Barry Donaldson & Associates

SUSPENDED CEILINGS VS. OPEN PLENUM - LIFE CYCLE STUDY

Ceilings & Interior Systems Construction Association

September 1, 2008

CISCA Life Cycle Study

Final Report September 1, 2008



SUSPENDED CEILINGS VS. OPEN PLENUM - LIFE CYCLE STUDY

1. Introduction

The design of commercial ceiling systems is influenced by a number of factors, with a particular focus on the need to accommodate air distribution ducts and plenums, power and telecom wiring, fire & life safety systems, security systems and an increasingly complex and dense distribution of horizontal systems. As buildings and businesses undergo more unpredictable and rapid change, systems are constantly being moved, upgraded and modified requiring greater ease of reconfiguration and flexibility than ever before. The rate of change in office environments, or 'churn rate' is a function of changing technology, personal mobility, and the reconfiguration of workstations. The International Facilities Managers Association (IFMA) defines churn as the number of moves in a year expressed as a percentage of the number of offices occupied. "In the 2002 IFMA Project Management Benchmarks report, the main churn rate across the surveyed organizations was 41 percent."

These requirements for flexibility may dictate either a suspended ceiling or an open plenum. A suspended ceiling system may provide a finished interior that allows access to systems located in the plenum space above, and an open plenum may provides a ceiling that leaves systems exposed for ease of accessibility.

The life cycle cost study, initiated by the Ceilings and Interior Systems Construction Association (CISCA), looks at the cost and performance benefits of suspended ceiling vs. open plenum designs for two building types - offices and retail food stores. The study evaluates differences in construction and operating costs, as well as performance issues such as fire integrity, energy performance, ease of maintenance, lighting and acoustic performance, and other design considerations.

2. Life Cycle Costs

The life cycle cost analysis of the office and food store examples includes initial construction costs of suspended ceiling vs. open plenum designs, as well as annual operating costs. Operating costs of HVAC and lighting systems (i.e. re-lamping, utilities, energy costs), maintenance costs such as periodic maintenance, repair and cleaning, and the cost of reconfiguration (moves-adds-changes). Construction costs are based on data from RS Means² and operating costs are based on data from Building Owners and Managers Association International (BOMA)³.

Construction and operating costs are also evaluated for different regions to show a range of different material costs, labor markets, climate regions, and energy costs. The different regions included in the study are Chicago (climate zone 5), Charlotte and Oklahoma City (climate zone 7), Orlando and Phoenix (climate zone 9).

Barry Donaldson & Associates

Energy costs for the suspended ceiling and open plenum designs are analyzed for each of these different regions based on computer calculations and comparisons of building loads, energy, and cost.⁴

3. Prototype Office and Food Store

The study is based on an evaluation of typical offices and food stores as examples of two very different building and construction types. The 'prototype' buildings are based on average data from a number of sources, including information from the U.S. Department of Commerce, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and Building Owners & Managers Association (BOMA). Construction characteristics such as equipment and lighting loads (watts/sq.ft.), and envelope thermal performance are based on minimum code criteria described in ASHRAE Standard 90.1 2004 "Energy Efficient Design of New Buildings Except New Low-Rise Residential Buildings".

3.1 Prototype Office

The prototype office building/space is assumed to be low-rise/mid-rise type I, non-combustible construction of structural steel with a metal deck and concrete floor/ceiling system. According to the 2006 Building Energy Data Book, the typical mid-rise office is 6 to 7 stories, and 90,000 to 137,000 sq.ft. (approx. 12,800 to 22,800 sq.ft./floor), with 40-50% glass. The prototype office building/space is assumed to be a total of 120,000 sq.ft. (15,000 sq.ft./floor and 8 floors), with a nine (9) foot floor to ceiling height and an open plan layout.

Suspended Ceiling System

The suspended ceiling example is assumed to be a standard 2x2x3/4" ceiling tile with a narrow profile suspension system (9/16") and a non- fire rated assembly (figure 1). The open plenum example is assumed to have the underside of the floor slab above to be painted.

HVAC

For the suspended ceiling and open plenum designs, the office systems such as HVAC, power and telecommunications are assumed to be provided from the ceiling. In the suspended ceiling examples, the HVAC air distribution is ducted air supply and plenum air return. In the open plenum ceiling examples, the HVAC air distribution is ducted supply and return. Typical HVAC systems include central heating from a gas boiler and cooling from an electric centrifugal chiller, with constant volume or variable air volume (VAV) air distribution. ⁵ The typical office annual energy use is about 90,000 Btu/sf/yr ⁶ and average operating costs are about \$6.00/sq.ft., of which \$1.80/sq.ft. is for utilities.⁷

Electrical and Telecommunications

For the suspended ceiling example electrical wiring is MC cable and telecommunications wiring is plenum cable distributed above the ceiling, without cabletrays. In the open plenum example, power wiring is in conduit and telecommunications cable is plenum cable distributed in cabletrays. For both examples, telecommunications cable is assumed to be plenum rated to meet the requirements of NFPA 90A "Standard for the Installation of Air Conditioning and Ventilating Systems 2002"

Barry Donaldson & Associates

Lighting

For the suspended ceiling example light fixtures are assumed to be $2x^2$ u-tube fluorescent, recess mounted fixtures with acrylic lenses. Return air troffers are assumed for half of the light fixtures.

3.2 Prototype Food Store

The prototype food store building/space is assumed to be type II construction of a one-two story masonry structure with bar joists and a metal deck and concrete floor/ceiling system. Although the typical food store is a small supermarket of about 5,000 sq.ft., there has been a trend since the 1960's for larger supermarkets that dominate much of retail food sales in the United States. "By 1998 the median average store size was 40,483 sq.ft, up from 38,600 sq.ft. in 1966." "A typical new store in 1998 was just over 57,000 sq.ft, up from about 52,400 sq.ft. in 1997. ⁸ The prototype food store is assumed to be larger than the average, but smaller than the typical large supermarket, with a 10,000 sq.ft. area (100 ft x 100ft).

Suspended Ceiling System

The prototype food store has an eighteen foot (18 ft) floor to ceiling height. The suspended ceiling example includes a standard 2x4x5/8" ceiling tile and an exposed grid suspension system (15/16") in a non-fire rated assembly (figure 2). The open plenum example is assumed to have the underside of the floor/roof slab above to be painted.

HVAC

For the suspended ceiling and open plenum designs, HVAC, power and telecommunications are assumed to be provided from the ceiling. In the suspended ceiling examples, the HVAC air distribution is ducted air supply and plenum air return. The supply and return air grilles/registers are located in the suspended ceiling. In the open plenum ceiling examples, the HVAC air distribution is ducted supply and return. The typical HVAC system is a rooftop packaged air conditioner, with gas heating and electric dx cooling. Air distribution is constant volume.⁹

Electrical and Telecommunications

For the suspended ceiling example electrical wiring is MC cable and telecommunications wiring is plenum cable distributed above the ceiling, without cabletrays. In the open plenum example, power wiring is in conduit and telecommunications cable is plenum cable also without cabletrays, since there is very little telecom wiring in food stores. For both examples, telecommunications cable is assumed to be plenum rated to meet the requirements of NFPA 90A "Standard for the Installation of Air Conditioning and Ventilating Systems 2002"

Lighting

For the suspended ceiling example lighting is assumed to be recessed H.I.D. fixtures, 250W. For the open plenum example lighting is assumed to be H.I.D. fixtures with pendant mounts attached to the underside of the floor slab/roof above.

Barry Donaldson & Associates





Typica Figure 1 - Office Suspended Ceiling | Figure 2 - Food Store Suspended Ceiling and sections illustrate the office and food store building/space types (fig. 3 and 4)

4. Construction Costs of Suspended Ceiling vs. Open Plenum Design

Construction and operating costs are analyzed for the office and food store examples based on data from RS Means "Construction Cost Data 2007". The construction costs of the suspended ceiling vs. open plenum designs include the following:

- Suspended Ceiling System acoustical tile and suspension system
- Painting exposed slab/floor above and mechanical systems (ductwork)
- HVAC Systems fans, supply / return air ductwork, diffusers/grilles
- Electrical wiring distribution, conduit, cabletrays
- Lighting light fixtures, pendant, attachments

floor plans

Barry Donaldson & Associates



Figure 3 - Typical Food Store Plan (10,000 sq.ft./floor and total building area)



Figure 4 - Typical Food Store Isometric

CISCA Life Cycle Study

Barry Donaldson & Associates



Figure 5 - Typical Office Plan (15,000 sq.ft./floor and 120,000 sq.ft. total building area)



Figure 6 - Typical Office Isometric

CISCA Life Cycle Study

Barry Donaldson & Associates

The construction costs for the different regions are summarized in the following table, with a more detailed breakdown included in the appendix. Construction costs are highest in Chicago and Phoenix and lowest in Charlotte and Orlando.

Construction Cost	Chicago	Charlotte	Oklahoma	Orlando	Phoenix
Office Building (1)					
Suspended Clg/Floor	\$164,636	\$95,247	\$99,528	\$96,453	\$108,152
Open Plenum/Floor	\$141,234	\$79,670	\$86,760	\$79,062	\$92,840
Suspended Clg. Cost increase/floor	\$23,402	\$15,577	\$12,768	\$17,391	\$15,312
Suspended Clg./ total	\$1,053,670	\$609,581	\$636,979	\$617,299	\$692,173
Open Plenum/total	\$903 <i>,</i> 898	\$509,888	\$555,264	\$505,997	\$594,176
Suspended Clg. Cost increase/total	\$149,773	\$99,693	\$81,715	\$111,302	\$97,997
Suspended Clg. Cost/sq.ft. Increase	\$1.56	\$1.04	\$0.85	\$1.16	\$1.02
% increase	16.6%	19.6%	14.7%	22.0%	16.5%
Food Store					
Suspended Ceiling	\$120,724	\$72,780	\$76,250	\$74,204	\$82,484
Open Plenum	\$114,808	\$67,493	\$73,259	\$67,118	\$78,055
Suspended Clg. Cost increase/total	\$5,916	\$5,287	\$2,991	\$7,086	\$4,429
Suspended Clg. Cost/sq.ft. Increase	\$0.59	\$0.53	\$0.30	\$0.71	\$0.44
% increase	5.2%	7.8%	4.1%	10.6%	5.7%
note 1: typical cost per 15,000 sf office floor and toto	al usable space of 9	96,000 sf. (Net/Go	ss ratio - 80%)		

The office building cost increases range from almost 15 to 22%, whereas the increase for the food store is an increase of about 4-10%. Detailed cost breakdowns are included in the Appendix. The construction cost premium for a suspended ceiling is greater for the office building than for the food store because it is a higher quality ceiling with a 2x2 grid and tile and a narrow profile suspension grid.

In general, the additional cost of the suspended ceiling , flex ducts, and cabletray is only partially offset by the additional costs of a return fan, return air ductwork, and conduit for the open plenum design. The cost of recess mounted light fixtures in the suspended ceiling is relatively close to the cost of pendant mounted light fixtures in the open plenum design.

Barry Donaldson & Associates

To justify the additional cost of the suspended ceiling design, it must be offset by enhanced performance and reduced cost of operations such as lower energy cost, easier maintenance, and reduced cost of renovation and reconfiguration.

5. Operating Costs of Suspended Ceiling vs. Open Plenum Design

Operating costs, including maintenace and energy costs, are analyzed from data included in the 2007 BOMA Experience Exchange Report and from analysis of energy use for the different regions. Information related to energy costs and HVAC equipment sizing is determined from computer simulations of the two building types for each of the regions based on local weather and utility data. Utility data was reviewed for each region to insure that consumption (kWh) and demand (kW) charges reflected current rates and tarriffs for small to medium size commercial customers.

Although the BOMA data includes utility costs for the different regions, the energy/cost analysis is specific to the building types and layouts of the study to obtain a more accurate comparison of the trade-offs between the suspended ceiling and open plenum designs.

The energy use for the suspended ceiling examples is expected to be somewhat lower because of the use of a return air plenum with low static pressures and fan horsepower, instead of ducted air return with higher static pressures and fan horsepower. The suspended ceiling with a return air plenum is also more effective in removing heat of lights from the space, and therefore, reducing the air conditioning load on the space.

The suspended ceiling examples typically have higher (and more uniform) ceiling reflectances (i.e. 70% reflectance) than the open plenum examples with layers of equipment. The open plenum examples, with uneven ceiling and somewhat darker ceiling surfaces have somewhat lower light reflectance (i.e. 50% reflectance). These variables are included in the energy/cost model.

5.1 Maintenance Costs

The BOMA average operating costs for 2007 for the different regions is summarized in the following table.

	Chicago	Charlotte	Oklahoma City	Orlando	Phoenix
cleaning	\$1.60	\$1.01	\$1.18	\$1.08	\$0.85
repair / maintenance	\$1.80	\$1.01	\$1.19	\$0.73	\$1.42
utilities	\$1.51	\$1.51	\$2.26	\$2.57	\$1.88
roads / grounds	\$0.09	\$0.49	\$0.24	\$0.37	\$0.08
security	\$0.76	\$0.37	\$0.15	\$0.19	\$0.48
administration	\$1.53	\$1.03	\$1.05	\$1.12	\$1.54
total operating expense	\$7.22	\$4.93	\$6.04	\$6.05	\$6.13

Barry Donaldson & Associates

Although it is difficult to define different requirements and costs of maintenance for a suspended ceiling vs. open plenum design, the analysis assumes that, unlike an open plenum, a suspended deiling eliminates the need to periodically clean ducts and pipes, and paint exposed structural elements, equipment, or ceiling surfaces.

For the open plenum design to achieve a somewhat comparable architectural treatment with a finished suspended ceiling, the open plenum design is assumed to be painted. The cost analysis assumes a painted open plenum ceiling and maintenance costs of cleaning and repainting (i.e. ranging from \$0.08-0.16/sq.ft.). This may be a particular concern in offices where there is more attention paid on maintaining acceptable levels of indoor air quality (i.e. prevent dust buildup on surfaces of equipment, ductwork, etc.) and a clean appearance in general. This is also a concern for any projects that may be considering LEED certification where issues of environmental stewardship are important, or in particular for concerns of health and cleanliness in food stores.

5.2 Energy Costs

The energy / cost analysis of the prototype office and food store located in each region includes information about the different building characteristics, systems, and operating schedules, as well as different construction costs of the suspended ceiling vs. open plenum designs.

The energy / cost analysis compares the energy cost/sq.ft. of the suspended ceiling and open plenum designs, a life cycle cost analysis (internal rate of return, life cycle payback, and simple payback), and indicates the reduced environmental emissions from the reduced energy use $(CO_2, SO_2, and Nox data is included in the Appendix)$. The results of the energy /cost analysis is summarized below.

The assumptions for the life cycle economic analysis is based on a study life, depreciation life, and finance term of 10 years. The rate of return, life cycle payback and simple payback is based on relatively conservative cost assumptions including:

- cost of capital 10%
- interest rate 8%
- percent financed 10%
- depreciation tax method straight line (fixed asset)
- income tax rate 35%
- inflation rate (maintenance, utilities) 7%

The results of the analysis are summarized on the table below.



Building	Chicago		Charlotte		Oklahom	Oklahoma City		Orlando		Phoenix	
	Ceiling	Plenum	Ceiling	Plenum	Ceiling	Plenum	Ceiling	Plenum	Ceiling	Plenum	
Office											
Constr. Cost Increase	\$1.56		\$1.04		\$0.85		\$1.16		\$1.02		
Energy (Btu/sf/yr)	51,433	55,175	46,225	50,664	47,314	51,724	46,609	51,668	46,712	51,606	
Energy Cost (\$/sf/yr)	\$1.53	\$1.68	\$1.90	\$2.11	\$1.09	\$1.21	\$1.75	\$1.95	\$0.92	\$1.02	
Savings (\$/sf/yr)	\$0.15		\$0.12		\$0.12		\$0.20		\$0.10		
Energy Cost Savings (5)	9.0%		10.0%		9.7%		10.3%		10.1%		
Internal Rate of Return	9.6%		25.3%		16.4%		20.7%		11.1%		
Life Cycle Payback	>10 yrs		5.0 yrs		7.2 yrs		6.0 yrs		9.0 yrs		
Simple Payback	7.0 yrs		3.4 yrs		5.0 yrs		4.1 yrs		6.5 yrs		
Food Store											
Constr. Cost Increase	\$0.59		\$0.53		\$0.30		\$0.71		\$0.44		
Energy (Btu/sf/yr)	106,281	116,506	82,775	96,468	91,119	102,895	89,117	105,256	87,842	101,578	
Energy Cost (\$/sf/yr)	\$2.76	\$3.21	\$2.82	\$3.39	\$2.19	\$2.51	\$2.94	\$3.44	\$1.66	\$1.93	
Savings (\$/sf/yr)	\$0.45		\$0.57		\$0.32		\$0.50		\$0.27		
Energy Cost Savings (5)	13.7%		17.0%		12.7%		14.5%		14.0%		
Internal Rate of Return	71.7%		123.8%		116.9%		108.5%		71.4%		
Life Cycle Payback	1.7 yrs		0.9 yrs		1.0 yrs		1.1 yrs		1.7 yrs		
Simple Payback	1.1 yrs		0.6 yrs		0.7 yrs		0.7 yrs		1.2 yrs		

6. Conclusion - Life Cycle Cost of Suspended Ceiling vs. Open Plenum Designs

For the prototype office, the initial construction cost of the suspended ceiling design can range from almost 15% to 22% (\$0.85/sf - \$1.56/sf) more than for an open plenum design. However, the energy and maintenance savings range from about 9-10% (\$0.10/sf-\$.20/sf). For the prototype food store, the initial construction cost of the suspended ceiling design can range from about 4% to over 10% (\$0.30/sf - \$0.59/sf) more than for an open plenum design. The energy and maintenance savings range from almost 13% to 17% (\$0.27 to \$0.57/sf).

Barry Donaldson & Associates

The energy and maintenance savings may justify the use of a suspended ceiling plenum, with relatively short simple paybacks for the office design (3.4 to 7.0 yrs), and very short simple paybacks for the food store design (0.6 to 1.2 yrs).

The both the office and food store examples, the best case scenarios are in Charlotte, NC and Orlando, FL where the combination of lower construction costs, higher air conditioning loads, and medium energy savings provides the fastest paybacks. For the food store example in Charlotte, the high air conditioning loads for a single story building with a large roof exposure creates the greatest energy savings of any of the examples (17% or \$0.57/sf).

Despite greater energy savings in Chicago and Phoenix the high costs of construction combine to create longer paybacks for the office and food store examples.

In addition to operating cost savings, the reduced cost of 'churn' including simple moves to and from existing workplaces, relocation of furniture, and reconfiguration of offices and workstations can be significant. The average cost of simple moves is about \$191 per move, for relocation of furniture it is about \$712/move, and for reconfiguration that requires construction it is about \$2,100 per move.¹⁰

Suspended ceiling assemblies provide a flexible and accessible ceiling finish to allow for ease of reconfiguring building systems to accommodate changing work and space requirements. In office spaces, it is easier and less expensive to move flexible, modular components that can be unplugged and plugged into a different location with minimum construction. One of the primary reasons for the use of suspended ceiling systems is that they provide an architectural finish that provides acoustical performance and a fire rated assembly to create a plenum for the systems above, with the ability to reconfigure those systems above the ceiling as office workstations below are moved and relocated. The use of flexible ductwork, modular power and telecommunications cabling with UL rated connectors, light fixtures with modular 'pigtail' connections, and return air troffer light fixtures allows for easier and less expensive changes and reconfiguration.

Open plenum ceilings require that HVAC, power and telecommunications systems have some architectural treatment or finish (e.g. metal or gypsum enclosure, painting, etc.), that they be fire rated or enclosed in a fire rated assembly. Fixed components such as rigid metal ductwork, rigid metal conduit, hard wired power and telecommunications connections, and fixed mounted light fixtures are more difficult and expensive to move and reconfigure.

The environmental benefits of the suspended ceiling designs include reduced environmental emissions from lower energy use (CO₂, SO_x, and No_x) which are shown in the Appendix. For office spaces in particular, the potential benefits of being able to reconfigure air distribution and lighting with the changing layout of workstations can provide better control of indoor air and lighting quality.

Energy efficiency and indoor environmental quality are important considerations for certification with the USGBC "LEED Green Building Rating System". The magnitude of the energy savings is over10% for the office designs, and 13.9 to18% savings for the food store design. This is a significant

Barry Donaldson & Associates

improvement towards achieving additional LEED credits of 1 to 3 points (EA Credit 1 - Optimize Energy Performance" - 10.5% reduction for 1 point, 14% reduction for 2 points, 17.5% reduction for 3 points).¹¹

The ability to provide acoustical separation, privacy and sound attenuation, although not included in LEED, are also important indoor environmental issues for offices and retail food stores.

Today, the availability of many different suspended ceiling products, systems, and designs such as floating ceilings, curved ceilings, and transparent/translucent ceilings provides a great deal of flexibility for different applications.



APPENDIX

A1 HVAC System Schematics



Rooftop Packaged Terminal AC (PTAC) - Food Store w/Suspended Ceiling (from The Trane Company "Systems Manual"



Rooftop VAV with Central Chiller/cooling Tower - Office w/Suspended Ceiling (from The Trane Company "Systems Manual")

Barry Donaldson & Associates



Rooftop Packaged Terminal AC (PTAC) - Office and Food Store Open Plenum w//Ducted Return (from Carrier Corporation "Commercial Systems Quick Reference")



Rooftop Air Handling Unit (AHU) with VAV Air Supply and Return Air Plenum - Office w/Suspended Ceiling (from Carrier Corporation "Commercial Systems Quick Reference")

CISCA Life Cycle Study



A2 Climate Zone Map of the United States





A3 Office and Food Store Cost Estimates - Suspended Ceiling vs. Open Plenum

			CHICAGO			
			Suspended C	eilina	Open Plenum	
	quantity	unit	unit	total	unit	total
COST DATA	. ,		cost	cost	cost	cost
Suspended Ceiling						
Acoustic Tile - 3/4"	15000	S.F.	2.50	37,500.00		
Suspension System, 2x2 grid	15000	S.F.	1.60	24,000.00		
1 1/2" carrier channels	15000	S.F.	1.35	20,250.00		
subtotal			5.45	81,750.00		
Painting						
Paint Ceiling	15000	S.F.			0.93	13.950.00
Paint Mechanical Systems	15000	S.F.			0.93	13,950.00
HVAC						
Centrifugal fan (return/exhaust)	2	EA.			3.803.77	7.607.54
Rectangular Duct - Supply	200	L.F.	162.20	32,440.00	162.20	32,440.00
Rectangular Duct - Return	200	L.F.		-,	162.20	32,440.00
Stub Duct-Supply	16	L.F.			162.20	2,595.20
Stub Duct-Return	16	L.F.			162.20	2,595.20
Flex Duct - Supply, insulated	128	L.F.	17.26	2,209.28		
Flex Duct-Return non-insulated	128	L.F.	13.82	1,768.96		
Supply Diffuser						
Return Grille			-	36 / 18 2/		77 677 04
Subiotal				50,410.24		11,011.34
Electrical	4000		0.50	44.070.00		
Power - MC Cable	4000	L.F.	3.59	14,372.00	7.07	
Power - Conduit	4000	L.F.	00.45	44 575 00	7.67	30,680.00
Cabletray	500	L.F.	29.15	14,575.00		20 680 00
Subiotal				20,947.00		30,000.00
Lighting						
Fluorescent 2x2, recess mounted in grid	44		195.78	8,614.32		
Fluorescent troffer, air handling	44		202.43	8,906.92		
Strip fixture w/pendant	88				215.07	18,926.16
subtotal				17,521.24		18,926.16
total			-	\$164,636.48	-	\$141,234.10
% difference				116.6%		

Barry Donaldson & Associates

			CHARLOTTE			
			Suspanded Cai	ling		
	quantity	unit	unit	total	unit	total
COST DATA	quantity	unit	cost	cost	cost	cost
Suspended Ceiling						
Acoustic Tile - 3/4"	15000	S.F.	1.88	28,200.00		
Suspension System, 2x2 grid, fire rated	15000	S.F.	1.27	19,050.00		
1 1/2" carrier channels	15000	S.F.				
subtotal			3.15	47,250.00		
Painting	45000	о г			0.00	40,000,00
Paint Celling Beint Mechanical Systems	15000	5.F.			0.80	12,000.00
Faint Mechanical Systems	15000	З.Г.			0.80	12,000.00
HVAC						
Centrifugal fan (return/exhaust)	2	EA.			2,653.27	5,306.54
Rectangular Duct - Supply	200	L.F.	70.60	14,120.00	70.60	14,120.00
Rectangular Duct - Return	200	L.F.			70.60	14,120.00
Stub Duct-Supply	16				70.60	1,129.60
Stub Duct-Return	10		10.11	1 204 09	70.60	1,129.60
Flex Duct - Supply, Insulated	120	L.F. I E	7 79	1,294.00		
Supply Diffuser	120	∟.Г.	1.19	997.12		
Return Grille						
subtotal				16,411.20		35,805.74
Electrical						
Power - MC Cable	4000	IF	2 13	8 532 40		
Power - Conduit	4000	L F	2.10	0,002.10	4 65	18 600 00
Cabletrav	500	L.F.	22.09	11.045.00		
subtotal				19,577.40		18,600.00
Lighting						
Fluorescent 2x2 recess mounted in arid	44		128 46	5 652 24		
Fluorescent troffer, air handling	44		144.46	6,356.24		
Strip fixture w/pendant	88			40.000.40	150.73	13,264.24
SUDIOTAI				12,008.48		13,264.24
total			-	\$95,247.08		\$79,669.98
0/				440.004		
% difference				119.6%		

Barry Donaldson & Associates

			OKLAHOMA C	ITY		
			Supported Co	iling		
	quantity	unit	Suspended Ce	total		total
COST DATA	quantity	unit	cost	cost	cost	cost
Suspended Ceiling	45000	0.5	4.70	00 050 00		
Acoustic Tile - 3/4"	15000	S.F.	1.79	26,850.00		
Suspension System, 2x2 grid, fire rated	15000	5.F.	1.23	18,450.00		
1 1/2 camer channels	15000	5.F.				
subtotal			3.02	45,300.00		
Deinting						
Painting Point Coiling	15000	СE			0.50	7 500 00
Paint Celling Daint Mechanical Systems	15000	З.Г. S.Е			0.50	7,500.00
Faint Mechanical Systems	15000	J.F.			0.50	7,500.00
HVAC						
Centrifugal fan (return/exhaust)	2	EA.			2,903.31	5,806.62
Rectangular Duct - Supply	200	L.F.	90.40	18,080.00	90.40	18,080.00
Rectangular Duct - Return	200	L.F.			90.40	18,080.00
Stub Duct-Supply	16	L.F.			90.40	1,446.40
Stub Duct-Return	16	L.F.			90.40	1,446.40
Flex Duct - Supply, insulated	128	L.F.	11.66	1,492.48		
Flex Duct-Return non-insulated	128	L.F.	9.09	1,163.52		
Supply Diffuser						
Return Grille						
subtotal				20,736.00		44,859.42
Electrical						
Power - MC Cable	4000	L.F.	2.35	9,406.00		
Power - Conduit	4000	L.F.			5.10	20,400.00
Cabletray	500	L.F.	22.68	11,340.00		
subtotal				20,746.00		20,400.00
Lighting						
Fluorescent 2x2 recess mounted in arid	I 44		137.87	6 066 28		
Fluorescent troffer, air handling	44		151.81	6 679 64		
ridorescent troner, all handling			101.01	0,010.04		
Strip fixture w/pendant	88				159.10	14,000.80
subtotal				12,745.92		14,000.80
total			-	\$99,527.92		\$86,760.22
% difference				114.7%		
			L			



			ORLANDO			
			Suspended Cei	lina	Open Plenum	
	quantity	unit	unit	total	unit	total
COST DATA	4		cost	cost	cost	cost
Supported Calling						
	15000	сг	1 70	26 950 00		
Suspension System 2x2 arid fire rated	15000	о.г. с Е	1.79	20,850.00		
1 1/2" carrier channels	15000	0.F. Q E	1.59	20,050.00		
	15000	J.F.				
subtotal				47,700.00		
Painting						
Paint Ceiling	15000	S F			0 44	6 600 00
Paint Mechanical Systems	15000	5.1. S.F			0.44	6,000.00
Faint Mechanical Systems	15000	J.F.			0.44	0,000.00
HVAC						
Centrifugal fan (return/exhaust)	2	EA.			2,889.68	5,779.36
Rectangular Duct - Supply	200	L.F.	89.60	17,920.00	89.60	17,920.00
Rectangular Duct - Return	200	L.F.			89.60	17,920.00
Stub Duct-Supply	16	L.F.			89.60	1,433.60
Stub Duct-Return	16	L.F.			89.60	1,433.60
Flex Duct - Supply, insulated	128	L.F.	11.59	1,483.52		
Flex Duct-Return non-insulated	128	L.F.	9.04	1,157.12		
Supply Diffuser						
Return Grille						
subtotal				20,560.64		44,486.56
Electrical						
Power - MC Cable	4000	L.F.	1.83	7,315.20		
Power - Conduit	4000	L.F.		·	4.01	16,040.00
Cabletray	500	L.F.	20.27	10,135.00		-
subtotal				17,450.20		16,040.00
Lighting						
Fluorescent 2x2 recess mounted in aria	4 44		113 53	4 995 32		
Fluorescent troffer air handling	44		130.60	5 746 40		
r horeseent troner, all handling			100.00	0,740.40		
Strip fixture w/pendant	88				135.63	11,935.44
subtotal				10,741.72		11,935.44
total			-	\$96,452.56		\$79,062.00
% difference				122.0%		

Barry Donaldson & Associates

			PHOENIX			
			Suspended C	eiling	Open Plenum	
	quantity	unit	unit	total	unit	total
COST DATA			cost	cost	cost	cost
Suspended Ceiling						
Acoustic Tile - 3/4"	15000	S.F.	2.06	30.900.00		
Suspension System, 2x2 grid, fire rated	15000	S.F.	1.30	19,500.00		
1 1/2" carrier channels	15000	S.F.				
subtotal				50,400.00		
Painting						
Paint Ceiling	15000	S.F.			0.45	6,750.00
Paint Mechanical Systems	15000	S.F.			0.45	6,750.00
HVAC						
Centrifugal fan (return/exhaust)	2	FΔ			3 091 17	6 182 34
Rectangular Duct - Supply	200	L F	105 20	21 040 00	105 20	21 040 00
Rectangular Duct - Return	200	L.F.		21,01000	105.20	21.040.00
Stub Duct-Supply	16	L.F.			105.20	1,683.20
Stub Duct-Return	16	L.F.			105.20	1,683.20
Flex Duct - Supply, insulated	128	L.F.	12.82	1,640.96		
Flex Duct-Return non-insulated	128	L.F.	10.07	1,288.96		
Supply Diffuser						
Return Grille						
subtotal				23,969.92		51,628.74
Electrical						
Power - MC Cable	4000	L.F.	2.35	9,389.60		
Power - Conduit	4000	L.F.			5.09	20,360.00
Cabletray	500	L.F.	23.14	11,570.00		
subtotal				20,959.60		20,360.00
Lighting						
Fluorescent 2x2, recess mounted in grid	44		138.38	6,088.72		
Fluorescent troffer, air handling	44		153.04	6,733.76		
Strip fixture w/pendant	88				160 24	14 101 12
subtotal	00			12,822.48	100.21	14,101.12
				, -		,
total				\$108,152.00		\$92,839.86
% difference				116.5%		
				110.070		

Barry Donaldson & Associates

			CHICAGO			
			Suspended C	eiling	Open Plenum	
	quantity	unit	unit	total	unit	total
COST DATA			cost	cost	cost	cost
Suspended Ceiling						
Acoustic Tile - 5/8"	10000	SF	2.50	25 000.00		
Suspension System, 2x2 grid, fire rated	10000	S.F.	1.29	12.900.00		
1 1/2" carrier channels	10000	S.F.	1.35	13,500.00		
				•		
subtotal			5.14	51,400.00		
Painting						
Paint Ceiling	10000	S.F.			0.93	9,300.00
Paint Mechanical Systems	10000	S.F.			0.93	9,300.00
Ηνας						
Centrifugal fan (return/exhaust)	2	FA			3 803 77	7 607 54
Rectangular Duct - Supply	150	L.F.	162.20	24.330.00	162.20	24.330.00
Rectangular Duct - Return	150	L.F.		L 1,000101	162.20	24.330.00
Stub Duct-Supply	10	L F.			162.20	1.622.00
Stub Duct-Return	10	L.F.			162.20	1.622.00
Flex Duct - Supply, insulated	100	L.F.	17.26	1.726.00	•	.,
Flex Duct-Return non-insulated	100	L.F.	13.82	1,382.00		
SupplyReturn Diffuser/Register	60	EA.	192.03	11,521.80		
			-			50 544 54
SUDIOTAI				38,959.80		59,511.54
Electrical						
Power - MC Cable	3000	L.F.	3.59	10,779.00		
Power - Conduit	3000	L.F.			7.67	23,010.00
Cabletray		L.F.	29.15	0.00		
subtotal				10,779.00		23,010.00
Lighting						
H.I.D. Recessed	100		195.85	19,585.00		
	400				220.00	00,000,00
H.I.D. w/pendant	100			19 585 00	229.80	22,986.00
Subtotai				19,000.00		22,300.00
total			-	\$120,723.80	-	\$114,807.54
% difference				105.2%		

Barry Donaldson & Associates

			CHARLOTTE			
			Suspended Ce	iling	Open Plenum	
	quantity	unit	unit	total	unit	total
COST DATA			cost	cost	cost	cost
Suspended Ceiling						
Acoustic Tile - 5/8"	10000) S.F.	1.88	18,800.00		
Suspension System, 2x2 grid, fir	re rated 10000) S.F.	1.03	10,300.00		
1 1/2" carrier channels	10000) S.F.		,		
w/recessed lighting		S.F.				
SI	ubtotal		2.91	29,100.00		
Painting						
Paint Ceiling	10000) S F			0.80	8 000 00
Paint Mechanical Systems	10000) S F			0.00	8,000,00
	10000	. 0.1 .			0.00	0,000.00
HVAC					0 050 07	5 000 54
Centrifugal fan (return/exhaust)	2	2 EA.			2,653.27	5,306.54
Rectangular Duct - Supply	150) L.F.	70.60	10,590.00	70.60	10,590.00
Rectangular Duct - Return	150) L.F.			70.60	10,590.00
Stub Duct-Supply	10) L.F.			70.60	706.00
Stub Duct-Return	10) L.F.	10.11		70.60	706.00
Flex Duct - Supply, insulated	100) L.F.	10.11	1,011.00		
Flex Duct-Return non-insulated	100) L.F.	7.79	779.00		
SupplyReturn Diffuser/Register	60) EA.	160.52	9,631.20		
SI	ubtotal			22,011.20		27,898.54
Electrical						
Power - MC Cable	3000) L.F.	2.13	6.399.30		
Power - Conduit	3000) L.F.	-	-,	4.65	13.950.00
Cabletray		L.F.	22.09	0.00		,
SI	ubtotal			6,399.30		13,950.00
Lighting						
HID Recessed	100)	152 69	15 269 00		
	100		102.00	10,200.00		
	100	h			176 44	17 644 00
ei	ibtotal	,		15 269 00	170.44	17 644 00
				10,200.00		17,077.00
	total			\$72,779.50		\$67,492.54
% diffe	erence			107.8%		



			OKLAHOMA	CITY		
			Suspended C	eiling	Open Plenum	
	quantity	unit	unit	total	unit	total
COST DATA			cost	cost	cost	cost
Suspended Ceiling						
Acoustic Tile - 5/8"	10000	S.F.	1.79	17.900.00		
Suspension System, 2x2 grid, fire rated	10000	S.F.	0.99	9,900.00		
1 1/2" carrier channels	10000	S.F.		,		
w/recessed lighting		S.F.				
subtotal			2.78	27,800.00		
Painting						
Paint Ceiling	10000	SE			0.50	5 000 00
Paint Mechanical Systems	10000	S.F.			0.50	5,000.00
	10000	0.1 .			0.00	0,000.00
HVAC						
Centrifugal fan (return/exhaust)	2	EA.			2,903.31	5,806.62
Rectangular Duct - Supply	150	L.F.	90.40	13,560.00	90.40	13,560.00
Rectangular Duct - Return	150	L.F.			90.40	13,560.00
Stub Duct-Supply	10	L.F.			90.40	904.00
Stub Duct-Return	10		11.00	4 400 00	90.40	904.00
Flex Duct - Supply, Insulated	100		11.66	1,166.00		
Flex Duct-Return non-Insulated	100		9.09	909.00		
SupplyReturn Diffuser/Register	60	EA.	107.41	10,044.60		
subtotal				25,679.60		34,734.62
Electrical						
Power - MC Cable	3000	L.F.	2.35	7,054.50		
Power - Conduit	3000	L.F.			5.10	15,300.00
Cabletray		L.F.	22.68	0.00		
subtotal				7,054.50		15,300.00
Lighting						
H.I.D. Recessed	100		157.16	15.716.00		
				,		
HID w/pendant	100				182 24	18 224 00
subtotal	100			15 716 00	102.24	18 224 00
Castolai				10,1 10.00		10,221.00
total			-	\$76,250.10		\$73,258.62
0/ difforance				10/ 10/		
% difference				104.170		

Barry Donaldson & Associates

			ORLANDO			
			Suspended Ce	iling	Open Plenum	
	quantity	unit	unit	total	unit	total
COST DATA			cost	cost	cost	cost
Suspended Ceiling						
Acoustic Tile - 5/8"	10000	S.F.	1.79	17,900.00		
Suspension System, 2x2 grid, fire rate	d 10000	S.F.	1.12	11,200.00		
1 1/2" carrier channels	10000	S.F.				
w/recessed lighting		S.⊦.		00.400.00		
subtotal				29,100.00		
Painting						
Paint Ceiling	10000	S.F.			0.44	4,400.00
Paint Mechanical Systems	10000	S.F.			0.44	4,400.00
HVAC						
Centrifugal fan (return/exhaust)	2	EA.			2,889.68	5,779.36
Rectangular Duct - Supply	150	L.F.	89.60	13,440.00	89.60	13,440.00
Rectangular Duct - Return	150	L.F.			89.60	13,440.00
Stub Duct-Supply	10	L.F.			89.60	896.00
Stub Duct-Return	10		11.50	4 450 00	89.60	896.00
Flex Duct - Supply, Insulated	100		11.59	1,159.00		
Flex Duci-Return Non-Insulated	100		9.04	904.00		
SupplyReturn Diffuser/Register	00	EA.	100.80	10,011.00		
subtotal				25,514.60		34,451.36
Electrical						
Power - MC Cable	3000	L.F.	1.83	5,486.40		
Power - Conduit	3000	L.F.			4.01	12,030.00
Cabletray		L.F.	20.27	0.00		
subtotal				5,486.40		12,030.00
Lighting						
H.I.D. Recessed	100		141.03	14,103.00		
H.I.D. w/pendant	100				162.37	16.237.00
subtotal				14,103.00		16,237.00
total			-	\$74,204.00		\$67,118.36
0/ +:::				110 00/		·
% difference				110.6%		



			PHOENIX			
			Suspended Co	eiling	Open Plenum	
	quantity	unit	unit	total	unit	total
COST DATA			cost	cost	cost	cost
Suspended Ceiling						
Acoustic Tile - 5/8"	10000	S.F.	2.06	20.600.00		
Suspension System, 2x2 grid, fire rated	10000	S.F.	1.05	10,500.00		
1 1/2" carrier channels	10000	S.F.				
w/recessed lighting		S.F.				
subtotal				31,100.00		
Painting						
Paint Ceiling	10000	SE			0.45	4 500 00
Paint Mechanical Systems	10000	SF			0.40	4 500 00
		0			0.10	.,
HVAC						
Centrifugal fan (return/exhaust)	2	EA.	405.00	45 700 00	3,091.17	6,182.34
Rectangular Duct - Supply	150		105.20	15,780.00	105.20	15,780.00
Rectangular Duct - Return	150				105.20	15,780.00
Stub Duct-Supply Stub Duct Peturn	10	L.F.			105.20	1,052.00
Elex Duct - Supply insulated	100		12.82	1 282 00	105.20	1,002.00
Flex Duct-Return non-insulated	100	L.F.	10.07	1,202.00		
SupplyReturn Diffuser/Register	60	FA	172 67	10 360 20		
subtotal				28,429.20		39,846.34
Electrical						
Power - MC Cable	3000	L.F.	2.35	7,042.20		
Power - Conduit	3000	L.F.		,	5.09	15,270.00
Cabletray		L.F.	23.14	0.00		
subtotal				7,042.20		15,270.00
Lighting						
HID Recessed	100		159 13	15 913 00		
	100				194 20	19 420 00
subtotal	100			15 913 00	104.39	18,439.00
Subtotal			_	10,010.00		10,400.00
total				\$82,484.40		\$78,055.34
% difference				105.7%		



A4 Energy / Cost Analyses of Office and Food Store - Suspended Ceiling vs. Open Plenum

CISCA Office Chicago Midway Airport, IL BDA Barry Donaldson

BUILDING	DESCRIPTION		
	Alternative 1		Alternative 2
Alternative Description	Single Stage Centri	fugal	Single Stage Centrifugal
Building Name	7+ Story Office		7+ Story Office
Floor Area	120,000 ft2		120,000 ft2
Max Building Cooling Load	282 tons		292 tons
Max Building Heating Load	2.687 mbh		2.703 mbh
System Set 1	FPVAV - Parallel (1	18.230 cfm)	FPVAV - Parallel (122,742 cfm)
Cooling Plant 1	Single Stage Centri	fugal (282 tons)	Single Stage Centrifugal (292 tons
Heating Plant	Gas Fired Boiler (2	687 mbh)	Gas Fired Boiler (2 703 mbh)
Building Cooling Coil load	199.043 ton-hrs/vea	ar	211.544 ton-hrs/year
Building Heating Coil load	1.103.933 kBtu/yea	r	1.065.105 kBtu/year
Building Energy Usage	51.433 Btu/(ft2-yea	r)	55.175 Btu/(ft2-year)
Building Energy (Utility) Cost	1.525 \$/(ft2-year)		1.676 \$/(ft2-year)
LIFE CYCLE	COST ANALYSIS		
	Alt 1 - 2		
Internal Rate of Return	9.6%		
Life Cycle Cost Difference	\$-2.663		
Net Present Value of Cash Flows	\$-2.663		
Life Cycle payback on Investment	> 10.0 yrs		
Simple Payback on Investment	7.0 yrs		
ENVIRONM	ENTAL IMPACT ANALYSIS		
	Alt 1 - 2		
CO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction)	-261,782 lbm/year		
SO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction)	-1,552,721 gm/year		
NOX Impact (- denotes reduction)	-519,263 gm/year		
INPUTS TO	ENERGY STAR BUILDING	LABEL BENCHMARKI	NG TOOL
Alternative	1	2	
City	Chicago Midway Airport, IL	Chicago Midway Airp	c
Building Area	120,000 ft2	120,000 ft2	
Weekly Operating Hours	58	58	
Number of Occupants	600	600	
Number of PC's Per Occupant	User Defined	User Defined	
Annual Electric Consumption	1,271,395 kWh	1,420,697 kWh	
Annual Gas Consumption	18,327 therms	17,722 therms	
Annual Oil Consumption	0 therms	0 therms	
Annual Steam Consumption	0 therms	0 therms	
Energy Star Building Label website:	www.epa.gov/buildinglabel		
TIME PRINT	ED		
ME PRINTED	10:13 PM 27 Aug 08		
ATASET NAME	C:\CDS\SYANALYZ\DATA	OFFCHI5.A	

BUILDING DESCRIPTION

Barry Donaldson & Associates

CISCA Office Charlotte, NC BDA Barry Donaldson

Alternative Description
Building Name
Floor Area
Max Building Cooling Load
Max Building Heating Load
System Set 1
Cooling Plant 1
Heating Plant
Building Cooling Coil load
Building Heating Coil load
Building Energy Usage
Building Energy (Utility) Cost

Alternative 1 Single Stage Centrifugal 7+ Story Office 120,000 ft2 289 tons 2,001 mbh FPVAV - Parallel (120,254 cfm) Single Stage Centrifugal (289 tons) Gas Fired Boiler (2,001 mbh) 253,409 ton-hrs/year 585,086 kBtu/year 46,225 Btu/ft2-year) 1.896 \$/(ft2-year) Alternative 2 Single Stage Centrifugal 7+ Story Office 120,000 ft2 299 tons 2,018 mbh FPVAV - Parallel (124,788 cfm) Single Stage Centrifugal (299 tons) Gas Fired Boiler (2,018 mbh) 270,668 ton-hrs/year 576,745 kBtu/year 50,664 Btu/(ft2-year) 2,106 \$/(ft2-year)

LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS

	Alt 1 - 2
Internal Rate of Return	25.3%
Life Cycle Cost Difference	\$67,166
Net Present Value of Cash Flows	\$67,166
Life Cycle payback on Investment	5.0 yrs
Simple Payback on Investment	3.4 yrs

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

	Alt 1 - 2
CO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction)	-238,727 lbm/year
SO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction)	-1,105,684 gm/year
NOX Impact (- denotes reduction)	-399,836 gm/year

INPUTS TO ENERGY STAR BUILDING LABEL BENCHMARKING TOOL

Alternative	1	2
City	Charlotte, NC	Charlotte, NC
Building Area	120,000 ft2	120,000 ft2
Weekly Operating Hours	58	58
Number of Occupants	600	600
Number of PC's Per Occupant	User Defined	User Defined
Annual Electric Consumption	1,320,345 kWh	1,480,590 kWh
Annual Gas Consumption	10,407 therms	10,264 therms
Annual Oil Consumption	0 therms	0 therms
Annual Steam Consumption	0 therms	0 therms

Energy Star Building Label website: www.epa.gov/buildinglabel

TIME PRINTED

TIME PRINTED 10:14 PM 27 Aug 08 DATASET NAME C:\CDS\SYANALYZ\DATA\OFFNC5.A2

Barry Donaldson & Associates

CISCA Office OK City, OK BDA Barry Donaldson

BUILDING D	ESCRIPTION			
	Alternative 1		Alternative 2	
Alternative Description	Single Stage Cent	rifugal	Single Stage Centrifugal	
Building Name	7+ Story Office		7+ Story Office 120.000 ft2	
Floor Area				
Max Building Cooling Load	295 tons		305 tons	
Max Building Heating Load	2.271 mbh		2.288 mbh	
System Set 1	FPVAV - Parallel (125,793 cfm)	FPVAV - Parallel (130,424 cfm)	
Cooling Plant 1	Single Stage Cent	rifugal (295 tons)	Single Stage Centrifugal (306 tons	
Heating Plant	Gas Fired Boiler (2,271 mbh)	Gas Fired Boiler (2,288 mbh)	
Building Cooling Coil load	274,707 ton-hrs/ye	ar	291,047 ton-hrs/year	
Building Heating Coil load	629,349 kBtu/year		612.152 kBtu/vear	
Building Energy Usage	47,314 Btu/(ft2-yea	ar)	51,724 Btu/(ft2-year)	
Building Energy (Utility) Cost	1.094 \$/(ft2-year)	1.211 \$/(ft2-year)		
LIFE CYCLE	COST ANALYSIS			
	Alt 1 - 2			
Internal Rate of Return	16.4%			
Life Cycle Cost Difference	\$20,938			
Net Present Value of Cash Flows	\$20,938			
Life Cycle payback on Investment	7.2 yrs			
Simple Payback on Investment	5.0 yrs			
ENVIRONM	ENTAL IMPACT ANALYSIS			
	Alt 1 - 2			
CO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction)	-273,306 lbm/year			
SO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction)	-357,444 gm/year			
NOX Impact (- denotes reduction)	-404,819 gm/year			
INPUTS TO	ENERGY STAR BUILDING	LABEL BENCHMAR	KING TOOL	
Alternative	1	2		
City	OK City, OK	OK City, OK		
Building Area	120,000 ft2	120,000 ft2		
Weekly Operating Hours	58	58		
Number of Occupants	600	600		
Number of PC's Per Occupant	User Defined	User Defined		
Annual Electric Consumption	1,338,330 kWh	1,500,808 kWh		
Annual Gas Consumption	11,099 therms	10,847 therms		
Annual Oil Consumption	0 therms	0 therms		
Annual Steam Consumption	0 therms	0 therms		
Energy Star Building Label website:	www.epa.gov/buildinglabel			
TIME PRINT	ED			
	10:15 PM 27 Aug 08			

Barry Donaldson & Associates

CISCA Office Orlando, FL BDA Barry Donaldson

BUILDING	DESCRIPTION		
	Alternative 1		Alternative 2
Alternative Description	Single Stage Ce	entrifugal	Single Stage Centrifugal
Building Name	7+ Story Office	in an again	7+ Story Office
Floor Area	120,000 ft2		120,000 ft2
Max Building Cooling Load	294 tons		305 tons
Max Building Heating Load	1.511 mbh		1.528 mbh
System Set 1	FPVAV - Paralle	el (115 920 cfm)	FPVAV - Parallel (120.343 cfm)
Cooling Plant 1	Single Stage Ce	entrifugal (294 tons)	Single Stage Centrifugal (305 tons)
Heating Plant	Gas Fired Boiler	(1.511 mbh)	Gas Fired Boiler (1.528 mbh)
Building Cooling Coil load	481,740 ton-hrs	/vear	511.470 ton-hrs/year
Building Heating Coil load	139 304 kBtu/ve	ar	135.852 kBtu/year
Building Energy Usage	46.609 Btu/(ft2-)	vear)	51 668 Btu/(ft2-year)
Building Energy (Utility) Cost	1.749 \$/(ft2-yea	r)	1.950 \$/(ft2-year)
	E COST ANALTSIS		
	Alt 1 - 2		
Internal Rate of Return	20.7%		
Life Cycle Cost Difference	\$51,814		
Net Present Value of Cash Flows	\$51,814		
Life Cycle payback on Investment	6.0 yrs		
Simple Payback on Investment	4.1 yrs		
ENVIRON	IENTAL IMPACT ANALYS	SIS	
	412.000 - 1949 -		
	Alt 1 - 2		
CO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction)	-269,007 lbm/year	f	
SO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction)	-1,241,024 gm/ye	ar	
NOX Impact (- denotes reduction)	-449,278 gm/year		
INPUTS TO	ENERGY STAR BUILDIN	NG LABEL BENCHMAR	RKING TOOL
Alternative	1	2	
City	Orlando, FL	Orlando, FL	
Building Area	120,000 ft2	120,000 ft2	
Weekly Operating Hours	58	58	
Number of Occupants	600	600	
Number of PC's Per Occupant	User Defined	User Defined	
Annual Electric Consumption	1,506,100 kWh	1,685,959 kWh	
Annual Gas Consumption	4,528 therms	4,460 therms	
Annual Oil Consumption	0 therms	0 therms	
Annual Steam Consumption	0 therms	0 therms	
Energy Star Building Label website	: www.epa.gov/buildinglal	bel	
TIME PRIN	TED		

TIME PRINTED 10:16 PM 27 Aug 08 DATASET NAME C:\CDS\SYANALYZ\DATA\OFFORL5.4

Barry Donaldson & Associates

CISCA Office Phoenix, AZ BDA Barry Donaldson

BUILDING	DESCRIPTION		
	Alternative 1		Alternative 2
Alternative Description	Single Stage (Centrifugal	Single Stage Centrifugal
Building Name	7+ Story Offic	9	7+ Story Office
Floor Area	120.000 ft2		120.000 ft2
Max Building Cooling Load	298 tons		308 tons
Max Building Heating Load	1.661 mbh		1.678 mbh
System Set 1	FPVAV - Para	llel (133.986 cfm)	FPVAV - Parallel (138,587 cfm)
Cooling Plant 1	Single Stage (Centrifugal (298 tons)	Single Stage Centrifugal (308 tons
Heating Plant	Gas Fired Boi	ler (1 661 mbh)	Gas Fired Boiler (1 678 mbh)
Building Cooling Coil load	335 719 ton-h	rs/vear	353 213 ton-brs/year
Building Heating Coil load	414 545 kBtu/	vear	411 690 kBtu/year
Building Energy Usage	46 712 Btu/(ft)	2-vear)	51 606 Btu/(ft2-year)
Building Energy (Utility) Cost	0.917 \$/(ft2-ye	ear)	1.020 \$/(ft2-year)
LIFE CYCL	E COST ANALYSIS		
	414.0		6
Internal Bate of Baters	Alt 1 - 2		
Internal Rate of Return	11.1%		
Life Cycle Cost Difference	\$3,687		
Net Present Value of Cash Flows	\$3,687		
Life Cycle payback on Investment	9.0 yrs		
Simple Payback on Investment	6.5 yrs		
ENVIRONM	IENTAL IMPACT ANAL	/SIS	÷
	Alt 1 - 2		
CO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction)	-172,712 lbm/ye	ar	
SO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction)	-190,429 gm/ye	ar	
NOX Impact (- denotes reduction)	-259,485 gm/ye	ar	
	ENERGY STAR BUILD	ING LABEL BENCHMAR	RKING TOOL
Alternative	1	2	
City	Phoenix, AZ	Phoenix, AZ	
Building Area	120,000 ft2	120,000 ft2	
Weekly Operating Hours	58	58	
Number of Occupants	600	600	
Number of PC's Per Occupant	User Defined	User Defined	
Annual Electric Consumption	1,403,323 kWh	1,576,441 kWh	
Annual Gas Consumption	8,158 therms	8,123 therms	
Annual Oil Consumption	0 therms	0 therms	
Annual Steam Consumption	0 therms	0 therms	
Energy Star Building Label website	: www.epa.gov/building	abel	
TIME PRIN	TED		

TIME PRINTED 10:16 PM 27 Aug 08 DATASET NAME C:\CDS\SYANALYZ\DATA\OFFPH05./

Barry Donaldson & Associates

CISCA Food Store Chicago Midway Airport, IL BDA Barry Donaldson

	Alternative 1
Alternative Description	Small Foodl-Susp Clg CHICAGO
Building Name	Large Retail
Floor Area	10,000 ft2
Max Building Cooling Load	37 tons
Max Building Heating Load	435 mbh
System Set 1	Pkgd. Terminal A/C (15,443 cfm)
Cooling Plant 1	Small Commercial (37 tons)
Heating Plant	Gas Fired Rooftop (435 mbh)
Building Cooling Coil load	38,313 ton-hrs/year
Building Heating Coil load	348,285 kBtu/year
Building Energy Usage	106,281 Btu/(ft2-year)
Building Energy (Utility) Cost	2.768 \$/(ft2-year)

LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS

	Alt 1 - 2
Internal Rate of Return	71.7%
Life Cycle Cost Difference	\$19,418
Net Present Value of Cash Flows	\$19,418
Life Cycle payback on Investment	1.7 yrs
Simple Payback on Investment	1.1 yrs

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

Alt 1 - 2 CO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) -68,363 lbm/year SO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) -418,233 gm/year NOX Impact (- denotes reduction) -138,851 gm/year

INPUTS TO ENERGY STAR BUILDING LABEL BENCHMARKING TOOL

Alternative 2

39 tons

441 mbh

Large Retail 10,000 ft2

Small Food-Open Plenum

Variable Temp CV (16,219 cfm)

Small Commercial (39 tons)

41,353 ton-hrs/year 320,281 kBtu/year

116,506 Btu/(ft2-year) 3.206 \$/(ft2-year)

Gas Fired Rooftop (442 mbh)

Alternative	1	2
City	Chicago Midway Airport, IL	Chicago Midway Airpc
Building Area	10,000 ft2	10,000 ft2
Weekly Operating Hours	98	98
Number of Occupants	50	50
Number of PC's Per Occupant	User Defined	User Defined
Annual Electric Consumption	183,842 kWh	224,058 kWh
Annual Gas Consumption	4,354 therms	4,004 therms
Annual Oil Consumption	0 therms	0 therms
Annual Steam Consumption	0 therms	0 therms

Energy Star Building Label website: www.epa.gov/buildinglabel

TIME PRINTED_

TIME PRINTED DATASET NAME 10:13 PM 27 Aug 08 C:\CDS\SYANALYZ\DATA\FOOD5CHI

Barry Donaldson & Associates

CISCA Food Store Charlotte, NC BDA Barry Donaldson

BUILDING I	DESCRIPTION			
	Alternative 1		Alternative 2	
Alternative Description	Small Foodl-Susp	Clg	Small Food-Open Pl	enum
Building Name	Large Retail	Large Retail		
Floor Area	10,000 ft2		10,000 ft2	
Max Building Cooling Load	40 tons		41 tons	
Max Building Heating Load	294 mbh		298 mbh	
System Set 1	Pkgd. Terminal A/C (16,319 cfm)		Variable Temp CV (*	17,101 cfr
Cooling Plant 1	Small Commercia	(40 tons)	Small Commercial (4	41 tons)
Heating Plant	Gas Fired Roofton	o (294 mbh)	Gas Fired Rooftop ((298 mbh)
Building Cooling Coil load	51,759 ton-hrs/yea	ar	55,570 ton-hrs/year	
Building Heating Coil load	116,681 kBtu/year	r	107,403 kBtu/year	
Building Energy Usage	82,775 Btu/(ft2-ye	ar)	96,468 Btu/(ft2-year))
Building Energy (Utility) Cost	2.817 \$/(ft2-year)		3.393 \$/(ft2-year)	
LIFE CYCL	E COST ANALYSIS			
	Alt 1 - 2			
Internal Rate of Return	123.8%			
Life Cycle Cost Difference	\$27,749			
Net Present Value of Cash Flows	\$27,749			
Life Cycle payback on Investment	0.9 vrs			
Simple Payback on Investment	0.6 yrs			
	Alt 1 - 2			
CO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction)	-63,950 lbm/year			
SO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction)	-300,309 gm/year			
NOX Impact (- denotes reduction)	-108,177 gm/year			
INPUTS TO	ENERGY STAR BUILDING	LABEL BENCHMA		
Altomative	1	2		
City	Charlotte NC	Charlotte NC		
Building Area	10.000 ft2	10.000 ft2		
Weekly Operating Hours	98	98		
Number of Occupants	50	50		
Number of PC's Per Occupant	User Defined	User Defined		
Annual Electric Consumption	199.790 kWh	243.314 kWh		
Annual Gas Consumption	1.459 therms	1.343 therms		
Annual Oil Consumption	0 therms	0 therms		
Annual Steam Consumption	0 therms	0 therms		
Energy Star Building Label website	: www.epa.gov/buildinglabe	I		
TIME PRIN	TED			
ME PRINTED	10:12 PM 27 Aug 08			

TIME PRINTED DATASET NAME 10:12 PM 27 Aug 08 C:\CDS\SYANALYZ\DATA\FOOD5NC..

Barry Donaldson & Associates

CISCA Food Store OK City, OK BDA Barry Donaldson

	A STATE STATE STATE STATE AND A STATE		
	Alternative 1		Alternative 2
Alternative Description	Small Food St	uan Cla	Small Food Open Plenum
Alternative Description	Small Food-Si	usp Cig	Small Food-Open Plenum
Eleer Area	Large Retail		Large Retail
Floor Area	10,000 112		10,000 Hz
wax Building Cooling Load	41 tons		42 tons
wax Building Heating Load	347 mbn Dkad Termina	LA/C (17 000 efee)	Joziahla Tama CV//18.025 cfm
Cooling Plant 1	Pkgd. Termina	AVC (17,229 Cim)	Small Commercial (42 tons)
Looting Plant	Small Commen	ften (247 mbh)	Cas Fired Bastler (252 mbb)
Ruilding Cooling Coil lood	58 262 top bro	hop (347 mbn)	62 220 top brokens
Building Cooling Coil load	140 072 LPh/	/year	122 E06 kBk/keps
Building Feating Coll load	140,973 KDIU/)	(ear	102,500 KBlu/year
Building Energy Usage	91,119 Dlu/(12	-year)	102,095 Blu/(It2-year)
Suilding Energy (Utility) Cost	2.169 \$/(ft2-ye	ar)	2.506 \$/(It2-year)
	E COST ANALYSIS		
	AH 1 - 2		
Internal Rate of Return	116.9%		
ife Cycle Cost Difference	\$15.410		
Net Present Value of Cash Flows	\$15,410		
ife Cycle navback on Investment	1 0 yrs		
Simple Payback on Investment	0.7 vre		
	Alt 1 - 2		
CO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction)	Alt 1 - 2 -65,578 lbm/yea	r	
CO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) SO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction)	Alt 1 - 2 -65,578 lbm/yea -87,550 gm/year		
CO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) SO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) NOX Impact (- denotes reduction)	Alt 1 - 2 -65,578 lbm/yea -87,550 gm/year -98,512 gm/year		
CO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) SO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) NOX Impact (- denotes reduction) INPUTS TO	Alt 1 - 2 -65,578 lbm/year -87,550 gm/year -98,512 gm/year ENERGY STAR BUILD	r ING LABEL BENCHMAI	RKING TOOL
CO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) SO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) NOX Impact (- denotes reduction) INPUTS TO	Alt 1 - 2 -65,578 lbm/year -87,550 gm/year -98,512 gm/year ENERGY STAR BUILD	ng label benchmai	RKING TOOL
CO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) SO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) NOX Impact (- denotes reduction) INPUTS TO	Alt 1 - 2 -65,578 lbm/year -87,550 gm/year -98,512 gm/year ENERGY STAR BUILD	ING LABEL BENCHMAI	RKING TOOL
CO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) SO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) NOX Impact (- denotes reduction) INPUTS TO Alternative City	Alt 1 - 2 -65,578 lbm/year -87,550 gm/year -98,512 gm/year ENERGY STAR BUILD 1 OK City, OK	ING LABEL BENCHMAI 2 OK City, OK	RKING TOOL
CO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) SO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) NOX Impact (- denotes reduction) INPUTS TO INPUTS TO Alternative City Building Area	Alt 1 - 2 -65,578 lbm/year -87,550 gm/year -98,512 gm/year ENERGY STAR BUILD 1 OK City, OK 10,000 ft2	ING LABEL BENCHMAI 2 OK City, OK 10,000 ft2	RKING TOOL
CO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) SO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) NOX Impact (- denotes reduction) INPUTS TO INPUTS TO Alternative City Suilding Area Weekly Operating Hours	Alt 1 - 2 -65,578 lbm/year -87,550 gm/year -98,512 gm/year ENERGY STAR BUILD 0K City, OK 10,000 ft2 98	ING LABEL BENCHMAI 2 OK City, OK 10,000 ft2 98	RKING TOOL
CO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) SO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) NOX Impact (- denotes reduction) INPUTS TO Alternative City Building Area Weekly Operating Hours Number of Occupants	Alt 1 - 2 -65,578 lbm/year -87,550 gm/year -98,512 gm/year ENERGY STAR BUILD 1 OK City, OK 10,000 ft2 98 50	ING LABEL BENCHMAI 2 OK City, OK 10,000 ft2 98 50	RKING TOOL
CO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) SO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) NOX Impact (- denotes reduction) INPUTS TO Alternative City Building Area Weekly Operating Hours Number of Occupants Number of PC's Per Occupant	Alt 1 - 2 -65,578 lbm/year -87,550 gm/year -98,512 gm/year ENERGY STAR BUILD 1 OK City, OK 10,000 ft2 98 50 User Defined	r 2 OK City, OK 10,000 ft2 98 50 User Defined	RKING TOOL
CO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) SO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) NOX Impact (- denotes reduction) INPUTS TO INPUTS TO Alternative City Building Area Weekly Operating Hours Number of PC's Per Occupant Annual Electric Consumption	Alt 1 - 2 -65,578 lbm/year -87,550 gm/year -98,512 gm/year ENERGY STAR BUILD 1 OK City, OK 10,000 ft2 98 50 User Defined 213,153 kWh	NG LABEL BENCHMAI 2 OK City, OK 10,000 ft2 98 50 User Defined 252,951 kWh	RKING TOOL
CO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) SO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) NOX Impact (- denotes reduction) INPUTS TO INPUTS TO Alternative City Building Area Neekly Operating Hours Number of PC's Per Occupant Number of PC's Per Occupant Annual Electric Consumption Annual Gas Consumption	Alt 1 - 2 -65,578 lbm/year -87,550 gm/year -98,512 gm/year ENERGY STAR BUILD 0K City, OK 10,000 ft2 98 50 User Defined 213,153 kWh 1,837 therms	ING LABEL BENCHMAI 2 OK City, OK 10,000 ft2 98 50 User Defined 252,951 kWh 1,656 therms	RKING TOOL
CO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) SO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) NOX Impact (- denotes reduction) INPUTS TO Alternative City Building Area Weekly Operating Hours Number of PC's Per Occupant Annual Gas Consumption Annual Gas Consumption Annual Gin Consumption	Alt 1 - 2 -65,578 lbm/year -87,550 gm/year -98,512 gm/year ENERGY STAR BUILD 1 OK City, OK 10,000 ft2 98 50 User Defined 213,153 kWh 1,837 therms 0 therms	ING LABEL BENCHMAI 2 OK City, OK 10,000 ft2 98 50 User Defined 252,951 kWh 1,656 therms 0 therms	RKING TOOL
CO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) SO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) NOX Impact (- denotes reduction) INPUTS TO INPUTS TO Alternative City Building Area Weekly Operating Hours Number of PC's Per Occupant Annual Electric Consumption Annual Gas Consumption Annual Steam Consumption	Alt 1 - 2 -65,578 lbm/year -87,550 gm/year -98,512 gm/year ENERGY STAR BUILD 1 OK City, OK 10,000 ft2 98 50 User Defined 213,153 kWh 1,837 therms 0 therms 0 therms	2 OK City, OK 10,000 ft2 98 50 User Defined 252,951 kWh 1,656 therms 0 therms 0 therms	RKING TOOL
CO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) SO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) NOX Impact (- denotes reduction) INPUTS TO Alternative City Building Area Weekly Operating Hours Number of Occupants Number of PC's Per Occupant Annual Electric Consumption Annual Gas Consumption Annual Oil Consumption Annual Steam Consumption Energy Star Building Label website:	Alt 1 - 2 -65,578 lbm/year -87,550 gm/year -98,512 gm/year ENERGY STAR BUILD 1 OK City, OK 10,000 ft2 98 50 User Defined 213,153 kWh 1,837 therms 0 therms 0 therms	ING LABEL BENCHMAI 2 OK City, OK 10,000 ft2 98 50 User Defined 252,951 kWh 1,656 therms 0 therms 0 therms	RKING TOOL
CO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) SO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) NOX Impact (- denotes reduction) INPUTS TO Alternative City Building Area Weekly Operating Hours Number of Occupants Number of Occupants Number of PC's Per Occupant Annual Electric Consumption Annual Electric Consumption Annual Oil Consumption Annual Steam Consumption Energy Star Building Label website:	Alt 1 - 2 -65,578 lbm/year -87,550 gm/year -98,512 gm/year ENERGY STAR BUILD 1 OK City, OK 10,000 ft2 98 50 User Defined 213,153 kWh 1,837 therms 0 therms 0 therms TED	ING LABEL BENCHMAI 2 OK City, OK 10,000 ft2 98 50 User Defined 252,951 kWh 1,656 therms 0 therms 0 therms	RKING TOOL
CO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) SO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction) NOX Impact (- denotes reduction) INPUTS TO Alternative City Building Area Weekly Operating Hours Number of Occupants Number of Occupants Number of PC's Per Occupant Annual Steam Consumption Annual Consumption Annual Oil Consumption Annual Steam Consumption Energy Star Building Label website: 	Alt 1 - 2 -65,578 lbm/year -87,550 gm/year -98,512 gm/year ENERGY STAR BUILD 1 OK City, OK 10,000 ft2 98 50 User Defined 213,153 kWh 1,837 therms 0 therms 0 therms 1 10:11 PM 27 Aug 08	ING LABEL BENCHMAI 2 OK City, OK 10,000 ft2 98 50 User Defined 252,951 kWh 1,656 therms 0 therms 0 therms	RKING TOOL

Barry Donaldson & Associates

CISCA Food Store Orlando, FL BDA **Barry Donaldson**

_

	Alternative 1	Alternative 2
Alternative Description	Small Foodl-Susp Clg	Small Food-Open Plenum
Building Name	Large Retail	Large Retail
Floor Area	10,000 ft2	10,000 ft2
Max Building Cooling Load	41 tons	43 tons
Max Building Heating Load	194 mbh	197 mbh
System Set 1	Pkgd. Terminal A/C (15,794 cfm)	Variable Temp CV (16,558 cfm
Cooling Plant 1	Small Commercial (41 tons)	Small Commercial (43 tons)
Heating Plant	Gas Fired Rooftop (194 mbh)	Gas Fired Rooftop (197 mbh)
Building Cooling Coil load	100,704 ton-hrs/year	108,534 ton-hrs/year
Building Heating Coil load	14,252 kBtu/year	13,695 kBtu/year
Building Energy Usage	89,117 Btu/(ft2-year)	105,256 Btu/(ft2-year)
Building Energy (Utility) Cost	2.940 \$/(ft2-year)	3.438 \$/(ft2-year)

	Alt 1 - 2
Internal Rate of Return	108.5%
Life Cycle Cost Difference	\$23,683
Net Present Value of Cash Flows	\$23,683
Life Cycle payback on Investment	1.1 yrs
Simple Payback on Investment	0.7 yrs

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

	Alt 1 - 2
CO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction)	-71,151 lbm/year
SO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction)	-327,663 gm/year
NOX Impact (- denotes reduction)	-118,681 gm/year

INPUTS TO ENERGY STAR BUILDING LABEL BENCHMARKING TOOL

Alternative	1	2
City	Orlando, FL	Orlando, FL
Building Area	10,000 ft2	10,000 ft2
Weekly Operating Hours	98	98
Number of Occupants	50	50
Number of PC's Per Occupant	User Defined	User Defined
Annual Electric Consumption	255,893 kWh	303,380 kWh
Annual Gas Consumption	178 therms	171 therms
Annual Oil Consumption	0 therms	0 therms
Annual Steam Consumption	0 therms	0 therms

Energy Star Building Label website: www.epa.gov/buildinglabel

TIME PRINTED

TIME PRINTED DATASET NAME 10:09 PM 27 Aug 08 C:\CDS\SYANALYZ\DATA\FOOD5ORI

Barry Donaldson & Associates

CISCA Food Store Phoenix, AZ BDA Barry Donaldson

BUILDING D	DESCRIPTION				
	Alternative 1		Alternative 2		
Alternative Description	Small Foodl-Sus	Clg	Small Food-Open Plenum		
Building Name	Large Retail		Large Retail		
Floor Area	10,000 ft2		10,000 ft2		
Max Building Cooling Load	41 tons		42 tons		
Max Building Heating Load	215 mbh		218 mbh		
System Set 1	Pkgd. Terminal A	/C (19,233 cfm)	Variable Temp CV (20,054 cfm		
Cooling Plant 1	Small Commercia	al (41 tons)	Small Commercial (42 tons)		
Heating Plant	Gas Fired Roofto	p (215 mbh)	Gas Fired Rooftop (218 mbh)		
Building Cooling Coil load	65,368 ton-hrs/ye	ar	69,844 ton-hrs/year		
Building Heating Coil load	68.030 kBtu/vear		64.871 kBtu/vear		
Building Energy Usage	87,842 Btu/(ft2-ye	ear)	101,578 Btu/(ft2-year)		
Building Energy (Utility) Cost	1.663 \$/(ft2-year)		1.934 \$/(ft2-year)		
Net Present Value of Cash Flows Life Cycle payback on Investment Simple Payback on Investment	\$12,185 \$12,185 1.7 yrs 1.2 yrs				
ENVIRONM	ENTAL IMPACT ANALYSI	S	S		
	Alt 1 - 2				
CO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction)	-40,948 lbm/year				
SO2 Impact (- denotes Reduction)	-45,540 gm/year				
NOX Impact (- denotes reduction)	-61,887 gm/year				
INPUTS TO	ENERGY STAR BUILDIN	G LABEL BENCHMA	RKING TOOL		
Alternative	1	2			
City	Phoenix, AZ	Phoenix, AZ			
Building Area	10,000 ft2	10,000 ft2			

Auchidure		-
City	Phoenix, AZ	Phoenix, AZ
Building Area	10,000 ft2	10,000 ft2
Weekly Operating Hours	98	98
Number of Occupants	50	50
Number of PC's Per Occupant	User Defined	User Defined
Annual Electric Consumption	232,462 kWh	273,863 kWh
Annual Gas Consumption	850 therms	811 therms
Annual Oil Consumption	0 therms	0 therms
Annual Steam Consumption	0 therms	0 therms

Energy Star Building Label website: www.epa.gov/buildinglabel

TIME PRINTED

TIME PRINTED DATASET NAME 10:11 PM 27 Aug 08 C:\CDS\SYANALYZ\DATA\FOOD5PH(

Barry Donaldson & Associates

NOTES

Foo	od Store Building - Suspended Ceilir	ngs vs. Op	oen Pler	านเ	n - Life Cycle	Study
					CHARLOTTE	
				+	Suspended Ce	ilina
		quantity	unit	+	unit	total
COS	ST DATA	, ,		1	cost	cost
Sus	spended Ceiling			┢		
	Acoustic Tile - 3/4"	10000	S.F.		1.88	18,800.00
	Suspension System, 2x2 grid, fire rated	10000	S.F.		1.03	10,300.00
	1 1/2" carrier channels	10000	S.F.			
	w/recessed lighting		S.F.			
	subtotal				2.91	29,100.00
Pai	nting			┢		
	Paint Ceiling	10000	S.F.			
	Paint Mechanical Systems	10000	S.F.			
HV	AC			┝		
	Centrifugal fan (return/exhaust)	2	EA.	t		
	Rectangular Duct - Supply	150	L.F.	1	70.60	10,590.00
	Rectangular Duct - Return	150	L.F.			
	Stub Duct-Supply	10	L.F.			
	Stub Duct-Return	10	L.F.			
	Flex Duct - Supply, insulated	100	L.F.		10.11	1,011.00
	Flex Duct-Return non-insulated	100	L.F.		7.79	779.00
	SupplyReturn Diffuser/Register	60	EA.		160.52	9,631.20
	subtotal					22,011.20
_						

Barry Donaldson & Associates

1. IFMA 2002 "Project Management Benchmarks" report, Today's Facility Manager, March 2007

2. Building Construction Cost Data 2007, 65th Annual Edition, RS Means, Massachusetts 2007

3. BOMA "Experience Exchange Report 2007", Building Owners and Managers Association International, Washington, D.C. 2007

4. "System Analyzer 5.0", The Trane Company, LaCrosse, WI

5. "Buildings Energy Data Book", Table 7.4 Typical Commercial Buildings, U.S. Department of Energy, Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, September 2006

6. "Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey (CBECS)", Energy Information Administration, www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/cbecs/pba99/compareenergyuse.html, January 2003

7. "2007 BOMA Experience Exchange Report"

8. "Grocery Stores - Background and Development", Allbusiness.com, 2007

9. "Buildings Energy Data Book", USDOE

10. "Building Technology Platform, Tate Access Floors from the "1998 BOMA Experience Exchange Report"

11. "LEED-NC Green Building Rating System for New Construction & Major Renovations, Version 2.2", October 2005