Super Ball Bot - Structures for Planetary Landing and Exploration

Adrian Agogino

Vytas SunSpiral (Stinger Ghaffarian Technologies)

David Atkinson

(David Atkinson - University of Idaho)

NASA Ames Research Center (ARC) Intelligent Systems Division (Code TI) Intelligent Robotics Group (IRG) Robust Software Engineering (RSE)



Super Ball Bot – Landing and Mobility





Outline

- Tensegrity Robots
- Titan Reference Mission
- Engineering for EDL
- Engineering for Mobility
- Controls for Mobility
- Collaborations & Papers
- Future Work



Tensegrity

First explored by Kennith Snelson in 1960's



Named by Buckminster Fuller: "Tension" + "Structural Integrity"







Tensegrity Force Distribution Properties

- Global Force Distribution
- Minimize points of local weakness
- No lever arms to magnify forces
- Maximum Strength to Weight Structure
- Pure Tension or Pure Compression







Donald Ingber & Cellular Tensegrity



Microtubules, microfilaments and intermediate filaments within the cytoskeleton of endothelial cells





Biotensegrity – Tension model of body.

Steve Levin Initiated Research into "Biotensegrity"







Copyright 2006 Tom Flemons



Building Prototypes and Simulations of Tensegrity Robots









Outline

- Tensegrity Robots
- Titan Reference Mission
- Engineering for EDL
- Engineering for Mobility
- Controls for Mobility
- Collaborations & Papers
- Future Work



Titan Science Objectives

Obtain knowledge of the following as they relate to Titan:

 Distribution and composition of organics

Organic chemical processes, their chemical context and energy sources

Prebiological or protobiological chemistry

Geological and geophysical processes and their evolution

Atmospheric dynamics and meteorology
Seasonal variations and interactions of the atmosphere and surface

Sources: NASA/JPL

Mission Scenarios

Lake Shore



Sand Dunes



Instrumentation Overview:

The scientific payload for the Super Ball-bots mission will have three science packages:

Atmospheric and Meteorology Package: •Temperature •Methane Humidity •Wind Speed •Pressure

Mass: 2 kg

Analytical Chemistry

Gas Chromatograph

•Mass Spectrometer

Unitature Mass Spectrometer

Analogous to the Titan

Explorer MP3

Imaging Package: •Navigation Cameras •Field Microscope

Mass: 1 kg

Mass: 2.8 kg

Analogous to the MER Microscopic Imager

Package:



Super Ball-bots Mission Options:

Small Vehicle: •Atmos. & Met. Package •Imaging Package

Estimated Mass: 40 kg

Large Vehicle: •Atmos. & Met. Package •Analytical Chem. Package •Imaging Package

Estimated Mass: 75 kg w/ ASRG power source

Image Sources: CSA, Brian Beard, JPL

Titan Trajectory planning

Baseline Trajectory to Saturn System



aturn	Solar Sytem Exploration]		
spk-id	6	Orbit Condition Code	0
Size	116464 km	Semi-major axis	9.577 AU
Eccentricity	0.051	Inclination	2.49°

Trajectory Itinerary

	Date	ΔV		
Earth Departure	Jan-18-2018	6.27 km/s	C3 = 76.3 km ² /s ² DLA = 1°	
2.23-yr transfer				
lupiter Flyby	Apr-13-2020		10.62 km/s relative speed 46.32 radii altitude	
7.89-yr transfer				
Saturn Arrival	Mar-02-2028	75 m/s*		
10.12-yr total n	nission	76 m/s 6.35 km/s	post-injection ΔV total ΔV	

Solar range: 0.98 - 9.46 AU Earth range: 0 - 10.44 AU

* ΔV to/from a C3 = 0 km²/s² local planetary orbit.

Source: Mission Design Center Trajectory Browser, Cyrus Foster



Potential Ball-bot Mission Trajectory utilizing semi-parallel approach vector and Titan velocity vector.



Outline

- Tensegrity Robots
- Titan Reference Mission
- Prototypes and Engineering for EDL
- Engineering and Analysis for Mobility
- Controls for Mobility
- Collaborations & Papers
- Future Work



Unpacking for EDL



Drag Experiments for EDL

Earth



At 20 MPH Average Angle: 44.6 degrees Approximate Coefficient of Drag: 0.5



Super Ball-bot Entry Speeds: •6.5 km/s @ 146 km alt. (similar to Huygens)

Dynamic Model:

Tensegrity payload sphere – diameter = .863 m Constant coefficient of drag = 0.5 Vehicle Mass: 75 kg

Atmospheric Data: Huygens HASI data 146.797 km to surface

Impact Speed: 11.4 m/s (25.5 mph)

Huygens landed at 4.7 m/s

Simulated Landing Structure Tests

• Tested Landing Performance at 15 m/s



86% Reduction in Landing Forces With Tensegrity!

Payload experiences landing forces equivalent to 2.1 m/s (Huygens = 4.7 m/s)



Maximum Forces in Cables for 75kg Robot



Kevlar and Zylon used in MER parachute cables

Zylon rated at 5.8 GPa Tensile Strength

Zylon Cable with 1cm Diameter can handle 455,500 N

Plenty of engineering tolerance



Constructing Prototype for Deployment and 10m Drop Test



Terrestrial Drop Test Landing Speeds: $v_i = \sqrt{2gd}$ $g = 9.8 \text{ m/s}^2$ d = 10 mv = 14 m/s

Expected Landing Speed on Titan = 11 m/s



Outline

- Tensegrity Robots
- Titan Reference Mission
- Engineering for EDL
- Engineering for Mobility
- Controls for Mobility
- Collaborations & Papers
- Future Work



Develop and Test Forward and Inverse Kinematics

Kinematics Difficult due to integrated
 forces and positions in tensegrity structure
 Implemented numerical algorithm
 Tested and verified on prototype robot





TenseBot X-Axis



△ Desired Position × Measured Position

Test setup uses laser cut calibration board and image processing to validate kinematic algorithms on robot.



Position (Inches)

Super Ball Bot Mobility Forces Simulation

- Used Two Different Analysis methods:
- Physics Simulation
 Euler-Lagrangian formula
 developed by Skelton^[1]
- Results depends on Level of Prestress (i.e. overall stiffness)
- o 500N Actuators Required
 - 1: Sultan, C., Corless, M., & Skelton, R. E. (2002). Linear dynamics of tensegrity structures. Engineering







Payload Based Actuation



•Fewer Actuators

Actuators located in shock absorbed payload structureSimplified wiring for power and control



Outline

- Tensegrity Robots
- Titan Reference Mission
- Engineering for EDL
- Engineering for Mobility
- Controls for Mobility
- Collaborations & Papers
- Future Work



Learning Control Algorithms

Difficult to control with traditional methods

- High Degree of Freedom
- Nonlinear
- Oscillatory



Alternative: Evolve Control

- Start with initial population of control policies
- Test performance in simulator
- Remove poor control policies and replicate better ones
- Evolve high performance population

Robust Against Failures



Can Evolve for Many Environments





Outline

- Tensegrity Robots
- Titan Reference Mission
- Engineering for EDL
- Engineering for Mobility
- Controls for Mobility
- Collaborations & Papers
- Future Work



Collaborations and Students

Collaborations:

- U. C. Santa Cruz
- University of Idaho
- U. C. Berkeley
- Oregon State
- Case Western Reserve

Students Involved

- 1 PhD, 1 Masters
- 2 Masters, 6 Undergrad
- 1 PhD, 7 Undergrads
- 1 PhD
- 1 PhD

International Collaborations (Donated Fundamental Research)

- EPFL (Switzerland)
- KAIST (Korea)

- 1 Master Student
- Ghent University (Belgium) 1 PhD Student, 3 Undergraduates
 - 1 Post Doc

Total: 26 Students Involved in NIAC project



PR and Publications



What's Next for NASA? 10 Wild Newly Funded Projects





(Copyright SPL)

Inside a laboratory in California, space engineers are designing a new generation of rover... and they look like nothing you have seen before.

Papers:

2 Accepted for Publication (AAMAS Conf., ARMS Workshop)

- 1 Submitted for Review (GECCO Conferences)
- 1 Being Prepared for Planetary Probes Workshops.
- 1 Masters Thesis Completed.



"Not actually crazy. But certainly innovative and ambitious."





Outline

- Tensegrity Robots
- Titan Reference Mission
- Engineering for EDL
- Engineering for Mobility
- Controls for Mobility
- Collaborations & Papers
- Future Work



EDL and Mobility Engineering

Test Deployment PrototypeEDL Drop Tests

•Design Mobility Prototype (Construction and Testing in Phase 2)







Further Mission Design



•Use models to refine mission engineering

•Calibrate Models with Prototype tests

•Improve physics simulations with mission relevant cables and material properties.





Central Pattern Generators for Mobility Control

Central Pattern Generators (CPG's) are Neuro-Circuits involved in animal motion control

Our Research Shows:

 Ideal for control of Tensegrity Robots

•Create gaits that are robust to terrain variations

•Provide reactive reliability for unplanned events



Will port CPG controls from other projects to SuperBall Bot



Questions?

Adrian Agogino (U.C. Santa Cruz) Vytas SunSpiral (Stinger Ghaffarian Technologies)

David Atkinson

(David Atkinson – University of Idaho)

NASA Ames Research Center (ARC) Intelligent Systems Division (Code TI) Intelligent Robotics Group (IRG) Robust Software Engineering (RSE)



Tensegrity Ideal for Robotics



Forces Accumulate in Single Joint





Structure Design – Home Position





To collapse the structure the motors will lengthen the strings of the regular triangles they create, allowing the springs to contract and the structure to collapse down to 2 regular triangles on top of each other

To deploy, the motors will shorten the length of the strings, elongating the springs, and the structure will resume its home position



Structural Components





SuperBall Bot Prototypes (just starting)

U. Idaho Structural Analysis & Drop Tests





CaseWestern Shape Change Project Actuators Inside Rods

