LIBRARY RESEARCH REPORTS DIVISION NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL MONTEREY, CALIFORNIA 93943

# AD-AM5201

# THEORY OF NONLOCAL ELASTICITY AND SOME APPLICATIONS

A. Cemal Eringen PRINCETON UNIVERSITY /

Technical Report No. 62 Civil Engng. Res. Rep. No. 84-SM-9

Research Sponsored by the OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH under Contract N00014-83-K-0126 Mod 4 Task No. NR 064-410

August 1984

Approved for public reslease: Distribution Unlimited

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)	
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER PRINCEON 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
#62 UNIVERSITY	
4. TITLE (and Sublide)	S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
THEORY OF NONLOCAL ELASTICITY	technical report
AND SUME APPLICATIONS	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(a)	CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(4)
A. Cemal Eringen	N00014-83-K-0126 Mod 4
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
PRINCETON UNIVERSITY	NP 064-410
Princeton, NJ 08544	11K 004-410
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH (Code 471)	April 1984
Arlington, VA 22217	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
14. MONITORING AGENCY HAME & ADDRESS(Il dillerent from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	Unclassified
	154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	
	1
	18
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide it necessary and identify by block number	1
nonlocal elasticity, lattice dynamics dislocations, dispersive waves, nonloc	, fracture mechanics, cal elastic moduli
Constitutive equations of finite nonloca	l elasticity are obtaine
for anisotropic and isotropic solids.	he physical and mathema-
tical properties of the nonlocal elastic	moduli are explored
through lattice dynamics and dispersive	wave propagations. The
theory is applied to the problems of sur	face waves, screw dis-
location and a crack. Excellent agreemen	ts with the results
D I JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE	
SECURITY CL	ASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Dave Entered
known in atomic lattice dynamics and exp and potential of the theory.	eriments display the powe

.

### THEORY OF NONLOCAL ELASTICITY AND SOME APPLICATIONS

A. Cemal Eringen PRINCETON UNIVERSITY Princeton, NJ 08544

ABSTRACT

Constitutive equations of finite nonlocal elasticity are obtained. Thermodynamic restriction are studied. The linear theory is given for anisotropic and isotropic solids. The physical and mathematical properties of the nonlocal elastic moduli are explored through lattice dynamics and dispersive wave propagations. The theory is applied to the problems of surface waves, screw dislocation and a crack. Excellent agreements with the results known in atomic lattice dynamics and experiments display the power and potential of the theory.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

All physical theories possess certain domain of applicability outside of which they fail to predict the physical phenomena with reasonable accuracy. While the boundaries of these domains are not known precisely, often the failure of a given mathematical model is indicated by its predictions that deviate considerably from experimental results or dramatically displayed by mathematical singularities that it leads to.

The domain of applicability of a theory is a function of some internal characteristic length and time scales of the media for which it is constructed. When these scales are sufficiently small as compared to the corresponding external scales, then the classical field theories give successful results. Otherwise, they fail.

Such is the situation with the classical elasticity theory which possesses no internal scales. Yet all elastic materials possess inner structures in the molecular and atomic scales. Consequently, when the external scales (such as wave length, period, the size of the area over which applied loads are continuous), becomes comparable with the inner scales (such as granular distance, relaxation time, lattice parameter), the theory fails to apply.

In classical elasticity, this situation is demonstrated dramatically by the singular stress field predicted at a sharp crack tip and the phase velocities that do not depend on wave lengths of propagating waves. As a result of the former a perfectly sensible physical criterion of fracture, based on maximum stress hypothesis, was replaced by various ersatzs (e.g. Griffith energy, J-integral, etc). Clearly, the infinite stress is a sharp signal for the failure of the theory rather than the failure of the fracture criterion which must be based on the physical concept of cohesive stress. Regarding the phase velocity, at all wave lengths from infinite to the atomic distances, we have ample experimental measurements of dispersion curves. Only at the very large wave lengths is there an agreement with classically predicted constant phase velocity. Waves having short wave lengths have been observed to propagate with much smnaller phase velocities and in fact they cease to propagate near the boundaries of the Brillouin zone.

The question arises: "Should we altogether abandon classical field theories and appeal to atomic theories only?" The answer depends on the characteristic scale ratios. Indeed if the motion of each atom in a body is essential for the description of a physical phenomenon, then the lattice dynamics is the only answer. If, on the other hand, the collective behavior of large number of atoms is adequate for the description, then continuum theory offers much simpler and practical methodology. Between these two extremes, there lies a large domain full of rich physical phenomena.

Real materials possess a very complicated inner structure full of dislocations and impurities. Moreover the force law among the substructure is not known. Consequently, it is virtually impossible to carry out calculations on the basis of the atomic theories. Even if it were possible to accomplish such voluminous and difficult computations, results would be of no practical value. All experimental probes possess some characteristic lengths so that they can only measure statistical averages. Consequently

we need to calculate theoretically certain statistical averages so that comparison can be made with experimental observations. Hence we are back in the domain of continuum. Thus, continuum theory makes sense on its own grounds, provided it is properly constructed to predict these averages with sufficient accuracy.

Linear theory of nonlocal elasticity, which has been proposed independently by various authors [1]-[6], incorporates important features of lattice dynamics and yet it contains classical elasticity in the long wave length limit. It is capable of addressing small as well as large scale phenomena. Large number of references on the topic may be found in [7]-[9]. Interested readers may also consult [10], [11] for the nonlocal fluid dynamics and [12], [13] for nonlocal electromagnetic continua.

Here I present the theory of nonlocal nonlinear elasticity from a continuum point of view. (See also [14]-[17]). Constitutive equations are given in Section 3, where I employ the global entropy inequality rather than the local Clausius-Duhem inequality to place restrictions on the constitutive functionals. In Section 4, I derive a special class of stress-strain law for the additive functionals. Isotropic solids are studied in Section 5 and linear theory is presented in Section 6.

In nonlocal elasticity, the stress at a point is regarded as a functional of the strain tensor. For linear, homogeneous solids, this introduces material moduli which are functions of the distance. Physical and mathematical properties of these moduli are studied in Section 7. Section 8 gives the field equations.

Applications of the linear theory begins with Section 9 to wave

propagation. Dispersion curves are obtained for the plane harmonic waves in an infinite solid and for surface waves. Results are in excellent agreement with the corresponding ones obtained by means of lattice dynamics. In Section 10, I determine the stress distribution due to a screw-dislocation. Cohesive stress that holds the atomic bonds together in a perfect crystal is found to coincide with the so-called theoretical stress estimated on the basis of atomic theory or experiments. The last section (Section 11) treats the crack tip problem for anti-plane case (Mode III). Contrary to the classical result, the crack tip stress vanishes at the tip and possesses a finite maximum near the tip. The maximum stress hypothesis of fracture can now be restored. This enables us to calculate the fracture toughness which is shown to agree well with experimental results on several materials.

These few examples are sufficient to demonstrate the power and potential of the theory. There exist several other solutions in the literature, dealing with dislocations, cracks, wave propagations, defects, continuous distribution of dislocations. They also make successful predictions.

The purpose of this lecture is to share my enthusiasm with you and to draw your attention to the exploration of these new theories.

### 2. BALANCE LAWS

Just as in classical field theories, the motion of a material point X in a body B with volume V, enclosed by its surface  $\partial V$ , is described by the mapping

(2.1) 
$$x = x(X,t)$$
 (2.1)

where x, at time t, is the spatial image of X, in the deformed configuration B having volume V enclosed within its surface  $\partial V$ . We employ rectangular coordinates  $X_K$  and  $x_k$  to denote the position of X and x respectively, and assume that

(2.2) 
$$J \equiv \det(\partial x_{\nu}/\partial X_{\nu}) > 0$$

throughout B, so that the inverse of (2.1)

$$(2.3) X = X(x,t) , X \in B$$

exists and is unique.

Under some mild assumptions, local balance laws of continuum mechanics are valid for the nonlocal theory. Thus, we assume that the body is made up of single nonpolar species and it is inert. Moreover, nonlocal gravitational effects can be neglected. Under these assumptions, the nonlocal residuals may be dropped and we have the usual balance laws

(2.4) 
$$\dot{\rho} + \rho v_{k,k} = 0$$
,

(2.5) 
$$t_{k\ell,k} + \rho(f_{\ell} - v_{\ell}) = 0$$
,

(2.6) 
$$t_{kl} = t_{lk}$$
,

(2.7) 
$$-\rho \dot{\epsilon} + t_{k\ell} v_{\ell,k} + q_{k,k} + \rho h = 0$$

and corresponding jump conditions which we do not list here (cf. Eringen [7],[15],[17]). Here,  $\rho$ ,  $v_k$ ,  $t_{k\ell}$ ,  $f_\ell$ ,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $q_k$  and h are, respectively, the mass density, velocity vector, stress tensor, body force density, internal energy density, heat vector and the energy source density. The usual summation convention is valid on repeated indices and a superposed dot (•) denotes the material time rate and an index following a comma partial derivative, e.g.

$$\dot{\mathbf{v}}_{\ell} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{\ell}}{\partial \mathbf{t}} + \mathbf{v}_{\ell,m} \mathbf{v}_{m}, \qquad \mathbf{v}_{\ell,k} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{\ell}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{k}}$$
$$\mathbf{x}_{k,K} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_{k}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{K}}$$

In contrast to classical (local) field theories, the entropy inequality is a global statement covering the entire body. It is expressed as

(2.8) 
$$\int_{V} \left[\rho \dot{n} - (q_k/\theta)\right]_k - (\rho h/\theta) dv \ge 0$$

where  $\eta$  is the entropy density and  $\theta > 0$  is the absolute temperature.

By eliminating h between (2.7) and (2.8), we have

(2.9) 
$$\int_{V} \frac{1}{\theta} \left[ -\rho(\dot{\psi} + \eta\dot{\theta}) + t_{k\ell} v_{\ell,k} + \frac{1}{\theta} q_{k} \theta_{,k} \right] dv \ge 0$$

where we also introduced the Helmholtz' free energy

$$(2.10) \qquad \qquad \psi = \varepsilon - \theta \eta$$

For some purpose, it is convenient to introduce the material tensors

$$T_{KL} = J t_{k\ell} X_{K,k} X_{L,\ell}, \qquad Q_K = J q_k X_{K,k}$$
(2.11)

$$C_{KL} = x_{k,K} x_{k,L}$$
,  $J = \rho_0 / \rho$ 

which enables us to write Eq. (2.9) in the form

(2.12) 
$$\int \frac{1}{\theta} \left[ -\rho_0 (\dot{\psi} + \eta \dot{\theta}) + \frac{1}{2} T_{KL} \dot{C}_{KL} + \frac{1}{\theta} Q_K \theta_{,K} \right] dV \ge 0$$

This is the material form of the global entropy inequality which is valid for the nonlocal field theories. Clearly, the Clausius-Duhem inequality which constitute the integrands of (2.9) and (2.12) are much too restricted. For example, it is not valid for the phase transformation where certain thermodynamic quantities exhibit discontinuities and/or singularities.

### 3. CONSTITUTIVE EQUATIONS

According to the axiom of causality, [6,18] all physical processes are the result of motions (deformations) of <u>all</u> material points of the body in the past up to and including the present time. For thermomechanical phenomena, the independent variables are therefore

$$(3.1) Y' \equiv \{x', \theta', \theta', \kappa\}$$

where a prime is used to denote the values of these functions at  $(X',t-\tau')$ , e.g.

(3.2)  

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
x' &=& x(X',t-\tau'), & \theta' &=& \theta(X',t-\tau'), \\
& & & & \\
X' & & B, & 0 &\leq \tau' < \infty
\end{array}$$

Constitutive equations express the functional dependence of the set

(3.3) 
$$Z \equiv \{\psi, \eta, T_{KL}, Q_{K}\}$$

at (X,t) on the set (3.1), e.g.,

(3.4) 
$$\psi(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{t}) = F[\mathbf{x}', \theta', \theta'_{K}]$$

For inhomogeneous materials,  $\psi$  also depends on X' explicitly. Response functionals, such as F, must be form-invariant under arbitrary spatial translations and rotations. This implies that  $\psi$  will depend on <u>x</u>' and <u>x</u> only through  $|\underline{x}'-\underline{x}|$ . Since the distance can be expressed as a functional of  $C_{KL}$ , it proves to be convenient to replace <u>x</u>' in (3.4) by  $C'_{KL} \equiv C_{KL}(\underline{x}', t-\tau')$ , i.e.

(3.5) 
$$\psi(X,t) = F[C'_{KL},\theta',\theta'_{K},X']$$

This functional equation constitutes a fundamental source from which constitutive equations of large classes of nonlocal memory-dependent thermomechanical materials (solids and fluids) can be derived (cf. [6]). Here we consider nonlocal elastic solids. In this case,  $\theta$ ' is uniform throughout B and the memory-dependence is not present. Consequently,

(3.6) 
$$\psi(X,t) = \Psi[C_{KL}(X'),X';\theta]$$

where in  $C_{KL}(X')$  denotes  $C_{KL}(X',t)$ , i.e., t is suppressed for brevity.

We assume that  $C_{KL}(X^{\dagger})$  is continuously differentiable and it belongs to a Hilbert space with an inner product defined by

(3.7) 
$$(C_1, C_2)_H = \int_V H(X'-X) \operatorname{tr}(C_1'C_2') dV'$$

where the <u>influence function</u> H is a positive decreasing function of its argument such that the integral in (3.7) exists and

(3.8) H(0) = 1

In this case, the space of functions  $\mathcal{L}'$  is a Hilbert space H with a <u>finite norm</u> defined by

$$(3.9) ||\underline{C}'|| = (\underline{C}',\underline{C}')_{H}$$

In a Hilbert space, any continuous, linear, real-valued function f(F) has the unique Riez-Fréchet representation

(3.10) 
$$f(F) = (F,C')_{H}$$

There exists a great variety of influence functions. As an example, we mention

(3.11) 
$$H(X) = \exp(-\alpha |X|), \quad \alpha > 0$$

It is now possible to calculate  $\psi$  . Let

$$(3.12) \qquad \qquad \rho_0 \psi = F$$

then

(3.13) 
$$\rho_0 \dot{\psi} = \delta F(C_{KL}^{\dagger} | \dot{C}_{KL}^{\dagger}, X^{\dagger}) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta} \dot{\theta}$$

where  $\delta F$  is the Fréchét derivative of F with the norm defined by (3.9). It is linear in  $\dot{C}'_{KL}$ . Consequently, it can be expressed in the form

(3.14) 
$$\delta F = \int_{V} \frac{\delta F}{\delta C_{KL}^{*}} \dot{C}_{KL}^{*} dV^{*}$$

where the operator  $\delta()/\delta()$  represents Fréchet partial derivative. Substituting (3.13) into (2.12), we organize it into

$$(3.15) \qquad - \int_{V} \frac{1}{\theta} (\eta + \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta}) \dot{\theta} \, dV + \frac{1}{2} \int_{V} \left[ \frac{1}{\theta} T_{KL} - 2 \int_{V} \frac{1}{\theta'} \left( \frac{\delta F}{\delta C_{KL}'} \right)^{*} dV' \right] \dot{C}_{KL} \, dV$$

$$+ \int_{V} \frac{1}{\theta^{2}} Q_{K}^{\theta} K^{0} + \int_{V} \int_{V} \left[ \frac{1}{\theta'} \left( \frac{\delta F}{\delta C_{KL}'} \right)^{*} \dot{C}_{KL} - \frac{1}{\theta} \frac{\delta F}{\delta C_{KL}'} \dot{C}_{KL}' \right] dV' \, dV \ge 0$$

where a superposed asterisk (\*) indicates interchange of  $\chi$  and  $\chi'$ , e.g.,

$$\overset{*}{A}(X^{\prime},X) = A(X,X^{\prime})$$

It should be observed that the kernel of the last double integral in (3.15) is skew-symmetric in X and X'. Hence it vanishes. Moreover, this inequality is linear in  $\dot{\theta}$ ,  $\dot{C}_{KL}$  and  $\theta_{,K}$ . For arbitrary and independent variations of these quantities throughout V, this inequality cannot be maintained unless

$$(3.16) \qquad \eta = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta} ,$$

(3.17) 
$$T_{KL} = 2 \int_{V} \left(\frac{\delta F}{\delta C_{KL}^{*}}\right)^{*} dV^{*}$$

$$(3.18)$$
  $Q_{K} = 0$ 

Note also that we set  $\theta^{\bullet} = \theta$  since  $\theta$  is assumed to be uniform throughout V. The spatial forms of the constitutive equations follow from (2.11) which gives for the stress tensor

(3.19) 
$$t_{k\ell} = \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} x_{k,K} x_{\ell,L} T_{KL}$$

### 4. Additive Functionals

For additive functions, in the sense of Friedman and Katz [19], a representation theorem exists, according to which

(4.1) 
$$\rho_0 \psi = F = \int_V G(C'_{KL}, C'_{KL}, X', X) dV'$$

Since the integral of F over the volume V must be symmetric in  $\chi'$  and  $\chi$ , we set

$$(4.2)$$
 G = G

Consequently,

(4.3) 
$$T_{KL} = \int \left[ \left( \frac{\partial G}{\partial C_{KL}} \right)^* + \frac{\partial G}{\partial C_{KL}} \right] dV'$$

and the Cauchy's stress tensor is given by (3.19).

Crystalline materials possess certain symmetry regulations. All thirty-two classes of perfect crystals can be characterized by a subgroup  $\{S_{KL}\}$  of the full group of orthogonal transformations and translations  $\{B_K\}$  of the material frame of reference, namely

(4.4) 
$$\overline{X}_{K} = S_{KL}X_{L} + B_{K}$$
  
 $\underbrace{SS}^{T} = \underbrace{S}^{T}S = 1$ , det  $\underbrace{S} = \pm 1$ 

In the case of amorphous materials,  $S_{KL}$  and  $B_{K}$  may be different for different species. Here we consider that  $\{S_{KL}\}$  and  $\{B_{K}\}$  are constants.  $\psi$  is invariant under the transformations (4.4) and therefore:

$$(4.5)$$
  $\bar{G} = G,$ 

for all members of  $\{{\bf S}_{KL}^{}\}$  and  $\{{\bf B}_{K}^{}\}$  , where

(4.6) 
$$\overline{G} = G(\underline{SC}'\underline{S}^T, \underline{SCS}^T, \underline{SX}' + \underline{B}, \underline{SX} + \underline{B})$$

For a given symmetry group, these expressions restricts the functional forms of the constitutive equations.

In the case of <u>homogeneous materials</u>, G will not depend on B, so that

(4.7) 
$$G = G(C', C, X'-X)$$

For isotropic materials,  $\{ \underset{\sim}{S} \}$  is the <u>full group</u> of orthogonal transformations.

# 5. ISOTROPIC SOLIDS

For isotropic solids,  $\{S\}$  is the full group of orthogonal transformations and Eq. (4.5) states that G is a function of the invariants of C, C' and R = X' - X, i.e.

(5.1) 
$$G = G(I_{\alpha}, I_{\alpha}^{*}; I_{\beta})$$
  $\alpha = 1, 2, ..., 6$   
 $\beta = 7, 8, ..., 10$ 

and a function of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  , where

$$I_{1} = \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{C}, \qquad I_{2} = \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{C}^{2}, \qquad I_{3} = \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{C}^{3},$$

$$I_{4} = \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{C}^{2} \mathcal{C}^{*}, \qquad I_{5} = \mathbb{R} \cdot \mathbb{CR}, \qquad I_{6} = \mathbb{R} \cdot \mathbb{C}^{2} \mathbb{R},$$

$$(5.2)$$

$$I_{2} = \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{CC}^{*}, \qquad I_{8} = \operatorname{tr} (\mathbb{C}^{2} \mathbb{C}^{*2}), \qquad I_{9} = \mathbb{R} \cdot \mathbb{CC}^{*} \mathbb{R}$$

$$I_{10} = \mathbb{R} \cdot \mathbb{R}$$

From (4.2), it follows that

(5.3) 
$$G(I_{\alpha}, I_{\alpha}; I_{\beta}) = G(I_{\alpha}, I_{\alpha}; I_{\beta}) \equiv G$$

since  $I_{\beta}^* = I_{\beta}^*$ .

Substituting (5.1) into (4.3), we obtain

$$(5.4) T_{KL} = 2 \int_{V} \left[ \frac{\partial G}{\partial I_{1}} \delta_{KL} + 2 \frac{\partial G}{\partial I_{2}} C_{KL} + 3 \frac{\partial G}{\partial I_{3}} C_{KM} C_{ML} \right] \\ + \frac{\partial G}{\partial I_{4}} \left( C_{KM} C_{ML}^{*} + C_{KM}^{*} C_{ML} \right) + \frac{\partial G}{\partial I_{5}} R_{K} R_{L} \\ + \frac{\partial G}{\partial I_{6}} \left( R_{K} C_{LM} R_{M} + R_{M} C_{MK} R_{L} \right) + \frac{\partial G}{\partial I_{7}} C_{KL}^{*} \\ + \frac{\partial G}{\partial I_{8}} \left( C_{KM} C_{MN}^{*} C_{NL}^{*} + C_{LM} C_{MN}^{*} C_{NK}^{*} \right) \\ + \frac{\partial G}{\partial I_{9}} \left( R_{K} C_{LM}^{*} R_{M} + R_{L} C_{MN}^{*} C_{NK}^{*} \right) \\ + \frac{\partial G}{\partial I_{9}} \left( R_{K} C_{LM}^{*} R_{M} + R_{L} C_{MN}^{*} C_{NK}^{*} \right) \\ \end{bmatrix} dV'$$

The spatial expression of the stress tensor follows from (3.19).

The exact constitutive equations (5.4) may be useful in dealing with finite deformation problems. In general, they are too complicated for practical applications. However, for certain simple geometries and loading and in those problems where the nonlinear region is localized (e.g., the crack tip), the solution may be tractable. Of course, there exists some important problems where the nonlinearity is the rule rather than the exception, e.g. phase transition, rubber elasticity.

# 6. LINEAR THEORY

For linear theory, it is useful to employ the linear strain measure

(6.1) 
$$E_{KL} = \frac{1}{2} (C_{KL} - \delta_{KL}) \simeq \frac{1}{2} (U_{K,L} + U_{L,K})$$

where  $U_k$  is the displacement vector in B. A second degree polynomial for G satisfying Eq. (4.2) has the form

(6.2) 
$$G = \Sigma^{1} + \Sigma_{KL}^{1} E_{KL}^{*} + \Sigma_{KL}^{1} E_{KL}^{*} + \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{KLMN}^{1} E_{KL}^{*} E_{MN}^{*} + \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{KLMN}^{2} E_{KL}^{*} E_{MN}^{*} + \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{KL}^{*} E_{MN}^{*} + \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{K}^{*} E_{MN}^{*} + \frac{1}{2}$$

where constitutive coefficients  $\Sigma^1, \ldots, \Sigma^2_{KLMN}$  are functions of  $\chi'-\chi$ and  $\theta$  and they have the symmetry regulations

(6.3)  

$$\Sigma_{KL}^{1} = \Sigma_{LK}^{1}, \qquad \Sigma_{KLMN}^{1} = \Sigma_{LKMN}^{1} = \Sigma_{KLNM}^{1},$$

$$\Sigma_{KLMN}^{2} = \Sigma_{LKMN}^{2} = \Sigma_{KLNM}^{2} = \Sigma_{MNKL}^{2}$$

Substituting (6.2) into (4.3), we obtain

(6.4) 
$$T_{KL} = \Sigma_{KL}^{0} + \Sigma_{KLMN}^{0} E_{MN} + \int_{V} \Sigma_{KLMN}^{*} E_{MN}^{*} dV^{*}$$

where

(6.5) 
$$\Sigma_{KL}^{0} = \int_{V} \Sigma_{KL}^{1} dV',$$

$$\Sigma_{KLMN}^{0} = \int_{V} \Sigma_{KLMN}^{2} dV',$$

$$\Sigma_{KLMN}^{*} = \frac{1}{2} (\Sigma_{KLMN}^{1} + \Sigma_{MNKL}^{*1})$$

from which and (6.3), we deduce the symmetry regulations

$$\Sigma_{KL}^{0} = \Sigma_{LK}^{0}$$
,  $\Sigma_{KLMN}^{0} = \Sigma_{LKMN}^{0} = \Sigma_{KLNM}^{0} = \Sigma_{MNKL}^{0}$ ,

(6.6)

$$\Sigma_{\text{KLMN}}^{*} = \Sigma_{\text{LKMN}}^{*} = \Sigma_{\text{KLNM}}^{*} = \Sigma_{\text{MNKL}}^{*}$$

Constitutive moduli  $\Sigma_{KL}^{0}$ ,  $\Sigma_{KLMN}^{0}$  are functions of X and  $\theta$ , but  $\Sigma_{KLMN}^{\prime}$  are functions of X'-X and  $\theta$ .

From Eq. (6.4), it is clear that the nonlocal effects are represented by the volume integral, i.e. when  $\Sigma_{\text{KLMN}}^{*} = 0$  we obtain the classical Hookes law of elasticity.

One may be tempted to incorporate  $\Sigma^0_{KLMN}$  into the nonlocal moduli  $\Sigma^{\,\prime}_{KLMN}$  by writing

(6.7) 
$$\Sigma_{\text{KLMN}} = \Sigma_{\text{KLMN}}^{0} \delta(\underline{X}' - \underline{X}) + \Sigma_{\text{KLMN}}'$$

where  $\delta(X^{-X})$  is the three-dimensional Dirac-delta measure. With this, Eq. (6.4) becomes

(6.8) 
$$T_{KL} = \Sigma_{KL}^{0} + \int_{V} \Sigma_{KLMN} (X' - X, \theta) E_{MN}' (X') dV (X')$$

In this form, the continuity requirement imposed on the stress functional is violated. As we shall see, this form is useful for mathematical treatment, but in some instances (for compact operators), it leads to unbounded inverses which may or may not be acceptable on physical grounds.

In Eq. (6.8),  $\Sigma_{KL}^{0}$  represents the initial stress present in the reference state, in the absence of which we set  $\Sigma_{KL}^{0} = 0$ .

The material symmetry group  $\{S_{KL}^{}\}$  place restrictions on  $\Sigma_{KLMN}^{}$  . These are of the form

(6.9) 
$$\Sigma_{\text{KLMN}}(\underline{SR},\theta) = S_{\text{KP}}S_{\text{LQ}}S_{\text{MR}}S_{\text{NT}}\Sigma_{\text{PQRT}}(\underline{R},\theta)$$

where R = X' - X.

The spatial form of the stress tensor is obtained by carrying (6.8) into (3.19) and using

 $E_{KL} = e_{k\ell} x_{k,K} x_{\ell,L}, \qquad R_{KL} = r_{k\ell} x_{k,K} x_{\ell,L}$ (6.10)

$$x_{k,K} \simeq (\delta_{MK} + E_{MK} + R_{MK})\delta_{Mk}$$
,  $\rho/\rho_0 \simeq 1 - e_{rr}$ 

where  $R_{KL}$  and  $r_{k\ell}$  are the material and spatial rotation tensors, respectively.  $\delta_{Mk}$  is the Kronecker delta when the spatial and material coordinates are coincident. In terms material and spatial displacement vectors  $U_k$  and  $u_k$ , we have

(6.11) 
$$\begin{array}{rcl} R_{KL} &=& \frac{1}{2} \left( U_{K,L} - U_{L,K} \right) , \\ r_{k\ell} &=& \frac{1}{2} \left( u_{k,\ell} - u_{\ell,k} \right) , \quad e_{k\ell} &=& \frac{1}{2} \left( u_{k,\ell} + u_{\ell,k} \right) . \end{array}$$

With these, Eq. (3.19) gives

$$t_{kl} = (1 - e_{rr}) \delta_{okl} + \sigma_{oml}(e_{km} + r_{km}) + \sigma_{okm}(e_{lm} + r_{lm})$$

$$(6.12) + \int \sigma_{klmn}(x' - x, \theta) e_{mn}(x') dv(x')$$

$$V$$

where

(6.13) 
$$\sigma_{okl} = \Sigma_{OKL} \delta_{Kk} \delta_{Ll}$$
,  $\sigma_{klmn} = \Sigma_{KLMN} \delta_{Kk} \delta_{Ll} \delta_{Mm} \delta_{Nn}$ 

For homogeneous and isotropic materials, we can show that, [7], [13],

$$\sigma_{okl} = \sigma_{o}\delta_{kl},$$
(6.14)  

$$\sigma_{klmn} = \lambda' \delta_{kl}\delta_{mn} + \mu' (\delta_{km}\delta_{ln} + \delta_{kn}\delta_{lm}) + \lambda_{1} r^{-2} (r_{m}r_{n}\delta_{kl} + r_{k}r_{l}\delta_{mn}) + \lambda_{2} r^{-2} (r_{k}r_{m}\delta_{ln} + r_{k}r_{n}\delta_{lm} + r_{l}r_{m}\delta_{kn} + r_{l}r_{n}\delta_{km}) + \lambda_{3} r^{-4} r_{k}r_{l} r_{m}r_{n}$$

where

(6.15) 
$$r^2 = r_k r_k \qquad r_k = x_k' - x_k$$

and  $\sigma_0$  is a function of x and  $\theta$  but  $\lambda'$ ,  $\mu'$  and  $\lambda_{\alpha}$  are functions of r = |x' - x| and  $\theta$ , i.e.

(6.16) 
$$\sigma_0 = \sigma_0(\underline{x}, \theta)$$
,  $\lambda^{\dagger} = \lambda^{\dagger}(|\underline{x}^{\dagger} - \underline{x}|, \theta)$ ,  $\mu^{\dagger} = \mu^{\dagger}(|\underline{x}^{\dagger} - \underline{x}|, \theta)$   
 $\lambda_{\alpha} = \lambda_{\alpha} |\underline{x}^{\dagger} - \underline{x}|, \theta)$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ 

The appearance of  $\lambda_{\alpha}$  in (6.14) indicates that the nonlocal effects can cause directional dependence, even in isotropic solids. These terms are expected to be small as compared to  $\lambda$ ' and  $\mu$ ' because of the strong attenuation of the intermolecular forces with the distance. Henceforth, we shall drop these terms and also assume that the reference state is stress free, so that

(6.17) 
$$t_{k\ell} = \int_{V} \sigma_{k\ell mn}(x' - x, \theta) e_{mn}(x') dv(x')$$

valid for linear anisotropic solids, but for isotropic solids

(6.18) 
$$\sigma_{k\ell mn} = \lambda' (|\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}|, \theta) \delta_{k\ell} \delta_{mn} + \mu' (|\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}|, \theta) (\delta_{km} \delta_{\ell n} + \delta_{kn} \delta_{\ell m})$$

### 7. NONLOCAL ELASTIC MODULI

The nonlocal elastic moduli  $\sigma_{k\ell mn}(x'-x,\theta)$  are required to possess certain physically acceptable properties:

(i) From (6.17), it is clear that

(7.1) Dimension 
$$(\sigma_{klmn}) = \text{stress}/(\text{length})^3$$

This indicates that elastic properties of materials depend on some <u>internal</u> characteristic lengths (in general, three), a property which is lacking from the classical (local) theory. If  $\varepsilon(\theta)$  denotes this internal characteristic length for isotropic solids, then we can put

(7.2) 
$$\lambda' = \lambda(\theta) \alpha_1(|\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}|/\varepsilon), \qquad \mu' = \mu(\theta) \alpha_2(|\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}|/\varepsilon)$$

where  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are the classical Lamé constants, and  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are the attenuation functions.

The internal characteristic length  $\varepsilon$  can be taken to be proportional to the lattice parameter, average granular distance, or some other internal length, depending on the inner structure of the material and the accuracy desired. We may put

(7.3) 
$$\varepsilon = e_0(\theta)a$$

where a is the internal characteristic length and  $e_0(\theta)$  is a non-dimensional material function.

In the limit as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , nonlocal theory should convert to classical (local) theory. Hence

(7.4) 
$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \delta(x' - x)$$

It is also clear that  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  should assume their maxima at x'=x. Similar limits are valid for the anisotropic moduli  $\sigma_{klmn}(x'-x,\theta)$ .

- (ii) The nonlocal moduli must be continuous and bounded. This is the case, at least for  $\varepsilon \neq 0$ , because of the function space to which they belong. One may also be tempted to consider <u>compact</u> operators since they transform bounded sequences to sequences that have convergent subsequences. This however leads to unbounded inverse in an infinite dimensional space. In some physical situation, this could be acceptable (e.g. crack tip, where the strain may be infinite). Contrary to  $\sigma_{klmn}$ , in the original Eq. (6.4)  $\Sigma'_{KLMN}$ , and the corresponding spatial moduli may define a compact operator.
- (iii) The group symmetry of  $\sigma_{klmn}(x'-x,\theta)$  is identical to (6.9) as is clear from (6.13). For isotropic solids, it is expected that the attenuation of intermolecular attractions are the same in all directions. Consequently, we may also take

(7.5) 
$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha(|\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}|/\varepsilon),$$

subject to

(7.6) 
$$\alpha(0) = \max \alpha$$
,  $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \alpha = \delta(x' - x)$ 

(iv) All materials, at some internal length scale, must be considered discrete. This characteristic of solids can be introduced by means of a cut-off length either through the so-called quasicontinuum approach [1],[4], or equivalently using a finite support for the Fourier transform of the nonlocal moduli σ<sub>klmn</sub> and α, [4],[13].

The idea is the same one that is used in lattice dynamics and information theory: Let  $f(\underline{n})$  be a scalar or tensor valued function of a vector  $\underline{n}$  which takes discrete values at lattice points through all displacements of the origin by vectors  $\underline{n}=\underline{n}^{k}\underline{e}_{k}$  ( $\underline{n}^{k}$  and kare integers  $\underline{e}_{k}:(\underline{e}_{1},\underline{e}_{2},\underline{e}_{3})$ ). Let  $\underline{e}^{k}$  be the reciprocal triad to  $\underline{e}_{k}$ , i.e.

(7.7) 
$$e^{\mathbf{k}} \cdot e_{\ell} = \delta_{\ell}^{\mathbf{k}}$$

Define a sampling function

(7.8) 
$$\delta_{B}(x) = (2\pi)^{-3} \int_{B} \exp(i\underline{k} \cdot x) dv(\underline{k})$$

where the domain of integration B is a parallelepiped  $B\{-\pi \le k_j \le \pi\}$ . Suppose that the Fourier transform  $\overline{f}(\underline{k})$  of a continuous function  $f(\underline{x})$  can be represented by a Fourier series

(7.9) 
$$\overline{f}(\underline{k}) = v_0 \sum_{\underline{n}} f(\underline{n}) \exp(i\underline{n} \cdot \underline{k}), \qquad \underline{k} \in \underline{B}$$

where  $v_0$  is the volume of the cell B, and  $f(\underline{k}) = 0$  outside of B.

From the inversion theorem, it follows that

(7.10) 
$$f(x) = v_0 \sum_{n} f(n) \delta_B(x-n)$$

Since, according to (7.8),  $\delta_B(0) = v_0$  and  $\delta_B(n) = 0$  for all other points, the continuous function f(x) takes the discrete values f(n)at lattice points. This representation can be shown to be unique. Of course, between the lattice points f(x) can be quite arbitrary. Thus, by means of the sampling function  $\delta_B$ , we can replace a function with discrete values by a continuous function.  $\delta_B$  plays the role of the Dirac-delta distribution. The following nonlocal elastic moduli

(7.11) 
$$\sigma_{k\ell mn}(\underline{x}'-\underline{x},\theta) = \sigma_{k\ell mn}^{0}(\theta) \delta_{B}(\underline{x}'-\underline{x})$$

satisfies all the invariance requirements. in addition to being a continuous function.

Sampling functions for several crystals are known. For example, for a cubic crystal, it has the form

(7.12) 
$$\delta_{B}(x'-x) = \pi^{-3} \prod_{j=1}^{3} (x_{j}'-x_{j})^{-1} \sin[\pi(x_{j}'-x_{j})/a]$$

where a is the lattice constant. For hexagonal, body and face-centered cubic lattices, see Kotowski [20]. For the so-called Debye continuum where the Brillouin zone is considered to be spherical,  $\mathcal{E}_{B}$  was calculated by Kunin and coworkers [21],[22].

(7.13) 
$$\delta_{\kappa}(\mathbf{r}) = (\kappa/2\pi^2 \mathbf{r}^2) \left(\frac{\sin \kappa \mathbf{r}}{\kappa \mathbf{r}} - \cos \kappa \mathbf{r}\right),$$
$$\mathbf{r} \equiv |\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}|, \quad \kappa = \pi/a$$

where a is the lattice parameter. For the two-dimensional case,  $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\underline{B}}$  has the form

(7.14) 
$$\delta_{\kappa}(x'-x) = (\kappa/2 \pi r) J_{1}(\kappa r), \qquad \kappa = \pi/a$$

where  $J_1$  is the Bessel's function.

We note that  $\delta_B$  and  $\delta_{\kappa}$  given above, have no singularity for finite a, but they go into Dirac-delta distribution when  $a \rightarrow 0$ , as they should.

The Fourier transform of  $\overline{\delta}_B = 1$  in B, and vanishes outside. Consequently, we have

(7.15) 
$$\overline{t}_{k\ell}(k) = \overline{\sigma}_{k\ell}(k)$$
 when  $k_j \in B$   
= 0 when  $k_j \notin B$ 

where

(7.16) 
$$\bar{\sigma}_{k\ell} = \bar{\sigma}_{k\ell mn} (\overset{k}{\sim}) \bar{e}_{mn} (\overset{k}{\sim})$$

From this, by inversion, we have

(7.17) 
$$\bar{\mathbf{e}}_{k\ell}(\underline{k}) = \bar{\mathbf{s}}_{k\ell mn}(\underline{k}) \bar{\mathbf{t}}_{mn}(\underline{k})$$

where  $\bar{s}_{klmn}$  is the Fourier transform of the compliance subject to

(7.18) 
$$\bar{\sigma}_{k\ell mn} \bar{s}_{mnrs} = \delta_{kr} \delta_{\ell s}$$

i.e., it is the inverse matrix to  $\ensuremath{\bar{\sigma}_{k\ell mn}}$  . For isotropic materials, it is given by

(7.19) 
$$\bar{s}_{k\ell mn} = \frac{1}{4\bar{\mu}} \left( \delta_{km} \delta_{\ell n} + \delta_{kn} \delta_{\ell m} \right) - \frac{\lambda}{2\bar{\mu}(3\bar{\lambda}+2\bar{\mu})} \delta_{k\ell} \delta_{mn}$$

For the case (7.5), it reads

(7.20) 
$$\bar{s}_{k\ell mn} = s_{k\ell mn}^{0}(\theta) \bar{\beta}(k)$$

where  $s_{k\ell mn}^0$  has the form (7.19) with  $\bar{\lambda}$  and  $\bar{\mu}$  replaced by Lamé constants  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , and

(7.21) 
$$\overline{\beta}(k) = 1/\overline{\alpha}(k)$$

(v) In calculations, the moduli  $\overline{\sigma}(\underline{k})$  or  $\overline{\underline{s}}(\underline{k})$  in their full generality, cause major mathematical complexities. Often approximate expressions replacing them by power series in  $\underline{k}$  are used. We give here two examples involving second degree expansions in k for isotropic solids

(7.22) 
$$\bar{\alpha}(k) = 1 - \varepsilon^2 k^2$$

(7.23)  $\overline{\beta}(k) = 1 + \varepsilon^2 k^2$ 

where  $k^2 = k \cdot k$  and  $\varepsilon$  given by (7.3) is independent of k. We note that the linear term in k is missing because of the isotropy and center of symmetry. In physical space, these approximations are equivalent to constitutive equations of the forms

(7.24) 
$$t_{k\ell} = (1 + \varepsilon^2 \nabla^2) \sigma_{k\ell}$$

for (7.22) and

(7.25) 
$$\sigma_{k\ell} = (1 - \epsilon^2 \nabla^2) t_{k\ell}$$

for (7.23), where  $\sigma_{kl}$  is the Hookean (local) stress tensor

(7.26) 
$$\sigma_{kl} = \lambda e_{rr} \delta_{kl} + 2\mu e_{kl}$$

Applying the operator  $(1-\epsilon^2 \nabla^2)$  to the exact constitutive equation

(7.27) 
$$t_{k\ell} = \int_{U} \alpha(x'-x,\theta) \sigma_{k\ell}(x') dv(x')$$

we obtain (7.25) if

(7.28) 
$$(1 - \varepsilon^2 \nabla^2) \alpha = \delta(x' - x)$$

This indicates that in this approximation,  $\alpha$  is a Green's function for this operator. This approach was used extensively by Eringen and his coworkers (cf. [23]-[25]). Similary, the application of the operator  $1 + \epsilon^2 \nabla^2$  to

(7.29) 
$$\sigma_{kl} = \int_{V} \beta(\underline{x}' - \underline{x}, \theta) t_{kl}(\underline{x}') dv(\underline{x}')$$

gives (7.24) if

(7.30)  $(1 + \epsilon^2 \nabla^2) \beta = \delta(x' - x)$ 

Even though  $\bar{\alpha}$  and  $\bar{\beta}$  are given approximately by (7.22) and (7.23). We may employ either (7.28) or (7.30) for the determination of the attenuation function. For example, when  $\alpha$  is determined from solving (7.28), we can then use (7.21) to determine  $\beta(\underline{x})$  exactly instead of solving (7.30) which is an approximate equation satisfied by  $\beta$ . In this sense, (7.27), with  $\alpha$  given by (7.28), represents an exact nonlocality. However,  $\bar{\alpha}$  being limited to a special class of function represented by Equation (7.22), is a limited or "short" nonlocality. Nevertheless, with this comppromise, we have achieved a major gain in that the integropartial differential equations for the displacement field are now reduced to <u>singular</u> partial differential equations through the use of (7.24) or (7.25).

Below, we give solutions of (7.28) for solids of infinite extents. For these and other types of moduli, see [5], [17] and [25].

(i) One Dimension:

(7.31) 
$$\alpha(|x'-x|) = (2\epsilon)^{-1} \exp(-|x'-x|/\epsilon)$$

### ii) Two Dimensions

(7.32) 
$$\alpha(|x'-x|) = (2\pi \epsilon^2)^{-1} K_0(|x'-x|/\epsilon)$$

### iii) Three Dimensions

(7.33) 
$$\alpha(|x'-x|) = (4\pi \epsilon^2 |x'-x|)^{-1} \exp(-|x'-x|/\epsilon)$$

Excluding (7.31), these functions possess singularity at x'=x and they go over the Dirac delta distribution when  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . From (7.31) we have

(7.34) 
$$\bar{\alpha} = (1 + \varepsilon^2 k^2)^{-1} \exp(ikx^{t})$$

and since  $\bar{\beta} = 1/\bar{\alpha}$ , we have formally,

(7.35) 
$$\beta = \delta(x'-x) - \varepsilon^2 \delta''(x'-x)$$

which upon substitution into (7.29), gives (7.25). Thus verifying our expectation.

Singular kernels such as (7.32) and (7.33) may lead singular  $\sigma_{kl}$  (hence singular strain) fields. For  $t_{kl}$  may be a continuous function but  $\nabla^2 t_{kl}$  may be singular at some point. The question arises whether this is allowable in a continuum theory. Recall that in the atomic scale there exists repulsive forces between ions when they are close

enough together. These forces become infinite when x'=x. Even in macroscopic levels at a sharp crack tip, classical elasticity leads to infinite strains. On these physical grounds, it seems that we are justified to employ such singular kernels. While these kernels do not possess an oscillatory character observed in crystal physics, it is possible to achieve such oscillations by adding a fourth degree term to the expansions (7.22) or (7.23), e.g.

(7.36) 
$$\bar{\alpha} = 1 - \varepsilon^2 k^2 + b k^4$$

where b is an appropriate constant.

In Section 9, we shall see that these kernels for the plane harmonic waves, lead to dispersion relations, which are nearly coincident to those obtained in lattice dynamics, in the entire Brillouin zone. Also, cohesive bond stresses in perfect crystals calculated by means of the nonlocal theory are in good agreement with those known in solid state physics (Section 10 and 11).

### 8. FIELD EQUATIONS

Ń

Upon substituting (7.27) into (2.5) we obtain

(8.1) 
$$\begin{cases} \alpha_{k} \sigma_{k\ell}(\mathbf{x}') dv(\mathbf{x}') + \rho(\mathbf{f}_{\ell} - \ddot{\mathbf{u}}_{\ell}) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where

(8.2) 
$$\sigma_{k\ell} = \sigma_{k\ell mn}^{0} e_{mn} = \sigma_{k\ell mn}^{0} u_{m,n}$$

which assumes a single attenuation function for anisotropic solids. We put

$$\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x_k} \sigma_{k\ell}(x') = -\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x_k'} \sigma_{k\ell} = -\frac{\partial (\alpha \sigma_{k\ell})}{\partial x_k'} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{k\ell}}{\partial x_k'}$$

in (8.1) and convert the first term to a surface integral by means of the Green-Gauss theorem.

(8.3) 
$$\int_{V} \alpha(\underline{x}' - \underline{x}) \sigma_{k\ell,k} (\underline{x}') dv(\underline{x}') - \int_{\partial V} \alpha \sigma_{k\ell} da_{k}' + \rho(f_{\ell} - \ddot{u}_{\ell}) = 0$$

Using (8.2) this gives the field equation of the nonlocal elasticity for the displacement field

(8.4) 
$$\int_{V} \alpha(\underline{x}'-\underline{x}) \sigma_{k\ell m n}^{0} u_{m,nk}(\underline{x}') dv(\underline{x}') - \int_{\partial V} \alpha(\underline{x}'-\underline{x}) \sigma_{k\ell m n}^{0} u_{m,n}(\underline{x}') da_{k}(\underline{x}') + \rho(f_{\ell} - \ddot{u}_{\ell}) = 0$$

Here, the surface integral represents the contributions of the surface stresses (e.g. surface tension). Consequently, nonlocal theory accounts for the surface physics as well.

For the isotropic solids we replace  $\alpha \sigma_{klmn}^{0} = \sigma_{klmn}$  by (6.17) leading to

(8.6) 
$$\int [(\lambda' + 2\mu') \nabla \nabla \cdot \underline{u}' - \mu' \nabla \times \nabla \times \underline{u}'] dv' + \rho(\underline{f} - \underline{\ddot{u}}) = 0$$

without the surface terms. If we assume (7.5), this gives

(8.7) 
$$\int_{V} \alpha(|\underline{x}'-\underline{x}|) [(\lambda+2\mu)\nabla\nabla\cdot\underline{u}' - \mu\nabla\times\nabla\times\underline{u}']dv' + \rho(\underline{f}-\underline{\ddot{u}}) = 0$$

where  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are the classical Lamé constraints.

For  $\alpha$  we may choose various proposals made in section 7. If we employ the approximation leading to (7.25) we will have

(8.8) 
$$\sigma_{kl,k} + (1 - \varepsilon^2 \nabla^2) (\rho f_l - \rho \ddot{u}_l) = 0$$

or in terms of the displacement field

(8.9) 
$$(\lambda + 2\mu) \nabla \nabla \cdot \underline{u} - \mu \nabla \times \nabla \times \underline{u} + (1 - \varepsilon^2 \nabla^2) (\rho \underline{f} - \rho \underline{u}) = 0$$

Here we see the advantage gained with the particular choice of  $\alpha$  satisfying (7.28).

The field equations obtained above must be solved to determine the displacement field u(x,t) under appropriate boundary and initial conditions. Boundary and initial conditions involving the displacement and velocity fields are identical to those of the classical theory. Boundary condition on tractions is based on the stress field  $t_{kl}$ , <u>not</u>  $\sigma_{kl}$ , i.e.

$$(8.10) t_{k\ell} n_k = t_{(n)\ell}$$

where  $t_{(n)l}$  are the prescribed boundary fractions.

### 9. PROPAGATION WAVES

### 1. Infinite Media

Using classical decomposition

$$(9.1) u = \nabla \phi + \nabla \times \psi \nabla \cdot \psi = 0$$

Eq. (8.9) with f = 0 is satisfied if

(9.2) 
$$c_1^2 \nabla^2 \phi - (1 - \epsilon^2 \nabla^2) \ddot{\phi} = 0$$
,

(9.3) 
$$c_2^2 \nabla^2 \psi - (1 - \epsilon^2 \nabla^2) \ddot{\psi} = 0,$$

where

(9.4) 
$$c_1 = [(\lambda + 2\mu)/\rho]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
,  $c_2 = (\mu/\rho)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ 

are the classical phase velocities of irrotational and equivoluminal waves. For plane harmonic waves, Eq. (9.2) leads to the dispersion relations

(9.5) 
$$\omega/c_1 k = (1 + \varepsilon^2 k^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

For  $\varepsilon = 0.39 a$ , where a is the lattice parameter, the plot of  $\omega a/c$  versus ka is shown in Fig. 1, where the dispersion curve for the Born-Kármán model of lattice dynamics is also plotted for which

(9.6) 
$$\omega a/c_1 = 2 \sin(ka/2)$$

The maximum deviation of this curve from the nonlocal result is less than 6% in the entire Brillouin zone. It should be remarked that Eq. (9.6) obeys the two natural conditions

(9.7) 
$$d\omega/dk = c_1, \qquad d\omega/dk = 0$$
  
 $k=0$ 

but the nonlocal result (9.5) obeys only the first one of these. Accordingly, the group velocity does not vanish at the end of the Brillouin zone. However, this situation can be remedied easily by taking

(9.8) 
$$\bar{\alpha}(k) = 1 - \epsilon^2 k^2 + b k^4$$

instead of (7.22) where b is a constant (cf. Kunin [8, II], p. 38).

Similarly, the dispersion relations of the equivoluminal waves are obtained by means of (9.3).

### (ii) Surface Waves

In the plane  $x_3 = 0$ ,  $\psi = \psi_{i_3}$  has a single component  $\psi(x_1, x_2, t)$  so that

(9.9) 
$$u_1 = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_2}$$
,  $u_2 = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_2} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_1}$ 

The general solution of (9.2) and (9.3) relevant to surface waves are of the form

(9.10)  
$$\phi = A \exp[-kv_1x_2 + ik(x_1 - ct)],$$
$$\psi = B \exp[-kv_2x_2 + ik(x_2 - ct)]$$

provided  $\nu_{\alpha}$  is given by

(9.11) 
$$v_{\alpha}^2 = 1 - (c/c_{\alpha})^2 [1 - \varepsilon^2 k^2 (c/c_{\alpha})^2]^{-1}$$

Using (9.9) and (7.27), the stress field can be calculated. If we set  $t_{22} = t_{21} = 0$  on the surface  $x_2 = 0$ , we obtain the dispersion relations [25]:

(9.12) 
$$[(c_1/c_2)^2 (v_1^2 - 1) + 2](1 + v_2^2) - 4 v_1v_2 = 0$$

This can be arranged into a quartic equation

(9.13) 
$$\gamma(a_1\gamma^3 + a_2\gamma^2 + a_3\gamma + a_4) = 0$$

where

(9.4)  

$$Y = (c/c_2)^2,$$

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{4} (m+1)(\epsilon k)^2 + \frac{1}{4} (1+4m - 3m^2)(\epsilon k)^4 + m(1-m)(\epsilon k)^6,$$

$$a_2 = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} (2m^2 - m - 3)(\epsilon k)^2 - (1+m - 2m^2)(\epsilon k)^4,$$

$$a_3 = \frac{3}{2} - m + (2 - m - m^2)(\epsilon k)^2,$$

$$a_4 = 1 - m, \qquad m = \frac{1-2\nu}{2(1-\nu)}$$

A root of this equation for  $\varepsilon = 0.39 \, a$  and v = 0.3 is plotted against ka in Fig. 2 where the result of lattice dynamic calculations of Wallis and Gazs [26] for KCL are also shown. If we note that no free constant is available for matching, the agreement is beyond our expectations.

The nonlocal theory also gives new types of waves which are not present in local theory. However, these waves disappear in the long wave length regions (cf. Kaliski and Rymarz [27]). It is important to note that the nonlocal moduli  $\alpha(|\mathbf{x}'-\mathbf{x}|)$  is appropriate only to homogeneous and isotropic solids. Half-space ceases to be homogeneous in the vicinity of the surface  $x_2 = 0$ , where in a boundary layer of a few atomic distances, the material is inhomogeneous and therefore a perturbation is necessary in  $\alpha(|\mathbf{x}'-\mathbf{x}|)$ . The present results are however valid so long as the wave length is much larger than this boundary layer thickness.

### 10. SCREW DISLOCATION

Consider a screw dislocation located at  $x_3 = 0$  of rectangular coordinates  $x_k$  (Fig. 3). The displacement field has only single component  $u_3 = w(x_1, x_2)$  which satisfies the equation

$$(10.1) \qquad \nabla^2 w = 0$$

whose solution relevant to our problem may be written in plane polar coordinates  $(\mathbf{r}, \theta)$  as

$$(10.2) w = \frac{b}{2\pi} \theta$$

where b is the Burger's vector. The non-zero components of  $\sigma_{k\ell}$  are given by

$$\sigma_{31} = \mu \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_1} = -\frac{\rho}{2\pi r} \sin \theta,$$

(10.3)

$$\sigma_{32} = \mu \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_2} = \frac{\mu b}{2\pi r} \cos \theta$$

We now carry these into

(10.4) 
$$(1 - \varepsilon^2 \nabla^2) t_{k\ell} = \sigma_{k\ell}$$

and determine  $t_{kl}$  by imposing the conditions that as  $r \rightarrow \infty$   $t_{kl} = 0$  and at r=0 (crack tip surface)  $t_{zr} = 0$ . This leads to [25] (see also [28]).

(10.5) 
$$t_{z\theta} = \frac{\mu_0 b}{2\pi r} \left[1 - \frac{r}{\epsilon} K_1(r/\epsilon)\right], \quad t_{zr} = 0$$

where  $K_1$  is the Bessel's function. The plot of

(10.6) 
$$T_{z\theta} = 2\pi \epsilon t_{z\theta} / \mu_0 b = \rho^{-1} [1 - \rho K_1(\rho)]$$
,  $\rho = r/\epsilon$ 

versus  $\rho$  is shown in Fig. 4, where is also plotted classical elasticity solution. We see that the stress at the center  $\rho = 0$  is singular for the classical solution, but zero for the nonlocal solution. The maximum stress occurs at  $\rho_c = 1.1$  and is given by

(10.7) 
$$t_{z\theta max} = 0.3995 \mu_0 b/2\pi e_0 a$$

If we equate this to the yield stress (theoretical)  $t_y$  and write  $h = e_0 a/0.3995$ , this agrees with the estimate of Frenkel, based on an atomic model. For  $e_0 = 0.39$ ,  $b/a = 1/\sqrt{2}$ , we obtain  $t_y/\mu = 0.12$ , which compares with the known value 0.11 for  $A\ell(fcc)$ , W,  $\alpha$ -Fe(bcc) and 0.12 for NaCl, Mg0 (cf. [29], p. 160).

The strain energy per unit length L in  $x_3$ -direction is given by

$$\rho_0 \psi/L = \Sigma/L = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^R \mathbf{r} \, d\mathbf{r} \int_0^{2\pi} \mathbf{t}_{z\theta} \mathbf{e}_{z\theta} \, d\theta$$

substituting from (10.5) and  $e_{2\theta} = b/2\pi r$ , we obtain

(10.8) 
$$\Sigma/L = (\mu b^2 / 8\pi) [ln(R/2\varepsilon) + K_0(R/\varepsilon)]$$

where R is the outer radius of the solid. Again we see that for finite R the strain energy is finite. Eq. (10.8) shows how the energy grows with size.

The same problem can be treated by means of the nonlocal theory of continuous dislocations [30]. For the screw dislocations for a given Burger's vector b, we have

(10.9) 
$$\frac{\partial e_{32}}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial e_{31}}{\partial x_2} = \frac{b}{2}$$

The equilibrium equations reduce to

(10.10) 
$$\frac{\partial t_{31}}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial t_{32}}{\partial x_2} = 0$$

For the stress tensor  $t_{31}$  and  $t_{32}$ , we have the constitutive equations

(10.11) 
$$\{t_{31}, t_{32}\} = \int_{V} \mu(|x'-x|) \{e_{31}', e_{32}'\} da'$$

where the anti-plane strains are given by

(10.12) 
$$e_{31} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_1}$$
,  $e_{32} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_2}$ 

We introduce the stress potential  $\phi$  by

(10.13) 
$$t_{31} = -\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_2}$$
,  $t_{32} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_1}$ 

by means of which Eq. (10.10) is satisfied. The Fourier transforms of (10.9),

(10.11) to (10.13) may be combined to give

$$(10.14) \qquad \bar{\phi} = \bar{\mu} \, \bar{b}/k^2$$

where  $k = (k_i k_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is the magnitude of the wave vector.

Following Kumin [8,II], if we employ the Debye Model, then the inverse of (10.14) is found to be

(10.15) 
$$\phi = \int_{A} G(|\underline{x}' - \underline{x}|) b(\underline{x}') da(\underline{x}')$$

where the integration is over the area in  $(x_1, x_2)$ -plane and

(10.16) 
$$G(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\mu}{2\pi} \left[ \int_{0}^{\rho} \frac{J_{1}(\tau) - 1}{\tau} d\tau - \ln(\kappa R) \right],$$

 $\rho = \kappa r$ ,  $\kappa = \pi/a$ 

For a single screw at the origin r=0, this gives

(10.17) 
$$t_{31} = \frac{\mu b \kappa^2 x_2}{2\pi} \frac{J_0(\rho) - 1}{\rho^2}, \quad t_{32} = -\frac{\mu b \kappa^2 x_1}{2\pi} \frac{J_0(\rho) - 1}{\rho^2}$$
  
Alternatively,

(10.18) 
$$\tau_{\theta} = 2\pi t_{z\theta} / \mu \kappa b = [1 - J_0(\rho)] / \rho , \quad t_{zr} = 0$$

 $t_{z\theta}$  vanishes at the origin r=0. It has slightly oscillatory behavior for r>0 (Fig. 5) and it acquires its first maximum at  $\rho\simeq 2.76$  with an amplitude

(10.19) 
$$t_{z\theta \max} \simeq 0.423 \quad \mu_0 b \kappa / 2\pi$$

This value is nearly identical to (10.7) where an entirely different (singular) kernel was used. Note however that the location of the maximum differ considerably.

# 11. CRACK TIP PROBLEM

An infinite plate with a line crack of length 2c subjected to loads at infinity is known as the Griffith crack. It is well-known that the classical elasticity solution of this problem gives stress singularity at the crack tip. Because of this, no fracture criterion based on maximum stress hypothesis could be employed. Various alternative criteria (Griffith energy criterion, J-integral, etc.) had to be established to circumvent this difficulty. With the development of nonlocal theory, this problem has been resolved by Eringen and his coworkers [31 - 34]. Here I discuss only the so-called Mode III problem, i.e. line crack subject to anti-plane shear load (Fig. 6).

The classical elasticity solution for a line crack in a plate under anti-plane loading at infinity is well known [35]

(11.1) 
$$\sigma = \sigma_{23} - i \sigma_{13} = \sigma_0 \bar{z} (\bar{z}^2 - c^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

where  $\sigma_0$  is the applied shear, 2c is the crack length and  $z = x_1 + i x_2$ ,  $\overline{z} = x_1 - i x_2$ .

Employing (11.1) in (7.25), we determine the nonlocal stress field

(11.2) 
$$t_{23} - i t_{13} = (\pi \epsilon / 2r_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-r_1 / \epsilon} (C_1 e^{i\theta_1 / 2} + C_2 e^{-i\theta_1 / 2}) + \sigma$$

where  $(r_1, \theta_1)$  are the polar coordinates with the origin at the right-hand crack tip(Fig. 6). The boundary condition at the crack tip is calculated by considering the tip as a small circular cylinder of radius  $r_1$  so that in the limit  $t_{rz}$  vanishes as  $r_1 \rightarrow 0$ , i.e.

(11.3) 
$$\lim_{r_1 \to 0} t_{rz} = 0$$

This gives  $C_2 = 0$  and  $C_1 = -(c/\pi\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_0$  and we have [34]

(11.4) 
$$t_{z\theta} - i t_{zr} = (t_{32} - i t_{31}) e^{-i\theta_1}$$
$$= \sigma_0 (c/2r_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \{ (2r^2/cr_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp[i (-\theta + \frac{\theta_2}{2})] - \exp(-r_1/\epsilon) \} \exp(-i\theta_1/2)$$

 $(r_2, \theta_2)$  are the plane polar coordinates attached to the left crack tip and  $(r, \theta)$  have the origin  $x_1 = x_2 = 0$ .

Along the x<sub>1</sub>-axis  $(\theta = \theta_1 = \theta_2 = 0)$ , t<sub>z $\theta$ </sub> acquires its maximum near to the crack tip. From (11.4), we have

(11.5) 
$$T_{\theta}(\rho) = \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} t_{z\theta}^{2} / \sigma_{0} = (\pi \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} t_{z\theta}^{2} / K_{III}$$
$$= (2\rho)^{-\frac{1}{2}} [(1 + \gamma \rho)(1 + \frac{\gamma \rho}{2})^{-\frac{1}{2}} - e^{-\rho}]$$

where

(11.6) 
$$\rho = r_1/\epsilon$$
,  $\gamma = \epsilon/c$ ,  $K_{III} = (\pi c)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_0$ 

It is clear that  $t_{z\theta}$  vanishes at the crack tip  $\rho = 0$  and acquires a maximum at  $\rho = \rho_c = 1.2565$  since  $\gamma <<1$  ( $\gamma \le 10^{-4}$ ).

(11.7) 
$$t_{z\theta \max} = \sigma_0 (\epsilon/c)^{-\frac{1}{2}} [(2 \rho_c)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (2\rho_c)^{-\frac{1}{2}}]^{-1}$$

 $T^{\phantom{\dagger}}_{\theta}(\rho)$  given by (11.5) is plotted against  $\rho$  in Fig. 7. From this it is clear that

- (a) The stress field vanishes at the crack tip instead of being singular as predicted by classical elasticity.
- (b) Fracture begins when  $t_{20max} = t_y$ , where  $t_y$  is the cohesive yield stress.

From (11.7), we have

(11.8) 
$$K_c/t_v = 3.9278 \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where  $K_c = (\pi c)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_{oc}$  is the critical fracture toughness. For  $e_0 = 0.39$ ,  $K_c/t_y$  is shown in Table 1 along with its values based on the classical fracture criterion, namely  $K_c = (4\mu \gamma_s)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  where  $\gamma_s$  is the surface energy. This table also displays some experimental results. The present results are again in good agreement with experimental observations of Ohr and Chang [36], even though further considerations are necessary for the inhomogeneity of the material at the core region.

.11 0.4	.9 0.3
.86 0.4	7 0.66
.04 0.4	2 0.23
	.11     0.4       .86     0.4       .04     0.4

(c) The maximum stress acts at  $\rho = \rho_c$  not at the tip of the crack  $\rho = 0$ . Although  $\rho_c$  is very small, this implies that fracture initiates ahead of the crack joining the tip. If the inhomogeneity and the presence of dislocations near the crack tip is taken into account, we expect  $\rho_c$  to become larger than atomic dimensions.

Interactions of dislocations with crack was treated by Eringen [34]. For the solution of the crack tip problems for modes I and II, see [32], [33] and for crack curving, see [24]. Point defects and elastic interactions were explored in the works of Gairola [37] and Kumin [8].

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the Office of Naval Research. The author is indebted to Dr. N. Basdekas for his encouragement. The skillful typing of this manuscript was done by Betty Kaminski.

### REFERENCES

1.	Rogula, D., Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Ser. Sci. Techn. 13, 7 (1975)
2.	Krumhansl, J.A. in: <u>Lattice Dynamics</u> . Edited by R.F. Wallis, Pergamon Press, London, Oxford, p. 627 (1965)
3.	Kroner, E. and B.K. Datta, Z. Phys. <u>196</u> , 203 (1966)
4.	Kunin, I.A. <u>Prikl.</u> , <u>Mat.</u> , <u>Mech.</u> <u>30</u> , 642, (1966).
5.	Eringen, A.C. Int. J. Engng. Sci. 10, 425 (1972)
6.	Eringen, A.C. Int. J. Engng. Sci., 4, 179, (1966), see also Develop- ments in Mechanics, V. 3, Part 1, edited by T.C. Huang & M.W. Johnson Jr., 23, 1965.
7.	Eringen, A.C. & D.G.B. Edelen in <u>Continuum Physics</u> , v. 4, Acad. Press, Ch. 2 & 3. Edited by A.C. Eringen, 1976.
8.	Kunin, I.A. Elastic Media with Microstructures I & II, Springer Verlag 1982/1983.
9.	Nonlocal Theory of Material Media. Edited by D. Rogula, Springer Verlag, 1982.
10.	Eringen, A.C., Int. J. Engng. Sci. 10, 561 (1972)
11.	Speziale C.S. and A.C. Eringen, Comp. & Math with Appls., 7, 27, (1981)
12.	Eringen, A.C., <u>J. Math. Phys. 14</u> , 733 (1973)
13.	Eringen, A.C., <u>J. Math. Phys.</u> <u>25</u> , 717 (1984)
14.	Eringen, A.C. and D.G.B. Edelen, Int. J. Engng. Sci. 10, 233 (1972)
15.	Eringen, A.C. in <u>Topics in Mathematical Physics</u> , edited by Halis Odabasi and O. Akyuz, Int. Symp., Istanbul Turkey, 1975 (Colorado Univ. Press), p.1
16.	Eringen, A.C. in <u>Nonlinear Equations in Physics and Mathematics</u> , Edited by A.C. Barut, Reidel Publishing Co., 271, 1977.
17.	Eringen, A.C. <u>Crystal Lattice Defects</u> , 7, 109, 1977.
18.	Eringen, A.C. <u>Mechanics of Continua</u> , John Wiley, 1967, R.E. Krieger 1980, Ch. 5.

- 19. Friedman, M. and M. Katz, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 21, 49, 1966.
- 20. Kotowski, R., Z. Phys. B 33, 321 (1979).
- 21. Kosilova, V.G., I.A. Kunin and E.G. Sosnina, <u>Fiz. Tverd.</u> Tela, <u>10</u>, 367, (1968).
- 22. Vdovin, V.E. and I.A. Kunin, Fiz. Tverd. Tela, <u>10</u>, 375, 1968.
- Ari, N. and A.C. Eringen, <u>Crystal Lattice Defects Amorph. Mat. 10</u> 33 1983.
- 24. Eringen, A.C. in <u>Defects</u>, <u>Fracture and Fatigue</u>, <u>Edited by G.C. Sih</u> and J. W. Provan Martinus Nijhoff 233, 1982.
- 25. Eringen, A.C., J. Appl. Phys. 59, 4703, (1983)
- 26. Wallis, R.F. and D.C. Gazis, <u>Lattice Dynamics</u>, edited by R.F. Wallis Pergamon, New York, 537, 1965.
- 27. Kaliski, S. and C. Rymarz, <u>Bull.</u> WATJ Dubroskiego 20, 17 and 25 (1975).
- 28. A.C. Eringen, J. Phys. D. 10, 671 (1977),
- 29. Lawn, B.R. and T.R. Wilshaw, <u>Fracture of Brittle Solids</u>, Cambridge U. Press, London (1975).
- 30. Eringen, A.C., To appear in J. Appl. Phys.
- 31. Eringen, A.C. and B.S. Kim, Mech. Reg. Comm. 1, 233, 1974.
- 32. Eringen, A.C., C.G. Speziale and B.S. Kim. J. Mech. Phys. Solids, 25, 339, 1977.
- 33. Eringen, A.C., Int. J. of Fracture, 14, 367, (1978).
- 34. Eringen, A.C., J. Appl. Phys. 54, 6811 (1983)
- 35. Sneddon, I.N. and G. Lowengrub, <u>Crack Problems in the Classical</u> Elasticity Theory, John Wiley, p. 37, 1969.
- 36. Ohr, S.M., J.A. Horton and S.-J. Chang, "Direct Observations of Crack Tip Dislocation Behavior During Tensile and Cyclic Deformation," Tech. Report, Oak Ridge National Laboratory.
- 37. Gairola, B.K.D. in Ref. 9, p. 52.



ဒီဂြ















Figure 6



Figure 7: Nondimensional Shear in Mode III

Part 1 - Government Administrative and Liaison Activities

Office of Naval Research Department of the Navy Arlington, Virginia 22217 Attn: Code 474 (2) Code 471 Code 200

Director Office of Naval Research Eastern/Central Regional Office 666 Summer Street Boston, Massachusetts 02210

Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office 536 South Clark Street Chicago, Illinois 60605

Director Office of Naval Research New York Area Office 715 Broadway - 5th Floor New York, New York 10003

Director Office of Naval Research . Western Regional Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, California 91106

Naval Research Laboratory (6) Code 2627 Washington, D.C. 20375

Defense Technical Information Center (12) Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314

#### Navy

Undersea Explosion Research Division Naval Ship Research and Development Center Norfolk Naval Shipyard Portsmouth, Virginia 23709 Attn: Dr. E. Palmer, Code 177

Navy (Con't.) Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375 Attn: Code 8400 8410 8430 8440 6300 6390 6380 David W. Taylor Naval Ship Research and Development Center Annapolis, Maryland 21402 Attn: Code 2740 28 281 Naval Weapons Center China Lake, California 93555 Attn: Code 4062 4520 Commanding Officer Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Code L31 Port Hueneme, California 93041 Naval Surface Weapons Center White Oak Silver Spring, Maryland 20910 Attn: Code R-10 G-402 K-82 Technical Director Naval Ocean Systems Center San Diego, California 92152 Supervisor of Shipbuilding U.S. Navy Newport News, Virginia 23607 Navy Underwater Sound Reference Division Naval Research Laboratory P.O. Box 8337 Orlando, Florida 32806 Chief of Naval Operations Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20350 Attn: Code OP-098

Navy (Con't.)

Strategic Systems Project Office Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20376 Attn: NSP-200

Naval Air Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20361 Attn: Code 5302 (Aerospace and Structures) 604 (Technical Library) 320B (Structures)

Naval Air Development Center Warminster, Pennsylvania 18974 Attn: Aerospace Mechanics Code 606

U.S. Naval Academy Engineering Department Annapolis, Maryland 21402

Naval Facilities Engineering Command 200 Stovall Street Alexandria, Virginia 22332 Attn: Code 03 (Research and Development) 04B 045 14114 (Technical Library)

Naval Sea Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20362 Attn: Code 05H 312 322 323 05R 32R Navy (Con't.)

Commander and Director David W. Taylor Naval Ship Research and Development Center Bethesda, Maryland 20084 Attn: Code 042 17 172 173 174 1800 1844 012.2 1900 1901 1945 1960

Naval Underwater Systems Center Newport, Rhode Island 02840 Attn: Bruce Sandman, Code 3634

1962

```
Naval Surface Weapons Center
Dahlgren Laboratory
Dahlgren, Virginia 22448
Attn: Code G04
G20
```

Technical Director Mare Island Naval Shipyard Vallejo, California 94592

U.S. Naval Postgraduate School Library Code 0384 Monterey, California 93940

Webb Institute of Naval Architecture Attn: Librarian Crescent Beach Road, Glen Cove Long Island, New York 11542

#### Army

Commanding Officer (2) U.S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 Attn: Mr. J. J. Murray, CRD-AA-IP

### Army (Con't.)

Watervliet Arsenal MAGGS Research Center Watervliet, New York 12189 Attn: Director of Research

U.S. Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center Watertown, Massachusetts 02172 Attn: Dr. R. Shea, DRXMR-T

U.S. Army Missile Research and Development Center Redstone Scientific Information Center Chief, Document Section Redstone Arsenal, Alabama 35809

Army Research and Development Center Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060

### NASA

National Aeronautics and Space Administration Structures Research Division Langley Research Center Langley Station Hampton, Virginia 23365

National Aeronautics and Space Administration Associate Administrator for Advanced Research and Technology Washington, D.C. 20546

### Air Force

Wright-Patterson Air Force Base Dayton, Ohio 45433 Attn: AFFDL (FB) (FBR) (FBE) (FBS) AFML (MBM)

Chief Applied Mechanics Group U.S. Air Force Institute of Technology Wright-Patterson Air Force Base Dayton, Ohio 45433 Air Force (Con't.)

Chief, Civil Engineering Branch WLRC, Research Division Air Force Weapons Laboratory Kirtland Air Force Base Albuquerque, New Mexico 87117

Air Force Office of Scientific Research Bolling Air Force Base Washington, D.C. 20332 Attn: Mechanics Division

Department of the Air Force Air University Library Maxwell Air Force Base Montgomery, Alabama 36112

#### Other Government Activities

Commandant Chief, Testing and Development Division U.S. Coast Guard 1300 E Street, NW. Washington, D.C. 20226

Technical Director Marine Corps Development and Education Command Quantico, Virginia 22134

Director Defense Research and Engineering Technical Library Room 3C128 The Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20301

Dr. M. Gaus National Science Foundation Environmental Research Division Washington, D.C. 20550

Library of Congress Science and Technology Division Washington, D.C. 20540

Director Defense Nuclear Agency Washington, D.C. 20305 Attn: SPSS -

Other Government Activities (Con't)

Mr. Jerome Persh
Staff Specialist for Materials
and Structures
OUSDR&E, The Pentagon
Room 3D1089
Washington, D.C. 20301

Chief, Airframe and Equipment Branch FS-120 Office of Flight Standards Federal Aviation Agency Washington, D.C. 20553

National Academy of Sciences National Research Council Ship Hull Research Committee 2101 Constitution Avenue Washington, D.C. 20418 Attn: Mr. A. R. Lytle

National Science Foundation Engineering Mechanics Section Division of Engineering Washington, D.C. 20550

Picatinny Arsenal Plastics Technical Evaluation Center Attn: Technical Information Section Dover, New Jersey 07801

Maritime Administration Office of Maritime Technology 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW. Washington, D.C. 20230

PART 2 - Contractors and Other Technical Collaborators

#### Universities

Dr. J. Tinsley Oden University of Texas at Austin 345 Engineering Science Building Austin, Texas 78712

Professor Julius Miklowitz California Institute of Technology Division of Engineering and Applied Sciences Pasadena, California 91109 Universities (Con't)

Dr. Harold Liebowitz, Dean School of Engineering and Applied Science George Washington University Washington, D.C. 20052

Professor Eli Sternberg California Institute of Technology Division of Engineering and Applied Sciences Pasadena, California 91109

Professor Paul M. Naghdi University of California Department of Mechanical Engineering Berkeley, California 94720

Professor A. J. Durelli Oakland University School of Engineering Rochester, Missouri 48063

Professor F. L. DiMaggio Columbia University Department of Civil Engineering New York, New York 10027

Professor Norman Jones The University of Liverpool Department of Mechanical Engineering P. O. Box 147 Brownlow Hill Liverpool L69 3BX England

Professor E. J. Skudrzyk Pennsylvania State University Applied Research Laboratory Department of Physics State College, Pennsylvania 16801

Professor J. Klosner Polytechnic Institute of New York Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering 333 Jay Street Brooklyn, New York 11201

Professor R. A. Schapery Texas A&M University Department of Civil Engineering College Station, Texas 77843

### Universities (Con't.)

Professor Walter D. Pilkey University of Virginia Research Laboratories for the Engineering Sciences and Applied Sciences Charlottesville, Virginia 22901

Professor K. D. Willmert Clarkson College of Technology Department of Mechanical Engineering Potsdam, New York 13676

Dr. Walter E. Haisler Texas A&M University Aerospace Engineering Department College Station, Texas 77843

Dr. Hussein A. Kamel University of Arizona Department of Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering Tucson, Arizona 85721

Dr. S. J. Fenves Carnegie-Mellon University Department of Civil Engineering Schenley Park Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

Dr. Ronald L. Huston Department of Engineering Analysis University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio 45221

Professor G. C. M. Sih Lehigh University Institute of Fracture and Solid Mechanics Bethlehem, Pennsylvania 18015

Professor Albert S. Kobayashi University of Washington Department of Mechanical Engineering Seattle, Washington 98105

Professor Daniel Frederick Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University Department of Engineering Mechanics Blacksburg, Virginia 24061

### Universities (Con't)

Professor A. C. Eringen Princeton University Department of Aerospace and Mechanical Sciences Princeton, New Jersey 08540

Professor E. H. Lee Stanford University Division of Engineering Mechanics Stanford, California 94305

Professor Albert I. King Wayne State University Biomechanics Research Center Detroit, Michigan 48202

Dr. V. R. Hodgson Wayne State University School of Medicine Detroit, Michigan 48202

Dean B. A. Boley Northwestern University Department of Civil Engineering Evanston, Illinois 60201

Professor P. G. Hodge, Jr. University of Minnesota Department of Aerospace Engineering and Mechanics Minnespolis, Minnesota 55455

Dr. D. C. Drucker University of Illinois Dean of Engineering Urbana, Illinois 61801

Professor N. M. Newmark University of Illinois Department of Civil Engineering Urbana, Illinois 61803

Professor E. Reissner University of California, San Diego Department of Applied Mechanics La Jolla, California 92037

Professor William A. Nash University of Massachusetts Department of Mechanics and Aerospace Engineering Amherst, Massachusetts 01002

### Universities (Con't)

Professor G. Herrmann Stanford University Department of Applied Mechanics Stanford, California 94305

Professor J. D. Achenbach Northwest University Department of Civil Engineering Evanston, Illinois 60201

Professor S. B. Dong University of California Department of Mechanics Los Angeles, California 90024

Professor Burt Paul University of Pennsylvania Towne School of Civil and Mechanical Engineering Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104

Professor H. W. Liu Syracuse University Department of Chemical Engineering and Metallurgy Syracuse, New York 13210

Professor S. Bodner Technion R&D Foundation Haifa, Israel

Professor Werner Goldsmith University of California Department of Mechanical Engineering Berkeley, California 94720

Professor R. S. Rivlin Lehigh University Center for the Application of Mathematics Bethlehem, Pennsylvania 18015

Professor F. A. Cozzarelli State University of New York at Buffalo Division of Interdisciplinary Studies Karr Parker Engineering Building Chemistry Road Buffalo, New York 14214

### Universities (Con't)

Professor Joseph L. Rose Drexel University Department of Mechanical Engineering and Mechanics Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104

Professor B. K. Donaldson University of Maryland Aerospace Engineering Department College Park, Maryland 20742

Professor Joseph A. Clark Catholic University of America Department of Mechanical Engineering Washington, D.C. 20064

Dr. Samuel B. Batdorf University of California School of Engineering and Applied Science Los Angeles, California 90024

Professor Isaac Fried Boston University Department of Mathematics Boston, Massachusetts 02215

Professor E. Krempl Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Division of Engineering Engineering Mechanics Troy, New York 12181

Dr. Jack R. Vinson University of Delaware Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering and the Center for Composite Materials Newark, Delaware 19711

Dr. J. Duffy Brown University Division of Engineering Providence, Rhode Island 02912

Dr. J. L. Swedlow Carnegie-Mellon University Department of Mechanical Engineering Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

#### Universities (Con't)

Dr. V. K. Varadan Ohio State University Research Foundation Department of Engineering Mechanics Columbus, Ohio 43210

Dr. Z. Hashin University of Pennsylvania Department of Metallurgy and Materials Science College of Engineering and Applied Science Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104

Dr. Jackson C. S. Yang University of Maryland Department of Mechanical Engineering College Park, Maryland 20742

Professor T. Y. Chang University of Akron Department of Civil Engineering Akron, Ohio 44325

Professor Charles W. Bert University of Oklahoma School of Aerospace, Mechanical, and Nuclear Engineering Norman, Oklahoma 73019

Professor Satya N. Atluri Georgia Institute of Technology School of Engineering and Mechanics Atlanta, Georgia 30332

Professor Graham F. Carey University of Texas at Austin Department of Aerospace Engineering and Engineering Mechanics Austin, Texas 78712

Dr. S. S. Wang University of Illinois Department of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics Urbana, Illinois 61801

Professor J. F. Abel Cornell University Department of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics Ithaca, New York 14853 Universities (Con't)

Professor V. H. Neubert Pennsylvania State University Department of Engineering Science and Mechanics University Park, Pennsylvania 16802

Professor A. W. Leissa Ohio State University Department of Engineering Mechanics Columbus, Ohio 43212

Professor C. A. Brebbia University of California, Irvine Department of Civil Engineering School of Engineering Irvine, California 92717

Dr. George T. Hahn Vanderbilt University Mechanical Engineering and Materials Science Nashville, Tennessee 37235

Dean Richard H. Gallagher University of Arizona College of Engineering Tucson, Arizona 85721

Professor E. F. Rybicki The University of Tulsa Department of Mechanical Engineering Tulsa, Oklahoma 74104

Dr. R. Haftka Illinois Institute of Technology Department of Mechanics and Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering Chicago, Illinois 60616

Professor J. G. de Oliveira Massachusetts Institute of Technology Department of Ocean Engineering 77 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Dr. Bernard W. Shaffer Polytechnic Institute of New York Route 110 Farmingdale, New York 11735

#### Industry and Research Institutes

Dr. Norman Hobbs Kaman AviDyne Division of Kaman Sciences Corporation Burlington, Massachusetts 01803

Argonne National Laboratory Library Services Department 9700 South Cass Avenue Argonne, Illinois 60440

Dr. M. C. Junger Cambridge Acoustical Associates 54 Rindge Avenue Extension Cambridge, Massachusetts 02140

Mr. J. H. Torrance General Dynamics Corporation Electric Boat Division Groton, Connecticut 06340

Dr. J. E. Greenspon J. G. Engineering Research Associates 3831 Menlo Drive Baltimore, Maryland 21215

Newport News Shipbuilding and Dry Dock Company Library Newport News, Virginia 23607

Dr. W. F. Bozich McDonnell Douglas Corporation 5301 Bolsa Avenue Huntington Beach, California 92647

Dr. H. N. Abramson Southwest Research Institute 8500 Culebra Road San Antonio, Texas 78284

Dr. R. C. DeHart Southwest Research Institute 8500 Culebra Road San Antonio, Texas 78284

Dr. M. L. Baron Weidlinger Associates 110 East 59th Street New York, New York 10022

#### Industry and Research Institutes (Con't)

Dr. T. L. Geers Lockheed Missiles and Space Company 3251 Hanover Street Palo Alto, California 94304

Mr. William Caywood Applied Physics Laboratory Johns Hopkins Road Laurel, Maryland 20810

Dr. Robert E. Dunham Pacifica Technology P.O. Box 148 Del Mar, California 92014

Dr. M. F. Kanninen Battelle Columbus Laboratories 505 King Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43201

Dr. A. A. Hochrein Daedalean Associates, Inc. Springlake Research Road 15110 Frederick Road Woodbine, Maryland 21797

Dr. James W. Jones Swanson Service Corporation P.O. Box 5415 Huntington Beach, California 92646

Dr. Robert E. Nickell Applied Science and Technology 3344 North Torrey Pines Court Suite 220 La Jolla, California 92037

Dr. Kevin Thomas Westinghouse Electric Corp. Advanced Reactors Division P. O. Box 158 Madison, Pennsylvania 15663

Dr. H. D. Hibbitt Hibbitt & Karlsson, Inc. 132 George M. Cohan Boulevard Providence, Rhode Island 02903

Dr. R. D. Mindlin 89 Deer Hill Drive Ridgefield, Connecticut 06877

Industry and Research Institutes (Con't)

Dr. Richard E. Dame Mega Engineering 11961 Tech Road Silver Spring, Maryland 20904

- - -

Mr. G. M. Stanley Lockheed Palo Alto Research Laboratory 3251 Hanover Street Palo Alto, California 94304

Mr. R. L. Cloud Robert L. Cloud Associates, Inc. 2972 Adeline Street Berkeley, California 94703