

All the best,


Jeremie

Jeremie Waterman
Executive Director, Greater China
Senior Policy Advisor, Asia
U.S. Chamber of Commerce
1615 H Street, NW
Washington, DC 20062
Email: (b) (6) uschamber.com
Tel: (202) (b) (6)
Fax: (202) 822-2491


From: Neil Turkewitz <(b)(6)@iaa.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 27, 2012 2:49 PM
To: McCoy, Stanford; Mehta, Probir J.; Whitlock, Joe; Alvarez, Kira
Cc: Schlesinger, Michael
Subject: TPP
Attachments: DMR 2012.pdf

Dear all:

(b)(3) & (b)(5)
(b)(3) & (b)(5)



(b)(3) & (b)(5)



Neil

From: Doug Nelson <(b) (6)@croplifeamerica.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 15, 2012 10:51 AM
To: Mehta, Probir J.
Subject: RE: TPP

Many thanks. Hope to see you at ITAC 15 next Wednesday.

Douglas T. Nelson
Executive Vice President, General Counsel, Secretary
CropLife America
1156 15th St. NW STE 400
Washington, DC 20005
202 (b) (6) office
202-296-1585 - main
202-463-0474 - fax
www.CropLifeAmerica.org

From: Mehta, Probir J. [mailto:Probir.Mehta@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Thursday, March 15, 2012 10:49 AM
To: Doug Nelson
Subject: RE: TPP

Cleared Advisor Communication

Hi Doug – we didn't get into agchem at this round. (b)(1) (b)(3) (b)(5)

Best,
Probir

From: Doug Nelson [mailto:(b) (6)@croplifeamerica.org]
Sent: Thursday, March 15, 2012 9:37 AM
To: Mehta, Probir J.
Subject: TPP

Probir, any progress on IP at Melbourne or anything I should be worry ing about?

Douglas T. Nelson
Executive Vice President, General Counsel, Secretary
CropLife America
1156 15th St. NW STE 400
Washington, DC 20005
202 (b) (6) office
202-296-1585 - main
202-463-0474 - fax
www.CropLifeAmerica.org

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended only for the individual named. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately. Please do not disseminate, distribute or take any action in reliance on the contents of this information.

All e-mails and attachments sent from this e-mail are subject to monitoring, recording and/or disclosure without notice. Any e-mail sent from this e-mail is for a limited time for the purpose of the specific communication. It is not intended to be a permanent record and may be subject to change without notice. Please do not disseminate, distribute or take any action in reliance on the contents of this information.

This material is the property of the U.S. Trade Representative and is loaned to you for your use only. It is not to be distributed, copied, or otherwise used for any purpose other than that for which it was loaned to you. All other rights are reserved. If you have any questions regarding this material, please contact the U.S. Trade Representative at the address below. This material is the property of the U.S. Trade Representative.

All reports and attachments sent and received are subject to automatic review and retrieval by Epsilon Systems and RISE. The recipient of this e-mail is solely responsible for the content of the message and for the "links" or other "objects" that may be included in the message. Epsilon Systems and RISE are not liable for any damage caused by any such content transmitted by or to companies that use our technology.

From: Garde, Tanuja (GE Aviation, US) <(b) (6)@ge.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 14, 2012 7:45 PM
To: McCoy, Stanford
Subject: Re: Cleared Advisor Communication - ITAC -15

No problem. Thanks. I will call you at X3580. Have a good night.

From: McCoy, Stanford [mailto:Stanford_McCoy@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, March 14, 2012 07:24 PM
To: Garde, Tanuja (GE Aviation, US)
Subject: RE: Cleared Advisor Communication - ITAC -15

Yes, that would be great. If you don't mind for the sake of efficiency I'd like to get Problr and our TPP lawyer, Joe, to join - is that OK?

From: Garde, Tanuja (GE Aviation, US) [mailto:(b) (6)@ge.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 14, 2012 7:00 PM
To: McCoy, Stanford
Subject: Re: Cleared Advisor Communication - ITAC -15

2 works. Should I call you?

From: McCoy, Stanford [mailto:Stanford_McCoy@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, March 14, 2012 06:44 PM
To: Garde, Tanuja (GE Aviation, US)
Subject: RE: Cleared Advisor Communication - ITAC -15

I have a meeting. 2 pm?

From: Garde, Tanuja (GE Aviation, US) [mailto:(b) (6)@ge.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 14, 2012 6:43 PM
To: McCoy, Stanford
Subject: Re: Cleared Advisor Communication - ITAC -15

Yes - does noon work for you?

From: McCoy, Stanford [mailto:Stanford_McCoy@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, March 14, 2012 06:38 PM
To: Garde, Tanuja (GE Aviation, US)
Subject: RE: Cleared Advisor Communication - ITAC -15

(b)(3), (b)(5) have time to discuss this tomorrow?

From: Garde, Tanuja (GE Aviation, US) [mailto:(b) (6)@ge.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 14, 2012 6:03 PM
To: McCoy, Stanford
Subject: Cleared Advisor Communication - ITAC -15

Cleared Advisor Communication – ITAC-15

Stan,

I am not sure I can make it next week to the ITAC meeting. I am trying to make it happen but right now there is a significant conflict.

I did want to touch base with you on (b)(3), (b)(5)
(b)(3), (b)(5)

If I can make it next week, I will let you know – would be great if we can grab breakfast with you.

Thanks,

Tanuja

From: Ives, Ralph <(b)(6)@AdvaMed.org>
Sent: Tuesday, February 21, 2012 12:36 PM
To: Ragland, Jared W.
Cc: Agress, Philip; Doherty, Julia
Subject: Medical Devices: Value

Greetings Jared,

If you have not already done so, please contact Anne Trimmer. She is wondering whether you want to meet, and her

(b)(1) (b)(3), (b)(5)

(b)(3), (b)(5)

(b)(3), (b)(5)

Thanks for working on this.

Regards,
Ralph

Ralph F. Ives
Executive Vice President
Global Strategy and Analysis
AdvaMed
701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20004
Direct: 202-(b)(6)
Fax: 202-783-8750
Email: (b)(6)@advamed.org

From: Ives, Ralph (b) (6) AdvaMed.org>
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2012 10:34 AM
To: Doherty, Julia
Cc: Ragland, Jared W.; Agress, Philip
Subject: RE: TPP

Great! Thank you

From: Doherty, Julia [mailto:Julia_Doherty@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2012 10:33 AM
To: Ives, Ralph
Cc: Ragland, Jared W.; Agress, Philip
Subject: RE: TPP

Hi Ralph –

Friday at 4 is good; would like to touch base with you on this stuff.

Julia

From: Ives, Ralph [mailto:(b) (6) AdvaMed.org]
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2012 10:17 AM
To: Doherty, Julia
Cc: Ragland, Jared W.; Agress, Philip
Subject: TPP

Hi Julia,

Jared and I arranged a meeting for this Friday at 4:00 to go over reimbursement provisions. If you're free, it would be good to touch base with you on the medical device sector annex; if not, perhaps we could arrange another time before the next round.

Thank you,
Ralph

Ralph F. Ives
Executive Vice President
Global Strategy and Analysis
AdvaMed
701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20004
Direct: 202-(b) (6)
Fax: 202-783-8750
Email: (b) (6) advamed.org

From: V.M. (Jim) DeLisi (b) (6) fanwoodchemical.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2012 4:55 PM
To: Bisbee, David F.
Subject: RE: telephone call

Thanks – I should be here all day, but tied up between 11:30 and 1:00.
Jim

From: Bisbee, David F. [mailto:David_Bisbee@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2012 4:46 PM
To: V.M. (Jim) DeLisi
Subject: RE: telephone call

Sounds like we need to talk a bit more. (b)(3) (b)(5) I'll try to give you a call tomorrow to follow up.

Thanks
David

From: V.M. (Jim) DeLisi [mailto:(b) (6) fanwoodchemical.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2012 10:48 AM
To: Bisbee, David F.
Subject: RE: telephone call

David, Thanks (b)(3), (b)(5)
(b)(3), (b)(5)

(b)(3), (b)(5)

Jim

From: Bisbee, David F. [mailto:David_Bisbee@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2012 10:37 AM
To: V.M. (Jim) DeLisi
Subject: RE: telephone call

(b)(3), (b)(5)
(b)(3), (b)(5)

From: V.M. (Jim) DeLisi [mailto:(b) (6)@fanwoodchemical.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2012 10:22 AM
To: Bisbee, David F.
Subject: RE: telephone call

(b)(3), (b)(5)

(b)(3), (b)(5)

Jim

From: Bisbee, David F. [mailto:David_Bisbee@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2012 10:08 AM
To: V.M. (Jim) DeLisi
Subject: RE: telephone call

Jim,
(b)(3), (b)(5)
(b)(3), (b)(5)

(b)(3), (b)(5)

Does this help?

From: V.M. (Jim) DeLisi [mailto:(b) (6)@fanwoodchemical.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 01, 2012 12:35 PM
To: Bisbee, David F.
Subject: telephone call

Hi David - I found the call this morning to be very helpful.
(b)(3), (b)(5)

(b)(3), (b)(5)

(b)(3), (b)(5)

(b)(3), (b)(5)

(b)(3), (b)(5)

(b)(3), (b)(5)

Please confirm.

Thanks!
Best regards,
V.M. (Jim) DeLisi
Fanwood Chemical, Inc.
908-**(b)(6)** telephone
908-**(b)(6)** cell
908-322-8494 - fax

From: (b) (6)@phrma.org
Sent: Thursday, January 05, 2012 12:15 PM
To: Bisbee, David F.; (b) (6)@phrma.org; McCoy, Stanford; Mehta, Probir J.
Subject: Re: ITAC letter on TPP expansion

Thanks David. Really appreciate it. Happy New Year.

JT
Jay Taylor
Vice President, International
PhRMA
202 (b) (6)

From: Bisbee, David F. [mailto:David_Bisbee@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Thursday, January 05, 2012 12:12 PM
To: Kjeldgaard, Richard; Taylor, Jay; McCoy, Stanford <Stanford_McCoy@ustr.eop.gov>; Mehta, Probir J. <Probir_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov>
Subject: RE: ITAC letter on TPP expansion

Jay,

(b)(3) (b)(5)
(b)(3) (b)(5)

From: (b) (6)@phrma.org [mailto:(b) (6)@phrma.org]
Sent: Thursday, January 05, 2012 12:09 PM
To: JTaylor@phrma.org; McCoy, Stanford; Mehta, Probir J.
Cc: Bisbee, David F.
Subject: RE: ITAC letter on TPP expansion

Thank you Jay. We could address this in our ITAC 15 meeting next week if necessary.

From: Taylor, Jay
Sent: Thursday, January 05, 2012 12:07 PM
To: 'stanford_mccoy@ustr.eop.gov'; 'probir_mehta@ustr.eop.gov'
Cc: 'David_Bisbee@ustr.eop.gov'; Kjeldgaard, Richard
Subject: FW: ITAC letter on TPP expansion

Stan and Probir –

(b)(3), (b)(5)

Hope you are well, and Happy New Year.

JT

From: Taylor, Jay
Sent: Thursday, January 05, 2012 11:59 AM
To: 'V.M. (Jim) DeLisi'; Adrian Krygsman; Cal Sutphin; Claude Burdick; Craig Kramer; Donald Ellison; Douglas Nelson; Edward Gibbs; Geoff Gamble; George Rolofson; Harry Vroomen; (b) (6) airmedbio.com; Jonathan Herzog; Lisa Phillip; Luis Arguello Jr.; Matthew McGrath; Ralph Ives; Richard Sedlak; Robert Branand; Shawn Brown; Ted May; Thomas Zieser; Tracey Norberg; Trevor Gunn; Vijay Goradia; Zoran Franicevich
Cc: John Meakem; Jason Bernstein; David_Bisbee@ustr.eop.gov
Subject: RE: ITAC letter on TPP expansion

(b)(3), (b)(5)

Appreciate it!

Jay

From: V.M. (Jim) DeLisi [[mailto:\(b\) \(6\)@fanwoodchemical.com](mailto:(b) (6)@fanwoodchemical.com)]
Sent: Thursday, January 05, 2012 11:47 AM
To: Adrian Krygsman; Cal Sutphin; Claude Burdick; Craig Kramer; Donald Ellison; Douglas Nelson; Edward Gibbs; Geoff Gamble; George Rolofson; Harry Vroomen; (b) (6) airmedbio.com; Jonathan Herzog; Taylor, Jay; Lisa Phillip; Luis Arguello Jr.; Matthew McGrath; Ralph Ives; Richard Sedlak; Robert Branand; Shawn Brown; Ted May; Thomas Zieser; Tracey Norberg; Trevor Gunn; V. M. (Jim) DeLisi; Vijay Goradia; Zoran Franicevich
Cc: John Meakem; Jason Bernstein; David_Bisbee@ustr.eop.gov
Subject: ITAC letter on TPP expansion

Dear All - Happy New Year!

(b)(3), (b)(5)

(b)(3), (b)(5)

I have purposely copied Jason and David on this email so that they would see the way I communicated our discussion.

(b)(3), (b)(5)

Please let me have your thoughts.


Best regards,
V.M. (Jim) DeLisi

Fanwood Chemical, Inc.
908-322-8440 - telephone
908-(b) (6) cell
908-322-8494 - fax


From: V.M. (Jim) DeLisi (b) (6) fanwoodchemical.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 04, 2012 10:22 AM
To: McCoy, Stanford
Cc: Bisbee, David F.; John Meakem
Subject: TPP

Hi Stan -- Happy New Year!

(b)(3), (b)(5)



(b)(3), (b)(5)



Thanks for your help!

Best regards,
V.M. (Jim) DeLisi
Fanwood Chemical, Inc.
908-322-8440 - telephone
908 (b) (6) cell
908-322-8494 - fax

(b) (6)
From: Ives, Ralph <(b) (6)@AdvaMed.org>
Sent: Saturday, November 19, 2011 7:12 PM
To: Cutler, Wendy; Weisel, Barbara
Subject: Re: Japan, Australia Agree To Resume FTA Talks Next Month

(b)(3), (b)(5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Cutler, Wendy [mailto:Wendy_Cutler@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Saturday, November 19, 2011 05:40 PM
To: Ives, Ralph; Weisel, Barbara <Barbara_Weisel@ustr.eop.gov>
Subject: Re: Japan, Australia Agree To Resume FTA Talks Next Month

(b)(3), (b)(5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Ives, Ralph [mailto:(b) (6)@AdvaMed.org]
Sent: Saturday, November 19, 2011 04:58 PM
To: Weisel, Barbara; Cutler, Wendy
Subject: RE: Japan, Australia Agree To Resume FTA Talks Next Month

(b)(3), (b)(5)

e restl

From: Weisel, Barbara [Barbara_Weisel@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Saturday, November 19, 2011 4:56 PM
To: Ives, Ralph; Cutler, Wendy
Subject: RE: Japan, Australia Agree To Resume FTA Talks Next Month

(b)(3), (b)(5)

-----Original Message-----

From: Ives, Ralph [mailto:(b) (6)@AdvaMed.org]
Sent: Saturday, November 19, 2011 4:55 PM
To: Cutler, Wendy; Weisel, Barbara
Subject: FW: Japan, Australia Agree To Resume FTA Talks Next Month

(b)(3), (b)(5)

From: Hiroki Takeda [(b) (6)@advamed-tokyo.jp]
Sent: Saturday, November 19, 2011 2:46 PM
To: Agress, Philip
Cc: Ives, Ralph
Subject: Japan, Australia Agree To Resume FTA Talks Next Month

Sunday, November 20, 2011

Japan, Australia Agree To Resume FTA Talks Next Month

NUSA DUA, Indonesia (Kyodo)--Japanese Prime Minister Yoshihiko Noda and his Australian counterpart Julia Gillard agreed Saturday to resume bilateral talks on a free trade agreement next month, Japanese officials said.

Japan and Australia were originally scheduled to hold such talks in April but they were postponed in the wake of the catastrophic March 11 earthquake and tsunami in northeastern Japan. They have been engaged in FTA negotiations since 2007.

The two leaders reached the agreement during talks on the sidelines of Association of Southeast Asian Nations -related summits on the Indonesian resort island of Bali.

Noda also informed Gillard about Japan's decision to enter into dialogue with concerned parties regarding the Trans-Pacific Partnership free trade talks, the officials said.

Gillard welcomed Japan's decision and said she hopes to see the TPP become a comprehensive and high-quality agreement, according to the officials.

Australia has been one of the five countries, together with the United States, Malaysia, Peru and Vietnam, with which negotiations to expand the TPP have been under way. The TPP is originally an agreement between Singapore, Brunei, Chile and New Zealand.

With an eye to deepening bilateral security cooperation, Noda and Gillard agreed to hold the next foreign and defense ministerial talks at an early date, the officials said.

Noda also welcomed a recent accord between the United States and Australia to deploy U.S. Marines to Australia's northern shore, the officials said.

The dispatch is regarded as deepening the U.S. military presence in the Asia-Pacific region amid China's growing maritime assertiveness, especially in South China Sea, which contains overlapping territorial interests between China and some ASEAN members.

From: Neil Turkewitz <(b) (6)@prina.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 17, 2011 6:42 AM
To: Beeman, Michael
Cc: McCoy, Stanford; Marantis, Demetrios J.
Subject: Japan

Thanks Michael.

----- Original Message -----

From: Beeman, Michael <Michael_Beeman@ustr.eop.gov>
To: Neil Turkewitz
Cc: McCoy, Stanford <Stanford_McCoy@ustr.eop.gov>; Marantis, Demetrios J. <Demetrios_Marantis@ustr.eop.gov>
Sent: Thu Nov 17 05:03:56 2011
Subject: Re: Japan

Hi Neil - (b)(3), (b)(5)
(b)(3), (b)(5)

Best regards,
Michael

(b) (6)
From: Neil Turkewitz [mailto:(b) (6)@prina.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 02:17 PM
To: Beeman, Michael
Cc: McCoy, Stanford; Marantis, Demetrios J.
Subject: Japan

Michael:

(b)(3) & (b)(5)

(b)(3), (b)(5)

Hope this helps. I'll try to reach you later.

Neil

----- Original Message -----

From: Beeman, Michael <Michael_Beeman@ustr.eop.gov>
To: Neil Turkewitz
Cc: McCoy, Stanford <Stanford_McCoy@ustr.eop.gov>; Marantis, Demetrios J. <Demetrios_Marantis@ustr.eop.gov>
Sent: Thu Nov 10 12:20:20 2011
Subject: RE: Japan

Neil - If you would like to talk, we can do so by phone (my number is 202 -395-9573) or in person on Monday, if you are available. I leave Tuesday morning.

Best regards,

Michael

Michael L. Beeman

Deputy Assistant US Trade Representative for Japan

Office of the US Trade Representative

Executive Office of the President

Tel: (202) 395-9573

E-mail: mbeeman@ustr.eop.gov

From: Marantis, Demetrios J.
Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 11:47 AM
To: '(b) (6)@iaa.com'
Cc: McCoy, Stanford; Beeman, Michael
Subject: Re: Japan

Hi Neil! I am at apec now and won't be back in dc until post -thanksgiving. Michael Beeman and I are heading to japan together. I am going from here, and Michael is going from DC. Do you 2 want to hook up before he leaves?

Hope you are well!

Best,

D.

Demetrios J. Marantis
Deputy U.S. Trade Representative

From: Neil Turkewitz [mailto:[\(b\) \(6\)@iaa.com](mailto:(b) (6)@iaa.com)]
Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 02:12 AM
To: Marantis, Demetrios J.
Cc: McCoy, Stanford
Subject: Japan

Greetings from Tokyo. I understand that you are going to be out here soon. Can we talk for 15 minutes before you leave ? I'll be in the office on Monday. (b)(3), (b)(5)
(b)(3), (b)(5)

USTR-IPW 00319

(b)(3), (b)(5)

Neil

From: Ives, Ralph <(b) (6) AdvaMed.org>
Sent: Saturday, November 12, 2011 7:35 AM
To: Weisel, Barbara; Cutler, Wendy
Subject: Fw: Japan's Participation In TPP Talks Alarms China

"Surprised"?! How could anybody be surprised?

----- Original Message ----- (b) (6)
From: Hiroki Takeda [mailto:(b) (6) advamed-tokyo.jp]
Sent: Saturday, November 12, 2011 12:33 AM
To: Agress, Philip
Cc: Ives, Ralph
Subject: Japan's Participation In TPP Talks Alarms China

Saturday, November 12, 2011

Japan's Participation In TPP Talks Alarms China

BEIJING (Nikkei)--China is alarmed by Japan's decision to join Trans-Pacific Partnership negotiations, since Beijing's hoped-for free trade agreement encompassing the Association of Southeast Asian Nations plus China, Japan, and South Korea will be put on the back burner.

"Japanese participation in TPP talks will be a negative for East Asian economic cooperation," Li Xiangyang, director of the Institute of Asia-Pacific Studies at the Chinese Academy of Social Sciences, told The Nikkei.

Li, who is deeply involved in China's trade policymaking, also warned of likely delays to any trilateral free trade agreement. There were hopes that talks among Japan, China and South Korea would begin next year.

Originally, China sought an economic alliance based on the ASEAN+3 framework -- which doesn't include the U.S. Japan, which had feared China's increased influence in such a setup, had pushed for an ASEAN+6 framework, bringing India, Australia and New Zealand into the mix.

The Chinese view Japan's decision on the TPP as aligning Tokyo with the U.S. camp, according to Li. "There's virtually no chance of China joining the TPP in the foreseeable future," he said.

South Korea, which is expected to ratify a free trade pact with the U.S., was "surprised" by Japan's move, said a senior government official. Because of Japanese reluctance to open its agricultural and fisheries market during past negotiations for a bilateral economic partnership, the official doubts that Japan "can overcome domestic opposition and truly participate."

South Korea is negotiating or has concluded FTAs with all TPP nations except Japan. Seoul sees little real need to rush into the pact. But it will monitor the talks' progress, since the TPP will effectively be the axis of a free trade zone in the Asia Pacific region if Japan does join.

India, meanwhile, will not participate in the TPP, Commerce Minister Anand Sharma told The Nikkei. It is instead seeking a broad economic zone that includes India along with ASEAN+3 and other countries, he said.

Welcoming Japan to the negotiating table were nations already engaged in the talks.

Singapore has nothing to lose with Japan's participation, since it has already eliminated import tariffs.

Australia's Trade Minister Craig Emerson said Friday that Japan's commitment "to such an ambitious project would be an extremely positive development."


And Vietnam believes its seafood and apparel exports will grow if Japan does join the pact. Hanoi is apparently counting on Japan to align with Vietnam against the U.S. at the TPP negotiating table.

(The Nikkei Nov. 12 morning edition)

From: Ives, Ralph <(b)(6) AdvaMed.org>
Sent: Friday, November 04, 2011 9:20 AM
To: Weisel, Barbara; Cutler, Wendy
Subject: Some Diet Members on TPP

Just a few observations before drifting off...(things you probably know)

(b)(3), (b)(5)



(b)(3), (b)(5)

(b)(3), (b)(5)

Well, that's all I can remember now that the Ambian is hitting...

From: Ives, Ralph <(b) (6) AdvaMed.org>
Sent: Thursday, November 03, 2011 9:41 PM
To: Weisel, Barbara
Subject: RE: Japan to make decision on TPP next week

And, I thought you had an impossible task before....!

From: Weisel, Barbara [Barbara_Weisel@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Thursday, November 03, 2011 6:42 PM
To: Ives, Ralph
Subject: RE: Japan to make decision on TPP next week

If I were a betting person, I'd put my fortune on this one.

-----Original Message----- (b) (6)
From: Ives, Ralph [mailto:(b) (6) AdvaMed.org]
Sent: Thursday, November 03, 2011 5:55 PM
To: Cutler, Wendy; Weisel, Barbara
Subject: FW: Japan to make decision on TPP next week

I'm in Tokyo. You probably have better info than I, but the betting here is strongly in favor of Japan joining.

From: Hiroki Takeda (b) (6) [mailto:(b) (6) dva med-tokyo.jp]
Sent: Thursday, November 03, 2011 9:10 AM
To: Agress, Philip
Cc: Ives, Ralph
Subject: Japan to make decision on TPP next week

Phil,

Decision to join the PTT talks or not has become a highly divisive issue, which potentially may split DPJ.

Best regards,

Mori

Japan to make decision on TPP next week

Nov. 03, 2011 - 03:44PM JST (9)

TOKYO -

The Japanese government will make a decision on whether to join the Trans -Pacific Partnership free trade talks by next week.

Both Chief Cabinet Secretary Osamu Fujimura and Trade Minister Yukio Edano told separate news conferences that Japan has been quite late in making a decision but will do so by the Nov 12 -13 Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) meeting in Honolulu.

Fujimura also said that even if Japan signals its willingness to take part in the talks, the framework for the multilateral talks is not likely to be decided until well into next year, Jiji Press reported.

The TPP is a multilateral free trade agreement that aims to further liberalize the economies of the Asia-Pacific region. The original agreement between the countries of Brunei, Chile, New Zealand and Singapore was signed on June 3, 2005, and entered into force on May 28, 2006. Five additional countries - Australia, Malaysia, Peru, United States, and Vietnam - are negotiating to join the group.

On the last day of the 2010 APEC summit, Nov 14, leaders of the nine negotiating countries endorsed the proposal advanced by U.S. President Barack Obama that set a target for settlement of negotiations by the next APEC summit in November 2011.

However, with the APEC summit in sight, Fujimura told a news conference, "Negotiations are likely to take a year. It's not going to happen next week. So we have five Diet sessions dedicated to discussion of our participation in the TPP."

The issue has become a highly divisive (sic) one in Japan.

Japanese farmers are vehemently opposed to joining out of fear that food imports would ruin them. The Central Union of Agricultural Cooperatives, backed by 161 ruling party and opposition lawmakers, have denounced the TPP, accusing the government of abandoning the agricultural sector and ignoring their opinions.

Meanwhile, supporters of Japan's participation in the TPP said delaying the decision to join the talks will widen the gap between Japan and its competitors in the global market.

Japan Today

From: Ives, Ralph (b) (6) AdvaMed.org>
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2011 5:14 PM
To: Weisel, Barbara; Cutler, Wendy
Subject: FW: EDITORIAL: Dispel Myths About TPP For Proper Debate

-----Original Message-----
From: Hiroki Takeda [mailto:(b) (6) dvamed-tokyo.jp]
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2011 5:07 PM
To: Agress, Phillip
Cc: Ives, Ralph
Subject: EDITORIAL: Dispel Myths About TPP For Proper Debate

Phil,

Here is a better description of "TPP ghost."

Seiji Maehara, policy chief of the ruling Democratic Party of Japan, has described unfounded worries about the talks as the "TPP ghost." Shizuka Kamei, head of the People's New Party, the DPJ's junior coalition partner, has said what is monstrous is that only the government knows what the group is about.

Best regards,

Mori

Tuesday, October 25, 2011

EDITORIAL: Dispel Myths About TPP For Proper Debate

TOKYO (Nikkei)--A noisy debate is raging over whether Japan should sign on to Trans-Pacific Partnership. Unfortunately, ignorance about the content of the negotiations is creating a lot of unjustified opposition to the proposed free trade pact.

It will be impossible to have an informed discussion on such an important issue if worries about the agreement are colored by false information. The government has been in touch with the countries taking part in the TPP talks and must have a good grasp of how the negotiations are going.

To end misunderstandings about the TPP, the government should disclose all the information it has obtained thus far, and explain the talks to the public in detail. Failure to do so will give momentum to opponents of the agreement, some of whom are acting to protect their own vested interests.

Phantom fears

Seiji Maehara, policy chief of the ruling Democratic Party of Japan, has described unfounded worries about the talks as the "TPP ghost." Shizuka Kamei, head of the People's New Party, the DPJ's junior coalition partner, has said what is monstrous is that only the government knows what the group is about.

Bickering among the politicians that fails to clarify points of contention will only serve to intensify public misgivings. The government must therefore provide concrete answers to people who have doubts and fears about the TPP.

Some fear that joining the TPP may destroy Japan's universal healthcare system by, for instance, lifting the ban on medical treatment that is partly covered by the national healthcare program and partly paid at patients' own expense. That idea has drawn opposition from doctors and other medical professionals, but a "mixed treatment" healthcare system is not on the TPP agenda.

Others are concerned that safety standards for genetically modified farm products, food additives and pesticides might be lowered. But the talks will not touch on those matters because under World Trade Organization rules, members can devise their own health and safety rules, so long as they are based on scientific evidence.

Still others argue that joining the TPP could threaten domestic employment, causing an inflow of foreign workers, including doctors, lawyers and other experts. But neither are labor market issues up for discussion. And whatever the outcome of the TPP debate, discussions on domestic regulatory reform should continue.

The notion that the agreement will completely scrap tariffs on all traded goods and services, including farm products, as soon as the TPP takes effect is also false. Tariffs are to be lowered gradually over a long period, say, 10 years, to give domestic producers time to adjust.

A common theme raised by opponents of the TPP talks is that pressure from the U.S., which is spearheading the negotiations, may harm Japan's national interest. To dispel such misgivings, the government must explain to the public what it is hearing from the parties to the talks, particularly the U.S.

(The Nikkei Oct. 25 morning edition)

From: Ives, Ralph (b) (6) AdvaMed.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 18, 2011 8:08 AM
To: Weisel, Barbara; Cutler, Wendy
Subject: FW: Maehara, Kamei at odds over whether Japan should join TPP

So, Barbara is creating a "monster!" Halloween should have been your target date for completion...maybe 2012.

-----Original Message-----
From: Hiroki Takeda [mailto:(b) (6)@advamed-tokyo.jp]
Sent: Tuesday, October 18, 2011 5:19 AM
To: Agress, Philip
Cc: Ives, Ralph
Subject: Maehara, Kamei at odds over whether Japan should join TPP

Phil,

A news report below in the Japan Today is a bit difficult to swallow. The third paragraph says:

Maehara kicked off the sparring match by showing his opposition to the agreement in controversial terms. Maehara said on a TV program over the weekend that he is against the TPP partnership and called the free trade agreement "a monster."

I have looked up other media on the TV program but no other reports substantiated the third paragraph.

Best regards,

Mori

Oct. 18, 2011 - 06:53AM JST (4)

Maehara, Kamei at odds over whether Japan should join TPP

TOKYO —

DPJ policy affairs chief Seiji Maehara and Shizuka Kamei, who heads the People's New Party, the DPJ's coalition partner, have clashed over whether Japan should join the U.S.-led Trans-Pacific Partnership free trade talks.

The ruling party has started intensive discussions in an attempt to make a decision by the time Prime Minister Yoshihiko Noda attends the Nov 12-13 Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation, or APEC, meeting in Honolulu. Tokyo had put off a decision in the aftermath of the March 11 earthquake and tsunami.

Maehara kicked off the sparring match by showing his opposition to the agreement in controversial terms. Maehara said on a TV program over the weekend that he is against the TPP partnership and called the free trade agreement "a monster."

Kamei responded on TV Asahi, criticizing Maehara for the comment, which he deemed inconsiderate and brash.

One media analyst said in reference to Maehara's statement that the DPJ will lose its supporters with "that type of babble."

Noda has already said that he is in favor of Japan joining the TPP, calling it an engine that will spur Japan's economic growth.

Opponents of the TPP in both the ruling and opposition parties say that it would pose a threat to Japan's heavily protected agricultural sector.

Japan Today

From: Ives, Ralph <(b) (6) AdvaMed.org>
Sent: Sunday, October 16, 2011 4:34 AM
To: Cutler, Wendy; Weisel, Barbara
Subject: Fw: Japanese businesses fear impact of U.S-South Korea FTA

Ah, now I see those tricky Japanese are going to join the PTT talks as a counterweight to the TPP negotiations!

----- Original Message ----- (b) (6)
From: Hiroki Takeda [mailto:(b) (6) advamed-tokyo.jp]
Sent: Saturday, October 15, 2011 06:39 AM
To: Agress, Philip
Cc: Ives, Ralph
Subject: Japanese businesses fear Impact of U.S -South Korea FTA

Phil,

Here is a news article in The Asahi on the impact of U.S -South Korea FTA.
Further delay in Japan's joining the PTT talks should not be allowed, I think.

Best regards,

Mori

Japanese businesses fear impact of U.S -South Korea FTA

BY NAODYUKI FUKUDA STAFF WRITER

2011/10/15 The Asahi Shimbun

A sense of panic is spreading among Japanese companies after the U.S. Congress passed a bill on a free trade agreement with South Korea.

The passage of the bill on Oct. 12 smooths the way for the FTA to take effect in January, which both nations are aiming for. It also strengthens South Korea's boast that it is the hub of free trade in Asia.

Seoul has been very proactive in striking FTAs with other countries and territories, while the Japanese government has been sluggish on this front, despite repeated calls from Japanese businesses for an acceleration of FTA negotiations with other countries that will allow them to keep pace with their South Korean rivals.

"We must further strengthen our economic relationships (with other countries) to bring in more foreign currency," said Hiromasa Yonekura, chairman of Keidanren (Japanese Business Association), Japan's largest business group.

The U.S.-South Korea FTA is of huge concern to Japan's auto industry, which has felt the impact of the intensified competition from South Korean carmakers in the global market.

According to the Japan Automobile Manufacturers Association (JAMA), the auto market in countries that have approved FTAs or economic partnership agreements with South Korea is 35.1 million units, nearly seven times the 5.7 million units in overseas markets that have signed such agreements with Japan.

Japanese automakers have increased their overseas production in recent years, but their exports still account for a large portion of their output. About 30 percent of their vehicles are exported to the United States.

"We want the government to allow us to compete with South Korea under the same conditions," Toshiyuki Shiga, chairman of the JAMA, said.

But Tokyo has yet to even announce its participation in negotiations with the United States and other nations on building the framework of the Trans-Pacific Partnership free trade agreement.

Given the situation, Toyota Motor Corp. will relocate production from Japan to the United States of its flagship Camry medium-size sedan for sales in South Korea, taking advantage of the expected reduction in export costs under the U.S.-South Korea FTA.

The U.S.-South Korea FTA is not expected to seriously affect major Japanese electronics manufacturers, many of which have taken measures to cope with the strong yen and increased production overseas.

Panasonic Corp. and Sharp Corp. assemble TVs in Mexico, a country with which Japan and the United States have established an FTA. Their moves signify that FTAs carry more weight now in choosing sites for investments.

The countries and territories with which Japan has clinched FTAs and EPAs account for only 18.2 percent of the country's total trade value, or about half of the 35.3 percent for South Korea after the U.S.-South FTA takes effect.

A report on the TPP released last year by the Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry treated South Korea as a clear rival.

The report described a worst-case scenario if Japan does not join the TPP and fails to reach FTAs with the European Union or China while South Korea establishes FTAs with the United States, the EU and China. The report said Japan's real gross domestic product would drop 10.5 trillion yen (\$136 billion) and 812,000 people would lose their jobs.

METI views the U.S.-South FTA as a step closer to this worst-case scenario. The ministry says Japan's participation in the TPP holds the key to catching up with South Korea in building free trade networks.

If Japan joins the TPP, the trade proportion figures for Japan will reach the same level as those of South Korea, according to the ministry.

The government is expected to soon make a decision on participating in negotiations on the TPP, which was delayed after the March 11 Great East Japan Earthquake. However, Prime Minister Yoshihiko Noda faces a huge challenge from a group within the ruling Democratic Party of Japan, which collected more than 190 lawmaker signatures from inside and outside of the party opposing the TPP.

The opponents say that joining the TPP would devastate Japan's agriculture industry.

South Korea has not outlined any plans to participate in the TPP, but opposition would be limited given the country's FTA with the United States.

"It would be unbearable if South Korea became a TPP member ahead of Japan and was in a position to determine whether Japan is allowed to join the partnership," a METI official said.

From: Ives, Ralph <(b) (6) AdvaMed.org>
Sent: Friday, October 14, 2011 6:26 AM
To: Cutler, Wendy; Weisel, Barbara
Subject: Fw: DPJ Holds 1st Meeting Of TPP Project Team, Discussion Heated

----- Original Message ----- (b) (6)
From: Hiroki Takeda [mailto:(b) (6) dvamed-tokyo.jp]
Sent: Friday, October 14, 2011 06:06 AM
To: Agress, Philip
Cc: Ives, Ralph
Subject: DPJ Holds 1st Meeting Of TPP Project Team, Discussion Heated

Phil,

At last discussions within DPJ started. "The JMA has voiced concern over Japan's participation in the TPP talks, with an executive member saying the deal could lead to the entry of foreign medical services into Japan and encourage a profit-oriented economy in the domestic medical sector."

Best regards,

Mori

Friday, October 14, 2011

DPJ Holds 1st Meeting Of TPP Project Team, Discussion Heated

TOKYO (Kyodo)--The ruling Democratic Party of Japan on Friday held the first plenary meeting of its project team tasked with considering whether Japan should join negotiations for the U.S.-backed Trans-Pacific Partnership free trade framework, with participating lawmakers engaging in heated discussions.

At the outset of the meeting, Yoshio Hachiro, the head of the project team and a former trade minister, said, "I would be delighted if we can reach a certain consensus on the TPP through aggressive discussions here."

Prime Minister Yoshihiko Noda has said Japan will decide on whether to join the trans-Pacific free trade negotiations at an early date, as the nine countries involved in the talks aim to reach agreement on a broad outline of the deal at the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation forum summit in Honolulu in mid-November.

But members of both the Cabinet and the ruling party remain at odds over the issue.

At the meeting of the project team, some participants, including Masahiko Yamada, a former farm minister who does not want Japan to join the TPP talks, complained that the team's board consists mainly of lawmakers supportive of participation.

Opponents of the TPP also called for the team's meetings to be fully open to the press in order to ensure discussions are transparent to the public.

Friday's meeting was only partially opened to the press.

During the first half of the meeting when reporters were allowed to observe, Yamada, who heads a political group calling for "cautious" consideration of the TPP, said, "There are too many problems in the TPP with regard to sectors such as services, medical care and drugs."

"I will never understand why we have to rush so much (to decide on the matter)," Yamada said.

There is strong opposition among Japanese farmers who fear an influx of cheap agricultural imports if Japan joins the free trade pact involving major farm exporters such as the United States and Australia. In principle, the TPP would eliminate all tariffs on imports.

The Japan Medical Association has also voiced concern over the country's participation in the TPP talks, with an executive member saying the deal could lead to the entry of foreign medical services into Japan and encourage a profit-oriented economy in the domestic medical sector.

Meanwhile, TPP advocates say if Japan fails to decide on joining the negotiations soon, it could lose an important opportunity to take part in the rule-making process for the free trade framework.

Hachiro said, "From a broad perspective, I think Japan would benefit greatly from taking a leadership role in the rule-making process in the Asia-Pacific region."

The DPJ's TPP project team is scheduled to hold its second and third meetings on Monday.

From: Ives, Ralph (b) (6) <AdvaMed.org>
Sent: Monday, October 10, 2011 7:12 AM
To: Weisel, Barbara; Cutler, Wendy
Subject: Fw: PM indicates intention to join TPP talks / Aiming to announce at Nov. forum

Good luck!

----- Original Message ----- (b) (6)
From: Hiroki Takeda [mailto:(b) (6)@advamed-tokyo.jp]
Sent: Monday, October 10, 2011 07:10 AM
To: Agress, Philip
Cc: Ives, Ralph
Subject: PM indicates intention to join TPP talks / Aiming to announce at Nov. forum

Phil,

Today PM Noda visited an incorporated large -scale (in Japanese sense) farm in Gunma Prefecture.

Best regards,

Mori

PM indicates intention to join TPP talks / Aiming to announce at Nov. forum

The Yomiuri Shimbun, October 10, 2011

Prime Minister Yoshihiko Noda has instructed concerned ministries and agencies to begin preparing to join negotiations for the Trans-Pacific Partnership trade agreement, indicating his intention to announce Japan's participation when he attends a November summit meeting of the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation forum in Hawaii, government sources said.

The United States and eight other APEC countries are holding talks to reach a basic agreement about the TPP. Noda apparently judged it necessary for Japan to join in the process of compiling rules for the TPP, the sources said.

Agricultural and some other organizations oppose joining the TPP, out of concern that lowering tariffs under the free trade pact could bring a flood of imported products into the domestic market.

Within the ruling parties, lawmakers with ties to farming industries have formed a league and are collecting signatures of TPP opponents.

In the government, Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Minister Michihiko Kano has also opposed joining the TPP talks.

Attention will be focused on how the prime minister will persuade opponents. Azuma Koshiishi, secretary general of the Democratic Party of Japan, said Saturday on a TV Tokyo program, "After discussions [within the DPJ], I want the prime minister to be able to send a firm message on Japan's behalf at the APEC meeting."

Koshiishi expressed his intention to coordinate opinions within the DPJ by the APEC meeting, which will be held Nov. 12 and 13.

Noda planned to visit farming households in Gunma Prefecture on Monday, to express his intention to implement measures to improve productivity and thereby strengthen the nation's agriculture.

Noda is considering actions similar to those after Japan liberalized rice imports in the 1993 Uruguay Round trade agreement. At that time, the government implemented measures worth about 6 trillion yen to support agriculture.

Among the measures the government is considering are large-scale fiscal expenditures.

Noda aides have also suggested telling opponents that Japan can leave the TPP negotiations if it decides at some point there would be a negative impact on the nation.

At a summit meeting in September with U.S. President Barack Obama, Noda told Obama Japan would decide as soon as possible whether to join the TPP negotiations.

Noda's leadership to be tested

The lawmakers' league opposing the TPP, led by former Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Minister Masahiko Yamada, had collected signatures from 180 Diet members as of Saturday.

Yamada said most of the signatories are DPJ members, and he will submit the list to the government when the number exceeds 200.

The league plans to organize a large rally soon to urge opposition to joining the TPP.

"We'll fight with firm determination," a senior member of the league said. The member also emphasized the group's intention not to be persuaded by pro-TPP lawmakers.

Former DPJ President Ichiro Ozawa is said to be cautious about Japan joining the TPP.

A Diet member in the Ozawa group said, "Economic partnerships comprising a limited number of countries will divide the world economy into blocs and may cause confrontations among blocs, like those around the time of World War II."

The government wishes to draw on demand in other Asian markets to fuel Japan's economic growth, and believes joining the TPP would aid in that purpose.

DPJ Policy Research Committee Chairman Seiji Maehara and former Secretary General Katsuya Okada are pro-TPP.

Noda will have the DPJ's project team on economic partnership start working this week to reach an intraparty conclusion about the TPP talks before the APEC summit meeting. The team is chaired by former Economy, Trade and Industry Minister Yoshio Hachiro.

But the team will likely comprise both pro- and anti-TPP members, such as Okada and Yamada. Thus, bridging the opinion gaps will be difficult and the debates will be a race with time.

To persuade anti-TPP party members, some in the government are considering large-scale fiscal spending to revitalize agriculture. However, some DPJ members have voiced doubt whether the government can find the funding for such measures.

From: Ives, Ralph (b) (6) AdvaMed.org>
Sent: Sunday, October 09, 2011 5:57 AM
To: Weisel, Barbara
Subject: Re: 9 Nations Give Up Concluding TPP Talks By APEC Summit

My thought too...

----- Original Message -----

From: Weisel, Barbara [mailto:Barbara.Weisel@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Saturday, October 08, 2011 08:59 PM
To: Ives, Ralph
Subject: Re: 9 Nations Give Up Concluding TPP Talks By APEC Summit

Huh?

----- Original Message -----

From: Ives, Ralph [mailto:(b) (6) AdvaMed.org]
Sent: Saturday, October 08, 2011 10:23 AM
To: Weisel, Barbara; Cutler, Wendy
Subject: Fw: 9 Nations Give Up Concluding TPP Talks By APEC Summit

More misinformation...

----- Original Message -----

From: Hiroki Takeda [mailto:(b) (6) advamed-tokyo.jp]
Sent: Saturday, October 08, 2011 08:22 AM
To: Agress, Philip
Cc: Ives, Ralph
Subject: 9 Nations Give Up Concluding TPP Talks By APEC Summit

Phil,

This news report says: "The move apparently reflects the conflicting opinions among the respective parties on how to break down trade barriers, and gives Japan more time to consider its position on joining the talks, after having fallen behind in the face of domestic opposition, particularly from the agriculture sector."

Best regards,

Mori

Saturday, October 8, 2011

9 Nations Give Up Concluding TPP Talks By APEC Summit

SINGAPORE (Kyodo)--Nine nations participating in Trans-Pacific Partnership free trade talks have given up on concluding the negotiations in time for the November APEC summit in Hawaii, several trade sources said Saturday.

Their working committee is planning to meet in Malaysia in December to continue the talks, according to the sources.

The nine include Australia, Singapore, Peru, the United States and Vietnam.

The move apparently reflects the conflicting opinions among the respective parties on how to break down trade barriers, and gives Japan more time to consider its position on joining the talks, after having fallen behind in the face of domestic opposition, particularly from the agriculture sector.

While the U.S. government had shown willingness to conclude the talks by the November summit of the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation forum, some observers said it would be difficult to make substantial progress in the talks until the U.S. presidential election is over next year.

From: Ives, Ralph <(b) (6) AdvaMed.org>
Sent: Friday, October 07, 2011 8:41 AM
To: Weisel, Barbara; Cutler, Wendy
Subject: FW: Industry versus agriculture in Japan's TPP debate

-----Original Message----- (b) (6)
From: Hiroki Takeda [mailto:(b) (6) advamed -tokyo.jp]
Sent: Friday, October 07, 2011 3:35 AM
To: Agress, Phillip
Cc: Ives, Ralph
Subject: Fw: Industry versus agriculture in Japan's TPP debate

Phil,

This article says: "Japan needs strong political leadership to create the domestic conditions for joining the TPP."
Unfortunately I see nobody with the qualification.

Best regards,
Mori

East Asia Forum, July 27th, 2011

>
> Industry versus agriculture in Japan's TPP debate

> Author: Aurella G Mulgan, UNSW Canberra

>
> The Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) issue is clarifying the lines of
> division between Japanese industrial and agricultural interests in a
> way not seen before. The Great Eastern Earthquake is serving to
> solidify these lines even further because both sides are using the
> disaster to argue for and against trade liberalisation respectively.
>
> In mid-April, the Japan Business Federation (Nippon Keidanren)
> released its 'Proposals for Japan's Trade Strategy'. The comprehensive
> document called for Japan to 'pursue a proactive and strategic trade
> policy', including a proactive approach to concluding the WTO Doha
> Round this year and promoting 'the conclusion of EPAs with the United
> States, China, and the EU ... through the frameworks of the TPP, ASEAN+6, and Japan -EU EIA'.
>
> The document links these trade policy proposals directly to the
> situation in post-disaster Japan, asserting that for companies
> involved in rebuilding the national economy and industrial
> infrastructure it is important to 'seek sources for growth overseas
> from their bases in Japan and to develop global business operations'.
> For these reasons 'the WTO and TPP remain important policy issues'. At
> Keidanren's recent summer forum in Tokyo, many business leaders

- > expressed concern about the lack of progress in negotiations towards Japan joining the TPP.
- >
- > Japanese business representatives argue that the earlier Japan joins
- > then the more influence it will have over setting TPP rules. This is
- > particularly important regarding concerns about the US trying to shape
- > the TPP trade rules in its own interests.
- >
- > In early May the National Central Union of Agricultural Cooperatives
- > (JA-Zenchu), the agricultural sector's peak lobby group,
- > counterpunched with its own set of proposals for the rehabilitation of
- > agriculture based on the lessons learnt from the earthquake. It
- > requested the government examine and implement agricultural policy measures focussed on revival.
- >
- > The Kan administration was scheduled to decide whether to participate
- > in the TPP negotiations in parallel with an Agricultural Reform Basic
- > Policy in June, and formulate an action plan by October. But, because
- > of the earthquake, the government's discussions about agricultural
- > reform halted and the decision on whether to participate in the TPP has been postponed.
- > Its May 'Policy Promotion Guidelines — Towards Japan's Regeneration'
- > said only that the timing of a decision would be considered in a
- > comprehensive manner, but it remains unclear when the decision will be
- > made.
- >
- > In June, the political arm of the agricultural cooperatives, Zenkoku
- > Noseiren, called for an immediate halt to TPP discussions, reasoning
- > that 'because the damaged areas are regions where agriculture,
- > forestry and fishing are the main industries ... consideration of
- > participating in TPP negotiations will become a burden on this
- > revival'. Zenkoku Noseiren also shot down one of the Kan
- > administration's principal arguments in favour of agricultural trade
- > liberalisation — that it would facilitate Japanese agricultural
- > exports — highlighting that, because of Fukushima, a number of
- > countries have banned food imports from Japan due to nuclear contamination risk.
- >
- > In early July, the Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (METI)
- > released a White Paper on International Economy and Trade. It echoed
- > the arguments advanced in the Keidanren proposals, asserting that FTAs
- > with other countries were important for Japan's economic recovery. As
- > an illustration, it noted that 'if various costs are reduced by free
- > trade agreements ... It would give a boost to [companies'] production
- > activities'. But on the TPP issue the White Paper was surprisingly
- > tentative, saying only that it 'might be desirable' for Japan to join.
- > Here, METI's vague wording may reflect a decline in political
- > influence following Fukushima, and an unwillingness to broach the sensitive issue of joining the TPP when farmers in
- > north-eastern Japan are still recovering.
- >
- > So where to from here? The short answer is 'nowhere'. Despite METI and
- > Keidanren drawing the obvious link between boosting Japan's trade
- > prospects and economic revival, Kan has no political capital to expend
- > on the TPP issue. At present, trade policymaking is a holding
- > operation, as is the administration itself. This is despite recent
- > approaches to the Mercosur agreement and comments in April about Japan

- > conducting a joint study with China and South Korea on a trilateral FTA.
- >
- > The political uncertainties facing the Kan government make dealing
- > with the TPP issue impossible. As the PM himself has been such a key
- > driving force behind the government's proactive trade policy, its fate
- > is tied up with his, which looks bleak. Kan's government is set to end in August.
- > The trade policy of the next administration is an unknown quantity. If
- > it were led by current MAFF minister Kano Michihiko, who is shaping up
- > as the candidate of the Ozawa group, then any further agricultural
- > trade liberalisation could be ruled out.
- >
- > Japan needs strong political leadership to create the domestic
- > conditions for joining the TPP. This, in turn, needs to be buttressed
- > by institutional reforms that prevent the pro-liberalisation METI and
- > the pro-protection Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries
- > cancelling each other out. If trade policy reform could be dealt with
- > by a policy command centre such as the National Strategy Office, then
- > progress might be made. As argued elsewhere, trade liberalisation
- > would also be assisted by having a separate government body with the
- > power to negotiate trade agreements and to override the line ministries, as in South Korea.
- >
- > Aurelia George Mulgan is Professor of Politics at the University of
- > New South Wales, Australian Defence Force Academy, Canberra.
- >

From: Mehta, Probir J.
Sent: Tuesday, February 12, 2013 10:40 AM
To: 'Doug Nelson'
Cc: Lee, Daniel E.
Subject: RE: URGENT

Thanks, Doug

From: Doug Nelson [mailto:(b) (6)@croplifeamerica.org]
Sent: Tuesday, February 12, 2013 8:01 AM
To: Mehta, Probir J.
Subject: Fwd: URGENT

Probir, some more information on south America

Douglas T. Nelson
Sr. Advisor for Trade, Intellectual Property & Strategic Issues
CropLife America
1156 15th St. NW Suite 400
Washington, DC 20005
202-(b) (6) office
202-296-1585 - main
202-355-1417 - fax
www.CropLifeAmerica.org

Begin forwarded message:

From: Javier Fernandez <(b) (6)@croplifea.org>
Date: February 8, 2013 3:42:02 PM EST
To: Samantha Terraforte <(b) (6)@croplifeamerica.org>
Cc: Doug Nelson <(b) (6)@croplifeamerica.org>


(b) (4)



Javier

De: Samantha Terraforte [mailto:(b) (6)@croplifeamerica.org]
Enviado el: miércoles, 06 de febrero de 2013 03:12 p.m.
Para: Ali Mohamed Ali ((b) (6)@croplifeafrica.org); Ann Brightling ((b) (6)@croplifeasia.org); Bernhard
Johnen; Carlos Buzio; Dominic Muyldermans ((b) (6)@croplife.org); Doug Nelson; Euros
Jones ((b) (6)@cpa.eu); Graeme Peters ((b) (6)@agcarm.co.nz); Janis Rodmanis
((b) (6)@ayer.com); Javier Fernandez; Jean Costello ((b) (6)@syngenta.com); Kazuhiko
Nishioka ((b) (6)@sumitomo-chem.co.jp); Les Hillowitz ((b) (6)@croplifeafrica.org); Martin Dust
((b) (6)@isf.com); Martyn Griffiths ((b) (6)@war.com); Matthew Cossey
((b) (6)@croplifeaustralia.org.au); Michael Carroll ((b) (6)@dow.com); Mike Frank
((b) (6)@monsanto.com); Pradip Mazumdar ((b) (6)@mail.com); Robby Schreiber
((b) (6)@cpa.eu); Robert Hunter ((b) (6)@croplife.org); Rudolf Guyer
((b) (6)@croplifeafrica.org); Ruth Davis ((b) (6)@duPont.com); Ruth McKenna
((b) (6)@a.dupont.com); Slang-Hee Ian ((b) (6)@croplifeasia.org); Susanne Maise;
Viviane Franca ((b) (6)@sumitomo-chem.com.br); William Graham ((b) (6)@monsanto.com);
Lawrence Hepworth ((b) (6)@croplife.ca)
CC: Rachel Lattimore
Asunto: TPP: URGENT

All
(b)(1) (b)(3) (b)(4) (b)(5)



If you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact myself or Doug Nelson.

Thank you,
Samantha

Samantha Terraforte
Legal Administrative Assistant
CropLife America
1156 15th Street NW, Suite 400
Washington, DC 20005
Office (202) (b) (6) Fax (202) 355-1410
(b) (6)@croplifeamerica.org

This e-mail message may contain protected or confidential information and is intended to be received only by persons authorized to receive the information. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately. The sender, if not all attachments, from any sources, has disclosed or any other means. Other use of this e-mail for your or other's benefit is prohibited.

of credit and all other assets are managed by Credit Administration. The management of the assets of the company is for the benefit of the creditors of the company and not for the benefit of the company. Credit Administration is not liable for any loss or damage caused by the company or its subsidiaries.

The company is not liable for any loss or damage caused by the company or its subsidiaries. The company is not liable for any loss or damage caused by the company or its subsidiaries. The company is not liable for any loss or damage caused by the company or its subsidiaries.

Credit Administration is not liable for any loss or damage caused by the company or its subsidiaries. The management of the assets of the company is for the benefit of the creditors of the company and not for the benefit of the company. Credit Administration is not liable for any loss or damage caused by the company or its subsidiaries.

From: Ives, Ralph (b) (6) AdvaMed.org>
Sent: Friday, October 07, 2011 8:40 AM
To: Weisel, Barbara; Cutler, Wendy
Subject: FW: EDITORIAL: It's time to put fears about TPP to rest

More scary stuff..

-----Original Message-----

From: Hiroki Takeda [mailto:(b) (6) dvamed -tokyo.jp]
Sent: Friday, October 07, 2011 3:59 AM
To: Agress, Philip
Cc: Ives, Ralph
Subject: EDITORIAL: It's time to put fears about TPP to rest

2011/10/07 The Asahi Shimbun

EDITORIAL: It's time to put fears about TPP to rest

One important trade policy question facing the government is whether Japan should participate in talks on a Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) agreement.

Nine Pacific Rim countries, including the United States and Australia, are currently engaged in negotiations with an eye to striking a deal on an outline of the agreement in November.

This target date sets a timeframe for Japan to decide whether to join the TPP talks.

We have been calling on the government to join the talks. The TPP accord has the potential to become the foundation for free flows of goods and services in the fast-growing Asia-Pacific region.

Japan has an obvious interest in helping to develop the TPP rules if it wants to avoid being at a disadvantage in regional trade.

It should at least join the negotiations. If it then concludes the trade pact doesn't serve its interest, it can simply decide not to sign it.

The government had said it would wait until June to announce whether it will join the talks. But the decision was put off due to March 11 Great East Japan Earthquake.

In the meantime, a broad array of concerns about the TPP has been raised by various people and businesses in Japan.

To put the process of developing Japan's policy for the trade talks on track, the government needs to explain the current state of negotiations so

that cool-headed, fact-based debate on the issue can be held.

The main obstacle to Tokyo's participation in the TPP negotiations lies in the agricultural sector, where many products are protected by high tariffs from international competition in the domestic market. Rice is the most notable example.

One of the TPP's basic principles calls for trade liberalization without an exception. In the actual negotiations, however, the participating countries are seeking to exempt certain items to ease opposition to free trade in the products from related industries.

The United States, which is leading the talks, has had some farm products, including sugar, exempted from its bilateral free trade agreement with Australia. Washington has also made sure that the process of phasing out the tariffs on many other products will be stretched over a period longer than the 10-year limit imposed by the principles for trade talks.

The United States has adopted a similar strategy for the TPP negotiations.

Japanese farmers and others in the agricultural sector have voiced concern that participation in the TPP could lead to the immediate scrapping of tariffs on all products. But that fear is unfounded.

Japan should adopt a strategic approach to deal with the challenge. The government should act swiftly to put together a package of policy measures to bolster the international competitiveness of Japanese agriculture, including steps to promote larger-scale farming. It also should seek through the negotiations to secure the time and terms that allow it to implement such measures.

But concerns over the trade pact are not limited to the abolition of tariffs.

Some TPP critics warn that the agreement would lead to massive inflows of unskilled foreign workers, while others predict that Japan would be forced to fundamentally revamp its public health care system.

Opponents also argue that the pact would undermine Japan's efforts for environmental protection while forcing the nation to import food products from countries that don't adopt food safety standards that are as strict as those in Japan.

These opponents are raising issues of so-called social regulation, or government regulation that addresses specific social problems such as pollution and product safety. These issues should be discussed from viewpoints other than the revitalization of the economy, which is the principal justification for most arguments for deregulation.

Based on information they have collected, Japanese trade officials claim that many of the concerns that have been raised in relation to the TPP are not founded in the actual topics at the negotiation table.

To allay unnecessary anxiety about the TPP, the government should offer detailed explanations about how the talks are going and its own thoughts about the trade agreement.

With the yen stuck at historically high levels against other major currencies, there is mounting concern about the hollowing out of Japanese industries.

Besides the elimination of tariffs, the TPP talks are also addressing many issues that are important from the viewpoint of promoting Japanese exports, such as the simplification of trade procedures.

Policymakers should explore ways to overcome the differences in domestic opinion over the TPP, which are simplified as conflict between agriculture and manufacturing. They need to figure out a formula for using the TPP as a means to re-energize Japanese industry. That is a key trade policy mission for the administration of Prime Minister Yoshihiko Noda.

--The Asahi Shimbun, Oct. 5

From: Ives, Ralph <(b) (6)@AdvaMed.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2011 7:49 AM
To: Weisel, Barbara; Cutler, Wendy
Subject: FW: TPP project team in DPJ

fyi

-----Original Message----- (b) (6)
From: Hiroki Takeda [mailto:(b) (6)@advamed-tokyo.jp]
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2011 7:42 AM
To: Agress, Philip
Cc: Ives, Ralph
Subject: Fw: TPP project team in DPJ

Phil,
(b) (4)

Best regards,
Mori

> ----- Original Message ----- (b) (6)
> From: "Hiroki Takeda" <(b) (6)@advamed-tokyo.jp>
> To: "Agress, Philip" <(b) (6)@AdvaMed.org>
> Cc: "Ives, Ralph" <(b) (6)@AdvaMed.org>
> Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 5:53 PM
> Subject: TPP project team in DPJ

>
>

>> Phil,
>> (b) (4)

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>

>> Best regards,
>> Mori

>

From: Mehta, Probir J.
Sent: Wednesday, February 06, 2013 3:20 PM
To: Garde, Tanuja (GE Aviation, US) (b) (6) e.com)
Cc: McCoy, Stanford
Subject: Soft Copy -- trade secret matrix
Attachments: Trade Secret Law in TPP Countries (Updated 1.30.13).docx

CLEARED ADVISOR COMMUNICATION

Tanuja-

Great seeing you today. As discussed.

Best,
Probir

From: Ives, Ralph (b) (6) AdvaMed.org>
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 3:07 PM
To: Cutler, Wendy; Weisel, Barbara
Subject: FW: Tug of war over TPP will intensify in divided DPJ

-----Original Message----- (b) (6)
From: Hiroki Takeda [mailto:(b) (6)@advamed-tokyo.jp]
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 3:06 PM
To: Agress, Philip
Cc: Ives, Ralph
Subject: Tug of war over TPP will intensify in divided DPJ

Phil,

A project team is mentioned in this news article.
"Following Noda's promise, Seiji Maehara, chief of the Policy Research Committee of the ruling Democratic Party of Japan, announced on Sept. 22 the formation of a project team to weigh in on the controversial issue."

The article also says: "While Maehara and Yukio Edano, economy minister, are known to be proponents of the TPP, Michihiko Kano, who has been reluctant to support the free trade initiative, was retained as agriculture minister in Noda's Cabinet."

Best regards,

Mori

September 23, 2011
Tug of war over TPP will intensify in divided DPJ By MAYUMI MORI / Staff Writer The Asahi Shimbun Although Japan promised the U.S. it will decide as soon as possible on joining the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) free trade initiative, it is unclear when a decision will be reached due to fierce opposition within the ruling party.

Prime Minister Yoshihiko Noda pledged to President Barack Obama in their meeting in New York on Sept. 21 that Japan will "reach a conclusion (on the matter) at an earliest possible date."

While Obama welcomed the news that Japan has started discussions on participating in the TPP, Noda did not offer any time frame for a decision.

Following Noda's promise, Seiji Maehara, chief of the Policy Research Committee of the ruling Democratic Party of Japan, announced on Sept. 22 the formation of a project team to weigh in on the controversial issue.

The DPJ hopes to decide Japan's position by November, when nine countries intending to join the TPP --Singapore, Brunei, Chile, New Zealand, the United States, Australia, Peru, Vietnam and Malaysia --aim to reach an agreement on its framework.

But DPJ legislators expressing reservations or outright dissent to the free trade framework have swiftly stepped up their opposition to Japan's participation.

Unlike a free trade agreement and economic partnership agreement, all tariffs would be scrapped in principle under the TPP.

That means that Japanese rice growers, operating on a smaller scale, would be put at a serious disadvantage in price competitiveness, compared with their foreign rivals if Japan joined the TPP.

Japanese rice farmers are now protected by a 778 percent tariff imposed on imported rice.

But Diet members are concerned about more than just rice and other agricultural produce as likely fallout of the free-trade initiative.

Masahiko Yamada, a former agriculture minister who chairs a suprapartisan group of Diet members who are advocating a cautious approach to the TPP, and Masako Okawara, a group member, met with DPJ Secretary-General Azuma Koshiishi on Sept. 22 to submit a request asking to proceed with caution.

"Since the TPP would have serious implications on the lives of the public, including in health care, employment and safety standards, we need to take the time to hold a nationwide discussion," read the request.

In response, Koshiishi replied, "(The participation in the TPP) is a grave issue and its ramifications would not be limited to the agriculture sector.

I will tell Noda that he should handle the issue carefully."

Referring to Noda's meeting with Obama, Koichi Yoshida, chairman of the Lower House Committee on Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, said, "It is premature. Japan should not move quickly over the matter."

An Upper House member who is familiar with agricultural policies criticized the prime minister for pushing the discussion on the TPP.

Noda's predecessor, Naoto Kan, set Japan's participation as a key policy agenda for the international community.

Japan was initially expected to decide if it will join the talks to participate in the free-trade initiative by June.

The discussion, however, has been delayed following opposition within the DPJ and by the March 11 Great East Japan Earthquake and tsunami crisis.

Although Noda is set to decide at an early date, his administration has yet to reach a consensus on the contentious issue.

While Maehara and Yukio Edano, economy minister, are known to be proponents of the TPP, Michihiko Kano, who has been reluctant to support the free trade initiative, was retained as agriculture minister in Noda's Cabinet.

Kano reiterated a note of caution about the TPP in a news conference on Sept. 20.

"We need to obtain various information to discuss the issue," he said. "At this stage, it is hard to set the deadline for reaching a conclusion."

Nearly half of the DPJ members in the Diet are believed to be cautious about or opposed to Japan's participation in the TPP.

Many of them are close to Ichiro Ozawa, a former DPJ president and kingpin, and Yukio Hatoyama, Kan's predecessor.

Some DPJ members appear reluctant to point a critical finger at Noda following his efforts to bring solidarity to the party through Cabinet and party leadership appointments. The party had been in disarray due to infighting.

But the opposition is expected to grow toward November as discussion on the free trade agreement heats up.

Koshiishi, who plays a key role in bringing the party together, told his colleagues that it is "premature" to proceed with the discussion.

By MAYUMI MORI / Staff Writer

From: Ives, Ralph (b) (6) AdvaMed.org>
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 7:59 AM
To: Weisel, Barbara; Cutler, Wendy
Subject: FW: TPP project team in DPJ

-----Original Message----- (b) (6)
From: Hiroki Takeda [mailto:(b) (6)@advamed-tokyo.jp]
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 4:53 AM
To: Agress, Phillip
Cc: Ives, Ralph
Subject: TPP project team in DPJ

Phil,
(b) (4)



Best regards,
Mori

From: Ives, Ralph (b) (6) AdvaMed.org >
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 8:00 AM
To: Cutler, Wendy; Weisel, Barbara
Subject: FW: U.S. encourages Japan to join Pacific trade pact

-----Original Message----- (b) (6)
From: Hiroki Takeda [mailto:(b) (6)@advamed-tokyo.jp]
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 10:32 PM
To: Agress, Philip
Cc: Ives, Ralph
Subject: U.S. encourages Japan to Join Pacific trade pact

Phil,

As I reported yesterday the Japanese Medical Association (JMA) is against Japan's joining TPP.

Best regards,

Mori

Sep. 30, 2011 - 06:45AM JST

U.S. encourages Japan to join Pacific trade pact

WASHINGTON -

The United States said Thursday it would welcome Japan's participation in a future trans-Pacific trade agreement as it voiced frustration at a lack of new initiatives tying together the two allies.

Japan is debating whether to join the Trans-Pacific Partnership, a trade pact being negotiated by nine nations including the United States, but the government faces strong opposition from farmers.

Kurt Campbell, the U.S. assistant secretary of state for East Asia, said that Japan and the United States needed to find new areas for cooperation and that the trade agreement was a "possible venue."

"We need to have a conversation - a more straight-forward conversation - with friends like Japan about how we can find areas that we can work together"

on, Campbell told a conference of the Pacific Economic Cooperation Council.

The United States has been alarmed by a lack of momentum in its alliance with Japan, which has had a new prime minister each year since 2006, although many Japanese welcomed the rapid US response to its tsunami and nuclear crisis.

"I'm struck that sometimes when we meet we have huge challenges that we deal with, like how to respond to the nuclear challenge," Campbell said.

"We need to find areas-positive, outward, engaged initiatives-where the United States and Japan can chart a new course, not just strategically but economically," Campbell said.

The United States and eight other countries -Australia, Brunei, Chile, Malaysia, New Zealand, Peru, Singapore and Vietnam-hope to announce a framework on the trade deal at an Asia-Pacific summit in November in Hawaii.

President Barack Obama's administration has promoted the Trans-Pacific Partnership as a new type of deal that ensures labor rights and environmental standards, although globalization critics complain of a lack of detail.

Japan's Central Union of Agricultural Cooperatives has campaigned vigorously against participation, saying the deal would reduce food security in a country where farmers -especially of rice-enjoy generous government support.

(c) 2011 AFP

From: Ives, Ralph <(b) (6)@AdvaMed.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 8:57 AM
To: Cutler, Wendy; Weisel, Barbara
Subject: FW: JMA against TPP

-----Original Message-----
From: Hiroki Takeda [mailto:(b) (6)@advamed-tokyo.jp]
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2011 8:08 AM
To: Agress, Philip
Cc: Ives, Ralph
Subject: JMA against TPP

(b) (4)



Best regards,
Mori


From: Gunn, Trevor, Dr. <(b) (6)@medtronic.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 21, 2011 7:46 AM
To: Ragland, Jared W.

Jared

Good to have seen you briefly in SF. Who at USTR would deal w this part of TPP negotiations ...

++++

(b)(3), (b)(5)



[CONFIDENTIALITY AND PRIVACY NOTICE]

Information transmitted by this email is proprietary to Medtronic and is intended for use only by the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain information that is private, privileged, confidential or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or it appears that this mail has been forwarded to you without proper authority, you are notified that any use or dissemination of this information in any manner is strictly prohibited. In such cases, please delete this mail from your records.

To view this notice in other languages you can either select the following link or manually copy and paste the link into the address bar of a web browser: <http://emaildisclaimer.medtronic.com>

From: Garde, Tanuja (GE Aviation, US) <(b) (6)@ge.com>
Sent: Friday, September 16, 2011 3:04 PM
To: McCoy, Stanford
Subject: RE: patent reform bill

(b)(3), (b)(5)

(b)(3), (b)(5)

From: McCoy, Stanford [mailto:Stanford_McCoy@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Thursday, September 15, 2011 11:50 AM
To: Garde, Tanuja (GE Aviation, US)
Subject: RE: patent reform bill

(b)(3), (b)(5)

From: Garde, Tanuja (GE Aviation, US) [mailto:(b) (6)@ge.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 15, 2011 11:39 AM
To: McCoy, Stanford
Subject: RE: patent reform bill

Thanks Stan. I know Carl is not planning to be there - I don't think Jeff will be there either. I can see if Karan is going but don't think so because it is a domestic matter. I will see if someone in the DC office will be there and let you know.

(b)(3), (b)(5)

Thanks,

Tanuja

From: McCoy, Stanford [mailto:Stanford_McCoy@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Thursday, September 15, 2011 11:35 AM
To: Bhatia, Karan (GE Corporate); Garde, Tanuja (GE Aviation, US)
Subject: patent reform bill

Karan, Tanuja, hope you're well. In case your CEO will be at the patent reform bill signing, I wanted to let you know that NZ Trade Minister Tim Groser is planning to attend. (b)(3) (b)(5)

(b)(3) (b)(5)

From: Kushan, Jeffrey P. <(b) (6)@sidley.com>
Sent: Monday, September 12, 2011 3:27 PM
To: McCoy, Stanford
Subject: RE: TPP document

Thanks Stan. I just landed in lax.

Jeffrey P. Kushan
1501 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
202 (b) (6) direct
202 (b) (6) 8000 (main)
(b) (6)@sidley.com

-----Original Message-----
From: McCoy, Stanford [Stanford_McCoy@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Monday, September 12, 2011 11:41 AM Central Standard Time
To: Kushan, Jeffrey P.
Subject: TPP document

Jeff: Attached is the document we discussed. I would be grateful if you would treat this as embargoed until we release, which should be in about an hour (maybe less). I'll send you the release to confirm.

Stan

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To comply with certain U.S. Treasury regulations, we inform you that, unless expressly stated otherwise, any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication, including attachments, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding any penalties that may be imposed on such taxpayer by the Internal Revenue Service. In addition, if any such tax advice is used or referred to by other parties in promoting, marketing or recommending any partnership or other entity, investment plan or arrangement, then (i) the advice should be construed as written in connection with the promotion or marketing by others of the transaction(s) or matter(s) addressed in this communication and (ii) the taxpayer should seek advice based on the taxpayer's particular circumstances from an independent tax advisor.

This e-mail is sent by a law firm and may contain information that is privileged or confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete the e-mail and any attachments and notify us immediately.

From: (b) (6) phrma.org
Sent: Friday, August 05, 2011 10:11 AM
To: Marantis, Demetrios J.
Cc: McCoy, Stanford; Mehta, Probir J.; Weisel, Barbara
Subject: RE: Hi and check-in on pharmaceutical issues/CEO call to Amb Kirk

Demetrios -

Safe travels and thanks. I'll have John Castellani's assistant reach out to Ambassador Kirk's office to find a good time next week.

Best,

Jay

From: Marantis, Demetrios J. [mailto:Demetrios_Marantis@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Friday, August 05, 2011 10:01 AM
To: Taylor, Jay
Cc: McCoy, Stanford; Mehta, Probir J.; Weisel, Barbara
Subject: Re: Hi and check-in on pharmaceutical issues/CEO call to Amb Kirk

Hi Jay. I'm on my way to asia. I am cc-ing stan and probir who would be happy to chat. Kirk's availability next week will be limited but we can probably set something up.

Hope you are doing well.

D.

Demetrios J. Marantis
Deputy U.S. Trade Representative

From: (b) (6) phrma.org <(b) (6) phrma.org>
To: Marantis, Demetrios J.
Sent: Fri Aug 05 09:36:07 2011
Subject: Hi and check-in on pharmaceutical issues/CEO call to Amb Kirk

Demetrios -

It's been a while -- I hope that this note finds you well. I know that it has been a busy summer. Recognizing that there has been some recent momentum on certain pharmaceutical issues in the TPP context, I was hoping to have my CEO call Ambassador Kirk to run through a few issues in the next few days. If you're able to talk ahead of time or if there is anything you can do to facilitate the conversation it would be greatly appreciated.

Hope you are able to get out of town during these dog days, and look forward to seeing you soon.

Best,

Jay

Jay Taylor

Vice President, International Affairs
PhRMA
950 F Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005
202 (b) (6)
202-715-7040 (f)
(b) (6) phrma.org

From: Ives, Ralph <(b) (6)@AdvaMed.org>
Sent: Friday, August 05, 2011 4:28 AM
To: Weisel, Barbara
Cc: Ragland, Jared W.
Subject: Re: TPP letter to Kirk

Barbara,

No worries. Of course, I understand.

(b)(3) & (b)(5)

(b)(3) & (b)(5)

Thank you!

Safe travels,
Ralph

From: Weisel, Barbara [mailto:Barbara.Weisel@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Thursday, August 04, 2011 11:16 PM
To: Ives, Ralph
Cc: Ragland, Jared W. <Jared.Ragland@ustr.eop.gov>
Subject: RE: TPP letter to Kirk

Ralph,

Sorry for the delay in getting back to you. I'm leaving for Asia in the morning and have been on the Hill almost non-stop over the past couple of weeks.

(b)(3) & (b)(5)

Happy to chat when I get back in a week.

Best,
Barbara

From: Ives, Ralph [mailto:(b) (6)@AdvaMed.org]
Sent: Monday, August 01, 2011 5:31 PM
To: Weisel, Barbara
Subject: TPP letter to Kirk

Hi Barbara,

(b)(3) & (b)(5)

(b)(3) & (b)(5)

(b)(3) & (b)(5)


Sincerely,

Ralph F. Ives
Executive Vice President
Global Strategy and Analysis
AdvaMed
701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20004
Direct: 202 (b)(6)
Fax: 202-783-8750
Email: (b)(6)@advamed.org

From: Ives, Ralph <(b) (6) AdvaMed.org>
Sent: Monday, August 01, 2011 5:31 PM
To: Weisel, Barbara
Subject: TPP letter to Kirk

Hi Barbara,

(b)(3) & (b)(5)



(b)(3) & (b)(5)

Sincerely,

Ralph F. Ives
Executive Vice President
Global Strategy and Analysis
AdvaMed
701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 800

Washington, DC 20004
Direct: 202-(b) (6)
Fax: 202-783-8750
Email: (b) (6) [advamed.org](mailto:(b) (6)@advamed.org)

From: Taylor, Jay <(b) (6)@phrma.org>
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2011 6:15 PM
To: Mehta, Probir J.
Cc: McCoy, Stanford; Ragland, Jared W.; Whitlock, Joe
Subject: (b)(3) & (b)(5)

Thanks Probir. Will take a look. Have a great weekend.

Jay
Jay Taylor
Vice President, International
PhRMA
202 (b) (6)

From: Mehta, Probir J.
To: Taylor, Jay
Cc: McCoy, Stanford ; Ragland, Jared W. ; Whitlock, Joe
Sent: Fri Jun 17 13:03:41 2011
Subject: (b)(3) & (b)(5)
Cleared Advisor Communication

Jay –

(b)(3) & (b)(5) ing to you in electronic copy, for your convenience.

Best,
Probir

Probir J. Mehta
Deputy Assistant U.S. Trade Representative
for Intellectual Property & Innovation
Executive Office of the President
600 17th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20508
(tel) (202) 395-9441
probir_mehta@ustr.eop.gov

From: Taylor, Jay <(b) (6)@phrma.org>
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2011 6:09 PM
To: Ragland, Jared W.
Subject: Re: Google Alert - "TPP"

Pocket dial sorry.
Jay Taylor
Vice President, International
PhRMA
202 (b) (6)

From: Taylor, Jay
To: 'Jared_Ragland@ustr.eop.gov'
Sent: Fri Jun 17 18:03:58 2011
Subject: Re: Google Alert - "TPP"

Z
Jay Taylor
Vice President, International
PhRMA
202 (b) (6)

From: Ragland, Jared W.
To: Taylor, Jay
Sent: Thu Jun 16 10:15:46 2011
Subject: RE: Google Alert - "TPP"
Thanks Jay, including for the heads up. Not good.

Jared.

Jared W. Ragland, Ph.D.
Director, Office of Intellectual Property and Innovation
Office of the United States Trade Representative
Executive Office of the President
(202) 395-9453-Phone
(202) 395-3891-Fax
(202) 345-6379-Mobile
Jared_Ragland@ustr.eop.gov

From: Taylor, Jay [[mailto:\(b\) \(6\)@phrma.org](mailto:(b) (6)@phrma.org)].
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 9:47 AM
To: Ragland, Jared W.
Subject: FW: Google Alert - "TPP"

Jared - hope you are well. Was surprised to see this this am -- not sure if you have seen. Wasn't the text limited to cleared advisors?

(b)(3) & (b)(5)

Take care,

Jay

News

1 new result for "TPP"

Shape of US TPP Pharmaceuticals Chapter Emerges

International IP and the Public Interest

Sources knowledgeable about the US negotiating position in the TPP confirm that the US has now drafted a pharmaceutical chapter for the TPP negotiations. The chapter is modeled on the Korea-US (KORUS) FTA's pharmaceutical chapter, as expected, ...

[See all stories on this topic »](#)

Tip: Use a plus sign (+) to match a term in your query exactly as is. [Learn more.](#)

[Remove](#) this alert.

[Create](#) another alert.

[Manage](#) your alerts.

From: Gunn, Trevor, Dr. <(b) (6)@hedtronic.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 9:20 PM
To: Ragland, Jared W.; Weiss, Jeff
Subject: FW: Use this version - TPP TBT Chapter RevisionsRev

FYI

Not sure what Jeff shared with Ralph, but I share these with you both.

SPIT

From: Ives, Ralph [mailto:(b) (6)@AdvaMed.org]
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 20:54
To: Gunn, Trevor, Dr.
Subject: Re: Use this version - TPP TBT Chapter RevisionsRev


Trevor,

We are dealing with 2 separate related sections. I already commented on Jared's section. I gave Jeff my comments, but his section has not been posted. (b)(3) (b)(5) But, feel free to send in your views.

Thanks,
Ralph

From: Gunn, Trevor, Dr. [mailto:(b) (6)@hedtronic.com]
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 08:46 PM

(b)(1)(b)(3) & (b)(5)



Many thanks, Trevor.

From: Ives, Ralph [mailto:(b) (6) AdvaMed.org]
Sent: Monday, June 13, 2011 09:54
To: Gunn, Trevor, Dr.
Subject: Use this version - TPP TBT Chapter RevisionsRev

[CONFIDENTIALITY AND PRIVACY NOTICE] Information transmitted by this email is proprietary to Medtronic and is intended for use only by the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain information that is private, privileged, confidential or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or it appears that this mail has been forwarded to you without proper authority, you are notified that any use or dissemination of this information in any manner is strictly prohibited. In such cases, please delete this mail from your records. To view this notice in other languages you can either select the following link or manually copy and paste the link into the address bar of a web browser:
<http://emaildisclaimer.medtronic.com>

From: Ives, Ralph <(b) (6) AdvaMed.org>
Sent: Tuesday, June 14, 2011 9:48 PM
To: Cutler, Wendy; Weisel, Barbara
Subject: Re: U.S. unhappy with Japan's delayed decision on free trade talks

Easier to negotiate in the press than at the table...

----- Original Message -----
From: Cutler, Wendy [mailto:Wendy.Cutler@ustr.eop.gov] (b) (6)
Sent: Tuesday, June 14, 2011 09:29 PM
To: Ives, Ralph; Weisel, Barbara <Barbara.Weisel@ustr.eop.gov>
Subject: Re: U.S. unhappy with Japan's delayed decision on free trade talks

Would be happier if they didn't use us to make their points in the press

----- Original Message -----
From: Ives, Ralph <(b) (6) AdvaMed.org>
To: Weisel, Barbara; Cutler, Wendy
Sent: Tue Jun 14 21:08:31 2011
Subject: Fw: U.S. unhappy with Japan's delayed decision on free trade talks

You really unhappy?

----- Original Message ----- (b) (6)
From: Hiroki Takeda [mailto:(b) (6)@takeda-tokyo.jp]
Sent: Tuesday, June 14, 2011 09:05 PM
To: Agress, Phillip
Cc: Ives, Ralph
Subject: U.S. unhappy with Japan's delayed decision on free trade talks

Jun. 14, 2011 - 07:00AM JST

U.S. unhappy with Japan's delayed decision on free trade talks

TOKYO — The U.S. government voiced strong discontent last month with Japan's postponement of a plan to decide by June on whether to join negotiations for a U.S.-led Pacific free trade accord in the wake of the March 11 catastrophe, sources close to the bilateral relations said Monday. The discontent was conveyed to the Japanese government through diplomatic channels, the sources said.

In a policy guideline adopted May 17, the Japanese government said it would "comprehensively consider when to make a decision" on whether to join the talks on the Trans-Pacific Partnership, a regional free trade initiative being negotiated by the United States, Australia and seven other Asia-Pacific countries.

Before the magnitude 9.0 earthquake and tsunami devastated Japan's northeastern region and crippled the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear power plant, the government said it would decide by around June whether to join the talks. The TPP is intended to require member economies in principle to scrap all tariffs.

The government also decided to put off a plan to work out in June a basic stance on reform of the nation's agricultural sector which is staging the main opposition to the TPP, fearing that it would be hit hard by an influx of cheap agricultural imports.

A senior White House official voiced strong discontent with the delay in Japan's decision on TPP negotiations at a time when Tokyo is moving ahead in talks on free trade agreements with the European Union as well as China and South Korea, the sources said.

Another U.S. government official concerned said the postponement had made it difficult for Japan to join the first group of nine economies leading negotiations for the TPP accord, they added.

Against the backdrop of these developments, Prime Minister Naoto Kan said Japan would make a decision in the near future on whether to participate in TPP talks when he met with U.S. President Barack Obama on the sidelines of the summit of leaders from the Group of Eight economies in France in late May.

The United States hopes that the nine negotiating partners, which also include Chile, Brunei, Malaysia, New Zealand, Peru, Singapore and Vietnam, will reach a broad agreement on the TPP before the summit of the 21-member Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation forum in Honolulu in November.

© 2011 Kyodo News. All rights reserved. No reproduction or republication without written permission.

From: Mehta, Probir J.
Sent: Wednesday, November 14, 2012 1:47 PM
To: 'Graeme Peters'
Cc: (b) (6) <[redacted]@croplifeamerica.org>; Whitlock, Joe
Subject: RE: TPP

Hi Graeme --- I will indeed be participating in the round. I'm happy to meet; but December 7 may not be a good day for us to get together. We might be flexible during a break on December 6. When will you be arriving?

From: Graeme Peters [mailto:(b) (6)@agcarm.co.nz]
Sent: Thursday, November 08, 2012 8:53 PM
To: Mehta, Probir J.
Cc: (b) (6) <[redacted]@croplifeamerica.org>
Subject: RE: TPP

Dear Probir

I was wondering if you are attending the TPP negotiations in Auckland. If so, can we meet during your stay?

I am located in Wellington and have registered to attend the stakeholder day in Auckland on December 7. We could meet on that day or I can come up the day before if that suits.

Let me know how you are placed.

Regards

Graeme Peters

Level 5, Cily Chambers

Agcarm Inc
Level 5, Cily Chambers
Cnr Johnston & Featherston Streets
PO Box 5069, Wellington 6145
New Zealand

+64 (b) (6)
+64 4 499 4223
(b) (6)

www.agcarm.co.nz

From: Mehta, Probir J. [mailto:Probir_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Saturday, 28 May 2011 8:01 a.m.
To: Graeme Peters
Cc: (b) (6) <[redacted]@croplifeamerica.org>
Subject: RE: TPP

Graeme,

Thanks for your note and I trust all is well.

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

Best,
Probir

From: Graeme Peters [mailto:(b) (6)@agcarm.co.nz]
Sent: Wednesday, May 25, 2011 11:50 PM
To: Mehta, Probir J.
Cc: (b) (6)@croplifeamerica.org
Subject: TPP

Hi Probir

(b) (4)

Look forward to hearing from you.

Regards

Graeme Peters

Agcarm Inc
Level 8, City Chambers
Cnr Johnston & Featherston Streets
PO Box 5069, Wellington 6145
New Zealand
T +64 (b) (6)
F +64 4 499 4223
E (b) (6)
www.agcarm.co.nz

From: McCoy, Stanford
Sent: Wednesday, October 17, 2012 11:14 AM
To: 'Farber, Leo'
Cc: Mehta, Probir J.; Taylor, Jay; York, George
Subject: RE: Confirming Thursday's 4pm meeting re TPP and EU/US

Got it. George will join us.

-----Original Message-----
From: Farber, Leo [mailto:(b) (6)@phrma.org]
Sent: Wednesday, October 17, 2012 11:03 AM
To: McCoy, Stanford
Cc: Mehta, Probir J.; Taylor, Jay
Subject: RE: Confirming Thursday's 4pm meeting re TPP and EU/US

Yes, sir

-----Original Message-----
From: McCoy, Stanford [mailto:Stanford_McCoy@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, October 17, 2012 11:03 AM
To: Farber, Leo
Cc: Mehta, Probir J.; Taylor, Jay
Subject: RE: Confirming Thursday's 4pm meeting re TPP and EU/US

This is on HLWG?

-----Original Message-----
From: Farber, Leo [mailto:(b) (6)@phrma.org]
Sent: Wednesday, October 17, 2012 10:53 AM
To: McCoy, Stanford
Cc: Mehta, Probir J.; Taylor, Jay
Subject: RE: Confirming Thursday's 4pm meeting re TPP and EU/US

Hi Stan:

Thanks so much. Re EU/US, we were hoping to update you on what we are hearing (e.g.: we met with the del that was in town last week) and would welcome thoughts from your end concerning discussions with the EU and what the process looks moving forward.

Again, really appreciate it!

Leo

-----Original Message-----
From: McCoy, Stanford [mailto:Stanford_McCoy@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, October 17, 2012 10:39 AM
To: Farber, Leo
Cc: Mehta, Probir J.; Taylor, Jay

Subject: RE: Confirming Thursday's 4pm meeting re TPP and EU/US

Leo, just to sort out the right participation on our side, I had this down as a TPP thing. Can you remind me what the EU/US agenda is?

-----Original Message-----

From: McCoy, Stanford
Sent: Wednesday, October 17, 2012 10:35 AM
To: 'Farber, Leo'
Cc: Mehta, Probir J.; Taylor, Jay
Subject: RE: Confirming Thursday's 4pm meeting re TPP and EU/US

I think we're still on Leo - it's on my calendar.

-----Original Message-----

From: Farber, Leo [mailto: [\(b\) \(6\)@phrma.org](mailto:(b) (6)@phrma.org)]
Sent: Tuesday, October 16, 2012 7:40 PM
To: McCoy, Stanford
Cc: Mehta, Probir J.; Taylor, Jay
Subject: Confirming Thursday's 4pm meeting re TPP and EU/US

Hi Stan:

Hope all well. We just wanted to confirm that Thursday at 4pm still works for you and Probir to meet re TPP and EU/US? If so, we can pass along a list of participants from our side.

Thanks so much!

Best,

Leo

Leo A Farber
PhRMA (b) (6)
(b) (6)@phrma.org

From: McCoy, Stanford
Sent: (b) (6) October 03, 2012 4:56 AM
To: (b) (6)@croplifeamerica.org; Mehta, Probir J.
Subject: Re: New Zealand

Value? You would definitely add it. But it would be most imp't to make sure Graeme is there and doing his bit. He did a great job last time by all accounts.
Ag chems probably will not come up on the agenda inside the room.

Sent from Stanford McCoy

----- Original Message -----
From: Doug Nelson [mailto:(b) (6)@croplifeamerica.org]
Sent: Monday, October 01, 2012 10:02 PM
To: McCoy, Stanford; Mehta, Probir J.
Subject: New Zealand

Do you see any value in me traveling to the next round of TPP negotiations Will IP for AG chems be on the agenda?

Sent from my iPhone

This e-mail message may contain privileged and/or confidential information, and is intended to be received only by persons entitled to receive such information. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately. Please delete it and all attachments from any servers, hard drives or any other media. Other use of this e-mail by you is strictly prohibited.

All e-mails and attachments sent and received are subject to monitoring, reading and archival by CropLife America and RISE. The recipient of this e-mail is solely responsible for checking for the presence of "Viruses" or other "Malware". CropLife America and RISE accepts no liability for any damage caused by any such code transmitted by or accompanying this e-mail or any attachment.

From: Quinn, Drew
Sent: Friday, September 21, 2012 12:55 PM
To: V.M. (Jim) DeLisi
Cc: John Meakem; Kim Copperthite
Subject: RE: TPP

Thanks Jim. Good to meet you, and thanks for forwarding the letter. Will be in touch.

Drew

From: V.M. (Jim) DeLisi [mailto:**(b) (6)**@fanwoodchemical.com]
Sent: Friday, September 21, 2012 12:18 PM
To: Quinn, Drew
Cc: John Meakem; Kim Copperthite
Subject: TPP

Dear Drew – Thank you for attending the ITAC 3 meeting yesterday. Please let us know how we can help you come to a commercially important, viable agreement.

(b)(3) & (b)(5)

Best regards,
V.M. (Jim) DeLisi
Fanwood Chemical, Inc.
908-**(b) (6)** telephone
(b) (6) cell
908-322-8494 - fax

From: Mehta, Probir J.
Sent: Saturday, September 08, 2012 11:47 AM
To: 'Matheson, John Edward'
Cc: Slater, Greg S
Subject: RE: TPP-Patentability of Software

Thanks – good to know. Let's see what happens. (b)(3) & (b)(5)

From: Matheson, John Edward [mailto:(b) (6)@intel.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 06, 2012 11:13 PM
To: Mehta, Probir J.
Cc: Slater, Greg S
Subject: RE: TPP-Patentability of Software

Probir
(b)(3) (b)(4) (b)(5)

Regards

John M.

John E. Matheson
Director of Legal Policy-Asia Pacific
Intel Technology Asia Pte Ltd
180 Clemenceau Avenue
#04-01 HawPar Centre
Singapore 119972
Ph: 65 (b) (6)
HP: 65 (b) (6)

From: Mehta, Probir J. [mailto:Probir_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Friday, September 07, 2012 3:23 AM
To: Matheson, John Edward
Cc: Slater, Greg S
Subject: RE: TPP-Patentability of Software

Tim/Craig
(b)(1) (b)(3) & (b)(5)

Best,
Probir
(b)(3) & (b)(5)

USTR-IPW 00379

From: Matheson, John Edward [mailto:(b) (6)@l.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2012 6:54 PM
To: Mehta, Probir J.
Cc: Slater, Greg S
Subject: RE: TPP-Patentability of Software

(b)(3) (b)(4) (b)(5)

(b)(3) (b)(4) (b)(5)

Best regards

John M.

From: Mehta, Probir J. [mailto:Probir_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2012 4:51 AM
To: Matheson, John Edward
Cc: Slater, Greg S
Subject: RE: TPP-Patentability of Software

Hi John -

(b)(3) & (b)(5)

(b)(3) & (b)(5)


Best,
Probir

From: Matheson, John Edward [mailto:(b) (6)@l.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2012 10:06 PM
To: Mehta, Probir J.
Cc: Slater, Greg S
Subject: TPP-Patentability of Software

Hi Probir

We met at TPP in Melbourne earlier this month and at APEC in Singapore last year - and you may recall I work

(b)(1) (b)(3) (b)(4) (b)(5)



Best regards

John M.

John E. Matheson
Director of Legal Policy-Asia Pacific
Intel Technology Asia Pte Ltd
180 Clemenceau Avenue
#04-01 HawPar Centre
Singapore 239922
Ph: 65-(b) (6)
HP: 65-(b) (6)

Microsoft Outlook

From: Watson, Daniel L.
Sent: Friday, September 07, 2012 10:01 AM
To: (b) (6) <[REDACTED]@wileyrein.com>; Mroczka, Victor
Subject: Re: draft TPP slides on SOEs

At the table now. Will take a look tonight.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

From: Brightbill, Timothy [mailto:(b) (6) <[REDACTED]@wileyrein.com>]
Sent: Friday, September 07, 2012 09:33 AM
To: Mroczka, Victor; Watson, Daniel L.
Subject: draft TPP slides on SOEs

Daniel and Victor:

Here are my draft TPP slides. This is draft only – still subject to change. Let me know if you have any concerns or suggested edits.

Regards,

Tim

Description: Description: C:\Documents and Settings\ztbright\Application Data\Microsoft\Signatures\TBrightb_Signature.gif

NOTICE: This message (including any attachments) from Wiley Rein LLP may constitute an attorney-client communication and may contain information that is PRIVILEGED and CONFIDENTIAL and/or ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT. If you are not an intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please do not read, copy or forward this message. Please permanently delete all copies and any attachments and notify the sender immediately by sending an e-mail to Information@wileyrein.com. As part of our environmental efforts, the firm is WILEY GREEN(TM). Please consider the environment before printing this email.

From: Watson, Daniel L.
Sent: Tuesday, September 04, 2012 3:03 PM
To: 'Brightbill, Timothy'
Subject: RE: Changes to SOE proposal

Yep, sounds good. 202-395-9587.

From: Brightbill, Timothy [mailto:(b) (6)@wileyrein.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 04, 2012 3:01 PM
To: Watson, Daniel L.
Subject: Re: Changes to SOE proposal

I am free all afternoon - how about 3 pm tomorrow?

From: Watson, Daniel L. [mailto:Daniel.Watson@USTR.EOP.GOV]
Sent: Tuesday, September 04, 2012 03:00 PM
To: Brightbill, Timothy
Subject: RE: Changes to SOE proposal

Let me know when works best for you. (b)(3) & (b)(5)
(b)(3) & (b)(5)

From: Watson, Daniel L.
Sent: Tuesday, September 04, 2012 1:13 PM
To: 'Brightbill, Timothy'
Subject: RE: Changes to SOE proposal

I'll be heading over to Leesburg on Thursday, but I could do a call tomorrow afternoon. Alternatively, we could talk while we are there. My negotiations are Friday and Saturday, but I'll be staying for the stakeholder event.

From: Brightbill, Timothy [mailto:(b) (6)@wileyrein.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 04, 2012 12:28 PM
To: Watson, Daniel L.
Subject: RE: Changes to SOE proposal

Thanks for the heads up. I am making a short presentation at Leesburg on Sunday - should we talk briefly before then.

From: Watson, Daniel L. [mailto:Daniel.Watson@USTR.EOP.GOV]
Sent: Tuesday, September 04, 2012 11:49 AM
To: Brightbill, Timothy
Subject: Changes to SOE proposal

Tim
(b)(1) (b)(3) & (b)(5)

(b)(1) (b)(3) & (b)(5)

Best,
Daniel

~~~~~  
Daniel Watson  
Deputy Assistant USTR, Southeast Asia and the Pacific  
USTR/Office of SE Asia and the Pacific  
202-395-9587  
[watson\\_daniel@ustr.eop.gov](mailto:watson_daniel@ustr.eop.gov)

This e-mail contains TPP Confidential information, modified handling authorized. Per classification guidance of March 5, 2010, it must be handled in a manner to avoid unauthorized disclosure for four years after the entry into force of the TPP or, if no agreement enters into force, four years from the close of the negotiations.

NOTICE: This message (including any attachments) from Wiley Rein LLP may constitute an attorney -client communication and may contain information that is PRIVILEGED and CONFIDENTIAL and/or ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT. If you are not an intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please do not read, copy or forward this message. Please permanently delete all copies and any attachments and notify the sender immediately by sending an e-mail to [Information@wileyrein.com](mailto:Information@wileyrein.com). As part of our environmental efforts, the firm is WILEY GREEN<sup>TM</sup>. Please consider the environment before printing this email.

---

**From:** Whitlock, Joe  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 28, 2012 11:28 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)@phrma.org  
**Cc:** Mehta, Probir J.; McCoy, Stanford  
**Subject:** Re: Cleared Advisor Communication: TPP Confidential Modified Handling Authorized

Jay,

That works fine. Would you mind calling my cell phone at that time? The number is 202 (b) (6) bok forward to speaking with you then.

Best,  
Joe

**From:** Taylor, Jay [mailto:(b) (6)@phrma.org]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 28, 2012 08:31 PM  
**To:** Whitlock, Joe  
**Cc:** Mehta, Probir J.; McCoy, Stanford  
**Subject:** Re: Cleared Advisor Communication: TPP Confidential Modified Handling Authorized

Can we talk at 9 am?  
Jay Taylor  
Vice President, International  
PhRMA  
202 (b) (6)

**From:** Whitlock, Joe [mailto:Joseph\_P\_Whitlock@ustr.eop.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 28, 2012 07:55 PM  
**To:** Taylor, Jay  
**Cc:** Mehta, Probir J. <Probir\_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov>; McCoy, Stanford <Stanford\_McCoy@ustr.eop.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Cleared Advisor Communication: TPP Confidential Modified Handling Authorized

Hi Jay,

Thanks very much for the note. It may be easiest to walk through this on the phone. Would you be free to chat sometime tomorrow?

Best,  
-Joe

Joseph P. Whitlock  
Assistant General Counsel  
Executive Office of the President  
Office of the U.S. Trade Representative  
600 17th Street N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20508

Email: [jwhitlock@ustr.eop.gov](mailto:jwhitlock@ustr.eop.gov)

Telephone (direct): (202) 395-4359  
Facsimile: (202) 395-3639  
Website: [www.ustr.gov](http://www.ustr.gov)

**From:** Taylor, Jay [mailto:(b) (6)@phrma.org]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 28, 2012 1:35 PM  
**To:** Whitlock, Joe  
**Cc:** Mehta, Problr J.; McCoy, Stanford  
**Subject:** RE: Cleared Advisor Communication: TPP Confidential Modified Handling Authorized

Thanks Joe –

Hope you are well. Taking a look now – any significant changes from what we looked at the other week – I didn't have a copy of that document so don't have anything to compare to.

Best,

Jay

**From:** Whitlock, Joe [mailto:Joseph\_P\_Whitlock@ustr.eop.gov]  
**Sent:** Friday, August 24, 2012 5:38 PM  
**To:** Taylor, Jay  
**Cc:** Mehta, Problr J.; McCoy, Stanford  
**Subject:** Cleared Advisor Communication: TPP Confidential Modified Handling Authorized

Jay,

Thank you for your ongoing assistance to USTR in connection with the TPP negotiations. I am writing to let you know that USTR has posted for review by cleared advisors a revised TPP proposal for a Transparency Chapter Annex entitled "Annex on Transparency and Procedural Fairness for Healthcare Technologies." Comments are due on Friday, August 31, 2012. We look forward to hearing from you if you have any comments. SPT

Best,  
-Joe

This email contains TPP Confidential information, modified handling authorized. Per classification guidance of March 5, 2010, it must be handled in a manner to avoid unauthorized disclosure for four years after the close of the TPP negotiations or four years after the enactment of the TPP, whichever occurs first.

---

**From:** McCoy, Stanford  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 01, 2012 12:26 PM  
**To:** (b) (6) phrma.org'  
**Subject:** Re: Member briefing Wednesday at 2 PM

Confirmed - I'll see you there/then.

Sent from Stanford McCoy

**From:** Taylor, Jay [mailto:(b) (6) phrma.org]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 01, 2012 12:24 PM  
**To:** McCoy, Stanford  
**Subject:** Re: Member briefing Wednesday at 2 PM

Hey Stan - just confirming that we are on for 2 pm at 950 F Street. Look forward to seeing you.

JT  
Jay Taylor  
Vice President, International  
PhRMA  
202 (b) (6)

**From:** Taylor, Jay  
**Sent:** Monday, July 30, 2012 11:16 AM  
**To:** 'McCoy, Stanford' <Stanford\_McCoy@ustr.eop.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Member briefing Wednesday at 2 PM

Wonderful (b)(3) & (b)(5)  
(b)(3) & (b)(5)

**From:** McCoy, Stanford [mailto:Stanford\_McCoy@ustr.eop.gov]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 30, 2012 11:13 AM  
**To:** Taylor, Jay  
**Subject:** RE: Member briefing Wednesday at 2 PM

Yes, can do. Would be helpful to get your thoughts by phone or email on what's on their minds and now much focus on IP vs medpharm, etc.

**From:** Taylor, Jay [mailto:(b) (6) phrma.org]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 30, 2012 10:51 AM  
**To:** McCoy, Stanford  
**Subject:** Member briefing Wednesday at 2 PM

Stan -



Hope all's well. Wondering if you had time, as discussed, to come over to talk to my members on TPP during a regularly -  
scheduled meeting we have Wednesday at 2 PM? Many thanks for considering.

JT


---

**From:** Whitlock, Joe  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 19, 2012 4:43 PM  
**To:** 'Neil Turkewitz'  
**Cc:** Mehta, Probir J.  
**Subject:** RE: Singapore launches new music service: interesting from a TPP perspective

Very interesting. Thanks Neil.

**From:** Neil Turkewitz [mailto:(b) (6)@rlaa.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 19, 2012 4:41 PM  
**To:** Mehta, Probir J.; Whitlock, Joe; Alvarez, Kira; [Darren.Pogoda@uspto.gov](mailto:Darren.Pogoda@uspto.gov); McCoy, Stanford; [shira.perlmutter@uspto.gov](mailto:shira.perlmutter@uspto.gov); Strong, Maria  
**Cc:** Metalitz, Steven  
**Subject:** Singapore launches new music service: interesting from a TPP perspective

See this: <http://www.techinasia.com/tellmyfriends-music-service/>  
(b)(3) & (b)(5)



Neil

---

**From:** Mehta, Probir J.  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 19, 2012 10:26 AM  
**To:** 'Doug Nelson'  
**Subject:** RE: Question re: Agchem data protection in Malaysia

(b)(3) & (b)(5)  
Thanks, Doug  
(b)(3) & (b)(5)

Best,  
Probir

**From:** Doug Nelson [mailto:(b)(6)@croplifeamerica.org]  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 19, 2012 7:59 AM  
**To:** Mehta, Probir J.  
**Subject:** Fwd: Question re: Agchem data protection in Malaysia

(b)(3) & (b)(5)

Douglas T. Nelson  
Executive Vice President, General Counsel, Secretary  
CropLife America  
1156 15th St. NW STE 400  
Washington, DC 20005  
202-(b)(6) office  
202-296-1585 - main  
202-463-0474 - fax  
[www.CropLifeAmerica.org](http://www.CropLifeAmerica.org)

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Chooi Lam Khong <(b)(6)@mcpa.org.my>  
**Date:** July 18, 2012 8:23:15 PM GMT -03:00  
**To:** 'Siang Hee Tan' <(b)(6)@croplifeasia.org>  
**Cc:** Doug Nelson <(b)(6)@croplifeamerica.org>, Ong Peng Yeo <(b)(6)@mys.dupont.com>  
**Subject:** RE: Question re: Agchem data protection in Malaysia

Dear Dr Tan

(b)(4)

(b)(4)

USTR-IPW 00390

(b) (4)

Best regards.

Chooi

**From:** Siang Hee Tan [mailto:(b) (6)@oplifeasia.org]  
**Sent:** Thursday, 19 July, 2012 6:19 AM  
**To:** Chooi Lam Khong  
**Cc:** Douglas T. Nelson  
**Subject:** FW: Question re: Agchem data protection in Malaysia

Dear Mr. Chooi,

As you are aware that Malaysia is joining TPP and one of the issue on the table will be Data protection (b) (4)


(b) (4)

Doug,

(b) (4)

Thanks

Siang Hee



(b) (6)  
**From:** Doug Nelson [mailto:(b) (6)@roplifeamerica.org]  
**Sent:** Thursday, 19 July 2012 5:24 AM  
**To:** Ali Mohamed Ali ((b) (6)@roplifeafrica.org); Ann Brightling ((b) (6)@roplifeasia.org); Bernhard  
Johnen; Carlos Buzio ((b) (6)@roplifela.org); Dominic Muyldermans  
((b) (6)@roplife.org); Doug Nelson; Euros Jones ((b) (6)@ecpa.eu); Graeme Peters  
((b) (6)@agchem.co.nz); Janis Rodmanis ((b) (6)@lawyer.com); Javier Fernandez  
((b) (6)@roplifela.org); Jean Costello ((b) (6)@ingenta.com); Kazuhiko Nishioka  
((b) (6)@sumitomo-chem.co.jp); Les Hillowitz ((b) (6)@roplifeafrica.org); Martin Dust  
((b) (6)@roplife.com); Martyn Griffiths ((b) (6)@lawyer.com); Matthew Cossey  
((b) (6)@roplifeaustralia.org.au); Michael Carroll ((b) (6)@roplife.com); Mike Frank  
((b) (6)@nonsanto.com); Pradip Mazumdar ((b) (6)@roplife.com); Robby Schreiber  
((b) (6)@roplife.com); Robert Hunter ((b) (6)@roplife.org); Rudolf Guyer  
((b) (6)@roplifeafrica.org); Ruth Davis ((b) (6)@sumitomo-chem.com); Ruth McKenna  
((b) (6)@sumitomo-chem.com); Stang-Hee Tan ((b) (6)@roplifeasia.org); Susanne Maisie;  
Viviane Franca ((b) (6)@sumitomo-chem.com.br); William Graham ((b) (6)@nonsanto.com)  
**Subject:** Question re: Agchem data protection in Malaysia

All,

(b)(3) (b)(5)



(b)(3) (b)(5)

(b)(1) (b)(3) (b)(5)

Thank you all for your help.

Best Regards,

Doug

Douglas T. Nelson

Executive Vice President, General Counsel, Secretary

CropLife America

1156 15th St. NW STE 400

Washington, DC 20005

202. (b) (6) Office

202-296-1585 - main

202-463-0474 - fax

[www.CropLifeAmerica.org](http://www.CropLifeAmerica.org)

---

This e-mail message may contain confidential information. If you have received this e-mail message in error, please notify the sender immediately. Please do not disseminate, distribute, or otherwise use the information contained in this e-mail message. If you are not the intended recipient, you should not disseminate, distribute, or otherwise use the information contained in this e-mail message.

All e-mails and attachments sent and received are subject to monitoring, recording, and analysis by the company and its affiliates. The company and its affiliates are not responsible for the privacy of any e-mail or attachments sent or received by the company and its affiliates. The company and its affiliates accept no liability for any damage caused by any such e-mail transmitted by or accompanying the use of any attachment.

---

**From:** Mehta, Probir J.  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 12, 2012 5:55 PM  
**To:** 'Stevan Mitchell'  
**Cc:** Alvarez, Kira; Shigetomi, Kent C.  
**Subject:** RE: Mexico "in transit" issue

We would be happy to receive further information. While I handle TPP, Kira handles Mexico/Canada IP bilaterally, and Kent Shigetomi (copied) is the Mexico country lead for USTR. They are all copied.

Best,  
Probir

-----Original Message-----  
From: Stevan Mitchell [mailto:(b) (6)@theesa.com]  
Sent: Wednesday, July 11, 2012 7:59 PM  
To: Mehta, Probir J.  
Subject: Mexico (b)(3) & (b)(5) ue

(b)(3) & (b)(5)  
[REDACTED]

Thank you.

Steve

---

**From:** Mehta, Probir J.  
**Sent:** Monday, July 09, 2012 12:21 PM  
**To:** 'Neil Turkewitz'  
**Subject:** RE: TPP exceptions and limitations

(b)(3) & (b)(5)

**From:** Neil Turkewitz [mailto:(b) (6)@iaa.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 09, 2012 12:16 PM  
**To:** Mehta, Probir J.  
**Subject:** RE: TPP exceptions and limitations

(b)(3)  
& (b)

**From:** Mehta, Probir J. [mailto:Probir\_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 09, 2012 12:12 PM  
**To:** Neil Turkewitz  
**Subject:** RE: TPP exceptions and limitations

(b)(3) & (b)(5)

**From:** Neil Turkewitz [mailto:(b) (6)@iaa.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 09, 2012 10:50 AM  
**To:** Mehta, Probir J.  
**Subject:** Re: TPP exceptions and limitations

Thx. (b)(3) & (b)(5)

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Mehta, Probir J. <Probir\_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov>  
**To:** Neil Turkewitz  
**Sent:** Mon Jul 09 10:54:21 2012  
**Subject:** Re: TPP exceptions and limitations

I'm back on the office on Thursday.

**From:** Neil Turkewitz [mailto:(b) (6)@iaa.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 09, 2012 10:07 AM  
**To:** Mehta, Probir J.  
**Subject:** RE: TPP exceptions and limitations

Are you back?

**From:** Mehta, Probir J. [mailto:Probir\_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov]  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 08, 2012 6:49 PM



To: Neil Turkewitz  
Subject: RE: TPP exceptions and limitations

Hi Neil – sorry for the delay in responding. I'm still in San Diego. Do you still need thoughts from me? I'm sure you can share your general views without going into specifics.

From: Neil Turkewitz [mailto:(b) (6)aa.com]  
Sent: Tuesday, July 03, 2012 3:45 PM  
To: Mehta, Prabir J.  
Subject: TPP exceptions and limitations

I see that USTR has posted an announcement concerning the new proposal (but not the proposal itself). Given this publicity, may I share the note that I sent to you concerning the proposal to my members? Hope all is going well. I'm going to have a ton of questions from my members, and need your guidance about what I can and can't say. Thanks.

Neil

**Microsoft Outlook**

---

**From:** Mehta, Probir J.  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 08, 2012 1:02 PM  
**To:** 'Stevan Mitchell'  
**Subject:** RE: @USTRadeRep, 7/6/12 2:36 PM

Hi Stevan –

Thanks. (b)(3) & (b)(5)

PM

From: Stevan Mitchell [mailto:(b)(6)@heesa.com]  
Sent: Friday, July 06, 2012 3:20 PM  
To: Mehta, Probir J.  
Subject: FW: @USTRadeRep, 7/6/12 2:36 PM

(b)(3) & (b)(5)  
(b)(3) & (b)(5)

Description: Image removed by sender. USTR (@USTRadeRep <<https://twitter.com/USTRadeRep>> )  
7/6/12 2:36 PM  
<<https://twitter.com/ustraderep/status/221311573338243072>>  
#TPP <<http://search.twitter.com/search?q=TPP>> in the news: catch @ccianet <<https://twitter.com/ccianet>>  
editorial on goals for IP and copyright provisions in the U-T San Diego [ow.ly/c4e8J](http://t.co/hdkhfr9C) <<http://t.co/hdkhfr9C>>

---

**From:** Doug Nelson <(b) (6)@croplifeamerica.org>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 27, 2011 7:11 PM  
**To:** Mehta, Probir J.; (b) (6)@agcarm.co.nz  
**Subject:** Re: TPP

(b) (4)  
(b) (4)

Doug

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Mehta, Probir J. <Probir\_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov>  
**To:** 'Graeme Peters' <(b) (6)@agcarm.co.nz>  
**Cc:** Doug Nelson  
**Sent:** Fri May 27 15:55:57 2011  
**Subject:** RE: TPP

Graeme,

(b) (4)  
Thanks for your note, and I trust all is well.  
(b) (4)

Best,

Probir

**From:** Graeme Peters [mailto:(b) (6)@agcarm.co.nz]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 25, 2011 11:50 PM  
**To:** Mehta, Probir J.  
**Cc:** (b) (6)@croplifeamerica.org  
**Subject:** TPP

Hi Probir

Remember me from Auckland? We are allied with Crop Life America.

(b) (4)



Look forward to hearing from you.

Regards

Graeme Peters

Chief Executive

Agcarm Inc

Level 8, City Chambers

Cnr Johnston & Featherston Streets

PO Box 5069, Wellington 6145

New Zealand

P +64 (b) (6)

F +64 4 499 4223

M (b) (6)

[www.agcarm.co.nz](http://www.agcarm.co.nz)

This e-mail message may contain privileged and/or confidential information, and is intended to be received only by persons entitled to receive such information. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately. Please delete it and all attachments from any servers, hard drives or any other media. Other use of this e-mail by you is strictly prohibited.

All e-mails and attachments sent and received are subject to monitoring, reading and archival by CropLife America and RISE. The recipient of this e-mail is solely responsible for checking for the presence of "Viruses" or other "Malware". CropLife America and RISE accepts no liability for any damage caused by any such code transmitted by or accompanying this e-mail or any attachment.

**Microsoft Outlook**

---

**From:** Ragland, Jared W.  
**Sent:** Friday, July 06, 2012 11:43 AM  
**To:** (b) (6) phrma.org  
**Cc:** (b) (6) phrma.org; Whitlock, Joe; Diehl, Michael; Mehta, Probir J.; Weisel, Barbara; McCoy, Stanford  
**Subject:** Re: TPP

(b)(3) & (b)(5)  
(b)(3) & (b)(5)

I have to kick of the IP negs shortly and then will try to call you. Is the number below something we can use to reach you?

Thanks!

Jared.  
Sent from blackberry.

-----  
Jared Ragland, Ph.D.  
Director, Office of Intellectual Property and Innovation Office of the U.S. Trade Representative Executive Office of the President  
(202) 395-9453 Office  
(202) 345-6379 Cell

----- Original Message -----  
**From:** Taylor, Jay [mailto:(b) (6) phrma.org]  
**Sent:** Friday, July 06, 2012 11:23 AM  
**To:** Ragland, Jared W. (b) (6)  
**Cc:** Kjeldgaard, Richard <(b) (6) phrma.org>  
**Subject:** TPP

Jared --

Just seeing your email -- apologies for the delay. This week was my poorly -timed attempt to be out on vacation.


Appreciate the work you and Joe are doing and your taking time yesterday to talk to my members. We have heard from several companies since who are extremely concerned about the lack of pre -San Diego consultations, as well as the fact that many company experts are out this week on holiday.

(b)(3) & (b)(5)

I have very spotty reception, so may not be able to get back to you immediately. (b)(3) (b)(5)

(b)(3) (b)(5)

(b)(3) & (b)(5)



Best,

Jay

Jay Taylor  
Vice President, International  
PhRMA  
202. (b) (6)

---

**From:** Ragland, Jared W.  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 05, 2012 11:43 PM  
**To:** (b) (6) <(b) (6)@hrma.org>; Mehta, Probir J.; Whitlock, Joe  
**Cc:** (b) (6) <(b) (6)@hrma.org>; (b) (6) <(b) (6)@Sidley.com>; Diehl, Michael; McCoy, Stanford; Weisel, Barbara  
**Subject:** Re: Cleared Advisor comments on 2012 Draft Transparency text for TPP  
**Importance:** High

Richard, Jay, Jeff,

(b)(3) (b)(5)  
(b)(3) (b)(5) We'd like to discuss these with you at your earliest convenience. Please let us know when we could do a call tomorrow morning. I will check e-mail at 6ish (9ish your time). Call me if you want to discuss earlier.

Jared.  
Sent from blackberry.

-----  
Jared Ragland, Ph.D.  
Director, Office of Intellectual Property and Innovation Office of the U.S. Trade Representative Executive Office of the President  
(202) 395-9453 Office  
(202) 345-6379 Cell

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Ragland, Jared W.  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 05, 2012 06:13 PM  
**To:** 'Kjeldgaard, Richard' <(b) (6)@hrma.org>; Mehta, Probir J.; Whitlock, Joe  
**Cc:** 'Taylor, Jay' <(b) (6)@hrma.org>; (b) (6) <(b) (6)@Sidley.com>; (b) (6) <(b) (6)@Sidley.com>; Diehl, Michael  
**Subject:** RE: Cleared Advisor comments on 2012 Draft Transparency text for TPP

Sorry, just to be clear, I'm suggesting a call, anytime, tomorrow (Friday the 6th).

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ragland, Jared W.  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 05, 2012 5:21 PM  
**To:** 'Kjeldgaard, Richard'; Mehta, Probir J.; Whitlock, Joe  
**Cc:** Taylor, Jay; (b) (6) <(b) (6)@Sidley.com>; Diehl, Michael  
**Subject:** RE: Cleared Advisor comments on 2012 Draft Transparency text for TPP

Richard, Jay, others,

Thanks again for your hard work on this and the calls. We really appreciate it. I would really appreciate the opportunity to discuss this with you. Regarding the specific comments, (b)(3) & (b)(5)  
(b)(3) & (b)(5)

I will make myself available at any time. I am chairing the IPR session, but I can turn it over to Mike so that we can talk.



Best,

Jared.

-----Original Message-----

From: Kjeldgaard, Richard [mailto:(b) (6)@hrma.org]

Sent: Thursday, July 05, 2012 4:10 PM

To: Ragland, Jared W.; Mehta, Problr J.; Whitlock, Joe

Cc: Taylor, Jay; (b) (6)@Sidley.com'

Subject: Cleared Advisor comments on 2012 Draft Transparency text for TPP

Enclosed are our comments on the text posted on the cleared advisor web site.

Richard Kjeldgaard

---

**From:** Ragland, Jared W.  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 05, 2012 6:42 PM  
**To:** 'Ives, Ralph'; Martyn, Will  
**Cc:** Whitlock, Joe; Diehl, Michael; Mehta, Probir J.  
**Subject:** RE: My views on new provisions

Great, sorry for any confusion I caused. Best,

Jared.

**From:** Ives, Ralph [mailto:(b) (6)@AdvaMed.org]  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 05, 2012 6:40 PM  
**To:** Ragland, Jared W.; Martyn, Will  
**Cc:** Whitlock, Joe; Diehl, Michael; Mehta, Probir J.  
**Subject:** Re: My views on new provisions

Will explained what is happening. I think my comments are consistent. Thank you

**From:** Ragland, Jared W. [mailto:Jared\_Ragland@ustr.eop.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 05, 2012 06:30 PM  
**To:** Ives, Ralph; Martyn, Will <Willis\_Martyn@ustr.eop.gov>  
**Cc:** Whitlock, Joe <Joseph\_P\_Whitlock@ustr.eop.gov>; Diehl, Michael <Michael\_Diehl@ustr.eop.gov>; Mehta, Probir J. <Probir\_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: My views on new provisions

Ralph, I hope you and Will had a good call.

(b)(3) & (b)(5)

Jared.

**From:** Ives, Ralph [mailto:(b) (6)@AdvaMed.org]  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 05, 2012 11:13 AM  
**To:** Martyn, Will; Ragland, Jared W.  
**Cc:** Whitlock, Joe; Diehl, Michael; Mehta, Probir J.  
**Subject:** RE: My views on new provisions

How about 4:00? What number should I call?

**From:** Martyn, Will [mailto:Willis\_Martyn@ustr.eop.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 05, 2012 10:57 AM  
**To:** Ives, Ralph; Ragland, Jared W.  
**Cc:** Whitlock, Joe; Diehl, Michael; Mehta, Probir J.  
**Subject:** RE: My views on new provisions

Ralph:

I won't be in the office, but I could work in a quick chat in the afternoon, sometime between 1:30 and 4:00. Is there any time in that timeframe that works for you? Otherwise, I'll be in tomorrow and all next week.

- Will

**From:** Ives, Ralph [mailto:(b) (6)@AdvaMed.org]  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 05, 2012 10:48 AM  
**To:** Martyn, Will; Ragland, Jared W.  
**Cc:** Whitlock, Joe; Diehl, Michael; Mehta, Probir J.  
**Subject:** RE: My views on new provisions

Will,

Yes, I'm a CA. Can we talk today? I'm getting ready for another trip, so a quick chat would work for me.

Thanks,  
Ralph

**From:** Martyn, Will [mailto:Will@ustr.eop.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 05, 2012 10:43 AM  
**To:** Ragland, Jared W.; Ives, Ralph  
**Cc:** Whitlock, Joe; Diehl, Michael; Mehta, Probir J.  
**Subject:** RE: My views on new provisions

I'm already back in Washington. I take it that Ralph's a cleared advisor. If that's right, I'd be glad to share the latest version with him in person. There have probably been developments since the last time I circulated it to the cleared advisors.

- Will

**From:** Ragland, Jared W.  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 05, 2012 10:31 AM  
**To:** 'Ives, Ralph'  
**Cc:** Whitlock, Joe; Diehl, Michael; Mehta, Probir J.; Martyn, Will  
**Subject:** RE: My views on new provisions

I've been having trouble with the site too. No worries. Thanks Ralph.

**From:** Ives, Ralph [mailto:(b) (6)@AdvaMed.org]  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 05, 2012 10:30 AM  
**To:** Ragland, Jared W.  
**Cc:** Whitlock, Joe; Diehl, Michael; Mehta, Probir J.; Martyn, Will  
**Subject:** RE: My views on new provisions

Thank you, Jared. Will do. I looked for every transparency document I could find -- before and after the June date. My old eyes must be the problem.

**From:** Ragland, Jared W. [mailto:Jared\_Ragland@ustr.eop.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 05, 2012 10:29 AM  
**To:** Ives, Ralph

**Cc:** Whitlock, Joe; Diehl, Michael; Mehta, Probir J.; Martyn, Will  
**Subject:** RE: My views on new provisions

Yes, that sounds great. I'm copying others, as I'm leaving I want there to be a good record. I'm not sure what is going on. I'm pretty sure I had found the text I'm referring to around that time as well. It is very possible I've pointed you to the wrong doc on the web-site (there may be something newer), but I know we have it, (b)(3) (b)(5)  
(b)(3) (b)(5)

Will is our lead on Transparency and AC.

Best,

Jared.

**From:** Ives, Ralph [mailto:(b)(6)@AdvaMed.org]  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 05, 2012 10:11 AM  
**To:** Ragland, Jared W.  
**Subject:** RE: My views on new provisions

Jared,

Very sorry, but I could not find the document you are referring to. Obviously, my track record on finding documents is not good—so, could well be me. (b)(3) & (b)(5)  
(b)(1) (b)(3) & (b)(5)

Thanks,  
Ralph

**From:** Ragland, Jared W. [mailto:Jared\_Ragland@ustr.eop.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 04, 2012 10:47 AM  
**To:** Ives, Ralph  
**Cc:** (b)(6)@medtronic.com'; Whitlock, Joe; Mehta, Probir J.; Diehl, Michael; Martyn, Will  
**Subject:** Re: My views on new provisions

Sorry for confusion. I must admit, admit, as handy as the site is, it could be designed to make things easier.  
Sent from blackberry.

-----  
Jared Ragland, Ph.D.  
Director, Office of Intellectual Property and Innovation  
Office of the U.S. Trade Representative  
Executive Office of the President  
(202) 395-9453 Office  
(202) 345-6379 Cell

**From:** Ives, Ralph [mailto:(b)(6)@AdvaMed.org]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 04, 2012 10:08 AM  
**To:** Ragland, Jared W.  
**Cc:** (b)(6)@medtronic.com' <(b)(6)@medtronic.com>; Whitlock, Joe; Mehta, Probir J.; Diehl, Michael; Martyn, Will

**Subject:** Re: My views on new provisions

Ah, well that could be the problem. I thought the date on the CA was June 2011, so I assumed that was the right one. I'll look again when I get home. Thanks

**From:** Ragland, Jared W. [mailto:Jared\_Ragland@ustr.eop.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 04, 2012 10:03 AM  
**To:** Ives, Ralph  
**Cc:** (b) (6) medtronic.com'; (b) (6) medtronic.com'; Whitlock, Joe <Joseph\_P\_Whitlock@ustr.eop.gov>; Mehta, Probir J. <Probir\_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov>; Diehl, Michael <Michael\_Diehl@ustr.eop.gov>; Martyn, Will <Willis\_Martyn@ustr.eop.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: My views on new provisions

Ralph, if the number still says 14.2, you must be reading the wrong version. The one with the text I'm talking about is numbered zz.## and I'm referring you to zz.2.3.

Happy 4th!  
Sent from blackberry.

-----  
Jared Ragland, Ph.D.  
Director, Office of Intellectual Property and Innovation  
Office of the U.S. Trade Representative  
Executive Office of the President  
(202) 395-9453 Office  
(202) 345-6379 Cell

**From:** Ives, Ralph [mailto:(b) (6) AdvaMed.org]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 04, 2012 07:02 AM  
**To:** Ragland, Jared W.  
**Cc:** (b) (6) medtronic.com'; (b) (6) medtronic.com'; Whitlock, Joe; Mehta, Probir J.; Diehl, Michael; Martyn, Will  
**Subject:** RE: My views on new provisions

Geez, I must be more dense than usual! I've read the June 2011 TPP transparency text several times (but, then, I initially missed the CA notice about the new healthcare proposals, so I'm not dismissing that idea of greater density on my part.)

(b)(1) (b)(3) (b)(5)

I'm scheduled to land at 4pm DC time today, so anytime between 5 and 9pm DC time would work for me, if you're available.

Thanks,  
Ralph

-----  
**From:** Ragland, Jared W. [Jared\_Ragland@ustr.eop.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 04, 2012 1:56 AM  
**To:** Ives, Ralph  
**Cc:** (b) (6) medtronic.com'; Whitlock, Joe; Mehta, Probir J.; Diehl, Michael; Martyn, Will  
**Subject:** Re: My views on new provisions

(b)(3) & (b)(5)  
-----  
(b)(3) et me know when.  
& (b)

Best,

Jared.

Sent from blackberry.

Jared Ragland, Ph.D.  
Director, Office of Intellectual Property and Innovation  
Office of the U.S. Trade Representative  
Executive Office of the President  
(202) 395-9453 Office  
(202) 345-6379 Cell

**From:** Ives, Ralph [mailto:(b) (6)@AdvaMed.org]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 04, 2012 01:21 AM  
**To:** Ragland, Jared W.  
**Cc:** (b) (6)@medtronic.com; (b) (6)@medtronic.com; Whitlock, Joe; Mehta, Probir J.; Dichl, Michael; Martyn, Will  
**Subject:** Re: My views on new provisions

(b)(3) & (b)(5)

(b)(3) & (b)(5)

Best regards

**From:** Ragland, Jared W. [mailto:Jared\_Ragland@ustr.eop.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 04, 2012 12:21 AM  
**To:** Ives, Ralph  
**Cc:** Trevor, Dr. Gunn (b) (6)@medtronic.com; (b) (6)@medtronic.com; Whitlock, Joe <Joseph\_P\_Whitlock@ustr.eop.gov>; Mehta, Probir J. <Probir\_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov>; Dichl, Michael <Michael\_Dichl@ustr.eop.gov>; Martyn, Will <Willis\_Martyn@ustr.eop.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: My views on new provisions

Ralph, thank you.

(b)(3) & (b)(5)

(b)(3) & (b)(5)

Thanks so much for your rapid response. Happy to discuss further if necessary.


Best regards,

Jared.

**From:** Ives, Ralph [mailto:(b) (6)@AdvaMed.org]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 03, 2012 8:19 PM  
**To:** Ragland, Jared W. (b) (6)  
**Cc:** Trevor, Dr. Gunn (b) (6)@medtronic.com  
**Subject:** TPP: My views on new provisions

Jared,

(b)(3) & (b)(5)



---

**From:** Kushan, Jeffrey P. <(b) (6)@sidley.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 11, 2011 7:12 PM  
**To:** McCoy, Stanford  
**Subject:** Possible questions

Hi Stan,

(b)(3) & (b)(5)



(b)(3) & (b)(5)

Jeffrey P. Kushan  
Sidley Austin LLP  
1501 K Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
(202) (b) (6) (direct)  
(202) 736-8000 (main)  
(202) 736-8711 (fax)

-----  
IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To comply with certain U.S. Treasury regulations, we inform you that, unless expressly stated otherwise, any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication, including attachments, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding any penalties that may be imposed on such taxpayer by the Internal Revenue Service. In addition, if any such tax advice is used or referred to by other parties in promoting, marketing or recommending any partnership or other entity, investment plan or arrangement, then (i) the advice should be construed as written in connection with the promotion or marketing by others of the transaction(s) or matter(s) addressed in this



---

**From:** Stevan Mitchell <(b) (6)@theesa.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 02, 2011 12:34 PM  
**To:** Mehta, Probir J.  
**Subject:** RE: Echostar Satellite v. Viewtech

Perfect, thank you.

**From:** Mehta, Probir J. [[mailto:Probir\\_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov](mailto:Probir_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov)]  
**Sent:** Monday, May 02, 2011 12:33 PM  
**To:** Stevan Mitchell  
**Subject:** RE: Echostar Satellite v. Viewtech

Great idea. See you at Breadline? If its too busy, we can go next door to Roti.

**From:** Stevan Mitchell [[mailto:\(b\) \(6\)@theesa.com](mailto:(b) (6)@theesa.com)]  
**Sent:** Monday, May 02, 2011 12:32 PM  
**To:** Mehta, Probir J.  
**Subject:** RE: Echostar Satellite v. Viewtech

12:30 tomorrow works well and I appreciate casual these days. Any problem with Christian accompanying?

**From:** Mehta, Probir J. [[mailto:Probir\\_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov](mailto:Probir_Mehta@ustr.eop.gov)]  
**Sent:** Monday, May 02, 2011 12:31 PM  
**To:** Stevan Mitchell  
**Subject:** RE: Echostar Satellite v. Viewtech


Thanks, I'll read with great interest.

How does 12:30 tomorrow work? Do you want to meet somewhere casual, like Breadline?

**From:** Stevan Mitchell [[mailto:\(b\) \(6\)@theesa.com](mailto:(b) (6)@theesa.com)]  
**Sent:** Monday, May 02, 2011 11:32 AM  
**To:** Mehta, Probir J.  
**Subject:** FYI: Echostar Satellite v. Viewtech

Probir: Just checking in as you had inquired about the possibility of grabbing lunch tomorrow.

(b)(3) & (b)(5)



(b)(3) & (b)(5)

A copy of the order granting summary judgment is attached. I hope that you find it informative and let me know if I can be of additional assistance.

Steve