

Using Student Assessment Data to Support Decision-Making¹

The availability of student-level data for educators has pushed forward the movement to strengthen the role of data to guide instruction and improve student learning. While improvements in technology and assessments, as well as recent accountability trends, explain why more data are available in schools, the question of what to do with the data remains a notable concern for educators. Data provide a way to assess what students are learning and the extent to which students are making progress toward goals (state, local, and individual). Using data thoughtfully to ask questions and obtain insight about student progress is a logical way to monitor continuous improvement and target instruction to the needs of each student.

In general, assessments vary considerably in their reliability and level of detail, and no single assessment can tell educators all they need to know. However, when educators have multiple sources of valid and reliable student information, then the data they produce, and the means to utilize the information that the data can provide, enables educators to make changes to instruction aimed at improving student outcomes. These changes include but are not limited to:

- strategically using instructional time;
- targeting additional instruction for students who are struggling with particular content;
- identifying individual students' strengths and successful instructional interventions;
- gauging the effectiveness of classroom lessons;
- improving instructional methods; and
- examining schoolwide data to consider whether and how to adapt the curriculum based on information about students' strengths and challenges.

Below we identify and discuss five recommendations that State Education Agencies (SEAs) can implement to increase their use of data.

Include Data as a Central Feature of Ongoing Improvement

SEAs, Local Education Agencies (LEAs), schools, and educators should adopt a thoughtful process for using data to inform their decision-making and improve their ability to meet students' learning needs. The process of using data for improvement can be part of a cyclical system. This cycle includes: 1. collecting and preparing student data, 2. interpreting

¹ Information contained in this brief is based on: Hamilton, L., Halverson, R., Jackson, S. S., Mandinach, E., Supovitz, J. A., & Wayman, J. (2009). Using student achievement data to support instructional decision making (NCEE 2009-4067). Washington, DC: National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education. Retrieved from https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/Docs/PracticeGuide/dddm_pg_092909.pdf.

the data and creating theories about the student's performance and actions necessary to meet student needs, and 3. testing those theories by making changes. This cycle should repeat indefinitely to ensure there is continuous reflection and evaluation of any changes or improvements.

Implementation Steps:

- 1. Collect and prepare a variety of data about student learning
- 2. Interpret data and develop theories about how to improve student learning
- 3. Test theories to make changes and increase student learning

Examples:

- Each year, Washington, DC, publishes citywide and school-level Equity Reports with data on school demographics, student movement in and out of the school, attendance, discipline, graduation rates, and student achievement and growth as a complement to the state's report card. The annual release of these reports has helped spark several conversations about equity—particularly around the high rates of suspensions and expulsions of minority students—which led the Office of the State Superintendent of Education (OSSE) to issue recommendations on reducing inequities in these practices. Other states, including Kentucky, Nevada, Massachusetts, Ohio, and Tennessee, also include detailed equity information as a part of their public reporting. While acknowledging educational inequities can be difficult, these states are ensuring that they have the information they need to understand their state practices and challenges in order to make decisions that support all of their students.
- In 2012, the Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education focused its teacher quality efforts in part on creating a culture of continuous improvement in the state and Educator Preparation Programs (EPPs). Leaders did this by taking the following steps: 1. updating state approval standards to include a requirement that programs annually demonstrate continuous improvement to receive or maintain program approval, 2. updating Massachusetts's data collection process to ensure the information being collected is relevant to the EPPs, and 3. facilitating partnerships between EPPs and Harvard's Data Wise project to help build EPP capacity to use the new data for continuous improvement.

Empower Students to Analyze Their Own Data and Set Learning Goals

Students should be provided with explicit instruction on how to use their own achievement data regularly to monitor their performance and establish their own goals for learning. This can motivate students by providing information required to develop attainable learning goals, by revealing gains, and by empowering students with a sense of control over their own outcomes. When students understand their own learning goals and receive data in a usable format, they are best prepared to learn from their own data.

Implementation Steps:

- 1. Explain to students what they need to know and do and how they will be assessed
- 2. Provide timely, specific, comprehensible, and constructive feedback
- 3. Provide resources that help students learn from feedback
- 4. Use student analysis to inform educator change/adjustment (e.g. instruction)

Examples:

- The Genesee Community Charter School in Rochester, New York, <u>utilizes student input to evaluate student</u> performance.
- Resources from the International Society for Technology in Education, Education Week, and Mentorina all provide guidance for empowering students with their own data.

Develop a Strategic Vision for Data Use

To ensure that data-based decisions are made frequently, consistently, and appropriately, a strong culture of data use should be established. This culture of data use should emphasize collaboration across and within grade levels and subject areas to diagnose problems and refine practices. This is a complex process since several factors (e.g., planning, leadership, implementation, and attitude) affect the ultimate success in developing and maintaining a data culture. One necessary action is the development of a clear plan for schoolwide data use. Another is establishing a representative data team at each level (SEA, LEA, school) that focuses on shaping data activities, develops a written plan that is aligned with strategic goals, establishes a common language regarding data use and instruction, and promotes data use as one of the key responsibilities of an education professional.

Implementation Steps:

- 1. Establish data teams at the SEA, LEA, and school level that set the direction for ongoing data use
- 2. Define a shared vocabulary for critical concepts related to education and data use
- 3. Develop a written plan that articulates activities, roles, and responsibilities
- 4. Provide ongoing data leadership

Examples:

- Colorado and Idaho have updated their individual strategic plan to encompass increased data use
- Massachusetts has integrated data use throughout their strategic plan

Provide Supports That Encourage a Culture of Data Use

There are concrete changes that can be made to encourage data use. These changes need to ensure that educators have a thorough understanding of their roles in using data, and that they possess the knowledge and skills to use data appropriately. Investments in leadership, professional development, resources (including relevant technologies), specialized staff, and structured time for collaboration all contribute to these changes.

Implementation Steps:

- 1. Establish a school-based facilitator who meets with teacher teams to discuss data
- 2. Dedicate structured time for staff collaboration
- 3. Provide targeted and timely professional development regularly

State Examples:

Delaware puts actionable data about whether students are on track for college in the hands of teachers and school leaders. Administrators and teachers can access real-time data that show which students are on track for college as well as indicators of their progress in applying to school, including student completion rates for

college applications and the Free Application for Federal Student Aid. Teachers and counselors have the data they need to work with individual students as well as ensure that students have the resources they need to be college ready. Using actionable data to work with students across Delaware has for three consecutive years resulted in every graduating senior, who is highly qualified for college, applying to at least one postsecondary institution.

The <u>CORE Data Collaborative</u> focuses on school and student improvement through highly productive, meaningful partnerships between member school districts. Educators in the Collaborative can access a complete picture of school performance, including information that is not collected by or available through the state. School and district profiles include locally driven measures of growth in student academic performance, a middle school indicator noting students' high school readiness, chronic absenteeism rates, students' social-emotional skills, school climate measures and English learner reclassification rates, as well as state-driven measures of student test scores and graduation rates.

Develop and Maintain a Comprehensive Data System

SEAs, LEAs, and schools should develop and maintain high-quality data systems that enable all decision makers to access the necessary data in a timely fashion. A high-quality data system is comprehensive and integrated, linking unrelated forms of data for reporting and analysis to a range of audiences that include teachers, administrators, and students. All stakeholders should be included in determining which functions the system should provide. Districts and schools need to secure financial and human resources to develop safeguards that ensure data are timely, relevant, and useful to educators.

Implementation Steps:

- 1. Involve a variety of stakeholders in selecting a data system
- 2. Clearly articulate the requirements of the system relative to user needs
- 3. Determine whether to build or purchase the data system
- 4. Plan and stage the implementation of the data system

State Examples:

- Washington connects data across sectors to provide a fuller picture of the quality of its education system. Washington created the Education Research and Data Center (ERDC), an education data warehouse that links data from the state's early learning, K–12, postsecondary, and workforce sectors. At the heart of ERDC is a strong data governance body, codified in law, which includes agency leaders, district representatives, and data users. Together, this cross-sector group helps determine what data to collect, what research to conduct, and how to keep the information secure. ERDC enables research that helps state and local leaders better understand what is working and what needs to change to best support all students.
- Georgia is committed to giving those closest to students access to the data they need and also protecting student privacy. Georgia created a statewide longitudinal data system that provides district administrators, principals, teachers, and parents secure, role-based access to state and district education data in the same place. LEA officials can view and compare state and local performance over time to identify best practices, and teachers and parents can view their own students' progress in different subjects over time. In 2015, state lawmakers confirmed their support for data access and protection by passing one of the nation's most robust data privacy laws, which safeguards students' data without limiting the usefulness of information to improve student achievement.

Conclusion

The recommendations in this brief create a framework for using data effectively to make instructional decisions. This framework should include:

- a data system that incorporates data from various sources;
- a data team in schools to encourage the use and interpretation of data;
- collaborative discussion sessions among teachers about data use and student achievement; and
- instruction for students about how to use their own achievement data to set and monitor educational goals.

Finally, it is important to point out that effective data practices are interdependent among the classroom, school, and district levels. Coordination and collaboration are key components to success.

Resources

- This collection brings together resources to support the implementation and improvement of data use at all levels of the educational system, from state departments of education to classrooms.
- The National Center for Education Statistics created <u>this publication</u> to outline how states may create a data use strategy and identify next steps for further strategy development.
- A handful of national organizations produced a brief on teacher data literacy.
- The Data Quality Campaign created a brief on district actions to make data work for students.
- Achieve, Inc. produced <u>a publication</u> outlining the steps to create a longitudinal data system, and the Data Quality Campaign created a subsequent report that provides information on further utilizing such a system.
- A state <u>guide for building online school report cards</u> was produced by national organizations. There are <u>more</u> <u>resources</u> on this topic provided by Achieve.
- Future Learn has suggestions on how to display and communicate data in an effective manner.
- The Data Quality Campaign produced a <u>primer</u> for state policymakers on how to use data to improve teacher effectiveness.
- A roadmap for a teacher-student data link was developed by the Data Quality Campaign.
- Achieve created <u>this brief</u> on academic performance indicators that illuminate student readiness for college and career across the P-20 continuum as well as this <u>one-pager</u> that outlines who benefits from such data.



CSAI Update is produced by the The Center on Standards and Assessment Implementation (CSAI). CSAI, a collaboration between WestEd and CRESST, provides state education agencies (SEAs) and Regional Comprehensive Centers (RCCs) with research support, technical assistance, tools, and other resources to help inform decisions about standards, assessment, and accountability. Visit www.csai-online.org for more information.

This document was produced under prime award #S283B050022A between the U.S. Department of Education and WestEd. The findings and opinions expressed herein are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the positions or policies of the U.S. Department of Education.



WestEd is a nonpartisan, nonprofit research, development, and service agency that partners with education and other communities throughout the United States and abroad to promote excellence, achieve equity, and improve learning for children, youth, and adults. WestEd has more than a dozen offices nationwide, from Massachusetts, Vermont and Georgia, to Illinois, Arizona and California, with headquarters in San Francisco.

For more information, visit WestEd.org; call 415.565.3000 or, toll-free, (877) 4-WestEd; or write: WestEd / 730 Harrison Street / San Francisco, CA 94107-1242.