DEFENCE

DÉFENSE

# Canadian vehicle protection program (EO considerations)

International Symposium for Indirect Protection Systems

Dr Jean Fortin DRDC Valcartier 9-12 October 2012

÷



- Since the war in Afghanistan, the CF have been deeply involved in the procurement of armored fighting vehicle, e.g.:
  - TAPV: Tactical Armored Patrol Vehicle
  - CCV: Close Combat Vehicle
  - LAV III upgrade
  - Leopard 2



- Protection of the vehicle and their occupants was always considered on top of the priority list.
- Currently, industry can provide partial solutions but the technology evolves rapidly...
- There is a need **to understand** the most recent developments, **to explore unforeseen avenues** and **to develop**, in collaboration with allied countries, standard methods to characterize system effectiveness.



- Since the last 8-10 years, DRDC-Valcartier has been involved in numerous electro-optics (EO) projects related to vehicle protection.
  - Local Situational Awareness System (LSAS).
  - Visual Warning Technology (VWT).
  - Defensive Aids Suites (DAS) & Active Protection Systems (APS).
  - Hostile Fire Indication (HFI); EO and Acoustic Sensing.
  - Situational Awareness Technologies Evaluation (SITUATE).
  - Urban Gated Laser Retro-reflection Scanner (UGLARES).
  - High Energy Lasers for Defense Applications (HILDA).
  - *Thermal protection & camouflage.*
- Our goal is to progress toward full understanding of capabilities and the **synergy** of systems.







# **Visual Warning Technology**

- VWT goal:
  - Warn and dissuade vehicles or persons from encroaching specific or delimited perimeters.
  - Effective: 100m (day) / Visible: 300m (day).
  - Safe and easy to operate.
- What was provided to the CF:
  - 750 VWT devices and equipment
  - 21 000 protective lenses
  - 2 years of support
  - O&M training, training aids and/or simulation to support individual, collective and continuation training.







## **Visual Warning Technology**

- CF requested scientific support to:
  - Help identifying key parameters in system effectiveness and potential pitfalls.
  - Collaborate to the definition of SOR.
  - Risk reduction plan.
    - Define TTPs.
    - Plan to address the press.
    - Help with reviews.
      - Laser Safety Office , Army Medical Advisor,
      - Judge Advocate General , ADM(Policy).





#### The Ottawa Citizen:

Convention") as Protocol IV:

Army looks to lasers for convoy defence; High-tech 'dazzlers' temporarily blind drivers who ignore soldiers warnings.

"Protocol on Blinding Laser Weapons

(Protocol IV)



## **Toward DAS/APS Overall Performance**

- Defensive aids suite are either semi-autonomous or autonomous systems that when integrated on Land Vehicles are capable of detecting, classifying and providing effective warning/cueing and countermeasures for defined imminent or incoming threats.
- Determining the performance of a DAS is a complex process that requires good understanding of:
  - Threat behavior,
  - Sensor performance,
  - Countermeasure performance, and
  - System integration.
- There are significant "paradigm shifts" associated with this defensive capability.







## **Paradigm Shifts**

- Technical
  - Sensor requirement vs countermeasure performance/coverage
    - Pre-warning
    - Active/Passive
    - Effects and collateral
  - Processing / Networking
    - Real-time requirement (chain)
    - HMI (Manual/Auto/Sector)
    - Situational awareness (real-time information management)
- Legal / Political
  - Field usage of autonomous / semi-autonomous systems involves new CONOPS/TTPs/RoE.



Detection False alarms Tracking (accuracy) ID (speed, profile, etc)



## **Aim and Scope**



- Aim:
  - To foster Canadian expertise in the field of APS/DAS and pave the way to the procurement of a system tailored to Canadian requirements (context of operation, vehicle fleet, etc). Anticipate the issues related to safe use of this technology in the field to facilitate future procurement.
  - Entails significant pre-definition/definition work.
- Scope:
  - System technology.
    - TRL
  - System performance.
    - Test procedures
  - System procurement.
    - CONOPS/TTPs/RoE
  - Duration: ≈3 years





## **System Technology**



- 1. Assess the maturity of commercial systems and components.
  - Several concepts / Technology has evolved (high TRL achieved).
  - Interest from international community (NATO) in on the rise.
- 2. Determine the impact of DAS/APS integration on vehicle integrity, mobility & signature.
  - Space claim.
  - Vetronics, BMS, networking.
  - Non recurring engineering costs.
- 3. Perform a cost benefit analysis study.
  - Threat proliferation / kill probability.
  - Level of protection required.





#### Protection spectrum



## **System Performance**



- 1. Continue support STANAG 4686 (Performance levels of defensive aids suites (DAS) for armored vehicle).
- 2. Develop metric to determine overall system performance.
  - Meet national policies, legislation and safety standards.
  - False Alarms / Signature / ECM Vulnerability.
- 3. Adopt national procedure for testing.
  - Stress areas.
  - On-the-move.
  - Test vehicle (min integration).
- 4. Acquire test equipment and develop tools for data analysis and performance rating.
- 5. Develop infrastructures for testing.
- 6. Field trials to validate procedures.



## **System Procurement**

- 1. Understand collateral effects (blast, fragments, heat, EO, EM, toxicity).
  - Experiment on methods to quantify collateral effects.
  - Develop / improve test procedures.
  - Develop safety template.
- 2. Determine the impact of using autonomous/semi-autonomous systems during operations.
  - Crew.
  - Dismounted soldiers.
  - Joint.
- 3. Work with Canadian Army to refine operational requirements.





## Outcome



- Good understanding of latest technology trends.
  - Maturity of commercial and close-to-be commercial systems.
  - Timeline and strategy for procurement.
  - Refined requirements.
- CONOPS / TTPs.
- National test procedures including test equipment and data processing capability.
- Not limited to "conventional" approaches.



#### **High Intensity Laser for Defence Applications**

- Laser: May 16<sup>th</sup>,1960
- Invention of chemical lasers paved the way to MW powers
- High energy laser projects developed since 1962:
  - USAF 100 kW CO<sub>2</sub> laser used to shoot drone (1973)
  - USN shot an Army TOW missile (1978)
  - Airborne Laser Lab program launched (1976)
  - COIL laser appeared (1978)





- Chemical lasers have inherent issues
  - Logistics nightmare
    - THEL: Ethylene, nitrogen trifluoride, helium, deuterium, hydrogen fluoride...
    - ABL: Chlorine, iodine, hydrogen peroxide, potassium hydroxide/chloride..
  - Low efficiency
  - Expensive
  - Heavy
  - Fragile





#### **Advantages**

- Speed-of-light delivery
- Rapid retargeting
- Unlimited ammunition
- Low incremental cost per shot
- Exceptional accuracy and adjustability
- Flexibility
- Low collateral damages
- Quasi-stealth operation

#### **Issues to consider**

- Footprint / platform / application
- Laser-target interaction
- Line-of-sight operation
- Long range beam delivery
- Weather impact
- Maintenance and repair
- New standards
- Acceptance



- Since the early 2000s, the development, and commercial availability of fiber lasers drastically changed the situation.
  - High efficiency (30%)
  - Robust
  - Electrically powered
  - Cheap
- Compact fibre lasers operate in the kW regime
- ~ M\$ off-the-shelf equipment



Power Required to Affect Targets of Interest Increasing Lethality or Increasing Range for Same Effect Destroy Sensors at Destroy In-Terminal Destrov Disable Long Range Flight Artillery Defeat of Sensors Blind Sensors Truck Engine **Rockets** VSRBM Counter **Disable Ground-**Destroy TBM / Destroy Personnel **Based Radars TEL** Canister In-Flight **Destroy Soft Destroy Soft UAVs Artillery Shells** UAVs at at Long Range Short Range Currently **Destroy Power** Detonate Demonstrated Destryoy A/C and Destroy A/C and Land Mines Equipment / CMs at Short Range CMs at Long Range **Cell Towers** Available within ~2-10 Years Solid State Lasers **Chemical Lasers** 1 kW 10 kW 100 kW 1 MW **Power** Source: Northrop Grumman

DÉFENSE

#### **HILDA – Canadian Context**



#### • Aim:

- To develop a Canadian expertise and spearhead a capability on high power laser defence systems, with a focus on C-IED and-UXO operations, in order to assist the CF with their needs and requirements of directed energy systems.
- Scope:
  - Evaluate the performance and effects of a high power laser for a vast array of materials, ranges, and conditions.
  - Design and demonstrate optical components necessary to achieve the desired range.
  - Address laser safety issues.
  - Provide insights on vulnerabilities and protection.



#### **High Power Laser Characterization Laboratory**

- 10 kW laser
- Riedel chiller
- Standalone power generator
- IPG 2 cm collimator
- 3m firing range
- Brick / metal target containment zone
- Small (<30 cm) targets







## **High Power Laser Characterization Laboratory**



## Conclusion



- EO technologies are evolving extremely fast and cost/size/weight is going down.
- New protection concepts can be envisaged taking full advantage of the synergy between the systems.
- No silver bullet.
- The introduction of theses technologies in the field will require significant changes in:
  - Mind.
  - Operations.
- New vulnerabilities need to be analyzed.
- New protection means are required.

