Appendix D4 - View All Sales and by Market Area

Ratio Statistics All Sales Less than 1km to an IWT by View

Case Processing Summary

		Count	Percent
view	full	190	68.1%
	none	56	20.1%
	partial	33	11.8%
Overall		279	100.0%
Excluded		0	
Total		279	

Ratio Statistics for cva2012 / tas_amt

		95% Confidence Interval for Mean			95% Confid	dence Interval for	Median
Group	Mean	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Median	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Actual Coverage
full	1.054	1.029	1.078	1.032	1.001	1.060	95.0%
none	1.070	1.031	1.110	1.064	.998	1.092	95.6%
partial	1.007	.953	1.060	1.005	.952	1.057	96.5%
Overall	1.051	1.032	1.071	1.034	1.011	1.057	95.8%

The confidence interval for the median is constructed without any distribution assumptions. The actual coverage level may be greater than the specified level. Other confidence intervals are constructed by assuming a Normal distribution for the ratios.

Ratio Statistics All Sales 1km to 2km to an IWT by View

Case Processing Summary

		Count	Percent
view	full	239	24.2%
	none	647	65.4%
	partial	103	10.4%
Overall		989	100.0%
Excluded		0	
Total		989	

Ratio Statistics for cva2012 / tas_amt

		95% Confidence Interval for Mean			95% Confi	dence Interval for	Median
Group	Mean	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Median	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Actual Coverage
full	1.021	1.000	1.042	1.001	.981	1.026	96.2%
none	1.000	.988	1.012	.984	.972	.997	95.1%
partial	.999	.968	1.029	.980	.939	1.018	95.2%
Overall	1.005	.995	1.015	.989	.979	1.000	95.1%

The confidence interval for the median is constructed without any distribution assumptions. The actual coverage level may be greater than the specified level. Other confidence intervals are constructed by assuming a Normal distribution for the ratios.

Ratio Statistics Sales less than 1km to an IWT by View by Market Area

MODEL = 05RR030 Napanee,Loyalist Twp, Frontenac/Lennox & Addington Counties South Rural/WF

Case Processing Summary^a

		Count	Percent
view	full	8	61.5%
	none	2	15.4%
	partial	3	23.1%
Overall		13	100.0%
Excluded		0	
Total		13	

a. MODEL = 05RR030 Napanee,Loyalist Twp, Frontenac/Lennox & Addington Counties South Rural/WF

Ratio Statistics for cva2012 / tas_amta

		95% Confidence Interval for Mean			95% Confi	dence Interval for	Median
Group	Mean	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Median	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Actual Coverage
full	1.066	.900	1.232	1.083	.804	1.295	99.2%
none	1.068	-1.220	3.355	1.068	.888	1.248	100.0%
partial	.842	.538	1.146	.823	.731	.973	100.0%
Overall	1.015	.893	1.136	.981	.813	1.248	97.8%

The confidence interval for the median is constructed without any distribution assumptions. The actual coverage level may be greater than the specified level. Other confidence intervals are constructed by assuming a Normal distribution for the ratios.

MODEL = 20RR010 Brant, Halidmand, Norfolk Counties - Rural/WF

Case Processing Summary^a

		Count	Percent
view	full	12	48.0%
	none	12	48.0%
	partial	1	4.0%
Overall		25	100.0%
Excluded		0	
Total		25	

a. MODEL = 20RR010 Brant, Halidmand, Norfolk Counties - Rural/WF

Ratio Statistics for cva2012 / tas_amt^a

		95% Confidence Interval for Mean			95% Confi	dence Interval for	Median
Group	Mean	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Median	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Actual Coverage
full	1.059	.951	1.166	1.025	.920	1.172	96.1%
none	1.004	.926	1.082	.998	.875	1.118	96.1%
partial	1.212			1.212			
Overall	1.038	.977	1.100	1.020	.941	1.118	95.7%

The confidence interval for the median is constructed without any distribution assumptions. The actual coverage level may be greater than the specified level. Other confidence intervals are constructed by assuming a Normal distribution for the ratios.

a. MODEL = 05RR030 Napanee, Loyalist Twp, Frontenac/Lennox & Addington Counties South Rural/WF

a. MODEL = 20RR010 Brant, Halidmand, Norfolk Counties - Rural/WF

MODEL = 22RR010 Dufferin & Wellington Counties - Rural

Case Processing Summary^a

		Count	Percent
view	full	20	76.9%
	none	3	11.5%
	partial	3	11.5%
Overall		26	100.0%
Excluded		0	
Total		26	

a. MODEL = 22RR010 Dufferin & Wellington Counties - Rural

Ratio Statistics for cva2012 / tas_amta

		95% Confidence Interval for Mean			95% Confid	dence Interval for	Median
Group	Mean	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Median	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Actual Coverage
full	1.051	.965	1.136	1.036	.927	1.121	95.9%
none	1.030	.624	1.436	.943	.929	1.219	100.0%
partial	.998	.979	1.017	1.000	.990	1.005	100.0%
Overall	1.042	.975	1.110	1.010	.943	1.090	97.1%

The confidence interval for the median is constructed without any distribution assumptions. The actual coverage level may be greater than the specified level. Other confidence intervals are constructed by assuming a Normal distribution for the ratios.

MODEL = 23RR010 Elgin, Middlesex & Oxford Counties - Rural

Case Processing Summary^a

		Count	Percent
view	full	2	50.0%
	partial	2	50.0%
Overall		4	100.0%
Excluded		0	
Total		4	

a. MODEL = 23RR010 Elgin, Middlesex & Oxford Counties - Rural

Ratio Statistics for cva2012 / tas_amt^a

		95% Confidence Interval for Mean			95% Confi	dence Interval for	Median
							Actual
Group	Mean	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Median	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Coverage
full	1.059	.635	1.482	1.059	1.025	1.092	100.0%
partial	1.088	.406	1.770	1.088	1.034	1.142	100.0%
Overall	1.073	.987	1.160	1.063	1.025	1.142	100.0%

The confidence interval for the median is constructed without any distribution assumptions. The actual coverage level may be greater than the specified level. Other confidence intervals are constructed by assuming a Normal distribution for the ratios.

MODEL = 24RR010 Huron & Perth Counties - Rural/Waterfront

a. MODEL = 22RR010 Dufferin & Wellington Counties - Rural

a. MODEL = 23RR010 Elgin, Middlesex & Oxford Counties - Rural

	Count	Percent
view full	2	100.0%
Overall	2	100.0%
Excluded	0	
Total	2	

a. MODEL = 24RR010 Huron & Perth Counties - Rural/Waterfront

Ratio Statistics for cva2012 / tas_amta

		95% Confidence Interval for Mean			95% Confi	dence Interval for	Median
Group	Mean	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Median	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Actual Coverage
full	1.219	.478	1.960	1.219	1.161	1.277	100.0%
Overall	1.219	.478	1.960	1.219	1.161	1.277	100.0%

The confidence interval for the median is constructed without any distribution assumptions. The actual coverage level may be greater than the specified level. Other confidence intervals are constructed by assuming a Normal distribution for the ratios.

MODEL = 25RR010 Grey & Bruce Counties - Rural/Waterfront

Case Processing Summary^a

		Count	Percent
view	full	10	83.3%
	none	1	8.3%
	partial	1	8.3%
Overall		12	100.0%
Excluded		0	
Total		12	

a. MODEL = 25RR010 Grey & Bruce Counties - Rural/Waterfront

Ratio Statistics for cva2012 / tas_amta

		95% Confidence Interval for Mean			95% Confid	dence Interval for	Median
Group	Mean	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Median	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Actual Coverage
full	1.126	.914	1.338	1.045	.917	1.271	97.9%
none	1.444			1.444			
partial	.875			.875			
Overall	1.131	.944	1.318	1.045	.917	1.271	96.1%

The confidence interval for the median is constructed without any distribution assumptions. The actual coverage level may be greater than the specified level. Other confidence intervals are constructed by assuming a Normal distribution for the ratios.

MODEL = 26RR010 Chatham-Kent - Rural/Wallaceburg

a. MODEL = 24RR010 Huron & Perth Counties - Rural/Waterfront

a. MODEL = 25RR010 Grey & Bruce Counties - Rural/Waterfront

		Count	Percent
view	full	61	73.5%
	none	16	19.3%
	partial	6	7.2%
Overall		83	100.0%
Excluded		0	
Total		83	

a. MODEL = 26RR010 Chatham-Kent - Rural/Wallaceburg

Ratio Statistics for cva2012 / tas_amta

		95% Confidence Interval for Mean			95% Confi	dence Interval for	Median
Group	Mean	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Median	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Actual Coverage
full	1.088	1.043	1.133	1.048	1.024	1.097	96.0%
none	1.094	1.008	1.180	1.075	.998	1.260	97.9%
partial	1.032	.948	1.115	1.049	.926	1.129	96.9%
Overall	1.085	1.048	1.122	1.055	1.036	1.087	95.2%

The confidence interval for the median is constructed without any distribution assumptions. The actual coverage level may be greater than the specified level. Other confidence intervals are constructed by assuming a Normal distribution for the ratios.

MODEL = 26RR030 Lambton County - Rural/WF

Case Processing Summary^a

		Count	Percent
view	partial	1	100.0%
Overall		1	100.0%
Excluded		0	
Total		1	

a. MODEL = 26RR030 Lambton County - Rural/WF

Ratio Statistics for cva2012 / tas_amta

	95% Confidence Interval for Mean			95% Confi	dence Interval for	Median	
Group	Mean	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Median	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Actual Coverage
partial	.862			.862			
Overall	.862			.862			

The confidence interval for the median is constructed without any distribution assumptions. The actual coverage level may be greater than the specified level. Other confidence intervals are constructed by assuming a Normal distribution for the ratios.

MODEL = 27RR120 Essex County

a. MODEL = 26RR010 Chatham-Kent - Rural/Wallaceburg

a. MODEL = 26RR030 Lambton County - Rural/WF

		Count	Percent
view	full	74	66.1%
	none	22	19.6%
	partial	16	14.3%
Overall		112	100.0%
Excluded		0	
Total		112	

a. MODEL = 27RR120 Essex County

Ratio Statistics for cva2012 / tas_amta

		95% Confidence Interval for Mean			95% Confid	dence Interval for	Median
Group	Mean	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Median	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Actual Coverage
full	1.009	.976	1.041	.993	.964	1.048	95.3%
none	1.078	1.024	1.132	1.058	.985	1.162	98.3%
partial	1.024	.928	1.120	1.035	.885	1.214	97.9%
Overall	1.024	.997	1.052	1.005	.981	1.051	95.3%

The confidence interval for the median is constructed without any distribution assumptions. The actual coverage level may be greater than the specified level. Other confidence intervals are constructed by assuming a Normal distribution for the ratios.

MODEL = 27UR070 Lasalle, Tecumseh, Lakeshore Urban & Essex Urban

Case Processing Summary^a

	Count	Percent
view full	1	100.0%
Overall	1	100.0%
Excluded	0	
Total	1	

a. MODEL = 27UR070 Lasalle, Tecumseh, Lakeshore Urban & Essex Urban

Ratio Statistics for cva2012 / tas_amta

		95% Confidence Interval for Mean			95% Confi	dence Interval for	Median
Group	Mean	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Median	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Actual Coverage
full	1.138			1.138			
Overall	1.138			1.138			

The confidence interval for the median is constructed without any distribution assumptions. The actual coverage level may be greater than the specified level. Other confidence intervals are constructed by assuming a Normal distribution for the ratios.

Ratio Statistics Sales 1km to 2km to an IWT by View by Market Area

MODEL = 05RR030 Napanee,Loyalist Twp, Frontenac/Lennox & Addington Counties South Rural/WF

a. MODEL = 27RR120 Essex County

a. MODEL = 27UR070 Lasalle, Tecumseh, Lakeshore Urban & Essex Urban

		Count	Percent
view	none	5	71.4%
	partial	2	28.6%
Overall		7	100.0%
Excluded		0	
Total		7	

a. MODEL = 05RR030 Napanee,Loyalist Twp, Frontenac/Lennox & Addington Counties South Rural/WF

Ratio Statistics for cva2012 / tas_amta

		95% Confidence Interval for Mean			95% Confid	dence Interval for	Median
							Actual
Group	Mean	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Median	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Coverage
none	.944	.616	1.272	1.103	.655	1.197	100.0%
partial	1.354	-1.482	4.191	1.354	1.131	1.578	100.0%
Overall	1.061	.764	1.358	1.105	.655	1.578	98.4%

The confidence interval for the median is constructed without any distribution assumptions. The actual coverage level may be greater than the specified level. Other confidence intervals are constructed by assuming a Normal distribution for the ratios.

MODEL = 20RR010 Brant, Halidmand, Norfolk Counties - Rural/WF

Case Processing Summary^a

		Count	Percent
view	full	2	22.2%
	none	7	77.8%
Overall		9	100.0%
Excluded		0	
Total		9	

a. MODEL = 20RR010 Brant, Halidmand, Norfolk Counties - Rural/WF

Ratio Statistics for cva2012 / tas_amt^a

		95% Confidence Interval for Mean			95% Confi	dence Interval for	Median
							Actual
Group	Mean	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Median	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Coverage
full	1.109	-2.798	5.016	1.109	.801	1.417	100.0%
none	.919	.819	1.018	.933	.783	1.112	98.4%
Overall	.961	.808	1.113	.933	.801	1.112	96.1%

The confidence interval for the median is constructed without any distribution assumptions. The actual coverage level may be greater than the specified level. Other confidence intervals are constructed by assuming a Normal distribution for the ratios.

MODEL = 22RR010 Dufferin & Wellington Counties - Rural

a. MODEL = 05RR030 Napanee, Loyalist Twp, Frontenac/Lennox & Addington Counties South Rural/WF

a. MODEL = 20RR010 Brant, Halidmand, Norfolk Counties - Rural/WF

		Count	Percent
view	full	10	40.0%
	none	7	28.0%
	partial	8	32.0%
Overall		25	100.0%
Excluded		0	
Total		25	

a. MODEL = 22RR010 Dufferin & Wellington Counties - Rural

Ratio Statistics for cva2012 / tas_amt^a

		95% Confidence Interval for Mean			95% Confid	dence Interval for	Median
Group	Mean	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Median	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Actual Coverage
full	1.016	.900	1.132	1.032	.852	1.158	97.9%
none	1.074	.924	1.225	1.086	.853	1.341	98.4%
partial	.990	.799	1.182	1.120	.623	1.189	99.2%
Overall	1.024	.949	1.099	1.071	.921	1.137	95.7%

The confidence interval for the median is constructed without any distribution assumptions. The actual coverage level may be greater than the specified level. Other confidence intervals are constructed by assuming a Normal distribution for the ratios.

MODEL = 22UR030 Wellington County Villages

Case Processing Summary^a

		Count	Percent
view	full	6	6.5%
	none	81	88.0%
	partial	5	5.4%
Overall		92	100.0%
Excluded		0	
Total		92	

a. MODEL = 22UR030 Wellington County Villages

Ratio Statistics for cva2012 / tas amta

		95% Confidence Interval for Mean			95% Confi	dence Interval for	Median
Group	Mean	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Median	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Actual Coverage
full	.879	.837	.922	.887	.831	.929	96.9%
none	.958	.923	.993	.954	.911	.972	95.5%
partial	.950	.864	1.036	.980	.864	1.015	100.0%
Overall	.952	.922	.983	.948	.905	.967	95.3%

The confidence interval for the median is constructed without any distribution assumptions. The actual coverage level may be greater than the specified level. Other confidence intervals are constructed by assuming a Normal distribution for the ratios.

MODEL = 23RR010 Elgin, Middlesex & Oxford Counties - Rural

a. MODEL = 22RR010 Dufferin & Wellington Counties - Rural

a. MODEL = 22UR030 Wellington County Villages

		Count	Percent
view	full	2	3.8%
	none	48	92.3%
	partial	2	3.8%
Overall		52	100.0%
Excluded		0	
Total		52	

a. MODEL = 23RR010 Elgin, Middlesex & Oxford Counties - Rural

Ratio Statistics for cva2012 / tas_amta

		95% Confidence Interval for Mean			95% Confid	dence Interval for	Median
Group	Mean	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Median	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Actual Coverage
full	.954	151	2.058	.954	.867	1.041	100.0%
none	.987	.929	1.046	.935	.899	1.023	97.1%
partial	1.190	-2.956	5.337	1.190	.864	1.517	100.0%
Overall	.994	.936	1.052	.935	.899	1.023	96.4%

The confidence interval for the median is constructed without any distribution assumptions. The actual coverage level may be greater than the specified level. Other confidence intervals are constructed by assuming a Normal distribution for the ratios.

MODEL = 24RR010 Huron & Perth Counties - Rural/Waterfront

Case Processing Summary^a

		Count	Percent
view	full	2	66.7%
	partial	1	33.3%
Overall		3	100.0%
Excluded		0	
Total		3	

a. MODEL = 24RR010 Huron & Perth Counties - Rural/Waterfront

Ratio Statistics for cva2012 / tas_amta

		95% Confidence Interval for Mean			95% Confi	dence Interval for	Median
							Actual
Group	Mean	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Median	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Coverage
full	1.212	.675	1.749	1.212	1.170	1.254	100.0%
partial	1.036			1.036			
Overall	1.153	.879	1.427	1.170	1.036	1.254	100.0%

The confidence interval for the median is constructed without any distribution assumptions. The actual coverage level may be greater than the specified level. Other confidence intervals are constructed by assuming a Normal distribution for the ratios.

MODEL = 25RR010 Grey & Bruce Counties - Rural/Waterfront

a. MODEL = 23RR010 Elgin, Middlesex & Oxford Counties - Rural

a. MODEL = 24RR010 Huron & Perth Counties - Rural/Waterfront

		Count	Percent
view	full	13	68.4%
	none	4	21.1%
	partial	2	10.5%
Overall		19	100.0%
Excluded		0	
Total		19	

a. MODEL = 25RR010 Grey & Bruce Counties - Rural/Waterfront

Ratio Statistics for cva2012 / tas_amt^a

		95% Confidence Interval for Mean			95% Confid	dence Interval for	Median
Group	Mean	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Median	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Actual Coverage
full	1.073	.979	1.167	1.048	.977	1.188	97.8%
none	.966	.824	1.107	.981	.852	1.049	100.0%
partial	.956	.374	1.538	.956	.910	1.002	100.0%
Overall	1.038	.969	1.107	1.029	.941	1.092	98.1%

The confidence interval for the median is constructed without any distribution assumptions. The actual coverage level may be greater than the specified level. Other confidence intervals are constructed by assuming a Normal distribution for the ratios.

MODEL = 25UR010 Grey & Bruce Counties - Urban

Case Processing Summary^a

		Count	Percent
view	none	15	93.8%
	partial	1	6.3%
Overall		16	100.0%
Excluded		0	
Total		16	

a. MODEL = 25UR010 Grey & Bruce Counties - Urban

Ratio Statistics for cva2012 / tas_amta

		95% Confidence Interval for Mean			95% Confi	dence Interval for	Median
							Actual
Group	Mean	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Median	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Coverage
none	1.025	.965	1.085	1.026	.928	1.134	96.5%
partial	.746			.746			
Overall	1.007	.940	1.075	1.026	.899	1.134	97.9%

The confidence interval for the median is constructed without any distribution assumptions. The actual coverage level may be greater than the specified level. Other confidence intervals are constructed by assuming a Normal distribution for the ratios.

MODEL = 26RR010 Chatham-Kent - Rural/Wallaceburg

a. MODEL = 25RR010 Grey & Bruce Counties - Rural/Waterfront

a. MODEL = 25UR010 Grey & Bruce Counties - Urban

		Count	Percent
view	full	78	26.0%
	none	196	65.3%
	partial	26	8.7%
Overall		300	100.0%
Excluded		0	
Total		300	

a. MODEL = 26RR010 Chatham-Kent - Rural/Wallaceburg

Ratio Statistics for cva2012 / tas_amta

		95% Confidence Interval for Mean			95% Confi	dence Interval for	Median
Group	Mean	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Median	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Actual Coverage
full	1.027	.981	1.073	.999	.958	1.030	96.9%
none	1.031	1.007	1.055	1.012	.991	1.040	96.2%
partial	.989	.936	1.041	.972	.924	1.056	97.1%
Overall	1.027	1.007	1.047	1.006	.983	1.027	95.7%

The confidence interval for the median is constructed without any distribution assumptions. The actual coverage level may be greater than the specified level. Other confidence intervals are constructed by assuming a Normal distribution for the ratios.

MODEL = 26RR030 Lambton County - Rural/WF

Case Processing Summary^a

		Count	Percent
view	full	1	4.3%
	none	20	87.0%
	partial	2	8.7%
Overall		23	100.0%
Excluded		0	
Total		23	

a. MODEL = 26RR030 Lambton County - Rural/WF

Ratio Statistics for cva2012 / tas amta

		95% Confidence Interval for Mean			95% Confi	dence Interval for	Median
Group	Mean	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Median	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Actual Coverage
full	.841			.841			
none	1.004	.965	1.042	1.002	.945	1.049	95.9%
partial	.968	.647	1.289	.968	.943	.993	100.0%
Overall	.993	.957	1.030	.993	.943	1.030	96.5%

The confidence interval for the median is constructed without any distribution assumptions. The actual coverage level may be greater than the specified level. Other confidence intervals are constructed by assuming a Normal distribution for the ratios.

MODEL = 27RR120 Essex County

a. MODEL = 26RR010 Chatham-Kent - Rural/Wallaceburg

a. MODEL = 26RR030 Lambton County - Rural/WF

		Count	Percent
view	full	99	36.4%
	none	132	48.5%
	partial	41	15.1%
Overall		272	100.0%
Excluded		0	
Total		272	

a. MODEL = 27RR120 Essex County

Ratio Statistics for cva2012 / tas_amta

		95% Confidence Interval for Mean			95% Confid	dence Interval for	Median
Group	Mean	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Median	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Actual Coverage
full	1.011	.983	1.039	.999	.977	1.024	95.6%
none	.981	.961	1.001	.983	.961	.997	95.5%
partial	.989	.945	1.033	.948	.920	1.029	97.2%
Overall	.993	.978	1.008	.984	.969	1.000	95.5%

The confidence interval for the median is constructed without any distribution assumptions. The actual coverage level may be greater than the specified level. Other confidence intervals are constructed by assuming a Normal distribution for the ratios.

MODEL = 27UR070 Lasalle, Tecumseh, Lakeshore Urban & Essex Urban

Case Processing Summary^a

		Count	Percent
view	full	25	16.2%
	none	116	75.3%
	partial	13	8.4%
Overall		154	100.0%
Excluded		0	
Total		154	

a. MODEL = 27UR070 Lasalle, Tecumseh, Lakeshore Urban & Essex Urban

Ratio Statistics for cva2012 / tas amta

		95% Confidence Interval for Mean			95% Confid	dence Interval for	Median
Group	Mean	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Median	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Actual Coverage
full	1.052	.997	1.107	1.036	.983	1.125	95.7%
none	1.003	.980	1.027	.980	.948	1.009	96.8%
partial	1.016	.945	1.087	1.014	.934	1.121	97.8%
Overall	1.012	.992	1.033	.992	.971	1.020	95.6%

The confidence interval for the median is constructed without any distribution assumptions. The actual coverage level may be greater than the specified level. Other confidence intervals are constructed by assuming a Normal distribution for the ratios.

MODEL = 31RR010 District of Algoma

a. MODEL = 27RR120 Essex County

a. MODEL = 27UR070 Lasalle, Tecumseh, Lakeshore Urban & Essex Urban

	Count	Percent
view none	5	100.0%
Overall	5	100.0%
Excluded	0	
Total	5	

a. MODEL = 31RR010 District of Algoma

Ratio Statistics for cva2012 / tas_amt^a

		95% Confidence Interval for Mean			95% Confidence Interval for Median		Median
Group	Mean	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Median	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Actual Coverage
none	1.036	.763	1.310	1.058	.800	1.351	100.0%
Overall	1.036	.763	1.310	1.058	.800	1.351	100.0%

The confidence interval for the median is constructed without any distribution assumptions. The actual coverage level may be greater than the specified level. Other confidence intervals are constructed by assuming a Normal distribution for the ratios.

MODEL = 31UR010 Sault Ste. Marie/Prince Twp

Case Processing Summary^a

		Count	Percent
view	full	1	8.3%
	none	11	91.7%
Overall		12	100.0%
Excluded		0	
Total		12	

a. MODEL = 31UR010 Sault Ste. Marie/Prince Twp

Ratio Statistics for cva2012 / tas_amta

		95% Confidence Interval for Mean			95% Confidence Interval for Median		
							Actual
Group	Mean	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Median	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Coverage
full	.739			.739			
none	.980	.830	1.130	.963	.714	1.267	98.8%
Overall	.960	.818	1.102	.948	.739	1.062	96.1%

The confidence interval for the median is constructed without any distribution assumptions. The actual coverage level may be greater than the specified level. Other confidence intervals are constructed by assuming a Normal distribution for the ratios.

a. MODEL = 31RR010 District of Algoma

a. MODEL = 31UR010 Sault Ste. Marie/Prince Twp