

The coup, then and now

The enemies of humanity try to give Trump the JFK treatment (Part 1)

By Anton Chaitkin

The author of Treason in America and co-author of The Unauthorised Biography of George Bush, historian Anton Chaitkin has for half a century continued his family's tradition of fighting fascism. His father Jacob Chaitkin was chief strategist and legal director of the American Jewish Congress's boycott of Nazi Germany in the 1930s. His article, written for the 29 May 2017 centenary of the birth of President John F. Kennedy, is serialised in this and the next four issues of the Australian Almanac.

29 May—The Anglo-American oligarchy began a coup against President Donald Trump after his surprise 2016 election. They were in a *panic* to block his announced aims of partnership with Russia, the end of permanent war, the overturn of predatory Free Trade, and the return of Glass Steagall to break Wall Street's power. The panic turned into a *frenzy* on the Russian angle, as it emerged that Trump had been working with strategic advisors who were prepared to return the United States to its traditional support for national sovereignty, and drop the regime-change insanity pursued by Presidents Bush and Obama.

We have seen this kind of coup d'état before, against the outstanding nationalist US President of the second half of the 20th century, John F. Kennedy. We have lived in the shadow of that coup ever since.

Perhaps throwing some new light on those events and, most importantly, what Kennedy himself understood about them, can help us see our way now to sanity and survival.

In this report, we will focus on two leading mortal opponents of JFK, Allen Dulles and Lyman Lemnitzer, the first in the spy world, and the other in the military. Although they were Americans, we will situate them as they saw themselves, internationally: they were men of the London-centered power structure that ran the Cold War against President Franklin Roosevelt's design for peace at the end of World War II, that warred on President Kennedy, and that now pushes for world war.

1. Dulles and Lemnitzer betray President Roosevelt

In November 1942, Allen Dulles set up shop in the Swiss capital, Bern, in collaboration with the British secret intelligence service station chief in that city, Frederick Vanden Heuvel.

Allen Dulles was the most prominent American attorney for the Morgan, Rockefeller and Harriman financial and political interests, interests closely allied to the British Crown and the City of London. He was nominally a high officer of President Roosevelt's Office of Strategic Services (OSS) intelligence organisation. But Dulles and the President were the deepest of enemies.

A month before Dulles arrived in Bern, the Roosevelt administration had used the *Trading with the Enemy Act* to confiscate shares in a Nazi-front banking apparatus ("Union Banking Corporation") run from the New York offices of a core client of Allen and his brother John Foster Dulles, Brown Brothers Harriman.¹ The Harriman parent enterprise was the

world's largest private investment bank, closely connected to the Bank of England. Its attorneys, the Dulles brothers, had long acted as that bank's intermediaries with the Hitler regime.

In Bern, Dulles and Vanden Heuvel began conferring with their Nazi contacts on how German forces would be redeployed against the Soviet Union, America's ally against Hitler, after Britain and the United States would conclude what they hoped would be a separate peace deal with the Nazis.

The British intelligence strategist Vanden Heuvel and Dulles met in February 1943 with a representative of the Nazi SS ("storm troopers")—the section of the German regime then in charge of exterminating the Jews. The SS spokesman was a German prince from Czechoslovakia, Max Egon Hohenlohe,² Dulles's friend of 20 years.

In reporting on those 1943 discussions in Bern, Hohenlohe said that Dulles told him the post-war arrangements must permit "the existence of a 'Greater Germany' which would include Austria and a section of Czechoslovakia. This ... would be a part of 'a cordon sanitaire against Bolshevism and pan-Slavism' which ... would be 'the best guarantee of order and progress in Central and Eastern Europe.'"³

Meanwhile, President Franklin D. Roosevelt conferred with British Prime Minister Winston Churchill at Casablanca, Morocco in January 1943. Roosevelt declared that "unconditional surrender" of the Nazis must be the firm policy of the Allies. FDR, using the terminology of American Civil War General Ulysses S. Grant, emphasised that German war-power must be ended completely, as opposed to London's idea of shifting Germany into action against Russia. Churchill was shocked by Roosevelt's stance; although he made no rebuttal, he never accepted this standpoint.

Russia had long been a target in British geopolitical wars. The British Empire abhorred the potential rise in Eurasia of national industrial powers that could challenge its global hegemony, which was based on free trade, control of

of President George H.W. Bush), three Nazi executives, and two other Harriman partners. The UBC had been created in the 1920s for a single client, Fritz Thyssen, Adolf Hitler's chief political fundraiser. See also Anton Chaitkin and Webster Tarpley, *George Bush: The Unauthorised Biography* (Washington: Executive Intelligence Review, 1992), pp. 26-44. 2. Prince Max Hohenlohe was loosely related to British royalty, and had holdings in Spain and Mexico, besides his estates in Czechoslovakia's Sudetenland. He longed for a return to the feudal imperial world of the Habsburgs. Back in 1938, Prince Max had helped bring the British and Nazi-German governments to the ill-fated agreement at Munich, allowing Hitler to take control of Czechoslovakia. London interests then joined Berlin in looting the subdued Czechs. The whole swindle soon blew up in World War II.

3. Stephen Dorril, *MI6: Inside the Covert World of Her Majesty's Secret Intelligence Service* (New York: The Free Press, 2000), p. 168.

1. On 24 October 1942, the US Alien Property Custodian issued Vesting Order 248, seizing the shares in "Union Banking Corporation" held by E. Roland Harriman (brother of Averell Harriman), Prescott Bush (father

financial flows, and supremacy on the seas. Most greatly feared was any alliance between Russia and the United States, two transcontinental nations whose best thinkers came to see themselves as natural allies—a relationship that took shape through the close Russian study of Alexander Hamilton's nation-building economics in the early 19th century; American participation in building Russia's first railroads in the 1830s; great popular support for Russia by Americans when Russia was under attack by Britain in the 1850s Crimean War; Russian Tsar Alexander II's military backing of President Abraham Lincoln and the Union against the London-sponsored Confederacy; and the late-19th century surge of Russian industry under the guidance of Finance Minister Count Sergei Witte, a practitioner of Hamiltonian "American System" economics.

In the course of its long drive in the late 19th century to disrupt the spread of the American System in Europe, especially through pitting Germany and Russia against each other, Britain sponsored the 1905 war by its ally Japan, which destabilised Russia and led, in 1917, to upheavals that London tried to control. But the British did not succeed in controlling the Bolshevik Revolution or the subsequent policies of Lenin and Stalin in the Soviet Union; and when Russia could not be controlled through agents and allies within, the traditional British practice was to seek to weaken it by war.

British interests and their Wall Street partners had backed the rise of Hitler, largely on the logic that Hitler would make war on Russia. Britain only began really opposing Hitler when he turned his forces west, toward them, in 1940.

Once the United States joined the war against Germany, fascist Italy, and Japan at the end of 1941, Churchill worked to prolong the conflict, while Russians were dying by the millions fighting the Nazis, who had invaded in June of that year. Churchill prevented, until 1944, a direct western invasion through France to hit Germany. Churchill's chief factional allies in this stalling tactic were General Bernard Montgomery, commander of the British Eighth Army, and Montgomery's superior officer, General Harold Alexander, Britain's Mediterranean commander, a high English aristocrat close to the Royal Family.

President Roosevelt was well aware of the British and Wall Street perfidy. When he returned home from Casablanca, Roosevelt explained the unconditional surrender doctrine to the American people:

"[U]nless the peace that follows [this war] recognises that the whole world is one neighborhood and does justice to the whole human race, the germs of another world war will remain as a constant threat to mankind...."

"In an attempt to ward off the inevitable disaster that lies ahead of them, the Axis propagandists are trying all their old tricks, in order to divide the United Nations. They seek to create the idea that if we win this war, Russia, and England, and China, and the United States are going to get into a cat-and-dog fight.

"This is their final effort to turn one Nation against another, in the vain hope that they may settle with one or two at a time—that any of us may be so gullible and so forgetful as to be duped into making 'deals' at the expense of our allies.

"To these panicky attempts—and that is the best word to use: 'panicky'—to escape the consequences of their crimes, we say—all the United Nations say—that the only terms on which we shall deal with any Axis Government, or any Axis

factions, are the terms proclaimed at Casablanca: 'unconditional surrender.' We know, and the plain people of our enemies will eventually know, that in our uncompromising policy we mean no harm to the common people of the Axis Nations. But we do mean to impose punishment and retribution in full upon their guilty, barbaric leaders.

"The Nazis must be frantic—not just panicky, but frantic if they believe that they can devise any propaganda that would turn the British and the American and the Chinese Governments and peoples against Russia—or Russia against the rest of us.

"The overwhelming courage and endurance of the Russian people in withstanding and hurling back the invaders—the genius with which their great armies have been directed and led by Mr Stalin and their military commanders—all speak for themselves".⁴

London's stalling tactics succeeded in diverting Anglo-American military force into North Africa and across into Italy, beginning with the invasion of Sicily. Decades of geopolitical mischief would be set afoot from the British position in Italy.

Relations between the American and British allies were deeply mistrustful in July 1943, as they began moving into Sicily. On the premise that American troops were inferior in fighting quality to the British, General Alexander initially ordered US General George Patton to keep his forces lagging behind those of General Montgomery, for a long slog through the island. The American liaison officer on Alexander's staff, Gen. Clarence Huebner, angered Gen. Alexander by maneuvering to help Patton break out of the British grip and race past Montgomery towards victory in Sicily.

The too-Yankee Huebner was kicked out of Alexander's entourage.

Enter Lyman Lemnitzer

General Lyman Lemnitzer replaced Huebner (25 July 1943) as the US liaison with the British Mediterranean commander. Lemnitzer, an American of ordinary birth and great ambition, looked up to the British aristocracy, and to High Society folks, as lords of the world's great and important affairs. Lemnitzer had a "passion for keeping out of the limelight", "rarely read a book", and "could speak no foreign languages".⁵

But Harold Alexander became his revered mentor⁶ and under that British general's sponsorship throughout his subsequent career, Lemnitzer rose to the highest American military rank.

Lemnitzer had a pathetically worshipful attitude towards the oligarchs, and what he assumed to be the magic of their secrets. His authorised biographer hints that this state of mind was reflected in the General's pride in having risen to the highest levels of Freemasonry.⁷

4. Franklin D. Roosevelt, *Address to the White House Correspondents' Association*, 12 February 1943. Roosevelt had in mind the immense Soviet death toll, which would reach over 27 million civilians and soldiers, in fighting Hitler. This Russian sacrifice on humanity's behalf would be brought up by President Kennedy in his famous 1963 peace speech at American University.

5. James L. Binder, *Lemnitzer: A Soldier for His Time* (Washington and London: Brassey's, 1997). This is the authorised Lemnitzer biography, written with the cooperation of the general's family and his Anglo-American military faction.

6. Binder, *Lemnitzer*, see chapter entitled "The Mentor", pp. 106-125.

7. Binder, *Lemnitzer*, pp. 9-10. "When Lemnitzer sat for formal

General Harold Alexander was the son of the Earl of Caledon, and an aide-de-camp to King George VI. The general had been a high officer of the Masonic Grand Lodge of England, the governing body of British empire freemasonry, in which princes of the Royal Family have traditionally been Grand Masters.

Lord Alexander was a master of the Athlumney Masonic Lodge, whose initiates were usually also members of White's—the legendary London gentlemen's club at whose elegant bar MI6 director Stewart Menzies conducted "much of the informal business" of the Secret Intelligence Service (MI6) during and after World War II.⁸


For the war's last two years, 1943-1945, Gen. Lemnitzer organised meetings for Gen. Alexander with King George VI, Winston Churchill, Harold MacMillan, and other British leaders, travelling back and forth from Gen. Alexander's headquarters in a vast palace at Caserta, Italy, to the royal precincts of London.

Operation Sunrise

On 1 March 1945, as Allied armies were finally rushing through Germany to terminate the war against Hitler, President Roosevelt reported to Congress on his just completed meeting with Soviet Premier Josef Stalin and Churchill at Yalta on the Crimean Peninsula in the Soviet Union.

Roosevelt reiterated that Nazi unconditional surrender meant American-Soviet post-war cooperation in running the affairs of both eastern and western Europe; that "the political and economic problems of any area liberated from Nazi conquest ... are a joint responsibility of all three Governments"—the USA, Britain, and the USSR. He insisted that the coming peace should be the end of the failed system of "exclusive alliances, the spheres of influence, the balances of power"—i.e., the old British system of divide-and-rule.

But at that moment Dulles had already begun secret negotiations in Bern with German Gen. Karl Wolff,⁹ head of the SS forces in Italy, for Britain and the USA to reach



US General Lyman Lemnitzer (left) and his aristocratic British mentor General Harold Alexander (right). Behind President Roosevelt's back, Lemnitzer worked to further Britain's imperial policy of continuing WWII, but against Russia. Photos: Wikipedia

a separate peace with Germany, allowing the redeployment of German assets against Russia. On 13 March British commander Harold Alexander sent the American General Lemnitzer (accompanied by British General Terence Airey, an intelligence officer on Alexander's staff) to Switzerland, to continue these talks. Dulles, Lemnitzer, Airey and Wolff now met repeatedly in Lugano, Switzerland.

These talks came to be known as *Operation Sunrise*. Dulles and Lemnitzer would gain great notoriety, and applause in London, for this betrayal of their Commander-in-Chief.

Roosevelt was told only what Dulles and the British wanted him to think—that the talks with Gen. Wolff were merely preliminary, to arrange a meeting with Gen. Alexander at his Caserta headquarters to negotiate a surrender.

Soviet Foreign Minister Vyacheslav Molotov sent a letter to the American ambassador in Moscow, Averell Harriman, on 22 March, protesting that the Dulles/British meetings had been occurring for two weeks behind the back of the Soviets. From Roosevelt's reply,¹⁰ it appears the President

photographs or was otherwise conscious of the camera, he almost always turned the back of his left hand toward the lens so that the ring on his third finger would show. It was his West Point class ring, but the reason he displayed it so prominently was that it also carried the Masonic emblem. The general took his masonic obligations very seriously; he joined the freemasons in 1922 when he was a young lieutenant at Fort Adams, Rhode Island, eventually became a 32nd Degree Mason, and finally attained the honorary rank of 33rd Degree. He was a member of the Masons' Shrine, whose charitable work for orphans probably helped influence his strong interest in Korean orphanages when he was [later] Far East commander in chief. A sure way of getting the general's attention was to identify yourself as a Mason; military members of all ranks wrote to him, addressing him as 'brother' and being addressed the same way in Lemnitzer's reply."

8. Dorril, *MI6*, p. 3.

9. Karl Wolff had been chief of personal staff to SS boss Heinrich Himmler, and later was Himmler's intermediary with Hitler. Wolff had supervised the deportation of Jews from the Warsaw Ghetto to be exterminated. He wrote from Hitler's headquarters to Nazi railway chief Albert Ganzenmüller on 13 August 1942, referring to shipment of victims to the Treblinka death camp: "I note with particular pleasure from your communication that a train with 5,000 members of the chosen people has been running daily for 14 days and that we are accordingly in a position to continue with this population movement at an accelerated pace.... I thank you once again for the effort and at the same time wish to ask you to continue monitoring these things. With best wishes and Heil Hitler, yours sincerely W." Kerstin von Lingen, *Allen Dulles, the OSS, and Nazi War Criminals: The Dynamics of Selective Prosecution* (Cambridge University Press, 2013), p. 216.

10. Michigan State University, "[Seventeen Moments in Soviet History](#)", Roosevelt to Stalin, 25 March 1945:

"[I have received the contents of] a letter ... from Mr. Molotov regarding an investigation being made by Field Marshal Alexander into a reported possibility of obtaining the surrender of part or all of the German army in Italy. In this letter Mr. Molotov demands that, because of the non-participation therein of Soviet officers, this investigation to be undertaken in Switzerland should be stopped forthwith.

"The facts of this matter I am sure have, through a misunderstanding, not been correctly presented to you. The following are the facts:

"Unconfirmed information was received some days ago in Switzerland that some German officers were considering the possibility of arranging for the surrender of German troops that are opposed to Field Marshal Alexander's British-American Armies in Italy.

"Upon the receipt of this information in Washington, Field Marshal Alexander was authorised to send to Switzerland an officer or officers of his staff to ascertain the accuracy of the report and if it appeared to be of sufficient promise to arrange with any competent German officers for a conference to discuss details of the surrender with Field Marshal Alexander at his headquarters in Italy. If such a meeting could be arranged Soviet representatives would, of course, be welcome.

"Information concerning this investigation to be made in Switzerland was immediately communicated to the Soviet Government. Your Government was later informed that it will be agreeable for

was not aware that actual negotiations were already under way, on the British premise that World War was to continue indefinitely—now against Russia.

The post-colonial world

The US President had then recently stated very publicly his anti-colonial outlook for the post-war world, in contradiction to the plans of his London opponents. Roosevelt said in his press conference 23 February 1945, aboard the USS *Quincy*, en route home from Yalta:

“I have been terribly worried about Indo-China [Vietnam and neighboring countries]. I talked to [China’s Generalissimo] Chiang Kai-shek in Cairo, Stalin in Teheran. They both agree with me. The French have been in there some hundred years....

“[Chiang] said that [Indo-China] should not go back to the French, that they have been there for over 100 years and had done nothing about educating them, that for every dollar they have put in, they have taken out ten....

“With the Indo-Chinese, there is a feeling that they ought to be independent but they are not ready for it. I suggested at the time, that Indo-China be set up under trusteeship—have a Frenchman, one or two Indo-Chinese, a Chinese, and a Russian because they are on the coast, and maybe a Filipino and an American—to educate them for self-government....

“Stalin liked the idea. Chiang liked the idea. The British don’t like it. It might bust up their empire, because if the Indo-Chinese were to work together and eventually get their independence, the Burmese might do the same thing to England....”

Reporter’s question: “Is that Churchill’s idea on all territory out there, that he wants it back just the way they were?”

The President: “Yes, he is mid-Victorian on all things like that....”

Reporter’s question: “Do you remember that speech the Prime Minister made about the fact that he was not made Prime Minister of Great Britain to see the empire fall apart?”

The President: “Dear old Winston will never learn on that point. He has made his specialty on that point....”¹¹

President Roosevelt died 12 April 1945. A surrender of Nazi military forces in Italy was finally signed at Alexander’s Caserta headquarters on 29 April, only eight days before the total German surrender in Europe. But a great deal of evil had been set in motion in the Swiss talks.

Roosevelt’s death before he had secured the peace was a catastrophe for America and the world. Those FDR had called the “Tories” rushed in to assert control over US strategy. By tradition of family and institutions, these

London/Wall Street royalists had never accepted the principles of the American Revolution. They had gained power over US affairs at the dawn of the 20th century, after the assassination of President William McKinley in 1901 and the rise of such figures as President Theodore Roosevelt and President Woodrow Wilson. But the 1930s crash of their misrule had allowed FDR, with his New Deal and infrastructure development, to bring back that American devotion to progress that has inspired the world’s nationalists and modernisers. With FDR out of the way, the leading Anglo-American faction now emphasised financial-imperial aims, under the theme of “freedom” versus “communism.”

The British shut the Soviets out of the Wolff negotiations on the grounds that the Soviets must not participate in post-war arrangements in Italy or other West European countries, while the British did not desire the Allies to participate in arrangements in East European countries that would be occupied by Soviet forces. This was the beginning of the division of the world which became known as the Cold War.¹²

Allen Dulles and British MI6 aided many other top Nazi war criminals along with Karl Wolff to evade prosecution at the Nuremberg war crimes trials. They went out via the “ratlines” in Europe, the Mideast, and Latin America to prop up dictators and run covert armies. Among them were Klaus Barbie (the SS mass murderer in France); Reinhard Gehlen (Nazi intelligence officer who became post-war Germany’s intelligence service chief under the direct supervision of the CIA and MI6); Otto Skorzeny (head of the SS commando units, master of stay-behind covert armies and death squads in Europe, Africa and South America); and Hjalmar Schacht (Skorzeny’s father-in-law, banker, protégé of Bank of England Governor Montagu Norman and of John Foster Dulles). Schacht had coordinated the fundraising to install Hitler as Germany’s dictator, and had supervised the building of the Nazi war machine.¹³

The 14th Waffen SS Grenadier Division (1st Galician), a unit of eight thousand Ukrainian troops under Nazi command, including concentration camp guards, surrendered to General Alexander. Instead of being sent back to the USSR to be broken down, they were dispersed to Britain, to Canada, and throughout Europe for use in new underground secret armies under NATO. The direct heirs of these and other wings of the Ukrainian fascist Organisation of Ukrainian Nationalists (OUN) still celebrate Hitler’s war with Russia. They have considerable influence today in NATO and Washington corridors of power, which they brought to bear in rallying US support for the Anglo-American coup of February 2014 that installed the present regime in Ukraine.¹⁴

Next issue: Kennedy views the post-war tragedy

12. Stuart Rosenblatt, “The British Empire’s Cold War vs. the US-Russia Alliance”, *Executive Intelligence Review*, 11 July and 1 August 2014, provides an overview of British-guided postwar strategy.

13. Allen Dulles justified the ratlines by stressing, in each case, how the individual Nazi in question had better manners than the typical brute, wanted to be useful to the Western cause, and had at some point been in factional conflict with Hitler—just as he himself claimed that, by the late 1930s, he had criticised the pro-Nazi policy of his brother and their law firm, Sullivan and Cromwell.

14. “Heirs of the OUN, Grandchildren of MI6” in “British Imperial Project in Ukraine: Violent Coup, Fascist Axioms, Neo-Nazis”, *Executive Intelligence Review*, 16 May 2014.

Soviet officers to be present at Field Marshal Alexander’s meetings with German officers if and when arrangements are finally made in Berne for such a meeting at Caserta to discuss details of a surrender. “Up to the present time the attempts by our representatives to arrange a meeting with German officers have met with no success, but it still appears that such a meeting is a possibility.

“My Government, as you will of course understand, must give every assistance to all officers in the field in command of Allied forces who believe there is a possibility of forcing the surrender of enemy troops in their area....

“There can be in such a surrender of enemy forces in the field no violation of our agreed principle of unconditional surrender and no political implications whatever....”

11. <http://www.presidency.ucsb.edu/ws/?pid=16589>.

The coup, then and now

The enemies of humanity try to give Trump the JFK treatment (Part 2)

By Anton Chaitkin

2. Kennedy views the post-war tragedy

In April 1945, as the world war drew to its heartbreaking and uncertain end, John F. Kennedy¹ became a special correspondent for Hearst Newspapers. Kennedy covered the tense conference (17 July to 2 August 1945) at Potsdam, near Berlin, between Churchill, Stalin, and Harry Truman, Roosevelt's successor.

Behind the scenes in Berlin, the British were pursuing the logic of *Operation Sunrise*. With Roosevelt dead, Churchill had commissioned a top secret military plan, *Operation Unthinkable*,² in which German armies, rather than being demobilised, were to be put back into action alongside British and American divisions for all-out war against the Soviet Union. The final *Unthinkable* report came back to Churchill 11 July.

On 16 July, the day before the Potsdam conference opened, the United States successfully tested the first atomic bomb (in New Mexico). Churchill was in on the secret, which gave even graver implications to *Unthinkable*. Churchill commented that the now nuclear-armed Truman was elated at Potsdam, and was "bossing" Stalin around.

Mid-conference, on 26 July, Labour Party leader Clement Attlee was declared winner of the British election winner and replaced Churchill as Prime Minister. *Unthinkable* went onto the shelf—but the Soviets did not forget the intent of the British Establishment.

As Truman sailed back home from Europe, on 6 August, Hiroshima, Japan was destroyed by an atomic bomb.³

1. Navy Lieutenant John F. Kennedy had been honorably discharged on 1 March 1945, due to painful injuries sustained when a Japanese destroyer had smashed through his tiny patrol boat. For a summary account of Kennedy's public life, see Anton Chaitkin, "John F. Kennedy vs. the Empire", *Executive Intelligence Review*, 30 August 2013.

2. Operation Unthinkable: "Russia: Threat to Western Civilisation", British War Cabinet, Joint Planning Staff—Draft and Final Reports: 22 May, 8 June, and 11 July 1945, Public Record Office, CAB 120/691/109040/001.

3. The decision to A-bomb Japan was reached without the approval of senior US military leaders. General Dwight Eisenhower wrote:

"[I]n [July] 1945 ... Secretary of War Stimson ... informed me that our government was preparing to drop an atomic bomb on Japan. I was one of those who felt that there were a number of cogent reasons to question the wisdom of such an act.... During his recitation of the relevant facts, I had been conscious of a feeling of depression and so I voiced to him my grave misgivings, first on the basis of my belief that Japan was already defeated and that dropping the bomb was completely unnecessary, and secondly because I thought that our country should avoid shocking world opinion by the use of a weapon whose employment was, I thought, no longer mandatory as a measure to save American lives. It was my belief that Japan was, at that very moment, seeking some way to surrender with a minimum loss of 'face'...." [Dwight D. Eisenhower, *Mandate for Change: The White House Years, 1953-1956* (New York: Doubleday, 1963), pp. 312-313.]

Norman Cousins, a consultant to General Douglas MacArthur in the American occupation of Japan, wrote:

"MacArthur's views about the decision to drop the atomic bomb

A shadow of fear soon covered the Earth; by 1953, the United States and the Soviets would both develop hydrogen bombs capable of ending all human life.

Years later, John Kennedy indicated that by 1946, when he first ran for a seat in Congress, he was already surveying with bitterness the dark world his generation had inherited. He labored to understand what had gone wrong. How had Roosevelt's peace policy been destroyed? He believed that Soviet Communism distorted history and violated human nature; but that America's own mission of uplifting mankind was being buried in the fast-widening world division. JFK won a seat in Congress in 1946. Within his family, he was taking on the leading political role his brother Joseph had been expected to play before he had died in the war, and the assumption grew in Kennedy's mind that he himself would have to lead the way out of the national policy disaster.

The problem that Kennedy would have to confront, was that the London-centred imperial system which FDR had sought to abolish, persisted after his death in the form of a

on Hiroshima and Nagasaki were starkly different from what the general public supposed. When I asked General MacArthur about the decision to drop the bomb, I was surprised to learn he had not even been consulted. What, I asked, would his advice have been? He replied that he saw no military justification for the dropping of the bomb. The war might have ended weeks earlier, he said, if the United States had agreed, as it later did anyway, to the retention of the institution of the emperor." [Norman Cousins, *The Pathology of Power* (New York: W.W. Norton, 1988), pp. 65, 70-71.]

Admiral William D. Leahy, Chief of Staff to Presidents Franklin Roosevelt and Harry Truman, wrote:

"It is my opinion that the use of this barbarous weapon at Hiroshima and Nagasaki was of no material assistance in our war against Japan. The Japanese were already defeated and ready to surrender because of the effective sea blockade and the successful bombing with conventional weapons. The lethal possibilities of atomic warfare in the future are frightening. My own feeling was that in being the first to use it, we had adopted an ethical standard common to the barbarians of the Dark Ages. I was not taught to make war in that fashion, and wars cannot be won by destroying women and children." [William Leahy, *I Was There* (New York: McGraw-Hill, 1950), p. 441.]

The outstanding US nuclear chemist Glenn T. Seaborg was one of a group of the scientists on the bomb's development who wrote (in their Franck Committee report):

"We believe that ... the use of nuclear bombs for an early, unannounced attack against Japan [is] inadvisable. If the United States would be the first to release this new means of indiscriminate destruction upon mankind, she would sacrifice public support throughout the world, precipitate the race of armaments, and prejudice the possibility of reaching an international agreement on the future control of such weapons." (*Political and Social Problems*, Manhattan Engineer District Records, Harrison-Bundy files, folder # 76, National Archives.)

As President, John F. Kennedy would make Glenn Seaborg chairman of the Atomic Energy Commission, to push for global, peaceful use of nuclear power, and against the nuclear arms race. The above quotations from Eisenhower, MacArthur, Leahy and the Franck committee are cited in Doug Long, "Hiroshima: Was it Necessary?" (<http://www.doug-long.com/quotes.htm>).

global financial-looting apparatus, which controlled continents even without formal colonial governments. The preservation and expansion of this system underlay the activities of the Anglo-American secret intelligence agencies and the Atlantic military alliance structure after World War II.

The Special Operations Executive

We may observe the realities of this cryptic governance by looking into the origin of the “stay-behind” covert military-political armies that the British, with help from Dulles, Lemnitzer and some old Nazis, put in place around Europe.

The Special Operations Executive (SOE) had been formed in 1940 as Britain’s wartime agency for spying, sabotage, and assassinations within Nazi-occupied areas. SOE was run principally by two men, SOE commander Roundell Palmer, and SOE director Charles Hambro. They were exalted figures in the City of London financial centre and the associated imperial apparatus.

Roundell Cecil Palmer, the 3rd Earl of Selborne, was born into imperial power as the son of the High Commissioner for South Africa,⁴ the nephew and protégé of Lord Robert Cecil, and the grandson of Lord Salisbury (Robert Arthur Talbot Gascoyne-Cecil), who had been UK Prime Minister thrice during 1885-1902. The Palmers were one of the families comprising the “Cecil Bloc,” the “great nexus of power, influence and privilege controlled by the Cecil family” which “has been all-pervasive in British life since 1886”.⁵

Roundell Palmer and his Palmer ancestors were also the hierarchical leading family in the Most Worshipful Company of Mercers—the very highest ranking of the secret society “livery” companies running the City of London Corporation. These livery groups are the core of the centuries-old apparatus for funds management, connecting the Royal Family, the London banks, and their colonial enterprises. Roundell Palmer was a director of the *Union Minière du Haut Katanga* corporation in the Congo, in association with the Royal Family’s own central-Africa holdings.

As Minister of Economic Warfare, Palmer selected Hambro, his City colleague, to direct SOE operations.

Sir Charles Hambro, of an old British/Scandinavian banking family, had been a powerful director of the Bank of England working with Montagu Norman to install and nurture the Hitler regime in Germany, and to found the Swiss-based Bank for International Settlements (with several Nazis on its board), through which Nazi loot and SS funds would be used for post-war objectives.

The Special Operations Executive was officially disbanded after the Nazi surrender. But Roundell Palmer insisted that its personnel, assassination capabilities, assets, and intelligence arrangements be continued underground in Western Europe, in a quasi-war against the Soviet Union.

The new “intelligence community” was managed from the Privy Council, from the permanent government apparatus that ran the Cabinet and Foreign Office, from



Stalin, Truman and Churchill at the July 1945 Potsdam conference, which laid the foundations for the Cold War. Truman and Churchill both knew the atomic bomb had been tested successfully, and Churchill was harbouring a top secret plan, Operation Unthinkable, to employ the defeated German armies in an all-out war against the Soviet Union. Photo: Wikipedia



Special Operations Executive (SOE) Director Charles Hambro (left). MI6 chief Sir Stewart Menzies (right), whose agency absorbed the SOE, and continued to use its personnel, assassination capabilities, assets and intelligence arrangements throughout Europe in an underground quasi-war against the Soviet Union. Photo: Wikipedia

White’s Club, and from the Mercers’ haunts and the City board rooms, regardless of elections or political parties. The very existence of MI6, the British Secret Intelligence Service, was not officially acknowledged until 1994.

The US marriage to imperial Britain for the Cold War led to the 1947 *National Security Act*, creating the Central Intelligence Agency and the Defence Department. Reacting to Britain’s threat to pull its forces out of Greece, the United States declared the “Truman Doctrine” in March 1947, which committed the United States to building up an anti-Soviet presence in Europe. Marshall Plan funding for European war-recovery was also partially channeled into Cold War geopolitical intrigue, while the war-devastated Soviet Union was excluded from such assistance.

The Western European Union, NATO, and the rise of the Dulleses

Gen. Lyman Lemnitzer’s deep British connection made him the natural choice for Defence Secretary James Forrestal to send to London in 1948 as the US observer in secret

4. William W. Palmer, 2nd Earl of Selborne, succeeded Alfred Milner as High Commissioner for South Africa and ran “Milner’s Kindergarten” of rising imperial rulers; they would form the Round Table strategy circle, associated with gold magnate Cecil Rhodes, the British Crown and the Rothschild family.

5. Carroll Quigley, *The Anglo-American Establishment, from Rhodes to Cliveden* (San Pedro: Books in Focus, 1981), p. 15.

talks establishing the Western European Union (WEU), a military alliance of Britain, France, Belgium, Luxembourg, and the Netherlands. Planning sessions were held at British General Montgomery's headquarters in Fontainebleau, France.

Over the next year, a Clandestine Committee of this WEU's military arm, the Western Union Defence Organisation (WUDO), went into operation under the guidance of MI6 director Stewart Menzies.⁶

The WUDO itself was transformed into the North Atlantic Treaty Organisation (NATO), as a result of British strategy operating on the United States as follows:

Beginning in 1948, President Harry Truman was counseled by Sir Oliver Franks, the British Ambassador. Franks had helped ram acceptance of the new Atlantic Alliance through the British government, past the national-sovereignty objections of Labour politicians. Franks had been sent to Washington to overcome the same misgivings in America. Anglophile Secretary of State Dean Acheson boasted in his memoirs that he met regularly in secret with Franks and made him a virtual member of the President's Cabinet.

Truman soon brought in John Foster Dulles as advisor to the State Department, and Allen Dulles as the CIA's director of covert operations. Under-Secretary of State Robert A. Lovett (Averell Harriman's partner and client to the Dulles brothers in the Hitler-buildup) ran the US negotiations for the Atlantic Alliance. Under heavy British pressure, Congress voted for the United States to join NATO in 1949. Kim Philby, a Soviet agent still working for the British, then came to Washington as Ambassador Franks's first secretary and as the MI6 liaison with the CIA. Philby fed Soviet paranoia with accounts of evil American deeds, thus cementing the Cold War Anglo-American alliance. Sir Oliver Franks went back to London to become chairman of Lloyds Bank.

The Western Union Defence Organisation clandestine structure set up under Sir Stewart Menzies persisted under NATO auspices. It managed the MI6/CIA-run secret armies with their old-Nazi and Italian fascist components, which were to infest Europe over the following decades. Gen. Lemnitzer, running back and forth between Washington and Europe in the late 1940s, was given control of the logistics for American military supplies to the Western Union/NATO apparatus.⁷


3. JFK opens the attack

Congressman John F. Kennedy toured the Middle East and Asia in 1951, accompanied by his younger brother Robert. Kennedy was angered to see that the United States was giving up its own Revolutionary heritage, in support of British and other imperial aims.

Among the places he visited was Iran, where Prime Minister Mohammad Mossadegh had just nationalised the Anglo-Iranian Oil Company to end Britain's domination and impoverishment of the country. President Roosevelt had been in Teheran eight years earlier. FDR had commissioned the Hurley Report, supporting Iran's use of its



Above: Home film footage of Robert Kennedy and John F. Kennedy in India on their 1951 tour, which included Vietnam and Iran, then led by the democratically-elected Prime Minister Mohammad Mossadegh (right), whom the CIA and MI6 would overthrow two years later in a coup following his nationalisation of the Anglo-Persian Oil Company, now BP. Kennedy's tour gave him political insights into the brutality of colonialism. Photos: YouTube; Wikipedia



own resources free of imperialism, as a model for the national sovereignty to be gained by all former colonies.⁸ But now Dean Acheson was coordinating with Sir Oliver Franks and a joint CIA-MI6 team, planning a coup d'état against Mossadegh—whose courage was then inspiring nationalist revolts by Egypt's Gamal Abdel Nasser and others across the rest of North Africa.

Kennedy went to Israel and Arab countries, which were embroiled in the bitter conflict brewed under British rule in the area.

After Yalta, Roosevelt had called for economic development of the desperately poor Muslim countries, based on the sovereign use of their oil resources, as the only road to regional peace.⁹ But now, masses of hopeless Palestinian exiles sat in camps, and the Anglo-American Cold War alliance had buried FDR's plans for progress.

In Vietnam, Congressman Kennedy sought out his own US, French and Vietnamese sources to get behind official explanations for the policies that would soon lead the United States to disaster. FDR and his Vietnamese ally Ho Chi Minh had called for that country's independence. But in 1945, the British army had taken over Vietnam from Japan, and had given control back to the French empire. When Truman sided with the empires, Ho had turned to the Communists for support, and war again consumed the region.

Returning home, Kennedy aired a blistering radio report on the sickness of America's alliance with its imperial opponents. Six years after the death of his Commander-in-Chief, Kennedy precisely echoed FDR's warnings against imperialist aims.

"[The post-war colonial world] is an area in which poverty and sickness and disease are rampant, in which

6. Nick Must, "The Western Union Clandestine Committee: Britain and the 'Gladio' networks", in *Lobster* magazine, 1972.

7. Binder, *Lemnitzer*, p. 162-165.

8. Anton Chaitkin, "FDR's Hurley Memorandum", *Executive Intelligence Review*, 30 November 2012.

9. Roosevelt press conference 23 February 1945, op. cit.

injustice and inequality are old and ingrained, and in which the fires of nationalism so long dormant have been kindled and are now ablaze. It is an area of our world that for 100 years and more has been the source of empire for Western Europe—for England and France and Holland....

"A Middle East Command operating without the co-operation and support of the Middle East countries ... not only would intensify every anti-western force now active in that area, but from a military standpoint would be doomed to failure. The very sands of the desert would rise to oppose the imposition of outside control on the destinies of these proud peoples....

"The true enemy of the Arab world is poverty and want.... Our intervention in behalf of England's oil investments in Iran, directed more at the preservation of interests outside Iran than at Iran's own development, our avowed willingness to assume an almost imperial military responsibility for the safety of the Suez, our failure to deal effectively after three years with the terrible human tragedy of the more than 700,000 Arab refugees, these are things that have failed to sit well with Arab desires and make empty the promises of the Voice of America....

"In Indo-China [Vietnam] we have allied ourselves to the desperate effort of a French regime to hang onto the remnants of empire.... To check the southern drive of Communism makes sense, but not only through reliance on force of arms....

"[O]ne finds too many of our representatives toadying to the shorter aims of other Western nations, with no eagerness to understand the real hopes and desires of the peoples to which they are accredited, too often aligning themselves too definitely with the "haves" and regarding the actions of the "have-nots" as not merely an effort to cure injustice, but as something sinister and subversive.

"The East of today is no longer the East of Palmerston and Disraeli and Cromer.... We want, we may need, allies in ideas, in resources, even in arms, but if we would have allies, we must first of all gather to ourselves friends."¹⁰

Kennedy became a Senator in 1953. Meanwhile, President Eisenhower brought in John Foster Dulles as Secretary of State and Allen Dulles as Director of Central Intelligence, and began elevating Gen. Lemnitzer, the Dulles-British cohort in *Operation Sunrise*, to successively higher commands.

Thus, despite the better intentions President Eisenhower revealed in policies such as the Atoms for Peace initiative he made at the United Nations in December 1953, there was a dreadful continuity of British imperial control over crucial US government functions, reaching from the Truman era forward into Eisenhower's Presidency. It was personified by the Dulles brothers. The effects came quickly, around the world.

Iran's government was overthrown in 1953 by British Intelligence and the Dulles CIA. A ghoulish dictatorship put Prime Minister Mossadegh into solitary confinement, and he later died under house arrest. The rescued British oil giant changed its name to British Petroleum. Anti-Western fury ultimately would lead to Iran's Islamic



CIA director Allen Dulles. Photo: Wikipedia

Revolution of 1979.

In 1954, the CIA overthrew Guatemala's President Jacobo Arbenz to reverse his nationalisation of the United Fruit Company, whose plantations had kept the population in feudal backwardness. Among the charges hurled at the government was that it proposed to divert a river used by a plantation, to build a hydroelectric station.

The Dulles law firm represented United Fruit, and Allen Dulles had been on the company's board of directors. The coup helped lock Central America into poverty that bred drug-smuggling, violent insurrections, and migrations of hopeless masses north to the United States.

The French were driven out of Vietnam in 1954, despite US backing. During the climactic battle at Dien Bien Phu, Secretary of State John Foster Dulles offered to nuclear-bomb the battlefield, but President Eisenhower decided against the plan. A new US-backed regime was then installed in the southern half of Vietnam; warfare dragged on for years.

In 1955 (two years after the end of the Korean War), Lyman Lemnitzer became Commander of the US Army forces in the Far East. He pushed for bringing tactical nuclear weapons into Korea.¹¹ Battlefield nuclear missiles came over in 1957; these weapons were withdrawn from Korea only in the 1990s. The North Korean Communist regime, increasingly paranoid, began developing its own nuclear weapons.

In 1956, President Eisenhower acted to curb America's involvement in overseas colonial operations, by demanding an end to Britain's imperial invasion of Egypt. He acted diplomatically with the Soviet Union and through economic pressure, to force Britain, France, and Israel to withdraw the troops that had invaded Egypt to seize the Suez Canal and attempt to overthrow President Nasser. Eisenhower would never move directly to break the power of the British and their American partners, but in JFK, that enemy faction now saw a new, more threatening challenger arise on the American scene.

Next issue: Going head to head

11. Lee Jae-Bong, "US Deployment of Nuclear Weapons in 1950s South Korea & North Korea's Nuclear Development: Toward Denuclearisation of the Korean Peninsula", *Japan Focus: the Asia Pacific Journal*, 17 February 2009). "Commander-in-Chief of the [United Nations Command] Lyman Lemnitzer sent a telegram dated 30 January 1956 to the Department of the Army in which he suggested that it was highly desirable for the USF[orces]K[orea] to possess weapons with atomic delivery capability in order to alleviate the imbalance of strength between the opposing forces in Korea.... US diplomatic correspondence during the 1950s [shows that] the USFK started deploying nuclear weapons in January 1958 at the latest. But according to a secret report written by the US Pacific Command, nuclear weapons were first deployed to South Korea in 1957 and withdrawn in 1991. *The Washington Post* also reported in October 2006 that 'In 1957, the United States placed nuclear-tipped Matador missiles in South Korea, to be followed in later years ... by nuclear artillery...'"

10. Papers of John F. Kennedy. Presidential Papers. President's Office Files. Special Events Through the Years. [Radio report on trip to Middle and Far East](#), 1951.

The coup, then and now

The enemies of humanity try to give Trump the JFK treatment (Part 3)

By Anton Chaitkin. Parts 1 and 2 of this series appeared in *Australian Almanacs* Vol. 8, Nos. 14 and 15, published with the AAS issues dated 31 May and 7 June 2017.

4. Going head to head

Who now remembers how John Kennedy first shook up politics and became world famous?

He spoke to the US Senate on 2 July 1957, on “Imperialism—the Enemy of Freedom”.¹ As Americans prepared to celebrate the 4 July anniversary of their Revolutionary War for Independence, Kennedy blasted the US alliance with European imperialism to violently suppress African and Asian freedom—for US actions vis-à-vis the raging war in Algeria had differed sharply from the American position on Suez.

That speech, and the reaction to it, put Kennedy in the kind of public spotlight Abraham Lincoln had stepped into when he debated Stephen Douglas over slavery, a century before. As Lincoln’s emergence had alarmed the dominant pro-slavery leaders, so now the alarm rang at White’s Club in London, at NATO command centres, and among those who considered themselves the permanent US government. From that moment until his 1963 assassination, JFK was head to head with his and mankind’s enemies.

French troops, NATO-sponsored and US-helicopter-equipped, bombed, burned, tortured and assassinated Arabs fighting for Algerian national independence. But Kennedy said imperial troops could never prevail over rebels representing the hopes of the native population. Imperial failure was as certain as it had been in Vietnam, into which we had “poured money and materiel ... in a hopeless attempt to save for the French a land that did not want to be saved, in a war in which the enemy was both everywhere and nowhere at the same time.”

Kennedy reported that he had undertaken “an intensive study of the problem” for more than a year. He chaired the Senate Subcommittee on United Nations Affairs—and he had worked out the 2 July speech in personal cooperation with the Algerian rebel leadership. He stressed that he had long criticised US policy, hitting the betrayal of our interests by both the Truman Democrats and the Dulles Republicans.

He attacked the reigning axiom that every other interest must be sacrificed to the anti-Communist Cold War. Why hadn’t this conflict ended long ago?

“[We] have been told that the war was being kept alive only because of interference and meddling by Colonel Nasser ... or ... because of Russian and Communist meddling in Algeria. None of these explanations which seek to make outsiders the real agents of the Algerian rebellion carries much conviction any longer, ... as shown [by] attempts to suppress ... critical newspaper and public comment....

“If we are to secure the friendship of the Arab, the African, and the Asian—and we must, despite what Mr [Secretary of State John Foster] Dulles says about our not



French forces with dead Algerian rebels, 1954. Kennedy in 1957 spoke out against the USA’s support for French colonialism in Algeria, which had led to a bloody war for independence, and was at odds with America’s general opposition to colonialism, displayed when President Eisenhower had refused to back the British and French action to retake the Suez Canal from Egypt’s President Nasser the previous year. Photo: Wikipedia

being in a popularity contest—we cannot hope to accomplish it ... by selling them free enterprise, by describing the perils of communism or the prosperity of the United States, or limiting our dealings to military pacts. No, the strength of our appeal ... lies in our traditional and deeply felt philosophy of freedom and independence for all peoples everywhere.”

Kennedy inserted into this speech a remarkable historical clue. It helps us see how his “intensive study of the problem” had inspired him to revive, from the late Franklin Roosevelt, the American tradition of anti-imperial leadership. JFK spoke of “Sultan Ben Youssef, with whom President Roosevelt had conferred at the time of the Casablanca Conference.”

Back in 1943, FDR had sought out this Sultan of Morocco to assure him of US support for his country’s economic development and independence from France. The meeting had deeply moved the Sultan, an FDR favorite who had stood up against the Vichy French government’s attempts to exile Morocco’s huge Jewish population to Nazi death camps. The Sultan afterwards credited FDR with having ignited his and other nationalist movements for self-rule. By 1956 he had successfully negotiated with France and Spain for Moroccan independence; one month after Kennedy’s groundbreaking speech, the Sultan took the title of King Mohammad V.

Kennedy concluded by offering a Senate resolution, calling on President Eisenhower and Secretary of State Dulles to place US influence behind efforts, either through NATO “or through the good offices of the Prime Minister of Tunisia and the Sultan of Morocco”, to move toward Algerian independence and the end of the bitter war.

The Kennedy speech electrified African nationalists. A stream of African, Arab, and Asian leaders came to confer with the young Senator, whom they wanted to see

1. https://www.jfklibrary.org/Research/Research-Aids/JFK-Speeches/United-States-Senate-Imperialism_19570702.aspx



Congolese nationalist leader Patrice Lumumba raises his arms, injured by shackles, after his release from prison in January 1960. Lumumba became the Congo's first elected prime minister in July 1960, but was assassinated by British Intelligence in January 1961. Photo: Wikipedia

elected as the next US President.²

John Foster Dulles counterattacked Kennedy on Cold War grounds, as did the *New York Times*, and Dean Acheson and other anti-FDR Democrats.

French imperial leaders and their scheming “stay-behind” NATO sponsors were particularly furious: JFK had pointedly made common cause with French people of good will who agreed with his standpoint, but who had been afraid to speak out against the proto-fascist hardliners running France's government.

The most extreme hardline elements of the French army and secret services had been operational partners of British MI6 and Dulles's faction since 1946, fighting in Indochina, and then in Algeria. By 1958 the Algerian Arab rebels provoked the most savage, Hitler-style repression, torture, and assassination by these French forces, throwing both Algeria and France into chaos.

The hardliners staged a coup in Algeria against the “weak” Paris government. Charles de Gaulle came out of retirement to solve the great national crisis. He created a new, Fifth Republic, became President, and led the country out of the disaster of futile British-aligned imperialism and permanent war. The hardliners and their British and American partners, having expected de Gaulle to hold onto the Algerian colony, cried “treason” against de Gaulle and vowed revenge. The seat of this hot fury was NATO headquarters in Paris, France.

Throughout this period, the Cold War had grown increasingly dangerous. Soviet forces crushed the Anglo-American-encouraged 1956 revolt in Hungary. The nuclear arms race intensified after the Soviets rocketed the first satellite, Sputnik, into Earth orbit in 1957. The insane strategy of “limited nuclear war” gained credence in NATO.

5. In an age of dread, the new frontier

Senator Kennedy announced his Presidential candidacy on 2 January 1960. As Kennedy campaigned, President Eisenhower prepared to meet Soviet Premier

Nikita Khrushchov at a crucial 16 May East-West-South summit conference in Paris. President de Gaulle and India's Prime Minister Jawaharlal Nehru had planned the meeting to promote nuclear disarmament, and East-West cooperation for aid to underdeveloped countries.³

But two weeks before the summit, Dulles's CIA sent a U2 spy plane on a photo mission over the USSR. It was shot down; its pilot was captured and confessed his mission on 1 May, deeply embarrassing Eisenhower and collapsing the Eisenhower-Khrushchov summit meeting. Khrushchov lashed out at the United States and disinvited Eisenhower from his planned June visit to Moscow.

Kennedy meanwhile won Democratic primary elections, famously taking West Virginia 10 May, on his way towards a November final-election victory. The NATO partners hastened to pre-empt any serious alteration in global arrangements.

Central Africa was their first target.

In January, 1960, Congolese nationalist leader Patrice Lumumba had declared the independence of Congo from the rule of Belgium. The British were the predominant power in the Congo, exercising control through the *Union Minière du Haut Katanga* corporation, owner of most of Congo's valuable minerals, including uranium.

Calling for the use of his country's resources to bring his people out of backwardness—in other words, precisely Senator Kennedy's program—Patrice Lumumba became Congo's first elected Prime Minister in June 1960. In July, the British detonated war against the Congo: the British-controlled Katanga province, containing most of Congo's mineral wealth, declared its secession from the newly independent nation.

Days later, the Democratic Party nominated Kennedy for President.

On 14 September, the elected Congolese government was forcibly overthrown by Belgian military and anti-nationalist paramilitary forces sponsored by the British power center in Katanga and their CIA partners. Prime Minister Lumumba was kidnapped, escaped, and was repeatedly hemmed in by his would-be assassins.

Lemnitzer's special ops

In October 1960, Gen. Lyman Lemnitzer was appointed chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff. Now the two men who had betrayed President Roosevelt in *Operation Sunrise* sat at the top of the US strategic services apparatus, Dulles at CIA and Lemnitzer at the Pentagon.

Lemnitzer had displayed what his faction viewed as his qualifications for this role back in August, when, as Army chief of staff, he announced that the Army was all ready to “restore order” in the United States after a nuclear war with the Soviet Union—to bring back normalcy just as the military does after a flood or a riot.⁴

3. “De Gaulle and Nehru Hold ‘Useful’ Pre-Summit Talk”, *New York Times*, 9 May 1960.

4. Binder, *Lemnitzer*, pp. 245-246, quotes from Mark S. Watson, *Baltimore Sun*, 8 August 1960: “There was no question in the minds of the public that many thousands, even millions, of civilians would die in a nuclear attack; what was not clear was how order would be restored afterward.... The chief of staff stated: ‘As proved by the handling of lesser peacetime disasters over and over again, the surest means of broad-scale relief and recovery is the nation's military organisation—organised,

To move a bit closer to that “orderly” nuclear war, Chairman Lemnitzer now went ahead with plans to install US nuclear ballistic missiles in Turkey,⁵ on the border of the Soviet Union.

Lemnitzer and Dulles meanwhile proceeded with secret arrangements for an invasion of Cuba and the overthrow of Fidel Castro. His rebel movement had taken power in Cuba in 1959, and Castro had confiscated foreign-owned properties, including the plantations of the Dulles company, United Fruit. The Russians had then given Castro military aid against an expected US counterrevolution. Russian military personnel were on the island. An invasion might lead to shooting between the two great powers, both now armed with nuclear weapons a thousand times as deadly as the Hiroshima bomb, and both exploding them in open-air tests.

The American public was then widely debating the doomsday threat.

In June 1960, two veteran Washington journalists had issued a startling book about the 1945 US nuclear bombings of Japan.⁶ Fletcher Knebel and Charles Bailey had used newly available archival sources and had interviewed participants in the nuclear decision-making process. They showed that many relevant military and government leaders had not been allowed to know of the bomb’s development or the attack plans; and that warnings by critical scientists were brushed aside when Truman, encouraged by Churchill, had made the call. Knebel and Bailey made clear that the atomic bomb had forever changed the logic of full-scale war, because a new World War would be civilisation’s suicide.

John Kennedy was elected President on 8 November 1960. He sent representatives to Africa to announce America’s renewed commitment to national sovereignty. They reported that African crowds everywhere were chanting “Kennedy! Kennedy! Kennedy!”

He would have ten weeks to plan a government, before his 20 January 1961 inauguration. In Europe, the Middle East, Africa, and Latin America, hopes rose for a new US role that might dispel the fearful tension.


Seeking to take office and get some kind of start

without provoking open insurrection from the Anglo-American establishment, Kennedy announced that Allen Dulles would stay on at the helm of the CIA, and J. Edgar Hoover would remain at the FBI. To placate Wall Street, he made investment banker Douglas Dillon the Treasury secretary.⁷ Lyman Lemnitzer’s term as Joint Chiefs chairman was to run until 1962, and by tradition it would then be extended.

But JFK also brought in people intensely loyal to his promises of a new direction. His brother Robert, who had been by his side since the 1951 anti-imperial tour, would ride shotgun as attorney general.

On 17 January, three days before Kennedy’s inauguration, the British MI6 station chief in Congo, Ms Daphne Park, reportedly gave the signal for the forces that the Anglo-Americans had assembled, and Congo head of state Patrice Lumumba was assassinated in a remote location to which he had been kidnapped.⁸ The incoming US President was not notified of the plan, nor was he even informed, until two months later (13 February), that the murder had even occurred.

On 17 January 1960, the day the Anglo-Americans murdered Lumumba, President Eisenhower delivered his Farewell Address. He warned:



Fletcher Knebel and Charles Bailey’s 1960 book that revealed the true story of the bombing of Hiroshima.

7. Kennedy chose Douglas Dillon even though he had been the pro-imperial Ambassador to France until 1957. In Kennedy’s famous 1957 speech on Algerian independence, Kennedy had named Dillon as part of the problem of the Dulles-dominated American policy.

8. The following letter by Lord David Lea to the London Review of Books (11 April 2013) politely reviewed Britain’s culpability, a half century after Lumumba’s assassination.

“WE DID IT

[Quoting a previous letter:] ‘The question remains whether British plots to assassinate Lumumba ... ever amounted to anything. At present, we do not know’.... Actually, in this particular case, I can report that we do. It so happens that I was having a cup of tea with Daphne Park—we were colleagues from opposite sides of the Lords—a few months before she died in March 2010. She had been consul and first secretary in Leopoldville, now Kinshasa, from 1959 to 1961, which in practice (this was subsequently acknowledged) meant head of MI6 there. I mentioned the uproar surrounding Lumumba’s abduction and murder, and recalled the theory that MI6 might have had something to do with it. ‘We did’, she replied, ‘I organised it.’

“We went on to discuss her contention that Lumumba would have handed over the whole lot to the Russians: the high-value Katangese uranium deposits as well as the diamonds and other important minerals largely located in the secessionist eastern state of Katanga. Against that, I put the point that I didn’t see how suspicion of Western involvement and of our motivation for Balkanising their country would be a happy augury for the new republic’s peaceful development. David Lea London SW1.”

Lord Lea’s letter sparked a political row, featuring [an ambiguous response from the BBC](#).

disciplined and of all establishments the best equipped for that urgent responsibility.”

5. The Turkey missiles were officially a NATO project, carried out with the support of two key NATO officials who were factionally close to Lemnitzer: Sir Evelyn Shuckburgh, a high official of the British foreign-policy establishment who was then Assistant Secretary General of NATO, stationed at NATO headquarters in Paris; and French Air Force General Maurice Challe, Commander-in-Chief of Allied Forces in Central Europe, who had led the brutal counter-insurgency against the Algerian Arabs.

Shuckburgh and Challe were old imperial dance partners. Years earlier, Sir Evelyn had confided to his diary, “the Americans are not backing us anywhere. In fact, having destroyed the Dutch empire, the United States are now engaged in undermining the French and British empires as hard as they can” (quoted in Dorril, *MI6*, page 497). Tony Shaw, *Eden, Suez and the Mass Media* (London: I.B. Tauris & Co., 1966), p. 67 reports that in 1956, Gen. Challe had visited with UK Prime Minister Anthony Eden (whom Shuckburgh had served as principal private secretary) to propose that Britain, France and Israel should jointly invade Egypt to overthrow President Nasser, and pretend it was just an Israeli defensive move. President Eisenhower forced their withdrawal from Egypt in the Suez Crisis.

6. Fletcher Knebel and Charles W. Bailey II, *No High Ground* (New York: Harper & Row, 1960).

"In the councils of government, we must guard against the acquisition of unwarranted influence ... by the military-industrial complex. The potential for the disastrous rise of misplaced power exists and will persist. We must never let the weight of this combination endanger our liberties or democratic processes. We should take nothing for granted. Only an alert and knowledgeable citizenry can compel the proper meshing of the huge industrial and military machinery of defense with our peaceful methods and goals, so that security and liberty may prosper together."⁹

President John F. Kennedy's 20 January Inaugural Address¹⁰ called for a reversal of the slide toward nuclear war with Russia, and announced clearly the return of the American founding mission:

"[M]an holds in his mortal hands the power to abolish all forms of human poverty and all forms of human life. And yet the same revolutionary beliefs for which our forebears fought are still at issue around the globe...."

He awakened in young people, especially, a passion to improve the world. Colonial-sector leaders already knew him better than most Americans, and were thrilled at the suddenly enhanced prospects for progress.

Kwame Nkrumah arrived in Washington 8 March 1961, becoming the first foreign head of state to visit President



President Eisenhower delivering his televised final address on 17 January 1960, in which he warned against letting the rise of the military-industrial complex threaten civil liberties and democracy. Photo: YouTube


Kennedy. They began working together on overcoming political and financial roadblocks to Nkrumah's great project: a dam on the Volta River through Ghana, to generate cheap electricity that could help industrialise West Africa.¹¹

Next issue: Regime change

9. http://avalon.law.yale.edu/20th_century/eisenhower001.asp

10. <https://www.jfklibrary.org/Research/Research-Aids/Ready-Reference/JFK-Quotations/Inaugural-Address.aspx>

11. During his ten years in the USA, Nkrumah had seen how FDR's Tennessee Valley Authority dams had helped end backwardness in the South; he saw this could be done once Ghana was free to improve itself.



Inset: President Kennedy in 1961 with Ghana's President Kwame Nkrumah, who had had Kennedy's support in 1957 as he led Ghana to be the first black African nation to overthrow colonial rule. Main photo: The Akosombo Dam on the Ghana's Volta River, a hydroelectric power plant which was the centrepiece of Nkrumah's economic program, and typified the formula for the successful economic development of former colonies that Franklin Roosevelt had advocated, and Kennedy supported. The dam was built with assistance from the United States and World Bank, but its operation was later sabotaged by Anglo-American corporate interests. Photos: Wikipedia

The coup, then and now

The enemies of humanity try to give Trump the JFK treatment (Part 4)

By Anton Chaitkin. Parts 1, 2 and 3 of this series appeared in *Australian Almanacs* Vol. 8, Nos. 14, 15 and 16, published with the AAS issues dated 31 May, 7 June and 21 June 2017.

6. Regime change

Allen Dulles now pressed upon the President the plan he and General Lemnitzer had concocted to overthrow Fidel Castro. Kennedy was told that Cuban exiles would invade and do the fighting, not US troops. Dulles warned that if the plan were not approved, armed and dangerous exiles could be smoldering in Florida, directing their anger at the President. Seeing Castro as a brutal dictator close to American shores, and being as yet unsure of his own Presidential leadership, Kennedy approved the plan on 4 April 1961. He specified that US warships and combat aircraft would not be allowed to support the enterprise. But Dulles and Lemnitzer planned to compel Kennedy to throw in US forces when the 1,500-man invasion would inevitably falter.

Just five days before the Cuban invasion went ahead, a Dulles representative in Spain assured French generals that the United States would recognise their new regime if they would overthrow President de Gaulle and install a military dictatorship to stop Algerian independence.¹

The invasion at Cuba's Bay of Pigs 17-19 April collapsed quickly, a terrible embarrassment to the new President. Confronting Kennedy, Dulles and Lemnitzer demanded that he bring in naval and air cover to save the operation, but he kept his resolve not to allow it. He took upon himself full responsibility for the plan's failure. The word went out at the CIA and the Pentagon that Kennedy was weak-unfit-dangerous. Just in case curious Congressmen might meddle into the affair, Gen. Lemnitzer destroyed his aide's notes of the Joint Chiefs' discussions leading into the Bay of Pigs.²

On 21 April 1961, two days after Castro defeated the Cuban invasion, French generals led by former NATO Central Europe Commander Gen. Maurice Challe staged an attempted coup d'état in France. Thousands of paratroopers were stationed not far from Paris, preparing to move on the Presidential palace. De Gaulle appealed to the French people to support him and save their country. Millions of French citizens blocked the coup plotters with strikes and other pro-government actions. Directly countering Dulles, President Kennedy contacted his French counterpart and pledged full support, including military assistance if de Gaulle wanted it.

New York Times reporter James Reston wrote that the CIA had masterminded "the rebel attack on Cuba last week, the U-2 spy plane incident a year ago, and [now] was involved in an embarrassing liaison with the anti-Gaullist officers who staged last week's insurrection in Algiers."

"[In] the last few days, the President has looked into angry reports from Paris that the CIA was in touch with the insurrectionists who tried to overthrow the de Gaulle



Above: JFK meeting Britain's Lord Mountbatten, with Gen. Lyman Lemnitzer, on 11 April 1961, the week before the disastrous Bay of Pigs invasion. Right: JFK pins the National Security Medal on CIA Director Allen Dulles, the day before the president forced Dulles to resign over the Bay of Pigs fiasco that Dulles and Lemnitzer had concocted. Photos: Robert Knudsen. White House Photographs. John F. Kennedy Presidential Library and Museum, Boston



government of France....

CIA officials gave a luncheon here in Washington for Jacques Soustelle, a leader of the anti- de Gaulle movement, when M. Soustelle was ... in Washington [last December.]

"All this has increased the feeling in the White House that the CIA has gone beyond the bounds of an objective intelligence-gathering agency and has become the advocate of men and policies that have embarrassed the administration."

Reston reported that Kennedy wanted to bring in his brother Robert to replace Dulles at the CIA and clean the Agency up.³ Claude Krief, reporting for the liberal weekly magazine *L'Express*, gave details on a clandestine meeting held 12 April 1961 in Madrid, of "various foreign agents, including members of the CIA and the Algiers conspirators, who disclose their plans to the CIA men." The CIA men were said to have complained that de Gaulle's policy was "paralysing NATO and rendering the defense of Europe impossible", and assured the French that if they succeeded, Washington would recognise the new government within two days.⁴

By the end of April, Kennedy made it known that he considered the CIA disloyal, that—as the Paris newspapers put it—it constituted "a reactionary state-within a state".⁵ Kennedy forced the resignation of Allen Dulles, his deputy Richard Bissell (involved in both the Cuban and

1. Claude Krief, in *L'Express*, cited in William Blum, *Killing Hope: US Military and CIA Interventions Since World War II* (London: Zed Books, 2003), pp. 150-151.

2. Binder, *Lemnitzer*, p. 273.

3. James Reston, *New York Times*, 29 April 1961

4. Krief, cited in Blum, op. cit.

5. Thomas P. Brady, "Paris Rumors on C.I.A.," *New York Times*, 2 May 1961.

Paris disasters), and Charles Cabell, the CIA's liaison with Gen. Lemnitzer. Dulles left the CIA in November 1961, but within a month or two he was back at the centre of the ruling group at the Agency, giving and receiving briefings several times a week. Those who frequented Dulles's house in Georgetown viewed the President as a usurper-weak-dangerous.⁶

American opinion rallied behind Kennedy after he took public responsibility for the Bay of Pigs disaster. Resolving to put his own stamp on the Presidency, Kennedy announced to Congress on 25 May 1961 the dramatic goal of sending an American safely to the Moon before the end of the decade.

But with the news from Cuba, the Congo, and Paris, murder was in the air in Washington. Journalists Fletcher Knebel and Charles Bailey were working on an urgent follow-up to their 1960 book on nuclear war. Knebel interviewed Air Force Chief of Staff Curtis LeMay, who had led the fire-bombing of Japan, and had transmitted the orders for Hiroshima. Knebel picked up the scent of madness that permeated the Pentagon.

Knebel and Bailey now crafted an account of a future military coup d'état against the United States President, to be called *Seven Days in May*. The beliefs and actions of the chief perpetrator, a fictionalised Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff named "James Mattoon Scott" mirrored the real-life role of Lyman Lemnitzer. To make certain that this identification was not missed, the authors gave the fictional President the last name "Lyman." The plotters target him as weak-unfit-dangerous, denouncing his attempt to get a nuclear disarmament treaty with the Soviet Union.

7. Shall mankind die?

The real chairman of the Joint Chiefs, Lyman Lemnitzer, met with President Kennedy and his National Security Council on 20 July 1961, just as the East-West crisis over Berlin threatened to explode into immediate hot war in Europe. Lemnitzer presented his plan for a surprise, preemptive nuclear attack on the Soviet Union, to take place in 1963. This was Churchill's *Operation Unthinkable*, updated for thermonuclear use.

Lemnitzer cautioned that if all-out nuclear war were begun a year earlier, it would not be as effective in utterly annihilating Russia; he said that only by 1963 would the United States have absolute superiority in delivery systems, at which point the Soviets would possess no real ability to retaliate. The President asked Lemnitzer how long Americans would have to remain in fallout shelters after the rival country was exterminated. A Lemnitzer aide replied that about two weeks should be sufficient. Kennedy concluded the meeting by directing that "no member in attendance at the meeting disclose even the subject of the meeting".

A memorandum with notes of this meeting was declassified only in June of 1993. Professor James Galbraith, son of JFK's trusted strategic advisor John Kenneth Galbraith, discovered this declassified memo and immediately brought it to the attention of the public.⁷ His article received

virtually no attention in the corporate media.

McGeorge Bundy recalled that "In the summer of 1961 [Kennedy] went through a formal briefing on the net assessment of a general nuclear war between the two superpowers, and he expressed his own reaction to [Secretary of State] Dean Rusk as they walked from the cabinet room to the Oval Office for a private meeting on other subjects: 'And we call ourselves the human race'."⁸

On 13 March 1962, Joint Chiefs Chairman Lyman Lemnitzer gave Secretary of Defense Robert McNamara a plan for the *United States to carry out terror attacks against its own armed forces and civilians*, to be blamed on the Castro regime as "pretexts which would provide justification for US military intervention in Cuba". Known as *Operation Northwoods*, the plan would remain secret until declassified in the 1990s. It is now available online.⁹

The state of mind discernable behind *Northwoods* comes straight out of the history of the British Empire. "False flag" terror had been the British specialty in Africa, India, and Ireland, and through synthetic Muslim movements in the Middle East. During and after the Cold War, it has been the trademark of the MI6 and Special Air Services that have instructed and guided NATO strategy.

Among Lemnitzer's proposals were these:

Bomb the US base at Guantanamo, Cuba, and destroy US ships—"Lob mortar shells from outside of base into base.... Blow up ammunition inside the base; start fires. Burn aircraft on air base (sabotage). Sabotage ship in harbor; large fires—naphthalene. Sink ship near harbor entrance. Conduct funerals for mock-victims.... We could blow up a drone (unmanned) vessel anywhere in the Cuban waters.... The

1994, pp. 88-96. The text was as follows:

TOP SECRET—EYES ONLY
Notes on National Security Council Meeting
20 July 1961

General Hickey, Chairman of the Net Evaluation Subcommittee, presented the annual report of his group. General Lemnitzer stated that the assumption of this year's study was a surprise attack in late 1963, preceded by a period of heightened tensions.

After the presentation by General Hickey and by the various members of the Subcommittee, the President asked if there had ever been made an assessment of damage results to the USSR which would be incurred by a preemptive attack. General Lemnitzer stated that such studies had been made and that he would bring them over and discuss them personally with the President. In recalling General Hickey's opening statement that these studies have been made since 1957, the President asked for an appraisal of the trend in the effectiveness of the attack. General Lemnitzer replied that he would also discuss this with the President.

Since the basic assumption of this year's presentation was an attack in late 1963, the President asked about probable effects in the winter of 1962. Mr Dulles observed that the attack would be much less effective since there would be considerably fewer missiles involved. General Lemnitzer added a word of caution about accepting the precise findings of the Committee since these findings were based upon certain assumptions which themselves might not be valid.

The President posed the question as to the period of time necessary for citizens to remain in shelters following an attack. A member of the Subcommittee replied that no specific period of time could be cited due to the variables involved, but generally speaking, a period of two weeks should be expected.

The President directed that no member in attendance at the meeting disclose even the subject of the meeting.

Declassified: June, 1993.

8. Quoted by Galbraith, op. cit.

9. <http://nsarchive.gwu.edu/news/20010430/>

6. David Talbot, *The Devil's Chessboard: Allen Dulles, the CIA, and the Rise of America's Secret Government* (New York: 2015, Harper).

7. The memorandum was reproduced with an article by Galbraith and his aide Heather Purcell, "Did the US Military Plan a Nuclear First Strike for 1963?" which appeared in the *American Prospect*, number 19, Fall

The coup, then and now

presence of Cuban planes or ships merely investigating the intent of the vessel could be fairly compelling evidence that the ship was taken under attack."

Lie to news media—"[After] an air/sea rescue operation ... to 'evacuate' remaining members of the non-existent crew ... [c]asualty lists in US newspapers would cause a helpful wave of national indignation."

Conduct terror atrocities inside the United States—"We could develop a Communist Cuban terror campaign in the Miami area, in other Florida cities and even in Washington. The terror campaign could be pointed at Cuban refugees seeking haven in the United States. We could sink a boatload of Cubans en route to Florida (real or simulated). We could foster attempts on lives of Cuban refugees in the United States even to the extent of wounding in instances to be widely publicised. Exploding a few plastic bombs in carefully chosen spots ..."

A military attack to "be simulated against a neighboring Caribbean nation...."

An "incident which will demonstrate convincingly that a Cuban aircraft has attacked and shot down a chartered civil airliner en route from the United States.... [The] aircraft [used in the fake attack] ... could be painted and numbered as an exact duplicate for a civil registered aircraft belonging to a CIA proprietary organisation in the Miami area...."


"Hijacking attempts against civil air and surface craft...."

Make it "appear that Communist Cuban MIGs have destroyed a USAF aircraft over international waters in an unprovoked attack."


Kennedy dismissed the Northwoods proposal. About a month later, Lemnitzer simply demanded that the United States stage a full-scale military invasion of Cuba, without provocation, on the presumption that the Soviets would not react.¹⁰

The President now ordered that Lemnitzer be ousted as chairman of the Joint Chiefs when his term expired in October 1962, six months hence. Kennedy designated General Maxwell Taylor to replace Lemnitzer as chairman at that time, and to supervise Lemnitzer as long as he remained the chief. Lemnitzer's British sponsors intervened at this crucial stage to keep him in a position of power, as he later explained to his authorised biographer:

"[In] the Spring of 1962 ... [he] had been invited by his old World War II commander, retired Field Marshal Earl Alexander, to come out to his home near Windsor castle for Easter dinner. The earl was no longer the British minister of defence [as he had been in the Churchill cabinet, 1952-54], but he was still influential in government affairs, and he was a lifelong friend of Harold Macmillan, the prime minister. While the two were walking in his garden, Alexander asked the general about his retirement plans. When Lemnitzer said he was considering several offers in the private sector, Alexander asked



Left: Joint Chiefs of Staff Chairman General Lyman Lemnitzer's 13 March 1962 memorandum recommending Operation Northwoods, a plan for false-flag attacks that would be blamed on Cuba to create a pretext for invasion. Right: An excerpt from Operation Northwoods. Kennedy rejected Operation Northwoods, and forced Lemnitzer out of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.



him if he had ever thought of succeeding general [Lauris] Norstad as NATO's supreme Allied Commander. Lemnitzer said he was surprised and replied 'Hell no. I've never even thought about it. As far as I know, Larry is doing well there and I've never given it any consideration. Why do you ask?' Alexander answered that Macmillan, with whom Lemnitzer had been acquainted when he served with Alexander in Italy, had asked him to bring up the subject and inquire if the general was interested. The two went on to talk about other things, and he put the conversation in the back of his mind until he returned to Washington.... The next move came from Kennedy, who talked to Lemnitzer ... in June, and told him he wanted to nominate him to succeed Norstad."¹¹

Kennedy saw the British proposal for Lemnitzer to command NATO military forces in Europe as a way to kick him out of the Pentagon without provoking an open revolt by his high-ranking military followers.

8. Against pure evil, JFK did not flinch

The novel about a coup d'état against the US President, *Seven Days in May*,¹² came out in September 1962. Chilling real-life events made the book a best seller.

On 22 August a few days before the book's release, a squad of assassins on motorcycles had attacked French President de Gaulle's car with automatic weapons fire. Bullets passed very near his head, but he escaped unhurt.

General Lemnitzer stepped down as chairman of the Joint Chiefs on 1 October 1962, but his departure for Paris NATO headquarters was temporarily delayed while the hunt was on for the Algerian Secret Army would-be assassins. Lemnitzer remained at the Pentagon, in the same unofficial top-boss status among his colleagues as Allen Dulles retained within the CIA.

Thus it was amidst a struggle for the survival of lawful government that the Cuban Missile Crisis began on 16 October 1962. During those terrifying hours, *Seven Days in May* was the number one best seller in Washington DC, because no one viewed it as fiction.


10. <https://www.awesomestories.com/asset/view/US-Military-Intervention-in-Cuba-10-April-1962-Recommendation> and <https://www.awesomestories.com/asset/view/US-Military-Intervention-in-Cuba-10-April-1962-Recommendation-Pg-2>

11. Binder, *Lemnitzer*, p. 306.

12. Fletcher Knebel and Charles Bailey II, *Seven Days in May* (New York: Harper & Row, 1962).



Burt Lancaster and Kirk Douglas in *Seven Days in May*, the movie based on the novel by Fletcher Knebel and Charles Bailey, about an attempted military coup against a US president who wanted to get rid of nuclear weapons. Hinting that the story was based on the very real intention of the cabal around Gen. Lyman Lemnitzer, the authors named the fictional president “Lyman”, while the coup leader is the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff (played by Lemnitzer look-alike Burt Lancaster in the John Frankenheimer movie, which was made with the cooperation of Kennedy’s White House). Photo: Screenshot



A US spy plane over Cuba took photographs showing that the Soviets had brought in ballistic missiles capable of striking the United States with nuclear weapons. The President kept the situation secret until he could reach a firm decision on what to do, to get the missiles out of Cuba without starting World War III. The sacked Joint Chiefs chairman, Lemnitzer, attended the meetings of the special “Executive Committee” (Excomm) which Kennedy had created to deliberate on the correct path to take.¹³

A battle of wills went on day after day. The President and his loyal staff wanted to give the Russians a way to back down without being crushed or humiliated. The Dulles-Lemnitzer faction wanted to bomb the missile sites, and follow that action with an all-out US invasion of Cuba. They claimed that even if Russian soldiers were killed, the Russians would do nothing; and that even if the Russians struck back in Berlin (then divided East-West), the United States could easily defeat them in a nuclear conflict.

Kennedy raised the possibility that the USA might remove its missiles from Turkey in exchange for the Soviets taking theirs out of Cuba. Lemnitzer reacted angrily that the missiles in Turkey were not ours to withdraw—they belonged to NATO!

A partly fictionalised film about the Cuban Missile Crisis—*13 Days*, starring Kevin Costner—omits Lemnitzer from its depiction of those secret strategy meetings. Nonetheless, the film provides a sense of the Lemnitzer faction’s attempt to bully the President into a catastrophic war.

Kennedy decided to impose a naval blockade around Cuba, which could interdict any ships transporting offensive weapons. As both the United States and the Soviets continued testing nuclear weapons throughout the crisis, the entire world awaited the outcome, and the likely death of humanity.

Kennedy said that if the Soviets removed the missiles, he would pledge never to invade Cuba. He kept in touch with Soviet Premier Nikita Khrushchov through private channels, and sent his brother Robert to meet in strict secrecy with Soviet Ambassador Anatoly Dobrynin. The crisis ended with the successful offer to take the missiles out of Turkey, the removal to occur quietly six months later on.

13. Binder, *Lemnitzer*, p. 309.

The Manchurian Candidate, a film about a plot to take over the White House by assassination, was released to American movie theaters at the height of the 13-day missile crisis. Its director, John Frankenheimer, became very close to Robert Kennedy.¹⁴ Frankenheimer bought the rights to *Seven Days in May*, the novel about a future coup d’état in the USA, and proceeded to make it into a movie. President Kennedy and his staff gave Frankenheimer their active, eager cooperation in the film-making project. The movie is a startling reflection of the psychology of the two sides, the mortal enemies who had confronted each other within the Excomm during the missile crisis.

Lyman Lemnitzer, defeated in the Cuban Missile Crisis and sacked as Joint Chiefs’ chairman—but not incarcerated—went over to Paris as head of NATO military forces. Lemnitzer inherited a continent-wide covert apparatus of Mafia killers, Hitler Nazis and Mussolini fascists, French colonial diehards, and white mercenaries fuming about the loss of Africa. This was the “stay-behind” network he had seen constructed after World War II by the British Secret Service, with help from Dulles and logistical support by himself. It was not until October 1990 that Italian Prime Minister Giulio Andreotti rocked the political world by revealing the existence of this covert network, which came to be called after the name for its Italian section, “Gladio”.

This was the apparatus that had repeatedly attempted to murder President de Gaulle, who finally kicked NATO and Lemnitzer out of France in 1967.

Intimidation by “Gladio” had worked a 1964 coup d’état in Italy, forcing the government to purge ministers and parties favoring East-West cooperation. The apparatus had killed several German leaders who were seeking peaceful relations between East and West.

Its most notorious crime was the so-called Strategy of Tension, exploding bombs and murdering civilians in the name of non-existent radical groups, to foster servile obedience in the population.¹⁵ The apparatus had carried out the 1978 “Red Brigades” kidnapping and murder of Italian Prime Minister Aldo Moro. This was the same “false flag” terror campaign that Lemnitzer had unsuccessfully proposed to President Kennedy for the USA. The tactic has persisted into the present age of terror and counter-terror.

In 1967, New Orleans District Attorney Jim Garrison would prosecute CIA/MI6 asset Clay Shaw as a perpetrator of the JFK assassination, showing that Shaw was a central figure in the Italian Gladio murder apparatus.

Next issue: What the world lost in the American coup

14. John Frankenheimer would go on to produce campaign ads for Bobby Kennedy’s 1968 run for the Presidency. Frankenheimer was in Los Angeles with Bobby after the California primary victory made Bobby the likely next President, and was devastated by Bobby’s assassination that same night.

15. Claudio Celani, “Strategy of Tension: The Case of Italy,” *Executive Intelligence Review*, 2004.

The coup, then and now

The enemies of humanity try to give Trump the JFK treatment (Part 5)

By Anton Chaitkin. This is the concluding installment of the article, the first four parts of which appeared in Australian Almanacs Vol. 8, Nos. 14, 15, 16 and 17 published with the AAS issues dated 31 May and 7, 21 and 28 June, 2017.

9. What the world lost in the American coup

The peaceful outcome of the Cuban Missile Crisis, serving the mutual interests of the United States and the Russians, was a decisive victory of Kennedy over his Anglo-American opponents. With the grateful opinion of a reprieved world at his back, he immediately pressed the advantage, acting to secure a future in which American interests were once again identified with the world's progress and safety.


His murder one year later (22 November 1963) ought to be recognised as the decisive act in a coup d'état against the United States. The resultant absence of America's unique optimism and creativity from world affairs was everywhere deeply demoralising.

We may now be witnessing a global popular revolt against the failed system which Kennedy's enemies imposed after his death: uncontrolled financial speculation, deindustrialisation, and the devastation of permanent wars. It may now be possible, culturally and politically, for citizens to once again understand Kennedy's traditional American viewpoint, which has otherwise been incomprehensible to generations subjected to humiliation and social degradation. We will briefly here examine how Kennedy, as the representative and leading American, acted on the world immediately after he had faced down and defeated his internal enemies in the Missile Crisis.

Kennedy's first target was the Congo, engulfed by war and chaos since the imperial murder of Prime Minister Lumumba just before Kennedy's Presidency had begun.

The old, hideously cruel colonial system in Africa had little support at that time outside the City of London, Wall Street, and a hard-right circle supporting the financiers. But the British royals and their continental cousins, together with their secret services and military apparatus, defined their very existence around their colonial-sector investments. The original Belgian crown colony in the Congo had long ago come under control of interlocked banking and mining interests linking British Rhodesia and Congo's Katanga province, joined by Morgan, Rockefeller and other clients of the Dulles Brothers.¹

The London "Katanga Lobby" steered the Congo



Top: JFK welcomes Congolese Prime Minister Cyrille Adoula, successor of the murdered Patrice Lumumba, to the White House in 1962. Above: Robert Gascoyne-Cecil, Marquess of Salisbury (left) and Lord Clitheroe (right), two of Britain's "Katanga Lobby" which manipulated events in Congo from their "gentlemen's club" White's (right), a favourite haunt of Britain's oligarchical elite and MI6. Photos: JFK library, Wikipedia



mayhem from their castles, from White's, and from the Worshipful Companies of the City of London. Its leaders were the Marquess of Salisbury, his cousin Lord Selborne (Roundell Palmer), Lord Clitheroe, Ulick Alexander, and Captain Charles Waterhouse, who together managed the British Royal Household, represented royal investments, ran Tanganyika Concessions and Union Minière du Haut Katanga, owned the relevant central African railroads, deployed regional mercenary gangs, and controlled the funding mechanisms for the Conservative Party.

Acting only a month after the Soviet stand-down in Cuba, President Kennedy got the rather reluctant Belgian Foreign Minister Paul Henri Spaak to issue a joint

1. In the April 1962 showdown over steel price increases, Kennedy had used the government's full force to defeat the Anglophile Morgan and Rockefeller interests, who dominated the steel industry with an anti-industrial, speculative financial bias. JFK said, "the American people will find it hard, as I do, to accept a situation in which a tiny handful of steel executives whose pursuit of private power and profit exceeds their sense of public responsibility can show such utter contempt for the interests of 185 million Americans" (<https://www.jfklibrary.org/Research/Research-Aids/Ready-Reference/Press-Conferences/News-Conference-30.aspx>).



JFK delivering his famous "Peace" speech at American University in Washington, DC, 10 June 1963, in which he urged understanding the Soviet Union and declared that Americans did not seek a "Pax Americana [American Peace] enforced on the world by American weapons of war." Photo: JFK library

statement with him, threatening "severe economic measures" against Katanga unless secession were quickly ended. Kennedy simultaneously applied painful political pressure on the British regime that was backing the Congo's dissolution: he decided to prevent the UK from acquiring an independent nuclear weapons delivery system, the Skybolt air-to-ground missile, which they had counted on acquiring from the USA. The British press blasted Kennedy; Anglophile right-wingers in the Deep South attacked him for betraying the White Race. Kennedy met with Prime Minister MacMillan and forced him to accept an American nuclear-umbrella defence of Britain in place of Skybolt.

With the British reeling, Kennedy moved the United Nations to support the Congo's national sovereignty with UN military forces and US logistics. Within weeks, peace was restored, the Katanga secession was crushed, Katanga leader Moïse Tshombe was arrested, and the Congo government asked British diplomats to leave.

A letter to the London *Daily Telegraph*, 9 January 1963, expressed imperial rage: "We ... have witnessed three ... attempts at world domination, first by Hitler, then by Stalin ... and now by President Kennedy." But this hatred was perhaps not widely shared among Britons, who were alive because the American President had followed his own judgment and had not been intimidated by anti-Russian madmen.

The Akosombo Hydroelectric Dam, the joint Ghana-US great project, was then midway to completion. More broadly, Kennedy sought to employ nuclear energy as a peace-building development tool. The International Atomic Energy Agency started a panel dedicated to nuclear desalination/irrigation works as joint projects of the United States and Russia, Israel and the north African Arabs, India and Pakistan, North and South America.



The 28 August 1963 Civil Rights March on Washington, DC at which Dr Martin Luther King delivered his "I Have a Dream" speech. Photo: JFK library

Kennedy's "Peace Speech"

After securing the Congo, Kennedy moved diplomatically for a US-Soviet agreement to end nuclear weapons testing, and pushed strongly towards a broad agreement to scale back the suicidal arms race. A Test Ban Treaty among the USA, USSR, UK and France had been another item on the Spring 1960 Paris summit agenda, which was wrecked by the U-2 spy plane incident.

JFK's famous "Peace Speech" came on 10 June 1963 as the commencement address at American University in Washington, DC.² He announced that the United States would unilaterally stop testing nuclear weapons, to encourage a US-Soviet accord. He said that Russia had suffered more than any other country to defeat Hitler.

He asked Americans to re-examine their own attitudes toward Russia:

"... not to see only a distorted and desperate view of the other side, not to see conflict as inevitable, accommodation as impossible, and communication as nothing more than an exchange of threats. No government or social system is so evil that its people must be considered as lacking in virtue. As Americans, we find communism profoundly repugnant as a negation of personal freedom and dignity. But we can still hail the Russian people for their many achievements—in science and space, in economic and industrial growth, in culture and in acts of courage.... What kind of peace do we seek? Not a Pax Americana enforced on the world by American weapons of war. Not the peace of the grave or the security of the slave.... Our problems are man-made—therefore, they can be solved by man."

The USA and the Soviets soon entered into a treaty partially banning nuclear bomb testing, opening the way toward greater accords.

The next day after the peace speech, Kennedy reported to the American people on the fight for civil rights.³ Again, he challenged American attitudes:

"One hundred years of delay have passed since President Lincoln freed the slaves, yet their heirs, their

2. <https://www.jfklibrary.org/Asset-Viewer/BWC714C9QUmLG9J6l8oy8w.aspx>

3. https://www.jfklibrary.org/Asset-Viewer/LH8F_0Mzv0e6Ro1yEm74Ng.aspx

grandsons, are not fully free. They are not yet freed from the bonds of injustice. They are not yet freed from social and economic oppression. And this Nation, for all its hopes and all its boasts, will not be fully free until all its citizens are free.

"We preach freedom around the world, and we mean it, and we cherish our freedom here at home, but are we to say to the world, and much more importantly, to each other that this is the land of the free except for the Negroes; that we have no second-class citizens except Negroes; that we have no class or caste system, no ghettos, no master race except with respect to Negroes?"

"Now the time has come for this Nation to fulfill its promise."

With the additional impetus of the Civil Rights Movement's 28 August 1963 March on Washington, the occasion of the Rev. Martin Luther King's "I have a dream" speech, the Kennedy Administration began crafting the epochal civil rights legislation that would be passed after his assassination.

In the last weeks of his life, he pressed for a joint space program with the Soviet Union; at the UN on 20 September he called for a joint US-Soviet expedition to the Moon.⁴

On 5 October President Kennedy decided to withdraw US military advisers from Vietnam to prevent an American war there. This decision was given force by his National Security Action Memorandum 263, issued 11 October 1963.⁵

Kennedy was quietly putting out peace feelers to Fidel Castro, when he was shot to death.

This, then, is what Senator Chuck Schumer (Dem., NY) meant 3 January 2017 when he tried to intimidate Donald Trump by calling him "really dumb" for attacking the covert agencies: "Let me tell you, you take on the intelligence community, they have six ways from Sunday at getting back at you."

Schumer's brutal threat was that Trump would get the Kennedy treatment.

Since the murder of the last President to stand up decisively to the oligarchs, the United States and Britain have been led to abandon industrial progress in their own countries, and to attack the right of poor countries to industrialise as "environmentally dangerous", and as a potential military risk if such countries were to know too much science. Governments, bribed and coerced, have surrendered economic control to financiers who are universal plunderers.

They have launched dozens of new Bay of Pigs wars—in Iraq, Libya, Syria, all over Africa and all around Russia—killing millions, producing only refugees and terrorists, even as they "preach freedom around the world". They paid billions of dollars to buy the forcible overthrow of the elected president in Ukraine when he opted for closer relations with neighbouring Russia.

Citizens' revulsion against the Establishment swept



Russian Foreign Minister Sergei Lavrov meets US President Donald Trump in the White House. Although a very different person to Kennedy, Trump has in common with JFK a desire to de-escalate tensions with Russia, and therefore has likewise incurred the hostility of the intelligence community, the permanent war machine, and the British elite. Photo: Flickr

Europe and hit the United States in the 2016 elections, in the votes cast both for Bernie Sanders and for Donald Trump. When Wikileaks exposed Hillary Clinton's betrayal of her country—she had assured her Wall Street sponsors that they would control national policy—the frantic lie came back that Russia was somehow responsible for leaking Clinton's secret speech, and thus Russia had meddled in the US elections.

NATO—the NATO of Lord Harold Alexander and his idoliser Lyman Lemnitzer—is now stationing American and British troops in the Baltic countries on Russia's borders, preparing for a Third World War.

It takes little imagination to think how quickly and forcibly the United States would have reacted during the Cold War, if the Soviet Union had stationed Soviet combat-ready troops just across the US border in Mexico.

Kennedy acted to remove Allen Dulles and Lyman Lemnitzer. Kennedy's murder gave their faction a victory, but not until he had left an indelible mark on human history.

President Donald Trump has fired FBI Director James Comey for his participation in the blatant coup attempt against Trump on the anti-Russian theme.

Proceeding further in the face of the coup, Trump decided to send a US delegation to Beijing for the Belt and Road summit meeting on global infrastructure, to discuss the way out of strategic disaster.

China has recently raised hundreds of millions of its people out of poverty. And it has now been joined by Russia and many other like-minded nations to build the greatest set of transport, electric power and industrial projects ever seen.

John F. Kennedy's 100th birthday was 29 May 2017.

The United States, which brought electricity to the world in the 19th century, and brought the world to the Moon in the 20th, could best celebrate JFK's memory by joining in our era's great infrastructure projects—and thus rejoining the civilised world.

The author may be contacted at antonchaitkin@gmail.com.

4. <http://www.presidency.ucsb.edu/ws/?pid=9416>

5. James K. Galbraith, "Exit Strategy," *Boston Review*, October/November, 2003.

Postscript: JFK's vision of industrial progress

The following excerpts from presidential speeches express John F. Kennedy's optimistic cultural commitment to scientific and technological progress.

On 25 May 1961, Kennedy addressed the US Congress to lay down the challenge of going to the Moon, and for nuclear-powered space exploration:

Time for this nation to take a clearly leading role in space achievement which in many ways may hold the key to our future on Earth.... I therefore ask the Congress, above and beyond the increases I'd earlier requested for space activities, to provide the funds which are needed to meet the following national goals:

First, I believe that this nation should commit itself to achieving the goal, before this decade is out, of landing a man on the Moon and returning him safely to the Earth. No single space project in this period, will be more impressive to mankind, or more important for the long-range exploration of space. And none will be so difficult or expensive to accomplish....

We propose to accelerate the development of the appropriate lunar spacecraft. We propose to develop alternate liquid and solid fuel boosters, much larger than any now being developed, until certain which is superior.

We propose additional funds for other engine development, and for unmanned exploration, explorations which are particularly important for one purpose which this nation will never overlook: the survival of the man who first makes this daring flight. But in a very real sense, it will not be one man going to the Moon. We make this judgment affirmatively: It will be an entire nation. For all of us must work to put him there.

Secondly, an additional \$23 million, together with \$7 million already available, will accelerate development of the Rover nuclear rocket. This gives promise, of some day providing a means for even more exciting and ambitious exploration of space, perhaps beyond the Moon, perhaps to the very end of the Solar System itself.

On 17 August 1962, Kennedy emphasised the importance of planning for the future as he dedicated a dam in Pueblo, Colorado:

In 1992, as those men and women were 30 years ago, who began to make this project possible, what are we going to do in 1962, beginning today, to determine what projects we should develop, so that by the end of this century, when there are 300 million people in the United States, that there will be available to them land, and water, and light, and power, and resources? And places to live, and places to rest, and places to work. So, we salute this project today, and we salute those who made it possible... [N]o project that we plan today will be beneficial to us. Anything we begin today, is for those who come after us, and just as those who began something years ago make it possible for us to be here, I hope we'll fulfill our responsibility to the next generation that's going to follow us....

And the important lesson in all of those projects is that progress isn't being made as a result of a sudden idea, suddenly coming into fruition... Things do not happen, they are made to happen. And this project is the result, in our action today, of 30 years of men, some of whom have now



JFK at the groundbreaking ceremony for the Hanford nuclear reactor, which pioneered nuclear power for peaceful purposes. Instead of symbolically shovelling dirt, Kennedy held a uranium-tipped wand to a Geiger counter that activated an earthmoving crane. Photo: courtesy U.S. Department of Energy

died, who thought that this dam would help this valley.

On 26 September 1963 Kennedy attended the ceremonial groundbreaking on the construction of the largest nuclear reactor in the world to that time, in Hanford, Washington:

I'm also glad to come here today, because we begin work on the largest nuclear power reactor for peaceful purposes in the world. And I take the greatest satisfaction of the United States being second to none. And I think it's a good area where we should be first, and we are first...

You know in the next 10 years—I hope the people of the United States realise it, that we double the need for electric power every 10 years! We need the equivalent of a new Grand Coulee Dam every 60 days! In the next 20 years, we're going to have to put into the electric industry, \$125 billion of investment. And when we do that, this country will be richer. And our children will enjoy a higher standard of living...

I think we must do several things: First, we must maintain an aggressive program to use our hydro resources to the fullest. Every drop of water, which goes to the ocean, without being used for power or used to grow, or being made available in the widest possible basis, is a waste. And I hope that we will do everything we can to make sure, that nothing runs to the ocean unused and wasted...

And third, as is well known here in Hanford, we must hasten the development of low-cost atomic power. I think we should lead the world in this. ... And by the end of this century, this is going to be a tremendous source. Our experts estimate that half of all electric energy, generated in the United States, will come from nuclear fission.

These are the things we must do, and many more. This great, rich country of ours has a long, unfinished agenda, but it's always had that agenda, in creative times. And this is a creative time in our country, and throughout the world...

And I think it's very appropriate that we come here, where so much has been done to build the military strength of the United States, and to find a chance to strike a blow for peace, and to find a chance to strike a blow for a better life for our fellow citizens.