Thermal Considerations for Reducing the Cooldown and Warmup Duration of the James Webb Space Telescope OTIS Cryo-Vacuum Test

Kan Yang, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center Stuart Glazer, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center Wes Ousley, Genesis Engineering Solutions Inc. William Burt, Genesis Engineering Solutions Inc.



NORTHROP GRUMMAN

CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA









Introduction to JWST



 James Webb Space Telescope (JWST) is NASA's next-generation space telescope

 Collaboration between NASA, ESA, European Consortium, CSA, and partners in industry and academia

 With four near-to-mid IR instruments, JWST will provide scientists with unprecedented resolution to study:

- First light and reionization •
- Assembly of galaxies
- Birth of stars and protoplanetary systems •
- Exoplanets and origins of life •



Introduction to the OTIS CV Test



OTIS Payload at Chamber A, NASA JSC

- The Optical Telescope Element and Integrated Science Instrument Module (OTIS) Cryo-Vacuum (CV) Test is a critical part of the environmental test campaign for JWST
 - Due to the size of JWST, the entire observatory cannot be thermally balanced or optically tested in existing facilities
 - Two large subsystem-level thermal vacuum tests are planned (OTIS and Spacecraft Bus/Sunshield) for optical and cryovacuum verification
- The thermal control objectives of the OTIS CV test are to: Achieve simulated on-orbit payload temperatures for optical, •
 - mechanical, and instrument tests
 - Predict and measure thermal balance data for model crosscheck Preserve hardware integrity in temperature transitions i.e. meet all limits and constraints (L&Cs)

 - Assess thermal conductance of flight instrument heat straps • Achieve timeline optimization on payload cooldown and warmup







Optical Patl

DTA: Deployable Tower Assembly **IEC:** ISIM Electronics Compartment **ISIM:** Integrated Science Instrument Module, contains:

- Near-Infrared Camera (NIRCam)
- Near-Infrared Spectrograph Optical Assembly (NIRSpec OA) and Focal Plane Assembly (NIRSpec FPA)
- Fine Guidance Sensor (FGS/NIRISS)

+V3

Mid Infrared Instrument (MIRI)

Secondary Mirror Assembly (SMA)

> **AOS Source Plate** Assembly (ASPA)

> > DTA

IEC

Backplane Support Structure (PMBSS)

Primary Mirror

IEC Deep Space Environment Radiators (IEC DSERS)

CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA

+V2

+V1

OTIS CV Critical Components: OTE

Aft Optics Subsystem (AOS): Contains Tertiary **Mirror (TM) and Fine** Secondary **Steering Mirror (FSM)** Mirror Support Structure (SMSS) **Primary** Mirror Segment **Assemblies** (PMSAs), 18 total TN **Fixed ISIM Radiator** (FIR) ISIM **ISIM Deep Space Environment Radiators** (ISIM DSERS) Aft Deployable ISIM **Radiator (ADIR)**





CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA

OTIS CV Critical Components: ISIM



warmup Heater

SMA Delta Frame GSE

Red: Heater Controlled Blue: Helium Controlled

> Hardpoint Strut **GSE zero-Q heaters**

SVTS GSE Heater Plates Control Core Environment

DTA Wagon Wheel **GSE Heaters maintain** DTA base at 295K

IEC contains suite of Flight control heaters

MIRI GSE Cryocooler operated similar to ISIM CV testing

GSE IEC DSER controllable through individual helium zone

GSE HOSS Cooled through helium line

CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA

OTIS Thermal Control Hardware

FSM Flight Baseplate Contamination Control Heater

> **TM GSE warmup Bench** Heater

ISIM Contains Multiple Flight Bench and Trim Heaters

> **ISIM GSE Precool** Strap zero-Q heaters for cryobalance

> > **ISIM GSE Precool Straps controllable** through individual helium zone

ISIM DSERS (+V2, -V2, +V3, -V1, Harness Radiator GSE **DSERS)** in one Helium zone





OTIS CV Test Model

- The OTIS CV Test Thermal Model is a combination of four separate models
 - **OTIS Payload Thermal Model from Northrop** • Grumman Aerospace Systems (NGAS)
 - **Detailed Optical Component Thermal Models** • from Ball Aerospace Technologies **Corporation (BATC)**
 - GSE and Chamber Thermal Models from Harris Corporation
 - OTIS CV test-specific modifications from NASA Goddard Space Flight Center
 - Thermal Desktop/SINDAF, ~84000 nodes, >1 week wall-clock time for transient run
 - Used to develop appropriate cooldown and warmup procedures while keeping within L&Cs (over 90 Thermal-specific)



Drivers for OTIS CV Thermal Control in Transition Periods

- driver for schedule in cooldown
 - constraints
- Contamination Constraints are main driver for schedule in warmup •
 - molecules, which present contamination risk to optics
- transition rate
 - payload.
 - \bullet constraints to be met by maintaining appropriate ΔTs between components

Structural Limitations and Constraints (L&Cs), as well as thermal mass of payload, are main

Structural L&Cs consist of absolute temperature constraints, rate constraints, and gradient

Component-to-component ΔT requirements in water (140K-170K) and molecular (220K-ambient) contamination bands, where composite OTIS structure is most likely to outgas water and organic

Principal "knob to turn" to prevent violation of constraints is Helium Shroud and DSERs

Helium shroud provides effective control of gradients at beginning of cooldown, but past day 5, temperature difference between the helium shroud and bulk payload average is sufficiently large that larger ΔT causes little additional change to the rate of radiative heat transfer from the

In warmup, slower helium shroud temperature transition rate allows for all contamination





Full Test Profile



CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA



Baseline Cooldown Profile



- --×-- PMBSS Structure Max
- --×-- PMBSS Structure Min
- ——— SM
- —∎— TM
- ── FSM
- ---- Primary Mirrors Max
- ----- Primary Mirrors Average
- ---- Primary Mirrors Min
- ····• NIRCam Bench
- ····A··· NIRSpec OA
- ······ NIRSpec FPA
- ····×··· FGS Bench
- ····* MIRI Bench
- --+-- ISIM Structure Max
- ------ ISIM Structure Average
- --+-- ISIM Structure Min





Baseline Warmup Profile



All ISIM instruments have contamination control heaters to accelerate their transition in warmup. These are powered to keep ISIM above helium shroud temperature in entirety of warmup

- --×-- PMBSS Structure Max
- ------ PMBSS Structure Min
- ——— SM
- —**—** TM
- -FSM
- ---- Primary Mirrors Max
- ----- Primary Mirrors Average
- ---- Primary Mirrors Min
- ····• NIRCam Bench
- ····▲··· NIRSpec OA
- ······ NIRSpec FPA
- ····×···· FGS Bench
- ····* MIRI Bench
- --+-- ISIM STRUCT INST
- --+-- MinISIM Struct Inst

In molecular contamination band, coldest optical surface cannot be >10K colder from helium shroud temperature. Shroud transition rate adjusted to maintain this constraint





Baseline Cooldown ΔTs as % to Constraint



Slower shroud transition rate between days 4 and 10.3 of cooldown (1.5 K/hr to 0.63 K/hr) prevents exceedance of PMBSS structural constraint in cooldown

> All other constraints are maintained by shroud rate required by gating schedule item (PMBSS structure gradient)



Baseline Warmup ΔTs as % to Constraint



- \rightarrow TM Substrate to Bench Δ T % to Constraint
- --×--TM Bench to Bulkhead ΔT % to Limit
- --*-- FSM Carrier to Base ΔT % to Limit
- Constraint
 - Max PM-to-Delta Frame $\Delta T \%$ to Constraint
- ---- Max PM-to-Strut ΔT % to Constraint
- ······ Max PM-to-Whiffle ΔT % to Constraint
- \longrightarrow AOS-to-ASPA Bridge Δ T as % to Constraint





Schedule Optimization Study

- Due to high daily operational costs of OTIS CV test, a study was undertaken to reduce OTIS • CV payload cooldown and warmup transition times
 - In purely radiative environment, schedule optimization can only be achieved with modulating helium shroud/DSER rates and heater usage, and reexamining all gating L&Cs
 - PMBSS structural constraint reviewed with mechanical team: new stress analysis showed that previous • point-to-point structural ΔT constraint was too conservative. A new temperature-dependent constraint was developed which precluded need for helium shroud rate slowdown in baseline curve
 - Contamination constraint re-examined: previous constraint for optics-to-helium shroud ΔT was too • conservative based on results from previous Pathfinder test. New allowables are 40K for both contam. bands Overdriving of shroud temperatures and GSE boundaries also considered •
- Optimization code was developed in the form of a feedback loop for helium shroud/DSER control in cooldown and warmup
 - Model calculates payload performance against all critical L&Cs per timestep, providing real-time monitoring of thermal behavior of components against allowable values
 - If no constraints exceeded, helium shroud/DSERs allowed to proceed at max. rate of 1.5 K/hr ullet
 - If ΔT or rate of any component exceeded constraint + margin, the helium shroud/DSERs temperature will hold constant for that timestep
 - the constant shroud rate needed to maintain this constraint

While this produces a stepwise shroud profile at a microscopic level, on a macroscopic level this produces



Modified Cooldown Profile



Expansion of PMBSS structural gradient allowable permits shroud to maintain constant 1.5 K/hr through entirety of cooldown: this allows payload to cool at fastest radiative rate possible

CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA

- --×-- PMBSS Structure Max
- --×-- PMBSS Structure Min
- ——— SM
- FSM
- ---- Primary Mirrors Max
- ----- Primary Mirrors Average
- ---- Primary Mirrors Min
- ····▲··· NIRSpec OA
- ······ NIRSpec FPA
- ····×··· FGS Bench
- ····×··· MIRI Bench
- --+-- ISIM Structure Max
- ------ ISIM Structure Average
- --+-- ISIM Structure Min

Total Time Reduction: 3 Days



Modified Warmup Profile



Total Time Reduction: 6.8 Days

- --×-- PMBSS Structure Max
- --×-- PMBSS Structure Min
- ——— SM
- ── FSM
- ---- Primary Mirrors Max
- ----- Primary Mirrors Average
- ---- Primary Mirrors Min
- ····• NIRCam Bench
- ····▲··· NIRSpec OA
- ······ NIRSpec FPA
- ····×···· FGS Bench
- ····* MIRI Bench
- --+-- ISIM Structure Max
- → ISIM Structure Average
- --+-- ISIM Structure Min



Modified Cooldown ΔTs as % to Constraint





Modified Warmup ΔTs as % to Constraint



Expansion of PMBSS gradient allowables removes need for short shroud holds to control PMBSS gradient: shroud can move at 1.5 K/hr when outside contamination bands

- ISIM Bench ΔT % to Constraint

- \rightarrow TM Substrate to Bench Δ T % to Constraint
- --×-- TM Bench to Bulkhead dT as % to Constraint
- \rightarrow FSM Substrate to Carrier Δ T % to Limit
- --×-- FSM Carrier to Base ΔT % to Limit
- Constraint
 - Max PM to SS Δ T as % to Constraint
- ---- Max PM to Whiffle ΔT as % to Constraint
- ······ Max PM to Delta Frame ΔT as % to Constraint
- → AOS-to-ASPA-Bridge △T % to Constraint

20



Summary and Conclusions

- with the spacecraft bus and sunshield
- and their impacts on test schedule:

Major Modification to Baseline

Expansion of PMBSS structural gradient constraint to a larger allowable ΔT via stress analysis

Relaxation of Helium shroud-tocoldest optical surface allowable ΔT constraints in water and molecular contamination bands

Other changes: Driving of Helium shroud to 310K at end-of-warmup, overdriving of GSE heater setpoints

Baseline times: 33.3 Days cooldown, 28.4 days warmup. Modified transition times after • optimization study: 30.3 days cooldown, 21.6 days warmup. Total time savings: 9.8 days

JWST OTIS CV Test is a workmanship test for the OTIS payload before its final integration

A modeling study was undertaken to optimize the OTIS payload cooldown and warmup transition times for this test. The following table summarizes the major modifications made

I ime Impact on	I ime Impact on
Cooldown	Warmup
Reduction of Helium	Removal of shroud
shroud cooldown time by	plateau time spent to
4 days, reduction of total	mitigate PMBSS gradient,
cooldown time by 3 days	savings of 0.6 days
	Reduction of time in 140K
	shroud plateau and water
the second se	contamination band by 1
	day, reduction of time in
	220K shroud plateau and
	water contamination band
	by 4 days
	Reduction of time spent
	at end-of-warmup by 1.2
	days



Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the following individuals who made invaluable contributions to the content and analysis presented here:

- Brian Comber, Genesis Engineering Solutions, Inc.
- Corporation
- George Harpole and John Pohner, Northrop Grumman Aerospace Systems
- Keith Havey, Jesse Huguet, and Clint Travis, Harris Corporation
- Angelique Davis, Edge Space Systems
- Sang Park, Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory
- Paul Cleveland, Energy Solutions Inc.

Randy Franck, Rusty Schweickart, and Denny Teusch, Ball Aerospace Technologies

