WHAT YOU NEED TO KNOW ABOUT

NONPROFIT EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

by GuideStar

November 2015



What You Need to Know about Nonprofit Executive **Compensation**

Prepared by GuideStar

November 2015

Introduction

Like religion, politics, and sports, nonprofit executive compensation often evokes strong emotion. Donors, journalists, state officials, and members of Congress frequently express outrage at the salaries nonprofit CEOs receive, especially if the organizations they head are public charities that rely on donations from the public.

Nonprofit compensation practices can also draw fire from the IRS. The IRS is charged with ensuring that tax-exempt organizations—nonprofits that do not pay federal income tax because they serve the public good—devote their resources to accomplishing their missions. Although these organizations can pay their employees, they must avoid overpaying them. Excessive executive compensation can trigger an official IRS inquiry or even an examination (audit). Additionally, should the IRS find overpayment at a 501(c)(3) public charity, 501(c)(4) civic league or social welfare organization, or a 501(c)(29) qualified nonprofit health insurance issuer, it can levy hefty fines on the persons involved.4

Thus, to maintain public trust and mitigate risk, nonprofits must be aware of the regulations governing executive compensation, ensure that their practices follow these rules, document their

SPOTLIGHT ON COMPENSATION

Nonprofit compensation receives a lot of media coverage, much of it negative. Here are three recent examples:

"Despite mounting public concern about sky-high pay levels for topranked corporate officials, the highest-paid executives at notfor-profit healthcare institutions racked up massive pay increases in 2013, a Modern Healthcare review of the most recently available data from Internal Revenue Service filings shows."

-Modern Healthcare, August 2015 1

"New York City's leading museums and performingarts groups may be nonprofit organizations, but their top jobs offer pay and perks that many in the private sector would envy."

-Wall Street Journal, June 2015²

"A group can call itself 'not-forprofit,' but the people who run it can be profiting big. That's clear from a check of executive pay at St. Louis area nonprofit organizations."

—St. Louis Post-Dispatch, May 2015 ³

compliance with them, and be prepared to explain to the IRS and the public why the salaries and benefits they offer their leaders are appropriate.

This report outlines what the IRS permits in setting nonprofit executive compensation, the consequences of failing to comply with the regulations, how nonprofit board members can protect themselves and the organizations they serve, the kind of data compensation decisions should be based on, and the importance of reporting compensation accurately in annual IRS filings.

What's Allowed

The IRS allows tax-exempt organizations to pay executives "reasonable" compensation.⁵ There is no universal standard defining reasonable, however; what's reasonable at one nonprofit may be a gross under- or overpayment at another. The organizations must determine appropriate salary and benefits packages based on the following considerations:

- They can pay their executives market rate.
- Market rate is determined by researching what someone in a similar position would earn at an organization that is of the same size and has a similar mission or field of activity.
- They can look at for-profit compensation when determining market rate, as long as the job, organization size, and organization mission/purpose are comparable.
- The IRS has no standard formula, such as percentage of total revenues or expenses, for determining compensation, nor are there any tables or schedules that define reasonable compensation.

Consequences of Not Following the Rules

Excessive executive compensation is a red flag that can lead to closer IRS scrutiny of any exempt organization. The stakes are even higher for 501(c)(3) public charities, 501(c)(4) civic leagues and social welfare organizations, and 501(c)(29) qualified nonprofit health insurance. Penalties for overpayment by these organizations range from fines to revocation of tax-exempt status.

Fines are the more likely consequence. Known formally as excess benefit transaction excise taxes and informally as intermediate sanctions, the fines can be levied on both the executive who received the overpayment and the board members who approved it or who knew about the excess but did nothing to prevent it.⁶ For example:

The executive director of ABCD Charity received a compensation package of \$250,000 in FY 2013. After an examination of the organization, the IRS establishes that \$150,000 was

the appropriate compensation for the position at that time. As a result of this determination:

- The IRS requires the executive director to repay the \$100,000 overpayment to the organization—with interest.7
- If the executive director fails to repay this amount, or repays only part of it, a 200 percent excise tax may be imposed on the amount yet to be repaid.8
- The IRS may require the executive director to pay an excise tax equal to 25 percent of the overpayment. In this example, the excise tax would be \$25,000.
- The IRS may require each board member who approved the excess compensation, or any board member who knew about the overpayment but failed to prevent it, to pay an excise tax equal to 10 percent of the excess, not to exceed \$20,000 per transaction.¹⁰ In this example, should the IRS decide to impose the excise tax, each board member would owe \$10,000.

In March 2007, the IRS reported that its agents had recommended more than \$21 million in excise taxes stemming from excessive nonprofit executive compensation. Amazingly, only 40 individuals at 25 organizations were liable for this \$21 million.¹¹

Excess compensation continues to be a priority for the IRS—every examination of a taxexempt organization includes a compensation review.¹²

Protecting Your Organization and Its Leaders

A nonprofit's board can shield its members and the organization's executives by:

- 1. Approving compensation in advance; the board must ensure that no one who participates in the decision has a conflict of interest concerning the transaction.
- 2. Basing its decision on comparability data obtained before the compensation is approved.
- 3. Documenting the decision-making process at the time it approves the compensation. The IRS specifies that such documentation "should include the terms of the transaction and the date of its approval, the members of the authorized body present during the debate and vote on the transaction, the comparability data obtained and relied upon, the actions of any members of the authorized body having a conflict of interest, and documentation of the basis for the determination."13

By following this process, a board establishes a rebuttable presumption of reasonableness. As the IRS notes, "The Internal Revenue Service may refute the presumption of reasonableness only if it develops sufficient contrary evidence to rebut the probative value of the comparability data relied upon by the authorized body."14

In other words, it takes solid data to create a solid rebuttable presumption, but if a board bases its decision on solid data, the burden of proving overpayment has occurred falls on the IRS.

Finding Solid Comparability Data

To satisfy IRS requirements, nonprofit comparability data must be for "compensation levels paid by similarly situated organizations for functionally comparable positions."15

Smaller organizations can rely on the annual returns (see "Reporting Compensation to the IRS—and the Public" below for more information) that their peers file with the IRS. The general rule of thumb is that three comparables will suffice for nonprofits whose annual gross revenues are \$1 million or less. 16 The Foundation Center, GuideStar, and the National Center for Charitable Statistics (NCCS) at the Urban Institute all post nonprofits' IRS filings, although the number of organizations covered in each database differs.

Many larger nonprofits obtain comparability data from external compensation surveys or studies. When assessing whether an organization that relies on such resources has indeed established a rebuttable presumption, the IRS "will look to the independence of any compensation consultant used, and the quality of any study, survey, or other data, used to establish executive compensation."17 Charity Navigator, ERI Economic Research Institute, and GuideStar all provide compensation surveys or analyses that meet the IRS criteria.

Reporting Compensation to the IRS—and the Public

Almost all tax-exempt organizations are now required to file an annual information return with the IRS.18 Although nonprofits that file Form 990-N (available to organizations whose annual revenues are \$50,000 or less)¹⁹ are not required to provide compensation information, the nonprofits that file Form 990-EZ, 990, or 990-PF must report compensation paid to directors, trustees, officers, and key employees and the five highestpaid employees who (a) are not directors, trustees, officers, and key employees and (b) earn more than \$100,000. Providing this information is mandatory, and failure to do so can result in IRS fines.

Nonprofits that complete Form 990—the "long form"—must also answer questions about the methods used to establish and approve executive compensation levels. In many cases, the organizations must define these processes on a supplemental schedule.

Compensation reported to the IRS on Form 990-EZ, 990, or 990-PF is ultimately compensation reported to the public, because these returns are public documents. Anyone can obtain them from the filing organizations, the IRS, or through websites such as those for the Foundation Center, GuideStar, and NCCS.

Conclusion

Both the IRS and the public are paying close attention to nonprofit executive compensation. Accurate, up-to-date information from a reliable source on compensation at peer

organizations is a nonprofit's best tool for protecting its decision makers from costly excise taxes and the organization itself from possible loss of tax-exempt status.

Such information is equally important for preserving the public's trust in specific nonprofits. Even if executive compensation at a nonprofit fits well within the market rate for similar positions at similar organizations, prospective donors, the media, and state and federal officials may think otherwise. As the IRS's February 2009 report on nonprofit hospitals notes, "For some, there may be a disconnect between what, as members of the public, they might consider reasonable, and what is permitted under the tax law."20 Having reliable comparative data on hand can be the difference between maintaining or losing the public's trust in your organization.

Check Out the GuideStar Nonprofit Compensation Report

The GuideStar Nonprofit Compensation Report is the most comprehensive nonprofit compensation analysis available. Drawn entirely from IRS data, the report meets IRS criteria for researching nonprofit executive compensation.

Learn more about the GuideStar Nonprofit Compensation Report.

ENDNOTES

¹ Michael Sandler, "CEO Pay Soars at Top Not-for-Profits," Modern Healthcare, Aug. 8, 2015, http://www. modernhealthcare.com/article/20150808/MAGAZINE/308089988.

² Jennifer Smith, "High Pay for NYC's Cultural Leaders," Wall Street Journal, June 29, 2015, http://www.wsj.com/ articles/high-pay-for-nycs-cultural-leaders-1435081507.

³ Jim Gallagher, "Minting Millionaires at St. Louis Area Nonprofits," St. Louis Post-Dispatch, May 2, 2015, http:// www.stltoday.com/business/columns/minting-millionaires-at-st-louis-area-nonprofits/article_ef1f6e8b-33c3-51c9-a2de-17dd36f21a0e.html.

⁴ U.S. Internal Revenue Service (IRS), "2014 Instructions for Form 4720," Nov. 21, 2014, p. 1; "Applicable Tax-Exempt Organization," IRS, Apr. 1, 2015, http://www.irs.gov/Charities-&-Non-Profits/Charitable-Organizations/ Applicable-Tax-Exempt-Organization.

⁵ IRS Publication 557, "Tax-Exempt Status for Your Organization," Feb. 2015, p. 63.

^{6 &}quot;Intermediate Sanctions—Excess Benefit Transactions," IRS, July 7, 2015, http://www.irs.gov/Charities-&-Non-Profits/Charitable-Organizations/Intermediate-Sanctions-Excess-Benefit-Transactions.

⁷ Ibid.

- 8 IRS Pub. 557, p. 61.
- 9 Ihid.
- 10 Ibid.
- ¹¹ IRS, "Report on Exempt Organizations Executive Compensation Compliance Project—Parts I and II" (Mar. 2007), http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-tege/exec._comp._final.pdf, p. 1.
- ¹² "Governance Check Sheet," IRS, Dec. 2009, http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-tege/governance_check_sheet.pdf.
- ¹³ "Rebuttable Presumption—Intermediate Sanctions," IRS, Mar. 13, 2015, http://www.irs.gov/Charities-&-Non-Profits/Charitable-Organizations/Rebuttable-Presumption-Intermediate-Sanctions.
- 14 Ibid.
- ¹⁵ "Governance and Related Topics—501(c)(3) Organizations," IRS, Feb. 4, 2008, http://www.irs.gov/pub/irstege/governance_practices.pdf, p. 4.
- ¹⁶ Lawrence M. Brauer and Leonard J. Henzke, "Intermediate Sanctions (IRC 4958) Update," IRS, 2002, http:// www.irs.gov/pub/irs-tege/eotopice03.pdf, p. 40; "Nonprofit Executive Compensation and Excess Benefit Transactions—Legal Alert," The Law Project, Oct. 30, 2014, http://www.thelawproject.org/2014/10/nonprofitexecutive-compensation-and-excess-benefit-transactions-legal-alert/.
- ¹⁷ "Governance and Related Topics," p. 4.
- ¹⁸ For exceptions to this requirement, see "Annual Exempt Organization Return: Who Must File," IRS, Mar. 20, 2015, http://www.irs.gov/Charities-&-Non-Profits/Annual-Exempt-Organization-Return:-Who-Must-File.
- ¹⁹ "Which Form Do Exempt Organizations File?" IRS, July, 8, 2015, http://www.irs.gov/Charities-%26-Non-Profits/Form-990-Series-Which-Forms-Do-Exempt-Organizations-File%3F-(Filing-Phase-In).
- ²⁰ "IRS Exempt Organizations Hospital Study: Executive Summary of Final Report," IRS, Feb. 2009, http://www.irs. gov/pub/irs-tege/execsum_hospprojrept.pdf, p. 4.