

COPPELL HIGH SCHOOL CAMPUS IMPROVEMENT PLAN 2009-2010

BRAD HUNT PRINCIPAL

MISSION STATEMENT:

The mission of Coppell High School, a unified educational community embracing a common vision, is to develop self-reliant learners who maximize their potential in an ever changing global society through the utilization of community resources and relevant, customized educational opportunities that foster problem solving, critical thinking and character development.

COPPELL HIGH SCHOOL CAMPUS IMPROVEMENT PLAN

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE/GOAL 1: EACH STUDENT MEETS OR EXCEEDS THE SET STANDARD ON STATE ACCOUNTABILITY TESTS.

Performance Objective 1: Align the written, taught and assessed concept-based curriculum.

- Expand Content Mastery program to include students with 504 accommodation plans or other at-risk students
- Monitor the delivery of instruction to ensure alignment with CISD curriculum
- Utilize CBA data to inform instruction
- Utilize recommendations from CHS Strategic Plan pilot teams regarding limiting curriculum to reflect appropriate rigor and increased student relevance
- Meet regularly with curriculum directors to develop concept-based curriculum units with aligned assessments

Performance Objective 2: Sustain district-wide PreK-12 TEKS-aligned curriculum and assessment with research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. – (Strategy 3, Plan 7).

- Utilize strategies and resources received through district professional development on "Research-Based Best Practices"
- Monitor the implementation of Research-Based Best Practices & Rtl process
- Embed Lexile-based instructional tools to support content area literacy
- Literacy coach will meet with departments/teams to plan for specific and regular use of content area reading strategies.
- Provide professional development focused on teachers facilitating instruction and student learning
- Implement strategies and resources from professional development focused on teachers facilitating instruction and student learning

Performance Objective 3: Increase the achievement of student groups on TAKS to reflect

- Analyze assessment data to determine target areas for instruction
- Develop and monitor implementation of instructional target area action plans
- Analyze TAKS-M and TAKS-Alt data to target instruction and accelerate learning
- Refine departmental and campus-based tutoring programs to provide concept-specific remediation and enrichment.

Performance Objective 4: Continue assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C).

- Meet regularly with curriculum directors to develop and refine classroom assessments
- Content teams will meet during Professional Learning Conference (PLC) to review and create common assessment
- Content teams will meet during PLC to review student performance on common assessments and plan curriculum adjustments based on the data.

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE/GOAL 2: THE DISTRICT WILL DEMONSTRATE EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE.

Performance Objective 1: Establish learning environments most appropriate for the implementation of 21st century learning skills.

- Align LOTE opportunities to reflect future trends in a multilingual society and enhance student participation
- Determine and utilize assessments of oral language proficiency in languages other than English to meet oral proficiency standards

- Explore opportunities for the implementation of problem/project-based learning such as service learning
- Expand opportunities for small learning communities throughout the school day and formalize a time line for the implementation of a small learning communities (SLC) structure; Engineering Academy/Humanities
- Offer content specific staff development session emphasizing the use of hands on learning activities
- Offer additional staff development on learning environments for 21st century learning as a part of PLC expectations; PD360
- Create "virtual lab" to provide on-line learning opportunities; Rosetta Stone, E2020 & Advanced Academics
- Integrate the use of Smartboards, mobile labs and other technology into instruction

Performance Objective 2: Focus all Career Technology Education (CTE) programs on rigorous and relevant career pathways.

- Provide staff development on strategies for incorporating the CTE Curriculum Matrix
- Continue to increase student career certification opportunities
- Expand the Engineering Expo

Performance Objective 3: Attract, retain and develop a diverse, highly qualified, innovative and visionary staff.

- Provide training for administrators regarding highly qualified guidelines
- Embed the "Ten Characteristics of Extraordinary Teachers" in all faculty meetings
- Increase availability and circulation of professional reading materials –career periodicals, journal articles, etc.

Performance Objective 4: Improve the K-12 gifted and talented program to the level of "recognized" according to the State of Texas GT criteria.

• Develop a strategic plan based on the program evaluation

Performance Objective 5: Increase the number of students served in the least restrictive environment through a continuum of services.

- Establish parameters for each campus (K-12) to ensure that students are served in the least restrictive environment
- Expand specialized programs to meet the unique needs of students that require specialized instruction
- Implement Applied Behavior Analysis programming in specialized programs (PA, ABC's, LS, SL) to improve social interaction and communication

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE/GOAL 3: EACH STUDENT WILL CONSISTENTLY DEMONSTRATE IDENTIFIED CISD CHARACTER TRAITS WITHIN THEIR SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY.

Performance Objective 1: Seamlessly integrate character education into Coppell ISD curriculum

- Implement CHS Mentor Program implementation plan
- Recognize student service and importance to building character; implement recognition program at graduation
- Implementation of Project Wisdom and Rachel's Challenge

Performance Objective 2: Establish consistent safe and drug-free school programs district-wide.

- Continue to publicize safe and drug free programs.; Enhance Red Ribbon Week activities
- Consistently implement a relational aggression prevention program
- Student generated Public Service Announcements on KCBY and in The Sidekick

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE/GOAL 4: ALL STUDENTS WILL ANNUALLY PARTICIPATE IN MEANINGFUL SERVICE ACTIVITIES WITHIN THEIR COMMUNITY.

Performance Objective 1: Continue to integrate Service-Learning into curriculum focusing on best practices of authentic learning and student driven projects.

• Continue tracking student participation in SL projects

- Identify SL projects that best align with written curriculum and following the STARS model
- Continue to provide staff development on using Service-Learning as a teaching methodology to promote problem-solving and higher-level thinking skills. Increase Staff Dev on Service Learning to teachers.
- Improve club information available on website including but not limited to service opportunities
- Create a plan for requiring and recognizing service hours for graduation

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE/GOAL 5: EACH STUDENT SUCCESSFULLY COMPLETES OR MAKES APPROPRIATE PROGRESS TOWARD PERSONAL EDUCATIONAL GOALS.

Performance Objective 1: Develop, monitor, adjust and evaluate student transition plans.

- Provide training on developing post-secondary outcomes (SPP13) as a part of the transition supplement
- Organize and provide transition information to parents through Transition Expo
- Provide staff development focusing on continuous process of transition planning and links to student IEP goals/objectives reflecting standards set forth in SPP indicator 13 and 14
- Collect and analyze post-secondary outcome data as required by SPP indicator 13 & 14 standards
- Refine Registration EXPOs for all levels- MS to HS; HS-Postsecondary

Performance Objective 2: Improve access to student information through electronic database and management systems.

- Provide training on new Special Education data-based system
- Provide additional college/career counseling and planning

SUMMARY:

Coppell ISD is a suburban school district with an enrollment of 9,900 students located just north of the Dallas-Fort Worth Airport serving the students of the communities of Coppell and Valley Ranch. Coppell ISD has nine (9) elementary schools, three (3) middle schools, one (1) alternative campus and two (2) high schools. For information about the district achievements and the student demographics, please visit the district website at http://www.coppellisd.com or on the TEA website at http://www.tea.state.tx.us/perfreport/aeis/

The district improvement planning process is intended to serve as a collaborative planning tool by utilizing community and staff input to develop goals for the upcoming school year. For the 2009-2010 school year, the members of this team chose the strategic objectives listed above which are completely aligned with the district's five-year strategic plan.

CAMPUS SITE-BASED DECISION-MAKING COMMITTEE 2009 - 2010 COMMITTEE MEMBERS

| Carrena Aprilliana american | FACULTY AND STAFF | PARENT/BUSINESS/COMMUNITY |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| CAMPUS ADMINISTRATION | Darci Andrade, ESL | Alyssa Khor |
| Brad Hunt, Principal | Debra Fruithandler, Counseling | Cari Mercer |
| Leanne Dorhout, Associate Principal | Yvette Carson, ELA | Debbie Russell |
| Gina Peddy, Dean of Instruction | Ann Clark, Fine Arts | |
| Montie Parker, Intervention Services | Lex Ann Seifert, Library | STUDENT REPRESENTATIVES |
| Donna Carpenter, District Liason | Paulan Daily, Math | Taylor Monce, Junior |
| | John Harris, Social Studies | Precious Femi-Ogunyemi, Senior |
| | Linda Jurca, SpEd | Trociodo Form Ogariyonii, Cornor |
| | • | |
| | Arresha Robinson, PE | |
| | Sally Urquhart, Science | |
| | Jeremy Varnell, LOTE | |
| | Mike Yakubovsky, CTE | |

Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan 2009-2010

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or | ach student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|-------------------|-----------------|---|---|------------|--|--|--|
| Performance Objective 1: | Align the written, taugh | lign the written, taught and assessed curriculum. | | | | | | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | Unit plans, Forethough | t lesson plans ar | nd curriculum-based | d assessment | s (CBAs) | | | | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented | | | |
| Campus observations & discussions | Expand Content Mastery Program to include students with 504 accommodation plans or other at-risk students | 504; At-risk | Special Education Department, Rtl Specialists, CHS Administrators and Counselors | September 2009 | June 2010 | 504 Accommodations, Rtl materials | Grade reports | | | | |
| CBA data, campus & community feedback, CBA data/local assessment comparison | Monitor the delivery of instruction to ensure alignment with CISD curriculum | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin, and Teachers on Special Assignment (TOSAs) | August 2009 | June 2010 | PLC, CBA preview process, TEKS, Forethought documents, Rigor/ Relevance Framework | Forethought lesson plans, Instructional Snapshots/campus visits | | | | |
| Campus observations, CBA data/local assessment comparison | Utilize CBA data to inform instruction | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin and Teachers | August 2009 | June 2010 | Achievement Series data | Forethought lesson plans and campus visits | | | | |
| Strategic Plan Update feedback (teachers, parents,) Principal's Advisory Council feedback (students) | Utilize recommendations from CHS Strategic Plan pilot teams regarding limiting curriculum to reflect appropriate rigor and increased student relevance | Core content areas (ELA, Math, Science, SS, LOTE) | Campus Admin, Strategic Plan team leaders | August 2009 | June 2010 | TOSA, Dept Chairs, Principal Advisory Councils Science, Social Studies, Math and Languages Other than English, (LOTE) Directors Local Funds | Curriculum Unit Plans, Instructional Snapshots and Walkthrough | | | | |

Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan 2009-2010

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|-------------------|------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Performance Objective 1: | Align the written, taugh | nt and assessed | curriculum. | | | | | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | Unit plans, Forethough | it lesson plans ar | nd curriculum-base | d assessment | s (CBAs) | | | | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Action Step(s) Sp. Pop. Person(s) Responsible Start Timeline End Resources Human/Material/Fiscal Formative Evaluation Documented | | | | | | | | | |
| Campus observations, CBA data/local assessment comparison | Meet regularly with curriculum directors to develop concept-based curriculum units with aligned assessments | All instructional areas | TOSAs, Dept Chairs, instructional staff, Curriculum Directors, Intervention Services Directors | September 2009 | April 2010 | TOSAs, Dept Chairs, ELA, Science, Social Studies, Math and Languages Other than English, (LOTE), Fine Arts, Health/PE, and Intervention Services Directors, Director of Assessment | Curriculum Unit Plans, Forethought assessment resources | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan 2009-2010

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--|---|------------|--|--|--|
| Performance Objective 2: | Sustain district-wide Procurricular areas | Sustain district-wide PreK-12 TEKS-aligned curriculum and assessment with research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas | | | | | | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | Align the written, taugh | t and assessed cor | ncept-based curricu | ılum. | | | | | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented | | | |
| Quantitative data such as AEIS, TAKS Summary Reports, CBAs, etc. Qualitative data such as surveys, writing folder reviews, department meetings, instructional leader meetings, instructional snapshots, etc. | Utilize strategies and resources received through district professional development on "Research-Based Best Practices such as differentiated instruction, brainbased instruction, cultural literacy, writing rigorous assessment items,5E Model, role of literacy in learning, Inquiry Based Unit Design, etc. and Response to Intervention (RtI) process" | CHS instructional staff | Director of Staff Development | August 2009 | June 2010 | Outside consultants, in- district presenters, books, manuals, Region 10 workshops, etc., TEKS, AP standards, and local funds, Comp Ed = \$2000 | Documentation of CISD staff development offerings, Eduphoria records, on-line and paper evaluations Formal and informal walk-throughs Forethought lesson plans PLC summaries | | | | |

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or | exceeds the set sta | andard on state acc | countability | tests. | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|----------------|--------------|--|---|--|--|
| Performance Objective 2: | Sustain district-wide PreK-12 TEKS-aligned curriculum and assessment with research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas | | | | | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | Align the written, taugh | t and assessed cor | ncept-based curricu | llum. | | | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Action Step(s) Sp. Pop. Person(s) Responsible Timeline End Resources Human/Material/Fiscal Formative Evaluation Document | | | | | | | |
| Quantitative data such as AEIS, TAKS Summary Reports, CBAs, etc. Qualitative data such as surveys, writing folder reviews, department meetings, instructional leader meetings, instructional snapshots, etc. | Monitor the implementation of Research-Based Best Practices & Rtl process | CHS instructional staff | Curriculum Team, Intervention Services, Campus Admin and TOSAs | August 2009 | June 2010 | Curriculum Department, Intervention Services, Campus Admin, Counselors | Instructional Snapshots, Forethought lesson plans, PST meeting minutes, PLC summaries | | |
| Quantitative data such as AEIS, TAKS Summary Reports, CBAs, etc., SRI reports, Read 180 reports Qualitative data such as surveys, writing folder reviews, department meetings, instructional leader meetings | Embed Lexile-based instructional tools to support content area literacy | ELA, Social Studies and Science teachers | CHS Instructional Team; TOSAs, Literacy Coach | August 2009 | June 2010 | Curriculum Directors, Intervention Services Lexile.com Local Funds | Instructional Snapshots, Forethought lesson plans, PST meeting minutes, PLC summaries, curriculum documents, website resources, campus communications | | |

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|-------------------|-----------------|--|---|------------|--|--|--|
| Performance Objective 2: | Sustain district-wide Procurricular areas | Sustain district-wide PreK-12 TEKS-aligned curriculum and assessment with research-based instructional practices that enhance all urricular areas | | | | | | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | Align the written, taugh | lign the written, taught and assessed concept-based curriculum. | | | | | | | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented | | | |
| Qualitative data such as surveys (staff and student), department meetings PLC summaries, etc. | Provide professional development focused on teachers facilitating instruction and student learning | | CHS Instructional Team; TOSAs, Dept Chairs, Librarians, Integration Specialist, Literacy Coach | August 2009 | May 2010 | Professional Development Director, Curriculum Directors, Intervention Services | Documentation of development offerings, Eduphoria records, on-line and paper evaluations PLC summaries, website resources, campus communications | | | | |
| Qualitative data such as surveys (staff and student), department meetings PLC summaries, etc. | Implement strategies and resources from professional development focused on teachers facilitating instruction and student learning | All | CHS Instructional Team; TOSAs, Dept Chairs, Librarians, Integration Specialist, Literacy Coach | August 2009 | May 2010 | Professional Development Director, Curriculum Directors, Intervention Services | Instructional Snapshots, Forethought lesson plans, PST meeting minutes, PLC summaries, curriculum documents, website resources, campus communications | | | | |

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or e | exceeds the set | standard on state a | ccountabilit | y tests. | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|-------------------|-----------------|---|---|------------|--|--|--|
| Performance Objective 3: | no more than a | crease performance for each student group in each subject assessed by TAKS to reflect: no more than a 5% variance between groups and at least 10% gain in commended performance | | | | | | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | Academic Excellence In | ndicator System | Report (AEIS), TAK | (S Summai | ry Report | | | | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented | | | |
| AEIS Report and Local Assessments, TAKS Summary Report | Analyze assessment data to determine target areas for instruction | All | Curriculum Directors, Assessment Director, campus administrators, and teachers | August 2009 | June 2010 | TAKS and local assessment data, Tetradata, Achievement Series | Data conference/PLC summaries Instructional target area action plan | | | | |
| AEIS Report and Local Assessments, TAKS Summary Report | Develop and monitor implementation of instructional target area action plans | All | Curriculum Directors, Assessment Director, Campus Administrators, Teachers | August 2009 | June 2010 | Instructional target area action plan, Tetradata, Achievement Series, Campus leadership team | Forethought lesson plans, District level walk throughs, campus visits, use of instructional target area action plan | | | | |
| AEIS, TAKS Summary Report | Analyze TAKS-M and TAKS-Alt data to target instruction and accelerate learning | Special Ed | Dir. of Intervention Services, Directors of Special Education, Campus Administrators, Sp. Ed Teachers | August 2009 | June 2010 | TAKS Alt and TAKS-M data Local Assessment Data | IEP Progress ARD Committee Reports | | | | |

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or e | ach student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---|--|------------|--|--|
| Performance Objective 3: | no more than a 5 | ncrease performance for each student group in each subject assessed by TAKS to reflect: no more than a 5% variance between groups and at least 10% gain in commended performance | | | | | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | Academic Excellence In | dicator System F | Report (AEIS), TAK | (S Summar | ry Report | | | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented | | |
| AEIS, TAKS Summary Report, tutoring attendance logs, student grades | Refine departmental and campus-based tutoring programs to provide concept- specific remediation and enrichment. | | TOSAs and Departmental teachers | August 2009 | June 2010 | Curriculum Directors, Director of Assessment, Intervention Services, Counselors Local Funds Comp Ed = \$4000 | departmental/team procedures and schedules | | | |

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets of | ach student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|----------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Performance Objective 4: | Continue assessment academic growth. | Continue assessment and evaluation programs that provide information about individual students and measures students' continuous cademic growth. | | | | | | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulati | ocumented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. | | | | | | | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Action Step(s) Sp. Pop. Person(s) Responsible Start Timeline End Resources Human/Material/Fiscal Formative Evaluation Documented | | | | | | | | | |
| Student grades, attendance, campus and State assessments results, Principal's Advisory Council, PLC Summaries | Meet regularly with curriculum directors and to develop and refine classroom assessments | All | TOSAs, Dept Chairs, Curriculum Department, teachers | June 2010 | June 2010 | Director of Assessment, Intervention Services, Campus Admin, Counselors | PLC summaries, Forethought resources | | | | |
| Student grades, attendance, campus and State assessments results, Principal's Advisory Council, PLC Summaries | Content teams will meet during Professional Learning Conference (PLC) to review and create common assessment | All | TOSAs, Dept Chairs, Team Leaders, teachers | June 2010 | June 2010 | Curriculum Department, Director of Assessment, Intervention Services, Campus Admin, Counselors | Data conference/PLC summaries, Forethought resources | | | | |
| Student grades, attendance, campus and State assessments results, Principal's Advisory Council, PLC Summaries | Content teams will meet during PLC to review student performance on common assessments and plan curriculum adjustments based on the data. | All | TOSAs, Dept Chairs, Team Leaders, teachers | August 2009 | June 2010 | Curriculum Department, Director of Assessment, Intervention Services, Campus Admin, Counselors | Data conference/PLC summaries, Forethought resources | | | | |

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate e | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|----------------|---------------|--|--|--------------|--|--|--|
| Performance Objective 1: | Establish learning environme | ents most ap | opropriate for the i | mplement | ation of 21 | st century learning ski | <u>lls</u> . | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | International Baccalaureate D Credit Enrollment Report | iploma Pro | gram (IBDP) Auth | orization (| Certification | n, College Board Adva | anced Placement (AP) | Report, Dual | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Responsible Start End | | | | | | | | | |
| CHS evaluation of foreign language offerings, 21 st century learning research | Align LOTE opportunities to reflect future trends in a multilingual society and enhance student participation | 8-12 | Language Other than English (LOTE) Director, Secondary Admin, LOTE teachers | June 2009 | June 2010 | 21 st Century Learning Framework, LOTE professional organizations, LOTE local budget | LOTE course offerings and curriculum | | | | |
| Local assessment data | Determine and utilize assessments of oral language proficiency in languages other than English to meet oral proficiency standards | 8-12 | LOTE Director | August 2009 | June 2010 | LOTE teachers, assessment models, LOTE local budget, local rubrics, AP National rubric | Determined oral language proficiency assessment and results | | | | |
| 21 st century learning research | Explore opportunities for the implementation of problem/project-based learning such as service learning | PreK-12 | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin, Teachers | August 2009 | June 2010 | 21 st century learning research, PLC studies, Service Learning curriculum, Local Funds | Evaluation of pilot programs | | | | |
| 21 st century learning research | Expand opportunities for small learning communities throughout the school day (flexible scheduling, mentoring program, | 9 -12 student s and staff | Campus Admin, Curriculum Team | August 2009 | June 2010 | Small Learning Community (SLC) and Professional Learning Community studies (PLC) Local Funds | Master schedules, meeting agendas, reflective feedback | | | | |

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate e | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|-------------------|-----------------|--|--|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Performance Objective 1: | Establish learning environme | Establish learning environments most appropriate for the implementation of 21st century learning skills. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | International Baccalaureate D Credit Enrollment Report | ternational Baccalaureate Diploma Program (IBDP) Authorization Certification, College Board Advanced Placement (AP) Report, Dual redit Enrollment Report | | | | | | | | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fisca I | Formative Evaluation | Documented | | | | |
| CHS Strategic Plan pilot and site-visit feedback (teacher and parent); Principal's Advisory Council (students) | Formalize a time line for the implementation of a small learning communities (SLC) structure | All | Campus Admin; Strategic Plan Committee | August 2009 | Dec 2010 | CHS Strategic Plan pilot and sitevisit feedback, Principal's Advisory Council (students), Small Learning Community (SLC) and Professional Learning Community studies (PLC) Local Funds | planning agendas, published time line | | | | | |
| student grades, attendance, campus and State assessments results, Principal's Advisory Council, PLC Summaries | Offer content specific staff development session emphasizing the use of hands on learning activities | All | CHS Instructional Team; TOSAs, Dept Chairs, Librarians, Integration Specialist, Literacy Coach | August 2009 | May 2010 | Professional Development Director, Curriculum Directors, Intervention Services Funds, Local Funds | Documentation of development offerings, Eduphoria records, on-line and paper evaluations PLC summaries, website resources, campus communications | | | | | |

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate e | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|----------------|---------------|---|--|--------------|--|--|--|
| Performance Objective 1: | Establish learning environments most appropriate for the implementation of 21st century learning skills. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | International Baccalaureate D Credit Enrollment Report | iploma Pro | gram (IBDP) Auth | orization (| Certification | n, College Board Adva | anced Placement (AP) | Report, Dual | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Action Step(s) Sp. Pop. Person(s) Responsible Person(s) Start Timeline End Resources Human/Material/Fisca I Formative Evaluation Documented | | | | | | | | | |
| Student grades, attendance, campus and State assessments results, Principal's Advisory Council, PLC Summaries | Offer additional staff development on learning environments for 21st century learning as a part of PLC expectations | | CHS Instructional Team; TOSAs, Dept Chairs, Librarians, Integration Specialist, Literacy Coach | August 2009 | May 2010 | Professional Development Director, Curriculum Directors, Intervention Services Funds, Local Funds | Documentation of development offerings, Eduphoria records, on-line and paper evaluations PLC summaries, website resources, campus communications | | | | |
| Student grades, attendance, campus and State assessments results | Create a "virtual lab" to provide on-line learning opportunities; Rosetta Stone, E2020 and Advanced Academics | | Lab facilitators, Lead Counselor, Associate Principal | August 2009 | June 2009 | Campus visits; vendor training | Grade reports, lab reports, student feedback | | | | |

| Strategic Objective Goal 2 | The district will demo | The district will demonstrate educational excellence | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------|-------------------|--|---|---|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Performance Objective 2 | Focus all Career Te | Focus all Career Technology Education (CTE) programs on rigorous and relevant career pathways. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | Performance Based | erformance Based Monitoring Assessment System (PBMAS), student enrollment, certification results, Perkins Evaluation Report | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline Resources End Human/Material/Fiscal | | Formative Evaluation | Documented | | | | | |
| CTE Program Evaluation | Provide staff development on strategies to help transition to new CTE Curriculum. Performance brochure to help incorporate CTE Curriculum Matrix | 8-12 | CTE Coordinator | August 2009 | August 2010 | ICLE Guides, CTE Coord., Perkins Grant, CTE Reading Strategies committee | Reflective evaluations by trained instructors | | | | | | |
| TEA's CTE Program Evaluation | Continue to increase student career certification opportunities | 8-12 | CTE Coordinator | August 2009 | August 2010 | CTE Coord, CTE Instructors, Perkins Grant | Course catalog and syllabi | | | | | | |
| CTE Program Evaluation | Expand Engineering Expo | 9-12 | CHS Engineering Team | August 2009 | Novem ber 2009 | CTE Coord, Engineering Booster Club | Attendance, evaluations | | | | | | |

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will dem | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|-------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|---|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Performance Objective 3: | Attract, retain and d | Attract, retain and develop a diverse, highly qualified, innovative and visionary staff. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | Annual "State of the Scores | nnual "State of the Staff" report, annual Highly Qualified Report to the Texas Education Agency and CISD Board of Trustees, TExES cores | | | | | | | | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented | | | | |
| No Child Left Behind (NCLB) | Provide training for administrators regarding highly qualified guidelines | All | Ex. Director of Human Resources | September 2009 | May 2010 | Printed materials | Agendas, sign-in sheets | | | | | |
| CHS Instructional team discussions and observations | Embed the "Ten Characteristics of Extraordinary Teachers" in all staff communication | All | CHS Instructional Team | August 2009 | June 2010 | Local Funds | Campus communication (memos, newsletters, website, portal) | | | | | |
| CHS Instructional team discussions, staff feedback | Increase availability and circulation of professional reading materials -career periodicals, journal articles, etc. | All | CHS Instructional Team, Librarians, TOSA | August 2009 | June 2010 | Local Funds | Professional resource inventory, Circulation reports, campus communication | | | | | |

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The District will de | The District will demonstrate educational excellence. | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--|-------------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Performance Objective: 4 | Improve the K-12 | mprove the K-12 gifted and talented program to the level of "recognized" according to the State of Texas GT criteria. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | GT Program Strat | ST Program Strategic Plan | | | | | | | | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented | | | | |
| State GT Plan | Develop a strategic plan based on the program evaluation | GT evaluation team | Director of Advanced Academics | August 2009 | June 2010 | GT Team members, CISD GT Program Evaluation | Agendas, action plans | | | | | |

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The District will | demonstrate ed | ducational excellence. | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------|---|-------------------|-----------------|--|---|---------------|
| Performance Objective: 5 | Increase the nur | mber of student | ts served in the least rest | rictive enviro | nment throug | gh a continuum of serv | rices. | |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report, Ac List | lmission, Revie | ew and Dismissal (ARD) (| Committee pa | aperwork, Ind | dividual Education Plar | ns (IEP), Continuum | n of Services |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| PEIMS, Local Assessment | Establish parameters for each campus (K-12) to ensure that students are served in the least restrictive environment | Special Ed | Dir of Intervention Services, Directors of SPED, Campus Administrators | June 2009 | June 2010 | Exec. Dir of Intervention Services, Directors of SPED, Campus Administrators, Local Funds | ARD committee reports , PEIMS Report | |
| Local assessment, Faculty Survey, Parent Survey | Expand specialized programs to meet the unique needs of students that require specialized instruction | Special Ed | Dir of Intervention Services, Directors of SPED, Campus Administrators | June 2009 | June 2010 | SPED, Local Funds | ARD committee reports, IEP Progress | |
| Local assessment data | Implement Applied Behavior Analysis programming in specialized programs (PA, ABC's, LS, SL) to improve social interaction and communication | K-12 Special Ed | Dir. Of Intervention Services, Director of Elementary Special Education, Lead Speech Pathologist, Speech Pathologist | August 2009 | June 2010 | Training; Material (books, manuals, etc.) SPED, Local Funds | Teacher input, Student Performance, IEP Progress | |

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3 | Each student will consistently d | Each student will consistently demonstrate identified CISD character traits within the school and community. | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|-------------------|-----------------|---|---|------------|--|--|--|
| Performance Objective 1: | Seamlessly integrate character education into Coppell ISD curriculum. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | Assessment results, Character Ed Program recommendation | | | | | | | | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented | | | |
| CHS Strategic Plan pilot and site-visit feedback (teacher and parent); Principal's Advisory Council (students) | Implement CHS Mentor Program implementation plan MAP | | Campus Admin; Strategic Plan Committee | August 2009 | May 2010 | CHS Strategic Plan pilot and site- visit feedback, Principal's Advisory Council (students), Local Funds | planning agendas, published time line | | | | |
| Principal's Advisory Council (students) | Recognize student service and importance to building character; expand CHS Rocks recognition program | | Campus Admin, Principal's Advisory Council | August 2009 | June 2010 | Principal's Advisory Council, Red Jackets, local funds | Newsletters, posted recognition, students wearing I Rock CHS t- shirts | | | | |
| CHS Strategic Plan | Implementation of Project Wisdom and Rachel's Challenge | | Associate Principal, Red Jackets, Student Leadership Team | August 2009 | June 2010 | Red Jackets, Student Leadership Team, RST | Discipline referrals, student feedback | | | | |

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3 | Each Student Will Consistently | Each Student Will Consistently Demonstrate Identified CISD Character Traits within the School and Community. | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|-------------------|-----------------|--|---|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Performance Objective 2: | Establish consistent safe and c | Establish consistent safe and drug free school programs district wide. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | Post-instruction assessment | Post-instruction assessment | | | | | | | | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented | | | | |
| Community feedback, current events, student survey | Continue to publicize safe and drug free programs.; Enhance Red Ribbon Week activities | All | Dir. Student Services, Dir Communications & Public Relations, Counselors, Student Organizations | August 2009 | June 2010 | Website, newsletters, Get Connected Committee, Local Resources (Police, Assistance League, YMCA/ Care) Region 10 Texas Drug & Alcohol Survey | Newsletters, newspapers, campus & community feedback, student survey (6-12) | | | | | |
| Discipline Referrals, Anecdotal campus reports | Consistently implement a relational aggression prevention program Bullying Dating/Relational violence | All | Dir. Student Services, Counselors, Teen Leadership teachers | August 2009 | June 2010 | Support materials, Teen Leadership curriculum, School Resource Officers (SROs) | Curriculum documents, counselor & nurse feedback, discipline data | | | | | |

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually p | participate in | meaningful s | ervice activitie | s within thei | r community. | | |
|---|---|----------------|--|-------------------|-----------------|--|---|------------|
| Performance Objective 1: | Continue to integrate Serv | /ice-Learnino | g into curriculu | ım focusing or | n best praction | ces of authentic learning | and student-driven pro | ojects. |
| Summative Evaluation: | Teacher lesson plans, cou | urse curricula | ar collaboratio | n, shared Serv | vice-Learnin | g curriculum and resour | ces on Service-Learnin | g website |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Campus input | Continue tracking student participation in SL projects | All | District Service- Learning Director | August 2009 | May 2010 | Campus SL Leaders, Campus staff, Documentation of SL hours, campus listing of Projects, SL participation form | SL participation form, Report of SL projects | |
| Community needs, Teacher input, student voice | Identify SL projects that best align with written curriculum and follow the LEADERS model | All | Service- Learning Director, Curriculu m Team | August 2009 | June 2010 | Curriculum plans, Project WILD, Complete Guide to Service Learning, Campus SL Leaders, Local funds, SL grant | Report of SL projects | |
| Service Learning teacher input | Continue to provide staff development on using Service-Learning as a teaching methodology to promote problemsolving and higher-level thinking skills. Increase Staff Dev on Service Learning to teachers. | All | SL Director, Campus SL Leaders | August 2009 | June 2010 | SL grant, Staff Dev. Director, Region 10 | Agendas, Documentation of training | |
| Parent, student input | Improve club information available on website including but not limited to service opportunities | All | Assoc. Principal, Club sponsors, Integration Specialist | September 2009 | April 2010 | District Webmaster, Webmastering class, Cowboy Tech Force, Student Leaders | Updated websites; increased student participation in club activities | |

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually p | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|---|-------------------|-----------------|---|---|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Performance Objective 1: | Continue to integrate Serv | Continue to integrate Service-Learning into curriculum focusing on best practices of authentic learning and student-driven projects. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | Teacher lesson plans, cou | eacher lesson plans, course curricular collaboration, shared Service-Learning curriculum and resources on Service-Learning website | | | | | | | | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented | | | | |
| CHS Strategic Plan | Create a plan for requiring and recognizing service hours for graduation | All | Campus SL Leaders, Campus Admin | August 2009 | June 2010 | District Service Learning Director, CHS Student Leadership, Student Org, Parent Org, Service Learning Grant | CHS Board of Trustees approved plan | | | | | |
| CHS Strategic Plan | Recognize service hours as part of graduation ceremony | Seniors | Campus SL Leaders, Campus Admin | August 2009 | June 2010 | District Service Learning Director, CHS Student Leadership, Student Org, Parent Org, Service Learning Grant | Graduation ceremony | | | | | |

| Strategic Objective/Goal 5 | Each student successfully com | Each student successfully completes or makes appropriate progress toward personal educational goals. (IEP) | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|-------------------|-----------------|--|---|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Performance Objective 1: | Develop, monitor, adjust, and e | evaluate stu | dent transition pl | ans. (IEP Stu | dents) | | | | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | Review sample of IEPs, docum | nentation fol | ders and perform | nance data. | | | | | | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented | | | | |
| Faculty input specific to skills needed | Provide training on developing post-secondary outcomes (SPP13) as a part of the transition supplement | Special Ed | Transition Administrator ,SE staff | August 2009 | June 2010 | Region 10 Transition ARD Supplement TEA Training Tools | Teacher Documentat ion IEP updates | | | | | |
| Parent Survey, Faculty input specific to skills needed | Organize and provide transition information to parents through Transition Expo | Special Ed | Transition Administrator | August 2009 | June 2010 | Region 10 ARC of Dallas Adult Service Providers | Attendee Survey, Feedback from Presenters | | | | | |
| Faculty input specific to skills needed | Provide staff development focusing on continuous process of transition planning and links to student IEP goals/objectives reflecting standards set forth in SPP indicator 13 and 14 | Special Ed | Transition Administrator ,SE staff | August 2009 | June 2010 | Training Materials, Transition Administrator, Campus Administration | Coordinated IEP document | | | | | |
| AEIS, Texas Effectiveness Student Teacher Input (TES) | Collect and analyze post- secondary outcome data as required by SPP indicator 13 & 14 standards | Special Ed | Transition Administrator | August 2009 | June 2010 | TES Surveys, Transition Administrator, SE Staff | TES Analysis | | | | | |
| Parent feedback; student feedback to counselors | Refine Registration EXPOs for all levels- MS to HS; HS- Postsecondary | Grades 8-11 | Counselors, Dean of Instruction, Director | November 2009 | April 2010 | PTSO, CGA, SECA, Secondary Education, Director of Advanced Academics ,North Lake Dual Credit Office, local funds | planning agendas, event evaluation | | | | | |

| Strategic Objective/Goal 5 | Each student successfully comple | Each student successfully completes or makes appropriate progress toward personal educational goals. | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|-------------------|-----------------|---|---|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Performance Objective 2: | Improve access to student inform | Improve access to student information through electronic database and management systems. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | Eduphoria posting of training dates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented | | | | |
| Faculty Survey, Parent Survey | Provide training on new Special Education data-based system | Special Ed | Director of Intervention Services, Directors of Special Education, Appraisal Staff, Special Education Teachers | June 2009 | June 2010 | SPED | Meeting agenda, handouts, feedback from campus staff; review of information of database | | | | | |
| Parent feedback; student feedback to counselors | Provide additional college/career counseling and planning | All | Lead Counselor; Campus Admin, Counselors | August 2009 | June 2010 | Electronic system such as Naviance; Local funds | Electronic system usage summary, counselor logs | | | | | |

APPENDIX

Needs Assessment:

AEIS =Academic Excellence Indicator System, CBA's =Curriculum Based Assessment, DR=Discipline Report, FS=Faculty Survey, O=Other (specify), PS=Parent Survey, PBMAS=Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, SS=Student Survey

Special Populations (Sp. Pop):

ABC's=Academics, Behavior, Communication & Social Skills

AP=Advanced Placement

AR=At Risk

CTE=Career and Technical Education

E=Ethnicity

ESL=English as a Second Language

GT=Gifted and Talented

IEP =Individual Education Plan

IBDP=International Baccalaureate Diploma Program

ICLE=International Center for Leadership in Education

LASSO=Language Acquisition and Social Skills Opportunities

LOTE=Language Other than English

LS=Life Skills

MAP=My Advisory Period

PA=Practical Academics

PLC=Professional Learning Communities

PST = Promoting Success Teams

RST=Ready, Set, Teach

SE=Special Education

SLC=Service Learning Community

SL=Structured Learning

SPP =State Performance Plan

TES=Texas Effectiveness Student Teacher

TOSA=Teachers on Special Assignment

YMCA/CARE=Chemical Awareness Resource Education

Committees: AC=Assessment, CC=Curriculum, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight, PC=Parent and Community, PD=Prof Dev

Federal Funds: T1=Title 1, TITLE II=Title 2, TITLE IID-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education

2/1/2010 10:12 AM