

*The Face-to-Face Encounter  
& Brief Physician Narrative*

Joan Harrold MD, MPH, FACP, FAAHPM, HMDC  
VP Medical Services and CMO  
Hospice & Community Care  
Lancaster and York Counties PA

March 2018  
Subscriber Webinar

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Today*

- Elements of a useful FTF
- Physician narratives
  - Purpose, process, preparation, product
  - Certs...and recerts!

2

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*The Statute*

The Affordable Care Act requires that a hospice physician or nurse practitioner (NP) must have a face-to-face (FTF) encounter with every Medicare Hospice patient to determine the continued eligibility of that patient.

- Within 30 days prior to the 3rd and all subsequent Medicare benefit periods

3

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Who can do the "Face to Face"?*

- Hospice physician: employed or contracted
- Hospice NP
  - W-2 employee of the hospice; NOT contracted
- PAs?
  - PA **may** be allowed to perform FTFs in 2019 (Medicare Patient Access to Hospice Act of Feb 2018).
  - Awaiting interpretative guidance

4

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Patient Transfers*

- When transferring from one hospice to another, the benefit period does not change, so the *originating* hospice is responsible for any required FTF.
- The *accepting* hospice is advised to have the FTF documentation for the benefit period.
  - Get copies of the prevailing FTF documentation AND physician narrative.

5

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Purpose & Content of the FTF*

- Purpose: gather clinical information to be used to determine hospice eligibility
- Physical exam alone is usually insufficient for recert
- Need exam + context and comparison
- How much is gathered in the FTF?
  - Chart review of visit notes
  - Summary documentation (IDT notes, recert prep summaries)

6

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Content of the FTF for Recert*

- HPI
  - Recent new events, changes in status/meds, new infections, decline
- ROS
  - General symptoms, symptoms related to relevant diagnoses (px)
- PE
  - Appearance, wt +/-MAC, vital signs, diagnosis-related findings, decline-related findings
- Functional status/changes
  - ADLs (which ones and how compromised), sleep, PPS, FAST
- **NO** finding of eligibility unless physician does FTF

7

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*The Physician Narrative*

418.22 Certification of terminal illness.

- Based on the attending physician's and medical director's clinical judgment.
  - Must specify individual's prognosis is for a life expectancy of 6 months or less if the terminal illness runs its normal course
- Clinical information / other documentation that support the medical prognosis must accompany the certification and be filed in the medical record with the written certification.

8

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*The Physician Narrative: Purpose*

- The certifying physician **MUST compose** a brief narrative explanation of the clinical findings that support a life expectancy of 6 months or less.
  - 1st benefit period: can be written by EITHER the attending physician or hospice medical director
  - 3rd or later benefit periods: physician must use findings from the FTF to make the recert decision
  - The same physician who performs a FTF does **NOT** have to compose the narrative or sign the recert

9

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*The Physician Narrative: Purpose*

- For whom?
  - Is NOT clinical documentation of patient care.
  - **IS** documentation of eligibility for *payment* for patient care
- For the *reviewers who are determining coverage*
  - May be helpful summary for colleagues, but is NOT for them
- The narrative should be a persuasive, stand-alone statement of prognosis, supported by the record.

10

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*The Physician Narrative*

- The physician narrative is a **GIFT**.
- It is a mandated, expected statement of how the physician's clinical judgement has led to an assessment that the patient has a prognosis of 6 months or less.
- Can be a powerful statement for appeals.

11

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Physician Narrative: Product*

- The narrative must be composed by **the certifying physician**—**NOT** by other hospice personnel.
  - Synthesize individual patient's clinical information in a justification focused on **prognostic** factors.
- 1st benefit period: can be written by EITHER the attending physician or hospice medical director
  - *Do you really want a non-HPM physician writing this?*

12

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Physician Narrative: a Summary Document*

- Narrative should summarize and/or interpret what is already documented.
  - It should rarely contain new information.
    - If new information is derived from IDG conversation, it should be documented in the pertinent visit note or the applicable IDG note.
  - It should follow logically from the visit and IDG documentation.
  - It must include information from the FTF.

13

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Physician Narrative: a Summary Document*

- Physician attestation that cert/recert is based on exam or review of medical record
  - The physician who performs a FTF does **NOT** have to compose the narrative or sign the recert.
  - However, the one who does sign the cert should know that the information is in the record.

14

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Physician Narrative: Prognostic info*

- HPI
  - Age primary dx, co-morbidities affecting px, new events or changes, infections
- ROS
  - General (wt loss, decreased intake,, etc.) and those related to relevant diagnoses
- MEDS
  - New meds and any pertinent increases or decreases in meds
- PE
  - Appearance, nutritional changes (wt, MAC, BMI), relevant VS, dx-related findings, decline-related findings
- Function
  - Changes in ADLs (which ones and how compromised), sleep, PPS, FAST
- +/- other objective data: pOx, labs, imaging
- Interpretations of findings

15

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Physician Narrative: Prognostic info*

- Document magnitude of changes, not just direction
  - Not “decreased MAC” or “PPS down”
  - 2 cm decrease in MAC from 19 to 17 cm in the past 3 months
  - Decrease in PPS from 60 to 40 over this benefit period
- Get labs and imaging when needed
  - Albumin for nutrition compromise, renal function
  - Unexpected change in trajectory—correct diagnosis?

16

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Physician Narrative: Don't perpetuate inaccuracies*

- Are PPS scores calculated correctly?
  - Accurate ambulation calculation. No “partial credit.”
- Is FAST calculated correctly?
  - Speaks fairly well and can't walk due to CVA ≠ 7C
- And applied to the correct diagnosis?
  - Alzheimer's dementia, not vascular dementia, CVA, Parkinson's
- Do changes in MACs make sense?
- Really dependent for all ADLs?
  - Feeding self, walking with a device

17

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Physician Narrative: Interpretive*

- Interpretations of findings: this is why a list is not enough. Narratives require sentences!
  - Decrease in BP and need to discontinue cardiac meds reflects progressive cardiac compromise.
  - Pt's intake of approx. 300 cal/day is insufficient to sustain her long, although with BMI of 9.2, further wt loss can hardly be expected.

18

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Physician Narrative: Include the LCDs*

- Underscore expected findings
  - List the elements of ALL pertinent LCDs met by the patient.
    - Primary diagnosis and co-morbidities
- Remember that LCDs are guidelines.
  - If there is no LCD, explain why pt has a 6 month px.
- If eligibility is obvious, may need to write less.
  - Explain and support your decision more when not as obvious.

19

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Physician Narrative: Include the LCDs*

- Acknowledge and explain lack of “expected” findings (usually LCDs)
  - Less than 10% wt loss but intake of bites and sips for a week with no reversible cause and decreasing responsiveness
  - Acute MI, CHF, hospitalization x1, persistent hypotension, rapid decline
  - Further wt loss not expected with extremely low BMI

20

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Physician Narrative: Inconsistencies*

- Acknowledge and explain inconsistencies
  - Irregular wts—new scale? Change in fluid status?
- Do not rely on meaningless changes
  - Up and down wts or MAC
    - Do not rely on spurious “wt loss” if pt has fluctuations within a range.
  - Multiple rounds of antibiotics
    - For significant infections; don’t just count the Rxs.

21

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Physician Narrative: Inconsistencies*

- Explain how “improvements” in condition do NOT change px.
  - With better pain mgmt. and rebound following hospitalization, pt can help more with ADLs . Px remains 6 months or less due to stage 4 pancreatic cancer and worsening mets on CT.
  - Pt’s diuretic-resistant edema has improved only with marked decrease in food and fluid intake.
- Explain “mitigated” changes.
  - Pt’s nutritional decline and real wt loss is even greater as loss of 10# is dampened by new-onset of 2+ BLE edema from toes to knees.

22

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Physician Narrative: Inconsistencies*

- Do not ignore long lengths of stay
  - Although pt has unexpectedly outlived initial prognosis, pt has a px of 6 months due to....

23

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Physician Narrative: Remember to add...*

- Include other pertinent info
  - New and/or other co-morbidities
    - New MI, CHF exacerbation in previously stable CAD, new hip fx due to increased weakness and fall
  - New information in the literature that supports px
    - Advanced Dementia Prognostic Tool (ADEPT), Six Month Median Px in Cancer
  - Include pertinent citations in the narrative
    - Support eligibility
    - Potential support for future appeal

24

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



*Then and Now*

- Then
  - If we saw this pt for the first time today, would we admit?
- Now
  - Knowing this pt the way we do (esp. after a longer LOS), why do we now think the pt has a px of 6 months or less?

25

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Writing the Physician Narrative*

- Have a consistent order to your narrative, not a hard and fast recipe.
  - age, primary diagnosis, other diagnoses affecting px
  - recent events, infections, new or worsening symptoms
  - changes in nutritional, physical, and functional assessment
  - Pulse oximetry, labs, imaging
  - F2F findings: name of provider and date of visit
  - Interpretations
  - Pt wants palliative plan of care (second element of eligibility)

26

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Physician Narrative: Product and Process*

- Presence of the narrative is a matter of compliance
- Content of the narrative is a matter of eligibility
- Will your physician narratives support eligibility if the records are reviewed?

27

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Physician Narrative: Process*

- Have you taught your physicians to write them?
  - Do they understand the purpose?
  - Do they understand the possible uses?
  - Do they focus on prognostic factors?
- Narratives require sentences!

28

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Physician Narrative: Process*

- Accountability
  - Who reviews the narratives?
  - How is feedback given?
- *Must take these seriously!*

29

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Physician Certification: Staff Preparation*

- Do admission staff and IDT members all know how to support certification?
- Nurses
  - History, physical, and course of illness
  - Prognostic information per LCDs and diseases
  - Physical findings on assessment

30

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Physician Certification: Staff Preparation*

- Not only nurses:
- SWs and Chaplains
  - What do you observe? What is different?
    - Posture, speech, dress
    - Pain, breathing, ability to converse, cognition
    - Activity, stamina, need for help
- Aides
  - Plan of care, efficiency, narrative content

31

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Physician Narrative: Staff Preparation*

- Do the forms make it easy to support eligibility?
  - Ask the best questions for the discipline—and have a specific place to answer
  - Assessments
  - Scope of practice
    - Not all documentation is an “assessment”
- Do you have places to summarize events and findings?
  - IDT notes
  - Recert summary forms—not just check boxes!

32

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Strong Narratives*

- Require clinical skills
  - IDG members
    - Accurate and complete clinical documentation
  - Physicians
    - Prognostic awareness and analysis
- Forms that ask the right questions
- Well-developed writing skills

33

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Physician Narrative: A Case*

- 84 year old NH resident with Alzheimer’s dementia
- Renal insufficiency, irritable bowel syndrome
- Pneumonia and delirium with hospitalization 2 months ago
- Continues on O2 for shortness of breath
- Ht 5’10”. Wt 120 lbs. 30 lbs. loss in the last 6 months
- FAST 7C; ADEPT 19.5
- Holds food and medications in his mouth with poor intake
- Diet changed due to choking episodes
- FTF by hospice NP for admission into 4<sup>th</sup> benefit period.

34

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Physician Narrative: A Case*

- 84 year old with Alzheimer’s dementia
- Renal insufficiency, irritable bowel syndrome
- Pneumonia and delirium with hospitalization two months ago
  - Pneumonia with hospitalization is significant; may be aspiration-related per below info.
  - Delirium assoc’d with increased mortality.
- Ht 5’10”. Wt 120 lbs. 30 lbs. loss in the last 6 months
  - Ongoing and not reversible
- FAST 7C; ADEPT 19.5
- Holds food and medications in his mouth with poor intake
  - Expect further wt loss
- Diet changed due to choking episodes

35

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Physician Narrative: A Case*

- 84 year old with Alzheimer’s dementia
- Renal insufficiency, irritable bowel syndrome
  - How severe? Trajectory?
- Pneumonia and delirium with hospitalization two months ago
- Weighs 120 lbs with 30 lb loss in the last 6 months
- FAST 7C
- Holds food and medications in his mouth [with poor intake]
  - Does pt eat with cuing? Without poor intake, significance not clear.
- Diet changed due to choking episodes
  - Successful strategy to improve intake and reduce choking?

36

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*The Good...*

- 84-year-old with end-stage Alzheimer's disease is certified to have a 6 month px based on recent aspiration pneumonia, persistent dysphagia and aspiration risk, ongoing poor intake, 20% wt loss in past 6 months, BMI underwt at 17.2, ADEPT score 19.5 (with 67% probability of mortality in the next 6 months), FAST 7C, FTF (confirming wt loss, dysphagia, and ADEPT) by C Smythe CRNP on Mar 5, and desire for hospice support of palliative plan of care.
- Focuses on prognostic factors.
- References FTF
- Includes preference for palliation, not life prolongation

37

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*...the Bad...*

- 84 year old with advanced dementia, wt loss, decline, pneumonia. Pt appropriate.
- How advanced is dementia (FAST)?
- Timing and continuation of wt loss?
- When was pneumonia?
- What kind of decline?
- Probably supported in the record, but does not stand alone.

38

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*...and the Ugly*

- Pt died.
- Insufficient
- No justification of hospice eligibility.
- No stand-alone defense of prognosis
  - And what does that suggest to a reviewer if pt didn't die in 6 months or was discharged.
- Need a supported px—won't always be right.

39

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Also ... Ugly*

- “ES disease with decline—eligible for hospice”
- “Next decline will be death”
- “Pt with ESRD does not want dialysis.”
- What about:
  - Pt meets LCD with BMI < 22 and PPS 40.
  - Pt with DM and dementia is certified based on hospitalization last month for L BKA, hospital-acquired UTI (requiring IV abx), and 10% TBW loss (112# to 100#) within the past 6 months.

40

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Also ... Ugly*

- Copy and paste
  - No copying and pasting from someone else’s visit, FTF, IDT, or summary notes
    - The information—and even the words—may be similar.
    - But a physician note should read like a physician note.
  - No copying and pasting of last narrative with date change.
  - Worse: your copying makes the narrative **WRONG!**
    - Records can’t support.
    - Integrity of judgement and record are now questionable.

41

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Physician Narrative: Success*

- Admission and IDG staff have the forms and training to document pertinent information
- Physician narratives
  - Composed by physician
  - Focused on prognosis
  - Well-written
  - Reviewed with feedback

42

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Physician Narrative: Success*

- Have a consistent order, such as:
  - age, primary diagnosis, other diagnoses affecting px
  - recent events, infections, new or worsening symptoms
  - changes in nutritional, physical, and functional assessment
  - Pulse oximetry, labs, imaging
  - F2F findings: name of provider and date of visit
  - Interpretive conclusions about findings
  - Desire for palliative plan of care

43

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Physician Narrative: Success*

- Presence of the narrative = compliance
- *Content* of the narrative = *eligibility*
- Exercise of physician's *clinical judgement* per the CoPs
  - A persuasive, stand-alone justification of prognosis of < 6 months for an individual patient
  - Supported by the record
- Written for the *reviewers* who determine coverage

A **GIFT** to hospices to support care delivery & reimbursement

44

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Resources*

- Prediction of 6-month survival of nursing home residents with advanced dementia using ADEPT vs hospice eligibility guidelines. [www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21045099](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21045099)
- Can hospices predict which patients will die within six months? [www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24922330](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24922330)
- Estimating prognosis for nursing home residents with advanced dementia. [www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15187055](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15187055)

45

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Resources*

- Systematic review of cancer presentations with a median survival of six months or less. [www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22023378](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22023378)
- Accuracy of prognosis prediction by PPI in hospice inpatients with cancer: a multi-centre prospective study. [www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24644751](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24644751)

46

---



---



---



---



---



---



---

*To Contact Us*

Susan Balfour  
919-491-0699  
[Susan@HospiceFundamentals.com](mailto:Susan@HospiceFundamentals.com)

Roseanne Berry  
480-650-5604  
[Roseanne@HospiceFundamentals.com](mailto:Roseanne@HospiceFundamentals.com)

Charlene Ross  
602-740-0783  
[Charlene@HospiceFundamentals.com](mailto:Charlene@HospiceFundamentals.com)

The information enclosed was current at the time it was presented. This presentation is intended to serve as a tool to assist providers and is not intended to grant rights or impose obligations.

Although every reasonable effort has been made to assure the accuracy of the information within these pages, the ultimate responsibility for the correct submission of claims and response to any remittance advice lies with the provider of services.

---



---



---



---



---



---



---