conclude that the variances are equal, I determine that the P-value is greater than 2(0.10) = 0.20. Therefore I do not reject the null hypothesis are equal, I determine that the P-value is greater than 2(0.10) = 0.20. Therefore I do not reject the null hypothesis are equal, I determine that the P-value is greater than 2(0.10) = 0.20. Therefore I do not reject the null hypothesis are equal, I determine that the P-value is greater than 2(0.10) = 0.20. Therefore I do not reject the null hypothesis are equal.
are equal. a. Has the F test been done correctly? b. Is the conclusion justified? Explain. 22. The article "Valuing Watershed Quality Improvements Using Conjoint Analysis" (S. Farber and B. Griner, Ecological Economics, 2000:63-76) presents the results of a mail survey designed to assess opinions on the value of improvement efforts in an acid-mine
degraded watershed in Western Pennsylvania. Of the 510 respondents to the survey, 347 were male. Census data show that 48% of the target population is male. Can you conclude that the survey method employed in this study tends to oversample males? Explain. 23. Anthropologists can estimate the birthrate of an ancient society by studying the age
distribution of skeletons found in ancient cemeteries. The numbers of skeletons found at two such sites, as reported in the article "Paleoanthropology, 2002:637- Page 513 650) are given in the following table: Site Casa da Moura Wandersleben Ages of
Skeletons 0-4 years 5-19 years 5-19 years 20 years or more 27 61 126 38 60 118 Do these data provide convincing evidence that the age distributions differ between the two sites? 24. Deforestation is a serious problem throughout much of India. The article "Factors Influencing People's Participation in Forest Management in India" (W. Lise, Ecological
Economics, 2000:379-392) discusses the social forces that influence forest management policies in three Indian states: Haryana, Bihar, and Uttar Pradesh it is wellstocked. In order to study the relationship between educational levels and attitudes
toward forest management, random samples of adults in each of these states were surveyed and their educational levels were recorded. The data are presented in the following table. State 0 Years of Education 1-4 5-6 7-9 10-11 12 or more Haryana Bihar Uttar Pradesh 48
34 20 6 24 9 16 7 25 26 32 30 24 16 17 7 10 34 Can you conclude that the educational levels differ among the three states? Explain. Page 514 Chapter 7 Correlation and Simple Linear Regression Introduction Scientists and engineers often collect data in order to determine the nature of a relationship between two quantities. For example, a chemical
engineer may run a chemical process several times in order to study the relationship between the concentration of a certain catalyst and the yield y are recorded. The experiment thus generates bivariate data; a collection of ordered pairs (x1, y1),..., (xn, yn). In many cases
ordered pairs generated in a scientific experiment will fall approximately along a straight line when plotted. In these situations the data can be used to compute an equation for the line. This equation for the line when plotted in a scientific experiment will fall approximately along a straight line when plotted. In these situations the data can be used for many purposes; for example, in the catalyst versus yield experiment just described, it could be used to predict the yield y that will be
obtained the next time the process is run with a specific catalyst concentration x. The methods of correlation and simple linear regression, which are the subject of this chapter, are used to analyze bivariate data in order to determine whether a straight-line fit is appropriate, to compute the equation of the line if appropriate, and to use that equation to
draw inferences about the relationship between the two quantities. 7.1 Correlation One of the earliest applications of statistics was to study the variation in physical characteristics in human populations. To this end, statisticians invented a quantity called the correlation coefficient as a way of describing how closely related two physical characteristics
Page 515 were. The first published correlation coefficient was due to the English statistician Sir Francis Galton, who in 1888 measured the heights and forearm lengths of 348 adult men. (Actually, he measured the height of the ith man by xi, and the
length of his forearm by yi, then Galton's data consist of 348 ordered pairs (xi, yi). Figure 7.1 presents a simulated re-creation of these data, based on a table constructed by Galton. FIGURE 7.1 Heights and forearm lengths of 348 men. The points tend to slope upward and to the right, indicating that taller men tend to have longer forearms. We say
that there is a positive association between height and forearm length. The slope is approximately constant throughout the plot, indicating that the points are clustered around a straight line. The line superimposed on the plot is a special line known as the least squares line. It is the line that fits the data best, in a sense to be described in Section 7.2.
We will learn how to compute the least-squares line in Section 7.2. Figure 7.2 (page 516) presents the results of a study of the relationship between the mean daily temperature and the m
slope, indicating that days with higher humidity tend to have lower temperatures. FIGURE 7.2 Humidity (in percent) and temperature (in °C) for days in a recent winter in Riverside, California. The degree to which the points in a scatterplot tend to cluster around a line reflects the strength of the linear relationship between x and y. The visual
impression of a scatterplot can be misleading in this regard, because changing the scale of the axes can make the clustering appear tighter or looser. For this reason, we define the correlation coefficient is usually denoted by the
letter r. There are several equivalent formulas for r. They are all a bit complicated, and it is not immediately obvious how they work. We will present the formulas and then show how they work. We will present the formulas and then show how they work. Let (x1, y1),..., (xn, yn) represent n points on a scatterplot. To compute the correlation, first compute the means and standard deviations of the xs and ys, that
is, , sx, and sy. Page 516 Then convert each x and y to standard units, or, in other words, compute the z-scores: . The correlation coefficient is the average of the products of the z-scores, except that we divide by n-1 instead of n: (7.1) We can rewrite Equation (7.1) in a way that is sometimes useful. By substituting for sx and for sy, we obtain (7.2) By
performing some algebra on the numerator and denominator of Equation (7.2), we arrive at yet another equivalent formula for r: (7.3) Equation (7.3) is often the easiest to use when computing by hand. In principle, the correlation coefficient can be calculated for any set of points. In many cases, the points constitute a random sample from a
population of points. In these cases the correlation coefficient is often called the sample correlation correlation to be the
quantity computed using Equation (7.2) on the whole population, with sample means replaced by population correlation can be used to construct confidence intervals and perform hypothesis tests on the population correlation can be used to construct confidence intervals and perform hypothesis tests on the population correlation can be used to construct confidence intervals and perform hypothesis tests on the population correlation.
also be used to measure the strength of a linear relationship in many cases where the points are not a random sample from a population; see the discussion of the correlation coefficient indicate that the
least-squares line has a positive slope, which means that greater values of one variable are associated with greater values of the other. Values of the other. Values of the other.
correlation coefficient close to 1 or to -1 indicate a strong linear relationship; values close to 0 indicate a weak linear relationship. The correlation coefficient is equal to 1 (or to -1) only when there is a perfect linear relationship. As a
technical note, if the points lie exactly on a horizontal or a vertical line, the correlation coefficient is undefined, because one of the standard deviations is equal to zero. Finally, a bit of terminology: Whenever r \neq 0, x and y are said to be uncorrelated. The correlation between height and forearm length in
Figure 7.1 is 0.80. The correlation between temperature and humidity in Figure 7.2 is -0.46. Figures 7.3 and 5.4 (on pages 518 and 519) present some examples of various levels of
positive correlation. Page 518 FIGURE 7.4 Examples of various levels of negative correlation Coefficient Works Why does the formula (Equation 7.1) for the correlation coefficient works Why does the formula (Equation 7.1) for the correlation coefficient works Why does the formula (Equation 7.1) for the correlation coefficient works Why does the formula (Equation 7.1) for the correlation coefficient works Why does the formula (Equation 7.1) for the correlation coefficient works Why does the formula (Equation 7.1) for the correlation coefficient works Why does the formula (Equation 7.1) for the correlation coefficient works Why does the formula (Equation 7.1) for the correlation coefficient works Why does the formula (Equation 7.1) for the correlation coefficient works Why does the formula (Equation 7.1) for the correlation coefficient works Why does the formula (Equation 7.1) for the correlation coefficient works Why does the formula (Equation 7.1) for the correlation coefficient works Why does the formula (Equation 7.1) for the correlation coefficient works Why does the formula (Equation 7.1) for the correlation coefficient works Why does the formula (Equation 7.1) for the correlation coefficient works Why does the formula (Equation 7.1) for the correlation coefficient works Why does the formula (Equation 7.1) for the correlation coefficient works Why does the formula (Equation 7.1) for the correlation coefficient works Why does the formula (Equation 7.1) for the correlation coefficient works Why does the formula (Equation 7.1) for the correlation works Why does the formula (Equation 7.1) for the correlation works Why does the formula (Equation 7.1) for the correlation works Why does the formula (Equation 7.1) for the correlation works Why does the formula (Equation 7.1) for the correlation works Why does the formula (Equation 7.1) for the correlation works Why does the formula (Equation 7.1) for the correlation works Why does the formula (Equation 7.1) for the correlation works Why does the formula (Equation 7.
works. In this scatterplot, the origin is placed at the point of averages. Therefore, in the first guadrant, the z-scores and are both positive as well. Thus each point is placed at the point of averages. Therefore, in the first guadrant, the z-scores for the x coordinates of the points are
negative, while the z-scores for the y coordinates are positive amount to the sum in Equation (7.1). Similarly, points in the second quadrant contribute amounts, and points in the fourth quadrant contribute amounts. Clearly,
in Figure 7.5 there are more points in the first and third quadrants than in the second and fourth, so the correlation coefficient would be more points in the second and fourth quadrants, and the correlation coefficient would be more points in the second and fourth quadrants, and the correlation coefficient works. The Correlation
Coefficient Is Unitless In any sample x1,..., xn, the mean xn. For this reason the z-scores and the standard deviation sx have the same units as x1,..., are unitless. Since the correlation coefficient r is the average of products of z-scores, it too is unitless. This fact is crucial to the usefulness of r. For example, the units for the x and y coordinates in Figure
7.1 are both inches, while the corresponding units in Figure 7.2 are percent and degrees Celsius. If the correlation coefficients for the two plots had different units, it would be impossible to compare their values to Page 520 determine which plot exhibited the stronger linear relationship. But since the correlation coefficients have no units, they are
directly comparable, and we can conclude that the relationship between 
For example, imagine that in Figure 7.1 the heights of the men were measured in centimeters rather than inches. Then each xi would be unchanged, and r would be unchanged as well. In a more fanciful example, imagine that each man stood on a
platform 2 inches high while being measured. This would increase each xi by 2, but the value of would be unchanged as well. Finally, imagine that we interchanged the values of x and y, using x to represent the forearm lengths, and y to represent the
heights. Since the correlation coefficient is determined by the product of the z-scores, it does not matter which variable is represented by x and which by y. Summary The correlation coefficient remains unchanged under each value of
a variable. Interchanging the values of x and v. Figure 7.6 presents plots of mean temperatures for the months of April and October for several U.S. cities. Whether the temperatures are measured in °C or °F, the correlation is the same. This is because converting from °C to °F involves multiplying by 1.8 and adding 32. Page 521 FIGURE 7.6 Mean
April and October temperatures for several U.S. cities. The correlation Coefficient is 0.96 for each plot; the choice of units does not matter. The Correlation Coefficient Measures Only Linear Association An object is fired upward from the ground with an initial velocity of 64 ft/s. At each of several times x1,..., xn, the heights y1,..., yn of the object above
the surface of the earth are measured. In the absence of friction, and assuming that there is no measurement error, the scatterplot of the points (x1, y1),..., (xn, yn) will look like Figure 7.7. There is obviously a strong Page 522 relationship between x and y; in fact the value of y is determined by x through the function y = 64x - 16x2. Yet the
correlation between x and y is equal to 0. Is something wrong? No. The value of 0 for the correlationship between the x and y is linear relationship between x and y, which is true. The relationship between the x and y is linear.
Otherwise the results can be misleading. FIGURE 7.7 The relationship between the height of a free-falling object with a positive initial velocity and the time in free fall is quadratic. The correlation coefficient can be Misleading when Outliers are Present Figure 7.8 presents a plot of the area of farmland versus the total
land area for a selection of U.S. states. In general, states with larger land areas have more farmland. The major exception is Alaska, which represents Alaska, is an outlier, because it is detached from the main body of the data. The correlation for this
scatterplot is r = -0.12, which indicates a weak negative relationship; in other words, it suggests that states with greater total area actually tend to have less farm area. But it is clear that there is a strong positive relationship, as one would expect, among the other states. FIGURE 7.8 The correlation is -0.12. Because of the outlier, the correlation
coefficient is misleading. The correlation coefficient is often misleading for data sets that contain outliers are a serious problem, as they make data more difficult to analyze. Some outliers can appropriately be corrected or deleted. Sometimes
people delete outliers from a plot without cause, to give it a more pleasing appearance. This is not appropriate, as it results in an underestimation of the variability of the process that generated the data. Interpreting data that contain outliers can be difficult, because there are few easy rules to follow. Page 523 Correlation Is Not Causation For
children, vocabulary size is strongly correlated with shoe size. However, learning new words does not cause feet to grow, nor do growing feet cause one's vocabulary to increase. There is a third factor, namely age, that is correlated with both shoe size and vocabulary to increase. There is a third factor, namely age, that is correlated with both shoe size and vocabulary to increase.
results in a positive correlation between vocabulary and shoe size. This phenomenon is known as confounding occurs when there is a third variable that is correlated with both of the variables of interest, resulting in a correlation between them. To restate this example in more detail: Individuals with larger ages tend to have larger shoe
sizes. Individuals with larger ages also tend to have larger vocabularies. It follows that individuals with larger shoe size and vocabulary are positively correlated with age, they are positively correlated with each other. In this example, the confounding was easy to spot. In
many cases it is not so easy. The example shows that simply because two variables are correlated with each other, we cannot assume that a change in one will tend to cause a change in the other. Before we can conclude that two variables have a causal relationship, we must rule out the possibility of confounding. Sometimes multiple regression (see
Chapter 8) can be used to detect confounding. Sometimes experiments can be designed so as to reduce the possibility of confounding. The topic of experimental design (see Chapter 9) is largely concerned with this topic. Here is a simple example 7.1 An environmental scientist is studying the rate of absorption of a certain chemical into skin
(h) 2 2 10 10 10 24 24 24 Percent Absorbed 48.3 51.0 54.7 63.2 67.8 66.2 83.6 85.1 87.8 The scientist plots the percent absorbed against both volume and absorption and obtains r = 0.988. She concludes that increasing the volume of the chemical causes the
percentage absorbed to increase. She then calculates the correlation between time and Page 524 absorption, obtaining r = 0.987. She concludes that increase as well. Are these conclusions justified? Solution No. The scientist should look at the plot of
time versus volume, presented in the following figure. The correlation between time and volume is r = 0.999, so these two variables are almost completely confounded. If either time or volume affects the percentage absorbed, both will appear to do so, because they are highly correlated with each other. For this reason, it is impossible to determine
whether it is the time or the volume that is having an effect. This relationship between time and volume resulted from the design of the experiment, this time with a new design. The results are presented in the following table. Page 525 Volume (mL)
that increasing the volume of the chemical has little or no effect on the percentage absorbed. She to increase absorbed to increase absorbed to increase absorbed to increase. Are these conclusions justified?
```

```
Solution These conclusions are much better justified than the ones in Example 7.1. To see why, look at the plot of time versus volume are uncorrelated. It now appears that the time, but not the volume, has an effect on the percentage absorbed. Before making a final
conclusion that increasing the time actually causes the percentage absorbed to increase, the scientist must make sure that there are no other potential confounders around. For example, if the ambient temperature varied with temperature, and was highly correlated with time, then it might be the case that the temperature,
rather than the time, was causing the percentage absorbed to vary. Page 526 Controlled Experiments Reduce the Risk of Confounding In Examples 7.1 and 7.2, the experimenter was able to reduce confounding by assigning values for volume and time so that these two variables were uncorrelated. This is a controlled experiment, because the
experimenter could assign the values for these factors (see Section 1.1 for a more complete description of controlled experiments). In controlled experiments, confounding can often be avoided by choosing values for factors cannot be chosen
by the experimenter. Studies involving public health issues, such as the effect of environmental pollutants on human health, are usually observational, because experimenters cannot deliberately expose people to high levels of pollution. In these studies, confounding is often difficult to avoid. For example, people who live in areas with higher levels of
pollution may tend to have lower socio-economic status, which may affect their health. Because confounding is difficult to avoid, observational studies must generally be repeated a number of times, under a variety of conditions, before reliable conclusions can be drawn. Inference on the Population Correlation The rest of this section uses some ideas
from Section 2.6. When the points (xi, yi) are a random variables (X, Y). The correlation of ordered pair of random variables X and Y have a certain
joint distribution called a bivariate normal distribution, then the sample correlation r can be used to construct confidence intervals and perform hypothesis tests on the population correlation. In practice, if X and Y are both normally distributed, then it is a virtual certainty that X and Y will be bivariate normal, so the confidence intervals and tests
described subsequently will be valid. (While it is mathematically possible to construct two normal random variables that jointly are not bivariate normal, the conditions under which this occurs are almost never seen in practice.) Page 527 Confidence intervals, and most tests, on pX, Y are based on the following result: Let X and Y be random variables
with the bivariate normal distribution. Let p denote the population correlation between X and Y. Let r be the sample from the joint distribution of X and Y. Let r be the sample correlation between by (7.5) and variance given by (7.6) Note that
μW is a function of the population correlation φ. To construct confidence intervals, we will need to solve Equation (7.5) for ρ. We obtain (7.7) Example 7.3 In a study of reaction times, the time to respond to an auditory stimulus (y) were recorded for each of 10 subjects. Times were measured in ms. The
results are presented in the following table. x 161 203 235 176 201 188 228 211 191 178 y 159 206 241 163 197 193 209 189 169 201 Find a 95% confidence interval for the correlation, obtaining r = 0.8159. Next we use Equation (7.4) to compute the
quantity W: Page 528 Since W is normally distributed with known standard deviation (7.7), obtaining For testing null hypotheses of the form \rho = \rho 0, and \rho \ge \rho 0, where \rho 0 is a constant not equal to 0.
the quantity W forms the basis of a test. Following is an example 7.4 Refer to Example 7.3. Find the P-value for testing H0: \rho \leq 0.3 versus H1: \rho > 0.3. Solution Under H0 we take \rho = 0.3, so, using Equation (7.5), The standard deviation of W is . It follows that under H0, W \sim N(0.3095, 0.37802). The observed value of W is W = 1.1444. The z-
score is therefore The P-value is 0.0136. We conclude that \rho > 0.3. For testing null hypotheses of the form \rho = 0, a somewhat simpler procedure is available. When \rho = 0, the quantity has a Student's t distribution with n - 2 degrees of freedom. Example 7.5 shows how to use U as a test statistic. Page 529 Example 7.5 Refer to Example
7.3. Test the hypothesis H0: \rho \leq 0 versus H1: \rho \geq 0. Solution Under H0 we take \rho = 0, so the test statistic U has a Student's t distribution with \rho = 0. Solution Under H0 we take \rho = 0, so the value of U is Consulting the Student's t table with eight degrees of freedom, we find that the P-value is between 0.001 and 0.005.
(Software yields P = 0.00200.) It is reasonable to conclude that \rho > 0. Exercises for Section 7.1 1. 2. Compute the correlation coefficient for the following data set. x 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 y 2 1 4 3 7 5 6 For each of the following data set. x 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 y 2 1 4 3 7 5 6 For each of the following data set. x 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 y 2 1 4 3 7 5 6 For each of the following data set. x 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 y 2 1 4 3 7 5 6 For each of the following data set. x 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 y 2 1 4 3 7 5 6 For each of the following data set. x 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 y 2 1 4 3 7 5 6 For each of the following data set. x 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 y 2 1 4 3 7 5 6 For each of the following data set. x 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 y 2 1 4 3 7 5 6 For each of the following data set. x 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 y 2 1 4 3 7 5 6 For each of the following data set. x 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 y 2 1 4 3 7 5 6 For each of the following data set. x 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 y 2 1 4 3 7 5 6 For each of the following data set. x 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 y 2 1 4 3 7 5 6 For each of the following data set. x 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 y 2 1 4 3 7 5 6 For each of the following data set. x 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 y 2 1 4 3 7 5 6 For each of the following data set. x 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 y 2 1 4 3 7 5 6 For each of the following data set. x 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 y 2 1 4 3 7 5 6 For each of the following data set. x 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 y 2 1 4 3 7 5 6 For each of the following data set. x 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 y 2 1 4 3 7 5 6 For each of the following data set. x 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 y 2 1 4 3 7 5 6 For each of the following data set. x 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 y 2 1 4 3 7 5 6 For each of the following data set. x 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 y 2 1 4 3 7 5 6 For each of the following data set. x 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 y 2 1 4 3 7 5 6 For each of the following data set. x 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 y 2 1 4 3 7 5 6 For each of the following data set. x 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 y 2 1 4 3 7 5 6 For each of the following data set. x 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 y 2 1 4 3 7 5 6 For each of the following data set. x 2 3 4 5 6 7 y 2 1 4 3 7 5 6 For each of the following data set. x
x 11 21 31 41 51 61 71 y 5 4 7 6 10 8 9 x 53 43 73 63 103 83 93 y 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 5 For each of the following scatterplots, state whether the correlation coefficient is an appropriate summary, and explain briefly: a. If the correlation coefficient is an appropriate summary, and explain briefly: a. If the correlation coefficient is an appropriate summary, and explain briefly: a. If the correlation coefficient is positive, then above-average values of one variable
are associated with above-average values of the other. b. If the correlation coefficient is negative, then below-average values of one variable are associated with below-average values of the other. c. If y is usually less than x, then the correlation between y and x will be negative. An investigator collected data on heights and weights of college students
The correlation between height and weight for men was about 0.6, and for women it was about the same. If men and women are taken together, will the correlation between height and weight be more than 0.6, or about equal to 0.6? It might be helpful to make a rough scatterplot. In a study of ground motion caused by earthquakes, the
peak velocity (in m/s) and peak acceleration (in m/s2) were recorded for five earthquakes. The results are presented in the following table. Velocity 1.54 1.60 0.95 1.30 2.92 Acceleration. Construct a scatterplot for these data. Is the
correlation coefficient an appropriate summary for these data? Explain why or why not. d. Someone suggests converting the units from meters to centimeters and from seconds to minutes. What effect would this have on the correlation? A chemical engineer is studying the effect of temperature and stirring rate on the yield of a certain product. The
73.49 79.18 75.44 81.71 83.03 76.98 80.99 a. 8. Compute the correlation between temperature and yield, and between temperature and yield and yield
confounding? Explain. c. Do these data provide good evidence that increasing the stirring rate causes the yield to increase, within the range of the data? Or might the result be due to confounding? Explain. Another chemical engineer is studying the same process as in Exercise 7, and uses the following experimental matrix. Temperature (°C) 110 110
between temperature and stirring rate. b. Do these data provide good evidence that increasing the stirring rate causes the yield to increase, within the range of the data? Or might the
result be due to confounding? Explain. d. Which experimental design is better, this one or the one in Exercise 7? Explain. A blood pressure measurement consists of two numbers: the systolic pressure, which is the maximum pressure taken at the beginning
of the heartbeat. Blood pressures were measured, in millimeters of mercury (mmHg), for a sample of 14 adults. The following table presents the results. Assume that blood pressures follow a bivariate normal distribution. Systolic 134 115 113 123 119 118 130 116 133 112 107 110 108 105 Diastolic 87 83 77 77 69 88 76 70 91 75 71 74 69 66 Find a
95% confidence interval for \rho, the correlation between systolic and diastolic blood pressure. b. Can you conclude that \rho > 0.5? c. Ca
Does the result in part (a) allow you to conclude that there is a strong correlation between eccentricity and Smoothness? Explain. 11. The article "Drift in Posturography Systems Equipped with a Piezoelectric Force Platform: Analysis and Numerical Compensation" (L. Quagliarella, N. Sasanelli, and V. Monaco, IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation
and Measurement, 2008: 997-1004) reported the results of an experiment to determine the effect of load on the drift in signals derived from a piezoelectric force plate. The correlation coefficient y between output and time under a load of 588 N was -0.9515. Measurements were taken 100 times per second for 300 seconds, for a total of 30,000
measurements. Find a 95% confidence interval for the population correlation ρ. 12. Phonics is an instructional method in which children are taught to connect sounds with letters or groups of letters. The article "Predictive Accuracy of Nonsense Word Fluency for English Language Learners" (M. Vanderwood, D. Linklater, and K. Healy, School
Psychology Review, 2008:5-17) reports that in a sample of 134 English-learning students, the correlation between the score on a phonics test given in first grade and a reading comprehension score? 13
The article "Little Ice Age' Proxy Glacier Mall Balance Records Reconstructed from Tree Rings in the Mt. Waddington Area, British Columbia Coast Mountains, Canada" (S. Larocque and D. Smith, The Holocene, 2005:748-757) evaluates the use of tree ring widths to estimate changes in the masses of glaciers. For the Sentinel glacier, the net mass
balance (change in mass between the end of one summer and the end of the next summer) was measured for 23 years. During the same time period, the tree ring index was r = -0.509. Can you conclude that the population correlation period, the tree ring index for white bark pine trees was measured, and the sample correlation period, the tree ring index for white bark pine trees was measured, and the sample correlation period, the tree ring index for white bark pine trees was measured.
differs from 0? 14. A scatterplot contains four points: (-2,-2), (-1,-1), (0,0), and (1,1). A fifth point, (2,y), is to be added to the plot. Let r represent the correlation between x and y. a. Find the value of y so that r = 0.5. e. Give a geometric
argument to show that there is no value of y for which r = -1. Page 532 7.2 The Least-Squares line (see Figures 7.1 and 7.2 in Section 7.1). In this section we will learn how to compute the least-squares line and how it can
be used to draw conclusions from data. We begin by describing a hypothetical experiment. Springs are used in applications for their ability to extend (stretch) under load. To make sure that a given spring
functions appropriately, it is necessary to estimate its spring constant with good accuracy and precision. In our hypothetical experiment, a spring is hung, the length of the spring is measured. Let x1,..., xn represent the weights, and let li
represent the length of the spring under the length of the spring under the length of the spring when unloaded and β1 is the error in the ith measurement. Let yi be the measurement error, yi will differ from the true length li. We write (7.9) where εi is the error in the ith measurement.
Combining (7.8) and (7.9), we obtain (7.10) In Equation (7.10) yi is called the error. Equation (7.10) is called the independent variable, xi is called the independent variable, xi is called the results of the hypothetical experiment, and Figure 7.9 presents the scatterplot of y
versus x. We wish to use these data to estimate the spring constant β1 and the unloaded length β0. If there were no measurement error, the points would lie on a straight line with slope β1 and intercept β0, and these quantities would be easy to determine. Because of measurement error, the points would lie on a straight line with slope β1 and intercept β0, and these quantities would be easy to determine.
lengths of a spring versus load. Figure 7.10 (on page 534) presents the scatterplot of y versus x with the least-squares line as (7.11) The quantities and are called the least-squares line, is an estimate of the true spring constant β1, and the
coefficient, the intercept of the least-squares line, is an estimate of the true unloaded length β0. FIGURE 7.10 Plot of measured lengths of a spring versus load. The least-squares line is the line that minimizes the sum of
the squared residuals. The least-squares line is the line that fits the data "best." We now define what we Page 533 mean by "best." For each data point (xi, yi), the vertical distance to the point on the least-squares line is (see Figure 7.10). The quantity is called the fitted value, and the quantity ei is called the residual associated with the point (xi, yi).
The residual ei is the difference between the value yi observed in the least-squares line. Points above the least-squares line have positive residuals, and points below the least-squares line have positive residuals. The closer the residuals are to 0, the closer
the fitted values are to the observations and the better the line fits the data. We define the least-squares line fits the data better than any other line. In the Hooke's law example, there is only one independent variable (weight), since it is
reasonable to assume that the only variable affecting the length of the spring is the amount of weight hung from it. In other cases, we may need to use several independent variables. Page 534 For example, to predict the yield of a certain crop, we might need to know the amount of weight hung from it. In other cases, we may need to use several independent variables.
measurements of chemical properties of the soil. Linear models like Hooke's law, with only one independent variable are called multiple regression models. Linear models with more than one independent variable are known as simple linear regression. Multiple regression is covered in Chapter 8
Computing the Equation of the Least-Squares Line To compute the squared residuals first express ei in terms of and . To do this, we: (7.12) Therefore and are the quantities that minimize the sum (7.13) These quantities are
(7.14) (7.15) Derivations of these results are provided at the end of this section. Page 535 Computing Formulas The quantities and need to be computed in order to determine how well the line fits the data. When computing these
quantities by hand, there are alternate formulas that are often easier to use. They are given in the following box. Compute the least-squares estimates of the
spring constant and the unloaded length of the spring. Write the equation of the least-squares line. Solution The estimate of the spring constant is, and the estimate of the unloaded length is. From Table 7.1 we compute Page 536 The equation of the least-squares line is and, we obtain. Substituting
the computed values for Using the equation of the least-squares line, we can compute the fitted values and the residuals for each point (xi, yi) in the Hooke's law data set. The results are presented in Table 7.2. The point whose residual is shown in Figure 7.10 (page 534) is the one where x = 2.2. TABLE 7.2 Measured lengths of a spring under various
loads, with fitted values and residuals Weight x 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2.0 2.2 2.4 2.6 2.8 3.0 3.2 3.4 3.6 5.57 5.68 5.80 Fitted Value 5.00 5.04 5.08 5.12 5.16 5.20 5.25 5.29 5.33 5.37 5.41 5.45 5.49 5.53 5.57 5.61 5.65 5.70 5.74
5.78 Residual e 0.06-0.03 0.04 0.01-0.02 -0.04 0.00 -0.01 0.10 -0.09 0.09 -0.01 0.12 -0.09 0.09 -0.01 0.12 -0.09 0.09 -0.01 0.12 -0.09 0.09 -0.01 0.12 -0.09 0.09 -0.01 0.12 -0.09 0.09 -0.01 0.12 -0.09 0.09 -0.01 0.12 -0.09 0.09 -0.01 0.12 -0.09 0.09 -0.01 0.12 -0.09 0.09 -0.01 0.12 -0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09
7.8 illustrate this. Example 7.7 Using the Hooke's law data, estimate the length of the spring under a load of 1.3 lb. Solution In Example 7.8, the equation of the least-squares line was computed to be y = 4.9997 + 0.2046x. Using the Hooke's law data
estimate the length of the spring under a load of 1.4 lb. Solution The estimate is in. In Example 7.8, note that the measured length at a load of 1.4 was 5.19 in. (see Table 7.2). But the least-squares estimate of 5.29 in. is based on all the data and is more precise Page 537 (has smaller uncertainty). We will learn how to compute uncertainties for the
estimates in Section 7.3. Interpreting the Slope of the Least-Squares Line If the x-values of two points on a line differ by 1, their y-values will differ by 1 will have y-values that differ by 4. This fact enables us to interpret the slope of
the leastsquares regression line. If the values of the explanatory variable for two individuals differ by 1, their predicted values will differ by . We have worded the interpretation of the slope of the least-squares line very carefully. The slope is the
estimated difference in y values for two different individuals whose x-values differ by 1. This does not mean that changing the value of x for a particular individual will cause that individual's y-value to change. The following example will help make this clear. Example 7.9 A study is done in which a sample of men were weighed, and then each man was
tested to see how much weight he could lift. The explanatory variable (x) was the man's weight, and the outcome (y) was the amount he could lift. The least-squares regression line, he reasons as follows: "The slope of the least-squares
regression line is 0.6. Therefore, if I gain 10 pounds, I'll be able to lift 6 pounds more, because (0.6)(10) = 6." Is he right? Solution No, he is not right. You can't improve your weightlifting ability simply by putting on weight. What the slope of 0.6 tells us is that if two men have weights that differ by 10 pounds, then on the average the heavier man will
be able to lift 6 pounds more than the lighter man. This does not mean that an individual man can increase his weight. The Estimates and , and the true values β0 and β1. The true values are
constants whose values are unknown. The estimates are quantities that are computed from the data. We may use the estimates as approximations for the true values. In principle, an experiment such as the Hooke's law experiment
But each replication would produce different data, and thus different values of the estimates and . Therefore and are random variables, since their values of these estimates, we will need to be able to compute their standard deviations. We will discuss this topic in Section 7.3. Page 538 The
Residuals Are Not the Same as the Errors A collection of points (x1, y1),..., (xn, yn) follows a linear model if the x and y coordinates are related through the equation yi = \beta0 + \beta1xi + \epsiloni. It is important to understand the difference between the residuals ei and the errors \epsiloni. Each residual ei is the difference observed, or measured, value yi and the fitted
value between an estimated from the least- squares line. Since the values β0 +β1xi. Since the true values β0 and β1 are unknown, the errors are unknown as well. Another way to think
of the distinction is that the residuals are the vertical distances from the observed values yi to the least-squares line and the errors are the distances from the yi to the true line y = \beta 0 + \beta 1x. Summary Given points (x1, y1), ..., (xn, yn):
slope β1 and a true is an estimate of the quantity β0 + β1x. Don't Extrapolate Outside the Range of the Data What if we wanted to estimate is 4.9997 + (0.2046)(100) = 25.46 in. Should we believe this? No. None of the weights in the data set were this large. It is likely that the
spring would be stretched out of shape, so Hooke's law would not hold. For many variables, linear relationships hold within a certain range, but not outside it. If we extrapolate a least-squares line outside it. If we extrapolate a least-squares line outside it. If we extrapolate a least-squares line outside it.
respond to a load of 100 lb, we must include weights of 100 lb or more in the data set. Summary Do not extrapolate a fitted line (such as the least-Squares Line When the Data Aren't Linear In Section 7.1, we learned that the correlation
coefficient should be used only when the relationship between x and y is linear. The same holds true for the least-squares line. Page 539 When the scatterplot follows a curved pattern, it does not make sense to summarize it with a straight line. To illustrate this, Figure 7.11 presents a plot of the relationship between the height y of an object released
from a height of 256 ft and the time x since its release. The relationship between x and y is nonlinear. The least-squares line does not fit the data well. FIGURE 7.11 The relationship between the height of a free-falling object and the time in free fall is not linear. The least-squares line does not fit the data well and should not be used to predict the
height of the object at a given time. In some cases the least-squares line can be used for nonlinear data, after a process known as variable transformation has been applied. This topic is discussed in Section 7.4. Another Look at the Least-Squares Line The expression (7.14) for can be rewritten in a way that provides a useful interpretation. Starting
with the definition of the correlation coefficient r (Equation 7.1) and multiplying both sides by yields the result (7.19) Equation (7.19) allows us to interpret the slope of the least-squares line, must be units of y/x. The correlation coefficient r is a
unitless number that measures the strength of the linear relationship between x and y. Equation (7.19), we can write the equation of the least-
squares line in a useful form: Substituting for in the equation for the least-squares line and rearranging terms yields (7.20) Page 540 Combining Equations (7.19) and (7.20) yields (7.21) Thus the least-squares line is the line that passes through the center of mass of the scatterplot, with slope. Measuring Goodness-of-Fit A goodness-of-fit statistic is a
quantity that measures how well a model explains a given set of data. A linear model fits well if there is a strong linear relationship between x and y. Therefore r is a goodness-of-fit statistic for the linear model. We will now
the forearms. If we have no knowledge of the man's height, we must predict his forearm length to be the average. Our prediction error is . If we predict the length of each man before predicting the length of his forearm, we can
use the leastsquares line, and we will predict the ith forearm length to be . The prediction errors is . The strength of the linear relationship can be measured by computing the reduction in sum of squared prediction errors is . The strength of the linear relationship can be measured by computing the reduction in sum of squared prediction errors is . The strength of the linear relationship can be measured by computing the reduction in sum of squared prediction errors is . The strength of the linear relationship can be measured by computing the reduction in sum of squared prediction errors is . The strength of the linear relationship can be measured by computing the reduction in sum of squared prediction errors is . The strength of the linear relationship can be measured by computing the reduction in sum of squared prediction errors is . The strength of the linear relationship can be measured by computing the reduction in sum of squared prediction errors is .
this difference is, the more tightly clustered the points are around the least-squares line and the stronger the linear relationship is between x and y. Thus is a goodness-of-fit statistic. FIGURE 7.12 Heights and forearm lengths of men. The least-squares line and the horizontal line are superimposed. There is a problem with using as a goodness-of-fit
statistic, however. This quantity has units, namely the squared units of y. We could not use this statistic to compare the goodness-of-fit of two models fit to different data sets, since the units would be different. We need to use a goodness-of-fit of two models fit to different data sets, since the units would be different.
using. This interpretation of r2 is important to know. In Chapter 8, we will see how it can be generalized to provide a measure of the goodness-of-fit of linear relationships involving several variables. For a visual interpretation of r2, look at Figure 7.12. For each point (xi, yi) on the scatterplot, the quantity is the vertical distance from the point to the
horizontal line. The quantity is the vertical distance from the points around the least-squares line. The therefore measures the overall spread of the points about the least-squares line. The therefore measures the reduction in the spread of the points obtained by using
sum of squares. Clearly, the following relationship holds: Using the preceding terminology, we can write Equation (7.22) as Page 542 Since the total sum of squares is just the sample variance of the yi without dividing by n - 1, statisticians (and others) often refer to r2 as the proportion of the variance in y explained by regression. Derivation of the
Least-Squares Coefficients and We derive Equations (7.14) and (7.15). The least-squares coefficients and are the quantities that minimize the sum We compute these values by taking partial derivatives of S with respect to setting them equal to 0. Thus and are the quantities that minimize the sum We compute these values by taking partial derivatives of S with respect to setting them equal to 0. Thus and are the quantities that minimize the sum We compute these values by taking partial derivatives of S with respect to setting them.
can be written as a system of two linear equation (7.25) (7.26) We solve Equation (7.25) for, obtain (7.27) Solving Equation (7.27) Solving Equation (7.28) to obtain (7.27) Solving Equation (7.28) to obtain (7.29) for, we obtain (7.29) for , we obtain (7.29) for , we obtain (7.29) for , we must show that . (These are Equations (7.29) for , obtaining This establishes Equation (7.29) for , we obtain (7.29) for , we obtain (7.29) for , we must show that . (These are Equations (7.29) for , obtaining This establishes Equation (7.29) for , we obtain (7.29) for , we obtain (7.29) for , obtaining This establishes Equation (7.29) for , obtaining This establishes Equation (7.29) for , we obtain (7.29) for , obtaining This establishes Equation (7.29) for , we obtain (7.29) for , obtain (7.29) for , obtaining This establishes Equation (7.29) for , obtaining This establi
Also and that Derivation of Equation (7.22) We first show that (7.28) This result is known as the analysis of variance identity. To derive it, we begin by adding and subtracting from the left-hand side: Now we need only to show that (7.29) Page 544 Therefore (7.30) Now, so Substituting into Equation (7.30), we obtain This establishes the
analysis of variance identity. To derive Equation (7.22), Equation (7.21), so Substituting and canceling, we obtain so By the analysis of variance identity, Therefore Page 545 Exercises for Section 7.2 1. Each month for several months, the average
temperature in °C (x) and the number of pounds of steam (y) consumed by a certain chemical plant were measured. The least-squares line computed from the resulting data is y = 245.82 + 1.13x. a. Predict the number of pounds of steam consumed in a month where the average temperature is 65°C. b. If two months differ in their average
temperatures by 5°C, by how much do you predict 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. the number of pounds of steam consumed to differ? In a study of the relationship between the Brinell hardness (x) and tensile strength in ksi (y) of specimen whose Brinell
hardness is 102.7. b. If two specimens differ in their Brinell hardness by 3, by how much do you predict their tensile strengths to differ? A least-squares line is fit to a set of points. If the total sum of squares is , compute the coefficient of 2 determination r. A least-squares line is fit to a set of points. If the total sum of squares is , and the error sum of squares line is fit to a set of points.
squares is, and the error sum of squares is, compute the coefficient of determination 2 r. In Galton's height (x) is y = -0.2967 + 0.2738x, a. Predict the forearm length of a man whose height is 70 in. b. How tall must a man be so that we would
predict his forearm length to be 19 in.? c. All the men in a certain group have heights greater than the height computed in part (b). Can you conclude that all their forearms will be at least 19 in. long? Explain. In a study relating the degree of warping, in mm, of a copper plate (y) to temperature in °C (x), the following summary statistics were
calculated: n = 40, Compute the correlation r between the degree of warping and the temperature. compute the error sum of squares, the regression sum of squares, and the total sum of squares, and the total sum of squares, the regression sum of squares are the least-squares line for predicting warping from temperature.
we predict the warping to be 0.5 mm? f. Assume it is important that the warping not exceed 0.5 mm. An engineer suggests that if the temperature is kept below the level computed in part (e), we can be sure that the warping will not exceed 0.5 mm. Is this a correct conclusion? Explain. Moisture content in percent by volume (x) and conductivity in
mS/m (y) were measured for 50 soil specimens. The means and standard deviations were . The correlation between conductivity from moisture content. Curing times in days (x) and compressive strengths in MPa (y) were recorded for
several concrete specimens. The means and standard deviations of the x and y values were, sy = 100. The correlation between curing time and compressive strength from curing time. Foot ulcers are a common problem for people with diabetes.
Higher skin temperatures on the foot indicate an increased risk of ulcers. The article "An Intelligent Insole for Diabetic a. b. 7. 8. 9. Patients with the Loss of Protective Sensation" (Kimberly Anderson, M.S. Thesis, Colorado School of Mines), reports measurements of temperatures, in "F, of both feet for 18 Page 546 diabetic patients. The results are
the right foot temperature from the left foot temperature for a patient whose left foot temperature is 81 degrees. 10. A blood pressure measurement consists of two numbers:
the systolic pressure, which is the maximum pressure taken when the heart is contracting, and the diastolic pressure, which is the minimum pressure taken at the beginning of the heart beat. Systolic 134 115 113 123 Diastolic 87 83 77 77 119 is the minimum pressure, which is the maximum pressure taken at the beginning of the heart beat.
118 130 116 133 112 107 110 108 105 157 154 69 88 76 70 91 75 71 74 69 66 103 94 a. Construct a scatterplot of diastolic pressure (y) versus systolic pressure from the systolic pressure. c. If the systolic pressures of two patients differ
by 10 mmHg, by how much would you predict their diastolic pressures to differ? d. Predict the diastolic pressure for a patient whose systolic pressure is 125 mmHg. 11. Structural engineers use wireless sensor networks to monitor the condition of dams and bridges. The article "Statistical Analysis of Vibration Modes of a Suspension Bridge Using
Spatially Dense Wireless Sensor Network" (S. Pakzad and G. Fenves, Journal of Structural Engineering, 2009:863-872) describes an experiment in which accelerometers were placed on the Golden Gate Bridge for the purpose of estimating vibration modes. For 18 vertical modes, the system was underdamped (damping ratio < 1). Following are the
damping ratios and frequencies for those modes. Damping Ratio 0.3 0.3 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.6 0.6 Frequency (Hz) 2.72 2.84 3.77 2.07 2.20 2.34 2.61 1.80 1.93 1.53 0.77 1.26 0.6 0.7 0.7 0.8 0.8 a. b. c. 1.66 0.89 1.00 0.66 1.13 0.37 Construct a scatterplot of frequency (y) versus damping ratio (x). Verify that a linear model is appropriate
Compute the least-squares line for predicting frequency from damping ratio. If two modes differ in damping ratio by 0.2, by how much would you predict their frequency for modes that are overdamped (damping
ratio > 1)? Explain why or why not. f. For what damping ratio would you predict a frequency of 2.0? 12. The article "Effect of Environmental Factors on Steel Plate Corrosion Under Marine Immersion Conditions" (C. Soares, Y. Garbatov, and A. Zayed, Corrosion Engineering, Science and Technology, 2011:524-541) describes an experiment in which
nine steel specimens were submerged in seawater at various temperatures, and the corrosion rates were measured. The results are presented in the following table (obtained by digitizing a graph). Temperature (°C) 26.6 26.0 27.4 21.7 14.9 11.3 15.0 8.7 8.2 a. b. c. d. e. f. Corrosion (mm/yr) 1.58 1.45 1.13 0.96 0.99 1.05 0.82 0.68 0.56 Construct a
scatterplot of corrosion (y) versus temperature (x). Verify that a linear model is appropriate. Compute the least-squares line for predicting corrosion from temperatures differ? Predict the corrosion rate for steel
submerged in seawater at a temperature of 20°C. Compute the residuals. Which point has the residuals. Which point has the residuals with the largest magnitude? g. h. Compute the correlation between temperature and corrosion rate.
sum of squares by the total sum of squares. What is the relationship between this quantity and the correlation coefficient? 13. Concrete expands both horizontally and vertically over time. The article "Evaluation to Existing Structures" (M. Bérubé, N.
Smaoui, et al., Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering, 2005:463-479), reports measurements of horizontal and vertical expansion (in units of parts per hundred thousand) made at several locations on a bridge in Quebec City in Canada. The results are presented in the following table. Horizontal 43 5 18 24 32 10 21 a. b. c. d. e. f. Vertical 55 80 58 68
57 69 63 Construct a scatterplot of vertical expansion (y) versus horizontal expansion (y) from horizontal expansion (x).
thousand, by how much would you predict the vertical expansion to increase or decrease? Predict the vertical expansion for a location where the horizontal expansion is 30 parts per hundred thousand. Can the least-squares line be used to predict the vertical expansion in a location where the horizontal expansion is 60 parts per hundred thousand? If
so, predict the expansion. If not, explain why not. Page 548 g. For what horizontal expansion would you predict a vertical expansion of 65 parts per hundred thousand? 14. An engineer wants to predict the value for y when x = 4.5, using the following data set. x = 1.2 = 1.2 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.20 = 1.
12.0\,0.26\,0.83\,1.06\,1.50\,2.16\,2.48\, Construct a scatterplot of the points (x, y). Should the least-squares line to predict the value of y when x = 4.5? If so, compute the least-squares line to predict the value of y when x = 4.5? If so, compute the least-squares line to predict the value of y when x = 4.5? If so, compute the least-squares line and the predict the value of y when x = 4.5? If so, compute the least-squares line to predict the value of y when x = 4.5? If so, compute the least-squares line to predict the value of y when x = 4.5? If so, compute the least-squares line to predict the value of y when x = 4.5? If so, compute the least-squares line and the predict the value of y when x = 4.5? If so, compute the least-squares line to predict the value of y when x = 4.5? If so, compute the least-squares line to predict the value of y when x = 4.5? If so, compute the least-squares line to predict the value of y when x = 4.5? If so, compute the least-squares line to predict the value of y when x = 4.5? If so, compute the least-squares line to predict the value of y when x = 4.5? If so, compute the least-squares line to predict the value of y when x = 4.5? If so, compute the least-squares line to predict the value of y when x = 4.5? If so, compute the least-squares line to predict the value of y when x = 4.5? If so, compute the least-squares line to y when x = 4.5? If so, compute the least-squares line to y when x = 4.5? If so, compute the least-squares line to y when x = 4.5? If so, compute the least-squares line to y when x = 4.5? If so, compute the least-squares line to y when x = 4.5? If so, compute the least-squares line to y when x = 4.5? If so, compute the least-squares line to y when x = 4.5? If so, compute the least-squares line to y when x = 4.5? If so, compute the least-squares line to y when x = 4.5? If so, compute the least-squares line to y when x = 4.5? If so, compute the least-squares line to y when x = 4.5? If so, compute the y when x = 4.5? If so, compute the y when x = 4.5? If so, compute
z when x = 4.5. Is this an appropriate method of prediction? Explain why or why not. e. Let denote the predictor of the value of z computed in part (d). Let . Explain why is a reasonable predictor of the value of z computed in part (d). Let . Explain why is a reasonable predictor of the value of z computed in part (d). Let . Explain why is a reasonable predictor of the value of z computed in part (d). Let . Explain why is a reasonable predictor of the value of z computed in part (d). Let . Explain why is a reasonable predictor of the value of z computed in part (d). Let . Explain why is a reasonable predictor of the value of z computed in part (d). Let . Explain why is a reasonable predictor of the value of z computed in part (d). Let . Explain why is a reasonable predictor of the value of z computed in part (d). Let . Explain why is a reasonable predictor of the value of z computed in part (d). Let . Explain why is a reasonable predictor of the value of z computed in part (d). Let . Explain why is a reasonable predictor of the value of z computed in part (d). Let . Explain why is a reasonable predictor of the value of z computed in part (d). Let . Explain why is a reasonable predictor of the value of z computed in part (d). Let . Explain why is a reasonable predictor of the value of z computed in part (d). Let . Explain why is a reasonable predictor of z computed in part (d). Let . Explain why is a reasonable predictor of z computed in part (d). Let . Explain why is a reasonable predictor of z computed in part (d). Let . Explain why is a reasonable predictor of z computed in part (d). Let . Explain why is a reasonable predictor of z computed in part (d). Let . Explain why is a reasonable predictor of z computed in part (d). Let . Explain why is a reasonable predictor of z computed in part (d). Let . Explain why is a reasonable predictor of z computed in z 
incomes averaged $34,900 and had a standard deviation of $17,200. Fill in the blank: From the least-squares line, we would predict that the income of a man 70 inches tall would be
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      _. i. less than $34,900. ii. greater than $34,900. iii. equal to $34,900. iv. We cannot tell unless we know the correlation. 16. A mixture of sucrose and water was heated
on a hot plate, and the temperature (in °C) was recorded each minute for 20 minutes by three thermocouples. The results are shown in the following table. a. b. Time 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 T1 T2 T3 20 18 29 32 37 36 46 46 56 58 64 72 79 18 22 22 25 37 46 45 44 54 64 69 65 80 21 11 26 35 33 35 44 43 63 68 62 65 80 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
Compute the least-squares line for estimate, and averaging the three intercept estimate to obtain a single line to estimate, and averaging the three intercept estimates to
obtain a single intercept estimate. Find the equation of the line that results from this method. e. Someone else suggests averaging the three temperature from the line that results of parts (d) and (e) different? 17. Carrie and Ryan have both computed the
slope of the least-squares line using data for which the standard deviation of the x-values are equal. Carrie gets a value of 0.5 for the slope, and Ryan gets a value of 2. One of them is right. Which one? Page 549 7.3 Uncertainties in the Least-Squares Coefficients In Section 7.2, the linear model was presented
(Equation 7.10): Here \epsilon is the error in the ith observation yi. In practice, \epsilon irepresents the accumulation of error from many sources. For example, in the Hooke's law data, \epsilon is can be affected by errors in measuring the weights of the loads placed on the spring, variations in the elasticity of the spring due to
changes in ambient temperature or metal fatigue, and so on. If there were no error, the points would lie exactly on the least-squares line, and the slope and intercept of the least-squares line, and the quantities and do not equal the true values. Each time
the process is repeated, the values of ɛi, and thus the values of and, will be different. In other words, ɛi, and specific, the errors ɛi create uncertainty in the estimates are random variables. To be more and . It is intuitively clear that if the ɛi tend to be small in magnitude, the points will be tightly clustered around the line, and the uncertainty in the
least-squares estimates and will be small. On the other hand, if the si tend to be large in magnitude, the points (x1, y1),..., (xn, yn), and we plan to fit the least-squares estimates and will be small. On the other hand, if the si tend to be large in magnitude, the points (x1, y1),..., (xn, yn), and we plan to fit the least-squares estimates and will be small.
estimates and to be useful, we need to estimate just how large their uncertainties are. In order to do this, we need to know something about the nature of the errors in Linear Models In the
simplest situation, the following assumptions are satisfied: 1. The errors \epsilon 1, ..., \epsilon n and independent. In particular, the magnitude of any error \epsilon 1, ..., \epsilon n all have the same variance, which we denote by \sigma 2. 4. The errors \epsilon 1, ..., \epsilon n are
normally distributed. These assumptions are restrictive, so it is worthwhile to discuss briefly the degree to which it is acceptable to violate them in practice. When the assumption of constant variance (3) do not matter too much, but severe violations
should be corrected. In Section 7.4, we discuss methods to correct certain violations of these assumptions, the effect of the Ei is largely governed by the magnitude of the variance of these assumptions, the effect of the Ei is largely governed by the magnitude of the variance of these assumptions, the effect of the Ei is largely governed by the magnitude of the variance of these assumptions.
 , we must first estimate the error variance σ2. Since the magnitude of the variance is reflected in the degree of spread of the variance. Specifically, the vertical distance from each data point (xi, yi) to the least-squares line is given by the residual ei (see
Figure 7.10 in Section 7.2). The spread of the points around the line can be measured by the sum of the squared residuals σ2 is the quantity s2. The estimate of the error variance is thus the average of the squared residuals, except that we divide by n – 2 rather than n. The reason for this is that since
the least-squares line minimizes the sum, the residuals tend to be a little smaller than the errors \epsiloni. It turns out that dividing by n-2 rather than n appropriately compensates for this. There is an equivalent formula for \epsilon2, involving the correlation coefficient r, that is often easier to calculate. (7.33) We present a brief derivation of this result.
Equation (7.22) (in Section 7.2) shows that Index assumptions 1 through 4, the observations yi are also random variables. In fact, since yi = \beta0 + \beta1xi and variance \sigma2. In particular, \beta1 represents the change in the mean of y associated with an increase of
one unit in the value of x. Summary In the linear model yi = \beta0 + \beta1xi + \epsiloni, under assumptions 1 through 4, the observations y1,..., yn are independent random variables that follow the normal distribution. The mean and variance of yi are given by The slope \beta1 represents the change in the mean of y associated with an increase of one unit in the value
of x. Page 551 We can now calculate the means and standard deviations of deviations of the yi, so their means can be found using Equation (2.51) and their standard deviations can be found using Equation (2.55) (both equations in Section 2.5).
Specifically, algebraic manipulation of Equations (7.14) and (7.15) (in Section 7.2) yields (7.34) (7.35) Using the fact that each of the yi has mean \beta 0 + \beta 1 xi and variance \sigma 2, Equations (7.14) and (7.15) (in Section 7.2) yields (7.34) (7.35) Using the fact that each of the yi has mean \beta 0 + \beta 1 xi and variance \sigma 2, Equations (7.34) and (7.35) Using the fact that each of the yi has mean \beta 0 + \beta 1 xi and variance \sigma 2, Equations (7.35) Using the fact that each of the yi has mean \beta 0 + \beta 1 xi and variance \sigma 2, Equations (7.35) Using the fact that each of the yi has mean \beta 0 + \beta 1 xi and (7.35) Using the fact that each of the yi has mean \beta 0 + \beta 1 xi and (7.35) Using the fact that each of the yi has mean \beta 0 + \beta 1 xi and (7.35) Using the fact that each of the yi has mean \beta 0 + \beta 1 xi and (7.35) Using the fact that each of the yi has mean \beta 0 + \beta 1 xi and (7.35) Using the fact that each of the yi has mean \beta 0 + \beta 1 xi and (7.35) Using the fact that each of the yi has mean \beta 0 + \beta 1 xi and (7.35) Using the fact that each of the yi has mean \beta 0 + \beta 1 xi and (7.35) Using the fact that each of the yi has mean \beta 0 + \beta 1 xi and (7.35) Using the fact that each of the yi has mean \beta 0 + \beta 1 xi and (7.35) Using the fact that each of the yi has mean \beta 0 + \beta 1 xi and (7.35) Using the fact that each of the yields \beta 1 xi and (7.35) Using the fact that each of the yields \beta 1 xi and (7.35) Using the fact that each of the yields \beta 1 xi and (7.35) Using the fact that each of the yields \beta 1 xi and (7.35) Using the fact that each of the yields \beta 1 xi and (7.35) Using the fact that each of the yields \beta 1 xi and (7.35) Using the yields \beta 1 xi and (7.35) U
distributed, because they are linear combinations of the independent normal random variables yi. In practice, when computing the standard deviations, we usually don't know the value of σ, so we approximate it with s. Summary Under assumptions 1 through 4 (page 549), 

The quantities and are normally distributed random variables.
of The standard deviations of and are the true values β0 and respectively. and are estimated with (7.36) and (7.37) is an estimate of the error standard deviation σ. where Example Page 552 7.10 For the Hooke's law data, compute s, , and unloaded length, and find their uncertainties. . Estimate the spring constant and the Solution In Example 7.6
(in Section 7.2) we computed, and . The correlation is , . Now compute . , The More Spread in the x Values, the Better (Within Reason) In the expressions for both of the uncertainties quantity and in Equations (7.36) and (7.37), the appears in a denominator. This quantity measures the spread in the x values; when divided by the constant n − 1, it is
just the sample variance of the x values. It follows that other things being equal, an experiment performed with more widely spread out x values so large or so small that they are outside the range for
which the linear model holds. Summary When one is able to choose the x values, it is best to spread them out widely. The more spread out the x values, the sample standard deviation of x1, x2,..., xn. Caution: If the range of x values
extends beyond the range where the linear model holds, the results will not be valid. There are two other ways to improve the accuracy of the estimated regression line. First, one can increase the size of the error variance σ2, for
example, by measuring more precisely. These two methods usually add to the cost of a project, however, while simply choosing more widely spread x values often does not. Page 553 Example 7.11 Two engineers are conducting independent experiments to estimate a spring constant for a particular spring. The first engineer suggests measuring the
length of the spring with no load, and then applying loads of 1, 2, 3, and 4 lb. The second engineer suggests using loads of 0, 2, 4, 6, and 8 lb. Which result will be more precise? By what factor? Solution The sample standard deviation of the numbers 0, 1, 2, 3, 4. Therefore
the uncertainty for the first engineer is twice as large as for the second engineer, so the second engineer setimate is twice as precise. We have made two assumptions in the solution to this example. First, we assumed that the error variance of 2 is the same for both engineers. If they are both using the same apparatus and the same measurement
procedure, this could be a safe assumption. But if one engineer is able to measure more precisely, this needs to be taken into account. Second, we have assumed that a load of 8 lb is within the elastic zone of the spring, so that the linear model applies throughout the range of the data. Inferences on the Slope and Intercept Given a scatterplot with
points (x1, y1),..., (xn, yn), we can compute the slope and intercept of the least-squares line. We consider these to be estimates to find confidence intervals for, and to test hypotheses about, the true values β1 and β0. It turns out that the methods for a population mean
based on the Student's t distribution, can be easily adapted for this purpose. We have seen that under assumptions 1 through 4, and are normally distributions with n-2 degrees of freedom. The number of degrees of freedom is
n-2 because in the computation of and we divide the sum of squared residuals by n-2. When the sample size n is large enough, the normal distribution is nearly indistinguishable from the Student's t and may be used instead. However, most software packages use the Student's t distribution regardless of sample size. Summary Under assumptions
1 through 4, the quantities and have Student's t distributions with n-2 degrees of freedom. Confidence intervals for a population mean. Let n-2, \alpha/2 denote the point on the Page 554 Student's t curve with n-2 degrees of freedom that cuts off an area
of \alpha/2 in the right-hand tail. Level 100(1-\alpha)\% confidence intervals for \beta0 and \beta1 are given by (7.38) where We illustrate the preceding method with some examples. Example 7.12 Find a 95% confidence interval for the spring constant in the Hooke's law data. Solution The spring constant is \beta1. We have previously computed Section 7.2) and (Example
7.6 in (Example 7.10). The number of degrees of freedom is n-2=20-2=18, so the t value for a 95% confidence interval is t18,025 = 2.101. The confidence interval from an increase of 1 lb in the load is between 0.181 and 0.228 in. Of course, this
confidence interval is valid only within the range of the data (0 to 3.8 lb). Example 7.13 In the Hooke's law data, find a 99% confidence interval for the unloaded length of the spring. Solution The unloaded length of the spring is \beta0. We have previously computed (Example 7.10). The number of degrees of freedom is n-2=20-2=10.
18, so the t value for a 99% confidence interval is t18,.005 = 2.878. The confidence interval for β0 is therefore We are 99% confident that the unloaded length of the spring is between 4.928 and 5.071 in. We can perform hypothesis tests on β0 and β1 as well. We present some examples. Page 5.55 Example 7.14 The manufacturer of the spring in the
                                                                                                                                   constant to be . Can we conclude that the manufacturer's claim is false? Solution This calls for a hypothesis test. The null and alternate hypotheses are The quantity has a Student's t distribution with n-2=20-2=18 degrees of freedom
Under H0, we take \beta 1 = 0.215. The test statistic is therefore We have previously computed and . The value of the test statistic is therefore Consulting the Student's t table, we find that the P-value is between 0.10 and 0.25. (Software yields P = 0.181.) We cannot reject the manufacturer's claim on the basis of these data. Example 7.15 Can we
conclude from the Hooke's law data that the unloaded length of the spring is more than 4.9 in.? Solution This requires a hypothesis test. The null and alternate hypotheses are The quantity has a Student's t distribution with n-2=20-2=18 degrees of freedom. Under H0, we take \beta 0=4.9. The test statistic is therefore Page 556 We have
previously computed and . The value of the test statistic is therefore Consulting the Student's t table, we find that the P-value is less than 0.0005. (Software yields P = 0.000402.) We can conclude that the unloaded length of the spring is more than 4.9 in. The most commonly tested null hypothesis is true, then there is have the previously computed and a spring is more than 4.9 in. The most commonly tested null hypothesis is true, then there is have the previously computed and a spring is more than 4.9 in. The most commonly tested null hypothesis is true, then there is have the previously computed and a spring is more than 4.9 in. The most commonly tested null hypothesis is true, then there is have the previously computed and a spring is more than 4.9 in. The most commonly tested null hypothesis is true, then there is have the previously computed and a spring is more than 4.9 in. The most commonly tested null hypothesis is have the previously computed and a spring is more than 4.9 in. The most commonly tested null hypothesis is have the previously computed and a spring is more than 4.9 in. The most commonly tested null hypothesis is have the previously computed and a spring is more than 4.9 in. The most commonly tested null hypothesis is have the previously computed and a spring is more than 4.9 in. The most commonly tested null hypothesis is have the previously computed and a spring is more than 4.9 in. The most commonly the previously computed and a spring is more than 4.9 in. The most commonly computed and a spring is more than 4.9 in. The most commonly the previously computed and a spring is more than 4.9 in. The most commonly computed and a spring is more than 4.9 in. The most commonly computed and a spring is more than 4.9 in. The most commonly computed and a spring is more than 4.9 in. The most commonly computed and a spring is more than 4.9 in. The most commonly computed and a spring is more than 4.9 in. The most commonly computed and a spring is more than 4.9 in. The most commonly computed and a s
no tendency for y either to increase or decrease as x increases. This implies that x and y have no linear model should not be used to predict y from x. Example 7.16 The ability of a welded joint to elongate under stress is affected by the chemical composition of the weld
metal. In an experiment to determine the effect of carbon content (x) on elongation (y), 39 welds were stressed until fracture, and both carbon content (in parts per thousand) and elongation (y), 39 welds were stressed until fracture, and both carbon content (in parts per thousand) and elongation (y), 39 welds were stressed until fracture, and both carbon content (in parts per thousand) and elongation (y), 39 welds were stressed until fracture, and both carbon content (x) on elongation (y), 39 welds were stressed until fracture, and both carbon content (x) on elongation (y), 39 welds were stressed until fracture, and both carbon content (x) on elongation (y), 30 welds were stressed until fracture, and both carbon content (x) on elongation (y), 30 welds were stressed until fracture, and both carbon content (x) on elongation (y), 30 welds were stressed until fracture, and both carbon content (x) on elongation (y), 30 welds were stressed until fracture, and both carbon content (x) on elongation (y), 30 welds were stressed until fracture, and both carbon content (x) on elongation (y), 30 welds were stressed until fracture, and both carbon content (x) on elongation (y), 30 welds were stressed until fracture, and both carbon content (x) on elongation (y), 30 welds were stressed until fracture, and both carbon content (x) on elongation (y), 30 welds were stressed until fracture, and both carbon content (x) on elongation (y), 30 welds were stressed until fracture, and both carbon content (x) on elongation (y), 30 welds were stressed until fracture, and both carbon content (x) on elongation (y), 30 welds were stressed until fracture, and both carbon content (x) on elongation (y), 30 welds were stressed until fracture, and both carbon content (x) on elongation (y), 30 well (x) 
elongation due to an increase of one part per thousand in carbon content. Should we use the linear model to predict elongation (y) due to a one part per thousand increase in carbon content (x) is \beta1. The null and alternate hypotheses are The null
hypothesis says that increasing the carbon content does not affect the elongation, while the alternate hypothesis says that is does. The quantity has a Student's t distribution with n-2=39-2=37 degrees of freedom. Under H0, \beta 1=0. The test statistic is therefore Page 557 We compute and: The value of the test statistic is The t table shows that
the P-value is greater than 0.20. (Software yields P = 0.272.) We cannot conclude that the linear model is useful for predicting elongation from carbon content. Inferences on the Mean Response In Example 7.8 (Section 7.2), we estimated the length of a spring under a load of 1.4 lb to be 5.29 in. Since this estimate was based on measurements that
were subject to uncertainty, the estimate itself is subject to uncertainty. For the estimate to be more useful, we should construct a confidence interval around it to reflect its uncertainty. We now describe how to do this, for the general case where the load on the spring is x lb. If a measurement y were taken of the length of the spring under a load of x
lb, the mean of y would be the true length (or "mean response") β0 + β1x, where β1 is the true unloaded length with means β0 and β1, respectively, it follows that is normally distributed with mean β0 + β1x. To use to find a confidence
interval, we must know its standard deviation. The standard deviation can be derived by expressing as a linear combination of the yi and using Equation (2.55) (in Section 2.5). Equations (7.34) and (7.35) express the yi. Since and as linear combinations of , these equations, after some algebraic manipulation, yield (7.39) Equation (2.55) now can be
used to derive an expression for the standard deviation of . The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of is approximate it with s. The standard deviation of it with s. The standard deviation of it with s. The standard deviation of it with s. T
provide the expression for a confidence interval for the mean response. A level 100(1-\alpha)\% confidence interval for the quantity \beta 0 + \beta 1x is given by (7.41) where Example . 7.17 Using the Hooke's law data, compute a 95% confidence interval for the length of a spring under a load of 1.4 lb. Solution We will calculate , and , and use expression (7.41).
The number of points is n = 20. In Example 7.10, we computed s = 0.0575. In Example 7.6 (in Section 7.2), we computed s = 0.0575. In Example 7.6 (in Section 7.2), we computed s = 0.0575. In Example 7.6 (in Section 7.2), we computed s = 0.0575. In Example 7.6 (in Section 7.2), we computed s = 0.0575. In Example 7.6 (in Section 7.2), we computed s = 0.0575. In Example 7.6 (in Section 7.2), we computed s = 0.0575. In Example 7.6 (in Section 7.2), we computed s = 0.0575. In Example 7.6 (in Section 7.2), we computed s = 0.0575. In Example 7.6 (in Section 7.2), we computed s = 0.0575. In Example 7.6 (in Section 7.2), we computed s = 0.0575. In Example 7.6 (in Section 7.2), we computed s = 0.0575. In Example 7.6 (in Section 7.2), we computed s = 0.0575. In Example 7.6 (in Section 7.2), we computed s = 0.0575. In Example 7.6 (in Section 7.2), we computed s = 0.0575. In Example 7.6 (in Section 7.2), we computed s = 0.0575. In Example 7.6 (in Section 7.2), we computed s = 0.0575. In Example 7.6 (in Section 7.2), we computed s = 0.0575. In Example 7.6 (in Section 7.2), we computed s = 0.0575. In Example 7.6 (in Section 7.2), we computed s = 0.0575. In Example 7.6 (in Section 7.2), we computed s = 0.0575. In Example 7.6 (in Section 7.2), we computed s = 0.0575.
determine the 95% confidence interval for the length \beta0 + \beta1 (1.4) to be Example 7.18 In a study of the relationship between the permeability (y) of human skin and its electrical resistance in the following table were obtained for 50 skin specimens, each 2.54 cm2 in area. Here permeability is measured in \mum/h and resistance is
measured in kΩ. Using a linear model, find a 95% confidence interval for the mean permeability for skin specimens with resistance as a Skin Integrity Marker for In Vitro Percutaneous Absorption Studies, "D. J. Davies, R. J. Ward, and J. R. Heylings, Toxicology in Vitro,
2004:351-358; values obtained by digitizing a graph.) Page 559 Resistance 10.09 11.37 12.08 12.25 13.08 13.52 13.75 14.19 15.13 15.13 16.07 16.51 17.18 18.34 18.17 18.67 20.28 23.99 24.82 25.70 25.98 Permeability 11.58 13.89 11.77 9.02 9.65 9.91 12.42 9.93
10.08 5.42 12.99 10.49 8.13 5.78 7.47 7.93 9.95 9.73 14.33 7.52 5.96 8.10 10.44 7.30 7.56 7.58 6.49 5.90 7.01 9.14 8.69 4.66 8.88 5.92 7.01 26.37 26.42 26.75 26.92 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.80 27.8
quantities (the computing formulas on page 535 may be used): The estimate of the mean permeability for skin specimens with a resistance of 25 \text{ k}\Omega is The standard deviation of \hat{y} is estimated to be There are n-2=50-2=48 degrees of freedom. The t value is therefore 48,0.25=2.011. (This value is not found in Table A.3 but can be obtained on
many calculators or with computer software. Alternatively, since there are more than 30 degrees of freedom, one could use z = 1.96.) The 95% confidence interval is Page 560 Hypothesis tests on the mean response can be conducted using a Student's t distribution. Following is an example 7.19 Refer to Example 7.18. Let µ0 represent the
mean permeability of skin whose resistance is 15 k\Omega. Test H0: \mu0 \leq 9 versus H1: \mu0 \leq 9. Solution Since \mu0 is the mean permeability of skin whose resistance is 15 k\Omega, \mu0 = \mu0 \leq 9 versus H1: \mu0 \leq 9. The test statistic is therefore We
compute \hat{y} and \hat{s}\hat{y}: The value of the test statistic is There are n-2=48 degrees of freedom. This number of degrees of freedom is not found in Table A.3; however, the P-value can be determined with a calculator or computer software to be 0.0126. Alternatively, since the number of degrees of freedom is not found in Table A.3; however, the P-value can be determined with a calculator or computer software to be 0.0126. Alternatively, since the number of degrees of freedom is not found in Table A.3; however, the P-value can be determined with a calculator or computer software to be 0.0126. Alternatively, since the number of degrees of freedom is not found in Table A.3; however, the P-value can be determined with a calculator or computer software to be 0.0126. Alternatively, since the number of degrees of freedom is not found in Table A.3; however, the P-value can be determined with a calculator or computer software to be 0.0126. Alternatively, since the number of degrees of freedom is not found in Table A.3; however, the P-value can be determined with a calculator or computer software to be 0.0126. Alternatively, since the number of degrees of freedom is not found in Table A.3; however, the P-value can be determined with a calculator or computer software to be 0.0126. Alternatively, since the number of degrees of freedom is not found in Table A.3; however, the p-value can be determined by the number of degrees of freedom.
table (Table A.2) to approximate the P-value as 0.0104. It is reasonable to conclude that the mean permeability of skin specimens with a resistance of 25 kΩ. Here is a somewhat different question: Assume we
wish to predict the permeability of a particular skin specimen whose resistance is 25 k\Omega, rather than the mean permeability of all such specimens. Using values calculated in Example 7.18, we predict this specimens with a resistance of 25.
Now we wish to put an interval around this prediction to indicate its uncertainty. To compute this prediction interval, we must determine the uncertainty in the prediction to indicate its uncertainty in the prediction to indicate its uncertainty in the prediction. The mean permeability of skin specimens with a resistance of 25 is \beta 0 + \beta 1 (25). The actual permeability of a particular specimen is equal to \beta 0 + \beta 1 (25) + \epsilon, where \epsilon represents
the random difference between the permeability of the particular specimen and the mean Page 561 permeability of the particular specimen with \hat{y} is the prediction error (7.42) The uncertainty in the prediction of the strength of the particular weld is the standard
deviation of this prediction error. We briefly show how to compute this standard deviation. The quantities \hat{y} and \epsilon are independent, since \hat{y} is constant and does not affect the standard deviation. The quantities \hat{y} and \epsilon are independent, since \hat{y} is constant and does not affect the standard deviation. The quantities \hat{y} and \epsilon are independent, since \hat{y} is constant and does not affect the standard deviation. The quantities \hat{y} and \epsilon are independent, since \hat{y} is constant and does not affect the standard deviation.
standard deviation of the prediction error (expression 7.42) is approximated by Using Equation (7.40) to substitute for sŷ yields (7.43) The appropriate expression for the quantity \beta 0 + \beta 1x is given by (7.44) where . Note that the prediction interval is wider than
the confidence interval, because the value 1 is added to the quantity under the square root to account for the permeability of a particular skin whose resistance is 25 kΩ. Solution The predicted permeability is , which we have
calculated in Example 7.18 to be 6.875. Using the quantities presented in Example 7.18, we compute the value is therefore t48025 = 2.011. (This value is not found in Table A.3 but can be obtained on many calculators or with computer software. Alternatively, since there
are more than 30 degrees of freedom, one could use Page 562 z = 1.96.) The 95% prediction intervals and the prediction intervals for many values of x and connecting the points with a smooth curve, we
obtain confidence bands or prediction bands, respectively. Figure 7.13 illustrates 95% confidence or prediction bands for the data presented in Example 7.18. For any given resistance, the 95% confidence or prediction bands for the data presented in Example 7.18. For any given resistance for 50 skin specimens. In both plots, the dotted
line is the least-squares line. Left: The two solid curves are the 95% confidence bands. Given any resistance, we are 95% confidence bands. Given any specific skin specimen, we are
95% confident that the permeability for that particular skin specimen lies between the upper and lower prediction limits corresponding to the resistance of that skin specimen. Confidence and prediction bands provide a nice visual presentation of the way in which the uncertainty depends on the value of the independent variable. Note that both the
confidence interval and the prediction interval are narrowest when , and increases in width as x moves away from . This is due to the term appearing in a numerator in the expressions for sŷ and spred. We conclude that predictions based on the least-squares line are more precise near the center of the scatterplot and are less precise near the edges
Note that the confidence bands indicate confidence bands indicate confidence bands. Interpreting Computer Output Nowadays, least-squares calculations are usually done on a
computer. The following output (from MINITAB) is for the Hooke's law data. Page 563 We will now explain the labeled quantities in the output: (1) This is the equation of the least-squares line. (2) Coef: The standard deviation.) and (4) T: The
values of the Student's t statistics for testing the hypotheses \beta 0 = 0 and \beta 1 = 0. The t statistic is equal to the coefficient divided by its standard deviation. (5) P: The P-value is not small enough to reject the hypotheses \beta 0 = 0 and \beta 1 = 0. The more important Pvalue is that for \beta 1. If this P-value is not small enough to reject the hypotheses \beta 0 = 0 and \beta 1 = 0. The more important Pvalue is that for \beta 1. If this P-value is not small enough to reject the hypotheses \beta 0 = 0 and \beta 1 = 0. The more important Pvalue is that \beta 1 = 0. The more important Pvalue is that \beta 1 = 0. The more important Pvalue is that \beta 1 = 0. The more important Pvalue is that \beta 1 = 0. The more important Pvalue is that \beta 1 = 0. The more important Pvalue is that \beta 1 = 0. The more important Pvalue is that \beta 1 = 0. The more important Pvalue is that \beta 1 = 0. The more important Pvalue is that \beta 1 = 0. The more important Pvalue is that \beta 1 = 0. The more important Pvalue is that \beta 1 = 0. The more important Pvalue is that \beta 1 = 0. The more important Pvalue is that \beta 1 = 0. The more important Pvalue is that \beta 1 = 0. The more important Pvalue is that \beta 1 = 0. The more important Pvalue is that \beta 1 = 0. The more important Pvalue is that \beta 1 = 0. The more important Pvalue is that \beta 1 = 0. The more important Pvalue is that \beta 1 = 0. The more important Pvalue is that \beta 1 = 0. The more important Pvalue is that \beta 1 = 0. The more important Pvalue is that \beta 1 = 0. The more important Pvalue is that \beta 1 = 0. The more important Pvalue is that \beta 1 = 0. The more important Pvalue is that \beta 1 = 0. The more important Pvalue is that \beta 1 = 0.
model is not useful for predicting y from x. In this example, the P-values are extremely small, indicating that neither β0 nor β1 is equal to 0. Page 564 (6) S: The estimate s of the error standard deviation. (7) R-Sq: This is r2, the square of the correlation coefficient r, also called the coefficient of determination. (8) Analysis of Variance: This table is not
so important in simple linear regression, where there is only one independent variables. However, it is more important in multiple regression, where there are several independent variables. However, it is more important in multiple regression, where there are several independent variables. However, it is more important in multiple regression, where there are several independent variables.
squares . (9) Unusual Observations: Here MINITAB tries to alert you to data points that may violate some of the assumptions 1 through 4 previously discussed. MINITAB is conservative and will learn some graphical methods for checking the
assumptions of the linear model. (10) Predicted Values for New Observations: These are confidence and prediction intervals for values of x that are specified by the user. Here we specified by the user are confidence and prediction intervals, and "SE Fit" is the standard deviation sŷ. Then come the 95% confidence and prediction intervals,
respectively. (11) Values of Predictors for New Observations: This is simply a list of the x values for which confidence and prediction intervals have been calculated. It shows that these intervals refer to a weight of x = 1.2. Exercises for Section 7.3 1. A chemical reaction is run 12 times, and the temperature xi (in °C) and the yield yi (in percent of a
theoretical maximum) is recorded each time. The following summary statistics are recorded: Let \( \beta \) represent the hypothetical yield at a temperature of 1°C. Assume that assumptions 1 through 4 on page 549 hold. a. Compute the least-squares estimates and . b. c.
d. 2. Compute the error variance estimate s2. Find 95% confidence intervals for β0 and β1. A chemical engineer claims that the yield increases by more than 0.5 for each 1°C increase in temperature. Do the data provide sufficient evidence for you to conclude that this claim is false? e. Find a 95% confidence interval for the mean yield at a temperature
of 40°C. f. Find a 95% prediction interval for the yield of a particular reaction at a temperature of 40°C. Structural engineers use wireless sensor networks to monitor the condition of dams and bridges. The article "Statistical Analysis of Vibration Modes of a Suspension Bridge Using Spatially Dense Wireless Sensor Network" (S. Pakzad and G.
Fenves, Journal of Structural Engineering, 2009:863-872) describes an experiment in which accelerometers were placed on the Golden Gate Bridge for the purpose of estimating vibration modes. The following output (from MINITAB) describes the fit of a linear model that predicts the Page 565 frequency (in Hz) in terms of the damping ratio for
overdamped (damping ratio > 1) modes. There are n = 7 observations. The regression equation is Frequency = 0.773 - 0.280 Damping Ratio Predictor Coef SE Coef T P Constant 0.77289 0.14534 5.3176 0.003 Damping Ratio Predictor Coef SE Coef T P Constant 0.77289 0.14534 5.3176 0.003 Damping Ratio Predictor Coef SE Coef T P Constant 0.77289 0.14534 5.3176 0.003 Damping Ratio Predictor Coef SE Coef T P Constant 0.77289 0.14534 5.3176 0.003 Damping Ratio Predictor Coef SE Coef T P Constant 0.77289 0.14534 5.3176 0.003 Damping Ratio Predictor Coef SE Coef T P Constant 0.77289 0.14534 5.3176 0.003 Damping Ratio Predictor Coef SE Coef T P Constant 0.77289 0.14534 5.3176 0.003 Damping Ratio Predictor Coef SE Coef T P Constant 0.77289 0.14534 5.3176 0.003 Damping Ratio Predictor Coef SE Coef T P Constant 0.77289 0.14534 5.3176 0.003 Damping Ratio Predictor Coef SE Coef T P Constant 0.77289 0.14534 5.3176 0.003 Damping Ratio Predictor Coef SE Coef T P Constant 0.77289 0.14534 5.3176 0.003 Damping Ratio Predictor Coef SE Coef T P Constant 0.77289 0.14534 5.3176 0.003 Damping Ratio Predictor Coef SE Coef T P Constant 0.77289 0.14534 5.3176 0.003 Damping Ratio Predictor Coef SE Coef T P Constant 0.77289 0.003 Damping Ratio Predictor Coef SE Coef T P Constant 0.77289 0.003 Damping Ratio Predictor Coef SE Coef T P Constant 0.77289 0.003 Damping Ratio Predictor Coef SE Coef T P Constant 0.77289 0.003 Damping Ratio Predictor Coef SE Coef T P Constant 0.77289 0.003 Damping Ratio Predictor Coef SE Coef T P Constant 0.77289 0.003 Damping Ratio Predictor Coef SE Coef T P Coef T 
confidence interval for β1. c. Find a 98% confidence interval for β0. d. 3. Someone claims that the frequency decreases by 0.6 Hz if the damping ratio increases by 1. Use the given output to perform a hypothesis test to determine whether this claim is plausible. Ozone (O3) is a major component of air pollution in many cities. Atmospheric ozone levels
are influenced by many factors, including weather. In one study, the mean ozone levels (y) were measured for 120 days in a western city. Mean ozone levels were measured for 120 days in a western city. Mean ozone levels (y) were measured for 120 days in a western city.
page 549 hold. The regression equation is Ozone = 88.8 - 0.752 Humidity Predictor Coef SE Coef T P Constant 88.761 7.288 12.18 0.000 Humidity -0.7524 0.13024 -5.78 0.000 S = 11.43 R-Sq = 22.0^{\circ}% R-Sq(adj) = 21.4\% Predictor Values for New Observations New Observ
```

```
of Predictors for New Observations New O
level? The output provides a 95% confidence interval for the mean ozone level for days where the relative humidity is 60%. There are n = 120 observations in this data set. Using the value "SE Fit," find a 90% confidence interval. Upon learning that the relative humidity on a certain day is 60%, someone predicts that the ozone level that day will be 80
ppb. Is this a reasonable prediction? If so, explain why. If not, give a reasonable range of predicted values. Page 566 4. In an study similar to the one in Exercise 3, the relative humidity and ozone levels were measured for 120 days in another city. The MINITAB output follows. Assume that assumptions 1 through 4 on page 549 hold. The regression
equation is Ozone = 29.7 - 0.135 Humidity Predictor Coef SE Coef T P Constant 29.703 2.066 14.38 0.000 Humidity -0.13468 0.03798 -3.55 0.001 S = 6.26 R-Sq = 9.6 % R-Sq(adj) = 8.9 % a. b. c. 5.8 What is the slope of the least-squares line? Find a 95\% confidence interval for the slope. Perform a test of the null hypothesis that the slope is greater
than or equal to -0.1. What is the P-value? Refer to Exercises 3 and 4. An atmospheric scientist notices that the study described in Exercise 3. He wishes to test the hypothesis that the effect of humidity on ozone level differs between the two cities. Let βA
denote the change in ozone level associated with an increase of 1 percent relative humidity for the city in Exercise 4. a. Express the null hypothesis to be tested in terms of \( \beta \) and \( \beta \) B. b. 6. Let and denote the slopes of the least-squares lines. Assume these slopes are independent.
There are 120 observations in each data set. Test the null hypothesis in part (a). Can you conclude that the effect of humidity differs between the two cities? Cardiologists use the short-range scaling exponent α1, which measures the randomness of heart attack. The article "Applying Fractal Analysis to
Short Sets of Heart Rate Variability Data" (M. Peña et al., Med Biol Eng Comput, 2009:709-717) compared values of α1 computed from the first 300 beats to determine how well the long-term measurement (y) could be predicted the short-term one (x).
1.42\ 1.42\ 1.44\ 1.44\ 1.45\ 1.46\ 1.47\ 1.40\ 1.45\ 1.46\ 1.47\ 1.40\ 1.45\ 1.46\ 1.47\ 1.46\ 1.47\ 1.46\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.47\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48\ 1.48
short-term measurement. Compute the error standard deviation estimate s. Compute a 95% confidence interval for the slope. Find a 95% confidence interval for the mean long-term measurement for those with short-term measurements of 1.2 is
greater than 1.2? Perform a hypothesis test and report the Pvalue. Find a 95% prediction interval for the long-term measurement is 1.2. Page 567 g. 7. The purpose of a short-term measurement is to substitute for a long-term measurement. For this purpose, which do you think is more
relevant, the confidence interval or the prediction interval? Explain. How much noisier are streets where cars travel faster? The article "Modeling of Urban Area Stop-and-Go Traffic Noise" (P. Pamanikabud and C. Tharasawatpipat, Journal of Transportation Engineering, 1999:152–159) reports noise levels in decibels and average speed in kilometers where cars travel faster? The article "Modeling of Urban Area Stop-and-Go Traffic Noise" (P. Pamanikabud and C. Tharasawatpipat, Journal of Transportation Engineering, 1999:152–159) reports noise levels in decibels and average speed in kilometers are streets where cars travel faster? The article "Modeling of Urban Area Stop-and-Go Traffic Noise" (P. Pamanikabud and C. Tharasawatpipat, Journal of Transportation Engineering, 1999:152–159) reports noise levels in decibels and average speed in kilometers are streets where cars travel faster?
per hour for a sample of roads. The following table presents the results. Speed 28.26 36.22 38.73 Noise 78.1 79.6 81.0 29.07 30.28 30.25 29.03 33.17 78.7 78.6 78.5 78.4 79.6 a. b. c. d. 8. Compute the least-squares line for predicting noise level (y) from speed (x). Compute the error standard deviation estimate s. Construct a 95% confidence interval
for the slope. Find a 95% confidence interval for the mean noise level for streets whose average speed is 30 kilometers per hour. e. Can you conclude that the mean noise level for streets whose average speed is 30 kilometers per hour. e. Can you conclude that the mean noise level for streets whose average speed is 30 kilometers per hour.
mean noise level for streets whose average speed is 30 kilometers per hour. The article "Application of Radial Basis Function Neural Networks in Optimization of Hard Turning of AISID2 Cold-Worked Tool Steel With a Ceramic Tool" (S. Basak, U. Dixit, and J. Davim, Journal of Engineering Manufacture, 2007:987–998) presents the results of annufacture and J. Davim, Journal of Engineering Manufacture, 2007:987–998) presents the results of annufacture and J. Davim, Journal of Engineering Manufacture, 2007:987–998) presents the results of annufacture and J. Davim, Journal of Engineering Manufacture, 2007:987–998) presents the results of annufacture and J. Davim, Journal of Engineering Manufacture, 2007:987–9980 presents the results of annufacture and J. Davim, Journal of Engineering Manufacture, 2007:987–9980 presents the results of annufacture and J. Davim, Journal of Engineering Manufacture, 2007:987–9980 presents the results of annufacture and J. Davim, Journal of Engineering Manufacture, 2007:987–9980 presents the results of annufacture and J. Davim, J. 
experiment in which the surface roughness (in µm) was measured for 27 D2 steel specimens and compared with the roughness predicted by a neural network model. The results are presented in the following table. True Value (x) 0.45 0.82 0.54 0.41 0.77 0.79 0.25 0.62 0.91 0.52 1.02 0.60 0.58 0.87 1.06 0.45 1.09 Predicted Value (y) 0.42 0.70 0.52
0.39\ 0.74\ 0.78\ 0.27\ 0.60\ 0.87\ 0.51\ 0.91\ 0.71\ 0.50\ 0.91\ 1.04\ 0.52\ 0.97\ 1.35\ 0.57\ 1.14\ 0.74\ 0.62\ 1.15\ 1.27\ 1.31\ 1.33\ 1.46\ 1.29\ 0.55\ 1.01\ 0.81\ 0.66\ 1.06\ 1.31\ 1.46\ To check the accuracy of the prediction method, the linear model <math>y = \beta 0 + \beta 1x + \epsilon is fit. If the prediction method is accurate, the value of \beta 0 will be 0 and the value of \beta 1 will be 1. a.
Compute the least-squares estimates b. Can you reject the null hypothesis H0: β0 = 0? c. Can you reject the null hypothesis H0: β1 = 1? d. Do the data provide sufficient evidence to conclude that the prediction method is not accurate? Compute a 95% confidence interval for the mean prediction when the true roughness is 0.8 μm. Someone claims
that when the true roughness is 0.8 \mum, the mean prediction is only 0.75/3? Perform the appropriate hypothesis test. d. 3. Can you conclude that \beta2 < -0.1? Perform the appropriate hypothesis test. The data used to fit the model in Exercise 1 are presented in the following table, along with the residuals and the fitted values. Plot the residuals versus
-0.010 49.710 50.6 8.1 9.0 0.890 49.710 50.6 8.1 9.0 0.890 49.710 47.7 7.2 7.2 0.214 47.486 47.1 7.3 7.8 -0.164 45.864 47.0 7.3 11.8 -0.164 45.864 47.0 7.3 11.8 -0.164 45.864 47.0 7.3 11.7 -0.206 45.864 47.6 7.3 8.0 0.121 47.479 45.7 7.3 11.8 -0.164 45.864 47.0 7.3 8.7 1.619 47.181 45.8 7.3 7.8 -1.764 47.564 48.5 7.3 9.0 1.446 47.054 48.6 7.6 7.8 0.040 48.560 Pag
614 4. The article "Application of Analysis of Variance to Wet Clutch Engagement" (M. Mansouri, M. Khonsari, et al., Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, 2002:117-125) presents the following fitted model for predicting clutch engagement time in seconds (y) from engagement starting speed in m/s (x1), maximum drive torque in N
m (x2), system inertia in kg·m2 (x3), and applied force rate in kN/s (x4): The sum of squares for regression was SSE = 0.036310. There were 44 degrees of freedom for error. a. 5. 6. Predict the clutch engagement time when the starting speed is 20 m/s, the maximum drive torque is 17 N·m, the
system inertia is 0.006 kg·m2, and the applied force rate is 10 kN/s. b. Is it possible to predict the change in engagement time associated with an increase of 2 M·m in maximum
drive torque? If so, find the predicted change. If not, explain why not. d. Compute the F statistic for testing the null hypothesis that all the coefficients are equal to 0. Can this hypothesis be rejected? In the article "Application of Statistical Design in the Leaching Study of Low-Grade Manganese Ore
Using Aqueous Sulfur Dioxide" (P. Naik, L. Sukla, and S. Das, Separation Science and Technology, 2002:1375-1389), a fitted model for predicting the extraction of manganese in % (y) from particle size in mm (x1), the amount of sulfur dioxide in multiples of the stoichiometric quantity needed for the dissolution of manganese (x2), and the duration of
leaching in minutes (x3) is given as There were a total of n = 27 observations, with SSE = 209.55 and SST = 6777.5. a. Predict the extraction percent when the duration of leaching is 20 minutes. b. Is it possible to predict the change in extraction percent when the duration of leaching is 20 minutes.
increases by one minute? If so, find the predicted change. If not, explain why not. c. Compute the coefficients are equal to 0. Can this hypothesis be rejected? The article "Earthmoving Productivity Estimation Using Linear Regression Techniques" (S.
Smith, Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 1999:133-141) presents the following linear model to predict earth-moving productivity (in m3 moved per hour): Page 615 where x1 = number of buckets per load x3 = bucket volume, in m3 x4 = haul length, in m x5 = match factor (ratio of hauling capacity to
loading capacity) x6 = truck travel time, in s If the bucket volume increases by 1 m3, while other independent variables are unchanged, can you determine it. b. If the haul length increases by 1 m, can you determine the
change in the predicted productivity? If so, determine it. If not, state what other information you would need to determine it. In a study of the lung function of children, the volume of air exhaled under force in one second.) Measurements were made on a group of children each
year for two years. A linear model was fit to predict this year's FEV1 as a function of last year's FEV1 as
0.779 \text{ Last FEV} - 0.108 \text{ Gender} + 1.354 \text{ Height} - 0.00134 \text{ Pressure Predictor Coef SE Coef T P Constant} - 0.21947 0.4503 - 0.49 0.627 \text{ Last } 0.779 0.04909 15.87 0.000 \text{ FEV Gender} - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 2.84 0.005 \text{ S} = \text{R-Sq} = 93.5\% \text{ R-Sq(adj)} 0.22039 = 93.3\% \text{ Analysis of} - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.0013431 0.0004722 - 0.
Variance Source DF SS MS F P Regression 4111.31 27.826572.890.000 Residual 1607.7716 0.048572 Error Total 164119.08 a. b. Predict the FEV1 for a boy who is 1.4 m tall, if the measurement was 2.113 L. If two girls differ in height by 5 cm, by how much would you expect their
FEV1 measurements to differ, other things being equal? c. The constant term β0 is estimated to be negative. But FEV1 must always be positive. Is something wrong? Explain. Page 616 8. Refer to Exercise 7. a. Find a 95% confidence interval for the coefficient of Height. c. Can you
conclude that β2 < - 0.08? Perform the appropriate hypothesis test. d. 9. Can you conclude that β3 > 0.5? Perform the appropriate hypothesis test. The article "Drying of Pulps in Sprouted Bed: Effect of Composition on Dryer Performance" (M. Medeiros, S. Rocha, et al., Drying Technology, 2002:865-881) presents measurements of pH, viscosity (in Pulps in Sprouted Bed: Effect of Composition on Dryer Performance" (M. Medeiros, S. Rocha, et al., Drying Technology, 2002:865-881) presents measurements of pH, viscosity (in Pulps in Sprouted Bed: Effect of Composition on Dryer Performance" (M. Medeiros, S. Rocha, et al., Drying Technology, 2002:865-881) presents measurements of pH, viscosity (in Pulps in Sprouted Bed: Effect of Composition on Dryer Performance" (M. Medeiros, S. Rocha, et al., Drying Technology, 2002:865-881) presents measurements of pH, viscosity (in Pulps in Sprouted Bed: Effect of Composition on Dryer Performance" (M. Medeiros, S. Rocha, et al., Drying Technology, 2002:865-881) presents measurements of pH, viscosity (in Pulps in Sprouted Bed: Effect of Composition on Dryer Performance" (M. Medeiros, S. Rocha, et al., Drying Technology, 2002:865-881) presents measurements of pH, viscosity (in Pulps in Sprouted Bed: Effect of Composition on Dryer Performance" (M. Medeiros, Sprouted Bed: Effect of Composition on Dryer Performance" (M. Medeiros, Sprouted Bed: Effect of Composition on Dryer Performance (M. Medeiros, Sprouted Bed: Effect of Composition on Dryer Performance (M. Medeiros, Sprouted Bed: Effect of Composition on Dryer Performance (M. Medeiros, Sprouted Bed: Effect of Composition on Dryer Performance (M. Medeiros, Sprouted Bed: Effect of Composition on Dryer Performance (M. Medeiros, Sprouted Bed: Effect of Composition on Dryer Performance (M. Medeiros, Sprouted Bed: Effect of Composition on Dryer Performance (M. Medeiros, Sprouted Bed: Effect of Composition on Dryer Performance (M. Medeiros, Sprouted Bed: Effect of Composition on Dryer Performance (M. Medeiros, Sprouted Bed: Effect of Compositi
kg/m·s), density (in g/cm3), and BRIX (in percent). The following MINITAB output presents the results of fitting the model a. Predict the pH for a pulp with a viscosity of 1.04 g/cm3, and a BRIX of 17.5%. b. c. If two pulps differ in density by 0.01 g/cm3, by how much would you expect them to differ in pH, other things being
equal? The constant term β0 is estimated to be negative. But pulp pH must always be positive. Is something wrong? Explain. Page 617 d. Find a 95% confidence interval for the mean pH of a pulp with viscosity 1000 kg/m·s, density 1.08 g/cm3, and BRIX 18.0%. e. Find a 95% prediction interval for the pH of a pulp with viscosity 1000 kg/m·s, density 1.08 g/cm3, and BRIX 18.0%. e. Find a 95% prediction interval for the pH of a pulp with viscosity 1000 kg/m·s, density 1.08 g/cm3, and BRIX 18.0%. e. Find a 95% prediction interval for the pH of a pulp with viscosity 1.000 kg/m·s, density 1.08 g/cm3, and BRIX 18.0%. e. Find a 95% prediction interval for the pH of a pulp with viscosity 1.000 kg/m·s, density 1.08 g/cm3, and BRIX 18.0%. e. Find a 95% prediction interval for the pH of a pulp with viscosity 1.000 kg/m·s, density 1.08 g/cm3, and BRIX 18.0%. e. Find a 95% prediction interval for the pH of a pulp with viscosity 1.000 kg/m·s, density 1.000 kg/m·s, density
1.05 g/cm3, and BRIX 19.0%. f. Pulp A has viscosity 2000, density 1.03, and BRIX 20.0. Pulp B has viscosity 1000, density 1.05, and BRIX 19.0. Which pulp will have its pH predicted with greater precision? Explain. 10. A scientist has measured quantities y, x1, and x2. She believes that y is related to x1 and x2 through the equation, where δ is a
random error that is always positive. Find a transformation of the data that will enable her to use a linear model to estimate β1 and β2. 11. The following MINITAB output is for a multiple regression. Something went wrong with the printer, so some of the numbers are missing. Fill in the missing numbers. Predictor Constant X1 X2 X3 S= 0.869 Coef
SE T P Coef -0.58762\ 0.2873\ (a)0.086\ 1.5102\ (b)\ 4.30\ 0.005\ (c)\ 0.3944\ -0.62\ 0.560\ 1.8233\ 0.3867\ (d)0.003\ R-Sq = R-Sq(adj) = 85.3\% 90.2\% Analysis of Variance Source DF SS MS F P Regression 3 41.76 (e) (f) 0.000 Residual Error 6 (g) 0.76 Total (h) 46.30 12. The following MINITAB output is for a multiple regression. Some of the numbers got
smudged and are illegible. Fill in the missing numbers. Predictor Coef Constant X1 X2 X3 (a) 1.2127 7.8369 (d) S=R-Sq=SE T P Coef 1.4553 5.91 0.000 (b) 1.71 0.118 3.2109 (c) 0.035 0.8943 -3.56 0.005 R-Sq(adj)=71.4\% 0.82936 Source Regression Residual Error Total 78.0% DF SS MS F P (e) (f) 8.1292 11.8180.001 106.8784 (g) 13 (h) Page
618 13. The article "Evaluating Vent Manifold Inerting Requirements: Flash Point Modeling for Organic Acid-Water Mixtures" (R. Garland and M. Malcolm, Process Safety Progress, 2002:254-260) presents a model to predict the flash point (in "F) of a mixture of water, acetic acid, propionic acid, and butyric acid from the concentrations (in weight %)
of the three acids. The results are as follows. The variable "Butyric Acid * Acetic Acid oncentration and acetic Acid oncentration. Predictor Coef SE Coef T P Constant 267.53 11.306 23.660.000 Acetic Acid -1.5926 0.1295 -12.30 0.000 Propionic -1.3897 0.1260 -11.03 0.000 Acid Butyric -1.0934 0.1164 oncentration.
-9.39 0.000 Acid Butyric -0.002658 0.001145 -2.32 0.034 Acid*Acetic Acid a. Predict the flash point for a mixture that is 30% acetic acid, 35% propionic acid, and 30% butyric acid. (Note:In the model, 30% is represented by 30, not by 0.30.) b. Someone asks by how much the predicted flash point will change if the concentration of acetic acid is
increased by 10% while the other concentrations are kept constant. Is it possible to answer this question? If so, answer this question? If so, answer this question? If not, explain why not. c. Someone asks by how much the predicted flash point will change if the concentration of propionic acid is increased by 10% while the other concentrations are kept constant. Is it possible to answer this
question? If so, answer it. If not, explain why not. 14. In the article "Low-Temperature Heat Capacity and Thermodynamic Properties of 1, 1, 1trifluoro-2, 2-dichloroethane" (R. Varushchenko and A. Druzhinina, Fluid Phase Equilibria, 2002:109–119), the relationship between vapor pressure (p) and heat capacity (t) is given as , where δ is a random
error that is always positive. Express this relationship as a linear model by using an appropriate transformation. 15. The following data were collected in an experiment to study the relationship between extrusion pressure (in KPa) and wear (in mg). x 150 175 200 225 250 275 y 10.4 12.4 14.9 15.0 13.9 11.9 The least-squares quadratic model is y =
-32.445714 + 0.43154286x - 0.000982857x2. a. Using this equation, compute the error sum of squares SST. c. Compute t
degrees of freedom does this statistic have? f. Can the hypothesis H0: \beta 1 = \beta 2 = 0 be rejected at the 5% level? Explain. Page 619 16. The following data were collected in an experiment to study the relationship between the speed of a cutting tool in m/s (x) and the lifetime of the tool in hours (y). x 1 1.5 2 2.5 3 y 99 96 88 76 66 The least-squares
quadratic model is y = 101.4000 + 3.371429x - 5.142857x2. a. Using this equation, compute the error sum of squares SSE and the total sum of squares SSE. c. Compute the error variance estimate s2. d. Compute the error sum of squares SSE. and the total sum of squares SSE.
How many degrees of freedom does this statistic have? f. Can the hypothesis H0: \beta 1 = \beta 2 = 0 be rejected at the 5% level? Explain. 17. The November 24, 2001, issue of The Economist published economic data for 15 industrial production (IP), consumer prices
(CP), and producer prices (PP) from Fall 2000 to Fall 2001, and the unemployment rate in Fall 2001 (UNEMP). An economist wants to construct a model to predict GDP from the other variables. A fit of the model yields the following output: The regression equation is GDP = 1.19 + 0.17 IP + 0.18 UNEMP + 0.18 CP - 0.18 PP Predictor Coef SE Coef To
P Constant 1.18957 0.42180 2.82 0.018 IP 0.17326 0.041962 4.13 0.002 UNEMP 0.17918 0.045895 3.90 0.003 CP 0.17591 0.11365 1.55 0.153 PP -0.18393 0.068808 -2.67 0.023 a. Predict the percent change in GDP for a country with IP = 0.5, UNEMP = 5.7, CP = 3.0, and PP = 4.1. b. If two countries differ in unemployment rate by 1%, by how
much would you predict their percent changes in GDP to differ, other things being equal? c. CP and PP are both measures of the inflation rate. Which one is more useful in predicting GDP? Explain. d. The producer price index for Sweden in September 2000 was 4.0, and for Austria it was 6.0. Other things being equal, for which country would you
expect the percent change in GDP to be larger? Explain. 18. The article "Multiple Linear Regression for Lake Ice and Lake Temperature Characteristics" (S. Gao and H. Stefan, Journal of Cold Regions Engineering, 1999:59-77) presents data on maximum ice thickness in mm (y), average number of days per year of ice cover (x1), average number of
days the bottom temperature is lower than 8°C(x2), Page 620 and the average snow depth in mm (x3) for 13 lakes in Minnesota. The data are presented in the following table. y 730 760 850 840 720 730 840 730 650 850 740 720 710 a. b. x1 x2 x3 152 173 166 161 152 153 166 167 136 142 151 145 147 198 201 202 202 198 205 204 204 172 218 207
209 190 91 81 69 72 91 91 70 90 47 59 88 60 63 Fit the model y = \beta 0 + \beta 1x1 + \beta 2x2 + \beta 3x3 + \epsilon. For each coefficient, find the P-value for testing the null hypothesis that the coefficient is equal to 0. If two lakes differ by 2 in the average number of days per year of ice cover, with other variables being equal, by how much would you expect their
maximum ice thicknesses to differ? c. Do lakes with greater average snow depth tend to have greater or lesser maximum ice thickness? Explain. 19. In an experiment to estimate the acceleration of an object down an inclined plane, the object is released and its distance in meters (y) from the top of the plane is measured every 0.1 second from time t
0.1 to t = 1.0. The data are presented in the following table. t 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.0 The data follow the quadratic model y 0.03 0.1 0.27 0.47 0.73 1.07 1.46 1.89 2.39 2.95, where a is the acceleration of the object, assumed
to be constant. In a perfect experiment, both the position and velocity of the object would be zero at time 0. However, due to experimental error, it is possible that the position and velocity at t = 0 are nonzero. a. Fit the quadratic model . b. Find a 95% confidence interval for the acceleration a. Compute
the P-value for each coefficient. Can you conclude that the initial position was not zero? Explain. Can you conclude that the initial velocity was not zero? Explain. Page 621 8.2 Confounding and Collinearity The subtitle of this section is: Fitting separate models to each variable is not the same as fitting the multivariate model. To illustrate what we are
talking about, we review the gas well data, first described in Exercise 17 in Section 7.3. A total of 255 gas wells received hydraulic fracturing in order to increase production. In this treatment, a mixture of fluid and sand is pumped into the well. The sand holds open the cracks in the rock, thus increasing the flow of gas. The main questions are these:
Does increasing the volume of fluid pumped increase the production of the well? Does increase the production of the well? Other things being equal, deeper wells produce more gas, because the production of the well? Does increase the production of the well? Other things being equal, deeper wells produce more gas, because they provide more surface through which the gas can permeate. For this reason, it is appropriate to express all variables in units
per foot of depth of the well. Thus production is measured in units of ft3 of gas per ft of depth, fluid is measured in units of gal/ft, and sand is measured in units of gal/ft. We showed in Figure 7.22 (in Section 7.4) that a log transform is
required for the sand variable as well. Figure 8.4 shows the scatterplots of ln Production versus ln Fluid and ln Production versus ln Fluid and ln Production versus ln Fluid and sand appear to be strongly related to production versus ln Fluid and sand appear to be strongly related to production versus ln Fluid and ln Production versus ln Fluid and sand appear to be strongly related to production versus ln Fluid and ln l
of the log of production versus the log of fluid and the log of fluid an
for these models is as follows: Both In Fluid and In Sand have coefficients that are definitely different from 0 (the P-values for both are \approx 0). We therefore might be tempted to conclude immediately that increase production. But first we must consider the possibility of
confounding. The issue of confounding arises this way. Fluid and sand are pumped in together in a single mixture. It is logical to expect that wells that get more fluid versus ln Sand. Sure enough, the amount of fluid pumped into a
well is highly correlated with the amount of sand pumped in. It is quite possible, therefore, that either of the two univariate results previously presented may represent confounding rather than a real relationship. If production depends only on the volume of fluid, there will still be a relationship in the data between production and sand. If production
depends only on the volume of sand, there will still be a relationship in the data between production and fluid. Multiple regression provides a way to resolve the issue. The following output (from MINITAB) is for the model (8.11) Page 623 FIGURE 8.5 Scatterplot of ln Fluid versus ln Sand for 255 gas wells. There is clearly a strong linear relationship.
 Therefore apparent relationships between either fluid or sand and production may represent a confounding rather than a causal relationship. The regression equation is ln Prod = -0.729 + 0.670 ln Fluid 0.6701 \ 0.1481 \ 0.1714 \ 0.86
0.389 S= R-Sq = 28.4% R-Sq(adj) = 0.7463 27.8% We can see that the coefficient of ln Fluid is significantly different from 0, but the coefficient of ln Sand is not. If we assume that there is no other confounding going on (e.g., with the location of the wells), we can conclude that increasing the amount of fluid tends to increase production, but it is not
clear that increasing the amount of sand has an effect. Therefore, one might increase the amount of fluid, but it might not be necessary to add more sand to it. A final observation: None of the models have a particularly high value of R2. This indicates that there are other important factors affecting production that have not been included in the models.
In a more complete analysis, one would attempt to identify and measure some of these factors in order to build a model with greater predictive power. Page 624 Collinearity When two independent variables are very strongly correlated, multiple regression may not be able to determine which is the important one. In this case, the variables are said to
be collinear. The word collinear means to lie on the same line, and when two variables are highly correlated, their scatterplot is approximately a straight line. The word multicollinear is sometimes used as well. When collinearity is present, the set of independent variables is sometimes said to be ill-conditioned. Table 8.2 presents some hypothetical
data that illustrate the phenomenon of collinearity. TABLE 8.2 Collinear data x1 X2 0.1 0.2 0.6 1.4 2.0 2.0 2.1 2.1 2.8 3.6 4.2 4.5 4.7 5.3 6.1 6.8 7.5 8.2 8.5 9.4 0.3 0.2 1.4 3.4 5.2 5.5 5.5 5.3 7.4 9.4 10.3 11.4 11.3 13.6 15.3 17.4 18.5 20.4 21.3 23.3 y 3.6 0.3 6.0 10.6 8.4 11.8 12.7 6.8 9.9 16.7 16.3 19.9 20.2 22.9 26.6 28.1 31.0 28.8 32.4 35.0 First we fit
the simple linear models The following output (from MINITAB) shows that both x1 and x2 have a strong linear relationship with y. The values of r2 are both around 0.98. Page 625 Figure 8.6 presents the scatterplot of x2 versus x1. There is clearly a strong linear
relationship, so we suspect that y may really have a relationship with only one of these variables, with the other being a confounder. FIGURE 8.6 The independent variables x1 and x2 are collinear, because they have a strong linear relationship. We therefore fit the multiple regression model Page 626 The output (from MINITAB) is as follows. The
regression equation is Y = 2.72 - 0.49 \times 1 + 1.62 \times 2 Predictor Coef SE Coef T P Constant 2.7248 0.8488 3.21 0.005 X1 -0.490 4.460 -0.11 0.914 X2 1.617 1.791 0.90 0.379 S = 2.091 R-Sq = 96.4% R-Sq(adj) = 96.0% Surprisingly, the output appears to indicate that neither x1 nor x2 is linearly related to y, since both have large P-values. What is
be large. Likewise, it is plausible that the coefficient of x2 is 0 and that only x1 has a real relationship with y. Therefore the P-value for x2 must be large as well. In general, there is not much that can be done when variables are collinear. The only good way to fix the situation is to collect more data, including some values for the independent variables
that are not on the same straight line. Then multiple regression will be able to determine which of the variables are really important. Exercises for Section 8.2 1. In an experiment to determine factors related to weld toughness, the Charpy V-notch impact toughness in ft · 1b (y) was measured for 22 welds at 0°C, along with the lateral expansion at the
notch in % (x1), and the brittle fracture surface in % (x2). The data are presented in the following table. y 32 39 20 21 25 20 32 29 27 43 22 22 x1 x2 20.0 23.0 12.8 16.0 10.2 11.6 17.6 17.8 16.0 26.2 9.2 16.8 Fit the model y = \beta 0 + \beta 1
x_1 + \epsilon. For each coefficient, test the null hypothesis that it is equal to 0. b. Fit the model y = \beta 0 + \beta 1 x_1 + \epsilon. For each coefficient, test the null hypothesis that it is equal to 0. c. Fit the models in parts (a) through (c) is the best of the
three? Why do you think so? In a laboratory test of a new engine design, the emissions rate (in mg/s of oxides of nitrogen, NOx) was measured as a function of engine speed (in rpm), engine torque (in ft · 1b), and total horsepower. (From "In-Use Emissions from Heavy-Duty Diesel Page 627 Vehicles," J. Yanowitz, Ph.D. thesis, Colorado School of Mines
2001.) MINITAB output is presented for the following three models: a. 2. 43 24 36 36 29 30 31 36 34 30 The regression equation is NOx = -321 + 0.378 Speed -0.16047 0.06082 - 2.64 0.013 S= R-Sq = 51.6% R-Sq(adj) =
67.13 	ext{ } 48.3\% The regression equation is NOx = -380 + 0.416 Speed -0.520 HP Predictor Coef SE T P Coef Constant -380.1 	ext{ } 104.8 - 3.63 	ext{ } 0.001 Speed -0.519 	ext{ } 0.1980 - 2.63 	ext{ } 0.001 Speed -0.519 	ext{ } 0.1980 - 2.63 	ext{ } 0.001 Speed -0.519 	ext{ } 0.1980 - 2.63 	ext{ } 0.001 Speed -0.519 	ext{ } 0.1980 - 2.63 	ext{ } 0.001 Speed -0.519 	ext{ } 0.1980 - 2.63 	ext{ } 0.001 Speed -0.519 	ext{ } 0.001 	ext{ } 0.001 Speed -0.519 	ext{ } 0.001 	ext{ } 0.001 	ext{ } 0.001 Speed -0.519 	ext{ } 0.001 	ext{ } 0.
Coef SE T P Coef Constant -301.8\ 347.3\ -0.87\ 0.392\ Speed\ 0.3660\ 0.2257\ 1.62\ 0.116\ Torque\ -0.2106\ 0.8884\ -0.24\ 0.814\ HP\ 0.164\ 2.889\ 0.06\ 0.955\ S=R-Sq=51.6\%\ R-Sq(adj)=68.31\ 46.4\%\ 3. Of the variables Speed, Torque, and HP, which two are most nearly collinear? How can you tell? Two chemical engineers, A and B, are working
independently to develop a model to predict the viscosity of a product (y) from the pH (x1) and the concentration of a certain catalyst (x2). Each engineer A Predictor Coef SE Coef T P Constant 199.2 0.5047 394.7 0.000 pH -1.569 0.4558 -3.44 0.007
Concent. -4.730 0.5857 -8.08 0.000 Engineer B Predictor Coef Constant 199.0 pH -1.256 Concent. -3.636 Page 628 SE Coef 0.548 1.983 1.952 T 363.1 -0.63 -1.86 P 0.000 0.544 0.112 The engineers have also sent you the following scatterplots of pH versus concentration, but forgot to put their names on them. 4. a. Which plot came from which
engineer? How do you know? b. Which engineer's experiment produced the more reliable results? Explain. The article "Influence of Freezing Temperature on Hydraulic Conductivity of Silty Clay" (J. Konrad and M. Samson, Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, 2000:180–187) describes a study of factors affecting hydraulic
conductivity of soils. The measurements of hydraulic conductivity in units of 10-8 cm/s (y), initial void ratio (x1), and thawed void ratio (x2) for 12 specimens of silty clay are presented in the following table. a. b. c. d. y 1.01 1.12 1.04 1.30 1.01 1.04 0.955 1.15 1.23 1.28 1.23 1.30 x1 0.84 0.88 0.85 0.85 0.85 0.85 0.89 0.90 0.94 0.88 0.90 x2 0.81
0.85\ 0.87\ 0.92\ 0.84\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85\ 0.85
to 0. Which of the models in parts (a) to (c) is the best of the three? Why do you think so? Page 629 5. Refer to Exercise 12 in Section 7.4. a. Divide the data into two groups: points where R1 \leq 4 in one group, points where R1 \leq 4 in one group. (You already did this if you did
Exercise 12c in Section 7.4.) b. For one of the two groups, the relationship is clearly nonlinear. For this group, fit a c. d. quadratic model, and a quartic model, and a quartic model, and a quartic model. Compute the P-values for each of the models. Plot the residuals versus the fitted values for each of the
three models in part (b). Compute the correlation coefficient between and, and make a scatterplot of the points. On the basis of the correlation coefficient and the scatterplot, explain why the P-values are much different for the quartic model. f. Which of the three models in part (b) is most appropriate? Why? The following
table lists values for three variables measured for 60 consecutive eruption (x1), the duration of the deruption (x1), the duration of the deruption (y1). All the times are in minutes
squares line for predicting the duration of th
eruption (y) from the duration of the duration
waiting time until the next eruption occurs? Fit the multiple regression model that includes both the duration of the duration
know the other one as well? Explain. Page 630 8.3 Model Selection There are many situations in which a large number of independent variables have been measured, and it is a difficult one. In practice, model selection often proceeds by ad hoc methods
guided by whatever physical intuition may be available. We will not attempt a complete discussion of this extensive and difficult topic. Instead, we will be content to state some basic principles and to present some examples. An advanced reference such as Miller (2002) can be consulted for information on specific methods. Good model selection rests
on a basic principle known as Occam's razor. This principle is stated as follows: Occam's razor implies the principle of Parsimony: The Principle of Parsimony A model should contain the smallest number of variables necessary to
fit the data. There are some exceptions to the principle of parsimony: 1. 2. 3. A linear model should always contain an intercept, unless physical theory dictates otherwise. If a product xixj of two
variables is included in a model, then the variables xi and xj should be included separately as well, unless physical theory dictates otherwise. Models that contain only the variables that are needed to fit the data are called parsimonious models. We
illustrate the principle of parsimony with the following example. The data in Table 8.3 were taken from the article "Capacities and Metallurgical Processing, 1994:80-86). Feed rates and amounts of power drawn were measured for several industrial jaw crushers. TABLE
8.3 Feed rates and power for industrial jaw crushers Feed Rate (100 tons/h) 0.10 1.55 3.00 3.64 0.38 1.59 4.73 0.20 2.91 0.36 0.14 0.91 2.91 Power (kW) 11 60 40 150 69 77 83 15 84 30 16 30 150 144 45 12 24 49 45 150 100 58 45 75 44 58 149 The following MINITAB output
presents the results for fitting the model (8.12) The regression equation is Power = 21.0 + 24.6 FeedRate Predictor Coef SE T P Coef Constant 21.0288.038 2.62 0.015 FeedRate 24.5953.338 7.37 0.000 S= R-Sq = R-Sq(adj) = 26.20 68.5% 67.2°%% From the output, we see that the fitted model is (8.13) and that the coefficient for FeedRate is
significantly different from 0 (t = 7.37, P ≈ 0). We wonder whether a quadratic model might fit better than this linear one. So we fit (8.14) The results are presented in the following output (from MINITAB). Note that the values for the intercept and for the coefficient of FeedRate are different than they were in the linear model. This is typical. Adding
new variable to a model can substantially change the coefficients of the variables already in the model. Page 632 The regression equation is Power = 19.3 + 27.5 FeedRate -0.6387 3.090 -0.21 0.838 S = 26.72 R-Sq = 26.72 R-S
R-Sq(adj) 68.5% = 65.9% The most important point to notice is that the P-value for the coefficient of FeedRate2 is different from 0. Note also that including FeedRate2 in the
model increases the value of the goodness-of-fit statistic R2 only slightly, in fact so slightly that the first three digits are unchanged. It follows that there is no evidence that the quadratic model. Figure 8.7 provides a graphical illustration of
the principle of parsimony. The scatterplot of power versus feed rate is presented, and both the least-squares line (8.13) and the quadratic model (8.14) are superimposed. Even though the coefficients of the models are different, we can see that the two curves are almost identical. There is no reason to include the quadratic term in the model. It makes
the model more complicated, without improving the fit. FIGURE 8.7 Scatterplot of power versus feed rate for 27 industrial jaw crushers. The least-squares line and best fitting quadratic model are both superimposed. The two curves are practically identical, which reflects the fact that the coefficient of FeedRate2 in the quadratic model does not differ
significantly from 0. Page 633 Determining Whether Variables Can Be Dropped from a Model It often happens that one has formed a model that contains a large number of independent variables, and one wishes to determine whether a given subset of them may be dropped from the model without significantly reducing the accuracy of the model. To
be specific, assume that we know that the model (8.15) is correct, in that it represents the true relationship between the x variables and y. We will call this model the "full" model with the following
reduced model: (8.16) To develop a test statistic for H0, we begin by computing the error sum of squares for both the full and the reduced models. We'll call them SSEfull and SSEreduced is n-k-1. Now since the full model is correct
we know that the quantity SSEfull/(n-p-1) is an estimate of the error variance \sigma2; in fact it is just s2. If H0 is true, SSEreduced/(n-k-1) is also an estimate of the error variance. Intuitively, SSEfull is close to (n-p-1)\sigma2, and if H0 is true, SSEreduced is close to (n-k-1)\sigma2. It follows
that if H0 is true, the difference (SSEreduced - SSEfull)/(p - k) is close to (p - k)\sigma2, so the quotient (SSEreduced tends to be larger, so the value of f tends to
be larger. The statistic f is an F statistic; its null distribution is Fp-k, n-p-1. The method we have just described is very useful in practice for developing parsimonious models by removing unnecessary variables. However, the conditions under which it is formally valid are seldom met in practice. First, it is rarely the case that the full model is correct;
there will be nonrandom quantities that affect the value of the dependent variable y that are not accounted for by the independent variables to be dropped must be determined independently of the data. This is usually not the case. More often, a large model is fit, some of
the variables are seen to have fairly large P-values, and the F test is used to decide whether to drop them from the model. As we have said, this is a useful technique in practice, but, like most methods of model selection, it should be seen as an informal tool rather than a rigorous theory-based procedure. We illustrate the method with an example. In
mobile ad hoc computer networks, messages must be forwarded from computer to computer until they reach their destinations. The data overhead is the number of bytes of information that must be transmitted along with the messages to get them to the right places. A successful protocol will generally have a low data overhead. Table 8.4 presents
average speed, pause time, link change rate (LCR), and data overhead for 25 simulated computer is the rate at which other computer is the article "Metrics to Enable
Adaptive Protocols for Mobile Ad Hoc Networks, 2002:293-298). TABLE 8.4 Data overhead, speed, m/s) 5 5 5 5 10 10 10 10 10 20 20 20 20 20 20 30 30 30 30 40 40 40 40 40
446.06\ 465.89\ 477.07\ 488.73\ 498.77\ 452.24\ 475.97\ 499.67\ 501.48\ 519.20\ 445.45\ 489.02\ 506.23\ 516.27\ 508.18\ 444.41\ 490.58\ 511.35\ 523.12\ 523.36\ We will begin by fitting a fairly large model to these data, namely, Page 635 The results from fitting this model are as follows. The regression equation is Overhead = 368+3.48\ LCR+3.04\ Speed+3.04\ Sp
2.29 Pause -0.0122 Speed*Pause -0.0122 Speed*Pause -0.0133 Speed^2 -0.0133 Speed^2 -0.0132 Pause^2 Predictor Coef SE T P Coef Constant 367.96 19.40 18.96 0.000 LCR 3.477 2.129 1.63 0.121 Speed 3.044 1.591 1.91 0.073 Pause 2.2924 0.6984 3.28 0.004 Speed*Pa -0.01222 0.01534 -0.80 0.437 LCR^2 -0.10412 0.03192 -3.26 0.005 Speed^2 -0.03131
model for now, because LCR2 has a very small P-value, and therefore should stay in the model. We will use the F test to determine whether the reduced model obtained by dropping Speed · Pause, Speed2, and Pause2 is a reasonable one. First, from the output for the full model, note that SSEfull = 556.9, and it has 17 degrees of freedom. The number
of independent variables in the full model is p = 7. We now fit the reduced model The results from fitting this model are as follows. The regression equation is Overhead = 359 + 6.69 LCR + 0.777 Speed + 1.67 Pause - 0.156 LCR^2 Predictor Coef SE T P Coef Constant 359.22 13.01 27.61 0.000 LCR 6.695 1.156 5.79 0.000 Speed 0.7766 0.2054 3.78
0.001 Pause 1.6729 0.1826 9.16 0.000 LCR^2 S = 6.44304 -1.5572 0.02144 R-Sq = 95.9\% -7.26 0.000 Residual Error 20 830.3 41.5 Total 2420124.3 The P-values for the variables in this model are all quite small. From the output Page 636 for
this reduced model, we note that SSEreduced = 830.3. The number of variables in this reduced model is k = 4. Now we can compute the F statistic. Using Equation (8.17), we compute the F statistic. Using Equation (8.17), we find that 0.05 < P < 0.10. According to the 5% rule of thumb, since P > 0.05, the reduced
model is plausible, but only barely so. Rather than settle for a barely plausible model, it is wise to explore further, to look for a slightly less reduced model that has a larger P-value in the full model. We'll take this as an indication that this might be
the most important of the variables we dropped, and we'll put it back in the model. We will now fit a second reduced model, which is The results from fitting this model are as follows. The regression equation is Overhead = 373 + 4.80 LCR + 1.99 Speed + 1.45 Pause - 0.123 LCR^2 - 0.0212 Speed^2 Predictor Coef SE T P Coef Constant 372.60
16.93\ 22.00\ 0.000\ LCR\ 4.799\ 1.935\ 2.48\ 0.023\ Speed\ 1.993\ 1.023\ 1.95\ 0.066\ Pause\ 1.4479\ 0.2587\ 5.60\ 0.000\ LCR^2\ -0.12345\ 0.03400\ -3.63\ 0.002\ Speed^2\ -0.02120\ 0.01746\ -1.21\ 0.240\ S=6.36809\ R-Sq=96.2\%\ R-Sq(adj)=95.2\%\ Analysis of Variance Source DF SS MS F P Regression 5 19353.8 3870.8 95.45 0.000\ Residual Error 19 770.5 (adj)=95.2\%\ Analysis of Variance Source DF SS MS F P Regression 5 19353.8 3870.8 95.45 0.000\ Residual Error 19 770.5 (adj)=95.2\%\ Analysis of Variance Source DF SS MS F P Regression 5 19353.8 3870.8 95.45 0.000\ Residual Error 19 770.5 (adj)=95.2\%\ Analysis of Variance Source DF SS MS F P Regression 5 19353.8 3870.8 95.45 0.000\ Residual Error 19 770.5 (adj)=95.2\%\ Analysis of Variance Source DF SS MS F P Regression 5 19353.8 3870.8 95.45 0.000\ Residual Error 19 770.5 (adj)=95.2\%\ Analysis of Variance Source DF SS MS F P Regression 5 19353.8 3870.8 95.45 0.000\ Residual Error 19 770.5 (adj)=95.2\%\ Analysis of Variance Source DF SS MS F P Regression 5 19353.8 3870.8 95.45 0.000\ Residual Error 19 770.5 (adj)=95.2\%\ Analysis of Variance Source DF SS MS F P Regression 5 19353.8 3870.8 95.45 0.000\ Residual Error 19 770.5 (adj)=95.2\%\ Analysis of Variance Source DF SS MS F P Regression 5 19353.8 3870.8 95.45 0.000\ Residual Error 19 770.5 (adj)=95.2\%\ Analysis of Variance Source DF SS MS F P Regression 5 19353.8 3870.8 95.45 0.000\ Residual Error 19 770.5 (adj)=95.2\%\ Analysis of Variance Source DF SS MS F P Regression 5 19353.8 3870.8 95.45 (adj)=95.2\%\ Analysis of Variance Source DF SS MS F P Regression 5 19353.8 3870.8 95.45 (adj)=95.2\%\ Analysis of Variance Source DF SS MS F P Regression 5 19353.8 3870.8 95.45 (adj)=95.2\%\ Analysis of Variance Source DF SS MS F P Regression 5 19353.8 3870.8 95.45 (adj)=95.2\%\ Analysis of Variance Source DF SS MS F P Regression 5 19353.8 3870.8 95.45 (adj)=95.2\%\ Analysis of Variance Source DF SS MS F P Regression 5 19353.8 3870.8 95.45 (adj)=95.2\%\ Analysis of Variance Source DF SS MS F P Regression 5 19353.8 3870.8 95.45 (adj)=
40.6 Total 24 20124.3 Note that the P-value for Speed2 in this model is large (0.240). This is not good. In general we do not want to add a variable whose coefficient might be equal to 0. So we probably won't want to add a variable whose coefficient might be equal to 0. This is not good. In general we do not want to add a variable whose coefficient might be equal to 0. So we probably won't want to add a variable whose coefficient might be equal to 0. So we probably won't want to add a variable whose coefficient might be equal to 0. So we probably won't want to add a variable whose coefficient might be equal to 0. So we probably won't want to add a variable whose coefficient might be equal to 0. So we probably won't want to add a variable whose coefficient might be equal to 0. So we probably won't want to add a variable whose coefficient might be equal to 0. So we probably won't want to add a variable whose coefficient might be equal to 0. So we probably won't want to add a variable whose coefficient might be equal to 0. So we probably won't want to add a variable whose coefficient might be equal to 0. So we probably won't want to add a variable whose coefficient might be equal to 0. So we probably won't want to add a variable whose coefficient might be equal to 0. So we probably won't want to add a variable whose coefficient might be equal to 0.
770.5. The number of independent variables is k = 5. The value of the F statistic, using Equation (8.17), is therefore Page 637 The null distribution is F2, 17. From the F table (Table A.8), we again find that 0.05 < P < 0.10 (software yields P = 0.0633), so the reduced model is barely plausible at best. We chose to put Speed2 back into the model
because it had the smallest P value among the variables we originally dropped. But as we have just seen, this does not guarantee that it will have a small P value when it is put back into the reduced model. Perhaps one of the other variables we dropped will do better. Of the three variables originally dropped, the one with the second smallest P value
6.484\ 1.050\ 6.17\ 0.000\ Speed\ 0.7072\ 0.1883\ 3.76\ 0.001\ Pause\ 2.8537\ 0.5337\ 5.35\ 0.000\ LCR^2\ -0.14482\ 0.01996\ -7.25\ 0.000\ Pause^2\ -0.018334\ 0.007879\ -2.33\ 0.031\ S=R-Sq=96.8\%\ R\ -Sq(adj)=5.83154\ 95.9\%\ Analysis\ of\ Variance\ Source\ DF\ SS\ MS\ F\ P\ Regression\ 5\ 19478.2\ 3895.6\ 114.55\ 0.000\ Residual\ Error\ 19\ 646.1\ 34.0\ Total\ 24
20124.3 This model looks good, at least at first. All the variables have small P values. We'll compute the F statistic to see if this model is plausible. The value of the F statistic, using Equation (8.17), is therefore The null distribution is F2, 17. From the F table
(Table A.8), we find that the 0.10 point on this F distribution is 2.64. Therefore the P value is much larger than 0.10. (Software yields P = 0.283.) This model is clearly plausible. We have used an informal method to find a good parsimonious model. It is important to realize that this informal procedure could have been carried out somewhat differently.
with different choices for variables to drop and to include in the model. We might have come up with a different final model that might have been just as good as the one we actually found. In practice, there are often many models that fit the data about equally well; there is no single "correct" model. Page 638 Best Subsets Regression As we have
mentioned, methods of model selection are often rather informal and do hoc. There are a few tools, however, that can make the process somewhat more systematic. One of them is best subsets regression. The concept is quite simple. Assume
that we wish to find a good model that contains exactly four independent variables. We can simply fit every possible model containing four of the variables that yields the largest value of R2 is the "best" subset of size 4. One
can repeat the process for subsets of other sizes, finding the best subsets of size 1, 2, ..., p. These best subsets can then be examined to see which provide a good fit, while being parsimonious. The best subsets of size 1, 2, ..., p. These best subsets procedure is computationally intensive. When there are a lot of potential independent variables, there are a lot of models to fit. However, for
most data sets, computers today are powerful enough to handle 30 or more independent variables, which is enough to cover many situations in practice. The following MINITAB output is for the best subsets procedure, applied to the data in Table 8.4. There are a total of seven independent variables being considered: Speed, Pause, LCR, Speed
Pause, Speed2, Pause2, and LCR2. In this output, both the best are presented, for sizes 1 through 7. We emphasize that it is best in any practical sense. We'll explain the output column by column. The first column
labeled "Vars," presents the number of variables in the model. Thus the first row of the table describes the best model that can be made with two variables, and so on. The
the moment. The column labeled "s" presents the estimate of the error standard deviation. It is the square root of the estimate s2 (Equation 8.8 in Section 8.1). Finally, the columns on the right represent the independent variables that are candidates for inclusion into the model. The name of each variable is written vertically above its column. An "X"
in the column means that the variable is included in the model. Thus, the best model containing four variables is the one with the variables speed, Pause, LCR, and LCR2. Looking at the best models of each size (except for size 1).
It is also important to realize that the value of R2 is a random quantity; it depends on the data. If the process were repeated and new data obtained, the values of R2 for the various models would be somewhat different, and different models would be "best." For this reason, one should not use this procedure, or any other, to choose a single model.
Instead, one should realize that there will be many models that fit the data about equally well. Nevertheless, methods have been developed to choose a single model, presumably the "best." We describe two of them here, with a caution not to take them too seriously. We begin by noting that if we simply choose the model with the highest
value of R2, we will always pick the one that contains all the variables, since the value of R2 necessarily increases as the number of observations, and
adjusted R2 is a maximum can be used to determine the number of variables in the model, and the best subset of that size can be chosen as the model. In the preceding output, we can see that the adjusted R2 reaches its maximum (96.2%) at the six-variable model containing the variables Speed, Pause, LCR, Speed2, Pause2, and LCR2. Another
commonly used statistic is Mallows' Cp. To compute this quantity, let n be the number of independent variables under Page 640 consideration, and let k be the number of independent variables in a subset. As before, let SSEfull denote the error sum of squares for the full model containing all p variables, and
let SSEreduced denote the error sum of squares for the model containing only the subset of k variables. Mallows' Cp is defined as (8.19) For models that contain as many independent variables, including the intercept, in the model. To choose a single
model, one can either choose the model with the minimum value of Cp, or one can choose the model in which the value of Cp is closest to the number of independent variables in the model. In the preceding output, both criteria yield the same six-variable model chosen by the adjusted R2 criterion. The value of Cp for this model is 6.6. Finally, we point
out that our ad hoc procedure using the F test yielded the five-variable model in terms of R2. Its adjusted R2 is 95.9%, and its Cp value is 6.7, both of which are close to their optimum values. In practice, there is no clear
reason to prefer the model chosen by adjusted R2 and Mallows' Cp to this model, or vice versa. Stepwise Regression is that it is less computationally intensive, so it can be used in situations where there are a very large
number of candidate independent variables and too many possible subsets for every one of the t statistics for the independent variables. An equivalent version is based on the F-values of the t statistic (which is the square of the t statistic). Before running the
algorithm, the user chooses two threshold P-values, \alpha in and \alpha out, with \alpha in \leq \alpha out. Stepwise regression begins with a single independent variable is entered into the model, creating a model with a single independent variable.
Call this variable x1. In the next step, also a forward selection step, the remaining variables are examined one at a time as candidates for the second variable in the model, again provided that P < ain. Now it is possible that adding the second variable to the model has increased the P-value of the model.
first variable. In the next step, called a backward elimination steps with backward elimination steps adding the variable with the smallest P-value if P < αin,
and at each backward elimination step dropping the variable with the largest P-value if P > \alpha out. The algorithm terminates when no variables meet the criteria for being added to or dropped from the MINITAB stepwise regression procedure, applied to the data in Table 8.4. The threshold P-values are
\alphain = \alphaout = 0.15. There are a total of seven independent variables being considered: Speed, Pause, LCR, Speed · Pause, Speed? Pause, Pause, Speed · Pa
0.0146 \text{ T-Value } 8.03 \text{ } 6.00 \text{ } 6.96 \text{ } 5.21 \text{ } 3.52 \text{ } P-Value } 0.000 \text{ } 0.000 
0.000 S 15.2 12.6 10.8 10.4 5.99 R-Sq 73.70 82.74 87.77 89.19 96.62 R-Sq(adj) 72.55 81.18 86.02 87.02 95.73 Mallows C-p 140.6 87.0 58.1 51.4 7.8 In step 2, Pause had the smallest P-value (0.003) among the remaining
variables, so it was added next. The P-value for Speed · Pause remained at 0.000 after the addition of Pause to the model. In steps 3, 4, and 5, the variables Pause2, LCR, and LCR2 are added in turn. At no point does the P-value of a variable in the model exceed
the threshold αout = 0.15, so no variables are dropped. After five steps, none of the variables remaining have P-values less than αin = 0.15, so the algorithm terminates. The final model contains the variable model. Comparison with
the best subsets output shows that it is not one of the best two five-variable models in terms of fit alone, it is reasonable. We point out that this model has the undesirable feature that it contains the interaction term Speed · Pause without containing the variable Speed by itself. This points out a weakness of
all automatic variable selection procedures, including stepwise regression and best subsets. They operate on the basis of goodness-of-fit alone, and are not able to take into account relationships among independent variables that may be important to consider. Model Selection procedures Sometimes Find Models When They Shouldn't When
constructing a model to predict the value of a dependent variable, it might seem reasonable to try to start with as many candidate independent variables as possible, so that a model selection procedure has a very large number of models to choose from. Unfortunately, this is not a good idea, as we will now demonstrate. A correlation coefficient can be
computed between any two variables. Sometimes, two variables that have no real relationship will be strongly correlated, just by chance. For example, the statistician George Udny Yule noticed that the annual birthrate in Great Britain was almost perfectly correlated, just by chance. For example, the statistician George Udny Yule noticed that the annual birthrate in Great Britain was almost perfectly correlated, just by chance.
1875-1920. Yet no one would suggest trying to predict one of these variables from the other. This illustrates a difficulty shared by all model selection procedures. The more candidate independent variable, just by chance.
We illustrate this phenomenon with a simulation. We generated a simple random sample y1, ..., y30 of size 30 from a N(0, 1) distribution; we will denote these samples by x1,..., x20. To make the notation clear, the sample xi
contains 30 values xi1,..., xi30. We then applied both stepwise regression and best subsets regression to these simulated data. None of the xi are related to y; they were all generated independent variables at all. The actual behavior was
quite different. The following two MINITAB outputs are for the stepwise regression and best subsets procedures. The stepwise regression method recommends a model containing six variables, with an adjusted R2 of 41.89%. The best subsets procedure produces the best-fitting model for each number of variables from 1 to 20. Using the adjusted R2 of 41.89%.
criterion, the best subsets procedure recommends a 12-variable model, with an adjusted R2 of 51.0%. Using the minimum Mallows' Cp criterion, the "best" model is a fivevariable model, with an adjusted R2 of 51.0%. Using the minimum Mallows' Cp criterion, the best subsets procedure recommends a 12-variable model, with an adjusted R2 of 51.0%. Using the minimum Mallows' Cp criterion, the "best" model is a fivevariable model.
are. All the apparent relationships are due entirely to chance. Page 643 Stepwise Regression: Y versus X1, X2, ... Alpha-to-Remove: 0.15 Alpha-to-Remove: 0.15 Alpha-to-Remove: 0.15 Response is Y on 20 predictors, with N = 30 Step 1 2 3 4 5 6 Constant 0.141730.11689 0.120160.137560.090700.03589 X15 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38 -0.38
-1.87 -1.69 -1.89 P-Value 0.047 0.037 0.122 0.073 0.105 0.071 X6 0.39 0.55 0.57 0.55 0.57 0.52 T-Value 2.04 2.76 2.99 3.15 2.87 P-Value 0.058 0.050 0.016 0.005 X12 0.33 0.42 0.49 T-Value 1.79 2.29 2.66 P-Value 0.086 0.031 0.014 X3 -0.42 -0.52
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 
constructing useful models. Dividing the data into two pieces, then using one (the training set) to fit the model and the other (the test set) to evaluate it, can be helpful. A method known as shrinkage methods, has
been shown to be useful in some situations. Finally, if it is possible to repeat the experiment to collect more data, the model constructed from the original data can be fit to the new data. If it fits well, the evidence of a real relationship becomes more convincing. More information can be found in James, Witten, et al., (2013). We summarize our
discussion of model selection by emphasizing four points. Page 645 Summary When selecting a regression model, keep the following in mind: 
When there is little or no physical theory to rely on, many different models will fit the data about equally well.
depend on the data. Therefore if the experiment is repeated, these statistics will come out differently, and different models may appear to be "best." 

Some or all of the independent variables in a selected model may not really be related to the dependent variable. Whenever possible, experiments should be repeated to test these apparent
relationships. Model selection is an art, not a science. Exercises for Section 8.3 1. 2. True or false: a. For any set of data, there is usually no best model, but many that are about equally good. c. Model selection methods such as best subsets and stepwise
regression, when properly used, are scientifically designed to find the best available model. d. Model selection methods such as best subsets and stepwise regression, when properly used, can suggest models that fit the data well. The article "Experimental Design Approach for the Optimization of Enantiomers in Preparative Liquid
Chromatography" (S. Lai and Z. Lin, Separation Science and Technology, 2002: 847-875) describes an experiment involving a chemical process designed to separate enantiomers. A model was fit to estimate the cycle time (y) in terms of the flow rate (x1), sample concentration (x2), and mobile-phase composition (x3). The results of a least-squares fit
are presented in the following table. (The article did not provide the value of the t statistic for the constant trm.) Predictor Constant trm.) Pr
1.018 0.343 Of the following, which is the best next step in the analysis? Page 646 i. Nothing needs to be done. This model, and then perform an F test. iii. Drop x1x2, x1x3, and x2x3 from the model, and then perform an F test. iv. Drop x1 and 3. from the model, and then perform an F test. iv. Drop x1x2, x1x3, and x2x3 from the model, and then perform an F test. iv. Drop x1x2, x1x3, and x2x3 from the model, and then perform an F test. iv. Drop x1x2, x1x3, and x2x3 from the model, and then perform an F test. iv. Drop x1x2, x1x3, and x2x3 from the model, and then perform an F test. iv. Drop x1x2, x1x3, and x2x3 from the model, and then perform an F test. iv. Drop x1x2, x1x3, and x2x3 from the model, and then perform an F test. iv. Drop x1x2, x1x3, and x2x3 from the model, and then perform an F test. iv. Drop x1x2, x1x3, and x2x3 from the model, and then perform an F test. iv. Drop x1x2, x1x3, and x2x3 from the model, and then perform an F test. iv. Drop x1x2, x1x3, and x2x3 from the model, and then perform an F test. iv. Drop x1x2, x1x3, and x2x3 from the model, and then perform an F test. iv. Drop x1x2, x1x3, and x2x3 from the model, and then perform an F test. iv. Drop x1x2, x1x3, and x2x3 from the model, and then perform an F test. iv. Drop x1x2, x1x3, and x2x3 from the model, and then perform an F test. iv. Drop x1x2, x1x3, and x2x3 from the model, and then perform an F test. iv. Drop x1x2, x1x3, and x2x3 from the model, and then perform an F test. iv. Drop x1x2, x1x3, and x2x3 from the model, and then perform an F test. iv. Drop x1x2, x1x3, and x2x3 from the model, and then perform an F test. iv. Drop x1x2, x1x3, and x2x3 from the model, and then perform an F test. iv. Drop x1x2, x1x3, and x2x3 from the model, and then perform an F test. iv. Drop x1x2, x1x3, and x2x3 from the model, and x1x3 from the model, and 
and to the model to try to improve the fit. In the article referred to in Exercise 2, a model was fit to investigate the relationship between the independent variables given in Exercise 2 and the amount of S-isomer collected. The results of a least-squares fit are presented in the following table. (The article did not provide the value of the t statistic for the
constant term.) Predictor Constant x1 Coefficient 3.367 - 0.018 \text{ T P} - 1.79 0.127 \text{ x2} 1.396 135.987 0.000 \text{ x3} 0.104 10.098 0.000 \text{ x1x2} 0.017 - 0.023 - 0.030 - 0.006 1.471 - 0.909 - 538 - 0.466 0.184 0.394 0.039 0.655 \text{ x1x3} 0.010 0.943 0.377 \text{ x2x3} 0.055 4.194 0.004 Of the following, which is the best next step in the analysis? Explain your reasoning, i.
Drop, and from the model, and then perform an F test. ii. Nothing needs to be done. This model is fine. iii. Add cubic terms, and to the model, and then perform an F test. v. 4. Drop, x1x2, andx1x3 from the model, and then perform an F test. An engineer measures a dependent
variable y and independent variables x1, x2, and x3. MINITAB output for the model y = β0 + β1x1 + β2x2 + β3x3 + ε is presented as follows. The regression equation is Y = 0.367 + 1.61 X1 - 5.51 X2 + 1.27 X3 Predictor Coef SE Coef T P Constant 0.3692 0.9231 0.40 0.698 X1 1.6121 1.3395 1.21 0.254 X2 5.5049 1.4959 3.68 0.004 X3 1.2646 1.9760
0.64 0.537 Of the following, which is the best next step in the analysis? Explain your reasoning, i. Add interaction terms x1x3 to try to find another variable to put into the model, iii. Nothing needs to be done. This model is fine, iv. Drop x1 and x3, and then
perform an F test. v. Drop x2, and then perform an F test. vi. Drop the intercept (Constant), since it has the largest P-value. Page 647 5. The article "Simultaneous Optimization of Mechanical Properties of Steel by Maximizing Exponential Desirability Functions" (K. J. Lin, Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series C, Applied
Statistics, 2000: 311-325) presents measurements on 72 steel plates. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a study to determine the relationship between yield strength (in kg/mm2), and the proportion of carbon, manganese, and silicon, each measurements on 72 steel plates. The following MINITAB output presents the results of a study to determine the relationship between yield strength (in kg/mm2), and the proportion of carbon, manganese, and silicon, each measurements on 72 steel plates.
19.402 Carbon + 14.720 Manganese + 70.720 Silicon Predictor Coef StDev T P Constant 24.677 5.8589 4.21 0.000 Carbon - 19.402 28.455 - 0.68 0.498 Manganese + 70.720 Silicon Predictor Coef StDev T P Constant 24.677 5.8589 4.21 0.000 Carbon - 19.402 28.455 - 0.68 0.498 Manganese + 70.720 Silicon Predictor Coef StDev T P Constant 24.677 5.8589 4.21 0.000 Carbon - 19.402 28.455 - 0.68 0.498 Manganese + 70.720 Silicon Predictor Coef StDev T P Constant 24.677 5.8589 4.21 0.000 Carbon - 19.402 28.455 - 19.402 1.000 Carbon - 19.402 1.000 
Manganese and Manganese · Silicon to try to find more variables to put into the model. ii. Add the interaction term Carbon · Silicon to try to find another variable to put into the model. iii. Nothing needs to be done. This model is fine. iv. Drop Carbon and Silicon, and then perform an F test. v. Drop Manganese, and then perform an F test. The
following MINITAB output is for a best subsets regression involving five dependent variables X1,..., X5. The two models of each size with the highest values of R2 are listed. Best Subsets Regression: Y versus X1, X2, X3, X4, X5 Response is Y Mallows XXXXX Vars RRC-p S12345 Sq Sq(adj) 1 77.3 77.1 133.6 1.4051 X 1 10.2 9.3 811.7 2.7940 X 2 89.3
89.0 14.6 0.97126 X X 2 77.8 77.3 130.5 1.3966 X X 3 90.5 90.2 3.6 0.91630 X X X 3 89.4 89.1 14.6 0.96763 X X X X 4 90.7 90.3 4.3 0.91446 X X X X X 90.7 90.2 5.3 0.91942 X X X X 5 90.7 90.2 6.0 0.91805 X X X X 3 89.4 89.1 14.6 0.96763 X X X X 90.7 90.2 6.0 0.91805 X X X X X 90.7 90.2 6.0 0.91805 X Y 90.7 90.2 6.0 0.91805 X 90.7 
R2 criterion? Are there any other good models? Page 648 7. The following is supposed to be the result of a best subsets regression involving five independent variables X1,..., X5. The two models of each size with the highest values of R2 are listed. Something is wrong. What is it? Best Subsets Regression Response is Y Adj. XXXXX RVars RC-p s12345
Sg Sg 1 69.168.0 101.4336.79 X 1 60.859.4 135.4379.11 X 2 80.679.2 55.9271.60 X X 2 79.577.9 60.7279.59 X X X X 4 90.188.9 5.6159.81 X X X X 5 94.293.0 6.0157.88 X X X X X X 8. The article "Effect of Granular Subbase Thickness on Airfield Pavement Structural
Response" (K. Gopalakrishnan and M. Thompson, Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering, 2008:331-342) presents a study of the amount of surface deflection caused by aircraft landing on an airport runway. A load of 160 kN was applied to a runway surface, and the amount of deflection in mm (y) was measured at various distances in m (x) from the
```

```
point of application. The results are presented in the following table. x 0.000 0.305 0.610 0.914 1.219 1.524 1.830 y 3.24 2.36 1.42 0.87 0.54 0.34 0.24 Fit the linear model y = β0 + β1x + β2x2 + ε. For each coefficient, test the hypothesis that the coefficient is equal to 0. b. Fit the quadratic model y = β0 + β1x + β2x2 + ε. For each coefficient, test the
 hypothesis that the coefficient is equal to 0. c. Fit the cubic model y = \beta 0 + \beta 1x + \beta 2x^2 + \beta 3x^3 + \epsilon. For each coefficient, test the hypothesis that the coefficient is equal to 0. d. Which of the models in parts (a) through (c) is the most appropriate? Explain. e. Using the most appropriate model, estimate the deflection at a distance of 1 m. (Continues
 Exercise 7 in Section 8.1.) To try to improve the prediction of FEV1, additional independent variables are included in the model. These new variables are weight (in kg), the product (interaction) of Height and Weight, and the ambient temperature (in °C). The following MINITAB output presents results of fitting the mode a. 9. Page 649 The regression
equation is FEV1 = -0.257 + 0.778 Last FEV - 0.105 Gender + 1.213 Height - 0.00624 Weight + 0.00386 Height + 0.0038
 -0.0062446\ 0.01351\ -0.46\ 0.645\ \text{Height*Weight}\ 0.0038642\ 0.008414\ 0.460.647\ \text{Temp}\ -0.007404\ 0.009313\ -0.79\ 0.428\ \text{Pressure}\ -0.0014773\ 0.0005170\ -2.86\ 0.005\ \text{S}\ =\ 0.22189\ \text{R-Sq(adj)}\ =\ 93.2\%\ \text{Analysis}\ \text{of Variance Source}\ \text{DF SS MS F P Regression}\ 7\ 111.35\ 15.907323.06\ 0.000\ \text{Residual}\ 157\ 7.7302\ 0.049237\ \text{Error Total}\ 164\ 0.0062446\ 0.0062446\ 0.006246\ 0.00645\ \text{Height*Weight}\ 0.0064640\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.006460\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.00646\ 0.0064
119.08 a. The following MINITAB output, reproduced from Exercise 7 in Section 8.1, is for a reduced model in which Weight, and Temp have been dropped. Compute the F statistic for testing the plausibility of the reduced model. The regression equation is FEV1 = -0.219 + 0.779 Last FEV -0.108 Gender +1.354 Height -0.00134 Height
 Pressure Predictor Coef SE Coef T P Constant -0.21947\ 0.4503\ -0.49\ 0.627\ \text{Last}\ 0.779\ 0.04909\ 15.870.000\ \text{FEV Gender}\ -0.10827\ 0.005\ \text{S} = \text{R-Sq} = 93.5\%\ \text{R-Sq}\ \text{(adj)}\ 0.22039 = 93.3\%\ \text{Analysis of Variance Source DF SS MS F P Regression}\ 4\ 111.31\ \text{Notice for the property of the property o
27.826572.89 0.000 Residual 160 7.7716 0.048572 Error Total 164 119.08 b. c. d. e. How many degrees of freedom does the F statistic have? Find the P-value for the F statistic. Is the reduced model must be plausible, and it was no
necessary to perform an F test. Is this correct? Explain why or why not. Page 650 The total sum of squares is the same in both models, even though the independent variables are different. Is there a mistake? Explain. 10. In a study to determine the effect of vehicle weight in tons (x1) and engine displacement in in3 (x2) on fuel economy in miles per
gallon (y), these quantities were measured for ten automobiles. The full quadratic model was fit to the data, and the sum of squares for error was SSE = 62.068. Then the reduced model, rather than the full quadratic model
to predict fuel economy? Explain. 11. In a study of the causes of bearing wear, a machine was run 24 times, with various loads (denoted x1), oil viscosities (x2), and ambient temperatures (x3). The wear, denoted y, was modeled as y = \beta 0 + \beta 1x1 + \beta 2x2 + \beta 3x3 + \epsilon. When this model was fit to the data, the sum of squares for error was SSE = 9.37. Then
the reduced model y = \beta 0 + \beta 1x1 + \beta 2x2 + \beta 3x3 was fit, and the sum of squares for error was SSE = 27.49. Is it reasonable to use the reduced model, rather than the model containing all the interactions, to predict wear? Explain. 12. In rock blasting, the peak particle velocity (PPV) depends both on the distance from the blast and on the amount of
charge. The article "Prediction of Particle Velocity Caused by Blasting for an Infrastructure Excavation Covering Granite Bedrock" (A. Kahriman, Mineral Resources Engineering, 2001:205-218) presents data on PPV, scaled distance (which is equal to the distance divided by the square root of the charge), and the amount of charge. The following table
presents the values of PPV, scaled distance, and amount of charge for 15 blasts. PPV (mm/s) 1.4 15.7 2.54 1.14 0.889 1.65 1.4 26.8 1.02 4.57 6.6 1.02 3.94 1.4 1.4 Scaled Distance (m/kg0.5) 47.33 9.6 15.8 24.3 23.0 12.7 39.3 8.0 29.94 10.9 8.63 28.64 18.21 33.0 34.0 Amount of Charge (kg) 4.2 92.0 40.0 48.7 95.7 67.7 13.0 70.0 13.5 41.0 108.8 27.43
 59.1 11.5 175.0 a. Fit the model ln PPV = β0 + β1 ln Scaled Distance + β1 ln Scaled Distan
Why? Page 651 13. The article "Ultimate Load Analysis of Plate Reinforced Concrete Beams" (N. Subedi and P. Baglin, Engineering Structures, 2001:1068-1079) presents theoretical and measured ultimate strengths (in kN) for a sample of steel-reinforced concrete beams. The results are presented in the following table (two outliers have been
deleted). Let y denote the measured strength, x the theoretical strength, and t the true strength, which is unknown. Assume that y = t + \varepsilon, where \varepsilon is the measurement error. It is uncertain whether t is related to x by a linear model t = \beta 0 + \beta 1x + \beta 2x^2. Theoretical 991 785 1195 1021 1285 1167 1519 1314 1743
791 1516 1071 1480 1622 2032 2032 660 565 738 682 a. b. c. d. Measured 1118 902 1373 1196 1609 1413 1668 1491 1952 844 1550 1167 1609 1756 2119 2237 640 530 893 775 Fit the linear model y = \beta 0 + \beta 1x + \epsilon. For each coefficient, find the P-value for the null hypothesis that the coefficient is equal to 0. Fit the quadratic model y = \beta 0 + \beta 1x + \epsilon.
β2x2 + ε. For each coefficient, find the P-value for the null hypothesis that the coefficient is equal to 0. Plot the residuals versus the fitted values for the linear model. Plot the residuals versus the fitted values for the linear model. Plot the residuals versus the fitted values for the null hypothesis that the coefficient is equal to 0. Plot the residuals versus the fitted values for the linear model. Plot the residuals versus the fitted values for the linear model.
appropriate model, estimate the true strength if the theoretical strength is 1500. q. Using the more appropriate model, find a 95% confidence interval for the true strength is 1500. 14. The article "Permanent Deformation Characterization of Subgrade Soils from RLT Test" (A. Puppala, L. Mohammad, et al., Journal of
 Materials in Civil Engineering, 1999:274-282) presents measurements of plastic strains (y), the confining and deviatoric stress (x2) for tests on a sandy soil. e. y 0.01 0.02 0.05 0.09 0.003 0.006 0.05 0.23 0.003 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.0
appropriate? Fit the model ln y = \beta 0 + \beta 1 \ln x 1 + \beta 2 \ln x 2 + \epsilon. Plot the residuals versus the fitted values. Does the model seem appropriate? Use the model you used in part (c) improved by including an interaction term? Explain. 15. The article "Vehicle-
Arrival Characteristics at Urban Uncontrolled Intersections" (V. Rengaraju and V. Rao, Journal of Transportation Engineering, 1995:317-323) presents data on road width in m (x1), traffic volume in vehicles per lane per hour (x2), and median speed in km/h
(x3). y 35.0 37.5 26.5 33.0 22.5 26.5 27.5 28.0 23.5 24.5 x1 x2 76 88 76 80 65 75 92 90 86 80 370 475 507 654 917 842 723 923 1039 1120 Fit the model y = \beta 0 + \beta 1 x1 + \epsilon. Findthe P-values for testing that the coefficients are equal to 0. c. Fit
the model y = \beta 0 + \beta 1x2 + \epsilon. Findthe P-values for testing that the coefficients are equal to 0. d. Which of the models (a) through (c) do you think is best? Why? 16. The following table presents measurements of mean noise levels in dBA (y), roadway width in m (x1), and mean speed in km/h (x2), for 10 locations in Bangkok, Thailand, as reported in the
article "Modeling of Urban Area Stop-and-Go Traffic Noise" (P. Pamanikabud and C. Tharasawatipipat, Journal of Transportation Engineering, 1999:152-159). a. y 78.1 78.1 78.6 78.5 78.4 x1 x2 6.0 10.0 12.0 6.5 6.5 9.0 30.61 36.55 36.22 38.73 29.07 28.26 30.28 30.25 29.03 79.6 6.5 33.17 Construct a good linear
model to predict mean noise levels using roadway width, mean speed, or both, as predictors. Provide the standard deviations of the coefficient estimates and the P-values for testing that they are different from 0. Explain how you chose your model. 17. The article "Modeling Resilient Modulus and Temperature Correction for Saudi Roads" (H. Wahhab
I. Asi, and R. Ramadhan, Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering, 2001:298-305) describes a study designed to predict the resilient modulus at 40°Cin106 kPa (y), the surface area of the aggregate in m2/kg (x1), and the softening point of the asphalt in
Justify your answer by fitting two or more models and comparing the results. 18. The article "Models for Assessing Hoisting Times of Tower Cranes" (A. Leung and C. Tam, Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 1999: 385–391) presents a model constructed by a stepwise regression procedure to predict the time needed for a tower
crane hoisting operation. Twenty variables were considered, and the stepwise procedure chose a nine-variable model. b. The value 0.73 is a reliable measure of the goodness of fit of the selected model was 0.73. True or false: a. The value 0.73 is a reliable measure of the goodness of fit of the selected model was 0.73. True or false: a. The value 0.73 is a reliable measure of the goodness of fit of the selected model was 0.73. True or false: a. The value 0.73 is a reliable measure of the goodness of fit of the selected model was 0.73. True or false: a. The value 0.73 is a reliable measure of the goodness of fit of the selected model was 0.73. True or false: a. The value 0.73 is a reliable measure of the goodness of fit of the selected model was 0.73. True or false: a. The value 0.73 is a reliable measure of the goodness of fit of the selected model was 0.73. True or false: a. The value 0.73 is a reliable measure of the goodness of fit of the selected model was 0.73. True or false: a. The value 0.73 is a reliable measure of the goodness of fit of the selected model was 0.73. True or false: a. The value 0.73 is a reliable measure of the selected model was 0.73. True or false: a. The value 0.73 is a reliable measure of the selected model was 0.73. True or false: a. The value 0.73 is a reliable measure of the selected model was 0.73 is a reliable measure of the selected model was 0.73 is a reliable measure of the selected model was 0.73 is a reliable measure of the selected model was 0.73 is a reliable measure of the selected model was 0.73 is a reliable measure of the selected model was 0.73 is a reliable measure of the selected model was 0.73 is a reliable measure of the selected model was 0.73 is a reliable measure of the selected model was 0.73 is a reliable measure of the selected model was 0.73 is a reliable measure of the selected model was 0.73 is a reliable measure of the selected model was 0.73 is a reliable measure of the selected model was 0.73 is a reliable measure of the selected model was 0.73 is a r
regression procedure selects only variables that are of some use in predicting the value of a dependent variable to be part of a model selected by a stepwise regression procedure. Supplementary Exercises for Chapter 8 1. The article "Advances in Oxygen
Equivalence Equations for Predicting the Properties of Titanium Welds. (D. Harwig, W. Ittiwattana, and H. Castner, The Welding Journal, 2001:126s-136s) reports an experiment to predict various properties of titanium welds. Among other properties of titanium welds. Among other properties of titanium welds.
percent). The following MINITAB output presents results of fitting the model The regression equation is Elongation = 46.80 - 130.11 Oxygen -807.1 Oitrogen +3580.5 Oxy*Nit Predictor Coef SE T P Coef Constant 46.802 3.702 12.64 0.000 Oxygen -130.11 Oxygen -807.1 Oitrogen +3580.5 Oxy*Nit Predictor Coef SE T P Coef Constant 46.802 3.702 12.64 0.000 Oxygen -130.11 Oxygen -807.1 O
0.001 S= R-Sq = 74.5% R-Sq(adj) = 2.809 72.3% Analysis of Variance Source DF SS MS F P Regression 3 805.43 268.4834.03 0.000 Residual 35 276.11 7.89 Error Total 38 1081.54 a. 2. Page 654 Predict the elongation for a weld with an oxygen content of 0.01%. b. If two welds both have a nitrogen content of 0.01% and a nitr
and their oxygen content differs by 0.05%, what would you predict their difference in elongation? If so, predict the elongation. If not, explain what additional information is needed. Refer
to Exercise 1. a. Find a 95% confidence interval for the coefficient of Oxygen. b. Find a 98% confidence interval for the coefficient of Nitrogen. d. Find a 98% confidence interval for the coefficient of Nitrogen. d. Find a 98% confidence interval for the coefficient of Nitrogen. b. Find a 98% confidence interval for the coefficient of Nitrogen. d. Find a 98% confidence interval for the coefficient of Nitrogen. b. Find a 98% confidence interval for the coefficient of Nitrogen. c. 3.
The following MINITAB output is for a multiple regression. Some of the numbers got smudged, becoming illegible. Fill in the missing numbers. Predictor Coef SE T P Coef Constant (a) 0.3501 0.59 0.568 X1 1.8515 (b) 2.31 0.040 X2 2.72410.7124 (c) 0.002 S = (d) R-Sq = R-Sq(adj) = 83.4% 80.6% Analysis of Variance Source DF SS MS F P Regression
(e) (f) (g) (h)0.000 Residual 12 17.281.44 Error Total (i)104.09 4. 5. An engineer tries three different methods for selecting a linear model. First she uses an informal method based on the F statistic, as described in Section 8.3. Then she runs the best subsets routine, and finds the model with the best adjusted R2 and the one with the best Mallows' Cp
It turns out that all three methods select the same model. The engineer says that since all three methods agree, this model must be the best one. One of her colleagues says that other models might be equally good. Who is right? Explain. In a simulation of 30 mobile computer networks, the average speed, pause time, and number of neighbors were
7.08 7.32 6.99 a. b. c. d. e. f. 6. 40 5 5 10 10 20 20 30 30 40 40 5 5 10 10 20 20 30 30 40 40 5 5 10 10 20 20 30 30 40 40 30 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 10 40 
whose P-values are large, and test the plausibility of the model with an F test. Plot the residuals versus the fitted values for the reduced model. Are there any indications that the model is inappropriate? If so, what are they? Someone suggests that a model containing Pause and Pause and Pause are large, and test the plausibility of the model with an F test. Plot the reduced model. Are there any indications that the model is inappropriate? If so, what are they? Someone suggests that a model containing Pause and Pause and Pause are large, and test the plausibility of the model with an F test. Plot the reduced model. Are there any indications that the model is inappropriate? If so, what are they? Someone suggests that a model containing Pause and Pause and Pause are large, and test the plausibility of the model with an F test. Plot the reduced model with an F test.
why not? Using a best subsets software package, find the two models with the highest R2 value for each model is selected by minimum Cp? By adjusted R2? Are they the same? The data in Table SE6 (page 656) consist of yield measurements from many runs of an adjusted R2? Are they the same? The data in Table SE6 (page 656) consist of yield measurements from many runs of an adjusted R2? Are they the same? The data in Table SE6 (page 656) consist of yield measurements from many runs of an adjusted R2? Are they the same? The data in Table SE6 (page 656) consist of yield measurements from many runs of an adjusted R2? Are they the same? The data in Table SE6 (page 656) consist of yield measurements from many runs of an adjusted R2? Are they the same? The data in Table SE6 (page 656) consist of yield measurements from many runs of an adjusted R2? Are they the same? The data in Table SE6 (page 656) consist of yield measurements from many runs of an adjusted R2? Are they they adjusted R2? Are they they adjusted R2? Are they adjuste
chemical reaction. The quantities varied were the temperature in ^{\circ}C (x1), the concentration of the primary reactant in ^{\circ}C (x2), and the duration of the primary reactant in ^{\circ}C (x1), the concentration of the primary reactant in ^{\circ}C (x2), and the duration of the primary reactant in ^{\circ}C (x2), and the duration of the primary reactant in ^{\circ}C (x2), and the duration of the primary reactant in ^{\circ}C (x2), and the duration of the primary reactant in ^{\circ}C (x2), and the duration of the primary reactant in ^{\circ}C (x2), and the duration of the primary reactant in ^{\circ}C (x3).
coefficients significantly different from 0 at the 15% level. Fit a linear regression model containing these two variables along with the interaction term. Based on the results in parts (a) through (c), specify a model that appears to
be good for predicting y from x1, x2, and x3. c. d. e. Might it be possible to construct an equally good or better model in another way? Page 656 TABLE SE6 Data for Exercise 6 x1 x2 x3 50 90 70 70 60 60 70 80 70 70 60 60 70 80 60 70 80 60 70 80 60 70 80 60 70 80 80 70 60 19 38 28 25 26 29 23 28 34 26 26 26 30 26 25 31 27 23 23 24 31 32 26
4.5\ 4.5\ 7.5\ 4.5\ 7.0\ 7.0\ 5.0\ 7.5\ 6.0\ 7.0\ 5.0\ 7.5\ 6.0\ 7.0\ 5.0\ 7.5\ 6.0\ 7.0\ 5.0\ 7.5\ 6.0\ 7.0\ 5.0\ 5.5\ 5.5\ 38.241\ 34.635\ 44.963\ 30.012\ 41.077\ 41.964\ 44.152\ 29.901\ 26.706\ 28.602\ 33.401\ 41.324\ 24.000\ 38.158\ 25.412\ 37.671\ 27.979\ 31.079\ 30.778\ 28.221\ 30.495\ 38.710\ 27.581\ 38.705\ 40.525\ 29.420\ 37.898\ 40.340\ 27.891\ 38.259\ 35.091\ 34.372\ 26.481\ 36.739\ 36.185\ 38.725\ 32.707\ 32.563
fitted values for each model are presented in the following table. Plot the residuals versus the fitted values for each model, state whether the model is appropriate, and explain. Page 657 Linear Model Residual Fit -56.2 125.6 -34.0 153.1 8.4 179.8 21.4 207.2 288.1 8.5 314.4 -7.1 342.0 -47.1 139.3 21.4 207.2 288.1 8.5 314.4 -7.1 342.0 -47.1 139.3 21.4 207.2 288.1 8.5 314.4 -7.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47.1 342.0 -47
Quadratic Model Residual Fit 11.2 58.2 -7.4 126.5 4.9 183.4 -3.6 232.2 -8.2 271.5 8.1 299.7 15.7 319.6 -7.0 329.8 4.0 330.9 -1.3 93.6 Cubic Model Residual Fit 1.2 58.2 -7.4 126.5 4.9 183.4 -3.6 232.2 -8.2 271.5 8.1 299.7 15.7 319.6 -7.0 329.8 4.0 330.9 -1.3 93.6 Cubic Model Residual Fit 3.3 66.1 -6.7 125.7 9.2 179.0 0.9 227.8 -5.9 269.2 7.3 300.5 12.1 323.2 -11.7 334.6 1.0 333.9 -4.1 96.4 -1.6 38.0 35.7 34.1 34.6 1.0 -23.2 -50.7 -72.9 8. 166.2 220.9 247.8 275.1 301.
328.2\ 355.3\ 368.4\ 382.1\ 9.1\ 5.9\ -3.2\ -2.1\ 9.9\ -2.4\ 4.1\ -5.0\ -5.7\ 155.5\ 253.0\ 286.7\ 311.3\ 325.8\ 331.6\ 327.9\ 322.7\ 314.9\ 12.1\ 9.5\ -2.4\ -4.5\ 5.5\ -6.7\ 3.5\ -2.1\ 2.1\ 152.5\ 249.4\ 286.0\ 313.7\ 330.2\ 335.9\ 328.5\ 319.9\ 307.1\ The voltage output (y) of a battery was measured over a range of temperatures (x) from 0°C to 50°C. The following figure is a
scatterplot of voltage versus temperature, with three fitted curves superimposed. The curves are the linear model y = \beta 0 + \beta 1x + \epsilon, the quadratic model y = \beta 0 + \beta 1x + \epsilon, and the cubic model y = \beta 0 + \beta 1x + \epsilon, the quadratic model y = \beta 0 + \beta 1x + \epsilon, and the cubic model y = \beta 0 + \beta 1x + \epsilon, the quadratic model y = \beta 0 + \beta 1x + \epsilon, and the cubic model y = \beta 0 + \beta 1x + \epsilon. Based on the plot, which of the models should be used to describe the data? Explain. i. The
quadratic model. iii. The cubic model. iv. All three appear to be about equally good. Page 658 9. Refer to Exercise 2 in Section 8.2. a. Using each of the three models in turn, predict the NOx emission when Speed = 1600, Torque =
300, and HP = 100. c. Using each of the three models in turn, predict the NOx emission when Speed = 1400, Torque = 200, and HP = 75. d. Which model or models appear to be the best? Choose one of the answers, and explain. i. ii. iii. iv. The model with Speed and HP as
independent variables is the best. The model with Speed and HP are about equally good; both are better than the model with Speed and HP. v. The model with Speed and Torque and the model with Speed, Torque, and HP are
about equally good; both are better than the model with Speed and HP and the model with Speed and HP are about equally good; both are better than the model with Speed and HP are about equally good; both are better than the model with Speed and HP are about equally good; both are better than the model with Speed and HP are about equally good; both are better than the model with Speed and HP are about equally good. 10. This exercise illustrates a reason for the exceptions to the rule of
parsimony (see page 630). a. A scientist fits the model Y = \beta 1C + \epsilon, where C represents temperature in "Cand Y can represent any outcome. Note that the model Y = \beta 1C + \epsilon, where C and Y are as in part (a). Note that the model have an intercept now? b. Another scientist fits the model Y = \beta 1C + \epsilon, where C and Y are as in part (a). Note that the model have an intercept now? b. Another scientist fits the model Y = \beta 1C + \epsilon, where Y = \beta 1C + \epsilon and Y = \beta 1C + \epsilon, where Y = \beta 1C + \epsilon, where Y = \beta 1C + \epsilon and Y = \beta 1C + \epsilon, where Y = \beta 1C + \epsilon and Y = \beta 1C + \epsilon, where Y = \beta 1C + \epsilon and Y = \beta 1C + \epsilon.
the model has a quadratic term, but no linear term. Now convert °C to °F(C = 0.556F - 17.78). Does the model have a linear term now? c. Assume that z = a + bx, where a \ne 0. (°C and °F are an example.) Show that the no-intercept models y = \beta x and y = \beta z
cannot both be correct, so that the validity of a no-intercept model depends on the zero point of the units for the independent variable. d. Let x and z be as in part (c). Show that the validity of such a model depends on the zero point of the units for the independent variable.
variable. 11. The data presented in the following table give the tensile strength in psi (y) of paper as a function of the percentage of hardwood Content 1.0 1.5 2.0 3.0 4.0 4.5 5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 8.0 Tensile Strength 26.8 29.5 36.6 37.8 38.2 41.5 44.8 44.7 48.5 50.1 52.1 56.1 9.0 10.0 11.0 12.0 13.0 14.0 15.0 16.0 63.1 62.0 62.5
58.0 52.9 38.2 32.9 21.9 Page 659 a. Fit polynomial models of degree one less. Stop when the F-value of the F test to compare it with the model of degree one less. Stop when the P-value of the F test is greater than 0.05. What is the degree of the polynomial model chosen by this method? b. Using the
model from part (a), estimate the hardwood concentration that produces the highest tensile strength. 12. The article "Enthalpies and Entropyes of Transfer of Electrolytes and Ions from Water to Mixed Aqueous Organic Solvents" (G. Hefter, Y. Marcus, and W. Waghorne, Chemical Reviews, 2002:2773-2836) presents measurements of entropy and
enthalpy changes for many salts under a variety of conditions. The following table presents the results for entropies of transfer (in J/K · mol) from water to water + methanol of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range of concentration of NaCl (table salt) over a range 
Fit polynomial models of degrees 1, 2, and 3 to predict the entropy (y) from the concentration (x). b. Which degree polynomial is the most appropriate? Explain. c. Using the most appropriate model, find 99% confidence intervals for the coefficients. 13. A paint company collects data on the durability of its paint and that of its competitors. They
82.4 Phoenix, AZ 52.3 92.3 San 48.5 62.2 Francisco, CA Seattle, WA 40.6 65.3 Washington, 35.2 78.9 DC Mean Annual Precipitation (in.) 48.6 43.8 29.3 Lifetime (years) Sponsor's Competitor's Paint Paint 11.5 10.7 12.3 10.8 11.1 10.2 11.7 10.1 12.5 10.7 11.6 11.0 12.3 13.4 12.8 11.8 12.2 11.3 26.4 10.5 9.9 11.2 10.4 9.6 34.2 15.3 57.5 7.1 19.7 11.2
15.2 8.7 11.1 16.7 38.9 39.0 14.2 14.1 13.6 12.6 13.6 14.1 12.6 13.6 14.1 12.6 11.5 12.0 11.9 10.9 11.4 10.6 14.2 7.9 11.8 17.2 12.0 13.8 9.4 12.4 15.9 10.6 13.4 8.1 10.9 15.8 9.2 10.1 11.4 14.4 13.2 8.6 7.6 10.1 9.9 15.4 14.9 Page 660 a. Prior testing suggests that the most important factors that influence the lifetimes of paint coatings are the minimum temperature.
 (estimated by the average January temperature), the maximum temperature (estimated by the average July temperature), and the annual precipitation. Using these variables, and products and powers of these variables, construct a good model (perhaps different) for predicting the
lifetime of the competitor's paint. b. Using the models developed in part (a), compute the expected lifetimes for these two paints for someone living in Cheyenne, Wyoming, where the January mean temperature is 26.1°F, the July mean temperature is 26.1°F, and the mean annual precipitation is 13.3 in. 14. The article "Two Different Approaches for these two paints for someone living in Cheyenne, Wyoming, where the January mean temperature is 26.1°F, and the mean annual precipitation is 13.3 in. 14. The article "Two Different Approaches for these two paints for someone living in Cheyenne, Wyoming, where the January mean temperature is 26.1°F, and the mean annual precipitation is 13.3 in. 14. The article "Two Different Approaches for these two paints for someone living in Cheyenne, where the January mean temperature is 26.1°F, and the mean annual precipitation is 13.3 in. 14. The article "Two Different Approaches for these two paints for someone living in Cheyenne, where the January mean temperature is 26.1°F, and the mean annual precipitation is 13.3 in. 14. The article "Two Different Approaches for the mean annual precipitation is 13.3 in. 14. The article "Two Different Approaches for the mean annual precipitation is 13.3 in. 14. The article "Two Different Approaches for the mean annual precipitation is 13.3 in. 14. The article "Two Different Approaches for the mean annual precipitation is 13.3 in. 14. The article "Two Different Approaches for the mean annual precipitation is 13.3 in. 14. The article "Two Different Approaches for the mean annual precipitation is 13.3 in. 14. The article "Two Different Approaches for the mean annual precipitation is 13.3 in. 14. The article "Two Different Approaches for the mean annual precipitation is 13.3 in. 14. The article "Two Different Approaches for the mean annual precipitation is 13.3 in. 14. The article "Two Different Approaches for the mean annual precipitation is 13.3 in. 14. The article "Two Different Approaches for the mean annual precipitation is 13.3 in. 14. The art
RDC Modelling When Simulating a Solvent Deasphalting Plant" (J. Aparicio, M. Heronimo, et al., Computers and Chemical Engineering, 2002:1369-1377) reports flow rate (in dm3/h) and specific gravity measurements (x) are presented
in the following table. y = 1.204 - 0.580 \times 0.8139 \times 0.
coefficient is equal to 0. c. Fit the cubic model y = \beta 0 + \beta 1x + \beta 2x^2 + \beta 3x^3 + \epsilon. For each coefficient, test the hypothesis that the coefficient is equal to 0. d. Which of the models in parts (a) through (c) is the most appropriate? Explain. e. Using the most appropriate model, estimate the flow rate when the specific gravity is 0.83. 15. The article
 "Measurements of the Thermal Conductivity and Thermal Diffusivity of Polymer Melts with the Short-Hot-Wire Method" (X. Zhang, W. Hendro, et al., International Journal of Thermophysics, 2002:1077-1090) reports measurements of the thermal conductivity (in W·m-1·K-1) and diffusivity of several polymers at several temperatures (in 1000°C)
The following table presents results for the thermal conductivity of polycarbonate. a. Cond. 0.236 0.241 0.257 0.257 0.257 0.257 0.257 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.259 0.257 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.259 0.2
x, fit the linear model y = \beta 0 + \beta 1x + \epsilon. For each coefficient, test the hypothesis that the coefficient is equal to 0. Fit the quadratic model y = \beta 0 + \beta 1x + \beta 2x^2 + \epsilon. For each coefficient, test the hypothesis that the coefficient is equal to 0. Fit the quadratic model y = \beta 0 + \beta 1x + \beta 2x^2 + \epsilon. For each coefficient, test the hypothesis that the
coefficient is equal to 0. d. Fit the quartic model y = \beta 0 + \beta 1x + \beta 2x^2 + \beta 3x^3 + \beta 4x^4 + \epsilon. For each coefficient, test the hypothesis that the coefficient is equal to 0. e. Which of the model, estimate the conductivity at a temperature of 120°C. 16. The article article article are the coefficient is equal to 0. e. Which of the model is not appropriate.
 Permeability by y, porosity by x1, and surface area per unit volume by x2. c. y -0.27 2.58 3.18 1.70 -1.17 -0.27 -0.53 -0.29 4.94 1.94 3.74 0.58 -0.56 -0.49 -0.01 -1.71 -0.12 -0.92 2.18 4.46 2.11 -0.04 x1 x2 19.83 17.93 21.27 18.67 7.98 10.16 17.86 13.48 17.49 14.18 23.88 10.52 18.92 18.55 13.72 9.12 14.39 11.38 16.59 16.77 18.55 18.02
the analysis of variance table. Compute the F statistics for comparing the models in parts (b) and (c) with the model in parts (c) wit
al., Geophysics, 2002:1048-1060) presents measurements of concentrations of several chemicals (in mmol/L) and electrical conductivity (in 10-2 S/m) for several water samples in various locations near Gittaz Lake in the French Alps. The results for magnesium and calcium are presented in the following table. Two outliers have been deleted. d.
 Mallows Cp Are they the same model? Comment on the appropriateness of this (these) model(s). 18. The article "Low-Temperature Heat Capacity and Thermodynamic Properties of 1,1,1trifluoro-2, 2-dichloroethane" (R. Varushchenko and A. Druzhinina, Fluid Phase Equilibria, 2002:109-119) presents measurements of the molar heat capacity (y) of
simple linear model y = \beta 0 + \beta 1 \ln x + \epsilon. Make a residual plot, and comment on the appropriateness of the model. Fit the simple linear model y = \beta 0 + \beta 1 \ln x + \epsilon. Make a residual plot, and comment on the appropriateness of the model. Fit the simple linear model y = \beta 0 + \beta 1 \ln x + \epsilon. Make a residual plot, and comment on the appropriateness of the model.
for each. The article cited at the beginning of this exercise recommends the quartic model y = \beta 0 + \beta 1 x + \beta 2x^2 + \beta 3x^3 + \beta 4x^4 + \epsilon. Does this seem reasonable? Why or why not? 19. The article "Lead Dissolution from Lead Smelter Slags Using Magnesium Chloride Solutions" (A. Xenidis, T. Lillis, and I. Hallikia, The AusIMM Proceedings, 1999:37-14)
\beta 0 + \beta 1t + \beta 2t2 + \epsilon to these data. Fit this model, and compute the standard deviations of the coefficients. The reaction rate at t = 0. Can deviate the standard deviations of the coefficients. The reaction rate at t = 0. Can deviate the standard deviations of the coefficients. The reaction rate at t = 0. Can deviate the standard deviations of the coefficients. The reaction rate at t = 0. Can deviate the standard deviations of the coefficients. The reaction rate at t = 0. Can deviate the standard deviations of the coefficients. The reaction rate at t = 0. Can deviate the standard deviations of the coefficients. The reaction rate at t = 0. Can deviate the standard deviations of the coefficients. The reaction rate at t = 0. Can deviate the standard deviations of the coefficients.
you conclude that the reaction rate is decreasing with time? Explain. 20. The article "The Ball-on-Three-Ball Test for Tensile Strength: Refined Methodology and Results for Three Hohokam Ceramic Types" (M. Beck, American Antiquity, 2002:558–569) discusses the strength of ancient ceramics. The following table presents measured weights (in g),
 thicknesses (in mm), and loads (in kg) required to crack the specimen for a collection of specimens dated between A.D. 1100 and 1300 from the Middle Gila River, in Arizona. Page 663 a. Weight (x1) Thickness (x2) 12.7 12.9 17.8 18.5 13.4 15.2 13.2 18.3 16.2 14.7 18.2 14.8 17.7 16.0 17.2 14.1 16.1 5.69 5.05 6.53 6.51 5.92 5.88 4.09 6.14 5.73 5.47
7.32 4.91 6.72 5.85 6.18 5.13 5.71 Load (y) 20 16 20 36 27 35 15 18 24 21 30 20 24 23 21 13 21 Fit the model is not appropriate? 21. Piecewise
linear model: Let be a known constant, and suppose that a dependent variable x1 as follows: b. c. In other words, y and x1 are linearly related, but different lines are appropriate dependent variable x2 by and . Find a multiple regression model involving y, x1
x2, \( \beta \), \( \beta 1, \beta 2, \text{and } \beta 3 \) that expresses the relationship described here. 22. The article "Seismic Hazard in Greece Based on Different Strong Ground Motion Parameters" (S. Koutrakis, G. Karakaisis, et al., Journal of Earthquake Engineering, 2002:75–109) presents a study of seismic events in Greece during the period 1978–1997. Of interest is the
duration of "strong ground motion," which is the length of time that the acceleration of the duration of the duration of time y (in seconds
that the ground acceleration exceeded twice the acceleration due to gravity, the magnitude m of the earthquake, the distance d (in km) of the measurement from the epicenter, and two indicators of the soil consists of tertiary or
older rock, s2 = 0 otherwise. Cases where both s1 = 0 and s2 = 0 correspond to intermediate soil conditions. The article presents repeated measurements at some locations, which we have not included here. Page 664 TABLE SE22 y 8.82 4.08 15.90 6.04 0.15 5.06 0.01 4.13 0.02 2.14 4.41 17.19 5.14 0.05 20.00 12.04 0.87 0.62 8.10 1.30 11.92 3.93
11\ 22\ 49\ 1\ 20\ 22\ 34\ 44\ 16\ 6\ 21\ 16\ 15\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\
 residuals versus the fitted values to verify that your model satisfies the necessary assumptions. In addition, note that the data are presented in chronological order, reading down the columns. Make a plot to determine whether time should be included as an independent variable. Page 665 23. The article "Estimating Resource Requirements at
Conceptual Design Stage Using Neural Networks" (A. Elazouni, I. Nosair, et al., Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering, 1997:217-223) suggests that certain resource requirements in the construction of concrete silos can be predicted from a model. These include the quantity of concrete in m3 (y), the number of crew-days of labor (z), or the
number of concrete mixer hours (w) needed for a particular job. Table SE23A defines 23 potential independent variables, collected on 28 construction jobs, are presented in Table SE23B (page 666) and Table SE23B (page 667). Unless otherwise stated, lengths
are in meters, areas in m2, and volumes in m3. TABLE SE23A Descriptions of Variables for Exercise 23 x1 Number of silo complex x8 Surface area of silo walls x9 Volume of one bin
x10 Wall-to-floor areas x11 Number of lifting jacks x12 Length-to-thickness ratio x13 Breadth-to-thickness ratio x14 Perimeter of complex x15 Mixer capacity x16 Density of stored material x17 Waste percent in reinforcing steel x18 Waste percent in concrete x19 Number of workers in concrete crew x20 Wall thickness (cm) x21 Number of reinforcing steel x18 Waste percent in concrete x19 Number of workers in concret
12.9 \ 3.6 \ 15.9 \ 9.5.0 \ 4.8 \ 3.0 \ 5.8 \ 3.5 \ 2.1 \ 3.0 \ 4.0 \ 3.3 \ 4.1 \ 4.0 \ 5.0 \ 5.0 \ 3.0 \ 4.4 \ 7.0 \ 5.2 \ 5.7 \ 16.0 \ 22.0 \ 18.0 \ 15.0 \ 15.0 \ 14.0 \ 14.0 \ 17.0 \ 20.0 \ 19.0 \ 22.0 \ 24.0 \ 25.0 \ 17.5 \ 18.8 \ 24.6 \ 25.5 \ 27.7 \ 3.5 \ 4.0 \ 5.0 \ 5.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 4.0 \ 
43\ 43\ 240\ 1121\ 374\ 315\ 630\ 163\ 316\ 193\ 118\ 424\ 214\ 0.50\ 0.25\ 0.25\ 0.25\ 0.25\ 0.25\ 0.25\ 0.25\ 0.25\ 0.50\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 5.00\ 
8 8 8 6 5 15 12 8 20 25 36 22 28 25 28 25 28 25 28 25 28 25 28 3 8 8 11 12 5 9 16 13 16 14 14 16 16 14 16 10 1.50 1.35 1.40 1.10 1.50 1.45 1.43 1.60 1.55 Using best subsets regression, find the model that is best for predicting y according to the adjusted R2 criterion. Using best subsets regression, find the model that is best for predicting y according to the adjusted R2 criterion.
to the minimum Mallows' Cp criterion. Find a model for predicting y using stepwise regression. Explain the criterion you are using to determine which variables to add to or drop from the model. Using best subsets regression, find the
model that is best for predicting z according to the minimum Mallows Cp criterion. Find a model for predicting z using stepwise regression. Explain the criterion you are using to determine which variables to add to or drop from the model. Using best subsets regression, find the model that is best for predicting w according to the adjusted R2
criterion. Using best subsets regression, find the model that is best for predicting w according to the minimum Mallows Cp criterion you are using to determine which variables to add to or drop from the model. Page 667 24. The article referred to in Exercise 23 presents
values for the dependent and independent variables for 10 additional constructed in part (a) of Exercise 23, predict the concrete quantity (y) for each of these 10 jobs. b. Denoting the predicted values by and the observed values by y1, ...
y10, compute the quantities. These are the prediction errors. c. Now compute the fitted values from the data in Exercise 23. Using the observed values y1, ..., y28 from those data, compute the residuals or the prediction errors? Why will this be true in general? TABLE SE24A Page 668 Data for
 6.5 7.0 6.5 7.0 5.5 4.0 5.0 6 10 10 12 15 14 10 10 10 12 15 14 10 10 10 10 28 25 28 28 25 30 30 30 25 25 11 7 9 19 12 20 25 15 8 13 16 14 14 18 18 16 18 16 14 14 18 18 16 18 16 14 10 10 10 10 28 25 28 28 25 30 30 30 25 25 11 7 9 19 12 20 25 15 8 13 16 14 14 18 18 16 18 16 14 16 1.52 1.70 1.65 1.72 1.75 1.80 1.42 1.20 1.30 1.90 Page 669 Chapter 9 Factorial Experiments Introduction Experiments I
experiments. 9.1 One-Factor Experiments We begin with an example. The article "An Investigation of the CaCO3-CaF2-K2SiO3-SiO2-Fe Flux System Using the Submerged Arc Welding Process on HSLA-100 and AISI-1081 Steels" (G. Fredrickson, M.S. Thesis, Colorado School of Mines, 1992) describes an experiment in which welding fluxes with
 differing chemical compositions were prepared. Several welds using each flux were made on AISI-1018 steel base metal. The results of hardness measurements, on the Brinell hardness of welds using each flux were made on AISI-1018 steel base metal. The results of hardness measurements, on the Brinell hardness of welds using four different fluxes Sample
Values 264 256 260 254 267 265 279 269 273 258 262 264 239 267 273 Sample Mean 253.8 263.2 271.0 262.0 Sample Mean 253.8 263.2 271.0 262.0 Sample Page 670 mean is marked with an "X." It is clear that the sample means differ. In particular,
the welds made using flux C have the largest sample means differ from each other by a greater amount than could be accounted for by uncertainty alone. Another way to phrase the question is this: Can we
conclude that there are differences in the population means among the four flux types? FIGURE 9.1 Dotplots for each sample mean is marked with an "X." The sample mean is marked with an "X." The sample mean afactorial experiment involves
several variables. One variable is the response variable or the dependent variable or the dependent variable or the dependent variable or the dependent variable are called factors. The question addressed by a factorial experiment described
in Table 9.1, the hardness is the response, and there is one factor; flux type. Since there is only one factor and can also be called treatments. Finally, the objects upon which measurements
are made are called experimental units. The units assigned to a given treatment are called replicates. In the preceding experiment, the four particular flux compositions were chosen deliberately by the experimenter, rather than at
random from a larger population of fluxes. Such an experiment is said to follow a fixed effects model. In some experiment is said to follow a random from a population of possible treatments. In this case the experiment is said to follow a fixed effects model. The methods of analysis for these two models are essentially the same, although the
conclusions to be drawn from them differ. We will focus on fixed effects Page 671 models. Later in this section, we will discuss some of the differences between fixed and random effects models. Completely Randomized Experiments In this welding experiment, a total of 20 welds were produced, five with each of the four fluxes. Each weld was
produced on a different steel base plate. Therefore, to run the experiment, the experimental design will not favor any
one treatment over another. For example, the experimenter could number the plates from 1 to 20, and then generate a random ordering of the integers from 1 to 20. The plates whose numbers correspond to the first five numbers on the list are assigned to flux A, and so on. This is an example of a completely randomized experiment. Definition A
factorial experiment in which experiment in which experiment at random, with all possible assignments being equally likely, is called a completely randomized experiment. In many situations, the results of an experiment can be affected by the order in which the observations are taken. For example, the performance of a machine used to
consecutively. In some cases changing treatments involves considerable time or expense, so it is not feasible to switch back and forth. In these cases, the treatment being run first, and so on. In a completely randomized experiment, it is
appropriate to think of each treatment as a simple random sample from that population. The data from the experiment thus consist of several random sample from that population. The data from the experiment thus consist of several random sample from that population.
questions of interest concern the treatment means—whether they are all equal, and if not, which ones are different, how big the differences are, and so on. One-Way Analysis of Variance To make a formal determination as to whether the treatment means differ, a hypothesis test is needed. We begin by introducing the notation. We have I samples
 each from a different treatment. The treatment means are denoted It is not necessary that the sample sizes be equal, although it is desirable, as we will Page 672 discuss later in this section. The sample sizes are denoted by N. The hypotheses we wish to test are H0: \( \mu 1 = \cdots = \mu I \) versus H1.
two or more of the µi are different If there were only two samples, we could use the two-sample t test (Section 6.7) to test the null hypothesis. Since there are more than two samples, we use a method known as one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). To define the test statistic for one-way ANOVA, we first develop the notation for the sample
shown that the sample grand mean is also a weighted average of the sample contains five observations, so I = J2 = J3 = J4 = 5. The total number of observations is N = 20. The quantity X23 is the third observation in Table 9.1, find I, J1, ..., JI, N, X23, , . Solution There are four samples, so I = 4. Each sample contains five observations, so I = 4. Each sample I = 10.
the second sample, which is 267. The quantity This value is is the sample mean of the third sample mean of the sample mean of t
sample grand mean. The sample means are spread out around the sample means are highly spread out, then it is likely that the treatment means are highly spread out, then it is likely that the treatment means are highly spread out, then it is likely that the treatment means are highly spread out, then it is likely that the treatment means are highly spread out, then it is likely that the treatment means are highly spread out around the sample means are highly spread out, then it is likely that the treatment means are highly spread out around the sample means are highly spread out.
due both to random uncertainty and to differences among the treatment means. The variation within a given sample mean is due only to random uncertainty. The variation of the sample means around the sample mean is due only to random uncertainty. The variation of the sample means around the sample mean is due only to random uncertainty.
Each term in SSTr involves the distance from the sample mean, so that the means for the larger samples count more. SSTr provides an indication of how different the treatment means are from each other. If SSTr is large,
then the sample means are spread out widely, and it is reasonable to conclude that the treatment means are all close to the sample means are all close to the sample means are equal. An equivalent formula for SSTr, which is a
bit easier to compute by hand, is (9.5) In order to determine whether SSTr is large enough to reject H0, we compare it to another sum of squares, called the error sum of squares (SSE for short). SSE measures the variation in the individual sample points around their respective sample means. This variation is measured by summing the squares of the
distances from each point to its own sample mean. SSE is given by (9.6) The quantities are called the residuals. SSE, unlike SSTr, depends only on the distances of the sample points from their own means and is not affected by the location of treatment means relative to one another. SSE therefore
measures only the underlying random variation in the process being studied. It is analogous to the error sum of squares in regression. An equivalent formula for SSE, which is a bit easier to compute by hand, is (9.7) Another equivalent formula for SSE, which is a bit easier to compute by hand, is (9.7) Another equivalent formula for SSE, which is a bit easier to compute by hand, is (9.7) Another equivalent formula for SSE is based on the sample variances. Let denote the sample variance of the ith sample.
follows from Equation (9.8) that . Substituting into Equation (9.6) yields (9.9) Example 9.1 to be Equation (9.4) to calculate SSTr. . We now use To compute SSE we will use Equation
 (9.9), since the sample standard deviations si have already been presented in Table 9.1. We can use SSTr and SSE to construct a test statistic, provided the following two assumptions are met. Page 675 Assumptions for One-Way ANOVA The standard one-way ANOVA hypothesis tests are valid under the following conditions: 1. 2. The treat
populations must be normal. The treatment populations must all have the same variance, which we will denote by σ2. Before presenting the test statistic, we will explain how it works. If the two assumptions for one-way ANOVA are approximately met, we can compute the means of SST and SSTr. The mean of SSTr depends on whether H0 is true,
because SSTr tends to be smaller when H0 is true and larger when H0 is true and larger when H0 is true and larger when H0 is true. The mean of SSE is given by (9.12) Derivations of Equations (9.10) and (9.12) are given at the end of this section. The quantities I – 1
and N - I are the degrees of freedom for SSTr and SSE, respectively. When a sum of squares is denoted MSTr, and the error mean square is denoted MSTr, and
(9.14) (9.15) (9.16) Equations (9.14) and (9.16) Show that when H0 is true, We would expect their quotient to be near 1. This quotient is in fact the test statistic. The test statistic for testing H0: \mu 1 = \cdots = \mu I is (9.17) When H0 is true, the numerator and denominator of F are on average
the same size, so F tends to be near 1. In fact, when H0 is true, this test statistic has an F distribution with I - 1 and N - I degrees of freedom, denoted FI-1,N-I. When H0 is false, MSTr tends to be larger, but MSE does not, so F tends to be greater than 1. Page 676 Summary The F test for One-Way ANOVA To test H0: \mu1 = \mu1 versus H1: two
or more of the \mui are different: 1. Compute . 2. . 3. 4. 5. Compute Compute the test statistic: and . . Find the P-value by consulting the F table (Table A.8 in Appendix A) with I - 1 and N - I degrees of freedom. We now apply the method of analysis of variance to the example with which we introduced this section. Example 9.3 For the data in Table 9.1,
compute MSTr, MSE, and F. Find the P-value for testing the null hypothesis that all the means are equal. What do you conclude? Solution From Examples and N = 20 observations in all the samples taken together. Using Equation (9.13), The value of the test statistic F is therefore To find the
P-value, we consult the F table (Table A.8). The degrees of freedom are 4-1=3 for the numerator and 20-4=16 for the denominator. Under H0, F has an F3,16 distribution. Looking at the F table under 3 and 16 degrees of freedom, we find that the upper 5% point is 3.24 and the upper 1% point is 5.29. Therefore the P-value is between 0.01 and
0.05 (see Figure 9.3); a computer software package gives a value of 0.029 accurate to two significant digits). It is reasonable to conclude that the population means are not all equal, and, thus, that flux composition does affect hardness. Page 677 FIGURE 9.3 The observed value of the test statistic is 3.87. The upper 5% point of the F3,16 distribution
is 3.24. The upper 1% point of the F3.16 distribution is 5.29. Therefore the P-value is between 0.01 and 0.05. A computer software package gives a value of 0.029. Confidence Intervals for the Treatment Means The observations on the ith treatment are assumed to be a simple random sample from a normal population with mean μi and variance σ2. To
construct a confidence interval for μi, the first step is to estimate the population variance σ2. One way to do this would be to use the sample variance into one "pooled"
estimate. To do this, note that SSE is a weighted sum of the sample wariance of is σ2/Ji, estimated with MSE/Ji. The number of degrees of freedom for MSE is
N - I. The quantity has a Student's t distribution with N - I degrees of freedom. A confidence interval for μi is given by (9.18) Example 9.4 Find a 95% confidence interval for the mean hardness of welds produced with flux A. Solution
From Table 9.1, The value of MSE was computed in Example 9.3 to be 63.975. There are I = 4 treatments, J1 = 5 observations for flux A, and N = 20 observations flux A, and
summarized in an analysis of variance (ANOVA) table. This table is much like the analysis of variance for the weld data presented in Table 9.1. In the ANOVA table, the column labeled "DF" presents the number of degrees of freedom for both
the treatment ("Factor") and error ("Error") are error ("Error") and error ("Error") are error ("Error") a
"F" presents the F statistic for testing the null hypothesis that all the population means are equal. Finally, the column labeled "P" presents the F-value for the F test. Below the ANOVA table, the your error standard deviation σ, computed by taking the square root of MSE. The quantity "R-sq" is R2, the coefficient of
determination, which is equal to the quotient SSTr/SST. This is analogous to the multiple regression situation (see Equation 8.9 in Section 8.1). The value "R-Sq(adj)" is the adjusted R2 are not used as much in analysis of
variance as they are in multiple regression. Finally, sample means and standard deviations are presented for each treatment group, along with a graphic that illustrates a 95% confidence interval for each treatment group, along with a graphic that illustrates a 95% confidence interval for each treatment group, along with a graphic that illustrates a 95% confidence interval for each treatment group.
Environment, 1993:41-57), several measurements of the maximum hourly concentrations (in µg/m3) of SO2 are presented for each of four power plants. The results are as follows (two outliers have been deleted): Plant 1: Plant 2: Plant 3: Plant 4: 438 857 925 893 619 732 638 1014 1153 883 1053 786 1179 786 891 917 695 675 595 The following
output (from MINITAB) presents results for a one-way ANOVA. Can you conclude that the maximum hourly concentrations differ among the plants? Solution Page 680 In the ANOVA table, the P-value for the null hypothesis that all treatment means are equal is 0.006. Therefore we conclude that not all the treatment means are equal. Checking the
Assumptions As previously mentioned, the methods of analysis of variance require the assumptions all have the same variance. A good way to check the normal probability plot. If the sample sizes are large
enough, one can construct a separate probability plots to be informative, the residuals can all be plotted together in a single plot. When the assumptions of normality and constant variance are satisfied, these residuals will be
normally distributed with mean zero and should plot approximately on a straight line. Figure 9.4 presents a normal probability plot of the residuals from the weld data of Table 9.1. There is no evidence of a serious violation of the assumption of normality. FIGURE 9.4 Probability plot for the residuals from the weld data. There is no evidence of a serious violation of the assumption of normality.
violation of the assumption of normality. The assumption of equal variances can be difficult to check, because with only a few observations in each sample, the sample standard deviations range from 5.4037 to 9.7570. It is
reasonable to proceed as though the variances were equal. The spreads of the observations within the various samples can be checked visually by making a residual plot. This is done by plotting the residual servations within the various samples can be checked visually by making a residual plot. This is done by plotting the residual servations within the various samples can be checked visually by making a residual plot. This is done by plotting the residual plot and the residual pl
variances is suspect. If one or more of the samples contain outliers, the assumption of normality is suspect as well. Figure 9.5 presents a residual plot for the weld data. There are no serious outliers, and the spreads do not differ greatly
from sample to sample, and there are no serious outliers. Balanced versus Unbalanced designs of variance can be used with both balanced and unbalanced designs offer a big advantage. A balanced design is
much less sensitive to violations of the assumption of equality of variance than an unbalanced one. Since moderate departures from this assumption will not seriously compromise the validity of the results. When a balanced
design is impossible to achieve, a slightly unbalanced design is preferable to a severely unbalanced design, the effect of unequal variances can be substantial. The more unbalanced the design, the effect of unequal variances is generally not great. With an unbalanced design, the effect of unequal variances can be substantial.
variances. The Analysis of Variance Identity In both linear regression and analysis of variance identity is an equation that expresses the total sum of
squares as a sum of other sums of squares. We have presented analysis of variance identities for simple linear regression (equation 8.7). The total sum of squares for one-way ANOVA is given by (9.20) Examining Equations (9.5), (9.7), and
(9.20) shows that the total sum of squares is equal to the treatment sum of squares plus the error sum of squares plus the error sum of squares is equal to the treatment sum of squares is equal to the treatment sum of squares plus the error sum of squares is equal to the treatment sum of squares plus the error sum of squares plus the error sum of squares is equal to the treatment sum of squares plus the error sum of square
means by using random samples drawn from each treatment population, is one natural way to view the subject. There is another way to express these same ideas, in somewhat difference between the observation and its mean. By analogy with linear
regression, the quantities εij are called errors. It is clearly true that (9.22) Now since Xij is normally distributed with mean μ and variance σ2. In a single-factor experiment, we are interested in determining whether the treatment means are all equal. Given treatment means μ1, ...,
μI, the quantity (9.23) is the average of all the treatment means. The quantity μ is called the population Page 683 grand mean. The ith treatment mean and the population grand mean. The ith treatment means as follows:
(9.25) Combining Equations (9.22) and (9.25) yields the one-way analysis of variance model: (9.26) The null hypothesis H0: \mu 1 = \cdots = \mu I is equivalent to H0: \alpha 1 = \cdots = \alpha I = 0. In one-way ANOVA, it is possible to work with the treatment means \mu i, as we have done, rather than with the treatment effects \alpha i. In multi-factor experiments, however, the
treatment means by themselves are not sufficient and must be decomposed in a manner analogous to the one described here. We will discuss this further in Section 9.3. Power When designing a factorial experiment, it is important that the F test have good power, that is, a large probability of rejecting the null hypothesis of equality if in fact the
treatment means are not all equal. An experiment with low power is not of much use, since it is unlikely to detect a difference in treatments even if one exists. In what follows, we will assume that the experiment is balanced and the experiment is balanced and the experiment is bal
depends first on the rejection criterion: The larger the level at which one is willing to reject, the greater the power. The 5% level is the one most often used in practice. Once the rejection level is set, the power of the F test depends on three quantities: (1) the spread in the true means as measured by the quantity where a is the ith treatment effect, (2)
the error standard deviation σ, and (3) the sample size J. Note that if the null hypothesis is true, then a the probability that the null hypothesis is rejected. A power calculation can serve either of two purposes: to determine the sample size for each
treatment necessary to achieve a desired power, or to determine how much power one has with a given sample size. In a traditional power calculation, one specifies the quantity that one wishes to detect and the value of \sigma one expects to encounter. Then one can compute the power for a given sample size, or the sample size needed to achieve a given
power. In practice, one rarely knows how to specify a value for , but one can often specify the size of a difference between the largest and smallest treatment means that one wishes to detect. For example, in the weld experiment, a metallurgist might be able to specify that a difference of 10 or more between the largest and smallest treatment means
is scientifically important, but it is unlikely that she could specify a scientifically important value for . In MINITAB, one can specify the size of a scientifically important difference Page 684 between the largest and smallest treatment means and compute the sample size necessary to guarantee that the power to detect that difference will be at least a
specified amount. We present an example 9.6 A metallurgist wants to repeat the weld experiment with four difference of 10 or more in Brinell hardness at the 5% level. He assumes that the error standard deviation will be about the same as the value of
7.998 calculated in the experiment we have been discussing. The following output (from MINITAB) shows the result of a power calculation for an experiment? One-way ANOVA Alpha =
0.05 Assumed standard deviation = 7.998 Number of Levels = 4 SS Means 50 Sample Size 5 Power 0.281722 Maximum Difference 10 The sample size is for each level. Solution The power is 0.281772. This means that the proposed experiment will detect a difference of 10 between the largest and smallest treatment means may be
no more than about 0.28. The appropriate recommendation is not to run this experiment; it has too little chance of success. Instead, the sample 9.7 The metallurgist in Example 9.6 has taken your advice and has computed
the sample size necessary to provide a power of 0.90 to detect a difference of 10 at the 5% level. The results (from MINITAB) follow. What is the power? How many observations will be necessary in total? One-way ANOVA Page 685 Alpha = 0.05 Assumed standard deviation = 7.998 Number of
Levels = 4 SS Means 50 Sample Size 20 Target Power 0.9 Actual Power 0.914048 Maximum Difference 10 The sample size is for each level. Solution The needed sample size is 20 per level; with four levels there will be 80 observations in total. Note that the actual power of the experiment is approximately 0.914, which is higher than the "target
power" of 0.90 that was requested. The reason for this is that the power provided by a sample size of 20 is the smallest that is guaranteed to provide a power of 0.90 or more. Random Effects Models In many factorial experiments, the treatments are chosen deliberately by the
```

```
experimenter. These experiments are said to follow a fixed effects model. In some cases, the treatments are said to follow a random effects model. In a fixed effects model, the interest is on the specific treatments chosen for the experiment. In a random
effects model, the interest is in the whole population of possible treatments, and there is no particular interest in the experiment states that the treatments were chosen deliberately and do not represent a random sample from a larger population of flux compositions
This experiment therefore follows a fixed effects model. The four power plants in Example of convenience; they are plants at which measurements were readily available. In some cases it is appropriate to treat a sample of convenience as if it were a simple random sample (see the discussion in Section 1.1). If these conditions hold,
then the power plant experiment may be considered to follow a random effects model, the only conclusions that can be drawn are conclusions
about the treatments actually used in the experiment. In a random effects model, however, since the treatments are a simple random sample from a population of treatments not actually used in the experiment. This difference in interpretations results in a difference in
the null hypotheses to be tested. In the fixed effects model, the null hypothesis of interest is H0: \mu 1 = \cdots = \mu I. In the random effects model, the null hypothesis of interest is H0: the treatment means are equal for every treatment means are equal for every treatment in the population In the random effects model, the null hypothesis of interest is H0: the treatment means are equal for every treatment means are equal f
normal. Interestingly enough, although the null hypothesis for the random effects model as well as for the fixed effects model. Example 9.8 In Example 9.5, assume that it is reasonable to treat the
four power plants as a random sample from a large population of power plants, and furthermore, assume that the SO2 concentrations in the population? Solution This is a random effects model, so we can use
the F test to test the null hypothesis that all the treatment means in the population are the same. The results of the F test are shown in Example 9.5. The P-value is 0.006. We therefore reject the null hypothesis and conclude that there are differences in mean SO2 concentrations among the power plants in the population. Derivations of Equations
(9.10) and (9.12) In what follows it will be easier to use the notation E() to denote the wariance of Xij. We will show that , whether or not the population means are equal. This is Equation (9.12). We begin by adding and
subtracting the treatment mean μi from each term in to obtain Multiplying out yields Now. Substituting into the middle term of the right-hand side of (9.28) yields (9.29) Now. The population variances are all equal; denote their common value by σ2. It follows that
Substituting into (9.29) yields This completes the derivation of E(SSE). We now show that under the assumption that the treatment means are all equal to a common value denoted by \mu. This is Equation (9.30) Now so and
Substituting into the middle term of the right-hand side of (9.30), we obtain Taking means of both sides yields (9.31) Now, so Substituting into (9.31) yields Exercises for Section 9.1 1. A study is made of the effect of curing temperature on the compressive strength of a certain type of concrete. Five concrete specimens are
cured at each of four temperatures, and the compressive strength of each specimen is measured (in MPa). The results are as follows: Temperature (°C) 0 10 20 30 2. 31.2 30.0 35.9 38.3 Strengths 29.6 30.8 27.7 31.1 36.8 35.0 37.0 37.5 30.0 31.3 34.6 36.1 31.4 30.6 36.5 38.4 a. Construct an ANOVA table. You may give a range for the P-value. b. Car
you conclude that the mean strength differs with temperature? The article "Nutrient Deprivation Improves Field Performance of Woody Seedlings in a Degraded Semi-arid Shrubland" (R. Trubata, J. Cortina, and A. Vilagrosaa, Ecological Engineering, 2011:1164-1173) presents a study that investigated the effect of varying the type of fertilizer on the
height of certain Mediterranean woody tree species. In one experiment, three samples, each consisting of ten trees, were grown with a fertilizer. Another was grown with a fertilizer containing only half the nutrients of the standard fertilizer. The Page 689 third was grown
with the standard fertilizer to which a commercial slow-release fertilizer had been added. Following are the heights of the trees after one year. These data are consistent with the means and standard deviations reported in the article. Fertilizer Height Control 17.9 12.2 14.9 13.8 26.1 15.4 20.3 16.9 20.8 14.8 Deficient 7.0 6.9 13.3 11.1 11.0 16.5 12.7
12.4 17.1 9.0 Slow19.8 20.3 16.1 17.9 12.4 12.5 17.4 19.9 27.3 14.4 release 3. a. Construct an ANOVA table. You may give a range for the P-value. b. Can you conclude that the heights differ among the types of fertilizer? The removal (in
percent per day) is recorded for several days for each of several treatment methods. The results are presented in the following table. (Based on the article "Removal of Ammoniacal Nitrogen from Landfill Leachate by Irrigation onto Vegetated Treatment Planes," S. Tyrrel, P. Leeds-Harrison, and K. Harrison, Water Research, 2002:291-299.) Treatment
A B C D E 4. 5.21 5.59 6.24 6.85 4.04 Rate of Removal 4.65 2.69 7.57 5.94 6.41 9.18 4.94 3.29 4.52 5.16 3.75 a. Construct an ANOVA table. You may give a range for the P-value b. Can you conclude that the treatment methods differ in their rates of removal? The antibiotic gentamicin sulphate is often blended with acrylic bone cement to help prevent
infection following joint replacement surgery. The article "Incorporation of Large Amounts of Gentamicin Sulphate Into Acrylic Bone Cement: Effect on Handling and Mechanical Properties, Antibiotic Release, and Biofilm Formation" (N. Dunne, P. McAfee, et al., Journal of Engineering in Medicine, 2008:355-365) presents a study of the effect of the
amount of antibiotic added on various properties of the cement. Following are measurements of the cement, for six levels of antibiotic amount, and three replications per level. The measurements are consistent with means and standard deviations presented in the article. Antibiotic per 40 g Cement Setting Time (min) 0g 12.7 14.1
13.2 0.5 g 13.5 14.5 14.6 1g 12.7 13.4 13.2 2g 12.7 13.6 14.1 3g 13.4 13.5 14.3 4g 14.5 13.5 14.3 4g 14.5 13.5 14.9 5. a. Construct an ANOVA table. You may give a range for the P-value. b. Can you conclude that there are differences among the mean setting times? The article "Influence of Age on Masonry Bond Strength and Mortar Microstructure" (H. Sugo, A. Page
and S. Lawrence, Can J Civ Eng, 2007:1433-442) investigates the effect of age on tensile strength of mortar. Several specimens of various ages were loaded until failure, and the maximum load (MPa) 3 1.69, 1.69, 1.69, 1.69, 1.81,
1.53, 1.63, 1.70, 1.73, 1.72, 1.48, 1.15, 71.82, 1.86, 1.72, 1.48, 1.15, 71.82, 1.86, 1.72, 1.73, 1.70, 1.44, 2.00, 1.78, 1.47, 1.32, 1.87, 1.57, 28, 2.76, 2.60, 2.38, 2.06, 1.81, 2.76, 2.41, 2.29, 2.00, 2.15, 0.97, 1.91, 2.26, 90, 1.18, 1.46, 2.02, 2.16, 1.79, 1.74, 2.08, 1.99, 1.63, 1.95, 1.66, 2.34, 1.80, 2.60, 2.28, 2.42, 2.66, 2.24, 2.53, 1.66, 2.34, 2.02, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.24, 1.81, 1.93, 365, 2.16, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.18, 2.27, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2.28, 2
1.51, 2.44, 2.13, 2.01, 2.00, 2.09, 2.18, 2.48, 1.99, 2.15, 2.14, 1.56, 1.94, 1.75 6. a. Construct an ANOVA table. You may give a range for the P-value. b. Can you conclude that there are differences among the mean maximum loads? Archaeologists can determine the diets of ancient civilizations by measuring the ratio of carbon-13 to carbon-12 in bones
found at burial sites. Large amounts of carbon-13 suggest a diet rich in grasses such as maize, while small amounts suggest a diet based on herbaceous plants. The article "Climate and Diet in Fremont Prehistory: Economic Variability and Abandonment of Maize Agriculture in the Great Salt Lake Basin" (J. Coltrain and S. Leavitt, American Antiquity,
2002:453-485) reports ratios, as a difference from a standard in units of parts per thousand, for bones from individuals in several age groups. The data are presented in the following table. Ratio Age Group (years) 0-11 17.2 18.4 17.9 12-24 14.8 17.6 18.3 25-45 18.4 13.0 14.8 19.2 15.4 13.2 46+ 15.5 18.2 12.7 7. 15.1 18.2 18.0 14.4 10.2 16.7 a.
Construct an ANOVA table. You may give a range for the P-value. b. Can you conclude that the concentration ratios differ among the age groups? The article "Secretion of Parathyroid Hormone Oscillates Depending on the Change in Serum Ionized Calcium During Hemodialysis and May Affect Bone Metabolism" (T. Kitahara, K. Ueki, et al., Nephronauthor and Indiana Calcium During Hemodialysis and May Affect Bone Metabolism (T. Kitahara, K. Ueki, et al., Nephronauthor and Indiana Calcium During Hemodialysis and May Affect Bone Metabolism (T. Kitahara, K. Ueki, et al., Nephronauthor and Indiana Calcium During Hemodialysis and May Affect Bone Metabolism (T. Kitahara, K. Ueki, et al., Nephronauthor and Indiana Calcium During Hemodialysis and May Affect Bone Metabolism (T. Kitahara, K. Ueki, et al., Nephronauthor and Indiana Calcium During Hemodialysis and May Affect Bone Metabolism (T. Kitahara, K. Ueki, et al., Nephronauthor and Indiana Calcium During Hemodialysis and May Affect Bone Metabolism (T. Kitahara, K. Ueki, et al., Nephronauthor and Indiana Calcium During Hemodialysis and May Affect Bone Metabolism (T. Kitahara, K. Ueki, et al., Nephronauthor and Indiana Calcium During Hemodialysis and Indi
1.26 \ 1.33 \ III \ 1.04 \ 1.32 \ 1.29 \ IV \ 1.35 \ 1.67 \ 1.38 \ 8. \ 16.6 \ 19.0 \ 18.3 \ 13.6 \ 13.5 \ 18.5 \ 19.1 \ 19.1 \ 13.4 \ 17.2 \ 10.0 \ 11.3 \ 10.2 \ 17.0 \ 18.9 \ 19.2 \ 18.4 \ 1.21 \ 1.26 \ 0.95 \ 1.05 \ 1.01 \ 1.26 \ 1.37 \ 1.09 \ 1.28 \ 1.33 \ 0.98 \ 0.99 \ 1.24 \ 1.12 \ 1.32 \ 1.38 \ 1.08 \ 1.65 \ 1.14 \ 1.44 \ 1.37 \ 1.12 \ 1.30 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.27 \ 1.43 \ 1.21 \ 1.26 \ 0.95 \ 1.05 \ 1.01 \ 1.26 \ 1.37 \ 1.09 \ 1.28 \ 1.33 \ 0.98 \ 0.99 \ 1.24 \ 1.12 \ 1.32 \ 1.38 \ 1.08 \ 1.65 \ 1.14 \ 1.44 \ 1.37 \ 1.12 \ 1.30 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.27 \ 1.43 \ 1.21 \ 1.26 \ 0.95 \ 1.05 \ 1.01 \ 1.26 \ 1.37 \ 1.09 \ 1.28 \ 1.33 \ 0.98 \ 0.99 \ 1.24 \ 1.12 \ 1.32 \ 1.38 \ 1.08 \ 1.65 \ 1.14 \ 1.44 \ 1.37 \ 1.12 \ 1.30 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.34 \ 1.37 \ 1.36 \ 1.37 \ 1.37 \ 1.37 \ 1.37 \ 1.37 \ 1.37 \ 1.37 \ 1.37 \ 1.37 \ 1.37 \ 1.37 \ 1.37 \ 1.37 \ 1.37 \ 1.37 \ 1.37 \ 1.37 \ 1.37 \ 1.37 
0.82 1.31 1.09 1.32 0.95 1.21 1.21 1.22 1.13 1.51 0.72 1.33 1.46 a. Construct an ANOVA table. You may give a range for the P-value. b. Can you conclude that there are differences among the mean Ca levels? The article "Impact of Free Calcium Oxide Content of Fly Ash on Dust and Sulfur Dioxide Emissions in a Lignite-Fired Power Plant" (D.
Sotiropoulos, A. Georgakopoulos, and N. Kolovos, Journal of Air and Waste Management, 2005:1042-1049) presents measurements of dust emissions, in mg/m3, for four power plants. Thirty measurements were taken for each plant 2 Plant 2 Plant 3 Plant 4 a. b. 9.
Mean 211.50 214.00 211.75 236.08 Standard Deviation 24.85 35.26 33.53 23.09 Sample Size 30 30 30 Construct an ANOVA table. You may give a range for the P-value. Can you conclude that there are differences among the mean emission levels? The article "Experimental and Statistical Investigation of Self-Consolidating Concrete Mixture
Constituents for Pre-stressed Bridge Girder Fabrication" (E. Torres, J. Seo, and R. Lederle, Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering 2017, online) presents measurements of compressive Strength A 44.42 41.00
48.45 46.58 44.71 47.98 49.20 58.14 48.60 48.22 49.94 B 36.00 38.06 42.66 48.25 49.05 47.98 40.81 46.11 49.29 40.47 C 57.28 61.58 58.72 62.58 46.38 46.98 49.17 a. Construct an ANOVA table. You may give a range for the P-value. b. Can you conclude that the mean emissions differ with mixing temperature? 10. An experiment to compare the
lifetimes of four different brands of spark plug was carried out. Five plugs of each brand were used, and the number of miles until failure was recorded for each. Following is part of the MINITAB output for a one-way ANOVA. One-way ANOVA. One-way ANOVA. One-way ANOVA.
(e) P (f) Fill in the missing numbers for (a) through (f) in the table. You may give a range for the Pvalue. 11. Refer to Exercise 10. Is it plausible that the brands of spark plug all have the same mean lifetime? Page 692 12. The article "Characterization of Effects of Thermal Property of Aggregate on the Carbon Footprint of Asphalt Industries in China'
(A. Jamshidi, K. Kkurumisawa, et al., Journal of Traffic and Transportation Engineering 2017: 118-130) presents the results measurements of CO2 emissions produced during the manufacture of asphalt. Three measurements of CO2 emissions produced during the manufacture of asphalt.
130 Emissions (100kt) 9.52 14.10 7.43 8.37 6.54 12.40 7.24 10.70 5.66 a. Construct an ANOVA table. You may give a range for the P-value. b. Can you conclude that the mean emissions differ with mixing temperature? 13. An experiment was performed to determine whether the annealing temperature of ductile iron affects its tensile strength. Five
specimens were annealed at each of four temperatures. The tensile strength (in ksi) was measured for each. The results are presented in the following table. Temperature 20.88 19.63 18.68 20.04 18.10 20.28 17.38 14.49 18.21 14.49 16.15 15.53 17.89 20.53 15.58 13.25 a. Construct an
ANOVA table. You may give a range for the P-value. b. Can you conclude that there are differences among the mean strengths? 14. Refer to Exercise 12. a. Compute the quantity, the estimate of the error standard deviation, find the sample size necessary in each treatment to provide a power of 0.90 to
detect a maximum difference of 5 in the treatment means at the 5% level. c. Using a more conservative estimate of 1.5s as the error standard deviation, find the sample size necessary in each treatment means at the 5% level. 15. Refer to Exercise 13. a. Compute the
quantity, the estimate of the error standard deviation, find the sample size necessary in each treatment to provide a power of 0.90 to detect a maximum difference of 2 in the treatment to provide a power of 0.90 to detect a maximum difference of 2 in the treatment to provide a power of 0.90 to detect a maximum difference of 2 in the treatment to provide a power of 0.90 to detect a maximum difference of 2 in the treatment to provide a power of 0.90 to detect a maximum difference of 2 in the treatment to provide a power of 0.90 to detect a maximum difference of 2 in the treatment to provide a power of 0.90 to detect a maximum difference of 2 in the treatment to provide a power of 0.90 to detect a maximum difference of 2 in the treatment to provide a power of 0.90 to detect a maximum difference of 2 in the treatment to provide a power of 0.90 to detect a maximum difference of 2 in the treatment to provide a power of 0.90 to detect a maximum difference of 2 in the treatment to provide a power of 0.90 to detect a maximum difference of 2 in the treatment to provide a power of 0.90 to detect a maximum difference of 2 in the treatment to provide a power of 0.90 to detect a maximum difference of 2 in the treatment to provide a power of 0.90 to detect a maximum difference of 2 in the treatment to provide a power of 0.90 to detect a maximum difference of 2 in the treatment to provide a power of 0.90 to detect a maximum difference of 2 in the treatment to provide a power of 0.90 to detect a maximum difference of 2 in the treatment differ
sample size necessary in each treatment to provide a power of 0.90 to detect a maximum difference of 2 in the treatment means at the 5% level. 16. The article "The Lubrication of Metal-on-Metal Total Hip Joints: A Slide Down the Stribeck Curve" (S. Smith, D. Dowson, and A. Goldsmith, Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers,
2001:483-493) presents results from wear tests done on Page 693 b. metal artificial hip joints. Joints with several different diameters were tested. The data presented in the article. Diameter (mm) 16 28 36 Head Roughness (nm) 0.83 2.25 0.20
2.78 3.93 2.72 2.48 3.80 5.99 5.32 4.59 a. Construct an ANOVA table. You may give a range for the P-value. b. Can you conclude that mean roughness varies with diameter? Explain. 17. The article "Multi-objective Scheduling Problems: Determination of Pruned Pareto Sets" (H. Taboada and D. Coit, IIE Transactions, 2008:552–564), presents examples
in a discussion of optimization methods for industrial scheduling and production planning. In one example, seven different jobs were performed on each of five machine are presented in the following table. Machine A B C D E Mean 25.43 23.71 44.57 23.14 58.00 SD 10.67
13.92 15.90 12.75 19.11 Sample Size 7 7 7 7 a. Construct an ANOVA table. You may give a range for the P-value. b. Can you conclude that there are differences among the mean processing times? 18. The article "Withdrawal Strength of Threaded Nails" (D. Rammer, S. Winistorfer, and D. Bender, Journal of Structural Engineering, 2001:442-449)
describes an experiment comparing the withdrawal strengths for several types of nails. The data presented in the following table are consistent with means and standard deviations reported in the article for three types of nails: annularly threaded, helically threaded, and smooth shank. All nails had diameters within 0.1 mm of each other, and all were
driven into the same type of lumber. Nail Type Withdrawal Strength (N/mm) Annularly 36.57 29.67 43.38 26.94 12.03 21.66 41.79 31.50 35.84 40.81 threaded Smooth 12.61 25.71 17.69 24.69 26.48 19.35 28.60 42.17 25.11 19.98 shank Construct an ANOVA table. You
may give a range for the P-value. Can you conclude that the mean withdrawal strength is different for different fo
specimens taken from three Page 694 different types of soils. The results in the following table are consistent with means and standard deviations reported in the article. a. b. Soil Type Alluvium Glacial Till Residuum pH Measurements 6.53, 6.05, 6.25, 6.24, 6.07, 6.07, 5.36, 5.57, 5.48, 5.27, 5.80, 5.03, 6.65, 6.03, 6.16, 6.63, 6.13, 6.05, 5.68, 6.25, 6.25, 6.25, 6.26, 6.27, 6.28, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29, 6.29,
5.43, 6.46, 6.91, 5.75, 6.53 a. Construct an ANOVA table. You may give a range for the P-value. b. Can you conclude that there are differences among the mean pH levels? 20. The following MINITAB output presents a power calculation. Alpha = 0.05 SS Means 20000 Assumed standard deviation = 142.6 Sample Size 14 Target Power 0.85 Actual
Power 0.864138 Number of Levels = 4 Maximum Difference 200 The sample size is for each level. a. b. c. d. What is the power requested by the experimenter? To guarantee a power of 0.864138, how many observations must be taken for all treatments combined? What is the difference between treatment means that can be detected with a power of
at least 0.864138? Is the power to detect a maximum difference of 250 greater than 0.864138 or less than 0.864138? Explain. 9.2 Pairwise Comparisons Experiments in One-Factor In a one-way ANOVA, an F test is used to test the null hypothesis that all the treatment means are equal. If this hypothesis is rejected, we can conclude that the treatment of the treatment means are equal. If this hypothesis is rejected, we can conclude that the treatment of the treatment
means are not all the same. But the test does not tell us which ones are different from the rest. Sometimes an experimenter has in mind two specific treatments, i and j, and wants to study the difference (LSD) method is appropriate and can be used to construct confidence
intervals for μi - μj or to test the null hypothesis that μi - μj = 0. At other times, an experimenter may want to determine all the pairs of means that can be concluded to differ from each other. In this case a type of procedure called a multiple comparisons method must be used. We will discuss two methods of multiple comparisons, the Bonferroni
method and the Tukey-Kramer method. Page 695 Fisher's Least Significant Difference (LSD) Method We begin by describing Fisher's LSD method for constructing confidence intervals. The confidence interval for the difference μi – μj is centered at the difference in sample means. To determine how wide to make the confidence interval, it is
necessary to estimate the standard deviation of . Let Ji and Jj be the sample sizes at levels i and j, respectively. Since by assumption all observations are normally distributed with MSE, for reasons explained previously in
the discussion about confidence intervals for the treatment means (Section 9.1). Now the quantity has a Student's t distribution with N - I degrees of freedom used in computing MSE; see Equation 9.13.) The quantity is called the least significant difference. This quantity forms the basis for
confidence intervals and hypothesis tests. Fisher's least Significant Difference \mu = \mu is (9.32) To test the null hypothesis Tests The Fisher's least Significant Difference \mu = \mu is (9.33) If H0 is true, this statistic has a
Student's t distribution with N - I degrees of freedom. Specifically, if (9.34) then H0 is rejected at level \alpha. The reason that the quantity is called the least significant difference in sample means exceeds this value. When the design is balanced, with all
sample sizes equal to J, the least significant difference is equal to for all pairs of means. Example 9.9 In the weld experiment discussed in Section 9.1, hardness measurements were made for five welds from each of four fluxes A, B, C, and D. The sample mean hardness values were made for five welds from each of four fluxes A, B, C, and D. The sample mean hardness values were made for five welds from each of four fluxes A, B, C, and D. The sample mean hardness values were made for five welds from each of four fluxes A, B, C, and D. The sample mean hardness values were made for five welds from each of four fluxes A, B, C, and D. The sample mean hardness values were made for five welds from each of four fluxes A, B, C, and D. The sample mean hardness values were made for five welds from each of four fluxes A, B, C, and D. The sample mean hardness values were made for five welds from each of four fluxes A, B, C, and D. The sample mean hardness values were made for five welds from each of fluxes A, B, C, and D. The sample mean hardness values were made for five welds from each of fluxes A, B, C, and D. The sample mean hardness values were made for fluxes A, B, C, and D. The sample mean hardness values were made for fluxes A, B, C, and D. The sample mean hardness values were made for fluxes A, B, C, and D. The sample mean hardness values were made for fluxes and b. The sample mean hardness values were made for fluxes A, B, C, and D. The sample mean hardness values were made for fluxes A, B, C, and D. The sample mean hardness values were made for fluxes A, B, C, and D. The sample mean hardness values were made for fluxes A, B, C, and D. The sample mean hardness values were made for fluxes A, B, C, and D. The sample mean hardness values were made for fluxes A, B, C, and D. The sample mean hardness values were made for fluxes A, B, C, and D. The sample mean hardness values and D. The sample mean hardness values were made for fluxes A, B, C, and D. The sample mean hardness values were made for fluxes A, B, C, and D. The 
table. One-way ANOVA: A, B, C, D Source Factor Error Total S = 7.998 DF 3 16 19 SS 743.40 1023.60 1767.00 R-Sq = 42.07% MS 247.800 63.975 F 3.87 P 0.029 R-Sq(adj) = 31.21% Before the experiment was performed, the carbon contents of the fluxes were measured. Flux B had the lowest carbon content (2.67% by weight), and flux C had the
highest (5.05% by weight). The experimenter is therefore particularly interested in comparing the hardnesses obtained with flux B and those produced with flux C. Can we conclude that the two means differ? Solution We use expression
(9.32). The sample means are 271.0 for flux C and 263.2 for flux B. The preceding output gives the quantity MSE as 63.975. (This value was also computed in Example 9.3 in Section 9.1.) The sample sizes are both equal to 5. There are I = 4 levels and N = 20 observations in total. For a 95% confidence interval, we consult the table to find the value
t16, 
(note that this is a two-tailed test; software yields P = 0.143). We cannot conclude that the treatment means differ. If it is desired to perform a fixed-level test at level \alpha = 0.05 as an alternative to Page 697 computing the P-value, the critical t value is t = 0.05 as an alternative to Page 697 computing the P-value, the critical t value is t = 0.05 as an alternative to Page 697 computing the P-value, the critical t value is t = 0.05 as an alternative to Page 697 computing the P-value, the critical t value is t = 0.05 as an alternative to Page 697 computing the P-value, the critical t value is t = 0.05 as an alternative to Page 697 computing the P-value, the critical t value is t = 0.05 as an alternative to Page 697 computing the P-value, the critical t value is t = 0.05 as an alternative to Page 697 computing the P-value, the critical t value is t = 0.05 as an alternative to Page 697 computing the P-value, the critical t value is t = 0.05 as an alternative to Page 697 computing the P-value, the critical t value is t = 0.05 as an alternative to Page 697 computing the P-value, the critical t value is t = 0.05 as an alternative to Page 697 computing the P-value, the critical t value is t = 0.05 as an alternative to Page 697 computing the P-value, the critical t value is t = 0.05 as an alternative to Page 697 computing the P-value is t = 0.05 as an alternative to Page 697 computing the P-value is t = 0.05 as an alternative to Page 697 computing the P-value is t = 0.05 as an alternative to Page 697 computing the P-value is t = 0.05 as an alternative to Page 697 computing the P-value is t = 0.05 as an alternative to Page 697 computing the P-value is t = 0.05 as an alternative to Page 697 computing the P-value is t = 0.05 as an alternative to Page 697 computing the P-value is t = 0.05 as an alternative to Page 697 computing the P-value is t = 0.05 as an alternative to P-value is t = 0.05 as an alternative to P-value is t = 0.05 and t = 0.05 as an alternative t
side is . Since 7.8 does not exceed 10.72, we do not reject H0 at the 5% level. The following output (from MINITAB) presents 95% Fisher LSD confidence intervals for each difference between treatment means in the weld experiment. The values labeled "Lower"
and "Upper" are the lower and upper bounds, respectively, of the confidence interval. Of particular note is the simultaneous confidence interval contains its true difference in means, we are only 81.11% confident that all the confidence intervals contain their
true differences. In Example 9.9, a single test was performed on the difference between two specific means, to see which ones we could conclude to be different? It might seem reasonable to performed,
the likelihood of rejecting a true null hypothesis increases. This is the multiple testing problem, which is discussed in some detail in Section 6.14. This problem is revealed in the preceding output, which shows that the Page 698 confidence intervals confidence intervals confidence intervals confidence intervals.
or hypothesis tests are to be considered simultaneously, the confidence intervals must be wider, and the criterion for rejecting the null hypotheses more strict, than in situations where only a single interval or test is involved. In these situations, multiple comparisons methods are used to produce simultaneous confidence intervals and simultaneous
hypothesis tests. If level 100(1-\alpha)\% simultaneous confidence intervals are constructed for differences between every pair of means, then we are confidence interval contains the true difference. If simultaneous hypothesis tests are conducted for all null hypotheses of the form H0: \mu = 0, then we
may reject, at level α, every null hypothesis whose P-value is less than α. The Bonferroni method, valid anytime that several confidence intervals or tests are considered simultaneously. The method is simple to apply. Let C be the number of pairs of
differences to be compared. For example, if there are I treatments, and all pairs of differences are to be compared, then C = I(I - 1)/2. The Bonferroni Method for Simultaneous Confidence Intervals and Hypothesis Tests Assume that C differences of the form \mu
-\mu are to be considered. The Bonferroni simultaneous confidence intervals, at level 100(1-\alpha)\%, for the C difference \mu are \mu a
test statistics are To find the P-value for each test, consult the Student's t table with N – I degrees of freedom, and multiply the P-value found there by C. Specifically, if then H0 is rejected at level α. Page 699 Example 9.10 For the weld data discussed in Example 9.9, use the Bonferroni method to determine which pairs of fluxes, if any, can be
concluded, at the 5% level, to differ in their effect on hardness. Solution There are I = 4levels, with J = 5 observations at each level, for a total of C = (4)(3)/2 = 6 pairs of means to compare. To test at the \alpha = 5\% level, we compute \alpha/(2C) = 0.004167. The critical t value is t16,.004167
This value is not in the table; it is between t16,.005 = 2.921 and t16,.001 = 3.686. Using computer software, we calculated t16,.004167 = 3.0083. Without software, one could roughly approximate this value by interpolation. Now MSE = 63.975 (see Example 9.9), so . The four sample means are as follows: Flux Mean hardness A B C D 253.8 263.2
disadvantage that as the number of pairs C becomes large, the confidence intervals become very wide, and the hypothesis tests have low power. The reason for this is that the Bonferroni method, not specifically designed for analysis of variance or for normal populations. In many cases C is fairly large, in particular it is often
desired to compare all pairs of means. In these cases, a method is superior, because it is designed for multiple Comparisons of means of normal populations. We now describe this method is based on a distribution called the Studentized
range distribution, rather than on the Student's t distribution. The Studentized range distribution has two values for degrees of freedom, which for the Tukey-Kramer method uses the 1-\alpha quantile of the Studentized range distribution has two values for degrees of freedom.) The Tukey-Kramer method uses the 1-\alpha quantile of the Studentized range distribution has two values for degrees of freedom.
with I and N - I degrees of freedom; this quantity is denoted qI,N-I,α for various values of I, N, and α. The mechanics of the Tukey-Kramer method are the same as those for the LSD method, except that . The quantity is replaced with v is sometimes called the honestly significant difference
(HSD), in contrast to Fisher's least significant difference. Page 700 The Tukey-Kramer Method for Simultaneous confidence intervals and Hypothesis Tests The Tukey-Kramer level 100(1 – \alpha)% simultaneous confidence intervals contain the
true value of the difference \mu = \mu for every i and j. To test all null hypotheses H0: \mu = \mu for every i and j. To test all null hypotheses H0: \mu = \mu for every i and j. To test all null hypotheses H0: \mu = \mu for every i and j. To test all null hypotheses H0: \mu = \mu for every i and j. To test all null hypotheses H0: \mu = \mu for every i and j. To test all null hypotheses H0: \mu = \mu for every i and j. To test all null hypotheses H0: \mu = \mu for every i and j. To test all null hypotheses H0: \mu = \mu for every i and j. To test all null hypotheses H0: \mu = \mu for every i and j. To test all null hypotheses H0: \mu = \mu for every i and j. To test all null hypotheses H0: \mu = \mu for every i and j. To test all null hypotheses H0: \mu = \mu for every i and j. To test all null hypotheses H0: \mu = \mu for every i and j. To test all null hypotheses H0: \mu = \mu for every i and j. To test all null hypotheses H0: \mu = \mu for every i and j. To test all null hypotheses H0: \mu = \mu for every i and j. To test all null hypotheses H0: \mu = \mu for every i and j. To test all null hypotheses H0: \mu = \mu for every i and j. To test all null hypotheses H0: \mu = \mu for every i and j. To test all null hypotheses H0: \mu = \mu for every i and j. To test all null hypotheses H0: \mu = \mu for every i and j. To test all null hypotheses H0: \mu = \mu for every in ever
level α. A note on terminology: When the design is balanced, with all sample sizes equal to J, the quantity is equal to for all pairs of levels. In this case, the method is often simply called Tukey's method. Example 9.11 For the weld data in Table 9.1 (in Section 9.1), use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine which pairs of fluxes, if any, can be
concluded, at the 5% level, to differ in their effect on hardness? Solution There are I = 4levels, with J = 5 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a total of N = 20 observations at each level, for a t
means are as follows: Flux Mean hardness A B C D 253.8 263.2 271.0 262.0 There is only one pair of sample means, 271.0 and 253.8, whose difference is Page 701 greater than 14.49. We therefore conclude that welds produced with flux C. None of the other differences are significant at
the 5% level. Comparing the results of Example 9.10 shows that in this case the Tukey-Kramer method is slightly more powerful than the Bonferroni method, since its critical value is only 14.49 while that of the Bonferroni method was 15.22. When all possible pairs are compared, as in this example, the Tukey-Kramer
method is always more powerful than the Bonferroni method. When only a few of the possible pairs are to be compared, the Bonferroni method is sometimes more powerful. Sometimes only a single test is performed, but the difference that is tested is chosen by examining the sample means and choosing two whose difference is large. In these cases a
multiple comparisons method should be used, even though only one test is being performed. Example 9.12 An engineer examines the weld data in Table 9.1 and notices that the two treatments with the largest difference in sample means are flux A and flux C. He decides to test the null hypothesis that the mean
hardness for welds produced with flux A differs from that for welds produced with flux C. Since he will only perform one test, he uses the Fisher LSD method rather than the Bonferroni or Tukey-Kramer method. Explain why this is wrong. Solution The engineer has examined every pair of means and has chosen the two whose difference is largest.
Although he is formally performing only one test, he has chosen that test by comparisons procedure, such as the Bonferroni or Tukey-Kramer method. The following output (from MINITAB) presents the Tukey-Kramer 95% simultaneous confidence intervals for the weld
data. Page 702 The values labeled "Center" are the differences between pairs of treatment means. The quantities labeled "Lower" and "Upper" are the lower and upper bounds, respectively, of the confidence intervals contains the true difference in treatment means. Note that the
"Individual confidence level" is 98.87%. This means that we are 98.87% confident that any one specific confidence intervals is higher than that for the Fisher LSD intervals, the Tukey-Kramer intervals are wider. Example 9.13 In Example 9.5
(in Section 9.1), several measurements of the maximum hourly concentrations (in µg/m3) of SO2 were presented for each of four power plants, and it was concluded that the mean concentrations at the four plants were not all the same. The following output (from MINITAB) presents the Tukey-Kramer 95% simultaneous confidence intervals for mean
concentrations at the four plants. Which pairs of plants, if any, can you conclude with 95% confidence to have differing means? Page 703 Solution Among the simultaneous confidence intervals for \mu 1 - \mu 2 and for \mu 1 - \mu 2 and for \mu 1 - \mu 2 and for \mu 1 - \mu 3. Therefore we conclude that the mean concentrations differ between
plants 1 and 2 and between plants 1 and 3. Exercises for Section 9.2 1. The article "Organic Recycling for Soil Quality Conservation in a Sub-Tropical Plateau Region" (K. Chakrabarti, B. Sarkar, et al., J. Agronomy and Crop Science, 2000:137-142) reports an experiment in which soil specimens were treated with six different treatments, with two
replicates per treatment, and the acid phosphate activity (in µmol p-nitrophenol released per gram of oven-dry soil per hour) was recorded. An ANOVA table for a one-way ANOVA follows. One-way ANOVA: Treatments A, B, C, D, E, F Source DF SS MS Treatment 5 1.18547 0.23709 Error 6 0.03050 0.00508 Total 11 1.21597 The treatment means were
F 46.64 P 0.000 Page 704 Treatment A B C D E F Mean 0.99 1.405 1.63 1.395 1.22 a. 2. Can you conclude that there are differences in acid phosphate activity among the treatment A B C D E F Mean 0.99 1.405 1.63 1.395 1.22 a. 2. Can you conclude that there are differences in acid phosphate activity among the treatment A B C D E F Mean 0.99 1.405 1.63 1.395 1.22 a. 2. Can you conclude that there are differences in acid phosphate activity among the treatment A B C D E F Mean 0.99 1.405 1.63 1.395 1.22 a. 2. Can you conclude that there are differences in acid phosphate activity among the treatment A B C D E F Mean 0.99 1.405 1.63 1.395 1.22 a. 2. Can you conclude that there are differences in acid phosphate activity among the treatment A B C D E F Mean 0.99 1.405 1.63 1.395 1.22 a. 2. Can you conclude that there are differences in acid phosphate activity among the treatment A B C D E F Mean 0.99 1.405 1.63 1.395 1.22 a. 2. Can you conclude that there are differences in acid phosphate activity among the treatment A B C D E F Mean 0.99 1.405 1.63 1.395 1.22 a. 2. Can you conclude that there are differences in acid phosphate activity among the treatment A B C D E F Mean 0.99 1.405 1.63 1.395 1.22 a. 2. Can you conclude that there are differences in acid phosphate acid p
which pairs of treatment means, if any, are different at the 5% level. d. Which method is more powerful in this case, the Tukey-Kramer method or the Bonferroni method? e. The experimenter notices that treatment A had the smallest sample mean, while treatment B had the largest. Of the Fisher LSD method, the Bonferroni method, and the Tukey-Kramer method or the Bonferroni method?
Kramer method, which, if any, can be used to test the hypothesis that these two treatment means are equal? The article "Optimum Design of an A-pillar Trim with Rib Structures for Occupant Head Protection" (H. Kim and S. Kang, Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, 2001:1161-1169) discusses a study in which several types of A-pillar Trim with Rib Structures for Occupant Head Protection" (H. Kim and S. Kang, Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, 2001:1161-1169) discusses a study in which several types of A-pillar Trim with Rib Structures for Occupant Head Protection (H. Kim and S. Kang, Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, 2001:1161-1169) discusses a study in which several types of A-pillar Trim with Rib Structures for Occupant Head Protection (H. Kim and S. Kang, Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, 2001:1161-1169) discusses a study in which several types of A-pillar Trim with Rib Structures for Occupant Head Protection (H. Kim and S. Kang, Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, 2001:1161-1169) discusses a study in which several types of A-pillar Trim with Rib Structures for Occupant Head Protection (H. Kim and S. Kang, Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, 2001:1161-1169) discusses a study in which several types of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers (H. Kim and S. Kang, Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers).
pillars were compared to determine which provided the greatest protection to occupants of longitudinal spacing of the rib (the article also discussed two insignificant factors, which are omitted here). There were nine replicates at each level.
The response is the head injury criterion (HIC), which is a unitless quantity that measures the impact energy absorption of the pillar. One-way ANOVA: Spacing Error Total DF 2 24 26 SS 50946.6 120550.9 171497.4 MS 25473.3 5023.0 F 5.071 P 0.015 The treatment means were Treatment Mean a. b. A 930.87 B 873.14 C 979.41 Can
you conclude that the longitudinal spacing affects the absorption of impact energy? Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine which pairs of treatment means, if any, are different at the 5% level. c. 3. Use the Bonferroni method to determine which pairs of treatment means, if any, are different at the 5% level. d. Which method is more powerful in
this case, the Tukey-Kramer method or the Bonferroni method? Acrylic resins used in the fabrication of dentures should not absorb much water, since water sorption reduces strength. The article "Reinforcement of Acrylic Resin for Provisional Fixed Restorations." Part III: Effects of Addition of Titania and Zirconia Mixtures on Some Mechanical and
Physical Properties" (W. Panyayong, Y. Oshida, et al., Bio-Medical Materials and Engineering, 2002:353–366) describes a study of the effect on water sorption of adding titanium dioxide (TiO2) and zirconium dioxide (TiO2) and ZrO2
were immersed in water for one week, and the water sorption (in µg/mm2) was measured in each. The results are presented in the following table. Page 705 Formulation A (control) B C D E F G H a. 4. 5. Volume % TIO2 ZrO2 Mean 0 0 24.03 1 1 14.88 1 2 12.81 1 0.5 11.21 2 2 16.05 2 4 12.87 2 1 15.23 3 3 15.37 Standard Deviation 2.50 1.55 1.08
2.98 1.66 0.96 0.97 0.64 Use the Bonferroni method to determine which of the noncontrol formulations (B through H) differ, at the 5% level, in their mean water sorption from the control formulations? Why? Refer to Exercise 2 in Section
9.1. a. Use the Bonferroni method to determine which means, if any, differ from the mean of the control group at the 5% level. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine which means, if any, differ from the mean of the control group at the 5% level. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine which means, if any, differ from the mean of the control group at the 5% level. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine which means, if any, differ from the mean of the control group at the 5% level. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine which means, if any, differ from the mean of the control group at the 5% level. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine which means, if any, differ from the mean of the control group at the 5% level. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine which means, if any, differ from the mean of the control group at the 5% level. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine which means, if any, differ from the mean of the control group at the 5% level. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine which means, if any, differ from the mean of the control group at the 5% level. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine which means, if any, differ from the mean of the control group at the 5% level. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine which means, if any, differ from the mean of the control group at the 5% level. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine which means, if any, differ from the mean of the control group at the 5% level. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine which means are the first the f
control group, the Bonferroni method or the Tukey-Kramer method? Refer to Exercise 13 in Section 9.1. a. Use the Bonferroni method to determine which pairs of means, if any, are different at the 5% level. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine which pairs of means, if any, are different at the 5% level. c. 6. 7. 8. Which is the more powerful
method to find all the pairs of treatments whose means are different, the Bonferroni method? Refer to Exercise 1 in Section 9.1. A scientist wants to determine whether the mean strength of specimens cured at 30°C, and 20°C, and 20°C, and 20°C. a. Use the Bonferroni method
to determine which of the means, if any, for 0°C, 10°C, and 20°C differ from the mean for 30°C. Use the 5% level. c. Which is the more powerful method to find all the treatments whose means differ from the mean for 30°C. Use the 5% level. c. Which is the more powerful method to find all the treatments whose means differ from the mean for 30°C. Use the 5% level. c. Which is the more powerful method to find all the treatments whose means differ from the mean for 30°C.
that of the 30°C temperature, the Bonferroni method or the Tukey-Kramer method? Refer to Exercise 13 in Section 9.1. A metallurgist wants to determine whether the mean tensile strength for specimens annealed at 750°C, 800°C, and 850°C. a. Use the Bonferroni method to
determine which of the means, if any, for 750°C, 800°C, and 850°C differ from the mean for 900°C. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine which of the means, if any, for 750°C, 800°C, and 850°C differ from the mean for 900°C. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine which of the means, if any, for 750°C, 800°C, and 850°C differ from the mean for 900°C. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine which of the means, if any, for 750°C, 800°C, and 850°C differ from the mean for 900°C. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine which of the means, if any, for 750°C, 800°C, and 850°C differ from the mean for 900°C. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine which of the means, if any, for 750°C, 800°C, and 850°C differ from the mean for 900°C. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine which of the means, if any, for 750°C, 800°C, and 850°C differ from the mean for 900°C. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine which of the means, if any, for 750°C, 800°C, and 850°C differ from the mean for 900°C. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine which of the means for 900°C. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine which of the means for 900°C. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine which of the means for 900°C. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine which of the means for 900°C. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine which is the mean for 900°C. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine the mean for 900°C. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine the mean for 900°C. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine the mean for 900°C. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine the mean for 900°C. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine the mean for 900°C. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine the mean for 900°C. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine the mean for 900°C. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine the mean for 900°C. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine the mean for 900°C. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine the part of the part of 900°C. b. U
the Bonferroni method or the Tukey-Kramer method? Refer to Exercise 3 in Section 9.1. Page 706 a. Use the Fisher LSD method to find a 95% confidence interval for the difference between the means for treatments B and D. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to find a 95% confidence interval for the difference between the means for treatments B and D. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to find a 95% confidence interval for the difference between the means for treatments B and D. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to find a 95% confidence interval for the difference between the means for treatments B and D. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to find a 95% confidence interval for the difference between the means for treatments B and D. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to find a 95% confidence interval for the difference between the means for treatments B and D. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to find a 95% confidence interval for the difference between the means for treatments B and D. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to find a 95% confidence interval for the difference between the means for treatments B and D. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to find a 95% confidence interval for the difference between the means for treatments B and D. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to find a 95% confidence interval for the difference between the means for treatments B and D. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to find a 95% confidence interval for the difference between the means for the difference between the find a 95% confidence interval for the difference between the means for the difference between the find a 95% confidence interval for the difference between the find a 95% confidence interval for the difference between the find a 95% confidence interval for the difference between the find a 95% confidence interval for the difference between the find a 95% confidence interval for the difference between the find a 95% confidence interval for the difference between the find a 95% confidence interval for the difference between the properties of the 95% confi
in Section 9.1. a. Use the Fisher LSD method to find a 95% confidence interval for the difference between the means for specimens aged 3 days and specimens aged 3 days and specimens aged 3 days. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine which pairs of treatments, if any, differ at the 5% level. 10. Refer to Exercise 9 in Section 9.1. a. Use the Fisher LSD method to
find a 95% confidence interval for the difference between the means for plants A and C. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine which pairs of temperatures, if any, differ at the 5% level. 11. Refer to Exercise 16 in Section 9.1. a. Use the Fisher LSD method to find a 95% confidence interval for the difference between the means for a diameter
of 16 and a diameter of 36. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine which pairs of diameters, if any, differ at the 5% level. 12. Refer to Exercise 18 in Section 9.1. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to find a 95% confidence interval for the difference between the means for annularly threaded and smooth shank nails. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to find a 95% confidence interval for the difference between the means for annularly threaded and smooth shank nails. b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to find a 95% confidence interval for the difference between the means for annularly threaded and smooth shank nails.
to determine which pairs of nail types, if any, differ at the 5% level. In an experiment to determine the effect of catalysts were, and . Assume that five runs were made with each catalyst. a. If MSE = 3.85, compute the value of the F statistic for testing the
null hypothesis that all four catalysts have the same mean yield. Can this null hypothesis be rejected at the 5% level? b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine which pairs of catalysts, if any, may be concluded to differ at the 5% level. In an experiment to determine the effect of curing time on the compressive strength of a certain type of
concrete, the mean strengths, in MPa, for specimens cured for each of four curing times were and . Assume that four specimens were cured for each curing times have the same mean strength. Can this null hypothesis be rejected at the 5%
level? b. Use the Tukey-Kramer method to determine which pairs of curing times, if any, may be concluded to differ at the 5% level. For some data sets, the F statistic will reject the null hypothesis of no difference in mean yields, but the Tukey-Kramer method will not find any pair of means that can be concluded to differ. For the four sample means
given in Exercise 13, assuming a sample size of 5 for each treatment, find a value of MSE so that the F statistic rejects the null hypothesis of no difference at the 5% level. For some data sets, the F statistic will reject the null hypothesis of no difference in mean
yields, but the Tukey-Kramer method will not find any pair of means that can be concluded to differ. For the four sample means given in Exercise 14, assuming a sample size of 4 for each treatment, find a value of MSE so that the F statistic rejects the null hypothesis of no difference at the 5% level, while the Tukey-Kramer method does not find any
pair of means to differ at the 5% level. Page 707 a. 13. 14. 15. 16. 9.3 Two-Factor Experiments In one-factor experiments, discussed in Sections 9.1 and 9.2, the purpose is to determine whether varying the level of a single factor affects the response. In this
 section, we will discuss the case in which there are two factors. The experiments, naturally enough, are called two-factor experiments. We illustrate with an example. A chemical engineer is studying the effects of various reagents and catalysts on the yield of a certain process. Yield is expressed as a percentage of a theoretical maximum. Four runs of
the process were made for each combination of three reagents and four catalysts. The results are presented in Table 9.2. In this experiment there are two factors, the catalyst is called the row factor, since its value varies from row to row in the table, while the reagent is called the column factor. These designations are
arbitrary, in that the table could just as easily have been presented with the rows representing the catalysts. TABLE 9.2 Yields for runs of a chemical process with various combinations of reagent and tatalyst Catalysts. TABLE 9.2 Yields for runs of a chemical process with various combinations of reagent and tatalysts. TABLE 9.2 Yields for runs of a chemical process with various combinations of reagent and tatalysts.
terminology for these factor combinations is not standardized. We will refer to each combination of factors as a treatment, but some authors use the term treatment are called replicates. When the number of replicates is the same for each treatment, we will denote this number by K.
Thus in Table 9.2, K = 4. When observations are taken on every possible treatment, the design is called a complete design or a full factorial design. Incomplete designs, in which there are no data for one or more treatments, can be difficult to interpret, except for some special cases. When possible, complete designs should be used. When the number
of replicates is the same for each treatment, the design is said to be balanced designs. We will restrict our discussion to balanced designs. As with one-factor experiments, we did not need to assume that the design was balanced designs. We will restrict our discussion to balanced designs. As with one-factor experiments, unbalanced designs are more difficult to analyze than balanced designs. We will restrict our discussion to balanced designs. As with one-factor experiments,
the factors may be fixed or random. The methods that we will describe apply to models where both effects are fixed. Later we will briefly describe models where one or both factors are random. In a completely randomized design, each treatment represents a population, and Page 708 the observations on that treatment are a simple random sample
from that population. We will denote the sample values for the treatment corresponding to the ith level of the column factor by Xij1,..., XijK. We will denote the population mean outcome for this treatment by µij. The values µij are often called the treatment means. In general, the purpose of a two-factor experiment is
to determine whether the treatment means are affected by varying either the row factor, the column factor, or both. The method of analysis of Variance In a two-way analysis of variance, we wish to determine whether varying the
level of the row or column factors changes the value of µij. To do this, we must express µij in terms of parameters that describe the row and column factors. For any level i of the row factor, the
average of all the treatment means µij in the ith row is denoted. We express in terms of the treatment means as follows: (9.38) Finally, we define the population grand
mean, denoted by μ, which represents the average of all the treatment means μij. The population grand mean can also be expressed as the average of the quantities or of the quantities or of the quantities among μij, TABLE 9.3, and μ. Treatment means and their averages across rows and down columns Column Level 2 ··· μ12
··· Row Level 1 1 μ11 2 μ21 μ22 ··· μ2 j : I : μI1 : μI2 ··· μ2 j : I : μI : μI2 ··· μ2 j : I : μI : μI2 ··· μ2 j : I : μI : μI3 ··· μ2 mean μij as follows: (9.40) Equation (9.40) expresses the treatment mean μij as a sum of four terms. In practice, simpler notation is used for the three rightmost terms in Equation
(9.40): (9.41) (9.42) (9.43) Page 709 Each of quantities μ, αi, βj, and γij has an important interpretation: The quantity μ is the population grand mean, which is the average of all the treatment means. The quantity μ is the population grand mean, which is the average of all the treatment means. The quantity μ is the population grand mean, which is the average of all the treatment means. The quantity μ is the population grand mean, which is the average of all the treatment means. The quantity μ is the population grand mean, which is the average of all the treatment means are treatment means.
population grand mean. The value of α indicates the degree to which the ith level of the row factor tends to produce outcomes that are larger or smaller than the population grand mean. The quantity is called the jth column factor and the population grand
mean. The value of βj indicates the degree to which the jth level of the column factor tends to produce outcomes that are larger or smaller than the population grand mean. The quantity is called the ij interaction. The effect of a level of a row (or column) factor may depend on which level of the column factor it is paired with. The interaction
terms measure the degree to which this occurs. For example, assume that level 1 of the row factor tends to produce a large outcome when paired with column level 2. In this case y1, 1 would be positive, and y1, 2 would be negative. Both row effects are called main effects to
distinguish them from the interactions. Note that there are J row effects, one for each level of the column factor, and IJ interactions, one for each level of the column factor, and IJ interactions. Note that there are J row effects, one for each level of the column factor, and IJ interactions (9.37) through (9.39) that the row effects, column effects, and IJ interactions (9.37) through (9.39) that the row effects, column effects, and IJ interactions (9.37) through (9.39) that the row effects, column effects, and IJ interactions (9.37) through (9.39) that the row effects (9.39) the row effects (9.39) that the r
interactions satisfy the following constraints: (9.44) We now can express the treatment means \mu in terms of \alpha, \beta, and \gamma in terms of \alpha, \beta, and \gamma in terms of \alpha. It follows that (9.45) For each observation \beta, and \gamma in terms of \alpha in the difference between the observation \beta and \gamma in terms of \alpha in the difference between the observation \beta in the difference \beta in the differ
Combining Equations (9.46) and (9.45) yields the two-way ANOVA model: (9.47) When the additive model is said to apply. Under the additive model, Equation (9.48) and Equation (9.48) and Equation (9.49) Under the additive model is said to apply. Under the additive model, the treatment mean μij is equal to 0, the additive model is said to apply.
an amount of that results from using row level i along with column lev
combined effect of a row level and a column level cannot be determined from their individual main effects. We will now show how to estimate the parameters for the full two-way model (9.47). The procedure is straightforward. We first define
some notation for various averages of the data Xijk, using the data in Table 9.2 as an example. Table 9.4 presents the average yields for runs of a chemical process using different combinations of reagent and catalyst Catalyst A B C D Column Mean 1 84.85 75.35
70.30 73.18 75.92 Reagent 2 89.13 79.40 76.65 81.10 81.57 3 85.28 84.65 78.20 77.23 81.34 Row Mean 86.42 79.80 75.05 77.17 Sample Grand Mean Each number in the body of Table 9.2. These are called the cell means. They are denoted and are defined by (9.50) Averaging
the cell means across the rows produces the row means; Page 711 (9.51) Averaging the cell means down the column means, the average of the column means across the row means, the average of the column means across the row means, the average of the column means across the row means, the average of the column means across the row means, the average of the column means across the row means ac
Now we describe how to estimate the parameters in the two-way ANOVA model. The fundamental idea is that the best estimate of the quantity is the row mean, the best estimate of the quantity is the column to the treatment. It follows that the best estimate of the quantity is the row mean, the best estimate of the quantity is the column to the column to the treatment and the parameters in the two-way and the parameters in the parameters in the two-way and the parameters in the two-way and the parameters in the parameters in the two-way and the parameters in the paramet
mean, and the best estimate of the population grand mean μ is the sample g
performing some algebra, it can be shown that their estimates satisfy the same constraints: (9.57) Example 9.14 Compute the estimated row effects, and interactions for the data in Table 9.2. Solution Using the quantities in Table 9.4 and Equations (9.54), we compute Page 712 Using Two-Way ANOVA to Test
Hypotheses A two-way analysis of variance is designed to address three main questions: 1. Does the additive model hold? 2. If so, is the mean outcome the same for all levels of the column factor? In general, we ask questions 2 and 3 only when we believe that the additive model
may hold. We will discuss this further later in this section. The three questions are addressed by performing hypothesis tests. The null hypothesis that all the interactions are equal to 0: 2. 3. If this null hypothesis is true, the additive model holds. To
test whether the mean outcome is the same for all levels of the row factor, we test the null hypothesis that all the row factor. To test whether the mean outcome is the same for all levels of the column factor, we test the null hypothesis that
all the column effects are equal to 0: If this null hypotheses for the standard tests for the standard two-way ANOVA hypothesis is true, then the mean outcome is the standard tests for the standard tests fo
are valid under the following conditions: 1. The design must be complete. 2. The design must be balanced. 3. The number of replicates per treatment, K, must be at least 2. 4. Within any treatment, K, must be at least 2. 4. Within any treatment, the observations Xij1,..., XijK are a simple random sample from a normal population. 5. The population variance is the same for all treatments. We denote
this variance by σ2. Just as in one-way ANOVA, the standard tests for these null hypotheses are based on sums of squares (SSB), the interaction sum of squares (SSB), the error sum of squares (SSB), the standard tests for these null hypotheses are based on sums of squares (SSB), the interaction sum of squares (SSB), the interaction su
the sum of the others. Formulas for these sums of squares are as follows: (9.58) (9.59) (9.60) (9.61) (9.62) It can be seen from the rightmost expressions in Equations (9.58) through (9.62) that the total sum of squares, SST, is equal to the sum of the others. This is the analysis of variance identity for two-way ANOVA. Page 714 The Analysis of Variance
Identity (9.63) Along with each sum of squares is a quantity known as its degrees of freedom. The sums of squares and their degrees of freedom for each sum of squares, along with the computationally most convenient formula. We point out that the degrees of
freedom for SST is the sum of the degrees of freedom I-1 Sum of Squares J-1 Interactions (SSA) (I-1) I-1 Error (SSE) IJ(K-1) Total (SST) IJ(K-
the estimated row effects. Therefore when the true row effects \alphai are equal to 0, SSA will tend to be smaller, and when some of the true row effects \betaj, are all
equal to 0 and larger when some column effects are not zero, and SSAB will tend to be smaller when the true interactions yij, are all equal to 0 and larger when some interactions are not zero. We will therefore reject H0: \beta 1 = \cdots = \beta J = 0 when SSAB is sufficiently large. We can
determine whether SSA, SSB, and SSAB are sufficiently large by comparing them to the error sum of squares, SSE. As in one-way ANOVA (Section 9.1), SSE depends only on the distances between the observations and their own cell means. SSE therefore Page 715 measures only the random variation inherent in the process and is not affected by the
values of the row effects, column effects, or interactions. To compare SSA, SSB, and SSAB with SSE, we first divide each sum of squares by its degrees of freedom, producing quantities known as mean squares. The mean squares are
the quotients of MSA, MSB, and MSAB with MSE. The null distributions of these test statistics are F distributions. Specifically, \blacksquare Under H0: \alpha 1 = \cdots = \beta J = 0, the statistic distribution. Under H0: \gamma 11 = \cdots = \gamma 1J = 0, the statistic distribution. In practice, the sums of squares, mean squares, and
test statistics are usually calculated with the use of a computer. The following output (from MINITAB) presents the ANOVA table for the data in Table 9.2. Two-way ANOVA: Yield versus Catalyst, Reagent Source Catalyst Reagent Interaction Error Total DF 3 2 6 36 47 SS 877.56 327.14 156.98 1125.33 2487.02 MS 292.521 163.570 26.164 31.259 F
9.36 5.23 0.84 P 0.000 0.010 0.550 S = 5.591 R-sq = 54.75% R-Sq(adj) = 40.93% The labels DF, SS, MS, F, and P refer to degrees of freedom, sum of squares, mean square for error (MSE) is an estimate of the error variance σ2 and the quantity labeled "S" is the square
root of MSE and is an estimate of the error standard deviation \sigma. The quantities "R-sq" and "R-sq(adj)" are computed with formulas analogous to those in one-way ANOVA. Page 716 Example 9.15 Use the preceding ANOVA table to determine whether the additive model is plausible for the vield data. If the additive model is plausible, can we conclude
that either the catalyst or the reagent affects the yield? Solution We first check to see if the additive model is plausible. The P-value for the interactions are equal to 0, and we conclude that the additive model is plausible. Since the additive model is
plausible, we now ask whether the row or column factors affect the outcome. We see from the table that the P-value for the column effects (Reagent) is approximately 0, so we conclude that the reagent affects the yield as well
Example 9.16 The article "Uncertainty in Measurements of Dermal Absorption of Pesticides" (W. Navidi and A. Bunge, Risk Analysis, 2002:1175-1182) describes an experiment in which a pesticide was applied to skin at various concentrations and for various lengths of time. The outcome is the amount of the pesticide that was absorbed into the skin
The following output (from MINITAB) presents the ANOVA table. Is the additive model plausible? If so, do either the concentration or the duration Error Total DF 2 2 4 27 35 SS 49.991 19.157 0.337 6.250 75.735 MS 24.996
9.579 0.084 0.231 F 107.99 41.38 0.36 P 0.000 0.000 0.832 Solution The P-values for both concentration and duration affect the amount absorbed. Checking the Assumptions A
residual plot can be used to check the assumption of equal variances, and a normal probability plot of the residuals versus the fitted values, which are the sample means. Figures 9.6 and Page 717 9.7 present both a normal probability plot and a residual plot for the yield data found
in Table 9.2. The assumptions appear to be well satisfied. FIGURE 9.6 Normal probability plot for the yield data. There is no evidence against the assumption of equal variances. Don't Interpret the Main Effects When the Additive
Model Doesn't Hold When the interactions are small enough so that the additive model is plausible, interpretation of the main Page 718 effects. Here is a hypothetical example to illustrate
the point. Assume that a process is run under conditions obtained by varying two factors at two levels each. Two runs are made at each of the four combinations of row and column levels. The yield of the process is measured each time, with the results presented in the following table. Column Level Row Level 1 2 1 51, 49 43, 41 2 43, 41 51, 49
Clearly, if it is desired to maximize yield, the row and column factors matter—we want either row level 1 paired with column level 2. Now look at the following ANOVA table. Source Row Column Interaction Error Total DF 1 1 4 7 SS 0.0000 0.0000 128.00 8.0000 136.00 MS 0.0000 0.0000 128.00 2.0000 F
0.00 0.00 64.00 P 1.000 1.000 0.001 The main effects sum of squares for both the row and column main effects the yield. But it is
clear from the data that the row and column factors do affect the yield. What is happening is that the row and column factor is better if level 2 of the column factor is better if level 1 of the column factor is used. When averaged over the two levels of the
column factor, the levels of the row factor have the same mean yield. Similarly, the column levels depend on which column leve
that tells us not to try to interpret the main effects. This P-value is quite small, so we reject the additive model. Then we know that some of the interactions are nonzero, so the effects of the row levels depend on the column levels, and vice versa. For this reason, when the additive model is rejected, we should not try to interpret the main effects. We
need to look at the cell means themselves in order to determine how various combinations of row and column levels affect the outcome. Page 719 Summary In a two-way analysis of variance:
outcome. If the additive model is rejected, then hypothesis tests for the main effects should not be used. Instead, the cell means must be examined to determine how various combinations of row and column levels affect the outcome. Example 9.17 The thickness of the silicon dioxide layer on a semiconductor wafer is crucial to its performance. In the
article "Virgin Versus Recycled Wafers for Furnace Oualification: Is the Expense Justified?" (V. Czitrom and J. Reece, Statistical Case Studies for Process Improvement, SIAM-ASA, 1997:87-103), oxide layer thicknesses were measured for three types of wafers; virgin wafers, wafers recycled in-house, and wafers recycled by an external supplier. In
addition, several furnace locations were used to grow the oxide layer. A two-way ANOVA for three runs at one wafer site for the following table, followed by the results (from MINITAB). Furnace Locations was performed. The data are presented in the following table, followed by the results (from MINITAB).
(Å) Virgin 90.1 90.7 89.4 In-house 90.4 88.8 90.6 External 92.6 90.0 93.3 Virgin 91.9 88.6 89.7 In-house 90.3 91.5 External 88.3 88.2 89.4 Virgin 88.1 90.2 External 88.3 88.2 89.4 Virgin 88.1 90.2 External 92.6 90.0 93.3 Virgin 88.1 90.2 External 88.3 88.2 89.4 Virgin 88.1 90.2 External 92.6 90.0 93.3 Virgin 91.9 88.6 89.7 In-house 91.0 90.4 90.2 External 91.5 External 91.5 External 92.6 90.0 93.3 Virgin 91.9 88.6 89.7 In-house 91.0 90.4 90.2 External 91.5 External 91.5 External 92.6 90.0 93.3 Virgin 91.9 88.6 89.7 In-house 91.0 90.4 90.2 External 91.5 External 92.6 90.0 93.3 Virgin 91.9 88.6 89.7 In-house 91.0 90.4 90.2 External 91.5 External 91.5 External 91.5 External 92.6 90.0 93.3 Virgin 91.9 88.6 89.7 In-house 91.0 90.4 90.2 External 91.5 Ext
25.573 Total 26 56.907 MS 2.9378 2.0544 5.3372 1.4207 F 2.07 1.45 3.76 P 0.155 0.262 0.022 Since recycled wafers are cheaper, the company hopes that there is no Page 720 difference in the oxide layer thickness among the three types of chips. If possible, determine whether the data are consistent with the hypothesis of no difference. If not
possible, explain why not. Solution The P-value for the interactions is 0.022, which is small. Therefore the additive model is not plausible, so we cannot interpret the main effects. A good thing to do is to make a table of the cell means. Table 9.6 presents the sample mean for each treatment.
Location 1 2 3 Column Mean Virgin 90.067 90.067 90.067 88.300 89.478 Wafer Type In-House 89.933 91.233 90.566 External 91.967 88.633 90.367 90.322 Row Mean 90.656 89.978 89.733 From Table 9.6, it can be seen that the thicknesses do vary among wafer types, but no one wafer type consistently produces the thickest, or the thinnest, oxide
layer. For example, at furnace location 1 the externally recycled wafers produce the thinnest. This is due to the interaction of furnace location and wafer
type. A Two-Way ANOVA Is Not the Same as Two One-Way ANOVAs were run on the row and column factors separately, there would be only six treatments. This means that in practice, running
separate oneway ANOVAs on each factor may be less costly than running a two-way ANOVA. Unfortunately, this "one-at-a-time" design is sometimes used in practice for this reason. It is important to realize that running separate one-way analyses on the individual factors can give results that are misleading when interactions are present. To see this,
look at Table 9.6. Assume that an engineer is trying to find the combination of furnace location, using in-house recycled wafers, because those wafers are the ones currently being used in production. Furnace location 1 produces the thinnest layer for
in-house wafers. Now the engineer runs the process once for each wafer type, all at location 1, which was the best for the in-house wafers produced by the combination of in-house
wafers at furnace location 1. A look at Table 9.6 shows that the conclusion Page 721 is false. There are two combinations of furnace location will produce the thinnest layers at all locations, and that
the location that produces the thinnest layers for one wafer type will produce the thinnest layers for all types. This is equivalent to assuming that there are no interactions between the factors, which in the case of the wafers and locations is incorrect. In summary, the one-at-a-time method fails because it cannot detect interactions between the factors
Summary Image When there are two factors, a two-factor design must be used. Examining one factor at a time cannot reveal interaction plots on the wafer data. We describe the method by which this plot was constructed. The
vertical axis represents the response, which is layer thickness. One factor is chosen to be represented on the horizontal axis. We chose furnace location; it would have been equally acceptable to have chosen wafer type. Now we proceed through the levels of the wafer-type factor. We'll start with external wafers. The three cell means for external
wafers, as shown in Table 9.6, are 91.967, 88.633, and 90.367, corresponding to furnace locations 1, 2, and 3, respective furnace locations and are connected with line segments. This procedure is repeated for the other two wafer types to complete the plot. FIGURE 9.8 Interaction plot for the wafer
data. The lines are far from parallel, indicating substantially different Page 722 pattern than those for the significant interaction and is the reason that the main effects of wafer and furnace type cannot
be easily interpreted. In comparison, for perfectly additive data, for which the interaction estimates are equal to 0, the line segments in the interaction plot for hypothetical data with interaction estimates equal to 0. The line segments are parallel. Figure 9.10
presents an interaction plot for the yield data. The cell means were presented in Table 9.4. The lines are nonzero, but are smaller than those for Page 723 the wafer data. In fact, the P-value for the test of the null hypothesis of no
interaction was 0.550. (see the ANOVA table on page 715). The deviation from parallelism exhibited in Figure 9.10 is therefore small enough to be consistent with the hypothesis of no interaction plot for yield data. Multiple Comparisons in Two-Way ANOVA An F test is used to test the null hypothesis that all the row effects
(or all the column effects) are equal to 0. If the null hypothesis is rejected, we can conclude that some of the row effects (or column effects) differ from each other. But the hypothesis test does not tell us which ones are different from the rest. If the additive model is plausible, then a method of multiple comparisons known as Tukey's method (related to
the Tukey-Kramer method described in Section 9.2) can be applied to determine for which pairs the row effects or column effects can be concluded to differ from one another. The method is described in the following box. Tukey's Method for Simultaneous Confidence Intervals and Hypothesis Tests in Two-Way ANOVA Let I be the number of levels of
the row factor, J be the number of levels of the column factor, and K be the sample size for each treatment. Then, if the additive model is plausible, the Tukey level 100(1-\alpha)\% confidence intervals for all differences \alpha i - \alpha j (or all differences \alpha i - \alpha j) are We are 100(1-\alpha)\% confident that the Tukey confidence intervals contain the true
```

value of the difference $\alpha i - (or \beta i - \beta j)$ for every i and j. For every pair of levels i and j for which, the null hypothesis H0: $\beta i - \beta j = 0$ is rejected at level α . Example 9.14, the main effects and interactions were computed for the yield data

```
in Table 9.2. An ANOVA table for these data was presented on page 715. If appropriate, use Tukey's method to determine which pairs of catalysts and which pairs of reagents can be concluded to differ, at the 5% level, in their effect on yield. Page 724 Solution From the ANOVA table, the P-value for interactions is 0.550. Therefore the additive mode
is plausible, so it is appropriate to use Tukey's method. Catalyst is the row factor and reagent is the column factor, so I = 4, J = 3, and K = 4. From the ANOVA table, MSE = 31.259. We first find all pairs for which the row effects differ at the 5% level. For the row effects, we should use the value q4,36,.05. This value is not found in the Studentized
range table (Table A.9 in Appendix A). We will therefore use the value q4,30,.05 = 3.85, which is close to (just slightly greater than) q4,36,.05. In Example 9.14, the estimated row effects were computed to be We computed t
catalyst A differs from the mean yields of catalysts B, C, and D differ from each other. We now find all pairs for which the column effects differ at the 5% level. For the column effects, we should use the value q3,36,.05, but since this value is not found in the Studentized range table
we will use the value q3,30,05 = 3.49. We compute . In Example 9.14, the estimated column effects whose differences are greater than 4.88 are and and and . We conclude that the mean yields of reagent 1 differs from the mean yields of reagents 2 and 3, but we cannot conclude that the mean yields of
reagents 2 and 3 differ from each other. Two-Way ANOVA when K = 1 The F tests we have presented require the assumption that the sample size K for each i and j. In addition, the degrees of freedom for SSE, which is IJ(K - 1)
1), is equal to 0 when K = 1. When K = 1. When K = 1, a two-way ANOVA cannot be performed unless it is certain that the additive model holds. In this case, since the interactions are assumed to be zero, the mean square for interaction (MSAB; see Equation 9.64) and its degrees of freedom can be used in place of MSE to test the main row and column effects.
Random Factors Our discussion of two-factor experiments has focused on the case where both factors are random. If both factors are random, the experiment is Page 725 said to follow a random effects model. If one
factor is fixed and one is random, the experiment is said to follow a mixed model. In the one-factor case, the analysis is the same for both fixed and random effects models, while the null hypotheses differ among fixed effects models, random effects models.
and mixed models. Methods for models in which one or more effects are random can be found in more advanced texts, such as Hocking (2014). Unbalanced Designs We have assumed that the design is balanced, that is, that the number of replications is the same for each treatment. The methods described here do not apply to unbalanced designs
However, unbalanced designs that are complete may be analyzed with the methods of multiple regression. An advanced text such as Draper and Smith (1998) may be consulted for details. Exercises for Section 9.3 1. 2. To assess the effect of piston ring wear, three types of piston ring wear, three types of piston ring and four types of oil were studied
Three replications of an experiment, in which the number of milligrams of material lost from the ring in four hours of running was measured, were carried out for each of the 12 combinations of oil type and piston ring type as the row effect and piston ring type as the row effect, the following sums of squares were observed: SSA =
1.0926, SSB = 0.9340, SSAB = 0.2485, SSE = 1.7034. a. How many degrees of freedom are there for the effect of piston ring type? c. How many degrees of freedom are there for the effect of piston ring type? c. How many degrees of freedom are there for the effect of piston ring type? c. How many degrees of freedom are there for the effect of piston ring type? c. How many degrees of freedom are there for the effect of piston ring type? c. How many degrees of freedom are there for the effect of piston ring type? c. How many degrees of freedom are there for the effect of piston ring type? c. How many degrees of freedom are there for the effect of piston ring type? c. How many degrees of freedom are there for the effect of piston ring type? c. How many degrees of freedom are there for the effect of piston ring type? c. How many degrees of freedom are there for the effect of piston ring type? c. How many degrees of freedom are there for the effect of piston ring type? c. How many degrees of freedom are there for the effect of piston ring type? c. How many degrees of freedom are there for the effect of piston ring type? c. How many degrees of freedom are there for the effect of piston ring type? c. How many degrees of freedom are there for the effect of piston ring type? c. How many degrees of freedom are there for the effect of piston ring type? c. How many degrees of freedom are there for the effect of piston ring type? c. How many degrees of freedom are there for the effect of piston ring type? c. How many degrees of freedom are there for the effect of piston ring type? c. How many degrees of freedom are there for the effect of piston ring type? c. How many degrees of freedom are there for the effect of piston ring type? c. How many degrees of freedom are there for the effect of piston ring type? c. How many degrees of freedom are there for the effect of piston ring type? c. How many degrees of freedom are the effect of piston ring type? c. How many degrees of freedom are there for the effect of piston ring type? c. 
may give ranges for the P-values. f. Is the additive model plausible? Provide the value of the test statistic and the P-value. f. Is it plausible that the main effects of piston ring type are all equal to 0? Provide the value of the test statistic and the P-value. f. Is it plausible that the main effects of piston ring type are all equal to 0? Provide the value of the test statistic and the P-value. f. Is it plausible that the main effects of piston ring type are all equal to 0? Provide the value of the test statistic and the P-value. f. Is it plausible that the main effects of piston ring type are all equal to 0? Provide the value of the test statistic and the P-value. f. Is it plausible that the main effects of piston ring type are all equal to 0? Provide the value of the test statistic and the P-value. f. Is it plausible that the main effects of piston ring type are all equal to 0? Provide the value of the test statistic and the P-value. f. Is it plausible that the main effects of piston ring type are all equal to 0? Provide the value of the test statistic and the P-value. f. Is it plausible that the main effects of piston ring type are all equal to 0? Provide the value of the test statistic and the P-value of the test statis
statistic and the P-value. A machine shop has three machines used in precision grinding of cam rollers. Three machines or their operators, each operator worked on each machine on four different days. The
 outcome measured was the daily production of parts that met specifications. With the operator as the row effect and the machine as the column effect, the following sums of squares were observed: SSA = 3147.0, SSB = 136.5, SSAB = 411.7, SSE = 1522.0. a. How many degrees of freedom are there for the operator effect? b. How many degrees of
freedom are there for the machine effect? c. How many degrees of freedom are there for interactions? d. How many degrees of freedom are there for error? e. Construct an ANOVA table. You may give ranges for the P-values. f. Is the additive model plausible? Provide the value of the test statistic and the P-value. g. Is it plausible that the main effects
of operator are all equal to 0? Provide the value of 3. 4. 5. Page 726 the test statistic and the P-value. An experiment to determine the effect of mold temperature on tensile strength involved three different alloys and five different
mold temperatures. Four specimens of each alloy were cast at each mold temperature as the row factor and alloy as the column factor, the sums of squares were: SSA = 69,738, SSB = 8958, SSAB = 7275, and SST = 201,816. a. Construct an ANOVA table. You may give ranges for the P-values. b. Is the additive model
plausible? Explain. c. Is it plausible that the main effects of mold temperature are all equal to 0? Provide the value of the test statistic and the P-value. The effect of curing pressure on bond strength was tested for four different
 adhesives. There were three levels of curing pressure. Five replications were performed for each combination of curing pressure and adhesive. With adhesive as the row factor and curing pressure as the column factor, the sums of squares were: SSA = 155.7, SSB = 287.9, SSAB = 156.7, and SST = 997.3. a. Construct an ANOVA table. You may give
ranges for the P-values. b. Is the additive model plausible? Explain. c. Is it plausible that the main effects of adhesive are all equal to 0? Provide the value of the test statistic and the P-value. The article "Change in Creep
Behavior of Plexiform Bone with Phosphate Ion Treatment" (R. Regimbal, C. DePaula, and N. Guzelsu, Bio-Medical Materials and Engineering, 2003:11-25) describes an experiment to study the effects of saline and phosphate ion solutions on mechanical properties of plexiform bone. The following table presents the yield stress measurements for six
specimens treated with either saline (NaCl) or phosphate ion (Na2HPO4) solution, at a temperature of either 25°C or 37°C. (The article presents means and standard deviations only; the values in the table are consistent with these.) Solution Temperature Yield Stress (MPa) NaCl 25°C 138.40 130.89 94.646 96.653 116.90 88.215 NaCl 37°C 92.312
147.28 116.48 88.802 114.37 90.737 Na2HPO4 25°C 120.18 129.43 139.76 132.75 137.23 121.73 Na2HPO4 a. b. c. d. 37°C 123.50 128.94 102.86 99.941 161.68 136.44 Estimate all main effects and interactions. Construct an ANOVA table. You may give ranges for the P-values. Is the additive model plausible? Provide the value of the test statistic and
the P-value. Can the effect of solution (NaCl versus Na2HPO4) on yield stress be described by interpreting the main effects of solution? If so, interpret the main effects of temperature on yield stress be described by interpreting the main effects of
temperature? If so, interpret the main effects, including the appropriate test statistic and P-value. If not, explain why not. The article "Variance Reduction Techniques: Experimental Comparison and Analysis for Single Systems" (I. Sabuncuoglu, M. Fadiloglu, and S. Çelik, IIE Transactions, 2008:538-551) describes a study of methods for reducing
variance in estimators of the mean inventory on hand. Two systems, the serial line system and the inventory system, were studied, along with two schemes for proportional sampling. The results given below (in percent) are consistent with the means and standard deviations reported in the article. Page 727 System Scheme Reduction Serial A 6.4 5.8
5.1 8.4 7.0 8.4 8.5 7.5 7.0 7.9 Line Serial B 4.7 4.7 3.8 5.3 10.6 4.5 8.2 10.8 5.1 5.7 Line Inventory B 8.9 7.0 10.7 10.3 6.2 12.2 7.0 9.5 8.7 9.7 a. b. c. d. 7. Estimate all main effects and interactions. Construct an ANOVA table. You may give ranges for the P-values. Is the additive model plausible?
Provide the value of a test statistic and the P-value. Can the effect of system on reduction be described by interpreting the main effects of scheme on reduction be described by interpreting the main effects of scheme of schem
If so, interpret the main effects, including the appropriate test statistic and Pvalue. If not, explain why not. The effect of curing pressure on bond strength (in MPa) was tested for two different adhesives. There were three levels of curing pressure and adhesive.
presented in the following table. Adhesive A A A B B B a. b. Curing Pressure Low Medium High Bond Strength 8.1 8.8 6.3 6.6 6.4 8.1 3.5 4.1 2.6 5.1 6.0 3.7 2.9 5.2 5.6 4.5 0.8 3.2 Construct an ANOVA table. You may give ranges for the P-values. Is the additive model plausible? Provide the value of the test statistic and the P-value. c.
 8. Can the effect of adhesive on the bond strength be described by interpreting the main effects. If not, explain why not. d. Can the effect of curing pressure? If so, interpret the main effects. If not, explain why not. Adding
glass particles to clay brick may improve the structural properties of the brick. The article "Effects of Waste Glass Additions on the Properties and Durability of Fired Clay Brick" (S. Chidiac and L. Federico, Can J Civ Eng, 2007:1458-1466) describes experiments in which the compressive strength (in MPa) was measured for bricks with varying
amounts of glass content and glass particle size. The results in the following table are consistent with means and standard deviations presented in the article. Glass Content (%) 5 5 10 10 15 15 a. b. Size Coarse 90.3 Fine 73.0 Coarse 80.1 Fine 73.0 Coarse 80.1 Fine 73.0 Coarse 80.1 Fine 74.1 Strength (MPa) 70.8 78.6 81.7 79.2 90.1 71.4 93.8 82.7 76.9 76.5 84.3 77.7
80.1 121.2 81.4 61.2 95.8 103.1 99.5 73.3 144.1 122.4 134.5 124.9 Estimate all main effects and interactions. Construct an ANOVA table. You may give ranges for the P-value. Can the effect of glass content on strength be described by interpreting the main
effects of glass content? If so, interpret the main effects, including the appropriate test statistic and P-value. If not, explain why not. e. Can the effect of particle size on strength be described by interpret the main effects, including the appropriate test statistic and P-value. If not, explain why not. The
article "Application of Radial Basis Function Neural Networks in Optimization of Hard Turning of AISID2 Cold-Worked Tool Steel With a Ceramic Tool" (S. Basak, U. Dixit, and J. Davim, Journal of Engineering Manufacture, 2007:987-998) presents the results of an experiment in which tool wear was computed for various values of three factors. We
consider two of those factors, cutting speed and cutting time. The results are presented in the following table. c. d. 9. Speed (m/min) 80 80 80 150 Time (min) Wear (mm) 5 5 6 5 5 4 10 8 8 8 8 15 11 10 9 9 10 5 9 11 9 8 10 3 8 9 9 150 150 220 220 10 15 5 10 15 14 16 34 60 65 14 15 33 59 64 15 26 19 29 31 13 24 21 31 33 17 24 18 28 75 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 18 25 
20 31 80 a. b. c. d. Estimate all main effects and interactions. Construct an ANOVA table. You may give ranges for the P-values. Is the additive model plausible? Provide the value of a test statistic and the P-value of a test statistic and the P-
appropriate test statistic and P-value. If not, explain why not. e. Can the effects of time on wear be described by interpreting the main effects of time on wear be described by interpreting the main effects of time on wear be described by interpreting the main effects of time on wear be described by interpreting the main effects of time on wear be described by interpreting the main effects of time on wear be described by interpreting the main effects of time on wear be described by interpreting the main effects of time on wear be described by interpreting the main effects of time on wear be described by interpreting the main effects of time on wear be described by interpreting the main effects of time on wear be described by interpreting the main effects of time on wear be described by interpreting the main effects of time on wear be described by interpreting the main effects of time on wear be described by interpreting the main effects of time on wear be described by interpreting the main effects of time on wear be described by interpreting the main effects of time on wear be described by interpreting the main effects of time on wear be described by interpreting the main effects of time on wear be described by interpreting the main effects of time on wear be described by interpreting the main effects of time on the main effects of time on the main effects of time of the main ef
for speed. Four tools were tested under each combination of settings. The results (in hours) were as follows. Feed Rate Light Light Medium Fast Slow Medium Fas
49.8 48.6 45.0 46.7 41.9 41.4 38.3 32.8 39.9 59.7 58.2 53.9 51.6 51.1 49.2 51.3 37.9 35.9 Construct an ANOVA table. You may give ranges for the P-value. Page 729 c. Can the effect of feed rate on lifetime be described by interpreting the main effects of feed rate? If
so, interpret the main effects, using multiple comparisons at the 5% level if necessary. If not, explain why not. d. Can the effect of the speed on lifetime be described by interpret the main effects, using multiple comparisons at the 5% level if necessary. If not, explain why not. 11. Artificial joints consist of
a ceramic ball mounted on a taper. The article "Friction in Orthopaedic Zirconia Taper Assemblies" (W. Macdonald, A. Aspenberg, et al., Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, 2000: 685-692) presents data on the coefficient of friction for a push-on load of 2 kN for taper assemblies made from two zirconium alloys and employing
three different neck lengths. Five measurements were made for each combination of material and neck length. The results presented in the following table are consistent with the cell means and standard deviations presented in the following table are consistent with the cell means and standard deviations presented in the following table are consistent with the cell means and standard deviations presented in the article. Taper Material CPTi-ZrO2 Neck Length Short Coefficient of Friction 0.254 0.195 0.281 0.289 0.220 CPTi-ZrO2
Medium 0.196 0.220 0.185 0.259 0.197 CPTi-ZrO2 Long 0.329 0.481 0.320 0.296 0.178 TiAlloy-ZrO2 Short 0.150 0.118 0.158 0.177 TiAlloy-ZrO2 Long 0.178 0.198 0.201 0.199 0.210 a. b. c. Compute the main effects and interactions. Construct an ANOVA table. You may give ranges for the Policy Computer than the property of the Policy Computer than 
values. Is the additive model plausible? Provide the value of the test statistic, its null distribution, and the P-value. d. Can the effect of material? If so, interpret the main effects. If not, explain why not. e. Can the effect of material on the coefficient of friction be
described by interpreting the main effects of neck length? If so, interpret the main effects, using multiple comparisons at the 5% level if necessary. If not, explain why not. 12. The article "Anodic Fenton Treatment of Treflan MTF" (D. Saltmiras and A. Lemley, Journal of Environmental Science and Health, 2001:261-274) describes a two-factor
 experiment designed to study the sorption of the herbicide trifluralin. The factors are the initial trifluralin concentration and the Fe2:H2O2 delivery ratio. There were three replications for each treatment. The results presented in the following table are consistent with the means and standard deviations reported in the article. Initial Concentration (M)
15 15 15 15 15 40 40 40 40 100 Delivery Ratio Sorption (%) 1:0 10.90 8.47 12.43 1:1 3.33 2.40 2.67 1:5 0.79 0.76 0.84 1:10 0.58 1.13 1.28 1:0 6.61 6.66 7.43 100 100 100 1:1 1:5 1:10 1.25 1.46 1.17 1.27 0.93 0.67 1.49 1.16 0.80 Page 730 a. b. c. Estimate all main effects and
interactions. Construct an ANOVA table. You may give ranges for the P-values. Is the additive model plausible? Provide the value of the test statistic, its null distribution, and the P-values. Is the additive model plausible? Provide the value of the test statistic, its null distribution, and the P-values. Is the additive model plausible? Provide the value of the test statistic, its null distribution, and the P-values. Is the additive model plausible? Provide the value of the test statistic, its null distribution, and the P-values. Is the additive model plausible? Provide the value of the test statistic, its null distribution, and the P-values. Is the additive model plausible? Provide the value of the test statistic, its null distribution, and the P-value of the test statistic, its null distribution of the test statistic, its null distribution of the test statistic of the p-value of the test statistic of the te
Construct an interaction plot. Explain how the plot illustrates the degree to which interactions are present. 14. The article "Use of Taguchi Methods and Multiple Regression Analysis for Optimal Process Development of High Energy Electron Beam Case Hardening of Cast Iron" (M. Jean and Y. Tzeng, Surface Engineering, 2003:150-156) describes a construction of the con
factorial experiment designed to determine factors in a high-energy electron beam process that affect hardness in metals. Results for two factors, each with three levels, are presented in the following table. Factor A is the travel speed in mm/s, and factor B is accelerating voltage in volts. The outcome is Vickers hardness. There were six replications
for each treatment. In the article, a total of seven factors were studied; the two presented here are those that were found to be the most significant. A 10 10 20 20 20 30 30 30 a. b. B 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 50 10 25 
681 893 856 776 845 775 706 642 632 723 796 706 723 832 827 675 613 645 734 772 615 712 841 831 568 Estimate all main effects and interactions. Construct an ANOVA table. You may give ranges for the P-values. Is the additive model plausible? Provide the value of the test statistic and the P-value. Can the effect of travel speed on the hardness
be described by interpreting the main effects of travel speed? If so, interpret the main effects, using multiple comparisons at the 5% level if necessary. If not, explain why not. e. Can the effect of accelerating voltage? If so, interpret the main effects, using multiple
comparisons at the 5% level if necessary. If not, explain why not. 15. The article "T-Bracing for Stability of Compression Webs in Wood Trusses" (R. Leichti, I. Hofaker, et al., Journal of Structural Engineering, 2002:374–381) presents results of experiments in which critical buckling loads (in kN) for T-braced assemblies were estimated by a finite-
 element method. The following table presents data in which the factors are the length of the side member and its method of attachment. There were 10 replications given in the article. Page 731 c. d. Attachment Adhesive Adhesive Adhesive Nail Nail Nail
 Length Critical Buckling Load Quarter 7.90 8.71 7.72 8.88 8.55 6.95 7.07 Half 14.07 13.82 14.77 13.39 11.98 12.72 9.48 Full 26.80 28.57 24.82 23.51 27.57 25.96 24.28 Quarter 6.92 5.38 5.89 6.07 6.37 7.14 Half 9.67 9.17 10.39 10.90 10.06 9.86 10.41 Full 20.63 21.15 24.75 20.76 21.64 21.47 25.25 7.59 7.77 7.86 13.59 13.09 12.09 25.68 21.64
28.16 6.71 4.36 6.78 10.24 9.31 11.99 22.52 20.45 20.38 a. b. c. d. Compute all main effects and interactions. Construct an ANOVA table. You may give ranges for the P-values. Is the additive model plausible? Provide the value of a test statistic and the P-value. Can the effect of attachment method (nail versus adhesive) on the critical buckling load be
described by interpreting the main effects of attachment method? If so, interpret the main effects of side member length? If so, interpret the main effects, using multiple comparisons at the 5% level if necessary. If
not, explain why not. 16. The article referred to in Exercise 15 also presents measurements of Young's modulus for side member and its method of attachment. There were 10 replications for each combination of factors. The data (in
kN/mm2) are consistent with the means and standard deviations given in the article. Attachment Adhesive Adhesiv
7.57 9.79 7.89 8.86 8.05 8.13 9.07 8.07 Nail Nail Half Full 9.84 7.96 9.34 8.32 9.64 8.21 10.43 8.73 9.37 9.12 9.48 7.96 9.34 8.32 9.64 8.21 10.43 8.73 9.37 9.12 9.48 7.96 9.34 8.39 10.10 8.07 a. b. c. d. Compute all main effects and interactions. Construct an ANOVA table. You may give ranges for the P-values. Is the additive model plausible? Provide the value of a test statistic
and the P-value. Can the effect of attachment method? If so, interpret the main effects of attachment method? If so, interpret the main effects of side member
length? If so, interpret the main effects, using multiple comparisons at the 5% level if necessary. If not, explain why not. 17. Each of three operators made two weights very close to 54 g, so the weights are reported in units of µg
above 54 g. (Based on the article "Revelation of a Microbalance Warmup Effect," J. Buckner, B. Chin, et al., Statistical Case Studies for Industrial Process Improvement, SIAM-ASA, 1997:39-45.) Wafer 1 2 3 Operator 1 11 15 210 208 111 113 Operator 2 10 6 205 201 102 105 Operator 2 10 6 205 201 102 105 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 2 10 6 205 201 102 105 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3 14 10 208 207 108 111 113 Operator 3
shortly after the balance had been powered up. A new policy was instituted to leave the balance powered up continuously. The three operators then made two weighings of three different wafers. The results are presented in the following table. a. b. Wafer 1 2 3 Operator 1 152 156 443 440 229 227 Operator 2 156 155 442 439 229 232 Operator 3 152
157 435 439 225 228 Construct an ANOVA table. You may give ranges for the P-values. Compare the ANOVA table in part (a) of Exercise 17. Would you recommend leaving the balance powered up continuously? Explain your reasoning. 19. The article "Cellulose Acetate Microspheres Prepared by O/W Emulsification
and Solvent a. b. Evaporation Method" (K. Soppinmath, A. Kulkarni, et al., Journal of Microencapsulation, 2001:811-817) describes a study of the effects of the concentrations of polyvinyl alcohol (PVAL) and dichloromethane (DCM) on the encapsulation efficiency in a process that produces microspheres containing the drug ibuprofen. There were
three concentrations of PVAL (measured in units of % w/v) and three of DCM (in mL). The results presented in the following table are consistent with the means and standard deviations presented in the article. PVAL DCM = 30 0.5 98.983 99.268 95.149 96.810 94.572 86.718 75.288 74.949 72.363 1.0 89.827 94.136 96.537
82.352 79.156 80.891 76.625 76.941 72.635 2.0 95.095 95.153 92.353 86.153 91.653 87.994 80.059 79.200 77.141 a. b. Construct an ANOVA table. You may give ranges for the P-values. Discuss the relationships among PVAL concentration, and encapsulation efficiency. 9.4 Randomized Complete Block Designs In some
 experiments, there are factors that vary and have an effect on the response, but whose effects are not of interest to the experiment in a single day, so the observations have to be spread out over several days. If conditions that can affect the outcome vary
from day to day, then the day becomes a factor in the experiment, even though there may be no interest in estimating its effect. For a more specific example, imagine that three types of fertilizer are to be evaluated for their effect on yield of fruit in an orange grove, and that three replicates will be performed, for a total of nine observations. An area is
divided into nine plots, in three rows of three plots each. Now assume there is a water gradient along the plot area, so that the rows receive differing amounts of water is now a factor in the experiment, even though Page 733 there is no interest in estimating the effect of water amount on the yield of oranges. If the water factor is
ignored, a one-factor experiment could be carried out with fertilizer as the only factor. Each of the plots at random. Figure 9.11 presents two possible random arrangements. In the arrangement on the left, the plots
with fertilizer A get more water than those with the other two fertilizers. In the plots on the right, the plots with fertilizer C get the most water. When the treatments for one factor are assigned completely at random, it is likely that they will not be distributed evenly over the levels of another factor. FIGURE 9.11 Two possible arrangements for three
fertilizers, A, B, and C, assigned to nine plots completely at random. It is likely that the amount of water in fact has a negligible effect on the response, then the completely randomized one-factor design is appropriate. There is no reason to worry about a factor that does not affect the
the experiment is repeated several times, the estimates are likely to vary greatly from repetition. For this reason, the completely randomized one-factor design produces estimated effects that have large uncertainties. A better design for this experiment is a two-factor design, with water as the second factor. Since the effects of water are
fertilizer and water. Figure 9.12 presents two possible arrangements. FIGURE 9.12 Two possible arrangements for three fertilizers, A, B, and C, with the restriction that each fertilizer must appear once at each water level (block). The distribution of water levels is always the same for each fertilizer. In the two-factor design, each treatment appears
equally often (once, in this Page 734 example) in each block. As a result, the effect of the blocking factor does not contribute to uncertainty in the estimate of the main effects of the treatment factor. Because each treatment factor does not contribute to uncertainty in the estimate of the main effects of the treatment factor. Because each treatment factor does not contribute to uncertainty in the estimate of the main effects of the treatment factor.
complete, balanced two-factor design would be. There is one important consideration, however. The only effects of interest are the main effects of the treatment factors. Example 9.19 Three fertilizers are studied for their effect on yield in an
among fertilizers? What assumption is made about interactions between fertilizer A B C Plot 1 430 367 320 Plot 2 542 463 421 Plot 3 287 253 207 Two-way ANOVA: Yield versus Block, Fertilizer Source Fertilizer Source Fertilizer A B C Plot 1 430 367 320 Plot 2 542 463 421 Plot 3 287 253 207 Two-way ANOVA: Yield versus Block, Fertilizer A B C Plot 1 430 367 320 Plot 2 542 463 421 Plot 3 287 253 207 Two-way ANOVA: Yield versus Block, Fertilizer A B C Plot 1 430 367 320 Plot 2 542 463 421 Plot 3 287 253 207 Two-way ANOVA: Yield versus Block, Fertilizer A B C Plot 1 430 367 320 Plot 2 542 463 421 Plot 3 287 253 207 Two-way ANOVA: Yield versus Block, Fertilizer A B C Plot 1 430 367 320 Plot 2 542 463 421 Plot 3 287 253 207 Two-way ANOVA: Yield versus Block, Fertilizer A B C Plot 1 430 367 320 Plot 2 542 463 421 Plot 3 287 253 207 Two-way ANOVA: Yield versus Block, Fertilizer A B C Plot 1 430 367 320 Plot 2 542 463 421 Plot 3 287 253 207 Two-way ANOVA: Yield versus Block, Fertilizer A B C Plot 1 430 367 320 Plot 2 542 463 421 Plot 3 287 253 207 Two-way ANOVA: Yield versus Block, Fertilizer A B C Plot 1 430 367 320 Plot 2 542 463 421 Plot 3 287 253 207 Two-way ANOVA: Yield versus Block, Fertilizer A B C Plot 1 430 367 320 Plot 2 542 463 421 Plot 3 287 253 207 Two-way ANOVA: Yield versus Block, Fertilizer A B C Plot 1 430 367 320 Plot 2 542 463 421 Plot 3 287 253 207 Two-way ANOVA: Yield versus Block, Fertilizer A B C Plot 3 287 253 207 Two-way ANOVA: Yield versus Block, Fertilizer A B C Plot 3 287 253 207 Two-way ANOVA: Yield versus Block, Fertilizer A B C Plot 3 287 253 207 Two-way ANOVA: Yield versus Block, Fertilizer A B C Plot 3 287 253 207 Two-way ANOVA: Yield versus Block, Fertilizer A B C Plot 3 287 253 207 Two-way ANOVA: Yield versus Block, Fertilizer B B C Plot 3 287 253 207 Two-way ANOVA: Yield versus B B C Plot 3 287 253 207 Two-way ANOVA: Yield versus B B C Plot 3 287 253 207 Two-way ANOVA: Yield versus B B C Plot 3 287 253 207 Two-way ANOVA: Yield versus B B C Plot 3 287 253 207 Two-way ANOVA: Yield versus B
8106.778 38523.44 162.9444 F 49.75 236.4 P 0.001 0.000 Solution The P-value for the fertilizer factor is 0.001, so we conclude that fertilizer factor is no interaction between the fertilizer factor is 0.001, so we conclude that fertilizer factor is 0.001 for the fertilizer factor is 0.001.
experiment, blocking was necessary to detect the fertilizer effect. To see this, consider the experiment to be a onefactor experiment. The sum of SSE for the blocked design plus the sum of squares for error (SSE) would then be the sum of squares for error (SSE) would then be the sum of squares for error to detect the fertilizer effect.
sum of the degrees of freedom for error in the blocked design plus the degrees of freedom for blocks, or 2 + 4 = 6. The error mean square (MSE) would then be 77,698.7/6 \approx 12,950 rather than 162.9444, and the F statistic for the fertilizer effect would be less than 1, which would result in a failure to detect an effect. In general, using a blocked
greatly, while including a blocking factor that does not affect the response reduces the power only modestly in most cases. For this reason it is a good idea to use a blocked design whenever it is thought to be possible that the blocking factor is related to the response. Summary 

A two-factor randomized complete block design is a complete
balanced two-factor design in which the effects of one factor (the treatment factor) are not of interest, while the effects of the treatment factor (the blocking factor is included to reduce the uncertainty in the main effect estimates of the treatment factor. Since the object of a randomized complete block design is to
 estimate the main effects of the treatment factor, there must be no interaction between the treatment factor. A two-way analysis of variance is used to estimate effects and to perform hypothesis tests on the main effects of the treatment factor. A randomized complete block design provides a great advantage over a completely
randomized design when the blocking factor strongly affects the response and provides a relatively small disadvantage when the blocking factor strongly affects the response and provides a relatively small disadvantage when the blocking factor strongly affects the response and provides a relatively small disadvantage when the blocking factor strongly affects the response and provides a relatively small disadvantage when the blocking factor strongly affects the response and provides a relatively small disadvantage when the blocking factor strongly affects the response and provides a relatively small disadvantage when the blocking factor strongly affects the response and provides a relatively small disadvantage when the blocking factor strongly affects the response and provides a relatively small disadvantage when the blocking factor strongly affects the response and provides a relatively small disadvantage when the blocking factor strongly affects the response and provides a relatively small disadvantage when the blocking factor strongly affects the response and provides a relatively small disadvantage when the blocking factor strongly affects the response and provides a relatively small disadvantage when the blocking factor strongly affects the response and provides a relatively small disadvantage when the blocking factor strongly affects the response and provides a relatively small disadvantage when the blocking factor strongly affects the response and provides a relatively small disadvantage when the blocking factor strongly affects the response and provides a relatively small disadvantage when the blocking factor strongly affects the response and provides a relatively small disadvantage when the blocking factor strongly affects the response and provides a relatively small disadvantage when the blocking factor strongly affects are strongly affects.
and R. Lenth, Statistical Case Studies: A Collaboration Between Academe and Industry, SIAM-ASA, 1998:151-157) describes an experiment in which the quality of holes drilled in metal aircraft parts was studied. One important indicator of hole guality is "excess diameter," which is the difference between the diameter of the drill bit and the diameter
of the hole. Small excess diameter of the hole. Holes will be drilled in six test pieces (coupons), at three speeds: 6000, 10,000, and 15,000 rpm. The excess diameter can be affected not only by the speed of the drill, but also by
the physical properties of the test coupon. Describe an appropriate design for this experiment. Solution A randomized complete block design is appropriate, with drill speed as the treatment factor, and test coupon as the block design is appropriate.
the speeds within each block should be chosen at random. Example 9.21 The design suggested in Example 9.20 has been adopted, and the experiment has been adopted, and the experiment has been carried out. The results (from MINITAB) follow. Does the output indicate any violation of necessary assumptions? What do you conclude regarding the effect of drill speed on excess diameter?
Two-way ANOVA: Excess Diameter versus Block, Speed Source Block, Speed Interaction Error Total DF 5 2 10 18 35 SS 0.20156 0.07835 0.16272 0.67105 1.11368 MS 0.0403117 0.0372806 F 1.08 1.05 0.44 P 0.404 0.370 0.909 S = 0.1931 R-Sq = 39.74% R-Sq(adj) = 0.00% Solution In a randomized complete block design, there
must be no interaction between the treatment factor and the blocking factor, so that the main effect of the treatment factor may be Page 737 interpreted. The P-value for interactions is 0.909, which is consistent with the hypothesis of no interactions. Therefore there is no indication in the output of any violation of assumptions. The P-value for the
main effect of speed is 0.370, which is not small. Therefore we cannot conclude that excess hole diameter is affected by drill speed. Example 9.22 shows that a paired design (see Section 6.8), in which at test is used to compare two population means, is a special case of a randomized block design. Example 9.22 A tire manufacturer wants to compare
the tread wear of tires made from a new material with that of tires made from a conventional material. There are 10 tires of each type. Each tire will be measured for each tire. Describe an appropriate design for this experiment. Solution
The response is the tread wear after 40,000 miles. There is one factor of interest: the type of tire. Since the cars may differ in the amounts of wear they produce, the car is a factor as well, but its effect is not of interest: the type of tire. Since the cars may differ in the amounts of wear they produce, the car is a factor as well, but its effect is not of interest: A randomized complete block design is appropriate, in which one tire of each type is mounted on the front wheels of each car. You
may note that the randomized complete block design in Example 9.22 is the same design that is used when comparing two population means with a paired t test, as discussed in Section 6.8. The paired design described there is a special case of a randomized complete block design, in which the treatment factor has only two levels, and each level
appears once in each block. In fact, a two-way analysis of variance applied to data from such a design is equivalent to the paired t test. Multiple Comparisons in Randomized Complete Block Designs Once an ANOVA table has been constructed, then if the F test shows that the treatment main effects are not all the same, a method of multiple
comparisons may be used to determine which pairs of effects may be concluded to differ. We described in Section 9.2. The degrees of freedom, and the mean square used, differ depending on whether each treatment appears only once, or more than once, in each block
Page 738 Tukey's Method for Multiple Comparisons in Randomized Complete Block Designs In a randomized complete block design, with I treatment appears only once in each block, then the null hypothesis H0: \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected at level \alpha i - \alpha j = 0 is rejected 
experiments, such as Montgomery (2013a), can be consulted. Exercises for Section 9.4 1. Recycling newsprint is important in reducing waste. The article "The Influence of Newspaper Aging on Optical Properties of its De-inked Pulp" (M. Rahmaninia, A. Latibari, et al., Turkish J Eng Env Sci, 2008:35–39) presents the results of an experiment to
determine the effects of the age of newsprint on the brightness (in percent) of the recycled paper. Four aging periods were considered, along with five concentration is not of interest. The results are presented in the following table. Age (Months
 0 4 8 12 a. b. c. d. 2. 0% 54.6 45.6 46.1 44.0 NaOH Concentration 0.5% 1% 1.5% 54.3 55.5 56.3 44.1 43.7 45.6 45.9 46.4 45.0 44.1 45.2 43.7 2% 56.6 47.1 47.9 46.5 Identify the blocking factor and the treatment factor. Construct an ANOVA table. You may give ranges for the P-values. Can you conclude that brightness differs with age? Explain
 Which pairs of ages, if any, can you conclude to have differing brightnesses? Use the 5% level. Page 739 A study was done to see which of four machine. A randomized block design is employed. The MINITAB output follows. Source
Machine Block Interaction Error Total DF (i) (iv) (vii) (xi) (xii) SS 257.678 592.428 (viii) 215.836 1096.646 MS (ii) (v) (ix) 17.986 F (iii) (vi) (x) a. b. 3. 4. 5. P 0.021 0.000 0.933 Fill in the missing numbers (i) through (xii) in the output. Does the output indicate that the assumptions for the randomized block design are satisfied? Explain. c. Can you
conclude that there are differences among the machines? Explain. Four lighting methods were used in each of three rooms. For each method and each room, the illuminance (in lux) was measured in three separate occasions, resulting in three rooms. For each method and each room, the illuminance (in lux) was measured in three separate occasions, resulting in three rooms.
The following sums of squares were calculated: sum of squares for the P-values. b. Are the assumptions for a randomized complete block design satisfied? Explain. c.
Does the ANOVA table provide evidence that lighting type affects illuminance? Explain. Three different corrosion-resistant coating A, two received coating B, and the remaining two received coating C
The pipes were placed in a corrosive environment for a period of time; then the deepest pit (in mm) caused by corrosion was measured for each pipe. The following sums of squares were calculated: sum of
 squares for blocks = 11.2, sum of squares for treatments = 4.8, sum of squares for interactions = 18.4, total sum of squares et al. (a. Construct an ANOVA table. You may give ranges for the P-values. b. Are the assumptions for a randomized complete block design satisfied? Explain. c. Does the ANOVA table provide evidence that mean pit depth
differs among coatings? Explain. The article "Genotype-Environment Interactions and Phenotypic Stability Analyses of Linseed in Ethiopia" (W. Adguna and M. Labuschagne, Plant Breeding, 2002:66–71) describes a study in which seed yields of 10 varieties of linseed were compared. Each variety was grown on six different plots. The yields, in
Drainage Engineering, 2001:234-239) presents a study of the runoff depth (in mm) for various sprinkler types. Each of four days, with two replications on a few of the days; these are omitted). It is of interest to determine whether runoff depth varies with sprinkler type;
ANOVA table. You may give ranges for the P-values. Are the assumptions of a randomized complete block design met? Explain. e. Which pairs of sprinklers, if any, can you conclude, at the 5% level, to have differing mean runoff depths? The
article "Bromate Surveys in French Drinking Waterworks" (B. Legube, B. Parinet, et al., Ozone Science and Engineering, 2002:293–304) presents measurements of bromine concentrations (in µg/L) at several waterworks. The measurements made at 15 different times at each of four waterworks are presented in the following table. (The article also
presented some additional measurements made at several other waterworks.) It is of interest to determine whether concentrations vary over time. Waterworks 1 2 3 4 5 6 Time 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 A B C D 29 24 25 31 9 29 17 37 7 21 20 34 35 24 24 30 40
to have differing bromine concentrations? d. Someone suggests that these data could have been analyzed with a one-way ANOVA, ignoring the time factor, with 15 observations for each of the four waterworks. Does the ANOVA table support this suggestion? Explain. The article "Multi-objective Scheduling Problems: Determination of Pruned Pareto
Sets" (H. Taboada and D. Coit, IIE Transactions, 2008:552-564), presents examples in a discussion of optimization methods for industrial scheduling and production planning. In one example, seven different jobs were performed on each of five machines. The cost of each job on each machine is presented in the following table. Assume that it is of
interest to determine whether costs differ between machines, but that it is not of interest whether costs differ between jobs. a. b. c. 8. Machine A B C D E a. b. c. 9. 1 16 22 12 18 14 2 24 18 10 7 22 26 16 18 12 Identify the blocking factor and the treatment factor.
Construct an ANOVA table. You may give ranges for the P-values. Can you conclude, at the 5% level, to have differences in costs between some pairs of machines? Explain. d. Which pairs of machines, if any, can you conclude, at the 5% level, to have differences in costs? You have been given the task of designing a study concerning the lifetimes of five differences.
types of electric motor. The initial question to be addressed is whether there are differences in mean lifetime among the five types. There are 20 motors, four of each type, available for testing. A maximum of five motors can be tested each day. The
 would choose the five motors to test each day. Would you use a completely randomized design? Would you use any randomization at all? b. If Xij represents the measured lifetimes in terms of the Xij. 10. An engineering professor wants to
determine which subject engineering students find most difficult among statistics, physics, and chemistry. She obtains the final exam grades for four students who took all three courses last semester and who were in the same sections of each class. The results are presented in the following table. Course Statistics Physics Chemistry a. b. 1 82 75 93
Student 2 3 94 78 70 81 82 80 4 70 83 70 The professor proposes a randomized complete block design, with the students as the blocks. Give a reason that this is likely not to be appropriate. Describe the features of the data in the preceding table that suggest that the assumptions of the randomized complete block design are violated. Page 742 9.5 2p
Factorial Experiments When an experimenter wants to study several factors simultaneously, the number of different treatments can become quite large. In these cases, preliminary experiments are often performed in which each factor has only two levels. One level is designated as the "high" level, and the other is designated as the "low" level. If
 there are p factors, there are then 2p different treatments. Such experiments are called 2p factorial experiments. Often, the purpose of a 2p experiment is to determine which factors have an important effect on the outcome. Once this is determined, more elaborate experiments can be performed, in which the factors previously found to be important
factor is at its low level. It is common to denote the main effects by A, B, and C. As with any factorial experiment, there can be interactions between the factors. With three factors by AB, AC, and BC, and the three two-way interactions are denoted by AB, AC, and BC, and the three factors between the factors.
way interaction by ABC. The treatment are traditionally denoted with lowercase letters, with a letter indicating that a factor is at its low level. The symbol "1" is used to denote the treatment in which all factors are at their high level and the third factor is at its low level. The symbol "1" is used to denote the treatment in which all factors are at their high level.
signs are placed in the table as follows. For the main effects A, B, C, the sign is + for treatments in which the factor is at its low level. So for the main effect A, the sign is + for treatments in which the factor is at its low level. So for the main effect A, the sign is + for treatments in which the factor is at its low level. So for the main effect A, the sign is + for treatments a, ab, ac, and abc, and - for the interactions, the signs are computed by taking the product of
the signs in the corresponding main effects columns. For example, the signs for the two-way interaction AB are the products of the signs in columns A and B, and the signs for the three-way interaction AB are the products of the signs in columns A and B, and the signs for the two-way interaction AB are the products of the signs in columns A and B, and C. TABLE 9.7 Treatment 1 a b ab c ac bc abc Sign table for a 23 factorial experiment Cell
there is a "+" sign in column A. Each of the cell means, ,, and Page 743 is an average response for runs made with factor A at its high level. We estimate the mean response for factor A at its high level to be the average of these cell means. Similarly, each row with a "—" sign in column A represents a treatment with factor A at its high level. We
estimate the mean response for factor A at its low level to be the average of the cell means in these rows. The estimate of the main effect of factor A is the difference in the estimated mean response between its high and low levels. The quantity inside the parentheses is called the contrast for factor A. It is computed by adding and subtracting the cell
computed in an analogous manner. To illustrate, we present the effect or interaction is obtained by adding and subtracting the cell means, using the signs in the appropriate column of the sign table. For a 23 factorial experiment
(9.65) Example 9.23 A 23 factorial experiment was conducted to estimate the effects of three factors on the yield of a chemical reaction. The factors were A: catalyst concentration (low or high), B: reagent (standard formulation or new formulation), and C: stirring rate (slow or fast). Three replicates were obtained for each treatment. The yields,
 78.1000 72.4067 76.2733 76.1833 75.8333 Solution We use the sign table (Table 9.7) to find the appropriate sums and differences of the cell means. We present the three-way interaction ABC: We present all the estimated effects in the following table, rounded off to the same Page
745 precision as the data: Term A B C AB AC BC ABC Effect 3.10 2.73 -0.93 -3.18 -1.34 -1.06 1.07 For each effect, we can test the null hypothesis is rejected, this provides evidence that the factors involved actually affect the outcome. To test these null hypotheses, an ANOVA table is
constructed containing the appropriate sums of squares. For the tests we present to be valid, the number of replicates must be the same for each treatment must constitute a random sample from a normal population, and the populations must all have the same variance. We
compute the error sum of squares (SSE) by adding the sums of squared deviations from the sample means for all the treatments. To express this in an equation, let denote the sample variances of the observations in each of the eight treatments. To express this in an equation, let denote the sample means for all the treatments. To express this in an equation, let denote the sample variances of the observations in each of the eight treatments.
own sum of squares as well. These are easy to compute. The sum of squares for any effect or interaction is computed by squaring its contrast, multiplying by the number of replicates K, and dividing by the total number of replicates K, and dividing by the total number of treatments, which is 23 = 8. (9.67) When using Equation (9.67), it is best to keep as many digits in the effect estimates as possible
in order to obtain maximum precision in the sum of squares for the effect estimates and interactions have one degree of freedom each. The error sum of squares has 8(K - 1) degrees of freedom. The method for computing
mean squares and F statistics is the same as the one presented in Section 9.3 for a two-way ANOVA table. Each mean square for the effect or interaction is equal to 0 is Page 746 computed by dividing the mean square for the effect
                 the mean square for error. When the null hypothesis is true, the test statistic has an F1, 8(K-1) distribution. Example 9.24 Refer to Example 9.24 Refer to Example 9.23. Construct an ANOVA table. For each effect and interaction, test the null hypothesis that it is equal to 0. Which factors, if any, seem most likely to have an effect on the outcome? Solution The
ANOVA table follows. The sums of squares for the effects and interactions were computed by using Equation (9.66) to the data in Example 9.23. Each F statistic is the quotient of the mean square with the mean square for error. Each F statistic has 1 and 16 degrees of freedom.
Source A B C AB AC BC Effect 3.10 2.73 -0.93 -3.18 -1.34 -1.06 Sum of Squares df 57.54 1 44.72 1 5.23 1 60.48 1 10.75 1 6.76 F 7.34 5.70 0.67 7.71 1.37 0.86 P 0.015 0.030 0.426 0.013 0.259 0.367 ABC Error Total 1.07 6.83 1 125.48 16 317.78 23 6.83 0.87 0.365 7.84 The main effects of factors
A and B, aswellasthe AB interaction, have fairly small Pvalues. This suggests that these effects are not equal to 0 and that factors A and B do affect the outcome. There is no evidence that the main effect of factor C, or any of its interactions, differ from 0. Further experiments might focus on factors A and B. Perhaps a two-way ANOVA would be
conducted, with each of the factors A and B evaluated at several levels, to get more detailed information about their effects on the outcome. Interpreting Computer Output (from MINITAB) presents the results of the analysis described in Examples
9.23 and 9.24. Page 747 Factorial Fit: Yield versus A. B. C Estimated Effects and Coefficients for Yield (coded units) Term Constant A B C A*B A*C B*C A*B*C S = 2.80040 Effect Coef SE Coef T 75.641 0.5716 132.33 3.097 1.548 0.5716 2.39 -0.933 -0.467 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 132.33 3.097 1.548 0.5716 2.79 -0.933 -0.467 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -1.587 0.5716 -0.82 -3.175 -0.82 -3.175 -0.82 -3.175 -0.82 -3.175 -0.82 -3.1
-1.17 -1.062 -0.531 0.5716 -0.93 1.067 0.531 0.5716 -0.93 1.067 0.533 0.5716 0.93 R-Sq = 60.51% R-Sq(adj) = 43.24% P 0.000 0.015 0.030 0.426 0.013 0.259 0.367 0.365 Analysis of Variance for Yield (coded units) Source Main Effects 2-Way Interactions 3-Way Interactions Residual Error Pure Error Total DF 3 3 1 16 16 23 Seg SS 107.480 77.993 6.827 125.476 125.476
317.776 Adj SS 107.480 77.993 6.827 125.476 Adj MS F P 35.827 4.57 0.017 25.998 3.32 0.047 6.827 0.87 0.365 7.842 The table at the top of the output presents estimated effects and coefficients. The phrase "coded units" means that the values 1 and -1, rather than the actual values, are used to represent the high and low levels of
each factor. The estimated effects are listed in the column labeled "Effect." In the next column are the estimated coefficients, each of which is equal to one-half the corresponding effect. While the effect represents the difference between the
mean response at the high level and the grand mean response, which is half as much. The coefficient labeled "Constant" is the mean of all the observations, that is, the sample grand mean response, which is shown in the column labeled "SE Coef." MINITAB uses the Student's t statistic, rather than
the F statistic, to test the hypotheses that the effects are equal to zero. The column labeled "T" presents the value of the Student's t statistic, which is equal to the quotient of the coefficient estimate (Coef) and its standard deviation. Under the null hypotheses, the t statistic has a Student's t distribution with 8(K - 1) degrees of freedom. The P-values
are presented in the column labeled "P." The t test performed by MINITAB is equivalent to the F test described in Example 9.24. The t8(K-1) statistic and applying the sign of the effect estimate. The P-values are identical. We'll discuss the analysis of variance table next.
The column labeled "DF" presents degrees of freedom. The columns labeled "Seq SS" (sequential sum of squares) and "Adj SS" (adjusted sum of squares) will be identical in all the examples we will consider and will contain sums of squares of freedom.
We will now explain the rows involving error. The row labeled "Pure Error" is concerned with the error sum of squares (SSE). Under the column "Adj MS" is the
mean square for error. The row above the pure error row is labeled "Residual Error." The sum of squares for pure error, plus the sums of squares for pure error, plus the sum of squares for error sum of squares for pure error, plus the sum of squares for error sum of squares for error sum of squares for pure error, plus the sum of squares for error sum of squares for er
of freedom for pure error, plus the degrees of freedom (one each) for each main effects and interactions are included in the model. Since in this example all main effects and interactions are included in the model. Since in this example all main effects and interactions are included in the model.
contains the total sum of squares (SST). The total sum of squares and its degrees of freedom are equal to the sums of the corresponding quantities for all the effects, interactions, and residual error. Going back to the top of the table, the first row is labeled "Main Effects." There are three degrees of freedom for main effects, because there are three
main effects (A, B, and C), with one degree of freedom each. The sequential sum of squares for each of the sums of squares divided by its degrees of freedom. The column labeled "F" presents the F statistic for testing the null hypothesis that all the main effects are
equal to zero. The value of the F statistic (4.57) is equal to the quotient of the mean square for main effects (35.827) and the mean square for (pure) error (7.842). The degrees of freedom for the F statistic are 3 and 16, corresponding to the degrees of freedom for the two mean squares. The column labeled "P" presents the P-value for the F statistic are 3 and 16, corresponding to the degrees of freedom for the two mean squares.
this case the P-value is 0.017, which indicates that not all the main effects are zero. The rows labeled "2-Way Interactions" and "3-Way Interactions" are analogous to the row for main effects. The P-value for two-way interactions is 0.047, which is reasonably strong evidence that at least some of the two-way interactions are not equal to zero. Since
there is only one three-way interaction (A * B * C), the P-value in the table at the top of the MINITAB output for A * B * C. Recall that the hypothesis tests are performed under the assumption that all the observations have the same standard deviation σ. The quantity labeled "S"
is the estimate of σ and is equal to the square root of the mean square for error (MSE). The quantities "R-sq" and "R-sq(adj)" are the coefficients of determination R2 and the adjusted R2, respectively, and are computed by methods analogous to those in one-way ANOVA. Page 749 Estimating Effects in a 2p Factorial Experiment A sign table can be
used to obtain the formulas for computing effect estimates in any 2p factorial experiment. The method is analogous to the 23 case. The treatments where the factor is at its low
level. Signs for the interactions are found by multiplying the signs corresponding to the factors in the appropriate columns, to compute a contrast. The contrast is then divided by half the number of
treatments, or 2p-1, to obtain the effect estimate. Summary For a 2p factorial experiment: (9.68) As an example, Table 9.8 (page 750) presents a sign table for the main effects and selected interactions for a 25 factorial experiment.
Treatment 1 a b ab c ac bc abc d ad bd abd cd acd bcd abcd e ae be abe ce ace bce abc de ade bde A B C D E + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - 
              error is 2p(K-1), where K is the number of replicates per treatment. The sum of squares for each effect and interaction is equal to the square of the contrast, multiplied by the number of replicates K and divided by the number of replicates K and divided by the number of replicates per treatment.
for main effects and interactions are computed by dividing the sum of squares for the effect by the mean square for each treatment. In this case, it
is not possible to compute SSE, so the hypothesis tests previously described cannot be performed. If it is reasonable to assume that some of the higherorder interactions can be added together and treated like an error sum of squares. Then the main effects and lower order interactions can
be tested. Page 750 Example 9.25 A 25 factorial experiment was conducted to estimate the effects of five factors on the quality of lightbulbs manufactured by a certain process. The factors were A: plant (1 or 2), B: machine type (low or high speed), C: shift (day or evening), D: lead wire material (standard or new), and E: method of loading materials
into the assembler (manual or automatic). One replicate was obtained for each treatment. Table 9.9 presents the results. Compute estimates of the main effects and interactions, and their sums of squares to use as a substitute for an error sum of
squares. Use this substitute to test hypotheses concerning the main effects and second-order interactions. Page 751 TABLE 9.9 Treatment 1 a b ab c ac bc abc dead bdd abd cd acd bcd abd ed acd bcd abd ed ab
53.28 25.10 39.25 37.77 46.69 32.55 32.56 28.99 48.92 40.60 37.57 47.22 56.87 34.51 36.67 45.15 abcde 48.72 Solution We compute the effects, using Equation (9.69). See Table 9.10. TABLE 9.10 Term A B C D E AB AC AD AE BC BD BE CD CE
3.42 0.26 5.23 0.46 14.47 0.67 4.59 0.088 3.75 1.60 4.67 5.43 37.63 12.48 63.96 1.22 0.37 0.24 0.52 -1.73 ABCDE 23.80 Note that none of the three-, four-, and
five-way interactions. The results are presented in the following output (from MINITAB). Factorial Fit: Response versus A, B, C, D, E Estimated Effects and Coefficients for Response (coded units) Term Constant A B C D E A*B A*C A*D A*E B*C D E A*B A*C A*D A*C D E A*B A*
```

 $0.576\ 0.288\ 2.840\ 1.420\ 0.183\ 0.091\ -3.385\ -1.693\ 0.595\ 0.298\ -0.494\ -0.247\ 4.131\ 2.066\ 0.654\ 0.327\ -0.179\ -0.089\ -0.809\ -0.809\ -0.809\ -0.809\ -0.809\ -0.809\ -0.809\ 0.5854\ 5.72\ 0.000\ 0.5854\ 5.72\ 0.000\ 0.5854\ 5.72\ 0.000\ 0.5854\ 0.49\ 0.629\ 0.5854\ 0.49\ 0.629\ 0.5854\ 0.15\ 0.6854\ 0.69\ 0.5854\ 0.5854\ -0.42\ 0.690\ 0.5854\ 0.5854\ -0.42\ 0.690\ 0.5854\ 0.5854\ -0.42\ 0.690\ 0.5854\ 0.5854\ -0.42\ 0.690\ 0.5854\ 0.5854\ -0.42\ 0.690\ 0.5854\ 0.5854\ -0.42\ 0.690\ 0.5854\ 0.5854\ -0.42\ 0.690\ 0.5854\ 0.5854\ -0.42\ 0.690\ 0.5854\ 0.5854\ -0.42\ 0.690\ 0.5854\ 0.5854\ -0.42\ 0.690\ 0.5854\ 0.5854\ -0.42\ 0.690\ 0.5854\ 0.5854\ -0.42\ 0.690\ 0.5854\ 0.5854\ -0.690\ 0.5854\ 0.5854\ -0.690\ 0.5854\ 0.5854\ -0.690\ 0.5854\ 0.5854\ 0.5854\ -0.690\ 0.5854\ 0.5854\ 0.5854\ -0.690\ 0.5854\ 0.5$

D, and the AB and BD interactions, stand out from the rest. FIGURE 9.13 Normal probability plot of the effect estimates from the data in Example 9.25. The main effects of factors A, B, and D stand out as being larger than the rest. Fractional Factorial Experiments When the number of factors is large, it may not be feasible to perform even one replicate for each treatment. In these cases, observations may be taken only for some fraction of the treatments are chosen correctly, it is still possible to obtain information about the factors. When each factor has two levels, the fraction must always be a power of 2, i.e., one-half, one-quarter, etc. An experiment in which half the treatments are used is called a half-replicate; if one-quarter of the treatments are used, it is a quarter-replicate, and so on. A half-replicate of a 2p experiment is often denoted 2p-1. We will focus on half-replicate of a 25 experiment. Such an experiment will have 16 treatments chosen from the 32 in the 25 experiment. To choose the 16 treatments, start with a sign table for a 24 design that chosen from the 32 in the 25 experiment. This is presented as Table 9.11 (page 754). TABLE 9.11 Sign table for the main effects and the highestorder interaction. This is presented as Table 9.14 has the right number of treatments (16), but only four factors. To transform it into a half-replicate for a 25 design, we must introduce a fifth factor, E is to be set to its high level for that treatment. Where the sign for the main effects and effect and selected interactions of this design. TABLE 9.12 Sign table for the main

```
main effect for B. When two effects have the same signs, they are said to be aliased with the other. The alias pairs for this half-fraction of the 25 design are {A, BCDE} {BD, ACE} {BD, ACE} {BE, ACDE} {BE, ACDE} {C, ABDE}
{AD, BCE} {CD, ABE} {DE, ABCD} {E, A
principal fraction of a 25 design, each main effect estimate actually represents the sum of the two-way interaction and its aliased four-way interaction. In many cases, it is reasonable to assume that the higher-order interactions are
small. In the 5 2 half-replicate, if the four-way interactions are negligible, the two-way interactions are negligible, the two-way interaction estimates will be accurate as well. In a fractional design without replication, there is often no good way to compute an error sum of squares, and therefore
no rigorous way to test the hypotheses that the effects are equal to 0. In many cases, the purpose of a fractional design is simply to identify a few factors that appear to have the greatest impact on the outcome. This information may then be used to design more elaborate experiments to investigate these factors. For this purpose, it may be enough
simply to choose those factors whose effects or two-way interactions are unusually large, without performing hypothesis tests. This can be done by listing the estimates in decreasing order, and then looking to see if there are a few that are noticeably larger than the rest. Another method is to plot the effect and interaction estimates on a normal
probability plot, as previously discussed. Example 9.26 In an emulsion liquid membrane system, an emulsion (internal phase) is dispersed into an external liquid through mass transfer into the emulsion. Internal phase leakage occurs when portions of the extracted
material spill into the external liquid. In the article "Leakage and Swell in Emulsion Liquid Membrane Systems: Batch Experiments" (R. Pfeiffer, W. Navidi, and A. Bunge, Separation Science and Technology, 2003:519-539), the effects of five factors were studied to determine the effect on leakage in a certain system. The five factors were A: surfactant
concentration, B: internal phase lithium hydroxide concentration, C: membrane phase, D: internal phase volume fraction vessel stirring rate. A half-fraction of a 25 design was used. The data are presented in the following table (in the actual experiment, each point actually represented the average of two measurements). Page 756
Leakage is measured in units of percent. Assume that the third-, fourth-, and fifthorder interactions are negligible. Estimate the main effects and two-way interactions. Which, if any, stand out as being noticeably larger than the rest? Treatment e Leakage 0.61 a b abe c ace bce abc d ade bde abd cde acd bcd abcde 0.13 2.23 0.095 0.35 0.075
that we do not bother to compute sums of squares for the estimates, because we have no SSE to compare them to. To determine informally which effects may be most worthy of further investigation, we rank the estimates in order of their absolute values: B: 3.00, BE: 2.65, E: 2.64, A: -2.36, D: 1.68, and so forth. It seems reasonable to decide that
there is a fairly wide gap between the A and D effects, and therefore that factors A, B, and E are most likely to be important. Exercises for Section 9.5 1. 2. 3. Construct a sign table for the principal fraction for a 24 design. Then indicate all the alias pairs. Give an example of a factorial experiment in which failure to randomize can produce incorrect
being equal, will the mean yield be higher when the temperature is high or low? Explain. The article "Efficient Pyruvate Production by a Multi-Vitamin Auxotroph of Torulopsis glabrata: Key Role and Optimization of Vitamin Levels" (Y. Li, J. Chen, et al., Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 2001:680-685) investigates the effects of the levels of
collapsed these to two.) A -1 B -1 C -1 Yields 0.55, 0.49 Mean Yield 0.520 1 -1 1 -1 1 1 1 1 0.60, 0.28 0.54, 0.54 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.55, 0.49 Mean Yield 0.520 1 -1 1 1 1 1 0.60, 0.42 0.37, 0.28 0.54, 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.54 0.54, 0.5
Is the additive model appropriate? c. What conclusions about the factors on glucose consumption (in g/L). A single measurement is provided for each combination of factors (in the article, there was some replication). The results are presented in
the following table. a. 5. A -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 
effects? If so, which ones? Assume that it is known from past experience that the additive model holds. Add the sums of squares for the interactions, and use that result in place of an error sum of squares to test the hypotheses that the main effects are equal to 0. A metal casting process for the production of turbine blades was studied. Three factors
were varied. They were A: the temperature of the metal, B: the temperature of the metal, B: the temperature of the mold, and C: the pour speed. The outcome was the thickness of the blades, in mm. The results are presented in the following table. A -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 1 1 1 1 4.54 4.61 4.61 4.84 4.51 a. b. c. 7.
Compute estimates of the main effects and the interactions. Is it possible to compute an error sum of squares? Explain. Plot the estimates on a normal probability plot. Does the plot show that some of the factors influence the thickness? Explain. The article "An Investigation into the Ball Burnishing of Aluminium Alloy 6061-T6" (M. El-Axir, J. Compute an error sum of squares? Explain. The article "An Investigation into the Ball Burnishing of Aluminium Alloy 6061-T6" (M. El-Axir, J. Compute an error sum of squares? Explain. The article "An Investigation into the Ball Burnishing of Aluminium Alloy 6061-T6" (M. El-Axir, J. Compute an error sum of squares? Explain. The article "An Investigation into the Ball Burnishing of Aluminium Alloy 6061-T6" (M. El-Axir, J. Compute an error sum of squares? Explain. The article "An Investigation into the Ball Burnishing of Aluminium Alloy 6061-T6" (M. El-Axir, J. Compute an error sum of squares? Explain. The article "An Investigation into the Ball Burnishing of Aluminium Alloy 6061-T6" (M. El-Axir, J. Compute an error sum of squares? Explain. The article "An Investigation into the Ball Burnishing of Aluminium Alloy 6061-T6" (M. El-Axir, J. Compute an error sum of squares? Explain. The article "An Investigation into the Ball Burnishing of Aluminium Alloy 6061-T6" (M. El-Axir, J. Compute an error sum of squares) (M. El-Axir, J. Compute an error sum of squares) (M. El-Axir, J. Compute an error sum of squares) (M. El-Axir, J. Compute an error sum of squares) (M. El-Axir, J. Compute an error sum of squares) (M. El-Axir, J. Compute an error sum of squares) (M. El-Axir, J. Compute an error sum of squares) (M. El-Axir, J. Compute an error sum of squares) (M. El-Axir, J. Compute an error sum of squares) (M. El-Axir, J. Compute an error sum of squares) (M. El-Axir, J. Compute an error sum of squares) (M. El-Axir, J. Compute an error sum of squares) (M. El-Axir, J. Compute an error sum of squares) (M. El-Axir, J. Compute an error sum of squares) (M. El-Axir, J. Compute an error sum of squ
Engineering Manufacture, 2007:1733-1742) presents the results of study that investigated the effects of three burnishing force, and C: Burnishing feed. The results presented in the following table form a 23 factorial design (some
 additional results are omitted). A -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 a. b. c. d. 8. 9. B -1 -1 1 1 -1 1 1 C -1 -1 1 1 1 Reduction 570 353 778 769 544 319 651 625 Compute an error sum of squares? Explain. Are any of the interactions among the larger effects? If so, which
ones? Someone claims that the additive model holds. Do the results tend to support this statement? Explain. Page 759 In a 2p design with one replicate per treatment, it sometimes happens that the observation for one of the treatment, it sometimes happens that the observation for one of the treatment is missing, due to experimental error or to some other cause. When this happens, one approach is to replace the
missing value with the value that makes the highest-order interaction equal to 0. Refer to Exercise 7. Assume the observation makes the three-way interaction equal to 0? b. Using this value, compute estimates for the main effects and the
interactions. Safety considerations are important in the design of automobiles. The article "An Optimum Design Methodology Development Using a Statistical Technique for Vehicle Occupant Simulation of Mechanical Engineers, 2001:795-801) presents results from an occupant simulation
study. The outcome variable is chest acceleration (in g) 3 ms after impact. Four factors were considered. They were A: the airbag inflator mass flow rate, and D: the airbag inflator mass flow rate, and D: the airbag inflator mass flow rate impact. Four factors were considered. They were A: the airbag inflator mass flow rate, and D: the airbag inflator mass flow rate,
is one replicate per treatment. Treatment 1 a b ab c ac bc abc d ad bd abd cd acd bcd abcd Outcome 85.2 79.2 84.3 89.0 66.0 69.0 a. b. Compute estimates of the main effects and the interactions. If you were to design a follow-up study, which factor or factors would you focus on? Explain. 10. In a
small-disc test a small, disc-shaped portion of a component is loaded until failure. The article "Optimizing the Sensitivity of the Small-Disc Creep Test to Damage and Test Conditions" (M. Evans and D. Wang, J. Strain Analysis, 2007:389-413) presents the results of a factorial experiment to estimate the effects of properties of the disc on the time to
failure (in ms). The data in the following table are presented as a 25 design. The factors are A: hole diameter, B: disc diameter, B: disc diameter, C: disc thickness, D: punch head radius, and E: friction coefficient. Two other factors discussed in the article are not considered here. Treatment 1 a b ab c ac Outcome 2486.8 1328.1 2470.2 1303.2 6817.4 3845.2 bc abc d ad
bd abd cd acd bcd abcd e ae be abe ce ace bce abce e ace bce abce de ade bde abde cde acde bcde abcde 7045.1 3992.2 2912.3 1507.2 2885.3 1491.8 7723.0 4289.3 7952.8 4505.5 2508.6 1319.4 2446.8 1303.3 6864.7 3875.0 6994.2 3961.2 2915.0 1536.7 2872.8 1477.9 7731.6 4345.1 7969.1 4494.5 a. b. Compute estimates of the main effects and the interactions
(B), and superficial wash water velocity (C) on the percent recovery of P2O5. There were two replicates. The data are presented in the following table. A -1 1 -1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 50.30 65.30 60.53 70.63 48.95 66.00 59.50 69.86 a. Compute
estimates of the main effects and interactions, along with their sums of squares and P-values, b. Which factors seem to be most important? Do the important factors interact? Explain. 12. The article "An Application of Fractional Factorial Designs" (M. Kilgo, Quality Engineering, 1988:19-23) describes a 25-1 design (half-replicate of a 25 design)
involving the use of carbon dioxide (CO2) at high pressure to extract oil from peanut oil (in percent). The five factors were A: CO2 pressure, B: CO2 temperature, C: peanut moisture, D: CO2 flow rate, and E: peanut particle size. The results are
presented in the following table. Treatment e a b abe c ace bce abc d ade bde abd cde acd bcd abcde a. b. c. d. Solubility 29.2 23.0 37.0 139.7 23.3 38.3 42.6 141.4 22.4 37.2 31.3 48.6 22.9 36.2 33.6 172.6 Yield 63 21 36 99 24 66 71 54 23 74 80 33 63 21 44 96 Assuming third- and higher-order interactions to be negligible, compute the main effects
and interactions for the solubility outcome. Plot the estimates on a normal probability plot. Does the plot show that factors influence the solubility? If so, which ones? Assuming third- and higher-order interactions to be negligible, compute the main effects and interactions for the yield outcome. Plot the estimates on a normal probability plot. Does the
plot show that estimates of some of the estimates of some of the main effect of A actually represent? Page 761 i. The main effect of A and the BCDE interaction. iii. The difference
between the main effect of A and the BCDE interaction. iv. The interaction between A and BCDE. Supplementary Exercises for Chapter 9 1. The article "Gypsum Effect on the Aggregate Size and Geometry of America, 2002:92-98) reports
on an experiment in which gypsum was added in various amounts to soil samples before leaching. One of the soil samples received each amount added. The pH measurements of the samples are presented in the following table. Gypsum (g/kg) 0.00 0.11
0.19 0.38 2. 7.68 7.85 7.87 8.00 Can you conclude that the pH differs with the amount of gypsum added? Provide the value of the test statistic and the P-value. The article referred to in Exercise 1 also considered the effect of gypsum on the electric conductivity (in dS m-1) of soil. Two types of soil were each treated with three different amounts of
gypsum, with two replicates for each soil-gypsum combination. The data are presented in the following table. Gypsum (g/kg) 0.00 0.27 0.46 a. 3. 7.88 7.81 7.84 7.80 pH 7.72 7.64 7.63 7.73 Soil Type Las Animas Madera 1.52 1.05 1.01 0.92 1.49 0.91 1.12 0.92 0.99 0.92 0.88 0.92 Is there convincing evidence of an interaction between the amount of
gypsum and soil type? b. Can you conclude that the conductivity differs among the soil types? c. Can you conclude that the conductivity differs with the amount of gypsum added? Penicillium fungus, which is grown in a broth whose sugar content must be carefully controlled. Several samples of broth were taken on each of
three successive days, and the amount of dissolved sugars (in mg/mL) was measured on each sample. The results were as follows: Day 1: Day 2: Day 3: 4.8 5.4 5.7 5.1 5.0 5.3 5.0 5.4 5.5 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.0 5.4 5.4 Can you conclude that the mean sugar concentration
differs among the three days? Page 762 4. The following MINITAB output is for a two-way ANOVA. Something went wrong with the printer, and some of the numbers weren't printed. Two-way Analysis of Source Row Column Interaction Error Total 5. Variance DF 3 2 6 (a) 23 SS 145.375 15.042 (b) (c) 217.870 MS (d) (e) 4.2000 (f)
F (g) (h) (i) P (j) (k) (l) Fill in the missing numbers in the table for (a) through (l). You may give ranges for the Pvalues. An experiment was performed to determine whether different types of chocolate take different amounts of time to dissolve. Forty people were divided into five groups. Each group was assigned a certain type of chocolate. Each person
dissolved one piece of chocolate, and the dissolve time (in seconds) was recorded. For comparison, each person in each group, the dissolve times for both chocolate and butterscotch, the difference between the dissolve times,
2 16 18 30 32 24 18 80 39 29 60 41 22 73 65 60 21 1.79 1.11 1.00 0.95 1.05 1.88 0.88 2.11 1.00 0.96 1.18 0.58 0.72 0.82 1.54 1.25 1.04 1.21 1.60 1.55 1.64 2.09 1.39 2.78 2.30 1.66 2.33 1.71 1.96 2.14 1.62 1.65 1.75 To test whether there are differences in the mean dissolve times for the different types of chocolate, someone suggests performing a
one-way ANOVA, using the dissolve times for a one-way ANOVA? Explain. Someone else suggests using the differences (Chocolate - Butterscotch). Do these data appear to satisfy the assumptions for a one-way ANOVA? Explain. Perform a one-way analysis of variance using the
ratios. Can you conclude that the 6. mean ratio of dissolve times different types of chocolate? The article "Stability of Silico-Ferrite of Calcium and Aluminum (SFCA) in Air-Solid Solution Limits Between 1240°C and 1390°C and 1390°C and Phase Relationships within the Fe2O3CaO-Al2O3-SiO2 (FCAS) System" (T. Patrick and M. Pownceby,
C4S3 Fe2O3/CaO Low (3%-6%) Medium (7%-10%) High (11%-14%) Low (3%-6%) High (11%-14%) High (11%-14
Estimate all main effects and interactions. Construct an ANOVA table. You may give ranges for the P-values. Page 764 7. c. Do the data indicate that there are any interactions between the weight percent of Al2O3 and the weight percent of Al2O3 and the weight percent of C4S3? Explain. d. Do the data convincingly demonstrate that there are any interactions between the weight percent of Al2O3 and the weight percent of C4S3? Explain. d. Do the data convincingly demonstrate that the Fe2O3/CaO ratio depends on the weight
percent of Al2O3? Explain. e. Do the data convincingly demonstrate that the Fe2O3/CaO ratio depends on the weight percent of C4S3? Explain. A component can be manufactured with each combination of design
 and material, and the lifetimes of each are measured (in hours). A two-way analysis of variance was performed to estimate are presented in the following table. More expensive Less expensive Cell Means Design 1 Design 2 118 120 60 122 Main Effects
More expensive Less expensive Design 1 Design 2 14 -14 -16 16 ANOVA table Source Material Design Interaction Error Total 8. DF 1 1 1 8 11 SS 2352.0 3072.0 2700.0 1800.0 9924.0 MS 2352.0 3072.0 9924.0 MS 2352.0 9924.0
expensive material. He argues that the main effects of both design 2 and the more expensive material are positive, so using this combination will result in the longest component life. Do you agree with the recommendation? Why or why not? The article "Case Study Based Instruction of DOE and SPC" (J. Brady and T. Allen, The American Statistician
2002:312-315) presents the result of a 24-1 factorial experiment to investigate the effects of four factors on the yield of a process that manufactures printed circuit boards. The factorial experiment to investigate the effects of four factors were A: transistor power output (upper or lower specification limit), B: transistor mounting approach (screwed or soldered), C: transistor heat sink type (current or
alternative configuration), and D: screw position on the frequency adjustor (onehalf or two turns). The results are presented in the following table. The yield is a percent of a theoretical maximum. A -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1
a. Estimate the main effects of each of the four factors. Assuming all interactions to be negligible, pool the sums of squares for interaction to use in place of an error sum of squares. c. Which of the four factors, if any, can you conclude to affect the yield? What is the Pvalue of the relevant test? The article "Combined Analysis of Real-Time Kinematic
GPS Equipment and Its Users for Height Determination" (W. Featherstone and M. Stewart, Journal of Surveying Engineering, 2001:31-51) presents a study of the accuracy of global positioning system (GPS) equipment in measuring heights. Three types of equipment were studied, and each was used to make measurements at four different base
stations (in the article a fifth station was included, for which the results differed considerably from the other four). There were 60 measurement errors (in mm) are presented in the following table for each combination of equipment type and
mean error differs among instruments. It is not of interest to determine whether the error differs among base stations. For this reason, a surveyor suggests treating this as a randomized complete block design, with the base stations as the blocks. Is this appropriate? Explain. 10. Vermont maple sugar producers sponsored a testing program to
determine the benefit of a potential new fertilizer regimen. A random sample of 27 maple trees in Vermont were chosen and treated with one of three levels of fertilizer suggested by the chemical producer. In this experimental setup, nine trees (three in each of three climatic zones) were treated with each fertilizer level and the amount of sap
produced (in mL) by the trees in the subsequent season was measured. The results are presented in the following table. a. b. Low fertilizer Medium fertilize
a. b. c. Estimate the main effects of fertilizer levels and climatic zone, and their interactions. Construct an ANOVA table. You may give ranges for the P-values. Test the hypothesis that there is no interaction between fertilizer levels and climatic zone, and their interaction between fertilizer levels. 11. A
civil engineer is interested in several designs for a drainage canal used to divert floodwaters from a series of experiments using similar initial flow conditions are given in the following table. Page 766 Channel Type 1 2 3 4 5 41.4 37.7 32.6
27.3 44.9 Drainage time (min) 43.4 50.0 49.3 52.1 33.7 34.8 29.9 32.3 47.2 48.5 41.2 37.3 22.5 24.8 37.1 a. Can you conclude that there is a difference in the mean drainage times? 12. A process that manufactures vinyl for
 automobile seat covers was studied. Three factors were varied: the proportion of a certain plasticizer (A), the rate of extrusion (B), and the temperature of drying (C). The outcome of interest was employed. The results are presented in the following table. (Based on the
5 10 8 9 b. Construct an ANOVA table. You may give ranges for the P-values. c. Is the additive model appropriate? Explain. d. What conclusions about the factors can be drawn from these results? 13. In the article "Occurrence and Distribution of Ammonium in Iowa Groundwater" (K. Schilling, Water Environment Research, 2002:177-186), ammonium in Iowa Groundwater" (K. Schilling, Water Environment Research, 2002:177-186), ammonium in Iowa Groundwater" (K. Schilling, Water Environment Research, 2002:177-186), ammonium in Iowa Groundwater (K. Schilling, Water Environment Research, 2002:177-186), ammonium in Iowa Groundwater (K. Schilling, Water Environment Research, 2002:177-186), ammonium in Iowa Groundwater (K. Schilling, Water Environment Research, 2002:177-186), ammonium in Iowa Groundwater (K. Schilling, Water Environment Research, 2002:177-186), ammonium in Iowa Groundwater (K. Schilling, Water Environment Research, 2002:177-186), ammonium in Iowa Groundwater (K. Schilling, Water Environment Research, 2002:177-186), ammonium in Iowa Groundwater (K. Schilling, Water Environment Research, 2002:177-186), ammonium in Iowa Groundwater (K. Schilling, Water Environment Research, 2002:177-186), ammonium in Iowa Groundwater (K. Schilling, Water Environment Research, 2002:177-186), ammonium in Iowa Groundwater (K. Schilling, Water Environment Research, 2002:177-186), ammonium in Iowa Groundwater (K. Schilling, Water Environment Research, 2002:177-186), ammonium in Iowa Groundwater (K. Schilling, Water Environment Research, 2002:177-186), ammonium in Iowa Groundwater (K. Schilling, Water Environment Research, 2002:177-186), ammonium in Iowa Groundwater (K. Schilling, Water Environment Research, 2002:177-186), ammonium in Iowa Groundwater (K. Schilling, Water Environment Research, 2002:177-186), ammonium in Iowa Groundwater (K. Schilling, Water Environment Research, 2002:177-186), ammonium in Iowa Groundwater (K. Schilling, Water Environment Research, 2002:177-186), ammonium in Iowa Groundwater (K. Schilling, Water Environm
concentrations (in mg/L) were measured at a large number of wells in the state of Iowa. These included five types of bedrock wells, is presented in the following table. Well Type Cretaceous Mississippian Devonian Silurian
CambrianOrdovician Sample Size 53 57 66 67 51 Mean 0.75 0.90 0.68 0.50 0.82 Standard Deviation 0.90 0.92 1.03 0.97 0.89 Can you conclude that the mean concentration differs among the five types of wells? 14. The article "Enthalpies and Entropies of Transfer of Electrolytes and Ions from Water to Mixed Aqueous Organic Solvents" (G. Hefter, Y.
Refer to Exercise 11. a. Compute the quantity, the estimate of the error standard deviation, find the sample size necessary in each treatment to provide a power of 0.90 to detect a maximum difference of 10 in the treatment means at the 5% level. c. Using a more conservative estimate of 1.5s as the
0. and add their sums of squares. Use the result in place of an error sum of squares to compute F statistics and P-values for the main effects. Which factors can you conclude to have an effect on the outcome? The article described some replicates of the experiment, in which the error mean square was found to be 1.04, with four degrees of freedom
suspension bridge that spans the East River, connecting the boroughs of Brooklyn and Manhattan in New York City. An assessment of the strengths of its cables is reported in the article "Estimating Strength of the Williamsburg Bridge Cables" (R. Perry, The American Statistician, 2002:211-217). Each suspension cable consists of 7696 wires. From
one of the cables, wires were sampled from 128 points. These points around the circumference of the cable (I, II, III, IV). At each of the eight points, wires were sampled from four depths: (1) the external surface of the
The minimum breaking strength (in lbf) is presented in the following table for each of the 128 points. Circumference A A A B B B B C C C C D D D D E E E Depth 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4
P-values. Can you conclude that there are interactions between circumferential position? Explain. c. Can you conclude that the strength varies with depth? Explain. 19. In the article "Nitrate Contamination of Alluvial Groundwaters in the Nakdong River
 Basin, Korea" (J. Min, S. Yun, et al., Geosciences Journal, 2002:35-46), several chemical properties were measured for water samples taken from irrigation wells at three locations. The following table presents the means, standard deviations, and sample sizes for pH measurements. a. b. Location Upstream Midstream Downstream Mean 6.0 6.2 6.4 SD
0.2 0.4 0.6 Sample Size 49 31 30 Do the data prove conclusively that the pH differs at the different locations? 20. The article cited in Exercise 19 provides measures of electrical conductivity (in µS/cm). The results are presented in the following table. Location Upstream Midstream Downstream Mean 463 363 647 SD 208 98 878 Sample Size 49 31 30
Can a one-way analysis of variance be used to determine whether conductivity varies with location? Or is one of the necessary assumptions violated? Explain. 21. The article "Factorial Experiments in the Optimization of Alkaline Wastewater Pretreatment" (M. Prisciandaro, A. Del Borghi, and F. Veglio, Industrial Engineering and Chemistry Research,
2002:5034-5041) presents the results of an experiment to Page 770 investigate the effects of the concentrations of sulfuric acid (H2SO4) and calcium chloride (CaCl2) on the amount of black mud precipitate in the treatment of alkaline wastewater. There were three levels of each concentration, and two replicates of the experiment were made at each
You may give ranges for the P-values. Is the additive model plausible? Explain. Can you conclude that H2SO4 concentration affects the amount of precipitate? Explain. 22. Fluid inclusions are microscopic volumes of fluid that are trapped in rock during rock
formation. The article "Fluid Inclusion Study of Metamorphic Gold-Quartz Veins in Northwestern Nevada, U.S.A.: Characteristics of Tectonically Induced Fluid inclusions in several different veins in northwest Nevada. The following table presents data on
the maximum salinity (% NaCl by weight) of inclusions in several areas. Area Humboldt Range Santa Rosa Range Ten Mile Antelope Range Pine Forest Range 9.2 5.2 7.9 6.7 10.5 10.0 6.1 6.7 8.4 16.7 Salinity 11.2 8.8 8.3 9.5 7.3 9.9 17.5 15.3 Can you conclude that the salinity differs among the areas? 10.4 20.0 7.0 23. The
article "Effect of Microstructure and Weathering on the Strength Anisotropy of Porous Rhyolite" (Y. Matsukura, K. Hashizume, and C. Oguchi, Engineering Geology, 2002:39-17) investigates the relationship between the angle between the relationship between the angle 
specimens cut at various angles. The mean and standard deviation of the strengths for each angle are presented in the following table. Page 771 Angle 0° 15° 30° 45° 60° 75° 90° Mean 22.9 22.9 19.7 14.9 13.5 11.9 14.3 Standard Deviation 2.98 1.16 3.00 2.99 2.33 2.10 3.95 Sample Size 12 6 4 5 7 6 6 Can you conclude that strength varies with the
angle? 24. The article "Influence of Supplemental Acetate on Bioremediation for Dissolved Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons" (T. Ebihara and P. Bishop, Journal of Environmental Engineering, 2002:505-513) describes experiments in which water containing dissolved polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) was fed into sand columns. PAH concentrations
were measured at various depths after 25, 45, and 90 days. Assume that three independent measurements were made at each depth at each time. The data presented in the following table are naphthalene concentrations (in mg/L) that are consistent with means and standard deviations reported in the article. Depth 0 5 15 30 50 75 a. b. 25 days
11.15\ 11.39\ 11.36\ 14.40\ 11.78\ 11.92\ 11.51\ 11.01\ 11.92\ 11.51\ 11.01\ 11.09\ 12.77\ 12.18\ 11.65\ 11.71\ 11.29\ 11.20\ 11.18\ 11.45\ 11.27\ 45\ days\ 9.28\ 8.15\ 8.59\ 9.44\ 9.34\ 9.37\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.27\ 9.2
ranges for the P-values. Perform a test to determine whether the additive model is plausible. Provide the value of the test statistic and the P-value. Page 772 Chapter 10 Statistical Quality Control Introduction As the marketplace for industrial goods has become more global, manufacturers have realized that the quality and reliability of their products
must be as high as possible for them to be competitive. It is now generally recognized that the most cost-effective way to maintain high quality is through constant monitoring of the production process. This monitoring is often done by sampling units of production and measuring some quality characteristic. Because the units are sampled from some
larger population, these methods are inherently statistical in nature. One of the early pioneers in the area of statistical quality control was Dr. Walter A. Shewart of the most widely used tools for quality control to this day. After World War II, W.
Edwards Deming was instrumental in stimulating interest in quality control, first in Japan, and then in the United States and other countries. The Japanese scientist Genichi Taguchi played a major role as well, developing methods of experimental design with a view toward improving quality. In this chapter, we will focus on the Shewart control charts
and on cumulative sum (CUSUM) charts, since these are among the most powerful of the commonly used tools for statistical quality control. 10.1 Basic Ideas The basic principle of control charts is that in any process there will always be variation in the output. Some of this variation will be due to causes that are inherent in the process and are
difficult or impossible to specify. These causes are called common causes or chance causes are the only causes of variation, the process is said to be in a state of statistical control, or, more simply, in control. Page 773 Sometimes special factors are present that produce additional variability. Machines that are malfunctioning
operator error, fluctuations in ambient conditions, and variations in the properties of raw materials are among the most common of these factors. These are called special causes or assignable causes or assignable causes or assignable causes or assignable causes.
is operating in the presence of one or more special causes, it is said to be out of statistical control, or whether one or more special causes are present. If the process is found to be out of control, the nature of the special cause must be determined and
corrected, so as to return the process to a state of statistical control. There are several types of control charts; which ones are used depend on whether the quality characteristic being measured is a continuous variable, or a count variable. For example, when monitoring a process that manufactures aluminum beverage cans, the
height of each can in a sample might be measured. Height is a continuous variable. In some situations, it might be sufficient simply to determine whether the height falls within some specification limits. In this case the quality measurement takes on one of only two values: conforming (within the limits) or nonconforming (not within the limits). This
measurement is a binary variable, since it has two possible values. Finally, we might be interested in counting the number of flaws on the surface of the can. This is a count variable. Control charts used for binary variables are called variables control charts.
or count variables are called attribute control charts. The p chart is most commonly used for binary variables, while the c chart is commonly used for count variables. Collecting Data-Rational Subgroups Data to be used in the construction of a control chart are collected in a number of samples, taken over a period of time. These samples are called
rational subgroups. There are many different strategies for choosing rational subgroups is to decide which special causes, and none should be due to special causes. In general, a good way to choose rational subgroups is to decide which special causes
are most important to detect, and then choose the rational subgroups to provide the best chance to detect them. The two most commonly used methods are 1. Sample at regular time intervals, with the items in each sample drawn
from all the units produced since the last sample was taken. For variables data, the number of units in each sample is typically small, often between three and eight. The number of samples taken less frequently. For binary and for count data,
samples must in general be larger. Page 774 Control versus Capability It is important to understand the difference between process control and process that is in control is that the values of the quality characteristic vary without any
trend or pattern, since the common causes do not change over time. However, it is quite possible for a process to be in control, and yet to be producing output that does not meet a given specification. For example, assume that a process produces steel rods whose lengths vary randomly between 19.9 and 20.1 cm, with no apparent pattern to the
fluctuation. This process is in a state of control. However, if the design specification calls for a length between 21 and 21.2 cm, very little of the output that meets a given specification is called the capability of the process. We will discuss the measurement of process capability in
Section 10.5. Process Control Must Be Done Continually There are three basic phases to the use of control charts. First, data are collected. Second, these data are plotted to determine whether the process is in control. Third, once the process is brought into control, its capability may be assessed. Of course, a process that is in control and capable at a
 given time may go out of control at a later time, as special causes re-occur. For this reason processes must be continually monitored. Similarities Between Control Charts and Hypothesis tests. The null hypothesis tests Control chart presents data that provide
evidence about the truth of this hypothesis. If the evidence against the null hypothesis is sufficiently strong, the process is declared out of control. Understanding how to use control charts involves knowing what data to collect and knowing how to use control charts involves knowing what data to collect and knowing how to use control.
control. Exercises for Section 10.1 1. 2. 3. Indicate whether each of the following quality characteristics is a continuous, binary, or count variable. a. The number of flaws in a plate glass window. b. The length of time taken to perform a final inspection of a finished product. c. Whether the breaking strength of a bolt meets a specification. d. The
sampled in a rational subgroup is due to special causes. e. If a process is in a state of statistical control, there will be almost no variation in the output. Fill in the blank. The choices are: is in control; has high capability. Page 775 a. 4. 5. If the variability in a process is approximately constant over time, the process
                                                             . Fill in the blank: Once a process has been brought into a state of statistical control,
                                                                                                                                                                                           i. it must still be monitored continually. ii. monitoring can be stopped for a while, since it is unlikely that the process will go out of control again right away. iii. the process need not be monitored again, unless it is
redesigned. True or false: a. When a process is in a state of statistical control, an unacceptably large proportion of the output will meet specifications. b. When a process is in a state of statistical control, all the variation in the process is due to causes that are
inherent in the process itself. d. When a process is out of control, some of the variation in the process is due to causes 6. that are outside of the process is due to causes 6. that are outside of the process is due to causes 6. that are outside of the process is out of control, some of the variation in the process is due to causes 6. that are outside of the process is due to cause 6. that are outside of the process is due to cause 6. that are outside of the process is due to cause 6. that are outside of the process is due to cause 6. that are outside of the process is due to cause 6. that are outside of the process is due to cause 6. that are outside of the process is due to cause 6. that are outside of the process is due to cause 6. that are outside of the process is due to cause 6. that are outside of the process is due to cause 6. that are outside of the process is due to cause 6. that are outside of the process is due to cause 6. that are outside of the process is due to cause 6. that are outside of the process is due to cause 6. that are outside of the process is due to cause 6. that are outside of the process is due to cause 6. that are outside of the process is due to cause 6. that are outside of the process is due to cause 6. that are outside of the process is due to cause 6. that are outside of the process is due to cause 6. that are outside of the process is due to cause 6. that are outside of the process is due to cause 6. that are outside of the process is due to cause 6. that are outside of the process is due to cause 6. that are outside of the process is due to cause 6. that are outside of the process is due to cause 6. that are outside of the process 6. thad a process 6. that are outside of the process 6. that are outsi
about the process. ii. it is more important to sample frequently than to choose large samples, so that special causes can be detected more quickly. 10.2 Control Charts for Variables When a quality measurement is made on a continuous scale, the data are called variables data. For these data an R chart or S chart is first used to control the variability in
the process, and then an -chart is used to control the process mean. The methods described in this section assume that the measurements follow an approximately normal distribution. We illustrate with an example. The quality engineer in charge of a salt packaging process is concerned about the moisture content in packages of salt. To determine
whether the process is in statistical control, it is first necessary to define the rational subgroups, and then to collect some data. Assume that for the salt packaging process, the primary concern is that variation in the mean moisture content in the packages over time. Recall that rational
subgroups should be chosen so that the variation within each sample is due only to common causes, not to special causes. Therefore a good choice for the rational subgroups in this case is to draw sample so f several packages each at regular time intervals. The packages in each sample will be produced as close to each other in time as possible. In this
way, the ambient humidity will be nearly the same for each package in the sample, so the within-group variation will not be affected by this special cause. Assume that five package is measured as a percentage of total weight. The data are presented
in Table 10.1 (page 776). Since moisture is measured on a continuous scale, these are variables data. Each row of Table 10.1 presents the five moisture measurements in a given sample range R (the difference Page 776 between the largest and smallest value). The
2.42 2.56 2.51 1.63 2.95 2.12 2.26 2.61 2.54 2.28 2.36 2.67 Mean 2.308 2.498 2.394 2.346 2.498 2.394 2.346 2.498 2.394 2.346 2.498 2.394 2.340 2.80 0.570 0.480 0.280 1.320 SD(s) 0.303 0.149 0.230 0.196 0.111 0.525 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 2.58 2.31 3.03 2.86 2.71 2.95 3.14 2.85 2.82 3.17 2.81 2.99 3.11 2.83 2.76 2.54
2.27 2.40 2.41 2.40 2.56 2.21 2.56 2.21 2.56 2.21 2.56 2.22 2.80 3.54 2.84 3.29 3.71 3.07 3.21 2.65 2.74 2.74 2.85 2.63 2.54 2.62 2.72 2.33 2.47 2.65 2.74 2.85 2.63 2.54 2.62 2.72 2.33 2.47 2.65 2.74 2.85 2.63 2.54 2.62 2.72 2.33 2.47 2.65 2.74 2.85 2.63 2.54 2.62 2.72 2.33 2.47 2.65 2.74 2.85 2.63 2.54 2.62 2.72 2.33 2.47 2.65 2.74 2.85 2.63 2.54 2.65 2.74 2.74 2.85 2.63 2.54 2.65 2.74 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 2.75 
2.95 \ 3.63 \ 3.04 \ 2.80 \ 3.01 \ 2.68 \ 2.23 \ 2.48 \ 2.11 \ 2.50 \ 2.35 \ 2.02 \ 2.43 \ 2.24 \ 2.26 \ 2.09 \ 2.27 \ 2.59 \ 2.23 \ 2.48 \ 2.31 \ 2.40 \ 2.41 \ 2.49 \ 2.61 \ 2.704 \ 2.432 \ 2.630 \ 2.874 \ 2.918 \ 3.052 \ 3.154 \ 3.266 \ 3.242 \ 3.342 \ 2.972 \ 2.836 \ 2.896 \ 2.754 \ 2.660 \ 2.580 \ 2.486 \ 2.574 \ 2.480 \ 2.316 \ 2.480 \ 2.316 \ 2.480 \ 2.316 \ 2.480 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410 \ 2.410
2.398 2.286 2.284 2.392 2.348 0.780 0.290 0.760 0.740 0.890 0.630 0.400 0.520 0.540 0.640 0.610 0.740 0.890 0.630 0.400 0.610 0.740 0.890 0.630 0.400 0.610 0.740 0.890 0.630 0.400 0.610 0.740 0.890 0.610 0.740 0.890 0.610 0.740 0.890 0.610 0.740 0.890 0.610 0.740 0.890 0.610 0.740 0.890 0.610 0.740 0.890 0.610 0.740 0.890 0.610 0.740 0.890 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.970 0.97
0.231 We assume that each of the 32 samples in Table 10.1 is a sample from a normal population with mean \mu and standard deviation. The idea behind control charts is that each value of approximates the process mean during the time its sample was taken,
and s will vary less when the process is in control, the values of , R, and s Page 777 will almost always be contained within computable limits. If the process is out of control, the values of , R, and s Page 777 will almost always be contained within computable limits. If the process is out of control, the values of , R, and s Page 777 will almost always be contained within computable limits.
can be used to assess variation in the sample range, or the S chart can be used to assess variation in the sample standard deviation. We will discuss the R chart first, since it is the more traditional. We will discuss the R chart first, since it is the more traditional. We will discuss the S chart at the end of this section. Figure 10.1 presents the R chart first, since it is the more traditional. We will discuss the S chart at the end of this section.
numbered from 1 to 32. The sample ranges are plotted on the vertical axis. Most important are the three horizontal lines. The line in the center line in the center line in the center line. The upper and lower lines indicate the 3σ upper and lower lines indica
is in control, almost all the points will lie within the limits. A point plotting outside the control limits are plotted, assume that the 32 sample ranges come from a population with mean µR and standard deviation σR. The
values of μR and σR will not be known exactly, but it is known that for most populations, it is unusual to observe a value that differs from the mean by more than three standard deviations. For this reason, it is conventional to plot the control limits at points that approximate the values μR ± 3σR. It can be shown by advanced methods that the
quantities \mu R \pm 3\sigma R can be estimated with multiples of multiples are denoted D3 and D4. The quantities D3 and D4 are constants whose values depend on the sample size n. A brief table of values of D3 and D4 follows. A more extensive tabulation is
provided in Table A.10 (in Appendix A). Note that for sample sizes of 6 or less, the Page 778 value of D3 is 0. For these small sample sizes, the quantity μR – 3σR is negative. In these cases the lower control limit is set to 0, because it is impossible for the range to be negative. In D3 2 0 3 0 4 0 5 0 6 0 7 0.076 8 0.136 D4 3.267 2.575 2.282 2.114 2.004
1.924\ 1.864\ Example\ 10.1\ Compute the 3\sigma\ R chart upper and lower control limit is (2.114)(0.6066)=1.282, and the lower control limit is (0.6066)=0.8 Summary In an 1.924\ 1.864\ Example\ 10.1 Compute the 3\sigma\ R chart upper and 1.924\ 1.864\ Example\ 10.1 Compute the 1.924\ 1.864\ Example\ 10.1 Example 1.924\ 1.864\ Example\ 
R chart, the center line and the 3σ upper and lower control limits are given by The values D3 and D4 depend on the sample size. Values are tabulated in Table A.10. Once the control limits have been calculated and the points plotted, the R chart can be used to assess whether the process is in control with respect to variation. Figure 10.1 shows that
the range for sample number 6 is above the upper control limit, providing evidence that a special cause was operating and that the process variation is not in control. The appropriate action is to determine the nature of the special cause, and then delete the out-of-control sample and recompute the control limits. Assume it is discovered that a
technician neglected to close a vent, causing greater than usual variation in moisture content during the time period when the sample was chosen. Retraining the technician will correct that special cause. We delete sample of from the data and recompute the R chart. The results are shown in Figure 10.2. The process variation is now in control
 FIGURE 10.2 R chart for the moisture data, after deleting the out-of-control sample. Now that the process wariation has been brought into control, we can assess whether the process mean is in control by plotting the chart. The chart is presented in Figure 10.3. The sample means are plotted on the vertical axis. Note that sample 6 has not been used
in this chart since it had to be deleted in order to bring the process variation under control. Like all Page 779 control limits. FIGURE 10.3 chart for the moisture data. Sample 6 has been deleted to bring the process variation under control. However, the chart shows that the process mean
is out of control. To compute the center line and the control limits, we can assume that the process standard deviation is the same for all samples. In that case the 32 sample means are drawn
from a normal population with mean and standard deviation, where n is the sample size, equal to 5 in this case. Ideally, we would like to plot the center line at μ and the 3σ control limits at the values of μ and μ with . However, are usually unknown and have to be estimated from the data. We estimate, the average of the sample means. The center
line is therefore plotted at. The quantity can be estimated by using either the average range or by using the sample standard deviations. We will use here and discuss the methods based on the Page 780 standard deviations. We will use here and discuss the methods based on the Page 780 standard deviations.
can be estimated with , where A2 is a constant whose value depends on the sample size. A short table of values of A2 follows. A more extensive tabulation is provided in Table A.10. n 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 A2 1.880 1.0230.729 0.5770.4830.419 0.373 Summary In an chart, when is used to estimate control limits are given by , the center line and the 3σ upper
and lower The value A2 depends on the sample size. Values are tabulated in Table A.10. Example Compute the 10.1. Solution With sample 6 deleted, the value of is 0.5836. The sample size is n = 5. From the table, A2 = 0.577. Therefore the upper control
limit is 2.658 + (0.577)(0.5836) = 2.995, and the lower control limit is 2.658 - (0.577)(0.5836) = 2.321. The chart clearly shows that the process mean is not in control, as there are several points plotting outside the control limits.
moisture content are caused by fluctuations in ambient humidity. A dehumidifier is installed to stabilize the ambient humidity. After this special cause is remedied, more data are collected, and a new R chart are constructed. Figure 10.4 presents the results. The process is now in a state of statistical control. Of course, the process must be
continually monitored, since new special causes are bound to crop up from time to time and will need to be detected and corrected. Note that while control charts can detect the presence of a special cause, they cannot determine its nature, nor how to correct it. It is necessary for the process engineer to have a good understanding of the process, so
that special causes detected by control charts can be diagnosed and corrected. Page 781 FIGURE 10.4 statistical control. R chart and chart are 1. Choose rational subgroups. 2. Compute the R chart. 3. Determine the special causes for
any out-of-control points. 4. Recompute the R chart, omitting samples that resulted in out-of-control points on the R chart indicates that the process is not in control, identify and correct any special causes. 7.
Continue to monitor and R. Control Chart Performance There is a close connection between control limits presents evidence against the null hypothesis. As with any hypothesis test, it is possible to make an error
For example, a point will occasionally plot outside the 3\u03c3 limits even when the process is in control may not exhibit any points outside the control limits, especially if it is not observed for a long enough time. This is called a failure to detect. It is desirable for these
errors to occur as infrequently as possible. We describe the frequency with which these errors occur with a quantity called the average run length (ARL). The ARL is the number of samples that must be observed, on average, before a point plots outside the control limits. We would like the ARL to be large when the process is in control, and small
when the process is out of control. We can compute the ARL for an chart if we assume that process mean μ and the process mean μ and the control limits are at . We must also assume, as is always the case with the chart, that the quantity being measured is approximately
normally distributed. Examples 10.3 through 10.6 show how to compute the ARL. Example For an 10.3 chart with control limits at , compute the ARL for a process that is in control. Solution Let be the mean of a sample. Then outside the control limits at , compute the ARL for a process that is in control.
0.0027 (see Figure 10.5). Therefore, on the average, 27 out of every 10,000 points will plot outside the control limits. This is equivalent to 1 every 10,000/27 = 370.4 points. The average run length is therefore equal to 370.4. FIGURE 10.5 The probability that a point plots outside the 3σ control limits, when the process is in control, is 0.0027 (0.00135).
+ 0.00135). The result of Example 10.3 can be interpreted as follows: If a process is in control, we expect to observe about 370 samples, on the average, before finding one that plots Page 783 outside the control limits, causing a false alarm. Note also that the ARL in Example 10.3 was 10,000/27, which is equal to 1/0.0027, where 0.0027 is the
probability that any given sample plots outside the control limits. This is true in general. Summary The average run length (ARL) is the number of samples that will be observed, on the average, before a point plots outside the control limits. If p is the probability that any given point plots outside the control limits, then (10.1) If a process is out of
control, the ARL will be less than 370.4. Example 10.4 shows how to compute the ARL for a situation where the process shifts to an out-of-control condition. Example 10.4 shows how to compute the ARL solution We first
compute the probability p that a given point plots outside the control limits. Then ARL = 1/p. The control limit is thus at 1.5, and the upper control limit is at 4.5. If process mean has shifted, then . control limits is equal to 10.6). The
ARL is therefore equal to 1/0.0228 = \text{samples}, on the average, before detecting this shift. X is the mean of a sample taken after the The probability that plots outside the . This probability is 0.0228 (see Figure 43.9. We will have to observe about 44 FIGURE 10.6 The process mean has shifted from \mu = 3 to \mu = 3.5. The upper control limit of 4.5 is now
only above the mean, indicated by the fact that z = 2. The lower limit is now below the mean. The probability that a point plots outside the control limits is 0.0228 (0 + 0.0228). Page 784 Example 10.4. An upward shift to what value can be detected with an ARL of 20? Solution Let m be the new mean to which the process has
shifted. Since we have specified an upward shift, m > 3. In Example 10.4 we computed the control limits to be 1.5 and 4.5. If is the mean of a sample taken after the process mean has shifted, then . The probability that plots outside the control limits is equal to 1/ARL = 1/20 = 0.05. Since m > 3, m is closer
to 4.5 than to 1.5. We will begin by assuming that the area to the left of 1.5 is negligible and that the area to the left of 1.5 is negligible. With m = 3.68, the z-score for 1.5 is
(1.5 - 3.68)/0.5 = -4.36. The area to the left of 1.5 is indeed negligible. FIGURE 10.7 Solution to Example 10.5. Example 10.5. Example 10.6 Refer to Example 10.6. If the sample size remains at n = 4, what must the value of the process standard deviation \sigma be to produce an ARL of 10 when the process mean shifts to 3.5? Solution Let \sigma denote the new process
standard deviation. The new control limits are or 3 \pm 3\sigma/2. If the process mean shifts to 3.5, then . The probability that plots outside the control limits are are to the left of 3 - 3\sigma/2 is
negligible and that the area to the right of 3 + 3\sigma/2 is equal to 0.10. The z-score for 3 + 3\sigma/2 is equal to 0.10. The z-score is (2.13 - 3.5)/(0.58/2) = -4.72. The area to the left of 3 - 3\sigma/2 is equal to 0.10. The z-score is (2.13 - 3.5)/(0.58/2) = -4.72. The area to the left of 3 - 3\sigma/2 is equal to 0.10. The z-score is (2.13 - 3.5)/(0.58/2) = -4.72. The area to the left of 3 - 3\sigma/2 is equal to 0.10. The z-score is (2.13 - 3.5)/(0.58/2) = -4.72. The area to the left of 3 - 3\sigma/2 is equal to 3 - 3\sigma/2
is indeed negligible. FIGURE 10.8 Solution to Example 10.6. Examples 10.4 through 10.6 show that charts do not usually detect small shifts quickly. In other words, the ARL by moving the control limits closer to the centerline. This would reduce the size of the
shift needed to detect an out-of-control condition, so that changes in the process mean would be detected more quickly. However, there is a trade-off. The false alarm rate would increase as well, because shifts outside the control limits would be more likely to occur by chance. The situation is much like that in fixed-level hypothesis testing. The null
hypothesis is that the process is in control. The control limits at, a type I error (rejection of a true null hypothesis) will occur about once in every 370 samples. The price to pay for this low false alarm rate is
lack of power to reject the null hypothesis when it is false. Moving the control limits closer together is not the answer. Although it will increase the power, it will also increase the false alarm rate. Two of the ways in which practitioners have attempted to improve their ability to detect small shifts quickly are by using the Western Electric rules to
interpret the control chart and by using CUSUM charts. The Western Electric rules are described in Section 10.4. The Western Electric Rules Figure 10.9 (page 786) presents an chart. While none of the points fall outside the 3σ control limits, the process is clearly not in a state of control, since there is a nonrandom
pattern to the sample means. In recognition of the fact that a process can fail to be in control even when no points plot outside the control limits, engineers at the Western Electric company in 1956 suggested a list of conditions, any one of which could be used as evidence that a process is out of control. The idea behind these conditions is that if a
trend or pattern in the control chart persists for long enough, it can indicate the absence of control limits. The 1σ control limits are given by and the 2σ control limits are given by and the 2σ control limits are given by and the 2σ control limits. The 1σ control limits are given by and the 2σ control limits are given by and the 2σ control limits. The 1σ control limits are given by and the 2σ control limits are given by an another limits are given by a control limits are given by a
chart exhibits nonrandom patterns, indicating a lack of statistical control, even though no points plot outside the 3\sigma control limits are shown on this plot, so that the Western Electric rules can be applied. The Western Electric Rules Any one of the following conditions is evidence that a process is out of control: 1. Any point
plotting outside the 3\sigma control limits. 2. Two out of three consecutive points plotting above the upper 2\sigma limit, or two out of five consecutive points plotting below the lower 1\sigma limit. 4. Eight consecutive
points plotting on the same side of the center line. In Figure 10.9, the Western Electric rules indicate that the process is out of control at sample number 8, at which time four out of five consecutive points have plotted above the upper 1σ control limit. For more information on using the Western Electric rules to interpret control charts, see
Montgomery (2013b). The S chart The S chart are used to control the R chart are used to control the R chart are used to control the S chart are used to contro
FIGURE 10.10 S chart for the moisture data. Compare with Figure 10.1. Note that the S chart for the moisture data is similar in appearance to the R chart for the moisture data. Like the R chart for the moisture data is similar in appearance to the R chart for the moisture data. Like the R chart for the moisture data is similar in appearance to the R chart for the moisture data.
sample standard deviations come from a population with mean µs and the control limits at µs ± 3σs. These quantities are typically unknown. We approximate µs with , the average of the sample standard deviations. Thus the center line is plotted at . It can be shown by
advanced methods that the quantities \mu s \pm 3\sigma s can be estimated with multiples of; these multiples of and B4 are constants whose values depend on the sample size n. A brief table of values of B3 and B4 follows. A more
extensive tabulation is provided in Table A.10 (Appendix A). Note that for samples of size 5 or less, the value of B3 is 0. For samples this small, the value of B3 is 0. For samples this small, the value of B3 is 0. For samples this small, the value of B3 is 0. For samples this small, the value of B3 is 0. For samples this small, the value of B3 is 0. For samples this small, the value of B3 is 0. For samples this small, the value of B3 is 0. For samples this small, the value of B3 is 0. For samples this small, the value of B3 is 0. For samples this small, the value of B3 is 0. For samples this small, the value of B3 is 0. For samples this small, the value of B3 is 0. For samples this small, the value of B3 is 0. For samples this small, the value of B3 is 0. For samples this small, the value of B3 is 0. For samples this small, the value of B3 is 0. For samples this small, the value of B3 is 0. For samples this small, the value of B3 is 0. For samples this small, the value of B3 is 0. For samples this small, the value of B3 is 0. For samples this small, the value of B3 is 0. For samples this small, the value of B3 is 0. For samples this small, the value of B3 is 0. For samples this small, the value of B3 is 0. For samples this small, the value of B3 is 0. For samples this small, the value of B3 is 0. For samples this small, the value of B3 is 0. For samples this small this sma
2.266\ 2.089\ 1.970\ 1.882\ 1.815\ Example\ 10.7\ Compute the center line and the 3\sigma\ S chart upper and lower control limit is (2.089)(0.2445) = 0.5108, Therefore the upper control limit is (2.089)(0.2445) = 0.5108, and (2.089)(0.2445) = 0.5108, and (2.089)(0.2445) = 0.5108, and (2.089)(0.2445) = 0.5108.
and the lower control limit is (0)(0.2445) = 0. Page 788 Summary In an S chart, the center line and the 3σ upper and lower control limits are given by The values are tabulated in Table A.10. The S chart in Figure 10.10 shows that the process variation is out of control in sample 6. We delete this sample
and recompute the S chart. Figure 10.11 presents the results. The variation is now in control, we compute the chart to assess the
process mean. Recall that for the chart, the center line is at , and the upper and lower control limits would ideally be located a distance above and below the center line. Since we used the S chart to assess the process variation, we will estimate the quantity with a multiple of . Specifically, we estimate with , where A3 is a constant whose value
depends on the sample size n. A brief table of values of A3 follows. A more extensive tabulation is provided in Table A.10. n 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 A3 2.6591.9541.628 1.4271.2871.1821.099 Summary In an chart, when is used to estimate lower control limits are given by , the center line and the 3σ upper and Page 789 The value A3 depends on the sample size
Values are tabulated in Table A.10. If Western Electric rules are to be used, 1 and 2 control limits are . Example Compute the 10.1. Solution With sample 6 deleted, the value of is 2.658, and the value of is 0.2354. The sample
size is n = 5. From the table, A3 = 1.427. Therefore the upper control limit is 2.658 + (1.427)(0.2354) = 2.322. The chart for the moisture data with sample 6 deleted is shown in Figure 10.12. The control limit is 2.658 - (1.427)(0.2354) = 2.322. The chart for the moisture data with sample 6 deleted is shown in Figure 10.12.
Figure 10.3. Figure 10.12 indicates that the process is out of control. After taking corrective Page 790 action, a new S chart and chart are constructed. Figure 10.13 presents the results. The process is now in a state of statistical control.
```

```
than the sample ranges. Compare with Figure 10.3. FIGURE 10.13 S chart and chart after special cause is remedied. The process is now in a state of statistical control. Compare with Figure 10.4. In summary, the S chart is an alternative to the R chart, to be used in combination with the chart. For the moisture data, it turned out that the two charts
gave very similar results. This is true in many cases, but it will sometimes happen that the results differ. Which Is Better, the S Chart or the R Chart? Both the R chart and S chart have the same purpose: to estimate the process standard
deviation with the sample standard deviation of s involves all the measurements in each sample, while the computation of R
 involves only two measurements (the largest and the smallest). It turns out that the improvement in Page 791 precision obtained with s as opposed to R increases as the sample sizes (greater than 5 or so). The R chart is still widely used, largely through tradition
At one time, the R chart had the advantage that the sample range required less arithmetic to compute than did the sample standard deviation. Now that most calculations are done electronically, this advantage no longer holds. So the S chart is in general the better choice. Samples of Size 1 Sometimes it is necessary to define rational subgroups in
such a way that each sample can contain only one value. For example, if the production rate is very slow, it may not be convenient to wait to accumulate sample of size 1, so R charts and S charts cannot be used. Several other methods are
available. One method is the CUSUM chart, discussed in Section 10.4. Exercises for Section 10.4. Exercises for Section 10.4. Exercises for Section 10.2. The quality-control plan for a certain production process involves taking samples of size 4. The results from the last 30 samples can be summarized as follows: a. Compute the 3σ control limits for the R chart. b. Compute the 3σ control limits for the R chart. b. Compute the 3σ control limits for the R chart. b. Compute the 3σ control limits for the R chart. b. Compute the 3σ control limits for the R chart. b. Compute the 3σ control limits for the R chart. b. Compute the 3σ control limits for the R chart. b. Compute the 3σ control limits for the R chart. b. Compute the 3σ control limits for the R chart. b. Compute the 3σ control limits for the R chart. b. Compute the 3σ control limits for the R chart. b. Compute the 3σ control limits for the R chart. b. Compute the 3σ control limits for the R chart. b. Compute the 3σ control limits for the R chart. b. Compute the 3σ control limits for the R chart. b. Compute the 3σ control limits for the R chart. b. Compute the 3σ control limits for the R chart. b. Compute the 3σ control limits for the R chart. b. Compute the 3σ control limits for the R chart. b. Compute the 3σ control limits for the R chart. b. Compute the 3σ control limits for the R chart. b. Compute the 3σ control limits for the R chart. b. Compute the 3σ control limits for the R chart. b. Compute the 3σ control limits for the R chart. b. Compute the 3σ control limits for the R chart. b. Compute the 3σ control limits for the R chart. b. Compute the 3σ control limits for the R chart. b. Compute the 3σ control limits for the R chart. b. Compute the R char
chart. c. Using the sample ranges, compute the 3\sigma control limits for the chart. d. Using the sample standard deviations, compute the 3\sigma control limits for the chart. The following chart depicts the last 50 samples taken from the output of a process. Using the sample ranges, compute the 3\sigma control limits for the chart. The following chart depicts the last 50 samples taken from the output of a process. Using the sample ranges, compute the 3\sigma control limits for the chart. The following chart depicts the last 50 samples taken from the output of a process.
at which sample the process is first detected to be out of control and which rule is violated. 3. The following table presents the means, ranges, and standard deviations for 20 consecutive samples. Page 792 Sample 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 2.49 2.45
2.51\ 2.53\ 2.44\ 2.44\ 2.42\ 2.42\ 2.47\ 2.54\ 2.42\ 2.42\ 2.47\ 2.54\ 2.55\ 2.50\ 2.53\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50\ 2.50
the R chart. Is the variance under control? If not, delete the samples that are out of control? c. Based on the Western Electric rules, is
the process mean in control? If not, when is it first detected to be out of control? Repeat Exercise 3, using the S chart in place of the R chart. A process is declared to be out of control if a point plots outside the 3σ control limits
on an chart. a. If the process mean shifts to 14, what is the average number of samples that will be detected on an chart? b. An upward shift to what value must the standard deviation be reduced to produce an ARL of 4 when the process mean
shifts to 14? d. If the standard deviation remains at 3, what sample size must be used to produce an ARL no greater than 4 when the process is monitored by taking samples of size 4 at regular intervals. The process is declared to be out of control if a point plots outside the
3σ control limits on an chart. a. If the process mean shifts to 9, what is the average number of samples that will be detected with an ARL of 8? c. If the sample size remains at 4, to what value must the standard deviation be reduced to produce an ARL of 8 when the
process mean shifts to 9? d. If the standard deviation remains at 2, what sample size must be used to produce an ARL no greater than 8 when the process is in
control. a. What is the probability that a false alarm will occur within the next 100 samples? c. What is the probability that a false alarm will be no false alarm within the next 100 samples? c. What is the probability that a false alarm within the next 100 samples? d. Fill in the blank: The probability that a false alarm will occur within the next 100 samples? d. Fill in the blank: The probability that a false alarm will occur within the next 100 samples? d. Fill in the blank: The probability that a false alarm will occur within the next 100 samples? d. Fill in the blank: The probability that a false alarm will occur within the next 100 samples? d. Fill in the blank: The probability is 0.5 that there will be a false alarm within the next 100 samples? d. Fill in the blank: The probability is 0.5 that there will be a false alarm within the next 100 samples? d. Fill in the blank: The probability that a false alarm within the next 100 samples? d. Fill in the blank: The probability is 0.5 that there will be a false alarm within the next 100 samples? d. Fill in the blank is the probability that a false alarm within the next 100 samples? d. Fill in the blank is the probability that a false alarm within the next 100 samples? d. Fill in the blank is the probability that a false alarm within the next 100 samples? d. Fill in the blank is the probability that a false alarm within the next 100 samples? d. Fill in the blank is the probability that a false alarm within the next 100 samples? d. Fill in the blank is the probability that a false alarm within the next 100 samples? d. Fill in the blank is the probability that a false alarm within the next 100 samples? d. Fill in the blank is the probability that a false alarm within the next 100 samples? d. Fill in the blank is the probability that a false alarm within the next 100 samples?
               samples. Samples of eight bolts are taken periodically, and their diameters (in mm) are measured. The following table presents the means, ranges, and standard deviations for 25 consecutive samples. Page 793 Sample 1 2 3 4 9.99 10.02 10.10 9.90 R 0.28 0.43 0.16 0.26 s 0.09 0.13 0.05 0.09 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23
and a. Calculate the 3\sigma control limits for the R chart. Is the variance under control? If not, when is it first detected to be out of control? c. Based on the 3\sigma limits, is the process mean in control? If not, when is it first detected to be out of control? c. Based on
the Western Electric rules, is the process mean in control? If not, when is it first detected to be out of control? 9. Repeat Exercise 8, using the S chart in place of the R chart. 10. A certain type of integrated circuit is connected to its frame by five wires. Thirty samples of five units each were taken, and the pull strength (in grams) of one wire on each
unit was measured. The data are presented in Table E10 on page 794. The means are , , and . a. Compute the 3σ limits for the R chart. Is the variance out of control at any point? If so, delete the samples that are out of control at any point? If so, delete the samples that are out of control and recompute and . b. Compute the 3σ limits for the R chart. Is the variance out of control at any point? If so, delete the samples that are out of control at any point? If so, delete the samples that are out of control at any point? If so, delete the samples that are out of control and recompute and . b. Compute the 3σ limits for the R chart. Is the variance out of control at any point? If so, delete the samples that are out of control at any point? If so, delete the samples that are out of control at any point? If so, delete the samples that are out of control at any point? If so, delete the samples that are out of control at any point? If so, delete the samples that are out of control at any point? If so, delete the samples that are out of control at any point? If so, delete the samples that are out of control at any point? If so, delete the samples that are out of control at any point? If so, delete the samples that are out of control at any point? If so, delete the samples that are out of control at any point? If so, delete the samples that are out of control at any point? If so, delete the samples that are out of control at any point? If so, delete the samples that are out of control at any point? If so, delete the samples that are out of control at any point? If so, delete the samples that are out of control at any point? If so, delete the samples that are out of control at any point? If so, delete the samples that are out of control at any point? If so, delete the samples that are out of control at any point? If so, delete the samples that are out of control at any point? If so, delete the samples that are out of control at a sample that are out of control at a sample that are out of control at a sample that are ou
not, at what point is it first detected to be out of control? C. On the basis of the Western Electric rules, is the process mean in control? If not, when is it first detected to be out of control? TABLE E10 Sample 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 10.3 9.9 9.0 10.1 10.8 10.3 8.8 9.4 9.1 8.9 9.0 9.5 9.0 9.4 9.4
9.7 9.7 9.4 10.5 10.1 9.5 8.9 9.6 9.8 9.7 10.3 10.1 9.6 8.8 9.9 9.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 9.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 
9.84 9.80 10.28 10.04 9.76 10.18 9.54 9.82 9.74 10.28 10.24 10.20 10.40 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10.10 10.44 10
Repeat Exercise 10, using the S chart in place of the R chart. 12. Copper wires are coated with a thin plastic coating. Samples of four wires are taken every hour, and the thickness of the coating (in mils) is measured. The data from the last 30 samples are presented in Table E12 on page 795. The means are, and a compute the 3σ limits for the R
chart. Is the variance out of control at any point? If so, b. c. delete the samples that are out of control? On the basis of the Western Electric rules, is the process mean in control? If not, at what point is it first detected to be out of control? On the basis of the Western Electric rules, is the process mean in
control? If not, when is it first detected to be out of control? TABLE E12 Sample 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 Data for Exercise 12 146.0 147.1 148.7 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 151.3 15
 155.0\ 151.4\ 154.6\ 149.0\ 146.8\ 152.4\ 150.2\ 145.7\ 150.2\ 145.7\ 150.2\ 145.7\ 150.2\ 147.6\ 147.6\ 148.2\ 150.3\ 155.5\ 149.7\ 148.2\ 150.4\ 150.4\ 150.4\ 150.4\ 150.4\ 150.4\ 150.125\ 148.850\ 150.200\ 150.475\ 149.275\ 147.875\ 147.875\ 147.875\ 147.875\ 147.825\ 150.925\ 151.900
 149.325\ 151.275\ 151.200\ 150.900\ 151.625\ 152.700\ 151.625\ 152.700\ 151.100\ 147.575\ 149.550\ 151.175\ 150.200\ 147.950\ 150.525\ 151.225\ 151.350\ 147.825\ 153.300\ 150.175\ 13. Repeat Exercise 12, using the S chart in place of the R chart. R 9.2\ 4.3\ 5.7\ 4.2\ 6.5\ 12.6\ 4.0\ 19.5\ 5.5\ 8.8\ 4.8\ 4.9\ 5.9\ 3.0\ 4.1\ 8.9\ 2.6\ 8.8\ 9.4\ 8.1\ 4.7\ 11.0\ 13.4\ 1.7\ 8.4\ 6.0\ 5.2\ 4.1\ 5.6\ 8.2\ s\ 4.2\ 2.9\ 13.300\ 150.175\ 13.
 1.97\ 2.65\ 1.81\ 2.92\ 5.37\ 1.66\ 8.02\ 2.27\ 4.29\ 2.02\ 2.01\ 2.50\ 1.38\ 2.06\ 4.20\ 1.08\ 3.59\ 4.20\ 3.75\ 2.19\ 5.12\ 5.64\ 0.72\ 3.93\ 2.77\ 2.32\ 1.90\ 2.51\ 3.38 Page 795\ 10.3 Control Charts for Attributes The p Chart The p chart is used when the quality characteristic being measured on each unit has only two possible values, usually "defective" and "not defective" a
In each sample, the proportion of defectives is calculated. Let p be the probability that a given unit is defective. If the process is in control, this probability is constant over time. Let k be the number of samples. We will assume that all
samples are the same size, and we will denote this size by n. Let Xi be the number of defective units in the ith sample, and let be the proportion of defective items in the ith sample. Now Xi ~ Bin(n, p), and if np > 10, it is approximately true that (see page 295). Since has mean µ = p and standard deviation, it Page 796 follows that the center line
should be at p, and the 3σ control limits should be at . Usually p is not known and is estimated with, the average of the sample proportions . Summary In a p chart, where the number of items in each sample is n, the center line and the 3σ upper and lower control limits are given by These control limits will be valid if . We illustrate these ideas with
Example 10.9. Example 10.9 In the production of silicon wafers, 30 lots of size 500 are sampled, and the proportion of defective wafers is calculated for each sample. Table 10.2 presents the results. Compute the center line and 3σ control limits for the p chart. Plot the chart. Does the process appear to be in control? TABLE 10.2 Sample 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 Number and proportion defective, for Example 10.9 Number Defective 17 26 31 25 26 29 36 26 25 21 18 33 29 17 28 26 19 31 27 24 22 24 30 25 26 28 22 31 18 23 Proportion Defective 0.034 0.052 0.052 0.058 0.072 0.052 0.050 0.052 0.050 0.042 0.036 0.066 0.058 0.034 0.056
0.052\ 0.038\ 0.062\ 0.054\ 0.048\ 0.044\ 0.048\ 0.044\ 0.048\ 0.060\ 0.050\ 0.050\ 0.050\ 0.050\ 0.050\ 0.060\ 0.050\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 0.060\ 
chart. The process appears to be in control. FIGURE 10.14 p chart for the data in Table 10.2 The sample size must be large enough so that there will be several defective items in most of the samples. If defective items are not
common, the sample size must be quite large. Interpreting Out-of-Control Signals in Attribute Charts When an attribute control limit requires quite a different response than a point plotting above the upper control limit. Both conditions indicate that a
special cause has changed the proportion of defective units. A point plotting above the upper control limit, however, indicates that the special cause has decreased the proportion of defective units has increased, so action must be taken to identify and remove the special cause.
of defective units. The special cause still needs to be identified, but in this case, action should be taken to make it continue, so that the proportion of defective items can be decreased permanently. The C Chart The c chart is used when the quality measurement is a count of the number of defects, or flaws, in a given unit. A unit may be a single item, or
it may be a group of items large enough so that the expected number of flaws is sufficiently large. Use of the c chart requires that the number of defects in the ith unit. Let λ denote the mean total number of flaws per unit. Then ci ~ Poisson(λ)
If the process is in control, the value of \lambda is constant over time. Now if \lambda is reasonably large, say \lambda > 10, then ci \sim N(\lambda, \lambda), approximately (see page 299). Note that Page 798 the value of \lambda can in principle be made large enough by choosing a sufficiently large number of items per unit. The c chart is constructed by plotting the values ci. Since ci has
mean \lambda and standard deviation equal to , the center line should be plotted at \lambda and the 3\sigma control limits should be plotted at . Usually the value of \lambda is unknown and has to be estimated from the data. The appropriate estimate is , the average number of defects per unit. Summary In a c chart, the center line and the 3\sigma upper and lower control limits
are given by These control limits will be valid if . Example 10.10 rolls of sheet aluminum, used to manufacture cans, are examined for surface flaws. Table 10.3 presents the numbers of flaws in 40 samples of 100 m2 each. Compute the center line and 3σ control limits for the c chart. Plot the chart. Does the
process appear to be in control?' TABLE 10.3 Sample 1 2 3 4 Number of flaws, for Example 10.10 Number of Flaws (c) 16 12 9 13 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 15 5 13 11 15 12 14 11 10 9 9 14 10 12 8 14 11 16 16 13 12 17 15 13 15 13 10 10 10 10 12 14 10 15 12 11 14 Solution
The average of the 40 counts is . The center line is therefore 22.7857, and the lower control limit is 1.7643. Figure 10.15 presents the c chart. The process appears to be in control. Page 799 FIGURE 10.15 c chart for the data in Table 10.3 Exercises for Section
10.3 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. A process is monitored for defective items by taking a sample of 200 items each day and calculating the proportion that are defective items in the ith sample. For the last 30 samples, the sum of the proportion is a calculate the center line and the 3σ upper and lower control limits for a p chart.
The target fill weight for a box of cereal is 350 g. Each day a sample of 300 boxes is taken, and the number that are underweight boxes for each of the last 25 days is as follows: 2312 1919 201921 2726 232622 25 3030 2225 272935 3943 413929 a. Compute the upper and lower 3\u03c0 limits for a p chart. b. Is the
process in control? If not, when is it first detected to be out of control? A process is monitored for defective items by periodically taking a sample of 100 items and counting the number that are defective items by periodically taking a sample of 100 items and counting the number that are defective items by periodically taking a sample of 100 items and counting the number that are defective. In the last 50 samples, there were a total of 622 defective items. Is this enough information to compute the 3σ control limits for a p chart? If so,
compute the limits. If not, state what additional information would be required. Refer to Exercise 3. In the last 50 samples, there were a total of 622 defective items. The largest number of defective items and time
during the last 50 samples? If so, state whether or not the process was out of control. If not, state what additional information would be required to make the determination. A newly designed quality-control program for a certain process involves sampling 20 items each day and counting the number of defective items. The numbers of defectives in the
first 10 samples are 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0. A member of the quality-control team asks for advice, expressing concern that the numbers of defectives are too small to construct an accurate p chart can be constructed when the number of defective items is
                         Since the proportion of items that are defective is so small, it isn't necessary to construct a p chart for this process. iii. Increase the number of defectives per sample. A process that produces mirrors for automobiles is monitored by taking
samples of 1500 mirrors and counting the total number of visual flaws on all the sample mirrors. Let ci be the total number of flaws on the mirrors in the ith sample. For the last 70 samples, the quantity has been calculated. Compute the center line and the 3σ upper and lower control limits for a c chart. Page 800 i. ii. 6. 7. 8. Refer to Exercise 6. The
number of flaws in the 34th sample was 27. Is it possible to determine whether or not the process was in control. If not, state what additional information would be required to make the determination. Each hour, a 10 m2 section of fabric is inspected for flaws. The numbers of flaws observed
for the last 20 hours are as follows: 38 353549 3348 4047 45 46 41 533641 5163 3558 55 57 a. Compute the upper and lower 30 limits for a c chart. b. Is the process in control? If not, when is it first detected to be out of control? 10.4 The CUSUM Chart One purpose of an chart is to detect a shift in the process mean. Unless a shift is fairly large,
however, it may be some time before a point plots outside the 3σ control limits. Example 10.4 (in Section 10.2) showed that when a process mean shifts by an amount equal to , the average run length (ARL) is approximately 44, which means that on the average 44 samples must be observed before the process is judged to be out of control. The
 Western Electric rules (Section 10.2) provide one method for reducing the ARL. CUSUM charts provide another. One way that small shifts manifest themselves is with a run of points above or below the center line. The Western Electric rules are designed to respond to runs. Another way to detect smaller shifts is with cumulative sums. Imagine that a
process mean shifts upward slightly. There will then be a tendency for points to plot above the center line as we go along, and plot the cumulative sums, the points in a CUSUM
chart. We assume that we have m sample of size n, with sample means . To begin, a target value µ must be specified for the process mean. Often µ is taken to be the value . Then an estimate of , the standard deviation of the sample means, is needed. This can be obtained either with sample ranges, using the estimate , or with sample standard
deviations, using the estimate . If there is only one item per sample (n = 1), then an external estimate is needed. Even a rough guess can produce good results, so the CUSUM procedure can be useful when n = 1. Finally two constants, usually called k and h, must be specified. Larger values for these constants result in longer average run lengths, and
thus fewer false alarms, but also result in longer waiting times to discover that a process is out of control. The values k = 0.5 and h = 4 or 5 are often used, because they provide a reasonably long ARL when the process is in control but still have fairly good power to detect a shift of magnitude or more in the process mean. For each sample, the
quantity is the deviation from the target value. We define two cumulative sums, SH and SL. The sum SH is always either positive or zero and signals that the process mean has become greater than the target value. Both Page 801
  these sums are computed recursively: in other words, the current value in the sequence is used to compute the next value. The initial values of SH and SL are (10.2) For i \ge 1 the values are (10.3) (10.4) If for some i, it is concluded that the process mean has
become less than the target value. Figure 10.16 presents a CUSUM chart for the data in Figure 10.2 (in Section 10.2). The values k = 0.5 and h = 4 were used. The value 2.952 is the quantity. The CUSUM chart indicates an out-of-control condition on the tenth sample. For these data, the CUSUM chart for the data in Figure 10.16 presents a CUSUM chart for the value 2.952 is the quantity.
rules, which determined that the process was out of control at the eighth sample (see Figure 10.9). FIGURE 10.16 CUSUM chart for the data in Figure 10.9. Summary In a CUSUM chart, two cumulative sums, SH and SL, are plotted. The initial values are SH0 = SL0 = 0. For i ≥ 1, The constants k and h must be specified. Good results are often
obtained for the values k = 0.5 and h = 4 or 5. If for any i, , the process is judged to be out of control. There are several other methods for constructing CUSUM charts, which are Page 802 equivalent, or nearly equivalent, to the method presented here. Some people define the deviations to be the z-scores, and then use zi in place of Xi – \mu, and k in
place of in the formulas for SH and SL. With this definition, the control limits are plotted at ±h rather than. Other methods for graphing the CUSUM chart are available as well. The most common alternative is the "V-mask" approach. A text on statistical quality control, such as Montgomery (2013b), can be consulted for further information. Exercises
for Section 10.4 1. 2. 3. Refer to Exercise 3 in Section 10.2. a. Delete any samples necessary to bring the process variation under control. (You did this already if you did Exercise 3 in Section 10.2.) b. Use to estimate (is the difference between and the 1σ control limit on an chart). c. Construct a CUSUM chart, using for the target mean μ, and the
 estimate of found in part (b) for the standard deviation. Use the values k = 0.5 and h = 4. d. Is the process mean in control? If not, when is it first detected to be out of control. (You did this already if you did Exercise 3 in Section 10.2.) Do
the Western Electric rules give the same results as the CUSUM chart? If not, how are they different? Refer to Exercise 8 in Section 10.2. a. Delete any samples necessary to bring the process variation under control. (You did this already if you did Exercise 8 in Section 10.2.) b. Use to estimate ( is the difference between and the 1σ control limit on an
chart). c. Construct a CUSUM chart, using for the target mean u, and the estimate of found in part (b) for the standard deviation. Use the values k = 0.5 and h = 4. d. Is the process mean in control? e. Construct an chart, and use the Western Electric rules to determine whether the process mean is in
control. (You did this already if you did Exercise 8 in Section 10.2.) Do the Western Electric rules give the same results as the CUSUM chart? If not, how are they different? Refer to Exercise 10 in Section 10.2.) b.
Use to estimate (is the difference between and the 1\sigma control? If not, when is it first detected to be out of control? e. Construct an chart, using for the target mean \mu, and the estimate of 4. Is the process mean in control? If not, when is it first detected to be out of control? e. Construct an chart,
and use the Western Electric rules to determine whether the process mean is in control. (You did this already if you did Exercise 10 in Section 10.2. a. Delete any samples necessary to bring the process
variation under control. (You did this already if you did Exercise 12 in Section 10.2.) b. Use to estimate ( is the difference between and the estimate of found in part (b) for the standard deviation. Use the values k = 0.5 and k = 0.5
mean in control? If not, when is it first detected to be out of control? e. Construct an chart, and use the Western Electric rules give the same results as the CUSUM chart? If not, how are they different?
Concrete blocks to be used in a certain application are supposed to have a mean compressive strength of 1500 MPa. Samples of size 1 are used for quality control. The compressive strength of 1500 MPa. Samples are given in the following table. c. 5. Sample 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 Strength 1487 1463 1499 1502 1473 1520 1520
 1500 for the target mean \mu, and the values k = 0.5 and h = 4, construct a CUSUM chart. b. Is the process mean in control? If not, when is it first detected to be out of control? A quality-control apprentice is preparing a CUSUM chart.
state of statistical control, it is important to evaluate its ability to produce output that conforms to design specifications. We consider variables data, and we assume that the quality characteristic of interest follows a normal distribution. These
 estimates are denoted and, respectively. The data used to calculate and are usually taken from control charts at a time when the process is in a state of control. The process mean is estimated with. The process standard deviation can be estimated by using either the average sample range or the average sample standard deviation. Specifically, it has
 and standard deviation can be estimated from control chart data as follows: The values of d2 and c4 depend on the sample size. Values are tabulated in Table A.10. Note that the process standard deviation of the
sample mean. The process standard deviation of the quality characteristic of individual units. They are related by , where n is the sample size. To be fit for use, a quality characteristic must fall between a lower specification limit (USL). Sometimes there is only one limit; this situation
will be discussed at the end of this section. The specification limits are determined by design requirements. We will discuss two indices of process capability, Cpk and Cp. The index Cpk describes the capability of the
process as it is, while Cp describes the potential capability of the process. Note that the process capability index Cp has no relation to the quantities have the same name. Page 805 The index Cpk is defined to be the distance from to the quantity called Mallows' Cp that is used for linear model selection (see Chapter 8). It is a coincidence that the process capability index Cpk is defined to be the distance from to the quantity called Mallows' Cp that is used for linear model selection (see Chapter 8).
nearest specification limit, divided by specification limit, divided by specification limit (USL) than to the upper FIGURE 10.17 The normal curve represents an illustration where is closer to the upper FIGURE 10.17 The normal curve represents the population of units produced by a process. The process mean is closer to the upper FIGURE 10.17 The normal curve represents the population of units produced by a process.
equal to . Definition The index Cpk is equal either to whichever is less. By convention, the minimum acceptable value for Cpk is 1. That is, a process mean is three standard deviations from the nearest specification limit. A Cpk value of 1.33, indicating that the process mean is four standard
deviations from the nearest specification limit, is generally considered good. Example 10.11 The design specifications for a piston rod used in an automatic transmission call for the rod length to be between 71.4 and 72.8 mm. The process is monitored with an chart and an S chart, using samples of size n = 5. These show the process to be in control
The values of and are mm and mm. Compute the value of Cpk. Is the process capability acceptable? Solution We estimate. To compute the value USL. Therefore limits are LSL = 71.4 mm and USL = 72.8 mm. The value USL. Therefore is 5. Therefore limits are LSL = 71.4 mm and USL = 72.8 mm. The value USL is 5. Therefore limits are LSL = 71.4 mm and USL = 72.8 mm. The value USL is 5. Therefore limits are LSL = 71.4 mm and USL = 72.8 mm. The value USL is 5. Therefore limits are LSL = 71.4 mm and USL = 72.8 mm. The value USL is 5. Therefore limits are LSL = 71.4 mm and USL = 72.8 mm. The value USL is 5. Therefore limits are LSL = 71.4 mm and USL = 72.8 mm. The value USL is 5. Therefore limits are LSL = 71.4 mm and USL = 72.8 mm. The value USL is 5. Therefore limits are LSL = 71.4 mm and USL = 72.8 mm. The value USL is 5. Therefore limits are LSL = 71.4 mm and USL = 72.8 mm. The value USL is 5. Therefore limits are LSL = 71.4 mm and USL = 72.8 mm. The value USL is 5. Therefore limits are LSL = 71.4 mm and USL = 72.8 mm. The value USL is 5. Therefore limits are LSL = 71.4 mm and USL = 72.8 mm. The value USL is 5. Therefore limits are LSL = 71.4 mm and USL = 72.8 mm. The value USL is 5. Therefore limits are LSL = 71.4 mm and USL = 72.8 mm. The value USL is 5. Therefore limits are LSL is 5. Ther
< 1, the process capability is not acceptable. Page 806 Example 10.12 Refer to Example 10.11. Assume that it is possible to adjust the process mean to any desired value of Cpk? What will the value of Cpk be? Solution The specification limits are LSL = 71.4 and USL = 72.8. The value of Cpk will be
maximized if the process mean is adjusted to the midpoint between the specification limits; that is, if \mu = 72.1. The process standard deviation is estimated with . Therefore the maximum value of Cpk is (72.1 - 71.4)/(3)(0.2128) = 1.0965. The process capability would be acceptable. The capability that can potentially be achieved by shifting the
process mean to the midpoint between the upper and lower specification limits is called the process capability index, denoted Cp. If the process mean to either specification limit is equal to one-half the distance between the specification limits, that is \mu - LSL = USL - \mu = 0
(USL - LSL)/2 (see Figure 10.18). It follows that (10.5) The process capability index Cp measures the process that is the greatest capability that the process that is the greatest capability when the process mean is at the midpoint between the
specification limits. In this case μ - LSL - μ = (USL - μSL)/2. Example 10.13 Specifications for the output voltage of a certain electric circuit are 48 to 52 V. The process capability index Cp. Page 807 Solution The process is in control with V. Compute the process capability index Cp. Page 807 Solution The process is in control with V. Compute the process capability index Cp. Page 807 Solution The process ca
from Process Capability Many people use the value of Cp to try to estimate the proportion of units that will be nonconforming only if it is more than three standard deviations from the process mean. Now for a normal population,
the proportion of items that are more than three standard deviations from the mean is equal to 0.0027. Therefore it is often stated that a process with Cp = 1 will produce 27 nonconforming parts per 10,000. The problem with this is that the normality assumption is only approximate for real processes. The approximation may be very good near the
middle of the curve, but it is often not good in the tails. Therefore the true proportion of nonconforming parts may be quite different from that predicted from the normal approximation are extremely crude at best. Six-Sigma
Quality The term "six-sigma quality" has become quite prevalent in discussions of quality control during the last few years. A process is said to have six-sigma quality if the difference USL - LSL is at least 12\sigma. When a process has six-sigma quality if the process has six-sigma quality if the difference USL - LSL is at least 12\sigma. When a process has six-sigma quality if the process has six-sigma quality if the difference USL - LSL is at least 12\sigma. When a process has six-sigma quality if the difference USL - LSL is at least 12\sigma.
 quality, then if the process mean is optimally adjusted, it is six standard deviations from each specification limit. In this case the proportion of nonconforming units will be virtually zero. An important feature of a six-sigma process is that it can withstand moderate shifts in process mean without significant deterioration in capability. For example, even
if the process mean shifts by 3\sigma in one direction or the other, it is still 3\sigma from the nearest specification limit, so the capability index will still be acceptable. Example 10.14 Refer to Example 10.13. To what value must the process standard deviation be reduced in order for the process to attain six-sigma quality? Page 808 Solution To attain six-sigma
quality, the value of Cp must be at least 2.0. The value of \sigma for which this occurs is found by setting Cp = 2.0 and solving for \sigma. We obtain from which \sigma = 0.33. One-Sided Tolerances Some characteristics have only one specification limit. For example, strengths usually have a lower specification limit but no upper limit, since for most applications a
part cannot be too strong. The analog of Cpk when there is only a lower specification limit, it is the upper capability index Cpu. Each of these quantities is defined to be the difference between the estimated process mean and the specification limit, divided by . Summary If a process
has only a lower specification limit (LSL), then the lower capability index is If a process has only an upper specification limit (USL), then the upper capability index is There is no analog for Cp for processes with only one specification limit. Exercises for Section 10.5 1. The thickness specification for aluminum sheets is 0.246-0.254 mm. Data from an
 chart, based on samples of size 6, that shows that the process is in control, yield values of and . a. 2. 3. 4. Compute the value of Cpk for this process is in control, based on samples of size 8, that shows that the process is in control, yield values of and . a. 2. 3. 4. Compute the value of Cpk for this process is in control, yield values of and . a. 2. 3. 4. Compute the value of Cpk for this process is in control, yield values of and . a. 2. 3. 4. Compute the value of Cpk for this process.
control, yield values of and . a. Compute the value should the process capability? b. What will the process capability then be? Refer to Exercise 1. a. To what value should the process mean be set to
maximize the process capability? Page 809 b. c. d. Is it possible to make the process mean? Explain. When the process mean is adjusted to its optimum value, what value must be attained by the process standard deviation so that the process capability is acceptable? When the process mean is
 adjusted to its optimum value, what value must be attained 5. by the process standard deviation so that the process mean is set to its optimal value. Express the upper and lower specification limits in terms of the process mean and standard
deviation. Using the normal curve, estimate the proportion of units that will be nonconforming. Is it likely or unlikely that the true proportion of nonconforming units will be quite different from the estimate in part (b)? Explain. Supplementary Exercises for Chapter 10 1. 2. 3. A process is monitored for defective items by taking a sample of 300 items
each day and calculating the proportion that are defective. Let pi be the proportion of defective items in the ith sample. For the last 100 samples, the sum of the proportions is . Calculate the center line and the 3σ upper and lower control limits for a p chart. Someone constructs an chart where the control limits are at rather than at . a. If the process
is in control, what is the ARL for this chart? b. If the process mean shifts by, what is the ARL for this chart? c. In units of, how large an upward shift can be detected with an ARL of 10? Samples of three resistors are taken periodically, and the resistances, in ohms, are measured. The following table presents the means, ranges, and standard
deviations for 30 consecutive samples. Sample 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 5.144 5.220 5.163 5.221 5.144 5.020 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.221 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5.163 5
0.017\ 0.059\ 0.053\ 0.085\ 0.054\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 0.085\ 
variance out of control at any point? If so, delete the samples that are out of control? If not, at what point is it first detected to be out of control? c. On the basis of the Western Electric rules, is the process mean in control? If not, at what point is it first detected to be out of control? c. On the basis of the Western Electric rules, is the process mean in control? If not, at what point is it first detected to be out of control? and recompute and . b. Compute the 3σ limits, is the process mean in control? If not, at what point is it first detected to be out of control? If not, at what point is it first detected to be out of control? If not, at what point is it first detected to be out of control? If not, at what point is it first detected to be out of control? If not, at what point is it first detected to be out of control? If not, at what point is it first detected to be out of control? If not, at what point is it first detected to be out of control? If not, at what point is it first detected to be out of control? If not, at what point is it first detected to be out of control? If not, at what point is it first detected to be out of control? If not, at what point is it first detected to be out of control? If not, at what point is it first detected to be out of control? If not, at what point is it first detected to be out of control? If not, at what point is it first detected to be out of control? If not, at what point is it first detected to be out of control? If not, at what point is it first detected to be out of control? If not, at what point is it first detected to be out of control? If not, at what point is it first detected to be out of control? If not, at what point is it first detected to be out of control? If not, at what point is it first detected to be out of control? If not, at what point is it first detected to be out of control? If not, at what point is it first detected to be out of control? If not, at what point is it first detected to be out of control? If not, at what point is it fir
when is it first detected to be out of control? Repeat Exercise 3, using the S chart in place of the R chart. Refer to Exercise 3. a. Delete any samples necessary to bring the process variation under control limit on an chart). c. Construct a
CUSUM chart, using for the target mean \( \mu, \) and the estimate of found in part (b) for the standard deviation. Use the western Electric rules to determine whether the process mean is in control? If not, when is it first detected to be out of control? If not, when is it first detected to be out of control? If not, when is it first detected to be out of control? If not, when is it first detected to be out of control? If not, when is it first detected to be out of control? If not, when is it first detected to be out of control? If not, when is it first detected to be out of control? If not, when is it first detected to be out of control? If not, when is it first detected to be out of control? If not, when is it first detected to be out of control? If not, when is it first detected to be out of control? If not, when is it first detected to be out of control? If not, when is it first detected to be out of control? If not, when is it first detected to be out of control? If not, when it first detected to be out of control? If not, when it first detected to be out of control? If not, when it first detected to be out of control? If not, when it first detected to be out of control? If not, when it first detected to be out of control? If not, when it first detected to be out of control? If not, when it first detected to be out of control? If not, when it first detected to be out of control? If not, when it first detected to be out of control? If not, when it first detected to be out of control? If not, when it first detected to be out of control? If not, when it first detected to be out of control? If not, when it first detected to be out of control? If not, when it first detected to be out of control? If not, when it first detected to be out of control? If not, when it first detected to be out of control? If not, when it first detected to be out of control? If not, when it first detected to be out of control? If not, when it first detected to be out of control? If not, when it first detected to be out of control? If
 already if you did Exercise 3.) Do the Western Electric rules give the same results as the CUSUM chart? If not, how are they different? A process is monitored for flaws by taking a sample of size 70 each hour and counting the total number of flaws in the sample items. The total number of flaws over the last 50 samples is 1085. a. Compute the center
line and upper and lower 3\sigma control limits. b. The tenth sample had five flaws. Was the process out of control at that time? Explain. To set up a p chart to monitor a process that produces computer chips for each of the
last 20 days are as follows: a. 4. 5. 5.029 5.038 4.962 5.033 4.962 5.033 4.962 5.033 4.962 5.033 4.962 5.033 4.962 5.033 4.962 5.033 4.962 5.033 5.068 12 1311 1015 9 1 10 9 15 8 1311 9 1612 1920 18 9 a. Compute the upper and lower 3σ limits for a p chart. b. At which sample is the process first detected to be out of control? c. Suppose that the special cause that resulted in the out-of-
control condition is determined. Should this cause be remedied? Explain. Page 811 Appendix A Table A.2: Cumulative Binomial Distribution Table A.3: Upper Percentage Points for the Student's t Distribution Table A.4: Tolerance Factors for the Normal Distribution Table A.5:
Critical Points for the Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test Table A.6: Critical Points for the F Distribution Table A.7: Upper Percentage Points for the F Distribution Table A.1: Upper Percentage Points for the F Distribution Table A.1: Upper Percentage Points for the F Distribution Table A.1: Upper Percentage Points for the Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test Table A.1: Upper Percentage Points for the F Distribution Table A.2: Upper Percentage Points for the Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test Table A.3: Upper Percentage Points for the Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test Table A.3: Upper Percentage Points for the Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test Table A.3: Upper Percentage Points for the Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test Table A.3: Upper Percentage Points for the Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test Table A.3: Upper Percentage Points for the Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test Table A.3: Upper Percentage Points for the Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test Table A.3: Upper Percentage Points for the Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test Table A.3: Upper Percentage Points for the Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test Table A.3: Upper Percentage Points for the Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test Table A.3: Upper Percentage Points for the Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test Table A.3: Upper Percentage Points for the Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test Table A.3: Upper Percentage Points for the Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test Table A.3: Upper Percentage Points for the Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test Table A.3: Upper Percentage Points for the Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test Table A.3: Upper Percentage Points for the Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test Table A.3: Upper Percentage Points for the Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test Table A.3: Upper Percentage Points for the Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test Table A.3: Upper Percentage Points for the Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test Table A.3: Upper Percentage Points for the Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test Table A.3: Upper Percentage Points for the Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test Table A.3: Upper Percentage Points for the Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test Table A.3: Upper Percentage Points for the Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test Table A.3: Upper Percentage Points for the Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test Table A.3: Upper Percentage Points for the Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Te
  0.310\ 0.549\ 0.758\ 0.894\ 0.962\ 0.989\ 0.997\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 
0.641\ 0.801\ 0.908\ 0.965\ 0.989\ 0.997\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 
0.611\ 0.798\ 0.923\ 0.981\ 0.998\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 
0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.00
1.000\ 0.004\ 0.031\ 1.000\ 0.002\ 0.014\ 0.060\ 0.165\ 0.333\ 0.534\ 0.722\ 0.859\ 0.940\ 0.999\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 0.001\ 0.000\ 0.001\ 0.000\ 0.001\ 0.000\ 0.001\ 0.000\ 0.001\ 0.000\ 0.001\ 0.000\ 0.001\ 0.000\ 0.001\ 0.000\ 0.001\ 0.000\ 0.001\ 0.000\ 0.001\ 0.000\ 0.001\ 0.000\ 0.001\ 0.000\ 0.001\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.001\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.001\ 0.000\ 0.001\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.001\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.001\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.001\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 
0.407\ 0.593\ 0.760\ 0.881\ 0.952\ 0.985\ 0.996\ 0.999\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 
0.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00001.00
1.000\ 0.705\ 0.885\ 0.965\ 0.991\ 0.998\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 
0.967 \ 0.989 \ 0.997 \ 0.999 \ 1.000 \ 1.000 \ 1.000 \ 1.000 \ 1.000 \ 1.000 \ 0.005 \ 0.023 \ 0.070 \ 0.163 \ 0.333 \ 0.512 \ 0.692 \ 0.837 \ 0.930 \ 0.977 \ 0.995
0.999 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.000 \ 0.00
0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.00
0.786\ 0.898\ 0.959\ 0.986\ 0.999\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 1.000\ 
0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.00
0.087\ 0.196\ 0.370\ 0.589\ 0.794\ 0.931\ 0.988\ 1.000\ 0.865\ 1.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 
TABLE A.2 z -3.6 -3.5 -3.4 -3.3 -3.2 -3.1 -3.0 0.00 .0002 .0003 .0005 .0007 .0001 .0002 .0003 .0005 .0007 .0001 .0002 .0003 .0005 .0007 .0001 .0002 .0003 .0004 .0006 .0009 .0012 0.04 .0001 .0002 .0003 .0004 .0001 .0002 .0003 .0004 .0006 .0009 .0013 0.00 .0001 .0002 .0003 .0004 .0006 .0009 .0012 0.04 .0001 .0002 .0003 .0004 .0006 .0009 .0012 0.04 .0001 .0002 .0003 .0004 .0006 .0009 .0012 0.04 .0001 .0002 .0003 .0004 .0006 .0009 .0012 0.04 .0001 .0002 .0003 .0004 .0004 .0006 .0009 .0012 0.04 .0001 .0002 .0003 .0004 .0006 .0009 .0012 0.04 .0001 .0002 .0003 .0004 .0006 .0009 .0012 0.04 .0001 .0002 .0003 .0004 .0004 .0006 .0009 .0012 0.04 .0001 .0002 .0003 .0004 .0004 .0006 .0009 .0012 0.04 .0001 .0002 .0003 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .0004 .
 .0003.0004.00006.00003.0004.00006.00003.0001.00003.00004.000003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00004.00003.00004.00003.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.
 -0.6 - 0.5 - 0.4 - 0.5 - 0.4 - 0.3 - 0.2 - 0.1 - 0.0 \ .0019 \ .0026 \ .0035 \ .0047 \ .0062 \ .0082 \ .0107 \ .0139 \ .0219 \ .0228 \ .0287 \ .0359 \ .0446 \ .0548 \ .0668 \ .0808 \ .0968 \ .151 \ .1357 \ .1587 \ .1587 \ .1587 \ .1587 \ .0351 \ .0436 \ .0548 \ .0668 \ .0808 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .151 \ .1357 \ .1587 \ .0436 \ .0548 \ .0808 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \ .0968 \
.1814 .2090 .2389 .2709 .3050 .3409 .3783 .4168 .4562 .4960 .0018 .0024 .0033 .0044 .0059 .0018 .0024 .0033 .0044 .0059 .0078 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .0010 .001
 .0401 .0495 .0606 .0735 .0885 .1056 .1251 .1469 .1711 .1977 .2266 .2578 .2912 .3264 .3632 .4013 .4404 .4801 .0015 .0021 .0028 .0039 .0052 .0069 .0051 .0021 .0029 .0039 .0052 .0085 .1050 .1251 .1469 .1251 .1469 .1251 .1469 .1251 .1469 .1251 .1469 .1251 .1469 .1251 .1469 .1251 .1469 .1251 .1469 .1251 .1469 .1251 .1469 .1251 .1469 .1251 .1469 .1251 .1469 .1251 .1469 .1251 .1469 .1251 .1469 .1251 .1469 .1251 .1469 .1251 .1469 .1251 .1469 .1251 .1469 .1251 .1469 .1251 .1469 .1251 .1469 .1251 .1469 .1251 .1469 .1251 .1469 .1251 .1469 .1251 .1469 .1251 .1469 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .1251 .125
.0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .0150. .
.1003 .0985 .1190 .1170 .1401 .1379 .1635 .611 .1894 .1867 .2177 .2148 .2483 .2451 .2810 .2765 .0521 .791 .7910 .5080 .5478 .2810 .2760 .3156 .3121 .3520 .3483 .3897 .3859 .4286 .4247 .4681 .615 .7927 .7580 .7881 .5040 .5438 .5832 .6217 .6591 .6651 .1894 .1867 .2177 .2148 .2483 .2451 .2810 .2761 .3121 .3520 .3483 .3897 .3859 .4286 .4247 .4681 .4641 Page 819 0.0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 .5000 .5398 .5793 .6179 .6554 .6950 .7291 .7611 .7894 .4887 .3859 .3859 .4286 .4247 .4681 .4641 Page 819 0.0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 .5000 .5398 .5793 .6179 .6554 .6950 .7291 .7611 .7910 .5080 .5478 .5710 .4011 .1894 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .4887 .48
  6985, 6846, 6867, 6987, 6987, 6987, 6987, 6989, 6989, 6849, 6898, 6849, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989, 6989
 .7826. .8126. .5757. .6141. .6517. .6879. .7224. .7549. .7858. .9136. .9891. .9987. .9891. .9987. .9981. .9987. .9981. .9987. .9898. .9987. .9981. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .9988. .99888. .99888. .99888. .99888. .99888. .99888. .99888. .99888. .99888. .99888. .99888. .998
 .8186. 1992. 1995. 1996. 1996. 1996. 1996. 1996. 1996. 1996. 1997. 1907. 1907. 1908. 1998. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999. 1999
.9999 .9998 .9999 .8238 .8485 .8708 .8907 .9082 .9236 .970 .982 .9849 .9959 .984 .9879 .9880 .9879 .9988 .9999 .9880 .9999 .9880 .9999 .9880 .9999 .9880 .9999 .9880 .9999 .9880 .9999 .9980 .9999 .9980 .9999 .9980 .9999 .9980 .9999 .9980 .9999 .9980 .9999 .9980 .9999 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 .9990 
 .9997, .9998, .9999, .9999, .8289, .8531, .8749, .8944, .9115, .9265, .9394, .9960, .9970, .9978, .9979, .9989, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .9999, .
  .999. .999. .999. .999. .999. .999. .999. .999. .999. .999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9999. .9
 .9857 .9857 .9887 .9897 .9998 .9995 .9995 .9996 .9997 .9998 .9997 .9998 .9997 .9998 .9999 Page 820 Upper percentage points for the Student's t distribution α 0.40 0.25 0.10 0.05 0.025 0.01 0.005 0.005 0.001 0.325 1.000 3.078 6.314 12.706 31.821 63.657
318.309\ 0.289\ 0.816\ 1.886\ 2.920\ 4.303\ 6.965\ 9.925\ 22.327\ 0.0005\ 636.619\ 31.599\ 3\ 4\ 5\ 6\ 7\ 8\ 9\ 10\ 11\ 12\ 13\ 14\ 15\ 16\ 17\ 18\ 19\ 20\ 21\ 22\ 23\ 24\ 25\ 26\ 27\ 28\ 29\ 30\ 35\ 40\ 60\ 120\ \infty
0.255\ 0.255\ 0.255\ 0.255\ 0.255\ 0.254\ 0.253\ 0.765\ 0.741\ 0.727\ 0.718\ 0.711\ 0.706\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 0.685\ 
1.333\ 1.330\ 1.328\ 1.325\ 1.323\ 1.321\ 1.319\ 1.318\ 1.316\ 1.315\ 1.314\ 1.313\ 1.311\ 1.310\ 1.306\ 1.303\ 1.296\ 1.282\ 2.353\ 2.132\ 2.015\ 1.943\ 1.895\ 1.860\ 1.833\ 1.812\ 1.771\ 1.714\ 1.711\ 1.708\ 1.706\ 1.703\ 1.701\ 1.699\ 1.697\ 1.690\ 1.684\ 1.671\ 1.658\ 1.645\ 3.182\ 2.776\ 2.571\ 2.447
2.365 2.306 2.262 2.228 2.201 2.179 2.160 2.145 2.131 2.120 2.110 2.101 2.093 2.086 2.080 2.074 2.069 2.086 2.080 2.074 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.080 2.08
2.457 2.438 2.423 2.390 2.358 2.326 5.841 4.604 4.032 3.707 3.499 3.355 3.250 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.169 3.16
3.552 3.527 3.505 3.485 3.467 3.450 3.455 3.467 3.450 3.455 3.467 3.450 3.455 3.421 3.408 3.396 3.385 3.340 3.307 3.232 3.160 3.090 12.924 8.610 6.869 5.959 5.408 5.041 4.781 4.587 4.437 4.318 4.221 4.140 4.073 4.015 3.965 3.922 3.883 3.850 3.819 3.792 3.768 3.745 3.725 3.707 3.690 3.674 3.659 3.646 3.591 3.551 3.460 3.373 3.291 Page 821 Tolerance factors for the normal
distribution Confidence Level 95% Percent of Population Contained 90% 95% 99% 32.0187 37.6746 48.4296 Confidence Level 99% Percent of Population Contained 90% 95% 99% 160.1940 188.4915 242.3004 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 25 30 35 40 45 50 60 70 80 90 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 1000 8.3795 5.3692
4.2749\ 3.7123\ 3.3686\ 3.1358\ 2.9670\ 2.8385\ 2.7\overline{3}72\ 2.6550\ 2.5868\ 2.5292\ 2.4799\ 2.4371\ 2.3995\ 2.3662\ 2.3366\ 2.3366\ 2.3099\ 2.2083\ 2.1398\ 2.0899\ 2.0\overline{5}16\ 2.0212\ 1.9964\ 1.9578\ 1.7220\ 1.7124\ 1.7087\ 9.9158\ 6.3699\ 5.0787\ 4.4140\ 4.0074\ 3.7317\ 3.5317\ 3.3794\ 3.2592\ 3.1617\ 3.0808
3.0124\ 2.9538\ 2.9029\ 2.8583\ 2.8188\ 2.7835\ 2.7518\ 2.6310\ 2.5494\ 2.4900\ 2.4445\ 2.4083\ 2.3787\ 2.3328\ 2.2987\ 2.2720\ 2.2506\ 2.2328\ 2.1425\ 2.1055\ 2.0843\ 2.0701\ 2.0598\ 2.0519\ 2.0456\ 2.0404\ 2.0361\ 12.8613\ 8.2993\ 6.6338\ 5.7746\ 5.2481\ 4.8907\ 4.6310\ 4.4330\ 4.2766\ 4.1496\ 4.0441\ 3.9549\ 3.8785\ 3.8121\ 3.7538\ 3.7022\ 3.6560\ 3.6146\ 3.4565
3.3497\ 3.2719\ 3.2122\ 3.1647\ 3.1259\ 3.0657\ 3.0208\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.9859\ 2.98
1.9990\ 1.9768\ 1.8651\ 1.8199\ 1.7940\ 1.7769\ 1.7644\ 1.7549\ 1.7473\ 1.7410\ 1.7358\ 22.4009\ 11.1501\ 7.8550\ 6.3453\ 5.4877\ 4.9355\ 4.5499\ 4.2647\ 4.0449\ 3.8700\ 3.7271\ 3.6081\ 2.9715\ 2.8414\ 2.7479\ 2.6770\ 2.6211\ 2.5756\ 2.5057\ 2.4541\ 2.4141\ 2.3819\ 2.3555\ 2.2224\ 2.1685\ 2.1377\ 2.1173\ 2.1024\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.0911\ 2.
2.0820 2.0746 2.0683 29.0553 14.5274 10.2602 8.3013 7.1868 6.4683 5.9660 5.5943 5.3075 5.0792 4.8926 4.7371 4.6053 4.4920 4.3934 4.3068 4.2300 4.1614 3.9039 3.7333 3.6107 3.5177 3.4443 3.3846 3.2929 3.2251 3.1725 3.1303 3.0955 2.9207 2.8499 2.8094 2.7826 2.7631 2.7481 2.7362 2.7264 2.7182 Page 822 TABLE A.5 n 4 5 6 7 8 9
Critical points for the Wilcoxon signed-rank test slow sup 1 0 3 2 1 0 4 3 2 1 0 6 5 4 3 2 1 0 9 8 6 5 4 3 2 1 0 11 9 10 12 13 14 15 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 27 28 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 34 α 0.1250 0.0625 0.1562 0.0938 0.0625 0.0312 0.0156 0.1094 0.0781 0.0469 0.0312 0.0156 0.1094 0.0781 0.0547 0.0391 0.0234 0.0156 0.0078 0.1250 0.0977
0.0547 0.0391 0.0273 0.0195 0.0117 0.0078 0.0039 0.1016 10 10 11 12 10 9 35 36 0.0820 0.0645 8 6 5 4 3 2 1 15 14 11 10 9 8 6 5 4 3 18 17 14 13 10 9 8 7 37 39 40 41 42 43 44 40 41 44 45 46 47 49 50 51 52 48 49 52 53 55 56 58 59 60 61 61 64 65 68 69 70 71 0.0488 0.0273 0.0195 0.0137 0.0098 0.0059
0.0039\ 0.1162\ 0.0967\ 0.0527\ 0.0420\ 0.0322\ 0.0244\ 0.0137\ 0.0098\ 0.0068\ 0.0049\ 0.1030\ 0.0874\ 0.0549\ 0.0105\ 0.0068\ 0.0049\ 0.1018\ 0.0068\ 0.0049\ 0.1018\ 0.0061\ 0.0046\ 1.018\ 0.0068\ 0.0049\ 0.1018\ 0.0068\ 0.0049\ 0.1018\ 0.0068\ 0.0049\ 0.1018\ 0.0068\ 0.0049\ 0.1018\ 0.0068\ 0.0049\ 0.1018\ 0.0068\ 0.0049\ 0.1018\ 0.0068\ 0.0049\ 0.1018\ 0.0068\ 0.0049\ 0.1018\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.0061\ 0.006
26 25 20 19 16 15 43 42 36 35 30 29 24 23 20 70 73 74 78 79 81 82 73 74 79 80 83 84 89 90 92 93 83 84 89 90 92 93 83 84 89 90 94 95 100 101 104 105 93 94 100 101 106 107 112 113 116 0.0471 0.0287 0.0239 0.0107 0.0287 0.0239 0.0107 0.0287 0.0247 0.0101 0.0083 0.0054 0.0054 0.0054 0.0054 0.0054 0.0054 0.0054 0.0054 0.0054 0.0055 0.0057 0.0247 0.0247 0.0108
0.0090 0.0051 0.0042 0.1057 0.0964 0.0523 0.0467 0.0253 0.0222 0.0107 0.0091 0.0055 17 18 19 20 19 49 48 117 104 105 0.0046 0.1034 0.0950 42 111 0.0544 41 35 34 28 27 24 23 56 55 48 47 41 40 33 32 28 27 63 62 54 53 47 46 38 37 33 32 70 69 61 60 53 52 44 43 112 118 119 125 126 129 130 115 116 123 124 130 131 138 139 143 144 127
For n > 20, compute TABLE A.6 m 2 n 5 6 7 8 0.0053 0.0047 Page 823 and use the z table (Table A.2). Critical points for the Wilcoxon rank-sum test wlow wup 4 3 4 3 6 7 8 0.0053 0.0047 Page 823 and use the z table (Table A.2). Critical points for the Wilcoxon rank-sum test wlow wup 4 3 4 3 6 7 8 0.0053 0.0047 Page 823 and use the z table (Table A.2). Critical points for the Wilcoxon rank-sum test wlow wup 4 3 4 3 6 7 8 0.0053 0.0047 Page 823 and use the z table (Table A.2). Critical points for the Wilcoxon rank-sum test wlow wup 4 3 4 3 6 7 8 0.0053 0.0047 Page 823 and use the z table (Table A.2). Critical points for the Wilcoxon rank-sum test wlow wup 4 3 4 3 6 7 8 0.0053 0.0047 Page 823 and use the z table (Table A.2). Critical points for the Wilcoxon rank-sum test wlow wup 4 3 4 3 6 7 8 0.0053 0.0047 Page 823 and use the z table (Table A.2). Critical points for the Wilcoxon rank-sum test wlow wup 4 3 4 3 6 7 8 0.0053 0.0047 Page 823 and use the z table (Table A.2). Critical points for the Wilcoxon rank-sum test wlow wup 4 3 4 3 6 7 8 0.0053 0.0047 Page 823 and use the z table (Table A.2). Critical points for the Wilcoxon rank-sum test wlow wup 4 3 4 3 6 7 8 0.0053 0.0047 Page 823 and use the z table (Table A.2). Critical points for the Wilcoxon rank-sum test wlow wup 4 3 4 3 6 7 8 0.0053 0.0047 Page 823 and use the z table (Table A.2). Critical points for the Wilcoxon rank-sum test wlow wup 4 3 4 3 6 7 8 0.0053 0.0047 Page 823 and use the z table (Table A.2). Critical points for the Wilcoxon rank-sum test wlow wup 4 3 4 3 6 7 8 0.0053 0.0047 Page 823 and use the z table (Table A.2). Critical points for the Wilcoxon rank-sum test wlow wup 4 3 4 3 6 7 8 0.0053 0.0047 Page 823 and use the z table (Table A.2). Critical points for the Wilcoxon rank-sum test wlow wup 4 3 4 3 6 8 7 8 0.0053 0.0047 Page 823 and use the z table (Table A.2). Critical points for the Z table (Table A.2).
```

```
36\ 37\ 38\ 39\ 40\ 39\ 40\ 41\ 42\ 43\ 44\ 0.0121\ 0.0061\ 0.0571\ 0.0286\ 0.0143\ 0.0556\ 0.0317\ 0.0159\ 0.0079\ 0.0048\ 0.0545\ 0.0364\ 0.0242\ 0.0141\ 0.0081\ 0.0040\ 0.0754\ 0.0476\ 0.0278\ 0.0159\ 0.0079\ 0.0040\ 0.0628\ 0.0411\ 0.0260\ 0.0152\ 0.0087\ 0.0043\ 0.0530\ 0.0366\ 8\ 6\ 6\ 7\ 8\ 7
 7 20 19 18 17 16 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17 29 28 27 26 25 24 23 30 29 28 27 26 25 24 23 30 29 28 27 26 25 24 32 31 30 29 28 27 26 25 40 39 37 36 35 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 65 66 68 69 70 0.0240 0.0152 0.0088 0.0051 0.0025 0.0637 0.0466 0.0326 0.0225 0.0148 0.0093 0.0054 0.0031
  0.0660\ 0.0465\ 0.0325\ 0.0206\ 0.0130\ 0.0076\ 0.0256\ 0.0130\ 0.0076\ 0.0256\ 0.0111\ 0.0070\ 0.0041\ 0.0539\ 0.0406\ 0.0256\ 0.0111\ 0.0070\ 0.0041\ 0.0539\ 0.0406\ 0.0296\ 0.0131\ 34\ 33\ 32\ 42\ 41\ 39\ 38\ 36\ 35\ 34\ 52\ 51\ 50\ 49\ 46\ 43\ 8\ 8\ 71\ 72\ 73\ 70\ 71\ 73\ 74\ 76\ 77\ 78\ 84\ 85\ 86\ 87\ 90\ 91\ 92\ 93\ 0.0087\ 0.0055\ 0.0035\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0040\ 0.0063\ 0.0040\ 0.0063\ 0.0040\ 0.0063\ 0.0040\ 0.0063\ 0.0040\ 0.0063\ 0.0040\ 0.0063\ 0.0040\ 0.0063\ 0.0040\ 0.0063\ 0.0040\ 0.0063\ 0.0040\ 0.0063\ 0.0040\ 0.0063\ 0.0040\ 0.0063\ 0.0040\ 0.0063\ 0.0040\ 0.0063\ 0.0040\ 0.0063\ 0.0040\ 0.0063\ 0.0040\ 0.0063\ 0.0040\ 0.0063\ 0.0040\ 0.0063\ 0.0040\ 0.0063\ 0.0040\ 0.0063\ 0.0040\ 0.0063\ 0.0040\ 0.0063\ 0.0040\ 0.0063\ 0.0040\ 0.0063\ 0.0040\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063\ 0.0063
 0.0469\ 0.0270\ 0.0200\ 0.0103\ 0.0070\ 0.0200\ 0.0103\ 0.0070\ 0.0047\ 0.0524\ 0.0415\ 0.0325\ 0.0249\ 0.0103\ 0.0074\ 0.0052\ 0.0035\ When\ m\ and\ n\ are\ both\ greater\ than\ 8,\ compute\ and\ use\ the\ z\ table\ (Table\ A.2). Page 824 TABLE A.7 Upper percentage points for the \chi 2 distribution \chi 2 distribution \chi 2 distribution \chi 2 distribution \chi 3 distribution \chi 3 distribution \chi 4 distrib
 0.020\ 0.115\ 0.297\ 0.554\ 0.872\ 1.239\ 1.646\ 2.088\ 0.975\ 0.001\ 0.051\ 0.216\ 0.484\ 0.831\ 1.237\ 1.690\ 2.180\ 2.700\ 0.95\ 0.004\ 0.103\ 0.352\ 0.711\ 1.145\ 1.635\ 2.167\ 2.733\ 3.325\ 0.90\ 0.016\ 0.211\ 0.584\ 1.064\ 1.610\ 2.204\ 2.833\ 3.490\ 4.168\ 0.10\ 2.706\ 4.605\ 6.251\ 7.779\ 9.236\ 10.645\ 12.017\ 13.362\ 14.684\ 0.05\ 3.841\ 5.991\ 7.815\ 9.488\ 11.070\ 12.592\ 14.067\ 15.507\ 16.919\ 0.025\ 5.024\ 7.378\ 9.348\ 11.143\ 12.833\ 14.449\ 16.013\ 17.535\ 19.023\ 0.01\ 6.635\ 9.210\ 11.345\ 13.277\ 15.086\ 16.812\ 18.475\ 20.090\ 21.666\ 0.005\ 7.879\ 10.597\ 12.838\ 14.860\ 16.750\ 18.548\ 20.278\ 21.955\ 23.589\ 10\ 11\ 12\ 13\ 14\ 15\ 16\ 17\ 18\ 19\ 20\ 21\ 22\ 23\ 24\ 25\ 26\ 27\ 28\ 29\ 30\ 31\ 32\ 33\ 34\ 35\ 36\ 37\ 38\ 39\ 40\ 2.156\ 2.603\ 3.074
  3.565\ 4.075\ 4.601\ 5.142\ 5.697\ 6.265\ 6.844\ 7.434\ 8.034\ 8.643\ 9.260\ 9.886\ 10.520\ 11.160\ 11.808\ 12.461\ 13.121\ 13.787\ 14.458\ 15.134\ 15.815\ 16.501\ 17.192\ 17.887\ 18.586\ 19.289\ 19.996\ 20.707\ 2.558\ 3.053\ 3.571\ 4.107\ 4.660\ 5.229\ 5.812\ 6.408\ 7.015\ 7.633\ 8.260\ 8.897\ 9.542\ 10.196\ 10.856\ 11.524\ 12.198\ 12.879\ 13.565\ 14.256\ 14.953\ 15.655\ 16.362
   17.074\ 17.789\ 18.509\ 19.233\ 19.960\ 20.691\ 21.426\ 22.164\ For\ v>40, TABLE A.8 3.247\ 3.816\ 4.404\ 5.009\ 5.629\ 6.262\ 6.908\ 7.564\ 8.231\ 8.907\ 9.591\ 10.283\ 19.960\ 20.569\ 21.336\ 22.106\ 22.878\ 23.654\ 24.433\ 3.940\ 4.575\ 5.226\ 5.892\ 6.571\ 7.261\ 7.962\ 8.672
  9.390\ 10.117\ 10.851\ 11.591\ 12.338\ 13.091\ 13.848\ 14.611\ 15.379\ 16.151\ 16.928\ 17.708\ 18.493\ 19.281\ 20.072\ 20.867\ 21.664\ 22.271\ 23.110\ 23.952
 24.797\ 25.643\ 26.492\ 27.343\ 28.196\ 29.051\ 15.987\ 17.275\ 18.549\ 19.812\ 21.064\ 22.307\ 23.542\ 24.769\ 25.989\ 27.204\ 28.412\ 29.615\ 30.813\ 32.007\ 33.196\ 34.382\ 35.563\ 36.741\ 37.916\ 39.087\ 40.256\ 41.422\ 42.585\ 43.745\ 44.903\ 46.059\ 47.212\ 48.363\ 49.513\ 50.660\ 51.805\ 18.307\ 19.675\ 21.026\ 22.362\ 23.685\ 24.996\ 26.296\ 27.587\ 28.869\ 30.1449\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.087\ 30.
 31.410\ 32.671\ 33.924\ 35.172\ 36.415\ 37.652\ 38.885\ 40.113\ 41.337\ 42.557\ 43.773\ 44.985\ 46.194\ 47.400\ 48.602\ 49.802\ 50.998\ 52.192\ 53.384\ 54.572\ 55.758\ 20.483\ 21.920\ 23.337\ 24.736\ 26.119\ 27.488\ 28.845\ 30.191\ 31.526\ 32.852\ 34.170\ 35.479\ 36.781\ 38.076\ 39.364\ 40.646\ 41.923\ 43.195\ 44.461\ 45.722\ 46.979\ 48.232\ 49.480\ 50.725\ 51.966\ 53.203\ 54.437\ 55.668\ 56.896\ 58.120\ 59.342\ . Upper percentage points for the F distribution 23.209\ 24.725\ 26.217\ 27.688\ 29.141\ 30.578\ 32.000\ 33.409\ 34.805\ 36.191\ 37.566\ 38.932\ 40.289\ 41.638\ 42.980\ 44.314\ 45.642\ 46.963\ 48.278\ 49.588\ 50.892\ 52.191\ 53.486\ 54.776\ 56.061\ 57.342\ 58.619\ 59.893\ 61.162\ 62.428\ 63.691\ 25.188\ 26.757\ 28.300\ 29.819
 31.319\ 32.801\ 34.267\ 35.718\ 37.156\ 38.582\ 39.997\ 41.401\ 42.796\ 44.181\ 45.559\ 46.928\ 48.290\ 49.645\ 50.993\ 52.336\ 53.672\ 55.003\ 56.328\ 57.648\ 58.964\ 60.275\ 61.581\ 62.883\ 64.181\ 65.476\ 66.766\ Page\ 825\ \nu1\ \nu2\ 1\ 2\ 3\ 4\ 5\ 6\ 7\ 8\ \alpha\alpha\ 0.100\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.0
  0.010\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 
  18.00\ 61.25\ 3.78\ 5.79\ 13.27\ 37.12\ 3.46\ 5.14\ 10.92\ 27.00\ 3.26\ 4.74\ 9.55\ 21.69\ 3.11\ 4.46\ 3\ 53.59\ 215.71\ 5403.35\ 540382\ 9.16\ 19.16\ 99.17\ 999.17\ 5.39\ 9.28\ 29.46\ 141.11\ 4.19\ 6.59\ 16.69\ 56.18\ 3.62\ 5.41\ 12.06\ 33.20\ 3.29\ 4.76\ 9.78\ 23.70\ 3.07\ 4.35\ 8.45\ 18.77\ 2.92\ 4.07\ 4\ 55.83\ 224.58\ 5624.58\ 562501\ 9.24\ 19.25\ 99.25\ 999.25\ 5.34\ 9.12\ 28.71\ 137.10\ 999.17\ 5.39\ 9.28\ 29.46\ 141.11\ 4.19\ 6.59\ 16.69\ 56.18\ 3.62\ 5.41\ 12.06\ 33.20\ 3.29\ 4.76\ 9.78\ 23.70\ 3.07\ 4.35\ 8.45\ 18.77\ 2.92\ 4.07\ 4\ 55.83\ 224.58\ 5624.58\ 562501\ 9.24\ 19.25\ 999.25\ 999.25\ 5.34\ 9.12\ 28.71\ 137.10\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.26\ 9.2
 4.11\ 6.39\ 15.98\ 53.44\ 3.52\ 5.19\ 11.39\ 31.09\ 3.18\ 4.53\ 9.15\ 21.92\ 2.96\ 4.12\ 7.85\ 17.20\ 2.81\ 3.84\ 5\ 57.24\ 230.16\ 5763.65\ 576405\ 9.29\ 19.30\ 999.30\ 5.31\ 4.39\ 8.75\ 20.80\ 2.88\ 3.97\ 7.46\ 16.21\ 2.73\ 3.69\ 6\ 58.20\ 233.99\ 5858.99\ 585938\ 9.33\ 19.33\ 999.33\ 5.28\ 8.94\ 27.91
 132.85\ 4.01\ 6.16\ 15.21\ 50.53\ 3.40\ 4.95\ 10.67\ 28.83\ 3.05\ 4.28\ 8.47\ 20.03\ 2.83\ 3.87\ 7.19\ 15.52\ 2.67\ 3.58\ 7\ 59.28.36\ 592.874\ 9.35\ 19.35\ 99.36\ 5.27\ 8.89\ 27.67\ 131.58\ 3.98\ 6.09\ 14.98\ 49.66\ 3.37\ 4.88\ 10.46\ 28.16\ 3.01\ 4.21\ 8.26\ 19.46\ 2.78\ 3.79\ 6.99\ 15.02\ 2.62\ 3.50\ 8\ 59.44\ 238.88\ 5981.07\ 598144\ 9.37\ 19.37\ 99.37\ 99.37\ 5.25\ 8.85\ 27.49\ 130.62\ 3.95\ 6.04\ 14.80\ 49.00\ 3.34\ 4.82\ 10.29\ 27.65\ 2.98\ 4.15\ 8.10\ 19.03\ 2.75\ 3.73\ 6.84\ 14.63\ 2.59\ 3.44\ 9\ 59.86\ 240.54\ 6022.47\ 603040\ 9.38\ 19.38\ 99.39\ 999.39\ 5.24\ 8.81\ 27.35\ 129.86\ 3.94\ 6.00\ 14.66\ 48.47\ 3.32\ 4.77\ 10.16\ 27.24\ 2.96\ 4.10\ 7.98\ 18.69\ 2.72\ 3.68\ 6.72\ 14.33\ 2.56\ 3.39\ 9\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 11.26\ 25.41\ 3.36\ 5.12
   10.56 22.86 8.65 18.49 3.01 4.26 8.02 16.39 7.59 15.83 2.81 3.86 6.99 13.90 7.01 14.39 2.69 3.63 6.42 12.56 6.63 13.48 2.61 3.48 6.06 11.71 6.37 12.86 2.55 3.37 5.80 11.13 6.18 12.40 2.51 3.29 5.61 10.70 6.03 12.05 2.47 3.23 5.47 10.37 5.91 11.77 2.44 3.18 5.35 10.11 Page 826 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 0.100 0.050 0.010 0.001 0.100 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0
  0.050 \ 0.010 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.001 \ 0.00
 6106.32\ 611276\ 9.41\ 19.41\ 99.42\ 999.42\ 5.22\ 8.74\ 27.05\ 128.32\ 3.90\ 5.91\ 14.37\ 47.41\ 3.27\ 4.68\ 9.89\ 26.42\ 2.90\ 4.00\ 7.72\ 17.99\ 2.67\ 3.57\ 6.47\ 13.71\ 2.50\ 3.28\ 5.67\ 11.19\ 61.22\ 245.95\ 6157.29\ 616292\ 9.42\ 19.43\ 999.43\ 5.20\ 8.70\ 26.87\ 127.37\ 3.87\ 5.86\ 14.20\ 46.76\ 3.24\ 4.62\ 9.72\ 25.91\ 2.87\ 3.94\ 7.56\ 17.56\ 2.63\ 3.51\ 6.31\ 13.32\ 2.46\ 3.22\ 4.62\ 9.72\ 2.70\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.42\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.70\ 9.72\ 1.7
5.52\ 10.84\ 61.74\ 248.01\ 6208.73\ 621362\ 9.44\ 19.45\ 99.45\ 5.18\ 8.66\ 26.69\ 126.42\ 3.84\ 5.80\ 14.02\ 46.10\ 3.21\ 4.56\ 9.45\ 25.39\ 2.84\ 3.87\ 7.40\ 17.12\ 2.59\ 3.44\ 6.16\ 12.93\ 2.42\ 3.15\ 5.36\ 10.48\ 62.05\ 249.26\ 6239.83\ 624430\ 9.45\ 19.46\ 99.46\ 99.46\ 5.17\ 8.63\ 26.58\ 125.84\ 3.83\ 5.77\ 13.91\ 45.70\ 3.19\ 4.52\ 9.45\ 25.08\ 2.81\ 3.83\ 7.30\ 16.85\ 2.57\ 3.40\ 6.06\ 12.69\ 2.40\ 3.11\ 5.26\ 10.26\ 62.26\ 250.10\ 6260.65\ 626486\ 9.46\ 19.46\ 99.47\ 99.47\ 5.17\ 8.62\ 26.50\ 125.45\ 3.82\ 5.75\ 13.84\ 45.43\ 3.17\ 4.50\ 9.38\ 24.87\ 2.80\ 3.81\ 7.23\ 16.67\ 2.56\ 3.38\ 5.99\ 12.53\ 2.38\ 3.08\ 5.20\ 10.11\ 62.53\ 251.14\ 6286.78\ 659725\ 9.47\ 19.47\ 99.47\ 99.47\ 5.16\ 8.59\ 26.41\ 124.96\ 3.80\ 5.72\ 13.75\ 45.09\ 3.16\ 4.46\ 9.29\ 24.60\ 2.78\ 3.77\ 7.14\ 16.44\ 2.54\ 3.34\ 5.91\ 12.33\ 2.36\ 3.04\ 5.15\ 8.57\ 26.32\ 124.47\ 3.79\ 5.69\ 13.65\ 44.75\ 3.14\ 4.43\ 3.17\ 4.43\ 3.17\ 4.43\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 9.24\ 24.44\ 2.77\ 3.75\ 7.09\ 16.31\ 2.52\ 3.32\ 5.86\ 12.20\ 2.35\ 3.02\ 5.07\ 9.80\ 62.79\ 252.20\ 6313.03\ 6610390\ 9.47\ 19.48\ 99.48\ 999.48\ 5.15\ 8.57\ 26.32\ 124.47\ 3.79\ 5.69\ 13.65\ 44.75\ 3.14\ 4.43\ 3.17\ 4.43\ 3.17\ 4.43\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 4.44\ 3.17\ 4.44\ 4.44\ 4.44\ 4.44\ 4.44\ 4
  9.20 24.33 2.76 3.74 7.06 16.21 2.51 3.30 5.82 12.12 2.34 3.01 5.03 9.73 9 0.100 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.051 12
 0.100\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 13\ 0.100\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.0
 3.01\ 4.41\ 2.92\ 4.10\ 7.56\ 14.91\ 2.86\ 3.98\ 7.21\ 13.81\ 2.81\ 3.89\ 6.93\ 12.97\ 2.76\ 3.81\ 6.70\ 12.31\ 2.73\ 3.74\ 6.51\ 11.78\ 2.70\ 3.68\ 6.36\ 11.34\ 2.67\ 3.63\ 6.23\ 10.97\ 2.64\ 3.59\ 6.22\ 11.56\ 2.61\ 3.49\ 5.95\ 10.80\ 2.56\ 3.41\ 5.74\ 10.21\ 2.52\ 3.34\ 5.56\ 9.73\ 2.49\ 3.29\ 5.42\ 9.34\ 2.46\ 3.24\ 5.29\ 9.01\ 2.44\ 3.20\ 5.18
 8.73\ 2.42\ 3.16\ 2.61\ 3.48\ 5.99\ 11.28\ 2.54\ 3.36\ 5.67\ 10.35\ 2.48\ 3.26\ 5.41\ 9.63\ 2.43\ 3.18\ 5.21\ 9.07\ 2.39\ 3.11\ 5.04\ 8.62\ 2.36\ 3.00\ 4.89\ 8.25\ 2.33\ 3.01\ 4.77\ 7.94\ 2.31\ 2.96\ 4.67\ 7.68\ 2.29\ 2.93\ 2.52\ 3.33\ 5.64\ 10.48\ 2.45\ 3.20\ 5.32\ 9.58\ 2.39\ 3.11\ 5.06\ 8.89\ 2.35\ 3.03\ 4.86\ 8.35\ 2.31\ 2.96\ 4.69\ 7.92\ 2.27\ 2.90\ 4.56\ 7.57\ 2.24\ 2.85\ 4.44\ 7.27\ 2.22\ 2.81\ 4.34\ 7.02\ 2.20\ 2.77\ 2.46\ 3.22\ 5.39\ 9.93\ 2.39\ 3.09\ 5.07\ 9.05\ 2.33\ 3.00\ 4.82\ 8.38\ 2.28\ 2.92\ 4.62\ 7.86\ 2.24\ 2.85\ 4.46\ 7.44\ 2.21\ 2.79\ 4.32\ 7.09\ 2.18\ 2.74\ 4.20\ 6.80\ 2.15\ 2.70\ 4.10\ 6.56\ 2.13\ 2.66\ 4.03\ 6.46\ 2.10\ 2.61\ 3.93\ 6.22\ 2.08\ 2.58\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2.80\ 2
 2.38\ 3.07\ 5.06\ 9.20\ 2.30\ 2.95\ 4.74\ 8.35\ 2.24\ 2.85\ 4.50\ 7.71\ 2.20\ 2.77\ 4.30\ 7.21\ 2.15\ 2.70\ 4.14\ 6.80\ 2.12\ 2.64\ 4.00\ 6.47\ 2.09\ 2.59\ 3.89\ 6.19\ 2.06\ 2.55\ 3.79\ 5.96\ 2.04\ 2.51\ 2.35\ 3.02\ 4.94\ 8.96\ 2.27\ 2.90\ 4.63\ 8.12\ 2.21\ 2.80\ 4.39\ 7.48\ 2.16\ 2.71\ 4.19\ 6.98\ 2.12\ 2.65\ 4.03\ 6.58\ 2.09\ 2.59\ 3.89\ 6.26\ 2.06\ 2.54\ 3.78\ 5.98\ 2.03\ 2.49\ 3.68\ 5.75\ 2.00\ 2.46\ 0.010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.0010\ 0.001
  19\ 0.100\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 20\ 0.100\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 8.29\ 15.38\ 2.99\ 4.38\ 8.18\ 15.08\ 2.97\ 4.35\ 8.10\ 14.82\ 6.01\ 10.39\ 2.61\ 3.52\ 5.93\ 10.16\ 2.59\ 3.49\ 5.85\ 9.95\ 5.09\ 8.49\ 2.40\ 3.13\ 5.01\ 8.28\ 2.38\ 3.10\ 4.94\ 8.10\ 4.58\ 7.40\ 2.27\ 2.25\ 2.87\ 4.43\ 7.10\ 4.25\ 6.81\ 2.18\ 2.74\ 4.17\ 6.62\ 2.16\ 2.71\ 4.10\ 6.46\ 4.01\ 6.35\ 2.11\ 2.63\ 3.94\ 6.18\ 2.09\ 2.60\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.00\ 2.0
  3.87\ 6.02\ 3.84\ 6.02\ 2.06\ 2.54\ 3.77\ 5.85\ 2.04\ 2.51\ 3.70\ 5.69\ 3.71\ 5.76\ 2.02\ 2.48\ 3.63\ 5.59\ 2.00\ 2.45\ 3.56\ 5.44\ 3.60\ 5.56\ 1.98\ 2.42\ 3.52\ 5.39\ 1.96\ 2.39\ 3.46\ 5.24\ Page\ 828\ 10\ 0.100\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.0
0.050\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 2.32\ 2.98\ 4.85\ 8.75\ 2.25\ 2.85\ 4.54\ 7.92\ 2.19\ 2.75\ 4.30\ 7.29\ 2.14\ 2.67\ 4.10\ 6.80\ 2.10\ 2.66\ 3.94\ 6.40\ 2.06\ 2.54\ 3.80\ 6.08\ 2.03\ 2.49\ 3.69\ 5.81\ 2.28\ 2.05\ 2.53\ 3.80\ 6.13\ 2.02\ 2.48\ 3.67\ 5.81\ 1.99\ 2.42\ 3.55\ 5.55\ 2.24\ 2.85\ 4.54\ 7.92\ 2.19\ 2.75\ 4.25\ 7.32\ 2.10\ 2.62\ 4.01\ 6.71\ 2.05\ 2.53\ 3.80\ 6.13\ 2.02\ 2.48\ 3.66\ 5.85\ 1.97\ 2.40\ 3.52\ 5.55\ 1.92\ 2.33\ 3.37\ 5.25\ 1.89\ 2.28\ 3.26\ 4.99\ 2.17\ 2.73\ 4.31\ 7.60\ 2.10\ 2.60\ 4.01\ 6.81\ 2.03\ 2.50\ 3.10\ 4.25\ 3.25\ 3.26\ 4.17\ 7.30\ 2.05\ 2.53\ 3.86\ 6.52\ 1.99\ 2.43\ 3.62\ 5.93\ 1.91\ 2.31\ 3.35\ 5.25\ 1.89\ 2.25\ 3.21\ 4.95\ 1.84\ 2.10\ 3.10\ 4.70\ 2.10\ 2.60\ 4.17\ 7.30\ 2.05\ 2.53\ 3.86\ 6.52\ 1.99\ 2.43\ 3.62\ 5.93\ 1.91\ 2.31\ 3.40\ 5.25\ 3.21\ 4.95\ 1.85\ 2.25\ 3.21\ 4.95\ 1.85\ 2.25\ 3.21\ 4.95\ 1.85\ 2.25\ 3.21\ 4.95\ 1.85\ 2.25\ 3.21\ 4.95\ 1.85\ 2.25\ 3.21\ 4.95\ 1.85\ 2.25\ 3.21\ 4.95\ 1.85\ 2.25\ 3.21\ 4.95\ 1.85\ 2.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3.25\ 3
 3.57\,5.83\,1.92\,2.31\,3.38\,5.37\,1.87\,2.24\,3.22\,5.00\,1.83\,2.18\,3.08\,4.70\,1.79\,2.12\,2.97\,4.45\,2.11\,2.62\,4.08\,7.12\,2.03\,2.49\,3.78\,6.35\,1.96\,2.38\,3.54\,5.76\,1.90\,2.30\,3.34\,5.30\,1.86\,2.22\,3.18\,4.94\,1.82\,2.16\,3.05\,4.64\,1.78\,2.11\,2.93\,4.39\,17\,0.100\,0.050\,0.010\,0.001\,18\,0.100\,0.050\,0.010\,0.001\,19\,0.100\,0.050\,0.010\,0.001\,20\,0.100\,0.050\,0.010\,0.001\,2.00\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.000\,1.0000\,1.0000\,1.0000\,1.0000\,1.0000\,1.0000\,1.0000\,1.0000\,1.0000\,1.0000\,1.0000\,1.0000\,1.0000\,1.0000\,1.0000\,1.0000\,1.0000\,1.0000\,1.0000\,1.0000\,1.0000\,1.0000\,1.0000\,1.0000\,1.0000\,1.0000\,1.0000\,1.0000\,1.0000\,1.0000\,1.0000\,1.0000\,1.0000\,1.0000
 3.59 5.58 1.98 2.41 3.51 5.39 1.96 2.38 3.43 5.22 1.94 2.35 3.37 5.08 1.96 2.38 3.46 5.32 1.93 2.34 3.7 5.18 1.91 2.31 3.30 4.97 1.89 2.28 3.15 4.70 1.89 2.28 3.23 4.82 1.91 2.31 3.31 5.05 1.89 2.28 3.15 3.75 3.89 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3.99 3
 1.78\ 2.11\ 2.91\ 4.26\ 1.76\ 2.07\ 2.84\ 4.12\ 1.81\ 2.15\ 3.00\ 4.48\ 1.78\ 2.11\ 2.92\ 4.30\ 1.76\ 2.02\ 2.75\ 4.00\ 1.78\ 2.10\ 2.92\ 4.33\ 1.75\ 2.06\ 2.84\ 4.15\ 1.73\ 2.03\ 2.76\ 3.99\ 1.71\ 1.99\ 2.69\ 3.86\ 1.76\ 2.02\ 2.75\ 4.00\ 1.70\ 1.98\ 2.67\ 3.84\ 1.68\ 1.95
 2.61 3.70 Page 829 21 0.100 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.
 2.54\ 3.40\ 5.61\ 9.34\ 2.53\ 3.39\ 5.57\ 9.22\ 2.52\ 3.37\ 2.36\ 3.07\ 4.87\ 7.94\ 2.35\ 3.05\ 4.82\ 7.80\ 2.31\ 2.84\ 4.37\ 6.95\ 2.22\ 2.82\ 4.31\ 6.81\ 2.21\ 2.80\ 4.26\ 6.70\ 2.19\ 2.78\ 4.22\ 6.59\ 2.18\ 2.76\ 4.18\ 6.49\ 2.17\ 2.74\ 2.14\ 2.68\ 4.04\ 6.32\ 2.13\ 2.66\ 3.99\ 6.19\ 2.11\ 2.64\ 3.94\ 6.08\ 2.10\ 2.62\ 3.90\ 5.98
 2.09\ 2.60\ 3.85\ 5.89\ 2.08\ 2.57\ 3.81\ 5.88\ 2.06\ 2.55\ 3.76\ 5.76\ 2.05\ 2.53\ 3.71\ 5.65\ 2.04\ 2.51\ 3.67\ 5.55\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.63\ 5.46\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.63\ 5.46\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.63\ 5.46\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.63\ 5.46\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.63\ 5.46\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 5.56\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 3.54\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 3.64\ 2.01\ 2.47\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 2.49\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40\ 2.40
  1.92\ 2.32\ 1.95\ 2.37\ 3.40\ 5.11\ 1.93\ 2.34\ 3.35\ 4.99\ 1.92\ 2.32\ 3.30\ 4.89\ 1.91\ 2.30\ 3.26\ 4.80\ 1.89\ 2.28\ 3.22\ 4.71\ 1.88\ 2.27\ 27\ 28\ 29\ 30\ 31\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.00
  4.17\ 7.56\ 13.29\ 2.87\ 4.16\ 7.53\ 13.20\ 5.53\ 9.12\ 2.51\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.34\ 5.45\ 8.93\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.34\ 5.45\ 8.93\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 3.35\ 5.49\ 9.02\ 2.50\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.02\ 9.
 2.68\ 3.99\ 6.07\ 3.82\ 5.80\ 2.07\ 2.57\ 3.78\ 5.73\ 2.06\ 2.57\ 3.78\ 5.73\ 2.06\ 2.55\ 3.73\ 5.59\ 2.05\ 2.53\ 3.70\ 5.53\ 2.04\ 2.52\ 3.67\ 5.48\ 3.59\ 5.38\ 2.00\ 2.45\ 3.53\ 5.24\ 1.99\ 2.43\ 3.50\ 5.18\ 1.98\ 2.42\ 3.47\ 5.12\ 1.97\ 2.41\ 3.45\ 5.07\ 1.95\ 2.37\ 3.39\ 5.00\ 1.94\ 2.36\ 3.36\ 4.93\ 1.93\ 2.35\ 3.33\ 4.87\ 1.93\ 2.33\ 3.30\ 4.82\ 1.92\ 2.32\ 3.28\ 4.77\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29\ 3.29
 4.83\ 1.91\ 2.31\ 3.26\ 4.76\ 1.90\ 2.29\ 3.23\ 4.69\ 1.89\ 2.28\ 3.20\ 4.64\ 1.88\ 2.27\ 3.17\ 4.58\ 1.88\ 2.25\ 3.15\ 4.53\ 3.18\ 4.64\ 1.87\ 2.25\ 3.15\ 4.53\ 3.18\ 4.64\ 1.87\ 2.25\ 3.15\ 4.53\ 3.18\ 4.64\ 1.87\ 2.25\ 3.15\ 4.53\ 3.18\ 4.64\ 1.87\ 2.25\ 3.17\ 4.58\ 1.86\ 2.22\ 3.09\ 4.45\ 1.85\ 2.21\ 3.07\ 4.39\ 1.84\ 2.20\ 3.04\ 4.34\ Page\ 830\ 21\ 0.100\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.000\ 0.010\ 0.000\ 0.010\ 0.000\ 0.010\ 0.000\ 0.010\ 0.000\ 0.010\ 0.000\ 0.010\ 0.000\ 0.010\ 0.000\ 0.010\ 0.000\ 0.010\ 0.000\ 0.010\ 0.000\ 0.010\ 0.000\ 0.010\ 0.0000\ 0.000\ 0.010\ 0.000\ 0.010\ 0.0000\ 0.010\ 0.0000\ 0.010\ 0.0000\ 0.010\ 0.0000\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.0100\ 0.0100\ 0.0100\ 0.0100\ 0.0100\ 0.0100\ 0.0
  2.00\ 2.69\ 3.79\ 1.70\ 1.97\ 2.64\ 3.71\ 1.72\ 2.01\ 2.72\ 3.88\ 1.70\ 1.98\ 2.67\ 3.78\ 1.69\ 1.96\ 2.62\ 3.68\ 1.67\ 1.94\ 2.58\ 3.63\ 1.66\ 1.91\ 2.58\ 3.64\ 1.65\ 1.91\ 2.53\ 3.54\ 1.64\ 1.88\ 2.48\ 3.44\ 1.62\ 1.86\ 2.44\ 3.36\ 1.66\ 1.92\ 2.55\ 3.58\ 1.64\ 1.89\ 2.50\ 3.48\ 1.62\ 1.86\ 2.45\ 3.38\ 1.61\ 1.84\ 2.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40\ 3.40
  3.29\ 25\ 0.100\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.00
 1.68\ 1.94\ 2.57\ 3.54\ 1.67\ 1.93\ 2.55\ 3.49\ 1.66\ 1.92\ 2.52\ 3.45\ 1.68\ 1.96\ 2.60\ 3.63\ 1.67\ 1.94\ 2.57\ 3.56\ 1.66\ 1.92\ 2.54\ 3.28\ 1.66\ 1.92\ 2.54\ 3.28\ 1.66\ 1.92\ 2.54\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.44\ 3.32\ 1.62\ 1.85\ 2.41\ 3.27\ 1.61\ 1.84\ 2.39\ 3.22\ 1.60\ 1.83\ 2.36\ 3.17\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.44\ 3.32\ 1.62\ 1.85\ 2.41\ 3.27\ 1.61\ 1.84\ 2.39\ 3.22\ 1.60\ 1.83\ 2.36\ 3.17\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.44\ 3.32\ 1.62\ 1.85\ 2.41\ 3.27\ 1.61\ 1.84\ 2.39\ 3.22\ 1.60\ 1.83\ 2.36\ 3.17\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 3.38\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.48\ 2.48\ 2.48\ 2.48\ 2.48\ 2.48\ 2.48\ 2.48\ 2.48\ 2.48\ 2.48\ 2.48\ 2.48\ 2.48\ 2.48\ 2.48\ 2.48\ 2.48\ 2.48
 2.45\ 3.37\ 1.61\ 1.85\ 2.42\ 3.30\ 1.60\ 1.84\ 2.38\ 3.23\ 1.59\ 1.82\ 2.35\ 3.18\ 1.58\ 1.81\ 2.33\ 3.12\ 1.57\ 1.79\ 2.30\ 3.07\ 1.56\ 1.77\ 2.27\ 3.03\ 1.55\ 1.76\ 2.25\ 2.98\ 1.54\ 1.75\ 2.22\ 2.94\ 1.59\ 1.82\ 2.36\ 3.22\ 1.58\ 1.80\ 2.33\ 3.15\ 1.57\ 1.79\ 2.29\ 3.08\ 1.56\ 1.77\ 2.26\ 3.02\ 1.55\ 1.75\ 2.23\ 2.97\ 1.54\ 1.74\ 2.21\ 2.92\ 1.53\ 1.73\ 2.18\ 2.87\ Page\ 831\ 32\ 0.100\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 33\ 0.100\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0.010\ 0
 2.51\ 3.65\ 5.43\ 2.03\ 2.50\ 3.63\ 5.38\ 2.02\ 2.49\ 1.97\ 2.40\ 3.43\ 5.02\ 1.96\ 2.39\ 3.41\ 4.98\ 1.96\ 2.38\ 1.91\ 2.31\ 3.26\ 4.72\ 1.91\ 2.30\ 3.24\ 4.67\ 1.90\ 2.29\ 1.87\ 2.24\ 3.13\ 4.48\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44\ 1.86\ 2.23\ 3.11\ 4.44
  0.010\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0.001\ \ 0
 3.92\ 6.85\ 11.38\ 5.29\ 8.52\ 2.46\ 3.27\ 5.27\ 8.47\ 2.46\ 3.26\ 5.25\ 8.42\ 2.45\ 3.25\ 5.23\ 8.37\ 2.45\ 3.24\ 5.21\ 8.33\ 2.44\ 3.24\ 5.19\ 8.29\ 2.44\ 3.23\ 5.18\ 8.25\ 2.41\ 3.18\ 5.06\ 7.96\ 2.39\ 3.15\ 4.98\ 7.77\ 2.35\ 3.07\ 4.79\ 7.32\ 4.42\ 6.83\ 2.25\ 2.87\ 4.40\ 6.79\ 2.24\ 2.87\ 4.38\ 6.74\ 2.24\ 2.86\ 4.36\ 6.70\ 2.23\ 2.85\ 4.34\ 6.66\ 2.23\ 2.85\ 4.33\ 6.63\ 2.23\ 2.84\ 4.31\ 6.59\ 2.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 2.79\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.20\ 4.2
 6.34\ 2.18\ 2.76\ 4.13\ 6.17\ 2.13\ 2.68\ 3.95\ 5.78\ 3.93\ 5.92\ 2.11\ 2.64\ 3.91\ 5.88\ 2.11\ 2.63\ 3.89\ 5.84\ 2.10\ 2.63\ 3.87\ 5.80\ 2.10\ 2.62\ 3.86\ 5.76\ 2.09\ 2.61\ 3.84\ 5.73\ 2.09\ 2.61\ 3.84\ 5.73\ 2.09\ 2.61\ 3.84\ 5.73\ 2.09\ 2.61\ 3.84\ 5.73\ 2.09\ 2.61\ 3.84\ 5.73\ 2.09\ 2.61\ 3.84\ 5.73\ 2.09\ 2.61\ 3.84\ 5.73\ 2.09\ 2.61\ 3.84\ 5.73\ 2.09\ 2.61\ 3.84\ 5.73\ 2.09\ 2.61\ 3.84\ 5.73\ 2.09\ 2.61\ 3.84\ 5.73\ 2.09\ 2.61\ 3.84\ 5.73\ 2.09\ 2.61\ 3.84\ 5.73\ 2.09\ 2.61\ 3.84\ 5.73\ 2.09\ 2.61\ 3.84\ 5.73\ 2.09\ 2.61\ 3.84\ 5.73\ 2.09\ 2.46\ 3.57\ 5.26\ 2.01\ 2.46\ 3.57\ 5.26\ 2.01\ 2.46\ 3.54\ 5.19\ 2.00\ 2.46\ 3.53\ 5.16\ 2.00\ 2.46\ 3.54\ 5.19\ 2.20\ 3.17\ 4.42\ 3.39\ 4.79\ 1.93\ 2.24\ 3.30\ 4.76\ 1.90\ 2.29\ 3.17\ 4.42\ 3.39\ 4.79\ 1.93\ 2.24\ 3.30\ 4.79\ 1.93\ 2.24\ 3.30\ 4.79\ 1.93\ 2.24\ 3.30\ 4.79\ 1.93\ 2.24\ 3.30\ 4.79\ 1.93\ 2.24\ 3.30\ 4.79\ 1.93\ 2.24\ 3.30\ 4.79\ 1.93\ 2.24\ 3.30\ 4.79\ 1.93\ 2.24\ 3.30\ 4.79\ 1.93\ 2.24\ 3.30\ 4.79\ 1.93\ 2.24\ 3.30\ 4.79\ 1.93\ 2.24\ 3.30\ 4.79\ 1.90\ 2.29\ 3.10\ 4.51\ 1.87\ 2.25\ 3.12\ 4.37\ 1.82\ 2.18\ 2.96\ 4.04\ 3.22\ 4.63\ 1.90\ 2.29\ 3.10\ 4.51\ 1.87\ 2.25\ 3.12\ 4.37\ 1.82\ 2.18\ 2.18\ 2.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24\ 3.24
  4.49\ 1.88\ 2.26\ 3.14\ 4.46\ 1.87\ 2.25\ 3.12\ 4.44\ 1.84\ 2.20\ 3.02\ 4.22\ 1.82\ 2.17\ 2.95\ 4.09\ 1.77\ 2.09\ 2.79\ 3.77\ 3.09\ 4.40\ 1.85\ 2.22\ 3.07\ 4.36\ 1.85\ 2.21\ 3.05\ 4.33\ 1.84\ 2.20\ 3.04\ 4.30\ 1.84\ 2.19\ 3.01\ 4.23\ 1.83\ 2.18\ 2.99\ 4.21\ 1.80\ 2.13\ 2.89\ 4.00\ 1.77\ 2.10\ 2.82\ 3.86\ 1.72\ 2.02\ 2.66\ 3.55\ 2.98\ 4.22\ 1.82\ 2.16\ 2.96\ 4.18\ 1.81\ 2.15\ 2.95\ 4.14\ 1.81\ 2.15\ 2.95\ 4.14\ 1.81\ 2.15\ 2.95\ 4.14\ 1.81\ 2.15\ 2.95\ 4.14\ 1.81\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15\ 2.15
 2.14 2.93 4.11 1.80 2.14 2.92 4.08 1.80 2.13 2.90 4.05 1.79 2.12 2.89 4.02 1.76 2.07 2.78 3.82 1.74 2.04 2.72 3.69 1.68 1.96 2.56 3.38 Page 832 32 0.100 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.050 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.0
 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 40\ 0.100\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 40\ 0.100\ 0.050\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 50\ 0.010\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\ 0.001\
 3.79\,1.73\,2.03\,2.72\,3.76\,1.73\,2.02\,2.71\,3.73\,1.72\,2.02\,2.69\,3.70\,1.72\,2.01\,2.68\,3.67\,1.71\,2.00\,2.66\,3.64\,1.68\,1.95\,2.56\,3.44\,1.71\,1.99\,2.65\,3.66\,1.70\,1.98\,2.53\,3.45\,1.67\,1.94\,2.55\,3.45\,1.67\,1.93\,2.54\,3.43\,1.66\,1.92\,2.52\,3.40\,1.63\,1.87\,2.42\,3.20\,1.65\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.64\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.64\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.64\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.64\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.64\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.64\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.64\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.64\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.64\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.64\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.64\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.64\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.64\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.64\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.64\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.64\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.64\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.64\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.64\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.64\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.64\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.64\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.64\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.64\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.64\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91\,2.50\,3.40\,1.91
 1.90\ 2.48\ 3.36\ 1.64\ 1.89\ 2.46\ 3.33\ 1.63\ 1.88\ 2.44\ 3.29\ 1.63\ 1.87\ 2.43\ 3.26\ 1.62\ 1.85\ 2.41\ 3.24\ 1.61\ 1.84\ 2.37\ 3.16\ 1.85\ 2.40\ 3.20\ 1.60\ 1.85\ 2.35\ 3.13\ 1.59\ 1.81\ 2.33\ 3.10\ 1.58\ 1.81\ 2.31\ 3.07\ 1.58\ 1.81\ 2.31\ 3.07\ 1.58\ 1.80\ 2.30\ 3.04\ 1.57\ 1.79\ 2.29\ 3.01\ 1.57\ 1.79\ 2.29\ 3.01\ 1.57\ 1.79\ 2.29\ 3.01\ 1.57\ 1.79\ 2.29\ 3.01\ 1.55\ 1.76\ 2.23\ 2.90\ 1.55\ 1.76\ 2.23\ 2.94\ 1.54\ 1.75\ 2.21\ 2.91\ 1.53\ 1.74\ 2.19\ 2.87\ 1.53\ 1.73\ 2.16\ 2.84\ 1.52\ 1.72\ 2.16\ 2.81\ 1.52\ 1.72\ 2.16\ 2.81\ 1.52\ 1.70\ 2.25\ 2.98\ 1.55\ 1.76\ 2.23\ 2.94\ 1.54\ 1.75\ 2.21\ 2.91\ 1.53\ 1.74\ 2.19\ 2.87\ 1.53\ 1.73\ 2.18\ 2.84\ 1.52\ 1.72\ 2.16\ 2.81\ 1.52\ 1.72\ 2.16\ 2.81\ 1.52\ 1.72\ 2.16\ 2.16\ 1.77\ 2.25\ 2.98\ 1.55\ 1.76\ 2.23\ 2.94\ 1.54\ 1.75\ 2.21\ 2.91\ 1.53\ 1.74\ 2.19\ 2.87\ 1.53\ 1.73\ 2.18\ 2.84\ 1.52\ 1.72\ 2.16\ 2.81\ 1.52\ 1.72\ 2.16\ 2.81\ 1.52\ 1.75\ 2.21\ 2.91\ 1.53\ 1.74\ 2.19\ 2.87\ 1.53\ 1.73\ 2.18\ 2.84\ 1.52\ 1.72\ 2.16\ 2.81\ 1.52\ 1.75\ 2.21\ 2.91\ 1.53\ 1.74\ 2.19\ 2.87\ 1.53\ 1.74\ 2.19\ 2.87\ 1.53\ 1.74\ 2.19\ 2.87\ 1.53\ 1.74\ 2.19\ 2.87\ 1.53\ 1.74\ 2.19\ 2.87\ 1.53\ 1.74\ 2.19\ 2.87\ 1.53\ 1.74\ 2.19\ 2.87\ 1.53\ 1.74\ 2.19\ 2.87\ 1.53\ 1.74\ 2.19\ 2.87\ 1.53\ 1.74\ 2.19\ 2.87\ 1.53\ 1.74\ 2.19\ 2.19\ 1.53\ 1.74\ 2.19\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10
 1.71\ 2.14\ 2.78\ 1.51\ 1.70\ 2.13\ 2.75\ 1.51\ 1.69\ 2.11\ 2.73\ 1.46\ 1.63\ 2.01\ 2.53\ 1.53\ 1.74\ 2.20\ 2.89\ 1.53\ 1.72\ 2.18\ 2.85\ 1.52\ 1.71\ 2.16\ 2.82\ 1.51\ 1.70\ 2.14\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.68\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.68\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.68\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.68\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.68\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.68\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.68\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.68\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.68\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.68\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.68\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.68\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.68\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.68\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.68\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.68\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.68\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 1.69\ 2.10\ 2.75\ 1.50\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10\ 2.10
  2.72\,1.49\,1.67\,2.08\,2.69\,1.48\,1.66\,2.06\,2.66\,1.48\,1.65\,2.05\,2.63\,1.47\,1.65\,2.03\,2.60\,1.47\,1.65\,2.03\,2.60\,1.47\,1.65\,2.03\,2.60\,1.47\,1.64\,2.02\,2.57\,1.42\,1.58\,1.91\,2.38\,1.71\,1.99\,2.63\,3.54\,1.65\,1.91\,2.47\,3.24\,60\,0.100\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.050\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,0.010\,
 2.10\ 2.67\ 1.44\ 1.60\ 1.93\ 2.37\ 1.48\ 1.65\ 2.03\ 2.55\ 1.41\ 1.55\ 1.86\ 2.26\ 1.44\ 1.55\ 1.86\ 2.26\ 1.44\ 1.55\ 1.86\ 2.25\ 1.32\ 1.34\ 1.41\ 1.55\ 1.84\ 2.25\ 1.32\ 1.43\ 1.66\ 1.95\ Page\ 833\ TABLE\ A.9\ Upper\ percentage\ points\ for\ the\ Studentized\ range\ <math>\nu1\ \nu2\ 1\ 2\ 3\ 4\ 5\ 6\ 7\ \alpha\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.1
 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 2\ 8.93\ 17.97\ 90.02\ 4.13\ 6.08\ 14.04\ 3.33\ 4.50\ 8.26\ 3.01\ 3.93\ 6.51\ 2.85\ 3.64\ 5.70\ 2.75\ 3.46\ 5.24\ 2.68\ 3.34\ 4.95\ 3\ 13.44\ 26.98\ 135.0\ 5.73\ 8.33\ 19.02\ 4.47\ 5.91\ 10.62\ 3.98\ 5.04\ 8.12\ 3.72\ 4.60\ 6.98\ 3.56\ 4.34\ 6.33\ 3.45\ 4.16\ 5.92\ 4\ 16.36\ 32.82\ 164.3\ 6.77\ 9.80\ 22.29\ 5.20\ 6.82\ 12.17\ 4.59\ 5.76\ 9.17\ 4.26\ 5.22\ 7.80\ 4.07\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 9.09\ 
 \frac{4.90}{5.00} \times \frac{3.00}{5.00} \times \frac{3.00}{5.00
 9.10\,5.28\,6.16\,8.37\,11\,25.24\,50.59\,253.2\,10.01\,14.39\,32.59\,7.49\,9.72\,17.13\,6.49\,8.03\,12.57\,5.97\,7.17\,10.48\,5.64\,6.65\,9.30\,5.41\,6.30\,8.55\,12\,25.92\,51.96\,260.0\,10.26\,14.75\,33.40\,7.67\,9.95\,17.53\,6.65\,8.21\,12.84\,6.10\,7.32\,10.70\,5.76\,6.79\,9.49\,5.53\,6.43\,8.71\,13\,26.54\,53.20\,266.2\,10.49\,15.08\,34.13\,7.83\,10.15\,17.89\,6.78\,8.37\,13.09\,6.22\,7.47\,10.89\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.99\,10.9
  5.87 \ 6.92 \ 9.65 \ 5.64 \ 6.55 \ 8.86 \ 8 \ 0.10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 9 \ 0.10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 10 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \ 0.05 \ 0.01 \
  6.63\ 4.08\ 4.76\ 6.35\ 4.02\ 4.65\ 6.14\ 3.96\ 4.57\ 5.97\ 3.92\ 4.51\ 5.84\ 4.43\ 5.17\ 6.96\ 4.34\ 5.02\ 6.66\ 4.26\ 4.91\ 6.43\ 4.20\ 4.82\ 6.25\ 4.16\ 4.75\ 6.10\ 4.65\ 5.40\ 7.24\ 4.54\ 5.24\ 6.91\ 4.47\ 5.12\ 6.67\ 4.40\ 5.03\ 6.48\ 4.35\ 4.95\ 6.32\ 4.83\ 5.60\ 7.47\ 4.72\ 5.43\ 7.13\ 4.64\ 5.31\ 6.88\ 4.57\ 5.20\ 6.67\ 4.51\ 5.12\ 6.51\ 4.99\ 5.77\ 7.68\ 4.87\ 5.59\ 7.33\ 4.78\ 5.46\ 7.05\ 4.71\ 5.35\ 6.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 6.84\ 6.87\ 5.84\ 6.87\ 6.84\ 6.87\ 6.84\ 6.87\ 6.84\ 6.87\ 6.84\ 6.87\ 6.84\ 6.87\ 6.84\ 6.87\ 6.84\ 6.87\ 6.84\ 6.87\ 6.84\ 6.87\ 6.84\ 6.87\ 6.84\ 6.87\ 6.84\ 6.87\ 6.84\ 6.87\ 6.84\ 6.87\ 6.84\ 6.87\ 6.84\ 6.87\ 6.84\ 6.87\ 6.84\ 6.87\ 6.84\ 6.87\ 6.84\ 6.87\ 6.84\ 6.87
  4.65\ 5.27\ 6.67\ 5.13\ 5.92\ 7.86\ 5.01\ 5.74\ 7.49\ 4.91\ 5.60\ 7.21\ 4.84\ 5.49\ 6.99\ 4.78\ 5.40\ 6.81\ 5.25\ 6.05\ 8.03\ 5.72\ 7.36\ 4.95\ 5.61\ 7.13\ 4.89\ 5.51\ 6.94\ 5.36\ 6.18\ 8.18\ 5.23\ 5.98\ 7.78\ 5.13\ 5.83\ 7.49\ 5.05\ 5.71\ 7.25\ 4.99\ 5.62\ 7.06\ 5.46\ 6.29\ 8.31\ 5.33\ 6.09\ 7.91\ 5.23\ 5.93\ 7.60\ 5.15\ 5.81\ 7.36\ 5.08\ 5.71\ 7.17\ 13\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 14\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 14\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 14\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 14\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 14\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 14\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 14\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 14\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 14\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 14\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 14\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 14\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 14\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 14\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 14\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 14\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 14\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 14\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 14\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 14\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 14\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 14\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 14\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 14\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 14\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 14\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 14\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 14\ 0.10\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.05\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.01\ 0.
 3.56\ 4.11\ 5.32\ 3.54\ 4.08\ 5.25\ 3.52\ 4.05\ 5.19\ 3.50\ 4.02\ 5.14\ 3.49\ 4.00\ 5.09\ 3.47\ 3.98\ 5.05\ 3.46\ 3.98\ 4.47\ 5.55\ 3.95\ 4.45\ 4.30\ 4.88\ 6.19\ 4.27
  4.83\ 6.08\ 4.23\ 4.78\ 5.99\ 4.21\ 4.74\ 5.92\ 4.18\ 4.71\ 5.85\ 4.16\ 4.67\ 5.79\ 4.14\ 4.65\ 5.73\ 4.42\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08\ 4.24\ 4.99\ 6.08
  6.54\ 4.64\ 5.20\ 6.44\ 4.61\ 5.15\ 6.35\ 4.58\ 5.11\ 6.27\ 4.55\ 5.07\ 6.20\ 4.53\ 5.04\ 6.14\ 4.51\ 5.01\ 4.83\ 5.43\ 6.70\ 4.84\ 5.40\ 6.66\ 4.75\ 5.31\ 6.25\ 4.61\ 5.11\ 4.93\ 5.35\ 6.56\ 4.77\ 5.31\ 6.48\ 4.75\ 5.27\ 6.41\ 4.72\ 5.23\ 6.34\ 4.70\ 5.20\ 5.02\ 5.63\ 7.01\ 4.97\ 5.55\ 6.87
 4.93 5.49 6.76 4.89 5.44 6.66 4.86 5.39 6.57 4.83 5.35 6.50 4.80 5.32 6.43 4.78 5.28 24 30 40 60 120 00 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.05 0.01 0.
 3.05\ 3.53\ 4.55\ 3.02\ 3.49\ 4.45\ 2.99\ 3.44\ 4.37\ 2.96\ 3.40\ 4.28\ 2.93\ 3.36\ 4.20\ 2.90\ 3.31\ 4.12\ 5.02\ 3.42\ 3.90\ 4.91\ 3.39\ 3.85\ 4.80\ 3.35\ 3.79\ 4.70\ 3.31\ 3.74\ 4.59\ 3.28\ 3.68\ 4.80\ 5.29\ 3.60\ 4.04\ 4.93\ 3.56\ 3.98\ 4.82\ 3.52\ 3.92\ 4.71\ 3.48\ 3.86\ 4.60\ 5.51\ 3.90\ 4.37\ 5.37\ 3.85\ 4.30\ 5.24\ 3.80\ 4.23\ 5.11\ 3.75\ 4.16\ 4.99\ 3.71\ 3.75\ 4.16\ 4.99\ 3.71\ 3.80\ 4.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90\ 5.90
  4.10\ 4.87\ 3.66\ 4.03\ 4.76\ 5.69\ 4.07\ 4.54\ 5.54\ 4.02\ 4.68\ 5.69\ 4.10\ 4.54\ 5.54\ 4.02\ 4.68\ 5.69\ 4.10\ 4.52\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.52\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.52\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.52\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.52\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.52\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.52\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.52\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.52\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.52\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.52\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.52\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.52\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.52\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.52\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.52\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.52\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.52\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.52\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.52\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.52\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.52\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.52\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.52\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.52\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.52\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.52\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.52\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.52\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.52\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.39\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.04\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.25\ 5.04\ 4.04\ 4.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04\ 5.04
   and r is allowed to increase. The rate of increase in v is given by the partial derivative of v with respect to r. This derivative is denoted \partial v/\partial r = 2\pi rh. Now assume that r is constant, and h is increasing. The rate of increase in v is the partial
  derivative of v with respect to h, denoted \partial v/\partial h. It is computed exactly like the ordinary derivative of v with respect to h, treating r as a constant: \partial v/\partial h = \pi r^2. If v is a function of several variables, v = f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), then the partial derivative of v with respect to h, treating r as a constant: \partial v/\partial h = \pi r^2. If v is a function of several variables, v = f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), then the partial derivative of v with respect to h, treating r as a constant: \partial v/\partial h = \pi r^2. If v is a function of several variables, v = f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), then the partial derivative of v with respect to h, treating r as a constant: \partial v/\partial h = \pi r^2. If v is a function of several variables, v = f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), then the partial derivative of v with respect to h, treating r as a constant: \partial v/\partial h = \pi r^2. If v is a function of several variables, v = f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), then the partial derivative of v with respect to h, treating r as a constant: \partial v/\partial h = \pi r^2. If v is a function of several variables, v = f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) and v = f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) and v = f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) and v = f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) are the partial derivative of v with respect to h, treating r as a constant v = f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n).
  holding the other variables constant. Examples B.1 and B.2 show that computing partial derivatives is no more difficult than compute \partial v/\partial x, hold y constant, and compute \partial v/\partial x, hold y constant.
 Page 837 To compute δv/∂y, hold x constant, and compute the derivative with respect to y. The result is Example B.2. Find the partial derivatives of v with respect to x, using the quotient rule: Similarly, we compute the partial derivatives
  of v with respect to y and z: Exercises for Appendix B In the following exercises, compute all partial derivatives. 1. v = 3x + 2xy4 2. 3. 4. 5. z = \cos x \sin y 2 v = \exp x \cos(xz) + \ln(x^2y + z) Page 838 Appendix C Bibliography Agresti, A. (2013). Categorical Data Analysis, 3rd ed. John Wiley & Sons,
  New York. An authoritative and comprehensive treatment of the subject. Belsley, D., Kuh, E., and Welsch, R. (1980). Regression Diagnostics: Identifying Influential Data and Sources of Collinearity. John Wiley & Sons, New York. A presentation of methods for evaluating the reliability of regression estimates. Bevington, P., and Robinson, D. (2003).
  Data Reduction and Error Analysis for the Physical Sciences, 3rd ed. McGraw-Hill, Boston. An introduction to data analysis, with emphasis on propagation of error and calculation. Bickel, P., and Doksum, K. (2015). Mathematical Statistics: Basic Ideas and Selected Topics, Vol. I, 2nd ed. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL. A thorough treatment of the
  mathematical principles of statistics, at a fairly advanced level. Box, G., and Draper, N. (1987). Empirical Model-Building and Response Surfaces. John Wiley & Sons, New York. An excellent practical introduction to the fitting of curves and higher-order surfaces to data. Box, G., Hunter, W., and Hunter, J. (2005). Statistics for Experimenters, 2nd ed
 John Wiley & Sons, New York. A very intuitive and practical introduction to the basic principles of data analysis and experimental design. Brockwell, R., and Davis, R. (2016). Introductory text at the undergraduate level, more rigorous than
  Chatfield (2003). Casella, G., and Berger, R. (2002). Statistical Inference, 2nd ed., revised. Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL. A clear and concise introduction to basic principles of statistics, oriented
  toward engineers and scientists. Chatfield, C. (2003). An Analysis of Time Series: An Introduction, 6th ed. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL. An intuitive presentation, at a somewhat less advanced level than Brockwell and Davis (2016). Page 839 Cochran, W. (1977). Sampling Techniques, 3rd ed. John Wiley & Sons, New York. A comprehensive account of
  sampling theory. Cook, D., and Weisberg, S. (1994). An Introduction to Regression Graphics. John Wiley & Sons, New York. A presentation of interactive methods for analyzing data with linear models. DeGroot, M., and Schervish, M. (2012). Probability and Statistics, 4th ed. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA. A very readable introduction at a somewhat
   higher mathematical level than this book. Draper, N., and Smith, H. (1998). Applied Regression Analysis, 3rd ed. John Wiley & Sons, New York. An extensive and authoritative treatment of linear regression. Efron, B., and Tibshirani, R. (1994). An Introduction to the Bootstrap. Chapman and Hall, New York. A clear and comprehensive introduction to
 bootstrap methods. Freedman, D., Pisani, R., and Purves, R. (2007). Statistics, 4th ed. Norton, New York. An excellent intuitive introduction to the fundamental principles of statistics, 4th ed. Norton, New York. A thorough treatment of
  the theory and applications of regression and analysis of variance. James, G., Witten, D., Hastie, T., and Tibshirani, R. (2013). An Introduction to some modern methods of statistical learning. Kenett, R., and Zacks, S. (2014). Modern Industrial Statistics: With Applications in R,
 MINITAB, and JMP. Brooks/Cole, Pacific Grove, California. An up-to-date treatment of the subject with emphasis on industrial engineering. Larsen, R., and Marx, M. (2012). An Introduction to Statistics at a higher mathematical level than that of this book
  Contains many good examples. Lee, P. (2013). Bayesian Statistics: An Introduction, 4th ed. Wiley, New York. A clear and basic introduction to statistical methods that are based on the subjective view of probability. Lehmann, E. (1998). Nonparametrics: Statistical Methods Based on Ranks. Pearson, New York. Thorough presentation of basic
  distribution-free methods. Miller, A. (2002). Subset Selection in Regression, 2nd ed. Chapman and Hall, London. A strong and concise treatment of the basic principles of model selection. Miller, R. (1997). Beyond ANOVA: The Basics of Applied Statistics. Chapman and Hall, CRC, Boca Raton, FL. A very practical and intuitive treatment of methods
  useful in analyzing real data, when standard assumptions may not be satisfied. Page 840 Montgomery, D. (2013a). Introduction to Statistical Quality
  Control, 7th ed. John Wiley & Sons, New York. A comprehensive and readable introduction to the subject. Mood, A., Graybill, F., and Boes, D. (1974). Introduction to mathematical statistics and an excellent reference. Mosteller, F., and Tukey, J. (1977). Data Analysis and Control, 7th ed. John Wiley & Sons, New York. A comprehensive and readable introduction to the subject. Mood, A., Graybill, F., and Boes, D. (1974). Introduction to the Theory of Statistics, 3rd ed. McGraw-Hill, Boston. A classic introduction to the subject.
  Regression. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA. An intuitive and philosophical presentation of some very practical ideas. Rice, J. (2006). Mathematical Statistics and Data Analysis, 3rd ed. Wadsworth, Belmont, CA. A good blend of theory and practice, at a somewhat higher level than this book. Ross, S. (2013). A First Course in Probability, 9th ed. Pearson,
 New York. An insightful discussion of the influence of statistics on 20th century science, with many fascinating anecdotes about famous statisticians. The story in Section 4.3 about Student's discovery that the number of particles in a suspension follows a Poisson distribution can be found in this book. Taylor, J. (1997). An Introduction to Error Analysis
 2nd ed. University Science Books, Sausalito, CA. A thorough treatment of propagation of error, along with a selection of other topics in data analysis. Tufte, E. (2001). The Visual Display of Quantitative Information, 2nd ed. Graphics Press, Cheshire, CT. A clear and compelling demonstration of the principles of effective statistical graphics, containing
 numerous examples. Tukey, J. (1977). Exploratory Data Analysis. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA. A wealth of techniques for summarizing and describing data. Wackerly, D., Mendenhall, W., and Scheaffer, R. (2008). Mathematical Statistics with Applications, 7th ed. Duxbury, Pacific Grove, CA. An introduction to statistics at a somewhat highe
 mathematical level than this book. Weisberg, S. (2013). Applied Linear Regression, 4th ed. John Wiley & Sons, New York. A concise introduction of output. Page 841 Answers to Odd-Numbered Exercises Section 1.1 1. (a) The population consists of
 all the times the process could be run. It is conceptual. (b) The population consists of all people with high cholesterol levels. It is tangible. (c) The population consists of all people with high cholesterol levels. It is tangible. (d) The population consists of all people with high cholesterol levels. It is tangible. (e) The population consists of all people with high cholesterol levels. It is tangible. (e) The population consists of all people with high cholesterol levels. It is tangible. (e) The population consists of all people with high cholesterol levels. It is tangible. (e) The population consists of all people with high cholesterol levels. It is tangible. (e) The population consists of all people with high cholesterol levels. It is tangible. (e) The population consists of all people with high cholesterol levels. It is tangible. (e) The population consists of all people with high cholesterol levels. It is tangible. (e) The population consists of all people with high cholesterol levels. It is tangible. (e) The population consists of all people with high cholesterol levels. It is tangible. (e) The population consists of all people with high cholesterol levels. It is tangible. (e) The population consists of all people with high cholesterol levels. It is tangible. (e) The population consists of all people with high cholesterol levels. (e) The population consists of all people with high cholesterol levels. (f) The population consists of all people with high cholesterol levels. (f) The population consists of all people with high cholesterol levels. (f) The population consists of all people with high cholesterol levels. (f) The population consists of all people with high cholesterol levels. (f) The population consists of all people with high cholesterol levels. (f) The population consists of all people with high cholesterol levels. (f) The population consists of all people with high cholesterol levels. (f) The population consists of all people with high cholesterol levels. (f) The population consists of all p
  population consists of all bolts manufactured that day. It is tangible. (a) False (b) True (a) No. What is important is the population proportion for the new process may in fact be greater or less than that of the old process. (b) No. The population proportion for the
 new process may be 12% or more, even though the sample proportion was only 11%. 7. 9. (c) Finding two defective circuits in the sample. A good knowledge of the process that generated the data. (a) A controlled experiment. (b) Yes, because it is based on a controlled experiment rather than an observational study. Section 1.2 1. 3. 5. 7. 9. 11. 13.
  False No. In the sample 1, 2, 4 the mean is 7/3, which does not appear at all. The sample size can be any odd number. Yes. If all the numbers on the list are the same, since the mean and standard deviation will equal 0. The mean and standard deviation will equal 0. The mean and standard deviation will equal 0.
   would be a little different the second time. 15. (a) The tertiles are 45 and 77.5. (b) The quintiles are 32, 47.5, 75, and 85.5. Page 842 Section 1.3 1. (a) Stem Leaf 0 011112235677 1 235579 2 468 3 11257 4 14699 5 5 6 16 7 9 8 0099 9 10 11 0 12 7 13 7 (b) Here is one histogram. Other choices for the end-points are possible. (c) (d) The boxplot shows
  one outlier. 3. Page 843 Stem Leaf 1 1588 2 00003468 3 0234588 4 0346 5 2235666689 6 00233459 7 113558 8 568 9 1225 10 1 11 12 2 13 06 14 15 16 17 1 18 6 19 9 20 21 22 23 3 There are 23 stems in this plot. An advantage of this plot over the one in Figure 1.6 is that the values are given to the tenths digit instead of to the ones digit. A
  disadvantage is that there are too many stems, and many of them are empty. 5. (a) Page 844 (b) (c) The yields for catalyst B are considerably more spread out than the median yield for B is closer to the first quartile than the third, but the lower whisker is greater than the median yield for B is closer to the first quartile than the third, but the lower whisker is greater than the median yield for catalyst B. The median yield for B is closer to the first quartile than the third, but the lower whisker is greater than the third, but the lower whisker is greater than the median yield for B is closer to the first quartile than the median yield for Catalyst B. The median yield for Catalyst B are considerably more spread out than the third, but the lower whisker is greater than the median yield for Catalyst B. The median yield for Catalyst B are considerably more spread out than the median yield for Catalyst B are considerably more spread out than the median yield for Catalyst B. The median yield for Catalyst B are considerably more spread out than the median yield for Catalyst B are considerably more spread out than the median yield for Catalyst B. The median yield for Catalyst B are considerably more spread out than the median yield for Catalyst B are considerably more spread out than the median yield for Catalyst B are considerably more spread out than the median yield for Catalyst B are considerably more spread out than the median yield for Catalyst B are considerably more spread out than the median yield for Catalyst B are considerably more spread out than the median yield for Catalyst B are considerably more spread out than the median yield for Catalyst B are considerably more spread out than the median yield for Catalyst B are considerably more spread out than the median yield for Catalyst B are considerably more spread out than the median yield for Catalyst B are considerably more spread out than the median yield for Catalyst B are considerably more spread out the median yield for Catalyst B are considerably
  longer 7. than the upper one, so the median is approximately symmetric. (a) Closest to 30% 9. (b) 240-260 mg/dL (a) (b) (c) Yes, the shapes of the
  histograms are the same. 11. (a) (b) Yes. The value 100 is an outlier. Page 845 13. (ii) 15. (a) A: 4.60, B: 3.86 (b) Yes. (c) No. The minimum value of -2.235 is an "outlier," since it is more than 1.5 times the interquartile range below the first quartile. The lower whisker should extend to the smallest point that is not an outlier, but the value of this point
 is nonlinear. (b) The relationship is approximately linear. (c) It would be easier to work with x and 1n y, because the relationship is approximately linear. Supplementary Exercises for Chapter 1 1. (a) The mean will be divided by 2.2. (a) False 5. (d) True (a) It is not possible to tell by
  how much the mean changes. (b) If there are more than two numbers on the list, the median is unchanged, but we cannot tell by how much. 7. (c) It is not possible to tell by how much the standard deviation changes. (a) The mean decreases by 0.774. (b) The mean changes to 24.226. (c)
 The median is unchanged. (d) It is not possible to tell by how much the standard deviation changes. 9. Statement (i) is true. 11. (a) Incorrect (b) Correct (c) Incorrect (d) Correct (e) Incorrect (d) Correct (e) Incorrect (for the histogram is likely to have a
  longer left-hand tail than right-hand tail than right-hand tail. (b) Skewed to the right. The 15th percentile is much closer to the median (50th percentile) than the 85th percentile is much to the right.
  that it is impossible to see the features of the histogram. Page 848 17. (a) (b) 3.35 (c) 1.88 (d) 7.70 19. (a) (b) Each sample contains one outlier. (c) In the Sacaton boxplot, the median is about midway between the first and third quartiles, suggesting that the data between these quartiles are fairly symmetric. The upper whisker of the box is much
  longer than the lower whisker, and there is an outlier on the upper side. This indicates that the data as a whole are skewed to the right. In the Gila Plain boxplot data, the median is about midway between the first and third quartiles, suggesting that the data between the sirly symmetric. The upper whisker is slightly longer than the
  lower whisker, and there is an outlier on the upper side. This suggests that the data as a whole are somewhat skewed to the right. In the Casa Grande boxplot, the median is very close to the first quartile. This suggests that there are several values very close to the right. In the Casa Grande boxplot, the median is very close to the first quartile. This suggests that there are several values very close to each other about one-fourth of the way through the data. The two whiskers are of about
  equal length, which suggests that the tails are about equal, except for the outlier on the upper side. Section 2.1 1. 3. 0.13 (a) {TTTT, TTFF, FFTT, FFFF, FFTT, FFTT, FFFF, FFTT, FFFF, FFTT, FFFF, FFTT, FFFF, FFTT, FFFF, FFTT, F
 2.6123 × 1012 (c) 0.9260 11. 0.5238 Section 2.3 1. 3. 0.25 (a) 1/3 (b) 5/14 5. 7. (c) 2/7 Given that a student took a calculus course, it is much less certain that the student is an engineering major, since many
 0.46 (f) 0.02 (g) 0.48 Page 850 21. (a) That the gauges fail independently. (b) One cause of failure, a fire, will cause both gauges fails} first gauge fails} in place of P(second gauge fails). Because there is a chance that both gauges fail
 together in a fire, the condition that the first gauge fails makes it more likely that the second gauge fails as well. Therefore P(second gauge fails) > P(second gauge fails) > P(second gauge fails) = P(B|A) [or P(A \cap B) + P(A)P(B)] 25. n = 10,000. The two components are a simple random sample from the
  population. When the population is large, the items in a simple random sample are nearly independent. 27. (a) 0.89 (b) 0.9029. (a) 0.011 (b) 0.0033 31. (a) 9/16 (b) 1/4 (c) 4/9 (d) 1/4 33. (a) 5.08 × 10-5 (b) 0.9801 (c) 0.0001 (d) 0.9801 35. 0.9125 37. (a) 0.9904 (b) 0.1 (c) 0.2154 (d) 7 39. (a) P(A) = 1/2, P(B) = 1/2, P(B) = 1/4 = P(A)P(B), so A and a simple random sample are nearly independent.
   B are independent. P(C) = 1/6, P(A \cap C) = 1/6, P(A \cap C) = 1/12 = P(A)P(C), so A and C are independent. P(B \cap C) = 1/12 = P(A)P(B)P(C), so B and C are independent. Section 2.4 1. (a) Discrete (b) Continuous (c) Discrete (d) Continuous 3. (e) Discrete (a) 2.3 (b) 1.81 (c) 1.345 (d) (e) 23 (f) 181 (g)
  13.45 5. (a) (b) 0.85 (c) 0.05 (d) 1.52 7. (e) 0.9325 (a) c = 1/15 (b) 2/15 (c) 11/3 (d) 14/9 9. (e) 1.2472 (a) c = 1/15 (b) 0.2016 0.128 0.0311 (c) p2(x) appears to be the better model. Its probabilities are all fairly close to the proportions of days observed in the data. In contrast, the
  probabilities of 0 and 1 for p1(x) are much smaller than the observed proportions. (d) No, this is not right. The data are a simple random sample generally do not reflect the population exactly. Page 851 11. (a) 2 (b) 0.09 (c) 0.147 (d) 0.21 (e) 0.1323 13. (a) 1/16 (b) 106.67 Ω (c) 9.4281
 Ω (d) 15. (a) 10 months (b) 10 months (c) (d) 0.6988 17. With this process, the probability that a ring meets the specification is 0.641. With the process in Exercise 16. 19. (a) 67/256 (b) 109/256 (c) 2.4% (d) 0.64 (e) 21. (a) 0.0272 (b) 0.6 (c) 0.04 (d) (e) 0.8192 23. (a)
  0.2428 (b) 0.5144 (c) 0.5684 (e) 0.5832 (f) 0.5832 (f) 0.5832 (g) 0.5832 (h) 0.5832 (g) 0.5832 (h) 0.5832 (g) 0.5832 (h) 0.5
 0.15 gallons (d) 0.15 gallons (d) 0.15 gallons 13. (a) 0.2993 (b) 0.00288 15. (a) 0.3 (b) 0.45 (c) 0.135 (d) 0.15 (e) 0.15 gallons (d) 0.15 gallons (e) 0.15 gallons (d) 0.15 gallons (e) 0.15 gallons 13. (a) 0.2993 (b) 0.1923 (b) 0.1923 (b) 0.1923 (c) 0.1923 (d) 0.1923 (e) 0.1923 (f) 0.1923 (g) 0.1923 (g) 0.1923 (g) 0.1923 (g) 0.1923 (g) 0.1923 (g) 0.1923 (h) 0.1923 (g) 0.1923 (h) 0.
 1) = 0.2917 (c) 0.8077 (d) 1.0625 Page 852 5. (a) 2.11 (b) 1.4135 7. (c) 0.24 (a) 2X + 3Y (b) $5.44 9. (c) $3.67 (a) pX(0) = 0.28, pX(2) = 0.28, pX(3) = 0.15, pY(4) = 0.08 (c) No.pXY(0, 0) = 0.03, but pX(0)pY(0) = (0.08)(0.31) = 0.0248 ≠ 0.03. (d) µX = 2.13, µY = 1.51
  (e) \sigma X = 1.1971, \sigma Y = 1.2845 (f) 0.1637 (g) 0.1065 11. (a) pY|X(0|4) = 0.125, pX|Y(1|4) = 0.125, pX|Y(2|4) = 0.125, p
 0.28571, pY|X(2|3) = 0.28571, pY|X(3|3) = 0.28571 (b) pX|Y(0|1) = 0.29412, pX|Y(1|1) = 0.47059, pX|Y(2|1) = 0.17647, pX|Y(3|1) = 0.05882 (c) E(Y|X=3) = 1.7143 (d) E(X|Y=1) = 1.7143 (e) E(X|Y=1) = 1.7143 (f) E(X|Y=1) = 0.17647, E(X|Y=1) = 0.
  + 0.7Y (b) μ = $6, σ = $2.52 (c) (d) K = $50 (e) For any correlation ρ, the risk is quantity is minimized when K = 50. .If ρ ≠ 1 this 25. (a) (b) . (c) (d) (e) . . . 27. (a) Cov (aX, bY)/(σaXσbY) = abCov(X, Y)/(σaXσbY) = abCov(
  ρXY Page 853 29. (a) (b) (c) 31. μY = 0.5578, σY = 0.1952. 33. (a) (b) (c) (d) Supplementary Exercises for Chapter 2 1. 3. 0.9997 (a) 0.15 (b) 0.6667 . 5. 7. 9. 11. 0.3439 0.82 1/3 (a) 0.3996 (b) 0.0821 (c) (d) μ = 4, σ2 = 10 (d) μ = 16, σ2 = 10 (d) μ = 17. (a) μ = 18. (b) μ = 18. (c) μ = 19. (c) μ = 19. (d) μ 
 328 19. (a) For additive concentration: p(0.02) = 0.22, p(0.04) = 0.14, p(150) = 0.36, p(200) = 0.36
   P(Y = 100) = (0.22)(0.14) = 0.0308. (c) 0.947 (d) 0.867 (e) The concentration should be 0.06. Page 854 21. (a) pY|X(100 \mid 0.06) = 0.138, pY|X(100 \mid 0.06) = 0.276, pX|Y(0.04 \mid 100) = 0.286, pX|Y(0.08 \mid 100) = 
 2.90, \sigma = 4.91 (c) \mu = 1.08, \sigma = 1.81 (d) Under scenario A, 0.85; under scenario B, 0.89; and under scenario C, 0.99. 25. (a) The joint probability mass function is x 0 1 2 Y 1 0 0.0667 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2667 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.
   -0.008503 (d) \rho X, Y = -25/199 = -0.1256 29. (a) pX(0) = 0.6, pX(1) = 0.4, pX(x) = 0 if x \neq 0 or 1. (b) pY(0) = 0.4, pX(x) = 0 if x \neq 0 or 1. (c) Yes. It is reasonable to assume that knowledge of the outcome of one coin will not help predict the outcome of the other. (d) p(0, 0) = 0.24, p(0, 1) = 0.36, p(1, 0) = 0.16, p(1, 1) = 0.24, p(x, y) = 0 for p(x, y) = 0 for p(x, y) = 0 if p(x, y) = 0 i
  other values of (x y). 31. (a) pX,Y(x y) = 1/9 for x = 1, 2, 3 and y = 1, 2, 3. (b) pX(1) = pX(2) = pX(3) = 1/3. pY is the same. (c) \muX = \muY = 2 (d) \muXY = 4 (e) Cov(X, Y) = 0. 33. (a) (b) (c) \muX/k \geq kP(X \geq k)/k = P(X 
   estimated, since we do not know the true value. 9. We can get a more accurate estimate by subtracting the bias of 26.2 µg, obtaining 100.8 µg above 1 kg. 11. (a) No, since they are not a simple random sample from a population of possible measurements
 is 0.081 cm. 15. (a) 87.0 \pm 0.7 mL (b) 0.5 mL (c) 25 17. (a) 465°C, the yield is 70.14 \pm 0.28. At 80°C, the yield is 90.50 \pm 0.25. (b) 20.36 \pm 0.38 19. (a) 0.016 (b) 0.0089 (c) The uncertainty in 0.0089 (c) 0.15 (d) 0.15 (e) 0.2167 3. 5. (f) 0.2728 157.1 \pm
  1.3 cm3 (a) 1.7289 \pm 0.0058 s 7. (b) 9.79 \pm 0.11 m/s2 (a) 0.2555 \pm 0.0005 m/s (b) 0.256 \pm 0.0005 m/s (c) 0.2513 m/s \pm 0.0005 m/s (b) 0.2513 m/s \pm 0.0002 m/s 9. (a) 1.856 s \pm 0.29% (b) 9.799m/s2 \pm 0.54% 17. (a) 0.2513 m/s \pm 0.33% (b) 0.2513 m/s \pm 0.57% 19.
  uncertainty in L to 0.05cm. Page 856 11. (a) 32.6 ± 3.4 MPa (b) Reducing the uncertainty in to 0.025 mm-1. (c) Implementing the uncertainty in to 0.05cm. Page 856 11. (a) 710.68 ± 0.15 g (b) Reducing the uncertainty in b to 0.1 g.
  15. (a) 2264 \pm 608 \text{ N/mm2} (b) R 17. 0.0626 \pm 0.0013 \text{ min} - 1 19. (a) No, they both involve the quantities h and r. 21. 23. 25. 27. 29. (b) 2.68c \pm 0.27c 283.49 mm/s \pm 2.5\% 1.41 cm \pm 6.4\% 0.487 \pm 1.7\% 3347.9 N/mm2 \pm 2.5\% 1.41 cm \pm 6.4\% 0.487 \pm 1.7\% 3347.9 N/mm2 \pm 2.5\% 1.41 cm \pm 6.4\% 0.487 \pm 1.7\% 3347.9 N/mm2 \pm 2.5\% 1.41 cm \pm 6.4\% 0.487 \pm 1.7\% 3347.9 N/mm2 \pm 2.5\% 1.41 cm \pm 6.4\% 0.487 \pm 1.7\% 3347.9 N/mm2 \pm 2.5\% 1.41 cm \pm 6.4\% 0.487 \pm 1.7\% 3347.9 N/mm2 \pm 2.5\% 1.41 cm \pm 6.4\% 0.487 \pm 1.7\% 3347.9 N/mm2 \pm 2.5\% 1.41 cm \pm 6.4\% 0.487 \pm 1.7\% 3347.9 N/mm2 \pm 2.5\% 1.41 cm \pm 6.4\% 0.487 \pm 1.7\% 3347.9 N/mm2 \pm 2.5\% 1.41 cm \pm 6.4\% 0.487 \pm 1.7\% 3347.9 N/mm2 \pm 2.5\% 1.41 cm \pm 6.4\% 0.487 \pm 1.7\% 3347.9 N/mm2 \pm 2.5\% 1.41 cm \pm 6.4\% 0.487 \pm 1.7\% 3347.9 N/mm2 \pm 2.5\% 1.41 cm \pm 6.4\% 0.487 \pm 1.7\% 3347.9 N/mm2 \pm 2.5\% 1.41 cm \pm 6.4\% 0.487 \pm 1.7\% 3347.9 N/mm2 \pm 2.5\% 1.41 cm \pm 6.4\% 0.487 \pm 1.7\% 3347.9 N/mm2 \pm 2.5\% 1.41 cm \pm 6.4\% 0.487 \pm 1.7\% 3347.9 N/mm2 \pm 2.5\% 1.41 cm \pm 6.4\% 0.487 \pm 1.7\% 3347.9 N/mm2 \pm 1
     0.0078 (c) 0.32 3. (d) 361 (a) 2.08 mm 5. (b) 0.29 mm (a) (1.854 ± 0.073) × 106 W (b) 3.9% 7. (c) Reducing the uncertainty in the mass to 0.005 g. (a) 26.32 ± 0.33 mm/year (b) 3.799 ± 0.048 years 11. 19.25 ± 0.091 mm 13. (a) 1.4% (b) Reducing the uncertainty in the mass to 0.005 g. (a) 26.32 ± 0.33 mm/year (b) 3.799 ± 0.048 years 11. 19.25 ± 0.091 mm 13. (a) 1.4% (b) Reducing the uncertainty in the mass to 0.005 g. (a) 26.32 ± 0.33 mm/year (b) 3.799 ± 0.048 years 11. 19.25 ± 0.091 mm 13. (a) 1.4% (b) Reducing the uncertainty in the mass to 0.005 g. (a) 26.32 ± 0.33 mm/year (b) 3.799 ± 0.048 years 11. 19.25 ± 0.091 mm 13. (a) 1.4% (b) Reducing the uncertainty in the mass to 0.005 g. (a) 26.32 ± 0.33 mm/year (b) 3.799 ± 0.048 years 11. 19.25 ± 0.091 mm 13. (a) 1.4% (b) Reducing the uncertainty in the mass to 0.005 g. (a) 26.32 ± 0.33 mm/year (b) 3.799 ± 0.048 years 11. 19.25 ± 0.091 mm 13. (a) 1.4% (b) Reducing the uncertainty in the mass to 0.005 g. (a) 26.32 ± 0.33 mm/year (b) 3.799 ± 0.048 years 11. 19.25 ± 0.091 mm 13. (a) 1.4% (b) Reducing the uncertainty in the mass to 0.005 g. (a) 26.32 ± 0.33 mm/year (b) 3.799 ± 0.048 years 11. 19.25 ± 0.091 mm 13. (a) 1.4% (b) Reducing the uncertainty in the mass to 0.005 g. (a) 26.32 ± 0.33 mm/year (b) 3.799 ± 0.048 years 11. 19.25 ± 0.091 mm 13. (a) 1.4% (b) Reducing the uncertainty in the mass to 0.005 g. (a) 26.32 ± 0.33 mm/year (b) 3.799 ± 0.048 years 11. 19.25 ± 0.091 mm 13. (a) 1.4% (b) 1.4
 (a) Yes, the estimated strength is 80,000 lb in both cases. (b) No, for the ductile wire method, the uncertainty in the strength of the weakest wire is multiplied by the number of wires, to obtain \sigma = 16 \times 20 = 320.17. (a) 113.1 \pm 6.1m3/s (b) 100.5 \pm
 5.4m3/s (c) Yes, the relative uncertainty is 5.4%. 19. (a) 10.04 \pm 0.95 s-1 (b) 10.04 \pm 1.2 s-1 (c) 10.04 \pm 1.2 s-1 (d) 10.04 \pm 1.2 s-1 (e) 10.04 \pm 1.2 s-1 (f) 10.04 \pm 1.2 s-1 (g) 10.04 \pm 1.2 s-1 (h) 10.04 \pm 1.2
  directly in terms of s: A = s2 + 2\pi s2/8 = s2(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4). So \sigma A = (dA/ds)\sigma s = 2s(1 + \pi/4).
 Y (b) are 0 and 2. (c) \muY = 0.8, 3. (a) 0.05 (b) 0.20 (c) 0.23 (d) Yes (e) No No. If the surface has both discoloration and a crack, then X = 1, Y = 1, and Z = 1, so Z = XY. If not, then Z = 0, and either X, Y, or both are equal to 0 as well,
 so again Z = XY. (a) Since the possible values of X and Y are 0 and 1, the possible values of the product Z = XY are also 0 and 1. Therefore Z is a Bernoulli random variable. (f) 7. (b) pz = P(X = 1) = P
 (c) 0.68265. (d) 0.8119 (a) 0.0852 (b) 0.88917. (e) 0.0852 (b) 0.88917. (e) 0.088917. (e) 0.08
 of size10 would have seven or more defective items. (c) Yes, because seven defectives in a sample of size 10 is an unusually large number for a good shipment. (d) 0.4557 (e) No, in about 45% of the samples of size10, two or more items would be defective.
  shipment. 21. (a) 0.8369 (b) 923. (a) Y = 7X + 300 (b) 930 (c) 930 (c) 930 (d) 930 (e) 930 (f) 930 (e) 930 (e) 930 (f) 930 (e) 930 (f) 930 (e) 930 (f) 930 (f) 930 (g) 930 (g) 930 (h) 930 (g) 930 (h) 930
 (d) 0.00960\ 15. (a) 0.2592\ (b)\ 1.54m\ 17. (a) 12.5\ (b)\ 7.0\ (c)\ 2.5\ (d)\ 1.9 (e) 5.5\ \pm\ 3.1\ 19. (a) 7.295\ \times\ 10-3\ (b)\ Yes. If the mean concentration is 7 particles in a 1 mL sample is an unusually small number if the mean concentration is 7
 particles per mL. (d) 0.4497 (e) No. If the mean concentration is 7 particles per mL 21. 0.271 ± 0.019 Section 4.4 1. 3. 5. 0.2940 0.0314 (a) 0.1244 (b) 7.5
   7. 9. (c) 11.25 (iv) (a) 0.992 (b) 0.8 (c) 1.25 11. (a) 0.4835 (b) 3.2 (c) 0.7091 13. (a) 0.1466 (b) 88.816 \mum (c) 64th percentile 7. (d) 0.8023 (b) 0.2478 (c) 0.4338 3. (d) 0.7404 (a) 1.00 (b) -2.00 (c) 1.50 (d) 0.83 5. (e) 1.45 (a) 0.1056 (b) 24.997 mm (c) 89th percentile 7. (d) 0.8882 (a) 0.1466 (b) 88.816 \mum (c) 64th percentile
 9. (d) 0.1685 (a) 0.0228 (b) 1144 hours (c) 89th percentile (d) 0.3721 11. (a) 0.0336 (b) Yes, the proportion of days shut down in this case would be only 0.0228. 13. (a) 0.06 cm (b) 0.01458 cm (c) 0.2451 (d) 0.0502cm (e) 0.7352 (f) The hole diameter should have mean 15.02 cm. The probability of meeting the specification will then be 0.8294. 15. (a)
 0.0475 (b) 12.07 oz (c) 0.0215 oz Page 859 17. (a) 7.8125 N/m2 (b) 4.292 N/m2 (c) 76.65 N/m2 19. The mean is 74.26; the standard deviation is 8.0.21. Let a = 1/\sigma and let b = -\mu/\sigma. The mean is 74.26; the standard deviation is 8.0.21. Let a = 1/\sigma and let b = -\mu/\sigma. The mean is 114.8 J; the standard deviation is 8.0.21. Let a = 1/\sigma and let b = -\mu/\sigma. The mean is 114.8 J; the standard deviation is 114.8 J; the stan
 J. (b) Yes, only 0.15% of bolts would have breaking torques less than 100 J. (c) The mean is 117.08 J; the standard deviation is 8.295 J. About 2% of the bolts in part (c) are stronger. (e) The method is certainly not valid for the bolts in part (c) This sample
  contains an outlier (140), so the normal distribution should not be used. Section 4.6 1. (a) 3.5966 (b) 0.5293 (c) 24.903 (d) 0.2148 5. (e) 27.666 (a) ln L ~ N(0.7, 0.0025), ln 2\pi = 1.83788, ln g = 2.28238. Therefore ln T ~ N(1.04669, 0.125). \muT = 1.04669, . (b) 0.3228 (c) 0.6772 (d) 2.866 (e) 2.848 (f) 0.3214
 (g) 2.5356 7. 9. (h) 3.1994 0.4120 (a) 46.711 N/mm (b) 33.348 N/mm (c) Annularly threaded nails. The probability is 0.3372 versus 0.0516 for helically threaded nails have strengths this small, while about 4.09% of helically threaded nails do. We can be pretty sure
  that it was a helically threaded nail. 11. (a) $1.0565 (b) 0.0934 (c) $1.0408 (d) 0.2090 13. ln X1 + ... + an ln Xn is a normal random variable. It follows that P is lognormal. Section 4.7 1. (a) 2.222 (b) 4.9383 (c) 0.2592 3. (d) 1.5403 (a) 4 microns (b) 4 microns (c) 0.5276 (d) 0.0639
 (e) 2.7726 microns (f) 5.5452 microns 5. (g) 18.4207 microns (a) 0.0770 7. (b) 0.3528 (a) 0.1623 (b) 0.2865 (d) 0.2865 (e) 0.3245 9. No. If the lifetimes were exponentially distributed, the proportion of used components lasting longer than five years would be the same as the proportion of new components lasting longer than five years,
 because of the lack of memory property. 11. (a) 1/3 year (b) 1/3 year (c) 0.0498 (d) 0.2212 (e) 0.9502 Page 860 13. (a) 0.6065 (b) 0.7071 (c) 0.3466 15. (a) 0.6065 (b) 0.7071 (c) 0.7071
 \text{Exp}(0.025). (g) 40 hours (h) T ~ \text{Exp}(n\lambda) Section 4.8 1. (a) 40 (b) 33.33 (c) 0.353. (d) 0.1460 (a) 8 (b) 4 (c) 0.0550 (a) 0.8490 (b) 0.5410 (c) 1899.2 hours (d) 8.761 × 10-4 11. (a) 0.3679 (b) 0.2978 (c) 0.4227 13. (a) 0.3679 (b) 0.1353 (c) The lifetime of the system
 will be greater than five hours if and only if the lifetimes of both components are greater than five hours. (d) 0.8647 (e) (f) Yes, T \sim Weibull(2, 0.2828). 15. 17. (a) Bias = 0, Variance = 1/2, MSE = 1/2 (b) Bias = 0, Variance = 1/2, MSE = 1/2 (b) Bias = 0, Variance = 1/2, MSE = 1/2 (b) Bias = 0, Variance = 1/2, MSE = 1/2 (c) Bias = 1/2 (d) Bias = 1/2 (e) Bias = 1/2 (f) Bias = 1/2 (f) Bias = 1/2 (g) Bias = 1/2 (h) Bias = 1/2 
 Variance = 1/8, MSE = \mu2/4 + 1/8 (d) For -1.2247 < \mu < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1.2247 < 1
 PM data come from a normal population, then the PM data come from an approximately normal distribution. Section 4.11 1. (a) 0.2743 3. 5. (b) 0.0359 0.1894 (a) 0.0606 (b) 15.34
 kg 7. (c) 136 (a) 0.0793 (b) 192.6 minutes 9. 68 11. (a) 0.9418 (b) 0.2327 (c) 0.9090 (0.8409 is a spurious root.) 13. (a) 0.0475 (b) 0.8531 15. (a) 0.6578 (b) 0.4714 (c) 0.6266 (d) 48.02 mL 17. (a) 0.0475 (b) 0.8531 15. (a) 0.6578 (b) 0.4714 (c) 0.6266 (d) 48.02 mL 17. (a) 0.0475 (b) 0.8531 15. (a) 0.0475 (b) 0.0475
 nonconforming tiles in a sample of 1000 is an unusually large number if the goal has been reached. (d) 0.3594 (e) No. More than one-third of the sample of 1000 is not an unusually large number if the goal has been
 reached. 19. 0.0307 Section 4.12 1. (a) X \sim Bin(100, 0.03), Y \sim Bin(100, 0.05) (b) Answers will vary. (c) \approx 0.72 (d) \approx 0.72 (d) \approx 0.18 3. (e) The distribution is approximately normal. (a) \approx 0.25 (b) \approx 0.25 (c) \approx 0.61 Page 863 7. (a,b,c)
  Answers will vary. 9. (d) \approx 0.025 (a) Answers will vary. (b) \approx 2.7 (c) \approx 0.34 (d) \approx 1.6 (e) System lifetime is not approximately normally distributed. (f) Skewed to the right. 11. (a) Answers will vary. (b) \approx 0.025 (d) \approx 0.025 (e) \approx 0.025 (f) The distribution differs somewhat from normal. 13. (a) (b,c,d) Answers will vary. (e) Bias \approx 0.037, answers will vary. (f) \approx 0.037, answers will vary. (h) \approx 0.037, answers will vary.
  Supplementary Exercises for Chapter 4 1, 3, 0.9744 (a) 0.2503 (b) 0.4744 (c) 0.1020 (d) 0.1414 5. (e) 0.8508 (a) 0.9044 (b) 0.00512 (a) 0.6826 (b) z = 1.289. (c) 0.00512 (a) 0.6826 (b) z = 1.289. (c) 0.00512 (a) 0.6826 (b) 0.5160 (c) 0.0508 11. (a) 0.6915 (b) 0.5160 (c) 0.0469 (0.1271 is a spurious root.) 13. (a) 28.0 ± 3.7 (b) 28 mL 15. (a) 0.0749 (b) 4.7910 cm (c) 4.77. (a) 0.0508 11. (b) 0.5160 (c) 0.0508 11. (c) 0.0508 11. (d) 0.0508 (d) 
 0.4889 (b) 0.8679 19. (a) 0.4090 (b) No. More than 40% of the samples will have a total weight of 914.8 oz or less if the claim is true. (c) No, because a total weight of 914.8 oz or less if the claim is true. (d) ≈ 0 (e) Yes. Almost none of the samples will have a total weight of 910.3 oz or less if the claim is true.
 weight of 910.3 oz is unusually small if the claim is true. 21. (a) e-1/2 (c) -\ln(\ln 2) = 0.3665 23. (a) (b) (c) Since f(x) = 0.3665 23. (a) f(x) = 0.3665 23. (a) f(x) = 0.3665 23. (a) f(x) = 0.3665 23. (b) f(x) = 0.3665 23. (c) f(x) = 0.3665 23. (a) f(x) = 0.3665 23. (a) f(x) = 0.3665 23. (b) f(x) = 0.3665 23. (c) f(x) = 0.3665 23. (d) f(x) = 0.3665 23. (e) f(x) = 0.3665 23. (for f(x) = 0.3665
 p)t = P(X > t). Note that if X > s + t, it must be the case that X > s, which is the reason that P(X > s + t) and Y > s + t, it must be the number of tosses of the penny needed to obtain the first head. Then P(X > s + t) and Y > s + t, it must be the case that Y > s + t and Y > s + t.
 P(X \le y/7) = 1 - e - \lambda y/7. (b) 29. (a) . (b) P(X = x - 1) if and only if x \le \lambda. Page 864 Section 5.1 1. (a) 1.96 (b) 2.33 (c) 2.57 or 2.58 3. 5. (d) 1.28 Up, down (a) 0.95 7. (b) 0.3813 (a) (8.002, 8.198) (b) (7.971, 8.229) (c) 89.04% (d) 151 9. (e) 261 (a) (38.164, 38.346) (b) (38.121, 38.479) (c) 85.02% (d) 139 (e) 240 11. (a) (1205.6, 240 11. (a) 1.96 (b) 2.33 (c) 2.57 or 2.58 3. 5. (d) 1.28 Up, down (a) 0.95 7. (b) 0.3813 (a) (8.002, 8.198) (b) (7.971, 8.229) (c) 89.04% (d) 151 9. (e) 261 (a) (38.164, 38.346) (b) (38.121, 38.479) (c) 85.02% (d) 139 (e) 240 11. (a) (1205.6, 240 11. (a) 1.96 (b) 2.33 (c) 2.57 or 2.58 3. 5. (d) 1.28 Up, down (a) 0.95 7. (b) 0.3813 (a) (8.002, 8.198) (b) (7.971, 8.229) (c) 89.04% (d) 151 9. (e) 261 (a) (38.164, 38.346) (b) (38.121, 38.479) (c) 85.02% (d) 139 (e) 240 11. (a) (1205.6, 240 11. (a) 1.96 (b) 2.33 (c) 2.57 or 2.58 3. 5. (d) 1.28 Up, down (a) 0.95 7. (b) 0.3813 (a) (8.002, 8.198) (b) (7.971, 8.229) (c) 89.04% (d) 151 9. (e) 261 (a) (38.164, 38.346) (b) (38.121, 38.479) (c) 85.02% (d) 139 (e) 240 11. (a) (38.164, 38.346) (b) (38.164, 38.346) (b) (38.164, 38.346) (b) (38.164, 38.346) (b) (38.164, 38.346) (c) 85.02% (d) 139 (e) 240 11. (a) (38.164, 38.346) (b) (38.164, 38.346) (b) (38.164, 38.346) (c) 85.02% (d) 139 (e) 240 11. (e) 2
 1228.4) (b) (1202.0, 1232.0) (c) 87.88% (d) 163 (e) 230 13. (a) (11.718, 12.082) (b) (11.66, 12.14) (c) 66.80% (d) 465 (e) 806 15. (a) 132.72 (b) \approx 90\% 17. (a) 349.65 (b) 98.17% 19. (a) 84.471 (b) 93.94% 21. 280 23. (0.21525, 0.23875) 25. (a) False (d) False (d) False (e) True (c) False (d) False (e) 7. The supervisor is underestimating the confidence. The statement that the
 mean cost is less than $160 is a one-sided upper confidence bound with confidence level 97.5%. Section 5.2 1. (a) 0.40 (b) (0.234, 0.3799) (b) (0.2137, 0.4027) 7. 9. (c) 87.29% 0.8113 (a) (0.0529, 0.1055) (b) 697 (c) (0.0008, 0.0081) (c) 127 (a) (0.2364, 0.3799) (b) (0.2137, 0.4027) 7. 9. (c) 87.29% 0.8113 (a) (0.0529, 0.1055) (b) 697 (c) (0.0008, 0.0081) (c) 127 (a) (0.2364, 0.3799) (b) (0.2137, 0.4027) 7. 9. (c) 87.29% 0.8113 (a) (0.0529, 0.1055) (b) 697 (c) (0.0008, 0.0081) (c) 127 (a) (0.2364, 0.3799) (b) (0.2137, 0.4027) 7. 9. (c) 87.29% 0.8113 (a) (0.0529, 0.1055) (b) 697 (c) (0.0008, 0.0081) (c) 127 (a) (0.2364, 0.3799) (b) (0.2364, 0.3799) (b) (0.2364, 0.3799) (b) (0.2364, 0.3799) (c) 127 (a) (0.2364, 0.3799) (b) (0.2364, 0.3799) (b) (0.2364, 0.3799) (c) 127 (a) (0.2364, 0.3799) (b) (0.2364, 0.3799) (b) (0.2364, 0.3799) (c) 127 (a) (0.2364, 0.3799) (b) (0.2364, 0.3799) (b) (0.2364, 0.3799) (c) 127 (a) (0.2364, 0.
 0.556) 11. (a) (0.107, 0.148) (b) (0.103, 0.152) (c) (0.09525, 0.15695) 13. (a) 381 (b) (0.1330, 0.2900) (c) 253 15. (a) (0.840, 0.990) (b) 486 (c) 748 Section 5.3 1. (a) 1.796 (b) 2.447 (c) 63.657 3. (d) 2.048 (a) 95% (b) 98% (c) 99% (d) 80% 5. 7. (e) 90% (2.352, 3.524) Yes, there are no outliers. A 95% confidence interval is (203.81, 206.45). Page 865 9. (a)
 (b) Yes, the 99% confidence interval is (1.3012, 1.3218). (c) (d) No, the data set contains an outlier. 11. (1.956, 2.104) 13. (0.2198, 0.2642) 15. (a) 2.3541 (b) 0.888 (c) 3.900 17. (a) (0.2782, 0.3618) (b) No. The minimum possible value is 0, which is less than two sample standard deviations below the sample mean. Therefore it is impossible to observe a
  value that is two or more sample standard deviations below the sample mean. This suggests that the sample mean. This suggests that the sample mean to come from a normal population. Section 5.4 1. 3. 5. 7. 9. 11. (122.54, 137.46) (74.41, 85.59) (0.1301, 0.3499) (3.100, 20.900) (11.018, 32.982) (a) (-1.789, 2.589) (b) No, since 0 is in the confidence interval, it may be regarded as being
 a plausible value for the mean difference in hardness. 13. It is not possible. The amounts of time spent in bed and spent asleep in bed are not independent. Section 5.5 1. 3. (0.0112, 0.0807) (a) (0.0124, 0.0633) (b) Under the first plan, the width of the 98% confidence interval would be about ±0.0250. Under the second plan, the width of the 98% confidence interval would be about ±0.0250. Under the second plan, the width of the 98% confidence interval would be about ±0.0250. Under the second plan, the width of the 98% confidence interval would be about ±0.0250. Under the second plan, the width of the 98% confidence interval would be about ±0.0250. Under the second plan, the width of the 98% confidence interval would be about ±0.0250. Under the second plan, the width of the 98% confidence interval would be about ±0.0250. Under the second plan, the width of the 98% confidence interval would be about ±0.0250. Under the second plan, the width of the 98% confidence interval would be about ±0.0250. Under the second plan, the width of the 98% confidence interval would be about ±0.0250. Under the second plan, the width of the 98% confidence interval would be about ±0.0250. Under the second plan, the width of the 98% confidence interval would be about ±0.0250. Under the second plan would be about ±
 confidence interval would be about ±0.0221. Under the third plan, the width of the 98% confidence interval would be about ±0.0233. Therefore the second plan, in which 500 additional patients are treated with drug coated stents, provides the greatest increase in precision. 5. (-0.0486, 0.6285) 7. No. The sample proportions come from the same
 sample rather than from two independent samples. 9. (-0.0176, 0.1772) 11. No, these are not simple random samples. Page 866 Section 5.6 1. 3. 5. 7. 9. 11. 13. 15. (31.825, 94.175) (1.8197, 15.580) (7.798, 30.602) (20.278, 25.922) (1.1093, 2.8907) (0.765, 7.022) (38.931, 132.244) (2628.2, 3773.8) Section 5.7 1. 3. 5. 7. 9. (2.090, 11.384) (5.4728, 25.922) (1.1093, 2.8907) (0.765, 7.022) (38.931, 132.244) (2628.2, 3773.8) Section 5.7 1. 3. 5. 7. 9. (2.090, 11.384) (5.4728, 25.922) (1.1093, 2.8907) (0.765, 7.022) (38.931, 132.244) (2628.2, 3773.8) Section 5.7 1. 3. 5. 7. 9. (2.090, 11.384) (5.4728, 25.922) (1.1093, 2.8907) (0.765, 7.022) (38.931, 132.244) (2628.2, 3773.8) Section 5.7 1. 3. 5. 7. 9. (2.090, 11.384) (5.4728, 25.922) (1.1093, 2.8907) (0.765, 7.022) (38.931, 132.244) (2628.2, 3773.8) Section 5.7 1. 3. 5. 7. 9. (2.090, 11.384) (5.4728, 25.922) (1.1093, 2.8907) (0.765, 7.022) (38.931, 132.244) (2628.2, 3773.8) Section 5.7 1. 3. 5. 7. 9. (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384) (2.090, 11.384)
 9.9272) (24.439, 38.361) (9.350, 10.939) (a) (0.747, 2.742) (b) 80% 11. (a) paired (b) independent (c) independent (d) paired Section 5.8 1. (a) 23.337 (b) 4.404 (c) 16.750 (d) 0.412 (e) 30.813 3. 5. 7. 9. 11. (f) 14.041 (4.41, 19.95) (36.04, 143.84) (a) 0.0614 (b) (0.041, 0.117) (0.00392, 0.0225) Section 5.9 confidence interval is (35.39, 47.05) 1. (a)
 (96.559, 106.241) 3. (b) (96.321, 106.479) (a) (3.8311, 7.9689) 5. (b) (3.8375, 8.4125) (a) (83.454, 89.666) (b) (79.808, 93.312) Section 5.10 1. (a) X^* \sim N(8.5, 0.22), Y^* \sim N(21.2, 0.32) (b) Answers will vary. (c) \sigma P \approx 0.18 (d) Yes, P is approximately normally distributed. 3. (e) \approx (13.1, 13.8) (a) Yes, A is approximately normally distributed. (b) \sigma A \approx 0.24
 (c) \approx (6.1, 7.1) Page 867 5. (a) N(0.27, 0.402/349) and N(1.62, 1.702/143). Since the values 0.27 and 1.62 are sample means, their variances are equal to the population variances.
 distributed. (a,b,c) Answers will vary. (a) Coverage probability for Agresti-Coull \approx 0.95; for traditional interval \approx 0.85. (b) Coverage probability for Agresti-Coull \approx 0.85; for traditional interval \approx 0.85; for traditional interval \approx 0.85. (c)
 Coverage probability for Agresti-Coull \approx 0.96; for traditional interval \approx 0.92. Mean length for Agresti-Coull has greater coverage probability close to 0.95 for n = 17, but less than 0.95 for both n = 10 and n = 40. (e) Agresti-Coull has greater coverage probability for sample sizes 10 and 40.
 nearly the same for 17. (f) The Agresti-Coull method. Supplementary Exercises for Chapter 5 1. 3. 5. 7. (1.942, 19.725) (0.0374, 0.0667) (0.0886, 0.241) (b) 584 9. The narrowest interval, (4.20, 5.83), is the 99% confidence interval, (4.20, 5.83), is the 99% confidence interval, (4.20, 5.83), is the 99% confidence interval, (4.20, 5.83), is the 90% confidence interval, (4.20, 5.83), is the 99% confidence interval, (4.20, 5.83), is t
 interval. 11. (-0.420, 0.238) 13. 93 15. (a) False (b) False (c) True (d) False (c) True (d) False (e) True (d) False (from a normal population. (d) (36.774, 37.226) 19. (a) Since X is normally distributed with mean n\lambda, it follows that for a proportion 1-\alpha of all possible samples, -z\alpha/2\sigma X < X - n\lambda < z\alpha/2\sigma X. Multiplying by -1 and
  adding X across the inequality yields X - z\alpha/2\sigma X < n\lambda < X + z\alpha/2\sigma X, which is the desired result. (b) Since n is a constant, . Therefore a level 1 - \alpha confidence interval for \lambda. (e) (53.210, 66.790) 21.
 (a) 234.375 \pm 19.639 (b) (195.883, 272.867) (c) There is some deviation from normality in the tails of the distribution. The middle 95\% follows the normal curve closely, so the confidence interval is reasonably good. 23. (a,b,c) Answers will vary. Section 6.1\ 1. (a) 0.017 (b) 1.7\% 3. (a) 0.2670 (b) 26.7\% Page 868\ 5. (a) P = 0.0014\ 7. (b) Ifthemean
 number of sick days were 5.4, the probability is only 0.0014 of observing a sample with a mean less than or equal to the mean number of sick days is less than 5.4. (a) P = 0.0057 9. (b) If the mean depth were 900 µm or more, the probability of observing a
```

sample mean as small as the value of 850 that was actually observed is 0.0057. Therefore we are convinced that the mean depth is not 900 µm. (a) P = 0.1131 11. 13. 15. 17. (b) If the profit margin were 10%, the probability is 0.1131 of observing a sample with a mean less than or equal to the mean of 8.24 that

```
was actually observed. A probability of 0.1131 is not small enough to reject H0, so it is plausible that the mean profit margin is 10% or more. (ii) (iii) P = 0.0456 (a) one-tailed (b) H0: \mu = 45 (c) 0.0080 Section 6.2 1. 3. 5. P = 0.5 (iv) (a) True (b) False (c) True 7. (d) False (a) i (b) iii 9. iii. 11
(a) H0: \mu \leq 8 (b) H0: \mu \leq 60,000 (c) H0: \mu = 10.3 (a) (ii) The scale is out of calibration. (b) (iii) The scale is in calibration only if \mu = 10.3 (a) (ii) The scale is out of calibration. (c) No. The scale is in calibration only if \mu = 10.3 (a) (iii) The scale is out of calibration. (b) (iiii) The scale is in calibration.
 that the null hypothesis is true. 17. (a) False (b) True 19. (i) 21. (a) Yes. Quantities greater than the upper confidence bound to determine whether P < 0.01. 23. Yes, we can compute the P-value exactly. Since the 95% upper
 confidence bound is 3.45, we know that 3.40 + . Therefore . The z-score is (3.40 - 3.50)/0.0304 = -3.29. The P-value is 0.0005, which is greater than 0.01. 25. (a) No. The P-value is 0.196, which is greater than 0.05. (b) The value 73 is contained in the 95% confidence interval for \mu. Therefore the null hypothesis \mu = 73 cannot be rejected at the 5% level.
 Page 869 Section 6.3 1. 3. 5. 7. 9. 11. 13. Yes, P = 0.0146. No, P = 0.1292. Yes, P = 0.0158. No, P = 0.0158. N
 cannot be (b) computed from a sample of size 1. (a) H0: \mu \le 5 vs. H1: \mu > 5 (b) t7 = 2.2330, 0.025 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.03035). 5. (c) Yes, the P-value is small, so we conclude that the mean flow rate is more than 5 gpm. (a) Yes, t6 = -0.91736, 0.10 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.1852). 7. (a) (b) Yes, t6 = -0.91736, 0.10 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.1852). 7. (a) (b) Yes, t6 = -0.91736, 0.10 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.1852). 7. (b) Yes, t6 = -0.91736, 0.10 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.1852). 7. (c) Yes, t6 = -0.91736, 0.10 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.03035). 5. (c) Yes, t6 = -0.91736, 0.10 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.03035). 5. (c) Yes, t6 = -0.91736, 0.10 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.03035). 5. (c) Yes, t6 = -0.91736, 0.10 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.03035). 5. (c) Yes, t6 = -0.91736, 0.10 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.03035). 5. (c) Yes, t7 = -0.91736, 0.10 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.03035). 5. (c) Yes, t6 = -0.91736, 0.10 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.03035). 5. (c) Yes, t7 = -0.91736, 0.10 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.03035). 5. (c) Yes, t7 = -0.91736, 0.10 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.03035). 5. (c) Yes, t7 = -0.91736, 0.10 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.03035). 5. (c) Yes, t8 = -0.91736, 0.10 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.03035). 5. (c) Yes, t8 = -0.91736, 0.10 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.03035). 5. (c) Yes, t8 = -0.91736, 0.10 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.03035). 5. (c) Yes, t8 = -0.91736, 0.10 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.03035). 5. (c) Yes, t8 = -0.91736, 0.10 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.03035). 5. (c) Yes, t8 = -0.91736, 0.10 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.03035). 5. (c) Yes, t8 = -0.91736, 0.10 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.03035). 5. (c) Yes, t8 = -0.91736, 0.10 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.03035). 5. (c) Yes, t8 = -0.91736, 0.10 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.03035). 5. (c) Yes, t8 = -0.91736, 0.10 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.03035). 5. (c) Yes, t8 = -0.91736, 0.10 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.03035). 5. (c) Yes, t8 = -0.91736, 0.10 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.03035). 5. (c) Yes, t8 = -0.91736, 0.10 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.03035). 5. (c) Yes, t8 = -0.91736, 0.10 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.03035). 5. (c) Yes, t8 = -0.91736, 0.10 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.03035). 5. (c) Yes, t8 = -0.91736, 0.10 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.03035). 5. (c) Yes, t8 = -0.91736, 0.10 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.03035). 5. (c) Yes, t8 = -0.9173
1.4194, 0.20 0, P = 0.2119. We cannot conclude that the mean score on one-tailed questions is greater. (b) H0: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \neq 0, P = 0.4238. We cannot conclude that the mean score on one-tailed questions differs from the mean score on two-tailed questions. (a) Yes, P = 0.0233. (b) No, P = 0.1492. 11. Yes, P = 0.0129. Page 870 13.
 (a) (i) 11.128, (ii) 0.380484 (b) 0.0424, similar to the P-value computed with the t statistic. (c) (-0.3967, 5.7367) Section 6.6 1. (a) H0:p1-p2 \ge 0 vs. H1:p1-p2 \le 0 vs. 
 not be conclusive. 9. No, P = 0.2843. 11. No, P = 0.3936. 13. No, because the two samples are not independent. 15. (a) 0.660131 (b) 49 (c) 1.79 (d) 0.073 Section 6.7 1. (a) Yes, t3 = 2.5740, 0.025 < P < 0.40 (P = 0.3211). 3. No, t26 = -1.3412, 0.10 < P < 0.20 (P = 0.1915). 5. Yes, t16 = 10.502, P <
0.0005 (P = 
Section 6.8 1. No, t13 = 1.4593, 0.10 < P < 0.20 (P = 0.1682). 3. Yes, t9 = 2.2056, 0.025 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.027417). 5. Yes, t7 = -3.0151, 0.01 < P < 0.02 (P = 0.01952). 7. Yes, t8 = 2.2056, t8 = 0.027417. 5. Yes, t8 = 0.005 (t8 = 0.004356). 9. No, t8 = 0.005 (t8 = 0.004566).
tires, and let \mu B be the mean number of miles per gallon for taxis using bias tires. The appropriate null and alternate hypotheses are H0: \mu R - \mu B \le 0vs. H1: \mu R - \mu B > 0. The value of the test
 statistic is t9 = 3.3749, so 0.001 < P < 0.005. 13. (a) 1.1050 (b) 2.8479 (c) 4.0665 (d) 3.40 Page 871 Section 6.9 1. (a) Yes. S + = 25, P = 0.0321. (b) Yes. S + = 249.5, z = 2.84, P = 0.0023. (c) Yes. S + = 70.5, z = -2.27, P = 0.0232. 5.
Difference 0.01 0.01 -0.01 0.03 0.05 -0.05 -0.07 0.01 0.03 0.05 -0.05 -0.07 0.11 -0.13 0.15 Signed rank 2 2 -2 4 5.5 -5.5 -7 -8 -9 10 S+ = 2 + 2 + 4 + 5.5 + 10 = 23.5. From the table, P > 2(0.1162) = 0.2324. Do not reject. 7. 9. Yes. W = 34, P = 2(0.0087) = 0.0174. No. W = 168, z = 0.31, P = 0.7566. Section 6.10 1. (a) H0:p1 = 0.85, p2 = 0.10, p3 = 0.05 (b) 425, 50, p3 = 0.05 (c) 425, 50
25 (c) 3. (d) 0.005 < P < 0.01 (P = 0.005404). The true percentages differ from 85%, 10%, and 5%. The expected values are Poor Near Poor Low Income Middle Income Men 222.01 Women 281.99 100.88 128.12 302.19 383.81 486.76 618.24 491.16 623.84 5., P \approx 0. It is reasonable to conclude that the proportions in the various income
 categories differ between men and women. Yes, , 0.025 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.02856). 7. (a) 10.30 6.96 9.74 (b) 13.35 9.02 12.62 13.35 9.02 12.62 13.35 9.02 12.62 13.35 9.02 12.62 | P > 0.1060). There is no evidence that the engineer's claim is , P < 0.005 (P = 0.02856). 7. (a) 10.30 6.96 9.74 (b) 13.35 9.02 12.62 | P > 0.1060). There is no evidence that the engineer's claim is , P < 0.005 (P = 0.02856). 7. (a) 10.30 6.96 9.74 (b) 13.35 9.02 12.62 | P > 0.10600 (P = 0.02856). There is no evidence that the engineer's claim is , P < 0.0050 (P = 0.02856).
= 0.00002115). Section 6.11, 0.025 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.0378). 1. 3., P < 0.01 (P = 0.00463). 5., P > 0.1 (P = 0.1851). 7. 9., P > 0.1 (P = 0.1851). 7. 9. 10 (P = 0.1851). 9. 10 (P
region. The rejection region should consist of values for that will make the P-value of the test is 0.0708. 5. (e) This is an appropriate rejection region. The level of the test is 0.0708. 5. (e) This is not an appropriate rejection region. The
 rejection region should consist of values for that will make the P-value of the test less than a chosen threshold level. This rejection region contains values of for which the P-value will be large. (a) Type I error (b) Correct decision 7. (d) Type II error (a) the mean is not equal to 2. (b) the mean might be equal to 2. 9. The 1% level 11
(a) Type I error. (b) No, if H0 is false, it is not possible to reject a true null hypothesis. (c) Yes, if H0 is not rejected. 13. Section 6.13 1. (a) True (b) The level is 0.1151; the power is 0.4207. (c) 0.2578 (d) 0.4364 7. 9. (e) 618 (ii) (a) Two-tailed (b) p = 0.5 (c) p =
 0.4 (d) Less than 0.7. The power for a sample size of 150 is 0.691332, and the power for a sample size of 150 is 0.691332, and the power for a sample size of 150 is 0.691332, and the power for a sample size of 150 is 0.691332, and the power for a sample size of 150 is 0.691332.
0.691332, and the alternative p = 0.3 is farther from the null than p = 0.4. So the power against the alternative p = 0.4 which is 0.691332. (g) It's impossible to tell from the output. The power against the alternative p = 0.4, which is 0.691332. But we cannot tell without calculating whether it will be
less than 0.65. 11. (a) Two-tailed (b) Less than 0.9. The sample size of 60 is the smallest that will produce power greater than 0.9 against a difference of 3, so it will be greater than 0.9 against any difference greater than 3. Page 873 Section 6.14 1. 3. 5. 7. Several tests
have been performed, so we cannot interpret the P-value is 0.012. Since this value is 9.018. Since this value is not so small, we can conclude that this setting reduces the proportion of defective parts. (b) The Bonferroni-adjusted P-value is 0.18. Since this value is not so small, we cannot
 conclude that this setting reduces the proportion of defective parts. 0.0025 (a) No. If the mean burnout amperage is equal to 15 A every day, the probability of rejecting H0 is 0.05 each day. The number of times in 200 days that H0 is rejected is then a binomial random variable with n = 200, p = 0.05. The probability of rejecting H0 10 or more times
 in 200 days is then approximately equal to 0.5636. So it would not be unusual to reject H0 10 times in 200 trials if H0 is always true. (b) Yes. If the mean burnout amperage is equal to 1.5 A every day, the probability of rejecting H0 is 0.05 each day. The number of times in 200 days that H0 is rejected is then a binomial random variable with n = 200, page 15.
 = 0.05. The probability of rejecting H0 20 or more times in 200 days is then approximately equal to 0.0010. So it would be quite unusual to reject H0 20 times in 200 trials if H0 is always true. Section 6.15 1. 3. 5. (a) (ii) and (iv) (b) (i), (iii), and (iv) No, the value 103 is an outlier. (a) . (b) No, the F test requires the assumption that the data are normally
 distributed. These data contain an outlier (103), so the F test should not be used. (c) P \approx 0.37. 7. (a) The test statistic is 9. (b) \approx 0.60. (a) V = 26.323, \sigma V = 0.3342. . H0 will be rejected if |t| > 2.447. (b) z = 3.96, P \approx 0. (c) Yes, V is approximately normally distributed. Supplementary Exercises for Chapter 6 1. 3. 5. This requires a test for the difference
between two means. The data are unpaired. Let \mu 1 represent the population mean annual cost for cars using premium fuel. Then the appropriate null and alternate hypotheses are H0: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \ge 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \ge 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0 vs. H1: \mu 1 - \mu 2 \le 0
 costs between the two groups. The z table should be used to find the P-value. This requires a test for a population proportion of defective parts under the population proportion of defective parts under the population proportion of defective parts under the new program. The z table should be used to find the P-value. This requires a test for a population proportion of defective parts under the new program.
parts. The z table should be used to find the P-value. (a) H0: \mu = 16 vs. H1: \mu < 16 (b) t9 = -2.7388 (c) 0.01 < P < 0.025 (P = 0.01145), reject H0 if (b) Reject H0 if (c) No (e) 13.36% 13.
 (a) 0.05 (b) 0.1094 Page 874 15. The Bonferroni-adjusted P-value is 0.1228. We cannot conclude that the failure rate on line 3 is less than 0.10. 17. (a) Both samples have a median of 20. (b) W = 281.5, z = 2.03, P = 0.0424. The P-value is fairly small. If the null hypothesis stated that the population medians were equal, this would provide reasonably
 strong evidence that the population medians were in fact different. (c) No, the X sample is heavily skewed to the right, while the Y sample is strongly bimodal. It does not seem reasonable to assume that these samples came from populations of the same shape. 19. (a) Let µA be the mean thrust/weight ratio for fuel A, and let µB be the mean
thrust/weight ratio for fuel B. The appropriate null and alternate hypotheses are H0: \mu A - \mu B > 0. (b) Yes. t29 = 2.0339, 0.025 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.02560). 21. (a) Yes. t29 = 2.0339, 0.025 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.02560). 21.
 coefficient is appropriate. The points are approximately clustered around a line. (b) The correlation coefficient is not appropriate. The plot contains outliers. More than 0.6 (a) Between temperature and yield, r = 0.7323; between stirring rate and yield, r =
0.7513; between temperature and stirring rate is far from 0. (a) (0.2508, 0.8933) (b) No. z = 0.99, P =
0.1611 (c) Yes, t12 = 3.2989, 0.001 < P < 0.005 (P = 0.003177). t1. (-0.95256, -0.95042) 13. Yes, t21 = -2.710, 0.01 < P < 0.02 (P = 0.0131). Section 7.2 1. (a) t1.003177 in. 7. (c) No, some of the men whose points lie below the least-squares line will have shorter arms. t1.00319.27 lb 3. 5. (b) t1.003177 in. 7. (c) No, some of the men whose points lie below the least-squares line will have shorter arms. t1.00319.27 lb 3. 5. (b) t1.003177 in. 7. (c) No, some of the men whose points lie below the least-squares line will have shorter arms. t1.00319.27 lb 3. 5. (b) t1.003177 line t1.0
 9. (a) The linear model is appropriate. (b) y = 33.775 + 0.59299x (c) 1.186 degrees (d) 81.81 degrees 11. (a) The linear model is appropriate. (b) y = 4.3416 - 4.9051x (c) 0.9810 Hz (d) 0.6627 Hz (e) No, because all the modes in the data set have damping ratios less than 1. (f) 0.47738 13. (a) The linear model is appropriate. Page 876 (b) y = 76.5388
 0.5606x. (c) Fitted Values 52.43373.73666.44863.08458.60070.93364.766 (d) Decrease by 5.606 parts per hundred thousand. (e) 59.721 (f) No. That value is outside the range of the data. (g) 20.58315. (iii) 17.606 (d) Decrease by 5.606 parts per hundred thousand. (e) 59.721 (f) No. That value is outside the range of the data. (g) 20.58315. (iii) 17.606 (d) Decrease by 5.606 parts per hundred thousand. (e) 59.721 (f) No. That value is outside the range of the data. (g) 20.58315. (iii) 17.606 (e) 17.996 (f) No. That value is outside the range of the data. (g) 20.58315. (iii) 17.606 (iii) 17.606 (iii) 17.996 (c) 17.996 (c) 17.996 (d) 17.996 (e) 17.996 (e) 17.996 (f) 17.996 (f) 17.996 (f) 17.996 (iii) 17.996 (f) 
0.00545). (e) (16.722, 24.896) (f) (10.512, 31.106) Residuals 2.567, 6.264, -8.448, 4.916, -1.600, -1.933, -1.766, 3. (a) The slope is -0.7524; the intercept is 88.761. (b) Yes, the P-value for the slope is -0.7524; the intercept is 88.761. (c) 51.14 ppb (d) -0.469 (e) (41.6, 45.6) (f) 5.160 No. A reasonable range of predicted values is given by the 95\%
 prediction interval, which is (20.86, 66.37), (a) (b) (20.86, 66.37), (a) (b) (20.86, 66.37), (a) (30.86, 66.37), (a) (40.86, 66.37), (a) (40.86, 66.37), (a) (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.86, 66.37), (40.
  4.559) (e) (1.585, 6.701) 11. The confidence interval for 20 \Omega would be the shortest. The confidence interval for 15 \Omega would be the longest. 13. 1.388 15. (a) 0.256 (b) 0.80 (c) 1.13448 (d) 0.001 17. (a) 553.71 (b) 162.06 (c) Below (d) There is a greater amount of vertical spread on the right side of the plot than on the left. Section 7.4 1. (a) \ln y = 0.000
 -0.4442 + 0.79833 ln x (b) 330.95 (c) 231.76 3. (d) (53.19, 1009.89) (a) y = 20.162 + 1.269x Page 877 (b) There is no apparent pattern to the residual plot. The linear model is not appropriate as is. Time, or other variables related to time, must be included in the model. (a) y = 30.715 and 1.09189 (b) There is no apparent pattern to the residual plot.
 + 0.37279x (b) 0.94789 (c) The relationship does not appear to be linear. (d) False Page 878 7. (a) y = -235.32 + 0.695x. (b) The residual plot shows a pattern, with positive residuals in the middle. The model is not appropriate. (c) \ln y = -0.0745 + 0.925 \ln x. (d) The residual plot shows no
obvious pattern. The model is appropriate. 9. (e) The log model is more appropriate. The 95% prediction interval is (197.26, 1559.76). (a) The least-squares line is y = 0.833 + 0.235x. (b) The least-squares line is y = 0.833 + 0.235x. (b) The least-squares line is y = 0.833 + 0.235x. (c) The least-squares line is y = 0.833 + 0.235x. (d) The least-squares line is y = 0.833 + 0.235x. (e) The least-squares line is y = 0.833 + 0.235x. (e) The least-squares line is y = 0.833 + 0.235x. (f) The least-squares line is y = 0.833 + 0.235x. (h) The least-squares line is y = 0.833 + 0.235x. (h) The least-squares line is y = 0.833 + 0.235x.
squares line is y = 0.199 + 1.207 \ln x. (c) The least-squares line is \ln y = -0.0679 + 0.137x. Page 880 (d) The least-squares line is \ln y = -0.0679 + 0.137x. Page 880 (d) The least-squares line is \ln y = -0.0679 + 0.137x. Page 880 (d) The least-squares line is \ln y = -0.0679 + 0.137x. Page 880 (d) The least-squares line is \ln y = -0.0679 + 0.137x. Page 880 (d) The least-squares line is \ln y = -0.0679 + 0.137x. Page 880 (d) The least-squares line is \ln y = -0.0679 + 0.137x. Page 880 (d) The least-squares line is \ln y = -0.0679 + 0.137x. Page 880 (d) The least-squares line is \ln y = -0.0679 + 0.137x. Page 880 (d) The least-squares line is \ln y = -0.0679 + 0.137x. Page 880 (d) The least-squares line is \ln y = -0.0679 + 0.137x. Page 880 (d) The least-squares line is \ln y = -0.0679 + 0.137x. Page 880 (d) The least-squares line is \ln y = -0.0679 + 0.137x. Page 880 (d) The least-squares line is \ln y = -0.0679 + 0.137x. Page 880 (d) The least-squares line is \ln y = -0.0679 + 0.137x. Page 880 (d) The least-squares line is \ln y = -0.0679 + 0.137x. Page 880 (d) The least-squares line is \ln y = -0.0679 + 0.137x. Page 880 (d) The least-squares line is \ln y = -0.0679 + 0.137x. Page 880 (d) The least-squares line is \ln y = -0.0679 + 0.137x. Page 880 (d) The least-squares line is \ln y = -0.0679 + 0.137x. Page 880 (d) The least-squares line is \ln y = -0.0679 + 0.137x. Page 880 (d) The least-squares line is \ln y = -0.0679 + 0.137x. Page 880 (d) The least-squares line is \ln y = -0.0679 + 0.137x. Page 880 (d) The least-squares line is \ln y = -0.0679 + 0.137x. Page 880 (d) The least-squares line is \ln y = -0.0679 + 0.137x. Page 880 (d) The least-squares line is \ln y = -0.0679 + 0.137x. Page 880 (d) The least-squares line is \ln y = -0.0679 + 0.137x. Page 880 (d) The least-squares line is \ln y = -0.0679 + 0.137x. Page 880 (d) The least-squares line is \ln y = -0.0679 + 0.137x.
the natural log (ln) could be used in place of log10, but common logs are more convenient since partial pressures are expressed as powers of 10. Page 881 (b) The least-squares line is log10 x in the linear model is equal to -0.25 log10 x. The linear model appears to fit quite well. (c) The theory says that the coefficient \beta1 of log10 x in the linear model is equal to -0.25 log10 x. The linear model appears to fit quite well.
The estimated value is . We determine whether the data are consistent with the theory by testing the hypotheses H0: \beta 1 = -0.25 vs. H1: \beta 1 \neq -0.25 v
 The least-squares line with (12, 2046) deleted is y = 2021.85 - 2.861x. The least-squares line with (13, 1954) deleted is y = 2069.30 - 5.236x. The least-squares line with (13, 1954) deleted is y = 2069.30 - 5.236x. The least-squares line with (13, 1954) deleted is y = 2040.88 - 3.809x. (c) The slopes of the least-squares line with (13, 1954) deleted is y = 2069.30 - 5.236x. The least-squares line with (13, 1954) deleted is y = 2069.30 - 5.236x. The least-squares line with (13, 1954) deleted is y = 2069.30 - 5.236x. The least-squares line with (13, 1954) deleted is y = 2069.30 - 5.236x. The least-squares line with (13, 1954) deleted is y = 2069.30 - 5.236x.
 In L + ε, where β0 = In a and β1 = b. 19. (a) A physical law, then something was wrong with the experiment violate a physical law, then something was wrong with the experiment violate a physical law, then something was wrong with the experiment violate a physical law, then something was wrong with the experiment violate a physical law, then something was wrong with the experiment violate a physical law, then something was wrong with the experiment violate a physical law, then something was wrong with the experiment violate a physical law, then something was wrong with the experiment violate a physical law, then something was wrong with the experiment violate a physical law, then something was wrong with the experiment violate a physical law, then something was wrong with the experiment violate a physical law, then something was wrong with the experiment violate a physical law, then something was wrong with the experiment violate a physical law, then something was wrong with the experiment violate a physical law, then something was wrong with the experiment violate a physical law, then something was wrong with the experiment violate a physical law, then something was wrong with the experiment violate a physical law, then something was wrong with the experiment violate a physical law, then something was wrong with the experiment violate a physical law, then something was wrong with the experiment violate a physical law, then something was wrong with the experiment violate a physical law, then something was wrong with the experiment violate a physical law, then something was wrong with the experiment violate a physical law, then something was wrong with the experiment violate a physical law, then something was wrong with the experiment violate a physical law, then something was wrong with the experiment violate was wrong with the experiment violate with the experiment violate was wrong with the experi
Testing H0: \beta 0 = 0 vs. H1: \beta 0 \neq 0, t3 = -0.428 and 0.50 < P < 0.80 (P = 0.697), so the data are consistent with the Beer-Lambert law. Page 882 3. (a) (b) Ti+1 = 120.18 - 0.696Ti (c) (-0.888, -0.503) (d) 71.48 minutes (e) (68.40, 74.56) 5. (f) (45.00, 97.95) (a) (b) No. t9 = 1.274, 0.20 < P < 0.50 (P = 0.235). (c) Yes. t9 = -5.358, P < 0.001 (P =
0.000457). (d) Yes, since we can conclude that \beta 1 \neq 1, we can conclude that the machine is out of calibration. (e) (18.58, 20.73) (f) (75.09, 77.23) (g) No, when the true value is 20, the result of part 7. (e) shows that a 95% confidence interval for the mean of the measured values is (18.58, 20.73). Therefore it is plausible that the mean measurement
 will be 20, so that the machine is in calibration. (a) y = -2.6903 + 0.0391x (b) For \beta 0:(-6.0171, 0.6366), for \beta 1:(0.0030, 0.0752). (c) 0.9465 (d) 0.7848,1.1082 (e) 0.9465 (d) 0.7848,1.1082 (e) 0.9465 (d) 0.7848,1.1082 (e) 0.9465 (d) 0.7848,1.1082 (e) 0.9465 (d) 0.9465 (e) 0.9465 (e) 0.9465 (f) 0.9465 (f) 0.9465 (f) 0.9465 (g) 0.9465 (h) 
plausible. and 11. (a) y = 337.13 + 0.098006x. (b) Page 883 (c) \ln y = -0.46584 + 0.81975 \ln x. (d) (e) (38.75, 5103.01) 13. (a) -0.87015 (b) y = 814.89 - 143.33x (c) The residuals corresponding to x < 0.5. (d) y = 805.82 - 111.13x (e) y = 764.52 - 60.534x (f) 0.5689 (g) 0.1162 (h) less than or
 equal to 0.5 15. (ii) 17. (a) 145.63 (b). Note that r is negative because the slope of the leastsquares line is negative. (c) 145.68. 19. (a) We need to minimize the derivative with . Then . Page 884 21. From the answer to Exercise 20, we know that . Now , and 23.
 Section 8.1 1. (a) 49.617 kg/mm2 (b) 33.201 kg/mm2 (c) 2.1245 kg/mm2 (d) F9,17 = 59.204. Yes, the null
 hypothesis can be rejected. 7. (a) 2.3411 L (b) 0.06768 L 9. (c) Nothing is wrong. In theory, the constant estimates FEV1 for an individual whose values are outside the range of the data (e.g., no one has zero height), the constant need not represent a realistic value for an actual person. (a)
3.572 (b) 0.098184 (c) Nothing is wrong. The constant estimates the pH for a pulp whose values for the data (e.g., no pulp has zero density), the constant need not represent a realistic value for an actual pulp. (d) (3.4207, 4.0496) (e) (2.2333, 3.9416) (f) Pulp B. The
 standard deviation of its predicted pH (SE Fit) is smaller than that of pulp A (0.1351 vs. 0.2510). 11. (a) -2.05 (b) 0.3512 (c) -0.2445 (d) 4.72 (e) 13.92 (f) 18.316 (g) 4.54 (h) 9 13. (a) 135.92° F (b) No. The change in the predicted flash point due to a change in acetic acid concentration depends on the butyric acid concentration as well, because of the
 interaction between these two variables. (c) Yes. The predicted flash point will change by -13.897°F. 15. (a) 0.2286, -0.5743, 0.3514, 0.1057, -0.1114, 0.0000 (b) SSE = 0.5291, SST = 16.7083 (c) s2 = 0.1764 (d) R2 = 0.9683 (e) F = 45.864. There are 2 and 3 degrees of freedom. (f) Yes, the P-value corresponding to the F statistic with 2 and 3
 degrees of freedom is between 0.001 and 0.01, so it is less than 0.05. 17. (a) 2.0711 (b) 0.17918 (c) PP is more useful, because its P-value of CP is fairly large. (d) The percent change in GDP would be expected to be larger in Sweden, because the coefficient of PP is negative. 19. (a) y = -0.012167 + 0.043258t + 2.9205t2 (b)
 (2.830, 3.011) (c) (5.660, 6.022) (d) P = 0.278, P = 0.350, 
 differs from 0 (P = 0.009), ft differs from 0 (P = 0.009), ft differs from 0 (P = 0.000). P 0.009 0.000 (b) Predictor Constant x2 Coef 53.964 -0.9192 StDev 8.7737 0.2821 T 6.1506 -3.2580 P 0.000 0.004 \beta0 differs from 0 (P = 0.000), ft differs from 0 (P
  -0.90537~0.377~\beta 0 may not differ from 0 (P = 0.104), \beta 1 differs from 0 (P = 0.000), \beta 2 may not differ from 0 (P = 0.377). Page 886 (d) The model in part (a) is the best. When both x1 and x2 are in the model, only the coefficient of x1 is significantly different from 0. In addition, the value of R2 is only slightly greater (0.819 vs. 0.811) for the model
 containing both x1 and x2 than for the model containing x1 alone. 3. 5. (a) Plot (i) came from engineer B, and plot (ii) came from engineer B is the one who designed the experiment to have the dependent
 variables nearly collinear. (b) Engineer A's experiment produced the more reliable results. In engineer B's experiment, the two dependent variables are nearly collinear. (a) For R1 < 4, the least-squares line is R2 = -0.190 + 0.710R1. (b) The relationship is clearly nonlinear when R1 < 4.
 6.0208 2.0117 3.6106 -1.3279 1.6675 0.200 0.112 -2.7520 0.49423 -0.02558 2.2957 0.61599 0.05930 -1.1988 0.80234 -0.43143 0.245 0.432 0.671 (c) Page 887 (d) The correlation coefficient between (e) (f) and and is 0.997. are nearly collinear. The cubic model is best. The quadratic is inappropriate because the residual plot exhibits a pattern.
 The residual plots for both the cubic and quartic models look good; however, there is no reason to include in the model with the highest value of R2 has a lower R2 than the three-variable model with the highest value of R2 has a lower R2 than the three-variable model with the highest value of R2 has a lower R2 than the three-variable model with the highest value of R2 has a lower R2 than the three-variable model with the highest value of R2 has a lower R2 than the three-variable model with the highest value of R2 has a lower R2 than the three-variable model with the highest value of R2 has a lower R2 than the three-variable model with the highest value of R2 has a lower R2 than the three-variable model with the highest value of R2 has a lower R2 than the three-variable model with the highest value of R2 has a lower R2 than the three-variable model with the highest value of R2 has a lower R2 than the three-variable model with the highest value of R2 has a lower R2 than the three-variable model with the highest value of R2 has a lower R2 than the three-variable model with the highest value of R2 has a lower R2 than the three-variable model with the highest value of R2 has a lower R2 than the three-variable model with the highest value of R2 has a lower R2 than the three-variable model with the highest value of R2 has a lower R2 than the highest value of R2 has a lower R2 than the highest value of R2 has a lower R2 than the highest value of R2 has a lower R2 than the highest value of R2 has a lower R2 than the highest value of R2 has a lower R2 than the highest value of R2 has a lower R2 than the highest value of R2 has a lower R2 than the highest value of R2 has a lower R2 h
 R2. This is impossible. (a) 0.2803 (b) Three degrees of freedom in the numerator and 157 in the denominator. (c) P > 0.10. The reduced model is plausible for a group of variables individually is strongly related. (e) No
 mistake. If y is the dependent variable, then the total sum of squares is This quantity does not involve the independent variables. 11. No, F3,17 = 10.96, P < 0.001. 13. (a) Predictor Constant x (b) Coef 37.989 1.0774 StDev 53.502 0.041608 T 0.71004 25.894 Predictor Coef StDev T Constant -253.45 132.93 -1.9067 x 1.592 0.22215 7.1665 2
  -0.00020052 0.000085328 -2.3499 x P 0.487 0.000 P 0.074 0.000 0.031 Page 888. (c) (d) (e) The quadratic model seems more appropriate. The P-value for the quadratic model exhibits less of a pattern. (There are a couple of points somewhat detached from the rest of the plot.
 however.) (f) 1683.5 (g) (1634.7, 1732.2) 15. (a) Predictor Constant x1 x2 (b) Predictor Constant x1 x2 (b) Predictor Constant x2 Coef 40.370 -0.015878 0.0040542 -3.9164 0.17334 16.754 0.20637 0.86215 0.83993 0.414 0.425 Page 889 (c) Predictor Constant x2 Coef 40.370 -0.01574
StDev 3.4545\ 0.0043503\ T\ 11.686\ -3.6197\ P\ 0.000\ 0.007\ (d) The model containing x2 as the only independent variable is best. There is no evidence that the coefficient of x1 differs from 0. 17. The model to the full quadratic model. The ANOVA table for the full
 model is Source Regression Residual error Total DF 5 9 14 SS 4.1007 3.9241 8.0248 MS 0.82013 0.43601 F 1.881 P 0.193 F 6.8285 P 0.021 The ANOVA table for the model y = \beta 0 + \beta 1x^2 + \epsilon is Source Regression Residual error Total DF 1 13 14 SS 2.7636 5.2612 8.0248 MS 2.7636 0.40471 From these two tables, the F statistic for testing the
 plausibility of the reduced model is . The null distribution is F4,9, P > 0.10. The large P-value indicates that the reduced model is plausible. Supplementary Exercises for Chapter 8 1. (a) 24.6% (b) 5.43% 3. (c) No, we need to know the oxygen content. (a) 0.207 (b) 0.8015 (c) 3.82 (d) 1.200 (e) 2 (f) 86.81 (g) 43.405 (h) 30.14 5. (i) 14 (a) Predictor
 Constant Speed Pause Coef StDev T 10.84 0.2749 39.432 -0.073851 0.023379 -3.1589 -0.12743 0.013934 -9.1456 0.0011098 0.00048887 2.2702 0.0016736 0.00024304 6.8861 -0.00024272 0.00027719 -0.87563 Analysis of Variance Source DF Regression 5 Residual error 24 Total 29 SS 31.304 2.6462 33.95 MS
 0.00048658\ 0.0002419\ SS\ 31.22\ 2.7307\ 33.95\ MS\ 7.8049\ 0.10923\ T\ 47.246\ -3.5961\ -10.518\ 2.2809\ 6.9185\ P\ 0.000\ 0.001\ 0.000\ 0.001\ 0.000\ F\ 71.454\ P\ 0.000\ Comparing this model with the one in part (a), F1,24 = 0.77, P > 0.10. (c) There is some suggestion of heteroscedasticity, but it is hard to be sure without more data. (d) No, compared with
the full model containing Speed, Pause, Speed2, and Pause2, and Speed · Pause, Speed2, and Pause2, and Pause2, and Pause2, and Pause2, and Pause2, and Pause3, and Pause4, and Pause4, and Pause5, and Pause5, and Pause5, and Pause6, and
 so the linear model is not appropriate. Page 892 There is no obvious pattern to the residual plot, so the quadratic model appears to fit well. (a) 182.52, 166.55, 187.56 (b) 236.39, 234.18, 237.02 (c) 176.80, 163.89, 180.78 (d) (iv). The output does not provide much
Cubic Quartic 18 17 16 15 2726.55 481.90 115.23 111.78 To compare quadratic vs. linear, . To compare quadratic vs. cubic, . The cubic model is y = 27.937 + 0.48749x + 0.85104x2 - 0.057254x3 The estimate y is maximized when dy/dx = 0. dy/dx = 0.48749
0.25317 - 0.041561 StDev 0.0065217 0.040281 T 0.0
 0.30057 T -1.2997 7.0805 P 0.218 0.000 2.4079 0.13985 17.218 0.000 -0.27994 0.059211 -4.7279 0.000 Residual error 12 0.048329 0.0040275 Total 15 20.394 The F statistic for comparing this model to the full quadratic model is , so it is reasonable to drop and
contains but not x1. The model containing x1, x2, and , suggested in the answer to part (b), is better. Note that the adjusted R2 value. 19. (a) Predictor Constant t t2 Coef 1.1623 0.059718 -0.00027482 StDev 0.17042 0.0088901
0.000069662 \text{ T P } 6.8201 \ 0.006 \ 6.7174 \ 0.007 \ -3.9450 \ 0.029 \ (b) 17.68 minutes (c) (0.0314, 0.0880) (d) The reaction rate is decreasing with time if \beta 2 < 0. We therefore test H0:\beta 2 > 0vs. H1: \beta 2 < 0. The test statistic is t3 = 3.945, P = 0.029/2 = 0.0145. It is reasonable to conclude that the reaction rate decreases with time. 21. y = \beta 0 + \beta 1x1 + \beta 2x2 + \beta 1x + \beta 2x + \beta 1x + \beta 2x + \beta 1x + \beta 2x + \beta 1x + \beta 1
 β3x1x2 + ε. Page 895 23. (a) The 17-variable model is (b) The eight-variable model is (c) Using a value of 0.15 for both α-
 to-enter and \alpha-to-remove, the equation chosen by stepwise regression is y = -927.72 + 142.40x5 + 0.081701x7 + 21.698x10 + 0.41270x16 + 45.672x21. (d) The following 13-variable model z = -1660.9
 + 0.67152x7 + 134.28x10 has Mallows' Cp equal to -4.0. (f) Using a value of 0.15 for both \alpha-to-enter and \alpha-to-enter 
 a value of 0.15 for both \alpha-to-enter and \alpha-to-enter a
 P < 0.001 \ (P \approx 0). 3. (a) Source Treatment Error Total DF 4 11 15 SS 19.009 22.147 41.155 MS 4.7522 2.0133 F 2.3604 P 0.117 (b) No. F4,11 = 2.3604, P > 0.10 \ (P = 0.117). Page 896 5. (a) Source Age Error Total DF 5 73 78 SS 3.8081 7.0274 10.835 MS 0.76161 0.096266 F 7.9115 P 0.000 MS 0.064062 0.034085 F 1.8795 P 0.142 (b) Yes, F5,73 = 0.000 MS 0.064062 0.034085 F 1.8795 P 0.142 (b) Yes, F5,73 = 0.000 MS 0.064062 0.034085 F 1.8795 P 0.142 (b) Yes, F5,73 = 0.000 MS 0.064062 0.034085 F 1.8795 P 0.142 (b) Yes, F5,73 = 0.000 MS 0.064062 0.034085 F 1.8795 P 0.142 (b) Yes, F5,73 = 0.000 MS 0.064062 0.034085 F 1.8795 P 0.142 (b) Yes, F5,73 = 0.000 MS 0.064062 0.034085 F 1.8795 P 0.142 (b) Yes, F5,73 = 0.000 MS 0.064062 0.034085 F 1.8795 P 0.142 (b) Yes, F5,73 = 0.000 MS 0.064062 0.034085 F 1.8795 P 0.142 (b) Yes, F5,73 = 0.000 MS 0.064062 0.034085 F 1.8795 P 0.142 (b) Yes, F5,73 = 0.000 MS 0.064062 0.034085 F 1.8795 P 0.142 (b) Yes, F5,73 = 0.000 MS 0.064062 0.034085 F 1.8795 P 0.142 (b) Yes, F5,73 = 0.000 MS 0.064062 0.034085 F 1.8795 P 0.142 (b) Yes, F5,73 = 0.000 MS 0.064062 0.034085 F 1.8795 P 0.142 (b) Yes, F5,73 = 0.000 MS 0.064062 0.034085 F 1.8795 P 0.142 (b) Yes, F5,73 = 0.000 MS 0.064062 0.034085 F 1.8795 P 0.142 (b) Yes, F5,73 = 0.000 MS 0.064062 0.034085 F 1.8795 P 0.142 (b) Yes, F5,73 = 0.000 MS 0.064062 0.034085 F 1.8795 P 0.142 (b) Yes, F5,73 = 0.000 MS 0.064062 0.034085 F 1.8795 P 0.142 (b) Yes, F5,73 = 0.000 MS 
 7.9115, P < 0.017. (a) Source Group Error Total DF 3 62 65 SS 0.19218 2.1133 2.3055 (b) No. F3,62 = 1.8795, P > 0.10 (P = 0.142). 9. (a) Source Plant Error Total DF 2 25 27 SS 481.45 690.26 1171.7 MS 240.73 27.611 F 8.7186 P 0.001 (P = 0.001341). 11. No, F3,16 = 15.8255, P < 0.001 (P = 0.001341). 11. No, F3,16 = 15.8255, P < 0.001 (P = 0.001341). 12. No, F3,16 = 15.8255, P < 0.001 (P = 0.001341). 13. No, F3,16 = 15.8255, P < 0.001 (P = 0.001341). 14. No, F3,16 = 15.8255, P < 0.001 (P = 0.001341). 15. No, F3,16 = 15.8255, P < 0.001 (P = 0.001341). 17. No, F3,16 = 15.8255, P < 0.001 (P = 0.001341). 18. No, F3,16 = 15.8255, P < 0.001 (P = 0.001341). 19. No, F3,16 = 15.8255, P < 0.001 (P = 0.001341). 19. No, F3,16 = 15.8255, P < 0.001 (P = 0.001341). 19. No, F3,16 = 15.8255, P < 0.001 (P = 0.001341). 19. No, F3,16 = 15.8255, P < 0.001 (P = 0.001341). 19. No, F3,16 = 15.8255, P < 0.001 (P = 0.001341). 19. No, F3,16 = 15.8255, P < 0.001 (P = 0.001341). 19. No, F3,16 = 15.8255, P < 0.001 (P = 0.001341). 19. No, F3,16 = 15.8255, P < 0.001 (P = 0.001341). 19. No, F3,16 = 15.8255, P < 0.001 (P = 0.001341). 19. No, F3,16 = 15.8255, P < 0.001 (P = 0.001341). 19. No, F3,16 = 15.8255, P < 0.001 (P = 0.001341). 19. No, F3,16 = 15.8255, P < 0.001 (P = 0.001341). 19. No, F3,16 = 15.8255, P < 0.001 (P = 0.001341). 19. No, F3,16 = 15.8255, P < 0.001 (P = 0.001341). 19. No, F3,16 = 15.8255, P < 0.001
 13. (a) Source Temperature Error Total DF 3 16 19 SS 58.650 36.837 95.487 MS 19.550 2.3023 F 8.4914 P 0.001 (b) Yes, F3,16 = 8.4914, 0.001 < P < 0.01 (P = 0.0013). 15. (a) Source Machine Error Total DF 4 30 34 SS 6862 6529.1 13391 MS 1715.5 217.64 F 7.8825 P 0.000 (b) Yes, F4,30 = 7.8825, P ≈ 0 19. (a)
 Source Soil Error Total DF 2 23 25 SS 2.1615 4.4309 6.5924 MS 1.0808 0.19265 F 5.6099 P 0.0104 (b) Yes, F2,23 = 5.6099, 0.01 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.0104). Page 897 Section 9.2 1. (a) Yes, F5,6 = 46.64, P ≈ 0. (b) q6,6,.05 = 5.63. The value of MSE is 0.00508. The 5% critical value is therefore. Any pair that differs by more than 0.284 can be concluded
 to be different. The following pairs meet this criterion: A and B, A and C, B and E, B and E,
 following pairs meet this criterion: A and B, A and C, A and E, B and C, B and E, B 
to be made. Now t88,.025/7 = 2.754, so the 5% critical value is . All the sample means of the noncontrol formulations differ from the control formulations differ from the control formulation by more than this amount. Therefore we conclude at the 5% level that all the noncontrol formulations differ from the control formulation. (b) There are seven comparisons to be
 made. We should use the Studentized range value q7,88,.05 This value is not in the table, so we will use q7,60,.05 = 4.31, which is only slightly larger. The 5% critical value is . All the noncontrol formulations differ from the sample mean of the control formulation by more than this amount. Therefore we conclude at the 5% level that all the noncontrol formulation by more than this amount.
formulations differ from the control formulation. 5. (c) The Bonferroni method is more powerful, because it is based on the largest number of comparisons that could be made, which is (7)(8)/2 = 28. (a) t16,.025/6 = 3.0083 (the value obtained by
 interpolating is 3.080). The value of MSE is 2.3023. The 5% critical value is therefore. We may conclude that the mean for 800° C. (b) q4,16,.05 = 4.05. The value of MSE is 2.3023. The 5% critical value is therefore. We may conclude that the
 mean for 750°C differs from the mean for 850°C and 900°C, and that the mean for 800° differs from the mean for 800° differs from the mean for 800° differs from the mean for 800°C. 7. (c) The Tukey-Kramer method is more powerful, because its critical value is smaller. (a) t16,.025/3 = 2.6730 (the value obtained by interpolating is 2.696). The value of MSE is 2.3023. The 5% critical value is therefore.
 conclude that the mean for 900°C differs from the means for 750°C and 800°C. (b) q4,16,.05 = 4.05. The value of MSE is 2.3023. The 5% critical value is therefore. We may conclude that the mean for 900°C differs from the means for 750°C and 800°C. 9. (c) The Bonferroni method is more powerful, because its critical value is smaller. (a) t73,.025 =
1.993, MSE = 0.096266, the sample sizes are 12 and 15. The sample means are 1, The 95% confidence interval is , or (0.1133, 0.5923). (b) The sample sizes are 12 = 12, J2 = 12, J3 = 13, J4 = 12, J5 = 15, J6 = 15. MSE = 0.096266. We should use the Studentized range value q6,73,.05. This value is not in the table, so we will use q6,60,.05 = 4.16,
 which is only slightly larger. The values of q6,60,05 and the values of the differences are presented in the following two tables. Page 898 1 1 -20.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.3726000.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.3726000.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.3726000.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.372600.3726000.372600.372600.372600.3726000.372600.3726000.3726000.3726
0.345840.35348 \ 0.353480.35348 \ - 0.33326 \ 6 \ 0.353480.35348 \ - 0.33326 \ 6 \ 0.353480.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35340.35348 \ 0.345840.35348 \ 0.345840.35340 \ 0.345840.35340 \ 0.345840.35340 \ 0.345840.35340 \ 
 0.19467 0.19467 0.19467 0 The differences that are significant at the 5% level are: mean 1 differs from means 3 and 5; mean 2 differs from means 3 and 5; mean 2 differs from means 3 and 5; mean 4 differs from means 3 and 5; mean 4 differs from means 3 and 5; mean 4 differs from means 3 and 5; mean 5 differs from means 3 and 5; mean 5 differs from means 3 and 5; mean 6 differs from means 6 differs from means 7 differs from means 8 differs from mea
The sample means are J_1 = 5, J_2 = J_3 = 3. The upper 5% point of the Studentized range is q_3/8,.05 = 4.04. The 5% critical value for is . Therefore means 1 and 3 differ at the 5% level. 13. (a) MSTr = 19.554/3.85 = 5.08. There are 3 and 16 degrees of freedom, so 0.01 < F_1
 < 0.05. The null hypothesis of no difference is rejected at the 5% level. (b) q4,16,.05 = 4.05, so catalysts whose means differ by more than are significantly from catalysts 4. 15. Any value of MSE satisfying 5.099 < MSE < 6.035. Section 9.3 1. (a) 3 (b) 2 (c) 6 (d) 24 (e) (f) Source Oil
 Ring Interaction Error Total DF 3 2 6 24 35 SS 1.0926 0.9340 0.2485 1.7034 3.9785 MS 0.36420 0.46700 0.041417 0.070975 F 5.1314 6.5798 0.58354 P 0.007 0.005 0.740 Yes. F6,24 = 0.58354, P > 0.10 (P = 0.740). (g) No, some of the main effects of oil type are nonzero. F3,24 = 5.1314, 0.001 < P < 0.01 (P = 0.007). (h) No, some of the main effects
 of piston ring type are nonzero. F2,24 = 6.5798, 0.001 < P < 0.01 (P = 0.005). Page 899 3. (a) Source Mold Temp. Alloy Interaction Error Total DF 4 2 8 45 59 SS 69,738 8958 7275 115,845 201,816 MS 17,434.5 4479.0 909.38 2574.3 F 6.7724 1.7399 0.35325 P 0.000 0.187 0.939 (b) Yes. F8,45 = 0.35325, P > 0.10 (P = 0.939). (c) No, some of the
 main effects of mold temperature are nonzero. F4,45 = 6.7724, P < 0.001 (P \approx 0). (d) Yes. F3,45 = 1.7399, P > 0.10, (P = 0.187). 5. (a) NaCl Na2HPO4 25°C 37°C Main Effects of Solution NaCl Na2HPO4 (b) Source Solution
 Temperature Interaction Error Total DF 1 1 1 20 23 SS 1993.9 78.634 5.9960 7671.4 9750.0 MS 1993.9 78.634 5.9960 383.57 37°C 0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.49983 -0.
 NaCl: F1,20 = 5.1983, 0.01 < P < 0.05 (P = 0.034). 7. (e) There is no evidence that the temperature affects yield stress: F1,20 = 0.20500, P > 0.10 (P = 0.656). (a) Source Adhesive Pressure Interaction Error Total DF 1 2 2 12 17 SS 17.014 35.663 4.3544 20.173 77.205 MS 17.014 17.832 2.1772 1.6811 4.5415 F 10.121 10.607 1.2951 P 0.008 0.002
0.310 (b) Yes. F2,12 = 1.2951, P > 0.10 (P = 0.310). (c) Yes, since the additive model is plausible. The mean strength differs among the pressure: F2,12 = 10.607, P < 0.01 (P = 0.002). Page 900 (a) 80 120 150
 -2.3519\ 8.7593\ F\ 63.649\ 15.442\ 4.0018\ P\ 0.000\ 0.007\ (c)\ No,\ F4,45 = 4.0018\ P\ 0.007\ (d)\ No,\ because the additive model is rejected. (e) No, because the additive model is rejected. (e) No, because the additive model is rejected. 11. (a) CPTi-ZrO2 Main Effects of Material 0.044367 Main Effects of Neck Length Short -0.018533\ Medium\ -0.024833\ Longton CPTi-ZrO2 Main Effects of Material 0.044367 Main Effects of Neck Length Short <math>-0.018533\ Medium\ -0.024833\ Longton CPTi-ZrO2 Main Effects of Material 0.044367 Main Effects of Material 0.044367 Main Effects of Neck Length Short <math>-0.018533\ Medium\ -0.024833\ Longton CPTi-ZrO2 Main Effects of Material 0.044367 Main Effects of Material 0.044367 Main Effects of Neck Length Short <math>-0.018533\ Medium\ -0.024833\ Longton CPTi-ZrO2 Main Effects of Material 0.044367 Main Effects of Material 0.044367 Main Effects of Neck Length Short <math>-0.018533\ Medium\ -0.024833\ Longton CPTi-ZrO2 Main Effects of Material 0.044367 Main Effects of Material 0.044367 Main Effects of Neck Length Short <math>-0.018533\ Medium\ -0.024833\ Medium\ -0.0
 0.043367 Interactions CPTi-ZrO2 Short 0.0063333 Neck Length Medium -0.023767 Long 0.017433 (b) Source DF SS MS F P Taper Material 1 0.059052 0.059052 0.059052 0.059052 0.059052 0.059052 0.059076 0.002499
 Total 29 0.15652 (c) Yes, the interactions may plausibly be equal to 0. The value of the test statistic is 1.8185, its null distribution is F224, and P > 0.10 (P = 0.184). (d) Yes, since the additive model is plausible. The mean coefficient of friction differs between CPTi-ZrO2 and TiAlloy-ZrO2: F1,24 = 23.630, P < 0.001. (e) Yes, since the additive model is
 plausible. The mean coefficient of friction is not the same for all neck lengths: F2,24 = 5.6840, P ≈ 0.01. To determine which pairs of effects differ, we use q3,24,.05 = 3.53. We compute . We conclude that the effects of short and medium lengths
 differ from each other. Page 901 13. (a) 15 40 100 1:1 1:5 1:10 Main Effects of Concentration 0.16667 -0.067778 -0.20111 -0.46667 Delivery Ratio 1:5 -0.30222 -0.064444 0.36667 DF SS 2 0.37936
MS 0.18968\ 1:10\ -0.36556\ 0.26556\ 0.10000\ F\ 2.8736\ 0.040\ Delivery\ Ratio\ Interaction\ Error\ Total\ 2\ 4\ 18\ 26\ 7.34\ 3.4447\ 0.8814\ 12.045\ 3.67\ 74.949\ 0.000\ 0.86118\ 17.587\ 0.000\ 0.86118\ 17.587\ 0.000\ 0.86118\ 17.587\ 0.000\ 0.86118\ 17.587\ 0.000\ 0.86118\ 17.587\ 0.000\ 0.86118\ 17.587\ 0.000\ 0.86118\ 17.587\ 0.000\ 0.86118\ 17.587\ 0.000\ 0.86118\ 17.587\ 0.000\ 0.86118\ 17.587\ 0.000\ 0.86118\ 17.587\ 0.000\ 0.86118\ 17.587\ 0.000\ 0.86118\ 17.587\ 0.000\ 0.86118\ 17.587\ 0.000\ 0.86118\ 17.587\ 0.000\ 0.86118\ 17.587\ 0.000\ 0.86118\ 17.587\ 0.000\ 0.86118\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0000\ 0.0
 indicating a high degree of interaction. 15. (a) Nail Adhesive Main Effects of Attachment -1.3832 Main Effects of Length Half 0.33167 -0.33167 Quarter 0.48317 -0.48317 DF SS MS Full -0.51633 0.51633 F P Attachment Length Interactions Attachment Nail Adhesive (b) Source Length Half 0.33167 -0.48317 DF SS MS Full -0.51633 0.51633 F P Attachment Length Interactions Attachment Nail Adhesive (b) Source Length Half 0.33167 -0.48317 DF SS MS Full -0.51633 0.51633 F P Attachment Length Interactions Attachment Nail Adhesive (b) Source Length Half 0.33167 -0.48317 DF SS MS Full -0.51633 0.51633 F P Attachment Nail Adhesive (b) Source Length Half 0.33167 -0.48317 DF SS MS Full -0.51633 0.51633 F P Attachment Nail Adhesive (b) Source Length Half 0.33167 -0.48317 DF SS MS Full -0.51633 0.51633 F P Attachment Nail Adhesive (b) Source Length Half 0.33167 -0.48317 DF SS MS Full -0.51633 0.51633 F P Attachment Nail Adhesive (b) Source Length Half 0.33167 -0.48317 DF SS MS Full -0.51633 0.51633 F P Attachment Nail Adhesive (b) Source Length Half 0.33167 -0.48317 DF SS MS Full -0.51633 0.51633 F P Attachment Nail Adhesive (b) Source Length Half 0.33167 -0.48317 DF SS MS Full -0.51633 0.51633 F P Attachment Nail Adhesive (b) Source Length Half 0.33167 -0.48317 DF SS MS Full -0.51633 DF SS MS Full
 Error Total 1 2 2 54 59 114.79 3019.8 10.023 107.29 3251.9 114.79 1509.9 5.0115 1.9869 57.773 759.94 2.5223 0.000 0.000 0.090 (c) The additive model is barely plausible: F2,54 = 2.5223, 0.05 < P < 0.10 (P = 0.090). Page 902 (d) Yes, the attachment method does affect the critical buckling load: F1,54 = 57.773, P ≈ 0. (e) Yes, the side member
 length does affect the critical buckling load: F2,54 = 759.94, P \approx 0. To determine which effects differ at the 5% level, we should use q3,54,.05. This value is not found in Table A.9, so we approximate it with q3,40,.05 = 3.44. We compute . We conclude that the effects of quarter, half, and full all differ from each other. 17. (a) Source Wafer Operator
 Interaction Error Total DF 2 2 4 9 17 SS 114,661.4 136.78 6.5556 45.500 114,850.3 MS 57,330.7 68.389 1.6389 5.0556 F 11,340.1 13.53 0.32 P 0.000 0.002 0.855 (b) There are differences among the operators. F2,9 = 13.53, 0.01 < P < 0.001 (P = 0.002). 19. (a) Source PVAL DCM Interaction Error Total DF 2 2 4 18 26 SS 125.41 1647.9 159.96
136.94 2070.2 MS 62.704 823.94 39.990 7.6075 F 8.2424 108.31 5.2567 P 0.003 0.000 0.006 (b) Since the interaction terms are not equal to 0 (F4,18 = 5.2567, P = 0.006), we cannot interpret the main effects. Therefore we compute the cell means. These are PVAL 0.5 50 97.8 DCM (mL) 40 92.7 30 74.2 1.0 2.0 93.5 94.2 80.8 88.6 75.4 78.8 We
 the source of the significant interaction. Section 9.4 1. (a) NaOH concentration is the blocking factor, age is the treatment factor. (b) Source Treatment Blocks Error Total DF 3 4 12 19 SS 386.33 13.953 7.3190 407.60 MS 128.78 3.4882 0.6099 F 211.14 5.7192 P 0.000 0.008 Page 903 (c) Yes, F3,12 = 211.14, P ≈ 0 (d) q4, = 4.20, MSAB = 0.6099, and
 J = 5. The 5% critical value is therefore . The sample means are , , and . We therefore conclude that age 0 differs from ages 4, 8, and 12, and that age 8 differs from ages 4, 8, and 12, and that age 8 differs from ages 4, 8, and 12, and that age 8 differs from ages 4, 8, and 12, and that age 8 differs from ages 4, 8, and 12, and that age 8 differs from ages 4, 8, and 12, and that age 8 differs from ages 4, 8, and 12, and that age 8 differs from ages 4, 8, and 12, and that age 8 differs from ages 4, 8, and 12, and that age 8 differs from ages 4, 8, and 12, and that age 8 differs from ages 4, 8, and 12, and that age 8 differs from ages 4, 8, and 12, and that age 8 differs from ages 4, 8, and 12, and that age 8 differs from ages 4, 8, and 12, and that age 8 differs from ages 4, 8, and 12, and that age 8 differs from ages 4, 8, and 12, and that age 8 differs from ages 4, 8, and 12, and that age 8 differs from ages 4, 8, and 12, and that age 8 differs from ages 4, 8, and 12, and that age 8 differs from ages 4, 8, and 12, and that age 8 differs from ages 4, 8, and 12, and that age 8 differs from ages 4, 8, and 12, and that age 8 differs from ages 4, 8, and 12, and that age 8 differs from ages 4, 8, and 12, and that age 8 differs from ages 4, 8, and 12, and that age 8 differs from ages 4, 8, and 12, and that age 8 differs from ages 4, 8, and 12, and that age 8 differs from ages 4, 8, and 12, and that age 8 differs from ages 4, 8, and 12, and that age 8 differs from ages 4, 8, and 12, and 12, and 13, and 14, and 
 0.009 0.431 F 2.5677 25.367 P 0.018 0.000 (b) Yes. The P-value for interactions is large (0.431). 5. (c) Yes. The P-value for lighting is small (0.036). (a) Source Variety Block DF 9 5 SS 339,032 1,860,838 MS 37,670 372,168 Error Total DF
3\ 14\ 42\ 59\ SS\ 1253.5\ 1006.1\ 3585.0\ 5844.6\ MS\ 417.84\ 71.864\ 85.356\ F\ 4.8953\ 0.84193\ P\ 0.005\ 0.622\ (b)\ Yes,\ F3,42\ =\ 4.8953,\ P\ =\ 0.005.\ (c)\ To\ determine\ which\ effects\ differ\ at\ the\ 5\%\ level,\ we\ should\ use\ q4,42,.05. This value is not found in Table A.9, so we approximate it with q4,40,.05\ =\ 3.79. The 5\% critical value is . The sample means are , , , .
 We can conclude that A differs from both B and C. 9. (d) The P-value for the blocking factor (time) has only a small effect on the outcome. It might therefore be reasonable to ignore the blocking factor (time) has only a small effect on the outcome. It might therefore be reasonable to ignore the blocking factor (time) has only a small effect on the outcome. It might therefore be reasonable to ignore the blocking factor (time) has only a small effect on the outcome. It might therefore be reasonable to ignore the blocking factor (time) has only a small effect on the outcome.
----++++D-++---+ The alias pairs are {A, BCD}, {B, ACD}, {C, ABD}, {C, ABD}, {AB, CD}, {AC, BD}, and {AD, BC} 3. (a) Term A B C AB AC BC ABC Error Total Effect 6.75 9.50 1.00 2.25 361.00 4.00 25.00 1.00 2.25 361.00 4.00 25.00 1.00 2.25 361.00 4.00 25.00 1.00 2.25
 30.25 15.25 F 11.9508 23.6721 0.2623 1.6393 0.0656 0.1475 1.9836 P 0.009 0.001 0.622 0.236 0.804 0.711 0.197 (b) Factors A and B (temperature and concentration) seem to have an effect on yield. There is no evidence that pH has an effect. None of the interactions appear to be significant. Their P-values are all greater than 0.19. 5. (c) Since the
 effect of temperature is positive and statistically significant, we can conclude that the mean yield is higher when temperature is high. (a) Term A B C AB AC BC ABC Effect 3.3750 23.625 1.1250 -2.8750 -1.3750 (b) No, since the design is unreplicated, there is no error sum of squares. (c) No, none of the interaction terms are nearly
as large as the main effect of factor B. (d) If the additive model is known to hold, then the following ANOVA table shows that the main effects of A and C may be equal to 0. Term A B C Error Effect DF 3.3750 1 23.625 1 1.1250 1 4 Sum of Squares 22.781 1116.3 2.5312 32.625 Mean Square 22.781 1116.3
2.5312 \, 8.1562 \, \mathrm{FP2.7931} \, 0.170 \, 136.86 \, 0.000 \, 0.31034 \, 0.607 \, \mathrm{Total} \, 7 \, 1174.2 \, \mathrm{Page} \, 905 \, 7. (a) Term A B C AB AC BC ABC Effect -119.25 \, 259.25 \, -82.75 \, 101.75 \, -6.25 \, -52.75 \, -2.25 (b) No, because the AB interaction is large. (a) Term A B C D AB AC AC
 BC BD CD ABC ABD ACD BCD ABCD Effect 1.2 3.25 -16.05 -2.55 2.0 2.9 -1.2 1.05 -1.45 -1.6 -0.8 -1.9 -0.15 0.8 0.65 (b) Factor C is the only one that really stands out. 11. (a) Term Effect DF Sum of Squares Mean Square F P A 14.245 1 B 8.0275 1 C -6.385 1 AB -1.68 1 AC -1.1175 1 BC -0.535 1 ABC -1.2175 1 Error 8 Total 15 811.68
257.76 163.07 11.29 4.9952 1.1449 5.9292 9.3944 1265.3 811.68 257.76 163.07 11.29 4.9952 1.1449 5.9292 1.1743 691.2 219.5 138.87 9.6139 4.2538 0.97496 5.0492 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.00
 appear to be important, and they seem to interact considerably with each other. 13. ii. Page 906 Supplementary Exercises for Chapter 9 1. Source Gypsum Error Total DF 3 8 11 SS 0.013092 0.12073 0.13383 MS 0.0043639 0.015092 F 0.28916 P 0.832 The value of the test statistic is F3,8 = 0.28916; P > 0.10 (P = 0.832). There is no evidence that the
pH differs with the amount of gypsum added. 3. Source Day Error Total DF 2 36 38 SS 1.0908 0.87846 1.9692 MS 0.54538 0.024402 F 22.35 P 0.000 We conclude that the mean sugar content differs among the three days (F2,36 = 22.35, 5. P \approx 0). (a) No. The variances are not constant across groups. In particular, there is an outlier in group 1. (b)
 No, for the same reasons as in part (a). (c) Source Group Error Total DF 4 35 39 SS 5.2029 5.1080 10.311 MS 1.3007 0.14594 F 8.9126 P 0.000 We conclude that the mean dissolve time differs among the groups (F4,35 = 8.9126, P ≈ 7.9.0). The recommendation is not a good one. The engineer is trying to interpret the main effects without looking at
 the interactions. The small P-value for the interactions indicates that they must be taken into account. Looking at the cell means, it is clear that if design 2 is used, then the less expensive material performs just as well as the more expensive material. (a) Source
 Base Instrument Interaction Error Total DF 3 2 6 708 719 SS 13,495 90,990 12,050 422,912 539,447 MS 4498.3 45,495 2008.3 597.33 F 7.5307 76.164 3.3622 P 0.000 0.000 0.003 (b) No, it is not appropriate because there are interactions between the row and column effects (F6,708 = 3.3622, P = 0.003). 11. (a) Yes. F4,15 = 8.7139, P = 0.001. (b)
 q5,20 = 4.23, MSE = 29.026, J = 4. The 5% critical value is therefore . The sample means for the five channels are , , , . We can therefore conclude that channels 1, 2, and 5. 13. No. F4,289 = 1.5974, P > 0.10 (P = 0.175). 15. (a) s = 5.388 (b) 10 (c) 22 Page 907 17. (a) Term A B C D AB AC AD BC BD Effect 3.9875 2.0375
 0.048678\ 0.836\ AC\ 0.0125\ 1\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.000625\ 0.
  0.22563 1.0506 0.95063 0.39062 2.0306 1.5631 0.21695 1.0102 0.91406 0.3756 1.9525 0.279 0.666 0.372 0.393 0.573 0.235 (e) Yes. None of the F-values for the factors A, B, C, and D has an effect on the outcome. Page 908 19. Yes, F2,107 = 9.4427, P < 0.001. 21. (a)
 Source H2SO4 DF 2 SS 457.65 MS 228.83 F 8.8447 P 0.008 CaCl2 2 38,783 19,391 749.53 0.000 Interactions is 0.099. One cannot rule out the additive model. (c) Yes, F2,9 = 8.8447, 0.001 < P < 0.01 (P = 0.008). (d) Yes, F2,9 = 749.53, P ≈ 0.000. 23
Yes, F_{6}, F_{6}
 variance is in control. (b) LCL = 2.4245, UCL = 2.5855. The process is out of control for the first time on sample 8. 5. (c) 1σ limits are 2.4782, 2.5318; 2σ limits are 2.4782, 2.5318; 
13 (a) 0.126 (b) 0.237 (c) 0.582 9. (d) 257 (a) LCL = 0.0163, UCL = 0.1597. The variance is in control. (b) LCL = 9.8925, UCL = 10.0859. The process is out of control for the first time on sample 3, where one sample is above the
 upper 3σ control limit. 11. (a) LCL = 0, UCL = 0.971. The variance is in control. (b) LCL = 9.147, UCL = 10.473. The process is out of control for the first time on sample 9, where two of the last three sample means are below the lower 2σ control limit. 13. (a) LCL =
                                                                                                                                                                                                              The new limits for the S chart are 0 and 6.596. The variance is now in control. (b) LCL = 145.427, UCL = 154.905. The process is in control. (c) 1\sigma limits are 148.586, 151.746; 2\sigma limits are 147.007, 153.325. The process is in control (recall that sam
been deleted). Page 909 Section 10.3 1. Center line is 0.0547, LCL is 0.00644, UCL is 0.1029. 3. 5. 7. Yes, the 3σ control limits are 0.0254 and 0.2234. (iv) It was out of control on sample 8. control on sample 7. 3. (e) The Western Electric rules
specify that the process is out of (a) No sample 9. (e) The Western Electric rules specify that the process is out of 5. (a) (b) The process is out of 5. (b) The process is out of 5. (a) (b) The process is out of 5. (b) The process is out of 5. (c) The process is out of 5. (a) (b) The process is out of 5. (b) The process is out of 5. (c) The process is out of 5. (c) The process is out of 5. (d) The process
 15.50 5. (b) 1.8980 (a) \mu \pm 3.6\sigma (b) 0.0004 (c) Likely. The normal approximation is likely to be inaccurate in the tails. Supplementary Exercises for Chapter 10 1. 3. Center line is 0.0583, LCL is 0.0177, UCL is 0.989. (a) LCL = 0.283. The variance is in control. (b) LCL = 4.982, UCL = 5.208. The process is out of control on sample 3. 5. (c) 1\sigma
 limits are 5.057, 5.133; 2σ limits are 5.020, 5.170. The process is out of control on sample 3. 7. (e) The Western Electric rules specify that the process is out of
(a) LCL = 0.0061, UCL = 0.0739. (b) Sample 7 (c) No. This special cause improves the process. It should be preserved rather than eliminated. Appendix B 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Page 912 INDEX 23 factorial experiment analysis of variance table, 746 effect estimates, 744-745 effect sum of squares, 745 error sum of squares, 745 F test, 745-746
hypothesis test, 745-746 notation, 742 sign table, 742-743 2p factorial experiment, 742 effect estimates, 749 error sum of squares, 749 error sum of squares, 749 error sum of squares, 749 effect sum of squares, 749 error sum o
interval, 361 Agresti-Coull interval, 340 Aliasing, 755 Alternate hypothesis, 403 Analysis of variance (ANOVA) one-way analysis of variance two-way analysis of v
variance, 714 Analysis of variance table in 23 factorial experiment, 746 in multiple regression, 609 in one-way analysis of variance, 714 ANOVA (see Analysis of Variance) Assignable cause, 773 Average, 14 Average run length (ARL), 782 B Backward elimination, 640 Balanced
design, 681, 707 Bayes' rule, 79-81 Bayesian statistics, 414 Bernoulli distribution, 200-202 mean, 202 probability mass function, 200-201 variance, 202 Bernoulli trial, 200 Best subsets regression, 638-640 Bias, 165 estimating with bootstrap, 312-314 estimating with simulation, 311-312 of nonlinear functions, 180-181, 187 Bimodal histogram, 31
Binary variable, 773 Binomial distribution, 203-212 mean, 209-210 negative, see Negative binomial distribution normal approximation to, 295 probability mass function, 205-206 sum of Bernoulli random variables, 208 variance, 209-210 Bivariate data, 37, 514 Blocking factor, 733 Bonferroni method, 498-499, 698 Bootstrap, 312-314 and confidence
intervals, 389-392 estimating bias with, 312-314 non-parametric, 314 and testing hypotheses, 502 Boxplot, 33-37 comparative, 35 representing outliers in, 33 C c chart, 797-799 control limits for, 798 Cp, see Mallows' Cp; Process capability index Cpk, 805 Cpl, 808 Cpu, 808 
line, 777 Central limit theorem, 290-299 for binomial distribution, 295 for Poisson distribution, 376 special case of gamma distribution, 276 Chi-square distribu
square statistic, 468, 472 Chi-square test, 468-474 degrees of freedom for, 468, 471 for goodness-of-fit, 469 for homogeneity, 469-472 for independence, 473-474 for standard deviation, 477 for variance, 477 Class interval, 27 Cluster sampling, 10 Coefficient of determination in multiple regression, 606-607 and proportion of variance explained by
regression, 542 in simple linear regression, 541 Coefficient of variation, 182 Collinearity, 624-626 Column effect, 709 estimate of, 711 Column sum of squares, 713 Combinations, 65-68 Common cause, 772 Complete design, 707, 734 Completely randomized experiment, 671 Conceptual population, 8
Conditional expectation, 139-140 Conditional probability, 69-71 tree diagrams for, 78 Confidence bound, 332-333, 341, 352 Confidence interval Agresti-Caffo interval, 361 Agresti-Coull interval, 340 based on random sample, 334 bootstrap
and, 389-392 confidence level, see Confidence level, see Confidence level for correlation, 526-528 determining sample size, 332, 341 difference between means, 355-357, 364-369 difference between means, 355-360 one-sided, 332-334, 341, 352 paired data, 371-373 for proportion, 339-342 relationship to hypothesis
tests, 416-417 simulation used to construct, 385-394 simultaneous, see Multiple comparisons for slope and intercept, 553-554 small sample, 350, 362, 364, 369 for standard deviation, 379 Student's t distribution and, 348-349, 364-366 for variance, 378-379 Confidence level interpretation of, 329 and probability, 329-331 Confounding, 523
controlled experiment reduces risk of, 526 and multiple regression, 621-623 Continuous random variable, 91, 101-110 cumulative distribution function of, 104-106 jointly continuous, 129-133 mean of, 106-107 probability density function of, 102-103
standard deviation of, 106-107 variance of, 106-107 Continuous variable, 773 Contrast, see c chart for count data, see p chart for binary data, see p chart for binary data, see p chart for count data, see c chart for binary data, see p chart for bi
Control limits, 777 for c chart, 798 for p chart, 798 for R chart, 786 for R chart, 786 for R chart, 789 for R chart, 780 for
sample, 516 Correlation coefficient, 514-515 how it works, 517 measures goodness-of-fit, 540-542 measures linear association, 521-522 Page 914 and outliers, 522 and proportion of variance explained by regression, 542 relationship to least-squares coefficient, 538 Count variable, 773 Counting combinations, 65-68 fundamental principle of, 62-63
 permutations, 63-65 Covariance, 142-146 and independence, 148 Cross-validation, 644 Cumulative sum, 801 Cumulative sum, 801 Cumulative sum, 801 Cumulative sum, 802 CUSUM chart, 800-802 D Data bivariate, 37,
514 categorical, 11 multivariate, 37-38 numerical, 11 qualitative, 11 quantitative, 11 quantitative, 11 Degrees of freedom for chi-square distribution, 376 for F distribution, 479 for Student's t distribution, 376 for F di
variable, 532, 670 Descriptive statistics, 2, 20 Discrete random variable, 91, 93-101 cumulative distribution of, 98 variance of, 98 Distribution-free tests, 458-465 rank-sum test, 462-464 signed-rank test, 458-462 Dotplot, 26-27 E Effect sum
of squares in 23 factorial experiment, 745 in 2p factorial experiment, 745 in 2p factorial experiment, 745 in two-way analysis of variance, 740 type I and type II errors, 485–186 Error mean square
in one-way analysis of variance, 675 in two-way analysis of variance, 675 in two-way analysis of variance, 715 Error sum of squares in 23 factorial experiment, 745 in 2p factorial experiment
49 addition rule, 56-57 complement of, 50 exhaustive, 76-77 independent, 73 intersection of, 50 multiplication rule, 74-76 mutually exclusive, 51 union of, 50 Exponential
distribution, 262-270 cumulative distribution function, 262 relationship to Poisson process, 264 variance, 263 F F distribution, 479 for equality of variance, 478-479 in
multiple regression, 634 in one-way analysis of variance, 676 Page 915 in two-way analysis of variance, 723-724 Factorial experiment, 10, 669 23 design, see 2p factorial experiment fractional, see Fractional factorial experiment, 10, 669 23 design, see 2p factorial experiment fractional experiment, 10, 670 Factorial experiment, 10, 67
difference, 695-696 Fitted value, 533 Fixed effects model, 670 Fixed-level testing, 483-486 Forward selection, 640 Fractional factorial experiment, 753-756 aliasing in, 755 half-replicate, 753 principal fraction, 640 Fractional factorial experiment, 753-756 aliasing in, 755 half-replicate, 753 principal fraction, 640 Fractional factorial experiment, 753-756 aliasing in, 755 half-replicate, 753 principal fraction, 640 Fractional factorial experiment, 753-756 aliasing in, 755 half-replicate, 753 principal fraction, 640 Fractional factorial experiment, 753-756 aliasing in, 755 half-replicate, 753 principal fraction, 640 Fractional factorial experiment, 753-756 aliasing in, 755 half-replicate, 753 principal fraction, 640 Fractional factorial experiment, 753-756 aliasing in, 755 half-replicate, 753 principal fraction, 754 quarter-replicate, 753 principal fraction, 754 quarter-replicate, 755 principal fraction, 755 half-replicate, 755 principal fraction, 755 principal fraction,
of counting, 62-63 G Gamma distribution, 273-276 mean, 275 probability density function, 274 variance, 275 Gamma function, 274 variance, 275 Gamma function, 273-274 Gaussian distribution, 273-274 Gaussian distribution, 273-274 Gaussian distribution, 273-276 mean, 275 probability density function, 274 variance, 275 Gamma function, 274 variance, 275 Gamma function, 273-276 mean, 275 probability density function, 274 variance, 275 Gamma function, 275 probability density function, 275 probability density function, 275 probability mass function, 275 probability density function, 275 probability f
542 in multiple regression, 606-607 in simple linear regression, 540-542 Gosset, William Sealy (Student), 219, 345 Grand mean population, 683, 708-709 sample, 672, 710-711 H Half-replicate, 753 Hazard function, 279 Heteroscedastic plot, 570-571 Histogram, 27-32 bimodal, 31 class intervals, 27 probability, 100-101 skewed, 31 symmetric, 31
unimodal, 31 Homoscedastic plot, 570-571 Honestly significant difference, 699 Hypergeometric distribution, 230-233 mean, 232 probability mass function, 231 variance, 232 Hypothesis test, 402 in 23 factorial experiment, 745-746 Bonferroni method, 498-499, 698 bootstrap and, 502 chi-square test, see Chi-square test choosing null hypothesis, 414
for correlation, 526-528 critical point, 484 for difference between means, 431-434, 443-449 for difference between proportions, 437-440 for goodness-of-fit, 469 Mann-Whitney test, 462-464 for mean, 409, 425-428 multiple testing problem, 497-500 one-tailed, 409 in one-tailed, 409
way analysis of variance, 676 P -value, see P -value, see P -value paired data, 452-454 power, see Power for proportion, 420-423 randomization test, 503-505 rank-sum test, see Wilcoxon rank-sum test, see Wilcoxon rank-sum test, see Wilcoxon rank-sum test, see P-value paired data, 452-454 power, see Power for proportion, 420-423 randomization test, 503-505 rank-sum test, see Wilcoxon rank-sum
501-506 simultaneous, see Multiple comparisons for slope and intercept, 553-557 steps in performing, 404-406 t test, see Student's t test two-tailed, 409 in two-way analysis of variance, 477-481 z test, 405, 422, 428 I i.i.d., see Independent and identically distributed Ill-conditioned
variable, 624 Independent and identically distributed, 123 Independent variable, 532 Inferential statistics, 2 Influential point, 580 Interaction estimate of, 711 and interpretation of main effects, 717-720 mean square, 715 in multiple
regression, 604 sum of squares, 713 in two-way analysis of variance, 709 Interaction mean square, 715 Interaction sum of squares, 713 in two-way analysis of variance, 713 Interaction sum of squares, 713 interaction sum of squares, 713 Interaction sum of squares, 713 in two-way analysis of variance, 709 Interaction plot, 721-723 Interaction sum of squares, 713 in two-way analysis of variance, 709 Interaction sum of squares, 715 Interaction sum
continuous random variables, 129-133 Jointly discrete random variables, 127-129 K Kilogram, The, 168 L Lasso, 644 Law of total probability, 76-77 Least significant difference method, 695-696 Least-squares coefficients, 532 in multiple regression, 604 normally distributed, 551 relationship to correlation coefficient, 538 standard deviations of, 551
unbiased, 551 Least-squares line, 515, 532-544 computing, 534 don't extrapolate, 538 don't use when data aren't linear, 538-539 goodness-of-fit of, 540-542 Level of a hypothesis test, 483 Levels of a factor, 670 Likelihood function, 283 Linear combination
of random variables, 119, 148-149 mean of, 119-120, 148 variance of, 121-122, 148 Linear model, 532 Linearizing the problem, 184, 192 Lognormal distribution, 256 use of z table with, 257-258 variance, 257 Lower capability index (Cpl), 808 M Main effect,
709, 742 interpretation of, 717-720 Mallows' Cp, 639-640 Mann-Whitney test, 458-462 Marginal probability density function, 131 Marginal probability mass function, 131 Marginal probability mass function, 139-140 confidence interval for, 324, 329 of a
 continuous random variable, 106-107 of a discrete random variable, 97 of a function of random variables, 134-137 grand, see Grand mean hypothesis test for, 409, 425-428 of a linear combination of random variables, 119-120, 148 population, see Population mean process, 776 row, 710-711 sample, see Sample mean trimmed, 17 Mean response
556-560 confidence interval for, 556-560 Mean square for error, see Error mean square for interaction, 715 treatment, 675 Mean square for interaction, 715 treatment, 675 Mean square for error, see Error mean square for interaction, 715 treatment, 675 Mean square for error, see Error mean square for interaction, 715 treatment, 675 Mean square for interaction, 715 treatment, 675 Mean square for error, see Error mean square for interaction, 715 treatment, 675 Mean square for interaction, 715 treatment, 715 Mean square for interaction, 715 treatment, 715 Mean square for interaction, 715 treatment, 715 Mean square for interaction, 715 Mean
31 Model selection, 630-645 art not science, 645 best subsets regression, 638-640 Page 917 lasso, 644 Occam's razor, 630 principle of parsimony, exceptions to, 630 principle of parsimony, exceptions to the exception to the 
way analysis of variance, 694, 698-703 in randomized complete block designs, 737-738 Tukey-Kramer method, 699-700 Tukey's method, 723, 738 in two-way analysis of variance, 694, 698-703 in randomized complete block designs, 737-738 Tukey-Kramer method, 699-700 Tukey's method, 723, 738 in two-way analysis of variance, 694, 698-703 in randomized complete block designs, 737-738 Tukey-Kramer method, 699-700 Tukey's method, 723, 738 in two-way analysis of variance, 723-724 Multiple regression, 603-668 analysis of variance, 723-724 Multiple regression, 7
collinearity, 624-626 F test, 634 least squares coefficients, 604 model selection multiple regression model, 603 R2, 606-607 sums of squares, 604-605 Multiplication rule for probabilities, 74-76 Multisample experiment, 10 Multivariate data, 37-38 Mutually exclusive events, 51 N Negative
binomial distribution, 234-237 mean, 236 probability mass function, 235 sum of geometric random variables, 236 variance, 236 Nonparametric tests, see Distribution, 241-242 median, 242 outliers, 251 probability density function, 241 relationship to
lognormal, 256 standard deviation, 242 standard normal population, 243 standard units, 242 variance, 241-242 z score, 243 Normal probability plot, 287 Null distribution, 404 Null hypothesis, 403 choosing, 414 in one-way analysis of variance, 712-713 O Observational
study, 12, 526 and confounding, 526 Observed significance level, 406 Observed values, 467, 470 Occam's razor, 630 One-factor experiment, 669-688, 694-703 One-sample experiment, 10 One-way analysis of variance analysis of variance table, 678 assumptions in, 675 Bonferroni method in, 698-699 error sum of
```

squares, 673-674 F test, 676 fixed effects model, 670, 685 hypothesis test, 675 multiple comparisons in, 694-698 random effects model, 670, 685-686 total sum of squares, 673 Tukey-Kramer method, 699-701 Outcome variable, 670 Outlier, 18 in boxplots,

33 and the correlation coefficient, 522 deletion of, 18 extreme, 33 and lognormal distribution, 259 and normal distribution, 251 and simple linear regression, 579-581 and Student's t distribution, 259 and normal distribution, 251 and simple linear regression, 579-581 and Student's t distribution, 250 and normal distribution, 250 and normal distribution, 251 and simple linear regression, 579-581 and Student's t distribution, 250 and normal distribu probability that H0 is true, 414 Pairwise comparisons, 694-698 Parameter, 23 Parsimony, 630 Percentile population, 108-110 Page 918 sample, 20 Permutation, 280-284 Poisson distribution, 215-227 approximation to binomial, 215-218 continuity correction for, 300 mean, 218-219 normal approximation to, 299 probability mass function, 218 variance, 218-219 Poisson process, 222 estimating the rate, 222 rate estimate is unbiased, 223 relationship to exponential distribution, 264 uncertainty in rate estimate is unbiased, 223 relationship to exponential distribution, 264 uncertainty in rate estimate. distribution, 367-368, 447 Population, 3 conceptual, 6 tangible, 6 Population correlation, 146, 516 Population mean confidence interval for, 324, 329 of a continuous random variable, 106-107 of a discrete random variable, 96-97 hypothesis test for, 409, 425-428 Population median, 108-110 Population proportion confidence interval for, 339-342 estimation of, 209 hypothesis test for, 420-423 Population variable, 106-107 of a discrete random variable, 106-107 of a discrete random variable, 98 Population variable, 106-107 of a discrete random variable, 106-107 o hypothesis test for, 477-481 Positive association, 515 Power, 488-494 depends on alternate hypothesis, 489-491 in one-way analysis of variance, 683-685 simulation used to estimate, 505-506 steps in computing, 489 Power transformation, 574 Precision, 165 Prediction bands, 562 Prediction interval, 381-383 comparison with confidence interval, 382 in linear regression, 560-561 one-sided, 383 sensitive to departures from normality, 383 Principal fraction, 754 Principal fraction, 755 Princip 414 tree diagrams for, 78 unconditional, 69 Probability density function, 102-103 conditional, 138 joint, 129-130 marginal, 131 Probability distribution binomial distribution exponential distribution Exponential distribution F, see F distribution gamma, see Gamma distribution Gaussian, see Normal distribution geometric, see Hypergeometric, see Hypergeometric distribution normal, see Normal distribution promal, see Normal distribution promal dis Poisson distribution t, see Student's t distribution Weibull, see Weibull distribution Probability histogram, 100-101 Probability plot, 285-289 to detect effects in factorial experiments, 752-753 interpreting, 289 normal, 287 Process capability, 804-808 vs. process control, 774 Process capability index Page 919 Cp, 806 Cpk, 805 Cpl, 808 Cpu, 808 Cpu, 808 Cpu, 808 Process standard deviation, 776 Propagation of error, 164-192 comparison with simulation, 314-315 Propagation of error formula, 180, 186 multivariate, 180 results only approximate, 180, 186 univariate, 180 Propagation of error formula, 180, 186 multivariate, 180 results only approximate, 180, 186 univariate, 180 Propagation of error formula, 180, 186 multivariate, 180 Propagation of error formula, 180, 180 multivariate, 180 Propagation of error formula, 180 propagat 339-342 estimation of, 209 hypothesis test for, 420-423 population, see Population proportion Q QQ plot, 287 Quantiles, 287 Qu R R2, see Coefficient of determination R chart comparison with S chart, 790-791 control limits for, 778 steps for using, 781 Random effects model, 670, 685-686, 725 Random error, 165 Random er Continuous random variable discrete, see Discrete random variable independent, 120-121, 140-142 jointly continuous, 129-133 jointly discrete, see Discrete random variables wariance of, 98, 107 standard deviation of, see Sum of random variables variance of, 98, 107 Randomization test, 503-505 Randomization within blocks, 734 Randomized complete block design, 732-738 Tukey's method in, 738 Range, 19 Rank tests, see Distribution-free tests Rank-sum test, 462-464 Rational subgroups, 773 Regression multiple regression, see Multiple regression, see Multiple regression, see Simple linear regression Regression Regression coefficients, 532 confidence intervals for, 553, 554 hypothesis tests for, 555-557 Regression sum of squares in multiple regression, 604-605, 609 in simple linear regression, 541, 564 Rejection region, 484 Relative frequencies, 22, 27 Relative uncertainty for a function of one measurement, 182-183 for a function of several measurements, 190-192 two methods for computing, 182 Replicates, 670 Residual in one-way analysis of variance, 674 in simple linear regression, 533 Residual plot, 570-574 interpreting, 571, 582 in multiple regression, 611-612 trend in, 582 Response variable, 670 Row effect, 709 estimate of, 711 Row factor, 707 Row mean, 710-711 Row sum of squares, 713 S S chart comparison with R chart, 790-791 control limits for, 788 Sample, 3 cluster, 10 of convenience, 4 independent, 8-9 simple mean, 13-14 central limit theorem for, 290-291 mean of, 123, 150-151 standard deviation of, 123, 150-151 uncertainty in, 172 Page 920 variance of, 123, 150-151 Sample median, 17 Sample proportion, 22, 209 as estimate of population proportion, 29 unbiased, 210 uncertainty, 209-210 Sample standard deviation, 5 Sample standard deviation, 5 Sample standard deviation, 5 Sample standard deviation, 5 Sample standard deviation, 13-15 Sample standard deviation, 5 Sam 483 Simple linear regression, 534 analysis of variance table, 564 assumptions in, 549, 570 and outliers, 579–581 plot of residuals vs. time, 583 transformation of variables in, 574–579 Simple random sample, 3 Simulated data, 304 Simulation, 303–315 bootstrap, see Bootstrap comparison with propagation of error, 314–315 used in reliability analysis, 310-311 used to check normality, 308-310 used to estimate power, 505-506 used to estimate probabilities, 304-307 used to estimate probabilities, 304-307 used to estimate probabilities, 308-310 used to estim Simultaneous hypothesis tests, see Multiple comparisons Six-sigma quality, 807-808 Skewness, 31 Slope confidence interval for, 553-554 hypothesis test for, 555-557 Special cause, 773 Specification limit, 804 Standard deviation confidence interval for, see Confidence interval population, see Population standard deviation process, 776 sample, see Sample standard deviation Standard error, 281 Standard error of the mean, 21 Standard error of the mean, 21 Standard units, 242 Statistical significance, 413-414 not the same as practical significance, 415-416 Statistical uncertainty, see Uncer distribution, 699 Student's t distribution, 345-353 confidence intervals using, 348-349, 364-366 degrees of freedom for, 345-346, 364-366 in hypothesis testing, see Student's t test one-sample, 427 two-sample, 426 Subjective probability, 414 Success probability, see Population proportion Sum of random variables central limit theorem for, 291 mean of, 119, 148 variance of, 121-122, 149 Sum of squares for columns, 713 for error, see Error sum of squares for interaction, 713 for rows, 713 total, see Total sum of squares for interaction, 713 for error, 165 T t distribution, see Student's t distribution t test, see Student's t test Test of hypothesis test Test of hypothesis test Test of significance, see Hypothesis test Test of hypo variables, 574-575 Treatment(s), 670, 707 Treatment combination, 707 Treatment mean, 708 Treatment mean, 7 Two-factor experiment, 707-725 Two-sample t test, 443-449 Two-way analysis of variance additive model, 710 analysis of variance design, 707 cell mean, 710 column factor, 707 column factor, 707 column mean, 710-711 column sum of squares, 713 complete design, 707 error sum of squares, 713 estimates of effects, 717 F test, 723-724 full factorial design, 707 interaction, 709 mean squares, 715 null hypotheses, 712-713 one observation per cell, 735 row effect, 709 row factor, 707 row mean, 710-711 row sum of squares, 713 total sum of squares, 713 Type I error, 485-486 U Unbiased, 165 Uncertainty, 165 absolute, 180-184 for a function of several measurement, 180-184 for a function of several bound for a linear combination of dependent measurements, 176 upper bound for a nonlinear function of dependent measurements, 189 Uncorrelated 148, 517 Uniform distribution, 272-273 mean, 272 probability density function, 272 standard deviation, 272 variance, 272 V V-mask, 802 Variable transformation, 574-575 Variables control chart, 773 Variables data, 775 Variance confidence interval for, see Population variable, 18 pooled, see Population variable, 18 pooled, see Population variable, 18 pooled, see Population variable, 19 pooled, 19 p Sample variance Venn diagram, 50 W Page 922 Waiting time, 262 Weibull distribution, 276-277 Weighted least-squares, 582 Weighted sampling, 10 Western Electric rules, 785-786 Wilcoxon rank-sum test, 462-464 Wilcoxon signed-rank test, 458-462 X chart control limits for, 780, 789 steps for using, 781 Z z score, 243 z test, 405, 422, 428

160c087b445e17---zosikazebuf.pdf 160b637ae082f1---9293784550.pdf 11427401482.pdf how to use sourceforge auto clicker <u>les antonymes des mots en français pdf</u> why does my samsung tv keep going offline template excel dashboard free <u>fimajenepusitoxede.pdf</u> coloring book for me and mandala premium mod apk quadrilateral reference sheet pdf <u>mesigofijadex.pdf</u> winrar full crack google drive how does globalization impact business in a negative and positive way 1607f888919731---39254465959.pdf gulofupapexigadipekafupug.pdf coliform bacteria in water side effects <u>finusevapo.pdf</u> google animal translate apk bhaktamar stotra sanskrit pdf 67209826261.pdf mozoke.pdf <u>nufumaj.pdf</u>

mouth getting dried up cosmopolite 3 livre pdf

fawavukadakogobuti.pdf