



The Death of Biblical Doctrine

Dr. Peter S. Ruckman

President, Pensacola Bible Institute B.A., B.D., M.A., Th.M., Ph.D.

COPYRIGHT © 2007 by Peter S. Ruckman All Rights Reserved (PRINT) ISBN 1-58026-218-x

PUBLISHER'S NOTE

The Scripture quotations found herein are from the text of the Authorized *King James* Version of the Bible. Any deviations therefrom are not intentional.

BB BOOKSTORE

P.O. Box 7135 Pensacola, FL 32534

www.kjv1611.org

Other works available on Kindle

Table of Contents

Introduction

- 1. Getting Rid of the Holy Scriptures
- 2. Getting Rid of Eternal Security
- 3. Getting Rid of the Rapture
- 4. Old Testament and New Testament Salvation
- **5. Doctrine and Teaching**
- **6. The Old Testament Saints**
- 7. Getting Rid of the Body of Christ
- 8. The Sons of God and Christ's Lordship
- 9. The Sons of God and Spiritual Circumcision
- 10. Confounding Remission with Redemption
- 11. Getting Rid of the Kingdoms
- **12. John 15 and Romans 11**
- 13. Worldwide Peace

Afterward

Introduction

The thing that "mankind" has against *one Book* is apparent if you take time out to read *that* Book. It explains why that Book has been revised more than 220 times since 1880, just before English became the universal language of the "New Age." You are forbidden even to READ it in a schoolroom in America; you are forbidden to quote it in a court of law; you are forbidden to open Congress or the UN Assembly with any readings from it; and you are forbidden to preach or teach what it *says* about sex perverts, Hindus, Buddhists, Catholics, Jews, Moslems, atheists, evolutionists, humanists, and "Global Citizens" (for example: Matt. 11:27, 23:9, 33, 25:41; John 8:17, 14:6; Rom. 1:26–28; Lev. 20:13; Jude 6–7; 1 John 5:20; Eph. 5:22; Zeph. 3:8; Isa. 63:1–7).

Now the "hex" on this Book has to do with a simple thing that no one would suspect or even take "time out" to examine. The truth is it professes to be a *HISTORY BOOK*, not a *religious* book about someone's "faith." It does not begin with one word about any God being the "one true God," or any belief being the "one true belief," or anything about moral standards, sacraments, life after death, or even individuals giving account of themselves to some God. Nothing whatever is said about anyone's "searching" for God or the truth, finding God or the truth, becoming "one with the universe," or "developing a universal consciousness" or attaining *any* degree of spirituality through *any* system of thought or conduct. *The heart and core of every religious system on earth is to be found NOWHERE in Genesis 1–3.*

Genesis 1–3 is given as a simple *historical narrative* that deals with man's beginning, nature's beginning, the earth's beginning, and heaven's beginning.

It is pure *history*, which must be *rejected* by all future men unless they take a *negative view* of man and his future. His future is described in Genesis 3:16–19, 6:5–7, and 9:25; and then HISTORY confirms those historical accounts right down to the last "tid-bit" through the next 6,000 years of written and recorded history.

Note the ghastly facts: *deterioration* (Gen. 3:19) and *death* (Gen. 5:5, 8, 11, 14, 17, etc.). What you are told is that *history*—nobody's faith or religion remotely connected with it—is operated under a scientific law of physics called "*The Law of Human Collapse*." God then records it and then illustrates it for 6,000 years where any man can read it.

The Book speaks of "the law of sin" and "the law of death" long before it speaks of "the law of the spirit of life," or "the law of God" (the "Torah"): see Romans 7:25 and 8:2.

But once you lay *that* Book down and go to Darwin, Huxley, Socrates, Plato, Einstein, Karl Marx, Sigmund Freud, Haeckel, Lyell, *National Geographic, Life, Time, Newsweek*, NASA, the ACLU, the NEA, and "Discovery Channel" for your HISTORY, you run down a blind alley into a dead end.

No evolutionist can explain DEATH and no evolutionist ever tried it without *destroying* the bedrock foundation of Darwin's theory.

You don't get better; you get older and sicker and then you die or get killed.

So do all of your role models and mentors; as well as all of your ancestors and your great grandchildren.

The Book deals with scientific facts, not philosophical fiction.

There is no way to present "evolution" as even an intelligible theory, let alone a scientific *fact*. Evolution has always been (and always will be) a "Fairy Tale for Grownups." It cannot be found in the HISTORY of the solar system, the universe, nature, animals, or man. It is just a flimsy, religious myth designed to make egotists independent of their Creator so they do not have to give account to Him for their sins.

According to the detailed history of every phase of evolution (see *The Evolution Cruncher*, Vance Ferrell, 2001) covering more than 900 pages of documented facts that can be demonstrated in a "lab," evolution is just a *pagan religion* formerly called "animism" or "pantheism"—if you believed in any kind of invisible powers that "ran" things—or called "atheism" or "naturalism" if you judged everything by your five senses.

Now everyone knows the first, basic "fundamental" of this pagan "religion." It may be worded as Dr. Shadduck said it: "From Puddle to Paradise: the Modern "Pilgrim's Progress."

In short, it is a denial of man's history.

No man gets better and better till he attains perfection. *Every* man (including ALL humanists and evolutionists) winds up in a hole in the ground, wearing a wooden or metal "kimono." They all wind up planted in a "Marble Orchard" (Mafia lingo).

How does this illustrate *EVOLUTION*?

In the Book, "DEATH" comes from *disobeying God via positive thinking* (Gen. 3:6) where "man" had been ordered to think *negatively* (Gen. 2:17). Now whose history turned out to be correct? Man's or the Book? The educated scientists, scholars, physicists, priests, and philosophers or THE BOOK?

Tour the National Cemetery in Washington, D.C. and tell us "all about it."

Every period of time in the history of man from 4000 B.C. to A.D. 3000 ends in entropy, deterioration, degeneracy, apostasy, disintegration, decay, "randomness: and DEATH. Don't believe it? Tour the battlefields of Flanders Field, Normandy Beach, Moscow, and Stalingrad, and then study the *Decline of the West* (Spengler) or *The Fall of the Roman Empire* (Gibbon).

The dust of the centuries blows across the graveyards of the nations who DISOBEYED GOD by *positive thinking* about themselves: Egypt, Syria, Assyria, Mongolia, Babylon, Persia, Greece, France, Italy, and Spain. How did you fail to note that last three? They produced Napoleonic armies, the Roman Legions, and the Conquistadors. What happened to their power? Spain and Italy and France, combined, couldn't scare a pussy cat long enough to stand his fur on end. They wound up as Russia, China, and the USA will wind up, if the Book is correct.

Evolution has no explanation for why ANYTHING living should ever die. If it is

continually *progressing* it should never die: but they all do. According to the Book they ALL will except one man (Gen. 5:24) who stood for a generation of people who took the *negative view of themselves* (Eph. 2:1–5; Rom. 3:9–18; Gen. 5:29) and will be "caught up" (Heb. 1:5; 2 Cor. 15:50–56; Rev. 4:1–2; Acts 1:10) without dying. The last one (Acts 1:10) did die but He did not STAY dead. He would be a perfect example of how Darwin's theory *should* work, but Darwin's theory doesn't work that way. In his theory *nobody* is caught up without dying and nobody can live again beyond the grave.

The history Book says he was a liar and so was his theory (Rev. 22:15). The history Book proved to be correct by the dead bodies of more than 4,000,000,000 people since the first one was murdered by his brother (Gen. 4).

Now the "Law of Human Collapse" is the theme of both Testaments where "man" is concerned. And it is to this Law we must succumb if we (or anyone else) are going to be able to discern between truth and error ("sound doctrine" and "false doctrine" in the Book) in these "last times" (see 2 Tim. 2:3). We don't have to *disprove evolution*; it has disproved itself twenty-four hours a day, 365 days a year, on five continents and has been doing it for more than 6,000 years. The problem lies in what the history Book says about the history of Christians between A.D. 1880 and A.D. 2007.

They are the ones who need to discern between "sound doctrine" (2 Tim. 4:3) and "false doctrine (see 2 Tim. 2:16–19) for this history Book makes it clear that every period of time (called a "dispensation" in places) ends in *apostasy:* see Genesis 3, 6, 11, 50; Exodus 32; Deuteronomy 28, etc.

This is not acceptable to any adult unregenerated sinner on five continents: it has never been acceptable. It is rejected by all *politicians* running for any office. It is rejected by all *State-Churches* (Catholic and Moslem), all *leaders* in the United Nations, and all the material put out by the National Education Association, and (recently) by 85 percent of the professing *Christians* in America.

Genesis 1–2 ends in a *catastrophe* (Gen. 3, 4). Genesis 4–6 ends in a *catastrophe* (Gen. 7, 8). Genesis 8 and 9 ends in a *catastrophe* (Gen. 11). Genesis 12 to 50 ends in a *catastrophe* (Exod. 1–15). Exodus 20 to 1 Kings 12 ends in a *catastrophe* (Jer. 52; 1 Kings 25; Lamentations). Luke 2:10, 11, 14, 32 and Ephesians 2:12-22 ends in a *catastrophe* (Rev. 11, 12, 13). And Revelation 13 ends in another *catastrophe* (Rev. 19; Isa. 63; Joel 2; Jer. 25; Isa. 24). Finally the perfect kingdom comes (see Psa. 2, 110, 72; Isa. 60:12, 8:18; Zech. 14; Ezek. 48; Psa. 148) with worldwide peace for 1,000 years (see Rev. 20:1–6; Isa. 2, 11, 61, 62, 66) and then it ends in *total apostasy, destruction, and damnation* (see Rev. 20:7–11 and Ezek. 38).

There is no permanent peace, no permanent purity, no permanent joy, no permanent LIFE found in the Book until the present **"heavens and earth"** (Gen. 1:3–31) are GONE (2 Pet. 3; Rev. 66).

So here you are in 2007. I presume you are a regenerated child of God who has been baptized into the Body of Christ (1 Cor. 12:13) by the Holy Spirit and then sealed by the Holy Spirit (Eph. 4:20) to guarantee you will wind up just like God's SON (1 John 3:1–3; Phil. 3:21; Rom. 8:29). According to the *history* Book, *your* history has taking place

between 1901 and the Rapture. The *history* Book gives a history of church history in Revelation 2, 3 and states that your "Church Age" ends as all ages end: with depravity, apostasy, deterioration, degeneration, devolution, "randomness," confusion, entropy, and decay.

And that brings us to our "thesis":

"For the time will come when they will not endure sound doctrine; but after their own lusts shall they heap to themselves teachers, having itching ears" (2 Tim. 4:3).

Where do false doctrines come from? How do you know if they are not "sound"? Well, here is the "acid test." We give you here a handful of cases (13) to study. According to 2 Timothy 2:15, in a *King James Bible*, you are to "**study**" them. According to every corrupt "Bible" printed since 1880 (this would include the *ASV*, *NKJV*, and *NIV*, as well as the other 217 "versions") you are NOT to "**study**" them. The *NIV* and the *NASV* both slapped Jesus Christ right in the mouth when He spoke John 5:39 and *claimed He commanded nothing of the kind*. "Search the scriptures; for in them ye think ye have eternal life: and they are they which testify of me" (John 5:39).

Both grossly corrupt "versions" (*NIV* and *NASV*) were translated by professing Christians who believed in all of the "Fundamentals" of the Christian faith. Both of them have been used and recommended by every brand and kind of Christian scholar in America. To do it they had to remove the word "**corrupt**" from 2 Corinthians 2:17 because that reference, in a *King James Bible*, was to THEM.

Here, then, you will see how *saved* Evangelical, Conservative, and Fundamentalist Greek and Hebrew scholars have trained literally thousands of young ministers to DENY the "words of truth" in "the scripture of truth" (Dan. 10:21) and exchange them for traditional, "historic positions" that are no more "true to the Scriptures" than *The Koran*, *The Hadith*, *The Shastas and Puranas*, *The Kaballa*, *The Book of Mormon*, *The Secret Doctrine*, *The Tripitaka*, or *Alice in Wonderland*.

This package of Bible-rejecting *heresies* shows how all apostasy begins: *it begins with higher Christian education*, starting with the first Christian University at Alexandria (Philo, Clement, Plato, Origen, and Pantaenus) right up to Bob Jones University, Crown College, Liberty University, and fifty others.

As you read, may the Lord grant you the gift of "discerning of spirits" (1 Cor. 12:10) which is not a Jewish "sign gift." We always correct *all* scholars, *all* texts, *all* versions, *all* Bibles with the *King James Holy Bible* if they teach *any* of the thirteen heresies examined here.

We accept the only 100 percent accurate HISTORY Book about man ever written while believing "all things written in the law and the prophets" (Luke 24:25; Acts 24:14). We write "ICHABOD" across the last 100 years of American church HISTORY. "All dispensations end in apostasy." Those who take the positive view of modern Christianity are simply deceived liars who want to live in dreamland by ignoring the "lessons of history." It helps their image (\$\$\$) and makes them "look good." It can "win friends and influence people (\$\$\$). It has nothing to do with the TRUTH, the BIBLE, or HISTORY.

"Sound Doctrine— False Doctrine"

Getting Rid of the Holy Scriptures

As the Body of Christ (1 Cor. 12:12–27; Eph. 1:22–23; Col. 1:24) moves deeper and deeper into the starless midnight of *total apostasy* (see Luke 13:18–21 and comments in that Commentary and Matt. 13:33 in that Commentary), its leaders are rapidly becoming cloned robots who "ape" each other to the point of nausea. We speak here of the Christian Seminaries, Colleges, Universities, and Bible Institutes "manned" by saved Evangelicals, Conservatives, Fundamentalists, and Interdenominational "possibility thinkers" and "purpose-driven" Bible correctors.

This has been going on in America since 1880; it reached its "high point" of degeneration and "high point" of corruption in 1901 with the publication of the English Bible revision of 1884, disguised as *The American Standard Version* (1901). From that time on, as the "times of the Gentiles" ended (1917), the stinking corruption of Princeton's Calvinistic scholars infiltrated every facet of evangelical Christianity. Dr. A. T. Robertson and B. H. Carroll both followed this lead although they were not Presbyterians, and so did Kenneth Wuest of Moody Bible Institute, Nowell Smith (Fort Worth "Fundamentalist"), and then the faculties and staffs of Howard, Furman, Judson, Stetson, Fuller Seminary, Moody Bible Institute, Bob Jones University, Tennessee Temple College, Wheaton, Pillsbury, Piedmont, Maranatha, Northwestern, Mid-South, Baptist Bible College, and the Seminaries at Forth Worth, St. Louis, Denver, New Orleans, Los Angeles, Louisville, Chicago, Minneapolis, etc.

Although not all of these schools "swore" by the *ASV* of 1901, they all had one thing in common which they still have today; and you can add these to the list: Liberty University, Pensacola Christian College, Crown College, and the next two dozen "coming up."

From 1880 to 2007, every one of them taught false doctrine where the word "scripture" itself appears in the "scripture." *There is not one exception in 127 years*.

This heresy is now THE "Historic Position" of *every* recognized, qualified, "godly" Bible teacher in America. *Shelton Smith* teaches this heresy exactly as *John R. Rice* taught it, and exactly as Curtis Hutson and Stewart Custer taught it. (See *The Last Grenade*, published in 1990, pp. 82, 95, 105, 115.) This heresy was taught by *J. Vernon McGee*, *Charles Stanley*, and *Billy Graham* exactly as it was taught by *Rosenthal*, *Osteen*, *Rick Warren*, *Robert Sumner*, "Hot Dog" Hymers, Doug Kutilek, Harold Willmington, Ed Hindson, Dr. Dobson, Chuck Colson, Jerry Falwell, David Jeremiah, and Tim LaHaye.

We will not waste your time with any suggestions, slander, opinions, theories, allegations, or suppositions. We will *name* the heresy, *describe* it, *discuss* it, *analyze* it,

and prove that it is as great a "heresy" as any heretic ever invented since Paul pointed him out in Titus 3:10 and 1 Corinthians 11:19.

When you get through examining the evidence, you will be at liberty to do *exactly* what the men listed above did; throw the whole collection of Bible verses out the window, due to your stupidity and FEAR OF RIDICULE.

In the "Holy Bible"—that is what nearly all English Bible versions profess to be—the "holy scriptures" (Rom. 1:2) are called "the word of God... he words of God...the word of truth...the oracles of God...the word of Christ...the words of life...the word of the Lord."

In the *King James Bible*, the **"scriptures"** are never referred to as **"the Word of God."** That expression (notice the capital "W") is a reference to a *PERSON* (John 1:1–3; 1 John 1:1–2)—*not any BOOK*. Further, the small "w" used throughout the *Authorized Version* is defined as **"words"**—*not* "the Word of God." The **"words"** of God (small "w") are a reference to WORDS *written in the "Holy Scriptures"* or spoken by God to an *individual or nation*. There is no such thing in the Holy Bible as the "preserved Word of God." **"The holy scriptures"** said the **"WORDS"** were preserved (see Psa. 12:6–7).

Not one man I listed believed that passage I just quoted.

"The words of the Lord are pure words: as silver tried in a furnace of earth, purified seven times.

"Thou shalt keep them, O Lord, thou shalt preserve them from this generation for ever" (Psa. 12:6–7).

This term **"words"** (small "w") will be found in Psalm 119:103, 138:4; Isaiah 41:26, 51:16; Deuteronomy 5:22; Matthew 24:35, etc. *There is no concern about the "Word of God" in one*

of those passages. They are concerned with "WORDS" that God spoke or wrote. See it further in John 5:47, 8:47; Amos 8:11; Jeremiah 1:9, 6:19, 13:10; Hosea 6:5; Ezekiel 2:7; and Proverbs 30:6, etc.

To run from these verses and fall back on Psalm 119:89 is the SOP at all Universities, Colleges, Seminaries, and Institutes, since it enables the apostate hypocrites to change any (or all) of the *WORDS* in the Holy Bible on the grounds that they were only "preserved" out of sight, *beyond human reach*, so no one can ever *check on them* to find the absolute truth about *anything*.

According to Romans 10:6–7, no man on earth would have to take a trip to the third heaven to find the WORDS of God (Psa. 12:6), and if he did, he couldn't speak all of them when he got back (see 2 Cor. 12:1–4).

The purpose behind running to Psalm 119:89 is to rid the scholar, student, teacher, and reader of God's WORDS. The "runners" did it so they could insert *themselves* in its place, where no one could check on them to see if they were lying or not. Arlin Horton did better than that. When he discarded the Alexandrian Greek text for the Greek Textus Receptus, he joined together Matthew 24:35 with Psalm 119:89 so that all of God's *words* could pass away *down here*, but they would still be *up there*.

Unfortunately for every heretic we listed—and we realize they are not heretical on scores of other matters—the "WORDS of God" (not the "Word of God") are directly connected to knowing God (1 Sam. 3:1, 7, 19), loving God (John 14:23), and getting your prayers answered (John 15:7).

The "pioneers" in this apostasy were what Conservatives called "Neo-Evangelicals," who taught "Neo-Orthodoxy." Any Madison Avenue executive could figure out what they were up to in a "trice." That "Neo" is "NEW," as in Acts 17:21 and Ecclesiastes 1:9–10. Its "buddies" are the "NEW Age," "NEW Clairol," "NEW permissiveness," "NEW Deal," "NEW ASV," "NEW World Translation," "NEW RSV," "NEW International Version, "NEW morality," and "NEW KJV."

The apostate corrupters who gave birth to this "new" Christianity were Barth, Brunner, Tillich, Niebuhr, and some others. What they did was simple in the extreme. They took the term "WORD" of God as meaning just a *MESSAGE* from God. How it was "WORDED" was anyone's guess, with the smartest (or whoever thought he was the smartest) being able to give you God's *real WORDS* without a copy of them being anywhere.

If you want to watch Wilbur Smith, John Rice, Fred Afman, Stewart Custer, Bob Jones III, Harold Rawlings, Marshal Neal, and Ed Hindson wobble, twist, jump, turn, gyrate, flip, and flop, watch them (or listen to them) trying to tell you that YOU have access to an infallible and inerrant authority from God Himself, without telling you WHAT it is or where you can get it.

It is unavailable to *you*, according to all of them; but as far as that goes, it has always been *unavailable to THEM!* Moral? They want YOU to be as stupid as THEY are when it comes to the *WORDS* that God spoke or wrote. This godless, stinking motive is covered up by saying, "Oh no, we want every man to understand BETTER what God wrote, by going to *THE* GREEK TEXT! That way they can get closer to the (unseen, unread, unrecorded) 'original.'"

P.S. There is no such thing on this earth as "THE" Greek text.

Every man listed above will look you right straight in the eyeballs and *lie* like a Persian rug.

There are more than thirty-five "Greek texts," and not one "believer" in them believes that *any* of them are inerrant or infallible. If you want something that is true and absolutely truth—"the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth"—they fix it so you will have to come to THEM to get it, and when you *do*, you will have willingly consented to support an outrageous lie.

You will find (in detail) *seventeen standard lies* that are taught daily, weekly, monthly, and yearly, in every major theological Seminary in Europe and America, on pages 149–178 of *The Christian's Handbook of Biblical Scholarship* (1988). They are standard, "upto-date," perennial lies, believed and enforced to insure that you (and your friends, relatives, family, and church) will NEVER, ever again take the *WORDS* of the Holy Bible (*Authorized Version*) to be more authoritative than the cowardly, lying APOSTATE who is trying to get you to go by an unseen, unheard, unmemorized, unknown "Word of God"

that has been "preserved" somewhere over Alpha Draconis (the Polar Star).

Now, here is the truth, the whole truth, and "nothin' but!"

The word "scripture" in the "holy scriptures" (Rom. 1:2)—any edition of any version of any translation—is *never* given, ONE TIME, as a reference to *any* original manuscripts, *any* original autographs, or "THE Greek text." Not *once* in more than fifty-five references. Check 'em. (Don't you believe a word Peter Ruckman says: check him out!)

The *Scriptures* Jesus read in Nazareth (Luke 4:17–21) were not Isaiah's "original" or within 400 years of it. The *Scriptures* the Bereans "**searched**" (Acts 17:11) were *not* the originals of one Bible author from 1500 B.C. to 400 B.C. Not one of 'em. The *Scriptures* that Philip and the eunuch studied (see Acts 8:32) were no more "original autographs" of the Scriptures than the works of William Shakespeare. The *Scriptures* that Paul cited (Gal. 4:27, 30; Rom. 9:17; Acts 13:40–41) didn't contain *one original autograph* of a single Biblical author.

The men I listed in pages 2 and 5 all lied like a dog when it came to "the holy scriptures" (Rom. 1:2).

Whatever their religious "preferences" were (Presbyterian, Charismatic, non-denominational, Catholic, Lutheran, Church of God, Church of Christ, Reformed, Anglican, Baptist, Methodist, Mennonite, etc.) they all agreed (joyfully, beautifully, and happily) on one, great, godly, "historic position," i.e., no one on earth can find a single copy of the "SCRIPTURES": not even with *one verse* in it. *Paul* had copies he quoted; *Timothy* had copies he was raised on (1 Tim. 9:15); the *Bereans* had copies they read daily (Acts 17:11); and if the Christ-rejecting *Pharisees* didn't have copies of the Holy Scriptures then Jesus Christ unjustly commanded them to do something they could not do in John 5:39. If the "original autographs" are the only Scriptures that can qualify as being "given by inspiration of God" (2 Tim. 3:16) *no one in the Bible had anything that was* "profitable for doctrine, for reproof, for correction, for instruction in righteousness."

There it is—false doctrine; choke on it.

"Ruckman" doesn't enter the equation anywhere at any time for any reason. **"The holy scriptures,"** in the Holy Scriptures, were all **"given by inspiration,"** and thousands had access to them DAILY. *Do you?*

Why don't you? Did you ever have a copy? Did you lose it? Did someone STEAL it from you?

"But Brother Ruckman, if I take that position, how can I explain such and such, and such and such, and such and such?" Easy: a copy of *The Last Grenade*, *The "Errors" in a King James Bible, The Christian Liars' Library, Ruckman's Bible References*, Riplinger's *New Age Bible Versions*, Hills' *Believing Bible Study*, Vance's *Archaic Words and the Authorized Version*, and O'Reilly's 'O *Biblios*; and I will flat guarantee you that not once will *any* scholar, of *any* caliber, standing, intelligence, and experience, ever be able to drag you down again to *HIS* ignorant level of cowardly, Nicolaitan apostasy.

Try me out and "prove" me. I've tried all of them continually, for fifty-seven years,

after being trained by THEM at Bob Jones University.

They *all* teach *false doctrine* when dealing with 1 Timothy 3:15–16; and if that weren't enough, every one of them, without exception, altered the word **"spake"** in 2 Peter 1:21 to "wrote" when defending their ghastly, godless HERESY. *I don't know of one exception in 400 works written between 1880 and 2007.*

They cannot **"endure SOUND doctrine"** (2 Tim. 4:3).

When it comes to faithfulness to what the Scriptures say about the Scriptures in **"the holy scriptures,"** they combine to make the largest group of Christian "gutless wonders" ever found in the Nineteenth and Twentieth Centuries.

"Sound Doctrine— False Doctrine"

Getting Rid of Eternal Security

When faced with the obvious fact that at least 100 verses in the New Testament teach "conditional salvation," conditioned on faith and works—Revelation 12:17, 14:12, and Hebrews 3:6, 14, for example—the Christian scholars divide themselves off into two Bible-perverting, Bible-rejecting "private interpreters" (2 Pet. 1:20) and confound the entire Body of Christ with two opposing views where *neither one* is any more Scriptural than Madam Blavatsky's "Secret Doctrine."

Christian scholars (saved and lost, Liberal and Catholic) have been doing this since A.D. 90, at the completion of the New Testament.

The first set (comprised of the vast majority) swears that any Christian can lose salvation *anytime* after he is saved: he can lose eternal life as quickly as he can lose his billfold or his car keys. This group is called *Arminians*, from Jacob Arminius—an enemy of John Calvin. It includes *all* Roman Catholics, *all* Episcopalians, *all* Greek Orthodox, *all* Methodists, *all* Pentecostals, *all* Mormons, *all* Jehovah's Witnesses, *all* Campbellites, *all* Seventh-day Adventists, *all* Moslems, and all Orthodox Jews.

The second group is called *Calvinists*, which includes Reformed theologians and orthodox Baptists. The position that all of their leading theologians have taken is that *all* salvation (*of anyone*), in both Testaments, is by grace *only*, apart from *any* works, and that, therefore, all 100 verses which clearly teach "faith and works" must either be altered by using "the original Greek," etc., or by spiritualizing them, or by taking them out of their contexts: for example, Psalm 24:3–5; Matthew 25:40,45; Revelation 14:12; Matthew 24:13, etc.

Both of these positions are just as non-scriptural as baptismal regeneration, getting "slain in the Spirit," praying to Mary, thinking that any Pope ever experienced the New Birth, or teaching that Acts 2:38 is "the gospel of the grace of God" (Acts 20:24).

As usual: "There are two sides to every question, and both sides are wrong." (Murphy's Law)

Now, it is perfectly apparent to any reader who is not intellectually dishonest, that such passages as Romans 2:13; Hebrews 10:26; 1 John 3:7; and James 2:24 *clearly* teach a "faith-and-works" type of salvation for SOMEONE. Salvation "**by grace...through faith"** in the finished atonement of God's Son cannot be found *anywhere* in The Sermon on the Mount or Matthew 24 or Matthew 25. Anyone can see that at one reading. The gospel preached in Revelation 14:6–7 by an angel would put *that* angel under a curse if he preached it before the Church Age ended and the Body of Christ had left (Gal. 1:8).

Not one "convert" in the Millennium is saved "by grace...through faith" in

anything. He is not even walking by faith, no matter *what* he believes. He is walking by *sight*, just like Thomas! (See Zech. 14:16; Ezek. 48:35; Zeph. 3:15–17; 2 Chron. 6:6; Psa. 9:11; Joel 2:27; Zech. 2:10,12).

All of this is evident to anyone, except College and Seminary-educated Bible Teachers, Popes, Bishops, and Bible Revisers.

Here we will content ourselves with showing you how those 100 verses have to apply to converts either in the Old Testament (see Ezek. 33:11–19; Psa. 18:20–24) or the Great Tribulation (Mark 13; Matt. 24; Rev. 5–19) or the Millennium (Rev. 20; Matt. 5–7; Zech. 14; Isa. 11; Heb. 8; etc.). Not one verse in that lot can be forced into the Church Age without making a liar out of the Author of Scripture by changing its wording or by adding or subtracting from its wording or by removing it completely from its context.

Now, I am going to pretend for a moment that I am a Catholic, Charismatic, Methodist, Jew, Moslem, Mormon, Anglican, or Greek Orthodox "believer." I am going to show you from the Holy Scriptures—without adding or subtracting one word from one verse, or going to "the Greek" to change one verse—what a Christian would have to do to earn Hell and go there *after* accepting the "free gift" (Rom. 5:15) of "eternal life" (Rom. 6:23).

According to what the Holy Spirit *recorded* in the New Testament—in any edition of any translation of any version of the Bible, in any language—THIS is what would have to happen to you if you were a born-again (John 3:3) **"son of God"** (1 John 3:1–3) "in Jesus Christ" (1 Thess. 4:16; Col. 2:6–10; John 17:21–23).

1. You would have to "return to your mother's womb" and be born AGAIN, *after* you were born again (John 3:3–4). Furthermore, you would have to chalk down at least a dozen "new births" if you "lost it" twelve times in forty-eight years—once every four years. In the case of a Campbellite or Catholic who "lost it," you would also have to be *rebaptized in water* to get it back, since both of these apostate groups swear that Mark 16:16 is ESSENTIAL for *spiritual salvation*. If you lost your salvation once every four years, you would have to be baptized again in *water* twelve times in half a century.

Obviously, I am talking NONSENSE.

All Catholics and Campbellites preach just that: NONSENSE.

2. God would have to cut you out of the body of His Son, by tearing you loose from His **"flesh"** and **"bones"** (Eph. 5:30). He would have to "divide" Christ (1 Cor. 1:13) about thirty times a day (or more) when dealing with over 1,000,000,000 professing Christians. Christ would be *mutilated* again after His sufferings on Calvary (Isa. 53). Since His spiritual body, **"that filleth all in all"** (Eph. 1:23), is likened to a **"pearl of great price"** (Matt. 13:46), as one precious jewel (Mal. 3:17; Prov. 31:10; Matt. 7:6), it would have to be chipped away at, say, 50,000 times, if 50,000 Christians in it, "lost it." Surely, in *twenty centuries*, 50,000 members of that one body (1 Cor. 12:13; Col. 2:17) would have "lost it" if you *could* "lose it."

A pearl is the only precious stone on earth that comes from a WOUND in a living organism. Unlike diamonds, rubies, etc., it cannot be cut anywhere to make two pearls.

You would ruin it if you took one chip out of it.

3. You would have to wind up in Hell *after* being predestinated to wind up in New Jerusalem (see Rev. 21:9) where the "BRIDE of Christ" is—His BODY (Eph. 4:12). You would have a *sinless body* (Phil. 3:21; Rom. 8:21, 23; 1 John 3:1–3), so if you lost it, the Christian would have to swear that Paul and God Almighty LIED when they had Romans 8:29 recorded.

That verse says the *final destination* of every born-again child of God (see **"called"** in Rom. 8:30 and 1 Cor. 1:26) is to wind up *just like Jesus Christ Himself* (Phil. 3:21; 1 John 3:1–3).

That destination was FIXED, in the PAST tense (Rom. 8:30).

The "messers" who messed with it took the past tense on "glorified" and made it refer to *spiritual salvation* in the past. Jesus Christ doesn't come "in his glory" (Matt. 25:31; 2 Pet. 1:17) till the Second Advent, and when He does, the Christian does *not* get spiritual salvation or eternal life at all: *he gets a glorified body* (1 John 3:1–3; Phil. 3:21). Romans 8:30 was given as God looked at the operation from *eternity*.

You have to overthrow a divine decree of the One who saved you (Rom. 8:29) in order to *change your destination*; all Catholics, Jews, Moslems, Campbellites, Greek Orthodox, Episcopalians, and Charismatics CHANGE IT.

4. In order for you to wind up in the Lake of Fire *after* Jesus not only **"knew"** you (Gal. 4:9 cf. Matt. 7:23) but accepted you (Eph. 1:6–7), you would have to deny the petition He prayed to God the Father in John 17:24. You would do this after hearing Him say that God always heard Him (see John 11:41–42, 5:20).

Who do you think you are, claiming that your private interpretation of verses you don't understand is powerful enough to annul a prayer that Jesus prayed to His Father?! With Him AND the Holy Spirit praying for you (Rom. 8:26–27), *you're* going to "beat them out of it," are you?

Not unless you are as conceited as a Pope, as stupid as Stan Laurel, as lazy as Rip Van Winkle, and as crooked as Slick Willie.

5. For you to lose God's **"free gift"** of **"eternal life"** (see Rom. 5:15–16, 6:23), you would have to apply 1 John 1:9 to Christ's *blood atonement*, and that is not going to work. First John 1:9 has nothing to do with anyone getting to Heaven or New Jerusalem. The whole chapter is on FELLOWSHIP and the verse deals with *applying* the atonement—not MAKING it. It was "made" 1,970 years ago, and it covered every sin committed between Adam and the White Throne Judgment (Gen. 3–Rev. 20).

You are seeking forgiveness in 1 John 1:9 to maintain "fellowship," so every Bible-perverting scamp pretended the word wasn't in the chapter and made it apply to "salvation." It doesn't, and Jesus Christ Himself told you why and how it doesn't in John 13:10–14. Paul told you again in 1 Corinthians 11:31. No one in 1 Corinthians 11 is losing salvation or condemned to Hell. They are being judged by their Saviour for not judging themselves, and the consequences have nothing to do with any of them going to Hell. They become weak or sick, or God has to KILL them. They don't "lose it" for five seconds.

The educated, apostate corrupters simply read the "damnation" of 1 Corinthians 11:29 into the "damned" of Romans 14:23 and pretend the "condemnation" was permanent, as in Mark 16:16. It wasn't, *not in any of the three cases*.

They did the same thing when they removed the word "**condemnation**" from Romans 8:1 (see the Scofield notes). That "**condemnation**" had nothing to do with the one in John 5:24. They just took it completely out of context *because it didn't fit their system*.

6. Finally, if any member of Jesus Christ's BODY (who was officially adopted) could lose eternal life, what would be the point in *getting it* to start with? "I once was lost but now I'm *temporarily* found; t'was blind but now I see, *but I can go blind again.*" Did you ever actually think about what these scoundrels are getting away with? Why, they are destroying half of the hymns in your hymn book.

Observe:

"Thank you, Lord, for saving my soul (*temporarily*); thank you, Lord, for making me whole (*for awhile*). Thy great (*temporary*) salvation so rich and free (although you may *take it away* from me later)."

That's the "Good News," is it?

"Blessed *temporary* assurance! Jesus is mine, *for awhile!* Oh, what a foretaste of glory divine (*which I might miss*). Heir of *temporary* salvation, purchase of God, born of His Spirit (*but can be unborn again*), washed (*for the present*) in His blood."

Imagine the *hypocrisy* of these cheapskates singing hymns about assurance when they have none, and using your hymnbook while teaching you that your hymnbook is a lie!

"Whatever my lot, thou hast taught me to say: It is *temporarily* well with my soul! It is well! It is well, *temporarily*, with my soul!"

"Under his wings I am *temporarily* safely abiding though the night deepens and tempests are wild. Still I can trust Him, I know He *can't* keep me, though he has redeemed me and I am (*presently*) His child. Who from His love can sever? *Except me and the Devil and the world and the flesh!* Under His wings, my soul shall *temporarily* abide, SAFELY abide, *unless* I fall from grace, forever."

That would be an honest hymnbook text for every honest Christian who sincerely believes that God LIED to Him when He gave him the promise of Romans 8:29.

"Conditioned Security" is just like "Christian whores," "alternate lifestyles," "sexual orientation," and "virtual reality." It's the talk of a *two-faced hypocrite*.

"Sound Doctrine— False Doctrine"

Getting Rid of the Rapture

These articles on "sound doctrine vs. false doctrine" are not written for the benefit of any Christian (or non-Christian) who takes the news media seriously where it deals with *anything*. It is written for *Bible believers* who are concerned about teaching or preaching *falsehood*. This would automatically exclude 98 percent of all personnel connected with all news media outlets, including radio, magazines, books, TV, "webs and internets," and newspapers.

"Modern man" is not slightly concerned about "the truth." He is not a lover of **"THE TRUTH"** (John 14:6, 17:17; Psa. 119:160), and if educated, he doesn't believe that there is any such thing as "THE" truth about *anything*. All "truths," to a highly-educated moron, are *relative*. The inquirer is the final authority when dealing with any truth. All humanists (and all Christian scholars in the "scholarly community") are *practical atheists*.

So here we are about to discuss a matter in which not one leader in the United States or the European Union or the United Nations is even slightly interested. Unfortunately for them, their *destinies* (which includes their health, their jobs, their families, their race, their nation, and their LIVES) are bound up with the doctrines we are about to discuss, for they were covered in detail, in the Holy Bible, *more than 1,845 years before the UN was formed*.

The matter under examination is called "*The Rapture*" (see a dictionary for the meaning). The verses dealing with it call for the immediate "translation" (Heb. 11:5; Gen. 5:24) of several million human beings upward through outer space at a speed of somewhere beyond ten times the speed of light (*if* the physicists have gauged the distance between here and Alpha Draconis [the polar star] correctly. P.S.: *They probably haven't*).

These transformed bodies will be the bodies of *living* people (John 11:26) and *dead* people (John 11:25), according to Jesus Christ and the Apostle Paul (1 Cor. 15:52–54; 1 Thess. 4:14–17). You are not expected to believe that if all the information to which you have had access in the last 100 years has been CBS, NBC, Mutual, ABC, CNN, FOX, *Time, Life, Look*, the *National Geographic*, and ten years of post-graduate work in a Federal College or University. You are to reject it as a fairy tale, while at the same time (!), you will swear there was a Mesozoic Period, Pogo, a Pre-Cambrian Era, Batman, Diplodocus, Stegosaurus, Tarzan, Mother Goose, spontaneous generation, "acquired characteristics," and the creation of DNA and RNA with an automatic, built-in code that created enzymes and proteins *ACCIDENTLY*.

You might as well believe in Santa Claus or the *Koran* and do just as well. If that doesn't work, try "Mary" bruising the serpent's "head" (Gen. 3:15) in four dozen statues

in Europe. Same outfit. "Jes' depends on how ya look at hit."

Now, this "Rapture" is supposed to take place at three different times according to three different ways of interpreting the Scriptures. We call the first one the "Post-Tribulation Rapture" (see *The Two Raptures*, Bible Baptist Bookstore, 1996). This means that after a period of either *forty-two months* (Rev. 11:2, 12:6, 14, 13:5) or *seven years* (Dan. 9:24–27; Jer. 30:7), the living and dead saints are "caught up to the third heaven" (2 Cor. 12:1–4) as surely as *Enoch* was "caught up" (Gen. 5:24), *Elijah* was "caught up" (2 Kings 2:11), *Paul* was "caught up" (2 Cor. 12:1–4), *John* was "caught up" (Rev. 4:1–2) and *Jesus Christ* was "caught up" (Acts 1:9).

A "POST" Tribulation rapture would mean the "catching up" would not occur until the forty-two months (or seven years) was over.

Such a position means that Christians living, *today*, will be exposed to *falling out* of the Body of Christ and *losing their salvation* by taking the "mark of the beast."

"And the third angel followed them, saying with a loud voice, If any man worship the beast and his image, and receive his mark in his forehead, or in his hand,

"The same shall drink of the wine of the wrath of God, which is poured out without mixture into the cup of his indignation; and he shall be tormented with fire and brimstone in the presence of the holy angels, and in the presence of the Lamb" (Rev. 14:9-10).

"But he that shall endure unto the end, the same shall be saved" (Matt. 24:13).

"And the foolish said unto the wise, Give us of your oil; for our lamps are gone out" (Matt. 25:8).

"Then shall he say also unto them on the left hand, Depart from me, ye cursed, into everlasting fire, prepared for the devil and his angels" (Matt. 25:41).

This places every verse in the New Testament that teaches SOMEONE can "lose salvation" (for example: 2 Pet. 2:20–22; John 15:6; 1 Tim. 5:15; 1 Cor. 9:13; Heb. 3:6, 14, 6:4–6, 10:26–31; Rev. 21:8) right "smack dab" on every born-again, regenerated, justified (freely), sanctified (in the past), and predestinated (Rom. 8:29) member of Christ's "flesh" and "bones" (Eph. 5:30) and deposits him in the Lake of Fire if he doesn't die as a martyr (Rev. 6:9, 20:4, 13:5, 12:11).

False doctrine.

Any Bible teacher who interprets ANY passage in *either* Testament in such a way as to make it contradict any other passage in *either* Testament is a false prophet who is "privately interpreting" (2 Pet. 1:20) the Holy Scriptures.

You cannot make Leviticus 11:1–28 contradict 1 Timothy 4:3–4, unless you teach that salvation in the Old Testament and New Testament are identical, *which they are not*. You cannot make Revelation 12:17 and 14:12 refer to any saint on this earth between A.D. 33 and A.D. 2007 without contradicting Romans 4:5,7–8; Ephesians 2:8–9; and Titus 3:5.

Where verses seem to "collide," you simply have not placed them in the right "bracket" (2 Tim. 2:15). First John 1:9 does NOT contradict Colossians 1:14, unless you

pretend 1 John 1:9 is dealing with SALVATION, which it is not.

In the Tribulation, WORKS are a part of spiritual AND physical salvation. You couldn't read Matthew 24 or 25 without believing that unless you simply quit believing what both chapters said in Greek, Hebrew, English, Spanish, German, Latin, French, Japanese, Russians, Chinese, or Pig Latin.

So if you adopt the Post-Tribulation theory, you must either make a liar out of God in fifty verses in the New Testament, or you must teach that a Christian can "lose it." Take your pick. You're a Bible-rejecting heretic no matter which heresy you chose.

False doctrine.

The second theory is the "Pre-Tribulation Rapture." This teaches that Enoch got out of "the wrath to come" (Matt. 3:7; Mal. 4:1–4; 1 Thess. 5:9) in the "days of Noah" before the flood hit (1 Pet. 3:20), and Lot got out of Sodom ("in the days of Lot"—Luke 17:28) before the FIRE fell (Mal. 4:1–4; Matt. 3:7–10; 2 Thess. 1:7–8; Matt. 13:40–42).

That is, the dead and living saints will be caught up *BEFORE* the forty-two months (or 7 years; see above) begin. With this system, you can take 1 John 3:1–3 and Philippians 3:20–21 literally, automatically excluding any Christian who is looking for the forty-two-month or seven-year periods to show up with the "beast" (Rev. 13:5–8, 14:9–11). Notice too, that the Post-Tribulation Rapture makes a liar out of the Apostle Paul, for he said that you were to "comfort one another with these worlds" (1 Thess. 4:18). The words he just gave—see the context—had absolutely NOTHING to do with the Tribulation, NOTHING to do with Daniel's Seventieth Week, NOTHING to do with the "beast" or his "mark," and absolutely NOTHING to do with anyone "enduring to the end" (see Dan. 12:6, 8, 9, 13; Matt. 24:13; and Heb. 3:6, 14, 6:8) of ANYTHING.

False doctrine.

The Post-Tribulation Rapture cannot have ANY application to ANY Christian who was saved by grace through faith in the finished blood atonement of Jesus Christ.

Then how did so many Christians get "talked into it"? Why, that's easy: *there IS a post-Tribulation rapture* (Matt. 24:31), but it has nothing to do with Christ's "BODY" (Acts 20:28; Eph. 5:23–32; 1 Cor. 12:13–27) in this age. The post-Tribulation rapture is a *split rapture* where some of the "candidates" for it LOSE salvation and hence:

- 1. Do not **"SEE"** God when He appears at the END of the Tribulation just before the ADVENT (Matt. 5:8; Rev. 14:14; Psa. 50:2, 80:3, 19, 53:6; Dan. 10:5–7; Isa. 6:1–11; 1 Cor. 15:8).
- 2. Do not SEE **"the Lord"** (Heb. 12:14) because they ceased to be "holy" and were not "looking for Him" (see the exact words in Heb. 9:28; Luke 21:34–36; and Matt. 24:48–50).

But once you make the deadly, heretical mistake of identifying Old Testament and Tribulation salvation with **"the gospel of the grace of God"** (Acts 20:24) in this age, you have permanently severed your spiritual jugular vein and simultaneously "lost your marbles."

All the "foolish virgins" in Matthew 25:8–12 "lost it." All the "wicked servants" in Matthew 25:26–30 "lost it." And all of the Gentiles who ignored the Jews in the Tribulation "lost it" (Matt. 25:45–46). [Your opinion or the opinion of 10,000 saved Greek scholars about these matters is not even worth discussing. You are simply trying to produce a Bible where the verses contradict each other and YOU "save face."]

Finally (2005), a converted Jew named Rosenthal published a "middle of the road compromise." He got the saints twenty-two months into the Tribulation so they would get a chance to take the Mark of the Beast. He called this cute little "doo-dad" the "Pre-WRATH Rapture." Unfortunately, to prove his point, he eradicated 200 verses on the Millennial Reign of Christ, for he equated "the day of the Lord" in 2 Peter 3:10 with the "day of the Lord" found in Joel 2:1–2; Zephaniah 1:14–15; and Isaiah 34:8, 61:2.

False doctrine.

Second Peter 3:10 takes place *AFTER* the Millennial Reign of Christ (Rev. 20:1–6, 11–14).

Blew it again. How did he do it? Just like all cultists in the Alexandrian Cult have been doing it since the days of Eusebius, Origen, Jerome, Augustine, and the early "Popes." They superimpose their own systems of private interpretations over every verse with which they *disagree* or are *offended* by or cannot *understand* or gets in the way of the religious system from which *they make their living*.

Do you know what Rosenthal did in an effort to get attention as a "Bible researcher" who had discovered an "advanced revelation" in the "original Greek"? Well sir! bless your heretical body, soul, and spirit, he declared that the Christians in the Tribulation will be getting converts with a gospel that an "angel" preached (see Rev. 14:6–7) which will *not* be the Gospel *Paul* preached all his life (Gal. 1:8–12).

Do you know what else Brother Rosenthal did, with a conscience just as clear as a blackout? He divided Jesus Christ up into two parts, after being warned that Christ was NOT "divided" (1 Cor. 1:13). Rosenthal split Him right down the middle and gave you one set of saved sinners who were all JEWS (Rev. 7:4–9) and another set of sinners that were all GENTILES (Rev. 7:9–14), and neither of them were part of Jesus Christ's Body—His bride (Eph. 5:23–32; 2 Cor. 11:2; Rev. 19:7).

Thus, Rosenthal (with the best intentions) created a monster heresy that destroyed nearly 400 verses in the Bible. You see, "in Christ," "there is neither Jew nor Greek" (Eph. 2:13–22, 3:1–6; 1 Cor. 12:13; Gal. 3:28) and "the middle wall of partition" that was broken down (see Eph. 2:14), comes back into full effect throughout the Tribulation. If you doubt it, look at the two different groups who "inherit the kingdom" at the end of the Tribulation—Matthew 25:6–10, 40.

One of them is a nation of persecuted JEWS who are Christ's **"brethren"** (Matt. 25:40) in the sense of Matthew 28:10, 23:8, and 1 John 3:14.

The other group is **"SHEEP"** who are NOT "Christ's brethren." Look at the passage (Matt. 25:32–40).

Your modern, saved scholars can't handle the passage: none of them.

They are not interested in the truth. They will skip over anything that would reveal this SIN in the eyes of the believers. They all skip it and declare that Old Testament salvation under the Law and Tribulation salvation under the Antichrist are the same as New Testament salvation "by grace...through faith."

They are liars; they teach FALSE DOCTRINE.

Sound doctrine—false doctrine.

Not one member of the Body of Christ will participate in the rapture of Psalm 50:1–5; Revelation 11:11–12; and Isaiah 26:19–20. Not one member of the Body of Christ will be left on this earth to enter a "salvation-by-works-and-faith" situation: that will be the situation according to the Holy Spirit as His words are found in Revelation 14:12, 12:17; Matthew 25:30; Hebrew 6:4–6; and Revelation 22:14.

A Post-Tribulation or Pre-Wrath rapture for any regenerated Bible believer in the Body of Christ (Col. 1:27, 2:9–10; Eph. 5:29–32) is as "out of the question," and as impossible as President Bush setting up an Islamic democracy in the Middle East. (Through 1,400 years, the Moslems conquered North Africa, the Middle East, and Southeast Asia by bloody combat in physical warfare [A.D. 600–A.D. 2007] under military, religious, State-Church dictators; they will not change their tactics now.)

"Sound Doctrine— False Doctrine"

Old Testament and New Testament Salvation

All major Christian sources of "higher education" have been promoting the heresy that Old Testament and New Testament salvation are identical; they have been doing it since somewhere around 1850. Utterly disregarding the facts that there are TWO different "Testaments" (Heb. 8:13), and one is connected with the Law (John 1:17) with a CURSE on those under the Law (Gal. 3:13), and the Old Testament ends with a curse (Mal. 4:6) while the New Testament doesn't (Rev. 22:21), they have completely overlooked the staggering FACT that if salvation is the SAME under both Testaments, then there is certainly no need for TWO of them.

This sloppy way of privately interpreting the Scripture came from the refusal of the Nineteenth Century Christian leaders to rightly divide "the word of truth." If they had, they would have found SEVEN different "covenants." They certainly should have observed them, for they altered the word "testament" to "covenant" in Matthew 26:28; 2 Corinthians 3:6; Hebrews 9:15, 18, 20; and Revelation 11:19.

Any reader with an IQ of 90 could see that Adam and Eve had a covenant of WORKS before they fell, and neither of them "walked by faith" a day in their lives. They walked by SIGHT, as all "converts" will do for 1,000 years after the Tribulation (see Ezek. 48:35; Zech. 14:9, 16; and Psa. 66:4, 72:1–15, 96:10, 82:8, 102:13–22, 86:9, and 67:4).

No one in the Millennium is *saved* by faith or *justified* by faith, nor do *any* of them "walk" by faith. They walk by SIGHT just like Thomas did (John 20:26–29). That is obvious to anyone who could read any Bible in any language. After being told that Old Testament salvation under the Mosaic covenant (the Law) has works, works, works, and more works (see Exod. 15:26; Isa. 1:15–17; Deut. 30:10–20, 32:46; Lev. 26:3–16; Psa. 24:3–5; Ezek. 18:5–28; Jer. 11:4–8), the stupid blunderers insisted on quoting Romans 4:22–24 dealing with Abraham to prove that his imputed righteousness was like ours (Rom. 4:24, 4:2–5).

Abraham wasn't under the Mosaic covenant.

A liar has to have a good memory; these heretics don't have one.

So they appealed to David in Psalm 32:2 to line up with Romans 4:8.

David's **"sure mercies"** (Isa. 55:3; Acts 13:34) were the "exception to the rule" under the Mosaic covenant, and that is why they can be applied, spiritually, to the New Testament. However, if any Biblical illiterate were to insist they were for *everyone*, not just David, he would catch himself in a bear trap. It was DAVID who was worrying about losing the Holy Spirit (Psa. 51:11). *Is THAT your salvation since Calvary?* David had seen Saul lose the Holy Spirit (1 Sam. 16:14), and he had read about Samson losing the Holy

Spirit (Judg. 16:20), and he knew the Holy Spirit was INSIDE those men at times because the Holy Spirit was said to be INSIDE (not "ON") them. See Exodus 31:3 and Isaiah 63:10–11.

As a matter of fact, the Holy Spirit in the New Testament was said to have been INSIDE Isaiah, Jeremiah, Ezekiel, Micah, Haggai, Habakkuk, and Zechariah in the Old Testament, according to 1 Peter 1:11.

Someone in America has been teaching TWO heresies to more than 10,000 ministerial students *since* 1901.

The famous ministerial cliché (which is now an "historic position" with all Laodicean apostates) is "Men in the Old Testament were saved by looking forward to the cross; they are saved now by looking back at it." Isn't that an attractive, lovely, spiritual summary of the "plan of salvation"? Well, it is positive, and the Gospel (1 Cor. 15:3–4) is 75 percent negative. The heresy amalgamates, integrates, synthesizes, mixes, adulterates, and reconciles two entirely different things to make them appear equal. I will show you how EQUAL they were.

- 1. Abraham (under GRACE before the Law) could *not* get "redeemed," nor could his sins be "taken away" (Heb. 10:4; Exod. 34:7; Heb. 9:15). Anyone since A.D. 33 *can*.
- 2. Abraham was not **"justified"** till *years* after he got his imputed righteousness (Gen. 15:6; Rom. 4:22). *You get yours the moment you accept God's Son* (John 1:12; Rom. 4:5, 5:1, 9). Abraham was "saved" in Genesis 15:6 but was not justified till Genesis 22 (see James 2:21, 24).
- 3. Abraham (under GRACE before the Law) was not **"born again,"** nor was he spiritually circumcised (Col. 2:11, 13; Gen. 17:11). No saint in the Old Testament experienced the New Birth. The Old Testament (Psa. 22:30–31) told Nicodemus it could not take place till *after* John 3:14. "*Art thou a master in Israel and knoweth not Psalm 22 at verses 30 and 31?*"
- 4. Abraham did *not* go to the third heaven when he died; nor did Abel, Joseph, Judah, Isaac, Jacob, or Daniel. They went to Paradise or "**Abraham's bosom,"** where Christ went after the Crucifixion (see Eph. 4:8–10; Matt. 27:52, 12:40).

You go directly into Jesus Christ's presence in the third heaven (Phil. 1:23; 1 Cor. 1:7–8; 2 Cor. 5:1–8; 2 Tim. 4:8; John 17:24).

- 5. Abraham was *not* adopted as one of God's sons (Rom. 8:15–16), nor did he enter Christ's body. *Jesus Christ had no spiritual body in the sense of Ephesians* 5:25–31; *Ephesians* 2:13; *and Colossians* 2:9–10 *until AFTER Acts* 1.
- 6. Abraham did not "SEE" *anything* that took place at Calvary or the tomb (Matt. 27–28). The statement in John 8:56 was aborted to read "Abraham saw me dying on the cross." That is not what it said in one copy of any Bible manuscript that has ever been found. Jesus Christ's "day" was what Abraham went through in Genesis 22:3–14, and it turned out to be a TYPE of what would take place later. Abraham believed God would resurrect his son Isaac (Gen. 22:5). THAT is the sense of "he saw my...day" in John 8:56.
 - 7. Nothing happened to Abraham when he "believed God" (Gen. 15:1-6), absolutely

nothing. He was not regenerated, he was not spiritually circumcised, he was not put into Christ's Body, his sins were not taken away, his sins were not paid for (redeemed), he was not adopted into God's family, and his soul resided in Paradise until Matthew 27:52–53.

And Old Testament and New Testament salvation are the same, are they?

They are not even the same under GRACE (Gen. 3:21, 6:8) before Abraham.

"The just" who lived "by faith" in the Old Testament do not even live by the FAITH you have under Romans 1:17 and 5:1. A liar has to have a good memory, so all the liars forgot that Habakkuk's quotation was MISQUOTED by Paul in Romans 1:17. Paul omitted "his" from "his faith" (Hab. 2:4).

The Bible then told you that Old Testament faith was manufactured; thus all the mentions of "faith" in Hebrews 11, demonstrating Old Testament faith, are only *illustrations* of individual actions based on believing what God said. This is identical to Abraham's faith on what God said (Gen. 15:1–6).

When you turn to the actual passages in the Old Testament and read them, you suddenly become aware of the fact that "faith" had little or nothing to do with anyone's *eternal salvation*, for the word only occurs *two times* in the Old Testament (Deut. 32:20; Hab. 2:4). When it does, one time it is emphasizing someone's *lack of faith* (Deut. 32:20).

Your **"faith"** was given to you according to Ephesians 2:8–9 so that you could repent on the spot (Acts 11:18) and believe "on the dot" (Rom. 10:8). The scholars simply perverted Ephesians 2:8–9 so it would not give God the credit for *giving you enough faith to believe*—but He did (whether or not you used it is your business).

All of the apostate scholars made the **"that not of yourselves"** refer to *salvation in general*. It didn't. It was a reference to a *gift* God gave you so you could receive a **"FREE GIFT"** (Rom. 5:16—salvation) **"by grace...through FAITH"** and **"THAT not of yourselves."**

So the Old Testament "his" was dropped in Romans 1:17. It was no longer "his"; it was God's. All of the Hebrew and Greek scholars missed both passages. They did it because they were trying to equate Old Testament salvation with New Testament salvation. They wished to "join together" what God Almighty had "put asunder." The solution could only be found if they had RIGHTLY DIVIDED "the word of truth" instead of trying to mix it up together in one, confused, scholarly, balled-up, heretical mess.

The Sermon on the Mount is works from start to finish, and its "finish" finishes with an admonition to WORK (Matt. 7:24–26). *Faith* and *grace* are not even mentioned in Matthew 25. No one in Matthew 25:34–40 was saved "by grace...through faith." No one in the Tribulation "endured to the end" (Matt. 24:13) without keeping "the commandments of God" (Rev. 12:17, 14:12, 22:14), and *grace*, *faith*, *justification*, and *imputed righteousness* are not even a PART of "the everlasting gospel" (Rev. 14:6–7) preached in the Tribulation.

The saved Christian scholars have been anti-biblical for nearly 200 years. Their diseased minds are spreading a "communicable" disease; it is TERMINAL.

"There is no cure for APOSTASY."

False doctrine: *heresy*.

In 2 Timothy 4:3–4, it is the leaders of Christianity in America, not just their converts, students, class members, or church members, who cannot **"endure sound doctrine."**

Old Testament salvation is *not* identical to New Testament salvation before, during, or after the Law. The saved Gentiles, who didn't have the Law, were saved by WORKS according to their Creator: see Romans 2:7 and Exodus 20:6 as He communicated with them *when there were no Scriptures* (see Job 23:12). There is no way in "Hell's half acre" that any scholar can reconcile Romans 2:7 with Galatians 2:16 and Romans 3:10, or reconcile James 2:21, 24 with Romans 5:1,9, by talking about "looking forward and backward to the cross." What all the scholars do is create theological systems which will force the "words of truth" to *contradict* themselves. That way, the *scholars* will emerge as "saviors from error," and they can make you come to *them* to get "the real story" from "the horse's mouth." (They mean from the jackass's mouth [Job 11:12]).

Peter and John knew no more about the resurrection of Jesus Christ, after ministering to Him for 42 months, than Abraham, Moses, Isaac, Jacob, Jonah, or Isaiah. Read John 12:16 and Mark 9:32.

Nor did any of Isaiah's buddies: read 1 Peter 1:10–11.

No one was looking forward to Christ's death or resurrection (Mark 9:10), even *after* they had been prophesied more than two dozen times (in types) between Moses (1500 B.C.) and Zechariah (500 B.C.), and details about both of them were in print in the Psalms, Isaiah, and Genesis more than 800 years before Christ's birth. If that seems strange to any reader, let him ponder this: the total destruction of 191 Gentile nations is "on deck," and the details of this coming slaughter were all given, in detail, more than 100 times in the Old Testament; that is 2,500 years ago. Less than 10 percent of the world's population today is "looking forward" for *any* of those 100 verses to be fulfilled. Human nature is just the same in A.D. 2007 as it was in 1500 B.C.

Sound doctrine—false doctrine.

In *any* dispensation, every sinner is SAVED by doing what God tells him to do. Those saved in Acts 2:38 were NOT saved as those in Acts 16:30–31. Those saved in Acts 8:12, 15 were not saved like those in Acts 10:47. There are FOUR different ways to get saved in the book of Acts alone; that is why it is one of the most dangerous books in the Bible (outside of Hebrews and Matthew) for getting messed up with FALSE DOCTRINES.

No one today gets the Holy Spirit by water baptism (Acts 2) or tongues (Acts 2). *No one* has to be baptized to receive the Holy Spirit (Gal. 3:14; Acts 10:47), and *no one* has to have hands laid on him to get the Holy Spirit (Acts 8:15–17). Whoever would teach you differently than that, is an apostate heretic who makes his living *teaching false doctrines*.

"Sound Doctrine— False Doctrine"

Doctrine and Teaching

The most vivid "watermark" of the Laodicean church period—the final apostasy of the local Christian churches (Rev. 2–3)—is marked by the refusal of *saved* Fundamentalists and Conservatives and Evangelicals to put up with ("endure") "SOUND DOCTRINE."

This gross Christian sin was so predominant in the Twentieth Century that every "new" and "better" English translation produced did away with the very word "doctrine" in scores of places. The Satanic substitution used, to get rid of any Biblical doctrine, was the word "teaching." You will find that this has been done in the *NIV* and the *NASV* (in all of their editions) in fourteen places in the Gospels, four times in the book of Acts, and eleven times in the Pauline Epistles where that writer said Christians would not "endure sound doctrine" (2 Tim. 4:3).

The subtle "sleight of hand" (Eph. 4:14) behind this choice of words lies in the fact that the word *teaching* has no *religious content*; to the contrary, the word *doctrine* has always been connected with religious teachings of some kind. Such uses as "The Monroe Doctrine" or "indoctrination" by Communists is used occasionally, but all understand that "teaching" is not always concerned with any "doctrines" of anyone. "Doctrines" are statements of *belief* that center on things a *religious person* is supposed to believe.

Doctrines are "dogmas" that appear in "creeds." "Teaching" covers what anyone thinks someone should learn. For example: basket weaving, net mending, sewing and knitting, repairing electrical and gasoline appliances, the alphabet, mathematics, history, mid-Victorian poetry, organic chemistry, marriage relationships, self control, Kung Fu, Karate, boxing, swimming, dieting, "aerobics," etc.

The first three items the "New Age" Bibles got rid of were: 1. The commandment to "search" Scriptures (John 5:39); 2. The command to "study" the Scriptures (2 Tim. 2:15); and 3. The word "doctrine." (See the Nutty Idiot's Version, for example, in Matt. 7:28, 15:9, 16:12, 22:33, and Mark 1:22,27, 4:2, 7:7, 11:18, 12:38, etc.)

Millions of **"teachers"** (see 2 Tim. 4:3) do not teach *any* "doctrines." So the "New Age" Bibles convert Bible teachers (the first ones are in Antioch, Acts 13:1) into just **"teachers."** You do not have to believe the "DOCTRINES" that they taught. *All of them taught doctrines*, not just "teachings." Here is a brief sample of *sound* doctrines.

- 1. The Incarnation of Deity (1 Tim. 3:16, altered in all new versions).
- 2. The Virgin Birth (Matt. 1; Luke 1) and the names of Mary's other children (Mark 6:3; Psa. 69:8).

- 3. The pre-Tribulation Rapture of Christians in the Church Age (Rev. 7, 14:6; 2 Thess. 2 cf. 1 Cor. 15:51–54; 1 Thess. 4:13–18), with Enoch as the Old Testament type of a Christian who is alive and is caught up and out before the Flood. You see, Enoch is the only man in either Testament who *never dies* and never *will die*. Neither Moses nor Elijah nor Christ Himself "fulfill" that bill.
- 4. Salvation under the Law and in the Tribulation is a system of faith AND works (Rev. 12:17, 14:12; Heb. 3:6,14, 10:26, 6:1–6; Rev. 22:14; John 1:17; Rom. 10:4–6).
- 5. A physical, literal, political, EARTHLY kingdom will be set up on this earth with Jesus Himself as a *military dictator* (Rev. 2:26–27; Psa. 110:2, 72:4; Isa. 26:10–11). It will have its capital in Jerusalem (Rev. 20:9; Ezek. 40–48; Zech. 14:8–21) and will last 1,000 years (Rev. 20:1–7).
- 6. Heaven and Hell are *literal* places, which terminate in New Jerusalem (Rev. 21—22) and the Lake of Fire (Rev. 20:14–15); both of them are eternal (Rev. 14:10–11; Matt. 25:46; Luke 16:26; Rev. 22:14–15, 21:8).
- 7. In this age, *works* have no part in salvation, and eternal life is a **"free gift"** (Rom. 5:15, 18) which guarantees the sinner who receives Jesus Christ (John 1:12; 1 John 3:1–3; Phil. 3:21) will wind up just like Jesus Christ Himself.

Those are seven samples of "DOCTRINES." They are not just "teachings."

They are not just "teachings" unless the reader who read them *rejects* both Testaments as being *the truth* (John 17:17, 6:63, 18:37).

Now here are a couple of cases.

Here are *apostate Christians* all over America teaching that John 5:29 proves WORKS are necessary for New Testament salvation and 2 Peter 2:22 is a reference to a born-again child of God going to Hell *after* salvation. To wrap up these two false "teachings," Galatians 5:4 is produced to show that any man saved by GRACE through FAITH (Eph. 2:8–9) can "fall" from that grace into the bottomless pit or the Lake of Fire or "**outer darkness**" (2 Pet. 2:17; Jude 6, 13).

These heresies are taught as Christian "doctrines" by *saved* Bible teachers in the Body of Christ. Laodicea is the final apostasy of Christ's BRIDE before she hits the Judgment Seat and gets the "**spots**" (1 Pet. 1:19; Jude 23) and "wrinkles" (Eph. 5:27) out of her (Rev. 19:7).

This final apostasy has nothing to do with humanists, evolutionists, Liberals," modernists, or even ecumenical movements. It is the saved people themselves who KNEW **"sound doctrine"** when they heard it (2 Tim. 4:3) and rejected it because they didn't like it or couldn't understand it. The passage (2 Tim. 4:3) could not possibly have *any* reference to *any* unsaved person, for none of them would know sound doctrine from false doctrine if they heard it—according to 1 Corinthians 2:14.

Now note in reference to 2 Peter 2:22 that the **"they"** (and **"them"**) throughout the entire chapter is a reference to unsaved teachers who reject the blood atonement of Jesus Christ (read vs. 1). There is not one Christian referred to as **"they," "them," "themselves,"** or **"their"** in the entire chapter (vss. 1, 2, 8, 10, 12, 13, 18, 19, 20, 21).

When 2 Peter says **"their"** or **"these"** (see vss. 2, 8, 12), it is NEVER a reference to anyone who ever got saved from A.D. 33 to A.D. 2007.

Verses 21 and 22 threw every apostate Laodicean clear off the highway. He assumed —and if he was *unsaved* he certainly *would* have assumed it—that you had to be a real Christian if you had "knowledge" about Jesus Christ and knew **"the way of righteousness."** The reader forgot that all Christ-rejecting Pharisees in Christ's day did just THAT. They remained "pigs and dogs." Not *one* of them was ever a *sheep*. (Look at John 10:4, 14).

FALSE DOCTRINE: taught by Christians in this century.

Laodicea: total apostasy.

Now try Galatians 5:4. Is "falling FROM grace" the same as being "thrown out" of Jesus Christ's Body (1 Cor. 6:17)? Then why is it taught that this happens?

Now, since all apostate Laodiceans never were commanded to "**search**" the Scripture or "**study**" the Scripture (see the *RSV*, *NRSV*, *ASV*, *NASV*, *NIV*, etc.), they didn't even bother to see to what the passage referred. It is interpreted in Acts 13:43. There the grace message of "**the grace of God**" has just been heard the first time by a mass of unsaved Gentiles, and some Jews (Acts 13:43). It does *not* say that the ones in verse 43 were "saved by grace through faith." It states that if they wanted salvation, they had better *not go back under the Law* (see Acts 13:38–39) and adopt the old faith-and-works system. This explains why the Jews had a "fit" in Acts 13:50, Acts 14:19, and Acts 17:5.

Paul was encouraging them to BELIEVE what he said and accept it as God's "plan of salvation." Some *did* and some did *not*: look at Acts 13:48–51. Those who did *not* are labeled in Galatians 5:4. *Look at the verse*. Now compare (for contrast) verse 4 with verse 5. See the "we"? That is a reference to every real Christian in Galatia. The "ye" and the "every man" and the "he" in verses 2 and 3 are no references at all to ANY man saved by grace through faith (Eph. 2:8–9) apart from works (Rom. 4:5).

The fallen sinner in verse 4 is counting on the *works of the Law* to justify him instead of the atonement of Jesus Christ.

That is what ALL texts of Galatians 5:2–5 say in every Greek manuscript extant on the passage. They all (with the *King James* text—any edition) teach **"sound doctrine."**

For the final shot (or final blunder), note John 5:29. Surely here is a "works" passage. [Better take your foot off the pedal.] Look at 5:24 only five verses earlier. No believer in this age comes "into condemnation," and no believer in this age "passed from life to death" after passing "from death unto life" (2 Cor. 5:17; 1 John 5:10–13). Note further, that "everlasting life" (vs. 24) is a present possession, and the future ("SHALL NOT come into condemnation") shows he will not lose "everlasting life" anywhere at any time.

At whom, then, is verse 29 aimed?

Why, the apostate Fundamentalists, Conservatives, and Evangelicals *didn't even read the verse before it!* The verse will not be fulfilled till Revelation 20:13. He said, **"all that are in the graves"**—not just dead Christians who will **"hear his voice"** (1 Cor. 15:50; 1

Thess. 4:13–18) 1,000 years before several billion dead hear it.

Good deeds (works) in the Tribulation get rewarded at the White Throne Judgment (see Rev. 11:18). All of the saved Bible scholars missed it: *all of them*. Good deeds in the Millennium earn a name in the Lamb's Book of Life (see the Sermon on the Mount, which is the Constitution for that kingdom—faith and works). Check it out with Daniel 12:3. Check it out with Psalm 24:3–5. Check it out with Ezekiel 18:5–28.

Don't waste any time to check it out with one single "militant Fundamentalist" Bible teacher connected with any Christian College or Seminary anywhere in the USA from 1880 to 2007. *They all went into apostasy in 1901*: not one "Neo-Orthodox" present; *they were all born-again believers "in" Christ's BODY.*

"Sound Doctrine— False Doctrine"

The Old Testament Saints

False Bible doctrines in the Twentieth and Twenty-first Centuries are as numerous as rabbits and as hurtful as fire ants. They are to be found, *mainly*, among the saved, bornagain, Bible-teaching, Bible-preaching "Christians" connected with "higher Christian education" (Colleges, Seminaries, and Universities) and ecumenical movements (Opus Dei, Promise Keepers, Purpose-Driven entrepreneurs, and Vatican councils).

The fable that the apostates connected with 2 Thessalonians 2:3 and 2 Timothy 4:3 are unsaved "Liberals" or unsaved "Modernists" or unsaved "Neo-Evangelicals," was invented by *saved Christians* (1900–1960) who believed in all of the "fundamentals," while *rejecting* the Holy Bible as their absolute and final authority, even though they got all of the "fundamentals" *from it*.

Why these apostate, Laodicean "Fundamentalists" found fault with faculty members who questioned the Virgin Birth or the Deity of Christ (or the "feeding of the 5,000" or Simon Peter "walking on the water") is rather mysterious. Southern Baptist professors in Waco, Stetson, Baylor, Judson, Howard, Furman, Louisville, New Orleans, Dallas, and Fort Worth have been doubting those kind of things *since 1910*.

While labeling these "infidels" as the *apostates* of 2 Timothy 4:3 and 2 Thessalonians 2:3, the *faculty members* at Bob Jones University, Tennessee Temple, Crown College, Liberty University, Grace Theological Seminary, Pensacola Christian College, Baptist Bible Institute, Dallas Theological Seminary, BIOLA, Northwestern, Louisville Theological Seminary, Fuller Seminary, Wheaton College, and Moody Bible Institute were *denying* the Virgin Birth in Luke 2:33 and Acts 4:27, and the Deity of Christ in 1 Timothy 3:16, Luke 24:52, John 9:38 (margin of *ASV*, 1901), and Mark 1:1–2.

While doing this they taught you that you were NOT "accepted in the beloved" (Eph. 1:6: *NASV*, *NIV*, *NRSV*), that no one ever *corrupted* the Bible (2 Cor. 2:17: *ASV*, *NASV*, *RSV*, *NRSV*, *NIV*), that Jesus could not have been on earth and in glory *at the same time* (John 3:13: *ASV*, *NASV*, *RSV*, *NRSV*, *NIV*), that "the love of money" is *not* "the root of all evil" (1 Tim. 6:10), you are *not* to "abstain from all appearance of evil" (1 Thess. 5:22), that no Israelite ever crossed the "Red sea" (he crossed the "Suph Sea," which was the marshy ground *north* of the Red Sea), and that the Lord Jesus Christ will never take over the literal "kingdoms of this world" (Rev. 11:15). He would just take the *kingdom* of the world from Satan.

Apostasy. Apostasy justified and taught by apostate Christians who were all **"IN CHRIST"** (Col. 1:27; Rom. 16:7).

Now here is another "ensample." Often a preacher will invent an impressive sounding

statement of his faith and then it becomes—via Christian schools—a sort of "historic position" which all Christians should observe as a sound Biblical doctrine. Once an "historic position" is established then all educational outlets of all Christian schools adopt it and program young ministers with it *before* they graduate.

I will locate three of these "historic positions" that are no more *Scriptural* than Joe Smith's position on marriage and baptism or the Jehovah's Witnesses position on Hell and the 144,000 Jews of Revelation 7.

1. The final, absolute authority for "truth" is to be found in the *original autographs* of the Bible in Hebrew and Greek. No "final appeal" is any higher or more reliable than these.

That "historic position"—if anyone accepts it as such—is as rotten as the French Quarter in New Orleans twenty-four hours after Katrina passed through.

2. A Christian can be "demon-oppressed" and "demon-obsessed" but cannot be "demon-possessed."

No, but Satan can get so much of his FLESH that Paul couldn't find one **"good thing"** *in his own flesh* (Rom. 7:18), and turned disobedient Christians over to **"Satan for the destruction of the flesh"** (1 Cor. 5:5). What the "demons" can't get from a saved sinner is his SOUL. The Devil can get (anytime God allows him—2 Tim. 2:26) your liver, lungs, arms, legs, hands, feet, brain, head, eyes, imagination, thoughts, and health (1 Cor. 11:30), and then take your joy, your assurance of salvation, your property (Gen. 19:24–25), your testimony, your rewards at the Judgment Seat of Christ, your Millennial inheritance, *and your life* (Heb. 12:16–17; 1 Cor. 3:15; Gen. 19:36; Heb. 2:14).

That may not be "demon possession," but you can be sure the demons and the Devil will settle for *that* list in a Christian any day of the week.

3. "Men in the Old Testament were saved by looking forward to the cross, and since then we are saved by looking back to it, so both groups were saved THE SAME WAY."

That appeared in some nut's sermon back in the early 1900s, and nuts have been growing on that tree ever since. No sincere reader of third-grade English would fail to see that *that* "historic position" was exactly like the "historic position" (*fifteen centuries*) the Catholics took on Mary and exactly like the "historic position" (*nineteen centuries*) that all Catholics, Lutherans, Methodists, Anglicans, and Presbyterians took on John 3:5 and Acts 2:38: "Water Dogism."

[An unsaved Campbellite is a "dog" (2 Pet. 2:22), not a "sheep" (John 10:27–28). His water baptism converts him into a WET DOG—not a sheep. Hence we say, "Of those born out of WATER, three are born outright: a tadpole, a mosquito, and a Campbellite." Water Dogism.]

Now look at screwball No. 3—looking forward and backward.

The *one verse* used to prove this was John 8:56. Into this verse, some lazy, stupid, Bible-rejecting Christian read "Abraham saw Jesus shedding His atoning blood on the Roman cross and saw His resurrection."

Not even Peter and John "saw" the resurrection *after it took place* (John 20:9). Neither Peter, James, or John "saw" Christ's resurrection from the dead *after He told them about it* (Mark 9:10).

Christ's **"DAY"** didn't show Abraham ANYTHING about the Gospel as defined in 1 Corinthians 15:3–4 and Galatians 1:8–12.

You can't even find the *blood atonement* of Jesus Christ for any sinner in Acts 2 or 3. If Acts 2:38 is a proper formula through the entire book of Acts, then Galatians 3:14, 4:28; 1 Corinthians 12:13; and Ephesians 4:5 are lies. Acts 2:38 in A.D. 2007 (A.D. 54–2007, etc.) will land you flat on your back in the Lake of Fire. *There is no mention of Jesus dying for your sins* "according to the scripture" (1 Cor. 15:4–5) *anywhere in Acts 2–7*.

And Abraham "saw it," did he? And David, Moses, Samson, Isaac, Jacob, Joseph, Judah, and Gideon all "looked forward to the cross" to get saved, did they?

Not unless you are gullible enough to believe that you can win a war on the Asiatic mainland. [Gen. Wedemeyer said (1950): "America should never get involved in a war on the *Asiatic* mainland." What followed? Korea, Vietnam, Iraq, and Iran. What happened? Same thing in all four cases, and three more if you want them.]

Crucifixion was not a major form of capital punishment for *any* nation until the Assyrians rose to power (900 B.C.). Moses didn't see the "cross" when he was given instructions to construct "Calvary" in public (Num. 21:8–9 cf. John 3:14). The "lamb" is in Exodus 12, the "blood" is in Exodus 12, and the three crosses are on the doors in Exodus 12, the lack of "water" is in Exodus 12:9, etc., and *Moses never got any connection from them*.

Now these superstitious idiots would have you think that because *now*, after the New Testament was written, you can see the *typology*, that Moses (or in their proof text—Abraham) *knew what he was doing:* "Looking forward to the cross."

Sonny, when Moses wrote those words (Exod. 12), he had no more idea about the "sufferings of Christ, and the glory that should follow" (1 Pet. 1:11–12) than the authors of 1 and 2 Samuel, 1 and 2 Kings, Isaiah, Jeremiah, Ezekiel, Daniel, Micah, Nahum, Obadiah, etc. Do you honestly believe that Jonah "SAW" the death, burial, and resurrection of Jesus Christ when he was being digested in a whale's gut (Matt. 12:40)?

Moses bearing the **"reproach of Christ"** (Heb. 11:26) is a post-resurrection statement where the author of Hebrews points out Moses as a *TYPE* of Christ—*after* the resurrection. Why, Joseph is a type of Christ in 154 particulars, and neither he nor his own parents and brothers **"saw"** anything in it (Gen. 37:1–11).

This shows you how Silly-Willie and Simple Simon—remember the pie man?—exegete the Scriptures: like blind Bartameus on a weekend drunk (Prov. 26:9). What the preacher who invented this "historic position" did, was to pretend that no one in the Old Testament had to have any WORKS along with his faith to get saved. He was trying to do away with TWO Testaments and say *they were both the same!* Why two different ones if they were *the same?*

No saint in the Old Testament had his sins taken away (Heb. 10:4).

No saint in the Old Testament had his sins *redeemed* (Heb. 9:15; Exod. 34:7).

No saint in the Old Testament became a *member of Christ's Body* (Eph. 5:30; 1 Cor. 12:13).

No saint in the Old Testament was born again (Psa. 22:30–31).

No saint (including Abraham) was spiritually circumcised (Col. 2:11).

No saint in the Old Testament, except Enoch, went to the third heaven, and Enoch didn't DIE to get there. Moses went there, but he must return to this earth (Rev. 11:3–12) to die AGAIN (like Lazarus, the widow of Nain's son, Eutychus, Dorcas, et al.).

When a saint died in the Old Testament, he went to "Abraham's bosom" or "paradise," and that "paradise" was certainly not the one in Revelation 2:7, because the man in Hell (Luke 16:23–24) could SEE Lazarus and talk to Abraham. *That* "paradise" was down under your feet until Matthew 27:52. But who didn't know THAT except 10,000 preachers (1880–2006) trained in Christian Colleges, Seminaries, and Universities?

They adopted an "historic position" that denied Scriptural material in BOTH Testaments *in every translation of every version of the Scriptures that has ever been printed*. A ton of "historic positions" are exactly like THAT. Among them are baptismal regeneration, the "Immaculate Conception," the "Assumption of Mary," "only the original autographs were inspired," Postmillennialism, Amillennialism, the post-Tribulation "rapture" of the Body of Christ, etc., etc.

Abraham "saw" Christ's "day" in that he looked forward to the resurrection *of his own son* AFTER he was going to slay him (Gen. 22:5). Abraham, by acts of faith, showed that he believed *three things*, without even guessing that they would be applied to *someone else* 1,700 years after his death.

- 1. He believed in perfect obedience to God's orders even when they involved the death of his beloved son (1 John 4:10).
- 2. He believed that his own son would *allow him* to do the killing (see *The Bible Believer's Commentary on Genesis*, 1960, Gen. 22:9).
- 3. He believed that even if he delivered his son up, God would *resurrect the boy* because of the PROMISES connected with him (Gen. 15:4–6, 17:19–21).

That is how Abraham "saw" Christ's day. As far as a televised video of the death, burial, and resurrection, he never saw ONE MINUTE of it in any way shape or form, any more than *Peter* did (Matt. 16:21–22) or any of the other apostles (Mark 9:31–32).

That "historic position" was nothing but *Satanic FALSE DOCTRINE* so you could never understand the theology recorded in Acts 2:38, 8:15–16, 10:47, 15:16–17,29; Hebrews 3, 4, 6, 10; and passages in 1 John, 2 Peter, and Revelation 7, 12, 14, 21, and 22.

Sound doctrine—the Holy Bible (AV 1611).

False doctrine—any piece of recommended JUNK since 1880, recommended by Bible teachers who invent "historic positions."

Sound Doctrine— False Doctrine"

Getting Rid of the Body of Christ

The term **"sound doctrine"** is found in 2 Timothy 4:3 and Titus 2:1. It included not only the Bible doctrines that deal with belief but with *actions* (Titus 2:1–10). Thus a correct confession would be, "I believe the Holy Bible is the FINAL AUTHORITY for the Christian in ALL matters of FAITH and PRACTISE."

When this profession is made by some Christian (say, for example, David Hunt, John MacArthur, Rick Warren, Joel Osteen, Bob Jones Jr., Bob Jones III, Stewart Custer, Jerry Falwell, Billy Graham, Chuck Colson, Jack Van Impe, Curtis Hutson, John Rice, Charles Stanley, or Shelton Smith), it doesn't really mean anything at all; all fifteen men (and 115 more just like them) are *professional liars* whenever the term "*FINAL* AUTHORITY" comes up.

(For documentation on that statement, obtain Dr. Grady's work on *Final Authority* or the work by the author, *The Christian Liars' Library* [1998], or *The Christian's Handbook of Biblical Scholarship* [1998]. Between those three books you will find my statement was neither slander nor exaggeration; it was quite conservative and even mild in view of the issue.)

My remarks only appear to be "mean" or "nasty" ("abrasive" or "malicious") in the eyes of Twenty-first Century Pablum Puke "Christians" who are as ignorant of the FACTS as A. T. Robertson, Kenneth Wuest, Westcott and Hort, Eugene Nida, Eberhard Nestle, Kurt Aland, Bruce Metzger, and the "Promise Keepers."

The apostates Paul discusses in 2 Timothy 4:3–4 are all *saved people*, for they have been exposed to "sound doctrine" so much that they know the difference between "sound" and "unsound" doctrine. Not *one* sinner in that passage could have been an *unsaved* man, because no unsaved man (when it came to the texts of the Holy Bible) would know the difference. "The natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned" (1 Cor. 2:14).

The apostate Fundamentalists, Evangelicals, and Conservatives in the Twentieth Century simply excused themselves from discussing 2 Timothy 4:3–4 and eliminated *themselves* as being the apostates referred to. Believe it or not, the most "fundamental" of them all professed to be able to correct the *King James* text with "THE Greek text" or "the ORIGINAL Greek text" while confessing they didn't know what $\alpha\pi$ o-' $\iota\sigma\tau\eta\mu\iota$ meant.

It means to fall away from (απο) a standing position (στασια) from 'ιστημι—αποστασια.

Every saved Fundamentalist (preacher, pastor, teacher, bishop, deacon, missionary,

founder, or "president") who claimed that he believed the Holy Bible was the *final* court of appeals for all Christians "in faith and conduct," *while appealing to an unseen, unread, unheard pile of lost papers* (which he calls "the original autographs"), was nothing but a two-faced *lying hypocrite*; and that is the BEST you could say for him.

He had no Holy Bible. He confessed he had never seen or read any "Holy Bible" or any "holy scriptures" (Rom. 1:2).

His final authority was actually a *nonexistent*, invisible similitude of a Book that never existed. Pretending it was just the "word" that was "settled forever in Heaven" (Psa. 119:89)—that is, *it is nowhere available down here*—he forgot that you were told not even to *think* about going up to Heaven to find a word of it. If the only infallible, inerrant Holy Bible is just a "loose canon" stored away in the third heaven—after being purified *in the earth* seven times (Psa. 12:6)—his "final authority" was PIXIE DUST; he couldn't see what it said about ANYTHING dealing with "faith or practise" for *anyone*—including the lost.

Proof? Why don't you read Nestle's notes in all of his Greek New Testaments? They say that such passages as John 8:1–11 and Mark 16:9–20 were never a part of "the *original* Greek text."

That is the exact equivalent of saying **"Thou shalt not steal"** was not in the original Decalogue that Moses got from God.

Both critical observations are in a classification with "famous decrees issued by deaf and dumb mutes playing 'Here We Go 'Round the Mulberry Bush.'"

Now here is a *false doctrine* taught by hundreds of apostate, Laodicean Baptists in 2007 as **"sound doctrine."** Not one man who teaches this heresy is a "Liberal" or a "Modernist" or a "Neo-Orthodox" who denies the "fundamentals." They are all bornagain, saved, regenerated, Christian pastors and evangelists *who were trained in Christian institutions*.

Their main "role model" was Noel Smith (1930), the editor of *The Baptist Bible Tribune* for J. Frank Norris.

The teaching was that *a local Baptist church* (singular) is *THE Body of Christ* (Col. 1:18; Eph. 1:22–23)—the one TRUE church. This teaching produces a false doctrine which we call "The Baptist Bride." It is highlighted by the peculiarly insane teaching that John the Baptist set up the local Baptist church, so you enter "THE Body of Christ" by *water baptism into a local church*.

Ditto all Roman Catholics and Campbellites, by coincidence.

The reasoning is that you enter Christ's BODY by WATER; you get this by reading the *locative* of the Greek word "ɛv" in 1 Corinthians 12:13 instead of *instrumental* (as the *King James* text has it: **"BY one Spirit."**)

Thus **"born of water"** (John 3:5) can now refer to *regeneration by immersion in water* (or in the Catholic case, as "sprinkling"). This puts you into Jesus Christ's SPIRITUAL BODY (1 Cor. 12:13; Eph. 1:22–23, 5:23–30; Col. 1:18, etc.).

All "water dogs" (Campbellites) say "AMEN!"

Noel Smith's position was, "There is no *universal church*. The only church there is, is on EARTH." Right away he "blew it" twice. One: The Church "triumphant" is now in the third heaven. The Church "militant" is still down here. Two: If a *local Baptist church* is the one true CHURCH, then it is *exactly* what the Catholic Church claims. They both claim to be a *universal church*, *worldwide*. After all, there are thousands of local Baptist churches, "*universally*."

The culmination of this Laodicean apostasy, among SAVED preachers, is that since "the church" (Eph. 5:23–30; Col. 1:18) is the "Bride of Christ" (Rev. 21:9, 19:7) and the only *true* church is a *Baptist* church, that no *saved* Methodist (John Wesley), *saved* Calvinist (John Knox), *saved* Lutheran (Martin Luther), *saved* Catholic (Savonarola), or *saved* Presbyterian (Donald Grey Barnhouse) can participate in "the marriage of the Lamb" (Rev. 19:7). She is a *Baptist Bride*. No *saved* Anglican (like John Newton) or *saved* Nazarene (like Bud Robinson) can be part of "the Bride."

This confounding of *local churches* (plural) with *the* heavenly Body (singular) of Jesus Christ (Eph. 1:22–23) was taught as a "Landmark" for Baptists, back in the Nineteenth Century. A "Landmark Baptist" accepted this heresy, just like a Campbellite or Catholic accepted the part of it where WATER puts you into a *spiritual body* that filled *the universe* (Eph. 1:22–23).

To show you how ridiculous (as well as apostate) this exegesis is, observe:

- 1. There has never been one **"member"** (1 Cor. 12:27) of Jesus Christ's **"body"** who was an unsaved sinner; local Baptist churches are *filled* with them.
- 2. Baptist churches did not begin with John the Baptist. He confessed that he was NOT a member of Christ's BODY *or* His Bride (John 3:29).
- 3. The *treasurer* of the "First Baptist Church of Jerusalem" (Matt. 16:18) was a "**devil**" (John 6:70–71, 13:29).

He was immersed in water by John the Baptist and partook of the "Eucharist" (see Luke 22:17–21).

If Judas ever was in "THE" church (Christ's Body in Eph. 1:22–23 and Col. 1:18), he must have fallen out, for he is now in "his own place" (Acts 1:25). It is "the bottomless pit" (Rev. 9:11, 17:8).

- 4. The final end of "the body of Christ" is a Rapture into glory (1 Thess. 4:14–18).
- 5. The final end of *all local churches* on this earth after the Rapture is *TOTAL APOSTASY* (2 Tim. 3:1–7, 4:3–4; Rev. 3:14–22, 13:8–14).
- 6. The *local* church is an *organization*, not an organism. It is an institution, *not* a part of Jesus Christ's BODY. All four of these words were confused by the apostates when they read Acts 2, for there the *local church* becomes part of *Christ's BODY*, and at the *same time* every member of Christ's body was in *ONE* local church.

Notice what a gigantic problem arises in Laodicea when the SAVED apostates face the Pauline Epistles. "In the church of the Laodiceans," "unto the church...at Corinth,"

"unto the church of the Thessalonians," etc.

Without any regard for third-grade English or the context of these words, every apostate Baptist in Laodicea avoids the *application* of these verses. If applied, it is obvious that someone is "bonkers" if he has an IQ of 50. Here is what is going on.

"Paul...unto THE CHURCH...at Corinth," etc.

"Paul...unto THE CHURCH of the Pensacolians," etc.

"Paul...unto THE CHURCH of God AT Pensacola," etc.

Who gets the Epistle?

I said, "WHO gets the Epistle?"

You say, "It is addressed to *THE* church." Yeah? *Which one?* There are more than 100 of them in Pensacola, and 80 of them are Baptist churches. *Which* Baptist church? Didn't he say "*THE* church"? Well, you just said "*THE* church" was a *local Baptist church*. *Freewill or Primitive? Hardshell or Two-seed-in-One? American or Southern Baptist?* What!? *Neither?* GARB Baptists? No? North American Baptists? Baptist Bible Fellowship? The First Baptist Church? Oh, the "First" churches are "apostate," are they? What difference would that make? Every Catholic in town would swear that "*the church*" was the Roman Catholic Church! "Baptists" would not even be an afterthought!

Why, if Jesus Christ is the head of "THE CHURCH" and said it was going to be **"MY CHURCH"** (Matt. 16:18), then obviously Paul would be writing to the Campbellites! —"The Church *OF CHRIST!*"

Okay? Settle for that?

Better hadn't; *the term doesn't occur in either Testament*. The term **"churches of Christ"** occurs (Rom. 16:16), but so does **"the churches of Asia"** (1 Cor. 16:19).

And who in the "Church of God" (1 Cor. 10:32) could read such a letter if "THE church" was a *Baptist* church?

Think about this, stupid! Not one single Christian in Philippi was addressed in the Epistle of Paul the Apostle to the Philippians, because no "CHURCH" was mentioned in the greeting: it said simply "saints," "bishops and deacons" (Phil. 1:1).

Now pick up the *Holy Bible* (and I don't mean the one "settled in Heaven" or some writer's "original autograph.")

Pick up **"the holy scriptures"** (Rom. 1:2) and then, as they say in the Navy, "NOW HEAR THIS!"

God has a *family* (Eph. 3:15). In that family are His Son, His Son's Bride (John 3:29), His Son's "friends" (John 3:29), attendant "**virgins**" for a marriage (Matt. 25:1–10; Song of Sol. 6:8), and even wedding "**guests**" (Matt. 22:10) such as "**concubines**" and "**kings**' **daughters**" (Song of Sol. 6:8; Psa. 45:9).

Any cockeyed, catty-cornered flop doodle of a doctrine that makes the Baptists Christ's BRIDE and then makes **"the...family"** (Eph. 3:15) Methodists, Presbyterians, Anglicans, Catholics, etc., is the work of a dog-eared, sloth-energized *egotist* who thinks

God didn't know what He was doing when He divided off SEVEN different kinds of members for His **"family."**

- 1. A mass of *Gentiles* saved in the Old Testament outside of Israel.
- 2. A group of *Israelites* saved under the Law of Moses.
- 3. Another group of *Gentiles* and *Israelites* "called out" in the Church Age to make up the Body of Christ (Acts 15:14; Eph. 2:16–22).
 - 4. A mass of saved Gentiles martyred in the Tribulation (Rev. 7:9–17).
 - 5. 144,000 Jews saved in the *Tribulation* (Rev. 7:1–8).
 - 6. Another mass of Gentiles saved during the Millennium (Acts 15:17).
- 7. A mass of millions of Gentiles and Jews who, though not *saved*, were "INNOCENT" (Deut. 1:39; Rom. 4:15, 5:13, 4:8).

Sin was not "imputed to them." This would include several BILLION children who died after birth anywhere from their birthday up to 8, 9, 10, and sometimes 12, 13, 14, and 15 years of old (see Deut. 1:39), and morons, "water heads," "imbeciles," etc.

"THE BRIDE OF CHRIST" is found in No. 3, and not in any other group. John the Baptist is in No. 2. The **"virgins"** of Matthew 25:1–10 are in No. 5.

The modern "Baptist Bride" is the *Bride of Frankenstein*. Saved Baptists produced her. *False doctrine*. Just as apostate as baptismal regeneration. It comes from failure to study, search, and *believe* the Holy Bible.

Chapter 8

"Sound Doctrine— False Doctrine"

The Sons of God and Christ's Lordship

"The holy scriptures" comprise a two-edged razor blade. The razor is for cutting into the heart and mind of a sinner (Heb. 4:12) and then operating according to his condition. Where the "ground" has been thoroughly plowed, the "incorruptible seed" (1 Pet. 1:23) can be planted (Luke 8:15). Where the heart is hard and barren, the Bible flips its pages and gives the sinner a taste of Isaiah 28:13; Ezekiel 14:1–11; and 2 Thessalonians 2:11–12. That is, according to divine revelation as recorded in 1 Kings 22:22–23.

Ninety percent of the Bible teachers in America (including pastors, missionaries, and evangelists) have forgotten that a SWORD is for *killing people* (see Judg. 3:16,22; Psa. 149:6–7; Jer. 48:10). It is a "**sword**" that comes out of the mouth of Jesus Christ (Rev. 19:15). The word *sword* is simply putting an "s" before "word."

To be "slain in the Spirit" has no reference at all to *Saul* writhing naked on the ground (1 Sam. 19:24) as a *demon-possessed killer* (1 Sam. 19:9), nor is it *Judas* falling flat on his back (John 18:5–6) after running into the great "I AM" (הוה).

To be "slain in the spirit" is to be "cut to the heart" (Acts 7:54) so that you either become mad enough to kill someone preaching the truth or you fall on your knees (Acts 9:4) and *accept THE TRUTH* (John 14:6) in the person of Jesus Christ (Acts 9:5).

This explains how millions of American Christians are deceived daily, weekly, and monthly by false prophets, heretics, "Promise Keepers," amateur psychologists, the news media, "possibility thinkers," and just plain *con artists* (Eph. 4:14).

You see, until SELF-RIGHTEOUSNESS leaves a man and he gives up trying to *earn* eternal life and heaven *by his own righteousness* (see Rom. 10:1–4, 3:21–28), he cannot "receive" Jesus Christ, for Jesus is God's righteousness (Rom. 10:4, 10). No New Birth is possible as long as old "Adam" *hides* behind the trees wearing a *fig leaf* apron.

To be as blunt about it as possible, and as simple as possible, a sinner suffers in Hell for believing in *his own righteousness* instead of *God's* (John 16:9–10). It's that simple. It is that simple in Romans 10:3. It is that simple in Ephesians 2:8–10. It is that simple in Galatians 2:21. And it is that simple in 2 Corinthians 5:19–21.

Whenever you encounter a Christian (layman, minister, bishop, or priest) who teaches that you can lose God's **"free gift"** of **"eternal life"** (Rom. 5:15, 6:23), you will find you're dealing with a man who questions *your* salvation by the fact that he observes that *you* don't live as holy a life as *HE* lives. I have never heard any Christian, who taught a Christian could lose salvation, ever confess that *he himself* had ever lost it, even as much as for one day in a lifetime. *They are all self-righteous*.

Now in America, since the days of the Primitive, Hardshell, and Free Will Baptists, you will find two false teachings that are about as "sound" (when it comes to Bible doctrines) as a speech by Hillary Clinton being a dissertation on soulwinning.

The first teaching is that you can get torn out of Jesus Christ's BODY (Eph. 5:30; 1 Cor. 12:13) after being **"sealed"** into it permanently (Eph. 4:30) by the Holy Spirit and, in the process, have God's **"free gift"** of **"eternal life"** (Rom. 5:15, 6:23) taken away from you. By an act of "will" you can overrule *God's will* as He wrote it down in Romans 8:29.

"For whom he did foreknow, he also did predestinate to be conformed to the image of his Son, that he might be the firstborn among many brethren" (Rom. 8:29).

"Who shall change our vile body, that it may be fashioned like unto his glorious body, according to the working whereby he is able even to subdue all things unto himself" (Phil. 3:21).

You are so HOLY, *your will* is greater than *God's will*. That is a Free Will Baptist or a Pentecostal or "Church of God" doctrine.

The other teaching is given in a canonical form. It goes like this: "If Jesus is not Lord *of all*, He is not Lord *at all*."

This hyper-Calvinistic rubbish came from Calvinists who began to judge "elect" or "non-elect" among their church members (and followers) by the way they LIVED instead of what they BELIEVED. A hyper-Calvinism always leads to a hyper-Arminianism. That is, once you take the position that the "Lord" and the "Saviour" are so *identical* that you cannot get Jesus to save you unless you live a perfect life, you must live perfect in order to *prove* that Christ is "Lord" of your life, as well as "Saviour" of your soul.

Like all of the Free Will boys believe, you wind up judging a "perfect" life by the way *you* live, since *you* profess that Jesus is the "Lord" of *your* life: your brother or sister who doesn't meet *your* standards obviously is LOST, because if Christ had saved him (or her), he automatically would be completely surrendered to HIM!

Poppycock (English). Basura (Spanish). Quatsch (German). Baloney (American).

The self-righteous fools have confounded *salvation* with *discipleship*, *salvation* with *service*, and *salvation* with *submission*. What they are saying is that if you defy the Lord (*like Paul* in Acts 21:11, 20:22, 22:18), you couldn't really have been *saved*, for Jesus doesn't "SAVE" anyone unless he accepts Christ's *complete Lordship* over every facet of his life. Where this puts *Peter* when he pulled off his stunt in Galatians 2:11,14, and then argued with the Lord (while calling Him **"Lord"**) in Acts 10:14, is rather difficult to "exegete."

I suppose *Mark* wasn't saved when he defected in Acts 13:13, and I suppose that all the **"brethren"** in Corinth (1 Cor. 5:1–2, 11:30, 11:17–19; 2 Cor. 12:20–21) were ALL lost sinners and were never converted for the list of the sins that Paul discusses (2 Cor. 12:20–21; 1 Cor. 6:9–10) are NOT the works of anyone who recognizes Jesus as their personal "Lord." Read the verses.

"If Jesus is not Lord of all...," meaning "all" in your life as *a Christian*—that is, Lord of your body, your mind, your family, your soul, your heart, your income, your spirit, your

conduct, your thoughts, and your actions—then He couldn't be your *Lord* and *Saviour* because the terms are *identical*.

Funny bunny: they were never identical.

The word *Saviour* is a title given to One who is able to do something to a sinner and does it if He is given a chance (John 6:37; 1 Tim. 1:15; 2 Cor. 5:21). The word means that He literally SAVED the sinner from something. The word *Lord* is not a reference to ANY action by ANY Saviour.

"Lord," in Jesus Christ's case, is clearly a TITLE given to Him, like "KING OF KINGS" or "God of gods" (Rev. 19:16; Dan. 2:47).

To show any blind, stupid fool that it was *not* a reference to saving anyone, there stands the written record of Philippians 2:10–11, which states that millions who never confessed Jesus Christ as "Saviour" (*and never will!*) will certainly confess Him as "LORD" (Phil. 2:11).

"That at the name of Jesus every knee should bow, of things in heaven, and things in earth, and things under the earth;

"And that every tongue should confess that Jesus Christ is Lord, to the glory of God the Father" (Phil. 2:10–11).

Now do you see how simple a matter it is to spot a lying hypocrite when he preaches? All you have to do is read your Bible.

Jesus was NEVER "the Lord" over the life of ANY unsaved man, let alone a saved man. Yet all unsaved people will have to confess Him as "Lord" without ever trusting Him as "Saviour." How do you figure that a saved man, whom Jesus the Saviour SAVED, doesn't have Him as his "Lord" (at all!) because he fails to obey Him twenty-four hours a day, 365 days a year?

You are dealing with proud, *self-righteous fools* who are trying to force you to live like *they* live or else deny your salvation. This is the truth of the matter.

"He couldn't be saved! He has quit tithing."

"She couldn't be saved. She doesn't come to Sunday night service."

"They couldn't be saved; they don't attend prayer meeting."

"If Christ was LORD of their life, they would teach Sunday School."

Jesus couldn't have saved him because he denies Christ's Lordship when he doesn't volunteer to drive a Sunday School church bus."

"Don't tell me any man knows the Lord if he drinks wine or smokes!"

"They all think they are saved because they came to Jesus and called on His name. Why, He is the LORD Jesus Christ. If they don't *obey* Him, *they couldn't be saved!*"

That is a self-righteous, bloated, puffed-up, conceited ASS who thinks he owns the stable and whole pasture. And I say that with apologies to every *four-legged jackass* in Texas and Colorado.

Chapter 9

"Sound Doctrine— False Doctrine"

The Sons of God and Spiritual Circumcision

The **"falling away"** mentioned in 2 Thessalonians 2:3 (which *precedes* the Rapture) is from the Greek word "*apostasias*." It is a compound word with the preposition "*apo*" given as a prefix to a "*mi*" verb ('ιστημι). Literally, it would be to "fall away" or "fall down" FROM a standing or upright position. Kenneth Wuest's (Moody Bible Institute Greek scholar) brainless nonsense about it meaning "to catch the Body of Christ UPWARD"—trying to prove a pre-Tribulation Rapture—is just so much more apostate garbage in a pile that now reaches to the moon.

No one needs to know Greek or "the original" Greek or "THE Greek text" or "the ORIGINAL Greek text" to see that if a Christian professes to *believe* something that he *once* really believed, *but now no longer believes*, he is a GENUINE "APOSTATE."

An example of an apostate Christian who teaches false doctrine, while professing to believe **"sound doctrine"** (2 Tim. 4:3), is a born-again, saved, "qualified," godly, dedicated "defender of the faith" telling you that the *AV* of the Holy Bible is just the "preserved *Word* of God" while believing he can change every WORD in it where he dislikes it or cannot understand it. Another good example of a Bible-rejecting, apostate Fundamentalist is the one who tells you that the "final court of appeals" for all Christians is a mass of lost pieces of paper that no one can see, read, memorize, learn, or hear preached.

Both of these professional liars are "apostates" in the truest sense of the word if they ever believed that the *AV* of the Holy Bible was "the truth, the WHOLE truth, and nothing but the truth." They "fell away from a standing position." All apostasy (including that which ends in denying the Virgin Birth or the Deity of Christ or the Resurrection) *begins* with Genesis 3:1—*questioning what God actually said*.

Now, here are two examples of false doctrines taught (and promoted) by many Bible teachers at Liberty University, Crown College, Baptist Bible College, Dallas Theological Seminary, Tennessee Temple University, Pensacola Christian College (and Seminary), Wheaton, Fuller, Moody, etc.

- 1. "The sons of God" in Genesis 6:2 were the sons of Seth.
- 2. The Holy Spirit was never "in" any Old Testament saint; only "on" him at times.

These two apostate *heresies* come from deliberately rejecting the text of the Holy Bible (*AV* 1611) and deliberately pretending that the verses that nullify them do not even exist.

In essence these apostates do not differ any more in intent, method, and result than those who reject what the *same text* (*AV* 1611) says about the New Birth, the two natures of the believer, the differences between the Kingdom of God and the Kingdom of Heaven, Premillennialism and Postmillennialism, or the restoration of Israel. "Apostates of a feather flock together."

Now notice: **"the sons of God"** (literally, exactly as it is spelled here) in the Old Testament are never the "sons" of any man who ever lived. They were CREATED before Adam ever showed up: see Job 1:6 and 38:7.

Whoever perverted Genesis 6:2 reached over into John 1:12 and pretended that the reference was to "godly" people, since John 1:12 showed who was "godly" and who was not. This *second heresy* produced the ridiculous notion that everyone born from Seth and his progenies were "the GODLY line" of the Messiah. The "godly" line of Messiah contained (according to every account of it in Matthew): a *whore* (Rahab), an *adulteress* (Bathsheba), an *idolator* (Solomon), an *incestuous father-in-law* (Judah), and a *murderer* (David).

How "godly" can a "godly" line get?

THAT is the "Messianic line."

Obviously the Scofield Board of Editors—and anyone dumb enough to believe their notes on Genesis 6:2—was temporarily "off their rocker" when they located the "sons of God." Why did Seth's progeny produce GIANTS? "Well," say the destructive critics of the Holy Bible, "that just meant 'men of stature'—'big shots'; not *real* giants." There were *real giants* this side of the Flood (Deut. 2, 3; Josh. 15:8), and some of them were over nine feet tall (1 Sam. 17:4).

The saved, "godly" scholars simply rocked off their rockers again.

This time, to prove that **"the sons of God"** in Genesis 6:2 could NOT be angels, they agreed to lie to you outrightly and deny Matthew 22:30 *by omitting two words in it*—just like the apostate *NIV* and the apostate *NASV* omitted one-third of Luke 4:4. Or just like all news media outlets omit one-half of Luke 2:14 at Christmas time—*every year since 1918*.

You were told that ANGELS were "sexless," so they couldn't be producing "sons." There isn't one *sexless* angel anywhere in sixty-six books in the Holy Bible, in any version of any translation that ever showed up on this earth. Every angel in that Book, with not one exception, *is a MALE* (Gen. 19:1, 10; Judg. 13:3–18; Luke 24:4, 23; Acts 1:10; Rev. 21:17).

So eight saved, born-again, "godly," qualified, recognized "Bible believers" *lied to you on purpose* to prove their point; and not ONE of them was Biblical or Scriptural while mishandling the Holy Bible: NOT ONE OF THEM. Second Timothy 4:3 is not aimed at Catholics, Satanists, Liberals, Modernists, Atheists, Evolutionists, Neo-Evangelicals, or infidels. It is aimed right at saved, born-again, Bible-rejecting "Fundamentalists."

By omitting **"in heaven"** in Matthew 22:30, they sidestepped hundreds of angels who *left heaven* (Jude 6; 2 Pet. 2:4), and those were the ones found in Genesis 6:2. They are called **"gods"** in Psalm 82 and are referred to by the Devil in Genesis 3:5.

One hundred percent error on the part of more than 100,000,000 American Christians since 1880. False doctrine for **"itching ears"** (2 Tim. 4:3).

The second massacre of the Holy Bible took place when several hundred thousand Bible students were taught—in at least forty major Christian Colleges, Seminaries, and Universities—that sinners were saved the SAME WAY in the Old Testament as in the New Testament. This would mean that ALL of them *experienced the New Birth*. That is what all New Testament Christians experience (John 3:3), since it is connected to *Calvary* (John 3:14) AFTER the crucifixion (see Psa. 22:30–31). Paul confessed his own New Birth in 1 Corinthians 15:8 and even included himself as a part of the operation in 1 Corinthians 4:15. Peter says this New Birth was accomplished by the "word of God" (1 Pet. 1:23), and this "word" had to be RECEIVED (James 1:21).

There is no New Birth for any saved sinner anywhere in your Bible from Genesis 1:1 to Acts 1:26. They lied to you again. Who? Born-again, saved, "godly," dedicated, recognized, qualified, militant "defenders of the faith"—that's "who."

They are the same bunch who altered 1 Thessalonians 5:22; 1 Timothy 6:10; 2 Corinthians 2:17; John 3:13; Luke 2:33; Matthew 5:22; Luke 2:14; Revelation 22:14; Acts 4:27,30; and 1 Timothy 3:16. Same crew: THOROUGHBRED APOSTATES.

Once the Holy Spirit has pointed out to you that He came *INTO* Old Testament saints (Gen. 41:38; Exod. 31:3; Neh. 9:30; Isa. 63:11; 1 Pet. 1:11), the faculties and staffs of all forty major Christian Colleges, Universities, and Seminaries declared that He never did. They stick to verses such as 2 Kings 2:15 and Judges 14:6 to prove that the Holy Spirit only came "ON" men at times. This was done to back a *third* MAJOR heresy: that all Old Testament saints were saved "by grace through faith, without works"; therefore, the Holy Spirit would not think of coming INTO them and then LEAVING them (see 1 Sam. 16:14 and Judg. 16:20).

According to every apostate Fundamentalist in America—the main scholars, pastors, professors, and teachers—*all* of the Old Testament saints would have been *permanently sealed* (Eph. 4:30) by the Holy Spirit if He had ever entered them. That is, these lying apostates are trying to make you think Old Testament salvation and New Testament salvation are *identical*. Under the Law, they are about as "identical" as Islam and Bible Presbyterian churches (John 1; Rom. 10).

Since David and Solomon both knew a great deal more about these matters than any Twentieth or Twenty-first Century "godly" apostate, we should refer to THEM for the truth. Why do you think David was praying for God not to take His Holy Spirit from him when YOU don't ever have to pray it (Psa. 51:11)? Gotta' little problem there, do ya? You mean, God did NOT take his Holy Spirit from Saul (1 Sam. 16:14) and Samson (Judg. 16:20)?

Then what was David doing "sweating it out"? Was he off his rocker like the Scofield Board of Editors?

Read these Old Testament texts carefully as they are found in every edition of the *King James Bible* that ever came out.

"Then he remembered the days of old, Moses, and his people, saying, Where is he that brought them up out of the sea with the shepherd of his flock? where is he that put his holy Spirit within him?" (Isa. 63:11).

"Behold, we are servants this day, and for the land that thou gavest unto our fathers to eat the fruit thereof and the good thereof, behold, we are servants in it" (Neh. 9:36).

"Searching what, or what manner of time the Spirit of Christ which was in them did signify, when it testified beforehand the sufferings of Christ, and the glory that should follow (1 Pet. 1:11).

The reason why the Holy Spirit could not ABIDE in the bodies of Old Testament saints is because without the New Birth and spiritual circumcision (Col. 2:11–13), *their SOULS were stuck to their FLESH* (see Rom. 7:1–3). When the FLESH sinned (Lev. 22:4–6), the SOUL sinned; bye-bye Holy Spirit!

If you are saved *in this age*, the Holy Spirit does not come in contact with your flesh (Rom. 7:17–18; Col. 2:11–13), you have been cut loose (Col. 2:11), and that is why the editor of the *Sword of the Lord* (Curtis Hutson) spoke of "Ruckman's Ramblings" in (God help us!) the "*SWORD*." He said, "spiritual circumcision, what ever THAT is!" *Why was it he didn't know?* Because not one man who believed the stuff that John Rice wrote ever checked Rice out with *the Book*. He just swallowed "Dear Dr. John" hook, line, and sinker. He digested six treble hooks: *ditto Shelton Smith and Bob Jones III*.

The explanation I gave you above unlocks a stumbling block in Revelation 21 and 22 that not one evangelical Fundamentalist has been able to explain until today. It shows that an unsaved man, without the New Birth, is a PART of his sins (Rev. 22:15) and therefore is called by the name of his sin (1 Cor. 6:9). *A Christian can certainly commit every sin listed in Revelation 21:8*, at least according to the Apostle of the Gentiles who wrote his epistles to the Body of Christ (Eph. 3:1–12). Here is the list:

"Now the works of the flesh are manifest, which are these; Adultery, fornication, uncleanness, lasciviousness,

"Idolatry, witchcraft, hatred, variance, emulations, wrath, strife, seditions, heresies,

"Envyings, murders, drunkenness, revellings, and such like: of the which I tell you before, as I have also told you in time past, that they which do such things shall not inherit the kingdom of God" (Gal. 5:19–21).

Note that the Christian is not a LIAR or an ADULTERER or a MURDERER, but his *flesh* is (see Rom. 7:17–18; Gal. 6:7–8).

Fortunately, the New Birth produces a *spiritual circumcision* which cuts off your soul from your flesh. Thus, the *real* "YOU" is not responsible for fleshy sins (Rom. 7:15–18), although since YOU (*the fleshy you*) commit them as "works of the flesh" (Gal. 5:19–21), you will certainly reap them—*IN THE FLESH*.

They cannot affect the salvation of your soul.

In the Old Testament they could (Ezek. 18:20).

And there the whole false teaching is exposed like a rotten egg: "You can't beat it but it sure stinks."

Note how ONE OMISSION of *two words* (Matt. 22:30) dealing with angels, destroyed ten other verses that gave light on the two natures of the believer and the matter of the Holy Spirit leaving a Christian. This shows you how apostasy always has begun *within the Body of Christ*. It also explains how Luke 13:19 is true as it stands against Matthew 13:31–32.

The apostates in 2 Timothy 4:3 are *saved*, "dedicated," scholarly Nicolaitans (Rev. 2:6) *who aspire to control the Body of Christ*. There were dozens of them in Nicea in A.D. 325, and there are hundreds of them now in every major Christian College, Seminary, and University in Europe and the Americas.

[For a comprehensive list, see more than 320 listed in the Appendices of *The Christian Liars' Library*, 1988.)]

For an exact, individual, specific, well-documented case, see the article in the September 2005 Bible Believers' Bulletin, "Shelton Smith, the Apostate Fundamentalist in 'Full Bloom.'" Shelton Smith can't even mention the term "the holy scriptures." He has never seen, read, or heard one verse of "the holy scriptures" a day in his life. He has what he called "THE Bible"—not "the holy scriptures." He recommended it so 2 Timothy 3:16 could not be applied to what he recommended. He never identifed "the Bible" as "the holy scriptures." He couldn't. He had been lying for more than thirty years —just like Curtis Hutson, Bob Jones Jr., and John R. Rice. He had "fallen away" from what he once believed; the scholars "got to him."

Apostates of a feather flock together.

Chapter 10

"Sound Doctrine— False Doctrine"

Confounding Remission with Redemption

The two main *sources* for the execution of more than 1,000,000 Bible-believing Christians in the Dark Ages were John 6:53–56 and Matthew 16:18–19. Using these *two Scriptures* as foundation stones for a worldwide, imperialistic Church-State—*found nowhere in ANY Scriptures ever printed, read, or spoken*—the Roman Catholic Church produced "The Most Holy Council of Trent" (1546–1564). In this official council, which is mentioned in the "Tridentine Confession" to which ALL priests, nuns, monks, attachés, Archbishops, Cardinals, Papal "nuncios," and Popes have to swear loyalty, are *two statements* that guarantee the damnation of anyone who dares to reject them. The support for these statements are John 3:5 and Acts 2:38.

When the Inquisition went about its "holy" work of torturing "heretics" and burning them alive, it always concerned itself with two things: THE MASS and SPRINKLING BABIES.

The "Reformation" (1500–1700) failed to unplug this sewage that jammed every water system in Europe, Asia, Africa, and the Americas. Not even Luther completely recovered from **"this is my body"** and 1 Corinthians 11:24; he offered "consubstantiation" for "transubstantiation." Zwingli parted company with Luther on that point and took the *Baptist position* on the Lord's Supper as a memorial. However, not even Zwingli or Calvin recovered from John 3:5 and Acts 2:38. To their dying day they rejected the immersion of adult believers only and retained the *sprinkling of the kiddies*—as did Luther. This explains the failure of the Lutheran Church and Dutch and German Reformed churches to do much of anything after 1600. The State Church of England (Anglican) also retained the baby sprinkling.

The Inquisition approach was:

- 1. Water baptism should be *sprinkling* water on people.
- 2. Only *Roman Catholic* water baptism produces the New Birth.
- 3. This makes the Babylonian whore on seven mountains (Rev. 17) your "MOTHER." (The Sanskrit for "CAT" means *mother*: as in "CATholic.")
- 4. Sprinkling babies gets rid of "ORIGINAL SIN" and *engrafts them into Christ's body*. (Still taught today in ALL official Roman Catholic books on Catholic doctrine.)
- 5. Of course, they can become "unregenerated" and fall "out" of Christ's Body *later*. Thus, no Roman Catholic who ever lived (including more than 200 Popes) had any more idea about where they would wind up, *for sure*, than St. Thomas, St. Theresa, St. Joan, St.

Augustine, Pope John Paul II, Rasputin, Billy the Kid, or Karl Marx.

So you see, as we have said on at least four dozen occasions, the Book is not just written to *save* people and show them how to glorify their Saviour. It is also written to be used by Satan (see Luke 4:9–10) in order to *damn* souls, *destroy* churches, *murder* anti-Catholics, justify anti-Semitism, and prove that a child of God can *lose* salvation.

Now although this seems fantastic, it happens to be *history*, and you would have to *revise* history to disprove it. All *heresies* are based on SCRIPTURE (1 Cor. 11:19; Tit. 3:10). This is so true that the *NASV* and the *NIV* both *omitted the word* every time it showed up in the New Testament (1 Cor. 11:19; Acts 24:14; Tit. 3:10). These modern, sneaky, slick, smooth, treacherous, "Conservative" foxes all "covered up their own tracks."

Now in regards to the bloody-bloodless Mass—that is exactly how it is stated in any official Catholic publication between A.D. 1700 and 2000—the proof texts are John 6:53–56 and Matthew 26:26–27.

An examination of the contexts of all three passages show that no sane man would attend a Catholic church for five minutes if he had spent any time *reading* the Holy Bible.

- 1. No wine is present; it is the **"fruit of the vine"** (Matt. 26:29; Gen. 40:10–13; Isa. 65:8; Neh. 1:11).
- 2. It was not given in *Latin*; it was in the common language of the disciples (Greek, or some say Aramaic).
 - 3. Jesus did not turn His back on anyone to offer the "elements."
 - 4. He didn't call any "wafer" "my Lord and my God" (John 20:28).
- 6. He broke the bread *before* He said **"This is my body"** (1 Cor. 11:24). The Catholic priest does it AFTER.
 - 7. He gave the bread to them by putting it in their *hands*, not their mouths.
 - 8. He did not "elevate" ANYTHING.
- 9. He never mentioned "Mary," although every Baalite cannibal priest (A.D. 400-1900) in North, Central, and South America said, "Solemnizing and communicating in THE FIRST PLACE the remembrance of the GLORIOUS MARY!"

The dirty reprobate made a liar out of the Lord Jesus every Sunday morning at 11 a.m. Jesus said, **"THIS DO IN REMEMBRANCE OF ME"**—*NOT "Mary."*

(There is no liar like a Catholic liar unless it is the Christian scholar who would recommend an *ASV*, *NASV*, *RSV*, *NIV*, *NWT*, *NEV*, *CEV*, or *The "Living" Bible*.)

Not one Roman Catholic in America ever noticed that Jesus *lied* when He said if you took the "Mass" you would have *ETERNAL LIFE as a present possession* (John 6:54). Why is it then that no Catholic to whom you ever talked, believed in *eternal security?* You didn't hear Paul VI, John XXIII, Pius XI, Pius XII, or John Paul II say *one word* about how happy they would be in New Jerusalem after the Lord took them home: *not a peep*. There are more than *eighty* hymns in a Protestant hymnal that have you SING about it.

FALSE DOCTRINE. In the Holy Bible, Rome's "Mass" is a "Mess." The Roman Catholic Church has always taken the position (up to 1900, where the press got too hot for them to get away with such stuff) that anyone who said what I just said should be BURNED AT THE STAKE. To prove their sincerity, they did it—*for more than 1,000 years*.

Now the second doctrine had to do with *sprinkling babies* instead of *baptizing adults*. No babies are baptized (or sprinkled) *anywhere* in the New Testament. The operation was just science fiction. Water baptism, every time it shows up, follows belief (Mark 16:16; Matt. 21:32; Acts 2:41, 8:12, 10:47).

Well, here is the "hangman's noose" that caused the damnation, probably, of more souls between the completion of the New Testament to President Bush's administration than the world, the flesh, and the Devil destroyed in the same time through idolatry, "the love of money," drugs, liquor, politics, wars, or anti-Christian religions.

"Then Peter said unto them, Repent, and be baptized every one of you in the name of Jesus Christ for the remission of sins, and ye shall receive the gift of the Holy Ghost" (Acts 2:38).

The following denominations used *that* verse as a "proof text" that no one can have his or her sins REMITTED *unless* they get baptized in water: Roman Catholics, Mormons, Seventh-day Adventists, Campbellites, Episcopalians, Presbyterians, Church of God, Pentecostals, Charismatics, Greek Orthodox, Lutherans, Nazarenes, and Jehovah's Witnesses.

Dr. A. T. Robertson, the Southern Baptist Greek professor at Louisville Theological Seminary, says "amen" to the matter. His "original Greek" says "get baptized, WITH A VIEW to getting your sins remitted."

Right out of Hell; fresh on the pitch fork.

In the four places where **"FOR the remission of sins"** is given (Mark 1:4; Matt. 26:28; Acts 2:38; Rom. 3:25), there is not a single time where it means "SO your sins can be forgiven." In all four cases it means "BECAUSE you have had your sins forgiven." This simple, third-grade English (or Greek or Hebrew or German or Latin or Spanish or Russian or French, etc.) is born out by the main statement in the New Testament on Paul's Gospel (Rom. 2:16). It said, **"Christ died for our sins"** (1 Cor. 15:3).

Compare! "The thief was jailed FOR stealing."

Was he jailed BECAUSE he stole, or was he jailed "with a view to stealing" or "in order to steal"?

The artist was rewarded "FOR painting the landscape." Was he awarded BECAUSE he painted it, or was he rewarded SO he could paint it?

Well, according to the entire mass of deceived "Christians" (given above), the thief had to go to jail *in order* to steal, and the artist couldn't paint the picture *till* he had been rewarded.

That is the "SANITY" of thirteen main "Christian" denominations through fifteen

centuries.

The first deceived dupes, who duped their congregations, were *Greek Orthodox* "soulwinners" (A.D. 400). The next group was *Roman Catholics* (A.D. 300–800), and then they led the whole express train right down the mountain into a swamp (2007).

In Galatians 3:14, you are told that *not ONE Gentile saved under Paul's ministry ever had to get baptized or sprinkled to "get" the Holy Ghost*; see also Ephesians 1:13. This truth had already been demonstrated to Simon Peter in Acts 10:47. No Gentile in the book of Acts, *after* Acts 8, had to get sprinkled or baptized in water to get saved, to get forgiven, to get justified, to get redeemed, to get eternal life, or to get the Holy Spirit. *Every one* of them (Acts 16:33, 18:8) GOT WET.

Now how did such a thing happen?

Well, 1 Peter 3:21; Ephesians 4:5; Hebrews 9:15; Isaiah 40:1–4; Luke 23:34; Exodus 34:7; Acts 3:17–19 explain what *20,0000* highly-educated Christian scholars couldn't find in *eighteen centuries*.

- 1. No saint in the Old Testament was born again, and *none* had theirs sins "TAKEN AWAY" (Heb. 10:4; Exod. 34:7). However, they were *forgiven* (sins "remitted"); see Exodus 34:7, 32:32 and 2 Chronicles 6:21, 25, 27, 30.
- 2. Sins were *forgiven* from Genesis 3 to Matthew 27, but none were **"REDEEMED"** (see Rom. 3:25 and Heb. 9:15). Note the blood of Christ was shed to redeem sins that were **"PAST."** It did what the blood of bulls and goats could not do (Heb. 9:13–15, 10:4).
- 3. When John preaches "the baptism of repentance FOR the remission of sins" (Mark 1:4), Isaiah tells you that it is *because God HAD forgiven Israel of her sins at that time* (Isa. 40:1–2). And that takes you right back into 1 Peter 1:11; Mark 1:3; and Matthew 17:11–12, where the First and Second comings are spoken of as being together (see comments on Gen. 49:11, 24; Isa. 61:2). None of the scholars had enough energy or sincerity to look up the matters.
- 4. When Peter preaches "the baptism of repentance FOR the remission of sins," it is *exactly* the same case. This is clear from the fact that on the cross Christ asked the Father to "FORGIVE THEM" (Luke 23:34), *and He DID* (see John 11:42).

This explains the "second chance" given to Israel in Acts 2:32–36 and the third chance in Acts 4 and Paul's remark in 1 Corinthians 2:8.

5. When Jesus sheds His blood **"FOR the remission of sins"** (Matt. 26:28), it has little to do with future sinners. Hebrews 9:22 has been used to prove that no one could get **"remission of sins"** without *Jesus* shedding His blood. See how neatly Matthew 26:28 and Hebrews 9:22 prepare you to believe in baptismal regeneration (Acts 2:38)? Neat, wouldn't you say? Never fear! More than 100,000,

000 suckers fell for it between A.D. 200 and A.D. 1000, and more than 900,000,000 more between A.D. 1000 and A.D. 1900. Right now, there are more than 1,000,000,000 professing Christians who believe that Acts 2:38 is essential for salvation and essential to receiving the Holy Spirit. They are just as screwed up (twenty centuries later!) as the "Church Fathers" (A.D. 200) who promoted that *FALSE* DOCTRINE.

Once you *add* the word "baptism" to John 3:5 (where it does not appear in one extant Greek manuscript that has ever been found) and then add "water" to Ephesians 4:5 and Galatians 3:27 (although the word does not appear in either epistle, *anywhere*), you are ready for the Lake of Fire.

Jesus Christ HAD to shed His blood, because God *had been forgiving sins for 4,000 years* with no basis for doing it (Josh. 24:19; Exod. 23:21). All the Christians forgot that God was SINLESS: *He was HOLY*. He wouldn't do anything wrong, not even to save a soul from Hell.

The verse in Hebrews 9:22 had been *misapplied* to Matthew 26:28 by the "scholars." It had nothing to do with Matthew 26:28. The whole verse (Heb. 9:22) was talking about the shed blood of *animals* for the remission of sins. It couldn't REDEEM them (see Col. 1:14), and it couldn't "take" sin "away" from the sinner (Heb. 10:11), and it could not "clear" him (Exod. 34:7).

Ninety-five percent of all the Christians between A.D. 33 and A.D. 2007 missed *the entire revelation from both Testaments*. That is how they wound up thinking that Acts 2:38 did something for the sinner. The only thing it *did* for him was, *that at that time*, it qualified him to receive the Holy Spirit; later, it had nothing to do even with that. See Acts 10:47, 8:14–16, and Galatians 3:14 for absolute proof.

FALSE DOCTRINE. It was taught as absolute truth by every Protestant, Catholic, and Greek Orthodox denomination, which explains why Acts 2:38 shows up in ALL their creeds and confessions; not even the Southern Baptist Greek scholar Dr. A. T. Robertson was exempt from this "colossal stupidity."

Acts 2:38; John 3:5; and Titus 3:5 are the shortest and quickest roads to Hell you can take. All three are "Scripture." All *three* deal with salvation, if you mistake the "**for**" in Acts 2:38 for "in order to" instead of "because of."

"FOR the remission of sins" in Mark 1:4 means *exactly* what it means in Acts 2:38. "FOR the remission of sins" in Romans 3:25 means exactly what it meant in Matthew 26:28. *All four identical expressions mean exactly the same thing in all four cases.* NOT ONCE do any of them refer to anyone getting baptized in order to get "remission of sins." That is a Satanic fable taught by Lutherans, Mennonites, Anglicans, Catholics, Amish, Mormons, Greek Orthodox, Pentecostals, Methodists, Presbyterians, Coptics, Armenians, Church of God, Church of Christ, Nazarenes, Seventh-day Adventists, and a handful of *Baptists*.

Chapter 11

"Sound Doctrine— False Doctrine"

Getting Rid of the Kingdoms

As the Body of Christ collapses in total apostasy (1901–2001)—at least 90 percent of it in America and 95 percent in Europe—the matter of "sound doctrine" becomes so important (see 2 Tim. 4:3) that the word "doctrine" has been replaced by "teaching" in all of the modern, apostate translations such as the *ASV*, *NASV*, *NIV*, and so forth. Since the revisers who produced those perversions cannot "endure sound doctrine" (2 Tim. 4:3) and want to do nothing but "tell" or "hear some NEW THING" (see Acts 17:21), they could not even stand the sight of the word. The grossly corrupt *NIV* and *NASV* have removed it *thirty times* from the New Testament.

"Sound doctrine" cannot be taught by any sinner, saved or lost, educated or uneducated, backslidden or consecrated, unless the teacher (or writer) observes five ironclad "canons" of interpretation. Where any of these are violated, the *alibi* for violating them is "null and void" and marks out the teacher as just a lying hypocrite who is at work trying to bolster and back up a FALSE DOCTRINE which he is teaching: no exceptions in any branch of "Christianity" in the last 390 years.

- Protocol 1. Never *add* words to the verse when quoting its text.
- Protocol 2. Never *subtract* words from the verse when quoting its text.
- Protocol 3. Never remove the verse completely from *the context* unless "right dividing" (2 Tim. 2:15) demands it. For example, Genesis 49:10–11, 49:24; Isaiah 40:1–4, 61:2; Matthew 17:11–12, etc.
- Protocol 4. Never attempt to interpret a CLEAR passage in the light of an UNCLEAR passage.

Protocol 5. Never trust ANY scholar or ANY "historic position" to give "light" on any verse or passage where **"the holy scriptures"** themselves have already given the light. Example after example could be cited when ANY scholar is trying to "explain" Genesis 1:7, 1:16, 6:1–2, 24:22, 49:6; Numbers 22:22; Deuteronomy 33:2; Matthew 26:27–28, 16:18; Acts 2:38, etc.

Now here we have a major case where we observe the utter failure of *all* Bible revisers and *all* major Bible teachers and *all* "Christian celebrities" in concocting (since A.D. 1500) a belief built on self-righteousness and the trust that Israel would never again be constituted as a KINGDOM connected with GOD: i.e., "the kingdom of God."

The whole crew skipped over God's personal note, written to THEM in Romans 11:26–30. This caused them to confound **"the kingdom of God"** with **"the kingdom of**

heaven," and they haven't untied that knot in A.D. 2007.

If you want to see full-bloomed and full-blossomed apostasy in its deepest form, get a copy of Bob Jones III's chapel address to the students of BJU before he turned the mess over to "Stephen" Jones (2006). We have already printed it in *The Bible Believers' Bulletin*.

In that "Bastion of Orthodoxy" and "Fortress of Faith" the President announced that every young man and woman in the University (BJU) who believed that ANY Bible was better than the ASV, NASV, RSV, NRSV, NIV, etc., so that it was their "pet translation," that those students were LOST and on their way to Hell, for they were practicing WITCHCRAFT and ADULTERY.

I know you don't believe that. *Get the article*. If you want your own copy, write to Pastor Bill Reese, Lakeside Baptist Church, Box 1728, Clearwater, South Carolina 29822. He got it word-by-word from a recording made in the chapel.

This is the school (BJU) that still distributes cute little radio cassettes of "*The Word of Truth*," by their deceased founder, Bob Jones Sr., trying to drum up an enrollment of young people who think that the school is "orthodox." It is no more orthodox when it comes to *expounding the Scriptures* than Salt Lake City, Utah.

Bob Jones III condemned *4,000 saved young people* by applying Galatians 5 to them as proof that if they put any emphasis on the *King James Bible* their sin was *equal* to the unsaved practitioners of ADULTERY and WITCHCRAFT.

The dirty, lying, God-forsaken *reprobate* would sacrifice your sons and daughters on the altar of his own STUPIDITY in order to keep any student from "rocking the boat" at BJU. His "god" was the institution from which *he drew his salary:* typical, absolutely typical.

When it comes to **"sound doctrine,"** all teachers of FALSE doctrines make three gross errors at the start of their "historic positions."

- 1. They assume that the PARABLES on **"the kingdom of heaven"** (Matthew: twelve of them are in the Gospel of **"the King of the Jews"**) are DOCTRINAL statements dealing with **"the kingdom of God."**
- 2. They ignore the definition of **"the kingdom of God,"** in this age, given in Romans 14:17.
- 3. They assume the two kingdoms are *identical*, or at least "one *within* another" (Clarence Larking).

With this orientation you are geared for a crash-landing every time you run across a verse that speaks about a Jew's "inheritance" (Deut. 12:9, 18:2; Num. 34:18; Psa. 78:71; 1 Pet. 1:4). So that is where Bob Jones University wound up in 2006; with the most crooked, deprayed bit of theological FRAUD and EXTORTION ever leveled on young Christians in this century.

After claiming that "Ruckman" taught nobody could be saved except through a *King James Bible* (which I have never even *suggested* in 120 volumes of comments), this poor,

stupid Biblical illiterate—in charge of the Biblical welfare of 4,000 young Christians!—came out with rubbish that would gag a maggot. It was no surprise to me (see *The Full Cup*, 1998); I had the outfit "figured" before 1960.

Now here was the problem. There are TWO "inheritances" spoken of in regards to converts in the Church Age. One of them is a *spiritual* one entered at the New Birth. This **"kingdom of God"** is never manifested physically at the New Birth; it is *received*. It is received because the receptor becomes an "heir" with the One who *originated* the "inheritance" (Rom. 8:16–17).

Now comes the catch! This *spiritual kingdom*, defined in Romans 14:17, is *also* said to be a kingdom that Jesus Christ goes to Heaven to receive, and *when He comes back* He sets it up as a *political kingdom*, ruling over *cities* (Luke 19:12–27; Rev. 20:4; Matt. 19:28).

This cleans out the "Scholars' Union" as neatly and as thoroughly as Katrina cleaned out the Roman Catholic French Quarter in 2005.

You see, in Acts 14:22, Paul speaks of "entering the kingdom"—*not the New Birth*—as working and suffering as a disciple to EARN it. He does the same thing in Colossians 3:24–25. To further confuse every self-righteous Athenian from A.D. 90 to A.D. 2007, we find Jesus Christ speaking about the **"kingdom of God"** as though it were an earthly, *physical kingdom* which can be given to a NATION (Matt. 21:43; Acts 1:3).

These verses give every heretic the ammunition he needs to prove TWO lies: New Testament salvation is partly faith and partly *works*, and New Testament salvation can be LOST if you do the *wrong works* (1 Cor. 6:9–10; Gal. 5:19–22).

THAT is where Bob Jones III landed. Right in a rat's nest.

But since BJU was never a BAPTIST school, he couldn't deny the second falsehood publicly, and since he was a lazy, stupid Bible student, he thought Galatians 5:19–22 was a list of things no saved person could do *if they were saved*. He immediately coupled this with 1 Corinthians 6:9–10, where *UNSAVED people were doing the things listed in Galatians 5:19–22*. Then having put them together, he got a wild heresy which he forced on his student body. It was about as "sound" as a German "Mark" in 1934.

Now here is THE BOOK, and when we say "THE Book," we never mean what Shelton Smith means. His statement on "THE Book," as he applied it to the *King James Bible*, simply means that he thinks it is the best English translation you can get. He is just as careful as Bob Jones Jr., Bob Jones III, John R. Rice, Rick Warren, Joel Osteen, Billy Graham, Jack Van Impe, and Curtis Hutson never to say "THE Book is the *Holy Scriptures*" (Rom. 1:2). Shelton Smith has no "holy scriptures." Neither did Bob Jones Jr. or Bob Jones III or John R. Rice or Curtis Hutson or Clarence Sexton.

Now in "THE Book," the **"kingdom of heaven"** is always literal, for **"heaven"** and **"the heavens"** are physical. **"The kingdom of God"** is always SPIRITUAL (Rom. 14:17), for **"God is a Spirit"** (John 4:24). The first verse in your Bible (Gen. 1:1) shows you the two kingdoms could not possibly be IDENTICAL; all heretics teach they *are*. This explains 2,000 years of religious history among the nations. They were always trying to

bring in a "golden age," "new age," "global age," etc., via armed conflict, political theatrics, "summit conferences," social welfare, "handouts," and treaties, while praying, "thy kingdom come. Thy will be done, in earth as it is in heaven."

Good enough, but "there was WAR in heaven" (Rev. 12:7).

Now see what a mess you are in? There is no *spiritual kingdom* to be found in one chapter in a prophetic Book that deals with *the world kingdoms* from Nebuchadnezzar to Pope Benedict XVI (Dan. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11). Those literal, physical, *visible KINGDOMS* have nothing to do with John 3:5; Romans 14:17; Luke 17:20–21; or Colossians 1:13.

But they do have to do with Acts 1:3, 14:22; 1 Corinthians 6:9–10; Galatians 5:19–21; Colossians 3:24; and Romans 15:12.

What the Holy Spirit has done here (as He has done scores of times in both Testaments) is deliberately CONFUSE the mind of the reader *so he will destroy himself by* "the word" (Hos. 6:5; Isa. 28:13; Ezek. 14:1–11) if he is proud or is trying to justify his sins. If you want to really see Him in action, watch Him segregate "having" eternal life (1 John 5:13) with "reaping" eternal life (Gal. 6:8) and "laying HOLD" on eternal life (1 Tim. 6:19). Every apostate in the Body of Christ will amalgamate those *three differing verses* and force them *together* to prove that if you don't "hang on" to eternal life by WORKS, you can lose it after you get it as a "**free gift**" (Rom. 5:15).

Now here is what the Lord did. He gave the child of God ONE inheritance as a "gift" when he *got saved;* this inheritance is mentioned in 1 Peter 1:4–6; Ephesians 1:18; Acts 26:18; Romans 8:17; and Colossians 1:12–13. He then offered him *another inheritance* by WORKS (Col. 3:24). Both inheritances are called "the kingdom of God" in Acts 1:3, 14:22; and Luke 19:11, since both will show up in the Millennium at Christ's Advent. In THIS age, THAT kingdom ("the kingdom of God," Rom. 14:17) is NOT a literal, physical kingdom. In the Millennium it is still there, but it is *concurrent* and *simultaneous* with "the kingdom of heaven" (see Matt. 5:20, 5:3). Both kingdoms are present and neither one is *identical*. *They never were*.

There is no **"kingdom of God,"** in the sense of Romans 14:17, anywhere between Genesis 4 and Acts 2. There is no **"kingdom of heaven"** anywhere (in the sense of Dan. 7:17 and Rev. 11:15) *in the Church Age*. All its political counterfeits are Gentile attempts to set up State-Churches (Catholicism, Anglicanism, Communism, Greek Orthodox, Hinduism, Islam, etc.) to "bring in the kingdom."

The heartbeat of the Papists is "meat and drink" (Rom. 14:17), for it is wine ("drink") and a wafer ("meat" according to Lev. 2). That is exactly what "the kingdom of God" is NOT. "For the kingdom of God is not meat and drink; but righteousness, and peace, and joy in the Holy Ghost" (Rom. 14:17) in this age. The heartbeat of the "Four Freedoms" in a "Democracy" is equal sharing of wealth in a Socialist State or Welfare State: "meat and drink, and jobs to procure them."

Jesus Christ was a human being (**"a child is born,"** Isa. 9:6) who is "King" in the sense of King David, which is why King David's throne is called "THE LORD'S THRONE" (1 Chron. 29:23; Matt. 5:35).

Jesus Christ, as Deity (1 John 5:20), was "a son...given" (Isa. 9:6), begotten by God (Heb. 1:5). As such, He is a SPIRITUAL KING (John 18:36) over a SPIRITUAL KINGDOM (Rom. 14:17). Thus He is a dual King over dual kingdoms, and that means that when He is on earth, both kingdoms are present. That would be 4 B.C. to A.D. 33, and from the Second Advent to the White Throne Judgment.

This is proved by Luke 24:36–43, where *both* natural beings and supernatural beings (Jesus Christ Himself) are EATING and DRINKING (Rom. 14:17!) together *at the same time*. Ditto in the *Millennium*. Both kingdoms were present; *neither were identical*.

And thus it came to pass that every apostate who picked up 1 Corinthians 6:9–10 taught that "ALL drunkards and queers go to Hell" and then ran to Galatians 5:19–21 to prove that if any *Christian* committed those sins he LOST God's salvation. You see what threw them? "SHALL NOT INHERIT THE KINGDOM OF GOD" was misread as "SHALL NOT GET TO HEAVEN." The reference was to EARNING *a reign on this earth* as given in 2 Timothy 2:12 and as warned about, using ESAU as an example (Heb. 12:16–17).

FALSE DOCTRINE.

False doctrine taught by the President of BJU and a dozen more just like him. Total apostasy.

There were TWO kingdoms and TWO inheritances, and they were not *identical*, and they are only both present when the "KING" of them is on this earth.

At present, the only kingdom present is not connected with church buildings, income, sacraments, politics, attendance, enrollment, property, or organizations.

Those items produce the kingdom of "Death and Hell" (see Rev. 6, 13).

Chapter 12

"Sound Doctrine— False Doctrine"

John 15 and Romans 11

More than 1,900 years ago a converted Jew—"an Hebrew of the Hebrews" (Phil. 3:5)—warned the Body of Christ "that in the last days" (see 2 Tim. 3:1) of the Church, in the Church Age—not the "last days" of the "end time" of Jacob's Troubles—the bornagain Christians in the Body of Christ (1 Cor. 12:13–27; Eph. 5:29–33) would get to the place where they could "not endure sound doctrine" (2 Tim. 4:2).

In a gracious (but utterly mistaken) gesture, all of the Scofield Bibles backed up the Twenty-first Century Christian apostasy by claiming that only an *unsaved "liberal"* could be an *apostate*. That is, the material in 2 Timothy 3–4 had no application to a REAL "Christian," just a *professing* Christian.

This safely covered the *source* of all apostasy *within* the Body of Christ since 1900: the *saved* Christian Bible teachers and scholars in the Christian schools of "higher education." In all the writings of John Rice, Wilbur Smith, Benjamin Warfield, Stewart Custer, Robert Sumner, Doug Kutilek, Kenneth Wuest, A. T. Robertson, Donald Waite, Harry Ironsides, et al., and all of the sermons by Charles Stanley, Bob Jones Jr., Billy Graham, Bob Jones III, Jerry Falwell, Curtis Hutson, Shelton Smith, Jack Van Impe, Harold Willmington, et al., you will not find *one statement* that "puts its finger" on the *sore spot*. All apostate liberal institutions (theologians, writers, Bible revisers, etc.) just suddenly POP UP in some school somewhere and deny some "fundamental of the faith."

That is, "modernism" or "liberalism" has always been traced to *unsaved* men who pass off as saved men. Right while this is going on (1800–2000), you have literally scores of *born-again*, *saved* Conservatives, who believe in ALL the fundamentals (*like all Catholics do when they recite the Apostles' Creed!*), preaching and teaching seventeen outrageous LIES which could lead any saved Fundamentalist into rejecting any part of the *Holy Bible*—in ANY version—as his final authority and substituting for it his own preference or opinions (see this documented in *The Christian's Handbook of Biblical Scholarship*, pp 201–257, 1998).

What would you call *that?* You mean you wouldn't call it "apostasy"? Why you would if you knew any freshman "Greek" where it dealt with the "mi" verbs ('ιστημι). αποστασια means to "fall away *from* a standing position." It means you keep on *professing* something you do not believe since you can make a better living that way. What is the difference between that and any unsaved, Bible-denying "Liberal" who professes to be a Christian?

"There is no difference: For all have sinned."

The problem is, "What came first: the chicken or the egg?"

Was it the Liberal or the egg that hatched him?

All unsaved "Liberals" were "hatched" in Christian Colleges, Seminaries, and Universities (A.D. 1500–A.D. 2000).

None of them just "popped up" suddenly overnight because they were "lost." If any sinner's highest authority is his own preferences or opinions, he is a practical ATHEIST, even if he is a soulwinning "saint." Once you justify *yourself* (or any other man) in rejecting the Bible as your final authority, you open the way (and justly) for denying *anything* in the Bible you want to deny. If you prefer to deny the Virgin Birth or the Deity of Christ, you can have just as reasonable and logical backing for doing so as you would for denying the "earring" of Genesis 24:22 and claiming it was actually a "nose jewel" or a "forehead jewel," or for denying that the "power" given to a Christian at the time of his New Birth (see Eph. 1:11; Matt. 22:30; Phil. 3:21) was just an "authority" to become a "son of God" (see John 1:12).

You differ from a lost man in DEGREE only; not in logic, motive, desire, choice, and method.

Now in 2007, the rejecters of **"sound doctrine"** are in no way limited to Moslems, Mormons, Catholics, "Modernists," "Neo-Orthodox," Satanists, Humanists, or "Scientologists."

The "ear itching" Athenians (2 Tim. 4:3; Acts 17:21) are replete with Conservatives, Fundamentalists, Evangelicals, and Charismatics who all believe in the Virgin Birth and Deity of Christ. These apostates now number into the millions. They all "believe in God." "The devils also believe, and tremble" (James 2:19).

According to every apostate reprobate in the Body of Christ from A.D. 325–2007, John 15:1–6 and Romans 11:18–22 prove that a born-again child of God—who was sealed permanently by the Holy Spirit (Eph. 4:30)—can lose eternal life and go to Hell *after* being predestinated, *by God Himself* (Rom. 8:29), to wind up just like Jesus Christ (Phil. 3:21; 1 John 3:1–3).

Since the "natural man" (unsaved, according to Paul—1 Cor. 2:14) cannot receive "the things of the Spirit," the teaching above would mark a "Christian" out as being unconverted: he couldn't be a "real" Christian.

John 15:1–6 and Romans 11:18–22 would be too hard for any practical atheist to tackle. Any man whose highest authority is *his own opinion*, or the opinion of someone he read, will duck every Bible passage that he either cannot understand or else *resents*. Note that in this particular, all saved apostates think and act just like all lost sinners. For example: every man on the *NASV* committee and the *NIV* committee professed to believe in the "fundamentals of the faith." Not *one* believed in the right way for even finding out what the "fundamentals" were.

John 5:39 commands all sinners to "search" the Holy Scriptures.

Second Timothy 2:15 commands all Christians to "study" the Holy Scriptures.

The apostates who produced the *NIV* and *NASV* didn't believe in either of those commands. *They omitted both of them from their English texts*.

Would you call that apostasy? If not, what would you call it?

What is the difference between a Liberal who doesn't believe in what the Scriptures *say* and a saved Fundamentalist who doesn't believe you should *study* or *search* them, when *they* are the witnesses to all of the "fundamentals"?

"There is no difference: For all have sinned."

Now take John 15:1–6. If a born-again, forgiven, sanctified (Heb. 10:10, 14), justified, redeemed child of God doesn't bear "fruit" for Christ, he goes to Hell (John 15:6). Right? What type of fruit? The "fruit" in Galatians 5:22–23? Why, if he bore that fruit he would still to go Hell if he LIED (see the verse all apostates use for this—Rev. 21:8).

What is the problem?

Well, you either have an *unsaved sinner* trying to teach you the Bible or a carnal, worldly, man-worshipping *Christian heretic* (see 1 Cor. 11:19; Tit. 3:10) who gave up searching the Scriptures to find what John 15:1–6 was talking about. Ditto Romans 11:18–22.

In Romans 11:21–22, if a regenerated son of God (John 1:12), whose destiny has been fixed *permanently* (Rom. 8:29), can *lose* eternal life by "boasting" (Rom. 11:18) or being "conceited" or **"ignorant"** (Rom. 11:25), you can count on 20,000,000 Christians a year going to Hell.

Now! On your marks, get set...POW!

There is nothing in Romans 11 from verse 11 to verse 24 that has anything to do with anyone getting saved or getting lost. No individuals are found anywhere in the passage, and the Holy Spirit Himself told you the warning was *not* to born-again children of God at all; it was a warning to *Gentiles* in Gentile *nations* (Rom. 11:12,13,17,21, 23).

In Christ "there is neither Jew nor Gentile" (Gal. 3:28; Eph. 3:6; 1 Cor. 10:32).

They are both in Romans 11, and they are *not* said to be Christians.

None of them are part of Jesus Christ, and *none* of them are **"in Christ."** They do not even become joined TO Jesus Christ; they become joined to *Israel's national privileges* (Rom. 11:16,17) and are in danger of losing *them* (Rom. 11:21–22) if they "lord it over" Israel (see Rom. 11:11,12,18,25; Psa. 83:4; Jer. 30:11; Isa. 60:12).

If the **"olive tree"** was Jesus Christ, God would have to *mutilate* His Son's BODY (Col. 1:24; Eph. 1:22–23, 5:30; 1 Cor. 12:13) every time He "broke" (Rom. 11:20–22) a "branch" out of it (see John 15:6). Anyone who "searched the Scriptures" could find that material in *ten minutes*. God set aside a Gentile Queen (Esther 1) for a Jewish queen (Esther 2) just before the Tribulation (42 months matching the 42 chapters of Job; see comments in *The Bible Believer's Commentary on Job, chapter 19*).

Paul is not warning any child of God in Rome (or anywhere else) about falling out of the Body of Christ; he is plainly warning *all* Gentiles in Rome that they had better be

careful how they respond to the Gospel because Israel (a NATION) was *not* careful. The theme was "Gospel privileges"—*chances to be saved*. Israel's chance will come again when Moses and Elijah return (Rev. 11); it will "end" with 100 percent conversions (Rom. 11:23–27; Heb. 8:10–13).

Now John 15 is rougher. It is rougher because the Lord keeps talking about somebody "IN Him." *No one in John 1–19 is "in" Jesus Christ at all. Neither is any disciple "IN" Him in John 20–21 or Acts 1.*

Now any apostate has to face *that* FACT before attempting to prove anything. If he overlooks *that* Scriptural FACT, he has no basis for any exposition of any kind. Not even the *Corinthians* (after Acts 16) knew that Jesus Christ was "IN" them (1 Cor. 3:16) and they were "IN" Him (1 Cor. 6:15). You see, the Lord answered Christ's prayer in John 17:21–23 without the Pope believing it had taken place yet, and without any saved sinners in Acts 2 knowing about it.

John 15:1–6 has to be an *illustration*, not a *doctrinal teaching*. Notice the **"abide"** given as a condition while He had just told them that the Holy Spirit was going to **"abide"** in them *forever* (John 14:16; ditto Eph. 4:30). Problem! (And it is too big for an apostate Laodicean Bible corrector to handle.) For a "beaut" just like it, read John 10:1–4 and note that it was a *parable* (John 10:6), not a *doctrine*. According to Ephesians 5:23–32, the illustrations about Christ's BODY are not parables, illustrations, or similitudes only; they are *doctrinally* operating in the spirit world.

John 15:4 will not operate *doctrinally* unless you line it up with 1 John 2:6,24,28 and 1 John 3:6; and when you do that, you land right in the middle of a faith-and-works situation (1 John 3:15; Heb. 3:6,14, 10:26; Rev. 12:17, 14:12) that plainly is not *any* reference to anyone in the Church Age, *doctrinally*.

You say, "Why not?" Because, stupid, if it was, it would contradict thirty passages in the Pauline Epistles that deal with salvation by grace apart from any works (Rom. 4:5). Want the Book to contradict itself, do you? The revisers did. That is why they left out the command to "search" it and "study" it. They wanted you to find "contradictions." So do all apostate Christians.

The **"fire"** of John 15:6 is not the fire of Matthew 13:50, 25:41; Malachi 4:1–3; or 2 Thessalonians 1:8. No "angels" throw anyone into any "Hell fire" in John 15:6. The illustration says **"men"** burn the branches. In Matthew 13:49–50, it is ANGELS. "Burning" can be different than *burning in Hell*; for example, 2 Corinthians 11:29; 1 Corinthians 7:9; and Romans 1:27. "Cast away" branches are like castaway SALT (see Matt. 5:13—**"men,"** not *angels*). Both illustrations (salt and branches) illustrate a "backslidden Christian" who refuses to "abide in Christ" in the sense of *following* and *obeying* Him, and both expressions were *pre-crucifixion* illustrations to Jews *under the Law*. Like the "lost son" (Luke 15:32) who died and got lost, *as a son*, and then got "saved" and alive again (while still a son!), these passages are all aimed *doctrinally* at either professing "Christians" in the Tribulation or *Jews before the Blood Atonement*. Someone just threw their Bible out the window when they read John 15:1–6. They didn't study the Book and did not search it.

That is what the saved Conservatives encouraged them to do in the *NIV* and the *NASV*. If you think for a minute that God "took away" (John 15:2) every Christian in the Church Age who didn't bear "fruit," you have been spending too much time with the "Glass Toilet." I have known God to put up with non-fruit-bearing Christians for *half a century* and more before He "took them away."

Sound doctrine? John 15:1–6 is a warning to a believer to go to work for Christ and bring forth fruit—some *thirty*, some *sixty*, some *one hundred* (Mark 4:20). It is telling him that the Lord may have to "prune" him pretty close (John 15:2) to get a good crop, and if he is not going to bear the fruits of the Spirit (Gal. 5:22), the world will "eat him up." He'll "burn out" in unsaved company, with the world having no more respect for him than they had for Samson after they blinded him.

False doctrine? The false doctrine states that the passage is a *doctrinal dissertation* on losing your salvation. If you don't keep working at it, you will wind up in Hell.

"Study to shew thyself approved unto God, a workman that needeth not to be ashamed, rightly dividing the word of truth" (2 Tim. 2:15).

Chapter 13

"Sound Doctrine— False Doctrine"

Worldwide Peace

In keeping with the **"sure word of prophecy,"** the new "Bibles" were careful to *remove* from their texts *the only two commandments in the Bible to study the Bible.* The prophecy said **"that in the last days"** (2 Tim. 3:1–4) of the Church Age, the Body of Christ would reach the point where they couldn't **"endure sound doctrine"** (2 Tim. 4:3). Fulfilling the prophecy to the exact degree, the *NIV* and *NASV* got rid of the word **"doctrine"** from the *King James* text as quickly as they could; see Matthew 7:28, 15:9, 16:12, 22:33; Mark 1:22, 27, 4:2, 7:7; Luke 4:32; John 7:16, 17, 18:19; Acts 2:42, 13:12, 17:19; Romans 6:17; etc.

Then fearing that this would not completely protect them as they continued to defile the "pure words" (Psa. 12:6; Prov. 15:26, 30:5; Psa. 119:140), they found the only two places in the New Testament where the reader was given a command to "search the scriptures" (John 5:39) and "study" the Scriptures (2 Tim. 2:15), and they neatly removed both of those readings. This is what happened to Europe, Asia, the Middle East, Africa, Mexico, and South America between A.D. 325 and A.D. 2007. Their populaces quit reading, studying, and "searching" the Scripture. The historical results (as any historian knows) were "the Age of Enlightenment" (Bible rejection), "The Age of Reason" (Bible rejection), "Evolution" (Bible rejection), "English Deism" (Bible rejection), "French Atheism" (Bible rejection), "German Rationalism" (Bible rejection), "Polytheism" in India and Japan (Bible rejection), and "Communistic Atheism" in Russia, China, and Korea (Bible rejection).

The Catholic Church was in total apostasy long before the Council of Trent (1546); and that insured the Biblical illiteracy of Spain, Croatia, Poland, Austria, Ireland, the Philippines, Central America, Mexico, and Portugal.

So "sound doctrine" is (and always has been) the "death knell" for carnal, worldly Christians in any century. The word "search" in all Greek texts (John 5:39) is an *imperative command*. With no regard whatsoever to any "original Greek," including their own "eclectic texts" (Vaticanus, Sinaiticus, Alexandrinus, etc.), the demoniac hypocrites yielded to the "spirit that now worketh in the children of disobedience" (Eph. 2:2) and gave you an *Indicative* ($\epsilon p \epsilon \nu \nu \alpha \omega$). That word is not in the *Indicative mode* in any one of more than 1,600 Greek manuscripts. It is an Imperative, exactly as it is in John 7:52.

It was changed to soothe your conscience when you let the dust accumulate on your Bible. The *NIV* and *NASV* revisers did the same thing by changing 1 Timothy 6:5 and Romans 1:18, 21, 25 to soothe their own consciences. Their consciences were "seared with a hot iron" (1 Tim. 4:2) when they sat down to produce the *NIV* and the *NASV*.

You say, "Now, Brother Ruckman, judge not lest ye be judged." I'll take my chances on those last five paragraphs without a blush, a qualm of conscience, a twitter of pity or fear, and with no regard at all for anyone's analysis of the matter. I have judged them and did it with "malice aforethought," exactly as Paul did when he wrote Titus 1:12 and when Peter said 2 Peter 2:12, 14 and when Jeremiah judged (Jer. 18:23) and when Paul judged (1 Cor. 5:3), and when James judged the twelve tribes (James 1:1, 4:4).

I will *continue to judge such matters* according to God's standard of absolute TRUTH (John 17:17, 8:45–46; 1 Tim. 4:2–4; 1 Cor. 2:12–15) and will certainly not lose any sleep over it.

Now here are three "eschatological" terms—a long way of saying "Analyzing coming events according to Biblical theology." They are called "Postmillennialism," "Amillennialism," and Premillennialism." These seemingly innocent terms would not even be noticed by anyone who was not spending time studying the Scriptures. They would be discarded by *all* politicians and educators as being completely "irrelevant" and "unmeaningful" to *every Christian* in the last four generations of Christians that have been raised on newspapers, magazines, and television.

Who in Congress or the State Department or the United Nations would give a flip about any of the three terms? They would be confined to obscure religious beliefs that differ among various Christians.

Joke! God is a great "joker." Ever hear Him say, **"Behold the Lamb of God"** (John 1:29) and then show you a *LION* (Rev. 5:5)? No? Well, how about saying, "Here is the LION of Judah!" (Rev. 5:5) and then showing you a *LAMB* (Rev. 5:6)? Ever hear Him say, "**I will not justify the wicked"** (Exod. 23:7), and then DO IT (Rom. 5:8–10)? Ever hear Him say, "Answer a fool one way" and then say, "No, don't do that" (Prov. 26:4–5)!

You won't beat the Lord out when it comes to craftiness, wisdom, sarcasm, power, insight, authority, understanding, *or purposely "messing you up" good* (see Ezek. 14:7–9; Mic. 2:11).

Well, the relevancy of "Postmillennialism" is that ALL politicians and leaders in ALL countries in the Twenty-first Century are "Postmillennial" without even knowing to what the term refers.

Briefly, "Postmillennialism" was a reference to the Roman Catholic teaching of Origen, Augustine, and Jerome that this Church Age would *progress* religiously until the whole world would be converted to *Roman Catholicism*—exactly how Mohammed taught it except he inserted "Islam" for "Catholicism"—without Jesus Christ coming back. That is, Catholic sinners—"all have sinned, and come short of the glory of God"—were going to bring in "peace on earth" by "spreading the kingdom"—that is, the Pope's "kingdom"—until the "Age of Gold" (see the fourth verse of *It Came Upon A Midnight Clear* in your Christmas carols!) and the conditions of Solomon's kingdom (1 Kings 4:21–24) would be restored, worldwide, without "the son of David" (Matt. 22:45, Luke 1:31–33; Acts 3:20–21) coming back. The Catholic Popes, Priests, Nuns, Nuncios, Cardinals, and "Monks" would bring it in by SHEER EFFORT: 1. Control of kings and presidents and dictators. 2. Armed forces, arrests, imprisonments, exiles, murders, and torture. 3. A

phony Bible with a phony canon. 4. The canonization of "saints" and the *prayers* to Holy Mary "Mother of God," etc.

The "kingdom" *never came in*. It isn't about to come in. Every prayer for world peace prayed by every Pope since A.D. 500 *was a joke*: one of the Almighty's jokes; He never told them to pray for world peace (John 17:9, 14).

All politicians have to be "Postmillennial." All evolutionists have to be "Postmillennial," and all Humanists and Atheists have to be "Postmillennial." Every one of them, without a single exception, must swear he (or she) will make the world a "better place to live in" WITHOUT "the son of David" coming back to reign over "the house of Jacob" (Luke 1:31–33). All positive thinkers have to think the same way.

All official councils of the United Nations are "Postmillennial."

An "Amillennialist" stands in the same position that an Atheist stands to a "Theist"—which is the same position as an "Agnostic" stands to a "Gnostic." The "A" simply cancels what follows. An "Agnostic" is a "dumbbell" or "ignoramus." An "Atheist" is a man who worships himself or mankind; all real "humanists" in the Twentieth and Twenty-first Centuries are *Atheists*.

Several million Postmillennialists converted to Amillennialism between 1914 and 1918. You see, they had been "possibility thinking" and "purpose driven" all through the Eighteenth and Nineteenth Centuries by the remarkable POSITIVE strides in science, music, art, communications, medicine, and transportation (between 1600 and 1900). But suddenly their "Post" ("Post-millennial") was shattered; it split and then cracked and then crumbled into chips that became sawdust. The whole world went to war (1914) and hasn't recovered from it since.

So they just *denied* the 1,000-year (*Millennium*) year reign of "the son of David" (see Rev. 20:1–6) and became "Agnostics" about "Eschatology." The main teachers of this "no millennium" idea simply pretend that the 1,000-years (*mentioned six times in five verses*) was *not* 1,000 at all; it was "figurative" and should be retranslated as "an *indefinite* period of time." Thus, the text of the Holy Bible would be dragged down to the ignorant level of the stupid scholar.

A "Premillennialist" believes in "the power of NEGATIVE thinking." He has enough insight to know that "the CURE is often worse than the DISEASE," so "an ounce of prevention is worth ten tons of cure."

Negative *first*, always: as in *Cain* and Abel, *Adam* and Christ, *Ishmael* and Isaac, *Esau* and Jacob, and *Old Testament* ("CURSE"—Mal. 4:6) first, New Testament ("GRACE"—Rev. 22:21) second.

I just gave you an infallible rule for absolute truth. "The exception PROVES the rule"; exceptions never *overthrow* rules unless you are an Agnostic, Communist, terrorist, evolutionist, humanitarian, Atheist, or a "possibility thinker."

The Premillennial teaching is simple. There will never be *any* permanent peace on this earth (under any set of conditions, set up by any group of people with good or bad motives) until God gets the **"glory"** (Luke 2:14) that He said had to accompany "peace on

earth" (Luke 2:14).

You are wasting your time with "peace processes," peace talks, peace proposals, peace keeping "forces," prayers for peace, and peace treaties if what you have in mind is getting rid of WAR (which is God's judgment on SIN here) without "**The Prince of Peace**" (Isa. 9:6) returning to David's throne on Mt. Zion (Psa. 2:6–7, 76:12, 72:8,11, 99:9, 102:13,16; Isa. 18:7; Hag. 2:9).

He will return to run you and rule you (and your family, your church, your business, your property, and your nation). Until then you will be ruled by "the god of this world" (Luke 4:6; 2 Cor. 4:4).

"Eschatology"? One hundred percent negative for 191 nations (Zeph. 3:8).

If you won't be ruled by the One who came in His "*Father's name*" (John 5:43), then you will be ruled by someone who comes "**in his own name**" (Dan. 11:37; 2 Thess. 2:4). *That* is the teaching of both Testaments in every text of either one that has been published by anyone in 160 different languages through a period of eighteen centuries.

I just gave you another infallible, inerrant truth that is *absolute*.

You have no idea of the power of that innocent-looking, little black-backed, 66-caliber "Uzi."

And since you are not going to *study* it (if you follow the *NASV*) and are not going to *search* it (if you follow the *NIV*), you are in a condition which can be best (and cheerfully) described as HOPELESS.

That is "Premillennialism." A Premillennialist is waiting for Jesus Christ to come back and "settle" God's accounts with man.

"Nothing on earth is settled right until it is settled with GOD." As far as God is concerned—according to what He spoke, wrote, and preserved—right now, His Son is "out of place" (see 1 Sam. 21:1–4; Matt. 12:3–6, 5:35, 26:64; Rev. 19, Psa. 72, 110; Isa. 2, 11), His nation is out of place (Jer. 30:11; Rom. 11:7–17; Isa. 62:1–4, 65:9–16), the Gentile nations are out of place (Zeph. 3:8; Psa. 110; Isa. 60:12), and His Son's BRIDE (2 Cor. 11:1–3; Rev. 19:7; Psa. 45:9–15; Song of Sol. 6:8–10) is out of place.

So all Amillennialists and Postmillennialists are as out of place as Hitler at a Jewish wedding or an Arabian terrorist at a Bar Mitzvah.

Nothing is "settled."

It will be settled in Joel 3:9–17; Matthew 25:31–46; and Romans 11:25–27, and not till then.

Sound doctrine? *Premillennialism*.

False doctrine? *Anything else*.

Afterword

Shortly, as one can see, there will be no "after" word. The Body of Christ will soon awake to "the voice of the archangel" (1 Thess. 4:16; reestablishing Israel—Dan. 12:1) and "the trump of God" (see 1 Thess. 4:16; 1 Cor. 15:52), which, by the way, is NOT the seventh trumpet of Revelation 11:15 (see the *Bible Believer's Commentary on 1 Corinthians; chapter 15, verse 22*).

There will be no Christians left on this earth to add an "afterword" to what we have said about the apostasy. All the apostate Christians, along with all the Bible believers, will be gone "in a moment, in the twinkling of an eye."

Until **"that blessed hope"** (Titus 2:13; 1 John 3:1–3), the Bible believers should be hard at work winning sinners to Christ and assisting each other in the work. That is the *spiritual* content of the New Testament from the *practical* level. Throughout this he should be constantly aware of *where* the resistance to revival and conversions is coming from, where it *started*, *who* started it, *when* they started it, and *how* they started it. This booklet illustrates those matters perfectly.

The steep descent from Philadelphia to Laodicea (Rev. 3) came about *through Christian Colleges*, *Universities*, *and Seminaries*, as it always has since A.D. 325. The source has always been Genesis 3:1; it has never been any different since 4000 B.C. If you want to really read a classic on this operation, obtain the book by Dr. William Grady called *Final Authority* (1993).

As you have seen from the thirteen cases found in this book—if you took time to check the Bible references (*which you probably didn't*)—all Satan had to do was shake the confidence in the Christian leaders (pastors, teachers, evangelists, missionaries, professors, and authors) in the *Holy Bible* as THE final authority in "ALL matters of faith and practise," and the "rot" began to "set in" (as the Limeys say).

More than 5,000 preachers (1880–1900) and then more than 10,000 (1901–2007) began to preach and teach "as one of the scribes" (Matt. 7:29; Mark 1:22). *They had no authority for what they were saying at all*. Many of them *used* the *King James Bible*, and all of them quoted it constantly. But long before they got into "full-time service" they were denuded (or castrated) spiritually by Westcott, Nida, Hodges, Machen, Wuest, Thayer, Nestle, Aland, Metzger, A. T. Roberson, Spiros Zodhiates, Origen, Barth, Brunner, Tillich, Lewis, Thieme, Warfield, and the "Scholars' Union."

They could not preach with power, no matter *what* they preached, because as you see (from studying these thirteen samples of anti-biblical heresy), *they could not BELIEVE* what they were reading and preaching. They stood above it and critical to it while they tried to enforce its words on their congregations. *It failed miserably*.

The Lord God put all of them off like a bad habit (Ezek. 11:5, 14:4; Amos 4:13). So for the "fruit" of their labors He allowed them to produce 225 counterfeit English Bibles, whose "fruit" was: 1. World War II. 2. World War III. 3. One divorce out of two marriages in America. 4. The subjugation of the English Parliament to Arabia, Iraq, Iran, Syria,

Transjordan, Ethiopia, Egypt, Indonesia, Malaysia, and the "Gaza Strip." 5. The total shredding of the British Empire (Australia, Canada, the USA, British Guiana, Rhodesia, India, Singapore, Hong Kong, and everywhere in between). 6. An increase in venereal diseases *TEN times as fast as the population growth anywhere*. 7. A major revival of literal *cannibalism* and *slave dealing* not found to that extent from 1500 to 1900. 8. The total destruction of "quality education" in America. 9. The ACLU given power to wipe out the last vestiges of the New Testament from every public office, building, monument, decoration, and meeting in America. 10. American spending *fifty times* as much money on entertainment yearly as they put into foreign missions. 11. A raft of humanistic, pragmatic, multimillion-dollar mega "showcases" for Christians which couldn't produce ONE convert per 500 attendees in a year. 12. An increase in crime that made the USA the crime capital of the world with more than 8,000,000 people under arrest or on probation or in prison or on death row.

"By their fruits ye shall know them."

Source? The same source that Alexis de Tocqueville cited when he talked about finding the SOURCE for America's "greatness"—THE LOCAL CHURCHES IN THE USA (1700–1820).

Same fount for fresh water and swamp water (James 3:11).

The swamp water won out. The local churches could no longer: 1. Produce any real FEAR of God. 2. Convince their members that unsaved people would burn literally in a burning Hell for eternity. 3. Produce Christians to whom Heaven and New Jerusalem were as real as Disneyworld, The Tonight Show, Saturday Night Live, Jurassic Park, the *Wall Street Journal*, the Discovery Channel, the 10 o'clock newscast, and the United Nations Assembly.

All they could produce were nice, sweet, "sharing and caring" *opportunists* who could show their *personalities* to each other so they would all appreciate *each other*. That is what they are doing (2007), and that is what they are preaching if they are running over 4,000 in attendance. No preaching like that of John Knox, Martin Luther, Billy Sunday, J. Frank Norris, Bob Jones Sr., George Whitefield, Dwight Moody, or Lester Roloff will ever be heard from these "pulpits." A better term for them would be "announcement booths" or "rap stations."

They are through "offending" anyone. Their job is to "get votes" *by selling their personalities*, and that is what they have been doing. You can see, by the thirteen cases I gave you, that when it comes to *the BOOK* and the authority of *the BOOK*, they have no more use for its text than a Roman Catholic Nun or Priest, a Buddhist Monk, a Hindu Yogi, or a Moslem Ulama. *They alter it every place where it offends them or doesn't make sense to them*. I only gave you 13–16 examples; there are hundreds—literally HUNDREDS.

This means that none of them have been called to **"preach the word"** (2 Tim. 4:2), so God never honors their preaching because He knows they do not *believe* it when they *quote* it.

If you study their congregations—or deal personally with their "graduates—you see

an amazing thing: 70 percent of the men are *effeminate* (if not out-and-out perverts), 80 percent of the married women run their families as an *equal authority* with their husbands, 90 percent of them do not read the Bible through *once a year*, 90 percent are from the upper middle class, and 90 percent of them do not personally lead individual sinners to Christ at a rate of even *one every five years*. The works they support never send even *two percent* of their income overseas to foreign missions. With an annual income of \$4,000,000 to \$40,000,000 (and sometimes more), you will find the allotment for the salvation of lost Chinese, Japanese, Germans, Spaniards, Swedes, Mexicans, Moslems, Catholics, Hindus, Africans, and South Sea Islanders will be LESS than \$50 per \$500,000 and *less than* \$10,000 per \$10,000,000.

"Where there is no vision, the people perish" (Prov. 29:18).

Modern Christian "celebrities" are, for the most part, nice, smooth, dignified, exuberant, enthusiastic cheerleaders and motivators who USE a Book they do not *believe* and quote it to put pressure on congregations to do two things: *GIVE* (Hos. 4:18) and *ATTEND* (Ezek. 33:31).

They are, in the words of the commercial fisherman of the First Century (John), **"wretched, and miserable, and poor, and blind, and naked"** (Rev. 3:17). They profess the opposite and have bank accounts to prove it (Rev. 3:17). Their **"GAIN"** is proof of their **"GODLINESS,"** at least in the eyes of hundreds of thousands of American Christians who never noticed what the *ASV*, *NASV*, *NKJV*, and *NIV* did with *that* verse (1 Tim. 6:5).

Now you know *where* the devilment comes from. The same source it always came from: questioning what God SAID (Gen. 3:1).

If you failed to check every Scripture reference in this book, you are ill-equipped to make any negative comments about it. You lack the sincerity, the honesty, and the courage to face TRUTH.

"Pilate therefore said unto him, Art thou a king then? Jesus answered, Thou sayest that I am a king. To this end was I born, and for this cause came I into the world, that I should bear witness unto the truth. Every one that is of the truth heareth my voice" (John 18:37).

"And because I tell you the truth, ye believe me not" (John 8:45).

You are a cheerful contributor to the greatest religious apostasy the world has ever seen (1880–2000).

Your TEACHERS, whoever they were, were just as cowardly, just as dishonest, and just as LAZY as their student bodies (Judson, BJU, Howard, BBC, Stetson, Furman, Moody, Fuller, Crown College, Tennessee Temple, Santa Rosa Schools, PCC, Northwestern, Maranatha, Cedarville, and the Seminaries at Fort Worth, Dallas, New Orleans, Denver, Los Angeles, Chicago, BJU, Liberty University, Kansas City, and Louisville).

"Birds of a feather flock together." These "birds" are defined in Mark 4:4, 15, which see.

The "AFTERWORD," after an operation like *that*, can be reduced to one word: **"ICHABOD"** (1 Sam. 4:21).

Other works available on Kindle

Entire publication list at

www.kjv1611.org