Expertise. Insight. Solutions.

SYLLABUS OF BASIC EDUCATION

2021

Estimation of Policy Liabilities, Insurance Company Valuation, and Enterprise Risk Management – Exam 7

The syllabus for this four-hour exam is defined in the form of learning objectives, knowledge statements, and readings.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES set forth, usually in broad terms, what the candidate should be able to do in actual practice. Included in these learning objectives are certain methodologies that may not be possible to perform on an examination, such as complex simulations, but that the candidate would still be expected to explain conceptually in the context of an examination.

KNOWLEDGE STATEMENTS identify some of the key terms, concepts, and methods that are associated with each learning objective. These knowledge statements are not intended to represent an exhaustive list of topics that may be tested, but they are illustrative of the scope of each learning objective.

READINGS support the learning objectives. It is intended that the readings, in conjunction with the material on earlier examinations, provide sufficient resources to allow the candidate to perform the learning objectives. Some readings are cited for more than one learning objective. The CAS Syllabus & Examination Committee emphasizes that candidates are expected to use the readings cited in this Syllabus as their primary study materials.

Thus, the learning objectives, knowledge statements, and readings complement each other. The learning objectives define the behaviors, the knowledge statements illustrate more fully the intended scope of the learning objectives, and the readings provide the source material to achieve the learning objectives. Learning objectives should not be seen as independent units, but as building blocks for the understanding and integration of important competencies that the candidate will be able to demonstrate.

Note that the range of weights shown should be viewed as a guideline only. There is no intent that they be strictly adhered to on any given examination—the actual weight may fall outside the published range on any particular examination.

The overall section weights should be viewed as having more significance than the weights for the individual learning objectives. Over a number of years of examinations, absent changes, it is likely that the average of the weights for each individual overall section will be in the vicinity of the guideline weight. For the weights of individual learning objectives, such convergence is less likely. On a given examination, in which it is very possible that not every individual learning objective will be tested, there will be more divergence of guideline weights and actual weights. Questions on a given learning objective may be drawn from any of the listed readings, or a combination of the readings. There may be no questions from one or more readings on a particular exam.

After each set of learning objectives, the readings are listed in abbreviated form. Complete text references are provided at the end of this exam syllabus.

Items marked with a bold SK or SKU constitute the 2021 Exam 7 Study Kit that may be purchased from the CAS Online Store. The 2021 Update to the 2020 Study Kit includes only the new items marked with a bold SKU; the Update may be purchased from the CAS Online Store. Items marked with a bold OP (Online Publication) are available at no charge and may be downloaded from the CAS website.

Please check the "Syllabus Updates" section of the CAS Web Site for any changes to the Syllabus.



2021

Estimation of Policy Liabilities, Insurance Company Valuation, and Enterprise Risk Management – Exam 7

A. Estimation of Policy Liabilities

Range of weight for Section A: 65-75 percent

This section focuses on advanced techniques that the actuary may need to estimate reserves for unpaid claims. The candidate is expected to be well versed in the basic Principles and Standards of Practice for unpaid claim estimation. This section addresses how actuarial concepts are adapted to evaluate liabilities arising in complex risk transfer agreements common in excess insurance and reinsurance contracts. Emphasis is placed on developing ranges around a best estimate.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES	KNOWLEDGE STATEMENTS
Calculate unpaid claim estimates using credibility models.	 a. Application of credibility b. Mechanics of the methods (including loss ratio based payout factors) c. Strengths and weaknesses d. Testing results for reasonableness
Range of weight: 10-14 percent	
READINGS	
BrosiusHürlimannMack (2000)	



2021

Estimation of Policy Liabilities, Insurance Company Valuation, and Enterprise Risk Management – Exam 7

LEARNING OBJECTIVES	KNOWLEDGE STATEMENTS		
2. Estimate parameters and unpaid claims using claims development models related to loss reserving methods such as: • Chain ladder • Cape Cod • Chain ladder plus calendar-year effects • Bornhuetter-Ferguson 3. Calculate the moments and percentiles of unpaid claim distributions implied by the models. Range of weight for Learning Objectives A.2 and	 a. Key assumptions of the models and testing of assumptions b. Original Mack chain ladder assumptions c. Relationship of variance assumptions to methods of calculating development factors d. Row-factor, column-factor, and combined row-times column-factor models e. Calendar-year effects in development factor models and in row-column factor models f. Effect of trends and their interrelationship (e.g., calendar year, accident year, and development year trends) g. Testing for and eliminating insignificant parameters h. Testing whether the methods work and how well the models fit (including both one-tail and two-tail tests) i. Moments of the chain ladder unpaid claim estimate when factors are calculated based on different variance assumptions j. Simulation of parameter percentiles and unpaid claims percentiles when models assume a distribution of residuals fit by MLE 		
A.3 collectively: 16-18 percent			
READINGS			

Clark Mack (1994) Venter Factors



2021

Estimation of Policy Liabilities, Insurance Company Valuation, and Enterprise Risk Management – Exam 7

LEARNING OBJECTIVES	KNOWLEDGE STATEMENTS		
Estimate unpaid claims for various layers of claims.	Methods for estimating unpaid claims in a deductible layer, excess of a threshold, and excess of a retention but bounded by a limit		
	b. Interrelationships between parameters and development patterns for forecasting deductible, unlimited excess, layer excess and total claims		
Range of weight: 5-7 percent			
READINGS			
SahasrabuddheSiewert			



2021

Estimation of Policy Liabilities, Insurance Company Valuation, and Enterprise Risk Management – Exam 7

LEARNING OBJECTIVES	KNOWLEDGE STATEMENTS
5. Describe the various sources of risk and uncertainty that are associated with the determination of reserves. Calculate risk methat consider these sources of risk and uncertainty.	a. Systemic risks and independent risks b. Limitations of quantitative risk assessment c. Risk correlations d. Testing and evaluation of risk models
Calculate the mean and prediction error of reserve given an underlying statistical mod	
7. Derive predictive distributions using bootstrapping, simulation techniques, and generalized linear models.	 a. Comparison of methods b. Simulation using bootstrapping c. Simulation from parameters d. Bayesian methods e. Generalized linear models
 8. Identify data issues and related model adjustments for reserving models. 9. Test assumptions underlying reserve models. 10. Develop a distribution of reserves using weard multiple stochastic models. 	c. Companion of OBI Bootstap and GEM
Range of weight for Learning Objective through A.10 collectively: 22-24 percent	es A.5

READINGS

- Marshall et al.
- Shapland
- Taylor
- Verrall
- Meyers (2015)



2021

Estimation of Policy Liabilities, Insurance Company Valuation, and Enterprise Risk Management – Exam 7

LEARNING OBJECTIVES	KNOWLEDGE STATEMENTS
Compare and contrast reinsurance and primary reserving procedures.	a. Reinsurance and primary reserving methods b. Effect on assumptions from differences in
12. Adjust primary methods and data to be used for	information available to reinsurers
reinsurance reserving.	c. Stanard-Buhlmann (Cape Cod) method
 Calculate ceded loss reserves using appropriate methods. 	 Underlying business characteristics of reinsurance contracts, e.g., concentration of exposures
	e. Data structures, e.g., Ground up versus Excess loss, Accident Year versus Treaty Year
Range of weight for Learning Objectives A.11 through A.13 collectively: 6-9 percent	
READINGS	
Patrik	

LEARNING OBJECTIVES	KNOWLEDGE STATEMENTS
14. Forecast Premium Reserves.	a. Reserves for retrospective premiums
Range of weight: 4-5 percent	
READINGS	
Teng and Perkins	



2021

Estimation of Policy Liabilities, Insurance Company Valuation, and Enterprise Risk Management – Exam 7

B. Insurance Company Valuation

Range of weight for Section B: 8-12 percent

This section focuses on methods used to determine the theoretical value of equity securities and extending the methodology to value property and casualty insurance companies. The candidate is expected to be proficient with the basic tools and techniques commonly used in the financial analysis of corporations as described in the knowledge requirements set forth for VEE–Accounting and Finance (previously VEE-Corporate Finance).

LEARNING OBJECTIVES		KNOWLEDGE STATEMENTS			
1.	Calculate the effect of loss and expense reserve requirements and regulatory or rating agency capital requirements on the free cash flow to equity for a P&C insurer.	a.	Calculate the effect of loss and expense reserve requirements and regulatory or rating agency capital requirements on the free cash flow to equity for a P&C insurer.		
2.	Value the equity of a P&C insurer based on its	a.	Dividend Discount Model (DDM)		
	expected future dividends, its free cash flow to	b.	Free cash flow to equity for a P&C insurer		
equity, or its expected abnormal earnings		c.	Discounted Cash Flow (DCF) Valuation using free cash flow to equity (FCFE), including effect of alternative methods of estimating terminal values and reasons why this method is preferred over the free cash flow to the firm (FCFF) method for P&C insurers		
		d.	Abnormal Earnings (AE) Valuation, including effect of alternative methods of estimating terminal values		
		e.	Option Pricing		
3.	Value the equity of a firm using comparative or relative valuation methods based on multiples of selected financial variables obtained from either peer companies or from underlying fundamentals.	a. b.	Comparative valuation ratios including price- earnings, price-sales, price-book, price-cash flow Relationship between the dividend discount model and the price-earnings (P-E) ratio Relationship between the abnormal earnings		
		C.	valuation model and the price-book value (P-BV) ratio		
	nge of weight for Learning Objectives B.1 bugh B.3 collectively: 8-12 percent				
REA	ADINGS				
•	Goldfarb				



2021

Estimation of Policy Liabilities, Insurance Company Valuation, and Enterprise Risk Management – Exam 7

C. Enterprise Risk Management

Range of weight for Section C: 15-25 percent

This section introduces the candidate to the concepts and basic techniques of Enterprise Risk Management (ERM). ERM seeks to integrate the entire landscape of risk that confronts a business. Topics include value of risk management and basic modeling concepts.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES KNOWLEDGE STATEMENTS			
Demonstrate how insurance and financial risk can be analyzed quantitatively.	Definition of ERM and key elements of consideration		
	b. ERM process and risk management		
	c. ERM risk models evaluation		
	d. Sources of risks and modeling of dependencies		
	e. ERM in setting capital requirements		
2. Describe the rationale for, methods for, and	a. IRM and other capital adequacy models		
effect of managing insurance and financial risks.	b. An asset-liability modeling approach		
	c. Reinsurance and Risk optimization		
3. Demonstrate the properties of various risk	a. VaR, TVaR, and XTVaR		
measures and their limitations.	b. Expected policyholder deficit		
4. Describe how risk measures and risk modeling,	c. Probability transforms		
including allocation, can affect strategic management.	d. Generalized moments		
5. Describe the use of enterprise-wide risk	a. Incorporating the use of correlation		
modeling and aggregation techniques.	b. Evaluation and selection of appropriate copulas		
 Evaluate and select appropriate models to handle diverse risks, including stochastic 	as part of the process of modeling multi-variate risks		
approaches.	c. Tail dependence and tail correlations		
	d. Low frequency/high severity events		
	e. Parameter, projection, estimation, and model risk		
	112K		
Range of weight for Learning Objectives C.1 through C.6 collectively: 13-17 percent			
READINGS			
Brehm et al., Chapter 1, Chapter 2 (Sections 2.1-2.5), Chapter 3 (Sections 3.1-3.3)			



2021

Estimation of Policy Liabilities, Insurance Company Valuation, and Enterprise Risk Management – Exam 7

LEARNING OBJECTIVES	KNOWLEDGE STATEMENTS
 Describe operational risk and demonst possible mitigation and quantification methodology. 	a. Types of operational risk b. Key risk indicators and operational risk modeling c. Types of strategic risks d. Examples of strategic risks e. Scenario planning
Describe approaches to modeling the underwriting cycle.	 a. Definition, characteristics, and drivers of the underwriting cycle b. Soft, behavioral, and technical modeling approaches c. Modeling components: supply and demand, capital flows
Range of weight for Learning Objectives C collectively: 4-6 percent	7.7 and C.8
READINGS	<u> </u>
Brehm et al., Chapter 4 and Chapter 5,	Section 5.4

2021

Estimation of Policy Liabilities, Insurance Company Valuation, and Enterprise Risk Management – Exam 7

Complete Text References for Exam 7

Text references are alphabetized by the citation column.

Citation	Abbreviation	Learning Objective	Source	
Brehm, P.; Gluck, S.; Kreps, R.; Major, J.; Mango, D.; Shaw, R.; Venter, G.; White, S.; and Witcraft, S., Guy Carpenter, "Enterprise Risk Analysis for Property & Liability Insurance Companies," Chapter 1, 2 (excluding Section 2.6), 3 (excluding Section 3.4), 4, and 5 (Section 5.4 only).	Brehm et al.	C1-C8	SK	
Brosius, E., "Loss Development Using Credibility," CAS Study Note, March 1993.	Brosius	A1	OP	
Clark, D.R., "LDF Curve Fitting and Stochastic Reserving: A Maximum Likelihood Approach," Casualty Actuarial Society Forum, Fall 2003.	Clark	A2-A3	OP	
Goldfarb, R., "P&C Insurance Company Valuation," CAS Study Note, October 2010.	Goldfarb	B1-B3	OP	
Hürlimann, W., "Credible Loss Ratio Claims Reserves: The Benktander, Neuhaus and Mack Methods Revisited," <i>ASTIN</i> <i>Bulletin</i> 39(1), 2009, pp. 81-99. Including errata.	Hürlimann	A1	OP	
Candidates are not responsible for mathematical proofs.				
Mack, T., "Measuring the Variability of Chain Ladder Reserve Estimates," Casualty Actuarial Society Forum, Spring 1994.	Mack (1994)	A2-A3	OP	
Mack, T., "Credible Claims Reserve: The Benktander Method," ASTIN Bulletin, 2000, pp. 333-337.	Mack (2000)	A1	OP	
Marshall, K.; Collings, S.; Hodson, M.; and O'Dowd, C., "A Framework for Assessing Risk Margins," Institute of Actuaries of Australia 16th General Insurance Seminar, 9-12 November 2008, Coolum, Australia.	Marshall et al.	A5-A10	OP	
Meyers, G., "Stochastic Loss Reserving Using Bayesian MCMC Models," CAS Monograph #1.	Meyers (2015)	A5-A10	OP	
Patrik, G.S., "Reinsurance," Foundations of Casualty Actuarial Science, Fourth Edition, Casualty Actuarial Society, 2001, Chapter 7, pp. 434-464 (section on Reinsurance Loss Reserving).	Patrik	A11-A13	OP	
Sahasrabuddhe, R., "Claims Development by Layer: The Relationship between Claims Development Patterns, Trend and Claim Size Models," Casualty Actuarial Society <i>E-Forum</i> , Fall 2010, Volume 1 (revised January 2, 2013). Including errata.	Sahasrabuddhe	A4	OP	



2021

Estimation of Policy Liabilities, Insurance Company Valuation, and Enterprise Risk Management – Exam 7

Citation	Abbreviation	Learning Objective	Source	
Shapland, M., "Using the ODP Bootstrap Model: A Practitioner's Guide," CAS Monograph #4. Supplementary modeling files linked on pages 61-62 will aid in understanding of the method's application.	Shapland	A5-A10	OP	
Siewert, J.J., "A Model for Reserving Workers Compensation High Deductibles," Casualty Actuarial Society <i>Forum</i> , Summer 1996, pp. 217-244.	Siewert	A4	OP	
Taylor, G. and McGuire G., "Stochastic Loss Reserving Using Generalized Linear Models," CAS Monograph #3, Chapters 1-3. Including errata.	Taylor	A5-A10	OP	
Teng, M.T.S. and Perkins, M.E., "Estimating the Premium Asset on Retrospectively Rated Policies," <i>PCAS</i> LXXXIII, 1996, pp. 611-647, excluding Section 5. Including discussion of paper: Feldblum, S., <i>PCAS</i> LXXXV, 1998, pp. 274-315, Sections 1 and 2 only. Candidates will not be held responsible for specific Annual Statement notation but will be responsible for concepts presented.	Teng and Perkins	A14	OP	
Venter, G.G., "Testing the Assumptions of Age-to-Age Factors," PCAS LXXXV, 1998, pp. 807-847. Including errata.	Venter Factors	A2-A3	OP	
Verrall, R.J., "Obtaining Predictive Distributions for Reserves Which Incorporate Expert Opinion," Variance, Vol. 1, Issue 1, 2007, Casualty Actuarial Society. Including errata.	Verrall	A5-A10	ОР	



2021

Estimation of Policy Liabilities, Insurance Company Valuation, and Enterprise Risk Management – Exam 7

Source Key

В	Book—may be purchased from the publisher or bookstore or borrowed from the CAS Library.
NEW	Indicates new or updated material.
OP	All text references marked as Online Publications will be available on a web page titled Complete Online Text References.
SK	Material included in the 2021 Study Kit.
SKU	Material included in both the 2021 CAS Study Kit and the 2021 Update to the 2020 Study Kit.

Items printed in **red** indicate an update, clarification, or change.

Publishers and Distributors

Contact information is furnished for those who wish to purchase the text references cited for this exam. Publishers and distributors are independent and listed for the convenience of candidates; inclusion does not constitute endorsement by the CAS.

ACTEX Learning (Mad River Books), 4 Bridge Street, P.O. Box 715, New Hartford, CT 06057; telephone: (800) 282-2839 or (860) 379-5470; fax: (860) 738-3152; e-mail: support@actexmadriver.com; website: www.actexmadriver.com.

Actuarial Bookstore, P.O. Box 69, Greenland, NH 03840; telephone: (800) 582-9672 (U.S. only) or (603) 430-1252; fax: (603) 430-1258; website: www.actuarialbookstore.com.

Casualty Actuarial Society, 4350 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 250, Arlington, VA 22203; telephone: (703) 276-3100; fax: (703) 276-3108; e-mail: office@casact.org; website: www.casact.org.

Institute of Actuaries of Australia, Level 7 Challis House 4 Martin Place, Sydney NSW 2000, Australia; telephone: +61 (2) 9233 3466; website: http://www.actuaries.asn.au.

Version: Exam_7_2021 v02 2020_11_19.doc